Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2002-09 - 2002-10

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2002-09-01 through 2002-10-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 2,373

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 00:31:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831203133.03046.00000242@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 51868

In article <cTbc9.31$ep2.7627986@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020831160635.25779.00000349@mb-cb.aol.com...
>> In article <mv6c9.35$EV6.3793115@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>Burke"
>> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20020831122301.11404.00000399@mb-ct.aol.com...
>> >
>> >> Sounds to me like you (and many others) assumed that when you got your
>> >General
>> >> or conditional licenses that you were somehow guaranteed full operatin
>> >> privileges by right, forever. FCC disagreed.
>> >
>> >Jim, lots of Extras today make the same assumption.
>>
>> Like who?

Well?
>>
>> I've been an Extra for almost 32 years, and I've never made that
>assumption.
>> The period when Generals got all operating privileges was less than half
>that
>> time (a little less than 16 years, actually).
>
>Jim, when do you think you'll need to take more exams?

Whenever the FCC decides it's necessary. I'll be ready. I've always made it a
point to be able to pass the current license exams for the licenses I hold.
>
>> >Dick believes he'll
>> >never have to take another exam.
>>
>> That's exactly one out of over 100,000 current Extras.
>
>I wouldn't say that Dick is current.

Check out qrz.com

It's probably true that W0EX has more experience, and more recent experience,
on the amateur bands than you do. In other words, he's probably more current
than you.

Got that antenna up yet?

>> Perhaps it's a reasonable assumption. The Extra license, as we know it,
>has
>> existed since 1951. The only Extras who have had to take more tests to
>keep
>> their amateur privs are those who:
>>
>> 1) let their licenses lapse beyond the grace period
>> 2) lost them by violating FCC rules
>> 3) caused the FCC to scrutinize them because of questions about the
>testing
>> process.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Perhaps in a few years, the American public will rise up and say those
>damned amateurs have had it too easy, and institute an examination system
>that rivals that of the professional engineering societies.

Not very likely.

You ever see a PE exam?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 00:31:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831203133.03046.00000243@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 51869

In article <RNbc9.28$Oc1.2697377@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020831163635.04751.00000473@mb-mw.aol.com...
>> In article <Vs6c9.34$pL6.3174492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>Burke"
>> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >> Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive
>licensing
>> >in
>> >> 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of
>same.
>> >>
>> >> Dick
>> >
>> >Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history.
>>
>> I "lived the history", too. Doesn't mean Dick, Dan or I are right or wrong
>about
>> the facts.
>
>It means one of you is. You can't all be right or wrong.

Incorrect. We could all be wrong. But I don't think we are.

>> >Why would he need Jim to play it back for him?
>>
>> Perhaps he does not have all the relevant facts.
>>
>> >Where were you when you lost your priveleges?
>>
>> I was a 14 year old newly minted Advanced who had to wait almost two years
>to
>> even try the Extra.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Was that a good thing?

It was wonderful being a 14 year old Advanced.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 00:43:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1q47za.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51870

On Sat, 31 Aug 2002 16:48:35 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>Sorry. I think you know what I thought I said, but I'm not sure what I said
>was what you heard.

You sound more like Yang every time through.

Kenneth, what is the frequency?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 00:43:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1q3is9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51871

On 31 Aug 2002 19:21:03 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>> Did that "protest: do you any good?
>
> That's the "Marie Antoinette" argument, isn't it? If the peasants
> had no bread, she advised them to eat cake.
>
> You know the ultimate resolution to that, don't you?

Yes, the price of cake went up becuse of the demand, the bread
company had no sales and after declaring Chap. 11 came out of
it as the largest croissant supplier in all of France....

The Free Market system at work....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 00:43:01 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1q7nub.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51873

On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 06:49:28 -0400, KC8PMX wrote:

>Okay... heres a challenge. Name these "good things." Be specific and
>please do not generalize.

1. Serves as a single point of contact to and from the Commission staff in pre-NPRM negotiations (yes, these do exist). The League has caused several undesirable items to be "dumped" before they saw the light of day. Some folks may not like what the League has done or not done, but it is a safe bet that without a "League" amateur radio would be a lot worse off today.

2. The QSL Bureau is a League function - I'm not a QSL or DX chaser so I don't use the Bureau myself but a lot of others do.

3. The League provides some high-powered legal assistance to members through the Volunteer Counsel program. Most if not all of the ham radio cases that the courts have decided came about by the efforts of VCs whose normal "open market" fees were waived by the VC and in some cases the League itself provided monetary support to cover court costs and expert witness fees.

4. Pressure from the League pushed through the original PRB-1 assertion of preemption over local governmental actions which unreasonably restrict ham radio installations as well as its clarification to the benefit of licensees. State and local ARRL affiliated groups have pushed through the same on a state and local level. The League is the entity carrying the ball in getting The Congress to finally assert preemption over private contracts which unreasonably restrict ham radio installations. Some may not feel that these things are important - I feel that they are to the point that I put my professional skills to work in that arena.

4. Unnoticed except for those who are affected, the League got the FCC to assert preemption over state and local anti-scanner laws which require permits for or outright banned receiving capability on "police banda" which are integral parts of amateur mobile transceivers. This has resulted in most states having those requirements declaring that licensed amateurs are exempt from any such restriction except when the equipment is used for an unlawful purpose. There's a lot of "little things" like this that the League does that aren't front page news. Again, some may not feel that these things are important - I feel that they are to the point that I put my professional skills to work in that arena.

>Maybe your might be the first person to actually convince me to join.

I'm not a salesman. If you want to join, do so. If not,
you'll still be a free-loader.

73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ARRL Life Member

6. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 00:43:02 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1q7yic.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51872

On 31 Aug 2002 01:14:08 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

> The League has strongly influenced getting into amateur radio in
> the USA for years. In so doing they have set the stage (so to
> speak) to almost force the retention of the code test.

Gee, that's strange. Both my present and former Division Director
(my representative on the BoD) do not feel that way, and neither do
most of the League members whom I know, Allowing Morse to be used, yes.
Required Morse test, not really.

Maybe I move in good ham circles.

Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
Licensed and proud of it

7. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 00:48:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ytdc9.53$ho3.11678841@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51874

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D714728.ED958BEC@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > Beep, beep.
> >
> > didit.
>
> Dum-dums. A pair.

Dick, I guess your mother used to say, "If you cain't say nuthin nice about sumbuddy, just go ahead and say sumptin nastee."

dot dot

8. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 00:52:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Bxdc9.54$Ne4.15184005@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51875

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020831203133.03046.00000243@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <RNbc9.28$Oc1.2697377@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020831163635.04751.00000473@mb-mw.aol.com...
> >> In article <Vs6c9.34$pL6.3174492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> >Burke"
> >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >> Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive
> >licensing
> >> >in
> >> >> 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of
> >same.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dick
> >> >
> >> >Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history.
> >>
> >> I "lived the history", too. Doesn't mean Dick, Dan or I are right or
wrong
> >about
> >> the facts.
> >
> >It means one of you is. You can't all be right or wrong.
>
> Incorrect. We could all be wrong. But I don't think we are.

That's what I've been saying about code testing, but you guys are here to
tell me otherwise.

> >> >Why would he need Jim to play it back for him?
> >>
> >> Perhaps he does not have all the relevant facts.
> >>
> >> >Where were you when you lost your priveleges?
> >>
> >> I was a 14 year old newly minted Advanced who had to wait almost two
years
> >to
> >> even try the Extra.
> >>

> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Was that a good thing?
>
> It was wonderful being a 14 year old Advanced.

Dick thinks no kid could ever know enough to legitimately be an amateur.

9. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 01:00:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5Fdc9.55$904.14244961@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51876

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020831203133.03046.00000242@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <cTbc9.31$ep2.7627986@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020831160635.25779.00000349@mb-cb.aol.com...
> >> In article <mv6c9.35$EV6.3793115@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> >Burke"
> >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:20020831122301.11404.00000399@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >> >
> >> >> Sounds to me like you (and many others) assumed that when you got
your
> >> >General
> >> >> or conditional licenses that you were somehow guaranteed full
operatin
> >> >> privileges by right, forever. FCC disagreed.
> >> >
> >> >Jim, lots of Extras today make the same assumption.
> >>
> >> Like who?
>
> Well?

He's part of the text below.

> >> I've been an Extra for almost 32 years, and I've never made that
> >assumption.
> >> The period when Generals got all operating privileges was less than
half
> >that
> >> time (a little less than 16 years, actually).
> >
> >Jim, when do you think you'll need to take more exams?
>
> Whenever the FCC decides it's necessary. I'll be ready. I've always made
it a
> point to be able to pass the current license exams for the licenses I
hold.

Good. Then you won't mind proving yourself again and again and again.

> >> >Dick believes he'll
> >> >never have to take another exam.
> >>
> >> That's exactly one out of over 100,000 current Extras.
> >
> >I wouldn't say that Dick is current.
>
> Check out qrz.com
>
> It's probably true that W0EX has more experience, and more recent
experience,
> on the amateur bands than you do. In other words, he's probably more
current
> than you.

He's still fretting about the ChiComms overrunning his position.

> Got that antenna up yet?

About two more weeks and my son will be out of the cast.

> >> Perhaps it's a reasonable assumption. The Extra license, as we know it,
> >has
> >> existed since 1951. The only Extras who have had to take more tests to
> >keep
> >> their amateur privs are those who:
> >>
> >> 1) let their licenses lapse beyond the grace period
> >> 2) lost them by violating FCC rules
> >> 3) caused the FCC to scrutinize them because of questions about the
> >testing
> >> process.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Perhaps in a few years, the American public will rise up and say those
> >damned amateurs have had it too easy, and institute an examination system
> >that rivals that of the professional engineering societies.
>
> Not very likely.

Who could ever have forseen a tier of six licenses when one would do?

> You ever see a PE exam?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I've seen the people who take them.

10. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 01:05:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QJdc9.56$Hn3.11639834@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51877

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1q7yic.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 31 Aug 2002 01:14:08 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > The League has strongly influenced getting into amateur radio in
> > the USA for years. In so doing they have set the stage (so to
> > speak) to almost force the retention of the code test.
>
> Gee, that's strange. Both my present and former Division Director
> (my representative on the BoD) do not feel that way, and neither do
> most of the League members whom I know, Allowing Morse to be used, yes.
> Required Morse test, not really.

Phil, they say if you're gonna tell a lie, to make it a whopper! Either
that or their core beliefs blow in the wind. I wonder which way the wind is
blowing?

> Maybe I move in good ham circles.

So now you are saying that folks who don't necessarily support a
Morse/Farnsworth exam are moving in good ham circles?

My, my, how times have changed in two short years.

Next thing you know, we'll learn that the ARRL supported the no-code license
in the 1970's.

11. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 01:08:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HMdc9.58$Ia3.10815507@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51878

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1q7nub.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 06:49:28 -0400, KC8PMX wrote:
>
> >Okay... heres a challenge. Name these "good things." Be specific and
> >please do not generalize.
>
> 1. Serves as a single point of contact to and from the Commission
> staff in pre-NPRM negotiations (yes, these do exist).

Oh, my. And one wonders why the NPRM of 98 looked as it did.

12. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 01:33:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D716E3C.C1CA5934@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51879

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D714728.ED958BEC@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > > Beep, beep.
> > >
> > > didit.
> >
> > Dum-dums. A pair.
>
> Dick, I guess your mother used to say, "If you cain't say nuthin nice about
> sumbuddy, just go ahead and say sumptin nastee."

Nope. Learned it from dealing with too many like you.

13. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 01:36:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D716EFF.1CEB5A90@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51880

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
> Dick thinks no kid could ever know enough to legitimately be an amateur.

Nope. I was a 14 yearold licensed ham. That applies only dunces like you who prefer to lay around bitching about the test requirements instead of just doing it.

14. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 01:59:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jwec9.76$7j5.19565822@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51881

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D716E3C.C1CA5934@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D714728.ED958BEC@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > Beep, beep.
> > > > > >
> > > > > didit.
> > > > >
> > > Dum-dums. A pair.
> >
> > Dick, I guess your mother used to say, "If you cain't say nuthin nice
about
> > sumbuddy, just go ahead and say sumptin nastee."
>
> Nope. Learned it from dealing with too many like you.

And I thought I was one of a kind. I must weild some great power over you
that you cannot control what comes out of your own mouth.

15. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 02:00:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sxec9.77$UY4.18144490@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51882

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D716EFF.1CEB5A90@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > Dick thinks no kid could ever know enough to legitimately be an
amateur.
>
>
>
> Nope. I was a 14 yearold licensed ham. That applies only dunces like you
who
> prefer to lay around bitching about the test requirements instead of just
doing
> it.

Dick, I'm a ham. I "did" it.

Didit ;^)

16. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 02:04:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D715649.EC3B9CAC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51883

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> ..._ ._. ._ ... _ ..._ ._ _ . .. _.. _._. _... . ._. .-.-.-
>
> *Some* of the hams may be no codes. Fellas, don't tell this jerk what the
> above phrase says.
> Let's see if he has any business making fun of no coders.

LOL!!

17. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 03:09:54 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020831230954.23219.00000021@mb-fp.news.cs.com>
Source record: 51884

>>I'm a
>>sucker for analog S/RF meters.
>
>Uh-oh.

Whad'ya mean "uh-oh," Jim?

>Start down that road, Bert, and pretty soon you'll be complaining about how
>the
>controls and displays on newer rigs are too tiny.

Well, aren't they?

>Then you'll start preferring
>black crackle to shiny plastic.

Remember those cheap late 70's bumpers? What's wrong with wanting something a
little more "solid?"

>Pretty soon you'll want the thing to glow in
>the dark....;-)

"Tubes, tubes...my kingdom for some tubes!"

>Actually there's an eronomic factor. A real analog meter gives you some idea
>of
>an indication even if the needle is bouncing around, or you haven't focused
>the
>old Mark 1 eyeballs on it perfectly.

Now we're on the same page. :-)

>In all my years as a ham, (35) I've never owned a ham rig that wasn't Made In
>The USA.

I own a Yaesu FT-840 and I want to hear the difference (Especially on CW.) of a
"world-class" receiver. When Santa Spouse offers a new HF rig, the first answer
that came to my mind WASN'T "No thanks dear, the Yaesu's just fine." I'm really
kinda interested in either the K2 or the Ten-Tec. Take care, Jim. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

18. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 03:12:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208311912.2149c511@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51885

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<un0e82bpjnp0c0@corp.supernews.com>...
> No doubt about it, I made a small error on this one. I should have said 1x2
> callsigns starting with "W" or "K" - and then excluded some of the later
> call districts! As far as the 1X2 access, I'm not sure about the date at
> all. I obtained WA3RJX when I moved to Pennsylvania after my 4 years of
> duty in the US Navy. When I moved back to New York, the callsigns were
> available. It was at that point I selected N2JH (which, as I mentioned
> before, I let expire sometime in the very early 80s). Heck, we should start
> something. Maybe we could get 1X1 calls (currently reserved for special
> event stations) available for a more immodest fee (say $1000 for a 10 year
> term). Myself, I'd like to get the call "W". Just "W". I'll accept
> donations for this one as I'm sure it will be expensive. Just in case the
> FCC doesn't accept this idea, I can assure you that any and all donations
> will be put in the "M for M" fund (money for me).
> ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
There have been some interesting callsigns used over the years. One of
the most interesting was Ernst Krenkel's "RAEM". He was a polar
explorer and radio pioneer who orchestrated the dramatic rescue of 104
crewmwmbers of a doomed icebreaker in the Arctic Ocean. He was also
the north end of the then HF distance record when he worked Admiral
Byrd's expedition in the Antarctic from near the North Pole. Plus he
pulled off other amazing feats in very cold places. Russian hams
consider him their equivalent to our Hiram Percy Maxim. I heard the
guy only once. 20 cw, he was loud and was using a straight key but I
couldn't get him to come back to me. "Selective ears" . . he had a
really slick QSL card with a salon photo of himself on it which is a
real collectible. He went SK in the early 70s.
>
Another one you might hear on the airband is "November One". It's the
nominal flagship of the FAA inhouse fleet. It's an old low slow DC-3
which is used to survey FAA navaids mostly around the major airports.
It's also the FAA's showbird. I first saw it at the EAA show in
Lakeland FL around 1993. The FAA guys cheefully invited anybody who
expressed an interest in it to climb aboard and take the five-minute
guided tour. There was nothing special about it, it wasn't spitshined
at all, it was just an old workhorse moderatly filled with electronics
gear. But it did have the ultimate U.S. side number and callsign.
>
Then comes "JY1" . . . zero WPM for the whole enchilada . .

>
w3rv: cost = 0
>
I had no idea exactly what callsign the FCC would hang on me until the
new license arrived. Ya tossed a short list of 1x2 callsigns ya could
live with and they did the picking outta yer list.

19. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 04:12:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901001224.10667.00000133@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 51886

In article <8Gbc9.23$PS1.5409007@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020831152102.01003.00000093@mb-mq.aol.com...
>
>> No matter. The regulars in here remain steadfast in defining
>> US amateur radio as "CW on HF for DX" and that is that. :-)
>
>Len, let's modify that a wee little bit.
>
>Its been suggested that simply working or being DX isn't enough. An entry
>in a log book isn't any good. A card on the wall isn't any good. You've
>got to get it all blessed by the ARRL's DXCC desk. All DX must be
>sanctioned through the ARRL's DXCC desk, or it just isn't DX.
>
>So, if I may, "US amateur radio is all about CW on HF for DXCC."

Sounds like a Plan! :-)

Of course, one could go as far as TGI Friday does on credit.
TGI Friday will only accept credit from "those above 85 years
accompanied by their parents." :-)

Beep, beep.

20. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 04:28:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901002849.11392.00000118@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51887

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h1q7yic.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 31 Aug 2002 01:14:08 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> The League has strongly influenced getting into amateur radio in
>> the USA for years. In so doing they have set the stage (so to
>> speak) to almost force the retention of the code test.
>
> Gee, that's strange. Both my present and former Division Director
> (my representative on the BoD) do not feel that way, and neither do
> most of the League members whom I know, Allowing Morse to be used, yes.
> Required Morse test, not really.
>
> Maybe I move in good ham circles.
>
> Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?

I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some quasi-
government membership organization standing between me and
my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
representative" in anything.

ARRL has postioned itself as the go-between as well as being
a special interest group lobbying the FCC on amateur radio
matters for decades. Until recently they had steadfast
opinions on the retention of the code test for under-30-MHz
license classes. You cannot deny this. Recently they took a
null position on the code test once the IARU had made its own
influence known on the US WRC-3 preliminary views on 1.7.1.

Maybe you are just moving in circles, counselor.

21. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 05:02:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D719F6A.A9FB5096@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51888

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D703E9B.BB18AD2E@earthlink.net...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<3D6EB49D.B062472D@townsqr.com>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Dick, just because you were AWOL on the Novice subbands...
> > > >
> > > > Yeh, just like Dave Heil was in violation for working foreign
> stations who showed up in Dave's LEGAL
> > > > allocations.
> > >
> > > Dick, why do you keep bringing this up? I'm sure that Dave would like
> > > to see the end of it. Just leave it alone.
> >
> > It doesn't bother Dave in the least. I'm not the one who looks silly
> > after each exchange with you, Brian. We can even go back to the
> > discussion on your operation from Somalia if you like.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> So was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
> Ed suggested?

If you had no written permission to operate, it would seem that you had
no valid authorization (and you've confirmed that you never had written
permission to get on the air). Your operation would not be accepted for
DXCC.
I'll bet those you QSO'd were tickled pink with your worthless QSL card.

Maybe you'll now be known as "Mogadishu Slim".

Dave K8MN

22. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 05:18:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51889

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020831152102.01003.00000093@mb-mq.aol.com...
>
> > No matter. The regulars in here remain steadfast in defining
> > US amateur radio as "CW on HF for DX" and that is that. :-)
>
> Len, let's modify that a wee little bit.
>
> Its been suggested that simply working or being DX isn't enough. An entry
> in a log book isn't any good. A card on the wall isn't any good. You've
> got to get it all blessed by the ARRL's DXCC desk. All DX must be
> sanctioned through the ARRL's DXCC desk, or it just isn't DX.
>
> So, if I may, "US amateur radio is all about CW on HF for DXCC."
>
> ;^)
> Brian

I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate op
from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you. I don't know of
any award program which sanctions operations from those not holding a
valid license in the country of operation. You didn't have one but some
guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one accepting your
word that it was okay!

Dave K8MN

23. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 05:23:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D71A477.E3ADCF3A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51890

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Dick thinks no kid could ever know enough to legitimately be an amateur.

You are confused. It is Len the Looney who believes that statement.

Dave K8MN

24. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 05:30:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51891

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h1q7yic.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
> >On 31 Aug 2002 01:14:08 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> The League has strongly influenced getting into amateur radio in
> >> the USA for years. In so doing they have set the stage (so to
> >> speak) to almost force the retention of the code test.
> >
> > Gee, that's strange. Both my present and former Division Director
> > (my representative on the BoD) do not feel that way, and neither do
> > most of the League members whom I know, Allowing Morse to be used, yes.
> > Required Morse test, not really.
> >
> > Maybe I move in good ham circles.
> >
> > Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?
>
> I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some quasi-
> government membership organization standing between me and
> my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> representative" in anything.

You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
aren't a ham.

> ARRL has postioned itself as the go-between as well as being
> a special interest group lobbying the FCC on amateur radio
> matters for decades. Until recently they had steadfast
> opinions on the retention of the code test for under-30-MHz
> license classes. You cannot deny this. Recently they took a
> null position on the code test once the IARU had made its own
> influence known on the US WRC-3 preliminary views on 1.7.1.

You obviously have no knowledge of the relationship between the ARRL and
the IARU. There would be no IARU without the ARRL.

> Maybe you are just moving in circles, counselor.

...beats not moving at all. Got that Extra out of the box yet?

Dave K8MN

25. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 05:32:27 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aks8ps$bl2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51892

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020831122301.11404.00000400@mb-ct.aol.com...
> In article <akmcob$is7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> > however, the FCC deemed
> >it approprate to NOT test or otherwise risk
> >the validity with Mr. Bash (IMHO). We'll never know exactly
> >why, but in failing to do so, there can be a presumption
> >that the FCC thought the case would be lost. You are,
> >of course, free to have other opinions. In the end it
> >makes no difference since the FCC defaulted on the point
> >and thus rendered the "law" noot anyway.
>
> Perhaps the FCC thought the case would be lost. But it seems to me that a
far
> more reasonable possibility is a combination of several factors:
>
> - FCC top dogs didn't want to bother
> - FCC was internally considering something like the VEC system
> - Action against Bash would have admitted that his books were accurate
copies
> of the real tests, and shown how few versions of the real tests actually
> existed.

Well we can toss a few beers discussing all the ifs and maybes....
bottom line, we'll never know nor does it make any difference
at this point.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

26. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 05:42:37 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <un3a8333red7d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I'm just waiting for everyone to find out how useful 54-60 MHz is. That would really be great! Antenna would not be as big as the 27 MHz antenna and all the screaming would really be a hoot!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Jerry McIntons <hotham@mcintonsmailsite.net> wrote in message news:akrd7p$t4s$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Brother Lloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:27e38977.0208311258.2835be97@posting.google.com...
> > From: "Corn Dog" <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com>
> > Subject: 28.015 Freeband Net
> > Date: Saturday, August 31, 2002 1:47 PM
> >
> > Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
> > Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
> > Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
> > Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
> > Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!
> > >>>>>>>>>>
> >
> > Dont forget those 2 and 440 rigs that can be opened for MURS and FRS too!
> >
> > LOL!
>
> Don't laugh!. Many of the commercial dealers had printed info
> sheets on how to "open up" commonly sold Kenwood, ICOM,
> Yaesu, etc. equipment. Of course, it was not sitting on the table in
> the open, but all you had to do was ask. I asked one dealer for
> an info sheet, telling him I was buying the ham gear to convert to
> business band use. Sure enough, he handed me a bundle of info.
> Then, I asked if this was legal, and the guy says oh yeah, the FCC
> deregulated everything years ago, you don't even need a license
> anymore! And this came from a commercial dealer. Anythng to
> make money I guess. Also saw a lot of truck drivers buying
> marine radio equipment. Geeeeee, what a radio bazaar, wonder
> where all the hams were?
>
> Jerry Mc
>
>

>
>

27. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 05:48:18 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aks9nbqvf1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51894

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:akp1a05224l@enews1.newsguy.com...
> >
> > Why do you say the "The alleged Field Organization is
> > non-existant.... "?
>
> Again, where are they? I have placed myself, by participating in five
> different clubs, and occaisionally pop in a few others also from time to
> time, and with the exception of one person (now an ex-field op person) I
> have not met one representing and acting on the behalf of the ARRL. Mind
> you, each one of these are a sanctioned ARRL club.

Why must field org folks go to any specific club meeting?
If you need a field org person, ARRL HQ can put you in
touch with one for the need you identify.

> > Have you had any need for the field organization?
> > Have you attempted to engage help or assistance from
> > the field organization and been refused or otherwise
> > turned away?
>
> Not yet as I can say. But there is always the possibilty in the future.
> But this is one of the so-called "selling points" of the the league and
why
> a person should join.

But by your own admission you say you have had
no reason to engage anyone from the field org.

> > If the answer is NO, then what do you expect to see, get
> > or derive from the field organization that leads you to
> > state it is non-existant?
>
> Participation. How about presentations related to the league. How about
> (for lack of a better term or phrase) recruitment presentations where they
> actually can clear up and defend these so-called selling points as to why
a
> person should join the league. These are a few as well as I am sure there
> are others.

The roles/responsibilities of field organization folks
covers other areas than recruitment. We are, however,
there to be tapped as a resource when needed...we are not
all in a position to devote the type of time as you seem
to expect or require.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

28. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 06:51:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901025159.03119.00000583@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 51895

>Subject: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/30/02 4:12 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020830171259.17339.00000037@mb-bh.aol.com>

>US amateur radio expertise is all about CW on HF for DX.

It's rare to see someone such as yourself who takes such pride in being an obvious pathological liar, Lennie. Nice job.

Steve

29. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 06:56:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901025644.03119.00000584@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 51896

>Subject: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/30/02 4:13 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020830171300.17339.00000039@mb-bh.aol.com>

>President Haynie doesn't call anyone
>"Putz" or disparage another just because of an opposite opinion.

I don't call you a Putz because you have a differing opinion. I call you a putz because you have so steadfastly demonstrated that you are, indeed, a putz.

>Traveling is over for a while, now it's time for all the 1x2s to get their
>jollies by calling "civilians" names, explain how they are all so
>superior to ordinary mortals by long tenure in a hobby radio activity.

You COULD have returned from your travels by NOT making insulting, obviously caustic comments, but you didn't.

You COULD have returned by having made some comments of condolence on Dick, N0BK's untimely death. You didn't do THAT either.

You COULD have come back by making some throughtful, well prepared and INFORMED comments on policy matters, but you didn't do that either.

Welcome home, Putz.

30. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 07:10:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901031022.03119.00000585@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 51897

>Subject: Service To The Nation
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/30/02 4:12 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020830171257.17339.00000035@mb-bh.aol.com>

>A problem there is the use of an excerpt to attempt proving a point.
> Here is the entire letter content:

Nice cut and paste. Also proves Hans' point.

>The word "service" used throughout Title 47 CFR is a regulatory
> term denoting a type and kind of radio transmitting activity.

That's YOUR interpretation. The FCC see's it differently.

>Brakob wishes to enoble his avocation as some kind of implied
> "service to the country" or "service to the community" as if it is
> a kind of noble deed. Amateur radio remains almost entirely a
> a hobby activity, engaged in for personal pleasure. There should
> be nothing wrong with that. What is wrong is the attempt to
> enoble the hobby beyond reasonable doubt as a justification for
> its existance.

It IS a noble venture. The SELFLESS devotion of thousands of volunteers
who routinely give of themselves for the SERVICE of others is well documented
and a matter of American history.

Sorry the FACTS screw up yor rants, Your Putziness. It's in the Federal
Register.

> If "service" is taken in the sense as a "service to the nation," then
> it should also be applied to Citizens Band Radio Service or even
> restricted-radiation devices covered under Part 15. It isn't. As
> used throughout Title 47 CFR, the word "service" is a regulatory
> term denoting a type and kind of radio transmitting activity.

Nice try.

Welcome home, Your Putziness. I see the vileness and vulgarity didn't
wear off on the road.

31. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 07:18:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901031850.03119.00000586@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 51898

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/31/02 11:28 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020901002849.11392.00000118@mb-fi.aol.com>
>
>In article

>> Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?
>
> I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some quasi-
> government membership organization standing between me and
> my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> representative" in anything.

You also do NOT have a station license to operrate ANY radio transmitter,
nor do you have an operator's license IN the Amateur Service, ergo it's moot as
to whom "represents" you, Your Putziness.

>ARRL has postioned itself as the go-between as well as being
> a special interest group lobbying the FCC on amateur radio
> matters for decades.

No....AMATEURS "positioned" ARRL in that role, Lennie. Persons who were
practiced in Amateur Radio pre-regulation, and later licensed in the Amateur
service.

Unlike yourself.

>Maybe you are just moving in circles, counselor.

As opposed to chasing your tail, such as yourself, Lennie?

Putz.

32. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 07:30:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901033016.03119.00000587@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 51899

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 8/31/02 3:36 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020831163634.04751.00000472@mb-mw.aol.com>

>Did you use a volunteer examiner?

Nope. Mine was given the option of administering Amateur Radio tests or
30 days in jail for DUI. =)

(You let yourself in for THAT one, Jim!!!!! =))

Mr. Gene Roliff, WA8TPO. One of the Science/Math teachers of my High
School. A very wise and sharing man.

>Most vintage equipment can still be used on the air today. The dream rig of
>my
>Novice days was the Heath HW-16.

I've seen a couple of "retro" stories that looked like fun. If I could have
about 3 more hours a day to do things, I'd like to build one just for fun.

73

Steve, K4YZ

33. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 07:35:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901033548.03119.00000588@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 51900

>Subject: 28.015 Freeband Net
>From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd)
>Date: 8/31/02 3:58 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <27e38977.0208311258.2835be97@posting.google.com>

>Dont forget those 2 and 440 rigs that can be opened for MURS and FRS too!

Don't tell Horsestewd...he still insists no one would have ANY reason to
use "illegal" equipment on 151 o 462 mHz.

Steve

34. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 10:59:30 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51901

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > So, if I may, "US amateur radio is all about CW on HF for DXCC."
> >
> > ;^)
> > Brian
>
> I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate op
> from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you. I don't know of
> any award program which sanctions operations from those not holding a
> valid license in the country of operation. You didn't have one but some
> guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one accepting your
> word that it was okay!
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>
. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on 14.195 . .

w3rv

35. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 11:33:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901073324.24715.00000602@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 51902

>Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/30/02 11:21 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020831002138.17318.00000036@mb-ma.aol.com>

>Sorry, but I disagree based on years of seeing the first of the
> message exchanges on the ARPANET, then USENET before
> the Internet was open to the public.

This is cute. His Scumminess, well known for disparging Amateurs for
allegedly "living in the past", asserting that his "expertness" is based upon
his experiences "in the past".

Does the term "two faced" ring a bell, anyone?

>There is always a group which has preconceived ideas of "right"
> and "wrong," mainly that their personal viewpoints are the only
> ones "right" and any opposition is "wrong." They tend to come
> unglued quickly and resort to the primitive.

Kinda like your application of the terms "jackbooted thug", "elitist",
"Nazi", etc when someone doesn't tow YOUR line, Lennie?

>In here there is a group of regulars who are devout believers of
> ARRL, that the League can do no wrong.

I would "demand" that you provide a single, valid quote of ANYONE in this
NG who has espoused such unwavering beliefs, but you are already reknowned for
your lack of facts and even more reknowned for your swipe and duck tactics,
ergo this is already dismissed as foolish and unfounded.

A weak attempt by an uninformed putz.

>Questioning anything of ARRL output is automatically
> a sentence of heaped abuse on anyone daring to say anything
> negative against the League.

Oh? Both former members of the field organization and present employees
of the ARRL ahve made assertions which clearly make your assertion foolhearty,
at best...

>That isn't intellectualism, it's just
> cultism and the adoption of amateur radio as some sort of life-
> style. That kills "discussion" right there.

WE (those who are licensed and active in the Amateur radio Service) have
much to discuss, and do so regularly.

YOU are the one with nothing to discuss, Lennie. Only blatant lies, mistruths, and colorful fictional scenarios presented with the intent to confuse or otherwise mislead those who don't know any beter.

Shame on you, Mr Professional Engineer. (yeah...right...)

>Quite often this newsgroup
> turns into an "us against them" non-debate with name-calling,
> taunting, and outright insults at anyone daring to say the least little
> negativism to their sacred viewpoints.

Not in the least. If you have something constructive or critical to say, say it.

YOU on the otherhand are only vile, deceptive and vengeful.

>This happens in other news-
> groups concerning different subject matter, is a part of the newsgroup
> environment that will not change.

It will not "change" as long as people who have no vested interest and informed opinion insist on forcing themselves on people who know better...Kinda like you, Lennie. YOU are the very epitome of the online antagonist...the "corporate know-it-all" who insists that they and they alone have enlightenment.

>Any open public-access forum is bound to have discord from time
> to time. It's the environment of computer-modem communications
> and the time-delayed interfacing of human beings. It's been that
> way since ARPANET on through BBSs and BBS nets to USENET.

Lennie to English translation: As long as I can get on here and do what I want with impunity, I will harass anyone I care to.

And he does. The Ultimate Putziness.

>In a way, it is strong Darwinism in full force. The strong, or rather
> those that are acquainted with the environment, will survive.

Lennie's way of considering himself to be immortal.

>The
> weak may adopt bombast and childish activity or just quit access.
> Most who can't take the environment will quit.

In other words, if you can't stand the aggressively malodorus bile spewed upon you by lying ctreeps like Lennie...tough.

Bully to the end.

>A moderated newsgroup insures that the "weak" will not survive
> long.

Moderated groups scare the hell out of liars and antagonists like Leonard H. Anderson. It would REALLY spell the end of his reign as King of the News Group Dungheap.

>Been there, done that, have recycled lots of T-shirts.

No doubt from drooled pablum or used as diapers while glued to his seat in an attempt to spin the ultimate "gotcha" in Amateur Radio newsgroups.

>What makes you think your own words are still civil? As you go on
> in this message, you are sounding somewhat uncivil.

And as opposed to YOUR tone of voice in EVERY post, Lennie, this is different HOW?????

>Not hardly. I have a better sense of the unseen readers than most
> of this newsgroup's inhabitants.

Now Lennie is the mind reader! Tell us Lennie, tell us ALL ABOUT the Silent Majority, teeming and just waiting to take up arms in your ranks of the unwashed masses!

ROTMFFLMMFAO ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

THE ULTIMATE PUTZ IS NOW A MIND READER!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

>If I am "supposed" to endure a series of personal insults and yet
> be gentlemanly, then you have a strange way of requesting it.
>
> The only result of such acquiesence is usually taken for a
> weakness, timidity. It doesn't convince the one who is doing
> the personal insulting.

Then you understand why I refuse to kowtow to your personal version of hatred, lies and distortions of truth, Lennie. Good. You now have NO reason to dismiss any of my assertions about you anymore.

>It lets them take out their daily frustrations on someone
> unknown to them except for words on a screen.

Much like your unyielding railings against Amateur Radio and Amateur Radio operators, Lennie? Is that all we are to you? A whipping post for your personal frustrations and failings? It sure appears that way.

>In a strange way, that may be beneficial to the insulter, directing
> their anger to some object.

Oooops...Guess I was right.

>On the other hand, it can get out of hand
> as witness one pointless little diatribe done on an AOL homepage.
> [short-lived, but rather vicious]

Not as vicious as the assertions of "professionalism" by one self-proclaimed electronics "expert" who can't sned the right file in e mail, huh Lennie? Mine was direct, honest and to the point.

You simply lied. No problem. We've come to expect it. And you don't let us down.

>What you don't recognize is that there are a great number of
> readers out there who never post anything in public. They are
> influenced by different viewpoints and may start to think and
> take action. That's fine by me regardless of their conclusions.

A corrollary to your "Rear Area Widget Claiming Hero By Association Status", Lennie? First you hide behind the mortal sacrifices of soldiers who died three years before you were even in the service, now you are claiming that the silent majority will rally to your cause and carry your opinions as some sort of rallying chant...???

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHA ! ! ! !

WHAT A RIP!

>I've heard 44 years of the "evils" of CB from code-loving long-
> timers who have to have federal licenses for everything. It is
> nothing new. Please try something original.

The truth, coming from "lenover21" would be original, Lennie. Try it some day!

>It doesn't "put me" in any sort of "league."

Thankfully, Lennie, we can safely say you ARE in a league of your own. A Putz of One.

>They will
> immediately launch some diatribe of their own saying that
> I am "mistaken," "wrong," or just plain undesireable.

Usually all three. But you forgot "lying", which is your most frequent vice.

How can one man be so ugly as a person, Lennie?

Sucks to be you, I guess.

36. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 11:37:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901073706.24715.00000603@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 51903

>Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 9/1/02 5:59 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>

>. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on 14.195 . .

The next time something "desireable" shows up there, BK, I think I will do a bearing check. It would be like Burkie to do a Slim operation just to tick off a lot of folks.

73

Steve, K4YZ

37. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 12:06:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901080645.02651.00000008@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 51904

In article <20020901033016.03119.00000587@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Mr. Gene Roliff, WA8TPO. One of the Science/Math teachers of my High
>School. A very wise and sharing man.

What I'm getting at is that the old Novice process was a 2 step thing. First you did the code, then the volunteer examiner sent away for the written. Then you waited for the license or the failure letter.
>
>>Most vintage equipment can still be used on the air today. The dream rig of
>>my
>>Novice days was the Heath HW-16.
>
> I've seen a couple of "retro" stories that looked like fun. If I could
>have
>about 3 more hours a day to do things, I'd like to build one just for fun.
>
First you'd have to find one, then restore it. The matching HG-10 is a plus, too. With declining sunspots, it's a good idea to convert the 15 meter bandswitch position to 20 meters.

73 de Jim, N2EY

38. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 12:06:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901080646.02651.00000009@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 51905

In article <aks8ps$bl2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020831122301.11404.00000400@mb-ct.aol.com...
>> In article <akmcob$is7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> > however, the FCC deemed
>> >it approprate to NOT test or otherwise risk
>> >the validity with Mr. Bash (IMHO). We'll never know exactly
>> >why, but in failing to do so, there can be a presumption
>> >that the FCC thought the case would be lost. You are,
>> >of course, free to have other opinions. In the end it
>> >makes no difference since the FCC defaulted on the point
>> >and thus rendered the "law" noot anyway.
>>
>> Perhaps the FCC thought the case would be lost. But it seems to me that a
>far
>> more reasonable possibility is a combination of several factors:
>>
>> - FCC top dogs didn't want to bother
>> - FCC was internally considering something like the VEC system
>> - Action against Bash would have admitted that his books were accurate
>copies
>> of the real tests, and shown how few versions of the real tests actually
>> existed.
>
>Well we can toss a few beers discussing all the ifs and maybes....

Sounds good to me, Bill. One of these days we will cross paths at a hamfest.

>bottom line, we'll never know nor does it make any difference
>at this point.

'zactly. I'm all for a return to secret tests given by FCC examiners, but I
don't see that happening anytime soon, or even anytime at all. We've had the
VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is obviously satisfied that
it does the job adequately enough. Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
convince FCC different?

73 de Jim, N2EY

39. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 12:12:50 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D72044B.AFD78ABC@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 51906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> I'm just waiting for everyone to find out how useful 54-60 MHz is. That
> would really be great! Antenna would not be as big as the 27 MHz antenna
> and all the screaming would really be a hoot!

I have no use for TV channel 2, or TV channel anything.

The boob toob sux!

40. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 16:03:27 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akte0c$o04$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51907

```
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901080646.02651.00000009@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <aks8ps$bl2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020831122301.11404.00000400@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >> In article <akmcob$is7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> >> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> >>
> >> > however, the FCC deemed
> >> >it approprate to NOT test or otherwise risk
> >> >the validity with Mr. Bash (IMHO). We'll never know exactly
> >> >why, but in failing to do so, there can be a presumption
> >> >that the FCC thought the case would be lost. You are,
> >> >of course, free to have other opinions. In the end it
> >> >makes no difference since the FCC defaulted on the point
> >> >and thus rendered the "law" noot anyway.
> >>
> >> Perhaps the FCC thought the case would be lost. But it seems to me that
a
> >far
> >> more reasonable possibility is a combination of several factors:
> >>
> >> - FCC top dogs didn't want to bother
> >> - FCC was internally considering something like the VEC system
> >> - Action against Bash would have admitted that his books were accurate
> >copies
> >> of the real tests, and shown how few versions of the real tests
actually
> >> existed.
> >
> >Well we can toss a few beers discussing all the ifs and maybes....
>
> Sounds good to me, Bill. One of these days we will cross paths at a
hamfest.
>
> >bottom line, we'll never know nor does it make any difference
> >at this point.
>
> 'zactly. I'm all for a return to secret tests given by FCC examiners, but
I
> don't see that happening anytime soon, or even anytime at all. We've had
the
```

> VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is obviously satisfied
that
> it does the job adequately enough. Whether that's true or not, how can
anyone
> convince FCC different?

The ability to convince the FCC of any testing position involves
first defining the issue and then attempting to apply
reality as to current results, etc.

You stated: "We've had the
VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is
obviously satisfied that it does the job adequately enough."

I believe that is true, but the first question is to us...Do WE
believe the VEC system has satisfactorily done the job?
I do, you may not. Let's answer that first.

You then said: "Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
convince FCC different?"

If we believe
the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
try and convince the FCC of anything. If we think the
VEC tesing should be ended or changed to the extent
that the change requires FCC action, then we need to identify
WHY and HOW...and then convince the FCC.

I believe the VEC system has accomplished the same
goals as when the FCC did it. Is it perfect? No, but
abuses and or shortcomings appear to be minimal at
worst. I think there's room for test question
imrovement within the context of the VEC process
today (e.g. more variables in formula questions).

What's your viewpoint?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

41. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 16:20:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <D7rc9.47$Zl2.24797431@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51908

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020831152102.01003.00000093@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >
> > > No matter. The regulars in here remain steadfast in defining
> > > US amateur radio as "CW on HF for DX" and that is that. :-)
> >
> > Len, let's modify that a wee little bit.
> >
> > Its been suggested that simply working or being DX isn't enough. An
entry
> > in a log book isn't any good. A card on the wall isn't any good.
You've
> > got to get it all blessed by the ARRL's DXCC desk. All DX must be
> > sanctioned through the ARRL's DXCC desk, or it just isn't DX.
> >
> > So, if I may, "US amateur radio is all about CW on HF for DXCC."
> >
> > ;^)
> > Brian
>
> I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate op
> from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you.

Certainly I'm legitimate. Why do you say I'm not? I asked permission,
permission was granted. I operated.

I don't know of
> any award program which sanctions operations from those not holding a
> valid license in the country of operation.

There is no government in Somalia, thus there are no valid Somalia licenses,
unless you want to consider some UN issued licenses Somalian. You must
accept the fact that Somalia is a paradox. I operated legally, yet I have
not provided the ARRL's DXCC desk with anything.

Are you still of the opinion that I took advantage of a poor country and
bootlegged a call?

You didn't have one but some
> guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one accepting your
> word that it was okay!
>

> Dave K8MN

Dave, you're almost a funny guy. Was your military commander in combat zone Vietnam just "some guy?" I'd think he was a little more influential over your activities than some khat selling technical at the K4 traffic circle.

42. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 16:21:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <z8rc9.48$In2.24911133@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51909

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > So, if I may, "US amateur radio is all about CW on HF for DXCC."
> > >
> > > ;^)
> > > Brian
> >
> > I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate op
> > from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you. I don't know of
> > any award program which sanctions operations from those not holding a
> > valid license in the country of operation. You didn't have one but some
> > guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one accepting your
> > word that it was okay!
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> . . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on 14.195 .
.
>
> w3rv

Kellie, why would you try to imagine that?

43. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 16:22:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <b9rc9.49$JO.18398416@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51910

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901073706.24715.00000603@mb-mj.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 9/1/02 5:59 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>
>
> >. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on 14.195 .
.
>
> The next time something "desireable" shows up there, BK, I think I
will do
> a bearing check. It would be like Burkie to do a Slim operation just to
tick
> off a lot of folks.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Stevie, I would never operate illegally.

44. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 16:27:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51911

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D719F6A.A9FB5096@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D703E9B.BB18AD2E@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:<3D6EB49D.B062472D@townsqr.com>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dick, just because you were AWOL on the Novice subbands...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yeh, just like Dave Heil was in violation for working foreign
> > stations who showed up in Dave's LEGAL
> > > > > > allocations.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dick, why do you keep bringing this up? I'm sure that Dave would
like
> > > > > to see the end of it. Just leave it alone.
> > > >
> > > > It doesn't bother Dave in the least. I'm not the one who looks silly
> > > > after each exchange with you, Brian. We can even go back to the
> > > > discussion on your operation from Somalia if you like.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > So was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you
and
> > Ed suggested?
>
> If you had no written permission to operate, it would seem that you had
> no valid authorization (and you've confirmed that you never had written
> permission to get on the air). Your operation would not be accepted for
> DXCC.
> I'll bet those you QSO'd were tickled pink with your worthless QSL card.
>
> Maybe you'll now be known as "Mogadishu Slim".
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around the question I
asked.
```

Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and Ed have accused?

Brian

45. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 16:32:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51912

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:

> > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some quasi-
> > government membership organization standing between me and
> > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > representative" in anything.
>
> You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> aren't a ham.

I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.

You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking for
comments.

46. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 17:42:04 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Mjsc9.224787$Aw4.9689530@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:un3a8333red7d2@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm just waiting for everyone to find out how useful 54-60 MHz is.
That
> would really be great! Antenna would not be as big as the 27 MHz
antenna
> and all the screaming would really be a hoot!

You want to compete with channel 2 TV in Detroit with their 250 KW
transmitter go right a head. But I'll bet nobody will even notice
you're on the air.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"It is difficult to make a man miserable while
he feels worthy of himself and claims
kindred to the great God who made
him."

Abraham Lincoln

47. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 17:52:50 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <lrk4nucost2s1m74ll5sdsth8f7ddrpk31@4ax.com>
Source record: 51914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland...

I'm hardly arguing for "freeband" anything. However, from a technical point of view, that 250kW is spread over 4.5 MHz. If you choose a narrowband chunk of that channel, and depending on how far you and the other guy are from the transmitter itself (square of the distance, remember?) you can very easily compete.

Jim

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->You want to compete with channel 2 TV in Detroit with their 250 KW
->transmitter go right a head. But I'll bet nobody will even notice
->you're on the air.

Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

48. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 17:59:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D727F9B.1010509@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51915

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>Subject: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/30/02 4:13 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020830171300.17339.00000039@mb-bh.aol.com>
>>
>
>>President Haynie doesn't call anyone
>>"Putz" or disparage another just because of an opposite opinion.
>>
>
> I don't call you a Putz because you have a differing opinion. I call you
> a putz because you have so steadfastly demonstrated that you are, indeed, a
> putz.
>
>
>>Traveling is over for a while, now it's time for all the 1x2s to get their
>>jollies by calling "civilians" names, explain how they are all so
>>superior to ordinary mortals by long tenure in a hobby radio activity.
>>
>
> You COULD have returned from your travels by NOT making insulting,
> obviously caustic comments, but you didn't.
>
> You COULD have returned by having made some comments of condolence on
> Dick, N0BK's untimely death. You didn't do THAT either.
>
> You COULD have come back by making some throughtful, well prepared and
> INFORMED comments on policy matters, but you didn't do that either.
>
> Welcome home, Putz.

Remember though Steve, we are talking about someone who sees good manners
as a sign of weakness. So how can you argue with a person when their
basic premise is wrong?

- Mike KB3EIA -

- Mike KB3EIA -

49. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 18:06:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901140631.02651.00000019@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 51916

In article <d22b54c3.0208250034.648136fb@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
>news:<20020824110253.15060.00002094@mb-cq.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>> >Date: 08/24/02 08:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <20020824080634.15779.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>
>>
>> >Getting a new Novice typically took three
>> >months (6-8 week delay between code test and written, 6-8 week delay
>between
>> >written and actual license, total time 12-16 weeks if you didn't fail
>> >anything).
>>
>> Took my Novice third week of September, 72, and was issued WN8OAH on
>27
>> October 72.
>>
>> First station was a Heathkit HR10B receiver and a 6146B crystal
>controlled
>> transmitter on 3720kHz.
>>
>> Sure miss those fun days!
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>
>Imagine what it was like twenty years earlier when I was WN3YIK. The
>"QSK system" was a ceramic knife switch nailed to the desk.

Ceramic? You had ceramic? All I had was a cheap plastic knife switch. DPDT.

>Yeah, it wuz fun.

Still is - old gear or new.

73 de Jim, N2EY

50. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 18:22:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <un4mog2on08h19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland, isn't it amazing how you can have a beautiful tv picture until you turn on your electric drill (or vacuum cleaner)? That inverse square law will getcha every time.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:Mjsc9.224787$Aw4.9689530@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:un3a8333red7d2@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'm just waiting for everyone to find out how useful 54-60 MHz is.
> That
> > would really be great! Antenna would not be as big as the 27 MHz
> antenna
> > and all the screaming would really be a hoot!
>
> You want to compete with channel 2 TV in Detroit with their 250 KW
> transmitter go right a head. But I'll bet nobody will even notice
> you're on the air.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
> --
> "It is difficult to make a man miserable while
> he feels worthy of himself and claims
> kindred to the great God who made
> him."
>
> Abraham Lincoln
>
>

51. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 18:33:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1rlw2i.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51918

On 31 Aug 2002 20:12:33 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:

>Another one you might hear on the airband is "November One". It's the
>nominal flagship of the FAA inhouse fleet. It's an old low slow DC-3
>which is used to survey FAA navaids mostly around the major airports.

Piloted, in the 60s and 70s, by someone we called "Vertical John".
When we got an interference complaint from the FAA Regional Office,
and tracked down and shut off the unshield 27 MHz heat sealer
throwing off harmonics into the navaid bands, the plane would
overfly the site to verify that the IX was gone and recertify the
navaid.

In the late 60s he would invite the FCC engineers who worked that
case to accompany him (the FAA Regional Electronics Branch Chief was
a former FCC field engineer who delighted in ramming it down our
throats that they had more expensive gadgets than we did) but after
a few rides we found out why he was called "Vertical John" and
politely demurred on the offers.

Yes, the DC-3 CAN be flown like an F-102 in the proper hands.....

>But it did have the ultimate U.S. side number and callsign.

As I found out in am inquiry a while back, the "N' number" is NOT
a call sign. The FCC Rules and other similar regs pertaining to US
government operations provide that the FAA Registration Number
("tail number") may be used IN LIEU of a five-letter aircraft call
sign.

Other alternatives are the carrier name and flight number for
air carrier a/c and the Federal agency/service name and assigned
number (such as Navy 369). For reference purposes these alternatives
are deliniated in Part 87 of the FCC Rules.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

52. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:10:24 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0209011110.4e9a68e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Corn Dog" <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com> wrote in message
news:<akr6ff$f4a$0@pita.alt.net>...
> Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
> Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
> Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
> Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
> Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!

As long as they stay down there on 28015 khz and keep away from 28015
mhz, everything should be ok. Dunno how they're gonna get those CB's
down that low in frequency, though.

53. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:11:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901151157.06796.00000041@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51923

In article <20020901031022.03119.00000585@mb-cs.aol.com>, someone writes:

>>A problem there is the use of an excerpt to attempt proving a point.
>> Here is the entire letter content:
>
> Nice cut and paste. Also proves Hans' point.

Brakob's point is NOT made, was NOT made before, even if another
"defends" him.

The actual point was that the FCC defended an individual licensed
radio amateur from becoming a victim of a local power utility.

That should be a very obvious Good Thing for amateur radio in
general. Many don't care to see it that way but prefer to use a
part of that communication for their own personal ends in some
pointless message word war.

>>The word "service" used throughout Title 47 CFR is a regulatory
>> term denoting a type and kind of radio transmitting activity.
>
> That's YOUR interpretation. The FCC see's it differently.

No. It is the definition as used by the FCC.

You may consult Part 1, Title 47 CFR, for confirmation.

Among some other radio SERVICES regulated by the FCC are:

Citizens Band Radio SERVICE,
Radio Control Radio SERVICE,
Experimental Radio SERVICE,
Cellular Radiotelephone SERVICE,
Public Mobile SERVICES

[word service made in capitals to emphasize use]

> It IS a noble venture. The SELFLESS devotion of thousands of volunteers
>who routinely give of themselves for the SERVICE of others is well documented
>and a matter of American history.

What is "well documented" in US history is the selfless devotion of
many more volunteers who have absolutely no connection with
amateur radio. It is a mark of good citizenship to selflessly
volunteer or even serve the community and nation. No amateur
radio license is required.

The FCC, the only agency in the USA that grants amateur radio licenses, does not define amateur radio as "selfless devotion by volunteers" for any kind of non-radio service in Part 97.1.

> Sorry the FACTS screw up yor rants, Your Putziness. It's in the Federal
>Register.

Which edition of the Federal Register? State your reference in more detail.

Avoid the personal insult to make your case.

> Welcome home, Your Putziness. I see the vileness and vulgarity didn't
>wear off on the road.

Avoid the personal insult to make your case.

54. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:11:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901151158.06796.00000043@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51920

In article <20020901080646.02651.00000009@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>bottom line, we'll never know nor does it make any difference
>>at this point.
>
>'zactly. I'm all for a return to secret tests given by FCC examiners, but I
>don't see that happening anytime soon, or even anytime at all. We've had the
>VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is obviously satisfied
that
>it does the job adequately enough. Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
>convince FCC different?

Write your district director...

Beep, beep.

55. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:11:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901151158.06796.00000042@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51922

In article <akte0c$o04$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>If we believe
>the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
>changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
>try and convince the FCC of anything. If we think the
>VEC tesing should be ended or changed to the extent
>that the change requires FCC action, then we need to identify
>WHY and HOW...and then convince the FCC.
>
>I believe the VEC system has accomplished the same
>goals as when the FCC did it. Is it perfect? No, but
>abuses and or shortcomings appear to be minimal at
>worst. I think there's room for test question
>imrovement within the context of the VEC process
>today (e.g. more variables in formula questions).
>
>What's your viewpoint?

Present-day US amateur regulations require a minimum
of 10 questions for every required question in the VEC
pool. The FCC doesn't state any maximum.

Since the VEC Q&A pool is easily transported electronically,
they could generate more than 10 times the minimum
number of questions. Enlarging the Q&A pool will reduce
the possibility of "memorizing all" of the questions-answers
without changing the number of questions on the written
test itself.

56. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:11:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901151159.06796.00000044@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51921

In article <rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Dave K8MN
>
>Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around the question I
>asked.
>
>Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
>Ed have accused?

Brian, if you simply oppose certain newsgroup regular's viewpoints,
you will be guilty of far stronger badness than mere bootlegging
charges.

There is no hope for much rational discussion on any subject in here
without incurring the rage and wrath of individuals such as K8MN,
W0EX, and (lately) W1RFI.

57. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:12:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901151200.06796.00000045@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51924

In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some quasi-
>> > government membership organization standing between me and
>> > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
>> > representative" in anything.
>>
>> You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
>> aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
>> aren't a ham.
>
>I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.

Not among those to whom amateur radio has become a Life Style.

ARRL is not a government agency or organization. The FCC is.
The FCC regulates all of US civil radio services, not just amateur
radio.

ARRL pretends it's an agency and "representative" of amateur
radio when it is merely a membership organization. It has yet
to gain a membership total greater than a quarter of all licensed
US radio amateurs and is therefore a minority organization. It
"represents" a group that is less than half of a minimum majority.

>You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking for
>comments.

K8MN thinks so but the FCC accepts comments from all citizens
on all US radio services. He pretends to be judge-advocate of all
amateur radio matters, of whom shall be entitled to commentary
on regulations that apply to all US citizens. He has no such legal
official authorization but has taken it upon himself to award himself
such a title.

Everyone must agree with K8MN's viewpoints or be subject to
personal insult and denigration. In other venues this would be
called dictatorship. In here he thinks that is called "good amateur
practice."

58. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:30:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3D724B82.8FB3C887@mailcity.com>
Source record: 51925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

2LD751 wrote:
>
> "Corn Dog" <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com> wrote in message
news:<akr6ff$f4a$0@pita.alt.net>...
> > Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
> > Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
> > Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
> > Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
> > Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!
>
> As long as they stay down there on 28015 khz and keep away from 28015
> mhz, everything should be ok. Dunno how they're gonna get those CB's
> down that low in frequency, though.

28015 khz = 28.015 mhz

59. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:41:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901154127.00497.00000447@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 51926

Mike Coslo:

Is the following quote what you consider "good amateur practice" and
"strength?"

==========

In article <20020901073324.24715.00000602@mb-mj.aol.com>, someone writes:

> This is cute. His Scumminess, well known for disparging Amateurs for
>allegedly "living in the past", asserting that his "expertness" is based upon
>his experiences "in the past".
>
> Does the term "two faced" ring a bell, anyone?
>
> Kinda like your application of the terms "jackbooted thug", "elitist",
>"Nazi", etc when someone doesn't tow YOUR line, Lennie?
>
> I would "demand" that you provide a single, valid quote of ANYONE in this
>NG who has espoused such unwavering beliefs, but you are already reknowned for
>your lack of facts and even more reknowned for your swipe and duck tactics,
>ergo this is already dismissed as foolish and unfounded.
>
> A weak attempt by an uninformed putz.
>
> Oh? Both former members of the field organization and present employees
>of the ARRL ahve made assertions which clearly make your assertion
>foolhearty, at best...
>
> WE (those who are licensed and active in the Amateur radio Service) have
>much to discuss, and do so regularly.
>
> YOU are the one with nothing to discuss, Lennie. Only blatant lies,
>mistruths, and colorful fictional scenarios presented with the intent to
>confuse or otherwise mislead those who don't know any beter.
>
> Shame on you, Mr Professional Engineer. (yeah...right...)
>
> Not in the least. If you have something constructive or critical to say,
say it.
>
> YOU on the otherhand are only vile, deceptive and vengeful.
>
> It will not "change" as long as people who have no vested interest and
>informed opinion insist on forcing themselves on people who know

better...Kinda
>like you, Lennie. YOU are the very epitome of the online antagonist...the
>"corporate know-it-all" who insists that they and they alone have
>enlightenment.
>
>
> Lennie to English translation: As long as I can get on here and do what
I
>want with impunity, I will harass anyone I care to.
>
> And he does. The Ultimate Putziness.
>
> Lennie's way of considering himself to be immortal.
>
> In other words, if you can't stand the aggressively malodorus bile spewed
>upon you by lying ctreeps like Lennie...tough.
>
> Bully to the end.
>
> Moderated groups scare the hell out of liars and antagonists like Leonard
>H. Anderson. It would REALLY spell the end of his reign as King of the News
>Group Dungheap.
>
>
> No doubt from drooled pablum or used as diapers while glued to his seat
in
>an attempt to spin the ultimate "gotcha" in Amateur Radio newsgroups.
>
> And as opposed to YOUR tone of voice in EVERY post, Lennie, this is
>different HOW?????
>
> Now Lennie is the mind reader! Tell us Lennie, tell us ALL ABOUT the
>Silent Majority, teeming and just waiting to take up arms in your ranks of
>the unwashed masses!
>
> ROTMFFLMMFAO ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> THE ULTIMATE PUTZ IS NOW A MIND READER!
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> Then you understand why I refuse to kowtow to your personal version of
>hatred, lies and distortions of truth, Lennie. Good. You now have NO reason
to
>dismiss any of my assertions about you anymore.
>
> Much like your unyielding railings against Amateur Radio and Amateur
Radio
>operators, Lennie? Is that all we are to you? A whipping post for your
>personal frustrations and failings? It sure appears that way.

>
> Oooops...Guess I was right.
>
> Not as vicious as the assertions of "professionalism" by one
>self-proclaimed electronics "expert" who can't sned the right file in e mail,
>huh Lennie? Mine was direct, honest and to the point.
>
> You simply lied. No problem. We've come to expect it. And you don't
let
>us down.
>
> A corrollary to your "Rear Area Widget Claiming Hero By Association
>Status", Lennie? First you hide behind the mortal sacrifices of soldiers who
>died three years before you were even in the service, now you are claiming
that
>the silent majority will rally to your cause and carry your opinions as some
>sort of rallying chant...???
>
>BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>AHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> WHAT A RIP!
>
> The truth, coming from "lenover21" would be original, Lennie. Try it some
day!
>
> Thankfully, Lennie, we can safely say you ARE in a league of your own.
>A Putz of One.
>
> Usually all three. But you forgot "lying", which is your most frequent
vice.
>
> How can one man be so ugly as a person, Lennie?
>
> Sucks to be you, I guess.

==========

60. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:50:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aktr3h$5kk$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51927

I totally agree with the coded segment. Only one comment...space the
letters more. Makes for an easier read.

I didn't realize how tough reading the code is when its written down. Guess
I actually did learn it the 'right way'.

Back to the 'subject'.....your both right. In some area's Ham radio is
dying. In others its not. Lets hope the two equal out.

And as for the bootlegging buttheads on ten meters. How come you all run
away when I dump a KW in CW mode on you?

Dan/W4NTI

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D715649.EC3B9CAC@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> >
> > ..._ ._. ._ ... _ ..._ ._ _ . .. _.. _._. _... . ._. .-.-.-
> >
> > *Some* of the hams may be no codes. Fellas, don't tell this jerk what
the
> > above phrase says.
> > Let's see if he has any business making fun of no coders.
>
> LOL!!

61. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 19:57:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aktrennra1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51928

Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive licensing on the hams? Well they most certainly did.

I was first licensed in 1961. I had my novice 3 months and then received the General. 13WPM and test in front of the FCC. I had just turned 14.

In about 1963 or so I started hearing via QST rumblings about a way to 'improve ham radio'. Later to be called incentive licensing.

In 1964 I left ham radio to join the military. This eventually ended my stateside activity totally in 1965 and I shipped over to South East Asia.

In mid 1968 I returned home briefly on my way back over to Asia and fired up my little CW station on 40 meters. 7.010 was the only crystal I could find. And received a little note from the FCC saying I should not be on that freq. I was somewhat confused so I called the issuing office. I was then informed of the incentive licensing coming into effect. The guy asked me how it was I hadnt known about it. I told him I was in Vietnam and missed the news. We both had a chuckle and I promised not to operate there until I upgraded.

From then until the mid 70s I stayed mad.

Yes, I lived it.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:RNbc9.28$Oc1.2697377@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020831163635.04751.00000473@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > In article <Vs6c9.34$pL6.3174492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke"
> > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >> Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive
> licensing
> > >in
> > >> 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of
> same.
> > >>
> > >> Dick
> > >
> > >Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history.
> >
> > I "lived the history", too. Doesn't mean Dick, Dan or I are right or
wron

> about
> > the facts.
>
> It means one of you is. You can't all be right or wrong.
>
> > >Why would he need Jim to play it back for him?
> >
> > Perhaps he does not have all the relevant facts.
> >
> > >Where were you when you lost your priveleges?
> >
> > I was a 14 year old newly minted Advanced who had to wait almost two
years
> to
> > even try the Extra.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Was that a good thing?
>
>

62. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 20:05:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <un4spvsmrjeo32@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51931

Phil, your story brings memories. Years ago, the company I work for in Rochester, NY, had a plant at Elmgrove. They also had one BIG NASTY heat sealer which a few of the folks on the floor tried to tell them they had a problem with (problem being defined as when the sealer was operating, you could draw a small arc with a lead pencil if nearby). Of course, management ignored the concerns. Until some folks showed up (Rochester-Monroe County airport was located only about 4 miles away) - funny, they weren't particularly friendly and they weren't selling candy either. Didn't someone say the Friendly Candy Company was on premises?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - the heat sealer was shut down.

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1rlw2i.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 31 Aug 2002 20:12:33 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >Another one you might hear on the airband is "November One". It's the
> >nominal flagship of the FAA inhouse fleet. It's an old low slow DC-3
> >which is used to survey FAA navaids mostly around the major airports.
>
> Piloted, in the 60s and 70s, by someone we called "Vertical John".
> When we got an interference complaint from the FAA Regional Office,
> and tracked down and shut off the unshield 27 MHz heat sealer
> throwing off harmonics into the navaid bands, the plane would
> overfly the site to verify that the IX was gone and recertify the
> navaid.
>
> In the late 60s he would invite the FCC engineers who worked that
> case to accompany him (the FAA Regional Electronics Branch Chief was
> a former FCC field engineer who delighted in ramming it down our
> throats that they had more expensive gadgets than we did) but after
> a few rides we found out why he was called "Vertical John" and
> politely demurred on the offers.
>
> Yes, the DC-3 CAN be flown like an F-102 in the proper hands.....
>
> >But it did have the ultimate U.S. side number and callsign.
>
> As I found out in am inquiry a while back, the "N' number" is NOT
> a call sign. The FCC Rules and other similar regs pertaining to US
> government operations provide that the FAA Registration Number
> ("tail number") may be used IN LIEU of a five-letter aircraft call
> sign.

>
> Other alternatives are the carrier name and flight number for
> air carrier a/c and the Federal agency/service name and assigned
> number (such as Navy 369). For reference purposes these alternatives
> are deliniated in Part 87 of the FCC Rules.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

63. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 20:06:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901160650.11404.00000424@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 51929

In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.

Where do you get that idea?

>You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking for >comments.

Nope. Anyone interested, licensed or not, can comment.

But why would someone who obviously has no interest in being a part of the ARS comment - unless they want to damage or destroy the ARS?

73 de Jim, N2EY

64. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 20:06:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901160650.11404.00000423@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 51930

```
In article <akte0c$o04$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020901080646.02651.00000009@mb-cc.aol.com...
>> In article <aks8ps$bl2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20020831122301.11404.00000400@mb-ct.aol.com...
>> >> In article <akmcob$is7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> >> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>> >>
>> >> > however, the FCC deemed
>> >> >it approprate to NOT test or otherwise risk
>> >> >the validity with Mr. Bash (IMHO). We'll never know exactly
>> >> >why, but in failing to do so, there can be a presumption
>> >> >that the FCC thought the case would be lost. You are,
>> >> >of course, free to have other opinions. In the end it
>> >> >makes no difference since the FCC defaulted on the point
>> >> >and thus rendered the "law" noot anyway.
>> >>
>> >> Perhaps the FCC thought the case would be lost. But it seems to me that
>a
>> >far
>> >> more reasonable possibility is a combination of several factors:
>> >>
>> >> - FCC top dogs didn't want to bother
>> >> - FCC was internally considering something like the VEC system
>> >> - Action against Bash would have admitted that his books were accurate
>> >copies
>> >> of the real tests, and shown how few versions of the real tests
>actually
>> >> existed.
>> >
>> >Well we can toss a few beers discussing all the ifs and maybes....
>>
>> Sounds good to me, Bill. One of these days we will cross paths at a
>hamfest.

Maybe we could buy W1RFI a lobster...
>>
>> >bottom line, we'll never know nor does it make any difference
>> >at this point.
>>
```

>> 'zactly. I'm all for a return to secret tests given by FCC examiners, but
>I
>> don't see that happening anytime soon, or even anytime at all. We've had
>the
>> VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is obviously satisfied
>that
>> it does the job adequately enough. Whether that's true or not, how can
>anyone
>> convince FCC different?
>
>The ability to convince the FCC of any testing position involves
>first defining the issue and then attempting to apply
>reality as to current results, etc.

Agreed.
>
>You stated: "We've had the
>VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is
>obviously satisfied that it does the job adequately enough."

Yup.

>I believe that is true, but the first question is to us...Do WE
>believe the VEC system has satisfactorily done the job?
>I do, you may not. Let's answer that first.

First let me say that the VEC and QPC folks have done an amazing amount of work
- and all of it as unpaid volunteers.

But they are constrained by the system itself. The Q&A pool cannot be secret
under the VEC/QPC system - it's just not practical.
>
>You then said: "Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
>convince FCC different?"

Exactly.

>If we believe
>the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
>changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
>try and convince the FCC of anything.

Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.

>If we think the
>VEC tesing should be ended or changed to the extent
>that the change requires FCC action, then we need to identify
>WHY and HOW...and then convince the FCC.

Yup.
>
>I believe the VEC system has accomplished the same
>goals as when the FCC did it. Is it perfect? No, but

>abuses and or shortcomings appear to be minimal at
>worst. I think there's room for test question
>imrovement within the context of the VEC process
>today (e.g. more variables in formula questions).
>
>What's your viewpoint?

I think that license testing, and test generation, should be the FCC's job, not the job of unpaid volunteers. I think that having a published Q&A pool makes the testing process less indicative of the true knowledge of the person being tested, compared to a testing process where the same pool is kept secret.

But I don't know any argument that would convince the FCC to take over the testing process again.

73 de Jim, N2EY

65. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 20:17:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EBuc9.224$4f4.11152512@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51932

Wow, I guess I'm just numb to it all. That and I don't read much of it anymore - it all reads the same.

Brian

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020901154127.00497.00000447@mb-cd.aol.com...
>
> Mike Coslo:
>
> Is the following quote what you consider "good amateur practice" and
> "strength?"
>
> ==
>
> In article <20020901073324.24715.00000602@mb-mj.aol.com>, someone writes:
>
> > This is cute. His Scumminess, well known for disparging Amateurs for
> >allegedly "living in the past", asserting that his "expertness" is based upon
> >his experiences "in the past".
> >
> > Does the term "two faced" ring a bell, anyone?
> >
> > Kinda like your application of the terms "jackbooted thug", "elitist",
> >"Nazi", etc when someone doesn't tow YOUR line, Lennie?
> >
> > I would "demand" that you provide a single, valid quote of ANYONE in this
> >NG who has espoused such unwavering beliefs, but you are already reknowned for
> >your lack of facts and even more reknowned for your swipe and duck tactics,
> >ergo this is already dismissed as foolish and unfounded.
> >
> > A weak attempt by an uninformed putz.
> >
> > Oh? Both former members of the field organization and present employees
> >of the ARRL ahve made assertions which clearly make your assertion
> >foolhearty, at best...
> >
> > WE (those who are licensed and active in the Amateur radio Service)

have
> >much to discuss, and do so regularly.
> >
> > YOU are the one with nothing to discuss, Lennie. Only blatant lies,
> >mistruths, and colorful fictional scenarios presented with the intent to
> >confuse or otherwise mislead those who don't know any beter.
> >
> > Shame on you, Mr Professional Engineer. (yeah...right...)
> >
> > Not in the least. If you have something constructive or critical to
say,
> say it.
> >
> > YOU on the otherhand are only vile, deceptive and vengeful.
> >
> > It will not "change" as long as people who have no vested interest
and
> >informed opinion insist on forcing themselves on people who know
> better...Kinda
> >like you, Lennie. YOU are the very epitome of the online
antagonist...the
> >"corporate know-it-all" who insists that they and they alone have
> >enlightenment.
> >
> >
> > Lennie to English translation: As long as I can get on here and do
what
> I
> >want with impunity, I will harass anyone I care to.
> >
> > And he does. The Ultimate Putziness.
> >
> > Lennie's way of considering himself to be immortal.
> >
> > In other words, if you can't stand the aggressively malodorus bile
spewed
> >upon you by lying ctreeps like Lennie...tough.
> >
> > Bully to the end.
> >
> > Moderated groups scare the hell out of liars and antagonists like
Leonard
> >H. Anderson. It would REALLY spell the end of his reign as King of the
News
> >Group Dungheap.
> >
> >
> > No doubt from drooled pablum or used as diapers while glued to his
seat
> in

> >an attempt to spin the ultimate "gotcha" in Amateur Radio newsgroups.
> >
> > And as opposed to YOUR tone of voice in EVERY post, Lennie, this is
> >different HOW?????
> >
> > Now Lennie is the mind reader! Tell us Lennie, tell us ALL ABOUT the
> >Silent Majority, teeming and just waiting to take up arms in your ranks
of
> >the unwashed masses!
> >
> > ROTMFFLMMFAO ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > THE ULTIMATE PUTZ IS NOW A MIND READER!
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
> >
> > Then you understand why I refuse to kowtow to your personal version
of
> >hatred, lies and distortions of truth, Lennie. Good. You now have NO
reason
> to
> >dismiss any of my assertions about you anymore.
> >
> > Much like your unyielding railings against Amateur Radio and Amateur
> Radio
> >operators, Lennie? Is that all we are to you? A whipping post for your
> >personal frustrations and failings? It sure appears that way.
> >
> > Oooops...Guess I was right.
> >
> > Not as vicious as the assertions of "professionalism" by one
> >self-proclaimed electronics "expert" who can't sned the right file in e
mail,
> >huh Lennie? Mine was direct, honest and to the point.
> >
> > You simply lied. No problem. We've come to expect it. And you
don't
> let
> >us down.
> >
> > A corrollary to your "Rear Area Widget Claiming Hero By Association
> >Status", Lennie? First you hide behind the mortal sacrifices of soldiers
who
> >died three years before you were even in the service, now you are
claiming
> that
> >the silent majority will rally to your cause and carry your opinions as
some
> >sort of rallying chant...???
> >

>
>BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> >AHAHAHA ! ! ! !
> >
> > WHAT A RIP!
> >
> > The truth, coming from "lenover21" would be original, Lennie. Try it
some
> day!
> >
> > Thankfully, Lennie, we can safely say you ARE in a league of your
own.
> >A Putz of One.
> >
> > Usually all three. But you forgot "lying", which is your most
frequent
> vice.
> >
> > How can one man be so ugly as a person, Lennie?
> >
> > Sucks to be you, I guess.
>
> ==

66. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 20:22:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bGuc9.231$Tj4.11426957@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51933

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901160650.11404.00000423@mb-ct.aol.com...
> In article <akte0c$o04$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

> >If we believe
> >the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
> >changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
> >try and convince the FCC of anything.
>
> Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.

You're kidding, right?

All I hear from the PCTA is that w/o the code exam you might as well give
the licenses away as a prize in a Cracker Jacks box.

Now its not broke?

67. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 20:33:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NQuc9.236$en4.11627669@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51934

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901151159.06796.00000044@mb-cq.aol.com...
> In article <rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around the
question I
> > >asked.
> > >
> > >Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
> > >Ed have accused?
>
> Brian, if you simply oppose certain newsgroup regular's viewpoints,
> you will be guilty of far stronger badness than mere bootlegging
> charges.
>
> There is no hope for much rational discussion on any subject in here
> without incurring the rage and wrath of individuals such as K8MN,
> W0EX, and (lately) W1RFI.

Len, don't forget w3rv, K3LT, and K4YZ.

68. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 20:34:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3D725A76.76F9CD4A@mailcity.com>
Source record: 51935

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20020901033016.03119.00000587@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Mr. Gene Roliff, WA8TPO. One of the Science/Math teachers of my High
> >School. A very wise and sharing man.
>
> What I'm getting at is that the old Novice process was a 2 step thing. First
> you did the code, then the volunteer examiner sent away for the written. Then
> you waited for the license or the failure letter.

That is correct, that is how I obtained my Novice ticket. It was
good for one year and non-renewable, so you either upgraded before
it expired or went off the air. Novices were limited to 75 watts
input to the final amplifier and had to use crystal control, no
vfo's.

> >
> >>Most vintage equipment can still be used on the air today. The dream rig of
> >>my
> >>Novice days was the Heath HW-16.

My first rig was a Heath DX-60 and Lafayette (sp?) KT-200 general
coverage receiver. I had two crystals for the 40 meter Novice
band, I remember one was 7.170.
When operating you had to first check your crystal frequencie to
see if anyone else was using that frequency, if not then you
called a 3 by 3 cq. Call cq three times, give you call three
times, repeat two more times. Then you listened for a reply by
scanning the band listening for your call sign, since it would not
be likely that another Novice would have the same crystal
frequencies you did.
After gaining my Conditional ticket, which if you lived over IIRC
125 miles from the nearest FCC examining office, you took by mail
like the Novice, I added the HG-10 VFO to the rig. The Conditional
granted the same privileges as the General.

69. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 20:39:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6Wuc9.239$2o4.11679877@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51936

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901151200.06796.00000045@mb-cq.aol.com...
> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
quasi-
> >> > government membership organization standing between me and
> >> > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> >> > representative" in anything.
> >>
> >> You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> >> aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> >> aren't a ham.
> >
> >I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
>
> Not among those to whom amateur radio has become a Life Style.

Oh please, not another alternative lifestyle?

> ARRL is not a government agency or organization. The FCC is.
> The FCC regulates all of US civil radio services, not just amateur
> radio.
>
> ARRL pretends it's an agency and "representative" of amateur
> radio when it is merely a membership organization. It has yet
> to gain a membership total greater than a quarter of all licensed
> US radio amateurs and is therefore a minority organization. It
> "represents" a group that is less than half of a minimum majority.
>
> >You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking
for
> >comments.
>
> K8MN thinks so but the FCC accepts comments from all citizens
> on all US radio services. He pretends to be judge-advocate of all
> amateur radio matters, of whom shall be entitled to commentary
> on regulations that apply to all US citizens. He has no such legal
> official authorization but has taken it upon himself to award himself

> such a title.

Perhaps he has been authorized by his commander to be the official FCC judge advocate on amateur matters?

> Everyone must agree with K8MN's viewpoints or be subject to
> personal insult and denigration. In other venues this would be
> called dictatorship. In here he thinks that is called "good amateur
> practice."

The very best. At least amongst Cronies.

70. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 20:43:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tZuc9.241$ap4.11744314@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51937

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901160650.11404.00000424@mb-ct.aol.com...
> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
>
> Where do you get that idea?
>
> >You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking
for
> >comments.
>
> Nope. Anyone interested, licensed or not, can comment.
>
> But why would someone who obviously has no interest in being a part of the
ARS
> comment - unless they want to damage or destroy the ARS?

Why do you think so negatively? Why damage or destroy?

Why not uplift the ARS?

Remove arbitrariness from the licensing and priveleges?

Remove from it the shackles of mandatory Morse/Farnsworth testing?

Improve the question pool?

71. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 21:34:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7287AB.87065AC7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51938

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > So, if I may, "US amateur radio is all about CW on HF for DXCC."
> > >
> > > ;^)
> > > Brian
> >
> > I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate op
> > from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you. I don't know of
> > any award program which sanctions operations from those not holding a
> > valid license in the country of operation. You didn't have one but some
> > guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one accepting your
> > word that it was okay!
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> . . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on 14.195 . .
>
> w3rv

I'm still trying to erase the mental movie where he spends most of his
on-air time answering questions about the place: color of the sand,
depth of the sand, crops which will grow in sand, etc. The rate would
have been further slowed by his pauses between QSOs to check whether the
station calling him was operating in his allocated band segment.

Imagine what his response might have been to a request for a CW contact.

He's a DXer, don'tcha know?

Dave K8MN

72. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 21:43:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7289D3.4A3F8136@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51939

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020831152102.01003.00000093@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > > >
> > > > > No matter. The regulars in here remain steadfast in defining
> > > > > US amateur radio as "CW on HF for DX" and that is that. :-)
> > > >
> > > > Len, let's modify that a wee little bit.
> > > >
> > > > Its been suggested that simply working or being DX isn't enough. An
> entry
> > > > in a log book isn't any good. A card on the wall isn't any good.
> You've
> > > > got to get it all blessed by the ARRL's DXCC desk. All DX must be
> > > > sanctioned through the ARRL's DXCC desk, or it just isn't DX.
> > > >
> > > > So, if I may, "US amateur radio is all about CW on HF for DXCC."
> > > >
> > > > ;^)
> > > > Brian
> > >
> > > I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate op
> > > from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you.
> >
> > Certainly I'm legitimate. Why do you say I'm not? I asked permission,
> > permission was granted. I operated.

Oh, I believe your parents married. Why do I say your operation from
Somalia isn't kosher?--Because you don't have anything which says
permission to operate an amateur radio station was granted. How do you
go about proving that you had permission?

> I don't know of
> > any award program which sanctions operations from those not holding a
> > valid license in the country of operation.
> >
> > There is no government in Somalia, thus there are no valid Somalia licenses,
> > unless you want to consider some UN issued licenses Somalian. You must
> > accept the fact that Somalia is a paradox. I operated legally, yet I have
> > not provided the ARRL's DXCC desk with anything.

If your miilitary commander had provided written permission like that issued by the United Nations, you'd have been operating legally. You operated on some guy's verbal authorization. You don't have anything to provide to the DXCC desk.

> Are you still of the opinion that I took advantage of a poor country and
> bootlegged a call?
>
> You didn't have one but some
> > guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one accepting your
> > word that it was okay!

> Dave, you're almost a funny guy. Was your military commander in combat zone
> Vietnam just "some guy?" I'd think he was a little more influential over
> your activities than some khat selling technical at the K4 traffic circle.

There was no amateur radio operation permitted by the military in Vietnam. I know of two legal stations, both operated by American civilians during my time in country. My military commander did not have the authority to permit me to operate on the ham bands. I don't have any written permission either.

Dave K8MN

73. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 21:45:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D728A4D.8822116B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51940

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020901073706.24715.00000603@mb-mj.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > >Date: 9/1/02 5:59 AM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on 14.195 .
> .
> >
> > The next time something "desireable" shows up there, BK, I think I
> will do
> > a bearing check. It would be like Burkie to do a Slim operation just to
> tick
> > off a lot of folks.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Stevie, I would never operate illegally.

It looks as if you already have during at least one period. Is it
dawning on you that you have no way of proving that your operation was
authorized?

Dave K8MN

74. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 21:47:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D728ACA.B9297FE4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51941

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D719F6A.A9FB5096@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D703E9B.BB18AD2E@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:<3D6EB49D.B062472D@townsqr.com>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dick, just because you were AWOL on the Novice subbands...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yeh, just like Dave Heil was in violation for working foreign
> > > stations who showed up in Dave's LEGAL
> > > > > > > allocations.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Dick, why do you keep bringing this up? I'm sure that Dave would
> like
> > > > > > to see the end of it. Just leave it alone.
> > > > > >
> > > > > It doesn't bother Dave in the least. I'm not the one who looks silly
> > > > > after each exchange with you, Brian. We can even go back to the
> > > > > discussion on your operation from Somalia if you like.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > So was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you
> and
> > > Ed suggested?
> >
> > If you had no written permission to operate, it would seem that you had
> > no valid authorization (and you've confirmed that you never had written
> > permission to get on the air). Your operation would not be accepted for
> > DXCC.
> > I'll bet those you QSO'd were tickled pink with your worthless QSL card.
> >
> > Maybe you'll now be known as "Mogadishu Slim".

> Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around the question I
> asked.
```

>
> Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
> Ed have accused?

I don't know about your taking advantage, Brian. I never accused you of
"taking advantage". As to bootlegging, it surely is looking that way.
What proof of authorization to operate from Somalia do you hold?

Dave K8MN

75. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 21:50:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D728B7B.F59DE915@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51942

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some quasi-
> > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > representative" in anything.
> >
> > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > aren't a ham.
>
> I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.

It is worth as much as it ever was. Citizens are still permitted to
test for a radio amateur license. Len hasn't taken advantage of the
opportunities afforded by his citizenship. The failure to act is his.

> You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking for
> comments.

He commented. What is the FCC's obligation to give credence to those
comments?

Dave K8MN

76. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 21:58:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D728D4F.4192FB6C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51943

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around the question I
> > >asked.
> > >
> > >Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
> > >Ed have accused?
>
> Brian, if you simply oppose certain newsgroup regular's viewpoints,
> you will be guilty of far stronger badness than mere bootlegging
> charges.

Uhhhhhhhh Len--Brian's already stated that he holds no written
permission to operate from Somalia. Mere bootlegging, despite your
attempted misdirection *is* the issue being discussed. You'll likely be
unhappy that morse testing hasn't been brought up.

> There is no hope for much rational discussion on any subject in here
> without incurring the rage and wrath of individuals such as K8MN,
> W0EX, and (lately) W1RFI.

I'd think you'd realize that you have little ammunition for a rational
discussion of amateur radio, Len. You aren't a ham and you aren't
civil. You're pretty much the newsgroup equivalent of static.

Dave K8MN

77. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 22:04:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51944

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> >> > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some quasi-
> >> > government membership organization standing between me and
> >> > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> >> > representative" in anything.
> >>
> >> You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> >> aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> >> aren't a ham.
> > >
> > >I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
> >
> > Not among those to whom amateur radio has become a Life Style.

I do other things, Len. I am interested in current events. I play the
guitar. I garden. I read. What about those non-radio amateurs who spend
large amounts of time posting to an amateur radio newsgroup? How do
they stack up?

> ARRL is not a government agency or organization. The FCC is.
> The FCC regulates all of US civil radio services, not just amateur
> radio.

We all knew that and this newsgroup doesn't deal with all 0f US civil
radio services.

> ARRL pretends it's an agency and "representative" of amateur
> radio when it is merely a membership organization.

Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.

> It has yet
> to gain a membership total greater than a quarter of all licensed
> US radio amateurs and is therefore a minority organization. It
> "represents" a group that is less than half of a minimum majority.

The ARRL is the largest amateur radio organization, by far, in the
United States.

> >You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking for
> >comments.
>
> K8MN thinks so but the FCC accepts comments from all citizens
> on all US radio services. He pretends to be judge-advocate of all
> amateur radio matters, of whom shall be entitled to commentary
> on regulations that apply to all US citizens. He has no such legal
> official authorization but has taken it upon himself to award himself
> such a title.

Prove that K8MN thinks so. Prove that he pretends to be
judge-advocate. Prove that he has awarded himself the title "Judge
Advocate of all amateur radio matters".

> Everyone must agree with K8MN's viewpoints or be subject to
> personal insult and denigration. In other venues this would be
> called dictatorship. In here he thinks that is called "good amateur
> practice."

What happens to those to don't agree with Leonard H. Anderson's
viewpoints?

Dave K8MN

78. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 22:07:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901180701.02651.00000022@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 51945

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals
on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of August 31, 2002:

Novice - 36,884 (decrease of 12,445)
Tech - 243,523 (increase of 38,129)
Tech Plus - 76,588 (decrease of 52,669)
General - 138,837 (increase of 26,160)
Advanced - 84,726 (decrease of 15,056)
Extra - 102,670 (increase of 23,920)
Total - 682,831 (increase of 8,039)

73 de Jim, N2EY

79. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 22:49:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D72C397.1090608@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51946

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020901160650.11404.00000423@mb-ct.aol.com...
>
>>In article <akte0c$o04$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>><billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>
>>>If we believe
>>>the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
>>>changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
>>>try and convince the FCC of anything.
>>>
>>Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.
>>
>
> You're kidding, right?
>
> All I hear from the PCTA is that w/o the code exam you might as well give
> the licenses away as a prize in a Cracker Jacks box.
>
> Now its not broke?

You've made a strawman, Brian, PCTA'ers don't share all the same opinions. I support code testing, yet I never thought the VE system was broken or even bent. FCC or VE, I don't care. I don't see where one is better than the other. I suppose if I had to choose, It would be the VE's, since they don't use tax money.

- Mike KB3EIA -

80. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 23:13:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D72C949.9060702@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51947

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Mike Coslo:
>
> Is the following quote what you consider "good amateur practice" and
> "strength?"

<a bunch of stuff from Steve snipped>

It is neither good amateur practice, nor is an example of strength.

I do disagree with the content of his replies to you. I believe I noted this to him at some point in the past. I cannot control him of course, nor do I want to. On the ham bands I would be shocked and chagrined to hear him talking like that.

I also am a firm believer that good manners should never be interpreted as weakness.

But somehow, I can disagree with him, and it doesn't turn into warfare on the web.

- Mike KB3EIA -

81. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 23:46:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EFxc9.450$kC1.212@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51948

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D728A4D.8822116B@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020901073706.24715.00000603@mb-mj.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> > > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > > >Date: 9/1/02 5:59 AM Central Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > > >. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on
14.195 .
> > .
> > >
> > > The next time something "desireable" shows up there, BK, I think I
> > will do
> > > a bearing check. It would be like Burkie to do a Slim operation just
to
> > tick
> > > off a lot of folks.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Stevie, I would never operate illegally.
>
> It looks as if you already have during at least one period. Is it
> dawning on you that you have no way of proving that your operation was
> authorized?
>
> Dave K8MN
```

Dave, it was. Prove it was not.

82. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-01 23:53:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RLxc9.451$dF1.65@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51949

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7287AB.87065AC7@earthlink.net...

> I'm still trying to erase the mental movie where he spends most of his
> on-air time answering questions about the place: color of the sand,
> depth of the sand, crops which will grow in sand, etc.

And Dave has no interest in the places he works on the air, or the people he talks to. The other operator is either a new band, a multiplier, or a dupe. Not a person.

Some ham ambasador. Lotsa good will there. Its just me, me, me, me, me.

Hi!

83. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:06:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7Yxc9.452$DH1.100@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51951

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7289D3.4A3F8136@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020831152102.01003.00000093@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > > >
> > > > > No matter. The regulars in here remain steadfast in defining
> > > > > US amateur radio as "CW on HF for DX" and that is that. :-)
> > > >
> > > > Len, let's modify that a wee little bit.
> > > >
> > > > Its been suggested that simply working or being DX isn't enough. An
> > entry
> > > > in a log book isn't any good. A card on the wall isn't any good.
> > You've
> > > > got to get it all blessed by the ARRL's DXCC desk. All DX must be
> > > > sanctioned through the ARRL's DXCC desk, or it just isn't DX.
> > > >
> > > > So, if I may, "US amateur radio is all about CW on HF for DXCC."
> > > >
> > > > ;^)
> > > > Brian
> > >
> > > I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate op
> > > from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you.
> > >
> > Certainly I'm legitimate. Why do you say I'm not? I asked permission,
> > permission was granted. I operated.
>
> Oh, I believe your parents married. Why do I say your operation from
> Somalia isn't kosher?--Because you don't have anything which says
> permission to operate an amateur radio station was granted. How do you
> go about proving that you had permission?

It was granted. Prove it wasn't.

> > I don't know of
> > > any award program which sanctions operations from those not holding a
> > > valid license in the country of operation.
> > >

> > There is no government in Somalia, thus there are no valid Somalia
licenses,
> > unless you want to consider some UN issued licenses Somalian. You must
> > accept the fact that Somalia is a paradox. I operated legally, yet I
have
> > not provided the ARRL's DXCC desk with anything.
>
> If your miilitary commander had provided written permission like that
> issued by the United Nations, you'd have been operating legally. You
> operated on some guy's verbal authorization. You don't have anything to
> provide to the DXCC desk.

Hmmm, back to the ARRL's DXCC desk. Is the dxcc desk the final authority on
legal amateur operations everywhere?

It would appear that Len is correct. You do view the ARRL as a
quasi-governmental entity capable of authorizing amateur operations in
foreign countries. Wow.

> > Are you still of the opinion that I took advantage of a poor country and
> > bootlegged a call?
> >
> > You didn't have one but some
> > > guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one accepting
your
> > > word that it was okay!

Yep, some guy.

> > Dave, you're almost a funny guy. Was your military commander in combat
zone
> > Vietnam just "some guy?" I'd think he was a little more influential
over
> > your activities than some khat selling technical at the K4 traffic
circle.
>
> There was no amateur radio operation permitted by the military in
> Vietnam. I know of two legal stations, both operated by American
> civilians during my time in country. My military commander did not have
> the authority to permit me to operate on the ham bands. I don't have
> any written permission either.

Dave, so your commander was just "some guy," huh?

Too bad about there being an existing government that refused to issue
military members an operating permit. I wonder why that was. I hope they
didn't theink you would try to take advantage of them.

Maybe if Somalia had had a government to refuse my operation then that would
have been the end of it.

84. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:14:30 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <8Txc9.22369$FJ1.3787517@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51950

"xganon" wrote ...

"you guys run away when its anything less than 5/9. we freebanders are hammering out to the pacific long into the night while ten meters is silent. dont you think the arrl and the FCC see we are making better use of the bands? most of these dick head hams in here are no codes, you guys would be better off picking a 6 meter freq and hoping for whats left of the cycle to do some magic if you want to work most of these idiots. thats why the FCC not only dont bust freebanders but they look to us as the future of the hobby. look at Rain report they are all hams being popped. Ham is dieing and nocodes like JJ can only sit and bitch like fat old men."

It is interesting to note that these are the same guys who think that the beeping sounds they hear on, say 28.100 to 28.500, are birds chirping (I guess). There have been numerous times that I have been QRMd by these "Legal" freebanders. Since the areas in which I work are CW/Data/Rtty only, it's not possible for me to switch to Phone and tell them they are "stomping" all over me. But, to be quite frank and based on what most of them have written, I don't think they care. The general attitude I have detected is that they think as long as they don't hear anything or only hear that pesky beeping sound, the "Channel" must be clear. I guess that's the difference between Hams and CBers. One group understands the concept of on air courtesy, and the other group doesn't.

Arnie -
KT4ST

'03 will make it ten years of CW fun.

85. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:22:04 UTC
From: Paul N3EOP <n3eop@arrl.or.callsign.net>
Message-ID: <Xns927CB0AE54B1Fn3eophotmailcom@64.164.98.29>
Source record: 51952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> The level of proficiency for the code has been reduced to 'childs
> play' now. If you can't learn it in a week or two, you are just not
> trying.

I took part in a class where the students were taught how to pass the 5 WPM code test in about 30 minutes. They were taught to write down the dots and dashes, and they learned how to build a "decoding chart" by memorizing several phrases where the first letter of each word was the letter for that position in the chart.

Worked well for passing the test (>95% passing). Completely useless for actually operating.

86. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:39:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Wqyc9.456$eL1.95@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51955

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D728D4F.4192FB6C@earthlink.net...

> Uhhhhhhhh Len--Brian's already stated that he holds no written
> permission to operate from Somalia. Mere bootlegging, despite your
> attempted misdirection *is* the issue being discussed.

Dave, you didn't even have the most rudimentary information about operating from Somalia or any other piece of real estate that has no government. You admitted that repeatedly when, after saying that I didn't get it right, you could offer absolutely no information whatsoever. All you knew was that whatever I said had to be wrong.

I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate, which you and Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the ARRL web site say on the matter?

Somalia (T5)
At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from the United Nations or your military commander.

So in the end, I did what was required, and you looked stupid. And Ed ducked out of sight.

During the few hours I had to grab my gear, say goodbye to my family, and get out of the country on December 4, 1992, I forgot to check in with the quasi-governmental ARRL DXCC desk to have them bless my operation.

OBE, Overcome By Events.

87. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:40:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Lryc9.457$TK1.355@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51956

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D728ACA.B9297FE4@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around the
question I
> > asked.
> >
> > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you
and
> > Ed have accused?
>
> I don't know about your taking advantage, Brian. I never accused you of
> "taking advantage". As to bootlegging, it surely is looking that way.
> What proof of authorization to operate from Somalia do you hold?

Dave, make the accusation, or shut up.

88. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:41:38 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akucc7rqt1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51953

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901151158.06796.00000042@mb-cq.aol.com...
> In article <akte0c$o04$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >If we believe
> >the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
> >changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
> >try and convince the FCC of anything. If we think the
> >VEC tesing should be ended or changed to the extent
> >that the change requires FCC action, then we need to identify
> >WHY and HOW...and then convince the FCC.
> >
> >I believe the VEC system has accomplished the same
> >goals as when the FCC did it. Is it perfect? No, but
> >abuses and or shortcomings appear to be minimal at
> >worst. I think there's room for test question
> >imrovement within the context of the VEC process
> >today (e.g. more variables in formula questions).
> >
> >What's your viewpoint?
>
> Present-day US amateur regulations require a minimum
> of 10 questions for every required question in the VEC
> pool. The FCC doesn't state any maximum.
>
> Since the VEC Q&A pool is easily transported electronically,
> they could generate more than 10 times the minimum
> number of questions. Enlarging the Q&A pool will reduce
> the possibility of "memorizing all" of the questions-answers
> without changing the number of questions on the written
> test itself.

Yup!

Jim and I have been advocating that stance for quite some time now.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

89. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:42:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_tyc9.458$ZJ1.259@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51958

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net...
>
> Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.

You pretend that they can make bootlegging legal.

90. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:44:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Jvyc9.459$2M1.121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51959

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D728B7B.F59DE915@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
quasi-
> > > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > > representative" in anything.
> > >
> > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > > aren't a ham.
> > >
> > I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
> >
> It is worth as much as it ever was. Citizens are still permitted to
> test for a radio amateur license. Len hasn't taken advantage of the
> opportunities afforded by his citizenship. The failure to act is his.

I don't recall the FCC ever putting out a notice asking people to apply for
radio licenses. However, I do recall that the FCC has asked citizens of
their comments on impending rule changes.

> > You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking
for
> > comments.
> >
> He commented. What is the FCC's obligation to give credence to those
> comments?

Or your comments, for that matter.

91. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:45:52 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akuccarqt2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51954

```
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901160650.11404.00000423@mb-ct.aol.com...
> In article <akte0c$o04$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020901080646.02651.00000009@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >> In article <aks8ps$bl2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> >> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:20020831122301.11404.00000400@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >> >> In article <akmcob$is7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> >> >> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> > however, the FCC deemed
> >> >> >it approprate to NOT test or otherwise risk
> >> >> >the validity with Mr. Bash (IMHO). We'll never know exactly
> >> >> >why, but in failing to do so, there can be a presumption
> >> >> >that the FCC thought the case would be lost. You are,
> >> >> >of course, free to have other opinions. In the end it
> >> >> >makes no difference since the FCC defaulted on the point
> >> >> >and thus rendered the "law" noot anyway.
> >> >>
> >> >> Perhaps the FCC thought the case would be lost. But it seems to me
that
> >a
> >> >far
> >> >> more reasonable possibility is a combination of several factors:
> >> >>
> >> >> - FCC top dogs didn't want to bother
> >> >> - FCC was internally considering something like the VEC system
> >> >> - Action against Bash would have admitted that his books were
accurate
> >> >copies
> >> >> of the real tests, and shown how few versions of the real tests
> >actually
> >> >> existed.
> >> >
> >> >Well we can toss a few beers discussing all the ifs and maybes....
> >>
> >> Sounds good to me, Bill. One of these days we will cross paths at a
> >hamfest.
>
```

> Maybe we could buy W1RFI a lobster...
> >>
> >> >bottom line, we'll never know nor does it make any difference
> >> >at this point.
> >>
> >> 'zactly. I'm all for a return to secret tests given by FCC examiners,
but
> >I
> >> don't see that happening anytime soon, or even anytime at all. We've
had
> >the
> >> VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is obviously
satisfied
> >that
> >> it does the job adequately enough. Whether that's true or not, how can
> >anyone
> >> convince FCC different?
> >
> >The ability to convince the FCC of any testing position involves
> >first defining the issue and then attempting to apply
> >reality as to current results, etc.
>
> Agreed.
> >
> >You stated: "We've had the
> >VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is
> >obviously satisfied that it does the job adequately enough."
>
> Yup.
>
> >I believe that is true, but the first question is to us...Do WE
> >believe the VEC system has satisfactorily done the job?
> >I do, you may not. Let's answer that first.
>
> First let me say that the VEC and QPC folks have done an amazing amount of
work
> - and all of it as unpaid volunteers.
>
> But they are constrained by the system itself. The Q&A pool cannot be
secret
> under the VEC/QPC system - it's just not practical.

Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back
to administering tests, the questions would
become public anyway. The internet has made
it extremely easy to distribute materials without
any oversight from government, etc.

> >You then said: "Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
> >convince FCC different?"
>

> Exactly.
>
> >If we believe
> >the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
> >changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
> >try and convince the FCC of anything.
>
> Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.
>
> >If we think the
> >VEC tesing should be ended or changed to the extent
> >that the change requires FCC action, then we need to identify
> >WHY and HOW...and then convince the FCC.
>
> Yup.
> >
> >I believe the VEC system has accomplished the same
> >goals as when the FCC did it. Is it perfect? No, but
> >abuses and or shortcomings appear to be minimal at
> >worst. I think there's room for test question
> >imrovement within the context of the VEC process
> >today (e.g. more variables in formula questions).
> >
> >What's your viewpoint?
>
> I think that license testing, and test generation, should be the FCC's
job, not
> the job of unpaid volunteers. I think that having a published Q&A pool
makes
> the testing process less indicative of the true knowledge of the person
being
> tested, compared to a testing process where the same pool is kept secret.
>
> But I don't know any argument that would convince the FCC to take over the
> testing process again.

That being the case, and I agree that the FCC wouldn't
buy into such an argument anyway...then what else can
or should be done?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

92. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:52:11 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akucnt$7om$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51957

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D728B7B.F59DE915@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
quasi-
> > > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > > representative" in anything.
> > >
> > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > > aren't a ham.
> >
> > I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
>
> It is worth as much as it ever was. Citizens are still permitted to
> test for a radio amateur license. Len hasn't taken advantage of the
> opportunities afforded by his citizenship. The failure to act is his.
>
> > You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking
for
> > comments.
>
> He commented. What is the FCC's obligation to give credence to those
> comments?

It is the FCC's perogative to give whater credence or
consideration they (the FCC) deem appropriate to comments
filed by both hams and non-hams.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

93. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 00:57:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Zwyc9.22604$FJ1.3972358@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51960

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020830171257.17339.00000035@mb-bh.aol.com...
> In article <PMj89.14278$LO1.1151299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"K&#65533;HB"
> <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
> >Following is a verbatim excerpt of an FCC letter, dated August 9, 2002
from
> >the FCC to lawyers representing Reliant Energy, a Texas power utility.
>
> A problem there is the use of an excerpt to attempt proving a point.
> Here is the entire letter content:
>
> ==========
>
> August 9, 2002
>
> Michael C. Massengale, Esquire
> Baker Botts, LLP
> One Shell Plaza
> 910 Louisiana
> Houston, TX 77002-4995
>
> Re: Reliant Energy, Incorporated; Radio Frequency
> Interference to Amateur Radio Station W5GCX
>
> Dear Mr. Massengale:
>
> This is in response to your letter of June 28, 2002, on
> behalf of Reliant Energy, with respect to radio frequency
> interference from the operation of the company's electrical
> service equipment to Amateur Radio operators.
>
> The Enforcement Bureau appreciates your comprehensive
> response. However, your interpretation of applicable
> Commission rules with respect to this matter is in error
> in several material respects. Reliant Energy is obligated
> to eliminate the interference complained of.
>
> Your letter suggests that the interference experienced
> by Mr. Edward J. Gerber is due to an otherwise properly
> operating 250 kVA step-down transformer. Reliant's
> proposed solution is to relocate the transformer to

> another area removed from Mr. Gerber's residence, and
> that Mr. Gerber bear the labor cost of this relocation.
>
> We are willing to accept your client's analysis that the
> transformer is the cause of the interference problem; but
> that is most unusual, since an arc in a transformer would
> typically result in failure of the transformer within a
> short period of time. A far more typical cause of radio
> interference from utility lines is in loose or corroded
> hardware, tie-wires, or defective insulators. Furthermore,
> you cite use of infrared cameras to locate hot spots as a
> means of identifying noise sources. The small arcs which
> cause RF interference typically do not cause significant
> heating, and therefore this may not be the most effective
> means of diagnosing interference problems. Finally, it is
> unnecessary in most cases to interrupt the power as a
> means of identifying the source of noise.
>
> Regardless of the source of the interference, it is
> improper to attempt to pass the cost of the relocation,
> or other solution, to the licensee that is the victim of
> the interference. It is exclusively the obligation of the
> operator of the unlicensed device. Your interpretation
> of Reliant's obligation with respect to the Amateur Radio
> Service is that Amateur stations are not part of any
> service , and that the obligation to avoid interference to
> a radiocommunication service does not include Amateur
> Radio stations, since individual Amateur Radio stations
> constitute a use but not a service.
>
> The attempted distinction is baseless. The Amateur
> Service is a radiocommunication service for the purpose of
> self-training, intercommunication and technical
> investigations carried out by amateurs; that is, duly
> authorized persons interested in radio technique solely
> with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest. 47
> C.F.R. 97.3(a)(5). Individual licensed stations are each
> part of this Service. The condition of operation of any
> Part 15 unlicensed device is that it cannot cause
> harmful interference. 47 C.F.R. 15.5(b). Harmful
> interference, which is identically defined in both the
> Commission's rules and the International Radio Regulations,
> includes any emission, radiation or induction which
> seriously degrades, obstructs or repeatedly interrupts a
> radiocommunications service operating in accordance with
> Title 47 C.F.R. Reliant Energy is not entitled to cause
> harmful interference to a licensed Amateur Radio station
> in the operation of its devices.
>

> Furthermore, you claim that, since 47 C.F.R. 15.13
> requires that manufacturers employ good engineering
> practices to minimize risk of harmful interference, that
> provision does not apply to Reliant Energy. However,
> 47 C.F.R. 15.15(b) makes it clear that operators of Part
> 15 devices are required to cease operation should harmful
> interference occur to authorized users of the radio
> frequency spectrum, and therefore parties responsible for
> equipment compliance should reduce unwanted emissions
> below the maximum levels specified in the regulations.
>
> Therefore, the position of Reliant Energy, that it is
> willing to conduct further investigations or remedy the
> interference problem only if the licensed radio amateur
> pays its expenses, is unacceptable. Reliant must do all
> things necessary, and bear any and all necessary costs, to
> comply with its obligations as an operator of unlicensed
> devices pursuant to the Commission's Part 15 regulations.
> You are hereby requested to provide a written report
> within 30 days of receipt of this letter as to the steps
> that have been taken to eliminate this interference.
> Further enforcement action will be withheld pending
> receipt of that report. The Commission expects, however,
> a complete solution without further delay, and good faith
> on the part of your client.
>
> cc: Mr. Edward J. Gerber
> Robby Abarca, Esquire
> Advisor to Chairman Rebecca Klein
> Public Utility Commission of Texas
>
> ==========
>
> The Enforcement Division of the FCC thus supported amateur radio,
> in particular one radio amateur who was subject to interference.
> That should, in my opinion, be the laudible, not some personal
> word-vendetta personal-injury-in-print issue of yours long ago.
>
> The word "service" used throughout Title 47 CFR is a regulatory
> term denoting a type and kind of radio transmitting activity.
>
> Brakob wishes to enoble his avocation as some kind of implied
> "service to the country" or "service to the community" as if it is
> a kind of noble deed. Amateur radio remains almost entirely a
> a hobby activity, engaged in for personal pleasure. There should
> be nothing wrong with that. What is wrong is the attempt to
> enoble the hobby beyond reasonable doubt as a justification for
> its existance.
>

> If "service" is taken in the sense as a "service to the nation," then
> it should also be applied to Citizens Band Radio Service or even
> restricted-radiation devices covered under Part 15. It isn't. As
> used throughout Title 47 CFR, the word "service" is a regulatory
> term denoting a type and kind of radio transmitting activity.

Well, Mr. Lennie, the fact remains that FCC regards the Amateur Radio Service as
such, and they have a hell of a lot more credibility than you do. And considering that
Amateur Radio has proven itself time and again, including after 9/11/2001, your attempts
to argue with the relative status of Amateur Radio fail miserably.

Jerry
K4KWH

>
>
>

94. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 01:24:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901212445.10667.00000149@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 51961

In article <3D72C397.1090608@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>> All I hear from the PCTA is that w/o the code exam you might as well give
>> the licenses away as a prize in a Cracker Jacks box.
>>
>> Now its not broke?
>
> You've made a strawman, Brian, PCTA'ers don't share all the same
>opinions. I support code testing, yet I never thought the VE system was
>broken or even bent. FCC or VE, I don't care. I don't see where one is
>better than the other. I suppose if I had to choose, It would be the
>VE's, since they don't use tax money.

The biggest user of the "give away as a prize in Cracker Jacks box"
in here is W0EX. Other PCTAs have written the same thing. Still
others say "it would be given out on street corners for nothing."

It is NOT a "strawman" except in the use by those PCTAs.

While it is true that all PCTAs do not say such things, the
general group that Brian Burke refers to is in here. They have
posted such things. Such is archived.

The answer to get around the alleged "memorization" charge
(which supposedly "dumbs down" present testing) is to request
the VECQPC to increase the number of pool questions.
Present-day US amateur radio regulations do not have any
maximum number for the pool questions, only a minimum of
10 for each required test question.

No change in US amateur radio regulations is required to make
the question pool large enough to preclude memorization of
every one that might appear on a test.

95. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 01:24:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901212446.10667.00000150@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 51962

In article <bGuc9.231$Tj4.11426957@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> >If we believe
>> >the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
>> >changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
>> >try and convince the FCC of anything.
>>
>> Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.
>
>You're kidding, right?
>
>All I hear from the PCTA is that w/o the code exam you might as well give
>the licenses away as a prize in a Cracker Jacks box.
>
>Now its not broke?

It might be called "rationale du jour." :-)

Changes daily.

96. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 01:24:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901212446.10667.00000151@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 51964

In article <NQuc9.236$en4.11627669@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> There is no hope for much rational discussion on any subject in here
>> without incurring the rage and wrath of individuals such as K8MN,
>> W0EX, and (lately) W1RFI.
>
>Len, don't forget w3rv, K3LT, and K4YZ.

Who could? :-)

KH2D was the best of the ragers. He had style, panache even.
The "No SSB International" web page was a classic of CW life-
style diatribism.

Beep, beep.

97. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 01:24:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901212447.10667.00000152@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 51965

In article <3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>Burke"
>> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
>> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> >> > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
>quasi-
>> >> > government membership organization standing between me and
>> >> > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
>> >> > representative" in anything.
>> >>
>> >> You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
>> >> aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
>> >> aren't a ham.
>> >
>> >I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
>>
>> Not among those to whom amateur radio has become a Life Style.
>
>I do other things, Len. I am interested in current events. I play the
>guitar. I garden. I read. What about those non-radio amateurs who spend
>large amounts of time posting to an amateur radio newsgroup? How do
>they stack up?

What about them?

Who cares what you do on breaks from thundering at those who
don't agree with you?

Do you do Joan Baez imitations with your guitar music?

>> ARRL is not a government agency or organization. The FCC is.
>> The FCC regulates all of US civil radio services, not just amateur
>> radio.
>
>We all knew that and this newsgroup doesn't deal with all 0f US civil
>radio services.

It has dealt on personal gunnery (at length), US foreign policy, Citizens Band, locomotive history, industrial process control electronics, just to name a few NON-AMATEUR RADIO subjects.

The FCC regulates US amateur radio and grants amateur radio licenses. ARRL does not. K8MN is not an official of the FCC.

>Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.

Constant instructions from ARRL on "writing your director" on policy matters.

No ARRL official of any kind has any jurisdiction BETWEEN citizens and a government regulatory agency. NONE.

>The ARRL is the largest amateur radio organization, by far, in the
>United States.

Irrelevant. ARRL has a membership total LESS than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs. It is definitely an organization of the MINORITY.

>Prove that K8MN thinks so. Prove that he pretends to be
>judge-advocate. Prove that he has awarded himself the title "Judge
>Advocate of all amateur radio matters".

K8MN's own message show that distinctly.

>What happens to those to don't agree with Leonard H. Anderson's
>viewpoints?

Mostly, nothing. Those that become enraged will post personal insults in here. Sometimes they are fun to see when they go off the deep end in their wrath and rage. :-)

98. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 01:24:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020901212448.10667.00000153@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 51963

In article <tZuc9.241$ap4.11744314@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020901160650.11404.00000424@mb-ct.aol.com...
>> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
>> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
>>
>> Where do you get that idea?
>>
>> >You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking
for
>> >comments.
>>
>> Nope. Anyone interested, licensed or not, can comment.
>>
>> But why would someone who obviously has no interest in being a part of the
ARS
>> comment - unless they want to damage or destroy the ARS?
>
>Why do you think so negatively? Why damage or destroy?

You have to understand that he has no effective counter to some
comments of others that think differently from his way. The totally
false charge above is a good example of the negative reply.

Some overly-identify the entire ARS with their own personal
desires and viewpoints. Anyone against their own personal
viewpoint is thus falsely charged with destruction of the "entire
US ARS."

>Why not uplift the ARS?

Normally that would be a good, even noble idea.

In practice, individuals see only their own opinions as being
"uplifting." Any contrary opinions are falsely charged with
"insults to the entire ARS." That's as if their own personal
viewpoints are the only ones held by the ARS.

>Remove arbitrariness from the licensing and priveleges?

Those that made it through the old system are loath to
change it. Some wish to retain the eliteness for their own

self-worth...as if the regulations pertained only to them and
nothing to others coming in the future.

>Remove from it the shackles of mandatory Morse/Farnsworth testing?

Again, those shackles must be retained if they overcame
the hoop jumps. "All must do as they did."

>Improve the question pool?

Too hard to communicate with the VECQPC. That requires thinking.
It is easier and more fun to rail against the NCTA and those of
lesser license class. That doesn't require thinking, only emotion.

Beep, beep.

99. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 01:25:41 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020901212541.02214.00000693@mb-mu.news.cs.com>
Source record: 51966

>> The level of proficiency for the code has been reduced to 'childs
>> play' now. If you can't learn it in a week or two, you are just not
>> trying.

I came into the pro-code vs. no-code fray as a relatively unbiased newbie. As such, it never even occured to me to think of the code as a "barrier." This is the requirement (Or "rite of passage," if you will.) to gain access to HF. I really thought the no-code contingent got what it wanted when the no-code AR ticket was created? I don't really know how to descibe it, in a politically correct manner, when you give a hungry chap half of your lunch...and they're still p/o'd because you didn't give them the "entire enchilada." I'm sure this analogy will get picked to pieces, but those "in the know" will get it. Kinda sad really.

>I took part in a class where the students were taught how to pass the 5
>WPM code test in about 30 minutes. They were taught to write down the
>dots and dashes, and they learned how to build a "decoding chart" by
>memorizing several phrases where the first letter of each word was the
>letter for that position in the chart.

The VE's at my session warne a YL about doing this as she apparently did this. I suppose it's at the discretion of the VE?

>Worked well for passing the test (>95% passing). Completely useless for
>actually operating.

So much for the "barrier" battle cry.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

100. Re: 6m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 02:03:06 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0209011803.7cd322a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51967

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<akigr831thm@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> Thats an idere... Will have to try plugging in a frequency into one of the
> scanners and hopefully catch some openings before it sells. Might actually
> keep the damned thing.
>
> Ryan, KC8PMX
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0208271146.6e1d748c@posting.google.com...
> > Ryan, FWIW, I keep 50.525 pluged in my FM monitor which is scanning

Just don't try listening to 50.525. You won't hear much there. 52.525
FM is the national simplex calling frequency. 50.125 is the SSB
calling frequency. Monitor either and you might hear something on an
opening. I've heard of people buying the old Monitor/Minitor EMS/Fire
pagers modified to 52.525 to listen for band openings. They'd keep it
on their belts and walk around with it and if they heard anything on
the pager, they knew the band was open.

101. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 02:09:21 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209011809.2927a015@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51968

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1rlw2i.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 31 Aug 2002 20:12:33 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >Another one you might hear on the airband is "November One". It's the
> >nominal flagship of the FAA inhouse fleet. It's an old low slow DC-3
> >which is used to survey FAA navaids mostly around the major airports.
>
> Piloted, in the 60s and 70s, by someone we called "Vertical John".
> When we got an interference complaint from the FAA Regional Office,
> and tracked down and shut off the unshield 27 MHz heat sealer
> throwing off harmonics into the navaid bands, the plane would
> overfly the site to verify that the IX was gone and recertify the
> navaid.
>
Eww, that's nasty stuff. There was a company in the old textile mill
where we had the family machine shop which made large swimming pool
liners and covers. They had a row of multi-kilowatt vinyl sealers in
operation. I asked the owner if the things were still legal. All I got
was a grim stare. Of course they weren't legal, the back panels were
off all of 'em awready, ya could reach in and touch the plate caps if
ya got stupid enough to do that. And they were right under the final
approach to Philly's short runway. I guess the things didn't have any
effect on the needles in the a/c panels or you guys & N1 woulda been
all over him.
>
>
> In the late 60s he would invite the FCC engineers who worked that
> case to accompany him (the FAA Regional Electronics Branch Chief was
> a former FCC field engineer who delighted in ramming it down our
> throats that they had more expensive gadgets than we did) but after
> a few rides we found out why he was called "Vertical John" and
> politely demurred on the offers.
>
>
. . he's a artist, you people just don't appreciate art ya wusses . .
>
>
> Yes, the DC-3 CAN be flown like an F-102 in the proper hands.....
>
>
They're legendary airplanes, actually they're cult planes and I'm a
member, I have three books on 'em. Lantana airport in Lantana FL is
one of the DC-3 magnets, gotta be 10-12 of 'em based there. I spent a

whole day there just taking photos. Yup, a good DC-3 jock can fly 'em like a fighter or a helicopter depending on the mission, the tales are unending. Offhand I think the Alaskan bush pilots are the real masters of that game.
>
>
> >But it did have the ultimate U.S. side number and callsign.
>
> As I found out in am inquiry a while back, the "N' number" is NOT
> a call sign. The FCC Rules and other similar regs pertaining to US
> government operations provide that the FAA Registration Number
> ("tail number") may be used IN LIEU of a five-letter aircraft call
> sign.
>
>
Aha: Good info. I know civilian A/C callsign regs and military A/C callsign regs but it was never clear to me how civil U.S. government A/C callsigns fit into the scheme of things
>
>
> Other alternatives are the carrier name and flight number for
> air carrier a/c and the Federal agency/service name and assigned
> number (such as Navy 369). For reference purposes these alternatives
> are deliniated in Part 87 of the FCC Rules.
>
>
I had an oddball situation. I owned and flew a powered ultralight under FAA Part 104 regs. Those are not FAA certificated and registered a/c so they don't have FAA side/tail numbers. I only ever flitted around the northern Chesapeake Bay with the thing carefully avoiding Phillips Army Air Base, the Aberdeen Proving Grounds and the Baltimore-Washington TCA so I did't have any need for a radio. Plus ATC was the last bag of worms I wanted to deal with. But I thought I might try some real touring and pondered an airband HT for just in case. I asked around about what an ultralight should use for a callsign but never got a straight answer from the hanger bums or the FBO sparky. I never did buy the radio but I'm still wondering: If ya buy an airband HT for use in flight ops and license the radio does the license come with an FCC-issued callsign which I could have used? One of the five letter callsigns - which I've never heard on the air except as used by foreign a/c . . ?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

102. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 02:13:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1s5ovk.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51969

On Sun, 1 Sep 2002 20:45:52 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back to administering tests, the
>questions would become public anyway. The internet has made it
>extremely easy to distribute materials without any oversight from
>government, etc.

You left out the word "stolen" betwene "distribute" and
"materials".

Make the violations egregious enough and government-based "adult
supervision" will certainly follow. I'd rather not be around then,
though.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

103. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 02:13:22 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1s82ul.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51970

On Mon, 02 Sep 2002 00:39:18 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate, which you and
>Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the ARRL web site
>say on the matter?

Against my better judgment I'll get into this cat-fight.

The only entity that can give you permission to operate amateur
radio in any country is the regulatory Administration - government
entity duly represented to the ITU. If such an Administration does
not exist, there is no entity authorized to give you permission to
operate there.

Your military commander DOES NOT have the authority to allow you to
operate what is essentially a civilian activity in a foreign country
unless S/HE is "deputized" for that purpose by the duly constituted
Administration. No Administration? No authority to permit you to
operate. Your military commander is not the government of Somalia
for purposes of zmateur radio licensing.

What call sign did you use and how was it issued?

Consider yourself lucky that some nit-picker who knows the law did
not turn you in to the FCC for action against your US license for
violation of the International Radio Regulations which require that
you hold a valid authorization from the Administration of the
country in which you are located, and turn in your commander to the
appropriate military authority for exceeding his/her authority.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

104. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 02:41:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209011841.5911db6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51971

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:<3D704458.1040009@adelphia.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>
. . . pointlss vitriol . .
>
>
. . . . .
>
> Of course, if you just want to argue and anger people, keep it up, you're
> doing a great job. Of course, that puts you in the same league as some
> of the CB'ers in the group who post the nasty notes to each other.
>
> It is your choice, kind sir. If you think I'm wrong, you know how to
> prove it! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
Right on the money all the way 'round Mike. The Putz has worn it out, he's OVER.

w3rv

105. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:02:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1sdedo.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51972

On 02 Sep 2002 01:24:47 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

> Constant instructions from ARRL on "writing your director"
> on policy matters.

The purpose of which is to formulate ARRL positions to be
transmitted (or not, at the will of the BoD) to the FCC as the
position of the ARRL membership.
>
> No ARRL official of any kind has any jurisdiction BETWEEN
> citizens and a government regulatory agency. NONE.

The ARRL represents the position of the ARRL.

> Irrelevant. ARRL has a membership total LESS than a quarter
> of all licensed US radio amateurs.

To which the FCC listens, smiles, and then does what it wants.
Review some of the latest replies to ARRL petitions to see what I
mean.

>It is definitely an organization of the MINORITY.

If the FCC wants to consider this the position of "organized
hamdom" I have no objection.

Some times we win. Sometimes the alligator wins.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

106. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:02:51 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1sckwn.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51973

On 1 Sep 2002 19:09:21 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:

>> Other alternatives are the carrier name and flight number for
>> air carrier a/c and the Federal agency/service name and assigned
>> number (such as Navy 369). For reference purposes these alternatives
>> are deliniated in Part 87 of the FCC Rules.
>>
>>
>I had an oddball situation. I owned and flew a powered ultralight
>under FAA Part 104 regs. Those are not FAA certificated and registered
>a/c so they don't have FAA side/tail numbers. I only ever flitted
>around the northern Chesapeake Bay with the thing carefully avoiding
>Phillips Army Air Base, the Aberdeen Proving Grounds and the
>Baltimore-Washington TCA so I did't have any need for a radio. Plus
>ATC was the last bag of worms I wanted to deal with. But I thought I
>might try some real touring and pondered an airband HT for just in
>case. I asked around about what an ultralight should use for a
>callsign but never got a straight answer from the hanger bums or the
>FBO sparky.

See this:

§Section 87.107 Station identification.

(a) Aircraft station. Identify by one of the following means:

(1) Aircraft radio station call sign.

===> (2) Assigned FCC control number (assigned to ultralight aircraft).

(3) The type of aircraft followed by the characters of the
registration marking ("N" number) of the aircraft, omitting the
prefix letter "N." When communication is initiated by a ground
station, an aircraft station may use the type of aircraft
followed by the last three characters of the registration
marking.

(4) The FAA assigned radiotelephony designator of the aircraft
operating organization followed by the flight identification
number.

(5) An aircraft identification approved by the FAA for use by
aircraft stations participating in an organized flying activity
of short duration.

>I never did buy the radio but I'm still wondering: If ya
>buy an airband HT for use in flight ops and license the radio does the

>license come with an FCC-issued callsign which I could have used? One
>of the five letter callsigns - which I've never heard on the air
>except as used by foreign a/c . . ?

No, but see:

Section 87.18 Station License Required

(b) An aircraft station is licensed by rule and does not need an individual license issued by the FCC if the aircraft station is not required by statute, treaty, or agreement to which the United States is signatory to carry a radio, and the aircraft station does not make international flights or communications. Even though an individual license is not required, an aircraft station licensed by rule must be operated in accordance with all applicable operating requirements, procedures, and technical specifications found in this part.

§Section 87.47 Application for a portable aircraft station license. -

A person may apply for a portable aircraft radio station license if the need exists to operate the same station on more than one U.S. aircraft.
---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

107. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:04:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D72E349.F58253FB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51974

>
> >Perhaps in a few years, the American public will rise up and say those
> >damned amateurs have had it too easy, and institute an examination system
> >that rivals that of the professional engineering societies.
>
> Not very likely.
>
> You ever see a PE exam?
>

There's always the bar exam (lawyers) or the medical exams states give
in addition to your MD degree to get a doctor's license.

Maybe a little closer to home is the tests to become a licensed electrical
house wiring inspector.

108. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:05:13 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns927DE39C856elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 51975

eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in
news:20020901212541.02214.00000693@mb-mu.news.cs.com:

>>> The level of proficiency for the code has been reduced to 'childs
>>> play' now. If you can't learn it in a week or two, you are just not
>>> trying.
>
> I came into the pro-code vs. no-code fray as a relatively unbiased
> newbie. As such, it never even occured to me to think of the code as a
> "barrier." This is the requirement (Or "rite of passage," if you will.)
> to gain access to HF. I really thought the no-code contingent got what
> it wanted when the no-code AR ticket was created? I don't really know
> how to descibe it, in a politically correct manner, when you give a
> hungry chap half of your lunch...and they're still p/o'd because you
> didn't give them the "entire enchilada." I'm sure this analogy will get
> picked to pieces, but those "in the know" will get it. Kinda sad
> really.
>
>>I took part in a class where the students were taught how to pass the 5
>>WPM code test in about 30 minutes. They were taught to write down the
>>dots and dashes, and they learned how to build a "decoding chart" by
>>memorizing several phrases where the first letter of each word was the
>>letter for that position in the chart.
>
> The VE's at my session warne a YL about doing this as she apparently
> did this. I suppose it's at the discretion of the VE?
>
>>Worked well for passing the test (>95% passing). Completely useless
>>for actually operating.
>
> So much for the "barrier" battle cry.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>

Your analogy is way off. It's more like taking an Electronics course with
a compulsory Requirement to pass a test in Anglo-Saxon, and then being
told you should be pleased because you only have to translate a short
passage to get partial credit. For the record I have an EE degree, have
been a ham for 22 years, am actually quite interested in Anglo-Saxon(!),
although nobody ever forced me to study it, passed 20 wpm code but can
hardly remember the code, and lastly, yes I hate code!
73 de N3KIP (G8VUK/G0VUK)

109. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:09:49 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D72E48C.2DA9C8EA@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51976

```
>
>
> > > Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?
> >
> > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some quasi-
> > government membership organization standing between me and
> > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > representative" in anything.
>
> You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> aren't a ham.
```

Actually, being a taxpayer he would "have" the FCC via Congress.

But he wouldn't "have" the ARRL unless he joins it.

110. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:15:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D72E5CB.D4424649@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51977

Brian Kelly wrote:

> Ya tossed a short list of 1x2 callsigns ya could
> live with and they did the picking outta yer list.

Yep, providing that one on your want list was open and available-no QRZ.com to check in those days.
The one they handed me wasn't on my list, since none of them was still open, but no complaints.

111. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:19:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D72E694.E2B71BC2@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51978

Dave Heil wrote:

> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Dick thinks no kid could ever know enough to legitimately be an amateur.
>
> You are confused.

Yeah, he is. I was a licensed ham at age 14, and a General just after my 15th birthday. He's a mid-forties kid who is still whining about how hard the qualificatons still are.

112. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:27:17 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D72E8A5.E79CDEB2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51979

N2EY wrote:

>
> But I don't know any argument that would convince the FCC to take over the
> testing process again.

Add to that convincing the FCC to try to get Congress to allocate enough money
to do the test sessions again.

In a sense, does it really require more knowledge to operate SSB on 14.157KHz
vs 14.327KHz? The only real reason for having subbands for higher licenses
is that your frequency you're using is easily determined by a remote FCC site.
They can't easily tell how much power, maybe you're using a beam aimed
at them....

113. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:31:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D72E985.D18971AF@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51980

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
>
> Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
> Ed have accused?

Seems you can't understand that if you never received proper permission to operate in the form of *documentary evidence* your operation is not allowed for DXCC credit. Sure, your motives are pure, and your conscience is clear- you di-dit "your way" HA! and that's all that matters - to you.
But that and a couple bucks would get you a beer in the PX beer garden. The real world requires documentation. Verbals are so much hot air. Ask anyone who remembers Don Miller.

114. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:45:21 UTC
From: Desert Eagle <You.bet@no.way>
Message-ID: <B1Cc9.97694$_91.114984@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Yeah, you know, K band. (however, 28 Gigs sux for just about everything,
down a couple is better)

Eagle

"Earl" <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote in message
news:90v4nug5buj2h5tfrgb347t2r7hat7dqlr@4ax.com...
> On 1 Sep 2002 12:10:24 -0700, ld751@yahoo.com (2LD751) wrote:
> >As long as they stay down there on 28015 khz and keep away from 28015
> >mhz, everything should be ok.
>
> 28015 MHz ?
>

115. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:46:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D72ECEE.50F69029@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51982

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive licensing on
> the hams? Well they most certainly did.
>

Dan, don't change your assertion after making it. You said you blamed ARRL
for incentive licensing, not the FCC. Now you want to co-blame both. Of course
the FCC did it, and actually the ARRL made a successful case for toning it down
considerably, otherwise it would have been of much more negative personal
impact. I lived it too, and was also annoyed that I lost privileges. But life
goes on, and going around mad didn't get me back on 7005kc, so it was time to
hit the books and W1AW (that's ARRL) on-the-air code practice. In no time at
all I got it all back, in spades.

Dick

>
> I was first licensed in 1961. I had my novice 3 months and then received
> the General. 13WPM and test in front of the FCC. I had just turned 14.
>
> In about 1963 or so I started hearing via QST rumblings about a way to
> 'improve ham radio'. Later to be called incentive licensing.
>
> In 1964 I left ham radio to join the military. This eventually ended my
> stateside activity totally in 1965 and I shipped over to South East Asia.
>
> In mid 1968 I returned home briefly on my way back over to Asia and fired up
> my little CW station on 40 meters. 7.010 was the only crystal I could find.
> And received a little note from the FCC saying I should not be on that freq.
> I was somewhat confused so I called the issuing office. I was then informed
> of the incentive licensing coming into effect. The guy asked me how it was
> I hadnt known about it. I told him I was in Vietnam and missed the news.
> We both had a chuckle and I promised not to operate there until I upgraded.
>
> From then until the mid 70s I stayed mad.
>
> Yes, I lived it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:RNbc9.28$Oc1.2697377@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message

> > news:20020831163635.04751.00000473@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > > In article <Vs6c9.34$pL6.3174492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> > Burke"
> > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >> Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive
> > licensing
> > > >in
> > > >> 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of
> > same.
> > > >>
> > > >> Dick
> > > >
> > > >Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history.
> > >
> > > I "lived the history", too. Doesn't mean Dick, Dan or I are right or
> wron
> > about
> > > the facts.
> >
> > It means one of you is. You can't all be right or wrong.
> >
> > > >Why would he need Jim to play it back for him?
> > >
> > > Perhaps he does not have all the relevant facts.
> > >
> > > >Where were you when you lost your priveleges?
> > >
> > > I was a 14 year old newly minted Advanced who had to wait almost two
> years
> > to
> > > even try the Extra.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Was that a good thing?
> >
> >

116. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 04:50:47 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020902005047.25660.00000996@mb-md.news.cs.com>
Source record: 51983

>Your analogy is way off.

Probably.

>It's more like taking an Electronics course with
>a compulsory Requirement to pass a test in Anglo-Saxon, and then being
>told you should be pleased because you only have to translate a short
>passage to get partial credit.

You have an option. Were you forced to take that curriculum? I have a degree in aeronautical engineering and one of the required courses was English Literature. So while we were cramming all night to pass everything from Avionics to Hydraulics to Calculus...we had to shoe-horn some English Lit in there as well. Cry about it? No, this was the curriculum WE chose. We could either "bitch and moan" or "get it done!" It's a personal choice where you want to invest your time and energy. You're right. I like your analogy much better.

>For the record I have an EE degree, have
>been a ham for 22 years, am actually quite interested in Anglo-Saxon(!)

Very good accomplishments.

>although nobody ever forced me to study it, passed 20 wpm code but can
>hardly remember the code, and lastly, yes I hate code!

Sounds like you invested your time wisely, got it done, and moved on.

>73 de N3KIP (G8VUK/G0VUK)

...and to you, sir.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

117. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 05:00:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D72F034.9F9220D0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51984

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D728A4D.8822116B@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > > >
> > > > Stevie, I would never operate illegally.
> > >
> > > It looks as if you already have during at least one period. Is it
> > > dawning on you that you have no way of proving that your operation was
> > > authorized?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> Dave, it was. Prove it was not.

But you've already done that. Lack of written authorization is prima facie evidence of no valid permission. For that matter, your erstwhile commander may not have understood what you were asking for, and if queried after a full explanation might very well state that he gave you no such verbal permission. That would leave you out on a very long limb.

118. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 05:02:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902010207.22428.00001320@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51985

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h1s82ul.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Mon, 02 Sep 2002 00:39:18 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>>I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate, which you and
>>Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the ARRL web site
>>say on the matter?
>
> Against my better judgment I'll get into this cat-fight.
>
> The only entity that can give you permission to operate amateur
> radio in any country is the regulatory Administration - government
> entity duly represented to the ITU. If such an Administration does
> not exist, there is no entity authorized to give you permission to
> operate there.
>
> Your military commander DOES NOT have the authority to allow you to
> operate what is essentially a civilian activity in a foreign country
> unless S/HE is "deputized" for that purpose by the duly constituted
> Administration. No Administration? No authority to permit you to
> operate. Your military commander is not the government of Somalia
> for purposes of zmateur radio licensing.
>
> What call sign did you use and how was it issued?
>
> Consider yourself lucky that some nit-picker who knows the law did
> not turn you in to the FCC for action against your US license for
> violation of the International Radio Regulations which require that
> you hold a valid authorization from the Administration of the
> country in which you are located, and turn in your commander to the
> appropriate military authority for exceeding his/her authority.

Just how does the FCC get involved in foreign country radio
use by the US military?

In the creation of the NTIA, the NTIA monitors government use
of the EM spectrum. The US military is relatively autonomous
in what it can do anywhere, just as long as it doesn't interfere
with other users, including US military units.

The final authority of radio use in the US Army would reside
with the Chief Signal Officer. There is a large staff under that
officer which plans and executes appropriate frequency use.
The US Air Force would have a similar radio organization.

I've never known of any USA or USAF radio unit consult any
International Radio Regulations, much less any ITU-R manual
of recommendations before establishing any radio installation.
Nor will I accept your advice on "turning in a commanding
officer" for breaking some civilian laws in radio use. That might
be a cute plot device for the TV series "JAG" but it is as
kosher in the real military as a ham sandwich.

119. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 05:02:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902010208.22428.00001321@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51986

In article <akucc7rqt1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>> Since the VEC Q&A pool is easily transported electronically,
>> they could generate more than 10 times the minimum
>> number of questions. Enlarging the Q&A pool will reduce
>> the possibility of "memorizing all" of the questions-answers
>> without changing the number of questions on the written
>> test itself.
>
>Yup!
>
>Jim and I have been advocating that stance for quite some time now.

Then it's about time since I posted that bit of information over
two years ago in here.

What have you done about besides "advocating" it? Have you
or "Jim" communicated with the VECQPC on that?

120. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 05:02:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902010210.22428.00001322@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51987

In article <Zwyc9.22604$FJ1.3972358@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020830171257.17339.00000035@mb-bh.aol.com...
>> In article <PMj89.14278$LO1.1151299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
>> <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>>
>> >Following is a verbatim excerpt of an FCC letter, dated August 9, 2002 from
>> >the FCC to lawyers representing Reliant Energy, a Texas power utility.
>>
>> A problem there is the use of an excerpt to attempt proving a point.
>> Here is the entire letter content:
>>
>> ==
>>
>> August 9, 2002
>>
>> Michael C. Massengale, Esquire
>> Baker Botts, LLP
>> One Shell Plaza
>> 910 Louisiana
>> Houston, TX 77002-4995
>>
>> Re: Reliant Energy, Incorporated; Radio Frequency
>> Interference to Amateur Radio Station W5GCX
>>
>> Dear Mr. Massengale:
>>
>> This is in response to your letter of June 28, 2002, on
>> behalf of Reliant Energy, with respect to radio frequency
>> interference from the operation of the company's electrical
>> service equipment to Amateur Radio operators.
>>
<remainder of already-posted letter omitted for brevity>
>
>Well, Mr. Lennie, the fact remains that FCC regards the Amateur Radio Service as
>such, and they have a hell of a lot more credibility than you do. And considering that
>Amateur Radio has proven itself time and again, including after 9/11/2001, your attempts
>to argue with the relative status of Amateur Radio fail miserably.

That wasn't anything at all to do with patriotism.

The FCC letter to Reliant Energy had only one purpose, and that to protect Ed Gerber, W5GCX, from getting interfered with and monetarily ripped-off by Reliant Energy officials. The FCC did a very good thing for a single radio amateur. Don't you think so?

Hans Brakob tried to use a part of that to settle some kind of "score" he felt needed from a long time ago. He implied one thing from something entirely different. I showed him that error.

Throughout Title 47 CFR, the FCC uses the word "service" in two ways. In the title of various Parts, "service" denotes a type and kind of radio transmitting activity. For common carriers (interstate long-distance lines, microwave relays, etc.) the word "service" denotes the common carrier's available service to customers, what they provide for various communications means.

The word "service" has many other meanings such as "service to the nation" (as in military service, volunteering to do some government task for little or no money) or "service to the community" (as in charity work or jury duty, both for little or no money). "Service" can also mean serving at a food place such as a waitress serving customers. By extension of that, anyone who serves anything can be said to provide a service.

Throughout Title 47 CFR, the word "service" denotes a regulatory term denoting the type and kind of radio transmitting activity covered by a particular regulation Part. The full definition of US amateur radio is given under Part 97.1. In none of the five paragraphs of that definition does it describe amateur radio as something patriotic, of service to the nation, or of service to the community. Since amateur radio is an activity done without pecuniary interest (not for money), it cannot be a common carrier that provides a communications service for customers.

See also Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio-Control SERVICE as described under Part 95, Title 47 CFR. [capitals for emphasis]

In the FCC letter to Reliant Energy, they corrected Reliant Energy's description of Mr. Gerber's "use" of amateur radio by providing the essential definition of US amateur radio service. They did NOT describe Mr. Gerber's amateur radio activity as being patriotic, or of "service to the nation," or of "service to the community." As an independent amateur licensee, Mr. Gerber could NOT legally provide for "users" other than himself.

Reliant Energy's attorneys used improper language in describing amateur radio activity and the FCC merely corrected them on that in addition to pointing out charges made to Mr. Gerber for correction of Reliant's own problems was unethical. The FCC also reminded Reliant Energy that THEY are responsible for illegal

RF transmission as defined in regulations covering incidental radiation devices.

You really do NOT want to get into some hissy-fit catfight over patriotism, do you?

The subject of the word "service" in FCC regulations was covered in here well over three years ago. That was way before 11 Sep 01. The FCC's use of "service" as a regulatory term has not changed.

An amateur radio license does not require services of a patriotic nature, nor is it defined as such, even remotely. Yes, some radio amateurs DO indeed perform a service to their communities in operating their radios to assist local communications. Such service is NOT exclusive to amateur radio but may be performed by ANY radio service under emergency conditions.

NO radio license is required of a citizen to be of service to their nation or their community or even to the world at large. Good citizenship does not require federal licensing in any form.

By the way, my surname is Anderson. The use of "Mr. Lennie" is inappropriate.

121. Re: cross-post heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 05:07:26 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <P9Cc9.24539$FJ1.4731412@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51988

"xganon" wrote ...

"now that ol' dick-head kicked the bucket, we can cross-post to our hearts content. we can post illegal mods and other fun things. we knew dickey got in a heart problem when he would get his blood pressure up over all the cross-posts. he would still be alive today, if he would have minded his own business....."

Wow, That certainly took a lot of class to write.

Arnie -

122. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 06:17:47 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <un60nps0qtcrfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"2LD751" <ld751@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d12c96e.0209011110.4e9a68e7@posting.google.com...
> "Corn Dog" <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com> wrote in message
news:<akr6ff$f4a$0@pita.alt.net>...
> > Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
> > Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
> > Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
> > Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
> > Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!
>
> As long as they stay down there on 28015 khz and keep away from 28015
> mhz, everything should be ok. Dunno how they're gonna get those CB's
> down that low in frequency, though.

To be honest, it is quite easy to get a CB up to 28015KHz. I'd pay good
money to see someone get one up to 28015MHz! That would certainly be a
trick and a half and then some.....

PH

123. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 06:27:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902022758.12219.00000851@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51990

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/1/02 7:06 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020901080645.02651.00000008@mb-cc.aol.com>

>First you'd have to find one, then restore it. The matching HG-10 is a plus,
>too. With declining sunspots, it's a good idea to convert the 15 meter
>bandswitch position to 20 meters.
>

Acutally I was considering a five band RX from the handbook and a two band
35 watt 6146 rig with VFO from scratch.

Have you seen the prices that unbuilt heath gear can command...???

Whew!

73

Steve, K4YZ

124. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 06:35:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902023549.12219.00000852@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51991

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/2/02 12:02 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020902010207.22428.00001320@mb-ch.aol.com>

>The final authority of radio use in the US Army would reside
> with the Chief Signal Officer.

Wrong. The final authority for AMATEUR Radio rested with the host
administration.

>I've never known of any USA or USAF radio unit consult any
> International Radio Regulations, much less any ITU-R manual
> of recommendations before establishing any radio installation

I did. I operated under the Auxiliary Military Radio Service....No,
that's not a scrambled acronym for MARS. KA6CM was my callsign under AMRS.

AMRS was the authority that American Amateurs operating from American
installations on Japanese soil operated under. My copy of AMRS rules cited
international and American regulations.

>Nor will I accept your advice on "turning in a commanding
> officer" for breaking some civilian laws in radio use. That might
> be a cute plot device for the TV series "JAG" but it is as
> kosher in the real military as a ham sandwich.

It's not for you to accept or not accept, you self righteous jerk.

Putz.

125. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 06:41:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902024108.12219.00000853@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51992

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/1/02 5:04 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net>

> He has no such legal
>> official authorization but has taken it upon himself to award himself
>> such a title.
>
>Prove that K8MN thinks so. Prove that he pretends to be
>judge-advocate. Prove that he has awarded himself the title "Judge
>Advocate of all amateur radio matters".

We all know he can't, Dave. Pathological liars are incapable of
understanding that thier stories are as transparent as glass.

I shudder to think about what new clothes he brought home from the road
trip!

73

Steve

126. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 06:46:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902024645.12219.00000854@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51993

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/1/02 8:24 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020901212447.10667.00000152@mb-ca.aol.com>
>
>In article <3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>writes:

>>I do other things, Len. I am interested in current events. I play the
>>guitar. I garden. I read. What about those non-radio amateurs who spend
>>large amounts of time posting to an amateur radio newsgroup? How do
>>they stack up?
>
> What about them?

Nice dodge, Lennie. Brief...simple...transparent.

>>The ARRL is the largest amateur radio organization, by far, in the
>>United States.
>
> Irrelevant.

Only Lennie could be so stupid as to blow that off!

> ARRL has a membership total LESS than a quarter
> of all licensed US radio amateurs. It is definitely an organization
> of the MINORITY.

But it is, as Dave originally pointed out and which only a fool (that's
YOU, Lennie) could attempt to refute is that it is the largest representitive
Amateur Radio organization in the United States.

>>Prove that K8MN thinks so. Prove that he pretends to be
>>judge-advocate. Prove that he has awarded himself the title "Judge
>>Advocate of all amateur radio matters".
>
> K8MN's own message show that distinctly.

No, it does not.

YOU state that Dave has allegedly taken a title for himself. He has never
done this. THAT makes YOU a liar...Again...

>>What happens to those to don't agree with Leonard H. Anderson's
>>viewpoints?
>
> Mostly, nothing.

Yeah...right...tell me ANOTHER lie, Pinnochhio.

127. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 06:58:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902025805.12219.00000855@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51994

>Subject: Re: Service To The Nation
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/1/02 2:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020901151157.06796.00000041@mb-cq.aol.com>

>Brakob's point is NOT made, was NOT made before, even if another
> "defends" him.

Keep saying that over and over, Lennie, while clicking your crystal slippers....

>The actual point was that the FCC defended an individual licensed
> radio amateur from becoming a victim of a local power utility.

And in the process steadfastly declined the power company lawyer's assertion that Amateur's were NOT part of a "real" radio service.

Whine all you want, Your Putziness...The FCC's letter has laid to rest your "not a service" and "just a regulatory term" rants forever!

> You may consult Part 1, Title 47 CFR, for confirmation.

And YOU may refer to the current position of the FCC. Sorry you don't like it, Your Putziness.

>What is "well documented" in US history is the selfless devotion of
> many more volunteers who have absolutely no connection with
> amateur radio.

You mean like the civil defense volunteers of World War 2 that YOU insisted were "a joke", Lennie?

Again, the archives of the Federal Register have record of accolades and appreciation extended to the Amateur Radio SERVICE (word serivce in capitals because I knopw facts piss you off) in general and on occassion Amateur Operators in particular.

>No amateur radio license is required.

True, but it still does not minimize the FACT that Amateurs' decades of volunteer service are well documented and a part of American history.

>Avoid the personal insult to make your case.

What personal insult, Your Geezerness?

>Avoid the personal insult to make your case.

What personal insult, Your Putziness?

128. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 07:06:57 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <akvri66vms@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52023

Nope... my only required allegiances are to the FCC to operate in a manner in accordance to the rules, and of course to our country. Being an alleged "free-loader" denotes that there is something of actual value.

> I'm not a salesman. If you want to join, do so. If not,
> you'll still be a free-loader.
>
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> ARRL Life Member
>
>
>

129. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 07:11:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902031157.12219.00000856@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51995

>Subject: Re: Service To The Nation
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/2/02 12:02 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020902010210.22428.00001322@mb-ch.aol.com>

>>Well, Mr. Lennie, the fact remains that FCC regards the Amateur Radio
>Service
>as
>>such, and they have a hell of a lot more credibility than you do. And
>considering that
>>Amateur Radio has proven itself time and again, including after 9/11/2001,
>your attempts
>>to argue with the relative status of Amateur Radio fail miserably.
>
> That wasn't anything at all to do with patriotism.

He didn't say it was.

The FCC affirmed it's position that the Amateur Radio SERVICE is just
that, a radio SERVICE.

>The FCC letter to Reliant Energy had only one purpose, and that
> to protect Ed Gerber, W5GCX, from getting interfered with and
> monetarily ripped-off by Reliant Energy officials. The FCC did a
> very good thing for a single radio amateur. Don't you think so?

I do. But it also set a legal precedent. The FCC stated, without any
room for misunderstanding or misinterpretation, that Amateur Radio IS a radio
SERVICE, and that it's licensees deserve protection for Part 15 emmiters.

By the way, how is YOUR Part 15 station coming along, Your
Licenselessness?

>Hans Brakob tried to use a part of that to settle some kind of
> "score" he felt needed from a long time ago. He implied one
> thing from something entirely different. I showed him that error.

The error was yours, and the FCC proved it. Now you run along and write
THEM a letter and tell them that YOU said theya re wrong, and to fix it...We'll
wait to see what transpires.

>Reliant Energy's attorneys used improper language in describing
> amateur radio activity and the FCC merely corrected them on that
> in addition to pointing out charges made to Mr. Gerber for
> correction of Reliant's own problems was unethical.

No, Your Stupidness...Reliant Energy tried to argue that Amateur Radio was NOT a true radio service, and that it was just too bad that "amateurs" were being interfered with.

The "improper language" was the part where they tried to denigrate Amateur Radio and tried to slough off thier responsibilites.

>You really do NOT want to get into some hissy-fit catfight over
> patriotism, do you?

With a filthy rear area coward such as your self, Lennie? Someone who tried to insinutate that since HE was in the same unit as that of a lot of guys who were KIA three years prior to his arrival in-theater, that HE deserved some accolades for that service?

YOU have NO understanding of what "patriotism" is, Lennie. Never did...never will. You are the worst kind of coward...You hide behind the sacrifices that others made in order to make yourself look better....Sacrifices that included losing thier lives.

Does your wife know you do that?

>By the way, my surname is Anderson. The use of "Mr. Lennie"
> is inappropriate.

I'll just stick with "Your Putziness". It fits.

130. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 07:13:50 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <akvri77vms@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52024

And all of these comments saying that the field organization is not responsible for anything apparently. These are some of the only ways to see the organization in action, which I would think would be a major selling point to join the organization other than "just because I told you so," or the use of mafioso tactics.

So far, from the original thread, the whole concept was for reasons why I or others should join, other that it being a magazine subscription. So far, most everyone has stated in not so many words, 'its none of your business." (jsut basically phrased a different way)

Gee, if I was going to buy a car or something else like that, I sure would be requiring a little more information that merely the price of the car. And if they basically came off with the attitude of what I have seen so far, I definitely would look elsewhere.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> The roles/responsibilities of field organization folks
> covers other areas than recruitment. We are, however,
> there to be tapped as a resource when needed...we are not
> all in a position to devote the type of time as you seem
> to expect or require.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

131. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 07:22:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902032212.12219.00000857@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51996

>Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/1/02 2:41 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020901154127.00497.00000447@mb-cd.aol.com>

>Mike Coslo:
>
>Is the following quote what you consider "good amateur practice" and
>"strength?"

SNIP

Once again it's necessary to point out that this is USENET, NOT an activity regulated by 47CFR97.1 or subsequent.

Is it the thought that if YOU possessed an Amateur license that you might NOT be allowed to act in a certain manner on USENET...? Is THAT the reason you ae unwilling to live up to your boast of intent to get an "Extra lite out of the box"...?

BTW...I notice that you cut out all of your vileness from the quoted post and only pasted my repleis to your comments.

Too embarrassed to let people see how stupid you were twice? Didn't want to have to answer a lot of embarrassing questions that you can't HONESTLY answer without sinking your own rants???

Tisk, tisk, tisk.

Lennie....You're a piece of work...

132. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 07:25:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902032518.12219.00000858@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51997

>Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/1/02 12:59 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D727F9B.1010509@adelphia.net>

>Remember though Steve, we are talking about someone who sees good manners
>as a sign of weakness. So how can you argue with a person when their
>basic premise is wrong?

Excellent point, Mike.

Thanks

73

Steve, K4YZ

133. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 07:32:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902033245.12219.00000859@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51998

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 9/2/02 1:35 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020902023549.12219.00000852@mb-mw.aol.com>
>

>AMRS was the authority that American Amateurs operating from American
>installations on Japanese soil operated under. My copy of AMRS rules cited
>international and American regulations.

And I forgot to add...was authorized under the Status of Forces Agreement
between Japan and the United States, although Japan refused to allow thier
Amateurs to communicate with us. Wierd rules, but legal.

Steve

134. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 09:07:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209020107.2b7ac281@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51999

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:<aktr3h$5kk$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I totally agree with the coded segment. Only one comment...space the
> letters more. Makes for an easier read.
>
> I didn't realize how tough reading the code is when its written down. Guess
> I actually did learn it the 'right way'.
>
> Back to the 'subject'.....your both right. In some area's Ham radio is
> dying.
>
>
I keep hearing this "dying" nonsense but I don't get it. What,
exactly, is "dying"?? It sure as hell isn't CW as a mode. For one.
>
>
> In others its not. Lets hope the two equal out.
>
>
"Equal out"?? Are you kidding? There are something like 8-10 times
more licensed hams right now than there were when I was first
licensed. Which is to say a much higher percetage of the population
are hams today than back when when ham radio "was in it's glory days".
This NG gotta have the highest level of bullshit per post in USNET.
Concentrated in the wannabes and code bashers. "If ya can't handle it
bash it", the usual. Eat yer hearts out.
>
>
> And as for the bootlegging buttheads on ten meters. How come you all run
> away when I dump a KW in CW mode on you?
>
>
Aw hell, I keyed a short CQ out on top of a bunch of 'em with my
little TS-50 mobile rig and that was the last I heard 'em. Talk abt
the ultimate radio weenies.
>
>

> Dan/W4NTI
>

w3rv

135. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 09:31:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209020131.7f95750f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52000

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1s82ul.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On Mon, 02 Sep 2002 00:39:18 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>
. . . .
>
> What call sign did you use and how was it issued?
>
> Consider yourself lucky that some nit-picker who knows the law did
> not turn you in to the FCC for action against your US license for
> violation of the International Radio Regulations which require that
> you hold a valid authorization from the Administration of the
> country in which you are located, and turn in your commander to the
> appropriate military authority for exceeding his/her authority.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
Boink: There ya go Burkie, ya done it again. I wouldn't worry about it
though, it's not politically correct these days to really spank the
weak-minded.

w3rv

136. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 09:40:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7331D0.BC1A5246@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52001

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D728A4D.8822116B@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020901073706.24715.00000603@mb-mj.aol.com...
> > > > >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> > > > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > > > >Date: 9/1/02 5:59 AM Central Daylight Time
> > > > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>
> > > >
> > > > >. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on
> 14.195 .
> > > .
> > > >
> > > > The next time something "desireable" shows up there, BK, I think I
> > > will do
> > > > a bearing check. It would be like Burkie to do a Slim operation just
> to
> > > tick
> > > > off a lot of folks.
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Stevie, I would never operate illegally.
> >
> > It looks as if you already have during at least one period. Is it
> > dawning on you that you have no way of proving that your operation was
> > authorized?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, it was. Prove it was not.

It isn't necessary for me to prove your operation was not bootlegging.
It is incumbent upon you to provide proof that you had authorization.
Since you have no written permission, that's going to be a tough one for
you.

Dave K8MN

137. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 09:44:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7332DB.9C9F29D0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52002

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7287AB.87065AC7@earthlink.net...
>
> > I'm still trying to erase the mental movie where he spends most of his
> > on-air time answering questions about the place: color of the sand,
> > depth of the sand, crops which will grow in sand, etc.
>
> And Dave has no interest in the places he works on the air, or the people he
> talks to.

Sure I did, Brian. The guys I contacted were interested in getting a
new one in their logs, not having me present an audio travelogue.

> The other operator is either a new band, a multiplier, or a dupe.
> Not a person.

You have it backwards. I was a new band, multiplier or country.

> Some ham ambasador. Lotsa good will there. Its just me, me, me, me, me.

I've provided plenty of good will *and* all my operations were
appropriately licensed. I can prove it and the QSL cards all count
toward DXCC.

> Hi!

Bye.

Dave K8MN

138. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 09:52:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209020152.55ebae3a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52003

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<b9rc9.49$JO.18398416@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020901073706.24715.00000603@mb-mj.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > >Date: 9/1/02 5:59 AM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>
>
> > >. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on 14.195 .
> .
> >
> > The next time something "desireable" shows up there, BK, I think I
> will do
> > a bearing check. It would be like Burkie to do a Slim operation just to
> tick
> > off a lot of folks.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Stevie, I would never operate illegally.
>
>
You already did. I need the Island of Ambrosio, can you get on 14.195?
Oops, I almost forgot, you're not allowed to be on 14.195 ssb . .

w3rv

139. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 09:56:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D733579.7E8A4E8A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52004

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7289D3.4A3F8136@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > > I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate op
> > > > from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you.
> > >
> > > Certainly I'm legitimate. Why do you say I'm not? I asked permission,
> > > permission was granted. I operated.
> >
> > Oh, I believe your parents married. Why do I say your operation from
> > Somalia isn't kosher?--Because you don't have anything which says
> > permission to operate an amateur radio station was granted. How do you
> > go about proving that you had permission?
>
> It was granted. Prove it wasn't.

You'll have to prove that you were authorized to operate, Brian. You
can't do so because, as you've stated, you had only verbal permission.
Maybe you can dig up your military commander of the time and have him
issue retroactive permission.

> > > I don't know of
> > > > any award program which sanctions operations from those not holding a
> > > > valid license in the country of operation.
> > >
> > > There is no government in Somalia, thus there are no valid Somalia
> licenses,
> > > unless you want to consider some UN issued licenses Somalian. You must
> > > accept the fact that Somalia is a paradox. I operated legally, yet I
> have
> > > not provided the ARRL's DXCC desk with anything.
> >
> > If your miilitary commander had provided written permission like that
> > issued by the United Nations, you'd have been operating legally. You
> > operated on some guy's verbal authorization. You don't have anything to
> > provide to the DXCC desk.
>
> Hmmm, back to the ARRL's DXCC desk. Is the dxcc desk the final authority on
```

> legal amateur operations everywhere?

For whether an operation will be accepted for DXCC credit or not? Yes.
>
> It would appear that Len is correct. You do view the ARRL as a
> quasi-governmental entity capable of authorizing amateur operations in
> foreign countries. Wow.

This is the part where you begin to be the real Brian. You read
something and draw an incorrect conclusion. The ARRL doesn't authorize
operations. The ARRL sponsors DXCC. The DXCC desk decides whether an
operation will be accepted for DXCC purposes. Where there is any
question of an operation's legitimacy, the desk may request proof of
licensing along with copies of passport entry or exit stamps and/or
visas.

> > > Are you still of the opinion that I took advantage of a poor country and
> > > bootlegged a call?
> > >
> > > You didn't have one but some
> > > > guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one accepting
> your
> > > > word that it was okay!
>
> Yep, some guy.
>
> > > Dave, you're almost a funny guy. Was your military commander in combat
> zone
> > > Vietnam just "some guy?" I'd think he was a little more influential
> over
> > > your activities than some khat selling technical at the K4 traffic
> circle.
> >
> > There was no amateur radio operation permitted by the military in
> > Vietnam. I know of two legal stations, both operated by American
> > civilians during my time in country. My military commander did not have
> > the authority to permit me to operate on the ham bands. I don't have
> > any written permission either.
>
> Dave, so your commander was just "some guy," huh?

It doesn't matter about *my* commander. I did not operate based on
verbal permission. Amateur operation was forbidden.

> Too bad about there being an existing government that refused to issue
> military members an operating permit. I wonder why that was. I hope they
> didn't theink you would try to take advantage of them.

The government of Vietnam also banned dancing. I wonder why that was.

> Maybe if Somalia had had a government to refuse my operation then that would
> have been the end of it.

Sure would have. If you had written authorization from your commander to operate, that'd also likely be the end of it. As it is, you can't prove that anyone ever granted you permission to operate.

Dave K8MN

140. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 10:00:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209020200.576d9951@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52005

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<NQuc9.236$en4.11627669@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> >
> > Brian, if you simply oppose certain newsgroup regular's viewpoints,
> > you will be guilty of far stronger badness than mere bootlegging
> > charges.
> >
> > There is no hope for much rational discussion on any subject in here
> > without incurring the rage and wrath of individuals such as K8MN,
> > W0EX, and (lately) W1RFI.
>
> Len, don't forget w3rv, K3LT, and K4YZ.
>
>
Please don't. It's called "consensus building", took awhile but you
and The Putz are getting a tad surrounded by reality.

w3rv

141. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 10:04:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52006

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D728D4F.4192FB6C@earthlink.net...
>
> > Uhhhhhhhh Len--Brian's already stated that he holds no written
> > permission to operate from Somalia. Mere bootlegging, despite your
> > attempted misdirection *is* the issue being discussed.
>
> Dave, you didn't even have the most rudimentary information about operating
> from Somalia or any other piece of real estate that has no government. You
> admitted that repeatedly when, after saying that I didn't get it right, you
> could offer absolutely no information whatsoever. All you knew was that
> whatever I said had to be wrong.

I'd certainly have had the sense to have any such "permission" be put in
writing. Absent the document, you have nothing which states that your
operation was blessed by the U.S. military. Getting things in writing
would have been a no-brainer.

> I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate, which you and
> Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the ARRL web site
> say on the matter?
> ---------------------------
> Somalia (T5)
> At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from the
> United Nations or your military commander.
>
> ---------------------------
>
> So in the end, I did what was required, and you looked stupid. And Ed
> ducked out of sight.

Who looked stupid? Could it be the guy who has no documentation
whatever to prove that he obtained his commander's authorization to
operate an amateur radio station in Somalia?

> During the few hours I had to grab my gear, say goodbye to my family, and
> get out of the country on December 4, 1992, I forgot to check in with the
> quasi-governmental ARRL DXCC desk to have them bless my operation.

It isn't necessary to obtain permission from the DXCC desk to operate.
It is necessary to obtain written documentation of your permission to
operate so that you can provide proof that you were not Mogadishu Slim.
You can't provide such proof.

> OBE, Overcome By Events.

OBE is *overtaken* by events.

OBS - Overcome By Smoke.

Dave K8MN

142. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 10:06:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7337D9.CE06ABD4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52007

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D728ACA.B9297FE4@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around the
> question I
> > > asked.
> > >
> > > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you
> and
> > > Ed have accused?
> >
> > I don't know about your taking advantage, Brian. I never accused you of
> > "taking advantage". As to bootlegging, it surely is looking that way.
> > What proof of authorization to operate from Somalia do you hold?
>
> Dave, make the accusation, or shut up.

How clear does it have to be, Brian? Must I smack you in the head with
a brick to get your attention? Read what I wrote above and allow time
for it to sink in.

Dave K8MN

143. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 10:08:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D733846.CE8289F1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52008

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net...
> >
> > Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.
>
> You pretend that they can make bootlegging legal.

Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia. You're generating more smoke.

Dave K8MN

144. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 10:12:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52009

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D728B7B.F59DE915@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
> quasi-
> > > > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > > > representative" in anything.
> > > >
> > > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> > > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > > > aren't a ham.
> > >
> > > I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
> >
> > It is worth as much as it ever was. Citizens are still permitted to
> > test for a radio amateur license. Len hasn't taken advantage of the
> > opportunities afforded by his citizenship. The failure to act is his.
>
> I don't recall the FCC ever putting out a notice asking people to apply for
> radio licenses. However, I do recall that the FCC has asked citizens of
> their comments on impending rule changes.

It doesn't matter whether the FCC puts out a notice or not. Len is
still afforded the opportunity to obtain an amateur radio license. His
failure to do so isn't my problem, the ARRL's problem or the FCC's
problem.

> > > You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency asking
> for
> > > comments.
> >
> > He commented. What is the FCC's obligation to give credence to those
> > comments?
>
> Or your comments, for that matter.

Right--even my comments. I do, however, have an ARRL division director. I even have a license issued by the FCC which permits my operation on the ham bands. Len is 0-for-2.

Dave K8MN

145. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 10:29:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D733D3C.CC7419C6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52010

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> >Burke"
> >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> >> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
> >quasi-
> >> >> > government membership organization standing between me and
> >> >> > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> >> >> > representative" in anything.
> >> >>
> >> >> You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> >> >> aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> >> >> aren't a ham.
> >> >
> >> >I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
> >>
> >> Not among those to whom amateur radio has become a Life Style.
> >
> >I do other things, Len. I am interested in current events. I play the
> >guitar. I garden. I read. What about those non-radio amateurs who spend
> >large amounts of time posting to an amateur radio newsgroup? How do
> >they stack up?
>
> What about them?

I'm asking you because you are the only example I can come up with.
You'd be the experrt on non-hams devoting a significant amount of time
posting to a newsgroup which deals with something in which you are a
non-participant.

> Who cares what you do on breaks from thundering at those who
> don't agree with you?

Which way do you want it, Len? First, ham radio is a lifestyle. Next, you don't care what I do when I'm not involved in amateur radio. I pointed out that I don't consider amateur radio a lifestyle. My life is filled with many things.

> Do you do Joan Baez imitations with your guitar music?

I really do an imitation of Joan Baez imitating Kenny Burrell on guitar.

> >> ARRL is not a government agency or organization. The FCC is.
> >> The FCC regulates all of US civil radio services, not just amateur
> >> radio.
> >
> >We all knew that and this newsgroup doesn't deal with all 0f US civil
> >radio services.
>
> It has dealt on personal gunnery (at length), US foreign policy,
> Citizens Band, locomotive history, industrial process control
> electronics, just to name a few NON-AMATEUR RADIO
> subjects.

You left out your volumes on your personal military history, your diatribes on commercial engineering pratices and the like.

> The FCC regulates US amateur radio and grants amateur radio
> licenses.

We all knew that one too.

> ARRL does not.

Yep. We knew that one too.

> K8MN is not an official of the FCC.

We all knew that one too. We're reminded that you aren't an official of the FCC and that you aren't a radio amateur.

>
> >Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.
>
> Constant instructions from ARRL on "writing your director"
> on policy matters.

That's not proof. The American Legion and VFW want me to write to district commanders too. They aren't pretending to be government agencies.

> No ARRL official of any kind has any jurisdiction BETWEEN
> citizens and a government regulatory agency. NONE.

We knew that. Those of us who are League members know why the League suggests contacting a division director. See if you can fathom why that might be.

> >The ARRL is the largest amateur radio organization, by far, in the
> >United States.
>
> Irrelevant. ARRL has a membership total LESS than a quarter
> of all licensed US radio amateurs. It is definitely an organization
> of the MINORITY.

No, it isn't irrelevant because you say it is. The ARRL is the largest,
by many magnitudes, amateur radio organization in the U.S.

> >Prove that K8MN thinks so. Prove that he pretends to be
> >judge-advocate. Prove that he has awarded himself the title "Judge
> >Advocate of all amateur radio matters".
>
> K8MN's own message show that distinctly.

Nope. That claim does not constitute proof.

> >What happens to those to don't agree with Leonard H. Anderson's
> >viewpoints?
>
> Mostly, nothing. Those that become enraged will post personal
> insults in here. Sometimes they are fun to see when they go
> off the deep end in their wrath and rage. :-)

You mean mostly they are subjected to personal insults and denigration
by Anderson or that their ideas are subjected to casual dismissal.
Sometimes you are "fun to see" when someone here raises your ire.

All of these years posting here and you are still not a participant in
amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

146. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 10:32:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902063221.08408.00000031@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52011

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/2/02 5:12 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net>

>Len is 0-for-2.
>

More like "0-for-everything", I think.

73

Steve, K4YZ

147. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 10:35:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D733E97.F6639722@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52012

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <tZuc9.241$ap4.11744314@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020901160650.11404.00000424@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke"
> >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

> Those that made it through the old system are loath to
> change it. Some wish to retain the eliteness for their own
> self-worth...as if the regulations pertained only to them and
> nothing to others coming in the future.

Clear this up for us, Len. Are you a part of amateur radio under the
old system or are you part of amateur radio through the new system?

>
> >Remove from it the shackles of mandatory Morse/Farnsworth testing?
>
> Again, those shackles must be retained if they overcame
> the hoop jumps. "All must do as they did."

Let's see. I became under a system in place in the early '60s. I
upgraded twice under the "old" new system put in place in '68. The
"new" new system was put in place a couple of years ago. Under the
testing currently conducted--not only are all not required to do as I
did, it is impossible for them to do so.

Blam! There goes another tire(ade).

Dave K8MN

148. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 10:41:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D73401A.4C84ADB6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52013

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > > > > Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?
> > >
> > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some quasi-
> > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > representative" in anything.
> >
> > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > aren't a ham.
>
> Actually, being a taxpayer he would "have" the FCC via Congress.

He doesn't have an amateur radio license issued by the FCC. I guess
we all "have" the Justice Department and the Department of Agriculture.

> But he wouldn't "have" the ARRL unless he joins it.

He wouldn't "have" the ARRL even then because Associate Members are not
voting members. Only licensed radio amateurs are voting members. Len
still has a couple of steps to complete.

Dave K8MN

149. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 11:51:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902075116.03304.00000798@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52014

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/2/02 5:41 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D73401A.4C84ADB6@earthlink.net>

>He wouldn't "have" the ARRL even then because Associate Members are not
>voting members. Only licensed radio amateurs are voting members. Len
>still has a couple of steps to complete.

Is one of those steps a trip to a proctologist for a little bit of
anatomical restructuring? Perhaps a chiropracter? Maybe just a good
osteopathic doc...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

150. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 12:04:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D73539C.C23A8514@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52015

Dave Heil wrote:

> OBE is *overtaken* by events.
>
> OBS - Overcome By Smoke.

In his case seems it's OBBS. The obvious.

151. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 12:06:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902080646.25779.00000386@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52016

In article <aktrennra1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:

>Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive licensing on
>the hams?

As W0EX says, don't change the tune. FCC made the new rules. ARRL had input -
and so did lots of non-ARRL folks. All in accordance with the rules, over a
period of about 4 years.

> Well they most certainly did.

No. The situation is more complicated than you have presented. Here's a brief
history of the proceedings:

In 1951 the FCC overhauled the venerable ABC system, and added three new
licenses - the Novice, Technician, and Extra. The new license requirements and
privileges were:

Novice - 5 wpm code test, sending and receiving. Simplified written test on
theory and regulations. No experience required or allowed. Extremely limited CW
privileges in parts of the 80 and 11 meter bands, plus CW and phone privileges
on part of 2 meters. 75 watts maximum power input, crystal control only. One
year license term, nonrenewable. Exams given at FCC examination points or by
mail if conditions for mail exams were met. The Novice was meant to be a sort
of "learner's permit" to help new hams get started.

Technician - 5 wpm code test, sending and receiving. Basic written test on
theory and regulations - same written test as General class. All amateur
privileges above 220 MHz. Exams given at FCC examination points or by mail if
conditions for mail exams were met. The Technician was meant for those who were
more interested in VHF/UHF experimentation than HF operating. The proposed
Class D license was implemented as the Technician.

General (old Class B) - 13 wpm code test, sending and receiving. Basic written
test on theory and regulations. Exam given at FCC examination points only. All
amateur privileges EXCEPT the only 'phone bands available to Generals and
Conditionals were 10, 11 and what was left of 160.

Conditional (old Class C) - Same as General, except tests given by mail.

Advanced (old Class A) - 13 wpm code test, sending and receiving. Basic and
advanced written tests on theory and regulations. At least one year of
experience as a General or Conditional licensee. Exam given at FCC examination
points only. All amateur privileges were granted with an Advanced license. This
license was to be phased out and replaced by the Extra. To this end, no new
Advanced class tests were given after 1952, but holders of Advanced class

licenses could renew and modify them indefinitely.

Extra - 20 wpm code test, sending and receiving. Basic and higher level written tests on theory and regulations. At least two years of experience as a General, Conditional or Advanced licensee. Exam given at FCC examination points only. All amateur privileges were granted with an Extra license.

In 1954 the FCC made Novice and Technician available by mail only.

The announcement that there would be no more new Class A/Advanced licenses after the end of 1952 caused many hams to get one while they were still available, rather than face the more difficult requirements of the new Extra license. A few amateurs went after the Extra, though.

But in early 1953 the FCC made a surprise about-face and announced that all amateur privileges would be granted to all holders of General, Conditional, Advanced and Extra class licenses. No official reason was given, nor was their an NPRM or its equivalent process.

In 1958 the FCC began to rethink its late-1952 decision granting all privileges to General and Conditional class amateurs. They noted that homebrewing and experimenting were decreasing, phone operation was increasing, and the technical knowledge and operating skills of many amateurs seemed mediocre at best. It's also possible that the unexpected launch of Sputnik by the Soviet Union in 1957, and the Soviets' early space triumphs, led the FCC to think that American amateur radio needed some kind of change in the licensing area.

At that time, many new hams followed a fairly straightforward path. First, a new ham got a Novice license, and set up a simple station, often with homebrew, secondhand, or converted surplus gear. The Novice year was marked by rapid learning and improvement of skills - it had to be, because if the new ham did not upgrade before the license term ended, he/she would be off the air until a higher level license could be earned. Most new hams upgraded to General or Conditional, but some went to Technician. However, once the General or Conditional license was passed, many hams bought new manufactured gear and lost interest in increasing their skills or technical knowledge. The FCC thought
this was a problem, and began to inquire about ways to change what they saw as an undesirable trend.

The ARRL responded in 1963 with a simple proposal: Reopen the Advanced to new applicants, and restrict the 75, 40, and 20 meter phone bands to Advanced and Extra licensees. This was essentially a return to the pre-1953 setup. The idea was to add one more step on the ladder from beginner to full privileges, and thus extend the learning time and raise the level of knowledge required for an all amateur privileges license. The concept became known as "Incentive Licensing".

The FCC responded with a general call for ideas, and was deluged with responses. No less than 10 proposals were given RM numbers by the FCC. The FCC combined features from many of these proposals and came up with a complex plan.

The HF bands would be divided into segments, or subbands, with some segments reserved for Extras, some for Advanceds and Extras, and some for all classes General and above. 6 meters was also slightly involved. Novices would be unaffected by these changes, and Techs were only affected by the change to 6 meters.

One particularly unpopular feature of the FCC plan was the replacement of the Advanced license with a new "Amateur First Class" license, with a new 16 wpm code test and a new written test. FCC proposed to demote holders of the Advanced to General. This idea was finally dropped due to strong opposition from the amateur community, including the ARRL.

Several proposals and counterproposals went back and forth, each with different features, all hotly debated. Finally in 1967 a final plan was announced. Enacted in two phases, some of the HF spectrum available to holders of General and Advanced class licenses would be withdrawn from their use. In addition, anyone with 25 years as a ham and an Extra class license could get a 1X2 call.

Opinion on this change was deeply divided. A very slight majority favored the plan, but many opponents felt cheated and had bitter resentment towards the FCC, ARRL, or both. There was a feeling among some that they had the "right" to all amateur privileges.

Some key points:

- Incentive licensing was not a new or revolutionary idea.

- A majority of those who commented supported the idea.

- There was a several-year-long process that developed the changes. The process was open to input from all, and included elements of ideas from many sources.

- The original 1963 ARRL proposal was simple, and did not affect CW/data privileges at all. Also, it granted full privileges to Advanceds as well as Extras.

- There were at least 10 proposals besides the ARRL one.

--
Complicated story. Much more to it than 'the ARRL and FCC forced incentive licensing on the hams'

>I was first licensed in 1961. I had my novice 3 months and then received
>the General. 13WPM and test in front of the FCC. I had just turned 14.

That's about how I got started in 1967/68.

>In about 1963 or so I started hearing via QST rumblings about a way to
>'improve ham radio'. Later to be called incentive licensing.

>In 1964 I left ham radio to join the military. This eventually ended my
>stateside activity totally in 1965 and I shipped over to South East Asia.

>In mid 1968 I returned home briefly on my way back over to Asia and fired up
>my little CW station on 40 meters. 7.010 was the only crystal I could find.

>And received a little note from the FCC saying I should not be on that freq.

Must have been later than mid-1968. The changes did not take effect until November 22, 1968.

>I was somewhat confused so I called the issuing office. I was then informed
>of the incentive licensing coming into effect. The guy asked me how it was
>I hadnt known about it. I told him I was in Vietnam and missed the news.
>We both had a chuckle and I promised not to operate there until I upgraded.

>From then until the mid 70s I stayed mad.

Seems to me you thought that the rules should not have changed while you were gone.

>Yes, I lived it.

So did many of us.

73 de Jim, N2EY

152. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 12:43:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D738718.1010003@adelphia.net>
Source record: 52017

Brian Kelly wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<3D704458.1040009@adelphia.net>...
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>
> . . . pointlss vitriol . .
>
>>
> . . . . .
>
>> Of course, if you just want to argue and anger people, keep it up, you're
>>doing a great job. Of course, that puts you in the same league as some
>>of the CB'ers in the group who post the nasty notes to each other.
>>
>> It is your choice, kind sir. If you think I'm wrong, you know how to
>>prove it! ;^)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>>
> Right on the money all the way 'round Mike. The Putz has worn it out, he's OVER.
>
> w3rv
>

Just remember, Brian good manners are a sign of weakness! HAR!

Strange how some folk think the best way to convince you is with a verbal
swat on the head.

- Mike KB3EIA -

153. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:06:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902100649.25779.00000388@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52018

In article <20020902063221.08408.00000031@mb-da.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 02 Sep 2002 10:32:21 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>>Date: 9/2/02 5:12 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net>
>
>>Len is 0-for-2.
>>
>
> More like "0-for-everything", I think.
>
So why feed a troll and a bootlegger?

73 de Jim, N2EY

154. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:06:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902100649.25779.00000387@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52019

In article <d22b54c3.0209020107.2b7ac281@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> Back to the 'subject'.....your both right. In some area's Ham radio is
>> dying.

>I keep hearing this "dying" nonsense but I don't get it. What,
>exactly, is "dying"?? It sure as hell isn't CW as a mode. For one.

'zactly. Lots of other examples.

>> In others its not. Lets hope the two equal out.

>"Equal out"?? Are you kidding? There are something like 8-10 times
>more licensed hams right now than there were when I was first
>licensed. Which is to say a much higher percetage of the population
>are hams today than back when when ham radio "was in it's glory days".

Yup. Add to that:

- Licenses are much easier to get
- More bands, more modes, more power (except for a few modes like AM)
- Equipment is smaller, lighter, more reliable, and is much much less expensive in performance-per-inflation-adjusted-dollar than ever before. Plus more companies making a wider variety.of ham gear. Plus a mountain of good used gear.

>This NG gotta have the highest level of bullshit per post in USNET.
>Concentrated in the wannabes and code bashers. "If ya can't handle it
>bash it", the usual. Eat yer hearts out.

Please don't feed the trolls and bootleggers.
>>
>> And as for the bootlegging buttheads on ten meters. How come you all run
>> away when I dump a KW in CW mode on you?
>>
>Aw hell, I keyed a short CQ out on top of a bunch of 'em with my
>little TS-50 mobile rig and that was the last I heard 'em. Talk abt
>the ultimate radio weenies.

Bingo

73 de Jim, N2EY

155. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:10:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RjKc9.401$Tm2.166057080@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52020

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D72F034.9F9220D0@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D728A4D.8822116B@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > > > Stevie, I would never operate illegally.
> > > >
> > > > It looks as if you already have during at least one period. Is it
> > > > dawning on you that you have no way of proving that your operation was
> > > > authorized?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, it was. Prove it was not.
> >
> But you've already done that. Lack of written authorization is prima facie
> evidence of no valid permission. For that matter, your erstwhile commander
may
> not have understood what you were asking for, and if queried after a full
> explanation might very well state that he gave you no such verbal
permission.
> That would leave you out on a very long limb.

Dick, the long limb was being in Somalia. You might want to go there and
take it up with them.

156. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:12:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mlKc9.402$4b1.161217553@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52021

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7331D0.BC1A5246@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D728A4D.8822116B@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020901073706.24715.00000603@mb-mj.aol.com...
> > > > > >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> > > > > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > > > > >Date: 9/1/02 5:59 AM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on
> > 14.195 .
> > > > > .
> > > > > >
> > > > > The next time something "desireable" shows up there, BK, I
think I
> > > > will do
> > > > > a bearing check. It would be like Burkie to do a Slim operation
just
> > to
> > > > tick
> > > > > off a lot of folks.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Stevie, I would never operate illegally.
> > >
> > > It looks as if you already have during at least one period. Is it
> > > dawning on you that you have no way of proving that your operation was
> > > authorized?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, it was. Prove it was not.
>
> It isn't necessary for me to prove your operation was not bootlegging.
> It is incumbent upon you to provide proof that you had authorization.
```

> Since you have no written permission, that's going to be a tough one for
> you.
>
> Dave K8MN

Who must I prove it to? Certainly not you.

I've done all kinds of wonderful things under verbal orders. This was no
different.

157. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:29:17 UTC
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <tht6nu48bgj4p9bk7g2ktafth9r4st5or6@4ax.com>
Source record: 52022

On Sun, 01 Sep 2002 20:40:21 GMT, Earl <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote:

>On 1 Sep 2002 12:10:24 -0700, ld751@yahoo.com (2LD751) wrote:
>>As long as they stay down there on 28015 khz and keep away from 28015
>>mhz, everything should be ok.
>
>28015 MHz ?

Actually, if they're on 28015 KHz, they're smack in the bottom end of
the 10-meter ham band... If they're on 28015 MHz, I'm sure they've
microwaved themselves sterile by now...

Raymond Sirois AB2HR
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

158. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:39:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3LKc9.407$6Y1.164361419@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52025

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D733579.7E8A4E8A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7289D3.4A3F8136@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > > > I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate
op
> > > > > from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you.
> > > >
> > > > Certainly I'm legitimate. Why do you say I'm not? I asked
permission,
> > > > permission was granted. I operated.
> > >
> > > Oh, I believe your parents married. Why do I say your operation from
> > > Somalia isn't kosher?--Because you don't have anything which says
> > > permission to operate an amateur radio station was granted. How do
you
> > > go about proving that you had permission?
> >
> > It was granted. Prove it wasn't.
>
> You'll have to prove that you were authorized to operate, Brian. You
> can't do so because, as you've stated, you had only verbal permission.
> Maybe you can dig up your military commander of the time and have him
> issue retroactive permission.

Dave, the permission wouldn't be retroactive. The documentation would be.
Oh well, I'm not that interested.

> > > > I don't know of
> > > > any award program which sanctions operations from those not
holding a
> > > > valid license in the country of operation.
> > >
> > > There is no government in Somalia, thus there are no valid Somalia
> > licenses,
> > > unless you want to consider some UN issued licenses Somalian. You
must

> > > > accept the fact that Somalia is a paradox. I operated legally, yet
I
> > have
> > > > not provided the ARRL's DXCC desk with anything.
> > >
> > > If your miilitary commander had provided written permission like that
> > > issued by the United Nations, you'd have been operating legally. You
> > > operated on some guy's verbal authorization. You don't have anything
to
> > > provide to the DXCC desk.
> >
> > Hmmm, back to the ARRL's DXCC desk. Is the dxcc desk the final
authority on
> > legal amateur operations everywhere?
>
> For whether an operation will be accepted for DXCC credit or not? Yes.

For whether it was legal, Dave. That's what you and Ed's accusation of
bootlegging means.

> > It would appear that Len is correct. You do view the ARRL as a
> > quasi-governmental entity capable of authorizing amateur operations in
> > foreign countries. Wow.
>
> This is the part where you begin to be the real Brian.

I'm always the "real" Brian. I don't have to be someone else while I'm on
here because I don't work for the ARRL. Perhaps when Ed began charging that
I was a bootlegger was when the "real" Ed showed up.

You read
> something and draw an incorrect conclusion.

Dave, I'm free to make mistakes. Please correct me whenever I'm wrong.

The ARRL doesn't authorize
> operations.

Who authorizes operations in Somalia?

> The ARRL sponsors DXCC. The DXCC desk decides whether an
> operation will be accepted for DXCC purposes. Where there is any
> question of an operation's legitimacy, the desk may request proof of
> licensing along with copies of passport entry or exit stamps and/or
> visas.

Yes, I understand all that.

Explain how you came to the conclusion that lack of DXCC approval means I
was bootlegging.

> > > > Are you still of the opinion that I took advantage of a poor country
and
> > > > bootlegged a call?

> > > >
> > > > You didn't have one but some
> > > > > guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one
accepting
> > your
> > > > > > word that it was okay!
> >
> > Yep, some guy.
> >
> > > > > Dave, you're almost a funny guy. Was your military commander in
combat
> > zone
> > > > > Vietnam just "some guy?" I'd think he was a little more influential
> > over
> > > > > your activities than some khat selling technical at the K4 traffic
> > circle.
> > >
> > > There was no amateur radio operation permitted by the military in
> > > Vietnam. I know of two legal stations, both operated by American
> > > civilians during my time in country. My military commander did not
have
> > > the authority to permit me to operate on the ham bands. I don't have
> > > any written permission either.
> >
> > Dave, so your commander was just "some guy," huh?
>
> It doesn't matter about *my* commander. I did not operate based on
> verbal permission. Amateur operation was forbidden.

And amateur operation by military members in Somalia was not forbidden.

> > Too bad about there being an existing government that refused to issue
> > military members an operating permit. I wonder why that was. I hope
they
> > didn't theink you would try to take advantage of them.
>
> The government of Vietnam also banned dancing.

Standing up or lying down?

> I wonder why that was.

Baptists?

> > Maybe if Somalia had had a government to refuse my operation then that
would
> > have been the end of it.
>
> Sure would have.

And that would be that.

> If you had written authorization from your commander
> to operate, that'd also likely be the end of it. As it is, you can't
> prove that anyone ever granted you permission to operate.

I don't have to prove anything, Dave. I was granted permission, and I operated. Legally.

Who requires "written authorization," by the way?

Yes, now that you've brought it to my attention that the DXCC desk probably doesn't recognize it for their credit, I wished I had know all the ins and outs of covering all the bases of operation in a country without a government.

If the DXCC desk doesn't like it, then fine. Its just another example of the ARRL not supporting one of its members. Again.

159. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:41:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kMKc9.408$2e2.165481660@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52026

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D72E5CB.D4424649@townsqr.com...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > Ya tossed a short list of 1x2 callsigns ya could
> > live with and they did the picking outta yer list.
>
> Yep, providing that one on your want list was open and available-no
QRZ.com to check in those days.
> The one they handed me wasn't on my list, since none of them was still
open, but no complaints.
>

Sequentially issued?

160. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:42:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <vNKc9.409$IB2.167002215@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52027

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D72C949.9060702@adelphia.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo:
> >
> > Is the following quote what you consider "good amateur practice" and
> > "strength?"
>
>
> <a bunch of stuff from Steve snipped>
>
> It is neither good amateur practice, nor is an example of strength.
>
> I do disagree with the content of his replies to you. I believe I noted
> this to him at some point in the past. I cannot control him of course,
> nor do I want to. On the ham bands I would be shocked and chagrined to
> hear him talking like that.
>
> I also am a firm believer that good manners should never be interpreted
> as weakness.
>
> But somehow, I can disagree with him, and it doesn't turn into warfare on
> the web.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, there is a long list of people that Steve goes berzerk over. Do a
google search on "Mark Morgan."

161. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:43:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <POKc9.410$Ld3.169561251@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52028

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D738718.1010003@adelphia.net...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<3D704458.1040009@adelphia.net>...
> >
> >>Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > > . . . pointlss vitriol . .
> >
> >>
> > > . . . . .
> >
> >> Of course, if you just want to argue and anger people, keep it up,
you're
> >>doing a great job. Of course, that puts you in the same league as some
> >>of the CB'ers in the group who post the nasty notes to each other.
> >>
> >> It is your choice, kind sir. If you think I'm wrong, you know how to
> >>prove it! ;^)
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > > Right on the money all the way 'round Mike. The Putz has worn it out,
he's OVER.
> >
> > > w3rv
> >
>
> Just remember, Brian good manners are a sign of weakness! HAR!
>
> Strange how some folk think the best way to convince you is with a verbal
> swat on the head.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, I think Len said that he thinks Steve sees good manners as a sign of
weakness.

162. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:49:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yTKc9.411$2c2.165377045@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52029

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901212445.10667.00000149@mb-ca.aol.com...
> In article <3D72C397.1090608@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
> >> All I hear from the PCTA is that w/o the code exam you might as well
give
> >> the licenses away as a prize in a Cracker Jacks box.
> >>
> >> Now its not broke?
> >
> > You've made a strawman, Brian, PCTA'ers don't share all the same
> >opinions. I support code testing, yet I never thought the VE system was
> >broken or even bent. FCC or VE, I don't care. I don't see where one is
> >better than the other. I suppose if I had to choose, It would be the
> >VE's, since they don't use tax money.
>
> The biggest user of the "give away as a prize in Cracker Jacks box"
> in here is W0EX. Other PCTAs have written the same thing. Still
> others say "it would be given out on street corners for nothing."
>
> It is NOT a "strawman" except in the use by those PCTAs.
>
> While it is true that all PCTAs do not say such things, the
> general group that Brian Burke refers to is in here. They have
> posted such things. Such is archived.
>
> The answer to get around the alleged "memorization" charge
> (which supposedly "dumbs down" present testing) is to request
> the VECQPC to increase the number of pool questions.
> Present-day US amateur radio regulations do not have any
> maximum number for the pool questions, only a minimum of
> 10 for each required test question.
>
> No change in US amateur radio regulations is required to make
> the question pool large enough to preclude memorization of
> every one that might appear on a test.

Which brings us back to Jim, and him tsaying its not broke. But it was
broke when code examinations were reduced from three exams to one, and it
was broke when the license structure went from six to three. Remember, Jim
says we needed more license classes than 6.

I just wonder when this new, "its not broke" thinking came in.

163. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:50:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aVKc9.412$IB2.167002215@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52030

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1s5ovk.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 1 Sep 2002 20:45:52 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back to administering tests, the
> >questions would become public anyway. The internet has made it
> >extremely easy to distribute materials without any oversight from
> >government, etc.
>
> You left out the word "stolen" betwene "distribute" and
> "materials".
>
> Make the violations egregious enough and government-based "adult
> supervision" will certainly follow. I'd rather not be around then,
> though.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Phil, is it any less easy for a VEC to give away the exact exam?

164. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:54:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kYKc9.413$Ct3.170580116@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52031

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D72E8A5.E79CDEB2@ix.netcom.com...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> >
> > But I don't know any argument that would convince the FCC to take over
the
> > testing process again.
>
> Add to that convincing the FCC to try to get Congress to allocate enough
money
> to do the test sessions again.

The government spends money on all kinds of stupid things. Lots of it. I
think the government spending money examining the people they issue licenses
to is not a stupid thing.

> In a sense, does it really require more knowledge to operate SSB on
14.157KHz
> vs 14.327KHz?

That's what I've argued for years.

> The only real reason for having subbands for higher licenses
> is that your frequency you're using is easily determined by a remote FCC
site.

Only if the person investigated is playing by the rules. But if they were,
they wouldn't be under investigation.

> They can't easily tell how much power, maybe you're using a beam aimed
> at them....

Sounds like a Dick trick.

165. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:58:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <G0Lc9.204$EQ3.100567283@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52032

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902022758.12219.00000851@mb-mw.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 9/1/02 7:06 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020901080645.02651.00000008@mb-cc.aol.com>
>
> >First you'd have to find one, then restore it. The matching HG-10 is a
plus,
> >too. With declining sunspots, it's a good idea to convert the 15 meter
> >bandswitch position to 20 meters.
> >
>
> Acutally I was considering a five band RX from the handbook and a two
band
> 35 watt 6146 rig with VFO from scratch.
>
> Have you seen the prices that unbuilt heath gear can command...???
>
> Whew!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yes, it's insane. Then once you build it, the value falls off to that of a
40 year old boat anchor.

166. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:58:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <H0Lc9.205$EQ3.100567283@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52033

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901212446.10667.00000151@mb-ca.aol.com...
> In article <NQuc9.236$en4.11627669@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> There is no hope for much rational discussion on any subject in here
> >> without incurring the rage and wrath of individuals such as K8MN,
> >> W0EX, and (lately) W1RFI.
> >
> >Len, don't forget w3rv, K3LT, and K4YZ.
>
> Who could? :-)
>
> KH2D was the best of the ragers. He had style, panache even.
> The "No SSB International" web page was a classic of CW life-
> style diatribism.
>
> Beep, beep.

Len, I came on the group after Jim had all but left, so I missed that. But
I do recall an argument at one of the Marianas ARC meetings. ;^)

167. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 14:59:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <F1Lc9.206$EQ3.100567283@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52034

```
"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209020200.576d9951@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<NQuc9.236$en4.11627669@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > >
> > > Brian, if you simply oppose certain newsgroup regular's viewpoints,
> > > you will be guilty of far stronger badness than mere bootlegging
> > > charges.
> > >
> > > There is no hope for much rational discussion on any subject in
here
> > > without incurring the rage and wrath of individuals such as K8MN,
> > > W0EX, and (lately) W1RFI.
> >
> > Len, don't forget w3rv, K3LT, and K4YZ.
> >
> Please don't. It's called "consensus building", took awhile but you
> and The Putz are getting a tad surrounded by reality.
>
> w3rv

I've noticed that you've taken up the name calling game. It becomes you.
```

168. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:02:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <X3Lc9.207$4Z3.101103775@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52035

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209020152.55ebae3a@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<b9rc9.49$JO.18398416@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020901073706.24715.00000603@mb-mj.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> > > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > > >Date: 9/1/02 5:59 AM Central Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>
> >
> > > > >. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on
14.195 .
> > .
> > >
> > > The next time something "desireable" shows up there, BK, I think I
> > will do
> > > a bearing check. It would be like Burkie to do a Slim operation just
to
> > tick
> > > off a lot of folks.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Stevie, I would never operate illegally.
> >
> >
> You already did. I need the Island of Ambrosio, can you get on 14.195?
> Oops, I almost forgot, you're not allowed to be on 14.195 ssb . .
>
> w3rv

Brian, do you know that for a fact? What are my reciprocal operating
priveleges on Ambrosio?

169. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:15:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <FgLc9.262$MJ3.100123817@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52036

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1s82ul.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 02 Sep 2002 00:39:18 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate, which you
and
> >Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the ARRL web
site
> >say on the matter?
>
> Against my better judgment I'll get into this cat-fight.
>
> The only entity that can give you permission to operate amateur
> radio in any country is the regulatory Administration - government
> entity duly represented to the ITU. If such an Administration does
> not exist, there is no entity authorized to give you permission to
> operate there.
>
> Your military commander DOES NOT have the authority to allow you to
> operate what is essentially a civilian activity in a foreign country
> unless S/HE is "deputized" for that purpose by the duly constituted
> Administration. No Administration? No authority to permit you to
> operate. Your military commander is not the government of Somalia
> for purposes of zmateur radio licensing.
>
> What call sign did you use and how was it issued?
>
> Consider yourself lucky that some nit-picker who knows the law did
> not turn you in to the FCC for action against your US license for
> violation of the International Radio Regulations which require that
> you hold a valid authorization from the Administration of the
> country in which you are located, and turn in your commander to the
> appropriate military authority for exceeding his/her authority.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Phil, your beloved ARRL has this to say about operations in Somalia:

Somalia (T5)
At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
Nations or your military commander.

There is no IARU-member society.

Additionally, your beloved ARRL DXCC desk has accepted fro credit other military members who have operated from Somalia under the same conditions.

Take it up with them.

170. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:19:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GjLc9.276$Fn4.102836497@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52037

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902023549.12219.00000852@mb-mw.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/2/02 12:02 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020902010207.22428.00001320@mb-ch.aol.com>
>
> >The final authority of radio use in the US Army would reside
> > with the Chief Signal Officer.
>
> Wrong. The final authority for AMATEUR Radio rested with the host
> administration.

There was no host administration.

Somalia (T5)
At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
Nations or your military commander.

There is no IARU-member society.

> >I've never known of any USA or USAF radio unit consult any
> > International Radio Regulations, much less any ITU-R manual
> > of recommendations before establishing any radio installation
>
> I did. I operated under the Auxiliary Military Radio Service....No,
> that's not a scrambled acronym for MARS. KA6CM was my callsign under
AMRS.

Steve does have a point - Japan.

> AMRS was the authority that American Amateurs operating from American
> installations on Japanese soil operated under. My copy of AMRS rules
cited
> international and American regulations.
>
> >Nor will I accept your advice on "turning in a commanding
> > officer" for breaking some civilian laws in radio use. That might
> > be a cute plot device for the TV series "JAG" but it is as
> > kosher in the real military as a ham sandwich.
>
> It's not for you to accept or not accept, you self righteous jerk.
>
> Putz.

Wow. Another overreaction to another polite posting from Len.

171. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:20:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MkLc9.281$Bb4.102048962@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52038

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902033245.12219.00000859@mb-mw.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 9/2/02 1:35 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020902023549.12219.00000852@mb-mw.aol.com>
> >
>
> >AMRS was the authority that American Amateurs operating from American
> >installations on Japanese soil operated under. My copy of AMRS rules
cited
> >international and American regulations.
>
> And I forgot to add...was authorized under the Status of Forces
Agreement
> between Japan and the United States, although Japan refused to allow thier
> Amateurs to communicate with us. Wierd rules, but legal.
>
> Steve

Somalia had no SOFA agreement with the US. Now what?

172. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:21:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GILc9.287$vl3.100040808@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52039

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209020131.7f95750f@posting.google.com...
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1s82ul.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > On Mon, 02 Sep 2002 00:39:18 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> . . . .
> >
> > What call sign did you use and how was it issued?
> >
> > Consider yourself lucky that some nit-picker who knows the law did
> > not turn you in to the FCC for action against your US license for
> > violation of the International Radio Regulations which require that
> > you hold a valid authorization from the Administration of the
> > country in which you are located, and turn in your commander to the
> > appropriate military authority for exceeding his/her authority.
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
> Boink: There ya go Burkie, ya done it again. I wouldn't worry about it
> though, it's not politically correct these days to really spank the
> weak-minded.
>
>
> w3rv

Boink?

Kellie, are you still teaching Jr. High?

173. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:24:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9pLc9.303$Wr4.103106604@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52040

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D728D4F.4192FB6C@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > Uhhhhhhhh Len--Brian's already stated that he holds no written
> > > permission to operate from Somalia. Mere bootlegging, despite your
> > > attempted misdirection *is* the issue being discussed.
> >
> > Dave, you didn't even have the most rudimentary information about
operating
> > from Somalia or any other piece of real estate that has no government.
You
> > admitted that repeatedly when, after saying that I didn't get it right,
you
> > could offer absolutely no information whatsoever. All you knew was that
> > whatever I said had to be wrong.
>
> I'd certainly have had the sense to have any such "permission" be put in
> writing. Absent the document, you have nothing which states that your
> operation was blessed by the U.S. military. Getting things in writing
> would have been a no-brainer.

So operating with verbal permission is bootlegging?

> > I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate, which you
and
> > Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the ARRL web
site
> > say on the matter?
> > ----------------------------
> > Somalia (T5)
> > At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from
the
> > United Nations or your military commander.
> >
> > ---------------------------
> >
> > So in the end, I did what was required, and you looked stupid. And Ed
> > ducked out of sight.
>
> Who looked stupid?

You did/do.

Could it be the guy who has no documentation
> whatever to prove that he obtained his commander's authorization to
> operate an amateur radio station in Somalia?

Cite the requirement to have written authorization.

> > During the few hours I had to grab my gear, say goodbye to my family,
and
> > get out of the country on December 4, 1992, I forgot to check in with
the
> > quasi-governmental ARRL DXCC desk to have them bless my operation.
>
> It isn't necessary to obtain permission from the DXCC desk to operate.

No kidding?

> It is necessary to obtain written documentation of your permission to
> operate so that you can provide proof that you were not Mogadishu Slim.
> You can't provide such proof.

Dave, I am Brian Burke. Why would I want to be Mogadishu Skinny?

> > OBE, Overcome By Events.
>
> OBE is *overtaken* by events.
>
> OBS - Overcome By Smoke.
>
> Dave K8MN

So get back to me about that citation.

174. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:25:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6qLc9.308$Lo4.102908005@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52041

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7337D9.CE06ABD4@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D728ACA.B9297FE4@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around the
> > question I
> > > > asked.
> > > >
> > > > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as
you
> > and
> > > > Ed have accused?
> > >
> > > I don't know about your taking advantage, Brian. I never accused you
of
> > > "taking advantage". As to bootlegging, it surely is looking that way.
> > > What proof of authorization to operate from Somalia do you hold?
> >
> > Dave, make the accusation, or shut up.
>
> How clear does it have to be, Brian? Must I smack you in the head with
> a brick to get your attention? Read what I wrote above and allow time
> for it to sink in.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, just state it in plain language as Ed had the guts to do.

175. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:29:44 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209020729.26b7069d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52042

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3D72E5CB.D4424649@townsqr.com>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > Ya tossed a short list of 1x2 callsigns ya could
> > live with and they did the picking outta yer list.
>
> Yep, providing that one on your want list was open and available-no QRZ.com to check in those days.
> The one they handed me wasn't on my list, since none of them was still open, but no complaints.
>
>
I combed the old print callbook for unused W x 2 callsigns I liked,
prioritized them per FCC instructions on the form and got my #2
choice. A guy ahead of me in the line into the Gettysburg Office when
that window for 1 x 2s opened glomed W3RU which was my first choice.
Nutty game but no complaints here either.

w3rv

176. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:30:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5uLc9.327$OF4.104010964@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52044

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D72E985.D18971AF@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you
and
> > > Ed have accused?
>
> Seems you can't understand that if you never received proper permission
to
> operate in the form of *documentary evidence* your operation is not
allowed for
> DXCC credit.

OK. I accept that.

Sure, your motives are pure, and your conscience is clear- you
> di-dit "your way" HA! and that's all that matters - to you.

Why wouldn't it matter to me?

> But that and a couple bucks would get you a beer in the PX beer garden.

Those were the days.

No PX/BX in Somalia. No beer garden. Moslem country - no alcohol. Hell, I
couldn't even get replacement shaving cream or blades; had to bath with a
canteen and a dirty sock. Washed clothes in a dirty trash can.

The
> real world requires documentation. Verbals are so much hot air. Ask anyone
who
> remembers Don Miller.

The criminal?

177. Re: cross-post heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:30:10 UTC
From: Clockwork Orange <bigtime@pgabriel.com>
Message-ID: <6uLc9.362582$m91.14421451@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 52043

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:P9Cc9.24539$FJ1.4731412@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> "xganon" wrote ...
>
> "now that ol' dick-head kicked the bucket, we can cross-post to our hearts
> content. we can post illegal mods and other fun things. we knew dickey
> got in a heart problem when he would get his blood pressure up over all
the
> cross-posts. he would still be alive today, if he would have minded his
own
> business....."
>
> Wow, That certainly took a lot of class to write.
>
> Arnie -

Yes low class
```

178. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:32:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2wLc9.338$Cd4.102181052@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52045

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D72E694.E2B71BC2@townsqr.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > Dick thinks no kid could ever know enough to legitimately be an
amateur.
> >
> > You are confused.
>
> Yeah, he is. I was a licensed ham at age 14, and a General just after my
15th
> birthday. He's a mid-forties kid who is still whining about how hard the
> qualificatons still are.

The qualifications today are far greater than when you were 14.

Dick, if it wasn't you, then which of you PCTA keeps chiming in about 9 year
old girls getting licensed?

179. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:33:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <txLc9.345$Cd4.102181052@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52046

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D72ECEE.50F69029@townsqr.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive
licensing on
> > the hams? Well they most certainly did.
> >
>
> Dan, don't change your assertion after making it. You said you blamed
ARRL
> for incentive licensing, not the FCC. Now you want to co-blame both. Of
course
> the FCC did it, and actually the ARRL made a successful case for toning it
down
> considerably, otherwise it would have been of much more negative personal
> impact. I lived it too, and was also annoyed that I lost privileges. But
life
> goes on, and going around mad didn't get me back on 7005kc, so it was time
to
> hit the books and W1AW (that's ARRL) on-the-air code practice. In no time
at
> all I got it all back, in spades.
>
> Dick

Dick, why did you have to retake a code exam? Furthermore, why did you have
to practice for it?

180. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:35:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1zLc9.354$sP4.104642600@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52047

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902080646.25779.00000386@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <aktrennra1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:
>
> >Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive licensing
on
> >the hams?
>
> As W0EX says, don't change the tune. FCC made the new rules. ARRL had
input -
> and so did lots of non-ARRL folks. All in accordance with the rules, over
a
> period of about 4 years.

So if the ARRL didn't ever want it, why did they refuse to let go of it in
2000?

181. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:36:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rALc9.360$6S4.104816861@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52048

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D733846.CE8289F1@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.
> >
> > You pretend that they can make bootlegging legal.
>
> Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could
> have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander
> authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.

Dave, I was legal. You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds like
you and them have a problem.

182. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:41:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PELc9.381$B_4.105363648@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52049

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D733D3C.CC7419C6@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>

> > Do you do Joan Baez imitations with your guitar music?
>
> I really do an imitation of Joan Baez imitating Kenny Burrell on guitar.

How do you get your imitation Kenny voice to quiver like hers?

183. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:43:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2HLc9.391$pT4.104901850@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52050

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D733D3C.CC7419C6@earthlink.net...

> That's not proof. The American Legion and VFW want me to write to
> district commanders too. They aren't pretending to be government
> agencies.

Which Posts are you a member of?

184. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:47:49 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209020747.d3814ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51801

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020901140631.02651.00000019@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0208250034.648136fb@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>>
> >Imagine what it was like twenty years earlier when I was WN3YIK. The
> >"QSK system" was a ceramic knife switch nailed to the desk.
>
> Ceramic? You had ceramic? All I had was a cheap plastic knife switch. DPDT.
>
>
You were just born too late to run the really good stuff, sorry about
that kid.
>
>
> >Yeah, it wuz fun.
>
> Still is - old gear or new.
>
>
I don't particularly share your enthusiasm for boat anchors . . no way
in hell would I spend even two minutes on the air again with that
crappy Jeep-type ARC-5 and S-40B lashup. Not even if it included the
ceramic knife aerial switch. I'm too old and grumpy now to put up with
that crap.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

185. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:48:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tLLc9.411$ua5.106137768@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51802

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020901212448.10667.00000153@mb-ca.aol.com...
> In article <tZuc9.241$ap4.11744314@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020901160650.11404.00000424@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke"
> >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
> >>
> >> Where do you get that idea?
> >>
> >> >You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency
asking
> for
> >> >comments.
> >>
> >> Nope. Anyone interested, licensed or not, can comment.
> >>
> >> But why would someone who obviously has no interest in being a part of
the
> ARS
> >> comment - unless they want to damage or destroy the ARS?
> >
> >Why do you think so negatively? Why damage or destroy?
>
> You have to understand that he has no effective counter to some
> comments of others that think differently from his way. The totally
> false charge above is a good example of the negative reply.
>
> Some overly-identify the entire ARS with their own personal
> desires and viewpoints. Anyone against their own personal
> viewpoint is thus falsely charged with destruction of the "entire
> US ARS."
>
> >Why not uplift the ARS?
>
> Normally that would be a good, even noble idea.
>
> In practice, individuals see only their own opinions as being

> "uplifting." Any contrary opinions are falsely charged with
> "insults to the entire ARS." That's as if their own personal
> viewpoints are the only ones held by the ARS.
>
> >Remove arbitrariness from the licensing and priveleges?
>
> Those that made it through the old system are loath to
> change it. Some wish to retain the eliteness for their own
> self-worth...as if the regulations pertained only to them and
> nothing to others coming in the future.
>
> >Remove from it the shackles of mandatory Morse/Farnsworth testing?
>
> Again, those shackles must be retained if they overcame
> the hoop jumps. "All must do as they did."
>
> >Improve the question pool?
>
> Too hard to communicate with the VECQPC. That requires thinking.
> It is easier and more fun to rail against the NCTA and those of
> lesser license class. That doesn't require thinking, only emotion.
>
> Beep, beep.

Welp, its beyond me if, as they say, someone without a license can impact the ARS in any way, shape, or kind, why they have to damn you at every opportunity, suggest you are harming them, and on and on and on.

Either free citizens can petition their government or they cannot.

Lets see what they say.

186. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 15:52:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pPLc9.427$S55.105839768@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51803

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D733E97.F6639722@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <tZuc9.241$ap4.11744314@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > >news:20020901160650.11404.00000424@mb-ct.aol.com...
> > >> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> > Burke"
> > >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> > Those that made it through the old system are loath to
> > change it. Some wish to retain the eliteness for their own
> > self-worth...as if the regulations pertained only to them and
> > nothing to others coming in the future.
>
> Clear this up for us, Len. Are you a part of amateur radio under the
> old system or are you part of amateur radio through the new system?
>
> >
> > >Remove from it the shackles of mandatory Morse/Farnsworth testing?
> >
> > Again, those shackles must be retained if they overcame
> > the hoop jumps. "All must do as they did."
>
> Let's see. I became under a system in place in the early '60s. I
> upgraded twice under the "old" new system put in place in '68. The
> "new" new system was put in place a couple of years ago. Under the
> testing currently conducted--not only are all not required to do as I
> did, it is impossible for them to do so.
>
> Blam! There goes another tire(ade).
>
> Dave K8MN

But Dave, you know that your cronies are not content with the present
system. They WISHED it were the same old way, and they WISHED the newer
folks would have to prove themselves under that old system. Since the newer
folks DON'T have to prove themselves under the old system, the old guard
reject the newer amateurs as uworthy.

187. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:03:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209020803.41a3eebf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51804

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020902023549.12219.00000852@mb-mw.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/2/02 12:02 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020902010207.22428.00001320@mb-ch.aol.com>
>
> >The final authority of radio use in the US Army would reside
> > with the Chief Signal Officer.
>
> Wrong. The final authority for AMATEUR Radio rested with the host
> administration.
>
> >I've never known of any USA or USAF radio unit consult any
> > International Radio Regulations, much less any ITU-R manual
> > of recommendations before establishing any radio installation
>
> I did. I operated under the Auxiliary Military Radio Service....No,
> that's not a scrambled acronym for MARS. KA6CM was my callsign under AMRS.
>
> AMRS was the authority that American Amateurs operating from American
> installations on Japanese soil operated under. My copy of AMRS rules cited
> international and American regulations.
>
> >Nor will I accept your advice on "turning in a commanding
> > officer" for breaking some civilian laws in radio use. That might
> > be a cute plot device for the TV series "JAG" but it is as
> > kosher in the real military as a ham sandwich.
>
> It's not for you to accept or not accept, you self righteous jerk.
>
> Putz.
>
>
First The Putz babbles on about military operating authorization
policies which have nothing at all to do with ham radio then he's
stupid enough to diss Phil's pronouncements on ham licensing. He can't
even keep the thread straight, he's hitting new lows, desperation must
be hell.

w3rv

188. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:04:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6_Lc9.475$o55.105809051@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51805

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D728B7B.F59DE915@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
> > quasi-
> > > > > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > > > > representative" in anything.
> > > > >
> > > > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and
you
> > > > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > > > > aren't a ham.
> > > >
> > > > I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
> > >
> > > It is worth as much as it ever was. Citizens are still permitted to
> > > test for a radio amateur license. Len hasn't taken advantage of the
> > > opportunities afforded by his citizenship. The failure to act is his.
> >
> > I don't recall the FCC ever putting out a notice asking people to apply
for
> > radio licenses. However, I do recall that the FCC has asked citizens of
> > their comments on impending rule changes.
>
> It doesn't matter whether the FCC puts out a notice or not.

But it does matter. The FCC specifically asked for comments. Len gave
comments, but according to you and the rest of your Cronies, he was wrong to
do so. You guys keep saying that he has no voice in amateur radio, then Jim
says he harms amateur radio. Which is it?

You guys need to grab a box of seegars, a gallon of ten-high, and head up to
Newington and get Len taken care of. I'm sure you can get an official
proclamation in the next newsletter.

Len is
> still afforded the opportunity to obtain an amateur radio license. His
> failure to do so isn't my problem, the ARRL's problem or the FCC's
> problem.

Problem??? IS it a problem? I don't see it as a problem. Why do you?

> > > > You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency
asking
> > for
> > > > > comments.
> > > >
> > > > He commented. What is the FCC's obligation to give credence to those
> > > > comments?
> > >
> > > Or your comments, for that matter.
>
> Right--even my comments. I do, however, have an ARRL division
> director.

And if Len were to approach Jim Maxwell with a comment or idea, do you think Jim would say, "Sorry, but you're not an ARRL member, and you're not a ham. I'm just not going to listen to you."

> I even have a license issued by the FCC which permits my
> operation on the ham bands. Len is 0-for-2.

Len is a citizen. He has the right to petition his government. He also has the right to post his opinions here - that is until you close the group to non-amateurs.

Then Larry wouldn't be able to slam all the newbies that have come on here asking information about licensing.

189. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:05:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <V_Lc9.479$7A5.107789538@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51806

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902100649.25779.00000388@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <20020902063221.08408.00000031@mb-da.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 02 Sep 2002 10:32:21 GMT
> >
> >>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >>Date: 9/2/02 5:12 AM Central Daylight Time
> >>Message-id: <3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net>
> >
> >>Len is 0-for-2.
> >>
> >
> > More like "0-for-everything", I think.
> >
> So why feed a troll and a bootlegger?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, explain your bootlegging comment.

190. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:06:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <U%Lc9.484$7C5.107920430@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51807

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D72E48C.2DA9C8EA@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> >
> > > > Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?
> > >
> > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
quasi-
> > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > representative" in anything.
> >
> > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > aren't a ham.
>
> Actually, being a taxpayer he would "have" the FCC via Congress.
>
> But he wouldn't "have" the ARRL unless he joins it.

Not true, the ARRL expends time, money, and other resources to non-amateurs.
Its called recruitment.

191. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:09:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <I2Mc9.490$QG5.108228793@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51808

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D73401A.4C84ADB6@earthlink.net...
> Robert Casey wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > > > > > Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
quasi-
> > > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > > representative" in anything.
> > >
> > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > > aren't a ham.
> >
> > Actually, being a taxpayer he would "have" the FCC via Congress.
>
> He doesn't have an amateur radio license issued by the FCC. I guess
> we all "have" the Justice Department and the Department of Agriculture.
>
> > But he wouldn't "have" the ARRL unless he joins it.
>
> He wouldn't "have" the ARRL even then because Associate Members are not
> voting members. Only licensed radio amateurs are voting members. Len
> still has a couple of steps to complete.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and join the
ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?

192. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:15:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <G8Mc9.496$zQ5.108861509@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51809

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902100649.25779.00000387@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0209020107.2b7ac281@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >> Back to the 'subject'.....your both right. In some area's Ham radio is
> >> dying.
>
> >I keep hearing this "dying" nonsense but I don't get it. What,
> >exactly, is "dying"?? It sure as hell isn't CW as a mode. For one.
>
> 'zactly. Lots of other examples.

I don't know where it comes from either, unless its Dick's old diatribe
about what would happen if we restructured..

> >> In others its not. Lets hope the two equal out.
>
> >"Equal out"?? Are you kidding? There are something like 8-10 times
> >more licensed hams right now than there were when I was first
> >licensed. Which is to say a much higher percetage of the population
> >are hams today than back when when ham radio "was in it's glory days".
>
> Yup. Add to that:
>
> - Licenses are much easier to get
> - More bands, more modes, more power (except for a few modes like AM)
> - Equipment is smaller, lighter, more reliable, and is much much less
expensive
> in performance-per-inflation-adjusted-dollar than ever before. Plus more
> companies making a wider variety.of ham gear. Plus a mountain of good used
> gear.

And FISTS. Don't forget FISTS.

193. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:26:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7jMc9.501$k%5.109551782@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51810

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020902005047.25660.00000996@mb-md.news.cs.com...
> >Your analogy is way off.
>
> Probably.
>
> >It's more like taking an Electronics course with
> >a compulsory Requirement to pass a test in Anglo-Saxon, and then being
> >told you should be pleased because you only have to translate a short
> >passage to get partial credit.
>
> You have an option. Were you forced to take that curriculum? I have a
degree in
> aeronautical engineering and one of the required courses was English
> Literature. So while we were cramming all night to pass everything from
> Avionics to Hydraulics to Calculus...we had to shoe-horn some English Lit
in
> there as well. Cry about it? No, this was the curriculum WE chose. We
could
> either "bitch and moan" or "get it done!" It's a personal choice where you
want
> to invest your time and energy. You're right. I like your analogy much
better.

I'll assume that you are employed in the aviation industry with your aeronautical degree. And I'll assume that you are relatively well compensated for your efforts, both in attaining a degree in aeronautical engineering, and in the industry.

I am not permitted to be compensated for whatever effort I expend learning the material for the license, nor for my radio activities afterward. Now there is no requirement in any service, except the ARS, to learn Morse code. And those other services can put money in your pocket. Why must the ARS retain this now arbitrary exam?

This is the difference between arbitrary "requirements" in academia, and amateur radio.

73, Brian

194. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:27:44 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209020827.73e08f21@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51811

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1sckwn.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 1 Sep 2002 19:09:21 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >>
. . . .
>
>
> >. . . I asked around about what an ultralight should use for a
> >callsign but never got a straight answer from the hanger bums or the
> >FBO sparky.
>
> See this:
>
> Section 87.107 Station identification.
>
> (a) Aircraft station. Identify by one of the following means:
>
> (1) Aircraft radio station call sign.
>
> ===> (2) Assigned FCC control number (assigned to ultralight air
> craft).
>
>
Well I'll just be. There it is, that's obscure enough. Tnx. If I'd
decided to buy the HT first thing I probably would have done is call
the FCC to get it right.
>
>

> (5) An aircraft identification approved by the FAA for use by
> aircraft stations participating in an organized flying activity
> of short duration.
>
>
The hang gliders use a variation on that. Their national organization
holds a license for a zillion base stations and 20 zillion mobiles on
some vhf FM freq which is not in the airband.

. . .

> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

195. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:33:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <opMc9.508$ze4.102243484@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51812

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message

> I'm too old and grumpy now to put up with that crap.
>
> w3rv

Lots of hams are.

196. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 16:47:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D73962E.2A515538@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51813

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7331D0.BC1A5246@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D728A4D.8822116B@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20020901073706.24715.00000603@mb-mj.aol.com...
> > > > > > >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> > > > > > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > > > > > >Date: 9/1/02 5:59 AM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209010259.2d815fec@posting.google.com>
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >. . . I'm trying to imagine Our Burkie running a 150/hr. pile on
> > > 14.195 .
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The next time something "desireable" shows up there, BK, I
> think I
> > > > > will do
> > > > > > > a bearing check. It would be like Burkie to do a Slim operation
> just
> > > to
> > > > > > tick
> > > > > > > off a lot of folks.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Stevie, I would never operate illegally.
> > > > >
> > > > > It looks as if you already have during at least one period. Is it
> > > > > dawning on you that you have no way of proving that your operation was
> > > > > authorized?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, it was. Prove it was not.
> > >
```

> > It isn't necessary for me to prove your operation was not bootlegging.
> > It is incumbent upon you to provide proof that you had authorization.
> > Since you have no written permission, that's going to be a tough one for
> > you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Who must I prove it to? Certainly not you.
>
> I've done all kinds of wonderful things under verbal orders. This was no
> different.

This one wasn't bright or wonderful. It is apparent that it was
different.
You don't *have* to prove to me that you had authorization to operate.
You don't have to prove it to the DXCC desk. You don't have to prove it
to those you worked and QSL'd. On the other hand, none of us has to
believe you.

Dave K8MN

197. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:02:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7399BB.A2992EC0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51814

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D733579.7E8A4E8A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7289D3.4A3F8136@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D71A336.B37658AE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > I'm sure the gang would have been quite happy to work a legitimate
> op
> > > > > > > from Somalia using SSB. They didn't find one in you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Certainly I'm legitimate. Why do you say I'm not? I asked
> permission,
> > > > > > permission was granted. I operated.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh, I believe your parents married. Why do I say your operation from
> > > > > Somalia isn't kosher?--Because you don't have anything which says
> > > > > permission to operate an amateur radio station was granted. How do
> you
> > > > > go about proving that you had permission?
> > > >
> > > > It was granted. Prove it wasn't.
> > >
> > > You'll have to prove that you were authorized to operate, Brian. You
> > > can't do so because, as you've stated, you had only verbal permission.
> > > Maybe you can dig up your military commander of the time and have him
> > > issue retroactive permission.
> >
> > Dave, the permission wouldn't be retroactive. The documentation would be.
> > Oh well, I'm not that interested.

Not that interested? Fancy that--you being a DXer and all.

> > > > > > I don't know of
> > > > > > > any award program which sanctions operations from those not
> holding a
> > > > > > > valid license in the country of operation.
> > > > > >
```

> > > > > There is no government in Somalia, thus there are no valid Somalia
> > > licenses,
> > > > > unless you want to consider some UN issued licenses Somalian. You
> must
> > > > > accept the fact that Somalia is a paradox. I operated legally, yet
> I
> > > have
> > > > > not provided the ARRL's DXCC desk with anything.
> > > >
> > > > If your miilitary commander had provided written permission like that
> > > > issued by the United Nations, you'd have been operating legally. You
> > > > operated on some guy's verbal authorization. You don't have anything
> to
> > > > provide to the DXCC desk.
> > >
> > > Hmmm, back to the ARRL's DXCC desk. Is the dxcc desk the final
> authority on
> > > legal amateur operations everywhere?
> >
> > For whether an operation will be accepted for DXCC credit or not? Yes.
>
> For whether it was legal, Dave. That's what you and Ed's accusation of
> bootlegging means.

Without a license or other document produced by someone in having the
authority to grant permission to operate, it flatly wasn't legal. You
are the person who seemed confused by the role of the DXCC desk. I was
clear on that role all along.

> > > It would appear that Len is correct. You do view the ARRL as a
> > > quasi-governmental entity capable of authorizing amateur operations in
> > > foreign countries. Wow.
> >
> > This is the part where you begin to be the real Brian.
>
> I'm always the "real" Brian. I don't have to be someone else while I'm on
> here because I don't work for the ARRL. Perhaps when Ed began charging that
> I was a bootlegger was when the "real" Ed showed up.

I gave you credit for not always drawing an incorrect conclusion.
Pardon my error.

> You read
> > something and draw an incorrect conclusion.
>
> Dave, I'm free to make mistakes. Please correct me whenever I'm wrong.

I thought I just did.

> The ARRL doesn't authorize
> > operations.
>

> Who authorizes operations in Somalia?

You've already stated that several times. You can add the government of
Somalia whenever the country forms one. Any of those forms of
permission will be in writing.

> > The ARRL sponsors DXCC. The DXCC desk decides whether an
> > operation will be accepted for DXCC purposes. Where there is any
> > question of an operation's legitimacy, the desk may request proof of
> > licensing along with copies of passport entry or exit stamps and/or
> > visas.
>
> Yes, I understand all that.
>
> Explain how you came to the conclusion that lack of DXCC approval means I
> was bootlegging.

Lack of DXCC approval doesn't necessarily indicate bootlegging. Lack of
written permission from an entity authorized to grant it indicates that
you were bootlegging.

> > > > > Are you still of the opinion that I took advantage of a poor country
> and
> > > > > bootlegged a call?
> > > > >
> > > > > You didn't have one but some
> > > > > > guy told you that it was okay to operate. Imagine no one
> accepting
> > > your
> > > > > > word that it was okay!
> > >
> > > Yep, some guy.
> > >
> > > > > Dave, you're almost a funny guy. Was your military commander in
> combat
> > > zone
> > > > > > Vietnam just "some guy?" I'd think he was a little more influential
> > > over
> > > > > > your activities than some khat selling technical at the K4 traffic
> > > circle.
> > > > >
> > > > > There was no amateur radio operation permitted by the military in
> > > > > Vietnam. I know of two legal stations, both operated by American
> > > > > civilians during my time in country. My military commander did not
> have
> > > > > the authority to permit me to operate on the ham bands. I don't have
> > > > > any written permission either.
> > >
> > > Dave, so your commander was just "some guy," huh?
> >
> > It doesn't matter about *my* commander. I did not operate based on

> > verbal permission. Amateur operation was forbidden.
>
> And amateur operation by military members in Somalia was not forbidden.

Not forbidden does not indicate blanket approval. You have no proof of
any kind that you had such permission.

> > > Too bad about there being an existing government that refused to issue
> > > military members an operating permit. I wonder why that was. I hope
> they
> > > didn't theink you would try to take advantage of them.
> >
> > The government of Vietnam also banned dancing.
>
> Standing up or lying down?

From what I gather, lying down was okay. Dancing while standing and
while music was playing was judge, by the government of Vietnam to be
something too frivolous for a country at war.
>
> > I wonder why that was.
>
> Baptists?

Catholics and buddhists.

> > > Maybe if Somalia had had a government to refuse my operation then that
> would
> > > have been the end of it.
> >
> > Sure would have.
>
> And that would be that.

...but it wasn't that.

> > If you had written authorization from your commander
> > to operate, that'd also likely be the end of it. As it is, you can't
> > prove that anyone ever granted you permission to operate.
>
> I don't have to prove anything, Dave. I was granted permission, and I
> operated. Legally.

So you say.

> Who requires "written authorization," by the way?

All countries require written authorization.

> Yes, now that you've brought it to my attention that the DXCC desk probably
> doesn't recognize it for their credit, I wished I had know all the ins and
> outs of covering all the bases of operation in a country without a
> government.

The same things apply to countries *with* governments. Someone who has authority to grant amateur radio operation issues the permission (in writing).

> If the DXCC desk doesn't like it, then fine. Its just another example of
> the ARRL not supporting one of its members. Again.

You'd like special DXCC rules for the unenlightened?

Dave K8MN

198. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:04:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D739A4E.99840D38@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51815

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3D738718.1010003@adelphia.net...

> > Just remember, Brian good manners are a sign of weakness! HAR!
> >
> > Strange how some folk think the best way to convince you is with a verbal
> > swat on the head.

> Mike, I think Len said that he thinks Steve sees good manners as a sign of
> weakness.

Yeah, Len would be my first choice as a source of good manners and
civility.

Dave K8MN

199. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:07:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D739B05.BC0B8AB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51816

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020902033245.12219.00000859@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> > >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> > >Date: 9/2/02 1:35 AM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <20020902023549.12219.00000852@mb-mw.aol.com>
> > >
> >
> > >AMRS was the authority that American Amateurs operating from American
> > >installations on Japanese soil operated under. My copy of AMRS rules
> cited
> > >international and American regulations.
> >
> > And I forgot to add...was authorized under the Status of Forces
> Agreement
> > between Japan and the United States, although Japan refused to allow thier
> > Amateurs to communicate with us. Wierd rules, but legal.
> >
> > Steve
>
> Somalia had no SOFA agreement with the US. Now what?

No SOFA; no written permission=bootlegger.

Dave K8MN

200. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:12:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51817

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D728D4F.4192FB6C@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > Uhhhhhhhh Len--Brian's already stated that he holds no written
> > > > permission to operate from Somalia. Mere bootlegging, despite your
> > > > attempted misdirection *is* the issue being discussed.
> > >
> > > Dave, you didn't even have the most rudimentary information about
> operating
> > > from Somalia or any other piece of real estate that has no government.
> You
> > > admitted that repeatedly when, after saying that I didn't get it right,
> you
> > > could offer absolutely no information whatsoever. All you knew was that
> > > whatever I said had to be wrong.
> >
> > I'd certainly have had the sense to have any such "permission" be put in
> > writing. Absent the document, you have nothing which states that your
> > operation was blessed by the U.S. military. Getting things in writing
> > would have been a no-brainer.
>
> So operating with verbal permission is bootlegging?

Duh. Do you have proof that someone in authority okayed your operation?

> > > I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate, which you
> and
> > > Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the ARRL web
> site
> > > say on the matter?
> > > ----------------------------
> > > Somalia (T5)
> > > At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from
> the
> > > United Nations or your military commander.
> > >
> > > ---------------------------
> > >
> > > So in the end, I did what was required, and you looked stupid. And Ed
```

> > > ducked out of sight.
> >
> > Who looked stupid?
>
> You did/do.

Nope. You still have it wrong, Mr. Ethics.

> Could it be the guy who has no documentation
> > whatever to prove that he obtained his commander's authorization to
> > operate an amateur radio station in Somalia?
>
> Cite the requirement to have written authorization.

Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has a written
form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization. The U.S.
military issues written authorization. Where is yours?

> > > During the few hours I had to grab my gear, say goodbye to my family,
> and
> > > get out of the country on December 4, 1992, I forgot to check in with
> the
> > > quasi-governmental ARRL DXCC desk to have them bless my operation.
> >
> > It isn't necessary to obtain permission from the DXCC desk to operate.
>
> No kidding?

No kidding. You seemed to have a lot of confusion over the issue.

> > It is necessary to obtain written documentation of your permission to
> > operate so that you can provide proof that you were not Mogadishu Slim.
> > You can't provide such proof.
>
> Dave, I am Brian Burke. Why would I want to be Mogadishu Skinny?

That's for you to tell us.

Dave K8MN

201. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:17:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D739D49.A31CDB87@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51818

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7337D9.CE06ABD4@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D728ACA.B9297FE4@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around the
> > > question I
> > > > > asked.
> > > > >
> > > > > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as
> you
> > > and
> > > > > Ed have accused?
> > > > >
> > > > I don't know about your taking advantage, Brian. I never accused you
> of
> > > > "taking advantage". As to bootlegging, it surely is looking that way.
> > > > What proof of authorization to operate from Somalia do you hold?
> > > >
> > > Dave, make the accusation, or shut up.
> > >
> > How clear does it have to be, Brian? Must I smack you in the head with
> > a brick to get your attention? Read what I wrote above and allow time
> > for it to sink in.

> Dave, just state it in plain language as Ed had the guts to do.

Here's your brick, Brian: If you don't have written authorization to
back up your claim of permission to operate, you were nothing more than
a bootlegger.
You prior claim to high ethics and morality in amateur radio ring
hollow.

Dave K8MN
```

202. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:22:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D739E61.4211F32D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51819

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D733846.CE8289F1@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.
> > >
> > > You pretend that they can make bootlegging legal.
> >
> > Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could
> > have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander
> > authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
>
> Dave, I was legal.

So you tell us.

> You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds like
> you and them have a problem.

I don't have a problem. I didn't work you and was busy handing out QSOs
from Botswana during the time you were there. The DXCC desk doesn't
have a problem.
They couldn't care less if you can't submit documentation because you
never gave a thought that you might need it. The people who contacted
you thinking that they'd receive DXCC credit for Somalia--now THEY have
a problem.

Dave K8MN

203. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:24:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D739EDB.2E21EE64@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51820

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D733D3C.CC7419C6@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>
> > > Do you do Joan Baez imitations with your guitar music?
> >
> > I really do an imitation of Joan Baez imitating Kenny Burrell on guitar.
>
> How do you get your imitation Kenny voice to quiver like hers?

When she's imitating Kenny Burrell, she plays the guitar. Kenny doesn't
sing.

Dave K8MN

204. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:32:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D73A07E.92AB1406@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51821

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D733D3C.CC7419C6@earthlink.net...
>
> > That's not proof. The American Legion and VFW want me to write to
> > district commanders too. They aren't pretending to be government
> > agencies.
>
> Which Posts are you a member of?

Legion: Post 18, Cameron, WV. VFW: 437, Moundsville, WV

Dave K8MN

205. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:36:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D73A197.4E9BD8E2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51822

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D733E97.F6639722@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <tZuc9.241$ap4.11744314@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke"
> > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > >news:20020901160650.11404.00000424@mb-ct.aol.com...
> > > >> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
> P
> > > Burke"
> > > >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > > Those that made it through the old system are loath to
> > > change it. Some wish to retain the eliteness for their own
> > > self-worth...as if the regulations pertained only to them and
> > > nothing to others coming in the future.
> >
> > Clear this up for us, Len. Are you a part of amateur radio under the
> > old system or are you part of amateur radio through the new system?
> >
> > >
> > > >Remove from it the shackles of mandatory Morse/Farnsworth testing?
> > >
> > > Again, those shackles must be retained if they overcame
> > > the hoop jumps. "All must do as they did."
> >
> > Let's see. I became under a system in place in the early '60s. I
> > upgraded twice under the "old" new system put in place in '68. The
> > "new" new system was put in place a couple of years ago. Under the
> > testing currently conducted--not only are all not required to do as I
> > did, it is impossible for them to do so.
> >
> > Blam! There goes another tire(ade).
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> But Dave, you know that your cronies are not content with the present
> system. They WISHED it were the same old way, and they WISHED the newer
> folks would have to prove themselves under that old system. Since the newer
```

> folks DON'T have to prove themselves under the old system, the old guard
> reject the newer amateurs as uworthy.

I don't reject newer amateurs as unworthy. They pass the only exams which are there for them. As far as WISHING, don't you WISH the code exam had gone away totally? I've told you before, I don't have any cronies. All that aside, my statement to Leonard H. is filled with truth.

Dave K8MN

206. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:41:36 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020902134136.10775.00001262@mb-cu.news.cs.com>
Source record: 51823

>I'll assume that you are employed in the aviation industry with your
>aeronautical degree.

Nope, peace broke out all over the world with the fall of the Soviet Union. While one could get a job that paid relatively well, the job security was for the birds...no matter how competent you were. So I turned to MIS and am currently a Systems Programmer Analyst. I'm happy to say that I've been with the company for 13 years now. (A lifetime by today's standards.) But then again, I've just witnessed a layoff of guys with 25 year OTJ. :-((But at least they respect performance over seniority, and that's all I ask for.)

>And I'll assume that you are relatively well
>compensated for your efforts, both in attaining a degree in aeronautical
>engineering, and in the industry.

I do ok. (blush mode = on)

>I am not permitted to be compensated for whatever effort I expend learning
>the material for the license, nor for my radio activities afterward.

I suppose it depends on what you mean by "compensated." If you mean monetarily by a third party, such as an employer or a client, you're quite correct. I (and I suspect you too) am compensated each and every day I operate on HF, whether by phone or CW. Doesn't mean I have to like CW. (Which I do, BTW.) All it means is that I met the requirements to attain the privileges to allow me to enjoy the aspects of the hobby that I do like, operating on HF.

>Now
>there is no requirement in any service, except the ARS, to learn Morse code.

The ARS is unique.

>And those other services can put money in your pocket. Why must the ARS
>retain this now arbitrary exam?

Because it's more than just a service or a hobby...it's a culture deeply rooted in tradition. Communicating via Morse code happens to be one of them. I wonder if we'd se such an outcry if the older hams had to pass a test on one of the newer digital modes in order to renew their tickets. I doubt it. They'd probably just buckle down a "get it done," whether they planned to utilize it or not. It's an entirely different mindset. Some might even call it a work ethic. A work ethic need not only apply to monetarily compensated activities. The porch needs to be painted. Either you get off your duff and paint it...or you allow it to deteriorate. Your "work ethic" determines which action, or lack thereof, you choose.

>This is the difference between arbitrary "requirements" in academia, and
>amateur radio.

I appreciate that, but if you check the thread...it wasn't my analogy. I just picked up the ball and ran with it, so to speak. Take it easy, Brian.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

207. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:46:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D73A401.F5F6B6CA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51824

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D728B7B.F59DE915@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
> > > quasi-
> > > > > > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > > > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > > > > > representative" in anything.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and
> you
> > > > > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > > > > > aren't a ham.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
> > > > >
> > > > It is worth as much as it ever was. Citizens are still permitted to
> > > > test for a radio amateur license. Len hasn't taken advantage of the
> > > > opportunities afforded by his citizenship. The failure to act is his.
> > > >
> > > I don't recall the FCC ever putting out a notice asking people to apply
> for
> > > radio licenses. However, I do recall that the FCC has asked citizens of
> > > their comments on impending rule changes.
> >
> > It doesn't matter whether the FCC puts out a notice or not.
>
> But it does matter. The FCC specifically asked for comments. Len gave
> comments, but according to you and the rest of your Cronies, he was wrong to
> do so. You guys keep saying that he has no voice in amateur radio, then Jim
> says he harms amateur radio. Which is it?
```

He commented. His comments were accepted by the Commission. Len is not
a part of amateur radio and in fact seems very negative toward it and
toward the ARRL.
Why a non-participant would continue to haunt an amateur radio newsgroup
for years and years and never attempt to obtain an amateur radio license
baffles me.

> You guys need to grab a box of seegars, a gallon of ten-high, and head up to
> Newington and get Len taken care of. I'm sure you can get an official
> proclamation in the next newsletter.

You're babbling.

> Len is
> > still afforded the opportunity to obtain an amateur radio license. His
> > failure to do so isn't my problem, the ARRL's problem or the FCC's
> > problem.
>
> Problem??? IS it a problem? I don't see it as a problem. Why do you?

If Len wants an amateur radio license and takes no steps toward
obtaining one, it is a problem *for him*. It isn't a problem for me. I
personally don't care if Leonard H. obtains a license or not. On second
thought, I'm personally a bit happier if he does not choose to join the
ranks of licensed hams.

> > > > > You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency
> asking
> > > for
> > > > > comments.
> > > >
> > > > He commented. What is the FCC's obligation to give credence to those
> > > > comments?
> > >
> > > Or your comments, for that matter.
> >
> > Right--even my comments. I do, however, have an ARRL division
> > director.
>
> And if Len were to approach Jim Maxwell with a comment or idea, do you think
> Jim would say, "Sorry, but you're not an ARRL member, and you're not a ham.
> I'm just not going to listen to you."

Oh, I think Jim would be a bit more diplomatic than that.

> > I even have a license issued by the FCC which permits my
> > operation on the ham bands. Len is 0-for-2.
>
> Len is a citizen. He has the right to petition his government. He also has
> the right to post his opinions here - that is until you close the group to
> non-amateurs.

He petitioned his government. His right to post here has not been
violated. My rights to laugh at him, ridicule him, to strongly disagree

with his views or to suggest that others would be foolish to give
credence to his views are also intact.

> Then Larry wouldn't be able to slam all the newbies that have come on here
> asking information about licensing.

Take that up with Larry.

Dave K8MN

208. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 17:48:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D73A486.615D5A1A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51825

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D73401A.4C84ADB6@earthlink.net...
> > Robert Casey wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
> quasi-
> > > > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > > > representative" in anything.
> > > >
> > > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
> > > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > > > aren't a ham.
> > >
> > > Actually, being a taxpayer he would "have" the FCC via Congress.
> >
> > He doesn't have an amateur radio license issued by the FCC. I guess
> > we all "have" the Justice Department and the Department of Agriculture.
> >
> > > But he wouldn't "have" the ARRL unless he joins it.
> >
> > He wouldn't "have" the ARRL even then because Associate Members are not
> > voting members. Only licensed radio amateurs are voting members. Len
> > still has a couple of steps to complete.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and join the
> ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?

Not necessarily. You have an amateur license. I don't give your
comments the same weight I give Jim's.

Dave K8MN

209. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:06:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902140649.12729.00000392@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 51826

In article <20020902022758.12219.00000851@mb-mw.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 02 Sep 2002 06:27:58 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 9/1/02 7:06 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020901080645.02651.00000008@mb-cc.aol.com>
>
>>First you'd have to find one, then restore it. The matching HG-10 is a plus,
>>too. With declining sunspots, it's a good idea to convert the 15 meter
>>bandswitch position to 20 meters.
>>
>
> Acutally I was considering a five band RX from the handbook and a two
>band
>35 watt 6146 rig with VFO from scratch.

If ya need parts, lemme know, I'm way overstocked.
>
> Have you seen the prices that unbuilt heath gear can command...???
> Whew!

The current record that I know of is $5100 (not a typo - five thousand one hundred dollars) paid for an unbuilt Heathkit AT-1 on eBay. Rig was one of the last AT-1s made, dated 1956 and still in the original unopened box.

Of course the electrolytics are prolly all dried out and the carbon comp resistors have prolly drifted way high in value, but it's all there. The capper is that the AT-1 was a very poorly designed rig for its time.

If I ever wanted an HW-16, I'd just buy up a junker or two, tear them down, check all the parts, replace anything questionable and rebuild. Then enjoy.

73 de Jim, N2EY

210. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:06:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902140650.12729.00000393@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 51827

In article <d22b54c3.0209020747.d3814ce@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020901140631.02651.00000019@mb-cc.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0208250034.648136fb@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> >Imagine what it was like twenty years earlier when I was WN3YIK. The
>> >"QSK system" was a ceramic knife switch nailed to the desk.

>> Ceramic? You had ceramic? All I had was a cheap plastic knife switch. DPDT.

>You were just born too late to run the really good stuff, sorry about
>that kid.

Yeah, classic middle-of-the-baby-boom situation. No biggie. Still got that switch someplace.

>> >Yeah, it wuz fun.

>> Still is - old gear or new.

>I don't particularly share your enthusiasm for boat anchors . . no way
>in hell would I spend even two minutes on the air again with that
>crappy Jeep-type ARC-5 and S-40B lashup. Not even if it included the
>ceramic knife aerial switch. I'm too old and grumpy now to put up with
>that crap.

If it was the only way to a rare one on 60 meters, I'd bet you'd use it. (So would I!) And wave the card under fellow FRC's noses. HAW!!

But here's the important point: Almost any ham gear made in the past 40-50 years can still be used legally on the ham bands by those with the proper license and know-how. And except for a few rare items the stuff costs far less in inflation-adjusted dollars than it ever did.

Example: Want to use a 75A-4 again? A nice one can be had for about the same dollars as it cost in '58. Which means that adjusted for inflation it costs about as much as your old S-40.

Or pick up an FT-897 for about the same price. Or any of dozens of rigs from the eras in-between.

More choices than ever. Pretty good for a 'dying' ARS, huh? Know what makes all that modern gear so inexpensive? PLCs, for one thing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

211. Re: cross-post heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:14:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D738B38.2F269DC6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51828

Clockwork Orange wrote:
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
> news:P9Cc9.24539$FJ1.4731412@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > "xganon" wrote ...
> >
> > "now that ol' dick-head kicked the bucket, we can cross-post to our hearts
> > content. we can post illegal mods and other fun things. we knew dickey
> > got in a heart problem when he would get his blood pressure up over all
> the
> > cross-posts. he would still be alive today, if he would have minded his
> own
> > business....."
> >
> > Wow, That certainly took a lot of class to write.
> >
> > Arnie -
>
> Yes low class

What else to you expect out of a clueless illegal operating cber
that is so chicken he has to post via an anonymizer.

212. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:28:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902142845.04751.00000558@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51829

In article <V_Lc9.479$7A5.107789538@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020902100649.25779.00000388@mb-cb.aol.com...
>> In article <20020902063221.08408.00000031@mb-da.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>>
>> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>> >Date: 02 Sep 2002 10:32:21 GMT
>> >
>> >>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>> >>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >>Date: 9/2/02 5:12 AM Central Daylight Time
>> >>Message-id: <3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net>
>> >
>> >>Len is 0-for-2.
>> >>
>> >
>> > More like "0-for-everything", I think.
>> >
>> So why feed a troll and a bootlegger?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim, explain your bootlegging comment.
>
Anyone who operates an amateur radio station without the proper license and authorization to do so is bootlegging, i.e., operatin illegally. By definition. You claim to have operated an amateur radio station under conditions where it is clear you did not have the proper authorization. Connect the dots.

Verbal permission from your CO is not, repeat not, proper authorization. That's not just my interpretation, either.

The situation is somewhat analogous to the case of the person who talks of driving 55 mph in a 25 mph construction zone 10 years ago, then claims it was 'legal' because somebody in a hard hat told them it was OK.

Will anything be done about that bootleg operation? Probably not, because: it was a long time ago and there is no real hard evidence, anyway. By hard evidence I mean things like times and dates of operation, stations contacted, bands used, audio tapes of the operation, etc.

Which brings up an interesting point: Nobody seems to have ever heard of this operation except you, and you don't give any details. Maybe it happened, maybe it didn't.

But if you want the DX community to recognize and appreciate that alleged operation, you have to meet the DX community's standards. And by the DX community's standards, your alleged operation would be called illegal, as in 'bootleg'.

That's all I have to say to a bootlegger.

Jim, N2EY

213. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144521.11392.00000194@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51830

In article <F1Lc9.206$EQ3.100567283@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> > Len, don't forget w3rv, K3LT, and K4YZ.
>> >
>> Please don't. It's called "consensus building", took awhile but you
>> and The Putz are getting a tad surrounded by reality.
>>
>> w3rv
>
>I've noticed that you've taken up the name calling game. It becomes you.

He wanted to be noticed. The "hood" has spoken. :-)

214. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144522.11392.00000195@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51831

In article <H0Lc9.205$EQ3.100567283@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> KH2D was the best of the ragers. He had style, panache even.
>> The "No SSB International" web page was a classic of CW life-
>> style diatribism.
>>
>> Beep, beep.
>
>Len, I came on the group after Jim had all but left, so I missed that. But
>I do recall an argument at one of the Marianas ARC meetings. ;^)

Anyone can find some "papers" by KH2D on various web sites, even today. His rage and vitriol against 6 meters for any amateur use is a minor classic of one-sided opinion. Weirdly interesting considering it was written when KH2D was rather isolated in the middle of the Pacific. :-)

215. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144522.11392.00000196@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51834

In article <I2Mc9.490$QG5.108228793@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Dave K8MN
>
>Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and join the
>ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?

It takes absolutely no Nostradamus talent to predict his response.

It would be a scathing commentary on "lack of amateur experience"
still compared with his boasts of tenure in amateur radio. He would
salt that with denigrations of being a "beginner" and not the mighty
long-timer superior in radio that he would claim.

The amount of vitriol spewed with hardened degratory comments
would vary only to the degree his own personal viewpoints were
opposed.

That's a no-brainer prediction. :-)

216. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144523.11392.00000197@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51836

In article <U%Lc9.484$7C5.107920430@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:3D72E48C.2DA9C8EA@ix.netcom.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > > > Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?
>> > >
>> > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
>quasi-
>> > > government membership organization standing between me and
>> > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
>> > > representative" in anything.
>> >
>> > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and you
>> > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
>> > aren't a ham.
>>
>> Actually, being a taxpayer he would "have" the FCC via Congress.
>>
>> But he wouldn't "have" the ARRL unless he joins it.
>
>Not true, the ARRL expends time, money, and other resources to non-amateurs.
>Its called recruitment.

As part of its lobbying effort on amateur radio regulations, ARRL attempts to hold certain positions on GETTING INTO US amateur radio. Their long, long, absolute insistence on a morse code test to GET INTO amateur radio is one such example.

It's a nice quasi-paradox, almost an oxymoron. Voting ARRL members have ALREADY gotten into amateur radio, but ARRL wants to control regulations on getting into amateur radio by all those that may be interested...but are turned away by the code test. The only result of such is a membership which is composed of those who agree with ARRL positions.

It's called positive feedback as applied to self-definition of what ARRL movers and shakers think is good for amateur radio (themselves).

That's rather obvious but to voice such opinions invokes mighty rage and wrath of the believers, cultists, and life-stylers whose viewpoints are shaped and molded by ARRL. QED in here.

"Writing my director" is done in private. Since said director is part of ARRL and with ARRL controlling what communication is made public, such "director writing" might just as well be a written prayer paper stuffed into some wailing wall, then ignored and left to decay.

The FCC is obligated to make correspondence public, at least enough to be accessible at libraries in DC and Gettysburg by anyone going there during business hours (Part 1, Title 47 CFR). The FCC tends to make rather prominent public disclosure when citizens comment to their legal authorized elected officials (members of Congress) who, in turn, forward such things to the FCC. Several examples of such are in the 2600+ public document comments on NPRM 98-143.

All US citizens are automatically "members" of the USA and can go directly to our government with our comments. No "license" is required. No "in-between representatives" such as pretend-officials (directors) of a membership organization are required.

217. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144525.11392.00000199@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51833

In article <pPLc9.427$S55.105839768@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Blam! There goes another tire(ade).
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>But Dave, you know that your cronies are not content with the present
>system. They WISHED it were the same old way, and they WISHED the newer
>folks would have to prove themselves under that old system. Since the newer
>folks DON'T have to prove themselves under the old system, the old guard
>reject the newer amateurs as uworthy.

It's all under "Scuderia Heil." If wishes were horses, he would have a cavalry regiment of horse. Neigh, neigh... :-)

218. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144525.11392.00000198@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51837

In article <6_Lc9.475$o55.105809051@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > news:3D728B7B.F59DE915@earthlink.net...
>> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
>> > quasi-
>> > > > > > government membership organization standing between me and
>> > > > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
>> > > > > > representative" in anything.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and
>you
>> > > > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
>> > > > > aren't a ham.
>> > > > >
>> > > > I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
>> > > >
>> > > It is worth as much as it ever was. Citizens are still permitted to
>> > > test for a radio amateur license. Len hasn't taken advantage of the
>> > > opportunities afforded by his citizenship. The failure to act is his.
>> > >
>> > I don't recall the FCC ever putting out a notice asking people to apply
>for
>> > radio licenses. However, I do recall that the FCC has asked citizens of
>> > their comments on impending rule changes.
>>
>> It doesn't matter whether the FCC puts out a notice or not.
>
>But it does matter. The FCC specifically asked for comments. Len gave
>comments, but according to you and the rest of your Cronies, he was wrong to
>do so. You guys keep saying that he has no voice in amateur radio, then Jim
>says he harms amateur radio. Which is it?
>

>You guys need to grab a box of seegars, a gallon of ten-high, and head up to
>Newington and get Len taken care of. I'm sure you can get an official
>proclamation in the next newsletter.

Well, stalking attempts failed. [KF2TI under a pseudonym]

The "putzmobile" trying to drive all over my postings has
been unsuccessful, even if it now has two drivers.

Maybe the local Key Klan can burn an ARRL diamond on
my front lawn...?

Dissidents can always wait after class and beat me up in
the schoolyard. :-)

>> Len is
>> still afforded the opportunity to obtain an amateur radio license. His
>> failure to do so isn't my problem, the ARRL's problem or the FCC's
>> problem.
>
>Problem??? IS it a problem? I don't see it as a problem. Why do you?

Judge Heil has spoken. If he says its a problem, it's a problem.
:-)

>> > > He commented. What is the FCC's obligation to give credence to those
>> > > comments?
>> >
>> > Or your comments, for that matter.
>>
>> Right--even my comments. I do, however, have an ARRL division
>> director.
>
>And if Len were to approach Jim Maxwell with a comment or idea, do you think
>Jim would say, "Sorry, but you're not an ARRL member, and you're not a ham.
>I'm just not going to listen to you."

Mr. Maxwell is an FCC official? I know who my legally-elected
senators and representatives in Congress are. They must not
count for anything?

I'm supposed to have a "duly elected official," a "director"
in a membership organization as my ombudsman...an
in-betweener "official" between me and my government.

Puts a whole new light on the First Amendment of the US
Constitution.

>> I even have a license issued by the FCC which permits my
>> operation on the ham bands. Len is 0-for-2.
>
>Len is a citizen. He has the right to petition his government. He also has
>the right to post his opinions here - that is until you close the group to
>non-amateurs.

I've suggested that closure to a moderated newsgroup long ago
and just recently.

It wasn't desired. The de facto rule is to cow and denigrate all
having non-traditional, non-ARRL ideas by any means possible.
That insures a "proper" group-think.

It's the new "rule by force" method of the ancient's hood. :-)

>Then Larry wouldn't be able to slam all the newbies that have come on here
>asking information about licensing.

All bets are off on that one. I say he would continue his
mantra of conquering his personal whatsis to become the
great radio amateur he is today. Regardless of who posted
what where. Oh, yes, and the "dumbing down" of the tests
of today and all the incessant "whining" about making
changes. :-)

219. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144526.11392.00000201@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51832

In article <rALc9.360$6S4.104816861@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could
>> have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander
>> authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
>
>Dave, I was legal. You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds like
>you and them have a problem.

They don't have any problem in their eyes. They redefine
everything to meet their own desires under the DXCC bar
rules (they hoist a few at the local bar to make those new
rules "legal").

If Judge Heil declares you a bootlegger, you are a bootlegger.
Never mind what ARRL has posted in regards to Somalia.

The law has spoken. :-)

220. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144526.11392.00000200@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51839

In article <tLLc9.411$ua5.106137768@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Beep, beep.
>
>Welp, its beyond me if, as they say, someone without a license can impact
>the ARS in any way, shape, or kind, why they have to damn you at every
>opportunity, suggest you are harming them, and on and on and on.
>
>Either free citizens can petition their government or they cannot.
>
>Lets see what they say.

No problem. They pretend to be creative. In that creation one has already called ME a "bootlegger!" [N2EY]

Very interesting double standard modified. They must think that operation of a cordless phone, cell phone, wireless door-bell, garage door opener, and remote temperature gauge transmitters are all "bootleg" things without an amateur radio license. Strange group.

Well, they DO make up new rules as time goes on... :-)

221. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144527.11392.00000202@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51835

In article <20020902100649.25779.00000388@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020902063221.08408.00000031@mb-da.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
>>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>>Date: 02 Sep 2002 10:32:21 GMT
>>
>>>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>>>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>>>Date: 9/2/02 5:12 AM Central Daylight Time
>>>Message-id: <3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net>
>>
>>>Len is 0-for-2.
>>>
>>
>> More like "0-for-everything", I think.
>>
>So why feed a troll and a bootlegger?

Wonderful, now an unlicensed-in-amateur-radio citizen is
also charged with "bootlegging?!?"

What next..."treason" against ARRL?

222. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 18:45:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902144527.11392.00000203@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51838

In article <20020902080646.25779.00000386@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <aktrennra1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
><w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:
>
>>Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive licensing on
>>the hams?
>

>>I was somewhat confused so I called the issuing office. I was then informed
>>of the incentive licensing coming into effect. The guy asked me how it was
>>I hadnt known about it. I told him I was in Vietnam and missed the news.
>>We both had a chuckle and I promised not to operate there until I upgraded.
>
>>From then until the mid 70s I stayed mad.
>
>Seems to me you thought that the rules should not have changed while you were
>gone.
>
>>Yes, I lived it.
>
>So did many of us.

You went to Vietnam too?!? What branch, rank, unit?

Were you the sole radio person in a whole brigade "saving" them?

223. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 19:05:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D73B64C.AF148CC1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51840

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Ask anyone who
> remembers Don Miller.
>
> The criminal?

In those days he was Doctor Don Miller, MD, W9 something or other, world class DX champ. SOME of the time, as it turned out, he actually was where he said he was when handing out all those exotic DX contacts. Other times he evidently was far, far away from wherever. The criminal thing, it seems, came some time later. I disremember what it is he's serving that long sentence (life?) for, seems like it was conspiring to off his XYL.
You have to wonder if he didn't get started down the slippery slope in his DXing days.
But we know, Brian. You're not a deliberate illegal, just clueless.

224. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 19:28:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D73BB9E.DED4B6E3@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51841

Brian P Burke wrote:

> ARRL DXCC desk has accepted fro credit other
> military members who have operated from Somalia under the same conditions.

And you know that how? You've already indicated that you didn't know how the others got their authority and approval that won acceptance from the DXCC desk. Now you do? Maybe they were astute enough to get it in writing. If they did, they did it under different conditions. You've said that it was your job to write plans. As you were a meteorologist that doesn't compute, but the military doesn't always use good sense. But you should understand the importance of good documentation. It's pretty well established here that you do not.

225. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 19:36:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D73BDA9.D29C7CB4@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51842

Brian P Burke wrote:

> The qualifications today are far greater than when you were 14.

That's all you know about it, and it's right in character.

>
>
> Dick, if it wasn't you, then which of you PCTA keeps chiming in about 9 year
> old girls getting licensed?

Why don't you learn how to use GOOGLE and find out?

226. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 19:49:03 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <PgPc9.249588$Aw4.10645864@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Weir" <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote in message
news:lrk4nucost2s1m74ll5sdsth8f7ddrpk31@4ax.com...
>
> Leland...
>
> I'm hardly arguing for "freeband" anything. However, from a
technical point of
> view, that 250kW is spread over 4.5 MHz. If you choose a narrowband
chunk of
> that channel, and depending on how far you and the other guy are
from the
> transmitter itself (square of the distance, remember?) you can very
easily
> compete.
>

If you want to split hairs and pick specific cases.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

227. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 19:50:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D73C0E8.25A9256E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51844

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D72ECEE.50F69029@townsqr.com...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > > Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive
> licensing on
> > > the hams? Well they most certainly did.
> > >
> >
> > Dan, don't change your assertion after making it. You said you blamed
> ARRL
> > for incentive licensing, not the FCC. Now you want to co-blame both. Of
> course
> > the FCC did it, and actually the ARRL made a successful case for toning it
> down
> > considerably, otherwise it would have been of much more negative personal
> > impact. I lived it too, and was also annoyed that I lost privileges. But
> life
> > goes on, and going around mad didn't get me back on 7005kc, so it was time
> to
> > hit the books and W1AW (that's ARRL) on-the-air code practice. In no time
> at
> > all I got it all back, in spades.
> >
> > Dick
>
> Dick, why did you have to retake a code exam? Furthermore, why did you have
> to practice for it?

Really don't pay much attention over time, do you? I had a Conditional General, for which no credit beyond Novice was given when upgrading at the FCC office. So when I went to upgrade to Extra, I was required to take the 13wpm code test, writtens for General, Advanced and Extra, as well as the 20WPM code test. Which I did on a single visit. Since the FCC district office at Kansas City was 260 miles away and required an overnight stay, I made sure I was ready before making the trip.
Wasn't all that difficult. Much less so than nonstop whining about the requirements for the rest of my life.

228. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 19:52:44 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <gkPc9.366124$m91.14601638@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:un4mog2on08h19@corp.supernews.com...
> Leland, isn't it amazing how you can have a beautiful tv picture
until you
> turn on your electric drill (or vacuum cleaner)? That inverse
square law
> will getcha every time.

Good reason why the old spark transmitters had to go! The arcing
sparking commutators on those universal appliance motors are just as
bad. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

229. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 19:56:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D73C232.D92ECC5A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51846

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D733846.CE8289F1@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.
> > >
> > > You pretend that they can make bootlegging legal.
> >
> > Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could
> > have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander
> > authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
>
> Dave, I was legal.

But you can't prove it, and never could. Did you keep your commander close at hand to answer all inquiries for confirmation? Do you still? Did you ask him to issue a written document attesting? Did you explain to him what a ham radio station is, instead of just letting him assume you were another CBer which most non-hams automatically assume when someone mentions ham radio? Do you have ANY idea what this really involves? "Aw, what the hell, if anyone doesn't believe it that's their problem, not mine. I don't need no stinkin' documentation!"

230. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:00:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D73C31D.4695542D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51847

Brian P Burke wrote:

Since the newer

> folks DON'T have to prove themselves under the old system, the old guard
> reject the newer amateurs as uworthy.

Still clueless to the last. Its not the new amateurs, rather some middleaged
ones who are still in their childhood.

231. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:02:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D73C3B1.6592DD24@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51848

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
>
> And if Len were to approach Jim Maxwell with a comment or idea, do you think
> Jim would say, "Sorry, but you're not an ARRL member, and you're not a ham.
> I'm just not going to listen to you."
>

So tell us, who is Jim Maxwell?

232. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:04:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902160458.12610.00000241@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 51849

In article <tLLc9.411$ua5.106137768@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Welp, its beyond me if, as they say, someone without a license can impact
>the ARS in any way, shape, or kind, why they have to damn you at every
>opportunity, suggest you are harming them, and on and on and on.
>
>Either free citizens can petition their government or they cannot.
>
>Lets see what they say.

Here's what one extra said -

==========

With a filthy rear area coward such as your self, Lennie? Someone who tried to insinutate that since HE was in the same unit as that of a lot of guys who were KIA three years prior to his arrival in-theater, that HE deserved some accolades for that service?

YOU have NO understanding of what "patriotism" is, Lennie. Never did...never will. You are the worst kind of coward...You hide behind the sacrifices that others made in order to make yourself look better....Sacrifices that included losing thier lives.

Does your wife know you do that?

==========

The chief driver of the putzmobile [K4YZ] wrote that in another public thread ("Service to the nation") in one of his usual fits of distemper. Doesn't matter which thread, the contents of the putzmobile driver's output tend to be the same in any thread.

It's the usual fabricated diatribe put out by those who cannot take debate or cannot win any perceived message points...almost always the long-time radio amateur.

So...there are charges of "bootlegging" levelled by more than one against you along with insinuations that I am "bootlegging" also. [Strange since I've never done that in the last half century]

Those fabricators must think they are following "good amateur practice" outside of the ham bands? They have rationales on such without limit...but it doesn't make amateur radio very attractive to enter and be in the company of such individuals.

I'd protest it to my "director" if I knew he/she would believe those fabrications. Probably not. I'd get advice to go out and buy "Now Your Talking" to "learn all about ham radio!" :-)

Hmmm...Radio Shack must have excised the chapter on name-calling and fabrications by already-licensed hams in that book. Well, that's a step forward by pretending it doesn't happen.

Beep, beep.

233. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:09:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <SzPc9.574$Q34.134352079@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51850

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D73962E.2A515538@earthlink.net...

> This one wasn't bright or wonderful. It is apparent that it was
> different.
> You don't *have* to prove to me that you had authorization to operate.
> You don't have to prove it to the DXCC desk. You don't have to prove it
> to those you worked and QSL'd. On the other hand, none of us has to
> believe you.
>
> Dave K8MN

For you to make your charge of bootlegging stick, you must prove it.
Otherwise you're just flapping your gums.

234. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:26:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4QPc9.587$Jk4.135448616@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52151

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7399BB.A2992EC0@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > For whether it was legal, Dave. That's what you and Ed's accusation of
> > bootlegging means.
>
> Without a license or other document produced by someone in having the
> authority to grant permission to operate, it flatly wasn't legal.

It was legal.

You
> are the person who seemed confused by the role of the DXCC desk. I was
> clear on that role all along.

You absolutely were not. When asked, you couldn't tell me the first thing
about it.

> > > > It would appear that Len is correct. You do view the ARRL as a
> > > > quasi-governmental entity capable of authorizing amateur operations
in
> > > > foreign countries. Wow.
> > >
> > > This is the part where you begin to be the real Brian.
> >
> > I'm always the "real" Brian. I don't have to be someone else while I'm
on
> > here because I don't work for the ARRL. Perhaps when Ed began charging
that
> > I was a bootlegger was when the "real" Ed showed up.
>
> I gave you credit for not always drawing an incorrect conclusion.

I've learned that you are no authority on operating from countries without
governments. I am 100% more experienced than you in that regard. And I did
it correctly. I asked permission. Permission was granted.

> Pardon my error.

Your many errors are pardoned. Next!

> > You read
> > > something and draw an incorrect conclusion.
> >
> > Dave, I'm free to make mistakes. Please correct me whenever I'm wrong.
>

> I thought I just did.

Only you're wrong on this.

> > The ARRL doesn't authorize
> > > operations.
> > >
> > Who authorizes operations in Somalia?
> >
> You've already stated that several times. You can add the government of
> Somalia whenever the country forms one. Any of those forms of
> permission will be in writing.

Citation, please?

> > > The ARRL sponsors DXCC. The DXCC desk decides whether an
> > > operation will be accepted for DXCC purposes. Where there is any
> > > question of an operation's legitimacy, the desk may request proof of
> > > licensing along with copies of passport entry or exit stamps and/or
> > > visas.
> > >
> > Yes, I understand all that.
> > >
> > Explain how you came to the conclusion that lack of DXCC approval means
I
> > was bootlegging.
> >
> Lack of DXCC approval doesn't necessarily indicate bootlegging. Lack of
> written permission from an entity authorized to grant it indicates that
> you were bootlegging.

Was my commander authorized to grant such permission?

> >
> > I don't have to prove anything, Dave. I was granted permission, and I
> > operated. Legally.
> >
> So you say.
> >
> > Who requires "written authorization," by the way?
> >
> All countries require written authorization.

Please cite.

> > Yes, now that you've brought it to my attention that the DXCC desk
probably
> > doesn't recognize it for their credit, I wished I had know all the ins
and
> > outs of covering all the bases of operation in a country without a
> > government.
> >
> The same things apply to countries *with* governments. Someone who has

> authority to grant amateur radio operation issues the permission (in
> writing).

Hmmm. Better tell that to all the newly licensed who said they saw their call on the web.

But anyway, Somalia was an extraordinary situation, and I did what I what I knew. And that wasn't bootlegging.

> > If the DXCC desk doesn't like it, then fine. Its just another example of
> > the ARRL not supporting one of its members. Again.
>
> You'd like special DXCC rules for the unenlightened?

No, I just never got into the DXCC groove. My log book is good enough. Do you fill your log book with lies?

Dave, if this really bothers you, please turn me in to the Department of Defence attorneys and have me Court Martialled under the UCMJ.

Taking advantage of a poor country, war crimes, something, anything.

Otherwise, you're just flapping your gums.

235. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:31:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52152

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D739A4E.99840D38@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D738718.1010003@adelphia.net...
>
> > > Just remember, Brian good manners are a sign of weakness! HAR!
> > >
> > > Strange how some folk think the best way to convince you is with a
verbal
> > > swat on the head.
>
> > Mike, I think Len said that he thinks Steve sees good manners as a sign
of
> > weakness.
>
> Yeah, Len would be my first choice as a source of good manners and
> civility.
>
> Dave K8MN

Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy Episode, or was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been first. But then Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just dunno. There are so many good candidates here.

Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in kerosene? I tried so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP Hall of Shame.

236. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:36:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jZPc9.595$vz2.128177346@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52153

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020902134136.10775.00001262@mb-cu.news.cs.com...
> >I'll assume that you are employed in the aviation industry with your
> >aeronautical degree.
>
> Nope, peace broke out all over the world with the fall of the Soviet
Union.
> While one could get a job that paid relatively well, the job security was
for
> the birds...no matter how competent you were. So I turned to MIS and am
> currently a Systems Programmer Analyst. I'm happy to say that I've been
with
> the company for 13 years now. (A lifetime by today's standards.) But then
> again, I've just witnessed a layoff of guys with 25 year OTJ. :-((But at
least
> they respect performance over seniority, and that's all I ask for.)

Just don't let your IT skills atrophy.

> >And I'll assume that you are relatively well
> >compensated for your efforts, both in attaining a degree in aeronautical
> >engineering, and in the industry.
>
> I do ok. (blush mode = on)
>
> >I am not permitted to be compensated for whatever effort I expend
learning
> >the material for the license, nor for my radio activities afterward.
>
> I suppose it depends on what you mean by "compensated." If you mean
monetarily
> by a third party, such as an employer or a client, you're quite correct. I
(and
> I suspect you too) am compensated each and every day I operate on HF,
whether
> by phone or CW. Doesn't mean I have to like CW. (Which I do, BTW.) All it
means
> is that I met the requirements to attain the privileges to allow me to
enjoy
> the aspects of the hobby that I do like, operating on HF.
>
> >Now
> >there is no requirement in any service, except the ARS, to learn Morse
code.
>

> The ARS is unique.
>
> >And those other services can put money in your pocket. Why must the ARS
> >retain this now arbitrary exam?
>
> Because it's more than just a service or a hobby...it's a culture deeply
rooted
> in tradition.

Does the Federal Government have any real role in perpetuating a good ole
boy , ahem a culture deeply rooted in tradition?

Communicating via Morse code happens to be one of them. I wonder
> if we'd se such an outcry if the older hams had to pass a test on one of
the
> newer digital modes in order to renew their tickets. I doubt it. They'd
> probably just buckle down a "get it done," whether they planned to utilize
it
> or not. It's an entirely different mindset. Some might even call it a work
> ethic. A work ethic need not only apply to monetarily compensated
activities.
> The porch needs to be painted. Either you get off your duff and paint
it...or
> you allow it to deteriorate. Your "work ethic" determines which action, or
lack
> thereof, you choose.

My deck is nicely painted, thanks. Didit?

> >This is the difference between arbitrary "requirements" in academia, and
> >amateur radio.
>
> I appreciate that, but if you check the thread...it wasn't my analogy. I
just
> picked up the ball and ran with it, so to speak. Take it easy, Brian.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

OK Bert, your answer of "a culture deeply rooted tradition" pretty much sums
it up.

But that culture shouldn't use the government as an instrument to perpetuate
their good ole boy network.

237. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:39:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <V%Pc9.600$NO4.137397312@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52155

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902140649.12729.00000392@mb-cs.aol.com...
> In article <20020902022758.12219.00000851@mb-mw.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 02 Sep 2002 06:27:58 GMT
> >
> >>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >>Date: 9/1/02 7:06 AM Central Daylight Time
> >>Message-id: <20020901080645.02651.00000008@mb-cc.aol.com>
> >
> >>First you'd have to find one, then restore it. The matching HG-10 is a
plus,
> >>too. With declining sunspots, it's a good idea to convert the 15 meter
> >>bandswitch position to 20 meters.
> >>
> >
> > Acutally I was considering a five band RX from the handbook and a
two
> >band
> >35 watt 6146 rig with VFO from scratch.
>
> If ya need parts, lemme know, I'm way overstocked.
> >
> > Have you seen the prices that unbuilt heath gear can command...???
> > Whew!
>
> The current record that I know of is $5100 (not a typo - five thousand one
> hundred dollars) paid for an unbuilt Heathkit AT-1 on eBay. Rig was one of
the
> last AT-1s made, dated 1956 and still in the original unopened box.
>
> Of course the electrolytics are prolly all dried out and the carbon comp
> resistors have prolly drifted way high in value, but it's all there. The
capper
> is that the AT-1 was a very poorly designed rig for its time.
>
> If I ever wanted an HW-16, I'd just buy up a junker or two, tear them
down,
> check all the parts, replace anything questionable and rebuild. Then

enjoy.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I've seen it done and its soo sweet. All I can remember is "210" and it had no tubes.

238. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:39:34 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <al0ib1ruh1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52154

In article <20020902144525.11392.00000198@mb-fi.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com trotted out the same dead and decomposing horse and attempted
to make it true by blathering ...

>
> Well, stalking attempts failed. [KF2TI under a pseudonym]

Sorry Len, but as usual, still and always incorrect. Never attempted,
never tried. Honestly, you aren't worth the time or effort.

Maybe it was you in one of your many AOL persona, you know, the ones
that pop up when your computer programming glitches!!??!! ;-)

Still, you go (old) girl. Keep saying it and it will come true :-)
Maybe you can run an ISP trace on them. You DO know how to so that,
right?

239. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 20:41:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <f2Qc9.602$BS3.133502062@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52156

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902144522.11392.00000195@mb-fi.aol.com...
> In article <H0Lc9.205$EQ3.100567283@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> KH2D was the best of the ragers. He had style, panache even.
> >> The "No SSB International" web page was a classic of CW life-
> >> style diatribism.
> >>
> >> Beep, beep.
> >
> >Len, I came on the group after Jim had all but left, so I missed that.
But
> >I do recall an argument at one of the Marianas ARC meetings. ;^)
>
> Anyone can find some "papers" by KH2D on various web sites,
> even today. His rage and vitriol against 6 meters for any amateur
> use is a minor classic of one-sided opinion. Weirdly interesting
> considering it was written when KH2D was rather isolated in the
> middle of the Pacific. :-)

Len, that's odd. While visiting Jim's shack, he had a 6M delta beam with
maybe ?20? elements pointed to the USA longpath.

Brian

240. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 22:51:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yXRc9.660$i15.570@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52157

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D739B05.BC0B8AB@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020902033245.12219.00000859@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> > > >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> > > >Date: 9/2/02 1:35 AM Central Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <20020902023549.12219.00000852@mb-mw.aol.com>
> > > >
> > >
> > > >AMRS was the authority that American Amateurs operating from American
> > > >installations on Japanese soil operated under. My copy of AMRS rules
> > cited
> > > >international and American regulations.
> > >
> > > And I forgot to add...was authorized under the Status of Forces
> > Agreement
> > > between Japan and the United States, although Japan refused to allow
thier
> > > Amateurs to communicate with us. Wierd rules, but legal.
> > >
> > > Steve
> >
> > Somalia had no SOFA agreement with the US. Now what?
>
> No SOFA; no written permission=bootlegger.
>
> Dave K8MN

See, Dave, that wasn't so hard, was it? I knew you had it in you.

You and Ed have each clearly made the accusation.

Now have you talked to the Somali War Lords about it? Have you brought it
up with the Judge Advocate?

Or are you just flapping your gums? Maybe if you flapped your arms and
squawked a little you would get some action.

241. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:10:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1eSc9.666$A45.539@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52158

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D73BB9E.DED4B6E3@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > ARRL DXCC desk has accepted fro credit other
> > military members who have operated from Somalia under the same
conditions.
>
> And you know that how?

DXCC Dave has told me so. Am I not to believe Dave?

> You've already indicated that you didn't know how the
> others got their authority

I know how one got his authority. He's the one who told me to get my
commander's permission. Which I did. Ten years later, I looked it up on
the ARRL web site for foreign operations, and its still the same
information. Gosh.

> and approval that won acceptance from the DXCC desk.

That I didn't know until Dave brought it up. I had to take something to the
ARRL in writing. Ooops.

> Now you do?

Now I do.

> Maybe they were astute enough to get it in writing. If they did,
> they did it under different conditions.

Permission is permission, Dick. You cannot change that.

The one I met with did have more experience at operating from austere
locations. He provided MWR calls home via MARS to his troops, and his ham
station was always on a pallet waiting for his chalk. Imagine that!

> You've said that it was your job to
> write plans. As you were a meteorologist that doesn't compute,

That's only because you know nothing about it. If you did know anything
about it you wouldn't say such foolish things.

but the military
> doesn't always use good sense.

Nor does Dick. Not even rarely.

> But you should understand the importance of good
> documentation. It's pretty well established here that you do not.

Sure, when I wanted someone to deploy, I would cut a tasking order and flow it to their Wing readiness office, they would cut the orders. That was in writing. It was kind of a big deal. Not at all like amateur radio. FWIW, I had to task myself to go to Somalia, then hit the base readiness office and try to get them to hurry the orders along so I could draw gear and a weapon.

It wasn't like the olden days where everyone moved as a single unit and went down to the pier and climbed on a troop ship so they could reduce the stockpile of old rations for weeks on end. We got airplanes today, and each deployment is tailored so as not to waste personnel, equipment, and supplies.

See Dick, other services move along with the times, not at all like amateur radio.

242. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:14:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mhSc9.668$J35.530@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52159

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D728D4F.4192FB6C@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Uhhhhhhhh Len--Brian's already stated that he holds no written
> > > > > permission to operate from Somalia. Mere bootlegging, despite
your
> > > > > attempted misdirection *is* the issue being discussed.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave, you didn't even have the most rudimentary information about
> > operating
> > > > from Somalia or any other piece of real estate that has no
government.
> > You
> > > > admitted that repeatedly when, after saying that I didn't get it
right,
> > you
> > > > could offer absolutely no information whatsoever. All you knew was
that
> > > > whatever I said had to be wrong.
> > > >
> > > I'd certainly have had the sense to have any such "permission" be put
in
> > > writing. Absent the document, you have nothing which states that your
> > > operation was blessed by the U.S. military. Getting things in writing
> > > would have been a no-brainer.
> >
> > So operating with verbal permission is bootlegging?
>
> Duh. Do you have proof that someone in authority okayed your operation?

Prove I did not.

> > > > I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate, which
you
> > and
> > > > Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the ARRL
web

> > site
> > > > say on the matter?
> > > > ----------------------------
> > > > Somalia (T5)
> > > > At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission
from
> > the
> > > > United Nations or your military commander.
> > > >
> > > > ----------------------------
> > > >
> > > > So in the end, I did what was required, and you looked stupid. And
Ed
> > > > ducked out of sight.
> > >
> > > Who looked stupid?
> >
> > You did/do.
>
> Nope. You still have it wrong, Mr. Ethics.
>
> > Could it be the guy who has no documentation
> > > whatever to prove that he obtained his commander's authorization to
> > > operate an amateur radio station in Somalia?
> >
> > Cite the requirement to have written authorization.
>
> Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has a written
> form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization. The U.S.
> military issues written authorization. Where is yours?

Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it is a GP form.

> > > > During the few hours I had to grab my gear, say goodbye to my
family,
> > and
> > > > get out of the country on December 4, 1992, I forgot to check in
with
> > the
> > > > quasi-governmental ARRL DXCC desk to have them bless my operation.
> > >
> > > It isn't necessary to obtain permission from the DXCC desk to operate.
> >
> > No kidding?
>
> No kidding. You seemed to have a lot of confusion over the issue.

None. You construed a lack of DXCC blessing (which you're still guessing
at), with bootlegging.

> > > It is necessary to obtain written documentation of your permission to
> > > operate so that you can provide proof that you were not Mogadishu
Slim.
> > > You can't provide such proof.
> >
> > Dave, I am Brian Burke. Why would I want to be Mogadishu Skinny?
>
> That's for you to tell us.

Believe me, I like being an American. No Mogadishu Skinny for me.

243. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:15:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <viSc9.669$y55.663@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52160

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D739D49.A31CDB87@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7337D9.CE06ABD4@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D728ACA.B9297FE4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around
the
> > > > question I
> > > > > > asked.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country,
as
> > you
> > > > and
> > > > > > Ed have accused?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't know about your taking advantage, Brian. I never accused
you
> > of
> > > > > "taking advantage". As to bootlegging, it surely is looking that
way.
> > > > > What proof of authorization to operate from Somalia do you hold?
> > > >
> > > > Dave, make the accusation, or shut up.
> > >
> > > How clear does it have to be, Brian? Must I smack you in the head
with
> > > a brick to get your attention? Read what I wrote above and allow time
> > > for it to sink in.
> >
> > Dave, just state it in plain language as Ed had the guts to do.
>
> Here's your brick, Brian: If you don't have written authorization to
> back up your claim of permission to operate, you were nothing more than
> a bootlegger.
> You prior claim to high ethics and morality in amateur radio ring
> hollow.
```

That is only your opinion Dave. Meanwhile, I don't knowingly keep working out of band French ops on 6M. Its just not good amateur practice.

244. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:21:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <JnSc9.670$c15.616@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52161

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D73B64C.AF148CC1@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Ask anyone who
> > remembers Don Miller.
> >
> > The criminal?
>
> In those days he was Doctor Don Miller, MD, W9 something or other, world
class
> DX champ. SOME of the time, as it turned out, he actually was where he
said he
> was when handing out all those exotic DX contacts.

Did he have papers?

> Other times he evidently was
> far, far away from wherever.

Still, did he have papers?

> The criminal thing, it seems, came some time later.
> I disremember what it is he's serving that long sentence (life?) for,
seems like
> it was conspiring to off his XYL.
> You have to wonder if he didn't get started down the slippery slope in
his
> DXing days.
> But we know, Brian. You're not a deliberate illegal, just clueless.

Dick, I had a clue. Another more experienced ham told me while I was over
there to get permission from my commander. I did. Ten years later I check
the ARRL web site for foreign operations from Somalia, and its still the
same good information that he gave me. Gosh.

Another experienced ham, my commander, me, and the ARRL all wrong? And Dick
has the clue?

Hardly.

245. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:24:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qqSc9.671$Y55.630@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52162

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D73BDA9.D29C7CB4@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > The qualifications today are far greater than when you were 14.
>
> That's all you know about it, and it's right in character.
> >
> > Dick, if it wasn't you, then which of you PCTA keeps chiming in about 9
year
> > old girls getting licensed?
>
> Why don't you learn how to use GOOGLE and find out?

Dick, it was a simple question, not an accusation. Don't get so defensive.

246. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:29:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <SvSc9.672$X55.294@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52163

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D73C0E8.25A9256E@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D72ECEE.50F69029@townsqr.com...
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive
> > licensing on
> > > > the hams? Well they most certainly did.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Dan, don't change your assertion after making it. You said you
blamed
> > ARRL
> > > for incentive licensing, not the FCC. Now you want to co-blame both.
Of
> > course
> > > the FCC did it, and actually the ARRL made a successful case for
toning it
> > down
> > > considerably, otherwise it would have been of much more negative
personal
> > > impact. I lived it too, and was also annoyed that I lost privileges.
But
> > life
> > > goes on, and going around mad didn't get me back on 7005kc, so it was
time
> > to
> > > hit the books and W1AW (that's ARRL) on-the-air code practice. In no
time
> > at
> > > all I got it all back, in spades.
> > >
> > > Dick
> >
> > Dick, why did you have to retake a code exam? Furthermore, why did you
have
> > to practice for it?
>
> Really don't pay much attention over time, do you? I had a Conditional
> General, for which no credit beyond Novice was given when upgrading at the
FCC

> office.

So you weren't a real ham or what?

> So when I went to upgrade to Extra, I was required to take the 13wpm
> code test, writtens for General, Advanced and Extra, as well as the 20WPM
code
> test.

Yes, but with your love of code and all that on-the-air experience working
other Novices and Conditionals on CW, you surely were way beyond 20wpm at
that point, Right?

> Which I did on a single visit. Since the FCC district office at Kansas
> City was 260 miles away and required an overnight stay, I made sure I was
ready
> before making the trip.

Should have been a snap for you. I mean you expect all the new people to
know as much as you do after 50 years a ham and working in the industry. So
surely you knew then at least as much as you do today.

> Wasn't all that difficult.

See? I knew it would be a snap because you're just as smart then as you are
today.

Much less so than nonstop whining about the
> requirements for the rest of my life.

Yes, yes. You really need to stop whining about everyone else who had it
"easier" than you.

247. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:31:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nxSc9.673$x65.320@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52164

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902144527.11392.00000203@mb-fi.aol.com...
> In article <20020902080646.25779.00000386@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <aktrennra1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> ><w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:
> >
> >>Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive
licensing on
> >>the hams?
> >
>
> >>I was somewhat confused so I called the issuing office. I was then
informed
> >>of the incentive licensing coming into effect. The guy asked me how it
was
> >>I hadnt known about it. I told him I was in Vietnam and missed the
news.
> >>We both had a chuckle and I promised not to operate there until I
upgraded.
> >
> >>From then until the mid 70s I stayed mad.
> >
> >Seems to me you thought that the rules should not have changed while you
were
> >gone.
> >
> >>Yes, I lived it.
> >
> >So did many of us.
>
> You went to Vietnam too?!? What branch, rank, unit?
>
> Were you the sole radio person in a whole brigade "saving" them?

Oh, no. Is this the part where the ChiComms overrun his position?

248. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:33:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <vzSc9.674$_75.350@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52165

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D739E61.4211F32D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D733846.CE8289F1@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.
> > > >
> > > > You pretend that they can make bootlegging legal.
> > >
> > > Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could
> > > have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander
> > > authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
> >
> > Dave, I was legal.
>
> So you tell us.
>
> > You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds like
> > you and them have a problem.
>
> I don't have a problem. I didn't work you and was busy handing out QSOs
> from Botswana during the time you were there. The DXCC desk doesn't
> have a problem.
> They couldn't care less if you can't submit documentation because you
> never gave a thought that you might need it. The people who contacted
> you thinking that they'd receive DXCC credit for Somalia--now THEY have
> a problem.

Dave, apparently they've never had a problem. I'm good in the book, no
complaints yet.

Except from you. So what are you doing about it? Squawking?
```

249. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:35:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nBSc9.675$x85.142@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52166

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902144526.11392.00000201@mb-fi.aol.com...
> In article <rALc9.360$6S4.104816861@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could
> >> have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander
> >> authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
> > >
> > >Dave, I was legal. You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds like
> > >you and them have a problem.
> >
> > They don't have any problem in their eyes. They redefine
> > everything to meet their own desires under the DXCC bar
> > rules (they hoist a few at the local bar to make those new
> > rules "legal").
> >
> > If Judge Heil declares you a bootlegger, you are a bootlegger.
> > Never mind what ARRL has posted in regards to Somalia.
> >
> > The law has spoken. :-)

Yes, apparently so. The Worlds Greatest DXer has spoken.

250. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:41:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iGSc9.678$W85.418@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52167

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D73C232.D92ECC5A@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D733846.CE8289F1@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.
> > > > >
> > > > You pretend that they can make bootlegging legal.
> > > >
> > > Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could
> > > have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander
> > > authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
> > >
> > Dave, I was legal.
>
> But you can't prove it, and never could. Did you keep your commander
close
> at hand to answer all inquiries for confirmation? Do you still? Did you
ask
> him to issue a written document attesting? Did you explain to him what a
ham
> radio station is, instead of just letting him assume you were another CBer
> which most non-hams automatically assume when someone mentions ham radio?
Do
> you have ANY idea what this really involves? "Aw, what the hell, if
anyone
> doesn't believe it that's their problem, not mine. I don't need no
stinkin'
> documentation!"

Dick, I caged my commander and have been feeding him stale MREs for the past decade waiting for the opportunity to trot him out for a DXCC dog and pony show. Unfortunately, he just passed away from malaria contracted while in Somalia. I'm forever screwed because I didn't have him write it down. Oh the agony.

Squawk, squawk.

251. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:42:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IHSc9.679$O75.414@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52168

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D739EDB.2E21EE64@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D733D3C.CC7419C6@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> > <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > >
> > > > Do you do Joan Baez imitations with your guitar music?
> > >
> > > I really do an imitation of Joan Baez imitating Kenny Burrell on
guitar.
> > >
> > How do you get your imitation Kenny voice to quiver like hers?
>
> When she's imitating Kenny Burrell, she plays the guitar. Kenny doesn't
> sing.

Do you sing like her when your imitating her playing Kenny?

252. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:44:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <eJSc9.680$N55.157@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52169

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D73A07E.92AB1406@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D733D3C.CC7419C6@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > That's not proof. The American Legion and VFW want me to write to
> > > district commanders too. They aren't pretending to be government
> > > agencies.
> >
> > Which Posts are you a member of?
>
> Legion: Post 18, Cameron, WV. VFW: 437, Moundsville, WV
>
> Dave K8MN

Legion, Post 283, Pickerington Ohio, and VFW, Post 4183 Scott AFB, Illinois.
I've got it in writing. ;^)

Anyone else belong to service posts?

253. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:47:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <JMSc9.681$Sa5.547@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52170

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D73A197.4E9BD8E2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D733E97.F6639722@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <tZuc9.241$ap4.11744314@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian P
> > Burke"
> > > > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >news:20020901160650.11404.00000424@mb-ct.aol.com...
> > > > > >> In article <iirc9.54$Wo2.24990837@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian
> > P
> > > > > Burke"
> > > > > >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > > > Those that made it through the old system are loath to
> > > > > change it. Some wish to retain the eliteness for their own
> > > > > self-worth...as if the regulations pertained only to them and
> > > > > nothing to others coming in the future.
> > > >
> > > > Clear this up for us, Len. Are you a part of amateur radio under the
> > > > old system or are you part of amateur radio through the new system?
> > > > >
> > > > > >Remove from it the shackles of mandatory Morse/Farnsworth testing?
> > > > >
> > > > > Again, those shackles must be retained if they overcame
> > > > > the hoop jumps. "All must do as they did."
> > > >
> > > > Let's see. I became under a system in place in the early '60s. I
> > > > upgraded twice under the "old" new system put in place in '68. The
> > > > "new" new system was put in place a couple of years ago. Under the
> > > > testing currently conducted--not only are all not required to do as I
> > > > did, it is impossible for them to do so.
> > > >
> > > > Blam! There goes another tire(ade).
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >

> > But Dave, you know that your cronies are not content with the present
> > system. They WISHED it were the same old way, and they WISHED the newer
> > folks would have to prove themselves under that old system. Since the
newer
> > folks DON'T have to prove themselves under the old system, the old guard
> > reject the newer amateurs as uworthy.
>
> I don't reject newer amateurs as unworthy. They pass the only exams
> which are there for them. As far as WISHING, don't you WISH the code
> exam had gone away totally?

Yes, I do. And one full license class, and one limited/beginner class.
Then wait for the actuarial tables to eliminate all the puffy chests.

> I've told you before, I don't have any
> cronies.

You probably do believe youself a lone wolf.

> All that aside, my statement to Leonard H. is filled with
> truth.
>
> Dave K8MN

254. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-02 23:49:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iOSc9.682$4b5.207@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52171

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D73C31D.4695542D@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> Since the newer
>
> > folks DON'T have to prove themselves under the old system, the old guard
> > reject the newer amateurs as uworthy.
>
> Still clueless to the last. Its not the new amateurs, rather some
middleaged
> ones who are still in their childhood.

Dick you really broad brush everything. Be specific and maybe someone,
somewhere will understand what it is you are trying to get out.

255. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 00:04:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Y%Sc9.684$mg5.627@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52172

```
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902142845.04751.00000558@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <V_Lc9.479$7A5.107789538@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020902100649.25779.00000388@mb-cb.aol.com...
> >> In article <20020902063221.08408.00000031@mb-da.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >(Steve
> >> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >>
> >> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >> >Date: 02 Sep 2002 10:32:21 GMT
> >> >
> >> >>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >> >>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >> >>Date: 9/2/02 5:12 AM Central Daylight Time
> >> >>Message-id: <3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net>
> >> >
> >> >>Len is 0-for-2.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > More like "0-for-everything", I think.
> >> >
> >> So why feed a troll and a bootlegger?
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Jim, explain your bootlegging comment.
> >
> Anyone who operates an amateur radio station without the proper license
and
> authorization to do so is bootlegging, i.e., operatin illegally. By
definition.
> You claim to have operated an amateur radio station under conditions where
it
> is clear you did not have the proper authorization. Connect the dots.

But I did, and it was.

> Verbal permission from your CO is not, repeat not, proper authorization.
That's
> not just my interpretation, either.
```

Citation, please.

> The situation is somewhat analogous to the case of the person who talks of
> driving 55 mph in a 25 mph construction zone 10 years ago, then claims it
was
> 'legal' because somebody in a hard hat told them it was OK.

Really? What was the outcome of that?

> Will anything be done about that bootleg operation? Probably not, because:
it
> was a long time ago and there is no real hard evidence, anyway. By hard
> evidence I mean things like times and dates of operation, stations
contacted,
> bands used, audio tapes of the operation, etc.

Really? Now where are my logs?

> Which brings up an interesting point: Nobody seems to have ever heard of
this
> operation except you, and you don't give any details. Maybe it happened,
maybe
> it didn't.

It was called, "Operation Restore Hope." It made the news.

> But if you want the DX community to recognize and appreciate that alleged
> operation, you have to meet the DX community's standards. And by the DX
> community's standards, your alleged operation would be called illegal, as
in
> 'bootleg'.

Actually, Jim, the DX community has no authority to call it illegal. They
may accept it for DXCC credit, or they may not, but they have no authority
to declare it illegal. The government of Somalia can. What have they told
you?

Please, please. Prove me wrong. Citations please. Correspondence with a
War Lord. Grunts by a khat chewing, AK carrying Technical at the K4 traffic
circle. Anything. Anything at all.

> That's all I have to say to a bootlegger.

Oh, I'm sure you'll say more to me.

256. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 00:15:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TaTc9.686$4j5.473@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52173

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D73A401.F5F6B6CA@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D73395B.B3537CAE@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D728B7B.F59DE915@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D71A60C.D39D3106@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not
some
> > > > quasi-
> > > > > > > > government membership organization standing between me
and
> > > > > > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my
elected
> > > > > > > > representative" in anything.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham
and
> > you
> > > > > > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because
you
> > > > > > > aren't a ham.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I guess being a citizen isn't worth very much these days.
> > > > >
> > > > > It is worth as much as it ever was. Citizens are still permitted
to
> > > > > test for a radio amateur license. Len hasn't taken advantage of
the
> > > > > opportunities afforded by his citizenship. The failure to act is
his.
> > > >
> > > > I don't recall the FCC ever putting out a notice asking people to
apply
> > for

> > > > radio licenses. However, I do recall that the FCC has asked
citizens of
> > > > their comments on impending rule changes.
> > >
> > > It doesn't matter whether the FCC puts out a notice or not.
> >
> > But it does matter. The FCC specifically asked for comments. Len gave
> > comments, but according to you and the rest of your Cronies, he was
wrong to
> > do so. You guys keep saying that he has no voice in amateur radio, then
Jim
> > says he harms amateur radio. Which is it?
>
> He commented. His comments were accepted by the Commission. Len is not
> a part of amateur radio and in fact seems very negative toward it and
> toward the ARRL.

You must have missed Dick Carrol/W0EX being negative about the ARRL,
quitting the ARRL, and quitting the VEC.

Hmmm. Anyone else out there ever say anything bad about the ARRL?

Seems one RRAPper didn't like the ARRL trimming on the minutes. Lemmme
think for a minute. Who was that?

Meanwhile, there are lots and lots of people on here highly negative about
amateur radio. I don't seee much discourse between you and them. Why only
Len?

Because he makes good points that you don't like.

> Why a non-participant would continue to haunt an amateur radio newsgroup
> for years and years and never attempt to obtain an amateur radio license
> baffles me.

Dunno? Perhaps he tried the NCT exam and failed? Do you know?

> > You guys need to grab a box of seegars, a gallon of ten-high, and head
up to
> > Newington and get Len taken care of. I'm sure you can get an official
> > proclamation in the next newsletter.
>
> You're babbling.

Sorry. It was a small attempt at humor.

> > Len is
> > > still afforded the opportunity to obtain an amateur radio license.
His
> > > failure to do so isn't my problem, the ARRL's problem or the FCC's
> > > problem.
> >
> > Problem??? IS it a problem? I don't see it as a problem. Why do you?

>
> If Len wants an amateur radio license and takes no steps toward
> obtaining one, it is a problem *for him*. It isn't a problem for me. I
> personally don't care if Leonard H. obtains a license or not. On second
> thought, I'm personally a bit happier if he does not choose to join the
> ranks of licensed hams.

On the one hand, you don't want Len voicing his opinions because he's not a ham. On the other hand, you don't want Len to get a license. Sounds personal. Have you ever met Len?

> > > > > > You have to be a ham to be able to respond to a government agency
> > asking
> > > > > for
> > > > > > > comments.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He commented. What is the FCC's obligation to give credence to those
> > > > > > comments?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Or your comments, for that matter.
> > > >
> > > Right--even my comments. I do, however, have an ARRL division
> > > director.
> > >
> > And if Len were to approach Jim Maxwell with a comment or idea, do you think
> > Jim would say, "Sorry, but you're not an ARRL member, and you're not a ham.
> > I'm just not going to listen to you."
>
> Oh, I think Jim would be a bit more diplomatic than that.

I would hope so.

> > > I even have a license issued by the FCC which permits my
> > > operation on the ham bands. Len is 0-for-2.
> >
> > Len is a citizen. He has the right to petition his government. He also has
> > the right to post his opinions here - that is until you close the group to
> > non-amateurs.
>
> He petitioned his government. His right to post here has not been
> violated. My rights to laugh at him, ridicule him, to strongly disagree
> with his views or to suggest that others would be foolish to give
> credence to his views are also intact.

I see.

> > Then Larry wouldn't be able to slam all the newbies that have come on here
> > asking information about licensing.
>
> Take that up with Larry.

257. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 00:20:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hfTc9.687$0g5.491@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52177

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D73C3B1.6592DD24@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > And if Len were to approach Jim Maxwell with a comment or idea, do you
think
> > > Jim would say, "Sorry, but you're not an ARRL member, and you're not a
ham.
> > > I'm just not going to listen to you."
> > >
>
> So tell us, who is Jim Maxwell?
>

I thought we were talking about having to comment through one's Division
Director?
Pacific Division (Pacific Division home page)
Director:

Jim Maxwell, W6CF

258. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 00:22:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_gTc9.688$oa5.341@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52178

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D73A486.615D5A1A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D73401A.4C84ADB6@earthlink.net...
> > > Robert Casey wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Oh BTW - how does YOUR Division Director stand?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't have a "division director." I have the FCC, not some
> > quasi-
> > > > > > > government membership organization standing between me and
> > > > > > > my government...or trying to interpose itself as "my elected
> > > > > > > representative" in anything.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You don't have a division director because you aren't a ham and
you
> > > > > > aren't a member of the ARRL. You don't "have" the FCC because you
> > > > > > aren't a ham.
> > > > >
> > > > > Actually, being a taxpayer he would "have" the FCC via Congress.
> > > >
> > > > He doesn't have an amateur radio license issued by the FCC. I guess
> > > > we all "have" the Justice Department and the Department of
Agriculture.
> > >
> > > But he wouldn't "have" the ARRL unless he joins it.
> > >
> > > He wouldn't "have" the ARRL even then because Associate Members are
not
> > > voting members. Only licensed radio amateurs are voting members. Len
> > > still has a couple of steps to complete.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and join
the
> > ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?
>
> Not necessarily. You have an amateur license. I don't give your
> comments the same weight I give Jim's.
```

So Len needn't obtain a license for you to continue to disagree with him.

So why do you continue to worry about why a guy without a license is interested in amateur radio?

259. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 00:23:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <oiTc9.689$Fk5.327@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52179

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902144522.11392.00000196@mb-fi.aol.com...
> In article <I2Mc9.490$QG5.108228793@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and join
the
> > >ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?
> >
> > It takes absolutely no Nostradamus talent to predict his response.
> >
> > It would be a scathing commentary on "lack of amateur experience"
> > still compared with his boasts of tenure in amateur radio. He would
> > salt that with denigrations of being a "beginner" and not the mighty
> > long-timer superior in radio that he would claim.
> >
> > The amount of vitriol spewed with hardened degratory comments
> > would vary only to the degree his own personal viewpoints were
> > opposed.
> >
> > That's a no-brainer prediction. :-)

Len, it didn't turn out that way. Of course, you'll hear that all day long
from Steve.

260. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 00:28:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902202809.04751.00000569@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 52176

In article <3D73B64C.AF148CC1@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> Ask anyone who
>> remembers Don Miller.
>>
>> The criminal?
>
> In those days he was Doctor Don Miller, MD, W9 something or other, world
>class DX champ.

W9WNV

>SOME of the time, as it turned out, he actually was where he said
>he was when handing out all those exotic DX contacts. Other times he evidently
>was far, far away from wherever.

Yup.

On February 19, 1968, W9WNV sued the ARRL and its General Manager in federal district court in San Francisco. (United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Civil Action File No. 48726)

He clamed defamation and wanted damages of $550,000 . The claim was that ARRL Awards Committee's denial of DXCC credit for various alleged DX operations was the source of the claimed defamation.

But in sworn depositions, Dr. Miller admitted that his operations were not as he had claimed. Here's a quick summary of the denied operations:

Navassa Island, 1966 (Not Authorized To Operate There)

W9WNV claimed to have operated from Navassa in the fall of 1966. Such operation required Coast Guard authorization, which he did not obtain. A letter which W9WNV claimed as authorizing the operation turned out to be a forgery.

St. Peter & Paul Rocks, 1966 (Wasn't Even There)

W9WNV claimed to have operated PY0XA from the Rocks in August, 1966. But in sworn depositions he admitted that the PY0XA operations actually took place from a vessel within sight of the South American coast near Trinidad - about 1800 miles from the Rocks.

Laccadive Islands (Not Authorized To Operate There)

W9WNV claimed to have operated VU2WNV from the Laccadives in January, 1967. But the Indian government confirmed that amateur operation in the Laccadive Islands was not allowed for anyone, and the license issued to W9WNV only authorized

operation in Bombay. A letter that W9WNV claimed as authorization turned out to be a forgery, and the Indian authorities confirmed that he was never in the Laccadive Islands at all.

Chagos (Probably Wasn't Even There)

W9WNV claimed to have operated VQ9AA/c from the Chagos in January, 1967, as a stop enroute to the Laccadive Islands rom the Seychelles. Direction finding and signal reception by local amateurs in the Seychelles showed the VQ9AA/c signals to be coming from the wrong direction, and without any day/night variation, as would be expected over the 1000 mile path from the Seychelles to Chagos. In addition, the trimaran used by W9WNV was much too slow to have covered the necessary distance in the time claimed. Although W9WNV claimed to have changed vessels, he could not provide credible details of how he actually got to Chagos.

Heard Island (Not Authorized To Operate And Probably Wasn't Even There)

W9WNV claimed to have operated VK2ADY/0 from the Heard Island in July, 1966. But the Australian government confirmed that the VK2ADY license was only good for operation in New South Wales. The Australian government also stated that they had denied a request by W9WNV for authorization to land on Heard Island because he was unable to demonstrate adequate arrangements to land and operate there. There was no evidence that W9WNV ever reached Heard Island, nor operated from there.

The suit was dismissed with prejudice by Dr. Miller in June, 1968, as a result of the depositions. (In plain English, he gave up because it was obvious he was not telling the truth). No damages were paid.

>The criminal thing, it seems, came some time
>later. I disremember what it is he's serving that long sentence (life?) for, seems
>like it was conspiring to off his XYL.

That's how I remember it. He's still in jail, last I heard. I think the callsign may be available through the vanity program, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

261. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 00:28:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902202810.04751.00000570@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 52174

In article <V%Pc9.600$NO4.137397312@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> > Have you seen the prices that unbuilt heath gear can command...???
>> > Whew!
>>
>> The current record that I know of is $5100 (not a typo - five thousand one
>> hundred dollars) paid for an unbuilt Heathkit AT-1 on eBay. Rig was one of
>the
>> last AT-1s made, dated 1956 and still in the original unopened box.
>>
>> Of course the electrolytics are prolly all dried out and the carbon comp
>> resistors have prolly drifted way high in value, but it's all there. The
>capper
>> is that the AT-1 was a very poorly designed rig for its time.
>>
>> If I ever wanted an HW-16, I'd just buy up a junker or two, tear them
>down,
>> check all the parts, replace anything questionable and rebuild. Then
>enjoy.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>I've seen it done and its soo sweet.

Seen what done? The rebuilding of an HW-16?

>All I can remember is "210" and it had
>no tubes.

You obviously have no idea what Steve and I are discussing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

262. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 00:28:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902202811.04751.00000571@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 52175

In article <3D72E8A5.E79CDEB2@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:

>> But I don't know any argument that would convince the FCC to take over the
>> testing process again.
>
>Add to that convincing the FCC to try to get Congress to allocate enough
>money to do the test sessions again.

That's one of the biggest reasons the FCC will be tough to convince. And
besides the $$ to do the actual testing, they'll need more $$ to create an
entirely new pool of tests, and more $$ to enforce keeping them secret.

All of which is one of the main reasons (I think) they went the VEC/QPC route
almost 20 years ago.
>
>In a sense, does it really require more knowledge to operate SSB on 14.157KHz
>vs 14.327KHz?

About as much more as it takes to work CW on 7005 as opposed to 7050.

>The only real reason for having subbands for higher licenses
>is that your frequency you're using is easily determined by a remote FCC
>site.
>They can't easily tell how much power, maybe you're using a beam aimed
>at them....

'zactly. Plus high power is not an incentive for the ham who cannot afford or
doesn't want high power. Plus the most desirable bandspace is reserved for
those who have demonstrated the most knowledge and skills.

Fun facts: One of the reasons I went for the Extra back in 1970 was that it was
cheaper to get the license than to build a 25 kHz calibrator.

Another reason was that I thought FCC would make the tests for Extra tougher as
time went on.

I was right about the calibrator.

73 de Jim, N2EY

263. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 00:41:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902204127.26476.00000499@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 52180

In article <f2Qc9.602$BS3.133502062@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Anyone can find some "papers" by KH2D on various web sites,
>> even today. His rage and vitriol against 6 meters for any amateur
>> use is a minor classic of one-sided opinion. Weirdly interesting
>> considering it was written when KH2D was rather isolated in the
>> middle of the Pacific. :-)
>
>Len, that's odd. While visiting Jim's shack, he had a 6M delta beam with
>maybe ?20? elements pointed to the USA longpath.

You can find "Sic Metres - The Tragic Band" at -

http://www.uk.smg.org/sic_metres.htm

Jim Kehler's Florida web page is at -

http://kh2d.net/main.html

For some interesting personal opinions of his, click on "opinions" at the Florida site and select what you want. :-) Traditionalists, cultists, believers, and ham-life-stylers should be aware that he pulls no punches in striking everyone.

Beep, beep.

264. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 01:13:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902211346.28861.00000509@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 52181

In article <nxSc9.673$x65.320@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020902144527.11392.00000203@mb-fi.aol.com...
>> In article <20020902080646.25779.00000386@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>> writes:
>>
>> >In article <aktrennra1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> ><w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:
>> >
>> >>Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive

>> >>Yes, I lived it.
>> >
>> >So did many of us.
>>
>> You went to Vietnam too?!? What branch, rank, unit?
>>
>> Were you the sole radio person in a whole brigade "saving" them?
>
>Oh, no. Is this the part where the ChiComms overrun his position?

I think it was another who was overrun. Jimmy is just overwrought.
He has "lived" every war he has read about... :-)

Beep, beep.

265. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 02:29:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209021829.3c1ce89d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52182

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
and
>
> Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy Episode, or
> was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been first. But then
> Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just dunno. There
> are so many good candidates here.
>
>
Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
Putz.
>
>
>
> Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in kerosene? I tried
> so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP Hall of
> Shame.
>
>
Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.

w3rv

266. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 02:29:42 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <O6Vc9.54630$vY2.1324674@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52184

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902010210.22428.00001322@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <Zwyc9.22604$FJ1.3972358@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry
> Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020830171257.17339.00000035@mb-bh.aol.com...
> >> In article <PMj89.14278$LO1.1151299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "K&#65533;HB"
> >> <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
> >>
> >> >Following is a verbatim excerpt of an FCC letter, dated August 9, 2002
from
> >> >the FCC to lawyers representing Reliant Energy, a Texas power utility.
> >>
> >> A problem there is the use of an excerpt to attempt proving a point.
> >> Here is the entire letter content:
> >>
> >> ==========
> >>
> >> August 9, 2002
> >>
> >> Michael C. Massengale, Esquire
> >> Baker Botts, LLP
> >> One Shell Plaza
> >> 910 Louisiana
> >> Houston, TX 77002-4995
> >>
> >> Re: Reliant Energy, Incorporated; Radio Frequency
> >> Interference to Amateur Radio Station W5GCX
> >>
> >> Dear Mr. Massengale:
> >>
> >> This is in response to your letter of June 28, 2002, on
> >> behalf of Reliant Energy, with respect to radio frequency
> >> interference from the operation of the company's electrical
> >> service equipment to Amateur Radio operators.
> >>
> > <remainder of already-posted letter omitted for brevity>
> >
> >Well, Mr. Lennie, the fact remains that FCC regards the Amateur Radio
Service
> as

> >such, and they have a hell of a lot more credibility than you do. And
> considering that
> >Amateur Radio has proven itself time and again, including after
9/11/2001,
> your attempts
> >to argue with the relative status of Amateur Radio fail miserably.
>
> That wasn't anything at all to do with patriotism.
>
> The FCC letter to Reliant Energy had only one purpose, and that
> to protect Ed Gerber, W5GCX, from getting interfered with and
> monetarily ripped-off by Reliant Energy officials. The FCC did a
> very good thing for a single radio amateur. Don't you think so?
>
> Hans Brakob tried to use a part of that to settle some kind of
> "score" he felt needed from a long time ago. He implied one
> thing from something entirely different. I showed him that error.
>
> Throughout Title 47 CFR, the FCC uses the word "service" in
> two ways. In the title of various Parts, "service" denotes a type
> and kind of radio transmitting activity. For common carriers
> (interstate long-distance lines, microwave relays, etc.) the
> word "service" denotes the common carrier's available service
> to customers, what they provide for various communications
> means.
>
> The word "service" has many other meanings such as "service
> to the nation" (as in military service, volunteering to do some
> government task for little or no money) or "service to the
> community" (as in charity work or jury duty, both for little or no
> money). "Service" can also mean serving at a food place such
> as a waitress serving customers. By extension of that, anyone
> who serves anything can be said to provide a service.
>
> Throughout Title 47 CFR, the word "service" denotes a
> regulatory term denoting the type and kind of radio transmitting
> activity covered by a particular regulation Part. The full
> definition of US amateur radio is given under Part 97.1. In none
> of the five paragraphs of that definition does it describe amateur
> radio as something patriotic, of service to the nation, or of
> service to the community. Since amateur radio is an activity
> done without pecuniary interest (not for money), it cannot be a
> common carrier that provides a communications service for
> customers.
>
> See also Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio-Control SERVICE
> as described under Part 95, Title 47 CFR. [capitals for emphasis]
>
> In the FCC letter to Reliant Energy, they corrected Reliant Energy's

> description of Mr. Gerber's "use" of amateur radio by providing the
> essential definition of US amateur radio service. They did NOT
> describe Mr. Gerber's amateur radio activity as being patriotic, or
> of "service to the nation," or of "service to the community." As an
> independent amateur licensee, Mr. Gerber could NOT legally
> provide for "users" other than himself.
>
> Reliant Energy's attorneys used improper language in describing
> amateur radio activity and the FCC merely corrected them on that
> in addition to pointing out charges made to Mr. Gerber for
> correction of Reliant's own problems was unethical. The FCC also
> reminded Reliant Energy that THEY are responsible for illegal
> RF transmission as defined in regulations covering incidental
> radiation devices.
>
> You really do NOT want to get into some hissy-fit catfight over
> patriotism, do you?
>
> The subject of the word "service" in FCC regulations was covered
> in here well over three years ago. That was way before 11 Sep 01.
> The FCC's use of "service" as a regulatory term has not changed.
>
> An amateur radio license does not require services of a patriotic
> nature, nor is it defined as such, even remotely. Yes, some radio
> amateurs DO indeed perform a service to their communities in
> operating their radios to assist local communications. Such
> service is NOT exclusive to amateur radio but may be performed
> by ANY radio service under emergency conditions.
>
> NO radio license is required of a citizen to be of service to their
> nation or their community or even to the world at large. Good
> citizenship does not require federal licensing in any form.
>
> By the way, my surname is Anderson. The use of "Mr. Lennie"
> is inappropriate.

OH, so what WERE those hams doing for the month(s) after 9/11 while they
were passing traffic
for New York City EOC if they weren't providing a service? What were those
hams doing out west providing backup comms for the firefighting efforts in
Colorado and New Mexico if they were
not providing a service? Were they playing around with their radios for
their own benefit, or were they working and sweating so that firefighters
could be on the line where they were needed instead
of operating radios?

And, by the way, I don't really care.

J
>

267. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 02:30:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52183

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
> > Here's your brick, Brian: If you don't have written authorization to
> > back up your claim of permission to operate, you were nothing more than
> > a bootlegger.
> > You prior claim to high ethics and morality in amateur radio ring
> > hollow.
>
>

> That is only your opinion Dave.

Nope. It's the opinion of every knowledgeable ham who has weighed in on the subject, including a former FCC district director to whom it is more than just an opinion-it's a legal interpretertation of the international rules. Note that he is also an attorney, which also seems to mean nothing to you.
That you don't like it and continue to defend is no surprise. You're well known for defending the indefensible.

> Meanwhile, I don't knowingly keep working
> out of band French ops on 6M.

Yeah, we know, you keep an updated library of the amateur radio rules of all nations and always refer to it before answering DX CQ's. Right.

> Its just not good amateur practice.

We know you're very short on that. You have very little practice. Seems much you do have was unauthorized.

268. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 02:35:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D741FB3.FCA9C6BA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52185

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
> > But you should understand the importance of good
> > documentation. It's pretty well established here that you do not.
>
> Sure, when I wanted someone to deploy, I would cut a tasking order and flow
> it to their Wing readiness office, they would cut the orders. That was in
> writing. It was kind of a big deal. Not at all like amateur radio. FWIW,
> I had to task myself to go to Somalia, then hit the base readiness office
> and try to get them to hurry the orders along so I could draw gear and a
> weapon.
>
> It wasn't like the olden days where everyone moved as a single unit and went
> down to the pier and climbed on a troop ship so they could reduce the
> stockpile of old rations for weeks on end. We got airplanes today, and each
> deployment is tailored so as not to waste personnel, equipment, and
> supplies.
>

Gee. YOU put it all in writing. Strange, why didn't you just tell them verbally
and expect everyone involved to take their word for it? Worked fine on your
Somolia operation, no stinkin' documentation needed.
You're nothing if not inconsistant.

>
> See Dick, other services move along with the times, not at all like amateur
> radio.

Yeah, I see, verbal permission supersedes written documentation. For you. the
rest of the world is still using paper.

269. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 02:41:46 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5iVc9.54750$vY2.1328215@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52186

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:aktr3h$5kk$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> I totally agree with the coded segment. Only one comment...space the
> letters more. Makes for an easier read.
>
> I didn't realize how tough reading the code is when its written down.
Guess
> I actually did learn it the 'right way'.
>
> Back to the 'subject'.....your both right. In some area's Ham radio is
> dying. In others its not. Lets hope the two equal out.
>
> And as for the bootlegging buttheads on ten meters. How come you all run
> away when I dump a KW in CW mode on you?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D715649.EC3B9CAC@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > ..._ ._. ._ ... _ .._ ._ _ . .. _.. _._. _... . ._. .-.-.-
> > >
> > > *Some* of the hams may be no codes. Fellas, don't tell this jerk what
> the
> > > above phrase says.
> > > Let's see if he has any business making fun of no coders.
> >
> > LOL!!

I was at the Shelby Hamfest all last week, and I saw plenty of young hams 15
years or less of age.
One particular young fella was 14, very bright, very enthusiastic, and very
intelligent. Very hand-
some, he was certainly not the "geek" that some would like to have others
believe are representa-
tive of ham radio. Then there was a young girl that stopped by my tailgate
tent all of 9 years old
and a General. Her 11 y/o brother was a new Technician. Neither of them
looked "geeky". Just
normal, bright, curious kids that followed in their Dad's (and Mom's)

footsteps. Only those who
look from the outside in think Amateur Radio is dying.

73

Jerry
>
>

270. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 03:08:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902230849.04888.00000189@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 52187

In article <d22b54c3.0209021829.3c1ce89d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:<mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> and
>>
>> Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy Episode, or
>> was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been first. But then
>> Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just dunno. There
>> are so many good candidates here.
>>
>Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
>gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
>Putz.

Lots of folks have left this din of inequity.

Bothered about being only the 2nd seat in the putzmobile? :-)

>> Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in kerosene? I tried
>> so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP Hall of
>> Shame.
>>
>Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.

Right. You want this an exclusive little chat room just for coders who
can sit around and give each other high-fives over the splendid patriotic
task you are doing for the "ARS community." :-)

Pity that no one else will give you the adulation you feel you deserve.

[This is like "Grumpy Old Men III." :-)]

Beep, beep.

271. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 03:14:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020902231436.10667.00000220@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 52188

In article <O6Vc9.54630$vY2.1324674@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>And, by the way, I don't really care.

Then you shouldn't tie up bandwidth on the Internet. :-)

272. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 03:37:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D74233E.E4E167D9@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52189

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
> > But we know, Brian. You're not a deliberate illegal, just clueless.
>
> Dick, I had a clue. Another more experienced ham told me while I was over
> there to get permission from my commander. I did. Ten years later I check
> the ARRL web site for foreign operations from Somalia, and its still the
> same good information that he gave me. Gosh.
>
> Another experienced ham, my commander, me, and the ARRL all wrong? And Dick
> has the clue?

That's about it, Pal. If you'd asked your "other experienced ham" I'll wager he had it on paper, not just a verbal "go ahead". Otherwise he was as wrong as you. That he didn't mention that detail is no excuse for you not knowing. You were supposed to be a big boy. Normal persons in any setting know that's the only way to avoid "he said, she said" confusion and misunderstanding. Documentation details your "permission". What were the limits of your license grant from your commander? They should have been spelled out for you-on paper.. You'll never convince me your commander knew the difference between ham radio and CB. Most wouldn't. He may well have thought you were just going to run a few channels. Maybe you even wanted him to think that for all we know. Paper eliminates any question. You didn't have it on paper, so you'll forever have the questions. That's life. And the reason for documentation.

You might as well get used to the fact- no documentation, no valid permission. No valid permission, no license. No license, you're a bootlegger.

273. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 04:28:46 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns927E4E1ADD6Aelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 52190

eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in
news:20020902005047.25660.00000996@mb-md.news.cs.com:

>>Your analogy is way off.
>
> Probably.
>
>>It's more like taking an Electronics course with
>>a compulsory Requirement to pass a test in Anglo-Saxon, and then being
>>told you should be pleased because you only have to translate a short
>>passage to get partial credit.
>
> You have an option. Were you forced to take that curriculum? I have a
> degree in aeronautical engineering and one of the required courses was
> English Literature. So while we were cramming all night to pass
> everything from Avionics to Hydraulics to Calculus...we had to
> shoe-horn some English Lit in there as well. Cry about it? No, this was
> the curriculum WE chose. We could either "bitch and moan" or "get it
> done!" It's a personal choice where you want to invest your time and
> energy. You're right. I like your analogy much better.
>
> >For the record I have an EE degree, have been a ham for 22 years, am
> >actually quite interested in Anglo-Saxon(!)
>
> Very good accomplishments.
>
>>although nobody ever forced me to study it, passed 20 wpm code but can
>>hardly remember the code, and lastly, yes I hate code!
>
> Sounds like you invested your time wisely, got it done, and moved on.
>
>>73 de N3KIP (G8VUK/G0VUK)
>
> ...and to you, sir.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

I think the Literature requirement in your Aero Eng degree was just as
pointless, actually. Being from the UK, I didn't have to study English Lit
to get an EE degree. I had to do Mathematics, Economics and Finance for
credit as well as Programming, Engineering Drawing and various other stuff
including Technical Writing that were not for credit. None of these things
seem irrelevant to me, but English Literature? I'm nothing if not
consistent, and I think that's just as crazy as CW testing of 'phone

operators, if not more so.

274. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 07:02:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903030245.10377.00000963@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 52191

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 09/02/02 14:45 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020902144527.11392.00000203@mb-fi.aol.com>

>You went to Vietnam too?!? What branch, rank, unit?

While he's working on that, why don't you regale us with tales of how you sent 1.2 million messages while serving as a rear area radio clerk, Lennie? You know...while serving in the unit that had all the KIA's that made YOU a hero...???

Putz.

275. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 07:05:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903030531.10377.00000965@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 52192

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 09/02/02 14:45 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020902144526.11392.00000201@mb-fi.aol.com>

>>Dave, I was legal. You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds like
>>you and them have a problem.
>
> They don't have any problem in their eyes. They redefine
> everything to meet their own desires under the DXCC bar
> rules (they hoist a few at the local bar to make those new
> rules "legal").

Burkie was NOT legal. DXCC rules are established so as to recognize LEGAL operating entities.

Burkie was NOT legal, otherwise his operation would have been accepted in Newington.

Troll all you want to, Your Putziness...Illegal is illegal.

276. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 07:10:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903031030.10377.00000968@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 52193

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 09/02/02 16:04 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020902160458.12610.00000241@mb-fa.aol.com>

>>Either free citizens can petition their government or they cannot.
>>
>>Lets see what they say.
>
> Here's what one extra said -

Snipped....Not one word of the cited post made reference to who can
petition the government and who can't...but I guess Lennie had to do SOMETHING
to blunt the acidic but otherwise truthful comments I made.

Sucks to be Lennie.

Also notice that you snipped out YOUR comments from the cited post, only
reciting my comments out of context.

So very blatant...so very "you".

>It's the usual fabricated diatribe put out by those who cannot take
> debate or cannot win any perceived message points...almost
> always the long-time radio amateur.

The onlything "fabricated", Lennie, is your assertions of
"professionalism" and your war record. What a cowardly piece of work.

Again.

G'nite, Your Putziness.

277. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 07:23:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903032311.10377.00000969@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 52194

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 09/02/02 20:28 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020902202810.04751.00000570@mb-mw.aol.com>

>You obviously have no idea what Steve and I are discussing.

Whoa! There's a new experience...Brian Burke not having a clue! Gotta put
THAT one on the calendar!

ROTFLMAO!

Steve

278. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 08:26:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209030026.68c52ec2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52195

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<1eSc9.666$A45.539@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D73BB9E.DED4B6E3@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > ARRL DXCC desk has accepted fro credit other
> > > military members who have operated from Somalia under the same
> conditions.
> >
> > And you know that how?
>
> DXCC Dave has told me so. Am I not to believe Dave?
>
>
Hint: Those others were smarter than Burkie. Like that's a real trick.
>
>
> > You've already indicated that you didn't know how the
> > others got their authority
>
> I know how one got his authority. He's the one who told me to get my
> commander's permission. Which I did. Ten years later, I looked it up on
> the ARRL web site for foreign operations, and its still the same
> information. Gosh.
>
> > and approval that won acceptance from the DXCC desk.
>
> That I didn't know until Dave brought it up. I had to take something to the
> ARRL in writing. Ooops.
>
> > Now you do?
>
> Now I do.
>
>
Now I understand the problem Burkie. The ARRL requirement for written
documentation of authorization to operate in a foreign entitity for
DXCC credit has ONLY been around since 1945 or so.
>
>
> See Dick, other services move along with the times, not at all like amateur
> radio.
>

>
You've "moved along with the times"? And you still haven't caught up
with 1945? What piece did you draw on your way out Burkie, a
Springfield '06??
>
>

w3rv

279. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 11:44:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74A095.C3187317@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52196

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <I2Mc9.490$QG5.108228793@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and join the
> > >ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?
> >
> > It takes absolutely no Nostradamus talent to predict his response.

That will be a plus since you are definitely no Nostradamus.

> It would be a scathing commentary on "lack of amateur experience"
> still compared with his boasts of tenure in amateur radio. He would
> salt that with denigrations of being a "beginner" and not the mighty
> long-timer superior in radio that he would claim.

> The amount of vitriol spewed with hardened degratory comments
> would vary only to the degree his own personal viewpoints were
> opposed.

Wow, that clinches the bit about you being no Nostradamus.

> That's a no-brainer prediction. :-)

My reponse was a "no-Brian-er".

Dave K8MN

280. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 11:46:58 UTC
From: Charlie Yount <yount0375@sedrionpumps60.org>
Message-ID: <al27f5$4jb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ha ha ha, you must not have wandered very far from your
"tailgate tent." The rest of the Shelby hamfest was populated by
the ususal ham weirdos with pot bellies, call signs pasted all over
their cars, trucks, hats, filthy shirts, drinking beer and booze and
stuffing their fat faces with junk food. All the time bitching about
how the "bands" are being ruined by new operators. Seemed the
only normal people I saw were the truckers buying marine radios
for their long-haul rigs. hahahahahahahahahahahahahahah
pot-kettle-black

Charlie, standing by on 27890

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:5iVc9.54750$vY2.1328215@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
>
> I was at the Shelby Hamfest all last week, and I saw plenty of young hams
15
> years or less of age.
> One particular young fella was 14, very bright, very enthusiastic, and
very
> intelligent. Very hand-
> some, he was certainly not the "geek" that some would like to have others
> believe are representa-
> tive of ham radio. Then there was a young girl that stopped by my
tailgate
> tent all of 9 years old
> and a General. Her 11 y/o brother was a new Technician. Neither of them
> looked "geeky". Just
> normal, bright, curious kids that followed in their Dad's (and Mom's)
> footsteps. Only those who
> look from the outside in think Amateur Radio is dying.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> >
> >
>
>
>

281. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 11:52:09 UTC
From: Charlie Yount <yount0375@sedrionpumps60.org>
Message-ID: <al27os$56o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:_6xb9.49817$Hd4.7968575@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> <<<<<<<<<<trash snipped here>>>>>>>>>>>

Yawn, zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

The same "letter" you've posted and reposted with a different
date for the last three years! zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
Get some new material.

Charlie, standing by on 27890

282. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 11:53:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74A294.4E2A51FD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52199

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D73962E.2A515538@earthlink.net...
>
> > This one wasn't bright or wonderful. It is apparent that it was
> > different.
> > You don't *have* to prove to me that you had authorization to operate.
> > You don't have to prove it to the DXCC desk. You don't have to prove it
> > to those you worked and QSL'd. On the other hand, none of us has to
> > believe you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> For you to make your charge of bootlegging stick, you must prove it.
> Otherwise you're just flapping your gums.

You're stuff is starting to read like some sort of Mark Morgan masochism
primer. Do you have some overwhelming desire for public humiliation?
You have no documentation whatever for your proclaimed operation from
Somalia. No goober would expect anyone to believe based on "that guy
over there said it was okay".

Dave K8MN

283. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:05:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74A58E.8D164C31@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52200

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7399BB.A2992EC0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > For whether it was legal, Dave. That's what you and Ed's accusation of
> > > bootlegging means.
> >
> > Without a license or other document produced by someone in having the
> > authority to grant permission to operate, it flatly wasn't legal.
>
> It was legal.

Strike One! You have no documentation whatever which can prove your
claim.

> You
> > are the person who seemed confused by the role of the DXCC desk. I was
> > clear on that role all along.
>
> You absolutely were not. When asked, you couldn't tell me the first thing
> about it.

Strike Two! The first thing about it which I told you is that the DXCC
desk decides which operations are accredited. I even suggested (on
numerous occasions) that you contact the desk.

> > > > > It would appear that Len is correct. You do view the ARRL as a
> > > > > quasi-governmental entity capable of authorizing amateur operations
> in
> > > > > foreign countries. Wow.
> > > >
> > > > This is the part where you begin to be the real Brian.
> > >
> > > I'm always the "real" Brian. I don't have to be someone else while I'm
> on
> > > here because I don't work for the ARRL. Perhaps when Ed began charging
> that
> > > I was a bootlegger was when the "real" Ed showed up.
> >
> > I gave you credit for not always drawing an incorrect conclusion.
>
> I've learned that you are no authority on operating from countries without
> governments. I am 100% more experienced than you in that regard. And I did

> it correctly. I asked permission. Permission was granted.

Strike Three! Your school material on "operating from countries without governments" is apparently: a) ask some guy if it is okay, b) get a radio, c) operate. You are the only fellow I know of who believes that having some sort of written documentation is not necessary.

> > Pardon my error.
>
> Your many errors are pardoned. Next!
>
> > > You read
> > > > something and draw an incorrect conclusion.
> > >
> > > Dave, I'm free to make mistakes. Please correct me whenever I'm wrong.
> >
> > I thought I just did.
>
> Only you're wrong on this.

You haven't submitted a single shred of proof that I'm wrong, Brian.

> > > The ARRL doesn't authorize
> > > > operations.
> > >
> > > Who authorizes operations in Somalia?
> >
> > You've already stated that several times. You can add the government of
> > Somalia whenever the country forms one. Any of those forms of
> > permission will be in writing.
>
> Citation, please?
>
> > > > The ARRL sponsors DXCC. The DXCC desk decides whether an
> > > > operation will be accepted for DXCC purposes. Where there is any
> > > > question of an operation's legitimacy, the desk may request proof of
> > > > licensing along with copies of passport entry or exit stamps and/or
> > > > visas.
> > >
> > > Yes, I understand all that.
> > >
> > > Explain how you came to the conclusion that lack of DXCC approval means
> I
> > > was bootlegging.
> >
> > Lack of DXCC approval doesn't necessarily indicate bootlegging. Lack of
> > written permission from an entity authorized to grant it indicates that
> > you were bootlegging.
>
> Was my commander authorized to grant such permission?

If he was, he failed to issue you any documentation which permits
amateur radio operation by you. Neither we (nor you) actually know if
your commander was so empowered.

> > >
> > > I don't have to prove anything, Dave. I was granted permission, and I
> > > operated. Legally.
> >
> > So you say.
> >
> > > Who requires "written authorization," by the way?
> >
> > All countries require written authorization.
>
> Please cite.

No, you show me one which does not.

> > > Yes, now that you've brought it to my attention that the DXCC desk
> probably
> > > doesn't recognize it for their credit, I wished I had know all the ins
> and
> > > outs of covering all the bases of operation in a country without a
> > > government.
> >
> > The same things apply to countries *with* governments. Someone who has
> > authority to grant amateur radio operation issues the permission (in
> > writing).
>
> Hmmm. Better tell that to all the newly licensed who said they saw their
> call on the web.

I have no idea what this is supposed to mean. Are the newly licensed
having QSOs on the web?

> But anyway, Somalia was an extraordinary situation, and I did what I what I
> knew.

I have no trouble believing that you did what you knew.

> And that wasn't bootlegging.

I have a great deal of trouble believing this part.

> > > If the DXCC desk doesn't like it, then fine. Its just another example
> of
> > > the ARRL not supporting one of its members. Again.
> >
> > You'd like special DXCC rules for the unenlightened?
>
> No, I just never got into the DXCC groove. My log book is good enough. Do
> you fill your log book with lies?

You've claimed to be a DXer. How can you say that you never got into
"the DXCC groove" (whatever that is)? If you hadn't proper
documentation for operating from Somalia, you entire log book is a lie.

> Dave, if this really bothers you, please turn me in to the Department of
> Defence attorneys and have me Court Martialled under the UCMJ.

It's a little late for that, Brian. You got away with one.

> Taking advantage of a poor country, war crimes, something, anything.
>
> Otherwise, you're just flapping your gums.

No, I'm typing with my fingers. You are the one who can provide no
proof of being authorized to operate on the ham bands from Somalia.

Dave K8MN

284. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:07:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903080701.25108.00000005@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52053

In article <3D73C0E8.25A9256E@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>I had a Conditional General, for which no credit beyond Novice was given >when
upgrading at the FCC office. So when I went to upgrade to Extra, I was
>required to take the 13wpm
>code test, writtens for General, Advanced and Extra, as well as the 20WPM
>code test.

Yup, that practice went back to the old Class A days.

> Which I did on a single visit.

Four code tests (two sending, two receiving) and three writtens.

>Since the FCC district office at Kansas
>City was 260 miles away and required an overnight stay, I made sure I was
>ready before making the trip.

As did many of us. There was also the '30 day wait to retest' rule.

In my case, the FCC office was only a subway ride away. But the tests were only
given on Mondays and Wednesdays, 8 AM, which meant waiting for summer vacation
or the rare day when school was out but Federal offices were open. Skipping
school for a ham radio purpose was simply not allowed.

End result was the same: Anyone with sense went to the actual exam very
prepared.

> Wasn't all that difficult.

Yup. In fact, when I passed the General (summer of 1968, 14 years old), the
FCC examiner said 'why not try the Advanced while you're here?'. One did not
say 'no' to The Man, so I did, and passed easily even though I hadn't even
thought of the Advanced (there were no additional CW privs granted with an
Advanced, so it made little difference to me).

73 de Jim, N2EY

285. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:07:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903080703.25108.00000006@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52054

In article <akuccarqt2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

(N2EY wrote:)

>> >>I'm all for a return to secret tests given by FCC examiners,
>> >>but I
>> >> don't see that happening anytime soon, or even anytime at all. We've
>> >>had the
>> >> VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is obviously
>> >>satisfied that
>> >> it does the job adequately enough. Whether that's true or not, how can
>> >>anyone convince FCC different?

>> >The ability to convince the FCC of any testing position involves
>> >first defining the issue and then attempting to apply
>> >reality as to current results, etc.

>> Agreed.

>> >You stated: "We've had the
>> >VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is
>> >obviously satisfied that it does the job adequately enough."

>> Yup.

>> >I believe that is true, but the first question is to us...Do WE
>> >believe the VEC system has satisfactorily done the job?
>> >I do, you may not. Let's answer that first.

>> First let me say that the VEC and QPC folks have done an amazing amount of
>>work - and all of it as unpaid volunteers.

>> But they are constrained by the system itself. The Q&A pool cannot be
>>secret under the VEC/QPC system - it's just not practical.

>Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back
>to administering tests, the questions would
>become public anyway.

I disagree. Should 'Son of Bash' appear, FCC could prosecute him. But doing so
would mean there would need to be rules governing the handling of the tests,
and enforcement action against violators. More work for FCC.

>The internet has made
>it extremely easy to distribute materials without
>any oversight from government, etc.

But the material would have to be gathered in the first place. FCC field people knew what Bash was doing and how he was doing it, but the top dogs refused to do anything about Bash's activities. That would have to change. More $$

>> >You then said: "Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
>> >convince FCC different?"

>> Exactly.

>> >If we believe
>> >the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
>> >changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
>> >try and convince the FCC of anything.
>>
>> Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.

My agreement is with the validity of your logic. You wrote "if A is true, then we don't have to do B" - a conditional statement. But A is not true.

>> >If we think the
>> >VEC tesing should be ended or changed to the extent
>> >that the change requires FCC action, then we need to identify
>> >WHY and HOW...and then convince the FCC.

>> Yup.

>> >I believe the VEC system has accomplished the same
>> >goals as when the FCC did it. Is it perfect? No, but
>> >abuses and or shortcomings appear to be minimal at
>> >worst. I think there's room for test question
>> >imrovement within the context of the VEC process
>> >today (e.g. more variables in formula questions).

>> >What's your viewpoint?

>> I think that license testing, and test generation, should be the FCC's
>> job, not the job of unpaid volunteers. I think that having a published Q&A
pool
>>makes
>> the testing process less indicative of the true knowledge of the person
>>being
>> tested, compared to a testing process where the same pool is kept secret.

>> But I don't know any argument that would convince the FCC to take over the
>> testing process again.
>
>That being the case, and I agree that the FCC wouldn't
>buy into such an argument anyway...then what else can
>or should be done?

The steps we've already agreed on, such as increasing the size of the question pool, number of distractors, grading methods, etc. In other words, focus on things that can be done under the present system, rather than things that have virtually no chance of becoming reality. The key question: "Will it cost FCC more $$?"

--

Over the past 25 years or so, the requirements for an amateur license have slowly but steadily made easier. Whether that's a good thing or a bad thing is another question. But it's clear that the FCC thinks easier requirements are a good thing.

--

FCC testing could also create an access problem unless specific steps were taken. The classic "test at the FCC office" situation often required lots of travel, taking off work, etc. This was cured in the '70s by the FCC travelin road show operations, but such things today would require - you guessed it - more $$.

And it's questionable that FCC could afford to provide the ease of access that the VEC/QPC system does. Result could be fewer new hams simply because prospective amateurs couldn't get to a test session.

73 de Jim, N2EY

286. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:09:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74A67E.F8065CD9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52055

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D739B05.BC0B8AB@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020902033245.12219.00000859@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > > > >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> > > > >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> > > > >Date: 9/2/02 1:35 AM Central Daylight Time
> > > > >Message-id: <20020902023549.12219.00000852@mb-mw.aol.com>
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > >AMRS was the authority that American Amateurs operating from American
> > > > >installations on Japanese soil operated under. My copy of AMRS rules
> > > cited
> > > > >international and American regulations.
> > > >
> > > > And I forgot to add...was authorized under the Status of Forces
> > > Agreement
> > > > between Japan and the United States, although Japan refused to allow
> thier
> > > > Amateurs to communicate with us. Wierd rules, but legal.
> > > >
> > > > Steve
> > >
> > > Somalia had no SOFA agreement with the US. Now what?
> >
> > No SOFA; no written permission=bootlegger.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> See, Dave, that wasn't so hard, was it? I knew you had it in you.
>
> You and Ed have each clearly made the accusation.

I made it earlier. You just couldn't understand it.

> Now have you talked to the Somali War Lords about it? Have you brought it
> up with the Judge Advocate?

Time has passed. You got away with one.
```

> Or are you just flapping your gums? Maybe if you flapped your arms and
> squawked a little you would get some action.

I'm content to let you twist in the hot winds of the ethics and morality hell of your own creation.

Dave K8MN

287. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:16:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52056

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D728D4F.4192FB6C@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > > Uhhhhhhhh Len--Brian's already stated that he holds no written
> > > > > > permission to operate from Somalia. Mere bootlegging, despite
> your
> > > > > > attempted misdirection *is* the issue being discussed.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, you didn't even have the most rudimentary information about
> > > operating
> > > > > from Somalia or any other piece of real estate that has no
> government.
> > > You
> > > > > admitted that repeatedly when, after saying that I didn't get it
> right,
> > > you
> > > > > could offer absolutely no information whatsoever. All you knew was
> that
> > > > > whatever I said had to be wrong.
> > > >
> > > > I'd certainly have had the sense to have any such "permission" be put
> in
> > > > writing. Absent the document, you have nothing which states that your
> > > > operation was blessed by the U.S. military. Getting things in writing
> > > > would have been a no-brainer.
> > >
> > > So operating with verbal permission is bootlegging?
> >
> > Duh. Do you have proof that someone in authority okayed your operation?
>
> Prove I did not.

You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked about it, you
assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your claims with
```

proof. You cannot do so.

> > > > > I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate, which
> you
> > > and
> > > > > Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the ARRL
> web
> > > site
> > > > > say on the matter?
> > > > > ----------------------------
> > > > > Somalia (T5)
> > > > > At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission
> from
> > > the
> > > > > United Nations or your military commander.
> > > > >
> > > > > ----------------------------
> > > > >
> > > > > So in the end, I did what was required, and you looked stupid. And
> Ed
> > > > > ducked out of sight.
> > > >
> > > > Who looked stupid?
> > >
> > > You did/do.
> >
> > Nope. You still have it wrong, Mr. Ethics.
> >
> > > Could it be the guy who has no documentation
> > > > whatever to prove that he obtained his commander's authorization to
> > > > operate an amateur radio station in Somalia?
> > >
> > > Cite the requirement to have written authorization.
> >
> > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has a written
> > form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization. The U.S.
> > military issues written authorization. Where is yours?
>
> Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it is a GP form.

It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation from J5 is
typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.

> > > > > During the few hours I had to grab my gear, say goodbye to my
> family,
> > > and
> > > > > get out of the country on December 4, 1992, I forgot to check in
> with
> > > the
> > > > > quasi-governmental ARRL DXCC desk to have them bless my operation.
> > > >

> > > > It isn't necessary to obtain permission from the DXCC desk to operate.
> > > >
> > > No kidding?
> > >
> > No kidding. You seemed to have a lot of confusion over the issue.
>
> None. You construed a lack of DXCC blessing (which you're still guessing
> at), with bootlegging.

No, I repeatedly asked you about whether your permission was in
writing. Finally, you admitted that you had nothing in writing.

> > > > It is necessary to obtain written documentation of your permission to
> > > > operate so that you can provide proof that you were not Mogadishu
> Slim.
> > > > You can't provide such proof.
> > > >
> > > Dave, I am Brian Burke. Why would I want to be Mogadishu Skinny?
> > >
> > That's for you to tell us.
>
> Believe me, I like being an American. No Mogadishu Skinny for me.

Based on your lack of amateur radio documentation, you'll forever be
known as Mogadishu Slim.

Dave K8MN

288. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:19:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74A8C1.2D3A5599@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52057

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D739D49.A31CDB87@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7337D9.CE06ABD4@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D728ACA.B9297FE4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing around
> the
> > > > > question I
> > > > > > > asked.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country,
> as
> > > you
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > Ed have accused?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't know about your taking advantage, Brian. I never accused
> you
> > > of
> > > > > "taking advantage". As to bootlegging, it surely is looking that
> way.
> > > > > > What proof of authorization to operate from Somalia do you hold?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, make the accusation, or shut up.
> > > >
> > > > How clear does it have to be, Brian? Must I smack you in the head
> with
> > > > a brick to get your attention? Read what I wrote above and allow time
> > > > for it to sink in.
> >
> > Dave, just state it in plain language as Ed had the guts to do.
> >
> > Here's your brick, Brian: If you don't have written authorization to
> > back up your claim of permission to operate, you were nothing more than
> > a bootlegger.
```

> > You prior claim to high ethics and morality in amateur radio ring
> > hollow.
>
> That is only your opinion Dave. Meanwhile, I don't knowingly keep working
> out of band French ops on 6M. Its just not good amateur practice.

No, Brian, that is the opinion of a number of posters here. You are the only "DXer" I've encountered who does not believe that written documentation of licensing is necessary. It would appear that you do not hold the credentials to make a judgement on what constitutes good amateur practice.

Dave K8MN

289. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:23:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52051

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D739E61.4211F32D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D733846.CE8289F1@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D728EE4.4A15C892@earthlink.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Prove that the ARRL pretends that it is an agency.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You pretend that they can make bootlegging legal.
> > > > >
> > > > > Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could
> > > > > have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander
> > > > > authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
> > > >
> > > > Dave, I was legal.
> > >
> > > So you tell us.
> > >
> > > > You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds like
> > > > you and them have a problem.
> > >
> > > I don't have a problem. I didn't work you and was busy handing out QSOs
> > > from Botswana during the time you were there. The DXCC desk doesn't
> > > have a problem.
> > > They couldn't care less if you can't submit documentation because you
> > > never gave a thought that you might need it. The people who contacted
> > > you thinking that they'd receive DXCC credit for Somalia--now THEY have
> > > a problem.
> >
> > Dave, apparently they've never had a problem. I'm good in the book, no
> > complaints yet.

We have only your claim that you made QSOs from Somalia. We have only
your claim that you have had no complaints. We have only your claim
that you had permission to operate from T5.

> Except from you. So what are you doing about it? Squawking?
```

What am I doing about it? I'm exposing your claims of ethical and moral superiority as a sham.

Dave K8MN

290. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:24:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74A9FF.9E779D57@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52052

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020902144526.11392.00000201@mb-fi.aol.com...
> > In article <rALc9.360$6S4.104816861@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >> Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You could
> > >> have done that by obtaining something in writing from your commander
> > >> authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
> > >
> > >Dave, I was legal. You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds like
> > >you and them have a problem.
> >
> > They don't have any problem in their eyes. They redefine
> > everything to meet their own desires under the DXCC bar
> > rules (they hoist a few at the local bar to make those new
> > rules "legal").
> >
> > If Judge Heil declares you a bootlegger, you are a bootlegger.
> > Never mind what ARRL has posted in regards to Somalia.
> >
> > The law has spoken. :-)
>
> Yes, apparently so. The Worlds Greatest DXer has spoken.

So say a non-DXer and the world's most incompetent DXer.

Dave K8MN

291. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:34:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74AC4B.5A1674B1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52058

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D73A486.615D5A1A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D73401A.4C84ADB6@earthlink.net...

> > > Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and join
> the
> > > ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?
> >
> > Not necessarily. You have an amateur license. I don't give your
> > comments the same weight I give Jim's.
>
> So Len needn't obtain a license for you to continue to disagree with him.

He hasn't obtained an amateur radio license and I do disagree with him.

> So why do you continue to worry about why a guy without a license is
> interested in amateur radio?

Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio newsgroup.

Dave K8MN

292. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 12:36:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D74ACD1.3E929797@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52059

N2EY wrote:

> >The criminal thing, it seems, came some time
> >later. I disremember what it is he's serving that long sentence (life?) for,
> seems
> >like it was conspiring to off his XYL.
>
> That's how I remember it. He's still in jail, last I heard. I think the
> callsign may be available through the vanity program, too.

I believe that he died in prison a couple of years back.

Dave K8MN

293. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 13:00:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D74B237.12392427@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52060

N2EY wrote:

> End result was the same: Anyone with sense went to the actual exam very
> prepared.

As a longtime VE I regularly saw cases where the applicant
showed up with little or maybe
even no preparation for the test, and just gambled on "luck"
to get a test that he
could guess his way into passing. After all, it only cost a
few bucks and there
wasn't much travel or inconvenience involved. Some showed up
every test session
until they did indeed pass after guessing their way through
failure numerous times.
Even the code tests were multiple choice and if one could
copy any code at all
sometimes they could figure out many of the correct answers,
sometimes enough to
pass.

Made the job of the VEs more difficult because you had to
track which tests the
applicant had already taken and failed, since the rules
stipulated we not give him the same test twice. We had
to keep a file on each applicant who failed a test for that
reason.

Maybe none of this is a problem but it sure doesn't do
much to enhance ones view
of testing. And I know there have been some improvements
since that time.

294. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 14:05:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903100502.19073.00001234@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52061

>Brian P Burke wrote:

>> So why do you continue to worry about why a guy without a license is
>> interested in amateur radio?
>
>Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
>professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
>blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
>he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio newsgroup.

Leonard H Anderson is about as "interested in Amatuer Radio" as Jack
Kevorkian is interested in pro-life issues.

Steve

295. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 18:25:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <al2uq9$8ao$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Just another example of one who looks in from the outside with green eyes of jealousy.

Dan/W4NTI

"Charlie Yount" <yount0375@sedrionpumps60.org> wrote in message news:al27f5$4jb$0@pita.alt.net...
> Ha ha ha, you must not have wandered very far from your
> "tailgate tent." The rest of the Shelby hamfest was populated by
> the ususal ham weirdos with pot bellies, call signs pasted all over
> their cars, trucks, hats, filthy shirts, drinking beer and booze and
> stuffing their fat faces with junk food. All the time bitching about
> how the "bands" are being ruined by new operators. Seemed the
> only normal people I saw were the truckers buying marine radios
> for their long-haul rigs. hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahah
> pot-kettle-black
>
> Charlie, standing by on 27890
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:5iVc9.54750$vY2.1328215@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> >
> > I was at the Shelby Hamfest all last week, and I saw plenty of young hams
> 15
> > years or less of age.
> > One particular young fella was 14, very bright, very enthusiastic, and
> very
> > intelligent. Very hand-
> > some, he was certainly not the "geek" that some would like to have others
> > believe are representa-
> > tive of ham radio. Then there was a young girl that stopped by my
> tailgate
> > tent all of 9 years old
> > and a General. Her 11 y/o brother was a new Technician. Neither of them
> > looked "geeky". Just
> > normal, bright, curious kids that followed in their Dad's (and Mom's)
> > footsteps. Only those who
> > look from the outside in think Amateur Radio is dying.
> >
> > 73

> >
> > Jerry
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

296. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 18:30:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0209031030.71c65474@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52063

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>I think the
> callsign may be available through the vanity program, too.

No, it's not. It's a club callsign today.

73 de Jim, N2EY

297. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 19:10:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903151021.22428.00001428@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52066

In article <20020903031030.10377.00000968@mb-fx.aol.com>, an entity writes:

> The onlything "fabricated", Lennie, is your assertions of
>"professionalism" and your war record. What a cowardly piece of work.

<from the preceding message>

> While he's working on that, why don't you regale us with tales of how you
>sent 1.2 million messages while serving as a rear area radio clerk, Lennie?
>You know...while serving in the unit that had all the KIA's that made YOU a
>hero...???

The driver of the putzmobile is fabricating lies again...or still.

I never claimed an "war record." My military service was from
March, 1952 to April, 1960. I have an Honorable Discharge from
US Army service. My ASN was RA 16 408 336. My MOS was
281.6, microwave radio relay operation and maintenance
supervisor (old numbering system, the decimal indicating status,
supervisory status eligible by enlisted grades E5 or higher).
That was NOT some "clerk" task as stated several times by
this driver and others. Army station ADA carried message traffic
at an approximate rate of 220,000 per month in 1955.

My longest military assignment was with the Far East Command
Signal Service Battalion, 8235th Army Unit, that AU attached
directly in support of Far East Command Headquarters in
Tokyo, Japan, to provide signal services for the FEC Hq.
The active phase of the Korean War lasted from June, 1950,
to July, 1953, the latter date the beginning of the truce period
which continues to this day (the Korean War never officially
ended). Twenty-three members of the 8235th AU died in the
first two weeks of the Korean War; Hardy Barracks, the billet
for most companies of the 8235th, is named in honor of one of
those killed. The 8235th AU received two Presidential Unit
Citations for excellence in signal activities.

Eugene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, was assigned to C Company,
FEC Sig Svc Bn, at the same time that I was and can confirm
details of the Battalion and communications tasks. The number
of messages sent over ADA was published in the US Army
Times late in 1955 and also the battalion monthly newsletter.
The other details concerning the 8235th AU are found in US
Army Signal Corps history.

My employment record is three radio broadcast stations and
one TV broadcast station, as signed off on my first First Class

Radiotelephone license certificate by their respective chief engineers (a scan of which the driver refuses to view). In California that employment includes Hughes Aircraft Company (twice, first as senior electronics technician, much later as staff engineer), Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation, Micro-Radionics Incorporated, Birtcher Instruments Division, Electro-Optical Systems (a Xerox division), RCA Electromagnetic and Aviation Systems Division of RCA Corporation, Teledyne Electronics division of Teledyne, Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell International (division now purchased by Boeing). The last seven corporate employers had me as an electronics engineer assigned to electronics circuit and systems design.

The above may be verified by personnel departments of the respective corporations. My clearances were Secret and verified by the FBI, DCAS, and the usual federal government agencies, all without any discrepancies. The federal IRS and California Franchise Tax Board have tax records of my employment at the above corporations. County clerk offices of Winnebago, IL, Ventura, CA, and Los Angeles, CA, have certified copies of my military records, FCC and FAA documents. Published electronics articles with my byline are available in archive copies of Ham Radio Magazine, McGraw-Hill's biweekly Electronics, Microcomputing, and Byte, among others. None of that is fabricated. All is verifiable.

For personal knowledge, W6MJN was best man at my wedding, KD6JG is a long-time engineering colleague going back 30 years, N2JTV was in my military unit at the same time 48 years ago.

The putzmobile driver's continuing assertion of lies and fabrications, along with cowardice in military service, is itself a lie and a personal insult. He should stop such senseless and unproductive statements.

> Again.
>
> G'nite, Your Putziness.

My apologies to all other readers for a lengthy recitation of service and employment, but the continuing lies, fabrications, and distortions of this individual and some others warrant one of these every three years.

Leonard H. Anderson

298. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 19:10:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903151022.22428.00001429@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52067

In article <20020903080701.25108.00000005@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>> Wasn't all that difficult.
>
>Yup. In fact, when I passed the General (summer of 1968, 14 years old), the
>FCC examiner said 'why not try the Advanced while you're here?'. One did not
>say 'no' to The Man, so I did, and passed easily even though I hadn't even
>thought of the Advanced (there were no additional CW privs granted with an
>Advanced, so it made little difference to me).

Oh, my, "wasn't all that difficult?"

Of course not...yet you couldn't get a First Class Radiotelephone (commercial) by taking one additional written element?

When I took my First Phone in Chicago in 1956, nobody suggested "why not take the whole thing for a first class?" One stated what one was wanting to take and that was that. It wasn't "easy" nor was it all that difficult. The only problem that occurred was a fire drill throughout the whole federal building there in mid-test...only the building fire marshall was bossy about it. :-)

March in Chicago meant winter and a 90 mile train trip. There was no snow and I kept my shoes on throughout the trip. The only "uphill trudging" was up the front steps of the federal building.

Things must have been simpler in 1968, ey? You really didn't "need" a first phone, did you? A second class phone license was "good enough," yes? :-)

Beep, beep.

299. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 19:10:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903151023.22428.00001430@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52065

In article <3D74AC4B.5A1674B1@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:3D73A486.615D5A1A@earthlink.net...
>> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > >
>> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > news:3D73401A.4C84ADB6@earthlink.net...
>
>> > > Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and join
the
>> > > ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?
>> >
>> > Not necessarily. You have an amateur license. I don't give your
>> > comments the same weight I give Jim's.
>>
>> So Len needn't obtain a license for you to continue to disagree with him.
>
>He hasn't obtained an amateur radio license and I do disagree with him.

Yes, Heil can be one of the most disagreeable persons here... :-)

>> So why do you continue to worry about why a guy without a license is
>> interested in amateur radio?
>
>Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
>professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
>blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
>he'd have done it by now.

Perhaps I don't want to emulate Heil and become one of the "Brain-Dead
Old Farts" (definition of KH2D)? :-)

It would seem that the BDOFs think that all unlicensed (in amateur
radio) are "Clueless Newbies" (definition of KH2D) and must respect and
revere the words of the BDOFs while maintaining silence. They all seem
to deserve respect and admiration for merely existing.

Actually, Heil would seem to belong to a third Jim Kehler grouping, best
described here by initials DITWPIABUTDCF. [see http://kh2d.net under
Opinions, title Brain Dead] :-)

I've seen very little in the output of BDOFs (such as above) that would encourage anyone to partake in the hobby of US amateur radio. Their output in here seems to be little other than put-downs, degredations, insults heaped on others who don't agree with their sacred personal viewpoints. Not an encouragement at all.

300. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 19:10:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903151024.22428.00001431@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52064

In article <20020903100502.19073.00001234@mb-fz.aol.com>, some entity writes:

>>Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
>>professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
>>blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
>>he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio newsgroup.
>
> Leonard H Anderson is about as "interested in Amatuer Radio" as Jack
>Kevorkian is interested in pro-life issues.

Thank you, Efren Saldivar... :-)

301. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 19:24:26 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209031124.31d225c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52068

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D74ACD1.3E929797@earthlink.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > >The criminal thing, it seems, came some time
> > >later. I disremember what it is he's serving that long sentence (life?) for,
> seems
> > >like it was conspiring to off his XYL.
> >
> > That's how I remember it. He's still in jail, last I heard. I think the
> > callsign may be available through the vanity program, too.
>
> I believe that he died in prison a couple of years back.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>
Burkie oughta pick up Miller's callsign, they have the same "operating habits".

w3rv

302. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 20:00:44 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209031200.1d1f53c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52069

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
>
> Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
> Ed have accused?
>
>
The answer is a thundering yes. The following was copy-pasted from the
e-mail Brainiac Burke Fan Club sponsored by yer good buddy KF2TI.
>
This guy has nothing to do with RRAP.
>
"I operated in Somalia from March to May of 1993, as a part of what
was termed "Operation Restore Hope" I operated on all the HF bands,
doing both amateur operations as a DXCC station and running Phone
Patches for some of the Military personal there. There were roughly
3500 contacts made during that period, by me, and as far as I know
there were no other ham operators in country at that time. The
callsign used was my own, Kxxx/T5, and later T5xx.
>
In order to obtain permission to operate in country, I had to obtain
permission from the Marine OIC and the Naval Commander, or Senior
officer Present. (There was no local, regional, or national
government bureaus to deal with.) After obtaining permission from
them, the documents were sent to the FCC and the ARRL stating my
intentions to operate, approximate dates, frequencies, and modes. The
ARRL and the FCC granted permission, and operations commenced. The
station was secured on 28 May 1993, (after the antenna was destroyed
by machine gun fire.)
>
Equipment included a Yaesu FT101EE, Yaesu R3000 RCVR, Yaesu Linear,
Nye Viking Tuner, and a Cushcraft R-7 Antenna mounted on a building
rooftop roughly 45 ft agl. I hope this information is helpful to you,
and if I can be of any other assistance, feel free to email me."
>
(Name & Callsigns withheld)
>
There ya are Burkie, doan bitch about the freebanders, you wuz one.

w3rv

303. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 21:33:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <JS9d9.69096$vY2.1596820@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Charlie Yount <yount0375@sedrionpumps60.org> wrote in message
news:al27os$56o$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:_6xb9.49817$Hd4.7968575@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > <<<<<<<<<<trash snipped
here>>>>>>>>>>>
>
> Yawn, zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
>
> The same "letter" you've posted and reposted with a different
> date for the last three years! zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
> Get some new material.
>
> Charlie, standing by on 27890

OH!? How do you account for the different addresses? It IS, after all, a
form letter sent as an initial warning. And, without being too "specific",
let's just say I knew this one was coming. You go right "ahaid" and "take
an ole stood by thar on that thar freeband thar, mercy me thar, shore
thang thar, gre't day thar". Those warnings to 10 and 12 meter bandits are
REAL, bud. Just ask
ole "Rabbit Ears" down in Fla. about the chains and leg irons, about the 15
month jail sentence, the
$25,000 fine. Ask him if those iron bars are real, or if those tears he
cried in court were real. He who laughs LAST laughs BEST!

J
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

304. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 21:37:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D752B74.26A37929@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52071

Brian Kelly wrote:

> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> >
> > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
> > Ed have accused?
> >
> >
> The answer is a thundering yes. The following was copy-pasted from the
> e-mail Brainiac Burke Fan Club sponsored by yer good buddy KF2TI.
> >
> This guy has nothing to do with RRAP.
> >
> "I operated in Somalia from March to May of 1993, as a part of what
> was termed "Operation Restore Hope" I operated on all the HF bands,
> doing both amateur operations as a DXCC station and running Phone
> Patches for some of the Military personal there. There were roughly
> 3500 contacts made during that period, by me, and as far as I know
> there were no other ham operators in country at that time. The
> callsign used was my own, Kxxx/T5, and later T5xx.
> >
> In order to obtain permission to operate in country, I had to obtain
> permission from the Marine OIC and the Naval Commander, or Senior
> officer Present. (There was no local, regional, or national
> government bureaus to deal with.) After obtaining permission from
> them, the documents were sent to the FCC and the ARRL stating my
> intentions to operate, approximate dates, frequencies, and modes. The
> ARRL and the FCC granted permission, and operations commenced. The
> station was secured on 28 May 1993, (after the antenna was destroyed
> by machine gun fire.)
> >
> Equipment included a Yaesu FT101EE, Yaesu R3000 RCVR, Yaesu Linear,
> Nye Viking Tuner, and a Cushcraft R-7 Antenna mounted on a building
> rooftop roughly 45 ft agl. I hope this information is helpful to you,
> and if I can be of any other assistance, feel free to email me."
> >
> (Name & Callsigns withheld)
> >
> There ya are Burkie, doan bitch about the freebanders, you wuz one.
>
> w3rv

Yup. There are resources out there that poor Brian never suspected. Ya gotta wonder where he was operating if the

other ham there knew nothing of him. Hiding behind closed doors?

305. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 21:54:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903175412.10323.00000115@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 52072

In article <20020903080703.25108.00000006@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>> I think that license testing, and test generation, should be the FCC's
>>> job, not the job of unpaid volunteers. I think that having a published Q&A pool
>>>makes the testing process less indicative of the true knowledge of the person
>>>being tested, compared to a testing process where the same pool is kept secret.
>
>>> But I don't know any argument that would convince the FCC to take over the
>>> testing process again.
>>
>>That being the case, and I agree that the FCC wouldn't
>>buy into such an argument anyway...then what else can
>>or should be done?
>
>The steps we've already agreed on, such as increasing the size of the question
>pool, number of distractors, grading methods, etc. In other words, focus on
>things that can be done under the present system, rather than things that have
>virtually no chance of becoming reality. The key question: "Will it cost FCC
>more $$?"

A return to old-style at-the-FCC-field-office testing will obviously impact the FCC budget. Remember that all commercial radio operator license testing

is also privatized (via COLEMs). There are more commercial licenses (and classes of same) now than three or four decades ago.

The radio regulatory task of the FCC is much larger now than it was two decades ago, more and different radio services now than ever before. Amateur radio has become a minority among all the different radio services as well as being a minority among all RF emitters. [the number of private vehicle (land, sea, or air) radio licenses exceed the number of licensed US radio amateurs by approximately 3:1]. One in five US citizens are cellular telephone subscribers, something that has far exceeded expectations of the entire electronics industry of a dozen years ago. With the explosion of cell phones has come a rapid expansion of cell sites, all of which must be regulated.

If the FCC were to drop privatization of radio amateur license testing and yet keep the privatization of commercial license testing, amateur radio must prove that it is more important to the nation than all other commercial radio services. Note: Privatization is done with common-band frequencies in commercial land radio (principally PLMRS), several

different industry groups handling that; the number of such assignments exceeds the number of amateur radio repeaters.

It should be rather obvious that discontinuing license/frequency privatization should increase the FCC budget, both in staffing and administrative costs.

>Over the past 25 years or so, the requirements for an amateur license have
>slowly but steadily made easier. Whether that's a good thing or a bad thing
>is another question. But it's clear that the FCC thinks easier requirements
are
>a good thing.

No one can know "what the FCC thinks" except by interpretation of published documents of the FCC...unless they are staffers within the FCC. Their statements on rule changes in Reports and Orders are "clear" only in what they used as criteria for establishing different items in R&Os. Nearly all statements in R&Os have footnotes indicating their reasons for rulings.

Improved radio-electronics technology within the last three decades has reduced monitoring needs for out-of-band operation, power output determination, or harmonic radiation of licensees, any radio service. Such needs have not disappeared, but they have been much reduced from improved commercial radio products. As a result of such improved-technology commercial radio products, the individual licensee technical knowledge doesn't have to be as great as before...and technology questions on licensing can be reduced. That is looking from the point of view of the FCC as a radio regulatory agency.

>FCC testing could also create an access problem unless specific steps were
>taken. The classic "test at the FCC office" situation often required lots of
>travel, taking off work, etc. This was cured in the '70s by the FCC travelin
>road show operations, but such things today would require - you guessed it -
>more $$.

One test session equals only one day spent for testing, at most.
. Based on urban centers as a present comparison of travel to an FCC office (assumed established in each), an approximate maximum travel distance is only about 150 miles, three and a half hours by car...slightly longer in the Rocky Mountain states and Alaska. Note: Center of population in the USA is moving steadily westward, having crossed the Mississippi decades ago.

The problem there is that few urban centers in the USA have FCC field offices now. To federalize license testing requires an opening of many more field offices to handle both commercial and amateur applicants.

>And it's questionable that FCC could afford to provide the ease of access
>that the VEC/QPC system does. Result could be fewer new hams simply because
>prospective amateurs couldn't get to a test session.

There is an alternative to this in combining shorter distance travel and a form of federal testing using secure Internet (as presently done for things like bank accounts). The federal end could be a single server holding the test forms, test questions (however randomized), scoring, and accept-reject notices to the user.

Individual terminals could be in any federal office with a computer and Internet access (very few do not have such). A staffer at each office need only handle identification of the applicant and whatever local paperwork is necessary. A menu and prompt from the server could supply the necessary direction for the staffer in each office. This might entail adding more terminals in a particular federal office but that is essentially a one-time cost plus maintenance, not the salary-plus-150%-burden cost of a human in addition to personnel testing and screening. At most, the federal office containing test terminals would need more space of about 40 to 50 square feet extra per terminal (with desk and chair).

With the server in control of test content and secure connections, privacy and secrecy is ensured. The only catastrophic condition might be server interruption or some temporary fault in the Internet connection. Server-terminal software could handle such outages without penalty to the license applicant.

Terminals handle both text and graphics with ease today. Multiple-choice test answers need only be a mouse click on a correct screen button. If morse code testing must be done in such a future system, there must be a system to verify direct copy of transmitted code. Not all applicants would be keyboard-familiar. Scanner OCR identification might be done but that is problematic with some hand-printing done under stress. The alternative there is for a local office staffer to read direct copy and verify it against the transmitted copy sent only to the staffer's terminal.

Such a system requires a different political arrangement between federal agencies to share services. Military bases would be no problem for limited-access to test terminals; the US military is heavy into Internet connection now. Other civil agencies might present a problem. However, all serve the same government so there would be no government level jurisdiction situations such as between federal and state or federal and local governments. Note: California state motor vehicle offices presently handle voter registration, a local county government function, so the inter-government situation already exists on a small scale without undue problems.

This compromise system involves very little budgetary increase for the federal government, utilizing the many different federal offices already existing without adding FCC field offices. It allows full secrecy of test questions under direct FCC control, federalizes license testing again, and may or may not remove the "public full answer" stigma that some attach to present-day

privatization. It would replace both COLEMs and VECs
except for the requirement to "understand" morse code for
commercial radiotelegraph license applicant's sending...that
could use adaptations of a few present-day morse decoders
working with noise-free circuits, but must be experimented
with under test system conditions for proper scoring relative
to older code test methods using an FCC field officer as the
"decoder."

Note again: S25.5 presently requires "sending by hand,
receiving by ear," but that refers to the test applicant. It does
not say anything about the code generation or decoding
method.

A simpler solution is to force the VEC QPC to generate and
distribute twice or even three times the present-day minimum
of 10 pool questions-and-answer sets.

306. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 22:04:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Tlad9.948$iH.679@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52073

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D74A294.4E2A51FD@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D73962E.2A515538@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > This one wasn't bright or wonderful. It is apparent that it was
> > > different.
> > > You don't *have* to prove to me that you had authorization to operate.
> > > You don't have to prove it to the DXCC desk. You don't have to prove
it
> > > to those you worked and QSL'd. On the other hand, none of us has to
> > > believe you.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > For you to make your charge of bootlegging stick, you must prove it.
> > Otherwise you're just flapping your gums.
>
> You're stuff is starting to read like some sort of Mark Morgan masochism
> primer. Do you have some overwhelming desire for public humiliation?
> You have no documentation whatever for your proclaimed operation from
> Somalia. No goober would expect anyone to believe based on "that guy
> over there said it was okay".
>
> Dave K8MN

I have my logs. It's enough for me. Would you like me to resend you a
card? Just a minute while I check my logs.

Nope, you're not in them, Sorry.

307. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 22:17:34 UTC
From: Channel Jockey 427 <cj427@28025LSBclub.net>
Message-ID: <al3cdp$55l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ, Yawn!
More SPAM! (and lies)
and stop post-editing.

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:JS9d9.69096$vY2.1596820@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Charlie Yount <yount0375@sedrionpumps60.org> wrote in message
> news:al27os$56o$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:_6xb9.49817$Hd4.7968575@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > <<<<<<<<<<trash snipped
> here>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >
> > Yawn, zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
> >
> > The same "letter" you've posted and reposted with a different
> > date for the last three years! zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
> > Get some new material.
> >
> > Charlie, standing by on 27890
>
> OH!? How do you account for the different addresses? It IS, after all, a
> form letter sent as an initial warning. And, without being too
"specific",
> let's just say I knew this one was coming. You go right "ahaid" and "take
> an ole stood by thar on that thar freeband thar, mercy me thar, shore
> thang thar, gre't day thar". Those warnings to 10 and 12 meter bandits
are
> REAL, bud. Just ask
> ole "Rabbit Ears" down in Fla. about the chains and leg irons, about the
15
> month jail sentence, the
> $25,000 fine. Ask him if those iron bars are real, or if those tears he
> cried in court were real. He who laughs LAST laughs BEST!
>
> J

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

308. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 22:23:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HDad9.952$7I.703@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52075

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D74A58E.8D164C31@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7399BB.A2992EC0@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > For whether it was legal, Dave. That's what you and Ed's accusation
of
> > > > bootlegging means.
> > > >
> > > Without a license or other document produced by someone in having the
> > > authority to grant permission to operate, it flatly wasn't legal.
> > >
> > It was legal.
> >
> Strike One! You have no documentation whatever which can prove your
> claim.

None the less, it was legal.

If it wasn't, your free to make a ham citizen's arrest.

Hi!

> > You
> > > are the person who seemed confused by the role of the DXCC desk. I
was
> > > clear on that role all along.
> > >
> > You absolutely were not. When asked, you couldn't tell me the first
thing
> > about it.
> >
> Strike Two! The first thing about it which I told you is that the DXCC
> desk decides which operations are accredited. I even suggested (on
> numerous occasions) that you contact the desk.

For authorization.

None the less, they have no authority over Somalia, the US Air Force, nor my
commander.

> > > > > It would appear that Len is correct. You do view the ARRL as a
> > > > > quasi-governmental entity capable of authorizing amateur

operations
> > in
> > > > > > foreign countries. Wow.
> > > > >
> > > > > This is the part where you begin to be the real Brian.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm always the "real" Brian. I don't have to be someone else while
I'm
> > on
> > > > > here because I don't work for the ARRL. Perhaps when Ed began
charging
> > that
> > > > > I was a bootlegger was when the "real" Ed showed up.
> > > >
> > > > I gave you credit for not always drawing an incorrect conclusion.
> > >
> > I've learned that you are no authority on operating from countries
without
> > governments. I am 100% more experienced than you in that regard. And I
did
> > it correctly. I asked permission. Permission was granted.
>
> Strike Three! Your school material on "operating from countries without
> governments" is apparently: a) ask some guy if it is okay, b) get a
> radio, c) operate. You are the only fellow I know of who believes that
> having some sort of written documentation is not necessary.

So? It is still 100% more experience than you've got. And I learn from my
mistakes. Yes, I should have had my commander's signature on some Form 1.

None-the-less, he did authorize it. It was legal.

> > > Pardon my error.
> > >
> > Your many errors are pardoned. Next!
> > >
> > > > You read
> > > > > something and draw an incorrect conclusion.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, I'm free to make mistakes. Please correct me whenever I'm
wrong.
> > > >
> > > > I thought I just did.
> > >
> > Only you're wrong on this.
>
> You haven't submitted a single shred of proof that I'm wrong, Brian.

Yes I have. It was authorized by my commander. I was there. He was there.

> > > > > The ARRL doesn't authorize
> > > > > > operations.

> > > >
> > > > Who authorizes operations in Somalia?
> > > >
> > > You've already stated that several times. You can add the government
of
> > > Somalia whenever the country forms one. Any of those forms of
> > > permission will be in writing.
> > >
> > Citation, please?
> > >
> > > > > The ARRL sponsors DXCC. The DXCC desk decides whether an
> > > > > operation will be accepted for DXCC purposes. Where there is any
> > > > > question of an operation's legitimacy, the desk may request proof
of
> > > > > licensing along with copies of passport entry or exit stamps
and/or
> > > > > visas.
> > > > >
> > > > Yes, I understand all that.
> > > > >
> > > > Explain how you came to the conclusion that lack of DXCC approval
means
> > I
> > > > was bootlegging.
> > > >
> > > Lack of DXCC approval doesn't necessarily indicate bootlegging. Lack
of
> > > written permission from an entity authorized to grant it indicates tha
t
> > > you were bootlegging.
> > >
> > Was my commander authorized to grant such permission?
> >
> *If he was*, he failed to issue you any documentation which permits
> amateur radio operation by you. Neither we (nor you) actually know if
> your commander was so empowered.

We were both there. His authorization was good until either he left or I
left. If he left first, it would be incumbent upon me to get permission
from the next commander. As it was, I was cut at day 47. He remained.

Thus, it was legal my entire stay in country.

> > > > I don't have to prove anything, Dave. I was granted permission, and
I
> > > > operated. Legally.
> > > >
> > > So you say.
> > > >
> > > > Who requires "written authorization," by the way?
> > > >

> > > All countries require written authorization.
> >
> > Please cite.
>
> No, you show me one which does not.

You show me.

> > > > Yes, now that you've brought it to my attention that the DXCC desk
> > probably
> > > > doesn't recognize it for their credit, I wished I had know all the
ins
> > and
> > > > outs of covering all the bases of operation in a country without a
> > > > government.
> > >
> > > The same things apply to countries *with* governments. Someone who
has
> > > authority to grant amateur radio operation issues the permission (in
> > > writing).
> >
> > Hmmm. Better tell that to all the newly licensed who said they saw
their
> > call on the web.
>
> I have no idea what this is supposed to mean. Are the newly licensed
> having QSOs on the web?

No. But when a newly licensed amateur in the USA first sees his name and new call come up on the web, they get right on the radio. Paperwork comes in a few weeks later.

> > But anyway, Somalia was an extraordinary situation, and I did what I
what I
> > knew.
>
> I have no trouble believing that you did what you knew.

And you knew nothing about operating from a country w/o a government, just as you said, and you also said a commanders approval wouldn't do it, and on and on and on. Not only were you ignorant, but you were wrong. You admitted to ignorance, now its time to come home on being wrong.

Betcha can't do it.

> > And that wasn't bootlegging.
>
> I have a great deal of trouble believing this part.

Doesn't matter. That's the way it was.

> > > > If the DXCC desk doesn't like it, then fine. Its just another
example

> > of
> > > > the ARRL not supporting one of its members. Again.
> > >
> > > You'd like special DXCC rules for the unenlightened?
> >
> > No, I just never got into the DXCC groove. My log book is good enough.
Do
> > you fill your log book with lies?
>
> You've claimed to be a DXer. How can you say that you never got into
> "the DXCC groove" (whatever that is)? If you hadn't proper
> documentation for operating from Somalia, you entire log book is a lie.

DXCC groove = never applied to the DXCC desk for anything.

I just work DX and I've been DX. Sorry if that pisses you off.

> > Dave, if this really bothers you, please turn me in to the Department of
> > Defence attorneys and have me Court Martialled under the UCMJ.
>
> It's a little late for that, Brian. You got away with one.

No, no, no. I'll admit to everything I've said here. Go to the
authorities. We can get my deployed commander to testify. Unless he's died
from malaria or some other exotic disease.

Please, vindicate me.

> > Taking advantage of a poor country, war crimes, something, anything.
> >
> > Otherwise, you're just flapping your gums.
>
> No, I'm typing with my fingers. You are the one who can provide no
> proof of being authorized to operate on the ham bands from Somalia.

Dave, I have no proof that I was in Somalia either, nor do you. All of our
orders said Kenya for security purposes. Now how did those damned CNN
reporters show up on Mogadishu beach?

Prolly some State Dept. leak.

309. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 22:39:31 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <al3dsu$c8a$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52076

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:akvri77vms@enews3.newsguy.com...
> And all of these comments saying that the field organization is not
> responsible for anything apparently. These are some of the only ways to
see
> the organization in action, which I would think would be a major selling
> point to join the organization other than "just because I told you so," or
> the use of mafioso tactics.
>
> So far, from the original thread, the whole concept was for reasons why I
or
> others should join, other that it being a magazine subscription. So far,
> most everyone has stated in not so many words, 'its none of your
business."
> (jsut basically phrased a different way)

I certainly hope that you didn't derive "its none of your business"
from my comments. The ARRL web pages contain information
about the field organization. I asked you for some specific needs
you might have. I can't respond with specifics if I don't
know the circumstances.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

> Gee, if I was going to buy a car or something else like that, I sure would
> be requiring a little more information that merely the price of the car.
> And if they basically came off with the attitude of what I have seen so
far,
> I definitely would look elsewhere.
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
> > The roles/responsibilities of field organization folks
> > covers other areas than recruitment. We are, however,
> > there to be tapped as a resource when needed...we are not
> > all in a position to devote the type of time as you seem
> > to expect or require.
> >
> > Cheers,

> > Bill K2UNK
> >
> >
> >
>
>

310. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 22:42:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <al3dv1$6ss$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52077

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902010208.22428.00001321@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <akucc7rqt1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >> Since the VEC Q&A pool is easily transported electronically,
> >> they could generate more than 10 times the minimum
> >> number of questions. Enlarging the Q&A pool will reduce
> >> the possibility of "memorizing all" of the questions-answers
> >> without changing the number of questions on the written
> >> test itself.
> >
> >Yup!
> >
> >Jim and I have been advocating that stance for quite some time now.
>
> Then it's about time since I posted that bit of information over
> two years ago in here.
>
> What have you done about besides "advocating" it? Have you
> or "Jim" communicated with the VECQPC on that?

I have, although not recently. In doing so several years ago I'll
note that I was disappointed that not one of the 4 VEC entities
even acknowledged receipt of my suggestions.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

311. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 22:47:04 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <al3e5fhll1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52078

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1s5ovk.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 1 Sep 2002 20:45:52 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back to administering tests, the
> >questions would become public anyway. The internet has made it
> >extremely easy to distribute materials without any oversight from
> >government, etc.
>
> You left out the word "stolen" betwene "distribute" and
> "materials".

Actually, stolen doesn't quite fit most government data.
WE, the public, paid for it and we the public own it. I've
never seen a copyright claim attached to any federal
documentation.

> Make the violations egregious enough and government-based "adult
> supervision" will certainly follow. I'd rather not be around then,
> though.

What are they going to do? Send every teenager to
jail for copyright violation for sharing Mpeg files?

312. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 22:49:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <a0bd9.2325$3W4.42943550@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52079

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209021829.3c1ce89d@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> and
> >
> > Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy Episode,
or
> > was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been first. But
then
> > Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just dunno.
There
> > are so many good candidates here.
> >
> >
> Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
> Putz.

Now hold on here. I think Len presents some fine arguments. I don't
necessarily think he presents them in a palatable manner. But there's a lot
of truth in what he says. And Brian, you're exactly the ham he describes,
whether you like it or not.

> > Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in kerosene? I
tried
> > so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP Hall of
> > Shame.
> >
> Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
>
> w3rv

Just as soon as you write VOID across your ticket and send it to Riley.

You were right when you said you were an old crank.

Brian, you're just a cranky, jerky ham.

73, Brian

313. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 22:49:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <b0bd9.2326$3W4.42943550@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52080

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020903080703.25108.00000006@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <akuccarqt2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

> >Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back
> >to administering tests, the questions would
> >become public anyway.
>
> I disagree. Should 'Son of Bash' appear, FCC could prosecute him. But
doing so
> would mean there would need to be rules governing the handling of the
tests,
> and enforcement action against violators. More work for FCC.
>
> >The internet has made
> >it extremely easy to distribute materials without
> >any oversight from government, etc.

Elsewhere in here, thae ARRL was shown to publish exact test material prior
to Bash. Do/would you support enforcement against the ARRL?

314. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 22:52:20 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <al3egg$lp6$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52081

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020903080703.25108.00000006@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <akuccarqt2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> (N2EY wrote:)
>
> >> >>I'm all for a return to secret tests given by FCC examiners,
> >> >>but I
> >> >> don't see that happening anytime soon, or even anytime at all. We've
> >> >>had the
> >> >> VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is obviously
> >> >>satisfied that
> >> >> it does the job adequately enough. Whether that's true or not, how
can
> >> >>anyone convince FCC different?
>
> >> >The ability to convince the FCC of any testing position involves
> >> >first defining the issue and then attempting to apply
> >> >reality as to current results, etc.
>
> >> Agreed.
>
> >> >You stated: "We've had the
> >> >VEC/QPC system for almost 20 years now, and the FCC is
> >> >obviously satisfied that it does the job adequately enough."
>
> >> Yup.
>
> >> >I believe that is true, but the first question is to us...Do WE
> >> >believe the VEC system has satisfactorily done the job?
> >> >I do, you may not. Let's answer that first.
>
> >> First let me say that the VEC and QPC folks have done an amazing amount
of
> >>work - and all of it as unpaid volunteers.
>
> >> But they are constrained by the system itself. The Q&A pool cannot be
> >>secret under the VEC/QPC system - it's just not practical.
>
> >Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back
> >to administering tests, the questions would
> >become public anyway.
>

> I disagree. Should 'Son of Bash' appear, FCC could prosecute him. But
doing so
> would mean there would need to be rules governing the handling of the
tests,
> and enforcement action against violators. More work for FCC.

Too much work for anyone. It just can't be done and won't be.
The internet has seen to that.

> >The internet has made
> >it extremely easy to distribute materials without
> >any oversight from government, etc.
>
> But the material would have to be gathered in the first place.

Piece of cake... web site in some foriegn location asks for
anyone taking a test to submit any questions they remember.

> FCC field people
> knew what Bash was doing and how he was doing it, but the top dogs refused
to
> do anything about Bash's activities.

See "piece of cake" above which requires no one to go face-to-face
with the FCC.

> That would have to change. More $$
>
> >> >You then said: "Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
> >> >convince FCC different?"
>
> >> Exactly.
>
> >> >If we believe
> >> >the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
> >> >changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
> >> >try and convince the FCC of anything.
> >>
> >> Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.
>
> My agreement is with the validity of your logic. You wrote "if A is true,
then
> we don't have to do B" - a conditional statement. But A is not true.

I said "IF" we believe A is true. Other than larger pools, what
is needed?

> >> >If we think the
> >> >VEC tesing should be ended or changed to the extent
> >> >that the change requires FCC action, then we need to identify
> >> >WHY and HOW...and then convince the FCC.
>
> >> Yup.

>
> >> >I believe the VEC system has accomplished the same
> >> >goals as when the FCC did it. Is it perfect? No, but
> >> >abuses and or shortcomings appear to be minimal at
> >> >worst. I think there's room for test question
> >> >imrovement within the context of the VEC process
> >> >today (e.g. more variables in formula questions).
>
> >> >What's your viewpoint?
>
> >> I think that license testing, and test generation, should be the FCC's
> >> job, not the job of unpaid volunteers. I think that having a published
Q&A
> pool
> >>makes
> >> the testing process less indicative of the true knowledge of the person
> >>being
> >> tested, compared to a testing process where the same pool is kept
secret.
>
> >> But I don't know any argument that would convince the FCC to take over
the
> >> testing process again.
> >
> >That being the case, and I agree that the FCC wouldn't
> >buy into such an argument anyway...then what else can
> >or should be done?
>
> The steps we've already agreed on, such as increasing the size of the
question
> pool, number of distractors, grading methods, etc. In other words, focus
on
> things that can be done under the present system, rather than things that
have
> virtually no chance of becoming reality. The key question: "Will it cost
FCC
> more $$?"

OK by me.

> --
>
> Over the past 25 years or so, the requirements for an amateur license have
> slowly but steadily made easier. Whether that's a good thing or a bad
thing is
> another question. But it's clear that the FCC thinks easier requirements
are a
> good thing.
>
> --
>

> FCC testing could also create an access problem unless specific steps were
> taken. The classic "test at the FCC office" situation often required lots
of
> travel, taking off work, etc. This was cured in the '70s by the FCC
travelin
> road show operations, but such things today would require - you guessed
it -
> more $$.
>
> And it's questionable that FCC could afford to provide the ease of access
that
> the VEC/QPC system does. Result could be fewer new hams simply because
> prospective amateurs couldn't get to a test session.

I agree.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

315. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 23:00:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903190020.25108.00000021@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52082

In article <d22b54c3.0209031200.1d1f53c3@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:<rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
>>
>> Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
>> Ed have accused?
>>
>The answer is a thundering yes. The following was copy-pasted from the
>e-mail Brainiac Burke Fan Club sponsored by yer good buddy KF2TI.
>>
>This guy has nothing to do with RRAP.

KF2TI has been in this newsgroup many times, both as
himself and under at least three pseudonyms.

>"I operated in Somalia from March to May of 1993, as a part of what
>was termed "Operation Restore Hope" I operated on all the HF bands,
>doing both amateur operations as a DXCC station and running Phone
>Patches for some of the Military personal there. There were roughly
>3500 contacts made during that period, by me, and as far as I know
>there were no other ham operators in country at that time. The
>callsign used was my own, Kxxx/T5, and later T5xx.
>>
>In order to obtain permission to operate in country, I had to obtain
>permission from the Marine OIC and the Naval Commander, or Senior
>officer Present. (There was no local, regional, or national
>government bureaus to deal with.) After obtaining permission from
>them, the documents were sent to the FCC and the ARRL stating my
>intentions to operate, approximate dates, frequencies, and modes. The
>ARRL and the FCC granted permission, and operations commenced. The
>station was secured on 28 May 1993, (after the antenna was destroyed
>by machine gun fire.)
>>
>Equipment included a Yaesu FT101EE, Yaesu R3000 RCVR, Yaesu Linear,
>Nye Viking Tuner, and a Cushcraft R-7 Antenna mounted on a building
>rooftop roughly 45 ft agl. I hope this information is helpful to you,
>and if I can be of any other assistance, feel free to email me."
>>
>(Name & Callsigns withheld)
>>
>There ya are Burkie, doan bitch about the freebanders, you wuz one.

How is that verifiable if "name and callsigns are withheld?"

Are you or KF2TI journalists who feel free to use ambiguous "informed sources" as truth? I don't think so.

Some kind of "e-mail fan club" doesn't sound authentic for anything but ordinary libel spread around by others with some out of spite or are now afraid to come out in public forums.

Four years ago (or so) some loudmouth came in on an argument of military use of morse code, claiming "his son used CW behind military lines sending intel" during the Gulf War. After some several postings correcting him on what the US military really did use in 1991, he relented that it might be "mistaken."

In the quote above, can you verify that all those Yaesu equipments existed in the beginning of 1993? How did the "name and callsign withheld" individual get all that ham equipment into Somalia? What unit was this unknown with, what branch? How does only the antenna get "destroyed by machine gun fire" yet the radio equipment or unknown operator is untouched? Do you really think any machine gun could zero in so accurately to take out one thing, yet not touch the rest of the station?

"3500" phone patches at 5 minutes per patch would require about 38 days of 8 hour a day operation, most of a 3 month period of presumably military duty. That's questionable as some kind of special services equivalent entertainment in what was an essentially military zone which needed military comm for military needs using military equipment.

What you have there sounds suspiciously like yet-another fabrication...such as the "suddenly activated officer from under a NYC bridge mobilized after September 11, 2001" who appeared in here, without name or any other verifiable data to identify the person...except a traceback of header information, writing style, phrases, and cute little taglines exactly like another's.

Unless you can verify that quote, readers have to judge it as being yet-another fabrication of someone's imagination.

316. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 23:27:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <Ozbd9.4950$LI2.362087@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52083

Anderson writes:

>
> Brakob wishes to enoble his avocation as some kind of implied
> "service to the country" or "service to the community" as if it is
> a kind of noble deed.
>

Anderson, in another desperate attempt at misdirection to cover his lack of information, attempts to put words in my mouth. I didn't imply "service to the country" or "service to the community" in my message. I simply quoted a direct and unequivocal official statement made by the FCC. That statement is complete in itself, independent of the context of the correspondence.

"The Amateur Service is a radiocommunication service for the purpose of self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations carried out by amateurs; that is, duly authorized persons interested in radio technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest. 47 C.F.R. 97.3(a)(5). Individual licensed stations are each part of this Service."

Deal with it.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
If you're not part of the solution, you're part of the precipitate.

317. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-03 23:43:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903194355.29744.00001029@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 52084

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/3/02 2:10 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020903151021.22428.00001428@mb-ch.aol.com>

>The driver of the putzmobile is fabricating lies again...or still.

Sorry, Lennie...I drive a Ford.

>I never claimed an "war record." My military service was from...(SNIP)

Yes you have and we know alllllllllll about yor service number, unit, and
what you have claimed as your "record".

>Eugene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, was assigned to C Company,
> FEC Sig Svc Bn, at the same time that I was and can confirm
> details of the Battalion and communications tasks.

I am sure he can. But who cares?

>The above may be verified by personnel departments of the
> respective corporations.

And not ONE IOTA of those "records" validate any "experience" in Amateur
Radio, nor do they qualify you for anything other than a pat on the back and an
offer of gratitude for having been there.

>For personal knowledge, W6MJN was best man at my
> wedding, KD6JG is a long-time engineering colleague
> going back 30 years, N2JTV was in my military unit at the
> same time 48 years ago.

And I bet you also have various ethnic "friends" that you allow yourself
to be seen in public with from time to time, Lennie, to avoid any
representation of "prejudice".

>The putzmobile driver's continuing assertion of lies and
> fabrications, along with cowardice in military service, is itself
> a lie and a personal insult. He should stop such senseless
> and unproductive statements.

Then YOU should stop making misrepresentations and stop making "hero by
association" stattements, Your Wimpiness. How about three months worth of "the
ARRL is dishonest" diatribe you've spouted? Still no "facts" forthcoming
there, Lennie.

>My apologies to all other readers for a lengthy recitation of
> service and employment, but the continuing lies, fabrications,

> and distortions of this individual and some others warrant one
> of these every three years.

No need apologizing, Lennie. It's a known fact that you take every opportunity to recite whatever you feel "supports" rantings, and we have come to expect it...We wouldn't know what to do with ourselves otherwise.

Steven. J Robeson

318. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 00:01:50 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0209031601.39ff4169@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<ume4ts5l0th529@corp.supernews.com>...
> Don't forget that everyone thinks all hams are old

...AND right-wing. After I got my ticket in '95, I got razzed for
asking the very obvious question: why doesn't the 1st Amendment apply
to the airwaves? The way the other hams carried on, you'd have thought
I'd punched God in the nose. All that stuff in the Book of Revelations
is what happens if someone says "fuck" on the radio or TV.

Guy
xxxxxxxx

319. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 00:16:18 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0209031616.7da84230@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Charlie Yount" <yount0375@sedrionpumps60.org> wrote in message
news:<al27f5$4jb$0@pita.alt.net>...
> Ha ha ha, you must not have wandered very far from your
> "tailgate tent." The rest of the Shelby hamfest was populated by
> the ususal ham weirdos with pot bellies, call signs pasted all over
> their cars, trucks, hats, filthy shirts, drinking beer and booze and
> stuffing their fat faces with junk food.

LOL---bet you saw a lot of Confederate flags there, too.

Guy
xxxxxxxx

320. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 00:31:34 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0209031631.4e23f60b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52087

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<b8a4adacc6e523f98c1fa5009aa1493e@xganon.com>...
> no wonder ham radio is dieing. it is rude hams killing there own hobby.

Especially on 147.435.

> try this ask a ham how to get more watts out of an amp or how to trim SWRs to work on
freeband they will give you a ration of shit about rules etc.

None of *my* business what a CBer wants to do with his modified
linear... hell, I've even handed out free schematics & parts lists.
Also had loads of fun jamming cordless phones on 6-1/2 meter from '95
to '01---finally gave it up because most of the old 49mhz phones went
to the trash dump.

> oh some hams are ok but the majority are barney fifes.

This I wouldn't dare doubt. You should have seen the turnaround when
KE6VRK got his ticket. Before that, he was a pretty fun guy...
nowadays, you'd think he wrote the FCC rules himself, he's so prudish.

Ah well, what do you do... there are way too many people in TV and
radio who hate the 1st Amendment and think Stalinism is great.

Guy
xxxxxxxxx

321. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 00:45:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903204537.07912.00000168@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 52090

In article <20020903194355.29744.00001029@mb-mb.aol.com>, something writes:

>>I never claimed an "war record." My military service was from...(SNIP)
>
> Yes you have and we know alllllllllll about yor service number, unit, and
>what you have claimed as your "record".

My military record is not just a "claim." It is verifiable truth.

The FBI, DCAS, IRS, Social Security Administration and some
other federal agencies besides the United States Army say so.

Why do you claim to know more "truth" than the FBI?

>>Eugene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, was assigned to C Company,
>> FEC Sig Svc Bn, at the same time that I was and can confirm
>> details of the Battalion and communications tasks.
>
> I am sure he can. But who cares?

You should care very dearly. His words would put your writings
clearly in the LIE category when saying my military service was
"cowardly."

Gene is a long-time radio amateur, longer than you, for example.

You apparently don't want to verify a thing, to seek any truth,
just spit your LIES in here because they make you feel good.

You go right ahead and tell the Department of the Army and
the FBI that my military service was "cowardly." Let's see if
they will come around and arrest me.

> And not ONE IOTA of those "records" validate any "experience" in Amateur
>Radio, nor do they qualify you for anything other than a pat on the back and
an
>offer of gratitude for having been there.

Hypocritical little snit, aren't you? In one post you call my
military service "cowardice," now you want to "pat my back"
in some kind of "gratitude for having been there."

You've called my military service "cowardly." PROVE IT or
shut up.

> Then YOU should stop making misrepresentations and stop making "hero by
>association" stattements, Your Wimpiness. How about three months worth of
>"the ARRL is dishonest" diatribe you've spouted? Still no "facts" forthcoming

>there, Lennie.

Stick to the subject.

You've called my military service "cowardly." PROVE IT or
shut up.

You've written that my employment was not truthful, an
"allegation." PROVE THAT or shut up.

> No need apologizing, Lennie. It's a known fact that you take every
>opportunity to recite whatever you feel "supports" rantings, and we have come
>to expect it...We wouldn't know what to do with ourselves otherwise.

The "known facts" are that you will not accept anything I write
in here as any sort of truth, despite clear sources of verification.
You simply LIE and ACCUSE without any basis for truth or
verification.

PROVE your lies and false implications or SHUT UP.

PROVE that my military record was "cowardly."

PROVE that my listed employers are "lying" or that I never
worked for those I listed.

322. Re: Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 00:45:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903204538.07912.00000169@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 52088

In article <Ozbd9.4950$LI2.362087@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>Anderson writes:
>
>> Brakob wishes to enoble his avocation as some kind of implied
>> "service to the country" or "service to the community" as if it is
>> a kind of noble deed.
>
>Anderson, in another desperate attempt at misdirection to cover his lack of
>information, attempts to put words in my mouth. I didn't imply "service to
>the country" or "service to the community" in my message. I simply quoted a
>direct and unequivocal official statement made by the FCC. That statement
>is complete in itself, independent of the context of the correspondence.

Too bad about attempting to show it is "independent." I quoted
the entire document to show that it was a correction of
Reliant Energy's use of the word "use" in trying to rip off Gerber.

>Deal with it.

I did. You didn't like the response. Too bad.

I hope that Ed Gerber doesn't get ripped off by Reliant Energy.
Don't you?

> If you're not part of the solution, you're part of the precipitate.

...then quit trying to do snow jobs in here.

Beep, beep.

323. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 00:45:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020903204539.07912.00000170@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 52089

In article <al3e5fhll1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>Actually, stolen doesn't quite fit most government data.
>WE, the public, paid for it and we the public own it. I've
>never seen a copyright claim attached to any federal
>documentation.

From 17 USC 105:

"Copyright protection under this title is not available for
any work of the United States Government, but the
United States Government is not precluded from
receiving and holding copyrights transferred to it by
assignment, bequest, or otherwise."

[United States Code Title 17 - Copyrights]

The word "work" used throughout Title 17 refers to
intellectual property such as original writing, art,
sound, recordings, etc.

The US government is essentially copyright free. An
example was found on many newsstands nationwide
under "J.K.Lasser's Income Tax" softbound books.
Lasser and his publisher photocopied whole pages of
the IRS rules, made a profit for years without paying
the government anything in copyright royalties.

ARRL can copy whole heaping gobs of Part 97 and not
have to pay anything in copyright royalties to the US
govrenment in their "FCC Rulebooks."

Free publication profit for all courtesy of the US Government
and Title 17, United States Code.

324. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 01:20:02 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <al3n76$vu4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52091

In article <20020903190020.25108.00000021@mb-da.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com says...
> In article <d22b54c3.0209031200.1d1f53c3@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> >news:<rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> >>
> >> Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
> >> Ed have accused?
> >>
> >The answer is a thundering yes. The following was copy-pasted from the
> >e-mail Brainiac Burke Fan Club sponsored by yer good buddy KF2TI.
> >>
> >This guy has nothing to do with RRAP.
>
> KF2TI has been in this newsgroup many times, both as
> himself and under at least three pseudonyms.
>

Yesterdays shit still smells Lennie old boy and you have yet to prove
anything that you say is anything but some demented fiction from a
senile brain. But go girl, you believe and it is true. Go ahead and
post the alleged pseudonyms Len. Be sure the verify the accuracy with
definitive proof.

>
> >"I operated in Somalia from March to May of 1993, as a part of what
> >was termed "Operation Restore Hope" I operated on all the HF bands,
> >doing both amateur operations as a DXCC station and running Phone
> >Patches for some of the Military personal there. There were roughly
> >3500 contacts made during that period, by me, and as far as I know
> >there were no other ham operators in country at that time. The
> >callsign used was my own, Kxxx/T5, and later T5xx.
> >>
> >In order to obtain permission to operate in country, I had to obtain
> >permission from the Marine OIC and the Naval Commander, or Senior
> >officer Present. (There was no local, regional, or national
> >government bureaus to deal with.) After obtaining permission from
> >them, the documents were sent to the FCC and the ARRL stating my
> >intentions to operate, approximate dates, frequencies, and modes. The
> >ARRL and the FCC granted permission, and operations commenced. The
> >station was secured on 28 May 1993, (after the antenna was destroyed
> >by machine gun fire.)
> >>

> >Equipment included a Yaesu FT101EE, Yaesu R3000 RCVR, Yaesu Linear,
> >Nye Viking Tuner, and a Cushcraft R-7 Antenna mounted on a building
> >rooftop roughly 45 ft agl. I hope this information is helpful to you,
> >and if I can be of any other assistance, feel free to email me."
> >>
> >(Name & Callsigns withheld)
> >>
> >There ya are Burkie, doan bitch about the freebanders, you wuz one.
>
> How is that verifiable if "name and callsigns are withheld?"
>
> Are you or KF2TI journalists who feel free to use ambiguous
> "informed sources" as truth? I don't think so.

Opinions vary, but more than a handful of people were copied the
complete e-mails with the call signs intact

>
> Some kind of "e-mail fan club" doesn't sound authentic for
> anything but ordinary libel spread around by others with some
> out of spite or are now afraid to come out in public forums.

Actually that was an embellishment on Brian K's part

>
> Four years ago (or so) some loudmouth came in on an argument
> of military use of morse code, claiming "his son used CW
> behind military lines sending intel" during the Gulf War. After
> some several postings correcting him on what the US military
> really did use in 1991, he relented that it might be "mistaken."
>
> In the quote above, can you verify that all those Yaesu
> equipments existed in the beginning of 1993? How did the
> "name and callsign withheld" individual get all that ham
> equipment into Somalia?

It was shipped in with his stuff, on a pallet. At least in one case.
In 2 others, they brought it in with their gear. In total, 3 people
responded to my initial inquires as to the need for written
documentation to operate in Somalia during 1992-1993.

What unit was this unknown with,
> what branch? How does only the antenna get "destroyed by
> machine gun fire" yet the radio equipment or unknown
> operator is untouched? Do you really think any machine
> gun could zero in so accurately to take out one thing, yet
> not touch the rest of the station?
>
> "3500" phone patches at 5 minutes per patch would require
> about 38 days of 8 hour a day operation, most of a 3 month
> period of presumably military duty. That's questionable as
> some kind of special services equivalent entertainment in

> what was an essentially military zone which needed military
> comm for military needs using military equipment.

Would that be kinda like you personally running 1.2 million RTTY patches
during your tour. Most phone patches are only a few minutes in length,
if that depending on the demand. MARS only allows 3 minutes max.

>
> What you have there sounds suspiciously like yet-another
> fabrication...such as the "suddenly activated officer from under
> a NYC bridge mobilized after September 11, 2001" who appeared
> in here, without name or any other verifiable data to identify the
> person...except a traceback of header information, writing style,
> phrases, and cute little taglines exactly like another's.

So far, you batting zero for 5. care to try for an even 1/2 dozen. And
again. go for the proof Len. Kinda like the fact you and Larry both use
the same service and software. By Lenogic, you are both Len and Larry.
Provide some header information. Make sure you use NTTP or ISP routing.
That's the only way to be absolutely sure. I know you can't because if
you had that information, an anal retentive like yourself you have
already posted it. Sorry, you lose yet again

>
> Unless you can verify that quote, readers have to judge it as
> being yet-another fabrication of someone's imagination.

Be happy to e-mail copies upon request

325. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 01:34:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%qdd9.973$Vs3.261949593@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52092

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Dave, apparently they've never had a problem. I'm good in the book, no
> > complaints yet.
>
> We have only your claim that you made QSOs from Somalia.

And yet, with only my claim, you call me a bootlegger.

Why are you willing to believe one thing I say, yet not another? Spock would say, "Captain, I find this human quite illogical." I would just leave it at inconsistent.

> We have only your claim that you have had no complaints.

Except yours. Yet you are not even in my Somali logs. Did you fudge and put me in your logs anyway?

> We have only your claim that you had permission to operate from T5.

I know, I know. I only wished you were there, too. Then you could be an eye-witness instead of a squawking bystander.

> > Except from you. So what are you doing about it? Squawking?
>
> What am I doing about it? I'm exposing your claims of ethical and moral
> superiority as a sham.

You're not doing a very good job. Time to step it up a little or go back to working out of banders on 6M.

326. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 01:41:28 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <al3oddses1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52094

In article <20020903190020.25108.00000021@mb-da.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com says...
>
> >"I operated in Somalia from March to May of 1993, as a part of what
> >was termed "Operation Restore Hope" I operated on all the HF bands,
> >doing both amateur operations as a DXCC station and running Phone
> >Patches for some of the Military personal there. There were roughly
> >3500 contacts made during that period, by me, and as far as I know
> >there were no other ham operators in country at that time. The
> >callsign used was my own, Kxxx/T5, and later T5xx.

> "3500" phone patches at 5 minutes per patch would require
> about 38 days of 8 hour a day operation, most of a 3 month
> period of presumably military duty. That's questionable as
> some kind of special services equivalent entertainment in
> what was an essentially military zone which needed military
> comm for military needs using military equipment.

By the way Len, I'd either get some new glasses or take a reading
comprehension course. No where did Jeff say he made 3500 phone patches.
He expressly stated 3500 contacts. Since he was running his station as
a DX operation when he had time, most contacts would be a quick 59(9),
name and qth and then QRZ and a good DX'er/contester can run quite a
high hourly rate if need be.

So we'll overlook the fact that in your haste to critique and critize on
matters not concerning you (as a non-ham), you made the obvious and
glaring error. Still looking for that proof of my so called names.
BTW, which are you today Lenof21, Averyfinman, or how many others have
you been. I've lost count

Thanks

327. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 01:41:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nxdd9.976$Qy4.266439752@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52093

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D74A9FF.9E779D57@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020902144526.11392.00000201@mb-fi.aol.com...
> > > In article <rALc9.360$6S4.104816861@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian P
> > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >> Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You
could
> > > >> have done that by obtaining something in writing from your
commander
> > > >> authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
> > > >
> > > >Dave, I was legal. You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds
like
> > > >you and them have a problem.
> > >
> > > They don't have any problem in their eyes. They redefine
> > > everything to meet their own desires under the DXCC bar
> > > rules (they hoist a few at the local bar to make those new
> > > rules "legal").
> > >
> > > If Judge Heil declares you a bootlegger, you are a bootlegger.
> > > Never mind what ARRL has posted in regards to Somalia.
> > >
> > > The law has spoken. :-)
> >
> > Yes, apparently so. The Worlds Greatest DXer has spoken.
>
> So say a non-DXer and the world's most incompetent DXer.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, there you are being inconsistent again.

In one sentence, you say I'm not a DXer then you say I am a DXer, albeit an
incompetent one.

But you're not even in my Somali log, so I'd say you're not the DXer you
give yourself credit to be.

Don't pout. You're still the World's Greatest DXer in my book (just not in my logs).

328. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 01:43:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Xydd9.977$h65.268724399@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52095

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020903030531.10377.00000965@mb-fx.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 09/02/02 14:45 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020902144526.11392.00000201@mb-fi.aol.com>
>
> >>Dave, I was legal. You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds
like
> >>you and them have a problem.
> >
> > They don't have any problem in their eyes. They redefine
> > everything to meet their own desires under the DXCC bar
> > rules (they hoist a few at the local bar to make those new
> > rules "legal").
>
> Burkie was NOT legal. DXCC rules are established so as to recognize
LEGAL
> operating entities.
>
> Burkie was NOT legal, otherwise his operation would have been
accepted in
> Newington.
>
> Troll all you want to, Your Putziness...Illegal is illegal.

Oh boy, Steve goes off the deep end again.

Steve, you don't know anything about it. Go back to spit shining shoes and
searching for veins to collapse.

329. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 01:48:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0Edd9.978$Db5.269069382@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52096

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D74AC4B.5A1674B1@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D73A486.615D5A1A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D73401A.4C84ADB6@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > > > Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and
join
> > the
> > > > > ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?
> > > >
> > > > Not necessarily. You have an amateur license. I don't give your
> > > > comments the same weight I give Jim's.
> > >
> > So Len needn't obtain a license for you to continue to disagree with
him.
>
> He hasn't obtained an amateur radio license and I do disagree with him.
>
> > So why do you continue to worry about why a guy without a license is
> > interested in amateur radio?
>
> Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
> professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
> blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
> he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio newsgroup.
>
> Dave K8MN

I believe his interest is in radio.

330. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 01:51:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EGdd9.980$1a5.268965907@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52097

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020903100502.19073.00001234@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >> So why do you continue to worry about why a guy without a license is
> >> interested in amateur radio?
> >
> >Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
> >professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
> >blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
> >he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio newsgroup.
>
> Leonard H Anderson is about as "interested in Amatuer Radio" as Jack
> Kevorkian is interested in pro-life issues.
>
> Steve

It would appear that Len is interested in all of radio. It was his career
after all.

If you were interested in bed pans and enema bags, I would just assume it
were just an extension of your nursing career, and not harangue you at every
opportunity.

331. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 01:59:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wOdd9.983$5n5.269799524@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52098

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:al3dv1$6ss$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020902010208.22428.00001321@mb-ch.aol.com...
> > In article <akucc7rqt1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> > <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> >
> > >> Since the VEC Q&A pool is easily transported electronically,
> > >> they could generate more than 10 times the minimum
> > >> number of questions. Enlarging the Q&A pool will reduce
> > >> the possibility of "memorizing all" of the questions-answers
> > >> without changing the number of questions on the written
> > >> test itself.
> > >
> > >Yup!
> > >
> > >Jim and I have been advocating that stance for quite some time now.
> >
> > Then it's about time since I posted that bit of information over
> > two years ago in here.
> >
> > What have you done about besides "advocating" it? Have you
> > or "Jim" communicated with the VECQPC on that?
>
> I have, although not recently. In doing so several years ago I'll
> note that I was disappointed that not one of the 4 VEC entities
> even acknowledged receipt of my suggestions.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Hmmm. More unresponsiveness from _____ (pick your favorite
organization).

332. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:05:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RTdd9.984$po5.269870180@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52099

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902202810.04751.00000570@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <V%Pc9.600$NO4.137397312@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> > Have you seen the prices that unbuilt heath gear can command...???
> >> > Whew!
> >>
> >> The current record that I know of is $5100 (not a typo - five thousand
one
> >> hundred dollars) paid for an unbuilt Heathkit AT-1 on eBay. Rig was one
of
> >the
> >> last AT-1s made, dated 1956 and still in the original unopened box.
> >>
> >> Of course the electrolytics are prolly all dried out and the carbon
comp
> >> resistors have prolly drifted way high in value, but it's all there.
The
> >capper
> >> is that the AT-1 was a very poorly designed rig for its time.
> >>
> >> If I ever wanted an HW-16, I'd just buy up a junker or two, tear them
> >down,
> >> check all the parts, replace anything questionable and rebuild. Then
> >enjoy.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >I've seen it done and its soo sweet.
>
> Seen what done? The rebuilding of an HW-16?

No, the rebuilding of a classic radio.

> >All I can remember is "210" and it had
> >no tubes.

Atlas 210. Transistorized.

> You obviously have no idea what Steve and I are discussing.

You're both taking a trip down memory lane that you apparently refuse to
share with a newcomer.

He wants to ruin a NIB Heath-kit, and you want to resurrect an old one.

333. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:12:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <x_dd9.986$YA5.270678130@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52100

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D74A67E.F8065CD9@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D739B05.BC0B8AB@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020902033245.12219.00000859@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > > > > >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> > > > > >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> > > > > >Date: 9/2/02 1:35 AM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > >Message-id: <20020902023549.12219.00000852@mb-mw.aol.com>
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >AMRS was the authority that American Amateurs operating from
American
> > > > > >installations on Japanese soil operated under. My copy of AMRS
rules
> > > > cited
> > > > > >international and American regulations.
> > > > >
> > > > > And I forgot to add...was authorized under the Status of
Forces
> > > > Agreement
> > > > > between Japan and the United States, although Japan refused to
allow
> > thier
> > > > > Amateurs to communicate with us. Wierd rules, but legal.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve
> > > >
> > > > Somalia had no SOFA agreement with the US. Now what?
> > >
> > > No SOFA; no written permission=bootlegger.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > See, Dave, that wasn't so hard, was it? I knew you had it in you.
> >
> > You and Ed have each clearly made the accusation.
>
> I made it earlier. You just couldn't understand it.
```

I understood it. I just wanted you to make it plain so that even non-amateurs could understand it.

> > Now have you talked to the Somali War Lords about it? Have you brought it
> > up with the Judge Advocate?
>
> Time has passed. You got away with one.

Don't give up so easy.

I've got all my logs and orders and everything. I think I even have a picture around here somewhere.

> > Or are you just flapping your gums? Maybe if you flapped your arms and
> > squawked a little you would get some action.
>
> I'm content to let you twist in the hot winds of the ethics and morality
> hell of your own creation.

I don't knowingly work out of banders on 6M, it that's what you're worried about.

Meanwhile, my operation from T5 is just as you'll find stated on the ARRL web site.

334. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:18:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <O3ed9.987$qD5.270840026@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52201

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D741FB3.FCA9C6BA@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > > But you should understand the importance of good
> > > documentation. It's pretty well established here that you do not.
> >
> > Sure, when I wanted someone to deploy, I would cut a tasking order and
flow
> > it to their Wing readiness office, they would cut the orders. That was
in
> > writing. It was kind of a big deal. Not at all like amateur radio.
FWIW,
> > I had to task myself to go to Somalia, then hit the base readiness
office
> > and try to get them to hurry the orders along so I could draw gear and a
> > weapon.
> >
> > It wasn't like the olden days where everyone moved as a single unit and
went
> > down to the pier and climbed on a troop ship so they could reduce the
> > stockpile of old rations for weeks on end. We got airplanes today, and
each
> > deployment is tailored so as not to waste personnel, equipment, and
> > supplies.
> >
>
> Gee. YOU put it all in writing. Strange, why didn't you just tell them
verbally
> and expect everyone involved to take their word for it? Worked fine on
your
> Somolia operation, no stinkin' documentation needed.
> You're nothing if not inconsistant.

No, I had paper orders for Somalia in my pocket. I had one in each duffel
and my SWO kit. I had another with my pallet of TACMET and TACCOM.

> > See Dick, other services move along with the times, not at all like
amateur
> > radio.
>
> Yeah, I see, verbal permission supersedes written documentation. For you.
the
> rest of the world is still using paper.

Dave, I didn't say "supersedes," so why did you? You need to quit making stuff up.

You might lose credibility, Hi!

335. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:26:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9bed9.990$PL5.271379752@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52202

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209030026.68c52ec2@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<1eSc9.666$A45.539@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D73BB9E.DED4B6E3@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > ARRL DXCC desk has accepted fro credit other
> > > > military members who have operated from Somalia under the same
> > conditions.
> > >
> > > And you know that how?
> >
> > DXCC Dave has told me so. Am I not to believe Dave?
> >
> Hint: Those others were smarter than Burkie. Like that's a real trick.

Like you? For a while there you thought I lived in your old house. Kinda
nuts.

> > > You've already indicated that you didn't know how the
> > > others got their authority
> >
> > I know how one got his authority. He's the one who told me to get my
> > commander's permission. Which I did. Ten years later, I looked it up
on
> > the ARRL web site for foreign operations, and its still the same
> > information. Gosh.
> >
> > > and approval that won acceptance from the DXCC desk.
> >
> > That I didn't know until Dave brought it up. I had to take something to
the
> > ARRL in writing. Ooops.
> >
> > > Now you do?
> >
> > Now I do.
> >
> Now I understand the problem Burkie. The ARRL requirement for written
> documentation of authorization to operate in a foreign entitity for
> DXCC credit has ONLY been around since 1945 or so.

Really?

1945, huh?

They never asked for documention of my 1989 operation from Korea, nor my 2000-2001 operation from Guam.

> > See Dick, other services move along with the times, not at all like amateur
> > radio.
> >
> You've "moved along with the times"? And you still haven't caught up
> with 1945? What piece did you draw on your way out Burkie, a
> Springfield '06??
>
> w3rv

Good one!

I was issued a S&W model 15.

Traded it for an Mattel16A2 upon arrival.

336. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:32:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D757087.231F31AA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52203

Len Over 21 wrote:

My military record is not just a "claim." It is verifiable truth.

Har..... Of course, all military records are verifiable. But individual recountings of them are not. With "NoCWtesticles'" record of "recounting" here on RRAP, it would demand complete independent certification.

337. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:36:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9led9.993$cB5.270694670@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52204

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D728D4F.4192FB6C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Uhhhhhhhh Len--Brian's already stated that he holds no written
> > > > > > > permission to operate from Somalia. Mere bootlegging, despite
> > your
> > > > > > > attempted misdirection *is* the issue being discussed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, you didn't even have the most rudimentary information
about
> > > > operating
> > > > > > from Somalia or any other piece of real estate that has no
> > government.
> > > > You
> > > > > > admitted that repeatedly when, after saying that I didn't get it
> > right,
> > > > you
> > > > > > could offer absolutely no information whatsoever. All you knew
was
> > that
> > > > > > whatever I said had to be wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > I'd certainly have had the sense to have any such "permission" be
put
> > in
> > > > writing. Absent the document, you have nothing which states that
your
> > > > operation was blessed by the U.S. military. Getting things in
writing
> > > > would have been a no-brainer.
> > >
> > So operating with verbal permission is bootlegging?
```

> > >
> > > Duh. Do you have proof that someone in authority okayed your
operation?
> >
> > Prove I did not.
>
> You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked about it, you
> assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your claims with
> proof. You cannot do so.

No it isn't. You've said it was illegal and that I'm a bootlegger. Now
prove it.

> > > > > > I told you that I had permission from my commander to operate,
which
> > you
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > Ed have tried to pick apart, but lo and behold, what does the
ARRL
> > web
> > > > > site
> > > > > > > say on the matter?
> > > > > > > ----------
> > > > > > > Somalia (T5)
> > > > > > > At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need
permission
> > from
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > United Nations or your military commander.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ----------
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So in the end, I did what was required, and you looked stupid.
And
> > Ed
> > > > > > > ducked out of sight.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Who looked stupid?
> > > > >
> > > > You did/do.
> > >
> > > Nope. You still have it wrong, Mr. Ethics.
> > >
> > > > Could it be the guy who has no documentation
> > > > > whatever to prove that he obtained his commander's authorization
to
> > > > > operate an amateur radio station in Somalia?
> > > > >
> > > > Cite the requirement to have written authorization.
> > >
> > > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has a written

> > > form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization. The U.S.
> > > military issues written authorization. Where is yours?
> >
> > Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it is a GP form.
>
> It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation from J5 is
> typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.

Anyone could have typed that. Show us a real license.

> > > > > > During the few hours I had to grab my gear, say goodbye to my
> > family,
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > get out of the country on December 4, 1992, I forgot to check in
> > with
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > quasi-governmental ARRL DXCC desk to have them bless my
operation.
> > > > >
> > > > > It isn't necessary to obtain permission from the DXCC desk to
operate.
> > > >
> > > > No kidding?
> > >
> > > No kidding. You seemed to have a lot of confusion over the issue.
> >
> > None. You construed a lack of DXCC blessing (which you're still guessin
g
> > at), with bootlegging.
>
> No, I repeatedly asked you about whether your permission was in
> writing. Finally, you admitted that you had nothing in writing.

No, you kept saying DXCC. And you kept saying my commander had no authority
to authorize my operation
(At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from the
United Nations or your military commander).

You simply cannot remember what you said. That's good for you because you
were wrong. No sense in two people remembering your mistakes.

> > > > > It is necessary to obtain written documentation of your permission
to
> > > > > operate so that you can provide proof that you were not Mogadishu
> > Slim.
> > > > > > You can't provide such proof.
> > > >
> > > > Dave, I am Brian Burke. Why would I want to be Mogadishu Skinny?
> > >
> > > That's for you to tell us.
> >
> > Believe me, I like being an American. No Mogadishu Skinny for me.

>
> Based on your lack of amateur radio documentation, you'll forever be
> known as Mogadishu Slim.

By whom?

Ten years later and not a single complaint. Except for "some guy" who's not even in my logs.

The Greatest DXer in the world? Hi!

338. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:43:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ered9.996$3F5.270947585@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52205

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > > Here's your brick, Brian: If you don't have written authorization to
> > > back up your claim of permission to operate, you were nothing more
than
> > > a bootlegger.
> > > You prior claim to high ethics and morality in amateur radio ring
> > > hollow.
> >
> >
>
> > That is only your opinion Dave.
>
> Nope. It's the opinion of every knowledgeable ham who has weighed in on
the
> subject,

You're now supposedly knowledgeable?

> including a former FCC district director to whom it is more than just
> an opinion-it's a legal interpretertation of the international rules. Note
that
> he is also an attorney, which also seems to mean nothing to you.

Have him provide the ARRL with a little free attorneying: Update this from
theARRL web site:

"Somalia (T5) At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need
permission from the United Nations or your military commander."

> That you don't like it and continue to defend is no surprise. You're well
known
> for defending the indefensible.

Dick, I've never defended you, no matter how wrong you were.

> > Meanwhile, I don't knowingly keep working
> > out of band French ops on 6M.
>
> Yeah, we know, you keep an updated library of the amateur radio rules of
all
> nations and always refer to it before answering DX CQ's. Right.

No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me that the French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working them on 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?

> > Its just not good amateur practice.
>
> We know you're very short on that. You have very little practice. Seems much
> you do have was unauthorized.

Don't tell me you're not in my Somalia logs either? You DX guys sure get POd when you miss one.

339. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:45:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ated9.997$_06.272473259@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52206

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D74A8C1.2D3A5599@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D739D49.A31CDB87@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7337D9.CE06ABD4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D728ACA.B9297FE4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing
around
> > the
> > > > > > > > question I
> > > > > > > > asked.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor
country,
> > as
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > Ed have accused?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I don't know about your taking advantage, Brian. I never
accused
> > you
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > > "taking advantage". As to bootlegging, it surely is looking
that
> > way.
> > > > > > > > What proof of authorization to operate from Somalia do you
hold?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave, make the accusation, or shut up.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How clear does it have to be, Brian? Must I smack you in the head
> > with
> > > > > > a brick to get your attention? Read what I wrote above and allow
```

time
> > > > > for it to sink in.
> > >
> > > > Dave, just state it in plain language as Ed had the guts to do.
> > >
> > > Here's your brick, Brian: If you don't have written authorization to
> > > back up your claim of permission to operate, you were nothing more
than
> > > a bootlegger.
> > > You prior claim to high ethics and morality in amateur radio ring
> > > hollow.
> >
> > That is only your opinion Dave. Meanwhile, I don't knowingly keep
working
> > out of band French ops on 6M. Its just not good amateur practice.
>
> No, Brian, that is the opinion of a number of posters here.

That its good amateur practice to -knowingly- work out of banders?

You are the
> only "DXer" I've encountered who does not believe that written
> documentation of licensing is necessary. It would appear that you do not
> hold the credentials to make a judgement on what constitutes good
> amateur practice.

Citation, please.

340. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:51:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Gyed9.999$O46.272722139@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52207

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D74233E.E4E167D9@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > > But we know, Brian. You're not a deliberate illegal, just clueless.
> >
> > Dick, I had a clue. Another more experienced ham told me while I was
over
> > there to get permission from my commander. I did. Ten years later I
check
> > the ARRL web site for foreign operations from Somalia, and its still the
> > same good information that he gave me. Gosh.
> >
> > Another experienced ham, my commander, me, and the ARRL all wrong? And
Dick
> > has the clue?
>
> That's about it, Pal. If you'd asked your "other experienced ham" I'll
wager he
> had it on paper, not just a verbal "go ahead". Otherwise he was as wrong
as
> you. That he didn't mention that detail is no excuse for you not knowing.
You
> were supposed to be a big boy. Normal persons in any setting know that's
the
> only way to avoid "he said, she said" confusion and misunderstanding.

Dick, there is no confusion. I understood what he said.

> Documentation details your "permission". What were the limits of your
license
> grant from your commander? They should have been spelled out for you-on
paper..
> You'll never convince me your commander knew the difference between ham
radio
> and CB. Most wouldn't.

And my commander could authorize CB? Whooo-hooo!

Except I don't operate CB.

> He may well have thought you were just going to run a few
> channels.

No, I did that on the QRCT.

> Maybe you even wanted him to think that for all we know. Paper
> eliminates any question. You didn't have it on paper, so you'll forever
have
> the questions. That's life. And the reason for documentation.

Yes, now I wish I'd gotten documentation. But none-the-less, I asked
permission, and permission was granted.

Are you and Dave going to make a ham citizens arrest?

> You might as well get used to the fact- no documentation, no valid
> permission. No valid permission, no license. No license, you're a
bootlegger.

Nope, I had permission. No bootlegging.

341. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:52:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D75753A.8EBDAB13@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52208

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 8/30/02 4:12 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020830171259.17339.00000037@mb-bh.aol.com>
>
> >US amateur radio expertise is all about CW on HF for DX.
>
> It's rare to see someone such as yourself who takes such pride in being an
> obvious pathological liar, Lennie. Nice job.

Steve, if it was true it would validate his longterm efforts to totally denigrate ham radio and all its participants, and to assist his search and destroy mission. Since it isn't, and he as well as everyone else nows it, your observation is exactly on the mark.

Dick

342. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:54:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NBed9.1001$Sh5.269463624@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52209

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209031124.31d225c9@posting.google.com...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D74ACD1.3E929797@earthlink.net>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > > >The criminal thing, it seems, came some time
> > > >later. I disremember what it is he's serving that long sentence
(life?) for,
> > seems
> > > >like it was conspiring to off his XYL.
> > >
> > > That's how I remember it. He's still in jail, last I heard. I think
the
> > > callsign may be available through the vanity program, too.
> >
> > I believe that he died in prison a couple of years back.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> Burkie oughta pick up Miller's callsign, they have the same "operating
habits".
>
> w3rv

Nope, I got my eye on W0EX.

343. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:55:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bCed9.1002$rb6.273148209@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52210

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0209031030.71c65474@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >I think the
> > callsign may be available through the vanity program, too.
>
> No, it's not. It's a club callsign today.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

What's the club?

344. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 02:59:06 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <unatpme8mhb5cf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

It just gets complicated. Freedom of religion - so, if you get some fanatical sect that believe in beating kids, it is very difficult to bring charges ... and others start getting a little bit upset over it (and, in my opinion, rightly so). So it is with freedom of speach. Does that give you the right to scream 'FIRE!' in a crowded theatre? And, what about slander? Of course, it would be nice if there was a nice clean line in the sand where everyone is happy if we all stay on one side of it and no one wants to cross that line - but the stupid winds keep shifting that line. Where do ya draw it? Do you draw the line at saying that 'F' word? What about live intercourse over the tv network? Some folks with kids might get a bit upset over that. Some folks even without kids might get a bit upset over that. Which brings us back to square one - where do you draw the line? The states even differ over this. In Florida, you'd best not get caught looking at the wrong websites. In Texas, - oh, forget Texas, I heard they just executed the last citizen.

;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - but it gives us something to rant about in the newsgroup. I just wish we could find something new to rant about.

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0209031601.39ff4169@posting.google.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<ume4ts5l0th529@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Don't forget that everyone thinks all hams are old
>
> ...AND right-wing. After I got my ticket in '95, I got razzed for
> asking the very obvious question: why doesn't the 1st Amendment apply
> to the airwaves? The way the other hams carried on, you'd have thought
> I'd punched God in the nose. All that stuff in the Book of Revelations
> is what happens if someone says "fuck" on the radio or TV.
>
> Guy
> xxxxxxxx

345. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 03:19:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D757B89.FFB44C13@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52212

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D74233E.E4E167D9@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > But we know, Brian. You're not a deliberate illegal, just clueless.
> > >
> > > Dick, I had a clue. Another more experienced ham told me while I was
> over
> > > there to get permission from my commander. I did. Ten years later I
> check
> > > the ARRL web site for foreign operations from Somalia, and its still the
> > > same good information that he gave me. Gosh.
> > >
> > > Another experienced ham, my commander, me, and the ARRL all wrong? And
> Dick
> > > has the clue?
> >
> > That's about it, Pal. If you'd asked your "other experienced ham" I'll
> wager he
> > had it on paper, not just a verbal "go ahead". Otherwise he was as wrong
> as
> > you. That he didn't mention that detail is no excuse for you not knowing.
> You
> > were supposed to be a big boy. Normal persons in any setting know that's
> the
> > only way to avoid "he said, she said" confusion and misunderstanding.
>
> Dick, there is no confusion. I understood what he said.
>
> > Documentation details your "permission". What were the limits of your
> license
> > grant from your commander? They should have been spelled out for you-on
> paper..
> > You'll never convince me your commander knew the difference between ham
> radio
> > and CB. Most wouldn't.
>
> And my commander could authorize CB? Whooo-hooo!
>
> Except I don't operate CB.
>

> > He may well have thought you were just going to run a few
> > channels.
>
> No, I did that on the QRCT.
>
> > Maybe you even wanted him to think that for all we know. Paper
> > eliminates any question. You didn't have it on paper, so you'll forever
> have
> > the questions. That's life. And the reason for documentation.
>
> Yes, now I wish I'd gotten documentation. But none-the-less, I asked
> permission, and permission was granted.
>
> Are you and Dave going to make a ham citizens arrest?
>
> > You might as well get used to the fact- no documentation, no valid
> > permission. No valid permission, no license. No license, you're a
> bootlegger.
>
> Nope, I had permission. No bootlegging.

Slim, you're almost as dense as Loose Lennie aka NoCwTesticles. I thought surely you'd be bright enough to at least see some light at the end of the tunnel and recognize it as an approaching freight. Your poor harried CO was as uninformed as you (I sure pity anyone unlucky enough to have been your CO), except you were the licensed ham and should have had some clue as to what sort of DOCUMENTATION was needed. If he knowingly authorized your operating verbally only he was in error, and you can't be excused just because you were also clueless. Ignorance is no defense, I'm sure you've heard that one before. So carry on in bliss, as the lately departed and unlamented Kim would. Your only support is the licenseless Lennie Loosegoose, and we know what that's worth. Nobody I know cares about your transgressions, other than it does make you look like a twofaced fool, given your ignorant tirade againstK8MN.

346. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 03:21:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D757C01.974E64D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52213

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D74A8C1.2D3A5599@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D739D49.A31CDB87@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7337D9.CE06ABD4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D728ACA.B9297FE4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave, you sure make a lot of noise, but you're dancing
> around
> > > > the
> > > > > > > > > question I
> > > > > > > > > asked.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor
> country,
> > > > as
> > > > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > Ed have accused?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I don't know about your taking advantage, Brian. I never
> accused
> > > > you
> > > > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > > "taking advantage". As to bootlegging, it surely is looking
> that
> > > > way.
> > > > > > > > > What proof of authorization to operate from Somalia do you
> hold?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave, make the accusation, or shut up.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > How clear does it have to be, Brian? Must I smack you in the head

> > > with
> > > > > > a brick to get your attention? Read what I wrote above and allow
> time
> > > > > > for it to sink in.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, just state it in plain language as Ed had the guts to do.
> > > > >
> > > > > Here's your brick, Brian: If you don't have written authorization to
> > > > > back up your claim of permission to operate, you were nothing more
> than
> > > > a bootlegger.
> > > > > You prior claim to high ethics and morality in amateur radio ring
> > > > hollow.
> > > >
> > > That is only your opinion Dave. Meanwhile, I don't knowingly keep
> working
> > > out of band French ops on 6M. Its just not good amateur practice.
> >
> > No, Brian, that is the opinion of a number of posters here.
>
> That its good amateur practice to -knowingly- work out of banders?
>
> You are the
> > only "DXer" I've encountered who does not believe that written
> > documentation of licensing is necessary. It would appear that you do not
> > hold the credentials to make a judgement on what constitutes good
> > amateur practice.
>
> Citation, please.

Try the entire RRAP thread entitled "Here's the picture Lennie doesn'te want you to see"

347. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 03:26:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <L3fd9.1008$qG6.275132611@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52214

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209031200.1d1f53c3@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> >
> > Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you
and
> > Ed have accused?
> >
> >
> The answer is a thundering yes. The following was copy-pasted from the
> e-mail Brainiac Burke Fan Club sponsored by yer good buddy KF2TI.

And now Kelly accuses. There's nothing like the "pack" mentality.

> This guy has nothing to do with RRAP.
> >
> "I operated in Somalia from March to May of 1993, as a part of what
> was termed "Operation Restore Hope" I operated on all the HF bands,
> doing both amateur operations as a DXCC station and running Phone
> Patches for some of the Military personal there. There were roughly
> 3500 contacts made during that period, by me, and as far as I know
> there were no other ham operators in country at that time. The
> callsign used was my own, Kxxx/T5, and later T5xx.
> >
> In order to obtain permission to operate in country, I had to obtain
> permission from the Marine OIC and the Naval Commander, or Senior
> officer Present. (There was no local, regional, or national
> government bureaus to deal with.) After obtaining permission from
> them, the documents were sent to the FCC and the ARRL stating my
> intentions to operate, approximate dates, frequencies, and modes. The
> ARRL and the FCC granted permission, and operations commenced. The
> station was secured on 28 May 1993, (after the antenna was destroyed
> by machine gun fire.)
> >
> Equipment included a Yaesu FT101EE, Yaesu R3000 RCVR, Yaesu Linear,
> Nye Viking Tuner, and a Cushcraft R-7 Antenna mounted on a building
> rooftop roughly 45 ft agl. I hope this information is helpful to you,
> and if I can be of any other assistance, feel free to email me."
> >
> (Name & Callsigns withheld)
> >
> There ya are Burkie, doan bitch about the freebanders, you wuz one.
>
> w3rv

Ah, Steve putting out a fan letter? Bless him.

Brian, my operation was previous to this one. The marine who was there about the same time may have left by March as well. We shot for 90 day rotations, even though orders were cut for 180 days.

Like this individual, I did obtain permission from my commander. What puzzles me is why he obtained permission from the U.S. FCC as they have no licensing authority, to my knowledge, in Somalia, but they appear to have taken up the gauntlet and given their permission. I guess too much permission is better than not enough documentation. If some "higher" authority than a military commander would have been required, the UN was there aplenty.

And good work on the DXCC documentation. I'm amazed that he was able to do so much communicating with the FCC and ARRL from a deployed location. Though letters were sent to me, I never received mail in Somalia, but perhaps by March things were running better. It had to.

Wow. Sucks having your antenna shot down, but doesn't suprise me. You could hear gunfire day and night - but mostly all night. An R-7 would probably make stateside phone patches a little difficult, but it's compact and travels well.

I had no personal radio gear.

348. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 03:28:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <J5fd9.1010$lq6.274099428@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52215

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D752B74.26A37929@townsqr.com...

> Yup. There are resources out there that poor Brian never suspected. Ya
gotta wonder where he was operating if the
> other ham there knew nothing of him. Hiding behind closed doors?

Dick, why would he know anything of me? I had left prior to March.

349. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 03:33:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3afd9.1012$nv4.266214446@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52216

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message news:al3n76$vu4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...

> It was shipped in with his stuff, on a pallet. At least in one case.
> In 2 others, they brought it in with their gear. In total, 3 people
> responded to my initial inquires as to the need for written
> documentation to operate in Somalia during 1992-1993.

Steve, would you mind sharing that with me? Brian/T5

350. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 03:37:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D757FBC.824F6C21@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52217

Brian P Burke wrote:

> > >
> > > > Here's your brick, Brian: If you don't have written authorization to
> > > > back up your claim of permission to operate, you were nothing more
> than
> > > > a bootlegger.
> > > > You prior claim to high ethics and morality in amateur radio ring
> > > > hollow.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > That is only your opinion Dave.
> >
> > Nope. It's the opinion of every knowledgeable ham who has weighed in on
> the
> > subject,
>
> You're now supposedly knowledgeable?

I'm only one of several, you know. All of whom agree. You were bootlegging. You didn't know it, and that only makes you look that much more dense and clueless.

>
> > including a former FCC district director to whom it is more than just
> > an opinion-it's a legal interpretertation of the international rules. Note
> that
> > he is also an attorney, which also seems to mean nothing to you.
>
> Have him provide the ARRL with a little free attorneying: Update this from
> theARRL web site:
>
> "Somalia (T5) At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need
> permission from the United Nations or your military commander."
>

The problem isn't in that above statement, it's in the simple fact that you still don't know the meaning of "permission ".

>
> > That you don't like it and continue to defend is no surprise. You're well
> known
> > for defending the indefensible.
>
> Dick, I've never defended you, no matter how wrong you were.

Thank my lucky stars! With you as a supporter I sure wouldn't need any
detractors.

>
>
> > > Meanwhile, I don't knowingly keep working
> > > out of band French ops on 6M.
> >
> > Yeah, we know, you keep an updated library of the amateur radio rules of
> all
> > nations and always refer to it before answering DX CQ's. Right.
>
> No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me that the
> French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working them on
> 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?

If Dave did, under the same set of circumstances I likely would also. Do you
believe every rube who jumps in with instant "information"? Would you slam the
door on several hams you were in contact with just because another one showed up
on frequency and said they were wrong? He isn't just as wrong? Do you instantly
believe everything you're told? Hell, you won't believe you were not given valid
permission even when told it by such an authority on regulations as Phil Kane!
You surely don't realize how dim a bulb you appear to be here. How much longer
are you going to keep up this charade?

>
>
> > > Its just not good amateur practice.
> >
> > We know you're very short on that. You have very little practice. Seems
> much
> > you do have was unauthorized.
>
> Don't tell me you're not in my Somalia logs either? You DX guys sure get
> POd when you miss one.

Sorry, I don't fancy myself as a DXer. Oh, I work them from time to time, but
DXCC is something I never had the time to pursue, so, unlike you, I don't fancy
myself a DXer as the real ones do. For that matter, what bands did you work in
Somalia on your Tech Plus license with your invalid "permission"? You have the
cajones to criticize any other ham?

351. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 03:40:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ehfd9.1014$7U6.276008049@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52218

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message news:al3n76$vu4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...

> Would that be kinda like you personally running 1.2 million RTTY patches
> during your tour. Most phone patches are only a few minutes in length,
> if that depending on the demand. MARS only allows 3 minutes max.

I've found that to be the shorter limit. I've seen MARS patches go 12-15 minutes based on need.

But if you are in-country sometime in March, out end of May (standard 90 day rotation), and waited to operate until correspondence to the FCC and ARRL came back positive, I would suggest that actual operating time be reduced to 3 or 4 weeks.

352. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 03:45:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <clfd9.1015$vY6.276294850@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52219

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D74A095.C3187317@earthlink.net...

> My reponse was a "no-Brian-er".
>
> Dave K8MN

Now Dave, when did you start calling names?

353. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 03:57:36 UTC
From: Paul N3EOP <n3eop@arrl.or.callsign.net>
Message-ID: <Xns927ED5378E97Bn3eophotmailcom@64.164.98.6>
Source record: 52220

Bert Craig wrote:

>>I took part in a class where the students were taught how to pass the
>>5 WPM code test in about 30 minutes. They were taught to write down
>>the dots and dashes, and they learned how to build a "decoding chart"
>>by memorizing several phrases where the first letter of each word was
>>the letter for that position in the chart.
>
> The VE's at my session warne a YL about doing this as she apparently
> did this. I suppose it's at the discretion of the VE?

I'm an accredited VE for both W5YI and ARRL VEC.

From the ARRL VE manual, chapter 6
(http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/vemanual/index.html):

"Although the ARRL/VEC is of the opinion that writing dot and dash
characters (transcripts) on a copy sheet does not in and of itself
demonstrate ability to copy (or translate) the Morse code at the
prescribed speed, because the FCC provides no guidance in this area, we
leave it to you--the VE and VE team--to decide how many, if any, dot and
dash characters you will allow an examinee to include (and translate) on
his or her code copy sheet--and how much time the applicant may take to
translate the dot/dash characters.

"You must decide whether your team will allow any, some or all text to
be first written as dot and dash characters and later translated. If you
do not accept any dot/dash characters on a code copy sheet, you must
announce this protocol to all applicants taking the Morse code test
before the Morse code message begins. The same is true for allowing some
characters, or unlimited characters.

"If your team doesn't care, or you make no clear announcement at the
beginning of every Morse code test segment, your team must be prepared
to accept any form of code copy."

The last sentence specifies (assuming it was an ARRL/VEC session) that
they couldn't fail her for doing it that way, unless they announced
before the session started that it was prohibited.

The prevailing attitude among the VEs at the sessions I've worked was
that any means they used to pass (provided it was "by ear", as specified
in the regs) was OK with us.

354. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:09:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <klfd9.1018$1g7.277526571@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52221

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D757C01.974E64D@townsqr.com...

OK, Dick,

1. Somalia is not issuing licenses. They have no government to do so.
2. At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from the United Nations or your military commander.
3. Permission is not a license. It is merely permission. A license would say T5EX, or some such call.

355. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:09:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nlfd9.1019$1g7.277526571@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52222

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D757B89.FFB44C13@townsqr.com...

> Slim, you're almost as dense as Loose Lennie aka NoCwTesticles.

Huh?

> I thought
> surely you'd be bright enough to at least see some light at the end of the
> tunnel and recognize it as an approaching freight.

Huh?

> Your poor harried CO was as
> uninformed as you (I sure pity anyone unlucky enough to have been your
CO),

Your pity?

> except you were the licensed ham and should have had some clue as to what
sort
> of DOCUMENTATION was needed.

By whom? Citation please.

> If he knowingly authorized your operating verbally
> only he was in error, and you can't be excused just because you were also
> clueless. Ignorance is no defense, I'm sure you've heard that one before.

You're defenseless?

So
> carry on in bliss, as the lately departed and unlamented Kim would. Your
only
> support is the licenseless Lennie Loosegoose, and we know what that's
worth.
> Nobody I know cares about your transgressions, other than it does make
you
> look like a twofaced fool, given your ignorant tirade againstK8MN.

Somalia (T5) At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need
permission from the United Nations or your military commander.

Dick, my commander has the authority to authorize amateur operation just as
stated.

What about that don't you understand?

356. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:22:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52224

In article <x_dd9.986$YA5.270678130@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>I've got all my logs and orders and everything. I think I even have a >picture around here somewhere.

Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They will all wimp out and refuse to open it. :-)

357. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:22:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904002235.03058.00000103@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52223

In article <RTdd9.984$po5.269870180@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> >All I can remember is "210" and it had
>> >no tubes.
>
>Atlas 210. Transistorized.
>
>> You obviously have no idea what Steve and I are discussing.
>
>You're both taking a trip down memory lane that you apparently refuse to
>share with a newcomer.
>
>He wants to ruin a NIB Heath-kit, and you want to resurrect an old one.

That's called "advancing the state of the amateur art." :-)

358. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:22:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904002235.03058.00000104@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52229

In article <al3oddses1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv) <kf2ti@arrl.net> writes:

>By the way Len, I'd either get some new glasses or take a reading
>comprehension course. No where did Jeff say he made 3500 phone patches.
>He expressly stated 3500 contacts. Since he was running his station as
>a DX operation when he had time, most contacts would be a quick 59(9),
>name and qth and then QRZ and a good DX'er/contester can run quite a
>high hourly rate if need be.

Who is "Jeff" and what is his/her real name and callsign?

Identify who you quote. Brian Kelly didn't.

>So we'll overlook the fact that in your haste to critique and critize on
>matters not concerning you (as a non-ham), you made the obvious and
>glaring error. Still looking for that proof of my so called names.
>BTW, which are you today Lenof21, Averyfinman, or how many others have
>you been. I've lost count

My AOL master screen name is as its always been, "LenOf21."
I have two others, "LenOver21" as used in here, "AveryFineman"
as a back-up. You can send mail to either three if you wish.
All are valid.

If you have some disagreement with AOL concerning my use or
choice of screen names, take it up with them.

We've already gone over your pseudonyms. Don't bring up old
things that you lost...you will not win anything the second time
around.

359. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:22:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904002238.03058.00000106@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52225

In article <3D75753A.8EBDAB13@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> It's rare to see someone such as yourself who takes such pride in being
an
>> obvious pathological liar, Lennie. Nice job.
>
> Steve, if it was true it would validate his longterm efforts to totally
denigrate
>ham radio and all its participants, and to assist his search and destroy
mission.
>Since it isn't, and he as well as everyone else nows it, your observation is
>exactly on the mark.

No. Both of you are guilty of fabricating lies. Carroll worst of all since
he
cannot be original, only following another unceasing liar.

None of the individual participants in this newsgroup "represent" amateur
radio in its entirety. They are individuals with different opinions and
viewpoints. Some of them cannot stand open, strong debate and they
resort to puerile, primitive methods to attempt to get back at other
debaters. You are one of them, sad to say. You had promise years
ago in here, then you lost it.

If anyone "denigrates ham radio," it would surely be one who has been
licensed a long time and has worked much DX, Jim Kehler, KH2D. You
are invited to view his website at http://kh2d.net, click on "opinions" then
select what you want. I invite you to read the selection "Brain-Dead."

KH2D was once a regular in here, a most strong PCTA. He wore out.

360. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:22:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904002238.03058.00000105@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52226

In article <al3n76$vu4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, Steve Adell - KF2TI
(Landing, NJ FN20qv) <kf2ti@arrl.net> writes:

>In article <20020903190020.25108.00000021@mb-da.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
says...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0209031200.1d1f53c3@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
>> >> Ed have accused?
>> >>
>> >The answer is a thundering yes. The following was copy-pasted from the
>> >e-mail Brainiac Burke Fan Club sponsored by yer good buddy KF2TI.
>> >>
>> >This guy has nothing to do with RRAP.
>>
>> KF2TI has been in this newsgroup many times, both as
>> himself and under at least three pseudonyms.
>
>Yesterdays shit still smells Lennie old boy and you have yet to prove
>anything that you say is anything but some demented fiction from a
>senile brain. But go girl, you believe and it is true. Go ahead and
>post the alleged pseudonyms Len. Be sure the verify the accuracy with
>definitive proof.

You have been a regular in this newsgroup. You have also been
on here under pseudonyms. We've gone over this before in here
and I've shown you the obvious clues that other readers can also
see if they have selected full header information on messages.

Going over the past is fruitless waste of bandwidth. In case of
the future, an LAPD special unit already has a case file to
observe any more signs of stalking.

<already posted "letter" omitted for brevity...>

>> >and if I can be of any other assistance, feel free to email me."
>> >>
>> >(Name & Callsigns withheld)
>> >>
>> >There ya are Burkie, doan bitch about the freebanders, you wuz one.
>>
>> How is that verifiable if "name and callsigns are withheld?"

>>
>> Are you or KF2TI journalists who feel free to use ambiguous
>> "informed sources" as truth? I don't think so.
>
>Opinions vary, but more than a handful of people were copied the
>complete e-mails with the call signs intact

None are posted in here. Show the identity of "(Name and
Callsign withheld)" so that others can verify it.

If there is no verification of any kind, then it is just a fabrication.

>> Some kind of "e-mail fan club" doesn't sound authentic for
>> anything but ordinary libel spread around by others with some
>> out of spite or are now afraid to come out in public forums.
>
>Actually that was an embellishment on Brian K's part

That doesn't excuse Kelly of anything, nor you.

Readers can only judge zero-verification stories as stories.

>It was shipped in with his stuff, on a pallet. At least in one case.
>In 2 others, they brought it in with their gear. In total, 3 people
>responded to my initial inquires as to the need for written
>documentation to operate in Somalia during 1992-1993.

Then you should have jumped in here early with both J-38s
blazing.

The accusation of bootlegging has been levelled at one ham
by another. It is not about "how equipment was brought in"
some place. I could ask for your shipping documentation
(in writing) to get that non-military "pallet" over to Somalia,
couldn't I?

What you have "supplied" here are nothing but unverifiable
claims by "three unidentified others" who have done something
somewhere. Apparent fabrication with nothing to back it up.

>Would that be kinda like you personally running 1.2 million RTTY patches
>during your tour. Most phone patches are only a few minutes in length,
>if that depending on the demand. MARS only allows 3 minutes max.

Irrelevant. Way back I stated only that my operational duties were
analagous to sending that many TTY messages (not "patches").
That was based on the number of transmitters, the number of
outgoing circuits, and the published message rate per month.
Others misquoted, took it out of context.

You have misdirected again by not answering my questions to
Brian Kelly on his quoting of an unverifiable, unidentified
e-mail.

You are welcome to answer those questions. How did "machine gunfire" zero in on a Cushcraft vertical antenna (R7) and not touch the alleged "ham station" or the unidentified "ham operator?" For that matter, was the R7 available in early 1993? I honestly don't know, nor care. I don't find any identification for a Yaesu "R3000" receiver although the Yaesu FT101EE is an old-timer.

Was the R7 manually beaten down and then machine gunned to put it out of its misery? Were Kevlar vests provided to protect the radios? How did other military personnel fight their way to this special-services "MARS station" to make little bitty 3 minute phone patches back home? There are many such questions of this unverified, unidentified quotation made by Brian Kelly.

>Provide some header information. Make sure you use NTTP or ISP routing.
>That's the only way to be absolutely sure. I know you can't because if
>you had that information, an anal retentive like yourself you have
>already posted it. Sorry, you lose yet again

I've already posted previous header information from your pseudonym post. Way back in 2001.

You cannot "win" now-old message rounds by repeating the same thing now and "demanding" to do it all over. Wasted effort. You won't win anything but gaining one more notch in being a very sore loser.

Go with the flow and the charge of two hams against another in charges of "bootlegging" from Somalia. Prove those charges. If you can't, shut up and don't pout about the past.

>> Unless you can verify that quote, readers have to judge it as
>> being yet-another fabrication of someone's imagination.
>
>Be happy to e-mail copies upon request

Send anything you want. I have up to date virus software.

I'm not afraid to open spam mail. Others in here are very afraid to open such things, wimp out and delete it. That's a good way to misdirect from a reference/validation...claim it is "dangerous" and refuse to recognize it. They think they "win" message rounds by not conceding, only to prove how false they really are by saying they "refusing it." :-)

Now what was your original purpose in here in regards to ham radio policy? I was sure it was all about multiple personality disorder on your part...

361. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:22:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904002239.03058.00000108@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52227

In article <0Edd9.978$Db5.269069382@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
>> professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
>> blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
>> he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio newsgroup.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>I believe his interest is in radio.

Your belief is correct. Judge Heil seems to be only interested in dominating an amateur radio newsgroup, using his limited imagination to fabricate "why" others are here.

362. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:22:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904002239.03058.00000107@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52228

In article <3D757087.231F31AA@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> My military record is not just a "claim." It is verifiable truth.
>
> Har..... Of course, all military records are verifiable. But individual
>recountings of them are not. With "NoCWtesticles'" record of "recounting"
>here on RRAP, it would demand complete independent certification.

Dickiebird, you just go ahead and verify anything you want.

You can start with e-mail to Ed Hare if you like, then the
references I posted just previously.

However, if you start demanding things, then the rest of us
can demand things from you. The first one that comes to
mind is your insistance that Los Angeles Police Department
once had a "CW network" with other PDs. LAPD public
relations said they had a teleprinter telegraphy net
connection prior to WW2, not a morse code telegraphy net.
The other one is that you claim Shannon's Law doesn't
apply in amateur radio yet throughput in any modulation is
determined by ham radio designers using that 1947 law.

We could go on to other things but I don't think you'd like
another go-around on any of them any more than you liked
the first ones.

Note: I don't, nor have ever had any handle or pseudonym
of "NoCWtesticles." Stop the silly fabrication.

363. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 04:45:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904004507.27149.00001481@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 52230

In article <3D757B89.FFB44C13@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> Slim, you're almost as dense as Loose Lennie aka NoCwTesticles. I thought
>surely you'd be bright enough to at least see some light at the end of the
>tunnel and recognize it as an approaching freight. Your poor harried CO was
>as
>uninformed as you (I sure pity anyone unlucky enough to have been your CO),
>except you were the licensed ham and should have had some clue as to what
>sort
>of DOCUMENTATION was needed. If he knowingly authorized your operating
>verbally
>only he was in error, and you can't be excused just because you were also
>clueless. Ignorance is no defense, I'm sure you've heard that one before. So
>carry on in bliss, as the lately departed and unlamented Kim would. Your only
>support is the licenseless Lennie Loosegoose, and we know what that's worth.
> Nobody I know cares about your transgressions, other than it does make you
>look like a twofaced fool, given your ignorant tirade againstK8MN.

Does Mike Coslo know you exist? :-)

364. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 05:11:15 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <al4504$28g$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52231

In article <20020904002238.03058.00000105@mb-mp.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com says...

> You have been a regular in this newsgroup.

Correct. And always fully identifiable.

You have also been
> on here under pseudonyms.

Sorry, incorrect.

We've gone over this before in here
> and I've shown you the obvious clues that other readers can also
> see if they have selected full header information on messages

Get this straight..YOU've gone over this and with absolutely no fully
identifiable anything. Fiction is still fiction no matter which way you
orient the pages.

>
> Going over the past is fruitless waste of bandwidth.

The why do you keep bringing up the same tired inaccurate story.

In case of
> the future, an LAPD special unit already has a case file to
> observe any more signs of stalking.

I love this part...I am sure the special unit must have a huge file on
you. Perhaps we'll see you on COPS, as on of the normal LA loonies who
mumbles down the street accosting people and small animals??

Tell us about the air traffic control tower recordings and plane tail
registry numbers again. I love that story. Maybe the suspicious phone
calls you received..wow Fiction like this should be published.

>
> None are posted in here. Show the identity of "(Name and
> Callsign withheld)" so that others can verify it.

Sorry, can't do. Especially since you DEMAND IT.

>
> If there is no verification of any kind, then it is just a fabrication.

Kinda like your life?

>
> That doesn't excuse Kelly of anything, nor you.

And certainly no excuse for you

>
> Readers can only judge zero-verification stories as stories.

Kinda like your life?

>
> The accusation of bootlegging has been levelled at one ham
> by another. It is not about "how equipment was brought in"
> some place. I could ask for your shipping documentation
> (in writing) to get that non-military "pallet" over to Somalia,
> couldn't I?

Nope. I didn't ask for that information. I asked the following on one
of the DX reflectors:

> I am looking for an amateur that operated in Somalia during the
> United States military operation(s) there between 1992-1993.
>
> I have a few questions for a research project that I am helping with.
>
> If you did operate there, please contact me direct. Essentially I am
> looking for the procedure that you might have gone through to operate
> amateur radio there. The paperwork, if any, involved in that
> operation and if your operation was submitted and accepted by the
> DXCC desk, what was needed (documentation, etc) for approval. In
> addition, what interaction you may have had with other amatuers
> operating in the Somalia theater at that time.
>
> Again, please respond direct. All responses will be kept
> confidential. Thank you in advance for your help
> 73,
>

You are free to ask your own questions.
>
> What you have "supplied" here are nothing but unverifiable
> claims by "three unidentified others" who have done something
> somewhere. Apparent fabrication with nothing to back it up.

Sez you, but already 6 people have received full copies, you aren't one
of them.

>
>
> >Would that be kinda like you personally running 1.2 million RTTY patches
> >during your tour. Most phone patches are only a few minutes in length,
> >if that depending on the demand. MARS only allows 3 minutes max.
>
> Irrelevant. Way back I stated only that my operational duties were

> analagous to sending that many TTY messages (not "patches").
> That was based on the number of transmitters, the number of
> outgoing circuits, and the published message rate per month.
> Others misquoted, took it out of context.

No Len, you personally took credit for relaying 1.2 million RTTY patches
and were caught and called on the carpet about it. You then backpedaled
and said you were part of a team. Sorry, no points for this one.

>
> You have misdirected again by not answering my questions to
> Brian Kelly on his quoting of an unverifiable, unidentified
> e-mail.

If you say.

>
> You are welcome to answer those questions. How did "machine
> gunfire" zero in on a Cushcraft vertical antenna (R7) and not touch
> the alleged "ham station" or the unidentified "ham operator?"

Maybe since the antenna was on a roof, it got it. I doubt the station
was on the roof or the operator for that matter. I didn't ask that
question, the information was volunteered

For
> that matter, was the R7 available in early 1993? I honestly don't
> know, nor care.

They why ask? FWIW, it was available in late 1991

I don't find any identification for a Yaesu "R3000"
> receiver although the Yaesu FT101EE is an old-timer.
>
> Was the R7 manually beaten down and then machine gunned to
> put it out of its misery? Were Kevlar vests provided to protect
> the radios? How did other military personnel fight their way to
> this special-services "MARS station" to make little bitty 3 minute
> phone patches back home? There are many such questions of
> this unverified, unidentified quotation made by Brian Kelly.

Maybe you should ask Brian. He'd be more aware of how the calls were
made. I relayed quite a few as AAR2NL and usually it was done at a
scheduled time for people in the NY-NJ area. But again, since these
questions were not part of my original inquiry, as you say, I honestly
don't know, nor care.

>
> >Provide some header information. Make sure you use NTTP or ISP routing.
> >That's the only way to be absolutely sure. I know you can't because if
> >you had that information, an anal retentive like yourself you have
> >already posted it. Sorry, you lose yet again
>

> I've already posted previous header information from your
> pseudonym post. Way back in 2001.

Sure you have. Faked headers, plain and simple, just like you.

>
> You cannot "win" now-old message rounds by repeating the
> same thing now and "demanding" to do it all over. Wasted
> effort. You won't win anything but gaining one more notch in
> being a very sore loser.

Yet you do..so what's up with that?

>
> Go with the flow and the charge of two hams against another
> in charges of "bootlegging" from Somalia. Prove those charges.
> If you can't, shut up and don't pout about the past.

What concern of it is to you. Butt out old man, you'll give your brain
a charlie-horse

>
> >> Unless you can verify that quote, readers have to judge it as
> >> being yet-another fabrication of someone's imagination.
> >
> >Be happy to e-mail copies upon request
>
> Send anything you want. I have up to date virus software.

Sorry, you aren't worth the effort.

> Now what was your original purpose in here in regards to
> ham radio policy? I was sure it was all about multiple
> personality disorder on your part...

I was just thinking the same thing about you. hmmmmm

365. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 06:47:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209032247.5e3d976d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52232

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<a0bd9.2325$3W4.42943550@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> > gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
> > Putz.
>
> Now hold on here. I think Len presents some fine arguments. I don't
> necessarily think he presents them in a palatable manner. But there's a lot
> of truth in what he says.
>
>
No surprise in that, you're too dumb & blind to understand that he's
nothing more than a pointless old troll who found a .policy NG in
which he could find opportunities to stir up controversy for the sake
of controversy which suits his background as a electronics bench tech
and twisted persona. There isn't a .policy NG out there anywhere which
doesn't have a Putz or two or three like Lenny along with their
witless groupies like you.
>
>
> And Brian, you're exactly the ham he describes,
> whether you like it or not.
>
>
Yes I am and I love it. I'm a Extra with a lot of time in saddle who
is opposed to eliminating code tests. That's all it takes to become a
Putz rant "target". Kindly note that my opinions on the code tests are
diametrically opposed to those of Bill Sohl who is the #2 NCI honcho
but Bill and I get long just fine. How do you figger that Burkie? . .
. "figger out" . . stupid question given your level of mental
horsepower.
>
>
> > Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Just as soon as you write VOID across your ticket and send it to Riley.
>
>
No problem, you have nothing else to do with your life just like The
Putz has nothing better to do with his life. Rant on.
>
>

> You were right when you said you were an old crank.
>
> Brian, you're just a cranky, jerky ham.
>
>
Yup, a cranky old 30wpm 1x2 dxer and contester you and The Putz will
never be. As far as the jerks around here are concerned I rawther
subnmit that given a vote abt who the jerks are you and Putz would win
hands down.
>
>
Burke the Brainiac and The Putz . . the Somali freebander and the
twisted non-ham . . whatta team . .
>
>
> 73, Brian

w3rv

366. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 09:59:58 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0209040159.5b155352@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52233

Paul N3EOP <n3eop@arrl.or.callsign.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns927ED5378E97Bn3eophotmailcom@64.164.98.6>...
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >>I took part in a class where the students were taught how to pass the
> >>5 WPM code test in about 30 minutes. They were taught to write down
> >>the dots and dashes, and they learned how to build a "decoding chart"
> >>by memorizing several phrases where the first letter of each word was
> >>the letter for that position in the chart.
> >
> > The VE's at my session warned <spelling correction inserted> a YL about doing this as
she apparently
> > did this. I suppose it's at the discretion of the VE?
>
> I'm an accredited VE for both W5YI and ARRL VEC.
>
> From the ARRL VE manual, chapter 6
> (http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/vemanual/index.html):
>
> ----------
>
> "Although the ARRL/VEC is of the opinion that writing dot and dash
> characters (transcripts) on a copy sheet does not in and of itself
> demonstrate ability to copy (or translate) the Morse code at the
> prescribed speed, because the FCC provides no guidance in this area, we
> leave it to you--the VE and VE team--to decide how many, if any, dot and
> dash characters you will allow an examinee to include (and translate) on
> his or her code copy sheet--and how much time the applicant may take to
> translate the dot/dash characters.
>
> "You must decide whether your team will allow any, some or all text to
> be first written as dot and dash characters and later translated. If you
> do not accept any dot/dash characters on a code copy sheet, you must
> announce this protocol to all applicants taking the Morse code test
> before the Morse code message begins. The same is true for allowing some
> characters, or unlimited characters.
>
> "If your team doesn’t care, or you make no clear announcement at the
> beginning of every Morse code test segment, your team must be prepared
> to accept any form of code copy."
>
> ----------
>
> The last sentence specifies (assuming it was an ARRL/VEC session) that

> they couldn't fail her for doing it that way, unless they announced
> *before the session started* that it was prohibited.
>
> The prevailing attitude among the VEs at the sessions I've worked was
> that any means they used to pass (provided it was "by ear", as specified
> in the regs) was OK with us.

That's pretty interesting. I wasn't taking my code test at that particular session so I really don't know if they had made any prior annoucements. I did see them tell her that they "really shouldn't accept this"...but they did. :-)

I don't recall the VEs at my code session making any annoucements either but then again...I was pretty nervous that morning and just wanted the dits and dahs to commence. Once they did, the nervousness was quickly displaced. Thanks for the clarification.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

367. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 10:18:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904061814.03046.00000379@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52234

In article <al3egg$lp6$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>> >Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back
>> >to administering tests, the questions would
>> >become public anyway.
>>
>> I disagree. Should 'Son of Bash' appear, FCC could prosecute him. But
>>doing so
>> would mean there would need to be rules governing the handling of the
>>tests,
>> and enforcement action against violators. More work for FCC.
>
>Too much work for anyone. It just can't be done and won't be.
>The internet has seen to that.

In theory, it could be done, even with the internet. But the resources required insure that it won't be done.

Main point is that we should not ask FCC for things that they obviously won't do, because it just makes the asker look clueless.

>> >The internet has made
>> >it extremely easy to distribute materials without
>> >any oversight from government, etc.
>>
>> But the material would have to be gathered in the first place.
>
>Piece of cake... web site in some foriegn location asks for
>anyone taking a test to submit any questions they remember.

And those responding would leave an email trail. Plus, each exam could contain a warning not to divulge any of its contents to anyone other than the FCC.

I remember that when K3NYT (sk) gave me the Novice by-mail back in 1967, the test came in a sealed envelope with all sorts of warnings on it. He never even saw the test, just gave me the unopened envelope and said I was to follow the instructions.

One of the instructions, as I recall, was that no one was to copy or divulge the test contents in any way. Another was that by opening the test envelope and sining the Form 610, the volunteer examiner and the test-taker agreed to all of the FCC's conditions.

Of course we were on our honor to actually follow the instructions and abide by our agreements. Those concepts probably wouldn't fly today!

>> FCC field people
>> knew what Bash was doing and how he was doing it, but the top dogs refused
>> to do anything about Bash's activities.

>See "piece of cake" above which requires no one to go face-to-face
>with the FCC.

If FCC was doing all the testing, and someone tried to do the Bash thing, they'd have to get their info from folks who had actually gone to FCC exam sessions. So there would have to be somebody who had been told "do not divulge", and who had agreed not to divulge, but who went ahead and divulged anyway. That person could be prosecuted. Not a question of copyrights, but of a voluntary agreement between test-taker and FCC. But as stated before, such concepts probably wouldn't fly today.

>> That would have to change. More $$

>> >> >You then said: "Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
>> >> >convince FCC different?"
>>
>> >> Exactly.
>>
>> >> >If we believe
>> >> >the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
>> >> >changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
>> >> >try and convince the FCC of anything.
>> >>
>> >> Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.
>>
>> My agreement is with the validity of your logic. You wrote "if A is true,
>then
>> we don't have to do B" - a conditional statement. But A is not true.
>
>I said "IF" we believe A is true.

Exactly! I was simply clarifying what the "not broke" statement referred to. Your "IF" statement was well worded and clear to most people.

I think it's broken, but the fix of going back to the old testing methods isn't practical. We have to apply other fixes.

>Other than larger pools, what is needed?

Let's look at the rest of that list:

2) Increase the number of choices on each question from 4 to 5

In my old LMs, there's an illustration of the old multiple choice answer sheet. The answer sheet has a demo question on it. Both the demo question and the answer sheet are set up for 5 choices, not 4. I don't know when the change took place, but somewhere along the line the multiple choices were reduced from 4 to 5.

The chance of randomly guessing correctly with 4 choices is 25% and the chance of randomly guessing correctly with 5 choices is 20%, so it may appear to some

that the difference is minimal. However, in most multiple choice questions there are one or two answers that are obviously wrong.

With a 4 choice question, if one answer can be ruled out as obviously wrong, the chances of guessing correctly among the remaining 3 improve to 33%. If 2 answers can be eliminated, the chances of randomly guessing correctly among the remaining 2 is 50%

With a 5 choice question, the corresponding chances of guessing correctly are only 25 and 33%.

3) Change the grading method so that wrong answers decrease the score (eliminates the gain from pure guessing)

As it stands now, there is no downside to guessing at questions one has no clue about. Pure random guessing should average out to a 25% correct mark. One only needs about 74% to pass. The proper negative credit for wrong answers eliminates that.

4) Break each Element into subelements (say, Safety, Regs, Theory) or some such, and require a certain number of right answers in each subelement. (The way it is now, a new ham could get all the Safety questions wrong and still pass, all the way to Extra.)

This part is particularly important because the safety issues today go beyond merely electrocuting oneself.

5) Focus more on the basics and less on esoterics (like the "how much time do you have to notify the FCC about a station in space" questions)

Your thoughts?

73 de Jim, N2EY

368. How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 12:13:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52235

There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.

The relevant quote is:

"Has N2EY ever relayed-transmitted a teleprinter message
over radio, any radio? I've relayed-transmitted about 1.32
million of them on HF between 1953-1955.."

For context, here's the whole message, from Google:

BEGIN GOOGLE QUOTE

From: Len Over 21 (lenover21@aol.com)
Subject: Re: Radio Policy
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
View: Complete Thread (1817 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2002-02-21 19:43:06 PST

In article <20020221101041.21470.00000001@mb-mg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3c744fcd_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net>
>writes:
>
>>"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>>news:3C73F010.6714CAE9@IEEE.org...
>>> N2EY wrote:
>>> >
>>> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>>> > > Because she cannot drive the more reliable stick shift. :-)
>>> >
>>> > Ah, I see. You are saying I should not use CW because Kim can't
>>> > drive a stick shift.
>>>
>>> If Kim is not skillful with a stick shift, it might be advisable
>>> to avoid stick shifts. If Kim is not skillful with CW, it might
>>> be advisable to avoid CW for emergency situations. For instance,
>>> her CB radio would probably be a lot more useful out on the
>>> road than HF CW even though HF CW is more reliable.
>>> --
>>> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>>
>>Yep.

>
>Nope.
>
>> Plus, with CB radio *and* ham radio, I have a greater chance of
>>reaching someone.
>
>In case one radio breaks, you have the others.
>
>>Never discount *any* radio source in an emergency.
>
>Including CW/Morse?

The word "any" includes WHATEVER radio is available.

The only reason for N2EY's "question" is gratuitous confrontation.

>> If I
>>thought there were that many people always "within range" I would
also have
>>FRS, GMRS, etc., capabilities.
>
>They are getting popular. An injured mountain hiker was rescued last
year
>because his FRS HT was heard 80 miles away by a couple of kids. Love
>that tropo ducting.

Where? Last year a GROUP of mountain climbers were stranded
on Mt. Ranier in Washington. Their rescue was begun after their
FRS HT was heard accidentally by a nine-year-old girl only about
30 miles away. NO "tropo ducting" there, just line-of-sight. It was
in the Seattle, Tacoma, and Olympia papers, made national news.
The actual rescue (by Army helicopters) involved military and
public safety radios, the park service, and three Washington state
agencies.

Why distort the truth?

The US Army has had operational troposcatter radio relay units
for a quarter-century. That is not rocket science, nor is line-of-
sight communications.

>Mobile has its limitations, but home is another story. Ask the folks
who
>dealt with the ice storms in 1997.

Ask the folks who dealt with an earthquake in 1994. You should
have no problem since there were at least 10 million of them
directly involved here in January of that year. The only evidence of
ham radio emergency communications appeared in the amateur
radio "press" over a week AFTER the event.

>>I suspect there are many who feel the same way.
>

>Kim, have you ever actually had a QSO on CW/Morse?

Why is that a "curiosity" question?

>Ever originated,
>received or relayed a formal message by CW/Morse?

Has N2EY ever relayed-transmitted a teleprinter message over radio, any radio? I've relayed-transmitted about 1.32 million of them on HF between 1953-1955..

>Just curious.

So am I. Considering that the commercial communications carriers and the US military had dropped "CW/Morse" from fixed-point to fixed-point 24/7 HF messaging by 1948, I'm still trying to understand "CW gets through when ALL other modes fail."

If "CW/Morse" is so reliable, so robust, it would seem logical that the Big Boys in communications would have kept the mode. The threat of possible thermonuclear conflict during the Cold War period after 1948 ought to rank as "emergency," wouldn't you think?

In my civilian life experience since 1960 I've sent about 7 telegrams and received about 10 telegrams, all over commercial teleprinter-telegraph communications providers. I've never, ever received a "formal" or informal amateur "radiogram." I don't know anyone who has, either licensed in some radio service or not.

Where is this blizzard of amateur "CW/Morse" radiograms blowing?

END GOOGLE QUOTE

Jim, N2EY

369. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 12:22:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209040422.37a34a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52236

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020902140650.12729.00000393@mb-cs.aol.com>...
>
> >> >Imagine what it was like twenty years earlier when I was WN3YIK. The
> >> >"QSK system" was a ceramic knife switch nailed to the desk.
>
> >> Ceramic? You had ceramic? All I had was a cheap plastic knife switch. DPDT.
>
> >You were just born too late to run the really good stuff, sorry about
> >that kid.
>
> Yeah, classic middle-of-the-baby-boom situation. No biggie. Still got that
> switch someplace.
>
>
I'll bet if I dug deep enought I would find that ceramic switch too. I
did run across two relics from those days recently. The carcass of the
80m ARC-5 and the 2m AM rcvr I built around a WW2 era vhf version of
the ARC-5. I'd mounted a homebrewed selenium rectifier power supply,
speaker and an Ameco Nuvistor pre-amp on a chassis & front panel along
with the rcvr and did some lathe work to connect a turns counter to
the splined tuning shaft of the rcvr. It was my Gen II FRC dx spots
rcvr which replaced my Benton Harbor Lunchbox.
>
>
> >> >Yeah, it wuz fun.
>
> >> Still is - old gear or new.
>
> >I don't particularly share your enthusiasm for boat anchors . . no way
> >in hell would I spend even two minutes on the air again with that
> >crappy Jeep-type ARC-5 and S-40B lashup. Not even if it included the
> >ceramic knife aerial switch. I'm too old and grumpy now to put up with
> >that crap.
>
> If it was the only way to a rare one on 60 meters, I'd bet you'd use it. (So
> would I!) And wave the card under fellow FRC's noses. HAW!!
>
>
Heh . . TS-50. Diodes. Snip. The thing already runs CB ssb without any
mods.
>
>
> But here's the important point: Almost any ham gear made in the past 40-50
> years can still be used legally on the ham bands by those with the proper

> license and know-how. And except for a few rare items the stuff costs far less
> in inflation-adjusted dollars than it ever did.
>
>
Valid point.
>
>
> Example: Want to use a 75A-4 again?
>
>
NO!
>
>
> A nice one can be had for about the same
> dollars as it cost in '58. Which means that adjusted for inflation it costs
> about as much as your old S-40.
>
>
The problem with the current cost of Collins radios is that they're
overpriced vs. performance because it's cult gear. Ya can get a
complete Drake R4/T4 station on the air for less than the current cost
of a 75A4 or a 75S3B rcvr alone and get 95% of the performance of the
Collins equipment.
>
>
> Or pick up an FT-897 for about the same price. Or any of dozens of rigs from
> the eras in-between.
>
>
Dunno if I agree with that or not. I'm pretty soured on depending on
boat anchors for day in day out operations. To wit the problems I've
had with the 940 plus my 75S-3B is gonna need a bunch of work to get
it back up to snuff. I don't care about hanging onto "collectible"
gear just because it's collectible and glows in the dark, all I wanna
do is operate on a dependable basis. I finally dumped my nice old Benz
coupe for the same reason, it got to the point where it was spending
more time in my wallet than it did on the road.
>
>
> More choices than ever. Pretty good for a 'dying' ARS, huh?
>
>
"Dying". Yeah: Right. Luv it.
>
>
> Know what makes all
> that modern gear so inexpensive? PLCs, for one thing.
>
>
Of course, all HF xcvrs use basic PLC technolgy for chores like mode &

band switching. Somebody around here sed he has an A-B Slick 500 PLC
he was gonna put to work in his ham station but I forget who it was.
Sure would simplify life at an SO2R station.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

370. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 12:24:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D75FB8C.866D5E8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52237

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D74AC4B.5A1674B1@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Brian P Burke wrote:
> >>
> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> news:3D73A486.615D5A1A@earthlink.net...
> >> > Brian P Burke wrote:

> >> So why do you continue to worry about why a guy without a license is
> >> interested in amateur radio?
> >>
> >Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
> >professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
> >blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
> >he'd have done it by now.
>
> Perhaps I don't want to emulate Heil and become one of the "Brain-Dead
> Old Farts" (definition of KH2D)? :-)

That's a shame, Len. That's one item for which you already hold the
qualifications and there's no amateur radio license needed.

> It would seem that the BDOFs think that all unlicensed (in amateur
> radio) are "Clueless Newbies" (definition of KH2D) and must respect and
> revere the words of the BDOFs while maintaining silence. They all seem
> to deserve respect and admiration for merely existing.

No, Len. The unlicensed aren't "clueless newbies". The unlicensed
haven't become "newbies". Gaining either your respect or your admiration
isn't one of my goals in life.

> Actually, Heil would seem to belong to a third Jim Kehler grouping, best
> described here by initials DITWPIABUTDCF. [see http://kh2d.net under
> Opinions, title Brain Dead] :-)

If you can't write it here, I'm not about to embark on a wild net chase
to find out what your babbling means.

> I've seen very little in the output of BDOFs (such as above) that would
> encourage anyone to partake in the hobby of US amateur radio. Their
> output in here seems to be little other than put-downs, degredations,
> insults heaped on others who don't agree with their sacred personal
> viewpoints. Not an encouragement at all.

With or without the output of anyone here, you aren't likely to actually become a radio amateur. The put-downs and insults you suffer are in response to the stuff you dish out. I'm not about to start feeling sorry for you. Maybe you can receive some sympathy from Brian.

Dave K8MN

371. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 12:32:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D75FD6B.C1C7BF37@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52238

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D74A294.4E2A51FD@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D73962E.2A515538@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > This one wasn't bright or wonderful. It is apparent that it was
> > > > different.
> > > > You don't *have* to prove to me that you had authorization to operate.
> > > > You don't have to prove it to the DXCC desk. You don't have to prove
> it
> > > > to those you worked and QSL'd. On the other hand, none of us has to
> > > > believe you.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > For you to make your charge of bootlegging stick, you must prove it.
> > > Otherwise you're just flapping your gums.
> >
> > You're stuff is starting to read like some sort of Mark Morgan masochism
> > primer. Do you have some overwhelming desire for public humiliation?
> > You have no documentation whatever for your proclaimed operation from
> > Somalia. No goober would expect anyone to believe based on "that guy
> > over there said it was okay".
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I have my logs. It's enough for me. Would you like me to resend you a
> card? Just a minute while I check my logs.
>
> Nope, you're not in them, Sorry.

What about your self-proclaimed "DXer" status? A DXer wouldn't respond with "I have my logs. It's enough for me". What about your high amateur radio ethics and morality comments? Did you check to see that each station you contacted as a bootlegger operating in Somalia was operating within his country's band allocation? I don't need your QSL, Brian. I have a T5 card which was accepted for DXCC.

Dave K8MN

372. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 12:41:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D75FF82.D1989671@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52239

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020903194355.29744.00001029@mb-mb.aol.com>, something writes:
>

> You've called my military service "cowardly." PROVE IT or
> shut up.

> You've called my military service "cowardly." PROVE IT or
> shut up.
>
> You've written that my employment was not truthful, an
> "allegation." PROVE THAT or shut up.

> PROVE your lies and false implications or SHUT UP.
>
> PROVE that my military record was "cowardly."
>
> PROVE that my listed employers are "lying" or that I never
> worked for those I listed.

Why should he, Len? I challenged you to prove claims made by you about me in a very recent post. You've not come up with proof and you certainly haven't shut up.

Dave K8MN

373. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:00:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52240

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > Dave, apparently they've never had a problem. I'm good in the book, no
> > > complaints yet.
> >
> > We have only your claim that you made QSOs from Somalia.
>
> And yet, with only my claim, you call me a bootlegger.
>
> Why are you willing to believe one thing I say, yet not another? Spock
> would say, "Captain, I find this human quite illogical." I would just leave
> it at inconsistent.

I don't care, Brian. You can retain your bootlegger status or if you
prefer, you can say that you are a fabricator and that you made the
whole thing up about operating from Somalia. Several others who
operated there have confirmed that they needed permission in writing for
amateur radio operation. That leaves you as odd man out.

> > We have only your claim that you have had no complaints.
>
> Except yours. Yet you are not even in my Somali logs. Did you fudge and
> put me in your logs anyway?

I've already told you. I was in Botswana. I didn't need Somalia as
K8MN and couldn't have worked you from Botswana for credit toward my
stateside DXCC.

> > We have only your claim that you had permission to operate from T5.
>
> I know, I know. I only wished you were there, too. Then you could be an
> eye-witness instead of a squawking bystander.

I wasn't in the places where you were and you were not in the places
where I operated. I do have documentation which confirms my permission
to be on the ham bands from each spot. That's where we differ--that and
the fact that I never wished you were in the spots where I was.

> > > Except from you. So what are you doing about it? Squawking?
> >
> > What am I doing about it? I'm exposing your claims of ethical and moral
> > superiority as a sham.

>
> You're not doing a very good job.

On the contrary, Brian. I'm doing a fine job of it. Either you were unethical or stupid in operating from Somalia.

> Time to step it up a little or go back to
> working out of banders on 6M.

I've always operated where I was permitted to operate. If French ops working out of their assigned band segments or bootleggers operating from Somalia call me, it is for others to deal with.

It would be interesting to point out that when you've written of my 6m operations from Tanzania, you were a squawking bystander. I even told you what you could do about it. You didn't take my advice. Instead, you claimed the superiority of high moral ground and high amateur radio ethics. Those claims have certainly made you look very foolish.

Dave K8MN

374. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:04:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7604DE.5CC35434@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52241

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D74A9FF.9E779D57@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020902144526.11392.00000201@mb-fi.aol.com...
> > > > In article <rALc9.360$6S4.104816861@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>,
> "Brian P
> > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >> Swing and a miss. The ARRL can't make bootlegging legal. You
> could
> > > > >> have done that by obtaining something in writing from your
> commander
> > > > >> authorizing your amateur radio operation from Somalia.
> > > > >
> > > > >Dave, I was legal. You say the DXCC desk won't accept that. Sounds
> like
> > > > >you and them have a problem.
> > > > >
> > > > They don't have any problem in their eyes. They redefine
> > > > everything to meet their own desires under the DXCC bar
> > > > rules (they hoist a few at the local bar to make those new
> > > > rules "legal").
> > > > >
> > > > If Judge Heil declares you a bootlegger, you are a bootlegger.
> > > > Never mind what ARRL has posted in regards to Somalia.
> > > > >
> > > > The law has spoken. :-)
> > > >
> > > Yes, apparently so. The Worlds Greatest DXer has spoken.
> > >
> > So say a non-DXer and the world's most incompetent DXer.

> Dave, there you are being inconsistent again.
>
> In one sentence, you say I'm not a DXer then you say I am a DXer, albeit an
> incompetent one.

Reading Comprehension 101, Brian. Len is the non-DXer. You are the
world's most incompetent DXer.

> But you're not even in my Somali log, so I'd say you're not the DXer you
> give yourself credit to be.

DX 101: If you don't need it, don't call it. If you do need it for
your DXCC from the U.S., don't call it if you're in Botswana.

> Don't pout. You're still the World's Greatest DXer in my book (just not in
> my logs).

Oh--but I am in your logs, Brian. I'm just not in the logs for your
illicit Somali operations.

Dave K8MN

375. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:05:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D760534.42D592D3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52242

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D74AC4B.5A1674B1@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D73A486.615D5A1A@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D73401A.4C84ADB6@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > > > Dave, hypothetically, if Len were to obtain an amateur license and
> join
> > > > the
> > > > > > ARRL, would you give his comments equal weight as those of Jim's?
> > > > >
> > > > > Not necessarily. You have an amateur license. I don't give your
> > > > > comments the same weight I give Jim's.
> > > >
> > > > So Len needn't obtain a license for you to continue to disagree with
> him.
> > >
> > He hasn't obtained an amateur radio license and I do disagree with him.
> >
> > > So why do you continue to worry about why a guy without a license is
> > > interested in amateur radio?
> >
> > Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
> > professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
> > blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
> > he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio newsgroup.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I believe his interest is in radio.

I've got a radio I'll give him if that's all it take to muzzle him.

Dave K8MN
```

376. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:08:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7605C4.A197356D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52243

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D741FB3.FCA9C6BA@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > But you should understand the importance of good
> > > > documentation. It's pretty well established here that you do not.
> > >
> > > Sure, when I wanted someone to deploy, I would cut a tasking order and
> flow
> > > it to their Wing readiness office, they would cut the orders. That was
> in
> > > writing. It was kind of a big deal. Not at all like amateur radio.
> FWIW,
> > > I had to task myself to go to Somalia, then hit the base readiness
> office
> > > and try to get them to hurry the orders along so I could draw gear and a
> > > weapon.
> > >
> > > It wasn't like the olden days where everyone moved as a single unit and
> went
> > > down to the pier and climbed on a troop ship so they could reduce the
> > > stockpile of old rations for weeks on end. We got airplanes today, and
> each
> > > deployment is tailored so as not to waste personnel, equipment, and
> > > supplies.
> > >
> >
> > Gee. YOU put it all in writing. Strange, why didn't you just tell them
> verbally
> > and expect everyone involved to take their word for it? Worked fine on
> your
> > Somolia operation, no stinkin' documentation needed.
> > You're nothing if not inconsistant.
>
> No, I had paper orders for Somalia in my pocket. I had one in each duffel
> and my SWO kit. I had another with my pallet of TACMET and TACCOM.
>
> > > See Dick, other services move along with the times, not at all like
> amateur
> > > radio.
> >

> > Yeah, I see, verbal permission supersedes written documentation. For you.
> the
> > rest of the world is still using paper.
>
> Dave, I didn't say "supersedes," so why did you? You need to quit making
> stuff up.

Reading Comprehension 101: I didn't write "supersedes". You need to
quit making stuff up.

> You might lose credibility, Hi!

Okay, Mr. Ethics.

Dave K8MN

377. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:18:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52244

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked about it, you
> > assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your claims with
> > proof. You cannot do so.
>
> No it isn't. You've said it was illegal and that I'm a bootlegger. Now
> prove it.

Sorry, Brian. You claim doesn't wash. If you'd been given permission
to operate, you'd have it in writing. You don't.

> > > > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has a written
> > > > form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization. The U.S.
> > > > military issues written authorization. Where is yours?
> > >
> > > Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it is a GP form.
> >
> > It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation from J5 is
> > typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.
>
> Anyone could have typed that. Show us a real license.

It is signed by the man in charge of issuing all radio licenses in the
country. The appropriate seal is applied. Copies of the license, my
visa and residency papers and of my passport entry stamp into
Guinea-Bissau were all supplied to the DXCC desk. My operation is
documented and has been accepted.

> > > > > It isn't necessary to obtain permission from the DXCC desk to
> operate.
> > > > >
> > > > > No kidding?
> > > >
```

> > > > No kidding. You seemed to have a lot of confusion over the issue.
> > >
> > > None. You construed a lack of DXCC blessing (which you're still guessin
> g
> > > at), with bootlegging.
> >
> > No, I repeatedly asked you about whether your permission was in
> > writing. Finally, you admitted that you had nothing in writing.
>
> No, you kept saying DXCC. And you kept saying my commander had no authority
> to authorize my operation
> (At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from the
> United Nations or your military commander).

Reading comprehension 101: You are confusing two separate items.

> You simply cannot remember what you said. That's good for you because you
> were wrong. No sense in two people remembering your mistakes.

I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a whole
group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to be in
writing? Damn!
It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that part.

Dave K8MN

378. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:20:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52245

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:

> No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me that the
> French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working them on
> 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?

Brian is really rattled. He can't even get THIS stuff right. Does the
French allocation really begin at 52 MHZ?

Dave K8MN

379. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:21:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76090D.73988F41@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52246

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D74A8C1.2D3A5599@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:

> You are the
> > only "DXer" I've encountered who does not believe that written
> > documentation of licensing is necessary. It would appear that you do not
> > hold the credentials to make a judgement on what constitutes good
> > amateur practice.
>
> Citation, please.

See any of your numerous posts on the topic.

Dave K8MN

380. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:22:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904092241.24675.00001309@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 52247

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/3/02 6:00 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020903190020.25108.00000021@mb-da.aol.com>

>>This guy has nothing to do with RRAP.
>
> KF2TI has been in this newsgroup many times, both as
> himself and under at least three pseudonyms.

As has Lennie the Liar. However Steve's sources are known to me also, and the information is spot-on accurate.

>>There ya are Burkie, doan bitch about the freebanders, you wuz one.
>
> How is that verifiable if "name and callsigns are withheld?"

Because not everyone want's their name and address splattered across newsgroups that have rabid vermin like you in it.

>Four years ago (or so) some loudmouth came in on an argument
> of military use of morse code, claiming "his son used CW
> behind military lines sending intel" during the Gulf War. After
> some several postings correcting him on what the US military
> really did use in 1991, he relented that it might be "mistaken."

What has this got to do with obtaining proper operating permission in Somalia, Lennie?

> "3500" phone patches at 5 minutes per patch would require
> about 38 days of 8 hour a day operation, most of a 3 month
> period of presumably military duty. That's questionable as
> some kind of special services equivalent entertainment in
> what was an essentially military zone which needed military
> comm for military needs using military equipment.

Very good...Lennie can operate a calculator. Guess you get nervous when someone starts heading towards that 1.2 million record of yours, huh, Your Putziness?

>Unless you can verify that quote, readers have to judge it as
> being yet-another fabrication of someone's imagination.

Sucks to be you, Lennie. His info is accurate.

381. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:24:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904092448.24675.00001310@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 52248

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/3/02 11:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904002238.03058.00000105@mb-mp.aol.com>

>Going over the past is fruitless waste of bandwidth. In case of
> the future, an LAPD special unit already has a case file to
> observe any more signs of stalking.

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! !

>If there is no verification of any kind, then it is just a fabrication.

It's accurate, Lennie. Sorry you don't agree.

382. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:26:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D760A3A.4A05489B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52249

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D757C01.974E64D@townsqr.com...
>
> OK, Dick,
>
> 1. Somalia is not issuing licenses. They have no government to do so.
> 2. At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from
> the United Nations or your military commander.
> 3. Permission is not a license. It is merely permission. A license would
> say T5EX, or some such call.

You just can't be that damned dumb.

Dave K8MN

383. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:27:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904092735.24675.00001311@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 52250

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/3/02 11:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com>

>Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
> will all wimp out and refuse to open it.

You mean like the "incorrect file" that you, "Mr. Professional Engineer"
sent with obscene content?

yeah...right...

384. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:39:49 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <F2od9.51587$Ke2.3627560@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52101

N2EY wrote:

> - Incentive licensing was not a new or revolutionary idea.

As your excellent synopsis clearly illustrates, Jim. Thanks
for doing the research and pinning down the dates.

> In 1958 the FCC began to rethink its late-1952 decision
> granting all privileges to General and Conditional class
> amateurs. They noted that homebrewing and experimenting
> were decreasing, phone operation was increasing, and the
> technical knowledge and operating skills of many amateurs
> seemed mediocre at best. It's also possible that the
> unexpected launch of Sputnik by the Soviet Union in 1957,
> and the Soviets' early space triumphs, led the FCC to
> think that American amateur radio needed some kind of
> change in the licensing area.

In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
of incentive licensing were derailed by including
higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.
Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
technical competence.

> - A majority of those who commented supported the idea.

I am left with the distinct impression that amateur radio
operators may have been their own, willing executioners.
It is obvious now, and was fairly obvious even in the 1920's,
that the regulators and their industry sponsors would
have been quite happy if amateur radio would have withered
and died on the vine. By tolerating, and even embracing,
incentive licensing, we hams may have relegated ourselves
and our avocation to the dustbin of history rather than
expanding it to become a viable, economically significant
adjunct to, and us to be participants in, the burgeoning,
20th-century electronic-technology marketplace.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

385. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:40:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904094042.24675.00001313@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 52103

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/3/02 7:45 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020903204537.07912.00000168@mb-cj.aol.com>

>Why do you claim to know more "truth" than the FBI?

I didn't. You are, again, lying.

>You should care very dearly. His words would put your writings
> clearly in the LIE category when saying my military service was
> "cowardly."

I didn't say your "service" was cowardly, Your Putziness, I said your act
of promoting yourself by association with those who gave thier lives in combat
was.

>Gene is a long-time radio amateur, longer than you, for example.

Which has what to do with your misrepresentations and antagonism?

>You apparently don't want to verify a thing, to seek any truth,
> just spit your LIES in here because they make you feel good.

No, Lennie...I am just reciting your own words back to you. Bitter, eh?

>You go right ahead and tell the Department of the Army and
> the FBI that my military service was "cowardly." Let's see if
> they will come around and arrest me.

Addressed above.

>Hypocritical little snit, aren't you? In one post you call my
> military service "cowardice," now you want to "pat my back"
> in some kind of "gratitude for having been there."

Still addressed above. You served...Got your DD214 honorably before you
pissed off enough people to get Article 15'd.

>You've called my military service "cowardly." PROVE IT or
> shut up.

Every post where you have made a point of associating yourself with those
who dies three years before you arrived in-theater make my point, Lennie.

YOU are the one who characterizes your war record.

YOU are the one who has to embellish his "service" by trying to lay-claim
to having single handedly passed over 1.2 million messages while working as a

rear area radio clerk.

YOU are the one who subjects himself to this greueling lambasting beause YOU open your stupid mouth and utter things that are ill-advised and pontificating bull...

YOU are the one who has to suffer the consequences of YOUR misconduct and misdeeds.

Want to see the end of posts that are constantly ripping you a new rectum? Then YOU "shut up", Lennie H...YOU are the one who fuels it...YOU provide all my "material". YOU are the source of your own ulcers.

Thanks.

386. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:41:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D760D79.B08EEDF5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52102

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <0Edd9.978$Db5.269069382@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and has
> >> professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
> >> blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a ham,
> >> he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio newsgroup.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >I believe his interest is in radio.
>
> Your belief is correct. Judge Heil seems to be only interested
> in dominating an amateur radio newsgroup, using his limited
> imagination to fabricate "why" others are here.

The world of radio is a big world. This particular newsgroup deals with amateur radio policy. You call others posting here judges. You take it upon yourself to judge not only the state of amateur radio but those radio amateurs who post here yet you are not a radio amateur yourself. You comment to the FCC on amateur radio regulation yet you are not a radio amateur yourself. You've now inserted yourself into a discussion on amateur radio operation from Somalia and yet you are not a radio amateur yourself.

Dave K8MN

387. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:42:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904094235.24675.00001314@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 52104

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/4/02 7:41 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D75FF82.D1989671@earthlink.net>

>Why should he, Len? I challenged you to prove claims made by you about
>me in a very recent post. You've not come up with proof and you
>certainly haven't shut up.

Maybe we are finally getting to the prick?

Hopefully...???

SR

388. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:46:02 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209040546.48457bd4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52105

Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv) <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message news:<al3n76$vu4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...

> > >Equipment included a Yaesu FT101EE, Yaesu R3000 RCVR, Yaesu Linear,
> > >Nye Viking Tuner, and a Cushcraft R-7 Antenna mounted on a building
> > >rooftop roughly 45 ft agl. I hope this information is helpful to you,
> > >and if I can be of any other assistance, feel free to email me."
> > >>
> (Name & Callsigns withheld)
> > >>
> > >There ya are Burkie, doan bitch about the freebanders, you wuz one.
> >
> > How is that verifiable if "name and callsigns are withheld?"
> >
> > Are you or KF2TI journalists who feel free to use ambiguous
> > "informed sources" as truth? I don't think so.
>
> Opinions vary, but more than a handful of people were copied the
> complete e-mails with the call signs intact
>
>
Of course I didn't publish the guy's name & callsigns, I didn't have his permission to do any such thing. Beyond that who the hell owes the pompous old Putz a "verifiable" anything?? Whatta dolt . .
>
>
> >
> > Some kind of "e-mail fan club" doesn't sound authentic for
> > anything but ordinary libel spread around by others with some
> > out of spite or are now afraid to come out in public forums.
>
> Actually that was an embellishment on Brian K's part
>
>
Heh, Yeah. I figgered my embellishement by adding the "fan club" thing would "arouse some interest". It did. Bofum are so freaking predictable it's ridiculous. Reel 'em in . . . child's play.
>
>
>
> What unit was this unknown with,
> > what branch? How does only the antenna get "destroyed by
> > machine gun fire" yet the radio equipment or unknown
> > operator is untouched? Do you really think any machine

> > gun could zero in so accurately to take out one thing, yet
> > not touch the rest of the station?
>>
The Putz can't understand how a light machine gun can knock down a
vertical but not damage the ham gear inside a building. Luv it. He
wouldn't understand anything that simple of course, he got all his
"battle stars" by surfing the joints in Tokyo.
>
>
> >
> > "3500" phone patches at 5 minutes per patch would require
> > about 38 days of 8 hour a day operation, most of a 3 month
> > period of presumably military duty. That's questionable as
> > some kind of special services equivalent entertainment in
> > what was an essentially military zone which needed military
> > comm for military needs using military equipment.
>
> Would that be kinda like you personally running 1.2 million RTTY patches
> during your tour. Most phone patches are only a few minutes in length,
> if that depending on the demand. MARS only allows 3 minutes max.
>
>
Then of course there was the guy who operated from the Carribean and
racked up over 3,000 QSOs in one weekend with five watts out a couple
years back. Neither The Putz or the Brainiac have any grip at all on
how real hams do what they actually do. Because they ain't.
>
>
Whoever it was in the Brainiac Fan Club who invented the term came up
with a winner. Wazzit you? I'm still sorting thru that pile in my
inbox.
>
>
>
> >
> > Unless you can verify that quote, readers have to judge it as
> > being yet-another fabrication of someone's imagination.
>
>
> Be happy to e-mail copies upon request
>
>
Screw The Putz, who cares how he "judges" anything? Does it matter?
Nothing could matter less. We know the real poop, let him twist in the
wind.

w3rv

389. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 13:46:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904094653.24675.00001315@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 52106

>Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/3/02 11:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904002238.03058.00000106@mb-mp.aol.com>

>None of the individual participants in this newsgroup "represent" amateur
> radio in its entirety.

Except for those who were elected to the ARRL Field Organization, no one
here has made such a claim.

>If anyone "denigrates ham radio," it would surely be one who has been
> licensed a long time and has worked much DX, Jim Kehler, KH2D. You
> are invited to view his website at http://kh2d.net, click on "opinions"
>then
> select what you want. I invite you to read the selection "Brain-Dead."

I read Jim's stuff. At least he's talking from the inside out. That's a
circumstance from which YOU cannot offer opinion, Lennie. Like it or not,
Jim's opinions are INFORMED...Not fabricated or made based upon stuff read from
USENET groups, such as yourself.

>KH2D was once a regular in here, a most strong PCTA. He wore out.

Hardly. Jim made his points amd made them effectively.

Unlike yourself.

390. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 14:08:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904100820.24652.00001343@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 52107

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 9/4/02 8:46 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209040546.48457bd4@posting.google.com>

>> What unit was this unknown with,
>> > what branch? How does only the antenna get "destroyed by
>> > machine gun fire" yet the radio equipment or unknown
>> > operator is untouched? Do you really think any machine
>> > gun could zero in so accurately to take out one thing, yet
>> > not touch the rest of the station?
>>>
>The Putz can't understand how a light machine gun can knock down a
>vertical but not damage the ham gear inside a building. Luv it. He
>wouldn't understand anything that simple of course, he got all his
>"battle stars" by surfing the joints in Tokyo.

I missed the first part in Lennie's original post, but you are absolutely correct.

Guess Lennie didn't see too many machine gun holes in his clerk's role, eh Brian?...

I ran up a record of nine USMC Rifle Expert awards while on Active Duty. This involved hitting bullseyes from up to 800 meters away with aimed fire.

Betcha I could blow away the base of a Hustler 5BTV or the center support of a dipole array with a Warsaw Pact weapon on full auto from 100 meters, wouldn't you? Matter of fact, bet I could take the traps out one-by-one from top to bottom if I took my time.

>Screw The Putz, who cares how he "judges" anything? Does it matter?
>Nothing could matter less. We know the real poop, let him twist in the
>wind.

He does make for an interesting scarecrow up on that frame, doesn't he?

73

Steve, K4YZ

391. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 14:38:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7615DD.467BC8BB@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52108

K7JEB wrote:

>
> In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
> of incentive licensing were derailed by including
> higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.
> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
> technical competence.

Jim, Having gone through the process long ago, I can't agree with that.
It would be true only for those who for whatever reason found themselves
unwilling or unable to complete the process. Technical learning - not necessarily
competence- was always the major part of it. No, not learning to the
level of engineering, but advanced learning in radio theory and the practical
application of that learning. Whatever anyone says about the study guides of the
er - they did not, for anything more than the basics of terminology such as basic
Ohm's law and frequency allocations and such other subjects which must be studied
on the most basic level and learned by rote memorization before understanding takes place, contain the exact questions and their exact answers. What they contained was study material in discussion paragraph form which the learner was expected, yea required, to learn enough about to answer questions on the test which he could not divine in advance, and certainly were never revealed in advance. Of course the entry level tests had a far larger percentage of those "givens" than the Advanced and Extra, which had virtually none.

Morse code, to those of us who eagerly took on Incentive Licensing, was no more than another part of radiocommunications, albeit the most basic mode ever derived for radio. That's what makes it so difficult to understand why so many fight it so hard.It is bottom line radiocommunications, that which can be available far more ubiquitously than any other, that which may be there for you when there is no chance of any other mode being available. The higher speeds - which, by the way, 20WPM is not, always has come to those who use it often. Anyone who does not

will never progress to that level. Anyone who never starts will never learn to use it even moderately.

It's no mystery to me, or most other older code-qualified hams, why it has stayed around so long. It is unique and will always be. Agreed, it is only one mode, but it is also unique in that it requires personally learned and developed skill and discipline not found elsewhere.

Dick

392. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 14:38:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D76195E.A73AF85B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52109

Dave Heil wrote:

> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D757C01.974E64D@townsqr.com...
> >
> > OK, Dick,
> >
> > 1. Somalia is not issuing licenses. They have no government to do so.
> > 2. At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from
> > the United Nations or your military commander.
> > 3. Permission is not a license. It is merely permission. A license would
> > say T5EX, or some such call.
>
> You just can't be that damned dumb.

Oh yes he can!

393. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 15:32:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209040732.1a256d39@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52110

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<bCed9.1002$rb6.273148209@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:9f4bfe89.0209031030.71c65474@posting.google.com...
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
> >
> > >I think the
> > > callsign may be available through the vanity program, too.
> >
> > No, it's not. It's a club callsign today.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> What's the club?
>
>
Look it up in qrz.com like Jim did Brainiac. Once more you've firmly
estabilsihed that a box of rocks has a higher IQ than you do. What the
hell are you anyway? A masochist??

w3rv

394. invitation to join on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 17:04:01 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <42rd9.8407$pv6.924907@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 52111

this week the Fulton County Ohio fair is going on, and the Fulton County CLub has 2 stations operating, with the purpose of getting new people interested in amateur radio, operators will be on 80m, 40m, and 20m, and possibly on 17m. If you hear the call K8BXQ this is the station, we look forward to talking with you, and putting on a good demonstration to the public.

73 de Keith
--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

395. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-04 23:14:58 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <Stwd9.10781$jG2.792653@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52112

I wrote:

>> In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
>> of incentive licensing were derailed by including
>> higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.
>> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
>> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
>> technical competence.

Dick Carroll, W0EX, wrote:

> Jim, Having gone through the process long ago, I
> can't agree with that. It would be true only for
> those who for whatever reason found themselves
> unwilling or unable to complete the process.
> Technical learning - not necessarily competence-
> was always the major part of it. No, not learning
> to the level of engineering, but advanced learning
> in radio theory and the practical application of
> that learning......

I guess my expectation for incentive licensing when
it was (re-)instituted in 1968 was that it would raise
the value and prestige of amateur radio licenses
back to the level they enjoyed in the 1950's when a
general-class ticket was good enough for a job as
an audio engineer at a local radio station. And the
only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
AM-TV operation.

It can be seen now that in 1968 technology and
industry was moving past amateur radio in ways
that made much of the skill and knowledge required
to achieve a license obsolete or of no interest to the
electronics and communications business areas.
It has been suggested that technical and
operational excellence are their own rewards. But
the rationale behind incentive licensing was to
upgrade amateur radio within the context of the
larger national need for trained individuals with
relevant skills and expertise. However, it was
evident then, and is even more so now, that this
would be difficult to do given the ever-widening
gap between amateur radio and the rest of the
technological world.

> Morse code, to those of us who eagerly took on
> Incentive Licensing, was no more than another part
> of radiocommunications, albeit the most basic mode
> ever derived for radio. That's what makes it so
> difficult to understand why so many fight it so
> hard.It is bottom line radiocommunications, that
> which can be available far more ubiquitously than
> any other, that which may be there for you when
> there is no chance of any other mode being
> available......

For whatever reasons, the rest of communications
technology was moving away from using it as fast
as it could, seemingly willing to tolerate the
occasional outages and failures of voice and
teleprinter circuits instead of utilizing (and
handsomely remunerating) the manual radiotelegraphy
skills honed by amateur radio operators as a
product of incentive licensing. This checkbook
voting by industry negated the relevance of
the code-testing part of incentive licensing
to the national need.

> ......Whatever anyone says about the
> study guides of the er - they did not, for
> anything more than the basics of terminology such
> as basic Ohm's law and frequency allocations and
> such other subjects which must be studied on the
> most basic level and learned by rote memorization
> before understanding takes place, contain the
> exact questions and their exact answers....

And that's the other shoe falling on incentive
licensing - the sea change that occurred at the
FCC when they were redirected away from being
social engineers of the airwaves and told not
to interfere with the free-market-driven wireless
communications industry. Which meant that they
were no longer in the business of qualifying
employees whose duties tangentially involved
the radiation of radio-frequency energy. So
there is now no FCC-proctored technical skill
set to aspire to master with the expectation that
those skills will be recognized by society at large
and, again, be subject to remunerative employment.

Without relevance to either operational skills or
technical expertise at the national societal level,
incentive licensing is finally seen for what it
really is, an utter failure in governmental policy.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net

Glendale, Arizona

396. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 00:07:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904200733.25108.00000035@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52113

In article <d22b54c3.0209040422.37a34a2@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020902140650.12729.00000393@mb-cs.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> >Imagine what it was like twenty years earlier when I was WN3YIK. The
>> >> >"QSK system" was a ceramic knife switch nailed to the desk.
>>
>> >> Ceramic? You had ceramic? All I had was a cheap plastic knife switch.
>DPDT.
>>
>> >You were just born too late to run the really good stuff, sorry about
>> >that kid.
>>
>> Yeah, classic middle-of-the-baby-boom situation. No biggie. Still got that
>> switch someplace.

>I'll bet if I dug deep enought I would find that ceramic switch too.

Absolutely.

>I
>did run across two relics from those days recently. The carcass of the
>80m ARC-5 and the 2m AM rcvr I built around a WW2 era vhf version of
>the ARC-5. I'd mounted a homebrewed selenium rectifier power supply,
>speaker and an Ameco Nuvistor pre-amp on a chassis & front panel along
>with the rcvr and did some lathe work to connect a turns counter to
>the splined tuning shaft of the rcvr. It was my Gen II FRC dx spots
>rcvr which replaced my Benton Harbor Lunchbox.

Too cool! Some folks think DX spotting was invented after packet radio became common in ham radio. As if.

>> >> >Yeah, it wuz fun.
>>
>> >> Still is - old gear or new.
>>
>> >I don't particularly share your enthusiasm for boat anchors . . no way
>> >in hell would I spend even two minutes on the air again with that
>> >crappy Jeep-type ARC-5 and S-40B lashup. Not even if it included the
>> >ceramic knife aerial switch. I'm too old and grumpy now to put up with
>> >that crap.
>>
>> If it was the only way to a rare one on 60 meters, I'd bet you'd use it.
>(So

>> would I!) And wave the card under fellow FRC's noses. HAW!!

>Heh . . TS-50. Diodes. Snip. The thing already runs CB ssb without any
>mods.

Like I said - if it was the only way.

>> But here's the important point: Almost any ham gear made in the past 40-50
>> years can still be used legally on the ham bands by those with the proper
>> license and know-how. And except for a few rare items the stuff costs far
>>less
>> in inflation-adjusted dollars than it ever did.

>Valid point.

Well, there you have it.

>> Example: Want to use a 75A-4 again?

>NO!

The neat thing is you don't have to if you don't want to. But somebody who does
want to can, and at a pretty good inflation-adjusted price..

>> A nice one can be had for about the same
>> dollars as it cost in '58. Which means that adjusted for inflation it costs
>> about as much as your old S-40.

>The problem with the current cost of Collins radios is that they're
>overpriced vs. performance because it's cult gear.

Yup. But even with the overpricing they're still cheap compared to when they
were new. Or when they were 20 years old.

>Ya can get a
>complete Drake R4/T4 station on the air for less than the current cost
>of a 75A4 or a 75S3B rcvr alone and get 95% of the performance of the
>Collins equipment.

Don't think I haven't considered that. In fact, for about the price of a
plain-Jane S-line you can have a full Drake setup (R4/T4/L4) - B or maybe even
C vintage.

>> Or pick up an FT-897 for about the same price. Or any of dozens of rigs
>>from the eras in-between.

>Dunno if I agree with that or not. I'm pretty soured on depending on
>boat anchors for day in day out operations. To wit the problems I've
>had with the 940 plus my 75S-3B is gonna need a bunch of work to get
>it back up to snuff.

There are folks who'll pay nicely for that 75S-3B if it's fixable. The 940 is
another story.

> I don't care about hanging onto "collectible"
>gear just because it's collectible and glows in the dark, all I wanna

>do is operate on a dependable basis.

Then ya get an '897 or FT-1000 or whatever floats yer boat. $3K for a transceiver today translates to about $5-600 back when the T4/R4 line was in production. But you couldn't even buy a new TR-4 for $500 back then, and it needed a power supply, too.

>I finally dumped my nice old Benz
>coupe for the same reason, it got to the point where it was spending
>more time in my wallet than it did on the road.

(sigh)

>> More choices than ever. Pretty good for a 'dying' ARS, huh?

>"Dying". Yeah: Right. Luv it.

>> Know what makes all
>> that modern gear so inexpensive? PLCs, for one thing.

>Of course, all HF xcvrs use basic PLC technolgy for chores like mode &
>band switching. Somebody around here sed he has an A-B Slick 500 PLC
>he was gonna put to work in his ham station but I forget who it was.
>Sure would simplify life at an SO2R station.

Heck yes. Sometime I'll tell ya about how I helped introduce PLCs to a whole industry. Gosh - that was almost 20 years ago. Wound up giving a talk on it at a convention. Now that industry uses PLCs almost exclusively for new installations. All in a day's work.

73 de Jim, N2EY

397. VIRUS ALERT (Was: Chocolate syrup enema?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 00:30:19 UTC
From: Tetractys <tetractys@bigfoot.comj>
Message-ID: <vAxd9.153908$_91.210370@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52114
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada

My NAV whistled piddled on this post,
which is HTML, BTW.

398. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 00:39:49 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209041639.1f28a75@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52115

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020904100820.24652.00001343@mb-mj.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 9/4/02 8:46 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209040546.48457bd4@posting.google.com>
>
> >> What unit was this unknown with,
> >> > what branch? How does only the antenna get "destroyed by
> >> > machine gun fire" yet the radio equipment or unknown
> >> > operator is untouched? Do you really think any machine
> >> > gun could zero in so accurately to take out one thing, yet
> >> > not touch the rest of the station?
> >>>
> >The Putz can't understand how a light machine gun can knock down a
> >vertical but not damage the ham gear inside a building. Luv it. He
> >wouldn't understand anything that simple of course, he got all his
> >"battle stars" by surfing the joints in Tokyo.
>
> I missed the first part in Lennie's original post, but you are absolutely
> correct.
>
> Guess Lennie didn't see too many machine gun holes in his clerk's role, eh
> Brian?...
>
>
Not even the holes in his head when he looks in a mirror. And his
"unknown operator" certainly is known, quite well known in fact. But
The Putz wouldn't know him from squat.
>
>
> I ran up a record of nine USMC Rifle Expert awards while on Active Duty.
> This involved hitting bullseyes from up to 800 meters away with aimed fire.
>
>
Ouch! That's a helluva long throw. I'll bet you din do that with an
M-16. I was a decent paper puncher in high school, I was on the NRA
Jr. Small Bore National Championship team, we could all hold 3/8"
groups all day in all positions but that was with bull-barrel
Remingtons indoors at only 50 ft. Can't imagine hitting anything a
half mile out without a real varminter and a 10x 'scope. The windage .
. The only military shoulder weapons I trained on were the M1, the M1
carbine and the BAR. Corpse of Injuneers ROTC daze. I couldn't hit the
ass end of a barn with any of 'em the way I could with the big

Remington but with more time on the range I coulda gotten a lot better
with the M1. The old sergeants with their tricked-out glass-bedded M1s
did some whizzy long range stunts. No bad for an old .30-06
autoloader. What'd you use?
>
>
>
> Betcha I could blow away the base of a Hustler 5BTV or the center support
> of a dipole array with a Warsaw Pact weapon on full auto from 100 meters,
> wouldn't you?
>
>
I don't doubt it for a second, if some rag-tag Somali took out Jeff's
vertical with one of those clunkers an experienced USMC shootist sure
as hell could.
>
>
> Matter of fact, bet I could take the traps out one-by-one from
> top to bottom if I took my time.
>
>
Would prolly be more fun than plinking empty 807s . . especially after
ya drained a few of 'em.
>
>
> >Screw The Putz, who cares how he "judges" anything? Does it matter?
> >Nothing could matter less. We know the real poop, let him twist in the
> >wind.
>
> He does make for an interesting scarecrow up on that frame, doesn't he?
>
>
Oh gawd yeah, pass the clip of dum-dums . .
>
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

399. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 00:58:48 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0209041658.67815a20@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<unatpme8mhb5cf@corp.supernews.com>...
> Do you draw the line at saying that 'F' word? What about live
> intercourse over the tv network?

It ain't hurting anybody, except for a few prudes. Cusswords and
nudity give them stabbing chest pains.

> Some folks with kids might get a bit upset
> over that. Some folks even without kids might get a bit upset over that.
> Which brings us back to square one - where do you draw the line?

I say, ship the prudes to a Muslim country. I don't understand why the
anticussers don't just come right out and admit "I hate freedom".

Guy
xxxxxxx

400. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 01:02:12 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0209041702.8ee209e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<2c489a2c327ac33cf19be1fdab422eb2@xganon.com>...
> you ass JJ, all anyone has to do is ask Twistedhed. He TALKED to Rily on the phone and the man doesnt care about amps and freebanders but he cant come out and say it because of ARRL presure.

Bull. If Riley really said that, the FCC would fire him on the spot.

Guy
xxxxxxx

401. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 01:51:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <YMyd9.2680$Bs2.63622188@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52118

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D75FD6B.C1C7BF37@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D74A294.4E2A51FD@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D73962E.2A515538@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > > This one wasn't bright or wonderful. It is apparent that it was
> > > > > different.
> > > > > You don't *have* to prove to me that you had authorization to
operate.
> > > > > You don't have to prove it to the DXCC desk. You don't have to
prove
> > it
> > > > > to those you worked and QSL'd. On the other hand, none of us has
to
> > > > > believe you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > For you to make your charge of bootlegging stick, you must prove it.
> > > > Otherwise you're just flapping your gums.
> > >
> > > You're stuff is starting to read like some sort of Mark Morgan
masochism
> > > primer. Do you have some overwhelming desire for public humiliation?
> > > You have no documentation whatever for your proclaimed operation from
> > > Somalia. No goober would expect anyone to believe based on "that guy
> > > over there said it was okay".
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > I have my logs. It's enough for me. Would you like me to resend you a
> > card? Just a minute while I check my logs.
> >
> > Nope, you're not in them, Sorry.
>
> What about your self-proclaimed "DXer" status? A DXer wouldn't respond
> with "I have my logs. It's enough for me".
```

But I am, and it is. Sorry I wasn't cut from the your die.

What about your high amateur
> radio ethics and morality comments?

Intact.

> Did you check to see that each
> station you contacted as a bootlegger operating in Somalia was operating
> within his country's band allocation?

Sorry, but I didn't have my usual manuals, etc. Short notice deployment and
all - like the next day.

> I don't need your QSL, Brian. I
> have a T5 card which was accepted for DXCC.

Really? Who was it? Which band and mode?

402. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 01:57:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tSyd9.2684$eu2.63719455@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52119

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020904094653.24675.00001315@mb-mj.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/3/02 11:22 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020904002238.03058.00000106@mb-mp.aol.com>
>
> >None of the individual participants in this newsgroup "represent" amateur
> > radio in its entirety.
>
> Except for those who were elected to the ARRL Field Organization, no
one
> here has made such a claim.
>
> >If anyone "denigrates ham radio," it would surely be one who has been
> > licensed a long time and has worked much DX, Jim Kehler, KH2D. You
> > are invited to view his website at http://kh2d.net, click on
"opinions"
> >then
> > select what you want. I invite you to read the selection
"Brain-Dead."
>
> I read Jim's stuff. At least he's talking from the inside out.

What are you afraid of, Steve? I think there's room to hear what someone on
the outside thinks.

That's a
> circumstance from which YOU cannot offer opinion, Lennie. Like it or not,
> Jim's opinions are INFORMED...Not fabricated or made based upon stuff read
from
> USENET groups, such as yourself.

By such logic, my opinions are also informed. Be sure to tell that to Dick,
Dann, Larry, Dave, Jim, Brian, Aaron, Mike, Phil, Arnie, Mad Dog Mike, Eric
June, ... Oh, and Ed.

> >KH2D was once a regular in here, a most strong PCTA. He wore out.
>
> Hardly. Jim made his points amd made them effectively.

Steve, unfortunately, you protesteth too much.

Which lends credibility to Lens comments.

Because when you go berzerk on Len, you sound crazy.

403. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:05:39 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <xmzd9.55377$0u4.1021682@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52130

This is my first post using MS Outlook Express. So if I muck it up, please forgive me.

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:jZPc9.595$vz2.128177346@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020902134136.10775.00001262@mb-cu.news.cs.com...
> > >I'll assume that you are employed in the aviation industry with your
> > >aeronautical degree.
> >
> > Nope, peace broke out all over the world with the fall of the Soviet
> Union.
> > While one could get a job that paid relatively well, the job security
was
> for
> > the birds...no matter how competent you were. So I turned to MIS and am
> > currently a Systems Programmer Analyst. I'm happy to say that I've been
> with
> > the company for 13 years now. (A lifetime by today's standards.) But
then
> > again, I've just witnessed a layoff of guys with 25 year OTJ. :-((But
at
> least
> > they respect performance over seniority, and that's all I ask for.)
>
> Just don't let your IT skills atrophy.

I'll certainly try.

> > >And I'll assume that you are relatively well
> > >compensated for your efforts, both in attaining a degree in
aeronautical
> > >engineering, and in the industry.
> >
> > I do ok. (blush mode = on)
> >
> > >I am not permitted to be compensated for whatever effort I expend
> learning
> > >the material for the license, nor for my radio activities afterward.
> >
> > I suppose it depends on what you mean by "compensated." If you mean
> monetarily
> > by a third party, such as an employer or a client, you're quite correct.
I

> (and
> > I suspect you too) am compensated each and every day I operate on HF,
> whether
> > by phone or CW. Doesn't mean I have to like CW. (Which I do, BTW.) All
it
> means
> > is that I met the requirements to attain the privileges to allow me to
> enjoy
> > the aspects of the hobby that I do like, operating on HF.
> >
> > >Now
> > >there is no requirement in any service, except the ARS, to learn Morse
> code.
> >
> > The ARS is unique.
> >
> > >And those other services can put money in your pocket. Why must the
ARS
> > >retain this now arbitrary exam?
> >
> > Because it's more than just a service or a hobby...it's a culture deeply
> rooted
> > in tradition.
>
> Does the Federal Government have any real role in perpetuating a good ole
> boy , ahem a culture deeply rooted in tradition?
>
> Communicating via Morse code happens to be one of them. I wonder
> > if we'd se such an outcry if the older hams had to pass a test on one of
> the
> > newer digital modes in order to renew their tickets. I doubt it. They'd
> > probably just buckle down a "get it done," whether they planned to
utilize
> it
> > or not. It's an entirely different mindset. Some might even call it a
work
> > ethic. A work ethic need not only apply to monetarily compensated
> activities.
> > The porch needs to be painted. Either you get off your duff and paint
> it...or
> > you allow it to deteriorate. Your "work ethic" determines which action,
or
> lack
> > thereof, you choose.
>
> My deck is nicely painted, thanks. Didit?
>
> > >This is the difference between arbitrary "requirements" in academia,
and
> > >amateur radio.

> >
> > I appreciate that, but if you check the thread...it wasn't my analogy. I
> just
> > picked up the ball and ran with it, so to speak. Take it easy, Brian.
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
> OK Bert, your answer of "a culture deeply rooted tradition" pretty much
sums
> it up.
>
> But that culture shouldn't use the government as an instrument to
perpetuate
> their good ole boy network.

Nor should they be used as a crutch.

404. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:07:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904220724.05850.00000315@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 52120

In article <F2od9.51587$Ke2.3627560@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> - Incentive licensing was not a new or revolutionary idea.
>
>As your excellent synopsis clearly illustrates, Jim. Thanks
>for doing the research and pinning down the dates.

You're welcome! There's a lot more detail that I can provide, but all the
important points are there in the short version.

>> In 1958 the FCC began to rethink its late-1952 decision
>> granting all privileges to General and Conditional class
>> amateurs. They noted that homebrewing and experimenting
>> were decreasing, phone operation was increasing, and the
>> technical knowledge and operating skills of many amateurs
>> seemed mediocre at best. It's also possible that the
>> unexpected launch of Sputnik by the Soviet Union in 1957,
>> and the Soviets' early space triumphs, led the FCC to
>> think that American amateur radio needed some kind of
>> change in the licensing area.
>
>In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
>of incentive licensing were derailed by including
>higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.

Perhaps - but the FCC thought that increased code requirements were a necessary
part of the license process. The Extra class license was added (actually
re-created) in the 1951 restructuring.

Note that the original 1963 ARRL proposal simply called for the reopening of
the Advanced to new licenses, and restriction of the ham 'phone bands on 80
thru 15 meters to Advanceds and Extras. All anyone would have had to do to
retain full privs under that proposal was to pass the Advanced written - no new
code test at all. But FCC wasn't satisfied with such a simple proposal, and
adopted ideas from other, later proposals to give us the subbands and
Extra-for-full-privs ideas.

FCC even proposed (in 1965) demoting existing Advanceds to General and creating
a new "Amateur First Class" requiring a 16 wpm code test.

I recently learned, thanks to Phil Kane, K2ASP, that during the Vietnam War
years there was such a shortage of qualified civilian marine radio operators
that the rules were changed for commercial radiotelegraph licenses. Perhaps

that had some influence on the FCC's thinking.

>Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
>about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
>technical competence.

I disagree! It was about both, for me anyway. But I can see where a person with some knowledge of theory would have to focus most or all of their efforts on code, just as someone skilled in code would have to focus on theory.

>> - A majority of those who commented supported the idea.

>I am left with the distinct impression that amateur radio
>operators may have been their own, willing executioners.

How so? FCC wanted incentive licensing. Do you think hams could have stopped them?

>It is obvious now, and was fairly obvious even in the 1920's,
>that the regulators and their industry sponsors would
>have been quite happy if amateur radio would have withered
>and died on the vine.

Of course. That's probably even more true today.

> By tolerating, and even embracing,
>incentive licensing, we hams may have relegated ourselves
>and our avocation to the dustbin of history rather than
>expanding it to become a viable, economically significant
>adjunct to, and us to be participants in, the burgeoning,
>20th-century electronic-technology marketplace.

I disagree very strongly! Let's do some what-ifs...

Suppose the FCC had somehow been convinced, in 1963-68 to leave the license situation the way it was. How would that have helped amateur radio expand or modernize?

One of the trends that the FCC was concerned about was the increasing amount of 'appliance operating' by hams in the early 1960s. What FCC failed to understand was that this trend was logical and natural. As amateur radio equipment became more complex and smaller, the percentage of hams with the time, skills, and resources to build serious ham gear decreased. Even more important, the cost of new amateur equipment of a given performance level kept dropping, and Americans' prosperity kept rising.

On top of all this, the development of the low-cost amateur HF SSB transceiver and linear caused a major shift in the economics of high power 'phone. A 1955 "dream station" might consist of an HRO-60 receiver, Johnson Ranger, and Johnson Desk Kilowatt. Total cost about $2500, weight in the hundreds of pounds, legal limit on AM, and you get a nice desk with it. 10 years later, a Drake TR-4 and L4 line running the legal limit on SSB could be had for about half that price and a small fraction of the size and weight.

Those with homebrew skills in the AM era might spend many hours and dollars to fill a 6 foot rack with the goodies needed to run high power AM. By the mid-60s, the solder-slingers could assemble a Heath SB-line for less money, effort and space. Let's see - SB-101 cost $370, HP-23 cost $50, SB-220 cost $360 - total cost of legal limit 1965 SSB kit station, under $800. Not an S-line or Drake line by any means, but a lot smaller and cheaper than its AM equivalent.

Some hams (like me) still build our own gear. But that's because we have special requirements and resources. And the license is for operating, not building.

These trends were all happening before incentive licensing, and the rules changes did little to stop them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

405. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:10:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <b2zd9.2687$qD2.64288783@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52121

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209032247.5e3d976d@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<a0bd9.2325$3W4.42943550@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> > > gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
> > > Putz.
> >
> > Now hold on here. I think Len presents some fine arguments. I don't
> > necessarily think he presents them in a palatable manner. But there's a
lot
> > of truth in what he says.
> >
> No surprise in that, you're too dumb & blind to understand that he's
> nothing more than a pointless old troll who found a .policy NG in
> which he could find opportunities to stir up controversy for the sake
> of controversy which suits his background as a electronics bench tech
> and twisted persona. There isn't a .policy NG out there anywhere which
> doesn't have a Putz or two or three like Lenny along with their
> witless groupies like you.

Brian, are you trying to offend me? It might work if you had my respect,
but you don't. Since you hold no rank, you'll just have to earn it. So get
to work.

> > And Brian, you're exactly the ham he describes,
> > whether you like it or not.

Lodge Hall mentality? Not hardly.

> Yes I am and I love it. I'm a Extra with a lot of time in saddle who
> is opposed to eliminating code tests. That's all it takes to become a
> Putz rant "target".

Not true. Be sure to give a valid reason for your position other than "Well
Gawdoggit [sic], I had to do it uphill both ways in the snow."

Stupid reasons like that get what they deserve.

> Kindly note that my opinions on the code tests are
> diametrically opposed to those of Bill Sohl who is the #2 NCI honcho
> but Bill and I get long just fine.

And Len and Bill get along. Gosh, what's right with this picture?

> How do you figger that Burkie? . .
> . "figger out" . . stupid question given your level of mental

> horsepower.

You're a small thinker?

> > > Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.

I don't foul it up, so KMA.

> > > w3rv
> >
> > Just as soon as you write VOID across your ticket and send it to Riley.
> >
> No problem, you have nothing else to do with your life just like The
> Putz has nothing better to do with his life. Rant on.

Rant? Try debating. If you can. And leave out the "Boink" and "Spank" and
other stupid juvenile phrases you get off of South Park. Use your noggin.

> > You were right when you said you were an old crank.
> >
> > Brian, you're just a cranky, jerky ham.
> >
> Yup

I don't need you to confriom it. Its obvious.

> a cranky old 30wpm 1x2 dxer and contester you and The Putz will
> never be. As far as the jerks around here are concerned I rawther
> subnmit that given a vote abt who the jerks are you and Putz would win
> hands down.

Oh, imagine that. Group think? Group speak?

> Burke the Brainiac and The Putz . . the Somali freebander and the
> twisted non-ham . . whatta team . .

You can leave off the name calling any time and impress us with something
that resembles thought.

73, Brian

406. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:14:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <B6zd9.2688$SF2.64439504@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52122

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020904002235.03058.00000103@mb-mp.aol.com...
> In article <RTdd9.984$po5.269870180@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> >All I can remember is "210" and it had
> >> >no tubes.
> >
> >Atlas 210. Transistorized.
> >
> >> You obviously have no idea what Steve and I are discussing.
> >
> >You're both taking a trip down memory lane that you apparently refuse to
> >share with a newcomer.
> >
> >He wants to ruin a NIB Heath-kit, and you want to resurrect an old one.
>
> That's called "advancing the state of the amateur art." :-)

Not hardly, but it could be fun. I'd opt for Jim's "go get a rude HW-16 and
rebuild it," suggestion.

407. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:17:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <F8zd9.2689$bB2.64143622@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52123

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com...
> In article <x_dd9.986$YA5.270678130@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >I've got all my logs and orders and everything. I think I even have a
> >picture around here somewhere.
>
> Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
> will all wimp out and refuse to open it. :-)

I saw that picture. I thought it was funny. Typical aircrew!!!

I wouldn't care how PO'd I was at whoever might have sent me something like
that, I'd just have to laugh!

408. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:20:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Vbzd9.2690$OH2.64566342@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52124

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020904092735.24675.00001311@mb-mj.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/3/02 11:22 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com>
>
> >Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
> > will all wimp out and refuse to open it.
>
> You mean like the "incorrect file" that you, "Mr. Professional
Engineer"
> sent with obscene content?
>
> yeah...right...

A hairy butt is obsene? It's just gross, but typical of aircrew humor.

Since when did you become a prude?

Oh yeh, I forgot. You're a Marine and Marines don't have hairy butts. They
use NAIR and eyebrow pencils.

Were you ever active duty, or always reserve?

409. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:22:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tdzd9.2691$HK2.64745589@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52125

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7605C4.A197356D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D741FB3.FCA9C6BA@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > But you should understand the importance of good
> > > > > documentation. It's pretty well established here that you do not.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure, when I wanted someone to deploy, I would cut a tasking order
and
> > flow
> > > > > it to their Wing readiness office, they would cut the orders. That
was
> > in
> > > > > writing. It was kind of a big deal. Not at all like amateur radio.
> > FWIW,
> > > > > I had to task myself to go to Somalia, then hit the base readiness
> > office
> > > > > and try to get them to hurry the orders along so I could draw gear
and a
> > > > > weapon.
> > > > >
> > > > > It wasn't like the olden days where everyone moved as a single unit
and
> > went
> > > > > down to the pier and climbed on a troop ship so they could reduce
the
> > > > > stockpile of old rations for weeks on end. We got airplanes today,
and
> > each
> > > > > deployment is tailored so as not to waste personnel, equipment, and
> > > > > supplies.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Gee. YOU put it all in writing. Strange, why didn't you just tell
them
> > verbally
> > > > and expect everyone involved to take their word for it? Worked fine on
> > your
> > > > Somolia operation, no stinkin' documentation needed.

> > > You're nothing if not inconsistant.
> >
> > No, I had paper orders for Somalia in my pocket. I had one in each
duffel
> > and my SWO kit. I had another with my pallet of TACMET and TACCOM.
> >
> > > > See Dick, other services move along with the times, not at all like
> > amateur
> > > > radio.
> > >
> > > Yeah, I see, verbal permission supersedes written documentation. For
you.
> > the
> > > rest of the world is still using paper.
> >
> > Dave, I didn't say "supersedes," so why did you? You need to quit
making
> > stuff up.
>
> Reading Comprehension 101: I didn't write "supersedes". You need to
> quit making stuff up.
>
> > You might lose credibility, Hi!
>
> Okay, Mr. Ethics.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dick? Dave? What's the diff anymore?

Sorry. ;^)

410. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:29:02 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D76C16E.94DF9876@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 52126

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> As part of its lobbying effort on amateur radio regulations, ARRL
> attempts to hold certain positions on GETTING INTO US amateur
> radio. Their long, long, absolute insistence on a morse code test
> to GET INTO amateur radio is one such example.
>
> It's a nice quasi-paradox, almost an oxymoron. Voting ARRL
> members have ALREADY gotten into amateur radio, but ARRL
> wants to control regulations on getting into amateur radio by
> all those that may be interested...but are turned away by the
> code test. The only result of such is a membership which is
> composed of those who agree with ARRL positions.

Was true before the no code Tech license came out.

>
>
> It's called positive feedback as applied to self-definition of what
> ARRL movers and shakers think is good for amateur radio
> (themselves).
>

411. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:29:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gkzd9.2692$IG2.64494740@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52127

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked about it, you
> > > assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your claims with
> > > proof. You cannot do so.
> > >
> > No it isn't. You've said it was illegal and that I'm a bootlegger. Now
> > prove it.
>
> Sorry, Brian. You claim doesn't wash. If you'd been given permission
> to operate, you'd have it in writing. You don't.

I don't, and I did.

> > > > > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has a
written
> > > > > form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization. The U.S.
> > > > > military issues written authorization. Where is yours?
> > > > >
> > > > Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it is a GP
form.
> > >
> > > It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation from J5 is
> > > typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.
> > >
> > Anyone could have typed that. Show us a real license.
>
> It is signed by the man in charge of issuing all radio licenses in the
> country. The appropriate seal is applied. Copies of the license, my
> visa and residency papers and of my passport entry stamp into
```

> Guinea-Bissau were all supplied to the DXCC desk. My operation is
> documented and has been accepted.

You could have got his name of an ARRL fact sheet on foreign operation.

Sorry, I didn't have a passport, didn't have a visa, didn't have a stamp.

I had orders to another country and permission from my commander.

> > > > > > > It isn't necessary to obtain permission from the DXCC desk to
> > operate.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No kidding?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No kidding. You seemed to have a lot of confusion over the issue.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > None. You construed a lack of DXCC blessing (which you're still
guessin
> > g
> > > > > > at), with bootlegging.
> > > >
> > > No, I repeatedly asked you about whether your permission was in
> > > writing. Finally, you admitted that you had nothing in writing.
> >
> > No, you kept saying DXCC. And you kept saying my commander had no
authority
> > to authorize my operation
> > (At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission from
the
> > United Nations or your military commander).
>
> Reading comprehension 101: You are confusing two separate items.

Could have been English Lit 340, but who cares about Womem Authors of the
20th Century?

> > You simply cannot remember what you said. That's good for you because
you
> > were wrong. No sense in two people remembering your mistakes.
>
> I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a whole
> group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to be in
> writing? Damn!
> It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that part.

I have a license. It says, N0iMD. Check it out on the FCC web site. I
never had a license that said T5iMD.

Who was that guy with the T5 call that had the same suffix as his US
callsign? You might want to put Steve on that one and find out if that khat
chewing AK carrying Technical at the K5 traffic circle had a manual
typewriter and watermark stationary.

412. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:30:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Tkzd9.2693$9M2.64841000@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52128

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me that
the
> > French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working them on
> > 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?
>
> Brian is really rattled. He can't even get THIS stuff right. Does the
> French allocation really begin at 52 MHZ?

What was it that your French buddy told you on here?

413. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:31:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Qlzd9.2694$8M2.64839975@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52129

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D760A3A.4A05489B@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D757C01.974E64D@townsqr.com...
> >
> > OK, Dick,
> >
> > 1. Somalia is not issuing licenses. They have no government to do so.
> > 2. At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission
from
> > the United Nations or your military commander.
> > 3. Permission is not a license. It is merely permission. A license
would
> > say T5EX, or some such call.
>
> You just can't be that damned dumb.
>
Explain.

414. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:32:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Wmzd9.2695$8P2.65027411@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52131

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D76195E.A73AF85B@townsqr.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D757C01.974E64D@townsqr.com...
> > >
> > > OK, Dick,
> > >
> > > 1. Somalia is not issuing licenses. They have no government to do
so.
> > > 2. At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission
from
> > > the United Nations or your military commander.
> > > 3. Permission is not a license. It is merely permission. A license
would
> > > say T5EX, or some such call.
> >
> > You just can't be that damned dumb.
>
> Oh yes he can!

Dick wouldn't know the first thing about operating from a foreign country,
with or without a government.

Go for it, Dick.

415. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:33:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dozd9.2698$9P2.65028129@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52132

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D76090D.73988F41@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D74A8C1.2D3A5599@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > You are the
> > > only "DXer" I've encountered who does not believe that written
> > > documentation of licensing is necessary. It would appear that you do
not
> > > hold the credentials to make a judgement on what constitutes good
> > > amateur practice.
> >
> > Citation, please.
>
> See any of your numerous posts on the topic.
>
> Dave K8MN

No, really Dave. Show where it says it must be in writing. Get to work.

416. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:36:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7rzd9.2704$gr2.63540453@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52133

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209040732.1a256d39@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<bCed9.1002$rb6.273148209@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:9f4bfe89.0209031030.71c65474@posting.google.com...
> > > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
> > >
> > > >I think the
> > > > callsign may be available through the vanity program, too.
> > >
> > > No, it's not. It's a club callsign today.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > What's the club?
> >
> >
> Look it up in qrz.com like Jim did Brainiac. Once more you've firmly
> estabilsihed that a box of rocks has a higher IQ than you do. What the
> hell are you anyway? A masochist??
>
> w3rv

Jim may not have looked it up. For all you know he's a member. For all I
know, you're a member also.

For all you know, you might need more fiber.

417. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:41:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Nvzd9.2709$6Q2.65090721@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52134

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020904002238.03058.00000105@mb-mp.aol.com...
> In article <al3n76$vu4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, Steve Adell - KF2TI
> (Landing, NJ FN20qv) <kf2ti@arrl.net> writes:
>
> >In article <20020903190020.25108.00000021@mb-da.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> says...
> >> In article <d22b54c3.0209031200.1d1f53c3@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >>
> >> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:<rdrc9.51$YP1.22611008@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> Was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as
you and
> >> >> Ed have accused?
> >> >>
> >> >The answer is a thundering yes. The following was copy-pasted from the
> >> >e-mail Brainiac Burke Fan Club sponsored by yer good buddy KF2TI.
> >> >>
> >> >This guy has nothing to do with RRAP.
> >>
> >> KF2TI has been in this newsgroup many times, both as
> >> himself and under at least three pseudonyms.
> >
> >Yesterdays shit still smells Lennie old boy and you have yet to prove
> >anything that you say is anything but some demented fiction from a
> >senile brain. But go girl, you believe and it is true. Go ahead and
> >post the alleged pseudonyms Len. Be sure the verify the accuracy with
> >definitive proof.
>
> You have been a regular in this newsgroup. You have also been
> on here under pseudonyms. We've gone over this before in here
> and I've shown you the obvious clues that other readers can also
> see if they have selected full header information on messages.
>
> Going over the past is fruitless waste of bandwidth. In case of
> the future, an LAPD special unit already has a case file to
> observe any more signs of stalking.
>
> <already posted "letter" omitted for brevity...>
>

> >> >and if I can be of any other assistance, feel free to email me."
> >> >>
> >> >(Name & Callsigns withheld)
> >> >>
> >> >There ya are Burkie, doan bitch about the freebanders, you wuz one.
> >>
> >> How is that verifiable if "name and callsigns are withheld?"
> >>
> >> Are you or KF2TI journalists who feel free to use ambiguous
> >> "informed sources" as truth? I don't think so.
> >
> >Opinions vary, but more than a handful of people were copied the
> >complete e-mails with the call signs intact
>
> None are posted in here. Show the identity of "(Name and
> Callsign withheld)" so that others can verify it.
>
> If there is no verification of any kind, then it is just a fabrication.
>
> >> Some kind of "e-mail fan club" doesn't sound authentic for
> >> anything but ordinary libel spread around by others with some
> >> out of spite or are now afraid to come out in public forums.
> >
> >Actually that was an embellishment on Brian K's part
>
> That doesn't excuse Kelly of anything, nor you.

Is this anything like the libel lottery?

> Readers can only judge zero-verification stories as stories.
>
> >It was shipped in with his stuff, on a pallet. At least in one case.
> >In 2 others, they brought it in with their gear. In total, 3 people
> >responded to my initial inquires as to the need for written
> >documentation to operate in Somalia during 1992-1993.
>
> Then you should have jumped in here early with both J-38s
> blazing.
>
> The accusation of bootlegging has been levelled at one ham
> by another.

So far, we've got Ed who started it. Followed by followerers Dave, Dick,
Brian, and one Phil

It is not about "how equipment was brought in"
> some place. I could ask for your shipping documentation
> (in writing) to get that non-military "pallet" over to Somalia,
> couldn't I?
>
> What you have "supplied" here are nothing but unverifiable

> claims by "three unidentified others" who have done something
> somewhere. Apparent fabrication with nothing to back it up.
>
>
> >Would that be kinda like you personally running 1.2 million RTTY patches
> >during your tour. Most phone patches are only a few minutes in length,
> >if that depending on the demand. MARS only allows 3 minutes max.
>
> Irrelevant. Way back I stated only that my operational duties were
> analagous to sending that many TTY messages (not "patches").
> That was based on the number of transmitters, the number of
> outgoing circuits, and the published message rate per month.
> Others misquoted, took it out of context.
>
> You have misdirected again by not answering my questions to
> Brian Kelly on his quoting of an unverifiable, unidentified
> e-mail.
>
> You are welcome to answer those questions. How did "machine
> gunfire" zero in on a Cushcraft vertical antenna (R7) and not touch
> the alleged "ham station" or the unidentified "ham operator?" For
> that matter, was the R7 available in early 1993? I honestly don't
> know, nor care. I don't find any identification for a Yaesu "R3000"
> receiver although the Yaesu FT101EE is an old-timer.
>
> Was the R7 manually beaten down and then machine gunned to
> put it out of its misery? Were Kevlar vests provided to protect
> the radios? How did other military personnel fight their way to
> this special-services "MARS station" to make little bitty 3 minute
> phone patches back home? There are many such questions of
> this unverified, unidentified quotation made by Brian Kelly.
>
> >Provide some header information. Make sure you use NTTP or ISP routing.
> >That's the only way to be absolutely sure. I know you can't because if
> >you had that information, an anal retentive like yourself you have
> >already posted it. Sorry, you lose yet again
>
> I've already posted previous header information from your
> pseudonym post. Way back in 2001.
>
> You cannot "win" now-old message rounds by repeating the
> same thing now and "demanding" to do it all over. Wasted
> effort. You won't win anything but gaining one more notch in
> being a very sore loser.
>
> Go with the flow and the charge of two hams against another
> in charges of "bootlegging" from Somalia. Prove those charges.
> If you can't, shut up and don't pout about the past.
>
> >> Unless you can verify that quote, readers have to judge it as

> >> being yet-another fabrication of someone's imagination.
> >
> >Be happy to e-mail copies upon request
>
> Send anything you want. I have up to date virus software.
>
> I'm not afraid to open spam mail. Others in here are very
> afraid to open such things, wimp out and delete it. That's a
> good way to misdirect from a reference/validation...claim it
> is "dangerous" and refuse to recognize it. They think they
> "win" message rounds by not conceding, only to prove how
> false they really are by saying they "refusing it." :-)
>
> Now what was your original purpose in here in regards to
> ham radio policy? I was sure it was all about multiple
> personality disorder on your part...

418. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 02:51:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HEzd9.2714$OX2.65575206@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52135

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209040422.37a34a2@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020902140650.12729.00000393@mb-cs.aol.com>...

> > If it was the only way to a rare one on 60 meters, I'd bet you'd use it.
(So
> > would I!) And wave the card under fellow FRC's noses. HAW!!
> >
> >
> Heh . . TS-50. Diodes. Snip. The thing already runs CB ssb without any
> mods.

Brian, why would you even think of something like that?

419. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:05:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mSzd9.2720$b23.65970382@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52136

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7615DD.467BC8BB@townsqr.com...
>
> Morse code, to those of us who eagerly took on Incentive
> Licensing, was no more than another part of radiocommunications,
> albeit the most basic mode ever derived for radio. That's what
> makes it so difficult to understand why so many fight it so
> hard.It is bottom line radiocommunications, that which can be
> available far more ubiquitously than any other, that which may
> be there for you when there is no chance of any other mode being
> available. The higher speeds - which, by the way, 20WPM is not,
> always has come to those who use it often. Anyone who does not
> will never progress to that level. Anyone who never starts will
> never learn to use it even moderately.

Dick, you just got through telling us, that you, as an experienced ham, had to study W1AW to get to 20wpm. Didn't you use the code on the air prior to restructuring? Apparently not, because you said, even though not fast, 20 wpm Always comes to those who use it often.

You're not being very truthful, are you?

Are you the ancient equivalent of the modern code-tape Extra?

420. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:15:16 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <2Zzd9.5499$2L.523969@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"That Guy Again" responded to ...

[...He TALKED to Rily on the phone and the man doesnt care about amps and freebanders but he cant come out and say it because of ARRL presure.]

"Bull. If Riley really said that, the FCC would fire him on the spot."

Plus -- The last time I checked, Riley didn't work for or was influenced by the ARRL.

Arnie -
KT4ST

421. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:16:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <I0Ad9.2724$F73.66318361@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52137

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dave, apparently they've never had a problem. I'm good in the book,
no
> > > > complaints yet.
> > > >
> > > We have only your claim that you made QSOs from Somalia.
> > >
> > And yet, with only my claim, you call me a bootlegger.
> > >
> > Why are you willing to believe one thing I say, yet not another? Spock
> > would say, "Captain, I find this human quite illogical." I would just
leave
> > it at inconsistent.
>
> I don't care, Brian. You can retain your bootlegger status or if you
> prefer, you can say that you are a fabricator and that you made the
> whole thing up about operating from Somalia. Several others who
> operated there have confirmed that they needed permission in writing for
> amateur radio operation. That leaves you as odd man out.

How can you say that? My operations predated any 1992-3 operation. Anyone
coming in after the first wave had time to prepare the very best
documentation an correspondence that I did not have the opportunity to do.

> > > We have only your claim that you have had no complaints.
> > >
> > Except yours. Yet you are not even in my Somali logs. Did you fudge
and
> > put me in your logs anyway?
>
> I've already told you. I was in Botswana. I didn't need Somalia as
> K8MN and couldn't have worked you from Botswana for credit toward my
> stateside DXCC.

Does the DXCC desk not allow Botswanans to apply for DXCC?

> > > We have only your claim that you had permission to operate from T5.
> > >

> > I know, I know. I only wished you were there, too. Then you could be
an
> > eye-witness instead of a squawking bystander.
>
> I wasn't in the places where you were and you were not in the places
> where I operated. I do have documentation which confirms my permission
> to be on the ham bands from each spot. That's where we differ--that and
> the fact that I never wished you were in the spots where I was.

You might have improved with a bit of competition. Either that or it might
have driven you to work even more stations out of band.

> > > > Except from you. So what are you doing about it? Squawking?
> > >
> > > What am I doing about it? I'm exposing your claims of ethical and
moral
> > > superiority as a sham.
> >
> > You're not doing a very good job.
>
> On the contrary, Brian. I'm doing a fine job of it. Either you were
> unethical or stupid in operating from Somalia.

Why? I had permission.

> > Time to step it up a little or go back to
> > working out of banders on 6M.
>
> I've always operated where I was permitted to operate. If French ops
> working out of their assigned band segments or bootleggers operating
> from Somalia call me, it is for others to deal with.
>
> It would be interesting to point out that when you've written of my 6m
> operations from Tanzania, you were a squawking bystander. I even told
> you what you could do about it. You didn't take my advice. Instead,
> you claimed the superiority of high moral ground and high amateur radio
> ethics. Those claims have certainly made you look very foolish.

Dasve, and now as a squawking bystander, you can take your own advice.

422. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:19:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <t3Ad9.2726$c93.66420040@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52139

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7604DE.5CC35434@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D74A9FF.9E779D57@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > > Yes, apparently so. The Worlds Greatest DXer has spoken.
> > >
> > > So say a non-DXer and the world's most incompetent DXer.
>
> > Dave, there you are being inconsistent again.
> >
> > In one sentence, you say I'm not a DXer then you say I am a DXer, albeit
an
> > incompetent one.
>
> Reading Comprehension 101, Brian. Len is the non-DXer. You are the
> world's most incompetent DXer.

Now Dave, don't go calling me a DXer if you don't mean it.

> > But you're not even in my Somali log, so I'd say you're not the DXer you
> > give yourself credit to be.
>
> DX 101: If you don't need it, don't call it. If you do need it for
> your DXCC from the U.S., don't call it if you're in Botswana.
>
> > Don't pout. You're still the World's Greatest DXer in my book (just not
in
> > my logs).
>
> Oh--but I am in your logs, Brian. I'm just not in the logs for your
> illicit Somali operations.

You betcha.

423. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:26:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <f9Ad9.2729$gb3.66546004@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52140

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D75FB8C.866D5E8@earthlink.net...

> If you can't write it here, I'm not about to embark on a wild net chase
> to find out what your babbling means.

Dave, you can't even click on a url. Here's what Kelly says,

"Look it up in qrz.com like Jim did Brainiac. Once more you've firmly
estabilsihed that a box of rocks has a higher IQ than you do. What the
hell are you anyway? A masochist??

w3rv"

424. Single vs. Dual Lever Paddles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:27:20 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <VcAd9.56246$0u4.1057131@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52142

Can someone please explain the difference (Besides the obvious.) between the two? Does a dual offer any advantages over a single? I'm looking at some GHDs and am getting mighty confused. :-/ Thanks in advance.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

425. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:28:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rbAd9.2732$yc3.66628855@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52141

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D760D79.B08EEDF5@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <0Edd9.978$Db5.269069382@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >> Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and
has
> > >> professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
> > >> blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a
ham,
> > >> he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio
newsgroup.
> > >>
> > >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >I believe his interest is in radio.
> >
> > Your belief is correct. Judge Heil seems to be only interested
> > in dominating an amateur radio newsgroup, using his limited
> > imagination to fabricate "why" others are here.
>
> The world of radio is a big world. This particular newsgroup deals with
> amateur radio policy. You call others posting here judges. You take it
> upon yourself to judge not only the state of amateur radio but those
> radio amateurs who post here yet you are not a radio amateur yourself.
> You comment to the FCC on amateur radio regulation yet you are not a
> radio amateur yourself. You've now inserted yourself into a discussion
> on amateur radio operation from Somalia and yet you are not a radio
> amateur yourself.

From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through SHF.

426. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:34:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nhAd9.2735$K93.66445660@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52143

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:xmzd9.55377$0u4.1021682@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
brian said
> > OK Bert, your answer of "a culture deeply rooted tradition" pretty much
> sums
> > it up.
> >
> > But that culture shouldn't use the government as an instrument to
> perpetuate
> > their good ole boy network.
>
> Nor should they be used as a crutch.

Exactly. The FCC should test what is "required." Nothing more, nothing
less.

Ex., if 5wpm were good enough to operate code on 15M in 1968, why wasn't it
good enough to operate code on 20M?

427. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233840.03599.00000522@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52145

In article <d22b54c3.0209040546.48457bd4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Screw The Putz, who cares how he "judges" anything? Does it matter?
>Nothing could matter less. We know the real poop, let him twist in the
>wind.

My sincere apologies about disturbing your fantasy-land fish story that tried to damn another.

Yes, you fabricators "know the real poop." You live in it.

So, how was your Somalia experience, grizzled old war vet?

428. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233840.03599.00000521@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52147

In article <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many >messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.

No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station ADA transmitters.

With 36 (plus 6 more later) transmitters, four of them multi-channel (commercial carrier) SSB, and four of them time-multiplexed FSK RTTY, all operating 24/7, that was a lot of TTY circuits. Enough circuits to enable ADA to claim 220 thousand TTY messages a month.

Failure to keep one SSB working meant losing two voice channels and 8 TTY circuits all at once. That didn't happen.

No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own fabricated imagination.

Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve any useful purpose in here.

429. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233841.03599.00000523@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52144

In article <20020904092735.24675.00001311@mb-mj.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
>> will all wimp out and refuse to open it.
>
> You mean like the "incorrect file" that you, "Mr. Professional Engineer"
>sent with obscene content?
>
> yeah...right...

You were sent FIVE files through attachments in private e-mail.
The first two were incorrect, mistakes. The next three were
the correct ones.

You refused to open any of four after the first one. Why?
No guts? Fear of a "virus?" Unwilling to accept truth?

Are you some kind of "Professional Amateur Insulter" who
needs to take out a home page on AOL devoted solely to
libelous insults of another person he cannot cow in a public
forum?

430. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233842.03599.00000524@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52251

In article <20020904092241.24675.00001309@mb-mj.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> As has Lennie the Liar. However Steve's sources are known to me also,
>and the information is spot-on accurate.

How are they verified? By repetition of the same fabrication over and over until most folks give in under the barrage of fish stories?

Prove the accuracy. Prove it by showing sources that others may verify.

>> How is that verifiable if "name and callsigns are withheld?"
>
> Because not everyone want's their name and address splattered across
>newsgroups that have rabid vermin like you in it.

That little detail didn't disturb you one bit on fabricating a libelous home page on AOL just because you imagined you were "slighted."

AOL stopped it when notified of its existance. Rightly so since it was pre-loaded with plenty of libelous material to get you a very large loss in any civil court.

> What has this got to do with obtaining proper operating permission in
>Somalia, Lennie?

Fish stories are fish stories regardless of the claimed kind of fish. All smell.

> Very good...Lennie can operate a calculator. Guess you get nervous when
>someone starts heading towards that 1.2 million record of yours, huh, Your
>Putziness?

No problem. I could re-do my original estimate of control of message transmission per shift per nine-day period over one and a half years as an operating team leader. Why?

The original message could be found in archives. Jim Miccolis came close to the original posting.

It won't matter because you will not accept anything as truthful even if verifiable. You will only sulk and pout and scream "liar" because you can't bear to be countered in opinions by anyone.

You will continue to insist my military experience was as some kind of "message clerk" because you love putting people down

when they don't agree with you. You ignored my statements of being a team supervisor, even if verifiable, because you live for the personal insult. That is your main task in here, to insult those who don't agree with you.

That's a sad life you lead on this newsgroup.

> Sucks to be you, Lennie. His info is accurate.

Prove it by a source that others can verify. Proof is not done by insulting others. Proof is not done by imagining things and demanding others live in your imaginary world.

431. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233843.03599.00000525@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52146

In article <3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a whole
>group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to be in
>writing? Damn!
>It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that part.

WHO are they, Judge Heil?

Besides Brian Burke, who IN HERE, which IDENTIFIABLE
persons have operated in Somalia?

Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?

Why don't you Issue Orders to have all those who disagree
with you locked up?

432. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233843.03599.00000526@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52148

In article <20020904094653.24675.00001315@mb-mj.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>None of the individual participants in this newsgroup "represent" amateur
>> radio in its entirety.
>
> Except for those who were elected to the ARRL Field Organization, no one
>here has made such a claim.
>
>>If anyone "denigrates ham radio," it would surely be one who has been
>> licensed a long time and has worked much DX, Jim Kehler, KH2D. You
>> are invited to view his website at http://kh2d.net, click on "opinions"
then
>> select what you want. I invite you to read the selection "Brain-Dead."
>
> I read Jim's stuff. At least he's talking from the inside out. That's a
>circumstance from which YOU cannot offer opinion, Lennie. Like it or not,
>Jim's opinions are INFORMED...Not fabricated or made based upon stuff read
>from USENET groups, such as yourself.

I don't fabricate things out of imagination. I have given third-party source references to everything I've stated about past personal experience. I don't consider that a problem. You do...because you are unwilling to accept such truth. All you can do is fall down to the primitive personal-insult level and scream "liar" because you can't accept truth that goes against your imagination.

>>KH2D was once a regular in here, a most strong PCTA. He wore out.
>
> Hardly. Jim made his points amd made them effectively.

Then quote something from KH2D. He has been long absent from this newsgroup.

You could learn a lot about civilized insulting from him. You couldn't stand to be one of his targets beyond the second go-around. You don't have the attitude to survive.

It would be nice to see a few rounds of you against KH2D after you became his target. :-)

You aren't even close to being in his league on a newsgroup.

But it would be extremely entertaining for other readers. :-)

433. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233844.03599.00000527@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52150

In article <d22b54c3.0209032247.5e3d976d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Yup, a cranky old 30wpm 1x2 dxer and contester you and The Putz will
>never be. As far as the jerks around here are concerned I rawther
>subnmit that given a vote abt who the jerks are you and Putz would win
>hands down.

Submission noted and rejected.

We all know you are the perfect Brain-Dead Old Fart (KH2D definition) sitting astride the border to the third KH2D definition, the "Died In The Wool Pee In A Bucket Under The Desk Contest Fraternity" member. :-)

[gotta love Kehler's prose some times even if I disagree with nearly all of his opinions]

If you have this raving need to create an exclusive enclave of group-think allied with your personal desires, go establish one. All the big ISPs have them. Those can be very private and you can sit around all the time giving each other high-fives for your marvelous fish stories and "promotion" of amateur radio.

This is an open forum, a public newsgroup.

If you don't like it here, go elsewhere.

Quit trying to win message points by personal insults. It doesn't work.

434. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233845.03599.00000528@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52149

In article <3D760D79.B08EEDF5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>The world of radio is a big world. This particular newsgroup deals with
>amateur radio policy.

That policy includes GETTING INTO amateur radio.

>You call others posting here judges.

Yep, you especially. With cause by lots of public evidence.

>You take it
>upon yourself to judge not only the state of amateur radio but those
>radio amateurs who post here yet you are not a radio amateur yourself.

I don't judge, I point out the obvious.

If one hasn't GOTTEN INTO amateur radio, they don't have a
license, do they?

Why are those who have ALREADY gotten into amateur radio
so adamant about those who have not gotten into ham radio
be quiet and not discuss anything?

Do those already in seek to force those not in to do exactly as
they did? Why? How did they get into such control over others?
They answered less than two hundred written questions and
passed a morse code test. That's hardly a degree-earning
test, isn't it?

There is nothing different about radio physics because a human
agency has created different services and definitions thereof.

>You comment to the FCC on amateur radio regulation yet you are not a
>radio amateur yourself. You've now inserted yourself into a discussion
>on amateur radio operation from Somalia and yet you are not a radio
>amateur yourself.

It would be notable if Judge Heil sues the FCC and the United
States Congress in a class action suit representing all US
radio amateurs. The claim would obviously be that non-licensed-
radio-amateurs (the commissioners, the staff of the FCC) can't
possibly regulate amateur radio because they do not have ham
licenses. That is analagous to what you claim of myself or any
other unlicensed-in-amateur-radio citizen.

By the fact that the US Congress passed the Communications Act of 1934 (which established the FCC), Congress is equally "guilty" of conspiracy to wrongfully regulate or enforce US amateur radio. Their "guilt" is obvious by not requiring any FCC person to pass an amateur radio test.

Go ahead, make the Supreme Court's day...sue the FCC and Congress for not being licensed as amateurs when they aren't required to have amateur radio licenses.

It will be interesting to see what kind of communications law attorney you get. :-)

435. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233847.03599.00000529@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52252

In article <20020904094042.24675.00001313@mb-mj.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Why do you claim to know more "truth" than the FBI?
>
> I didn't. You are, again, lying.

I was asking a question. How can a question be a "lie?" :-)

> I didn't say your "service" was cowardly, Your Putziness, I said your act
>of promoting yourself by association with those who gave thier lives in
>combat was.

Tsk, tsk. You said I was a liar several times.

Who promoted what? I gave statements of known fact. Nothing was embellished, exaggerated, or untruthful.

>>Gene is a long-time radio amateur, longer than you, for example.
>
> Which has what to do with your misrepresentations and antagonism?

Your charges of "misrepresentation" and "antagonism" are, again, your own imagination feverishly at work. :-)

Eugene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, was assigned to C Company, 8235th Army Unit, at the same time as I, also worked at ADA transmitters. We were both billeted at Hardy Barracks. Hardy Barracks is so named in honor of one of the 8235th AU signalmen killed during the first two weeks of the Korean War.

None of that is "misrepresentation." None of that is "antagonistic." It is verifiable truth.

> No, Lennie...I am just reciting your own words back to you. Bitter, eh?

...and I am simply stating verifiable facts...which you keep saying are all "lies."

The only thing "bitter" about this is yourself refusing to believe verifiable truth as the truth. That is your problem, no one else's.

> Still addressed above. You served...Got your DD214 honorably before you
>pissed off enough people to get Article 15'd.

Incorrect. A "DD-214" is nothing more than a release from active duty.

I have an HONORABLE DISCHARGE from the United States Army.
Received in April, 1960.

That is verifiable truth.

At no time was I ever charged with any article in the Uniform Code
of Military Justice. At no time was I ever charged with anything
in Army Regulations ("ARs") or Special Regulations ("SRs").

That is verifiable truth.

Attempting to "charge" me with any infraction of UCMJ or any
military regulation 40 years later is silly, meaningless, and
unproductive.

> YOU are the one who characterizes your war record.

I merely state it. What I state is correct and verifiable.

> YOU are the one who has to embellish his "service" by trying to lay-claim
>to having single handedly passed over 1.2 million messages while working as a
>rear area radio clerk.

I never claimed to "single handed passing of any messages." Given that
Army radio station ADA handle an average of 220,000 messages a month
during 1955, it would be impossible to "single handedly pass" that many
messages.

I was never a "rear area radio clerk." My basic MOS was microwave
radio relay operator-maintainer. I had secondary MOSs of fixed station
operator-maintainer, VHF radio relay and Carrier operator-maintainer,
finally reaching an MOS of 281.6, microwave radio relay operations and
maintenance supervisor.

You are being silly, meaningless, and unproductive in trying to fabricate
something that isn't true.

> YOU are the one who subjects himself to this greueling lambasting beause
>YOU open your stupid mouth and utter things that are ill-advised and
>pontificating bull...

I've just stated verifiable truth. If you cannot prove otherwise, try not
to
act primitively insulting.

> YOU are the one who has to suffer the consequences of YOUR misconduct
>and misdeeds.

Not at all. I stated what I lived, statements that are verifiable truth.

> Want to see the end of posts that are constantly ripping you a new
>rectum?
> Then YOU "shut up", Lennie H...YOU are the one who fuels it...YOU provide
>all my "material". YOU are the source of your own ulcers.

Now, now, you are going berserk again.

I've stated verifiable truth. You have fabricated the lies and projected your
rage all over this newsgroup. What you write is your problem...and the problem of all readers who see it.

You have proved absolutely nothing in here except an ability to froth at the keyboard, venting fury in a wrath most unbecoming to sane people. Try to seek some mental help. It will do you good as well as all the readers in this newsgroup. Thank you.

436. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:38:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020904233848.03599.00000530@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52253

In article <3D760534.42D592D3@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>I've got a radio I'll give him if that's all it take to muzzle him.

Why must anyone be "muzzled," Judge? :-)

437. Re: Single vs. Dual Lever Paddles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 03:40:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <nmAd9.8524$6i4.687017@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52254

Dual paddles allow iambic keying ("squeeze") keying. Single lever paddles operate more like bugs. I much prefer dual paddles. However, if your background is in bugs, the single lever key may seem more natural to you.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF propagation. There are several perfectly sound technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

438. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:01:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52255

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me that
> the
> > > > French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working them on
> > > > 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?
> > >
> > > Brian is really rattled. He can't even get THIS stuff right. Does the
> > > French allocation really begin at 52 MHZ?
> >
> What was it that your French buddy told you on here?

I was of the opinion that he was YOUR French buddy. Then again, you
couldn't get his call right or remember the frequency. Why not look it
up? You have all the tables for various countries.

Dave K8MN

439. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:02:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76D75A.FAD9989@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52256

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D760A3A.4A05489B@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D757C01.974E64D@townsqr.com...
> > >
> > > OK, Dick,
> > >
> > > 1. Somalia is not issuing licenses. They have no government to do so.
> > > 2. At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need permission
> from
> > > the United Nations or your military commander.
> > > 3. Permission is not a license. It is merely permission. A license
> would
> > > say T5EX, or some such call.
> >
> > You just can't be that damned dumb.
> >
> Explain.

I rest my case.

Dave K8MN
```

440. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:04:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D76D7BE.2BB480B1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52257

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
> Dick wouldn't know the first thing about operating from a foreign country,
> with or without a government.

Don't you ever tire of being wrong?

Dick DL4RQ, '63-64

441. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:08:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D76D08F.BA21FF17@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52258

K7JEB wrote:

> I wrote:
>
> >> In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
> >> of incentive licensing were derailed by including
> >> higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.
> >> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
> >> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
> >> technical competence.
>
> Dick Carroll, W0EX, wrote:
>
> > Jim, Having gone through the process long ago, I
> > can't agree with that. It would be true only for
> > those who for whatever reason found themselves
> > unwilling or unable to complete the process.
> > Technical learning - not necessarily competence-
> > was always the major part of it. No, not learning
> > to the level of engineering, but advanced learning
> > in radio theory and the practical application of
> > that learning......
>
> I guess my expectation for incentive licensing when
> it was (re-)instituted in 1968 was that it would raise
> the value and prestige of amateur radio licenses
> back to the level they enjoyed in the 1950's when a
> general-class ticket was good enough for a job as
> an audio engineer at a local radio station. And the
> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
> AM-TV operation.

Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
some earlier point.

>
>
> It can be seen now that in 1968 technology and
> industry was moving past amateur radio in ways
> that made much of the skill and knowledge required
> to achieve a license obsolete or of no interest to the
> electronics and communications business areas.
> It has been suggested that technical and
> operational excellence are their own rewards. But

> the rationale behind incentive licensing was to
> upgrade amateur radio within the context of the
> larger national need for trained individuals with
> relevant skills and expertise. However, it was
> evident then, and is even more so now, that this
> would be difficult to do given the ever-widening
> gap between amateur radio and the rest of the
> technological world.
>

Valid point, but do we know how many hams who were stimulated
by making their way through incentive licensing would up as
degreed electronics engineers?
I know of some. Would they have done so without incentive
licensing?
No one could even remotely foresee what has happened in the
interim years. To expect FCC to act too much differently seems
unrealistic.

>
> > Morse code, to those of us who eagerly took on
> > Incentive Licensing, was no more than another part
> > of radiocommunications, albeit the most basic mode
> > ever derived for radio. That's what makes it so
> > difficult to understand why so many fight it so
> > hard.It is bottom line radiocommunications, that
> > which can be available far more ubiquitously than
> > any other, that which may be there for you when
> > there is no chance of any other mode being
> > available......
>
> For whatever reasons, the rest of communications
> technology was moving away from using it as fast
> as it could, seemingly willing to tolerate the
> occasional outages and failures of voice and
> teleprinter circuits instead of utilizing (and
> handsomely remunerating) the manual radiotelegraphy
> skills honed by amateur radio operators as a
> product of incentive licensing. This checkbook
> voting by industry negated the relevance of
> the code-testing part of incentive licensing
> to the national need.

Throughout this period Morse was in full usage in the maritime
world, as well as significant use in military ops, though of
course RTTY and some other modes were indeed taking over. Lots
of Morse was used for reasons of economy, it was simply cheaper,
even with paying the operators, who usually performed other
duties as well. Only within the past decade has that use been
drastically reduced, and at that, not totally eliminated in the
military, particularly for monitoring of other operations.

But no question, ham radio generates few of the type of skills
it was once noted for providing to the job market.

>
>
> > ......Whatever anyone says about the
> > study guides of the era - they did not, for
> > anything more than the basics of terminology such
> > as basic Ohm's law and frequency allocations and
> > such other subjects which must be studied on the
> > most basic level and learned by rote memorization
> > before understanding takes place, contain the
> > exact questions and their exact answers....
>
> And that's the other shoe falling on incentive
> licensing - the sea change that occurred at the
> FCC when they were redirected away from being
> social engineers of the airwaves and told not
> to interfere with the free-market-driven wireless
> communications industry. Which meant that they
> were no longer in the business of qualifying
> employees whose duties tangentially involved
> the radiation of radio-frequency energy. So
> there is now no FCC-proctored technical skill
> set to aspire to master with the expectation that
> those skills will be recognized by society at large
> and, again, be subject to remunerative employment.
>
> Without relevance to either operational skills or
> technical expertise at the national societal level,
> incentive licensing is finally seen for what it
> really is, an utter failure in governmental policy.

From today's perspective it can easily be seen as such. What
would have been the result of no action? What would have been
the result of tougher technical exams and no code test? Now it
really doesn't matter, for as you say, FCC no longer qualifies
technical people beyond the formalities required by
international treaty. If not for the treaties I really doubt if
any service would be licensed which doesn't generate cash.
There is little about qualifying for any ham radio license
today that translates to the current job market. I doubt
anything FCC could have done in the 60's could have changed
that.
Looking at it from a late 1950's perspective, when FCC began
thinking about making changes in ham radio licensing with a view
toward upgrading hams' technical abilities, it undoubtedly
wouldn't seem like that at all. The problem then was how to get
hams off their duffs and into a learning mode, and how to craft
the changes given the constraints present. FCC initially wanted
to do considerably more than they finally did toward moving hams

toward increased technical skill. It was as though it was expected that hams would learn as payment for their use of the airwaves. All that has since been turned into something of a personal rights bazaar.

I think you expected too much of it all. Hams who have had no technical training
beyond what they get for ham radio licensing are generally not stimulated toward deeper technical topics. Those who find they have the aptitude and interest often follow it to much bigger and better things, even today in some way. Did incentive licensing support or detract from that situation? I think the way one answers that depends on ones viewpoint toward incentive licensing which generally centers on how one feels toward the Morse code requirement. Those who went right on and qualified just because it was a requirement generally are supportive while those who had no interest in CW and therefore believe it should not have been a requirement feel otherwise. But it seems to me a bit of a stretch to pronounce incentive licensing a failure merely because of the Morse code requirement.

In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one with none. An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still is, for an awful lot of hams.

Dick

442. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:12:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52259

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Dave, apparently they've never had a problem. I'm good in the book,
> no
> > > > > complaints yet.
> > > > >
> > > > We have only your claim that you made QSOs from Somalia.
> > > >
> > > And yet, with only my claim, you call me a bootlegger.
> > > >
> > > Why are you willing to believe one thing I say, yet not another? Spock
> > > would say, "Captain, I find this human quite illogical." I would just
> leave
> > > it at inconsistent.
> > >
> > I don't care, Brian. You can retain your bootlegger status or if you
> > prefer, you can say that you are a fabricator and that you made the
> > whole thing up about operating from Somalia. Several others who
> > operated there have confirmed that they needed permission in writing for
> > amateur radio operation. That leaves you as odd man out.
>
> How can you say that? My operations predated any 1992-3 operation. Anyone
> coming in after the first wave had time to prepare the very best
> documentation an correspondence that I did not have the opportunity to do.

So only the first guys in are stupid?

> > > > We have only your claim that you have had no complaints.
> > > >
> > > Except yours. Yet you are not even in my Somali logs. Did you fudge
> and
> > > put me in your logs anyway?
> > >
> > I've already told you. I was in Botswana. I didn't need Somalia as
> > K8MN and couldn't have worked you from Botswana for credit toward my
> > stateside DXCC.
>
```

> Does the DXCC desk not allow Botswanans to apply for DXCC?

I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S. Why would I
need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a "Botswanan".

> > > > We have only your claim that you had permission to operate from T5.
> > >
> > > I know, I know. I only wished you were there, too. Then you could be
> an
> > > eye-witness instead of a squawking bystander.
> >
> > I wasn't in the places where you were and you were not in the places
> > where I operated. I do have documentation which confirms my permission
> > to be on the ham bands from each spot. That's where we differ--that and
> > the fact that I never wished you were in the spots where I was.
>
> You might have improved with a bit of competition. Either that or it might
> have driven you to work even more stations out of band.

Would it have been an improvement to skip the paperwork and operate on
the say-so of the embassy Admin Officer?

I was never out of band.

> > > > > Except from you. So what are you doing about it? Squawking?
> > > >
> > > > What am I doing about it? I'm exposing your claims of ethical and
> moral
> > > > superiority as a sham.
> > >
> > > You're not doing a very good job.
> >
> > On the contrary, Brian. I'm doing a fine job of it. Either you were
> > unethical or stupid in operating from Somalia.
>
> Why? I had permission.

So you say.

> > > Time to step it up a little or go back to
> > > working out of banders on 6M.
> >
> > I've always operated where I was permitted to operate. If French ops
> > working out of their assigned band segments or bootleggers operating
> > from Somalia call me, it is for others to deal with.
> >
> > It would be interesting to point out that when you've written of my 6m
> > operations from Tanzania, you were a squawking bystander. I even told
> > you what you could do about it. You didn't take my advice. Instead,
> > you claimed the superiority of high moral ground and high amateur radio
> > ethics. Those claims have certainly made you look very foolish.
>

> Dasve, and now as a squawking bystander, you can take your own advice.

Old Dasve is enjoying this far too much to stop now.

Dave K8MN

443. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:14:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76DA16.38D1208A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52260

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D75FB8C.866D5E8@earthlink.net...
>
> > If you can't write it here, I'm not about to embark on a wild net chase
> > to find out what your babbling means.
>
> Dave, you can't even click on a url. Here's what Kelly says,
>
> "Look it up in qrz.com like Jim did Brainiac. Once more you've firmly
> estabilsihed that a box of rocks has a higher IQ than you do. What the
> hell are you anyway? A masochist??
>
> w3rv"

I didn't ask Len a dumb question.

Dave K8MN

444. Re: Single vs. Dual Lever Paddles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:19:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76DB3F.F6685847@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52261

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> Can someone please explain the difference (Besides the obvious.) between the
> two? Does a dual offer any advantages over a single? I'm looking at some
> GHDs and am getting mighty confused. :-/ Thanks in advance.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Bert,

The dual levers will permit squeeze keying with an iambic keyer--i.e.
hold both paddles together and you'll get di-dah-di-dah-di-dah-di-dah.
The squeeze technique takes some getting used to but you can cut down on
motion on some characters like "Y", "L", "Q", "A", "N", etc. by
squeezing both paddles at the same time during a portion of the
character. Using a dual lever paddle would be a waste on a keyer not
capable of iambic keying (but most are these days).

Dave K8MN

445. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:20:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52262

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D760D79.B08EEDF5@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <0Edd9.978$Db5.269069382@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
> P
> > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >> Interested in amateur radio? He's been posting here for years and
> has
> > > >> professed a decades long interest. He's the slowest guy out of the
> > > >> blocks I've ever encountered. If he was interested in becoming a
> ham,
> > > >> he'd have done it by now. His interest is an amateur radio
> newsgroup.
> > > >>
> > > >> Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > >I believe his interest is in radio.
> > >
> > > Your belief is correct. Judge Heil seems to be only interested
> > > in dominating an amateur radio newsgroup, using his limited
> > > imagination to fabricate "why" others are here.
> >
> > The world of radio is a big world. This particular newsgroup deals with
> > amateur radio policy. You call others posting here judges. You take it
> > upon yourself to judge not only the state of amateur radio but those
> > radio amateurs who post here yet you are not a radio amateur yourself.
> > You comment to the FCC on amateur radio regulation yet you are not a
> > radio amateur yourself. You've now inserted yourself into a discussion
> > on amateur radio operation from Somalia and yet you are not a radio
> > amateur yourself.
>
> From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through SHF.

Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL you did.

Dave K8MN

446. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:23:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76DC4E.54C84208@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52263

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a whole
> >group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to be in
> >writing? Damn!
> >It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that part.
>
> WHO are they, Judge Heil?
>
> Besides Brian Burke, who IN HERE, which IDENTIFIABLE
> persons have operated in Somalia?
>
> Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
> noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
> your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
>
> Why don't you Issue Orders to have all those who disagree
> with you locked up?

What's any of this to you, Lenny? You aren't a DXer and you aren't a
ham.

Dave K8MN

447. Re: Single vs. Dual Lever Paddles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:26:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D76DCAF.FE662144@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52264

Bert Craig wrote:

> Can someone please explain the difference (Besides the obvious.) between the
> two? Does a dual offer any advantages over a single? I'm looking at some
> GHDs and am getting mighty confused. :-/ Thanks in advance.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Dual lever paddles provide separate levers for dits and dahs while the single lever models use one for both which is moved both directions. The dual job can be used in Iambic mode. You better look that one up. :-)

Actually its not too tough to describe. Iambic mode is a "selfcompleting" CW character generating feature of most of today's electronic keyers. For instance, if you want to send the letter K, you press and hold the dah paddle, then immediately touch and release the dit button which tosses in a dit after the first dah (don't hold the dit paddle or it'll toss in two dits or more). Then you release the dah button after the dit comes out, and your letter K is complete. To send the letter X, you touch and hold the dah paddle, toss in two dits with the dit paddle, release it, then release the dah paddle at the right moment. And so on through the more complex characters in the alphabet. Sounds a lot worse than it is. Iambic sent code is easier to send when you get used to it, and that won't take you long.

Try it, Bert, you'll like it.

Also the dual paddle can also be used in the conventional way to form the characters also. I prefer them. They are just smoother and to me, easier to use.

Dick

448. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:30:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D76DDD4.5483889E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52265

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many
> >messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.
>
> No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
> many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
> ADA transmitters.
>
> With 36 (plus 6 more later) transmitters, four of them multi-channel
> (commercial carrier) SSB, and four of them time-multiplexed FSK
> RTTY, all operating 24/7, that was a lot of TTY circuits. Enough
> circuits to enable ADA to claim 220 thousand TTY messages a
> month.
>
> Failure to keep one SSB working meant losing two voice channels
> and 8 TTY circuits all at once. That didn't happen.
>
> No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
> it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
> fabricated imagination.
>
> Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve
> any useful purpose in here.

Face it, Jim. The Putzmobile was a meter reader and circuit breaker resetter
at some obscure Army transmitter site in rural JA land. Spent most of his time
twiddling his thumbs. Wouldn't know zip about operating anything.

449. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:35:10 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <al6nri$e0m$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52268

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020904061814.03046.00000379@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <al3egg$lp6$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
>
> >> >Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back
> >> >to administering tests, the questions would
> >> >become public anyway.
> >>
> >> I disagree. Should 'Son of Bash' appear, FCC could prosecute him. But
> >>doing so
> >> would mean there would need to be rules governing the handling of the
> >>tests,
> >> and enforcement action against violators. More work for FCC.
> >
> >Too much work for anyone. It just can't be done and won't be.
> >The internet has seen to that.
>
> In theory, it could be done, even with the internet. But the resources
required
> insure that it won't be done.

Exactly!

> Main point is that we should not ask FCC for things that they obviously
won't
> do, because it just makes the asker look clueless.

Agree completely.

> >> >The internet has made
> >> >it extremely easy to distribute materials without
> >> >any oversight from government, etc.
> >>
> >> But the material would have to be gathered in the first place.
> >
> >Piece of cake... web site in some foriegn location asks for
> >anyone taking a test to submit any questions they remember.
>
> And those responding would leave an email trail. Plus, each exam could
contain
> a warning not to divulge any of its contents to anyone other than the FCC.

For the same reason above...time, money and resources, it
wouldn't be done. The billions of emauls and web interactions

defy monitoring except for extreme and vital issues. Bogus
FCC test questions wouldn't come close to warranting such an effort.

> I remember that when K3NYT (sk) gave me the Novice by-mail back in 1967,
the
> test came in a sealed envelope with all sorts of warnings on it. He never
even
> saw the test, just gave me the unopened envelope and said I was to follow
the
> instructions.
>
> One of the instructions, as I recall, was that no one was to copy or
divulge
> the test contents in any way. Another was that by opening the test
envelope and
> sining the Form 610, the volunteer examiner and the test-taker agreed to
all of
> the FCC's conditions.
>
> Of course we were on our honor to actually follow the instructions and
abide by
> our agreements. Those concepts probably wouldn't fly today!

Agree, same reasons as before.

> >> FCC field people
> >> knew what Bash was doing and how he was doing it, but the top dogs
refused
> >> to do anything about Bash's activities.
>
> >See "piece of cake" above which requires no one to go face-to-face
> >with the FCC.
>
> If FCC was doing all the testing, and someone tried to do the Bash thing,
> they'd have to get their info from folks who had actually gone to FCC exam
> sessions. So there would have to be somebody who had been told "do not
> divulge", and who had agreed not to divulge, but who went ahead and
divulged
> anyway. That person could be prosecuted. Not a question of copyrights, but
of a
> voluntary agreement between test-taker and FCC. But as stated before, such
> concepts probably wouldn't fly today.

It didn't happen years ago and is even less likly today. One could argue
that the "do not divulge" was a no option choice for the applicant.
Bottom line, this is just an academic discussion totally apart from
reality of today.

> >> That would have to change. More $$
>
> >> >> >You then said: "Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
> >> >> >convince FCC different?"

> >>
> >> >> Exactly.
> >>
> >> >> >If we believe
> >> >> >the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
> >> >> >changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
> >> >> >try and convince the FCC of anything.
> >> >>
> >> >> Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.
> >>
> >> My agreement is with the validity of your logic. You wrote "if A is
true,
> >then
> >> we don't have to do B" - a conditional statement. But A is not true.
> >
> >I said "IF" we believe A is true.
>
> Exactly! I was simply clarifying what the "not broke" statement referred
to.
> Your "IF" statement was well worded and clear to most people.
>
> I think it's broken, but the fix of going back to the old testing methods
isn't
> practical. We have to apply other fixes.

OK,

> >Other than larger pools, what is needed?
>
> Let's look at the rest of that list:
>
> 2) Increase the number of choices on each question from 4 to 5
>
> In my old LMs, there's an illustration of the old multiple choice answer
sheet.
> The answer sheet has a demo question on it. Both the demo question and the
> answer sheet are set up for 5 choices, not 4. I don't know when the change
took
> place, but somewhere along the line the multiple choices were reduced
from 4
> to 5.
>
> The chance of randomly guessing correctly with 4 choices is 25% and the
chance
> of randomly guessing correctly with 5 choices is 20%, so it may appear to
some
> that the difference is minimal. However, in most multiple choice questions
> there are one or two answers that are obviously wrong.
>
> With a 4 choice question, if one answer can be ruled out as obviously
wrong,

> the chances of guessing correctly among the remaining 3 improve to 33%. If
2
> answers can be eliminated, the chances of randomly guessing correctly
among the
> remaining 2 is 50%
>
> With a 5 choice question, the corresponding chances of guessing correctly
> are only 25 and 33%.

OK by me.

> 3) Change the grading method so that wrong answers decrease the score
> (eliminates the gain from pure guessing)
>
> As it stands now, there is no downside to guessing at questions one has no
clue
> about. Pure random guessing should average out to a 25% correct mark. One
only
> needs about 74% to pass. The proper negative credit for wrong answers
> eliminates that.

This isn't likly to be accepted by the FCC if other government
licensing tests don't grade accordingly.

> 4) Break each Element into subelements (say, Safety, Regs, Theory) or some
> such, and require a certain number of right answers in each subelement.
> (The way it is now, a new ham could get all the Safety questions wrong and
> still pass, all the way to Extra.)
>
> This part is particularly important because the safety issues today go
beyond
> merely electrocuting oneself.

I believe this should be THE most important thing we could change.

> 5) Focus more on the basics and less on esoterics (like the "how much time
> do you have to notify the FCC about a station in space" questions)

Agree completely. Those space station questions were really
off the wall. There's no need either (IMHO)
for every extra to be knowledgeable about VE rules, etc.
Those that want to be VEs should be "qualified" as the
ARRL does today.

> Your thoughts?

See above.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

450. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:35:10 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <al6r6vsnj1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52270

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020904061814.03046.00000379@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <al3egg$lp6$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
>
> >> >Agreed, ad even if the FCC went back
> >> >to administering tests, the questions would
> >> >become public anyway.
> >>
> >> I disagree. Should 'Son of Bash' appear, FCC could prosecute him. But
> >>doing so
> >> would mean there would need to be rules governing the handling of the
> >>tests,
> >> and enforcement action against violators. More work for FCC.
> >
> >Too much work for anyone. It just can't be done and won't be.
> >The internet has seen to that.
>
> In theory, it could be done, even with the internet. But the resources
required
> insure that it won't be done.

Exactly!

> Main point is that we should not ask FCC for things that they obviously
won't
> do, because it just makes the asker look clueless.

Agree completely.

> >> >The internet has made
> >> >it extremely easy to distribute materials without
> >> >any oversight from government, etc.
> >>
> >> But the material would have to be gathered in the first place.
> >
> >Piece of cake... web site in some foriegn location asks for
> >anyone taking a test to submit any questions they remember.
>
> And those responding would leave an email trail. Plus, each exam could
contain
> a warning not to divulge any of its contents to anyone other than the FCC.

For the same reason above...time, money and resources, it
wouldn't be done. The billions of emauls and web interactions

defy monitoring except for extreme and vital issues. Bogus
FCC test questions wouldn't come close to warranting such an effort.

> I remember that when K3NYT (sk) gave me the Novice by-mail back in 1967,
the
> test came in a sealed envelope with all sorts of warnings on it. He never
even
> saw the test, just gave me the unopened envelope and said I was to follow
the
> instructions.
>
> One of the instructions, as I recall, was that no one was to copy or
divulge
> the test contents in any way. Another was that by opening the test
envelope and
> sining the Form 610, the volunteer examiner and the test-taker agreed to
all of
> the FCC's conditions.
>
> Of course we were on our honor to actually follow the instructions and
abide by
> our agreements. Those concepts probably wouldn't fly today!

Agree, same reasons as before.

> >> FCC field people
> >> knew what Bash was doing and how he was doing it, but the top dogs
refused
> >> to do anything about Bash's activities.
>
> >See "piece of cake" above which requires no one to go face-to-face
> >with the FCC.
>
> If FCC was doing all the testing, and someone tried to do the Bash thing,
> they'd have to get their info from folks who had actually gone to FCC exam
> sessions. So there would have to be somebody who had been told "do not
> divulge", and who had agreed not to divulge, but who went ahead and
divulged
> anyway. That person could be prosecuted. Not a question of copyrights, but
of a
> voluntary agreement between test-taker and FCC. But as stated before, such
> concepts probably wouldn't fly today.

It didn't happen years ago and is even less likly today. One could argue
that the "do not divulge" was a no option choice for the applicant.
Bottom line, this is just an academic discussion totally apart from
reality of today.

> >> That would have to change. More $$
>
> >> >> >You then said: "Whether that's true or not, how can anyone
> >> >> >convince FCC different?"

> >>
> >> >> Exactly.
> >>
> >> >> >If we believe
> >> >> >the system is adequate (not withstanding making some
> >> >> >changes as to test questions, etc.) then there's no need to
> >> >> >try and convince the FCC of anything.
> >> >>
> >> >> Agreed - if it's not broke, don't fix it.
> >>
> >> My agreement is with the validity of your logic. You wrote "if A is
true,
> >then
> >> we don't have to do B" - a conditional statement. But A is not true.
> >
> >I said "IF" we believe A is true.
>
> Exactly! I was simply clarifying what the "not broke" statement referred
to.
> Your "IF" statement was well worded and clear to most people.
>
> I think it's broken, but the fix of going back to the old testing methods
isn't
> practical. We have to apply other fixes.

OK,

> >Other than larger pools, what is needed?
>
> Let's look at the rest of that list:
>
> 2) Increase the number of choices on each question from 4 to 5
>
> In my old LMs, there's an illustration of the old multiple choice answer
sheet.
> The answer sheet has a demo question on it. Both the demo question and the
> answer sheet are set up for 5 choices, not 4. I don't know when the change
took
> place, but somewhere along the line the multiple choices were reduced
from 4
> to 5.
>
> The chance of randomly guessing correctly with 4 choices is 25% and the
chance
> of randomly guessing correctly with 5 choices is 20%, so it may appear to
some
> that the difference is minimal. However, in most multiple choice questions
> there are one or two answers that are obviously wrong.
>
> With a 4 choice question, if one answer can be ruled out as obviously
wrong,

> the chances of guessing correctly among the remaining 3 improve to 33%. If
2
> answers can be eliminated, the chances of randomly guessing correctly
among the
> remaining 2 is 50%
>
> With a 5 choice question, the corresponding chances of guessing correctly
> are only 25 and 33%.

OK by me.

> 3) Change the grading method so that wrong answers decrease the score
> (eliminates the gain from pure guessing)
>
> As it stands now, there is no downside to guessing at questions one has no
clue
> about. Pure random guessing should average out to a 25% correct mark. One
only
> needs about 74% to pass. The proper negative credit for wrong answers
> eliminates that.

This isn't likly to be accepted by the FCC if other government
licensing tests don't grade accordingly.

> 4) Break each Element into subelements (say, Safety, Regs, Theory) or some
> such, and require a certain number of right answers in each subelement.
> (The way it is now, a new ham could get all the Safety questions wrong and
> still pass, all the way to Extra.)
>
> This part is particularly important because the safety issues today go
beyond
> merely electrocuting oneself.

I believe this should be THE most important thing we could change.

> 5) Focus more on the basics and less on esoterics (like the "how much time
> do you have to notify the FCC about a station in space" questions)

Agree completely. Those space station questions were really
off the wall. There's no need either (IMHO)
for every extra to be knowledgeable about VE rules, etc.
Those that want to be VEs should be "qualified" as the
ARRL does today.

> Your thoughts?

See above.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

451. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:37:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76DF59.CBFD4770@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52266

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D760D79.B08EEDF5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >The world of radio is a big world. This particular newsgroup deals with
> >amateur radio policy.
>
> That policy includes GETTING INTO amateur radio.

How many more decades of interest will it take before you finally GET
INTO amateur radio, Len?

> >You call others posting here judges.
>
> Yep, you especially. With cause by lots of public evidence.

Who the hell are you? You aren't involved in amateur radio to any
degree whatsoever.

> >You take it
> >upon yourself to judge not only the state of amateur radio but those
> >radio amateurs who post here yet you are not a radio amateur yourself.
>
> I don't judge, I point out the obvious.

That's precisely what I'm doing then, Leonardo. I'm pointing out the
obvious.

> If one hasn't GOTTEN INTO amateur radio, they don't have a
> license, do they?

Look, Len, if you haven't taken the big leap after decades of proclaimed
"interest" and multiple years of sniping in an amateur radio newsgroup,
the odds are that you'll never have an amateur radio license.

> Why are those who have ALREADY gotten into amateur radio
> so adamant about those who have not gotten into ham radio
> be quiet and not discuss anything?

About those be quiet? I dunno, Len. If you know so much about it, why
aren't you a ham? What deep knowledge concerning amateur radio do you
have to impart to those of us who are hams? I've been here for years
and I haven't seen anything from you about anything except doing away
with code testing and keeping young people from holding licenses.

> Do those already in seek to force those not in to do exactly as
> they did? Why? How did they get into such control over others?
> They answered less than two hundred written questions and
> passed a morse code test. That's hardly a degree-earning
> test, isn't it?

Why don't you take one and find out?

> There is nothing different about radio physics because a human
> agency has created different services and definitions thereof.

Surprise! Ham radio is not only about the physics of radio!

> >You comment to the FCC on amateur radio regulation yet you are not a
> >radio amateur yourself. You've now inserted yourself into a discussion
> >on amateur radio operation from Somalia and yet you are not a radio
> >amateur yourself.
>
> It would be notable if Judge Heil sues the FCC and the United
> States Congress in a class action suit representing all US
> radio amateurs. The claim would obviously be that non-licensed-
> radio-amateurs (the commissioners, the staff of the FCC) can't
> possibly regulate amateur radio because they do not have ham
> licenses. That is analagous to what you claim of myself or any
> other unlicensed-in-amateur-radio citizen.

The FCC gets paid to regulate amateur radio. They are in charge of
administering amateur radio. You are not paid to regulate amateur radio
and are not in charge of administering amateur radio. Why would I sue
the FCC? I have no beef with the FCC. There's no one from the FCC
trolling here.

> By the fact that the US Congress passed the Communications
> Act of 1934 (which established the FCC), Congress is equally
> "guilty" of conspiracy to wrongfully regulate or enforce US
> amateur radio. Their "guilt" is obvious by not requiring any FCC
> person to pass an amateur radio test.

> Go ahead, make the Supreme Court's day...sue the FCC and
> Congress for not being licensed as amateurs when they aren't
> required to have amateur radio licenses.
>
> It will be interesting to see what kind of communications law
> attorney you get. :-)

Lemme know when you make the Commission or Congress. Your chances of
becoming part of either body are larger than the chances that you'll
obtain that "Extra out of the box".

Dave K8MN

452. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:40:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D76E021.4FF242C4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52267

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D760534.42D592D3@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >I've got a radio I'll give him if that's all it take to muzzle him.
>
> Why must anyone be "muzzled," Judge? :-)

It'll stop that incessant barking, Leonardo. Where do you want the
radio sent?

Dave K8MN

453. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 04:55:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D76E396.8C1CF65D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52269

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > In article <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> > (N2EY) writes:
> >
> > >There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many
> > >messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.
> >
> > No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
> > many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
> > ADA transmitters.
> >
> > With 36 (plus 6 more later) transmitters, four of them multi-channel
> > (commercial carrier) SSB, and four of them time-multiplexed FSK
> > RTTY, all operating 24/7, that was a lot of TTY circuits. Enough
> > circuits to enable ADA to claim 220 thousand TTY messages a
> > month.
> >
> > Failure to keep one SSB working meant losing two voice channels
> > and 8 TTY circuits all at once. That didn't happen.
> >
> > No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
> > it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
> > fabricated imagination.
> >
> > Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve
> > any useful purpose in here.
>
> Face it, Jim. The Putzmobile was a meter reader and circuit breaker resetter
> at some obscure Army transmitter site in rural JA land. Spent most of his time
> twiddling his thumbs. Wouldn't know zip about operating anything.

Make that a FLUNKIE meter reader etc......

454. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 09:40:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209050140.5c20b0ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52271

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<J5fd9.1010$lq6.274099428@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D752B74.26A37929@townsqr.com...
>
> > Yup. There are resources out there that poor Brian never suspected. Ya
> gotta wonder where he was operating if the
> > other ham there knew nothing of him. Hiding behind closed doors?
>
> Dick, why would he know anything of me? I had left prior to March.
>
>
Let's cut the crap Brainiac and get down to the nits and grits. You
claim to have operated as a T5. You claim to have sent QSL cards to at
least some of those you worked fron a very rare DXCC country. However
the DXCC desk has stated that the ARRL has no record of any dxer
sending in any of your cards for T5 credit.
>
>
Additionally I've surfed all over the web looking any reference to
"Nï¿½IMD/T5" and "T5/Nï¿½IMD" but the ONLY place you show up is in a
recent search for info on your alleged operation by one of the
regulars in this NG and in the Brianiac Fan Club circuit who is also
trying to find any reference to your "operation". This is particularly
odd because a search for any even half rare and/or obscure dx
operations which actually happened will bring up a flood of hits on
the Web going back to Gus Browning's and Danny Weil's days.
>
>
How do you explain the obvious contradiction this brings up?? On what
bands did you operate? What modes? Who did you work from T5? Why
haven't they submitted cards for credit for snagging you as a T5?
Hmmm?

w3rv

455. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 09:42:13 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <al7lij4282p@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52286

Bill,

I of course did not take that as a definite statement but as a perception.
Obviously due to the nature of a text based medium like this, it definitely
does not totally convey the whole intent behind the words.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> I certainly hope that you didn't derive "its none of your business"
> from my comments. The ARRL web pages contain information
> about the field organization. I asked you for some specific needs
> you might have. I can't respond with specifics if I don't
> know the circumstances.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

456. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 10:48:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905064821.25108.00000042@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52272

In article <3D700A42.48F8B72A@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Jim Hampton wrote:
>
>> I seriously doubt that any of the original 1X2s are still alive
>
> Whoa! I'm still kicking, though not as high as once upon a time. I am the
>first and only issue of W0EX.

I'm the original holder of N2EY.

>But your point is well taken. 1x2's were not issued for many years
>beginning sometime in the 20's or early 30's.

From at least 1951, the FCC included provisions in the rules for the issuance
of specific preferred callsigns. Memorial club stations were provided for in
the rules. Also, a ham who had previously held a particular callsign could get
it back if still in the same area.

This latter provision resulted in at least one 1X2 call being issued to a
Technician, way back in the '50s.

There were also provisions to allow hams who moved to a new call sign district
to get a corresponding callsign, if available.

>And the zero district wasn't created until after WW2.

Yup, and that caused massive callsign reassignment in some places. Under the
old 1-9 system, several states were in two call sign districts, but that ended
when the 0 district was created.

>I got the call, assigned sequentially by FCC, in the 70's, as you
>said. It cost me not a dime, nor does it still. NOT a vanity callsign, that
>is a term which came about as result of vanity license plates for cars. Back
then
>1x2's were known as "preferred callsigns" since they were shorter.

One of the additional features of incentive licensing was the expanded
availability of preferred callsigns. The original 1968 requirement for a
preferred callsign was an Extra and at least 25 years experience. The
experience requirement was gone by the mid-1970s.

I got N2EY as the result of a move to WNY in 1977. No fee, no choice, they just
grabbed the next one on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

457. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 11:38:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52273

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:xmzd9.55377$0u4.1021682@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> brian said
> > > OK Bert, your answer of "a culture deeply rooted tradition" pretty much
> > sums
> > > it up.
> > >
> > > But that culture shouldn't use the government as an instrument to
> > perpetuate
> > > their good ole boy network.
> >
> > Nor should they be used as a crutch.
>
> Exactly. The FCC should test what is "required." Nothing more, nothing

> less.

Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is required.
Never has been.

>
>
> Ex., if 5wpm were good enough to operate code on 15M in 1968, why wasn't it
> good enough to operate code on 20M?

It was called Incentives. You learn, you demonstrate that you have learned,
you get rewarded. Some people would rather pout than respond. You'd rather
consider it jumping through hoops than learning. So you got left to pout outside
the loop.

458. Re: Single vs. Dual Lever Paddles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 11:43:26 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <%tHd9.70800$0u4.1183065@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52274

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D76DCAF.FE662144@townsqr.com...
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
> > Can someone please explain the difference (Besides the obvious.) between
the
> > two? Does a dual offer any advantages over a single? I'm looking at some
> > GHDs and am getting mighty confused. :-/ Thanks in advance.
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
> Dual lever paddles provide separate levers for dits and dahs while the
single
> lever models use one for both which is moved both directions. The dual job
can
> be used in Iambic mode. You better look that one up. :-)
>
> Actually its not too tough to describe. Iambic mode is a
"selfcompleting" CW
> character generating feature of most of today's electronic keyers. For
instance,
> if you want to send the letter K, you press and hold the dah paddle, then
> immediately touch and release the dit button which tosses in a dit after
the
> first dah (don't hold the dit paddle or it'll toss in two dits or more).
Then
> you release the dah button after the dit comes out, and your letter K is
> complete. To send the letter X, you touch and hold the dah paddle, toss
in two
> dits with the dit paddle, release it, then release the dah paddle at the
right
> moment. And so on through the more complex characters in the alphabet.
> Sounds a lot worse than it is. Iambic sent code is easier to send when
you get
> used to it, and that won't take you long.
>
> Try it, Bert, you'll like it.
>
> Also the dual paddle can also be used in the conventional way to form the
> characters also. I prefer them. They are just smoother and to me, easier
to use.
>
> Dick

Thank you Hans, Dave, & Dick,

I have a much better grasp of the concept now. Yes, I think I will try that.
Thanks again.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

459. Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 12:08:45 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <KRHd9.71726$0u4.1190265@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52275

I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc... :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

"Just one more question..."

460. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 12:23:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D774CC9.C886FA6B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52276

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
> paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc... :-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> "Just one more question..."

Bert,

I use the Vibroplex Iambic Deluxe paddles. The AEA MM-3 keyer isn't made any more but can be found on the used market. The MFJ Grandmaster isn't a bad keyer either.

Dave K8MN

461. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 12:35:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905083511.03174.00001605@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52277

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/02 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904233843.03599.00000525@mb-fk.aol.com>

> Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
> noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
> your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?

He's made NO mistakes, Your Geezerness.

YOUR mistake is thinking no one sees YOURS!

Brian busted regualtions six-ways to Sunday by not having the proper
documentation for his "operation" in Somalia.

Sorry you don't agree, but it must suck to be you.

462. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 12:45:50 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <voId9.72812$0u4.1199821@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52278

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D774CC9.C886FA6B@earthlink.net...
> Bert Craig wrote:
> >
> > I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
> > paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc... :-)
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
> >
> > "Just one more question..."
>
> Bert,
>
> I use the Vibroplex Iambic Deluxe paddles. The AEA MM-3 keyer isn't
> made any more but can be found on the used market. The MFJ Grandmaster
> isn't a bad keyer either.
>
> Dave K8MN

Thanks Dave,

I'm currently fidgeting around with a Palm Mini-Paddle/Code Cube combo for portable QRP work. I know that I want to get something for the shack though. After checking out the eHam reviews, I kinda felt attracted to the LI Mercury. I don't think it's available anymore so I started checking out the GHD series. (Which, BTW, led to my confusion re. single vs. double lever.) I'd really rather buy American though. Thanks again and take care.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

463. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 12:49:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905084957.03174.00001611@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52279

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/02 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904233842.03599.00000524@mb-fk.aol.com>

>Prove the accuracy. Prove it by showing sources that others
> may verify.

Nothing will EVER be "proved" to you, Your Obscene Emailingness.

And even if we did show preent "names and callsigns" to you, of what good would it be to you?

You hold NO Amateur licensure, and hold no awards in ANY DX program.

Ergo your "participation" in this thread, which doe NOT involve the discussion of the Morse Code Test "question", is irrelevant.

Now...Go whisper tales of Rear Area Widget heroism in Mrs. Lennie's ear...I am sure she's waiting up for you.

>That little detail didn't disturb you one bit on fabricating a libelous
> home page on AOL just because you imagined you were "slighted."

Since nothing in it was erroneous, why should I?

>Rightly so since
> it was pre-loaded with plenty of libelous material to get you a
> very large loss in any civil court.
>

Yeah...Right. And then I produce the post in which you solicit a "copy" of your GROL, and the subsequent "copy" that WAS received...

Right along with reams of YOUR assertions on what an electronics hero you are...and then "claiming" that you sent the wrong file by mistake.

Uh huh.......

>It won't matter because you will not accept anything as truthful
> even if verifiable. You will only sulk and pout and scream "liar"
> because you can't bear to be countered in opinions by anyone.

You have no valid "opinions" here, Lennie. You left the Code Test Highway a long time ago.

> You will continue to insist my military experience was as some
> kind of "message clerk" because you love putting people down
> when they don't agree with you

For the last time, Your Lyingness...It has nothing to do with your differing opinions...It DOES have to do with your penchant for lying and antagonism.

>You ignored my statements of
> being a team supervisor, even if verifiable, because you live for
> the personal insult. That is your main task in here, to insult
> those who don't agree with you.

"Team Supervisor"...Of what? Duty rosters and rolls of perf tape?

THAT was exciting.

>That's a sad life you lead on this newsgroup.

Compared to yours, Lennie?

>Prove it by a source that others can verify. Proof is not done by
> insulting others. Proof is not done by imagining things and
> demanding others live in your imaginary world.

Lennie, I HAVE been in the "other world" of overseas operating. I KNOW the ARRL rules on what constitutes a "legal" DXpedition, INCLUDING the documentation required to attain that.

You obviously don't. You are "siding" with Burkie since he's the only person idiotic enough to come out anywhere close to being on your side. But then when I think about it, if I was so overwhelmingly outnumbered and outwitted by everyone around me, I'd want at least ONE person to blunt the obvious onslaught.

G'nite, yer Geezerness...Mrs Lennie is waiting for her RAW hero story to lull her to sleep...

464. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 12:56:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905085642.03174.00001616@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52280

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/02 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904233841.03599.00000523@mb-fk.aol.com>

>You were sent FIVE files through attachments in private e-mail.
> The first two were incorrect, mistakes. The next three were
> the correct ones.

Which means that Mr. Professional Electronics Engineer has an error factor of 40%.

Yous sent two obscene e mails in response to a solicitation YOU made for something else, and I am supposed to TRUST what you send me in e mail....?!?!

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>You refused to open any of four after the first one. Why?
> No guts? Fear of a "virus?" Unwilling to accept truth?

I never doubted your GROL, Lennie. I responded to the solicitation just to see if it was sincere.

You answered my "question" in spades.

>Are you some kind of "Professional Amateur Insulter" who
> needs to take out a home page on AOL devoted solely to
> libelous insults of another person he cannot cow in a public
> forum?

Just showing you for what you are, Lennie.

Even when the page was open (with over 60 hits before AOL canx'd it) you were flailing about, claiming you did no such thing...Then you changed your tune and "made a mistake"...Uh huh...yeah...right...

What you did was lie. Or shall I say "FRAUD"...??? For a FRAUD is what you are, Lennie. In THIS forum or any other.

465. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 13:00:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905090006.03174.00001618@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52281

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/02 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904233840.03599.00000522@mb-fk.aol.com>

>So, how was your Somalia experience, grizzled old war vet?

The neat thing is that "we" don't have to be "tehre" to know you are being stupid "here", Lennie.

Now...Why don't you tell us about YOUR experiences as a DX op from some exotic location, Lennie?

We're waiting......

466. Re: Single vs. Dual Lever Paddles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 13:04:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905090417.03174.00001620@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52282

>Subject: Single vs. Dual Lever Paddles?
>From: "Bert Craig" wa2si@optonline.net
>Date: 9/4/02 10:27 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <VcAd9.56246$0u4.1057131@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>

>Can someone please explain the difference (Besides the obvious.) between the
>two? Does a dual offer any advantages over a single? I'm looking at some
>GHDs and am getting mighty confused. :-/ Thanks in advance.

I have a set of very lovingly cared for Brown Brothers iambic paddles.
I have used both the single and dual paddles, and I prefer dual. I find I
can get off of one side to the other faster and with a more natural swing.

But like the cary says..."Ya pays yer money' y takes yer chances!"

73

Steve, K4YZ

467. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 13:08:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905090809.03174.00001621@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52283

>Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/02 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904233840.03599.00000521@mb-fk.aol.com>

>>There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many
>>messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.
>
> No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
> many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
> ADA transmitters.

Un huh...The I guess since I am one of the ACLS nurses in my facility,
using your logic, everytime CPR is used I can claim I helped save that persons
life, even whn I was no where near the code-in-progress.

I see how fast you went from "I sent-relayed" to "it was a team effort".

Embarrasssing to get caught with your britches down, eh Lennie?

>No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
> it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
> fabricated imagination.

Must be a self-critique.

468. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 13:10:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905091040.03174.00001622@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52284

>Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/02 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904233844.03599.00000527@mb-fk.aol.com>

>Quit trying to win message points by personal insults. It
> doesn't work.
>

Dunno about that, Lennie...

So far it's you and Burkie against everyone else. And YOU are the most "personally insulting" person in the NG.

Mrs. L is waiting, Lennie.....

469. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 13:19:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905091926.03174.00001623@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52285

>Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/02 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904233843.03599.00000526@mb-fk.aol.com>

>I don't fabricate things out of imagination. I have given third-party
> source references to everything I've stated about past personal
> experience. I don't consider that a problem. You do...because
> you are unwilling to accept such truth. All you can do is fall down
> to the primitive personal-insult level and scream "liar" because you
> can't accept truth that goes against your imagination.

And experience that you relate as a licensed Amateur HAS to be fabricated
from imagination, Lennie, because THAT is the experience we are discussing.

And I for one DO consider that a problem, since you have NO first hand
experience IN Amateur Radio...

Unless of course you'd like to reprise your "role" in a long since defunt
periodical...???

And as for "primal", Lennie...Well...WHAT kind of person who was sencient
enough to read the papers in the 40's calls ANYONE a "Nazi" or "jackbooted
thug" unless they REALLY mean to get into the "personal-inuslt level"...???

>You don't have the attitude to survive.
BBBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
! ! ! ! !

>It would be nice to see a few rounds of you against KH2D
> after you became his target.

Jim and I have common ground from which to "debate" things, Lennie.

You and everyone else in this NG DON'T.

>You aren't even close to being in his league on a newsgroup.

Ooooooohhhhhhh....A round a bout compliment for Jim from the Putzy One! We
need to mail him that one!

>But it would be extremely entertaining for other readers.

This isn't the entertainment NG, Lennie. It's about Amateur Radio
policy...A subject which you have little experience OUTSIDE of this NG...Which
is to say you have NONE.

470. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 13:43:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905094323.03174.00001625@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52287

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/02 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020904233847.03599.00000529@mb-fk.aol.com

>>>Why do you claim to know more "truth" than the FBI?
>>
>> I didn't. You are, again, lying.
>
> was asking a question. How can a question be a "lie?"

An intentionally misleading "question" is a lie, Lennie. You intended to mislead or distrort truth.

Why did you have sex with your mother, Lennie? Grandma wasn't home?

>Eugene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, was assigned to C Company, 8235th
> Army Unit, at the same time as I, also worked at ADA transmitters.
> We were both billeted at Hardy Barracks. Hardy Barracks is so
> named in honor of one of the 8235th AU signalmen killed during the
> first two weeks of the Korean War.

Why do you find it necessary to include references with dead soldiers to any discussion of your "service", Lennie?

I resided in numerous Marine Corps barracks and was stationed at numerous bases named for Marines who were killed in the line of duty. I am sure many others herein were also stationed at bases named for Sailors, Soldiers or Airmen who were killed in action. No one else feels compelled to so closely associate where they slept with war dead as you do?

Why?

>None of that is "misrepresentation." None of that is "antagonistic."
> It is verifiable truth.

And when presented within the context of your post, just one more humiliating and shameful RAW hero-association attempt.

THAT'S ugly, Lennie.

>...and I am simply stating verifiable facts...which you keep saying
> are all "lies."

You do manage to tell some basic truths, Lennie. But even Satan has done that in a pinch.

>> Still addressed above. You served...Got your DD214 honorably before
>you
>>pissed off enough people to get Article 15'd.
>
> Incorrect. A "DD-214" is nothing more than a release from active
> duty.
>
> I have an HONORABLE DISCHARGE from the United States Army.
> Received in April, 1960.

The OFFICIAL document that substantiates that "Honorable Discharge" IS the DD214, Your Putziness.

>That is verifiable truth.

Only when you get it in the right order.

>At no time was I ever charged with any article in the Uniform Code
> of Military Justice. At no time was I ever charged with anything
> in Army Regulations ("ARs") or Special Regulations ("SRs").

I didn't say you were, Lennie...

>> Still addressed above. You served...Got your DD214 honorably before
>you
>>pissed off enough people to get Article 15'd.

See....I can play the "twist the words" game as well as you.

Sucks, huh...???

>Attempting to "charge" me with any infraction of UCMJ or any
> military regulation 40 years later is silly, meaningless, and
> unproductive.

I didn't "charge" you with anything, Lennie. I said it was a good thing you got out BEFORE you DID get charged with anything by anyone.

>I merely state it. What I state is correct and verifiable.

Uh huh...Did you enjoy having sex with your mother?

>I never claimed to "single handed passing of any messages." Given that
> Army radio station ADA handle an average of 220,000 messages a month
> during 1955, it would be impossible to "single handedly pass" that many
> messages.

You didn't say "single handedly", however you DID make the original post saying "...I relayed-transmitted".....No "we" as in that post, Lennie. You spent a lot of bandwidth backing up from that one, and not altogether convincingly.

> I was never a "rear area radio clerk."

Then which designated warzone wre you in?

>You are being silly, meaningless, and unproductive in trying to fabricate
> something that isn't true.

I am not.

However YOU are by repeatedly associating yourself with soldiers who died
in combat 3 years prior to your arrival in-theater, and even MORE foolish by
trying to "debate" Amateur Radio policy issues when your scope of experience is
no wider than this newsgroup.

>You have proved absolutely nothing in here except an ability to froth
> at the keyboard, venting fury in a wrath most unbecoming to sane
> people. Try to seek some mental help. It will do you good as well as
> all the readers in this newsgroup. Thank you.

Whhoooboy...talk about someone needing to take their own advice...

471. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 13:48:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905094814.03174.00001626@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52288

>Subject: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?
>From: "Bert Craig" wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM
>Date: 9/5/02 7:08 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <KRHd9.71726$0u4.1190265@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>

>I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
>paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc.

As mentioned in another thread, I love my Brown Brothers paddles, however they are nolonger in production (guess I could say the company is DEFUNCT).

Unfortunately I don't use an external keyer right now. My Yaesu FT757GX clips the first element of a character if I try to use full break-in over 15 WPM or so.

Think my next rig for Amateur use will be one of the TenTec rigs...Never heard one on the air in CW that didn't sound like a Nightengale!

73

Steve, K4YZ

472. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 13:56:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D77625F.F61B37EA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52289

Bert Craig wrote:

> I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
> paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc... :-)

"Feel" means a lot, so it's a lot like shotgunning. If the gun handles right for you, and comes up right, doubling on a covey rise is a snap. If not, well.....
It would be nice if you could visit a vendor where they have a few on display that you can get your hands on. I have four different iambic paddles, two are Vibroplex brand, one of those is a magnetic - hold version. But my favorite is the other mechanical spring type Vibroplex. I bought it used at a small ham radio store near Salt Lake City. When I handled it on the counter, I knew I wanted it - it had the right "feel".. It's also easier to adjust and "fine tune". And it just has a better more natural feel while using it, for me. Your tastes may vary.
When you get an iambic paddle that you can use well, easy sending of good CW with it is a effortless. That is, until arthiritis starts messing with the fingers.That can clabber things up a bit.

Dick

473. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 13:59:45 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020905095945.23197.00000142@mb-fp.news.cs.com>
Source record: 52290

>Think my next rig for Amateur use will be one of the TenTec rigs...Never
>heard one on the air in CW that didn't sound like a Nightengale!

Funny you should say that, Steve. I'm currently considering the Jupiter or the newer Argonaut V. When Santa Spouse offers...you just don't refuse. I've read reports/reviews that the Elecraft K2 offers the same level of performance as the premier rigs, i.e. FT-1000D, IC-756 PRO II, etc.. You've got to build it though, which is really no obstacle...but it's certainly NOT "plug & play."

73 de Bert
WA2SI

474. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 17:32:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <PyMd9.9441$6i4.767233@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52291

For paddles, the Kent double paddles would be my choice. Great quality, feel, and incredible adjustment flexibility at a "workingman's price". Avoid Bencher and MFJ junk.

For a keyer, shop the hamfests/eBay for an AEA GrandMaster.

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF propagation. There are several perfectly sound technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

475. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 17:33:17 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <xzMd9.267$1C2.20843@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52292

K7JEB:
>> .... And the
>> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
>> AM-TV operation.

W0EX:
> Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> some earlier point.

Actually, he was a big-band musician in the 30's and
40's - one of those people to whom code skills come very
naturally.

> ..... but do we know how many hams who were stimulated
> by making their way through incentive licensing would up as
> degreed electronics engineers?

I met quite a few in the 50's and 60's. But by 1970 I
didn't meet anyone coming out of school who had gotten
interested in it through ham radio. Just my personal
life experience. I will say that the RF types I did
encounter professionally were more aware of the
existence of amateur radio and its technical challenges
than the computer jocks, but, alas, were too busy to
get a license.

> No one could even remotely foresee what has happened in the
> interim years. To expect FCC to act too much differently seems
> unrealistic.

I know. I expect god-like prescience from government
agencies in exchange for the god-like powers to create
and destroy (or merely regulate) that they possess.

> Throughout this period Morse was in full usage in the maritime
> world, as well as significant use in military ops, though of
> course RTTY and some other modes were indeed taking over. Lots
> of Morse was used for reasons of economy, it was simply cheaper,
> even with paying the operators, who usually performed other
> duties as well. Only within the past decade has that use been
> drastically reduced, and at that, not totally eliminated in the
> military, particularly for monitoring of other operations.
> But no question, ham radio generates few of the type of skills
> it was once noted for providing to the job market.

My take on the situation was that by 1968, the only
significant usage of manual radiotelegraphy was by
the Maritime Service. And that usage, from a
national economic standpoint, was miniscule compared
to, say, land mobile radiotelephone. It would have
made more sense to stronlgly encourage hams to develop
skills to support that endeavor.

> (some snippage here)...
> There is little about qualifying for any ham radio license
> today that translates to the current job market. I doubt
> anything FCC could have done in the 60's could have changed
> that.

Yes, but it was a very explicitly stated goal of
incentive licensing to do exactly that - make
amateur radio serve national goals in achieving
technical capability to compete better militarily
with the post-Sputnik USSR and later in the global
economy with Japan and Europe. All I'm asking
for is that those responsible for the policy-
making decisions be held accountable.

> Looking at it from a late 1950's perspective, when FCC began
> thinking about making changes in ham radio licensing with a view
> toward upgrading hams' technical abilities, it undoubtedly
> wouldn't seem like that at all. The problem then was how to get
> hams off their duffs and into a learning mode, and how to craft
> the changes given the constraints present. FCC initially wanted
> to do considerably more than they finally did toward moving hams
> toward increased technical skill. It was as though it was
> expected that hams would learn as payment for their use of the
> airwaves. All that has since been turned into something of a
> personal rights bazaar.

I think the problem was in the FCC's (and other's)
attitude that it was up to them to be the bad-ass
drill sergeants to what they saw as a bunch of lazy,
good-for-nothing, sad-sack ham radio operators.
If the "learning mode" that they wanted to instill
had no over-arching, realizable national purpose, no
war to win (to extend the military metaphor) then
it was completely arbitrary and might just as well have
been about fungal botany as radio-frequency technology.
If it was a payback for frequency usage, then the
currency should have been valuable to the recipient
(the US gov't). But let's face it. Hams beeping away
furiously or cramming with study guides really isn't
that much in demand, even in Washington, DC.

> I think you expected too much of it all. Hams who have had no
> technical training
> beyond what they get for ham radio licensing are generally not

> stimulated toward deeper technical topics. Those who find they
> have the aptitude and interest often follow it to much bigger
> and better things, even today in some way. Did incentive
> licensing support or detract from that situation? I think the
> way one answers that depends on ones viewpoint toward incentive
> licensing which generally centers on how one feels toward the
> Morse code requirement. Those who went right on and qualified
> just because it was a requirement generally are supportive while
> those who had no interest in CW and therefore believe it should
> not have been a requirement feel otherwise. But it seems to me
> a bit of a stretch to pronounce incentive licensing a failure
> merely because of the Morse code requirement.

I expect that licensing policy should reflect real-world requirements, particularly if I am being asked to commit my time and energy in response to that policy-making. Either the license requirements are there because they serve immediate regulatory purposes or they are there to serve the more global, national-interest ones. Incentive licensing explicitly cited the latter as its justification for existence and I just want to know, at the end of thirty some-odd years, if those purposes have been met.

What I considered particularly egregious about the code-speed requirements were that, on the average, they required a substantial amount of preparation time and appeared to serve no national purpose in meeting international technological competition (except in DX contests, I suppose). The fact that the FCC saw no value in maintaining the higher-speed requirements, and could only cite treaty obligations for holding onto 5 wpm, reinforces my view of the subject, but also leads me to question the value of the entire premise of incentive licensing. Is it really serving any purpose at all if one of its components, many would say its largest, can be dismissed so easily.

> In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full
> code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy
> way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was
> seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one
> with none. An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen
> as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping
> it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given
> that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still
> is, for an awful lot of hams.

There is always pure political reality to consider in any change in policy. I agree with you completely that just about any change will spark opposition from one quarter or another and a struggle will ensue. The

current path does seem to be the one of least resistance.

73,

Jim, K7JEB

476. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 17:33:18 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <yzMd9.268$1C2.20626@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52293

K7JEB:
>> In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
>> of incentive licensing were derailed by including
>> higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.

N2EY:
> Perhaps - but the FCC thought that increased code
> requirements were a necessary part of the license
> process. The Extra class license was added (actually
> re-created) in the 1951 restructuring.

Yes, but the Extra was nothing more than fancy wallpaper
until incentive licensing gave it real legs by granting
its holders exclusive frequency allocations.

The derailment I allude to was the fact that with technical
exam simplification, creation of the VE system and advances
in the state of the art, the code test became the
raison d'etre for the Extra. Even in 1968, the Extra-class
technical exam was dismissed as insignificant. The attitude
was that any TV repairman or radio disk jockey could pass
it with only a little study, as they regularly did with commercial
radiotelephone licenses. The 20 wpm code test, it was thought
(and still is thought) was what separated the truly committed
from the technically savvy slackers.

But the national interest, identified as Sputnik response, etc,
was best served in expanding the technical knowledge base, not
in creating a large pool of manual radiotelegraph operators.
But the latter was exactly what incentive licensing accomplished.

>> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
>> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
>> technical competence.

> I disagree! It was about both, for me anyway. But I can
> see where a person with some knowledge of theory would
> have to focus most or all of their efforts on code, just
> as someone skilled in code would have to focus on theory.

Just compare the respective populations and you will see
that getting an Amateur Radio license, particularly a
General/Advanced or Extra, was all about developing code
skill for anyone with even a slight, passing interest in
radio and electronics.

>> ...we hams may have relegated ourselves and our
>> avocation to the dustbin of history

> I disagree very strongly! Let's do some what-ifs...

> Suppose the FCC had somehow been convinced, in
> 1963-68 to leave the license situation the way
> it was. How would that have helped amateur radio
> expand or modernize?

For starters, it wouldn't have disenfranchised the
General-class operators. E.F. Johnson might still
be making amateur equipment. I dunno. Too much of
an alternate-universe scenario. My point was that
incentive licensing DIDN'T help amateur radio to
expand or modernize.

> One of the trends that the FCC was concerned about
> was the increasing amount of 'appliance operating'
> by hams in the early 1960s. What FCC failed to
> understand was that this trend was logical and
> natural.....

I know. I expect god-like prescience from government
agencies in exchange for the god-like powers to create
and destroy (or merely regulate) that they possess.

You're right, they really couldn't see the semiconductor
revolution bearing down on them, particularly in its
high-circuit-integration manifestations.

> (detailed description of decline in home-building
> among amateurs over period 1968 to present
> deleted - see original post)

> These trends were all happening before incentive
> licensing, and the rules changes did little to stop them.

And that's the Monday-morning-quarterback call on
incentive licensing from here in the 21st century --
it failed to accomplish its goals.

73,

Jim

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

477. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 18:34:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <al883m$b1s$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52294

I used a NYE Viking Iambic paddle for years, until I wore it out. Now its on my six meter rig...hi.

I ended up going with a Bencher. I agree its sucks for a real CW operator.

Where can I find more info on those Kent Paddles?

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:PyMd9.9441$6i4.767233@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> For paddles, the Kent double paddles would be my choice. Great quality,
> feel, and incredible adjustment flexibility at a "workingman's price".
> Avoid Bencher and MFJ junk.
>
> For a keyer, shop the hamfests/eBay for an AEA GrandMaster.
>
> --
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
>
>

478. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 18:59:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <ZPNd9.9597$6i4.778337@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52295

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote

>
> Where can I find more info on those Kent Paddles?
>

http://www.mountain-ops.com/kent_keys.htm

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

479. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 19:57:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905155741.02718.00001068@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52296

>Subject: Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 9/5/02 12:32 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <PyMd9.9441$6i4.767233@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>For a keyer, shop the hamfests/eBay for an AEA GrandMaster.

Hear hear...This has got to be the best of the best.

73

Steve, K4YZ

480. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 20:03:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905160342.02718.00001069@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52297

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "K7JEB" k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net
>Date: 9/5/02 12:33 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <yzMd9.268$1C2.20626@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

>Even in 1968, the Extra-class
>technical exam was dismissed as insignificant.

Oh? I have heard MANY descriptions of the Extra test, and "insignificant"
was never one of them!

>The attitude
>was that any TV repairman or radio disk jockey could pass
>it with only a little study, as they regularly did with commercial
>radiotelephone licenses.

As they should. These licenses were not meant to be a determiner of
advanced theory comprehension...only that the person being tested was
"competent" in accepted practice.

>Just compare the respective populations and you will see
>that getting an Amateur Radio license, particularly a
>General/Advanced or Extra, was all about developing code
>skill for anyone with even a slight, passing interest in
>radio and electronics.

I dunno...I had to bust those books pretty thouroughly in order to pass
the tests! The code, on the other hand, was "there", but not an obstacle.

73

Steve, K4YZ

481. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 20:32:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D77BF1C.4C7DC840@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52298

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D76090D.73988F41@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D74A8C1.2D3A5599@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > You are the
> > > > only "DXer" I've encountered who does not believe that written
> > > > documentation of licensing is necessary. It would appear that you do
> not
> > > > hold the credentials to make a judgement on what constitutes good
> > > > amateur practice.
> > > >
> > > > Citation, please.
> > >
> > > See any of your numerous posts on the topic.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> No, really Dave. Show where it says it must be in writing. Get to work.

Really, Brian. You asked for a citation regarding the "why" of your not holding credentials to make a judgement on what constitutes good amateur practice. I invite you and anyone interested to peruse your past comments on your operation from Somalia and your problem with my 6m operation from Tanzania. Your material on pileup handling is also a source of gems in the rough.

Dave K8MN

482. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 20:39:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D77C0EE.AA2E3F08@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52299

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net...
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked about it, you
> > > > > assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your claims with
> > > > > proof. You cannot do so.
> > > >
> > > > No it isn't. You've said it was illegal and that I'm a bootlegger. Now
> > > > prove it.
> > >
> > > Sorry, Brian. You claim doesn't wash. If you'd been given permission
> > > to operate, you'd have it in writing. You don't.
> >
> > I don't, and I did.

There's a piece of convoluted writing. You don't what and you did what?

> > > > > > > > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has a
> > written
> > > > > > > > form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization. The U.S.
> > > > > > > > military issues written authorization. Where is yours?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it is a GP
> > form.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation from J5 is
> > > > > > typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.
> > > > >
> > > > > Anyone could have typed that. Show us a real license.
```

> >
> > It is signed by the man in charge of issuing all radio licenses in the
> > country. The appropriate seal is applied. Copies of the license, my
> > visa and residency papers and of my passport entry stamp into
> > Guinea-Bissau were all supplied to the DXCC desk. My operation is
> > documented and has been accepted.
>
> You could have got his name of an ARRL fact sheet on foreign operation.

I guess I got a sample of his handwriting and a copy of the appropriate
governmental seals too. I carefully crafted the document in fluent
Portuguese.
There must be no end to my crafty behavior.

> Sorry, I didn't have a passport, didn't have a visa, didn't have a stamp.
>
> I had orders to another country and permission from my commander.

I'm sure you can produce your orders. You just can't produce the
permission.

> > > You simply cannot remember what you said. That's good for you because
> you
> > > were wrong. No sense in two people remembering your mistakes.
> >
> > I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a whole
> > group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to be in
> > writing? Damn!
> > It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that part.

> I have a license. It says, N0iMD. Check it out on the FCC web site. I
> never had a license that said T5iMD.

You never had permission which said "Brian Burke" either.

> Who was that guy with the T5 call that had the same suffix as his US
> callsign? You might want to put Steve on that one and find out if that khat
> chewing AK carrying Technical at the K5 traffic circle had a manual
> typewriter and watermark stationary.

Why don't you drop the fellow a line and ask him?

Dave K8MN

483. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 20:40:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <bjPd9.9734$6i4.789901@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52300

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

> Your material on pileup handling is also a
> source of gems in the rough.

Hey, if the pileup gets too rowdy, LA(jg) just goes to a different 2M
repeater.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

Glossary: La(jg) = Len Anderson Junior Grade

484. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 20:45:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52301

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D75FD6B.C1C7BF37@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D74A294.4E2A51FD@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D73962E.2A515538@earthlink.net...
> > > > > >

> > > I have my logs. It's enough for me. Would you like me to resend you a
> > > card? Just a minute while I check my logs.
> > >
> > > Nope, you're not in them, Sorry.
> >
> > What about your self-proclaimed "DXer" status? A DXer wouldn't respond
> > with "I have my logs. It's enough for me".
>
> But I am, and it is. Sorry I wasn't cut from the your die.

I'm sorry for you too.

> > What about your high amateur radio ethics and morality comments?
> >
> Intact.

Unbelievable.

> > Did you check to see that each
> > station you contacted as a bootlegger operating in Somalia was operating
> > within his country's band allocation?
>
> Sorry, but I didn't have my usual manuals, etc. Short notice deployment and
> all - like the next day.

You've just admitted violating your own high ethics. Did you ask each
contact if he was operating within his band allocation (and follow the
request with "QSL?")?

> > I don't need your QSL, Brian. I
> > have a T5 card which was accepted for DXCC.
>

> Really? Who was it? Which band and mode?

It was a legit Italian with a T5 call on 20m CW back in the late '70s. As I recall, I even worked my old Swedish pal Bertil Hall from T5 near the time you were there. Of course he was with the United Nations and I'm sure he had valid written permission.

Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for DXCC credit?

Dave K8MN

485. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 20:51:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D77C3CD.4830810F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52302

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> > Your material on pileup handling is also a
> > source of gems in the rough.
>
> Hey, if the pileup gets too rowdy, LA(jg) just goes to a different 2M
> repeater.
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
> Glossary: La(jg) = Len Anderson Junior Grade

I guess Mini Me won't be ordering a Kent paddle any time soon.

Dave K8MN

486. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:12:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905181209.05850.00000323@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 52303

In article <yzMd9.268$1C2.20626@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "K7JEB"
<k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>K7JEB:
>>> In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
>>> of incentive licensing were derailed by including
>>> higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.
>
>N2EY:
>> Perhaps - but the FCC thought that increased code
>> requirements were a necessary part of the license
>> process. The Extra class license was added (actually
>> re-created) in the 1951 restructuring.
>
>Yes, but the Extra was nothing more than fancy wallpaper
>until incentive licensing gave it real legs by granting
>its holders exclusive frequency allocations.

There's more to it. Back in 1951 the FCC restructured the license system, and the Extra was meant to replace the Advanced. Under that restructuring, no new Advanceds were issued after Dec 31, 1952. The incentive for the Extra and Advanced was that only they had the use of 'phone (any 'phone mode) on the ham bands between 2 and 25 MHz. And 160 was a mess of LORAN at the time.

Think of what that plan would have meant! The plan had been worked out over several years prior to 1951. ARRL was not the only amateur radio group involved, either.

But in mid-December 1952, FCC simply dumped that part of the plan and gave all operating privs to all hams except Novices and Techs. No NPRM, no debate, nothing, just an executive order. HUH?

Nobody I've run into seems to know why the sudden about face.
>
>The derailment I allude to was the fact that with technical
>exam simplification, creation of the VE system and advances
>in the state of the art, the code test became the
>raison d'etre for the Extra.

What technical exam simplification? I have the old LMs. The tests for Advanced and Extra went far beyond the General.

>Even in 1968, the Extra-class
>technical exam was dismissed as insignificant. The attitude
>was that any TV repairman or radio disk jockey could pass
>it with only a little study, as they regularly did with commercial

>radiotelephone licenses.

Without the actual tests, we can't really know.

But the point of amateur radio tests is not, and has never been, to prepare hams for jobs. The amateur tests should be about what hams do, not what other services do. Otherwise, we'd have questions about FM stereo and HDTV on the amateur exams.

>The 20 wpm code test, it was thought
>(and still is thought) was what separated the truly committed
>from the technically savvy slackers.

Maybe in your circles, but among the hams I knew, and know, 20 wpm was just no big deal. Compared to professional standards of radiotelegraphy, the amateur tests were easy.

>But the national interest, identified as Sputnik response, etc,
>was best served in expanding the technical knowledge base, not
>in creating a large pool of manual radiotelegraph operators.

FCC thought very differently. Perhaps the shortage of qualified marine ops had an influence.

>But the latter was exactly what incentive licensing accomplished.

Simply passing a 20 wpm test once does not an operator make. Even with the medical waivers being added in 1990, only about 12% of US hams got Extras - until April 2000.

>>> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
>>> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
>>> technical competence.
>
>> I disagree! It was about both, for me anyway. But I can
>> see where a person with some knowledge of theory would
>> have to focus most or all of their efforts on code, just
>> as someone skilled in code would have to focus on theory.
>
>Just compare the respective populations and you will see
>that getting an Amateur Radio license, particularly a
>General/Advanced or Extra, was all about developing code
>skill for anyone with even a slight, passing interest in
>radio and electronics.

I'm not sure what you mean by "compare the respective populations". Do you mean that there are lots more CW ops than homebrewers?
>
>>> ...we hams may have relegated ourselves and our
>>> avocation to the dustbin of history
>
>> I disagree very strongly! Let's do some what-ifs...
>

>> Suppose the FCC had somehow been convinced, in
>> 1963-68 to leave the license situation the way
>> it was. How would that have helped amateur radio
>> expand or modernize?
>
>For starters, it wouldn't have disenfranchised the
>General-class operators.

The Extras and Advanceds sure felt disenfranchised in '53. Some were mad for a looong time!

>E.F. Johnson might still
>be making amateur equipment.

I don't think so! EFJ and some others went out of the amateur biz because they did not adapt to the new reality of the amateur SSB transceiver. Heck, in '65 they were still trying to sell the Ranger 2 AM/CW 50 watt transmitter at a price that would buy a nice 100+ watt SSB transceiver.

EFJ developed the Avenger SSB transceiver, which was way ahead of its time. But it cost so much to make that it never reached the market. Fewer than a dozen were made.

EFJ's main ham market was AM rigs, and when that market dried up, so did EFJ. Same for Hammarlund.

> I dunno. Too much of
>an alternate-universe scenario. My point was that
>incentive licensing DIDN'T help amateur radio to
>expand or modernize.

Sorry, I don't buy it. To make the claim that IL didn't help believable, you have to present a description of what would have happened without it.

Maybe EFJ would have stayed in business, and AM would still be king. Would that be progress?

It's interesting to note that the number of US hams grew steadily from 60,000 in the years immediately after WW2 to 250,000 in 1963. Then it stalled at the quarter-million mark for most of the rest of the '60s. But after IL was in place, the growth resumed. Of course there are lots of other factors.
>
>> One of the trends that the FCC was concerned about
>> was the increasing amount of 'appliance operating'
>> by hams in the early 1960s. What FCC failed to
>> understand was that this trend was logical and
>> natural.....
>
>I know. I expect god-like prescience from government
>agencies in exchange for the god-like powers to create
>and destroy (or merely regulate) that they possess.

We all do - but it's not gonna happen!
>
>You're right, they really couldn't see the semiconductor
>revolution bearing down on them, particularly in its
>high-circuit-integration manifestations.
>
>> (detailed description of decline in home-building
>> among amateurs over period 1968 to present
>> deleted - see original post)
>
>> These trends were all happening before incentive
>> licensing, and the rules changes did little to stop them.
>
>And that's the Monday-morning-quarterback call on
>incentive licensing from here in the 21st century --
>it failed to accomplish its goals.

Yep.

Do you agree with my decline-of-homebrewing analysis?

73 de Jim, N2EY

487. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:38:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183855.03599.00000556@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52312

In article <20020905090809.03174.00001621@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many
>>>messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.
>>
>> No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
>> many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
>> ADA transmitters.
>
> Un huh...The I guess since I am one of the ACLS nurses in my facility,
>using your logic, everytime CPR is used I can claim I helped save that
>persons life, even whn I was no where near the code-in-progress.

What are you talking about? This is not a newsgroup for health professionals or nursing duties.

Try sticking closer to RADIO.

> I see how fast you went from "I sent-relayed" to "it was a team effort".

No. As a team leader on swing and midnight shifts I was the person most responsible for ADA transmitters operation. All the team leaders had the same responsibility...all four teams worked all three shifts in a 12-day cycle.

Was not a problem.

> Embarrasssing to get caught with your britches down, eh Lennie?

Your imagination is working overtime.

>>No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
>> it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
>> fabricated imagination.
>
> Must be a self-critique.

No. What I state is verifiable truth, no fabrications.

488. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:38:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183856.03599.00000557@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52313

In article <3D76DDD4.5483889E@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many
>> >messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.
>>
>> No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
>> many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
>> ADA transmitters.
>>
>> With 36 (plus 6 more later) transmitters, four of them multi-channel
>> (commercial carrier) SSB, and four of them time-multiplexed FSK
>> RTTY, all operating 24/7, that was a lot of TTY circuits. Enough
>> circuits to enable ADA to claim 220 thousand TTY messages a
>> month.
>>
>> Failure to keep one SSB working meant losing two voice channels
>> and 8 TTY circuits all at once. That didn't happen.
>>
>> No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
>> it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
>> fabricated imagination.
>>
>> Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve
>> any useful purpose in here.
>
> Face it, Jim. The Putzmobile was a meter reader and circuit breaker resetter
>at some obscure Army transmitter site in rural JA land. Spent most of his time
>twiddling his thumbs. Wouldn't know zip about operating anything.

Wrong identification. The "putzmobile drivers" are K4YZ and
his grizzled old war vet buddy, W3RV.

Interesting "technical opinion" from another grizzled old war vet...
whose claimed Army schooling at Fort Monmouth was TV
studio production. Did Carroll ever leave CONUS?

ADA was an "obscure" radio station? Hardly. In the 1950s it was
the third largest HF communications station in the US Army. The
receiver site was a joint Army-USAF operation with the largest

antenna field in the world at that time.

At what Army radio station did Carroll work during his tour of duty?

Was he ever responsible for keeping outbound message traffic going at a rate of 220,000 per month at any time, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week?

489. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:38:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183857.03599.00000558@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52311

In article <20020905090006.03174.00001618@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>So, how was your Somalia experience, grizzled old war vet?
>
> The neat thing is that "we" don't have to be "tehre" to know you are
>being stupid "here", Lennie.
>
> Now...Why don't you tell us about YOUR experiences as a DX op from some
>exotic location, Lennie?
>
> We're waiting......

I've never claimed to be a "DX op from some exotic location."

I did once "work" the moon from MSFC, specifically the ALSEP. Quite legal, too. The moon was then about a quarter million miles from earth. Got confirmation back in 3 seconds. :-)

Neither Japan, Korea, or Okinawa were considered "exotic locations in the 1950s. Those who were responsible for 24/7 communications across the Pacific region to San Francisco, Hawaii, Anchorage, Seattle, Manila, Okinawa, Pusan, Seoul, and Saigon from Tokyo didn't think of any of it as "DX." They were simply "radio circuits" and all were obliged to operate continuously, 24/7, on HF.

Nowadays and even a decade before today, the US military routinely does worldwide communications via satellites, as long as required. They have the long-distance capability in troposcatter microwave systems or HF systems.

490. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:38:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183858.03599.00000559@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52314

In article <20020905085642.03174.00001616@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>You were sent FIVE files through attachments in private e-mail.
>> The first two were incorrect, mistakes. The next three were
>> the correct ones.
>
> Which means that Mr. Professional Electronics Engineer has an error
>factor of 40%.

Tsk, tsk. Mistakes can happen to anyone, can't they? :-)

Or did you think you were so snookered that your instant rage boiled over to creation of an AOL home page and new username just to attempt "attacking" me personally? Tsk, tsk. AOL took care of that. Wipe-out. Bye to libelous home pages. :-)

> Yous sent two obscene e mails in response to a solicitation YOU made for
>something else, and I am supposed to TRUST what you send me in e mail....?!?!

I never sent "two obscene e-mails." The second mistaken file was a PowerPoint Presentation, a simple cartoon of an emu saying "Have a nice day." :-)

The first mistaken file was a nice-quality picture of a converted B-26 over a forest.

How any current or former USMC member could possibly think that is "obscene" is a question that can only be answered by a psychiatric specialist. Everyone else who has seen it thinks it is quite humorous. Don't break your humerous over lack of humor.

>>You refused to open any of four after the first one. Why?
>> No guts? Fear of a "virus?" Unwilling to accept truth?
>
> I never doubted your GROL, Lennie. I responded to the solicitation just
to
>see if it was sincere.

Your "response" was to refuse to look at anything after the first.

Your "response" was the creation of an AOL homepage and new screen name full of vile libel directed to no one but me. As I said, AOL put a stop to that as soon as they were notified.

> Even when the page was open (with over 60 hits before AOL canx'd it) you
>were flailing about, claiming you did no such thing...Then you changed your
>tune and "made a mistake"...Uh huh...yeah...right...

I explained what happened in public. I DID send three correct
copies to you, one a day. You claimed you did not open any
of them.

I have to ask again, why did you not open them to see what they
contained? Were you afraid of seeing a verification of truth?

Or isn't your purpose in here really some sort of personal catharsis
to vent personal frustration and anger against an object you feel
is defenseless?

> What you did was lie. Or shall I say "FRAUD"...??? For a FRAUD is what
>you are, Lennie. In THIS forum or any other.

I supplied verifiable truth of claims in regard to my first Commercial
First Phone License certificate. Three separate private mailings.
That isn't "fraudulent."

491. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183900.03599.00000560@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52319

In article <20020905084957.03174.00001611@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Prove the accuracy. Prove it by showing sources that others
>> may verify.
>
> Nothing will EVER be "proved" to you, Your Obscene Emailingness.

The mailings were intended to show existance of documents to others. The FCC information on them is sufficient to verify the existance of the document and a license grant from the FCC.

You apparently do not want to see verifiable truth. You have shown past difficulty in dealing with the truth.

> And even if we did show preent "names and callsigns" to you, of what
>good would it be to you?

Such could be verified through other reference sources.

> You hold NO Amateur licensure, and hold no awards in ANY DX program.

I've never claimed holding (properly, being granted) an amateur radio license. I've held a commercial radio license since 1956.

I haven't "worked DX" in the amateur sense of the word. Nearly a half century ago the US military routinely worked long-distance radio circuits 24/7 on HF.

> Ergo your "participation" in this thread, which doe NOT involve the
>discussion of the Morse Code Test "question", is irrelevant.

Oh, are you also one of the "moderators" in here? :-)

Consult with Judge Heil. He thinks he has "authority" over who is allowed to post in here. :-)

> Now...Go whisper tales of Rear Area Widget heroism in Mrs. Lennie's
>ear...I am sure she's waiting up for you.

There is no "Mrs. Lennie." I'm reading this in early afternoon, local time. My wife is watching television. When the US Open Tennis is on we will both watch that.

My wife knows all about what I did in the US military, both as a servicemember and as a civilian working for DoD contractors. We have no secrets from one another.

>>That little detail didn't disturb you one bit on fabricating a libelous
>> home page on AOL just because you imagined you were "slighted."
>
> Since nothing in it was erroneous, why should I?

What you thought was "correct" was simply subjective personal
opinion. As such it was strong libel. AOL scrapped it as soon
as they were notified. They won't carry vile libel of that sort.

> Yeah...Right. And then I produce the post in which you solicit a "copy"
of
>your GROL, and the subsequent "copy" that WAS received...

Make up your mind. For weeks you've been saying that you
"never opened" any of the correct scans sent in e-mail. Now you
say you did? Which is it?

> Right along with reams of YOUR assertions on what an electronics hero you
>are...and then "claiming" that you sent the wrong file by mistake.

I've never claimed "heroism" in anything, anywhere on the Internet.

If you think I did, then you have an enormous problem in reading
comprehension...or an overworked imagination.

As to my occupational statements, those are all verifiable, true
statements. I've given the references in here for anyone to verify.

> For the last time, Your Lyingness...It has nothing to do with your
>differing opinions...It DOES have to do with your penchant for lying and
>antagonism.

You could correct that by substituting "confrontational challenges"
to "lying and antagonism." Persistent confrontation. :-)

You have repeatedly said my opinions are "lies." That's impossible.
Opinions are just opinions.

> "Team Supervisor"...Of what? Duty rosters and rolls of perf tape?

[try to stick with some semblance of continuity in sub-threads]

Team supervisors were responsible at ADA transmitters operations
by a dozen men in a team. No duty officer (commissioned) was
personally present during swing and midnight shifts.

ADA did not use "perf tape" at the Teletype Central. Chadless
punching was done to permit printing of the message so that
relay operators could read the circuit addresses. The amount
of p-tape used would fill a two-story hopper whose cross section
was about 3 square feet...every day. Open-punch tape was
used in Model 17 and 19 teletypes, but rarely required.

> Lennie, I HAVE been in the "other world" of overseas operating. I KNOW
>the ARRL rules on what constitutes a "legal" DXpedition, INCLUDING the
>documentation required to attain that.

[you seem to be confused as to which sub-thread you are addressing]

Were you in Somalia 1992-1993?

If so, did you operate from there?

Do you have any khat left in your stash?

> G'nite, yer Geezerness...Mrs Lennie is waiting for her RAW hero story to
>lull her to sleep...

As I said, there is no "Mrs. Lennie." My wife is familiar with what
I have done. Her mention is irrelevant and disrespectful by you.

492. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183901.03599.00000562@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52305

In article <d22b54c3.0209050140.5c20b0ff@posting.google.com>, Ultralight Kelly wrote:

>How do you explain the obvious contradiction this brings up?? On what
>bands did you operate? What modes? Who did you work from T5? Why
>haven't they submitted cards for credit for snagging you as a T5?
>Hmmm?

What is all this to you, old grizzled war vet?

Tell us about ham operation IN Somalia during the early 90s.

493. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183901.03599.00000561@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52310

In article <20020905083511.03174.00001605@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>> Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
>> noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
>> your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
>
> He's made NO mistakes, Your Geezerness.

Who are you talking about?

No one in here has any "authority," written or otherwise, to ban anyone, shut them up, or "rule" on anything.

> Brian busted regualtions six-ways to Sunday by not having the proper
>documentation for his "operation" in Somalia.

Burke cited the ARRL listing. Brian Burke explained his proper military permission to operate while on active duty.

Three individuals in this newsgroup have charged him erroneously with bootlegging in 1992-1993. Illegal operation is a serious offense.

Has any legal authority arrested Burke or charged him with any criminal activity for the 1992-1993 operation in Somalia? No.

What we have here (in another sub-thread) is simply some loud-mouth (or rather loud-typing) individuals who get their jollies out of saying bad things about others. You are included.

You must PROVE your charges to make them valid. You have not.

494. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183902.03599.00000563@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52309

In article <F8zd9.2689$bB2.64143622@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com...
>> In article <x_dd9.986$YA5.270678130@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >I've got all my logs and orders and everything. I think I even have a
>> >picture around here somewhere.
>>
>> Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
>> will all wimp out and refuse to open it. :-)
>
>I saw that picture. I thought it was funny. Typical aircrew!!!
>
>I wouldn't care how PO'd I was at whoever might have sent me something like
>that, I'd just have to laugh!

The poorboy thinks he was "taken." Tsk, tsk.

He has no sense of humor at all. Probably thinks he is still a Dill Sergeant in the marine corpse. He wants to order others around thinking he still has three up and two down on his sleeve. :-)

Maybe he has a "Jessup Complex" (from the bird colonel in the film "A Few Good Men") who wants to define "truth." :-)

495. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183903.03599.00000564@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52315

In article <d22b54c3.0209041639.1f28a75@posting.google.com>, (Ultralight Kelly)
writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
>news:<20020904100820.24652.00001343@mb-mj.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>> >Date: 9/4/02 8:46 AM Central Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209040546.48457bd4@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >> What unit was this unknown with,
>> >> > what branch? How does only the antenna get "destroyed by
>> >> > machine gun fire" yet the radio equipment or unknown
>> >> > operator is untouched? Do you really think any machine
>> >> > gun could zero in so accurately to take out one thing, yet
>> >> > not touch the rest of the station?
>> >>>
>> >The Putz can't understand how a light machine gun can knock down a
>> >vertical but not damage the ham gear inside a building. Luv it. He
>> >wouldn't understand anything that simple of course, he got all his
>> >"battle stars" by surfing the joints in Tokyo.
>>
>> I missed the first part in Lennie's original post, but you are
>absolutely correct.
>>
>> Guess Lennie didn't see too many machine gun holes in his clerk's
>role, eh Brian?...

I've never had a "clerk's role" in any occupation.

Ultralight Kelly should tell us His experiences as a member of
the United States military.

>Not even the holes in his head when he looks in a mirror. And his
>"unknown operator" certainly is known, quite well known in fact.

Not identified. Was he a judge? As in "Judge Crater?" :-)

>> I ran up a record of nine USMC Rifle Expert awards while on Active Duty.
>> This involved hitting bullseyes from up to 800 meters away with aimed fire.

Verification? Where?

>Ouch! That's a helluva long throw. I'll bet you din do that with an
>M-16. I was a decent paper puncher in high school, I was on the NRA
>Jr. Small Bore National Championship team, we could all hold 3/8"
>groups all day in all positions but that was with bull-barrel

>Remingtons indoors at only 50 ft. Can't imagine hitting anything a
>half mile out without a real varminter and a 10x 'scope. The windage .
>. The only military shoulder weapons I trained on were the M1, the M1
>carbine and the BAR. Corpse of Injuneers ROTC daze. I couldn't hit the
>ass end of a barn with any of 'em the way I could with the big
>Remington but with more time on the range I coulda gotten a lot better
>with the M1. The old sergeants with their tricked-out glass-bedded M1s
>did some whizzy long range stunts. No bad for an old .30-06
>autoloader. What'd you use?

Tell us more of your "military experience," grizzled old war vet>

>> Betcha I could blow away the base of a Hustler 5BTV or the center
support
>> of a dipole array with a Warsaw Pact weapon on full auto from 100 meters,
>> wouldn't you?

The antenna mentioned was a Cushcraft R7, a multiband vertical
with very thin profile.

>I don't doubt it for a second, if some rag-tag Somali took out Jeff's
>vertical with one of those clunkers an experienced USMC shootist sure
>as hell could.

Fish story. Claims. Do it in front of impartial witnesses to provide
proof.

>> Matter of fact, bet I could take the traps out one-by-one from
>> top to bottom if I took my time.

Fish story. Claims. Etc....

>Would prolly be more fun than plinking empty 807s . . especially after
>ya drained a few of 'em.

"Drain?" Were you attempting to make a "beer can vertical?"

>Oh gawd yeah, pass the clip of dum-dums . .

Okay, on you two dum-dums I pass... :-)

496. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183904.03599.00000565@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52307

In article <3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> Ex., if 5wpm were good enough to operate code on 15M in 1968, why wasn't
>it
>> good enough to operate code on 20M?
>
> It was called Incentives. You learn, you demonstrate that you have learned,
>you get rewarded. Some people would rather pout than respond. You'd rather
>consider it jumping through hoops than learning. So you got left to pout
>outside the loop.

Dickiebird, tweet us some legendary experiences in US Army
HF communications that you participated in during your tour of
Army duty. Show us what you "learned" and all your "incentives"
then.

497. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183906.03599.00000566@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52317

In article <20020905091926.03174.00001623@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>I don't fabricate things out of imagination. I have given third-party
>> source references to everything I've stated about past personal
>> experience. I don't consider that a problem. You do...because
>> you are unwilling to accept such truth. All you can do is fall down
>> to the primitive personal-insult level and scream "liar" because you
>> can't accept truth that goes against your imagination.
>
> And experience that you relate as a licensed Amateur HAS to be fabricated
>from imagination, Lennie, because THAT is the experience we are discussing.

No. I don't "relate" anything AS a licensed radio amateur.

The non-discussion going on is really your vile contempt
spouted on anything in here that upsets you. Tsk, tsk.

> And I for one DO consider that a problem, since you have NO first hand
>experience IN Amateur Radio...

Then by all means join Judge Heil in a class-action lawsuit against
the FCC on behalf of all US radio amateurs...basing the claim that,
since the FCC does not require anyone there to be licensed in
amateur radio, they cannot possibly regulate US amateur radio.

The FCC grants amateur licenses. The FCC decides on all
matters of amateur radio regulations, from the choice of petitions
to make into RMs on through R&Os. The only ones who can be
in true "first hand experience" in amateur radio licensing matters
are those who have worked IN the FCC structure.

"You have NOT worked in/for the FCC, therefore you have NO
experience in amateur radio regulations."

Note the quotes. That statement has as much validity as
Robeson and Heil's insistance that the only ones able to talk
amateur policy are those IN amateur radio. It's an artificiality.
However, if the Robeson-Heil condition is a criteria, then the
above statement in quotes is equally valid.

Only one identifiable regular in here would qualify - Phil Kane.

>>It would be nice to see a few rounds of you against KH2D
>> after you became his target.
>
> Jim and I have common ground from which to "debate" things, Lennie.

Heh heh heh. You couldn't debate anything with Jim Kehler for five minutes...even if Kehler's mouth and hands were taped together. You would LOSE under any condition. :-)

> This isn't the entertainment NG, Lennie. It's about Amateur Radio
>policy...A subject which you have little experience OUTSIDE of this
>NG...Which is to say you have NONE.

It seems to be about fish stories...like claims of expert marks-manship...or US foreign policy in regards to countries without governments...but mostly its about a small group of regulars who abuse and insult and denigrate any or all who do not agree with their viewpoints. It is their "chat room" where they can work out personal frustrations in words, perhaps thinking that their targets are on the other side of the screen looking in as they write. :-)

498. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183907.03599.00000567@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52316

In article <b2zd9.2687$qD2.64288783@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>news:d22b54c3.0209032247.5e3d976d@posting.google.com...
>> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:<a0bd9.2325$3W4.42943550@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
>> > > Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
>> > > gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
>> > > Putz.
>> >
>> > Now hold on here. I think Len presents some fine arguments. I don't
>> > necessarily think he presents them in a palatable manner. But there's a
lot
>> > of truth in what he says.
>> >
>> No surprise in that, you're too dumb & blind to understand that he's
>> nothing more than a pointless old troll who found a .policy NG in
>> which he could find opportunities to stir up controversy for the sake
>> of controversy which suits his background as a electronics bench tech
>> and twisted persona. There isn't a .policy NG out there anywhere which
>> doesn't have a Putz or two or three like Lenny along with their
>> witless groupies like you.
>
>Brian, are you trying to offend me? It might work if you had my respect,
>but you don't. Since you hold no rank, you'll just have to earn it. So get
>to work.
>
>> > And Brian, you're exactly the ham he describes,
>> > whether you like it or not.
>
>Lodge Hall mentality? Not hardly.
>
>> Yes I am and I love it. I'm a Extra with a lot of time in saddle who
>> is opposed to eliminating code tests. That's all it takes to become a
>> Putz rant "target".
>
>Not true. Be sure to give a valid reason for your position other than "Well
>Gawdoggit [sic], I had to do it uphill both ways in the snow."
>
>Stupid reasons like that get what they deserve.
>
>> Kindly note that my opinions on the code tests are
>> diametrically opposed to those of Bill Sohl who is the #2 NCI honcho

>> but Bill and I get long just fine.
>
>And Len and Bill get along. Gosh, what's right with this picture?
>
>> How do you figger that Burkie? . .
>> . "figger out" . . stupid question given your level of mental
>> horsepower.
>
>You're a small thinker?
>
>> > > Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
>
>I don't foul it up, so KMA.
>
>> > > w3rv
>> >
>> > Just as soon as you write VOID across your ticket and send it to Riley.
>> >
>> No problem, you have nothing else to do with your life just like The
>> Putz has nothing better to do with his life. Rant on.
>
>Rant? Try debating. If you can. And leave out the "Boink" and "Spank" and
>other stupid juvenile phrases you get off of South Park. Use your noggin.
>
>> > You were right when you said you were an old crank.
>> >
>> > Brian, you're just a cranky, jerky ham.
>> >
>> Yup
>
>I don't need you to confriom it. Its obvious.
>
>> a cranky old 30wpm 1x2 dxer and contester you and The Putz will
>> never be. As far as the jerks around here are concerned I rawther
>> subnmit that given a vote abt who the jerks are you and Putz would win
>> hands down.
>
>Oh, imagine that. Group think? Group speak?
>
>> Burke the Brainiac and The Putz . . the Somali freebander and the
>> twisted non-ham . . whatta team . .
>
>You can leave off the name calling any time and impress us with something
>that resembles thought.

I'd sure like to hear his vast experience as a member of the US military.

499. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183908.03599.00000568@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52318

In article <20020905094323.03174.00001625@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>>Why do you claim to know more "truth" than the FBI?
>>>
>>> I didn't. You are, again, lying.
>>
>> was asking a question. How can a question be a "lie?"
>
> An intentionally misleading "question" is a lie, Lennie. You intended
>to mislead or distrort truth.
>
> Why did you have sex with your mother, Lennie? Grandma wasn't home?

Those aren't questions. They are low-brow expressions of the
stupid who are unable to maturely deal with discussion subjects.

>>Eugene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, was assigned to C Company, 8235th
>> Army Unit, at the same time as I, also worked at ADA transmitters.
>> We were both billeted at Hardy Barracks. Hardy Barracks is so
>> named in honor of one of the 8235th AU signalmen killed during the
>> first two weeks of the Korean War.
>
> Why do you find it necessary to include references with dead soldiers to
>any discussion of your "service", Lennie?

As of last month, Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV was very much alive.

Why are you trying to start untrue rumors?

>>> Still addressed above. You served...Got your DD214 honorably before
>>you
>>>pissed off enough people to get Article 15'd.
>>
>> Incorrect. A "DD-214" is nothing more than a release from active
>> duty.
>>
>> I have an HONORABLE DISCHARGE from the United States Army.
>> Received in April, 1960.
>
> The OFFICIAL document that substantiates that "Honorable Discharge" IS
>the
>DD214, Your Putziness.
>
>>That is verifiable truth.
>

> Only when you get it in the right order.
>
>>At no time was I ever charged with any article in the Uniform Code
>> of Military Justice. At no time was I ever charged with anything
>> in Army Regulations ("ARs") or Special Regulations ("SRs").
>
> I didn't say you were, Lennie...
>
>>> Still addressed above. You served...Got your DD214 honorably before
>>you
>>>pissed off enough people to get Article 15'd.
>
> See....I can play the "twist the words" game as well as you.
>
> Sucks, huh...???
>
>>Attempting to "charge" me with any infraction of UCMJ or any
>> military regulation 40 years later is silly, meaningless, and
>> unproductive.
>
> I didn't "charge" you with anything, Lennie. I said it was a good thing
>you got out BEFORE you DID get charged with anything by anyone.
>
>>I merely state it. What I state is correct and verifiable.
>
> Uh huh...Did you enjoy having sex with your mother?
>
>>I never claimed to "single handed passing of any messages." Given that
>> Army radio station ADA handle an average of 220,000 messages a month
>> during 1955, it would be impossible to "single handedly pass" that many
>> messages.
>
> You didn't say "single handedly", however you DID make the original post
>saying "...I relayed-transmitted".....No "we" as in that post, Lennie. You
>spent a lot of bandwidth backing up from that one, and not altogether
>convincingly.
>
>> I was never a "rear area radio clerk."
>
> Then which designated warzone wre you in?
>
>>You are being silly, meaningless, and unproductive in trying to fabricate
>> something that isn't true.
>
> I am not.
>
> However YOU are by repeatedly associating yourself with soldiers who
>died
>in combat 3 years prior to your arrival in-theater, and even MORE foolish by
>trying to "debate" Amateur Radio policy issues when your scope of experience

>is
>no wider than this newsgroup.
>
>>You have proved absolutely nothing in here except an ability to froth
>> at the keyboard, venting fury in a wrath most unbecoming to sane
>> people. Try to seek some mental help. It will do you good as well as
>> all the readers in this newsgroup. Thank you.
>
> Whhoooboy...talk about someone needing to take their own advice...

500. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183909.03599.00000569@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52306

In article <3D76E021.4FF242C4@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D760534.42D592D3@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >I've got a radio I'll give him if that's all it take to muzzle him.
>>
>> Why must anyone be "muzzled," Judge? :-)
>
>It'll stop that incessant barking, Leonardo. Where do you want the
>radio sent?

W1AW Memorial Radio Station, Newington, CT.

501. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183911.03599.00000571@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52304

In article <3D76DA16.38D1208A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>I didn't ask Len a dumb question.

You've not even asked a smart question. For the most part in
here you Issue Orders and Encyclicals along with charges of
impropriety that haven't been proved.

How's that run for Congress coming?

502. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183911.03599.00000570@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52320

In article <3D76DF59.CBFD4770@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D760D79.B08EEDF5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >The world of radio is a big world. This particular newsgroup deals with
>> >amateur radio policy.
>>
>> That policy includes GETTING INTO amateur radio.
>
>How many more decades of interest will it take before you finally GET
>INTO amateur radio, Len?

How many more decades will it be before the FCC is required to
get amateur licenses and "practical experience" therein to regulate
US amateur radio? :-)

>> >You call others posting here judges.
>>
>> Yep, you especially. With cause by lots of public evidence.
>
>Who the hell are you? You aren't involved in amateur radio to any
>degree whatsoever.

I am me. Who the hell are you? :-)

>> >You take it
>> >upon yourself to judge not only the state of amateur radio but those
>> >radio amateurs who post here yet you are not a radio amateur yourself.
>>
>> I don't judge, I point out the obvious.
>
>That's precisely what I'm doing then, Leonardo. I'm pointing out the
>obvious.

Interesting. The oblivious trying to point out the obvious.

>> If one hasn't GOTTEN INTO amateur radio, they don't have a
>> license, do they?
>
>Look, Len, if you haven't taken the big leap after decades of proclaimed
>"interest" and multiple years of sniping in an amateur radio newsgroup,

>the odds are that you'll never have an amateur radio license.

Odds are strongly in favor of my thinking some of the
already-licensed radio amateurs aren't worth associated
with as human beings. When confronted with subjects to
discuss, they can only "debate" by personal insults against
others.

>> Why are those who have ALREADY gotten into amateur radio
>> so adamant about those who have not gotten into ham radio
>> be quiet and not discuss anything?
>
>About those be quiet? I dunno, Len. If you know so much about it, why
>aren't you a ham? What deep knowledge concerning amateur radio do you
>have to impart to those of us who are hams?

True to an extent. Nobody can tell Judge Heil much of anything.
:-)

>I've been here for years
>and I haven't seen anything from you about anything except doing away
>with code testing and keeping young people from holding licenses.

Test element 1 for General or Amateur Extra class licenses
is still the morse code test. It hasn't gone away.

I made one of many suggestions to NPRM 98-143 in January, 1999,
to have a lower limit on age for new licensees. I've never bothered
with pursuing that since. Several in here get full of rage and fury
over that and expect to renew a "battle" over it, bringing it up every
half year or so. :-)

Heh heh heh...for three years there's been some kind of "need" to
fight over that? Did you guys all have such a terrible adolescence
or something that prompts such rage and fury over that? :-)

>> Do those already in seek to force those not in to do exactly as
>> they did? Why? How did they get into such control over others?
>> They answered less than two hundred written questions and
>> passed a morse code test. That's hardly a degree-earning
>> test, isn't it?
>
>Why don't you take one and find out?

Why? Don't you want to preserve the fish-story flavor that the TEST
is some kind of Nobel-winning accomplishment? :-)

Was it a "lifetime achievement award" in your case? Or what?

>> There is nothing different about radio physics because a human
>> agency has created different services and definitions thereof.
>
>Surprise! Ham radio is not only about the physics of radio!

True. But what percentage of licensed radio amateurs KNOW
anything about the physics of radio, other than test questions?

Surprise! A paltry small percentage.

Do you want a quote of one of the five defining paragraphs in
97.1 or can you read by yourself now?

>> >You comment to the FCC on amateur radio regulation yet you are not a
>> >radio amateur yourself. You've now inserted yourself into a discussion
>> >on amateur radio operation from Somalia and yet you are not a radio
>> >amateur yourself.
>>
>> It would be notable if Judge Heil sues the FCC and the United
>> States Congress in a class action suit representing all US
>> radio amateurs. The claim would obviously be that non-licensed-
>> radio-amateurs (the commissioners, the staff of the FCC) can't
>> possibly regulate amateur radio because they do not have ham
>> licenses. That is analagous to what you claim of myself or any
>> other unlicensed-in-amateur-radio citizen.
>
>The FCC gets paid to regulate amateur radio. They are in charge of
>administering amateur radio. You are not paid to regulate amateur radio
>and are not in charge of administering amateur radio. Why would I sue
>the FCC? I have no beef with the FCC. There's no one from the FCC
>trolling here.

The FCC does not require any members to be licensed in US amateur
radio and they MAKE all the rules. How can they do so if they don't
hold an amateur license or "practical experience in amateur radio?"
:-)

>> Go ahead, make the Supreme Court's day...sue the FCC and
>> Congress for not being licensed as amateurs when they aren't
>> required to have amateur radio licenses.
>>
>> It will be interesting to see what kind of communications law
>> attorney you get. :-)
>
>Lemme know when you make the Commission or Congress. Your chances of
>becoming part of either body are larger than the chances that you'll
>obtain that "Extra out of the box".

Let me know when you get into the US Congress or the FCC and
become part of the legal process regarding policies...without any
"practical experience" in either. Sort of like a Traficant going around
in a traffic circle. :-)

503. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-05 22:39:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905183912.03599.00000572@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52308

In article <3D76C16E.94DF9876@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> As part of its lobbying effort on amateur radio regulations, ARRL
>> attempts to hold certain positions on GETTING INTO US amateur
>> radio. Their long, long, absolute insistence on a morse code test
>> to GET INTO amateur radio is one such example.
>>
>> It's a nice quasi-paradox, almost an oxymoron. Voting ARRL
>> members have ALREADY gotten into amateur radio, but ARRL
>> wants to control regulations on getting into amateur radio by
>> all those that may be interested...but are turned away by the
>> code test. The only result of such is a membership which is
>> composed of those who agree with ARRL positions.
>
>Was true before the no code Tech license came out.

True. The present-day situation is that fully one-third of all US
amateur radio licensees are in the Technician class category.

What are the present demographics in ARRL membership?

I haven't seen any ARRL demographics in several years, maybe
someone can tell me if the Technician class is no longer the
least-represented class in membership?

504. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 00:58:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209051658.10fea047@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52321

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<KRHd9.71726$0u4.1190265@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
> paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc... :-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> "Just one more question..."
>
>
We'll get you straight yet! No problem, this is how ya learn.
>
>
For the keyer itslf I keep it really simple. I have one of the little
$50 MFJ keyers. It can run Iambic modes A & B, it's very compact &
light, very reliable and runs forever on a 9v internal battery and it
runs just as well on any 12vdc source. The only feature it doesn't
have is memories. Which are not particularly useful except in
contests.
>
>
Per other posts I'm also a Kent paddles user and I wouldn't have any
other. There are much more expensive paddles but from my perspective
but there aren't any better paddles at any price. The design is dirt
simple, the things are built like a tank, the workmanship is superb,
and they have best "feel" i've ever experienced. And once you adjust
them the adjustemnts stay put. I also have one of the monster Kent
KT-1 straight keys, 'nother whole story . . !
>
>
As Dick pointed out though paddles are kinda personal and sorta like
women, we all have our own tastes in both women and paddles so beware.
But I had mine in operation in last year's Field Day and several ops
who owned other paddles used the Kent and the responses basically went
something like "Wow"!
>
>
http://www.kent-engineers.com/morseKEYindex.htm
>
>
http://www.eham.net/reviews/products/16
>
>

http://www.alphadeltacom.com/pg13.htm
>

w3rv

505. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 01:10:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209051710.5f51300b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52322

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3D76DDD4.5483889E@townsqr.com>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > In article <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> > (N2EY) writes:
> >
> > >There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many
> > >messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.
> >
> > No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
> > many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
> > ADA transmitters.
> >
> > With 36 (plus 6 more later) transmitters, four of them multi-channel
> > (commercial carrier) SSB, and four of them time-multiplexed FSK
> > RTTY, all operating 24/7, that was a lot of TTY circuits. Enough
> > circuits to enable ADA to claim 220 thousand TTY messages a
> > month.
> >
> > Failure to keep one SSB working meant losing two voice channels
> > and 8 TTY circuits all at once. That didn't happen.
> >
> > No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
> > it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
> > fabricated imagination.
> >
> > Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve
> > any useful purpose in here.
>
>
>
> Face it, Jim. The Putzmobile was a meter reader and circuit breaker resetter
> at some obscure Army transmitter site in rural JA land. Spent most of his time
> twiddling his thumbs. Wouldn't know zip about operating anything.
>
>
No doubt. Maybe I'm missiing something but what the hell does any of
the above PutzPost have to do with ham radio let alone ham radio
policy??

w3rv

506. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 01:47:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209051747.5e873973@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52323

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<xzMd9.267$1C2.20843@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> K7JEB:
> >> .... And the
> >> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
> >> AM-TV operation.
>
> W0EX:
> > Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> > Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> > some earlier point.

. . . . .

> > In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full
> > code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy
> > way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was
> > seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one
> > with none. An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen
> > as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping
> > it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given
> > that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still
> > is, for an awful lot of hams.
>
> There is always pure political reality to consider in
> any change in policy. I agree with you completely that
> just about any change will spark opposition from one
> quarter or another and a struggle will ensue. The
> current path does seem to be the one of least resistance.
>
> 73,
>
> Jim, K7JEB
>
>
This has been one of the most intelligent exchanges I've read to date
in his NG. Hats off to both of you.

w3rv

507. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 02:41:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7815B5.9DC626DE@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52324

Brian Kelly wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3D76DDD4.5483889E@townsqr.com>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > > In article <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> > > (N2EY) writes:
> > >
> > > >There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many
> > > >messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.
> > >
> > > No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
> > > many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
> > > ADA transmitters.
> > >
> > > With 36 (plus 6 more later) transmitters, four of them multi-channel
> > > (commercial carrier) SSB, and four of them time-multiplexed FSK
> > > RTTY, all operating 24/7, that was a lot of TTY circuits. Enough
> > > circuits to enable ADA to claim 220 thousand TTY messages a
> > > month.
> > >
> > > Failure to keep one SSB working meant losing two voice channels
> > > and 8 TTY circuits all at once. That didn't happen.
> > >
> > > No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
> > > it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
> > > fabricated imagination.
> > >
> > > Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve
> > > any useful purpose in here.
> >
> >
> >
> > Face it, Jim. The Putzmobile was a meter reader and circuit breaker resetter
> > at some obscure Army transmitter site in rural JA land. Spent most of his time
> > twiddling his thumbs. Wouldn't know zip about operating anything.
> >
> >
> No doubt. Maybe I'm missiing something but what the hell does any of
> the above PutzPost have to do with ham radio let alone ham radio
> policy??

Fer that matter, whatever does Putz have to do with any facet of ham radio? Bottom line, nothing. Only rrap, which ham rado is not.

508. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 02:52:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D781831.42ADD5EA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52325

Brian Kelly wrote:

>
> This has been one of the most intelligent exchanges I've read to date
> in his NG. Hats off to both of you.

When dealing with an individual of K7JEB's class it isn't a bit difficult to have a civil, intelligent exchange, even
if you don't agree on some points. He's one of the few you meet that make you think a code - free Extra isn't such a
bad deal for the hobby after all. Problem is there are far too few of him and far too many of the other kind. Putz &
his cub included.

Dick

509. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 03:52:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020905235217.24393.00000257@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 52326

In article <d22b54c3.0209051710.5f51300b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>No doubt. Maybe I'm missiing something but what the hell does any of
>the above PutzPost have to do with ham radio let alone ham radio
>policy??

About as much as your little insult fests of before, your recent fish story comparisons of marksmanship or becoming the second putzmobile driver.

If you want to set an example, you have to DO the example.

510. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:07:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906000700.15102.00001986@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52327

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183912.03599.00000572@mb-fk.aol.com>

>The present-day situation is that fully one-third of all US
> amateur radio licensees are in the Technician class category

Which means that fully two-thirds are not. Your point?

>What are the present demographics in ARRL membership?
>

What does it matter? Has anyone been DENIED membership in the League?

511. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:10:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D782AD4.C1807711@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52328

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D76DA16.38D1208A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >I didn't ask Len a dumb question.
>
> You've not even asked a smart question.

That's right. I didn't ask you a question. Since that's the case,
don't feel obligated to come up with an answer.

> For the most part in
> here you Issue Orders and Encyclicals along with charges of
> impropriety that haven't been proved.

My proof is at hand. Brian's proof of permission to operate does not
exist.

> How's that run for Congress coming?

Better than your 5 wpm morse efforts.

Dave K8MN

512. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:12:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906001229.15102.00001988@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52329

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183908.03599.00000568@mb-fk.aol.com>

>> Why did you have sex with your mother, Lennie? Grandma wasn't home?
>
> Those aren't questions. They are low-brow expressions of the
> stupid who are unable to maturely deal with discussion subjects.

Sure they're questions, Lennie. Each encourages the correspondant to
respond.

They are no less "low-brow" than your "Why do you claim to know more than
the FBI" "questions".

>As of last month, Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV was very much alive.

>> Why do you find it necessary to include references with dead soldiers
>to
>>any discussion of your "service", Lennie?
>
> As of last month, Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV was very much alive.

I wasn't dicussing your friend, Lennie. Nice try.

>Why are you trying to start untrue rumors?

Why are you insisting that I am?

Rest of repaste of message for which you had no comment snipped. Hard to
cut and paste with the fist in the jar, eh Lennie?

513. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:13:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906001345.15102.00001989@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52330

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183901.03599.00000562@mb-fk.aol.com>

>Tell us about ham operation IN Somalia during the early 90s.

Why don't YOU tell us about "ham operation" from ANYWHERE, Lennie.

514. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:18:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906001834.15102.00001990@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52331

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183901.03599.00000561@mb-fk.aol.com>
>

>No one in here has any "authority," written or otherwise, to
> ban anyone, shut them up, or "rule" on anything

Only two days ago YOU demanded I "put up or shut up", Lennie.

Seems you both tried to be judge, jury and executioner.

Doesn't ring very well with your constant rants agains Jim Miccolis and
Dave Heil, now does it?

Usual two faced crap as usual.

Leonard H. Anderson is a "professional" engineer. Professional engineers
usually tell you to do one thing while doing otherwise. Lennie is a prime
example of a professional engineer.

His wifey must be proud.

515. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:25:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906002509.15102.00001991@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52332

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183857.03599.00000558@mb-fk.aol.com>

>I've never claimed to be a "DX op from some exotic location."

No...you only make insinuations of impropriety aginst those who have, as
if YOU knew something about it.

>I did once "work" the moon from MSFC, specifically the ALSEP.
> Quite legal, too.

To "work" a station in Amateur Radio vernacular (and since this IS an
Amateur Radio newsgroup, that is the definition we will accept), you are
required to EXCHANGE callsigns and signal reports.

What YOU did was no different than screaming out your name at the Grand
Canyon and waiting for the echo...cuz that's ALL you did. Nothing more. I can
slide down to the Doghouse right now and hear my own echoes off the moon in
minutes. And it cost me a lot less to do it than it did NASA.

>Neither Japan, Korea, or Okinawa were considered "exotic
> locations in the 1950s

Who said they were?

>Nowadays and even a decade before today, the US military
> routinely does worldwide communications via satellites, as
> long as required. They have the long-distance capability in
> troposcatter microwave systems or HF systems.

And this pertains to Amateur Radio policy....how ???

516. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:32:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D782FDB.AFC1C1B7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52333

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D76DF59.CBFD4770@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D760D79.B08EEDF5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >The world of radio is a big world. This particular newsgroup deals with
> >> >amateur radio policy.
> >>
> >> That policy includes GETTING INTO amateur radio.
> >
> >How many more decades of interest will it take before you finally GET
> >INTO amateur radio, Len?
>
> How many more decades will it be before the FCC is required to
> get amateur licenses and "practical experience" therein to regulate
> US amateur radio? :-)

I didn't ask you how long before you begin to regulate amateur radio.

> >> >You call others posting here judges.
> >>
> >> Yep, you especially. With cause by lots of public evidence.
> >
> >Who the hell are you? You aren't involved in amateur radio to any
> >degree whatsoever.
>
> I am me. Who the hell are you? :-)

Me? I'm a licensed ham laughing over the notion of you, a non-ham
telling me what's good for amateur radio.

> >> >You take it
> >> >upon yourself to judge not only the state of amateur radio but those
> >> >radio amateurs who post here yet you are not a radio amateur yourself.
> >>
> >> I don't judge, I point out the obvious.
> >
> >That's precisely what I'm doing then, Leonardo. I'm pointing out the
> >obvious.

>
> Interesting. The oblivious trying to point out the obvious.

No--TO the oblivious.

> >> If one hasn't GOTTEN INTO amateur radio, they don't have a
> >> license, do they?
> >
> >Look, Len, if you haven't taken the big leap after decades of proclaimed
> >"interest" and multiple years of sniping in an amateur radio newsgroup,
> >the odds are that you'll never have an amateur radio license.
>
> Odds are strongly in favor of my thinking some of the
> already-licensed radio amateurs aren't worth associated
> with as human beings. When confronted with subjects to
> discuss, they can only "debate" by personal insults against
> others.

Nobody's forcing you to associate or communicate. There must be
something else drawing you back time and again.

> >> Why are those who have ALREADY gotten into amateur radio
> >> so adamant about those who have not gotten into ham radio
> >> be quiet and not discuss anything?
> >
> >About those be quiet? I dunno, Len. If you know so much about it, why
> >aren't you a ham? What deep knowledge concerning amateur radio do you
> >have to impart to those of us who are hams?
>
> True to an extent. Nobody can tell Judge Heil much of anything.
> :-)

There's somebody somewhere who can impart some knowledge I could use.
That person doesn't seem to be you.

> >I've been here for years
> >and I haven't seen anything from you about anything except doing away
> >with code testing and keeping young people from holding licenses.
>
> Test element 1 for General or Amateur Extra class licenses
> is still the morse code test. It hasn't gone away.

Maybe you can pass a license exam for HF access then.

> I made one of many suggestions to NPRM 98-143 in January, 1999,
> to have a lower limit on age for new licensees. I've never bothered
> with pursuing that since. Several in here get full of rage and fury
> over that and expect to renew a "battle" over it, bringing it up every
> half year or so. :-)

> Heh heh heh...for three years there's been some kind of "need" to
> fight over that? Did you guys all have such a terrible adolescence
> or something that prompts such rage and fury over that? :-)

What opportunity have you had to pursue it? You aren't capable of
inspiring rage and/or fury in me. You and your amateur radio fetish do
provide a few laughs though.

> >> Do those already in seek to force those not in to do exactly as
> >> they did? Why? How did they get into such control over others?
> >> They answered less than two hundred written questions and
> >> passed a morse code test. That's hardly a degree-earning
> >> test, isn't it?
> >
> >Why don't you take one and find out?
>
> Why? Don't you want to preserve the fish-story flavor that the TEST
> is some kind of Nobel-winning accomplishment? :-)

That guff is your stock phrase. No, I'm suggesting that you take an
exam and find out.

> Was it a "lifetime achievement award" in your case? Or what?

No, I suggested that you take one and find out firsthand.

> >> There is nothing different about radio physics because a human
> >> agency has created different services and definitions thereof.
> >
> >Surprise! Ham radio is not only about the physics of radio!
>
> True. But what percentage of licensed radio amateurs KNOW
> anything about the physics of radio, other than test questions?
>
> Surprise! A paltry small percentage.

Prove it.

> Do you want a quote of one of the five defining paragraphs in
> 97.1 or can you read by yourself now?

Go ahead and quote all of them, Len. You're really good at the cut and
paste stuff.

>
> >> >You comment to the FCC on amateur radio regulation yet you are not a
> >> >radio amateur yourself. You've now inserted yourself into a discussion
> >> >on amateur radio operation from Somalia and yet you are not a radio
> >> >amateur yourself.
> >>
> >> It would be notable if Judge Heil sues the FCC and the United
> >> States Congress in a class action suit representing all US
> >> radio amateurs. The claim would obviously be that non-licensed-
> >> radio-amateurs (the commissioners, the staff of the FCC) can't
> >> possibly regulate amateur radio because they do not have ham
> >> licenses. That is analagous to what you claim of myself or any
> >> other unlicensed-in-amateur-radio citizen.
> >

> >The FCC gets paid to regulate amateur radio. They are in charge of
> >administering amateur radio. You are not paid to regulate amateur radio
> >and are not in charge of administering amateur radio. Why would I sue
> >the FCC? I have no beef with the FCC. There's no one from the FCC
> >trolling here.
>
> The FCC does not require any members to be licensed in US amateur
> radio and they MAKE all the rules. How can they do so if they don't
> hold an amateur license or "practical experience in amateur radio?"
> :-)

We've done this over and over and over. The DMV doesn't require its
clerks to have driving licenses. The FCC staff is paid to regulate.
You are not in the employ of the FCC nor are you a participant in
amateur radio. You aren't anything to amateur radio.

> >> Go ahead, make the Supreme Court's day...sue the FCC and
> >> Congress for not being licensed as amateurs when they aren't
> >> required to have amateur radio licenses.
> >>
> >> It will be interesting to see what kind of communications law
> >> attorney you get. :-)
> >
> >Lemme know when you make the Commission or Congress. Your chances of
> >becoming part of either body are larger than the chances that you'll
> >obtain that "Extra out of the box".
>
> Let me know when you get into the US Congress or the FCC and
> become part of the legal process regarding policies...without any
> "practical experience" in either. Sort of like a Traficant going around
> in a traffic circle. :-)

I'm not planning on doing either. I get on the air when I feel like it
and operate to my heart's content. You, on the other hand, can only
blow smoke in r.r.a.p.

Dave K8MN

517. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:32:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906003242.15102.00001995@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52334

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183858.03599.00000559@mb-fk.aol.com>

>How any current or former USMC member could possibly think that
> is "obscene" is a question that can only be answered by a
> psychiatric specialist. Everyone else who has seen it thinks it is
> quite humorous. Don't break your humerous over lack of humor.

And I stated under different circumstances it would have been funny.
However waht you sent was sent fraudulently.

You solicited the opportunity to send e mail of one thing, then sent
another.

You lied.

>I have to ask again, why did you not open them to see what they
> contained? Were you afraid of seeing a verification of truth?

And I said I never doubted your GROL, Lennie. But you blew your
opportunity at gaining any trust or respect with teh "errant" files.

>Or isn't your purpose in here really some sort of personal catharsis
> to vent personal frustration and anger against an object you feel
> is defenseless?

Defenseless? Over what? A pathological liar like you, Lennie?

My "purpose" here is to be as ready a thorn in your side as you'd be to
Amatuer Radio.

My "purpose" here is to constantly highlight the inaccuracies of your
rants and the fallicy of your hatred.

No more...No less...

>I supplied verifiable truth of claims in regard to my first Commercial
> First Phone License certificate. Three separate private mailings.
> That isn't "fraudulent."

When one weighs your claims of technical skill in things electronic, your
"error" is dismissed as an excuse for poor performance at best.

Try again. We are waiting for an "excuse" that makes sense.

518. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:34:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D78306F.F6F5743D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52335

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <d22b54c3.0209051710.5f51300b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >No doubt. Maybe I'm missiing something but what the hell does any of
> >the above PutzPost have to do with ham radio let alone ham radio
> >policy??
>
> About as much as your little insult fests of before, your recent
> fish story comparisons of marksmanship or becoming the
> second putzmobile driver.
>
> If you want to set an example, you have to DO the example.

Those might be interesting words for you to apply to yourself, Leonard.

Dave K8MN

519. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:42:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906004227.15102.00001999@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52336

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183903.03599.00000564@mb-fk.aol.com>

>>> I ran up a record of nine USMC Rifle Expert awards while on Active Duty.
>>> This involved hitting bullseyes from up to 800 meters away with aimed
>fire.
>
> Verification? Where?

In my SRB. Take a look.

>>> Betcha I could blow away the base of a Hustler 5BTV or the center
>support
>>> of a dipole array with a Warsaw Pact weapon on full auto from 100 meters,
>>> wouldn't you?
>
> The antenna mentioned was a Cushcraft R7, a multiband vertical
> with very thin profile.

How wide does it need to be for a 7.62 WARSAW ball round to hit it?

And the R7 is just as big as the 5BTV at the base, Lennie. If you had any
experience around one, you'd know. That matching network at the base makes an
adequate target even at 200 meters.

>>I don't doubt it for a second, if some rag-tag Somali took out Jeff's
>>vertical with one of those clunkers an experienced USMC shootist sure
>>as hell could.
>
> Fish story. Claims. Do it in front of impartial witnesses to provide
> proof.

Sorry you think so. Want me to come to SoCal and show you from just how
far away and accurately I can place an aimed round? Would be glad to do it.
I'll give you a front row seat. Or are you going to take this offer to
demonstrate my marksmanship skills as a threat like your gay-pagan butt buddy
did?

>>> Matter of fact, bet I could take the traps out one-by-one from
>>> top to bottom if I took my time.
>
> Fish story. Claims. Etc....

Uh huh...Kinda like your 1.32 million messages, Lennie????

>Okay, on you two dum-dums I pass.

I have a few dum-dums I can send to you, Lennie. Shall I send them air mail?

520. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:49:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906004945.15102.00002005@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52337

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183900.03599.00000560@mb-fk.aol.com>

>> Ergo your "participation" in this thread, which doe NOT involve the
>>discussion of the Morse Code Test "question", is irrelevant.
>
> Oh, are you also one of the "moderators" in here?

No more or less than YOU, Lennie! How many times have you "corrected"
somone on what is the "right" thing to be discussing in here?

>> Now...Go whisper tales of Rear Area Widget heroism in Mrs. Lennie's
>>ear...I am sure she's waiting up for you.
>
> There is no "Mrs. Lennie."

She finnaly got tired of your crap too? Split did she?

>My wife knows all about what I did in the US military, both as a
> servicemember and as a civilian working for DoD contractors.
> We have no secrets from one another.

Does she know that you make it a personal vendetta to harrass and
antagonize a group of people involved in a radio activity for which you have no
vested interest?

I doubt she knows EVERYTHING about you.

>Make up your mind. For weeks you've been saying that you
> "never opened" any of the correct scans sent in e-mail. Now you
> say you did? Which is it?

Wake up, Your Putziness...put the gallon jug of Geritol back behind the
couch.

>You could correct that by substituting "confrontational challenges"
> to "lying and antagonism." Persistent confrontation.

I could, but then >>I'D<< be lying.

>> G'nite, yer Geezerness...Mrs Lennie is waiting for her RAW hero story to
>>lull her to sleep...
>
> As I said, there is no "Mrs. Lennie." My wife is familiar with what
> I have done. Her mention is irrelevant and disrespectful by you.

Tell Mrs. Lennie I said good night anyway.

521. "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 04:56:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906005640.15102.00002007@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52338

>Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183855.03599.00000556@mb-fk.aol.com>

>What are you talking about? This is not a newsgroup for health
> professionals or nursing duties.

I see...analogies are only for antagonistic lying ex-radio technicians who haunt Amateur Radio newsgroups. Sorry. Didn't mean to steal your thunder.

>Try sticking closer to RADIO.

If we are going to be specific, let's be REAL specific and stick to AMATEUR Radio, Lennie. Of course THAT will minimize your options, but I am sure you'll cut and past something. It seems to be your forte.

>No. What I state is verifiable truth, no fabrications.

Then WHERE are your "verifiable truth(s)" about the dishonesty of the ARRL, Lennie? You've left us hanging on THAT hook for a long time now. More than enough time to present your "facts".

Now...since you ONLYstate the truth, NO fabrications, we will wait and see how long it takes you to produce your verifiable truths of League dishonesty.

We'll wait right here.....

522. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 05:01:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906010156.15102.00002008@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52339

>Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020905183907.03599.00000567@mb-fk.aol.com>

>I'd sure like to hear his vast experience as a member of the US military.

If he does, I bet he doesn't have to envoke the memory of guys who died in combat before he ever got in-theater...Like you...

523. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 05:05:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906010519.15102.00002009@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52340

>Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/5/02 11:34 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D78306F.F6F5743D@earthlink.net>

>> If you want to set an example, you have to DO the example.
>
>Those might be interesting words for you to apply to yourself, Leonard.

Won't ever happen, Dave...Would require him to be courteous, professional
and accurate.

He's incapable of all three.

73

Steve, K4YZ

524. NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 07:10:14 UTC
From: Keith <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>
Source record: 52341

The NTIA has come out against the 5.2 MHz secondary allocation
for Ham radio. This will be the death or delay for a few years
of a 5.2 MHz band. Oh Well...

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/09/05/101/?nc=1

--
Best Regards, Keith NW Oregon Radio http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
The problem with Linux is that users always BASH Tux's shell. Linux 2.4.7-10
The human mind ordinarily operates at only ten percent of its capacity
-- the rest is overhead for the operating system.

525. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 12:06:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906080651.02651.00000154@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52342

In article <20020905160342.02718.00001069@mb-cc.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 05 Sep 2002 20:03:42 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>>From: "K7JEB" k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net
>>Date: 9/5/02 12:33 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <yzMd9.268$1C2.20626@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
>
>>Even in 1968, the Extra-class
>>technical exam was dismissed as insignificant.
>
> Oh? I have heard MANY descriptions of the Extra test, and
>"insignificant" was never one of them!

Now it is, Steve!

While I would not use the word "insignificant", Jim/K7JEB has a valid point. Those 'old' 1968-era amateur written exams were simply not very hard from a theory standpoint - if you knew some radio theory.

>>The attitude
>>was that any TV repairman or radio disk jockey could pass
>>it with only a little study, as they regularly did with commercial
>>radiotelephone licenses.

Yup - most of the learning those folks would need would be in the areas of operating practices and amateur regs.

> As they should. These licenses were not meant to be a determiner of
>advanced theory comprehension...only that the person being tested was
>"competent" in accepted practice.

But the goal of incentive licensing was to cause a large increase in the technical and operating knowledge and skill of hams by causing them to learn more. Lots more.
>
>>Just compare the respective populations and you will see
>>that getting an Amateur Radio license, particularly a
>>General/Advanced or Extra, was all about developing code
>>skill for anyone with even a slight, passing interest in
>>radio and electronics.
>

> I dunno...I had to bust those books pretty thouroughly in order to pass
>the tests! The code, on the other hand, was "there", but not an obstacle.
>
I passed the General and Advanced writtens in the summer of 1968, age 14. That was the summer when I was between 8th and 9th grade. I had no formal education in radio, but the tests were easy. Two years later I got the Extra, and the only reason I waited two years was because the FCC rules required it.

This was in the pre-Bash, pre-VEC go-to-the-FCC-office days. I wasn't a prodigy - there were younger hams than me passing those tests. How hard could they have been? That's Jim/K7JEB's point.

Amateur radio led me to EE school, where I got BSEE and MSEE degrees. Then on to a career in electrical engineering. Would that have happened without incentive licensing? Probably.

73 de Jim, N2EY

526. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 12:09:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906080908.22898.00001272@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52343

>Subject: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: Keith n6jpa_spam@attbi.com
>Date: 9/6/02 2:10 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>
>
>The NTIA has come out against the 5.2 MHz secondary allocation
>for Ham radio. This will be the death or delay for a few years
>of a 5.2 MHz band. Oh Well...

I don't know about that, Keith. I think it will delay it a bit, but the ARRL does have the interference studies it did under the experimental license, and Amateur Radio ops are known for cooperating with band plans.

One certain agitator in this NG "dismissed" the ARRL's experimental stations as irrelevant since propagation on this band was already known. However it was situations just like this that the study was accomplished for. Once again good engineering practice and forsight may overcome the govenment's resistance to this allocation.

One of the caveats to the 30 meter band was just that very thing...Avoid certain commerical stations operating on the band. I am sure SOME interference occurs, but I've been on 30 since it opened and have never heard anyone intentionally harrassing the commercial ops.

If anything, maybe they will OK it initially with data only modes until other users can be moved or until avoidance plans can be liberally distributed.

73

Steve, K4YZ

527. Re: 4-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 13:52:33 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Bq2e9.836$637.73270518@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur

"I Own George" <wa3moj@aol.com> wrote in message
news:BQVd9.406430$q53.13870918@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "IMTHEWALRUS" <georgerulez@randysuxs.com> wrote in message
> news:2hVd9.466145$2p2.18456928@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> >
> > "I Own George" <wa3moj@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:R9Ud9.190654$eK6.5347355@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Bob" <federalhillguy@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:al915s$1o0fu9$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de...
> > > > You are a complete moron if you "run it on the chicken band".
> > > > "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1031274550.963453@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> > > > > Does anyone here know what I can expect in watts out of this amp
> with
> > 2,
> > > > > 4-1000's and what will I need to drive it on the chicken band?
> > > > > TNX
> > > > > World Wide Crossfire
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Wow! A nitwit from the smallest worm of a state in the Union posted
> this?
> > > Doesn't Rhode Island have about the same GNP as Allentown, PA? Is the
> > state
> > > even big enough to warrant a Wal-Mart store of their own? Who cares
what
> > > Rhode Islander's think. Certainly not the rest of the country.
> >
> >
> > Yes here is where the infatuation occurs, LOL it consumed Landshark so
> much
> > he killfiled me and couldn't stop himself from replying to me. I see a
> > pattern developing here. First you make up an aol account with the
wa3moj
> > callsign, you then start posting to the NG with the wa3moj addy, next
you
> > start making up posts about conversations between us, your mistake was

> > posting using the email address as your own which tells me you are the
one
> > who made up that wa3moj Aol account. You then post from (xgnon?)
> anonymously
> > trolling the NG with all types of posts. I see your game Randy create
> havoc
> > then blame George , Doug and Aaron. Funny part is your not that bright
and
> > got popped, not hard to put 2 and 2 together.
> > I can feel the love.
> >
> >
>
> It's OK, George. I know I own you, you know I own you. But hey, I'll play
> along with your little "manly" charade so that Nancy doesn't find out.
It's
> just between us, OK.
>
> One more thing, George. Be careful that you don't hurt your little brain
in
> the process of trying to keep all this imaginary stuff you've concocted
> straight. OK, little buddy?
>
>

Enjoy Randy! I liked how it "consumed" me, I
post twice a day, when George Busch WA3MOJ is
on here all during the day, posting on average 200+ times in a
month........ROTFLMAO!.....what a troll George is. All the harassing,
sexual innuendo, racist, accusatory style posts made towards practically
everyone here.
He's an extra class ham operator that talks like this here,
because he can't on the ham bands and in person, the true
epitome of a troll, why else would he have some 250+
screen nics and change it on average every 6 days.
So please George, spew your normal foul language
and have fun troll.....

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

528. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 14:08:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52345

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020906001345.15102.00001989@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/5/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020905183901.03599.00000562@mb-fk.aol.com>
>
> >Tell us about ham operation IN Somalia during the early 90s.
>
> Why don't YOU tell us about "ham operation" from ANYWHERE, Lennie.
>
>
He didn't "operate" from anywhere, not on ham or military freqs. He
babysat a RTTY repeater system the real operators used.

w3rv

529. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 14:42:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209060642.4fd049e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52346

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<mSzd9.2720$b23.65970382@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D7615DD.467BC8BB@townsqr.com...
> >
> > Morse code, to those of us who eagerly took on Incentive
> > Licensing, was no more than another part of radiocommunications,
> > albeit the most basic mode ever derived for radio. That's what
> > makes it so difficult to understand why so many fight it so
> > hard.It is bottom line radiocommunications, that which can be
> > available far more ubiquitously than any other, that which may
> > be there for you when there is no chance of any other mode being
> > available. The higher speeds - which, by the way, 20WPM is not,
> > always has come to those who use it often. Anyone who does not
> > will never progress to that level. Anyone who never starts will
> > never learn to use it even moderately.
>
> Dick, you just got through telling us, that you, as an experienced ham, had
> to study W1AW to get to 20wpm. Didn't you use the code on the air prior to
> restructuring? Apparently not, because you said, even though not fast, 20
> wpm Always comes to those who use it often.
>
> You're not being very truthful, are you?
>
> Are you the ancient equivalent of the modern code-tape Extra?
>
>
Hey Brainiac, I'd been on the air for 14 or so years as a General when
they dropped Incentive Licensing on us. Which I also very strongly
supported at the time. See my rant in QST on the subject. Many of us
who could operate in the 20-50wpm range back then did it easily in our
heads but most of us had a bad time getting 20wpm down on paper. So
yeah of course we copied w1aw in order to get the pencil running as
fast as our heads could. I took the test knowing I could copy 25wpm
solid on paper thankew w1aw and the ARRL. I don't know anybody who had
to upgrade to Extra to retain the privs who didn't use w1aw for this
purpose. Once in awhile I still copy w1aw to beat myself up in the
high-speed runs. Not that you would relate to a bit of it.

w3rv

530. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 16:25:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209060825.4f58ac00@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52347

Keith <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>...
> The NTIA has come out against the 5.2 MHz secondary allocation
> for Ham radio. This will be the death or delay for a few years
> of a 5.2 MHz band. Oh Well...
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/09/05/101/?nc=1
>
>
Yeah, the FBI "needs" 5.247 . . Uh-huh. Right.
>
>
A pizzin' contest between two federal agencies. Gotta love it.
>

w3rv

531. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 16:51:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <alamekkik1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52348

Comments concerning a electronic keyer.

Iambic for sure. Character spacing a definite plus, with 'weight'
adjustment another plus.

As for memories. Basically good for contesting, true. Also useful in CQing
on a dead band. Less wear and tear on your fingers...hi.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209051658.10fea047@posting.google.com...
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<KRHd9.71726$0u4.1190265@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> > I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
> > paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc... :-)
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
> >
> > "Just one more question..."
> >
> >
> We'll get you straight yet! No problem, this is how ya learn.
> >
> >
> For the keyer itslf I keep it really simple. I have one of the little
> $50 MFJ keyers. It can run Iambic modes A & B, it's very compact &
> light, very reliable and runs forever on a 9v internal battery and it
> runs just as well on any 12vdc source. The only feature it doesn't
> have is memories. Which are not particularly useful except in
> contests.
> >
> >
> Per other posts I'm also a Kent paddles user and I wouldn't have any
> other. There are much more expensive paddles but from my perspective
> but there aren't any better paddles at any price. The design is dirt
> simple, the things are built like a tank, the workmanship is superb,
> and they have best "feel" i've ever experienced. And once you adjust
> them the adjustemnts stay put. I also have one of the monster Kent
> KT-1 straight keys, 'nother whole story . . !
> >
> >
> As Dick pointed out though paddles are kinda personal and sorta like
> women, we all have our own tastes in both women and paddles so beware.

> But I had mine in operation in last year's Field Day and several ops
> who owned other paddles used the Kent and the responses basically went
> something like "Wow"!
> >
> >
> http://www.kent-engineers.com/morseKEYindex.htm
> >
> >
> http://www.eham.net/reviews/products/16
> >
> >
> http://www.alphadeltacom.com/pg13.htm
> >
>
> w3rv

532. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 17:00:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <alamv0$dd6$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52349

Hopefully ham radios good record of sharing with government agencies will
prevail.

Dan/W4NTI

"Keith" <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com...
> The NTIA has come out against the 5.2 MHz secondary allocation
> for Ham radio. This will be the death or delay for a few years
> of a 5.2 MHz band. Oh Well...
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/09/05/101/?nc=1
>
>
> --
> Best Regards, Keith NW Oregon Radio http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
> The problem with Linux is that users always BASH Tux's shell. Linux
2.4.7-10
> The human mind ordinarily operates at only ten percent of its capacity
> -- the rest is overhead for the operating system.

533. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 20:04:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906160411.24393.00000279@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 52350

In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> >Tell us about ham operation IN Somalia during the early 90s.
>>
>> Why don't YOU tell us about "ham operation" from ANYWHERE, Lennie.
>>
>He didn't "operate" from anywhere, not on ham or military freqs. He
>babysat a RTTY repeater system the real operators used.

Incorrect.

Long-distance HF communications in the military of a half century ago were principally in the 4 to 18 MHz range, most circuits being 24/7, ranging from short distances to very long such as the path from Tokyo to San Francisco. Antennas varied from delta-match-fed dipoles to rhombics, the latter desired for the longest circuits. The AN/GRC-26, hut-mounted on a 2 1/2 ton truck bed with a towed motor-generator, included both a dipole and rhombic antenna "kit" with masts and guys, stowed under the bench seats of the hut.

The volume of messages precluded the one-operator, one-radio, handwritten or typed message form concept. Messages originated at command or department level at ADA, were transmitted via TTY to the TTY relay floor at Chuo Kogyo, NW of Tokyo, for relay to other units, either on landlines or short radio circuits to in-theater recipients or to the transmitters site or from the receivers site on circuits outside of Japan proper. The majority of communications was by TTY for several reasons: Higher rate (60 WPM); written copies at each circuit end plus readily-available p-tape for re-sending in case of some interruption; encryption could be done on-line. The volume of messages was approximately 220,000 per month in 1955 at ADA. Washington Army Radio handled about 1.2 million per month in the same year. WAR had the beginnings of automatic address preamble reading and forwarding by automatic reroute switching similar to what was later incorporated in AUTODIN.

Besides TTY, there were voice circuits, almost all on "commercial" format SSB (four 3 KHz BW channels simultaneous) with one voice channel used as a voice order-wire or command circuit, one voice channel for radiotelephone use, routing through conventional switchboard equipment accessible only to higher command levels. The remaining two voice-BW channels contained TTY of six to twelve separate circuits frequency-multiplexed ("carrier") either as single-circuit tone pairs or doubled tone pairs for frequency

diversity to overcome most selective fading effects. Each SSB voice channel was frequency-multiplexed into the 12 KHz RF bandwidth at the transmitter (or demultiplexed at the receiver).

Four of the radio circuits at ADA had time-multiplexed TTY to allow four TTYs on one radio circuit using FSK. This required all RF exciters and receiver decoders to replace polar relays with one-tube relay equivalents due to switching speed. RF bandwidth of approximately 1 KHz was retained, doctrinal FSK shift then being 850 Hz for both single-channel RTTY or "mux" RTTY.

There were two radio circuits handled by ADA that were in the "miscellaneous" category not to any one geographic location but flexible depending on need and situation. One was a back-up to an existing "fixed" radio circuit or for routine emergency tests. The other was principally for the FEC Hq. commander's aircraft, single-channel RTTY; third in line priority went to the MARS "station" (an office) use. While that one had OOK CW capability, it was never used as such 1953 to 1956; the special wire circuit carrying on-off keying from a central operating point was removed some time in 1955.

ADA's transmitters totalled 36 in 1953, ranging from 1 KW BC-339s to 15 KW PW-15s. That number was increased by 6 by 1955, transmitter site moved from an island in the Bay of Tokyo to an old WW2 airfield (used by Mitsubishi) north of Tokyo. That new site had its own electric power generation 24/7, 600 KWe in 1954 increased to about 800 KWe in 1955. The increase was necessitated by 40 KW Collins linear amplifiers for four Western Electric LD-T2 SSB transmitters.

The old transmitters site was coupled to Control at Chuo Kogyo by commercial telephone cables and had a partial back-up via always-on VHF radio relay (70 to 90 MHz AN/TRC-1) or UHF radio relay (220 to 250 MHz AN/TRC-8). The new transmitters site had four General Electric 24 voice channel microwave (1.8 GHz) radio relay terminals to replace all wire-line voice circuits. These were full-duplex, always on, two terminals in-use, the other two ready for backup. This "self-containment" in wireline and electric power was to reduce outages experienced with commercial Japanese services...it was not for security purposes.

The GE microwave terminals were designed for unattended operation (intended for pipeline telemetry and control) and had automatic switchover of both transmitter and receiver to running duplicates. There were no spares for the 24 voice channel pulse position modulation mux-demux section requiring about 280 vacuum tubes. Microwave relay was primary at transmitters, as back-up at Control, receiver site, and FEC headquarters. Several severe contingency plans included new AN/TRC-24 radio relay systems, a dozen

GRC-26s, a fixed blockhouse at Hq with two BC-339s and its own PE-95 motor-generator, and a 35 W output hand-cranked R/T that was never successful in the high RF field at transmitters. Based on efficiency of finals versus electric demand, the estimated RF field in transmitters' airfield location was about 300 KW total.

Control at Chuo Kogyo maintained a running account of approximate path loss on each radio path abetted by a medium-bandwidth receiver scanner-recorder at receivers and known propagation characteristics on HF at that time. A commissioned duty officer was always present to over-see all operations. The number of QSYs per day were 2 to 6 per radio path, depending on path location and length and time of year. The only severe HF shut-down was a 3 hour period on local midnight shift in 1955 due to solar activity. A General Radio frequency standard monitored all frequencies and measured the new frequency and shift for RTTY for each QSY, reporting on the command TTY circuit in-spec frequencies or deviation if out of spec. Traffic was not resumed after a QSY until frequency standards reported in-spec measurements.

Total time from order-wire receipt of a QSY from control until standards acknowledged measurement of frequency and spread (frequency shift) on the same order-wire was 3 minutes maximum on RTTY circuits, 1 minute on SSB circuits. WE SSB transmitters were pre-tuned to up to 10 carrier frequencies and crystal controlled. SSB QSY was quick due to presetting and servo-tuning...major delay coming from standards having difficulty reading the pilot carrier frequency with older GR frequency standard.

Receiver site was remote from transmitters and most other RF sources to preclude blocking effects. Most receivers were equipped for crystal control of LOs. Some R-390s were arriving there in 1955 to replace older receivers; GRC-26 systems already had R-390s on board along with one of the last mod versions of the BC-610 transmitter. Some of the FSK demods, outboard units, used automatic servo tuning to track mark and space frequencies, interesting to watch. Space diversity was used where possible, multicouplers allowing sharing of rhombics, the prevalent antenna at receiver site. That site served both Army and USAF highest-level communications needs.

Where encryption was required for sensitive material, that was done in a sub-basement level of FEC Hq at Pershing Heights. While that was manned by personnel of the 8235th, those individuals never "talked shop" away from their basement enclave and one had to be on a proper list scanned by two

separate levels of MPs to enter crypto's outer lobby. The encryption system/protocol/equipment is presumed to be the same as captured on the USS Pueblo several years later and possibly separately revealed by WO Walker, USN, to the USSR (James Bamford's book "Body of Secrets").
Encryption systems have undergone at least two full family changes since the mid 1950s. A small wire terminal for ordinary telephone/teleprinter circuits was located at the same sub-basement level (for technical convenience, not security). Encrypted TTY circuit monitoring on ordinary TTYs appeared as garble, making routine circuit checks by "bridging connections" difficult.

Maintenance of all equipment was by TMs and scheduled, switchover of equipment coordinated with QSY orders. For some equipment, the 1-ton plate transformers of BC-310s (10 KW FSK) in particular, had to be removed for depot repair at Yokosuka-Yokohama. That also applied to most of the external-to-building heat exchangers for BC-610s. Spares were kept in a large storage area at transmitters, enough spares to repair anything on-site except for very bulky items mentioned. Conventional single-emitter low-power antenna measurements were impossible in the high overall RF field at transmitters. SWR was "checked" by a fluorescent tube held on bamboo poles and run along the open-wire transmission lines if there was something suspect on a particular antenna; that was done only twice in 3 years, both time after windstorms. Outside-plant telephone personnel of the battalion did repair of antennas using pole hoist and auger trucks for wooden pole antenna and feedline supports. Most of their work was relocation of feedlines as circuit needs changed.

None of the above can possibly use descriptors such as "babysitting an RTTY repeater." In particular, "repeater" in the amateur radio concept does not apply to old HF radio communications in the military. It may apply somewhat to modern military communications where the message handling has reverted to Message Centers doing the most "work" using high-speed data terminals and automatic routing of messages to a destination through much-larger-quantity multiplexing. Data handling is now basically electronic and paper copies are required only at the ends of a message circuit. Modern signal equipment is described in detail in FM 24-24 freely viewable at the Army Training Command digital library.

While small-unit land military communications was handled by low-VHF HTs and back-pack unencrypted single-channel radios in the 1950s, it is now done by secure communications methods using FHSS and digitized-voice encryption. Modern military

land radio expertise in operation is relegated to proper entry of a "hopset" or keypad entry of network, mode, and other circuit entry...plus checks of built-in indicators such as battery voltage level. Radio-electronics technology advancement has removed the need for arduous knob and switch twiddling while operating or being a kind of encoder-decoder attachment for certain modes.

The point in military communications of today is that the content of the communications is the most important thing, not the way it must be done by certain specialists.

534. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:10:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7919A0.407ED53E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52351

Brian Kelly wrote:

> Keith <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>...
> > The NTIA has come out against the 5.2 MHz secondary allocation
> > for Ham radio. This will be the death or delay for a few years
> > of a 5.2 MHz band. Oh Well...
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/09/05/101/?nc=1
> >
> >
> Yeah, the FBI "needs" 5.247 . . Uh-huh. Right.
> >

Like another hole in the head. When was the last time anyone heard of any fed agency, other than Corps of
Engineers, using HF? And even they must have left it behind, for the most part. I have one of their old 100 watt
Motorola HF desktop base stations that was surplussed. Neat rig, only xtal controlled. I played with it a bit.
Gotta get some sort of hi stability oscillator married up to it sometime. FBI? don't think so.

Dick

>
> >
> A pizzin' contest between two federal agencies. Gotta love it.
> >
>
> w3rv

535. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:28:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <a69e9.208$kn5.8588475@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52352

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...

> Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for
> DXCC credit?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like I
said, we're not all cut from your mold.

536. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:33:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D791EE9.C5CC38CA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52353

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >> >Tell us about ham operation IN Somalia during the early 90s.
> >>
> >> Why don't YOU tell us about "ham operation" from ANYWHERE, Lennie.
> >>
> >He didn't "operate" from anywhere, not on ham or military freqs. He
> >babysat a RTTY repeater system the real operators used.
>
> Incorrect.
>
> Long-distance HF communications in the military of a half century
> ago were principally in the 4 to 18 MHz range, most circuits
> being 24/7, ranging from short distances to very long such as the
> path from Tokyo to San Francisco. Antennas varied from delta-
> match-fed dipoles to rhombics, the latter desired for the longest
> circuits. The AN/GRC-26, hut-mounted on a 2 1/2 ton truck bed
> with a towed motor-generator, included both a dipole and rhombic
> antenna "kit" with masts and guys, stowed under the bench seats
> of the hut.
>
> The volume of messages precluded the one-operator, one-radio,
> handwritten or typed message form concept. Messages originated
> at command or department level at ADA, were transmitted via TTY
> to the TTY relay floor at Chuo Kogyo, NW of Tokyo, for relay to
> other units, either on landlines or short radio circuits to in-theater
> recipients or to the transmitters site or from the receivers site on
> circuits outside of Japan proper. The majority of communications
> was by TTY for several reasons: Higher rate (60 WPM); written
> copies at each circuit end plus readily-available p-tape for re-sending
> in case of some interruption; encryption could be done on-line. The
> volume of messages was approximately 220,000 per month in 1955
> at ADA. Washington Army Radio handled about 1.2 million per
> month in the same year. WAR had the beginnings of automatic
> address preamble reading and forwarding by automatic reroute
> switching similar to what was later incorporated in AUTODIN.
>
> Besides TTY, there were voice circuits, almost all on "commercial"
> format SSB (four 3 KHz BW channels simultaneous) with one
> voice channel used as a voice order-wire or command circuit, one
> voice channel for radiotelephone use, routing through conventional

> switchboard equipment accessible only to higher command levels.
> The remaining two voice-BW channels contained TTY of six to
> twelve separate circuits frequency-multiplexed ("carrier") either as
> single-circuit tone pairs or doubled tone pairs for frequency
> diversity to overcome most selective fading effects. Each SSB
> voice channel was frequency-multiplexed into the 12 KHz RF
> bandwidth at the transmitter (or demultiplexed at the receiver).
>
> Four of the radio circuits at ADA had time-multiplexed TTY to allow
> four TTYs on one radio circuit using FSK. This required all RF
> exciters and receiver decoders to replace polar relays with one-
> tube relay equivalents due to switching speed. RF bandwidth of
> approximately 1 KHz was retained, doctrinal FSK shift then being
> 850 Hz for both single-channel RTTY or "mux" RTTY.
>
> There were two radio circuits handled by ADA that were in the
> "miscellaneous" category not to any one geographic location
> but flexible depending on need and situation. One was a back-
> up to an existing "fixed" radio circuit or for routine emergency
> tests. The other was principally for the FEC Hq. commander's
> aircraft, single-channel RTTY; third in line priority went to the
> MARS "station" (an office) use. While that one had OOK CW
> capability, it was never used as such 1953 to 1956; the
> special wire circuit carrying on-off keying from a central
> operating point was removed some time in 1955.
>
> ADA's transmitters totalled 36 in 1953, ranging from 1 KW
> BC-339s to 15 KW PW-15s. That number was increased by
> 6 by 1955, transmitter site moved from an island in the Bay of
> Tokyo to an old WW2 airfield (used by Mitsubishi) north of
> Tokyo. That new site had its own electric power generation
> 24/7, 600 KWe in 1954 increased to about 800 KWe in 1955.
> The increase was necessitated by 40 KW Collins linear
> amplifiers for four Western Electric LD-T2 SSB transmitters.
>
> The old transmitters site was coupled to Control at Chuo
> Kogyo by commercial telephone cables and had a partial
> back-up via always-on VHF radio relay (70 to 90 MHz
> AN/TRC-1) or UHF radio relay (220 to 250 MHz AN/TRC-8).
> The new transmitters site had four General Electric 24 voice
> channel microwave (1.8 GHz) radio relay terminals to
> replace all wire-line voice circuits. These were full-duplex,
> always on, two terminals in-use, the other two ready for
> backup. This "self-containment" in wireline and electric
> power was to reduce outages experienced with commercial
> Japanese services...it was not for security purposes.
>
> The GE microwave terminals were designed for unattended
> operation (intended for pipeline telemetry and control) and
> had automatic switchover of both transmitter and receiver to

> running duplicates. There were no spares for the 24 voice
> channel pulse position modulation mux-demux section
> requiring about 280 vacuum tubes. Microwave relay was
> primary at transmitters, as back-up at Control, receiver
> site, and FEC headquarters. Several severe contingency
> plans included new AN/TRC-24 radio relay systems, a dozen
> GRC-26s, a fixed blockhouse at Hq with two BC-339s and
> its own PE-95 motor-generator, and a 35 W output hand-
> cranked R/T that was never successful in the high RF field
> at transmitters. Based on efficiency of finals versus electric
> demand, the estimated RF field in transmitters' airfield
> location was about 300 KW total.
>
> Control at Chuo Kogyo maintained a running account of
> approximate path loss on each radio path abetted by a
> medium-bandwidth receiver scanner-recorder at receivers
> and known propagation characteristics on HF at that time.
> A commissioned duty officer was always present to over-
> see all operations. The number of QSYs per day were 2
> to 6 per radio path, depending on path location and length
> and time of year. The only severe HF shut-down was a 3
> hour period on local midnight shift in 1955 due to solar
> activity. A General Radio frequency standard monitored
> all frequencies and measured the new frequency and shift
> for RTTY for each QSY, reporting on the command TTY
> circuit in-spec frequencies or deviation if out of spec.
> Traffic was not resumed after a QSY until frequency
> standards reported in-spec measurements.
>
> Total time from order-wire receipt of a QSY from control
> until standards acknowledged measurement of frequency
> and spread (frequency shift) on the same order-wire was
> 3 minutes maximum on RTTY circuits, 1 minute on SSB
> circuits. WE SSB transmitters were pre-tuned to up to
> 10 carrier frequencies and crystal controlled. SSB QSY
> was quick due to presetting and servo-tuning...major delay
> coming from standards having difficulty reading the pilot
> carrier frequency with older GR frequency standard.
>
> Receiver site was remote from transmitters and most other
> RF sources to preclude blocking effects. Most receivers
> were equipped for crystal control of LOs. Some R-390s
> were arriving there in 1955 to replace older receivers; GRC-26
> systems already had R-390s on board along with one of the
> last mod versions of the BC-610 transmitter. Some of the
> FSK demods, outboard units, used automatic servo tuning
> to track mark and space frequencies, interesting to watch.
> Space diversity was used where possible, multicouplers
> allowing sharing of rhombics, the prevalent antenna at
> receiver site. That site served both Army and USAF highest-

> level communications needs.
>
> Where encryption was required for sensitive material, that was
> done in a sub-basement level of FEC Hq at Pershing Heights.
> While that was manned by personnel of the 8235th, those
> individuals never "talked shop" away from their basement
> enclave and one had to be on a proper list scanned by two
> separate levels of MPs to enter crypto's outer lobby. The
> encryption system/protocol/equipment is presumed to be the
> same as captured on the USS Pueblo several years later and
> possibly separately revealed by WO Walker, USN, to the
> USSR (James Bamford's book "Body of Secrets").
> Encryption systems have undergone at least two full family
> changes since the mid 1950s. A small wire terminal for
> ordinary telephone/teleprinter circuits was located at the
> same sub-basement level (for technical convenience, not
> security). Encrypted TTY circuit monitoring on ordinary TTYs
> appeared as garble, making routine circuit checks by
> "bridging connections" difficult.
>
> Maintenance of all equipment was by TMs and scheduled,
> switchover of equipment coordinated with QSY orders. For
> some equipment, the 1-ton plate transformers of BC-310s
> (10 KW FSK) in particular, had to be removed for depot
> repair at Yokosuka-Yokohama. That also applied to most
> of the external-to-building heat exchangers for BC-610s.
> Spares were kept in a large storage area at transmitters,
> enough spares to repair anything on-site except for very
> bulky items mentioned. Conventional single-emitter low-
> power antenna measurements were impossible in the high
> overall RF field at transmitters. SWR was "checked" by
> a fluorescent tube held on bamboo poles and run along the
> open-wire transmission lines if there was something suspect
> on a particular antenna; that was done only twice in 3 years,
> both time after windstorms. Outside-plant telephone
> personnel of the battalion did repair of antennas using pole
> hoist and auger trucks for wooden pole antenna and feedline
> supports. Most of their work was relocation of feedlines as
> circuit needs changed.
>
> None of the above can possibly use descriptors such as
> "babysitting an RTTY repeater." In particular, "repeater" in
> the amateur radio concept does not apply to old HF radio
> communications in the military. It may apply somewhat to
> modern military communications where the message handling
> has reverted to Message Centers doing the most "work"
> using high-speed data terminals and automatic routing of
> messages to a destination through much-larger-quantity
> multiplexing. Data handling is now basically electronic and
> paper copies are required only at the ends of a message

> circuit. Modern signal equipment is described in detail in
> FM 24-24 freely viewable at the Army Training Command
> digital library.
>
> While small-unit land military communications was handled by
> low-VHF HTs and back-pack unencrypted single-channel radios
> in the 1950s, it is now done by secure communications methods
> using FHSS and digitized-voice encryption. Modern military
> land radio expertise in operation is relegated to proper entry of a
> "hopset" or keypad entry of network, mode, and other circuit
> entry...plus checks of built-in indicators such as battery voltage
> level. Radio-electronics technology advancement has removed
> the need for arduous knob and switch twiddling while operating
> or being a kind of encoder-decoder attachment for certain modes.
>
> The point in military communications of today is that the content
> of the communications is the most important thing, not the way
> it must be done by certain specialists.

BFD. Putzie thinks he was the only army type who ever babysat an AN-GR26 bank. Ballz. Thousands of 'em were in service through Vietnam, at least. Most of the people who handled were not hams, though plenty were, Unlike Putzie, none of them are trying their damnedst to harrass hams on RRAP. My brother spent 6 years in Devens teaching Putzie-types HOW to babysit them.

537. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:35:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Dc9e9.215$4p5.8703009@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52354

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020905091040.03174.00001622@mb-cs.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/4/02 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020904233844.03599.00000527@mb-fk.aol.com>
>
> >Quit trying to win message points by personal insults. It
> > doesn't work.
> >
>
> Dunno about that, Lennie...
>
> So far it's you and Burkie against everyone else. And YOU are the
most
> "personally insulting" person in the NG.
>
> Mrs. L is waiting, Lennie.....

Steve, it's Burke. Lose the name calling or else you'll start sounding like
that Steve that answers every post by Len.

538. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:40:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Sg9e9.217$wq5.8788261@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52356

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:xmzd9.55377$0u4.1021682@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > brian said
> > > > OK Bert, your answer of "a culture deeply rooted tradition" pretty
much
> > > sums
> > > > it up.
> > > >
> > > > But that culture shouldn't use the government as an instrument to
> > > perpetuate
> > > > their good ole boy network.
> > >
> > > Nor should they be used as a crutch.
> >
> > Exactly. The FCC should test what is "required." Nothing more, nothing
>
> > less.
>
> Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is
required.
> Never has been.

Nor you, Dick. But I can discriminate between a superflous requirement when
its compared another requirement.

> > Ex., if 5wpm were good enough to operate code on 15M in 1968, why
wasn't it
> > good enough to operate code on 20M?
>
> It was called Incentives. You learn, you demonstrate that you have
learned,
> you get rewarded. Some people would rather pout than respond. You'd rather
> consider it jumping through hoops than learning. So you got left to pout
outside
> the loop.

My comment stands.

539. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:40:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D79208B.849686F0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52355

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
>
> > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for
> > DXCC credit?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like I
> said, we're not all cut from your mold.

Boy, that's abundantly clear. You think you have to submit cards for the stations who worked you for them to get credit for DXCC?

There's more and more vacant real estate showing up between your ears.

540. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:45:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7921D2.57473D7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52357

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > > news:xmzd9.55377$0u4.1021682@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > > brian said
> > > > > > > OK Bert, your answer of "a culture deeply rooted tradition" pretty
> much
> > > > > sums
> > > > > > > it up.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But that culture shouldn't use the government as an instrument to
> > > > > perpetuate
> > > > > > their good ole boy network.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nor should they be used as a crutch.
> > > >
> > > Exactly. The FCC should test what is "required." Nothing more, nothing
> > >
> > > less.
> >
> > Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is
> required.
> > Never has been.
>
> Nor you, Dick. But I can discriminate between a superflous requirement when
> its compared another requirement.
>
> > > Ex., if 5wpm were good enough to operate code on 15M in 1968, why
> wasn't it
> > > good enough to operate code on 20M?
> >
> > It was called Incentives. You learn, you demonstrate that you have
> learned,
> > you get rewarded. Some people would rather pout than respond. You'd rather
> > consider it jumping through hoops than learning. So you got left to pout
> outside
> > the loop.
>
> My comment stands.

And there you sit, all broken hearted.......

541. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:47:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Jn9e9.226$Sw5.9182422@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52358

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D77C0EE.AA2E3F08@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked about it,
you
> > > > assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your claims
with
> > > > proof. You cannot do so.
> > > >
> > > > No it isn't. You've said it was illegal and that I'm a bootlegger.
Now
> > > > prove it.
> > >
> > > Sorry, Brian. You claim doesn't wash. If you'd been given permission
> > > to operate, you'd have it in writing. You don't.
> >
> > I don't, and I did.
>
> There's a piece of convoluted writing. You don't what and you did what?

What you said. Reading Comp. 101?

> > > > > > > > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has a
> > written
> > > > > > > > form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization. The
U.S.
> > > > > > > > military issues written authorization. Where is yours?
```

> > > > > >
> > > > > > Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it is a
GP
> > form.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation from J5
is
> > > > > > typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.
> > > > >
> > > > > Anyone could have typed that. Show us a real license.
> > >
> > > It is signed by the man in charge of issuing all radio licenses in the
> > > country. The appropriate seal is applied. Copies of the license, my
> > > visa and residency papers and of my passport entry stamp into
> > > Guinea-Bissau were all supplied to the DXCC desk. My operation is
> > > documented and has been accepted.
> >
> > You could have got his name of an ARRL fact sheet on foreign operation.
>
> I guess I got a sample of his handwriting and a copy of the appropriate
> governmental seals too. I carefully crafted the document in fluent
> Portuguese.
> There must be no end to my crafty behavior.

If you say so.

> > Sorry, I didn't have a passport, didn't have a visa, didn't have a
stamp.
> >
> > I had orders to another country and permission from my commander.
>
> I'm sure you can produce your orders. You just can't produce the
> permission.

But my orders say Kenya. Like the DXCC desk accept that for Somalia.

> > > > You simply cannot remember what you said. That's good for you
because
> > you
> > > > > were wrong. No sense in two people remembering your mistakes.
> > >
> > > I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a whole
> > > group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to be
in
> > > writing? Damn!
> > > It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that part.
>
> > I have a license. It says, N0iMD. Check it out on the FCC web site. I
> > never had a license that said T5iMD.
>
> You never had permission which said "Brian Burke" either.

Correct. That is my given name.

> > Who was that guy with the T5 call that had the same suffix as his US
> > callsign? You might want to put Steve on that one and find out if that
khat
> > chewing AK carrying Technical at the K5 traffic circle had a manual
> > typewriter and watermark stationary.
>
> Why don't you drop the fellow a line and ask him?

Steve?

542. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:48:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5p9e9.230$0x5.9192614@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52359

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D76DC4E.54C84208@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a whole
> > >group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to be in
> > >writing? Damn!
> > >It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that part.
> >
> > WHO are they, Judge Heil?
> >
> > Besides Brian Burke, who IN HERE, which IDENTIFIABLE
> > persons have operated in Somalia?
> >
> > Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
> > noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
> > your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
> >
> > Why don't you Issue Orders to have all those who disagree
> > with you locked up?
>
> What's any of this to you, Lenny? You aren't a DXer and you aren't a
> ham.

He's interested in military history. He's writing a documentary on
servicemen who were defamed.

543. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:51:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <vr9e9.232$Xj5.8382740@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52360

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020905183901.03599.00000561@mb-fk.aol.com...
> In article <20020905083511.03174.00001605@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >> Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
> >> noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
> >> your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
> >
> > He's made NO mistakes, Your Geezerness.
>
> Who are you talking about?
>
> No one in here has any "authority," written or otherwise, to
> ban anyone, shut them up, or "rule" on anything.
>
>
> > Brian busted regualtions six-ways to Sunday by not having the proper
> >documentation for his "operation" in Somalia.
>
> Burke cited the ARRL listing. Brian Burke explained his proper
> military permission to operate while on active duty.
>
> Three individuals in this newsgroup have charged him erroneously
> with bootlegging in 1992-1993. Illegal operation is a serious offense.
>
> Has any legal authority arrested Burke or charged him with any
> criminal activity for the 1992-1993 operation in Somalia? No.
>
> What we have here (in another sub-thread) is simply some loud-
> mouth (or rather loud-typing) individuals who get their jollies out
> of saying bad things about others. You are included.
>
> You must PROVE your charges to make them valid. You have
> not.

Len, like the ARRL and W5YI VEC's use of Farnsworth testing, my operation in
Somalia has been given tacit approval by the authorities because of a lack
of prosecution.

Brian

544. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:54:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ou9e9.234$xz5.9348448@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52361

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me
that
> > the
> > > > > French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working
them on
> > > > > 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?
> > > >
> > > > Brian is really rattled. He can't even get THIS stuff right. Does
the
> > > > French allocation really begin at 52 MHZ?
> > >
> > What was it that your French buddy told you on here?
> >
> I was of the opinion that he was YOUR French buddy.

He replied DIRECTLY to you.

Then again, you
> couldn't get his call right or remember the frequency.

Yet you say he was my buddy?

Why not look it
> up? You have all the tables for various countries.
>
> Dave K8MN

I don't work Frenchmen on 6M, in band or out of band, thus I have no need
for that information.

But you obviously do.

545. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:55:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Zu9e9.236$Tz5.9370912@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52362

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D76D7BE.2BB480B1@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > Dick wouldn't know the first thing about operating from a foreign
country,
> > with or without a government.
>
> Don't you ever tire of being wrong?
>
> Dick DL4RQ, '63-64

I was wrong.

546. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:56:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Zv9e9.237$3e5.8035400@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52363

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D76D75A.FAD9989@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D760A3A.4A05489B@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D757C01.974E64D@townsqr.com...
> > > >
> > > > OK, Dick,
> > > >
> > > > 1. Somalia is not issuing licenses. They have no government to do
so.
> > > > 2. At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need
permission
> > from
> > > > the United Nations or your military commander.
> > > > 3. Permission is not a license. It is merely permission. A
license
> > would
> > > > say T5EX, or some such call.
> > >
> > > You just can't be that damned dumb.
> > >
> > Explain.
>
> I rest my case.
>
> Dave K8MN
```

Amongst other things.

547. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:57:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ex9e9.239$pz5.9340219@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52364

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D77C3CD.4830810F@earthlink.net...
> "K�HB" wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >
> > > Your material on pileup handling is also a
> > > source of gems in the rough.
> >
> > Hey, if the pileup gets too rowdy, LA(jg) just goes to a different 2M
> > repeater.
> >
> > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > --
> > There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> > propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> > technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> > sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
> >
> > Glossary: La(jg) = Len Anderson Junior Grade
>
> I guess Mini Me won't be ordering a Kent paddle any time soon.
>
> Dave K8MN

What's wrong with my Brown Bros?

548. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 21:59:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xz9e9.241$gB5.9453611@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52365

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209050140.5c20b0ff@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<J5fd9.1010$lq6.274099428@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D752B74.26A37929@townsqr.com...
> >
> > > Yup. There are resources out there that poor Brian never suspected.
Ya
> > gotta wonder where he was operating if the
> > > other ham there knew nothing of him. Hiding behind closed doors?
> >
> > Dick, why would he know anything of me? I had left prior to March.
> >
> >
> Let's cut the crap Brainiac and get down to the nits and grits. You
> claim to have operated as a T5. You claim to have sent QSL cards to at
> least some of those you worked fron a very rare DXCC country. However
> the DXCC desk has stated that the ARRL has no record of any dxer
> sending in any of your cards for T5 credit.
> >
> >
> Additionally I've surfed all over the web looking any reference to
> "N�IMD/T5" and "T5/N�IMD" but the ONLY place you show up is in a
> recent search for info on your alleged operation by one of the
> regulars in this NG and in the Brianiac Fan Club circuit who is also
> trying to find any reference to your "operation". This is particularly
> odd because a search for any even half rare and/or obscure dx
> operations which actually happened will bring up a flood of hits on
> the Web going back to Gus Browning's and Danny Weil's days.
> >
> >
> How do you explain the obvious contradiction this brings up?? On what
> bands did you operate? What modes? Who did you work from T5? Why
> haven't they submitted cards for credit for snagging you as a T5?
> Hmmm?
>
> w3rv

The ARRL outgoing buro must have lost them.

549. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:06:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NF9e9.246$nD5.9577523@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52366

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, apparently they've never had a problem. I'm good in the
book,
> > no
> > > > > > complaints yet.
> > > > >
> > > > > We have only your claim that you made QSOs from Somalia.
> > > >
> > > > And yet, with only my claim, you call me a bootlegger.
> > > >
> > > > Why are you willing to believe one thing I say, yet not another?
Spock
> > > > would say, "Captain, I find this human quite illogical." I would
just
> > leave
> > > > it at inconsistent.
> > >
> > > I don't care, Brian. You can retain your bootlegger status or if you
> > > prefer, you can say that you are a fabricator and that you made the
> > > whole thing up about operating from Somalia. Several others who
> > > operated there have confirmed that they needed permission in writing
for
> > > amateur radio operation. That leaves you as odd man out.
> >
> > How can you say that? My operations predated any 1992-3 operation.
Anyone
> > coming in after the first wave had time to prepare the very best
> > documentation an correspondence that I did not have the opportunity to
do.
>
> So only the first guys in are stupid?

Are you saying that the first people that deployed into Somalia were stupid?

> > > > > We have only your claim that you have had no complaints.
> > > >
> > > > Except yours. Yet you are not even in my Somali logs. Did you
fudge
> > and
> > > > put me in your logs anyway?
> > >
> > > I've already told you. I was in Botswana. I didn't need Somalia as
> > > K8MN and couldn't have worked you from Botswana for credit toward my
> > > stateside DXCC.
> >
> > Does the DXCC desk not allow Botswanans to apply for DXCC?
>
> I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S. Why would I
> need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a "Botswanan".

Why wouldn't you?

> > > > > We have only your claim that you had permission to operate from
T5.
> > > >
> > > > I know, I know. I only wished you were there, too. Then you could
be
> > an
> > > > eye-witness instead of a squawking bystander.
> > >
> > > I wasn't in the places where you were and you were not in the places
> > > where I operated. I do have documentation which confirms my
permission
> > > to be on the ham bands from each spot. That's where we differ--that
and
> > > the fact that I never wished you were in the spots where I was.
> >
> > You might have improved with a bit of competition. Either that or it
might
> > have driven you to work even more stations out of band.
>
> Would it have been an improvement to skip the paperwork and operate on
> the say-so of the embassy Admin Officer?

Did the Admin Officer have the authority to do so?

> I was never out of band.

You knowingly worked some of them out of band.

> > > > > > Except from you. So what are you doing about it? Squawking?
> > > > >
> > > > > What am I doing about it? I'm exposing your claims of ethical and
> > moral
> > > > > > superiority as a sham.
> > > >
> > > > You're not doing a very good job.

> > >
> > > On the contrary, Brian. I'm doing a fine job of it. Either you were
> > > unethical or stupid in operating from Somalia.
> > >
> > Why? I had permission.
> >
> So you say.

Someone else said also.

> > > > Time to step it up a little or go back to
> > > > working out of banders on 6M.
> > > >
> > > I've always operated where I was permitted to operate. If French ops
> > > working out of their assigned band segments or bootleggers operating
> > > from Somalia call me, it is for others to deal with.
> > > >
> > > It would be interesting to point out that when you've written of my 6m
> > > operations from Tanzania, you were a squawking bystander. I even told
> > > you what you could do about it. You didn't take my advice. Instead,
> > > you claimed the superiority of high moral ground and high amateur
radio
> > > ethics. Those claims have certainly made you look very foolish.
> > >
> > Dasve, and now as a squawking bystander, you can take your own advice.
> >
> Old Dasve is enjoying this far too much to stop now.

So your advice is no good?

550. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:29:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D792C7D.5E107146@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52367

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
>
> > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for
> > DXCC credit?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like I
> said, we're not all cut from your mold.

That isn't what I asked. Out of all of the folks you QSO'd from
Somalia, a rare one even when there is no war going on, doesn't it seem
strange that not a single individual has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD to
the DXCC desk for award credit?

Dave K8MN

551. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:33:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D792D46.F3715C91@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52368

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is
> required.
> > Never has been.
>
> Nor you, Dick. But I can discriminate between a superflous requirement when
> its compared another requirement.

You mean like having written permission to operate from a foreign shore
or your own country?

Dave K8MN

552. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:34:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D792D85.8956F191@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52369

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for
> > > DXCC credit?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like I
> > said, we're not all cut from your mold.
>
> Boy, that's abundantly clear. You think you have to submit cards for the
> stations who worked you for them to get credit for DXCC?
>
> There's more and more vacant real estate showing up between your ears.

But Brian's a DXer, Dick. After all, he told us so.

Dave K8MN

553. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:40:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D792EFA.BE599EB6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52370

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D77C0EE.AA2E3F08@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked about it,
> you
> > > > > > > assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your claims
> with
> > > > > > > proof. You cannot do so.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No it isn't. You've said it was illegal and that I'm a bootlegger.
> Now
> > > > > > prove it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry, Brian. You claim doesn't wash. If you'd been given permission
> > > > > to operate, you'd have it in writing. You don't.
> > > >
> > > > I don't, and I did.
> > >
> > There's a piece of convoluted writing. You don't what and you did what?
>
> What you said. Reading Comp. 101?

Is that the class giving you problems. Okay, I'll read it in one of the
many ways it could be construed: You don't have permission to operate
```

but you did so anyway. How about: You don't have it in writing but you
once did.

> > > > > > > > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has a
> > > written
> > > > > > > > > form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization. The
> U.S.
> > > > > > > > > military issues written authorization. Where is yours?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it is a
> GP
> > > form.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation from J5
> is
> > > > > > > typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Anyone could have typed that. Show us a real license.
> > > > >
> > > > It is signed by the man in charge of issuing all radio licenses in the
> > > > country. The appropriate seal is applied. Copies of the license, my
> > > > visa and residency papers and of my passport entry stamp into
> > > > Guinea-Bissau were all supplied to the DXCC desk. My operation is
> > > > documented and has been accepted.
> > >
> > You could have got his name of an ARRL fact sheet on foreign operation.
> >
> > I guess I got a sample of his handwriting and a copy of the appropriate
> > governmental seals too. I carefully crafted the document in fluent
> > Portuguese.
> > There must be no end to my crafty behavior.
>
> If you say so.

I say so. The government of Guinea-Bissau says so. The DXCC desk says
so.

> > > Sorry, I didn't have a passport, didn't have a visa, didn't have a
> stamp.
> > >
> > > I had orders to another country and permission from my commander.
> >
> > I'm sure you can produce your orders. You just can't produce the
> > permission.
>
> But my orders say Kenya. Like the DXCC desk accept that for Somalia.

I'm not going to argue that point. I'll agree that you have neither
orders for Somalia nor written permission to operate from there. I
guess you don't have a leg to stand on.
>

> > > > > You simply cannot remember what you said. That's good for you
> because
> > > you
> > > > > were wrong. No sense in two people remembering your mistakes.
> > > >
> > > > I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a whole
> > > > group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to be
> in
> > > > writing? Damn!
> > > > It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that part.
> >
> > > I have a license. It says, N0iMD. Check it out on the FCC web site. I
> > > never had a license that said T5iMD.
> >
> > You never had permission which said "Brian Burke" either.
>
> Correct. That is my given name.

I knew that part. Do you have written permission to operate under a
pseudonym?

Dave K8MN

554. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:41:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D792F58.F5603552@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52371

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D76DC4E.54C84208@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a whole
> > > >group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to be in
> > > >writing? Damn!
> > > >It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that part.
> > >
> > > WHO are they, Judge Heil?
> > >
> > > Besides Brian Burke, who IN HERE, which IDENTIFIABLE
> > > persons have operated in Somalia?
> > >
> > > Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
> > > noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
> > > your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
> > >
> > > Why don't you Issue Orders to have all those who disagree
> > > with you locked up?
> >
> > What's any of this to you, Lenny? You aren't a DXer and you aren't a
> > ham.
>
> He's interested in military history. He's writing a documentary on
> servicemen who were defamed.

He'll have to find one first.

Dave K8MN

555. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:43:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52372

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020905183901.03599.00000561@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > In article <20020905083511.03174.00001605@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >
> > >> Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
> > >> noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
> > >> your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
> > >
> > > He's made NO mistakes, Your Geezerness.
> >
> > Who are you talking about?
> >
> > No one in here has any "authority," written or otherwise, to
> > ban anyone, shut them up, or "rule" on anything.
> >
> >
> > > Brian busted regualtions six-ways to Sunday by not having the proper
> > >documentation for his "operation" in Somalia.
> >
> > Burke cited the ARRL listing. Brian Burke explained his proper
> > military permission to operate while on active duty.
> >
> > Three individuals in this newsgroup have charged him erroneously
> > with bootlegging in 1992-1993. Illegal operation is a serious offense.
> >
> > Has any legal authority arrested Burke or charged him with any
> > criminal activity for the 1992-1993 operation in Somalia? No.
> >
> > What we have here (in another sub-thread) is simply some loud-
> > mouth (or rather loud-typing) individuals who get their jollies out
> > of saying bad things about others. You are included.
> >
> > You must PROVE your charges to make them valid. You have
> > not.
>
> Len, like the ARRL and W5YI VEC's use of Farnsworth testing, my operation in
> Somalia has been given tacit approval by the authorities because of a lack
> of prosecution.

Maybe that'll work for speeding and shoplifting too.
```

Dave K8MN

556. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:45:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <albb5guci1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52373

You will find MARS, FEMA and some other federal government HF users there.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7919A0.407ED53E@townsqr.com...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > Keith <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>...
> > > The NTIA has come out against the 5.2 MHz secondary allocation
> > > for Ham radio. This will be the death or delay for a few years
> > > of a 5.2 MHz band. Oh Well...
> > >
> > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/09/05/101/?nc=1
> > >
> > >
> > Yeah, the FBI "needs" 5.247 . . Uh-huh. Right.
> > >
>
> Like another hole in the head. When was the last time anyone heard of any
fed agency, other than Corps of
> Engineers, using HF? And even they must have left it behind, for the most
part. I have one of their old 100 watt
> Motorola HF desktop base stations that was surplussed. Neat rig, only xtal
controlled. I played with it a bit.
> Gotta get some sort of hi stability oscillator married up to it sometime.
FBI? don't think so.
>
> Dick
>
>
>
> >
> > >
> > A pizzin' contest between two federal agencies. Gotta love it.
> > >
> >
> > w3rv
>
>
>
>

557. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:46:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D793065.20439B5C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52374

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me
> that
> > > the
> > > > > French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working
> them on
> > > > > 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?
> > > >
> > > > Brian is really rattled. He can't even get THIS stuff right. Does
> the
> > > > French allocation really begin at 52 MHZ?
> > >
> > > What was it that your French buddy told you on here?
> >
> > I was of the opinion that he was YOUR French buddy.
>
> He replied DIRECTLY to you.

I didn't care much for him.

> Then again, you
> > couldn't get his call right or remember the frequency.
>
> Yet you say he was my buddy?

You two have much in common.

> Why not look it
> > up? You have all the tables for various countries.

> I don't work Frenchmen on 6M, in band or out of band, thus I have no need
> for that information.

I'm sorry for your handicap. Are you now restricting your lookups of
those you check before working to French ops on 6m?
>
> But you obviously do.

Your previous posts indicated that you are always make sure that the
stations calling you are operating within their allocated band segment.

Dave K8MN

558. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:47:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7930BE.104AA5B1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52375

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D77C3CD.4830810F@earthlink.net...
> > "Kï¿½HB" wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> > >
> > > > Your material on pileup handling is also a
> > > > source of gems in the rough.
> > >
> > > Hey, if the pileup gets too rowdy, LA(jg) just goes to a different 2M
> > > repeater.
> > >
> > > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > > --
> > > There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> > > propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> > > technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> > > sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
> > >
> > > Glossary: La(jg) = Len Anderson Junior Grade
> >
> > I guess Mini Me won't be ordering a Kent paddle any time soon.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> What's wrong with my Brown Bros?

It is dusty.

Dave K8MN

559. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 22:59:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52376

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > > > I don't care, Brian. You can retain your bootlegger status or if you
> > > > > prefer, you can say that you are a fabricator and that you made the
> > > > > whole thing up about operating from Somalia. Several others who
> > > > > operated there have confirmed that they needed permission in writing
> for
> > > > > amateur radio operation. That leaves you as odd man out.
> > > >
> > > > How can you say that? My operations predated any 1992-3 operation.
> Anyone
> > > > coming in after the first wave had time to prepare the very best
> > > > documentation an correspondence that I did not have the opportunity to
> do.
> > >
> > > So only the first guys in are stupid?

> Are you saying that the first people that deployed into Somalia were stupid?

So far, we only have you confirmed as stupid. The others seemed to
realize the necessity for obtaining written documentation.

> > > > > > We have only your claim that you have had no complaints.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Except yours. Yet you are not even in my Somali logs. Did you
> fudge
> > > > and
> > > > > > put me in your logs anyway?
> > > > >
> > > > > I've already told you. I was in Botswana. I didn't need Somalia as
> > > > > K8MN and couldn't have worked you from Botswana for credit toward my
> > > > > stateside DXCC.
> > > >
```

> > > Does the DXCC desk not allow Botswanans to apply for DXCC?
> >
> > I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S. Why would I
> > need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a "Botswanan".
>
> Why wouldn't you?

Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me to run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why wouldn't I apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied for DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which I operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a DXCC total for myself.

> > > > I wasn't in the places where you were and you were not in the places
> > > > where I operated. I do have documentation which confirms my
> permission
> > > > to be on the ham bands from each spot. That's where we differ--that
> and
> > > > the fact that I never wished you were in the spots where I was.
> > >
> > > You might have improved with a bit of competition. Either that or it
> might
> > > have driven you to work even more stations out of band.
> >
> > Would it have been an improvement to skip the paperwork and operate on
> > the say-so of the embassy Admin Officer?
>
> Did the Admin Officer have the authority to do so?

Ask him. He is as available as is your former commander--the one who supposedly issued verbal permission to operate.

> I was never out of band.
>
> You knowingly worked some of them out of band.

I was never out of band when working anyone.

> > > > > > > Except from you. So what are you doing about it? Squawking?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What am I doing about it? I'm exposing your claims of ethical and
> > > moral
> > > > > > superiority as a sham.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're not doing a very good job.
> > > >
> > > > On the contrary, Brian. I'm doing a fine job of it. Either you were
> > > > unethical or stupid in operating from Somalia.
> > >
> > > Why? I had permission.
> >

> > So you say.
>
> Someone else said also.

Yep. Some guy told you it was okay...
So you say.

> > > > > Time to step it up a little or go back to
> > > > > working out of banders on 6M.
> > > >
> > > > I've always operated where I was permitted to operate. If French ops
> > > > working out of their assigned band segments or bootleggers operating
> > > > from Somalia call me, it is for others to deal with.
> > > >
> > > > It would be interesting to point out that when you've written of my 6m
> > > > operations from Tanzania, you were a squawking bystander. I even told
> > > > you what you could do about it. You didn't take my advice. Instead,
> > > > you claimed the superiority of high moral ground and high amateur
> radio
> > > > ethics. Those claims have certainly made you look very foolish.
> > >
> > > Dasve, and now as a squawking bystander, you can take your own advice.
> >
> > Old Dasve is enjoying this far too much to stop now.
>
> So your advice is no good?

My advice was directed to you since the matter seemed of great concern
to me.
It is still of no concern to me.

Dave K8MN

560. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 23:07:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906190706.15779.00000537@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52377

In article <d22b54c3.0209060642.4fd049e4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>I'd been on the air for 14 or so years as a General when
>they dropped Incentive Licensing on us. Which I also very strongly
>supported at the time. See my rant in QST on the subject.

I did. Good stuff.

>Many of us
>who could operate in the 20-50wpm range back then did it easily in our
>heads but most of us had a bad time getting 20wpm down on paper. So
>yeah of course we copied w1aw in order to get the pencil running as
>fast as our heads could.

Though of us who had no trouble writing it down (traffic handlers and such) used W1AW as a prime source of machine-sent code of known speed and unpredictable content to see just how good we really were.

>I took the test knowing I could copy 25wpm
>solid on paper thankew w1aw and the ARRL.

Same here - had the code proficiency certificate and endorsements more than a year before FCC would let me take the test.

>I don't know anybody who had
>to upgrade to Extra to retain the privs who didn't use w1aw for this
>purpose.

Some used W6OWP...

>Once in awhile I still copy w1aw to beat myself up in the
>high-speed runs.

Listening to actual amateur radio stations on-the-air - watta concept!

73 de Jim, N2EY

561. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 23:18:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <fJae9.12147$6i4.970233@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52378

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

> When was the last time anyone heard of any fed agency, other than Corps of
> Engineers, using HF?

DoD is just one of many federal agency for which NTIA manages HF spectrum
requirements.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

562. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 23:28:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906192845.00896.00001989@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52379

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/6/02 3:04 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020906160411.24393.00000279@mb-mc.aol.com>

>>He didn't "operate" from anywhere, not on ham or military freqs. He
>>babysat a RTTY repeater system the real operators used.
>
> Incorrect.
>
> Long-distance HF communications in the military of a half century
> ago....(SNIP)

Yada yada yada......

YOU didn't set up the station...YOU didn't make the schedules...YOU didn't actually "handle" the traffic...You pushed the buttons and stirred the pot.

A clerk.

>The volume of messages precluded the one-operator, one-radio,
> handwritten or typed message form concept...(SNIP)

As I said...A clerk.

>Besides TTY, there were voice circuits, almost all on "commercial"
> format SSB (four 3 KHz BW channels simultaneous) with one
> voice channel...(SNIP)

An appliance operator in uniform.

>Four of the radio circuits at ADA had time-multiplexed TTY to allow...(SNIP)

More of same crap you've tried to impress us with about "your" operation...It wasn't "yours". It was the federal governments. You were just a clerk.

>The GE microwave terminals were designed for unattended
> operation...(SNIP)

And I am sure your presence was irrelevent in any case.

Over 12,000 bytes of USENET bandwidth to reiterate the same techno-babble you like to re-tell in order to impress yourslef.

Nice job, Your Putziness.

>The point in military communications of today is that the content
> of the communications is the most important thing, not the way

> it must be done by certain specialists.

YOU seem to be impressed with the "way" it WAS sent...Over half a century ago...And in no way related to PRESENT day Amateur Radio or Amateur Radio policy.

Putz.

563. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-06 23:31:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906193129.00896.00001990@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52380

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/6/02 5:43 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net>

>> Len, like the ARRL and W5YI VEC's use of Farnsworth testing, my operation
>in
>> Somalia has been given tacit approval by the authorities because of a lack
>> of prosecution.
>
>Maybe that'll work for speeding and shoplifting too.

Dave, isn't that the same "defense" used by Lt Calley after Mei Lai?

73

Steve, K4YZ

564. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 00:59:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D794F5B.35B2DDC8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52381

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> > When was the last time anyone heard of any fed agency, other than Corps of
> > Engineers, using HF?
>
> DoD is just one of many federal agency for which NTIA manages HF spectrum
> requirements.
>

Yeah. But when hams want a measly 150 kc at 5mc suddenly that becomes valuable spectrum, even if it is almost never used. Baloney.
Looks like more of the standard "defend it because someone else wants it and we don't give up anything, anytime, for any reason".

565. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 01:44:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <albImb$e8q$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52382

Now you got it. Better hope UPS don't want it...then its really gone.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D794F5B.35B2DDC8@townsqr.com...
> "K&#65533;HB" wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
> >
> > > When was the last time anyone heard of any fed agency, other than
Corps of
> > > Engineers, using HF?
> >
> > DoD is just one of many federal agency for which NTIA manages HF
spectrum
> > requirements.
> >
>
> Yeah. But when hams want a measly 150 kc at 5mc suddenly that becomes
valuable
> spectrum, even if it is almost never used. Baloney.
> Looks like more of the standard "defend it because someone else wants it
and we
> don't give up anything, anytime, for any reason".
>

566. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 01:49:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906214915.15779.00000550@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52383

In article <3D76D08F.BA21FF17@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>K7JEB wrote:
>
>> I wrote:
>>
>> >> In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
>> >> of incentive licensing were derailed by including
>> >> higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.
>> >> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
>> >> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
>> >> technical competence.
>>
>> Dick Carroll, W0EX, wrote:
>>
>> > Jim, Having gone through the process long ago, I
>> > can't agree with that. It would be true only for
>> > those who for whatever reason found themselves
>> > unwilling or unable to complete the process.
>> > Technical learning - not necessarily competence-
>> > was always the major part of it. No, not learning
>> > to the level of engineering, but advanced learning
>> > in radio theory and the practical application of
>> > that learning......
>>
>> I guess my expectation for incentive licensing when
>> it was (re-)instituted in 1968 was that it would raise
>> the value and prestige of amateur radio licenses
>> back to the level they enjoyed in the 1950's when a
>> general-class ticket was good enough for a job as
>> an audio engineer at a local radio station. And the
>> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
>> AM-TV operation.
>
>Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
>Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
>some earlier point.

I don't know how true that is, Dick. I knew lots of Extras around here who were
simply active hams who wanted the top license, even before Incentive Licensing.
Their motivation was "Because it's there".

>> It can be seen now that in 1968 technology and
>> industry was moving past amateur radio in ways

>> that made much of the skill and knowledge required
>> to achieve a license obsolete or of no interest to the
>> electronics and communications business areas.
>> It has been suggested that technical and
>> operational excellence are their own rewards. But
>> the rationale behind incentive licensing was to
>> upgrade amateur radio within the context of the
>> larger national need for trained individuals with
>> relevant skills and expertise. However, it was
>> evident then, and is even more so now, that this
>> would be difficult to do given the ever-widening
>> gap between amateur radio and the rest of the
>> technological world.

> Valid point, but do we know how many hams who were stimulated
>by making their way through incentive licensing would up as
>degreed electronics engineers?

Amateur radio put me on that path. Would I have gotten my degrees without incentive licensing? Probably.

>I know of some. Would they have done so without incentive
>licensing?
> No one could even remotely foresee what has happened in the
>interim years. To expect FCC to act too much differently seems
>unrealistic.

The other day I came across an interesting article in QST for August, 1973, pae 75. It was portions of a talk by W4BW, who some may remember as A. Prose Walker. He was FCC's Chief of the Amateur & Citizens Radio Division at the time.

His comments indicate some things under consideration at the FCC in those days (early 1970s). Included are such things as his thinking that the use of FCC engineers to give exams was a waste of resources, redefining the basis and purposes of the ARS, and requiring certain technical standards of amateur equipment. He also questions the validity of the Conditional license. Here's an exact quote:

(Remember, this is A. Prose Walker speaking in 1973)

"We have around 30,000 Conditional Class licensees now, and without doubt many of them obtained their licenses fraudulently. Even after moving to a city where there is an FCC Office, many refuse as long as possible to take the examination, and what they dream up as excuses would make your head swim"

WOW!

>> > Morse code, to those of us who eagerly took on
>> > Incentive Licensing, was no more than another part
>> > of radiocommunications, albeit the most basic mode
>> > ever derived for radio. That's what makes it so
>> > difficult to understand why so many fight it so

>> > hard.It is bottom line radiocommunications, that
>> > which can be available far more ubiquitously than
>> > any other, that which may be there for you when
>> > there is no chance of any other mode being
>> > available......
>>
>> For whatever reasons, the rest of communications
>> technology was moving away from using it as fast
>> as it could, seemingly willing to tolerate the
>> occasional outages and failures of voice and
>> teleprinter circuits instead of utilizing (and
>> handsomely remunerating) the manual radiotelegraphy
>> skills honed by amateur radio operators as a
>> product of incentive licensing. This checkbook
>> voting by industry negated the relevance of
>> the code-testing part of incentive licensing
>> to the national need.
>
>Throughout this period Morse was in full usage in the maritime
>world, as well as significant use in military ops, though of
>course RTTY and some other modes were indeed taking over. Lots
>of Morse was used for reasons of economy, it was simply cheaper,
>even with paying the operators, who usually performed other
>duties as well.

It should be remembered that Morse capability was required by law in maritime operations. All ships over a certain size had to have the capability and the operators - no exceptions.

>Only within the past decade has that use been
>drastically reduced, and at that, not totally eliminated in the
>military, particularly for monitoring of other operations.
>But no question, ham radio generates few of the type of skills
>it was once noted for providing to the job market.

That's because of the divergence of technologies between the ARS and other services.

Before, during and shortly after WW2, the technologies used by hams and the military/commercial folks were not radically different, for the most part. But after the war, the military and commercial services went in directions where hams had little interest. For example, AM broadcasting gave way to FM and FM stereo, TV and color TV. Military use of Morse with relatively simple equipment gave way to RTTY with much more complex equipment. A WW2 BC-342 is not much different from a typical '40s ham superhet - the '342 is more rugged, but radio-performance-wise and complexity-wise it's pretty similar. In fact in many ways the '342 is inferior! The same cannot be said of, say, the early-50s vintage R-390 series compared to most ham gear of that era.

>> > ......Whatever anyone says about the
>> > study guides of the era - they did not, for
>> > anything more than the basics of terminology such

>> > as basic Ohm's law and frequency allocations and
>> > such other subjects which must be studied on the
>> > most basic level and learned by rote memorization
>> > before understanding takes place, contain the
>> > exact questions and their exact answers....
>>
>> And that's the other shoe falling on incentive
>> licensing - the sea change that occurred at the
>> FCC when they were redirected away from being
>> social engineers of the airwaves and told not
>> to interfere with the free-market-driven wireless
>> communications industry. Which meant that they
>> were no longer in the business of qualifying
>> employees whose duties tangentially involved
>> the radiation of radio-frequency energy. So
>> there is now no FCC-proctored technical skill
>> set to aspire to master with the expectation that
>> those skills will be recognized by society at large
>> and, again, be subject to remunerative employment.
>>
>> Without relevance to either operational skills or
>> technical expertise at the national societal level,
>> incentive licensing is finally seen for what it
>> really is, an utter failure in governmental policy.
>
> From today's perspective it can easily be seen as such. What
>would have been the result of no action? What would have been
>the result of tougher technical exams and no code test? Now it
>really doesn't matter, for as you say, FCC no longer qualifies
>technical people beyond the formalities required by
>international treaty. If not for the treaties I really doubt if
>any service would be licensed which doesn't generate cash.

I disagree on that last part. FCC got a very rude lesson in what happens when a service is inadequately regulated. FCC was used to being able to maintain order with relatively little trouble.

Then FCC created the 27 MHz cb service, which required no tests at all. At first things were orderly, but by the late '60s the FCC had pretty much lost control of 27 MHz cb. And the cb culture bred freebanding, over which FCC has even less control.

>There is little about qualifying for any ham radio license
>today that translates to the current job market. I doubt
>anything FCC could have done in the 60's could have changed
>that.

Agreed. The causes were many and varied. I have written about some of them in other posts.

> Looking at it from a late 1950's perspective, when FCC began
>thinking about making changes in ham radio licensing with a view

>toward upgrading hams' technical abilities, it undoubtedly
>wouldn't seem like that at all. The problem then was how to get
>hams off their duffs and into a learning mode, and how to craft
>the changes given the constraints present. FCC initially wanted
>to do considerably more than they finally did toward moving hams
>toward increased technical skill. It was as though it was
>expected that hams would learn as payment for their use of the
>airwaves.

'zactly. But there's more to it:

- FCC was rethinking the 11th hour giveaway of December 1952
- FCC wanted hams to use more spectrum-efficient modes like CW, RTTY and SSB in preference to AM voice, and to use the VHF/UHF bands more, to take the pressure off HF.

>All that has since been turned into something of a
>personal rights bazaar.
>
> I think you expected too much of it all. Hams who have had no
>technical training
>beyond what they get for ham radio licensing are generally not
>stimulated toward deeper technical topics. Those who find they
>have the aptitude and interest often follow it to much bigger
>and better things, even today in some way. Did incentive
>licensing support or detract from that situation? I think the
>way one answers that depends on ones viewpoint toward incentive
>licensing which generally centers on how one feels toward the
>Morse code requirement. Those who went right on and qualified
>just because it was a requirement generally are supportive while
>those who had no interest in CW and therefore believe it should
>not have been a requirement feel otherwise. But it seems to me
>a bit of a stretch to pronounce incentive licensing a failure
>merely because of the Morse code requirement.

Agreed. The real problem with IL was that many General and Conditional class hams thought of their operating privileges as inalienable rights that should never change. They did NOT like the idea that they were being declared unqualified to do what they had been doing for years, and required to take more tests to regain the same privileges.

Perhaps what could have been done back in those days would be to have offered a different incentive. For example, higher power (the legal limit was effectively doubled back in the early 1980s without anybody taking a test). Or the 'phone bands could have been widened, but only for those who had higher class licenses.
>
> In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full
>code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy
>way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was
>seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one
>with none.

Trouble with that scheme is that the treaty made it HF-or-no-HF, too.

I take a different view. I think it was a mistake to (re)create licenses with 5 wpm code tests, way back in 1951. 5 wpm testing was based on a number of mistaken ideas about how code skills are learned, and caused many to pick up bad habits that had to be unlearned. You don't teach a child language by speaking to the child verrrrry slowwwwwly.

Here's another idea for the mix:

Except for a few who learned the code outside of ham radio, most new hams for the past several decades have learned the code to get the ham licenses requiring it. In other words, the code test has acted as a Great Equalizer for the vast majority of HF-licensed hams. Unlike the written, it cannot be learned from a book, or from most people's work or education. Instead, it is specific to ham radio. Some people just don't like that concept. They don't want to be put on the same level as other hams.

> An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen
>as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping
>it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given
>that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still
>is, for an awful lot of hams.

And if I have anything to do about it, will still be there, long after the test is gone.

73 de Jim, N2EY

567. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 01:58:20 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <P5de9.11226$rU2.353325@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52384

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D77625F.F61B37EA@townsqr.com...
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
> > I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
> > paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc... :-)
>
> "Feel" means a lot, so it's a lot like shotgunning. If the gun
> handles right for you, and comes up right, doubling on a covey rise
> is a snap. If not, well.....

Gotcha, I have a sneaking suspicion that I'll have more than just one paddle before too long. :-)

> It would be nice if you could visit a vendor where they have a few
> on display that you can get your hands on. I have four different
> iambic paddles, two are Vibroplex brand, one of those is a magnetic
> - hold version. But my favorite is the other mechanical spring type
> Vibroplex. I bought it used at a small ham radio store near Salt Lake
> City. When I handled it on the counter, I knew I wanted it - it had
> the right "feel".. It's also easier to adjust and "fine tune". And
> it just has a better more natural feel while using it, for me. Your
> tastes may vary.

I know it's like comparing apples to oranges, but I have a Vibroplex straight key. I do like their quality. I really want that LI Mercury from KG2ED. I sent him an inquiry via "snail-mail" as I could not locate a working e-mail addy. I'm keeping my fingers crossed.

> When you get an iambic paddle that you can use well, easy sending
> of good CW with it is a effortless.

That's what I'm aiming for. I eventually want the paddle/keyer to "beg for mercy" whem I'm "in the zone." ;-)

> That is, until arthiritis starts
> messing with the fingers.That can clabber things up a bit.

Here's a funny...kinda. I took a mis-step a few weeks ago while playing volley ball and kinda bruised my instep. Not bad, mind you...but enough to feel it. This is the type of injury that I'd have bounced back from in a matter of minutes. So I took it easy the rest of the day. WELL! At around 3 a.m., (About an hour befor I usually wake up to go to work.) my arch/instep actually woke me up and I could hardly walk from the bedroom to the bathroom...needless to say, I took a sickday. When I called my father up and he was sure that I was merely "bruised, but not broken," he quipped..."Don't

bounce back like you used to, eh hump?" Then I remembered all the times that I made him ride through Prospect Park with me...a day after he had to run down a purse snatcher. Or made him play football (soccer) with me when I knew he was tired. The punisher was now the punished...because on that "sick day," my 18-mo. old lad had me playing with him too. I then realized that I'd never really "made" dad do anything...no more than my boy had "made" me do anything. But that foot sure did hurt like a son of a bitch! (& dad snickered.)

> Dick

73 de Bert
WA2SI

568. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:07:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ubde9.399$137.15269079@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52385

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D79208B.849686F0@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for
> > > DXCC credit?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like I
> > said, we're not all cut from your mold.
>
> Boy, that's abundantly clear. You think you have to submit cards for the
> stations who worked you for them to get credit for DXCC?

Is that what I said? I'm waiting to hear the "POP!!!" when you pull your
head out.

> There's more and more vacant real estate showing up between your ears.

And mortgage rates are low.

569. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:07:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Sbde9.402$2Z6.14906556@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52386

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D792D85.8956F191@earthlink.net...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card
for
> > > > DXCC credit?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like
I
> > > said, we're not all cut from your mold.
> >
> > Boy, that's abundantly clear. You think you have to submit cards for the
> > stations who worked you for them to get credit for DXCC?
> >
> > There's more and more vacant real estate showing up between your ears.
>
> But Brian's a DXer, Dick. After all, he told us so.

That I am.

570. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:09:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4dde9.404$T37.15324351@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52387

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D792C7D.5E107146@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for
> > > DXCC credit?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like I
> > said, we're not all cut from your mold.
>
> That isn't what I asked. Out of all of the folks you QSO'd from
> Somalia, a rare one even when there is no war going on, doesn't it seem
> strange that not a single individual has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD to
> the DXCC desk for award credit?
>
> Dave K8MN

Yes, it does. Makes your claim that they were rejected sound like just so
much speculation.

Was it just speculation?

571. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:10:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Kede9.407$n47.15347738@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52388

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D792D46.F3715C91@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is
> > required.
> > > Never has been.
> >
> > Nor you, Dick. But I can discriminate between a superflous requirement
when
> > its compared another requirement.
>
> You mean like having written permission to operate from a foreign shore
> or your own country?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, produce the Somalian equivalent of Part 97 and I'll take a look.

572. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:18:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <vlde9.417$m77.15541319@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52389

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D792EFA.BE599EB6@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D77C0EE.AA2E3F08@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked about
it,
> > > > > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > > > assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your
claims
> > > > > > > > with
> > > > > > > > > > > proof. You cannot do so.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > No it isn't. You've said it was illegal and that I'm a
bootlegger.
> > > > > > > > Now
> > > > > > > > > > > prove it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Sorry, Brian. You claim doesn't wash. If you'd been given
permission
> > > > > > > > to operate, you'd have it in writing. You don't.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I don't, and I did.
> > > > > > > >
```

> > > There's a piece of convoluted writing. You don't what and you did
what?
> >
> > What you said. Reading Comp. 101?
>
> Is that the class giving you problems. Okay, I'll read it in one of the
> many ways it could be construed: You don't have permission to operate
> but you did so anyway. How about: You don't have it in writing but you
> once did.

Read it any way you want. What difference do you make?

> > > > > > > > > > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has
a
> > > > written
> > > > > > > > > > form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization.
The
> > U.S.
> > > > > > > > > > military issues written authorization. Where is yours?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it
is a
> > GP
> > > > form.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation
from J5
> > is
> > > > > > > > typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Anyone could have typed that. Show us a real license.
> > > > > >
> > > > > It is signed by the man in charge of issuing all radio licenses in
the
> > > > > country. The appropriate seal is applied. Copies of the license,
my
> > > > > visa and residency papers and of my passport entry stamp into
> > > > > Guinea-Bissau were all supplied to the DXCC desk. My operation is
> > > > > documented and has been accepted.
> > > >
> > > You could have got his name of an ARRL fact sheet on foreign
operation.
> > >
> > > I guess I got a sample of his handwriting and a copy of the
appropriate
> > > governmental seals too. I carefully crafted the document in fluent
> > > Portuguese.
> > > There must be no end to my crafty behavior.
> >
> > If you say so.
>

> I say so.

So you're crafty? You must have a lot in common with Marth Stewart.

> The government of Guinea-Bissau says so.

The gov't of Guinea-Bissau says you're crafty? Maybe they're on to
something.

> The DXCC desk says so.

Oh no! Even the DXCC desk says you're crafty? What is the Worlds Greatest
DXer going to do?

> > > > Sorry, I didn't have a passport, didn't have a visa, didn't have a
> > stamp.
> > > >
> > > > I had orders to another country and permission from my commander.
> > >
> > > I'm sure you can produce your orders. You just can't produce the
> > > permission.
> >
> > But my orders say Kenya. Like the DXCC desk accept that for Somalia.
>
> I'm not going to argue that point. I'll agree that you have neither
> orders for Somalia nor written permission to operate from there. I
> guess you don't have a leg to stand on.

Two of them.

> > > > > > You simply cannot remember what you said. That's good for you
> > because
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > were wrong. No sense in two people remembering your mistakes.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a
whole
> > > > > group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to
be
> > in
> > > > > writing? Damn!
> > > > > It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that
part.
> > >
> > > I have a license. It says, N0iMD. Check it out on the FCC web
site. I
> > > never had a license that said T5iMD.
> > >
> > > You never had permission which said "Brian Burke" either.
> >
> > Correct. That is my given name.
>
> I knew that part. Do you have written permission to operate under a

> pseudonym?

Such as?

> Dave K8MN

573. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:19:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xmde9.418$TM6.14140619@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52390

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020905183901.03599.00000561@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > > In article <20020905083511.03174.00001605@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> > (Steve
> > > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> > >
> > > >> Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
> > > >> noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
> > > >> your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
> > > >
> > > > He's made NO mistakes, Your Geezerness.
> > >
> > > Who are you talking about?
> > >
> > > No one in here has any "authority," written or otherwise, to
> > > ban anyone, shut them up, or "rule" on anything.
> > >
> > >
> > > > Brian busted regualtions six-ways to Sunday by not having the
proper
> > > >documentation for his "operation" in Somalia.
> > >
> > > Burke cited the ARRL listing. Brian Burke explained his proper
> > > military permission to operate while on active duty.
> > >
> > > Three individuals in this newsgroup have charged him erroneously
> > > with bootlegging in 1992-1993. Illegal operation is a serious
offense.
> > >
> > > Has any legal authority arrested Burke or charged him with any
> > > criminal activity for the 1992-1993 operation in Somalia? No.
> > >
> > > What we have here (in another sub-thread) is simply some loud-
> > > mouth (or rather loud-typing) individuals who get their jollies out
> > > of saying bad things about others. You are included.
> > >
> > > You must PROVE your charges to make them valid. You have
> > > not.
> >
> > Len, like the ARRL and W5YI VEC's use of Farnsworth testing, my

operation in
> > Somalia has been given tacit approval by the authorities because of a
lack
> > of prosecution.
>
> Maybe that'll work for speeding and shoplifting too.

Dave, keep hoping and maybe you'll get a pass.

574. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:20:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Vnde9.420$567.15458676@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52391

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020906193129.00896.00001990@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/6/02 5:43 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net>
>
> >> Len, like the ARRL and W5YI VEC's use of Farnsworth testing, my
operation
> >in
> >> Somalia has been given tacit approval by the authorities because of a
lack
> >> of prosecution.
> >
> >Maybe that'll work for speeding and shoplifting too.
>
> Dave, isn't that the same "defense" used by Lt Calley after Mei Lai?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Lt. Calley sped and shoplifted? Or was it Bob Kerry?

Anyway, what does that have to do with tacit approval from the FCC?

575. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:24:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Vrde9.424$L87.15623210@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52392

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D793065.20439B5C@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me
> > that
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working
> > them on
> > > > > > > 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Brian is really rattled. He can't even get THIS stuff right.
Does
> > the
> > > > > > French allocation really begin at 52 MHZ?
> > > > > >
> > > > > What was it that your French buddy told you on here?
> > > >
> > > I was of the opinion that he was YOUR French buddy.
> > >
> > He replied DIRECTLY to you.
> >
> I didn't care much for him.

Why would you? A ham of conscience would then have to stop working the
Frenchmen out of band.

> > Then again, you
> > > couldn't get his call right or remember the frequency.
> > >
> > Yet you say he was my buddy?
> >
> You two have much in common.
```

Some friend?

> > Why not look it
> > > up? You have all the tables for various countries.
>
> > I don't work Frenchmen on 6M, in band or out of band, thus I have no
need
> > for that information.
>
> I'm sorry for your handicap.

Don't let Mr Dann find you making fun of people with special needs.

> Are you now restricting your lookups of
> those you check before working to French ops on 6m?

Huh? Talking Succinctly 101?

> > But you obviously do.
>
> Your previous posts indicated that you are always make sure that the
> stations calling you are operating within their allocated band segment.

My previous posts never said that.

My previous posts indicate that if I knew that an opeator calling be was
outside his authorization, I wouldn't make the contact.

Its called Good Amateur Practice.

576. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:25:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Vsde9.426$L97.15683833@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52393

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7930BE.104AA5B1@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D77C3CD.4830810F@earthlink.net...
> > > "K�HB" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > Your material on pileup handling is also a
> > > > > source of gems in the rough.
> > > >
> > > > Hey, if the pileup gets too rowdy, LA(jg) just goes to a different
2M
> > > > repeater.
> > > >
> > > > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > > > --
> > > > There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> > > > propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> > > > technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> > > > sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
> > > >
> > > > Glossary: La(jg) = Len Anderson Junior Grade
> > >
> > > I guess Mini Me won't be ordering a Kent paddle any time soon.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > What's wrong with my Brown Bros?
>
> It is dusty.

I'll go get the Brasso.

577. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:28:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tvde9.429$xb7.15798533@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52394

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through SHF.
>
> Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL you did.

Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you agreeing or
disagreeing with?

578. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:35:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <oBde9.435$if7.16035893@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52395

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020905183911.03599.00000570@mb-fk.aol.com...
> In article <3D76DF59.CBFD4770@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D760D79.B08EEDF5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >The world of radio is a big world. This particular newsgroup deals
with
> >> >amateur radio policy.
> >>
> >> That policy includes GETTING INTO amateur radio.
> >
> >How many more decades of interest will it take before you finally GET
> >INTO amateur radio, Len?
>
> How many more decades will it be before the FCC is required to
> get amateur licenses and "practical experience" therein to regulate
> US amateur radio? :-)
>
> >> >You call others posting here judges.
> >>
> >> Yep, you especially. With cause by lots of public evidence.
> >
> >Who the hell are you? You aren't involved in amateur radio to any
> >degree whatsoever.
>
> I am me. Who the hell are you? :-)
>
> >> >You take it
> >> >upon yourself to judge not only the state of amateur radio but those
> >> >radio amateurs who post here yet you are not a radio amateur yourself.
> >>
> >> I don't judge, I point out the obvious.
> >
> >That's precisely what I'm doing then, Leonardo. I'm pointing out the
> >obvious.
>
> Interesting. The oblivious trying to point out the obvious.

>
> >> If one hasn't GOTTEN INTO amateur radio, they don't have a
> >> license, do they?
> >
> >Look, Len, if you haven't taken the big leap after decades of proclaimed
> >"interest" and multiple years of sniping in an amateur radio newsgroup,
> >the odds are that you'll never have an amateur radio license.
>
> Odds are strongly in favor of my thinking some of the
> already-licensed radio amateurs aren't worth associated
> with as human beings. When confronted with subjects to
> discuss, they can only "debate" by personal insults against
> others.
>
> >> Why are those who have ALREADY gotten into amateur radio
> >> so adamant about those who have not gotten into ham radio
> >> be quiet and not discuss anything?
> >
> >About those be quiet? I dunno, Len. If you know so much about it, why
> >aren't you a ham? What deep knowledge concerning amateur radio do you
> >have to impart to those of us who are hams?
>
> True to an extent. Nobody can tell Judge Heil much of anything.
> :-)
>
> >I've been here for years
> >and I haven't seen anything from you about anything except doing away
> >with code testing and keeping young people from holding licenses.
>
> Test element 1 for General or Amateur Extra class licenses
> is still the morse code test. It hasn't gone away.
>
> I made one of many suggestions to NPRM 98-143 in January, 1999,
> to have a lower limit on age for new licensees. I've never bothered
> with pursuing that since. Several in here get full of rage and fury
> over that and expect to renew a "battle" over it, bringing it up every
> half year or so. :-)
>
> Heh heh heh...for three years there's been some kind of "need" to
> fight over that? Did you guys all have such a terrible adolescence
> or something that prompts such rage and fury over that? :-)
>
> >> Do those already in seek to force those not in to do exactly as
> >> they did? Why? How did they get into such control over others?
> >> They answered less than two hundred written questions and
> >> passed a morse code test. That's hardly a degree-earning
> >> test, isn't it?
> >
> >Why don't you take one and find out?
>

> Why? Don't you want to preserve the fish-story flavor that the TEST
> is some kind of Nobel-winning accomplishment? :-)
>
> Was it a "lifetime achievement award" in your case? Or what?
>
> >> There is nothing different about radio physics because a human
> >> agency has created different services and definitions thereof.
> >
> >Surprise! Ham radio is not only about the physics of radio!
>
> True. But what percentage of licensed radio amateurs KNOW
> anything about the physics of radio, other than test questions?
>
> Surprise! A paltry small percentage.
>
> Do you want a quote of one of the five defining paragraphs in
> 97.1 or can you read by yourself now?
>
>
> >> >You comment to the FCC on amateur radio regulation yet you are not a
> >> >radio amateur yourself. You've now inserted yourself into a discussion
> >> >on amateur radio operation from Somalia and yet you are not a radio
> >> >amateur yourself.
> >>
> >> It would be notable if Judge Heil sues the FCC and the United
> >> States Congress in a class action suit representing all US
> >> radio amateurs. The claim would obviously be that non-licensed-
> >> radio-amateurs (the commissioners, the staff of the FCC) can't
> >> possibly regulate amateur radio because they do not have ham
> >> licenses. That is analagous to what you claim of myself or any
> >> other unlicensed-in-amateur-radio citizen.
> >
> >The FCC gets paid to regulate amateur radio. They are in charge of
> >administering amateur radio. You are not paid to regulate amateur radio
> >and are not in charge of administering amateur radio. Why would I sue
> >the FCC? I have no beef with the FCC. There's no one from the FCC
> >trolling here.
>
> The FCC does not require any members to be licensed in US amateur
> radio and they MAKE all the rules. How can they do so if they don't
> hold an amateur license or "practical experience in amateur radio?"
> :-)
>
> >> Go ahead, make the Supreme Court's day...sue the FCC and
> >> Congress for not being licensed as amateurs when they aren't
> >> required to have amateur radio licenses.
> >>
> >> It will be interesting to see what kind of communications law
> >> attorney you get. :-)
> >

> >Lemme know when you make the Commission or Congress. Your chances of
> >becoming part of either body are larger than the chances that you'll
> >obtain that "Extra out of the box".
>
> Let me know when you get into the US Congress or the FCC and
> become part of the legal process regarding policies...without any
> "practical experience" in either. Sort of like a Traficant going
around
> in a traffic circle. :-)

Dave will duck out of here at any moment. 30M was just opened up to DXCC.
There goes the neighborhood.

579. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:36:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aDde9.437$5g7.16087286@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52396

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D76E021.4FF242C4@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3D760534.42D592D3@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >I've got a radio I'll give him if that's all it take to muzzle him.
> >
> > Why must anyone be "muzzled," Judge? :-)
>
> It'll stop that incessant barking, Leonardo. Where do you want the
> radio sent?
>
> Dave K8MN

Sounds like one of Ed's "bent cover" stories. Never did get my handbook.

580. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:44:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pKde9.447$9d7.15895675@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52397

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > > > I don't care, Brian. You can retain your bootlegger status or if
you
> > > > > prefer, you can say that you are a fabricator and that you made
the
> > > > > whole thing up about operating from Somalia. Several others who
> > > > > operated there have confirmed that they needed permission in
writing
> > for
> > > > > amateur radio operation. That leaves you as odd man out.
> > > >
> > > > How can you say that? My operations predated any 1992-3 operation.
> > Anyone
> > > > coming in after the first wave had time to prepare the very best
> > > > documentation an correspondence that I did not have the opportunity
to
> > do.
> > >
> > > So only the first guys in are stupid?
>
> > Are you saying that the first people that deployed into Somalia were
stupid?
>
> So far, we only have you confirmed as stupid. The others seemed to
> realize the necessity for obtaining written documentation.
>
> > > > > > We have only your claim that you have had no complaints.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Except yours. Yet you are not even in my Somali logs. Did you
```

> > fudge
> > > > and
> > > > > > put me in your logs anyway?
> > > > >
> > > > > I've already told you. I was in Botswana. I didn't need Somalia
as
> > > > > K8MN and couldn't have worked you from Botswana for credit toward
my
> > > > > stateside DXCC.
> > > >
> > > > Does the DXCC desk not allow Botswanans to apply for DXCC?
> > >
> > > I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S. Why would I
> > > need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a "Botswanan".
> >
> > Why wouldn't you?
>
> Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me to
> run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why wouldn't I
> apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied for
> DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which I
> operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a DXCC
> total for myself.

But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC? Isn't
that a double standard?

> > > > > I wasn't in the places where you were and you were not in the
places
> > > > > where I operated. I do have documentation which confirms my
> > permission
> > > > > to be on the ham bands from each spot. That's where we
differ--that
> > and
> > > > > the fact that I never wished you were in the spots where I was.
> > > >
> > > > You might have improved with a bit of competition. Either that or
it
> > might
> > > > have driven you to work even more stations out of band.
> > >
> > > Would it have been an improvement to skip the paperwork and operate on
> > > the say-so of the embassy Admin Officer?
> >
> > Did the Admin Officer have the authority to do so?
>
> Ask him. He is as available as is your former commander--the one who
> supposedly issued verbal permission to operate.
> >
> > > I was never out of band.
> >

> > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
>
> I was never out of band when working anyone.

But you knew they were out of band.

> > > > > > > > Except from you. So what are you doing about it?
Squawking?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What am I doing about it? I'm exposing your claims of ethical
and
> > > > moral
> > > > > > > > superiority as a sham.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You're not doing a very good job.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > On the contrary, Brian. I'm doing a fine job of it. Either you
were
> > > > > > unethical or stupid in operating from Somalia.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why? I had permission.
> > >
> > > So you say.
> >
> > Someone else said also.
>
> Yep. Some guy told you it was okay...
> So you say.

Yep, some guy who had the authority to make the statement.

> > > > > > Time to step it up a little or go back to
> > > > > > working out of banders on 6M.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've always operated where I was permitted to operate. If French
ops
> > > > > > working out of their assigned band segments or bootleggers
operating
> > > > > > from Somalia call me, it is for others to deal with.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It would be interesting to point out that when you've written of
my 6m
> > > > > > operations from Tanzania, you were a squawking bystander. I even
told
> > > > > > you what you could do about it. You didn't take my advice.
Instead,
> > > > > > you claimed the superiority of high moral ground and high amateur
> > radio
> > > > > > ethics. Those claims have certainly made you look very foolish.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dasve, and now as a squawking bystander, you can take your own

advice.
> > >
> > > Old Dasve is enjoying this far too much to stop now.
> >
> > So your advice is no good?
>
> My advice was directed to you since the matter seemed of great concern
> to me.
> It is still of no concern to me.

"...Of great concern to me/still of no concern to me?"

Let me check that reading comp 101 book again.

Better go get some sleep, Dave, its obviously past your bedtime.

581. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:54:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NTde9.457$Si7.16258386@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52398

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D76D08F.BA21FF17@townsqr.com...

> Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> some earlier point.

Dick, why is it when I said that I was a liar, but when you say it, it is the truth?

Of course many of the early hams learned and got paid to use CW (the definition of a commercial radiotelegraph op). Then demanded that everyone else learn it for free so they would have someone to QSO with in their spare time and their retirement years.

Brian

582. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 02:58:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jXde9.459$ll7.16421283@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52399

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209051747.5e873973@posting.google.com...
> "K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<xzMd9.267$1C2.20843@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > K7JEB:
> > >> .... And the
> > >> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
> > >> AM-TV operation.
> > >
> > W0EX:
> > > Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> > > Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> > > some earlier point.
> . . . . .
> > > In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full
> > > code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy
> > > way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was
> > > seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one
> > > with none. An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen
> > > as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping
> > > it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given
> > > that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still
> > > is, for an awful lot of hams.
> >
> > There is always pure political reality to consider in
> > any change in policy. I agree with you completely that
> > just about any change will spark opposition from one
> > quarter or another and a struggle will ensue. The
> > current path does seem to be the one of least resistance.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Jim, K7JEB
> >
> This has been one of the most intelligent exchanges I've read to date
> in his NG. Hats off to both of you.
>
> w3rv

I'm actually suprised to hear Dick make the "In retrospect..." comments.

He has some grasp of the situation, at least.

Brian

583. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:00:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2Zde9.461$Jq7.16738435@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52400

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D781831.42ADD5EA@townsqr.com...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >
> > This has been one of the most intelligent exchanges I've read to date
> > in his NG. Hats off to both of you.
>
> When dealing with an individual of K7JEB's class it isn't a bit difficult
to have a civil, intelligent exchange, even
> if you don't agree on some points. He's one of the few you meet that make
you think a code - free Extra isn't such a
> bad deal for the hobby after all. Problem is there are far too few of him
and far too many of the other kind. Putz &
> his cub included.
>
> Dick

Dick, K7JEB said he learned the code and rejected it.

Would you also have Len learn the code and reject it?

Brian

584. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:08:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020906230813.03599.00000644@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52451

In article <3D791EE9.C5CC38CA@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> BFD. Putzie thinks he was the only army type who ever babysat an AN-GR26
bank.
>Ballz.

I've never operated an AN/GRC-26. Never claimed that. The 8235th AU
had about a dozen for several purposes but they were not used in ADA
station communications.

The "angry-twentysix" was never referred to as a "bank." Sometimes as a
"26 hut" because of the enclosure that mounted on the flat bed of a
standard 2 1/2 ton truck.

>Thousands of 'em were in service through Vietnam, at least.

Doubtful. A few hundred, perhaps.

The AN/GRC-26 was almost always operated by those with field radio
MOSs. That MOS required passing morse code proficiency. Field use
of the '26 in Korea, '53 to '55, used the teleprinter for written messaging,
not morse code OOK CW.

>Most of the
>people who handled were not hams, though plenty were, Unlike Putzie, none of
>them are trying their damnedst to harrass hams on RRAP.

Information communication is not "harrassment" unless readers refuse
to accept new information.

>My brother spent 6
>years in Devens teaching Putzie-types HOW to babysit them.

AN/GRC-26 operation was taught at Camp Gordon, GA, later
renamed Fort Gordon.

Fort Devens had an ASA school. ASA, or Army Security Agency, did a
lot of monitoring during the cold war. They used a variety of radios,
principally receivers. ASA duties were ELINT. Up to sometime during
the 1970s, most ASA MOSs required morse code listening proficiency
to 15 groups per minute. The Fort Devens code school was 13 weeks,
6 hours a day in duration.

ASA was dissolved after the Vietnam conflict, merged into the larger
infrastructure of Military Intelligence. The principal M.I. school for the
US military now is at Fort Huachuca with branches at various other
military posts.

No manned ELINT station in the US military or NSA is "babysat."
While they have recorders, operators continually scan for signals,
manually separating known emitters from unknowns. NSA has some
installations where automatic separation and recording of signals is
done.

585. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:09:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D796DD1.1A18B7CD@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52452

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D79208B.849686F0@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for
> > > > DXCC credit?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like I
> > > said, we're not all cut from your mold.
> >
> > Boy, that's abundantly clear. You think you have to submit cards for the
> > stations who worked you for them to get credit for DXCC?
>
> Is that what I said?

You said: "Dave, I've neve submitted any cards to DXCC, not even yours".

Seems to believe that you need to submit your cards to DXCC to prove your
operation was real. That's the drift of your statement, why else would YOU need
to submit any cards on YOUR operation to DXCC?

DO you have any idea what DXCC is all about? If so you're sure doing a good
job of hiding the fact.

> I'm waiting to hear the "POP!!!" when you pull your
> head out.

When you hear the pop it'll be very loud, and it won't be my head.

>
>
> > There's more and more vacant real estate showing up between your ears.
>

>
> And mortgage rates are low.

You sure don't need any more, you're already saturated.

586. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:19:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D797013.56803271@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52453

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D792C7D.5E107146@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for
> > > > DXCC credit?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like I
> > > said, we're not all cut from your mold.
> >
> > That isn't what I asked. Out of all of the folks you QSO'd from
> > Somalia, a rare one even when there is no war going on, doesn't it seem
> > strange that not a single individual has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD to
> > the DXCC desk for award credit?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Yes, it does. Makes your claim that they were rejected sound like just so
> much speculation.

Really? How many QSL cards did you actually send out? How many contacts did you make? Did you actually work anyone from Somalia or did you just get "permission" to listen? You've already said you had no ham radio gear. Maybe your commander didn't want you hamming with company radios.
I guess it's too much to expect you to know that when you send QSL cards to stations who do actually have an interest in achieving DXCC they almost always use cards from the rare ones to that end. The DX community shares information very widely, and information regarding a rare station is always disseminated, even via ARRL venues. If you had sent out cards, and no one can find any DXer who received one so far, someone would have used it toward DXCC. Or tried. If you hadn't received official permission to operate in the form of documentation, it is most unlikely that DXCC desk at HQ would have sanctioned your operation - unless they were unaware that it was not legal. In that case, any DXCC credit that was awarded to other hams on the basis of working your T5 operation would almost certainly be subject to review, and almost as certainly to revocation, now that you've been "outed", Slim.
Not a good situation. You may wind up about as popular as the late lamented W9WNV.

587. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:25:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ukee9.487$Qu7.16991567@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52455

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020906214915.15779.00000550@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <3D76D08F.BA21FF17@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
<dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >K7JEB wrote:
> >
> >> I wrote:
> >>
> >> >> In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
> >> >> of incentive licensing were derailed by including
> >> >> higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.
> >> >> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
> >> >> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
> >> >> technical competence.
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll, W0EX, wrote:
> >>
> >> > Jim, Having gone through the process long ago, I
> >> > can't agree with that. It would be true only for
> >> > those who for whatever reason found themselves
> >> > unwilling or unable to complete the process.
> >> > Technical learning - not necessarily competence-
> >> > was always the major part of it. No, not learning
> >> > to the level of engineering, but advanced learning
> >> > in radio theory and the practical application of
> >> > that learning......
> >>
> >> I guess my expectation for incentive licensing when
> >> it was (re-)instituted in 1968 was that it would raise
> >> the value and prestige of amateur radio licenses
> >> back to the level they enjoyed in the 1950's when a
> >> general-class ticket was good enough for a job as
> >> an audio engineer at a local radio station. And the
> >> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
> >> AM-TV operation.
> >
> >Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> >Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> >some earlier point.
>
> I don't know how true that is, Dick. I knew lots of Extras around here who
were

> simply active hams who wanted the top license, even before Incentive
Licensing.
> Their motivation was "Because it's there".

And if the General were the top license, would they hold General?

> >> It can be seen now that in 1968 technology and
> >> industry was moving past amateur radio in ways
> >> that made much of the skill and knowledge required
> >> to achieve a license obsolete or of no interest to the
> >> electronics and communications business areas.
> >> It has been suggested that technical and
> >> operational excellence are their own rewards. But
> >> the rationale behind incentive licensing was to
> >> upgrade amateur radio within the context of the
> >> larger national need for trained individuals with
> >> relevant skills and expertise. However, it was
> >> evident then, and is even more so now, that this
> >> would be difficult to do given the ever-widening
> >> gap between amateur radio and the rest of the
> >> technological world.
>
> > Valid point, but do we know how many hams who were stimulated
> >by making their way through incentive licensing would up as
> >degreed electronics engineers?
>
> Amateur radio put me on that path. Would I have gotten my degrees without
> incentive licensing? Probably.

My MSEE friend started with amateur radio. And he's a wonderful guy,
doesn't make fun of people, not even NCT's.

> Agreed. The real problem with IL was that many General and Conditional
class
> hams thought of their operating privileges as inalienable rights that
should
> never change. They did NOT like the idea that they were being declared
> unqualified to do what they had been doing for years, and required to take
more
> tests to regain the same privileges.

Not that its an inalienable right, but EXACTLY that they were being declared
unqualified. Ditto the inconsistancy of saying that 5WPM Morse knowledge
will get you on this piece of HF, but its insufficient for that piece of HF.
Either it met the requirement or it didn't meet the requirement.

We're not stupid. We're rational, thinking people. Incentive licensing is
insulting.

> Perhaps what could have been done back in those days would be to have
offered a
> different incentive. For example, higher power (the legal limit was

effectively
> doubled back in the early 1980s without anybody taking a test). Or the
'phone
> bands could have been widened, but only for those who had higher class
> licenses.

Don't insult my intelligence. Operating higher power requires different knowledge than operating low power. But phone is phone. How is phone at 28.499 MHz different than phone at 28.500 MHz?

> > In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full
> >code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy
> >way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was
> >seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one
> >with none.
>
> Trouble with that scheme is that the treaty made it HF-or-no-HF, too.

How? Don't insult my intelligence. The treaty is intact, and the "full code" qualification is now 5WPM.

> I take a different view. I think it was a mistake to (re)create licenses
with 5
> wpm code tests, way back in 1951. 5 wpm testing was based on a number of
> mistaken ideas about how code skills are learned, and caused many to pick
up
> bad habits that had to be unlearned. You don't teach a child language by
> speaking to the child verrrrry slowwwwwly.

Do you advocate teaching children code before age 5?

That's when children learn most of their communicative skills.

> Here's another idea for the mix:
>
> Except for a few who learned the code outside of ham radio, most new hams
for
> the past several decades have learned the code to get the ham licenses
> requiring it.

Agree.

> In other words, the code test has acted as a Great Equalizer for
> the vast majority of HF-licensed hams.

Huh? What does it equalize?

And I'm so sick of hearing the stories of the former military CW op who goes from zero to Extra/20WPM in 6 seconds.

> Unlike the written, it cannot be learned
> from a book, or from most people's work or education.

Learning from a book is wrong? illegitimate? unholy?

Burn down the libraries?

> Instead, it is specific
> to ham radio. Some people just don't like that concept. They don't want to
be
> put on the same level as other hams.

Make it "specific" to all the radio services as well. Put CW requirements
back in all the other sercvices.

> >An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen
> >as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping
> >it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given
> >that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still
> >is, for an awful lot of hams.
>
> And if I have anything to do about it, will still be there, long after the
test
> is gone.

Knock yourself out. But don't whine if I don't come around in 2027.

73, Brian

588. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:25:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D79718E.AC6C2560@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52454

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
>
> > > > I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S. Why would I
> > > > need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a "Botswanan".
> > >
> > > Why wouldn't you?
> >
> > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me to
> > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why wouldn't I
> > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied for
> > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which I
> > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a DXCC
> > total for myself.
>
> But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC? Isn't
> that a double standard?

Welp, if there was any remaining doubt, it just vanished. He really IS that dumb.

589. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:28:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D79723D.357903DA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52456

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D76D08F.BA21FF17@townsqr.com...
>
> > Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> > Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> > some earlier point.
>
> Dick, why is it when I said that I was a liar, but when you say it, it is
> the truth?

Actually I just thought you were just dumb, until Cecil dragged out Websters
and showed were you can be lying when you're too dumb to know the facts but are
convinced you do.

>
>
> Of course many of the early hams learned and got paid to use CW (the
> definition of a commercial radiotelegraph op). Then demanded that everyone
> else learn it for free so they would have someone to QSO with in their spare
> time and their retirement years.
>

Well, we sure didn't have to wait long for you to confirm it, either.

590. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:30:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kpee9.495$pA7.17346792@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52458

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209060642.4fd049e4@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<mSzd9.2720$b23.65970382@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D7615DD.467BC8BB@townsqr.com...
> > >
> > > Morse code, to those of us who eagerly took on Incentive
> > > Licensing, was no more than another part of radiocommunications,
> > > albeit the most basic mode ever derived for radio. That's what
> > > makes it so difficult to understand why so many fight it so
> > > hard.It is bottom line radiocommunications, that which can be
> > > available far more ubiquitously than any other, that which may
> > > be there for you when there is no chance of any other mode being
> > > available. The higher speeds - which, by the way, 20WPM is not,
> > > always has come to those who use it often. Anyone who does not
> > > will never progress to that level. Anyone who never starts will
> > > never learn to use it even moderately.
> > >
> > Dick, you just got through telling us, that you, as an experienced ham,
had
> > to study W1AW to get to 20wpm. Didn't you use the code on the air prior
to
> > restructuring? Apparently not, because you said, even though not fast,
20
> > wpm Always comes to those who use it often.
> > >
> > You're not being very truthful, are you?
> > >
> > Are you the ancient equivalent of the modern code-tape Extra?
> > >
> > >
> Hey Brainiac, I'd been on the air for 14 or so years as a General when
> they dropped Incentive Licensing on us. Which I also very strongly
> supported at the time. See my rant in QST on the subject.

Are you famous or something?

Many of us
> who could operate in the 20-50wpm range back then did it easily in our
> heads but most of us had a bad time getting 20wpm down on paper.

Hey, them's the requirements. Stop whining and do it.

So
> yeah of course we copied w1aw in order to get the pencil running as
> fast as our heads could. I took the test knowing I could copy 25wpm
> solid on paper thankew w1aw and the ARRL. I don't know anybody who had
> to upgrade to Extra to retain the privs who didn't use w1aw for this
> purpose.

Unless Cecil was right about people being "forced" to take code exams, no
one "had" to upgrade. It was merely an incentive, not a mandate, right?

> Once in awhile I still copy w1aw to beat myself up in the
> high-speed runs. Not that you would relate to a bit of it.
>
> w3rv

They still send code practice?

591. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:30:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7972BB.9F10030E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52457

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0209051747.5e873973@posting.google.com...
> > "K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
> news:<xzMd9.267$1C2.20843@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > > K7JEB:
> > > >> .... And the
> > > >> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
> > > >> AM-TV operation.
> > >
> > > W0EX:
> > > > Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> > > > Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> > > > some earlier point.
> > . . . . .
> > > > In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full
> > > > code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy
> > > > way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was
> > > > seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one
> > > > with none. An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen
> > > > as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping
> > > > it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given
> > > > that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still
> > > > is, for an awful lot of hams.
> > >
> > > There is always pure political reality to consider in
> > > any change in policy. I agree with you completely that
> > > just about any change will spark opposition from one
> > > quarter or another and a struggle will ensue. The
> > > current path does seem to be the one of least resistance.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Jim, K7JEB
> > >
> > This has been one of the most intelligent exchanges I've read to date
> > in his NG. Hats off to both of you.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> I'm actually suprised to hear Dick make the "In retrospect..." comments.
>
> He has some grasp of the situation, at least.

To bad you don't. There are a few around like Jim who would be an asset to ham radio under any circumstances. Don't make the mistake of assuming you're one of them.

592. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:32:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D79730D.5CB9ECB9@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52459

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D781831.42ADD5EA@townsqr.com...
> > Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > This has been one of the most intelligent exchanges I've read to date
> > > in his NG. Hats off to both of you.
> >
> > When dealing with an individual of K7JEB's class it isn't a bit difficult
> to have a civil, intelligent exchange, even
> > if you don't agree on some points. He's one of the few you meet that make
> you think a code - free Extra isn't such a
> > bad deal for the hobby after all. Problem is there are far too few of him
> and far too many of the other kind. Putz &
> > his cub included.
> >
> > Dick
>
> Dick, K7JEB said he learned the code and rejected it.
>
> Would you also have Len learn the code and reject it?

You and Len can consider both yourselves rejected.

593. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:34:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Vsee9.499$tF7.17663082@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52460

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020906190706.15779.00000537@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0209060642.4fd049e4@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >I'd been on the air for 14 or so years as a General when
> >they dropped Incentive Licensing on us. Which I also very strongly
> >supported at the time. See my rant in QST on the subject.
>
> I did. Good stuff.
>
> >Many of us
> >who could operate in the 20-50wpm range back then did it easily in our
> >heads but most of us had a bad time getting 20wpm down on paper. So
> >yeah of course we copied w1aw in order to get the pencil running as
> >fast as our heads could.
>
> Though of us who had no trouble writing it down (traffic handlers and
such)
> used W1AW as a prime source of machine-sent code of known speed and
> unpredictable content to see just how good we really were.

I thought machine sent code was frowned upon?

And what is unpredictable about, "...and a good time was had by all."?

> >I took the test knowing I could copy 25wpm
> >solid on paper thankew w1aw and the ARRL.
>
> Same here - had the code proficiency certificate and endorsements more
than a
> year before FCC would let me take the test.
>
> >I don't know anybody who had
> >to upgrade to Extra to retain the privs who didn't use w1aw for this
> >purpose.
>
> Some used W6OWP...
>
> >Once in awhile I still copy w1aw to beat myself up in the
> >high-speed runs.
>
> Listening to actual amateur radio stations on-the-air - watta concept!

W1AW robot sending CW is an "actual radio station on-the-air?"

Yup, whatta concept.

Why didn't you want ot copy Dick's coconut boat swing for some good practice?

594. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:39:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7974D1.547AC09E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52461

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020906214915.15779.00000550@mb-fm.aol.com...
> > In article <3D76D08F.BA21FF17@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
> <dixie@townsqr.com>
> > writes:
> >
> > >K7JEB wrote:
> > >
> > >> I wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >> In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
> > >> >> of incentive licensing were derailed by including
> > >> >> higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.
> > >> >> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
> > >> >> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
> > >> >> technical competence.
> > >>
> > >> Dick Carroll, W0EX, wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > Jim, Having gone through the process long ago, I
> > >> > can't agree with that. It would be true only for
> > >> > those who for whatever reason found themselves
> > >> > unwilling or unable to complete the process.
> > >> > Technical learning - not necessarily competence-
> > >> > was always the major part of it. No, not learning
> > >> > to the level of engineering, but advanced learning
> > >> > in radio theory and the practical application of
> > >> > that learning......
> > >>
> > >> I guess my expectation for incentive licensing when
> > >> it was (re-)instituted in 1968 was that it would raise
> > >> the value and prestige of amateur radio licenses
> > >> back to the level they enjoyed in the 1950's when a
> > >> general-class ticket was good enough for a job as
> > >> an audio engineer at a local radio station. And the
> > >> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
> > >> AM-TV operation.
> > >
> > >Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> > >Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> > >some earlier point.
> >

> > I don't know how true that is, Dick. I knew lots of Extras around here who
> were
> > simply active hams who wanted the top license, even before Incentive
> Licensing.
> > Their motivation was "Because it's there".
>
> And if the General were the top license, would they hold General?
>
> > >> It can be seen now that in 1968 technology and
> > >> industry was moving past amateur radio in ways
> > >> that made much of the skill and knowledge required
> > >> to achieve a license obsolete or of no interest to the
> > >> electronics and communications business areas.
> > >> It has been suggested that technical and
> > >> operational excellence are their own rewards. But
> > >> the rationale behind incentive licensing was to
> > >> upgrade amateur radio within the context of the
> > >> larger national need for trained individuals with
> > >> relevant skills and expertise. However, it was
> > >> evident then, and is even more so now, that this
> > >> would be difficult to do given the ever-widening
> > >> gap between amateur radio and the rest of the
> > >> technological world.
> >
> > > Valid point, but do we know how many hams who were stimulated
> > >by making their way through incentive licensing would up as
> > >degreed electronics engineers?
> >
> > Amateur radio put me on that path. Would I have gotten my degrees without
> > incentive licensing? Probably.
>
> My MSEE friend started with amateur radio. And he's a wonderful guy,
> doesn't make fun of people, not even NCT's.
>
> > Agreed. The real problem with IL was that many General and Conditional
> class
> > hams thought of their operating privileges as inalienable rights that
> should
> > never change. They did NOT like the idea that they were being declared
> > unqualified to do what they had been doing for years, and required to take
> more
> > tests to regain the same privileges.
>
> Not that its an inalienable right, but EXACTLY that they were being declared
> unqualified. Ditto the inconsistancy of saying that 5WPM Morse knowledge
> will get you on this piece of HF, but its insufficient for that piece of HF.
> Either it met the requirement or it didn't meet the requirement.
>
> We're not stupid. We're rational, thinking people. Incentive licensing is
> insulting.

>
> > Perhaps what could have been done back in those days would be to have
> offered a
> > different incentive. For example, higher power (the legal limit was
> effectively
> > doubled back in the early 1980s without anybody taking a test). Or the
> 'phone
> > bands could have been widened, but only for those who had higher class
> > licenses.
>
> Don't insult my intelligence. Operating higher power requires different
> knowledge than operating low power. But phone is phone. How is phone at
> 28.499 MHz different than phone at 28.500 MHz?
>
> > > In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full
> > >code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy
> > >way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was
> > >seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one
> > >with none.
> >
> > Trouble with that scheme is that the treaty made it HF-or-no-HF, too.
>
> How? Don't insult my intelligence. The treaty is intact, and the "full
> code" qualification is now 5WPM.
>
> > I take a different view. I think it was a mistake to (re)create licenses
> with 5
> > wpm code tests, way back in 1951. 5 wpm testing was based on a number of
> > mistaken ideas about how code skills are learned, and caused many to pick
> up
> > bad habits that had to be unlearned. You don't teach a child language by
> > speaking to the child verrrrry slowwwwwly.
>
> Do you advocate teaching children code before age 5?
>
> That's when children learn most of their communicative skills.
>
> > Here's another idea for the mix:
> >
> > Except for a few who learned the code outside of ham radio, most new hams
> for
> > the past several decades have learned the code to get the ham licenses
> > requiring it.
>
> Agree.
>
> > In other words, the code test has acted as a Great Equalizer for
> > the vast majority of HF-licensed hams.
>
> Huh? What does it equalize?

>
> And I'm so sick of hearing the stories of the former military CW op who goes
> from zero to Extra/20WPM in 6 seconds.
>
> > Unlike the written, it cannot be learned
> > from a book, or from most people's work or education.
>
> Learning from a book is wrong? illegitimate? unholy?
>
> Burn down the libraries?
>
> > Instead, it is specific
> > to ham radio. Some people just don't like that concept. They don't want to
> be
> > put on the same level as other hams.
>
> Make it "specific" to all the radio services as well. Put CW requirements
> back in all the other sercvices.
>
> > >An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen
> > >as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping
> > >it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given
> > >that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still
> > >is, for an awful lot of hams.
> >
> > And if I have anything to do about it, will still be there, long after the
> test
> > is gone.
>
> Knock yourself out. But don't whine if I don't come around in 2027.
>
> 73, Brian

Slim, you have truly displayed the depths of your cluelessness here in the past few days on rrap. Good luck. As long as you've been around and as little as you've learned from your pitiful efforts, you surely will need it. Forever.

595. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:42:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hAee9.3$9P7.472158@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52462

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:yzMd9.268$1C2.20626@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> Yes, but the Extra was nothing more than fancy wallpaper
> until incentive licensing gave it real legs by granting
> its holders exclusive frequency allocations.
>
> The derailment I allude to was the fact that with technical
> exam simplification, creation of the VE system and advances
> in the state of the art, the code test became the
> raison d'etre for the Extra. Even in 1968, the Extra-class
> technical exam was dismissed as insignificant.

Ditto 1998. The Extra exam was mostly about VEC information. The Advanced
exam had all the meat.

> The 20 wpm code test, it was thought
> (and still is thought) was what separated the truly committed
> from the technically savvy slackers.

Sad, but that is the thought of many on rrap.

> But the national interest, identified as Sputnik response, etc,
> was best served in expanding the technical knowledge base, not
> in creating a large pool of manual radiotelegraph operators.
> But the latter was exactly what incentive licensing accomplished.

Whoo-hooo! A decade after the international geophysical year, we get a
bunch of CW operators, meanwhile the M28 need a squirt of oil.

> >> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
> >> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
> >> technical competence.
>
> > I disagree! It was about both, for me anyway. But I can
> > see where a person with some knowledge of theory would
> > have to focus most or all of their efforts on code, just
> > as someone skilled in code would have to focus on theory.

Or some, neither in the industry as an engineer nor a commercial cw op had
to learn both.

> Just compare the respective populations and you will see
> that getting an Amateur Radio license, particularly a
> General/Advanced or Extra, was all about developing code
> skill for anyone with even a slight, passing interest in
> radio and electronics.

If you went the ARRL/Bash study guide method. Now if you tried to actually learn it...

> >> ...we hams may have relegated ourselves and our
> >> avocation to the dustbin of history
>
> > I disagree very strongly! Let's do some what-ifs...
>
> > Suppose the FCC had somehow been convinced, in
> > 1963-68 to leave the license situation the way
> > it was. How would that have helped amateur radio
> > expand or modernize?
>
> For starters, it wouldn't have disenfranchised the
> General-class operators. E.F. Johnson might still
> be making amateur equipment. I dunno. Too much of
> an alternate-universe scenario. My point was that
> incentive licensing DIDN'T help amateur radio to
> expand or modernize.

It expanded, non-the-less. Modernize, absolutely not.

> > One of the trends that the FCC was concerned about
> > was the increasing amount of 'appliance operating'
> > by hams in the early 1960s. What FCC failed to
> > understand was that this trend was logical and
> > natural.....
>
> I know. I expect god-like prescience from government
> agencies in exchange for the god-like powers to create
> and destroy (or merely regulate) that they possess.
>
> You're right, they really couldn't see the semiconductor
> revolution bearing down on them, particularly in its
> high-circuit-integration manifestations.
>
> > (detailed description of decline in home-building
> > among amateurs over period 1968 to present
> > deleted - see original post)
>
> > These trends were all happening before incentive
> > licensing, and the rules changes did little to stop them.
>
> And that's the Monday-morning-quarterback call on
> incentive licensing from here in the 21st century --
> it failed to accomplish its goals.
>
> 73,
>
> Jim

73, Brian

596. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:43:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XBee9.4$GO7.441610@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52463

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020905160342.02718.00001069@mb-cc.aol.com...
>
> As they should. These licenses were not meant to be a determiner of
> advanced theory comprehension...only that the person being tested was
> "competent" in accepted practice.

Sounds like reductionist theory. Like, "only examine what's required,
everything else is superflous."

597. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:53:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6Lee9.9$WL7.271458@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52464

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D796DD1.1A18B7CD@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D79208B.849686F0@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card
for
> > > > > DXCC credit?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC.
Like I
> > > > said, we're not all cut from your mold.
> > >
> > > Boy, that's abundantly clear. You think you have to submit cards for
the
> > > stations who worked you for them to get credit for DXCC?
> >
> > Is that what I said?
>
> You said: "Dave, I've neve submitted any cards to DXCC, not even yours".
>
> Seems to believe that you need to submit your cards to DXCC to prove your
> operation was real. That's the drift of your statement, why else would YOU
need
> to submit any cards on YOUR operation to DXCC?

Not everyone submits their cards, you nitwit.

> *DO* you have any idea what DXCC is all about? If so you're sure doing a
good
> job of hiding the fact.

It would appear to be a way for the ARRL to control every aspect of the
hobby.

> > I'm waiting to hear the "POP!!!" when you pull your
> > head out.

>
> When you hear the pop it'll be very loud, and it won't be my head.

It will be your buttocks making that noise, Dick. Not your head. But you
must remove your head for that noise to be made.

> > > There's more and more vacant real estate showing up between your ears.
> >
> > And mortgage rates are low.
>
> You sure don't need any more, you're already saturated.

Refinancing or a second mortgage allows me to purchase more real estate.
Soon I'll own the whole world!

(Maniacal laughing)

598. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:56:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LNee9.12$YW7.962711@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52465

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D797013.56803271@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D792C7D.5E107146@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card
for
> > > > > DXCC credit?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC.
Like I
> > > > said, we're not all cut from your mold.
> > > >
> > > That isn't what I asked. Out of all of the folks you QSO'd from
> > > Somalia, a rare one even when there is no war going on, doesn't it
seem
> > > strange that not a single individual has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD to
> > > the DXCC desk for award credit?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Yes, it does. Makes your claim that they were rejected sound like just
so
> > much speculation.
>
> Really? How many QSL cards did you actually send out? How many contacts
did
> you make? Did you actually work anyone from Somalia or did you just get
> "permission" to listen? You've already said you had no ham radio gear.
Maybe
> your commander didn't want you hamming with company radios.
> I guess it's too much to expect you to know that when you send QSL cards
to
> stations who do actually have an interest in achieving DXCC they almost
always
> use cards from the rare ones to that end. The DX community shares

information
> very widely, and information regarding a rare station is always
disseminated,
> even via ARRL venues. If you had sent out cards, and no one can find any
DXer
> who received one so far, someone would have used it toward DXCC. Or tried.
If
> you hadn't received official permission to operate in the form of
> documentation, it is most unlikely that DXCC desk at HQ would have
sanctioned
> your operation - unless they were unaware that it was not legal. In that
case,
> any DXCC credit that was awarded to other hams on the basis of working
your T5
> operation would almost certainly be subject to review, and almost as
certainly
> to revocation, now that you've been "outed", Slim.
> Not a good situation. You may wind up about as popular as the late
lamented
> W9WNV.

Dick, do go on. You're about a speculative as Dave is. Foolishly so.

599. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 03:59:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D797957.54FE0249@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52466

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D792D46.F3715C91@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is
> > > required.
> > > > Never has been.
> > > >
> > > Nor you, Dick. But I can discriminate between a superflous requirement
> when
> > > its compared another requirement.
> > >
> > You mean like having written permission to operate from a foreign shore
> > or your own country?
> > >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> Dave, produce the Somalian equivalent of Part 97 and I'll take a look.

Phil Kane already told you but you preferred to just ignore him. Of course you couldn't admit that what he had to say applied to your case. You'd have even more egg on face.

600. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:00:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <vRee9.14$z5.1318007@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52467

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020906230813.03599.00000644@mb-fk.aol.com...
> In article <3D791EE9.C5CC38CA@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
<dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> > BFD. Putzie thinks he was the only army type who ever babysat an
AN-GR26
> bank.
> >Ballz.
>
> I've never operated an AN/GRC-26. Never claimed that. The 8235th AU
> had about a dozen for several purposes but they were not used in ADA
> station communications.
>
> The "angry-twentysix" was never referred to as a "bank." Sometimes as
a
> "26 hut" because of the enclosure that mounted on the flat bed of a
> standard 2 1/2 ton truck.
>
> >Thousands of 'em were in service through Vietnam, at least.
>
> Doubtful. A few hundred, perhaps.
>
> The AN/GRC-26 was almost always operated by those with field radio
> MOSs. That MOS required passing morse code proficiency. Field use
> of the '26 in Korea, '53 to '55, used the teleprinter for written
messaging,
> not morse code OOK CW.
>
> >Most of the
> >people who handled were not hams, though plenty were, Unlike Putzie, none
of
> >them are trying their damnedst to harrass hams on RRAP.
>
> Information communication is not "harrassment" unless readers refuse
> to accept new information.
>
> >My brother spent 6
> >years in Devens teaching Putzie-types HOW to babysit them.
>
> AN/GRC-26 operation was taught at Camp Gordon, GA, later
> renamed Fort Gordon.
>

> Fort Devens had an ASA school. ASA, or Army Security Agency, did a
> lot of monitoring during the cold war. They used a variety of radios,
> principally receivers. ASA duties were ELINT. Up to sometime during
> the 1970s, most ASA MOSs required morse code listening proficiency
> to 15 groups per minute. The Fort Devens code school was 13 weeks,
> 6 hours a day in duration.

Three hots and a cot, AND a paycheck to learn something that Dick demands me to learn for free? Just so he'll have someone to QSO with in his twilight years?

> ASA was dissolved after the Vietnam conflict, merged into the larger
> infrastructure of Military Intelligence. The principal M.I. school for
the
> US military now is at Fort Huachuca with branches at various other
> military posts.
>
> No manned ELINT station in the US military or NSA is "babysat."
> While they have recorders, operators continually scan for signals,
> manually separating known emitters from unknowns. NSA has some
> installations where automatic separation and recording of signals is
> done.

601. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:04:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BVee9.16$T7.1459533@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52468

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D79718E.AC6C2560@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > > > > I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S. Why
would I
> > > > > > need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a
"Botswanan".
> > > > >
> > > > > Why wouldn't you?
> > > >
> > > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me to
> > > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why wouldn't
I
> > > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied for
> > > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which I
> > > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a
DXCC
> > > total for myself.
> >
> > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC?
Isn't
> > that a double standard?
>
> Welp, if there was any remaining doubt, it just vanished. He really IS
that
> dumb.

Dick, you're just not being very clear.

Explain how Dave doesn't have to apply for DXCC for Botswana, but eveyone
else must for their QTH.

602. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:06:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <vXee9.17$N9.1554705@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52469

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D79730D.5CB9ECB9@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D781831.42ADD5EA@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian Kelly wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > This has been one of the most intelligent exchanges I've read to
date
> > > > in his NG. Hats off to both of you.
> > >
> > > When dealing with an individual of K7JEB's class it isn't a bit
difficult
> > to have a civil, intelligent exchange, even
> > > if you don't agree on some points. He's one of the few you meet that
make
> > you think a code - free Extra isn't such a
> > > bad deal for the hobby after all. Problem is there are far too few of
him
> > and far too many of the other kind. Putz &
> > > his cub included.
> > >
> > > Dick
> >
> > Dick, K7JEB said he learned the code and rejected it.
> >
> > Would you also have Len learn the code and reject it?
>
> You and Len can consider both yourselves rejected.

Dick, you're sharp as a bent, rusty nail today. You'll stop responding to
me now that I'm rejected, right?

Betcha can't.

603. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:08:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dZee9.18$Lb.1654898@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52470

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7972BB.9F10030E@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0209051747.5e873973@posting.google.com...
> > > "K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
> > news:<xzMd9.267$1C2.20843@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > > > K7JEB:
> > > > >> .... And the
> > > > >> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
> > > > >> AM-TV operation.
> > > > >
> > > > W0EX:
> > > > > Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> > > > > Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> > > > > some earlier point.
> > > . . . . .
> > > > > In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full
> > > > > code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy
> > > > > way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was
> > > > > seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one
> > > > > with none. An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen
> > > > > as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping
> > > > > it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given
> > > > > that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still
> > > > > is, for an awful lot of hams.
> > > >
> > > > There is always pure political reality to consider in
> > > > any change in policy. I agree with you completely that
> > > > just about any change will spark opposition from one
> > > > quarter or another and a struggle will ensue. The
> > > > current path does seem to be the one of least resistance.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > >
> > > > Jim, K7JEB
> > > >
> > > This has been one of the most intelligent exchanges I've read to date
> > > in his NG. Hats off to both of you.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > I'm actually suprised to hear Dick make the "In retrospect..." comments.

> >
> > He has some grasp of the situation, at least.
>
> To bad you don't. There are a few around like Jim who would be an asset
to
> ham radio under any circumstances. Don't make the mistake of assuming
you're
> one of them.

Dick, unfortuantely, I see the bigger picture. It does cause a lot of heart ache on RRAP, but I'm up to it.

Hey, I thought I was rejected? Stop responding.

604. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:11:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <C%ee9.21$h7.1420417@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52471

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7974D1.547AC09E@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020906214915.15779.00000550@mb-fm.aol.com...
> > > In article <3D76D08F.BA21FF17@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
> > <dixie@townsqr.com>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >K7JEB wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> I wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >> In retrospect, it is easy to see that the noble goals
> > > >> >> of incentive licensing were derailed by including
> > > >> >> higher code-speed requirements for higher class licenses.
> > > >> >> Eventually, getting an Extra class license was all
> > > >> >> about making 20 wpm and not at all about demonstrating
> > > >> >> technical competence.
> > > >>
> > > >> Dick Carroll, W0EX, wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> > Jim, Having gone through the process long ago, I
> > > >> > can't agree with that. It would be true only for
> > > >> > those who for whatever reason found themselves
> > > >> > unwilling or unable to complete the process.
> > > >> > Technical learning - not necessarily competence-
> > > >> > was always the major part of it. No, not learning
> > > >> > to the level of engineering, but advanced learning
> > > >> > in radio theory and the practical application of
> > > >> > that learning......
> > > >>
> > > >> I guess my expectation for incentive licensing when
> > > >> it was (re-)instituted in 1968 was that it would raise
> > > >> the value and prestige of amateur radio licenses
> > > >> back to the level they enjoyed in the 1950's when a
> > > >> general-class ticket was good enough for a job as
> > > >> an audio engineer at a local radio station. And the
> > > >> only guy in town with an Extra was the CE of an
> > > >> AM-TV operation.
> > > >
> > > >Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> > > >Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at

> > > >some earlier point.
> > >
> > > I don't know how true that is, Dick. I knew lots of Extras around here
who
> > were
> > > simply active hams who wanted the top license, even before Incentive
> > Licensing.
> > > Their motivation was "Because it's there".
> > >
> > And if the General were the top license, would they hold General?
> > >
> > > >> It can be seen now that in 1968 technology and
> > > >> industry was moving past amateur radio in ways
> > > >> that made much of the skill and knowledge required
> > > >> to achieve a license obsolete or of no interest to the
> > > >> electronics and communications business areas.
> > > >> It has been suggested that technical and
> > > >> operational excellence are their own rewards. But
> > > >> the rationale behind incentive licensing was to
> > > >> upgrade amateur radio within the context of the
> > > >> larger national need for trained individuals with
> > > >> relevant skills and expertise. However, it was
> > > >> evident then, and is even more so now, that this
> > > >> would be difficult to do given the ever-widening
> > > >> gap between amateur radio and the rest of the
> > > >> technological world.
> > > >
> > > > Valid point, but do we know how many hams who were stimulated
> > > >by making their way through incentive licensing would up as
> > > >degreed electronics engineers?
> > > >
> > > Amateur radio put me on that path. Would I have gotten my degrees
without
> > > incentive licensing? Probably.
> > >
> > My MSEE friend started with amateur radio. And he's a wonderful guy,
> > doesn't make fun of people, not even NCT's.
> > >
> > > Agreed. The real problem with IL was that many General and Conditional
> > class
> > > hams thought of their operating privileges as inalienable rights that
> > should
> > > never change. They did NOT like the idea that they were being declared
> > > unqualified to do what they had been doing for years, and required to
take
> > more
> > > tests to regain the same privileges.
> > >
> > Not that its an inalienable right, but EXACTLY that they were being
declared

> > unqualified. Ditto the inconsistancy of saying that 5WPM Morse
knowledge
> > will get you on this piece of HF, but its insufficient for that piece of
HF.
> > Either it met the requirement or it didn't meet the requirement.
> >
> > We're not stupid. We're rational, thinking people. Incentive licensing
is
> > insulting.
> >
> > > Perhaps what could have been done back in those days would be to have
> > offered a
> > > different incentive. For example, higher power (the legal limit was
> > effectively
> > > doubled back in the early 1980s without anybody taking a test). Or the
> > 'phone
> > > bands could have been widened, but only for those who had higher class
> > > licenses.
> >
> > Don't insult my intelligence. Operating higher power requires different
> > knowledge than operating low power. But phone is phone. How is phone
at
> > 28.499 MHz different than phone at 28.500 MHz?
> >
> > > > In retrospect it probably was not a good thing to require full
> > > >code qualification for all hams, but it seems there was no easy
> > > >way to drop it, though a "twin tier" licensing system was
> > > >seriously considered at one time, one tier with code and one
> > > >with none.
> > >
> > > Trouble with that scheme is that the treaty made it HF-or-no-HF, too.
> >
> > How? Don't insult my intelligence. The treaty is intact, and the "full
> > code" qualification is now 5WPM.
> >
> > > I take a different view. I think it was a mistake to (re)create
licenses
> > with 5
> > > wpm code tests, way back in 1951. 5 wpm testing was based on a number
of
> > > mistaken ideas about how code skills are learned, and caused many to
pick
> > up
> > > bad habits that had to be unlearned. You don't teach a child language
by
> > > speaking to the child verrrrry slowwwwly.
> >
> > Do you advocate teaching children code before age 5?
> >
> > That's when children learn most of their communicative skills.

> >
> > > Here's another idea for the mix:
> > >
> > > Except for a few who learned the code outside of ham radio, most new
hams
> > for
> > > the past several decades have learned the code to get the ham licenses
> > > requiring it.
> >
> > Agree.
> >
> > > In other words, the code test has acted as a Great Equalizer for
> > > the vast majority of HF-licensed hams.
> >
> > Huh? What does it equalize?
> >
> > And I'm so sick of hearing the stories of the former military CW op who
goes
> > from zero to Extra/20WPM in 6 seconds.
> >
> > > Unlike the written, it cannot be learned
> > > from a book, or from most people's work or education.
> >
> > Learning from a book is wrong? illegitimate? unholy?
> >
> > Burn down the libraries?
> >
> > > Instead, it is specific
> > > to ham radio. Some people just don't like that concept. They don't
want to
> > be
> > > put on the same level as other hams.
> >
> > Make it "specific" to all the radio services as well. Put CW
requirements
> > back in all the other sercvices.
> >
> > > >An all-or-nothing sort of test situation wasn't seen
> > > >as workable, and the movement still presently underway, dropping
> > > >it in increments, is much more acceptable to most hams, given
> > > >that CW has always been at the core of amateur radio. And still
> > > >is, for an awful lot of hams.
> > >
> > > And if I have anything to do about it, will still be there, long after
the
> > test
> > > is gone.
> >
> > Knock yourself out. But don't whine if I don't come around in 2027.
> >

> > 73, Brian
>
> Slim, you have truly displayed the depths of your cluelessness here in the
past
> few days on rrap. Good luck. As long as you've been around and as little
as
> you've learned from your pitiful efforts, you surely will need it.
Forever.

Dick, there sounds like a lot of finality to your post. I DO hope you mean
it. I thought I was rejected, so reject me. Stop responding.

Betcha can't.

605. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:14:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <T2fe9.26$Jg.1940729@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52472

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D79723D.357903DA@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D76D08F.BA21FF17@townsqr.com...
> >
> > > Chances are he had been a shipboard radio op at some time.
> > > Almost all early Extras were commercial radiotelegraph ops at
> > > some earlier point.
> >
> > Dick, why is it when I said that I was a liar, but when you say it, it
is
> > the truth?
>
> Actually I just thought you were just dumb, until Cecil dragged out
Websters
> and showed were you can be lying when you're too dumb to know the facts
but are
> convinced you do.

He showed you that? You must feel pretty stupid.

> > Of course many of the early hams learned and got paid to use CW (the
> > definition of a commercial radiotelegraph op). Then demanded that
everyone
> > else learn it for free so they would have someone to QSO with in their
spare
> > time and their retirement years.
>
> Well, we sure didn't have to wait long for you to confirm it, either.

I'll never demand that someone else use up all of their free time to learn
something for my entertainment, except your use of the computer on rrap.
And I don't even have to petition my government to do that since you can't
stop yourself.

606. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:18:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <f6fe9.33$qa.1576253@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52473

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D794F5B.35B2DDC8@townsqr.com...
> "K�HB" wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
> >
> > > When was the last time anyone heard of any fed agency, other than
Corps of
> > > Engineers, using HF?
> >
> > DoD is just one of many federal agency for which NTIA manages HF
spectrum
> > requirements.
> >
>
> Yeah. But when hams want a measly 150 kc at 5mc suddenly that becomes
valuable
> spectrum, even if it is almost never used. Baloney.
> Looks like more of the standard "defend it because someone else wants it
and we
> don't give up anything, anytime, for any reason".

Ditto amateur use of 2M.

607. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:19:25 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020907001925.22428.00001658@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52477

In article <al883m$b1s$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:

>I ended up going with a Bencher. I agree its sucks for a real CW operator.

Dan:

I like to consider myself a "real" CW operator, and I've used Bencher
paddles exclusively for 20-plus years. This is in spite of the fact that I
own a perfectly good (and new in the box) Vibroplex Iambic paddles
that are also now over 20 years old (and doubled in value!). A friend
of mine, who has more money than I do, bought a set of Kent Paddles
a while back, and I must admit that I was not impressed with them,
considering that they cost 4X as much as my standard black-crinkle
finish base Bencher BY-1 paddle. Plus, my Bencher's got dropped
on a concrete hangar floor at the airport in Nuremburg, Germany in
1985. After an hour with a set of pliers and a screwdriver, I got them
straightened out and re-assembled back in working order, and have
used them successfully (a lot) ever since. The same kind of abuse
may have rendered those fancy Kent paddles QRT.

Now, if we're talking about a set of Mercury paddles, that's another
story altogether! Those things are absolutely amazing! I understand
that they are back in manufacture (albeit in limited quantities) since
the designer, N2DAN, went SK a few years ago. If I ever win the
lotto or get a big inheritance, I may indulge in a set.

73 de Larry, K3LT

608. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:19:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020907001926.22428.00001659@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52474

In article <KRHd9.71726$0u4.1190265@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> writes:

>
>I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
>paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc... :-)
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

Bert:

My keyer is a bottom-of-the-pile MFJ Econo Keyer II, model MFJ-401C.
No memories, but provides both Iambic and "bug" (semi-auto) operation.
Only downside is it is a battery-sucker, but I run it off a tiny (50 ma.)
12-volt "wall wart." There are a lot of options out there as far as keyers
are concerned, but the Accu-Keyer is probably the best, though pricey
(around a C-note).

73 de Larry, K3LT

609. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:19:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020907001926.22428.00001660@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52476

In article <d22b54c3.0209051658.10fea047@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>For the keyer itslf I keep it really simple. I have one of the little
>$50 MFJ keyers. It can run Iambic modes A & B, it's very compact &
>light, very reliable and runs forever on a 9v internal battery and it
>runs just as well on any 12vdc source. The only feature it doesn't
>have is memories. Which are not particularly useful except in
>contests.

Brian:

I have the MFJ Econo Keyer II (model MFJ-401c) and if we're talking about the same machine, your "forever" is one heck of a lot shorter than mine! I find the thing to be a veritable battery hog; it even makes the Energizer Bunny stop beating his drum! It works just fine on a 12 V. 50 ma. power supply that used to charge the Ni-Cad battery pack from my old Icom IC-02AT handheld, so that's how I use it, unless I'm operating portable (Field Day, mainly). However, I have a "portable" battery cable for it that plugs into a 2.3 amp 12 V. gel cell! That'll run it for a good long time. This thing is obviously not CMOS. I've been thinking of getting an Accu-Keyer, but this one works well, in spite of the power problems, so I've had no need to replace it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

610. Re: Single vs. Dual Lever Paddles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:19:27 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020907001927.22428.00001661@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52475

In article <VcAd9.56246$0u4.1057131@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
<wa2si@optonline.net> writes:

>
>Can someone please explain the difference (Besides the obvious.) between the
>two? Does a dual offer any advantages over a single? I'm looking at some
>GHDs and am getting mighty confused. :-/ Thanks in advance.
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

Bert:

Dual lever paddles permit Iambic operation -- meaning that it is possible
to send certain characters by squeezing the paddles together, thus
causing both contacts to be closed at the same moment. In this way, a
character element (dit or dah) can be inserted into the "frame" of the letter
once the initial element has already been started. Letters like C, F, K, L,
Q, R, and Y lend themselves to being sent "iambically." It is a time saver
when done correctly by a practiced operator. Iambic operation is not
possible with a single-lever paddle, and all letters must be completed by
making the complete series of "strokes" on either side of the paddle.
I use dual-lever paddles exclusively; specifically the Bencher BY-1.

73 de Larry, K3LT

611. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:22:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D797EE8.B98D6F72@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52478

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D792C7D.5E107146@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D77C27F.F765EE72@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > > Can you explain why no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD QSL card for
> > > > > DXCC credit?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, I've never submitted any cards, not even yours, for DXCC. Like I
> > > > said, we're not all cut from your mold.
> > >
> > > That isn't what I asked. Out of all of the folks you QSO'd from
> > > Somalia, a rare one even when there is no war going on, doesn't it seem
> > > strange that not a single individual has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD to
> > > the DXCC desk for award credit?

> Yes, it does. Makes your claim that they were rejected sound like just so
> much speculation.

Please point to a single post where I have stated that your operation
was rejected.

> Was it just speculation?

Yeah, Brian. I'm speculatin' that you didn't operate from Somalia at
all.

Dave K8MN

612. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:23:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D797F48.D40E8D76@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52479

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D792D46.F3715C91@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is
> > > > required.
> > > > Never has been.
> > > >
> > > Nor you, Dick. But I can discriminate between a superflous requirement
> when
> > > its compared another requirement.
> >
> > You mean like having written permission to operate from a foreign shore
> > or your own country?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, produce the Somalian equivalent of Part 97 and I'll take a look.

That isn't necessary. What about the "permission" you supposedly
obtained from the U.S. military. You don't have that in writing either.

Dave K8MN

613. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:24:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Gbfe9.37$3p.2459700@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52480

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D797957.54FE0249@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D792D46.F3715C91@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is
> > > > required.
> > > > > Never has been.
> > > >
> > > > Nor you, Dick. But I can discriminate between a superflous
requirement
> > when
> > > > its compared another requirement.
> > >
> > > You mean like having written permission to operate from a foreign
shore
> > > or your own country?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, produce the Somalian equivalent of Part 97 and I'll take a look.
>
> Phil Kane already told you but you preferred to just ignore him. Of
course you
> couldn't admit that what he had to say applied to your case. You'd have
even
> more egg on face.

Dick, can you can come up with some receipts where Phil is under contract with Warlord Aideed to produce the Somali equivalent of Part 97? Or maybe that khat chewing, AK carrying Technical at the K-4 traffic circle is now in power.

I didn't "just ignore" Phil. I suggested that he correct the ARRL's information about operation in Somalia.

Does that sound like I ignored him?

614. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:25:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D797F9F.40897DCE@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52481

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3D791EE9.C5CC38CA@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> > BFD. Putzie thinks he was the only army type who ever babysat an AN-GR26
> bank.
> >Ballz.
>
> I've never operated an AN/GRC-26. Never claimed that. The 8235th AU
> had about a dozen for several purposes but they were not used in ADA
> station communications.
>
> The "angry-twentysix" was never referred to as a "bank." Sometimes as a
> "26 hut" because of the enclosure that mounted on the flat bed of a
> standard 2 1/2 ton truck.
>
> >Thousands of 'em were in service through Vietnam, at least.
>
> Doubtful. A few hundred, perhaps.
>

It appears Putz knows even less about it than I, and it was my had the
assignment, not me.

>
> The AN/GRC-26 was almost always operated by those with field radio
> MOSs. That MOS required passing morse code proficiency. Field use
> of the '26 in Korea, '53 to '55, used the teleprinter for written messaging,
> not morse code OOK CW.
>

Bro was also a qualified high speed CW operator, and I know fer a fact that he was
on a mission in 1964 where he put those skills to use.

>
> >Most of the
> >people who handled were not hams, though plenty were, Unlike Putzie, none of
> >them are trying their damnedst to harrass hams on RRAP.
>
> Information communication is not "harrassment" unless readers refuse
> to accept new information.
>

Damn shame Putz can't come up with any. His is all stale as month old bread.

>
> >My brother spent 6
> >years in Devens teaching Putzie-types HOW to babysit them.
>
> AN/GRC-26 operation was taught at Camp Gordon, GA, later
> renamed Fort Gordon.
>

Unhuh. That's where he got his original '26 training - considerably before he
spent those 6 years teaching it. At Fort Devens.

>
> Fort Devens had an ASA school. ASA, or Army Security Agency, did a
> lot of monitoring during the cold war. They used a variety of radios,
> principally receivers. ASA duties were ELINT. Up to sometime during
> the 1970s, most ASA MOSs required morse code listening proficiency
> to 15 groups per minute. The Fort Devens code school was 13 weeks,
> 6 hours a day in duration.
>

I guess they sat out Nam.
Putzie doesn't know that the very first US casualty in Vietnam was an ASA soldier
named Davis. One of my brother's students.
Where DOES he get all that stale, incomplete information? Must have found an
"Our History, but Incomplete" Cd by the DOD. Or maybe that's what they're releasing
on their website for GOOGLE searchers.

>
> ASA was dissolved after the Vietnam conflict, merged into the larger
> infrastructure of Military Intelligence.

WOW! he did get that one right.

>
> No manned ELINT station in the US military or NSA is "babysat."

Didn't say it was. Said Putz babysat a transmitter site in the outback of JA land.

Gotta have a full complement of flunkies to read meters and reset tripped circuit
breakers, ya know.

> While they have recorders, operators continually scan for signals,
> manually separating known emitters from unknowns. NSA has some
> installations where automatic separation and recording of signals is
> done.

That's OLD information, not even close to current.

Back into the poop filter goes the Putz. Cub, too. Boring.

615. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:32:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D798133.67B1BDB5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52482

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D792EFA.BE599EB6@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D77C0EE.AA2E3F08@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked about
> it,
> > > > > > > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > > > > assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your
> claims
> > > > > > > > > > > with
> > > > > > > > > > > > proof. You cannot do so.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > No it isn't. You've said it was illegal and that I'm a
> bootlegger.
> > > > > > > > > > > Now
> > > > > > > > > > > > prove it.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Sorry, Brian. You claim doesn't wash. If you'd been given
> permission
> > > > > > > > > > > > to operate, you'd have it in writing. You don't.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > I don't, and I did.
> > > >
> > > > There's a piece of convoluted writing. You don't what and you did
> what?
> > >
> > > What you said. Reading Comp. 101?
> >
> > Is that the class giving you problems. Okay, I'll read it in one of the
> > many ways it could be construed: You don't have permission to operate
> > but you did so anyway. How about: You don't have it in writing but you
> > once did.
>
> Read it any way you want. What difference do you make?

I assumed that since you responded to one of my posts, you'd probably
want to write something coherent so there would be no misunderstanding.
Why else would you bother to reply?

> > > > > > > > > > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation has
> a
> > > > > written
> > > > > > > > > > > form of license. The U.N. issues written authorization.
> The
> > > U.S.
> > > > > > > > > > > military issues written authorization. Where is yours?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that it
> is a
> > > GP
> > > > > > > form.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation
> from J5
> > > is
> > > > > > > > typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Anyone could have typed that. Show us a real license.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > It is signed by the man in charge of issuing all radio licenses in
> the
> > > > > country. The appropriate seal is applied. Copies of the license,
> my
> > > > > visa and residency papers and of my passport entry stamp into
> > > > > Guinea-Bissau were all supplied to the DXCC desk. My operation is
> > > > > documented and has been accepted.
> > > > >
> > > > You could have got his name of an ARRL fact sheet on foreign
> operation.
> > > >
> > > I guess I got a sample of his handwriting and a copy of the
> appropriate

> > > > governmental seals too. I carefully crafted the document in fluent
> > > > Portuguese.
> > > > There must be no end to my crafty behavior.
> > >
> > > If you say so.
> >
> > I say so.
>
> So you're crafty? You must have a lot in common with Marth Stewart.

Is she a DXer too?

> > The government of Guinea-Bissau says so.
>
> The gov't of Guinea-Bissau says you're crafty? Maybe they're on to
> something.
>
> > The DXCC desk says so.
>
> Oh no! Even the DXCC desk says you're crafty? What is the Worlds Greatest
> DXer going to do?

I guess I'll have to continue to demonstrate that your high amateur
radio ethics and morals are a complete sham.

> > > > > Sorry, I didn't have a passport, didn't have a visa, didn't have a
> > > stamp.
> > > > >
> > > > > I had orders to another country and permission from my commander.
> > > >
> > > > I'm sure you can produce your orders. You just can't produce the
> > > > permission.
> > >
> > > But my orders say Kenya. Like the DXCC desk accept that for Somalia.
> >
> > I'm not going to argue that point. I'll agree that you have neither
> > orders for Somalia nor written permission to operate from there. I
> > guess you don't have a leg to stand on.
>
> Two of them.

They must not be fully function 'cuz in this one, you're on your bum.
No orders for the country of operation; no written permission--nothing
but your say-so.

> > > > > > > You simply cannot remember what you said. That's good for you
> > > because
> > > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > were wrong. No sense in two people remembering your mistakes.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a
> whole

> > > > > > group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has to
> be
> > > in
> > > > > > writing? Damn!
> > > > > > It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about that
> part.
> > > >
> > > > > > I have a license. It says, N0iMD. Check it out on the FCC web
> site. I
> > > > > > never had a license that said T5iMD.
> > > >
> > > > > You never had permission which said "Brian Burke" either.
> > >
> > > Correct. That is my given name.
> >
> > I knew that part. Do you have written permission to operate under a
> > pseudonym?
>
> Such as?

How many pseudonyms have you used in the past?

Dave K8MN

616. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:35:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52483

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020905183901.03599.00000561@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > > > In article <20020905083511.03174.00001605@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> > > (Steve
> > > > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> > > >
> > > > >> Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
> > > > >> noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
> > > > >> your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
> > > > >
> > > > > He's made NO mistakes, Your Geezerness.
> > > >
> > > > Who are you talking about?
> > > >
> > > > No one in here has any "authority," written or otherwise, to
> > > > ban anyone, shut them up, or "rule" on anything.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Brian busted regualtions six-ways to Sunday by not having the
> > proper
> > > > >documentation for his "operation" in Somalia.
> > > >
> > > > Burke cited the ARRL listing. Brian Burke explained his proper
> > > > military permission to operate while on active duty.
> > > >
> > > > Three individuals in this newsgroup have charged him erroneously
> > > > with bootlegging in 1992-1993. Illegal operation is a serious
> > offense.
> > > >
> > > > Has any legal authority arrested Burke or charged him with any
> > > > criminal activity for the 1992-1993 operation in Somalia? No.
> > > >
> > > > What we have here (in another sub-thread) is simply some loud-
> > > > mouth (or rather loud-typing) individuals who get their jollies out
> > > > of saying bad things about others. You are included.
> > > >
> > > > You must PROVE your charges to make them valid. You have
> > > > not.
```

> > >
> > > Len, like the ARRL and W5YI VEC's use of Farnsworth testing, my
> operation in
> > > Somalia has been given tacit approval by the authorities because of a
> lack
> > > of prosecution.
> >
> > Maybe that'll work for speeding and shoplifting too.
>
> Dave, keep hoping and maybe you'll get a pass.

I don't need a pass, Brian. I hold written documentation for each and every one of my operations from foreign soil. There's not a single case of "that guy told me it was okay". Do you realize how sad it has become when Len Anderson, a non-ham grumpy geezer is your sole supporter in any of this?

Dave K8MN

617. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:43:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7983DB.B7ACBDE3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52484

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D793065.20439B5C@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me
> > > that
> > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working
> > > them on
> > > > > > > > 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Brian is really rattled. He can't even get THIS stuff right.
> Does
> > > > the
> > > > > > > > French allocation really begin at 52 MHZ?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What was it that your French buddy told you on here?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I was of the opinion that he was YOUR French buddy.
> > > >
> > > He replied DIRECTLY to you.
> > >
> > I didn't care much for him.
>
> Why would you? A ham of conscience would then have to stop working the
> Frenchmen out of band.

You tried this before and it doesn't wash. I operated where I was
permitted. I have documentation that I was permitted to operate from
Tanzania and that I was permitted to be on the portion of six meters
```

which I used. You, on the other hand, have no documentation permitting
you to operate from Somalia at all.

A French ham of conscience wouldn't call someone out of band.

> > > Then again, you
> > > > couldn't get his call right or remember the frequency.
> > >
> > > Yet you say he was my buddy?
> >
> > You two have much in common.
>
> Some friend?
>
> > > Why not look it
> > > > up? You have all the tables for various countries.
> >
> > > I don't work Frenchmen on 6M, in band or out of band, thus I have no
> need
> > > for that information.
> >
> > I'm sorry for your handicap.
>
> Don't let Mr Dann find you making fun of people with special needs.

You may be onto something. I'm beginning to think of you as a person
with "special needs".

> > Are you now restricting your lookups of
> > those you check before working to French ops on 6m?
>
> Huh? Talking Succinctly 101?

What didn't you understand? Do you only check the authorization of
French ops on six meters?

> > > But you obviously do.
> >
> > Your previous posts indicated that you are always make sure that the
> > stations calling you are operating within their allocated band segment.
>
> My previous posts never said that.
>
> My previous posts indicate that if I knew that an opeator calling be was
> outside his authorization, I wouldn't make the contact.
>
> Its called Good Amateur Practice.

Naw, your previous posts indicated that you'd ask a station to confirm
that he was operating within his allocated band segment (followed by
"QSL?"). You need all the amateur practice you can get.

Dave K8MN

618. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:45:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D79845B.7FDA241E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52485

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through SHF.
> >
> > Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL you did.
>
> Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you agreeing or
> disagreeing with?

You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the ham bands.

Dave K8MN

619. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 04:51:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7985E2.939A3862@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52486

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >

> > > Why wouldn't you?
> > >
> > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me to
> > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why wouldn't I
> > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied for
> > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which I
> > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a DXCC
> > total for myself.
>
> But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC? Isn't
> that a double standard?

No, that's just a standard. One could assume that at least one of the
stations contacted by you from Somalia would need the country as a new
one or as a band-country toward his DXCC. That no one submitted any of
your Somali cards is quite peculiar.

> > > > I was never out of band.
> > >
> > > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
> > >
> > I was never out of band when working anyone.
>
> But you knew they were out of band.

Did I? You don't know that.
```

> > > > >
> > > > > Why? I had permission.
> > > > >
> > > > > So you say.
> > > >
> > > > Someone else said also.
> > >
> > > Yep. Some guy told you it was okay...
> > So you say.
>
> Yep, some guy who had the authority to make the statement.

Even YOU don't know if he had the authority to make the alleged statement. You certainly have nothing to back up your claim of permission.

Dave K8MN

620. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 05:04:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7988DB.DD6E39CD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52487

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D79718E.AC6C2560@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > > > > > I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S. Why
> would I
> > > > > > > > need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a
> "Botswanan".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why wouldn't you?
> > > > >
> > > > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me to
> > > > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why wouldn't
> I
> > > > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied for
> > > > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which I
> > > > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a
> DXCC
> > > > total for myself.
> > >
> > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC?
> Isn't
> > > that a double standard?
> >
> > Welp, if there was any remaining doubt, it just vanished. He really IS
> that
> > dumb.
>
> Dick, you're just not being very clear.
>
> Explain how Dave doesn't have to apply for DXCC for Botswana, but eveyone
> else must for their QTH.

That's an easy one, Brian. I'm only interested in my DXCC status from
the U.S. but NOT ONE of those you worked has ever submitted one of your
Somali cards for DXCC. There are, of course, multiple scenarios to
cover such a situation.
A few of them are: You never worked anyone. You never QSL'd anyone.
You worked only people operating out of their native land who choose not

to chase a DXCC from the country where they were then active. Which one of these sounds likely to you?

Dave K8MN

621. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 08:41:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D79BBA7.A4F61321@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52488

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D797957.54FE0249@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D792D46.F3715C91@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is
> > > > > > required.
> > > > > > Never has been.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nor you, Dick. But I can discriminate between a superflous
> requirement
> > > when
> > > > > > its compared another requirement.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You mean like having written permission to operate from a foreign
> shore
> > > > > or your own country?
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Dave, produce the Somalian equivalent of Part 97 and I'll take a look.
> >
> Phil Kane already told you but you preferred to just ignore him. Of
> course you
> > couldn't admit that what he had to say applied to your case. You'd have
> even
> > more egg on face.
>
> Dick, can you can come up with some receipts where Phil is under contract
> with Warlord Aideed to produce the Somali equivalent of Part 97? Or maybe
> that khat chewing, AK carrying Technical at the K-4 traffic circle is now in
> power.
>
> I didn't "just ignore" Phil. I suggested that he correct the ARRL's
> information about operation in Somalia.

>
> Does that sound like I ignored him?

No, you just continued to ignore good sense and shoved your obviously serious level of ignorance even further toward the Titanic's resting place. Phil tried to 'splain to you that even tho a country has no government you can't just go in and set up a ham radio station without following international rules - a fact which is covered in US rules, and which you, as a US licensee, are supposed to be familiar with, at least to the point of getting it straight. And for which you are responsible, again as a US licensee, for abiding by and/or adhering to.
.
Permission is not "Yeah, go ahead". It's a document setting forth authorization and the conditions, limits and parameters thereof. You had nothing but vapor. Once those words -"Yeah, go ahead"- were finished compressing the airwaves, what did you have remaining to show it ever happened? Your word? Do you really not know any better than that??? You keep insisting that you do not. Slim, we all believe you.

Now, can I go back to 'Ignore You' mode? You better betcher bippy I can. I've skipped over literally hundreds of your inane posts in the past - they must have been inane, that's all you ever generate. I guarantee I can and will do so in the future, right along with those of your Elmer, The Putz. I only stayed this long to see just how far you could go toward forcing yourself to continue this farce, and turns out you're a natural for it. Hey with you at the helm it could stagger along for months. Why might I ever have thought otherwise? But couldn't you have come up with *something* better than The Putz for an Elmer??

As for your obsession with the Actuarial Tables, finally it has become clear why you're so fixated on them. After all, as long as you have spent attempting to become a qualified ham and as little as you have progressed toward that noble goal, you're sure to be on the far side of Geezerdom yourself before you even learn enough to stay out of the soup - should you somehow happen to accidently last that long..

If ignorance is bliss you're serenity personified.

Chow!

622. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 12:33:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907083359.12481.00004941@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52489

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/6/02 10:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020906230813.03599.00000644@mb-fk.aol.com>

>I've never operated an AN/GRC-26.

Nor a Yaesu FT101, nor an IC-726, nor a TS-2000.....

>The "angry-twentysix" was never referred to as a "bank."

Several transmitters in a group are refred to in a "bank". Was when I was
on Acitve Duty, and I had several transmitters to deal with.

>The AN/GRC-26 was almost always operated by...(SNIP)

...rear area widgets who'd pee thier pants if they had to get dirty.

Rest of "see what I know" post trash canned...

Doesn't pertain to Amateur Radio policy.

623. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 13:03:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209070503.13440c3a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52490

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20020906160411.24393.00000279@mb-mc.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3D791EE9.C5CC38CA@townsqr.com>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >> >Tell us about ham operation IN Somalia during the early 90s.
> > >>
> > >> Why don't YOU tell us about "ham operation" from ANYWHERE, Lennie.
> > >>
>
As The Putz well knows I've never been a member of the U.S. armed
forces Steve.
>
>
> > >He didn't "operate" from anywhere, not on ham or military freqs. He
> > >babysat a RTTY repeater system the real operators used.
> >
> > Incorrect.
> >
> > Long-distance HF communications in the military of a half century
> > ago were principally in the 4 to 18 MHz range, most circuits
> > being 24/7, ranging from short distances to very long such as the
> > path from Tokyo to San Francisco. Antennas varied from delta-
> > match-fed dipoles to rhombics, the latter desired for the longest
> > circuits. The AN/GRC-26, hut-mounted on a 2 1/2 ton truck bed
> > with a towed motor-generator, included both a dipole and rhombic
> > antenna "kit" with masts and guys, stowed under the bench seats
> > of the hut . . . . <three more pages of this old crap snipped>
> >
>
JA to W6 with a rhombic and kilowatts is "long distance" hero radio??
W1/W2/W3 to VK with 5-10 watts and a wire dangling from a tree is an
SOP ho-hum.
>
>
Rhombics were the wrong type of antenna to use for JA/KA/HL to W6.
Phased wire arrays would have been deployed faster, would have had
higher gains and occupied far less real estate and would have been

much easier to secure than rhombics are. Especially, per previous,
when subject to enemy light machine gun fire. But then there wasn't a
whole lotta light machine gun fire in the joints in Tokyo or up the
road where Our Hero The Putz babysat the repeater.
>
>
>>The point in military communications of today is that the content
>>of the communications is the most important thing, not the way
>>it must be done by certain specialists.
>
>
And this at the end of another of his scores and more near-identical
half megabyte rants about his weenie specialty. From 47 years ago.
Talk about the ultimate in pervasive old fartism . . which is what he
accuses us of having.
>
>
> BFD. Putzie thinks he was the only army type who ever babysat an AN-GR26 > bank.
> Ballz. Thousands of 'em were in service through Vietnam, at least. Most of the
> people who handled were not hams, though plenty were, Unlike Putzie, none of
> them are trying their damnedst to harrass hams on RRAP. My brother spent 6 > > years
> in Devens teaching Putzie-types HOW to babysit them.
>
>
Heh. Ya mean The Putz wasn't unique unto himself in the history of the
U.S. Army Signal Corps? Getting thru that class musta been the biggest
challenge The Putz ever faced else we wouldn't keep hearing the same
old crap. Almost a half century later.
>
>
The WX is tanking and I have antennas to put up so that I can OPERATE
my own FCC LICENSED RADIO STATION in the coming months so I'm outta
here. Kindly pass my fondest to The Putz Dick.

w3rv

624. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 13:22:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907092256.22819.00001242@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52491

>Subject: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 9/5/02 11:56 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020906005640.15102.00002007@mb-fy.aol.com>
>

>Now...since you ONLYstate the truth, NO fabrications, we will wait and see
>how long it takes you to produce your verifiable truths of League dishonesty.

It's been 2 1/2 days since I put this up, and His Putziness has made
almost a dozen other posts since then.

So far, no repsonse. Not that I figure there ever will be, since we know
the Putzy One can not supply same without proving that his "verifiable truths,
no fabrications" assertion is false.

This is just a milestone that we can measure Lennie's credibility by.

So far, Lennie hasn't made it to Mile 1.......

Still waiting right here, Lennie....

73

Steve. K4YZ

625. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 13:28:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209070528.2747d6f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52492

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020907001926.22428.00001660@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0209051658.10fea047@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >For the keyer itslf I keep it really simple. I have one of the little
> >$50 MFJ keyers. It can run Iambic modes A & B, it's very compact &
> >light, very reliable and runs forever on a 9v internal battery and it
> >runs just as well on any 12vdc source. The only feature it doesn't
> >have is memories. Which are not particularly useful except in
> >contests.
>
> Brian:
>
> I have the MFJ Econo Keyer II (model MFJ-401c) and if we're talking about
> the same machine, your "forever" is one heck of a lot shorter than mine! I
> find the thing to be a veritable battery hog; it even makes the Energizer
> Bunny stop beating his drum!
>
>
Huh?? I don't have mine handy to check the exact part number but it's
an Econ-Keyer and I've run it thru at least a couple hundred contacts
on an internal 9v. battery.
>
>
> It works just fine on a 12 V. 50 ma. power
> supply that used to charge the Ni-Cad battery pack from my old Icom IC-02AT
> handheld, so that's how I use it, unless I'm operating portable (Field Day,
> mainly).
>
I have a pair of those old 2AT iron turkeys, ya can't kill 'em wih a
sledgehammer. I've also used a wall wart to power the keyer, works
fine.
>
>
> However, I have a "portable" battery cable for it that plugs into a
> 2.3 amp 12 V. gel cell! That'll run it for a good long time. This thing is
> obviously not CMOS.
>
How old is yours? Mine is only a couple years old, maybe they switched
to CMOS. Beats me. I'll put the DMM to it.
>
>
> I've been thinking of getting an Accu-Keyer, but this

> one works well, in spite of the power problems, so I've had no need to
> replace it.
>
>
Amen. It works and does everything ya actually need. Bert is pulling
together a pack 'n go portable station for use in South America and I
expect that you'll agree that he can't go wrong with an Econo-Keyer
for the job. But it looks like he'd better not count on powering it
with an internal battery. He'll have an Astron switcher to power the
radio so he'll be able to tap that to power the keyer.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

w3rv

626. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 13:57:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209070557.40293fbb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52493

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<kpee9.495$pA7.17346792@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > >
> > Hey Brainiac, I'd been on the air for 14 or so years as a General when
> > they dropped Incentive Licensing on us. Which I also very strongly
> > supported at the time. See my rant in QST on the subject.
>
> Are you famous or something?
>
> Many of us
> > who could operate in the 20-50wpm range back then did it easily in our
> > heads but most of us had a bad time getting 20wpm down on paper.
>
> Hey, them's the requirements. Stop whining and do it.
>
>
Did it 34 years ago Brainiac and I ain't whined abt it yet. Versus
YOU.
>
>
>
> So
> > yeah of course we copied w1aw in order to get the pencil running as
> > fast as our heads could. I took the test knowing I could copy 25wpm
> > solid on paper thankew w1aw and the ARRL. I don't know anybody who had
> > to upgrade to Extra to retain the privs who didn't use w1aw for this
> > purpose.
>
> Unless Cecil was right about people being "forced" to take code exams, no
> one "had" to upgrade. It was merely an incentive, not a mandate, right?
>
>
Of course. An incentive with teeth for those of us who placed enough
value on the privs passing the 20wpm test conveyed to take up the
challenge.
>
>
> > Once in awhile I still copy w1aw to beat myself up in the
> > high-speed runs. Not that you would relate to a bit of it.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> They still send code practice?
>

>
You are truly the village idiot around here.

w3rv

627. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 15:10:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <IFoe9.13295$6i4.1077688@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52494

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Now...since you ONLYstate the truth, NO fabrications, we will wait and
see
> how long it takes you to produce your verifiable truths of League
dishonesty.
>
> We'll wait right here.............
>

Steve,

Quit mucking up the newsgroup with your asinine trolling. If it weren't
for your juvenile prodding and poking, Anderson wouldn't have anyone to talk
to and he'd go away, and maybe vice versa.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

628. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 15:11:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907111129.00781.00002333@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52495

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 9/7/02 8:57 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209070557.40293fbb@posting.google.com>

>> Unless Cecil was right about people being "forced" to take code exams, no
>> one "had" to upgrade. It was merely an incentive, not a mandate, right?
>>
>>
>Of course. An incentive with teeth for those of us who placed enough
>value on the privs passing the 20wpm test conveyed to take up the
>challenge.

You can't be "forced" to do something you WANT to do.

(Now...WHERE'S Cecil...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

629. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:14:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907121454.00880.00002359@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52496

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 9/7/02 10:10 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <IFoe9.13295$6i4.1077688@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Quit mucking up the newsgroup with your asinine trolling. If it weren't
>for your juvenile prodding and poking, Anderson wouldn't have anyone to talk
>to and he'd go away, and maybe vice versa.

Thank-you for exercising your Constitutionally guaranteed free speech,
Hans.

If this were a video forum you could see me exercising mine.

Have a Smurfy Day.

73

Steve, K4YZ

630. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:18:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nFpe9.175$bt2.10116330@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52497

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D797F48.D40E8D76@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D792D46.F3715C91@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7741ED.820752AC@townsqr.com...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Problem was, Slim, that it wasn't you who gets to decide what is
> > > > required.
> > > > > Never has been.
> > > >
> > > > Nor you, Dick. But I can discriminate between a superflous
requirement
> > when
> > > > its compared another requirement.
> > >
> > > You mean like having written permission to operate from a foreign
shore
> > > or your own country?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, produce the Somalian equivalent of Part 97 and I'll take a look.
>
> That isn't necessary. What about the "permission" you supposedly
> obtained from the U.S. military. You don't have that in writing either.
>
> Dave K8MN

I'll let you slide on this one. Just recite it from memory.
```

631. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:21:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907122148.00880.00002363@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52498

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 9/7/02 10:10 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <IFoe9.13295$6i4.1077688@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Quit mucking up the newsgroup with your asinine trolling.

This newsgroup had muck knee deep before I arrived...it will have muck
until the Second Coming.

BTW, Hans...It isn't trolling if it's true. His Putziness has made
allegations of dishonesty per the ARRL, yet insists he "does not fabricate" his
posts. That being the case, where's his "evidence"..?

Unless YOU can provide some evidence that he's right..?!?!?

73

Steve, K4YZ

632. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:22:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209070822.3506bee6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52499

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<fJae9.12147$6i4.970233@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> > When was the last time anyone heard of any fed agency, other than Corps of
> > Engineers, using HF?
>
> DoD is just one of many federal agency for which NTIA manages HF spectrum
> requirements.
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
>
>
The Putz is gonna find this thread eventually, he's been bleating for
years abt the NTIA ruling the spectrum vs. the FCC.

w3rv

633. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:25:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9Mpe9.176$rE2.10785854@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52500

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D798133.67B1BDB5@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D792EFA.BE599EB6@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D77C0EE.AA2E3F08@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D74A810.C6D2ABF4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D739C1E.6141429E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D73377E.14A677FE@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > You told us of your operation from Somalia. When asked
about
> > > > > > > > > > > > it,
> > > > > > > > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > > > > > assured us that it was legal. It is upon you to back your
> > > > > > > > > > > claims
> > > > > > > > > > > with
> > > > > > > > > > > > proof. You cannot do so.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > No it isn't. You've said it was illegal and that I'm a
> > > > > > > > > > bootlegger.
> > > > > > > > > > > Now
> > > > > > > > > > > > prove it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Sorry, Brian. You claim doesn't wash. If you'd been given
```

> > permission
> > > > > > > to operate, you'd have it in writing. You don't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't, and I did.
> > > > > >
> > > > > There's a piece of convoluted writing. You don't what and you did
> > what?
> > > > >
> > > > What you said. Reading Comp. 101?
> > >
> > > Is that the class giving you problems. Okay, I'll read it in one of
the
> > > many ways it could be construed: You don't have permission to operate
> > > but you did so anyway. How about: You don't have it in writing but you
> > > once did.
> >
> > Read it any way you want. What difference do you make?
>
> I assumed that since you responded to one of my posts, you'd probably
> want to write something coherent so there would be no misunderstanding.
> Why else would you bother to reply?

Why wouldn't I?

> > > > > > > > > > Every country which recognizes amateur radio operation
has
> > a
> > > > > > written
> > > > > > > > > > > > form of license. The U.N. issues written
authorization.
> > The
> > > > > U.S.
> > > > > > > > > > > > military issues written authorization. Where is
yours?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Which form is that? Surely it is in such wide use that
it
> > is a
> > > > > GP
> > > > > > > > form.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > It doesn't need to be on a form. My license for operation
> > from J5
> > > > > is
> > > > > > > > > > typed on a manual typewriter on letter stock.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Anyone could have typed that. Show us a real license.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It is signed by the man in charge of issuing all radio
licenses in
> > the

> > > > > > > country. The appropriate seal is applied. Copies of the
license,
> > my
> > > > > > > visa and residency papers and of my passport entry stamp into
> > > > > > > Guinea-Bissau were all supplied to the DXCC desk. My
operation is
> > > > > > > documented and has been accepted.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You could have got his name of an ARRL fact sheet on foreign
> > operation.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I guess I got a sample of his handwriting and a copy of the
> > appropriate
> > > > > > > governmental seals too. I carefully crafted the document in
fluent
> > > > > > > Portuguese.
> > > > > > > There must be no end to my crafty behavior.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you say so.
> > > > >
> > > > > I say so.
> > >
> > So you're crafty? You must have a lot in common with Marth Stewart.
>
> Is she a DXer too?
>
> > > The government of Guinea-Bissau says so.
> >
> > The gov't of Guinea-Bissau says you're crafty? Maybe they're on to
> > something.
> >
> > > The DXCC desk says so.
> >
> > Oh no! Even the DXCC desk says you're crafty? What is the Worlds
Greatest
> > DXer going to do?
>
> I guess I'll have to continue to demonstrate that your high amateur
> radio ethics and morals are a complete sham.

I guess you again forgot what it was you said.

> > > > > > Sorry, I didn't have a passport, didn't have a visa, didn't have
a
> > > > > stamp.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I had orders to another country and permission from my
commander.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm sure you can produce your orders. You just can't produce the
> > > > > > permission.

> > > >
> > > > But my orders say Kenya. Like the DXCC desk accept that for
Somalia.
> > >
> > > I'm not going to argue that point. I'll agree that you have neither
> > > orders for Somalia nor written permission to operate from there. I
> > > guess you don't have a leg to stand on.
> >
> > Two of them.
>
> They must not be fully function 'cuz in this one, you're on your bum.
> No orders for the country of operation; no written permission--nothing
> but your say-so.

My word is good.

> > > > > > > > > You simply cannot remember what you said. That's good for
you
> > > > because
> > > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > were wrong. No sense in two people remembering your
mistakes.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'd tread lightly on THAT one, Brian. Right now, you've got a
> > whole
> > > > > > > > group of people remembering your mistakes: A radio license has
to
> > be
> > > > > in
> > > > > > > > writing? Damn!
> > > > > > > > It seems that others operating from Somalia knew all about
that
> > part.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I have a license. It says, N0iMD. Check it out on the FCC web
> > site. I
> > > > > > > never had a license that said T5iMD.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You never had permission which said "Brian Burke" either.
> > > > >
> > > > Correct. That is my given name.
> > >
> > > I knew that part. Do you have written permission to operate under a
> > > pseudonym?
> >
> > Such as?
>
> How many pseudonyms have you used in the past?

None. Why would I? I am me.

634. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:29:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xPpe9.177$cF2.10835223@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52401

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020905183901.03599.00000561@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > > > > In article <20020905083511.03174.00001605@mb-cs.aol.com>,
k4yz@aol.com
> > > > > (Steve
> > > > > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >> Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
> > > > >> noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
> > > > >> your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
> > > > >
> > > > > He's made NO mistakes, Your Geezerness.
> > > > >
> > > > > Who are you talking about?
> > > > >
> > > > > No one in here has any "authority," written or otherwise, to
> > > > > ban anyone, shut them up, or "rule" on anything.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian busted regualtions six-ways to Sunday by not having
the
> > proper
> > > > > >documentation for his "operation" in Somalia.
> > > > >
> > > > > Burke cited the ARRL listing. Brian Burke explained his proper
> > > > > military permission to operate while on active duty.
> > > > >
> > > > > Three individuals in this newsgroup have charged him
erroneously
> > > > > with bootlegging in 1992-1993. Illegal operation is a serious
> > offense.
> > > > >
> > > > > Has any legal authority arrested Burke or charged him with any
> > > > > criminal activity for the 1992-1993 operation in Somalia? No.
> > > > >
> > > > > What we have here (in another sub-thread) is simply some loud-

> > > > > mouth (or rather loud-typing) individuals who get their jollies
out
> > > > > of saying bad things about others. You are included.
> > > > >
> > > > > You must PROVE your charges to make them valid. You have
> > > > > not.
> > > > >
> > > > > Len, like the ARRL and W5YI VEC's use of Farnsworth testing, my
> > operation in
> > > > > Somalia has been given tacit approval by the authorities because of
a
> > lack
> > > > > of prosecution.
> > > >
> > > Maybe that'll work for speeding and shoplifting too.
> >
> > Dave, keep hoping and maybe you'll get a pass.
>
> I don't need a pass, Brian. I hold written documentation for each and
> every one of my operations from foreign soil. There's not a single case
> of "that guy told me it was okay". Do you realize how sad it has become
> when Len Anderson, a non-ham grumpy geezer is your sole supporter in any
> of this?

Dave, more group think? Are you really comfortable being in a consensus
with Dick, Steve, Kelly, and Ed?

I was there.

You weren't.

I know what happened.

You don't.

You merely speculate and defame.

635. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:33:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ITpe9.181$LD2.10740960@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52402

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7983DB.B7ACBDE3@earthlink.net...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D793065.20439B5C@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly
tell me
> > > > > that
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop
working
> > > > them on
> > > > > > > > > 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Brian is really rattled. He can't even get THIS stuff right.
> > Does
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > > French allocation really begin at 52 MHZ?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What was it that your French buddy told you on here?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I was of the opinion that he was YOUR French buddy.
> > > > >
> > > > > He replied DIRECTLY to you.
> > > >
> > > > I didn't care much for him.
> > >
```

> > Why would you? A ham of conscience would then have to stop working the
> > Frenchmen out of band.
>
> You tried this before and it doesn't wash. I operated where I was
> permitted. I have documentation that I was permitted to operate from
> Tanzania and that I was permitted to be on the portion of six meters
> which I used. You, on the other hand, have no documentation permitting
> you to operate from Somalia at all.

Maybe it was a malaria induced hallucination.

> A French ham of conscience wouldn't call someone out of band.

And a Tanzanian Licensee of conscience wouldn't reply.

> > > > Then again, you
> > > > > couldn't get his call right or remember the frequency.
> > > > >
> > > > Yet you say he was my buddy?
> > > >
> > > You two have much in common.
> > >
> > Some friend?
> >
> > > > Why not look it
> > > > > up? You have all the tables for various countries.
> > > >
> > > > I don't work Frenchmen on 6M, in band or out of band, thus I have no
> > need
> > > > for that information.
> > > >
> > > I'm sorry for your handicap.
> >
> > Don't let Mr Dann find you making fun of people with special needs.
>
> You may be onto something. I'm beginning to think of you as a person
> with "special needs".

You said I didn't have legs to stand on.

> > > Are you now restricting your lookups of
> > > those you check before working to French ops on 6m?
> >
> > Huh? Talking Succinctly 101?
>
> What didn't you understand? Do you only check the authorization of
> French ops on six meters?

I'm not understanding, "Are you now restricting your lookups of those you
check before working to French ops on 6m?"

> > > > But you obviously do.
> > >

> > > Your previous posts indicated that you are always make sure that the
> > > stations calling you are operating within their allocated band
segment.
> >
> > My previous posts never said that.
> >
> > My previous posts indicate that if I knew that an opeator calling be was
> > outside his authorization, I wouldn't make the contact.
> >
> > Its called Good Amateur Practice.
>
> Naw, your previous posts indicated that you'd ask a station to confirm
> that he was operating within his allocated band segment (followed by
> "QSL?"). You need all the amateur practice you can get.

Before that.

636. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:40:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <s_pe9.13496$6i4.1090364@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52403

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Unless YOU can provide some evidence that he's right..?!?!?
>

Trollin, trollin, trollin down the ri-iver.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
If you aren't part of the solution, you're part of the precipitate.

637. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:41:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <r%pe9.185$ll2.11025695@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52404

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209070503.13440c3a@posting.google.com...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20020906160411.24393.00000279@mb-mc.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3D791EE9.C5CC38CA@townsqr.com>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > > In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
> > > >> >Tell us about ham operation IN Somalia during the early 90s.
> > > >>
> > > >> Why don't YOU tell us about "ham operation" from ANYWHERE,
Lennie.
> > > >>
> >
> As The Putz well knows I've never been a member of the U.S. armed
> forces Steve.

Why not?

> > > >He didn't "operate" from anywhere, not on ham or military freqs. He
> > > >babysat a RTTY repeater system the real operators used.
> > >
> > > Incorrect.
> > >
> > > Long-distance HF communications in the military of a half century
> > > ago were principally in the 4 to 18 MHz range, most circuits
> > > being 24/7, ranging from short distances to very long such as the
> > > path from Tokyo to San Francisco. Antennas varied from delta-
> > > match-fed dipoles to rhombics, the latter desired for the longest
> > > circuits. The AN/GRC-26, hut-mounted on a 2 1/2 ton truck bed
> > > with a towed motor-generator, included both a dipole and rhombic
> > > antenna "kit" with masts and guys, stowed under the bench seats
> > > of the hut . . . . <three more pages of this old crap snipped>
> > >
> >
> JA to W6 with a rhombic and kilowatts is "long distance" hero radio??
> W1/W2/W3 to VK with 5-10 watts and a wire dangling from a tree is an

> SOP ho-hum.

After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you even try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of what you know into the arena of what you don't know?

> Rhombics were the wrong type of antenna to use for JA/KA/HL to W6.
> Phased wire arrays would have been deployed faster, would have had
> higher gains and occupied far less real estate and would have been
> much easier to secure than rhombics are. Especially, per previous,
> when subject to enemy light machine gun fire. But then there wasn't a
> whole lotta light machine gun fire in the joints in Tokyo or up the
> road where Our Hero The Putz babysat the repeater.
> >
> >
> >>The point in military communications of today is that the content
> >>of the communications is the most important thing, not the way
> >>it must be done by certain specialists.
> >
> >
> And this at the end of another of his scores and more near-identical
> half megabyte rants about his weenie specialty. From 47 years ago.
> Talk about the ultimate in pervasive old fartism . . which is what he
> accuses us of having.

After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you even try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of what you know into the arena of what you don't know?

> > BFD. Putzie thinks he was the only army type who ever babysat an
AN-GR26 > bank.
> > Ballz. Thousands of 'em were in service through Vietnam, at least. Most
of the
> > people who handled were not hams, though plenty were, Unlike Putzie,
none of
> > them are trying their damnedst to harrass hams on RRAP. My brother spent
6 > > years
> > in Devens teaching Putzie-types HOW to babysit them.
> >
> >
> Heh. Ya mean The Putz wasn't unique unto himself in the history of the
> U.S. Army Signal Corps? Getting thru that class musta been the biggest
> challenge The Putz ever faced else we wouldn't keep hearing the same
> old crap. Almost a half century later.

After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you even try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of what you know into the arena of what you don't know?

> The WX is tanking and I have antennas to put up so that I can OPERATE
> my own FCC LICENSED RADIO STATION in the coming months so I'm outta
> here. Kindly pass my fondest to The Putz Dick.

Kelly, when did you start calling him "The Putz Dick?"

638. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:42:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <a0qe9.186$nl2.11027737@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52405

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D79845B.7FDA241E@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through SHF.
> > >
> > > Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL you did.
> >
> > Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you agreeing or
> > disagreeing with?
>
> You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the ham bands.

How do you know?
```

639. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:45:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <A2qe9.188$BH2.10986774@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52406

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7988DB.DD6E39CD@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D79718E.AC6C2560@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S. Why
> > would I
> > > > > > > > > > > need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a
> > "Botswanan".
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Why wouldn't you?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me
> to
> > > > > > > > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why
> wouldn't
> > I
> > > > > > > > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied
> for
> > > > > > > > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which
> I
> > > > > > > > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a
> > DXCC
> > > > > > > > total for myself.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC?
> > Isn't
> > > > > > that a double standard?
> > > >
> > > > Welp, if there was any remaining doubt, it just vanished. He really
> IS
> > that
> > > > dumb.
> > >
> > > Dick, you're just not being very clear.
> >
> > Explain how Dave doesn't have to apply for DXCC for Botswana, but
> eveyone

> > else must for their QTH.
>
> That's an easy one, Brian. I'm only interested in my DXCC status from
> the U.S. but NOT ONE of those you worked has ever submitted one of your
> Somali cards for DXCC. There are, of course, multiple scenarios to
> cover such a situation.
> A few of them are: You never worked anyone. You never QSL'd anyone.
> You worked only people operating out of their native land who choose not
> to chase a DXCC from the country where they were then active. Which one
> of these sounds likely to you?

You guys are freaking out over this. Why?

640. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:48:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907124824.00896.00002158@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52407

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 9/7/02 11:40 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <s_pe9.13496$6i4.1090364@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>
>Trollin, trollin, trollin down the ri-iver

Unless you can answer the question, I guess you are! SHAME on you, Master Chief!

The Gunny

641. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:50:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <S7qe9.190$gK2.11146440@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52408

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7985E2.939A3862@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
>
> > > > Why wouldn't you?
> > >
> > > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me to
> > > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why wouldn't
I
> > > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied for
> > > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which I
> > > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a
DXCC
> > > total for myself.
> >
> > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC?
Isn't
> > that a double standard?
>
> No, that's just a standard. One could assume that at least one of the
> stations contacted by you from Somalia would need the country as a new
> one or as a band-country toward his DXCC. That no one submitted any of
> your Somali cards is quite peculiar.

Puti it on Ripley's.

> > > > > I was never out of band.
> > > >
```

> > > > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
> > >
> > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> >
> > But you knew they were out of band.
>
> Did I? You don't know that.

You only said that you continued to operate. I have no written proof that
you actually did so.

> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why? I had permission.
> > > > > >
> > > > > So you say.
> > > > >
> > > > Someone else said also.
> > >
> > > Yep. Some guy told you it was okay...
> > > So you say.
> >
> > Yep, some guy who had the authority to make the statement.
>
> Even YOU don't know if he had the authority to make the alleged
> statement.

Steve/KF2TI showed up here with correspondence from another, later, Somali
Vet who said he obtained permission from his Naval Commander and it was
accepted for DXCC credit.

The ARRL web site gave the particulars of obtaining permission from one's
commander.

What do you know that they don't know?

> You certainly have nothing to back up your claim of permission.
>
> Dave K8MN

Who's asking?

642. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 16:59:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Yfqe9.195$SI2.11059486@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52409

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209070557.40293fbb@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<kpee9.495$pA7.17346792@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > >
> > > Hey Brainiac, I'd been on the air for 14 or so years as a General when
> > > they dropped Incentive Licensing on us. Which I also very strongly
> > > supported at the time. See my rant in QST on the subject.
> >
> > Are you famous or something?

Prolly not.

> > Many of us
> > > who could operate in the 20-50wpm range back then did it easily in our
> > > heads but most of us had a bad time getting 20wpm down on paper.
> >
> > Hey, them's the requirements. Stop whining and do it.
> >
> >
> Did it 34 years ago Brainiac and I ain't whined abt it yet. Versus
> YOU.

I did it only about 15 years ago.

> > So
> > > yeah of course we copied w1aw in order to get the pencil running as
> > > fast as our heads could. I took the test knowing I could copy 25wpm
> > > solid on paper thankew w1aw and the ARRL. I don't know anybody who had
> > > to upgrade to Extra to retain the privs who didn't use w1aw for this
> > > purpose.
> >
> > Unless Cecil was right about people being "forced" to take code exams,
no
> > one "had" to upgrade. It was merely an incentive, not a mandate, right?
> >
> Of course. An incentive with teeth for those of us who placed enough
> value on the privs passing the 20wpm test conveyed to take up the
> challenge.

An incentive with teeth? Was that a punitive incentive?

And Extra with only a code exam? No written?

> > > Once in awhile I still copy w1aw to beat myself up in the
> > > high-speed runs. Not that you would relate to a bit of it.

> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > They still send code practice?
> >
> You are truly the village idiot around here.
>
> w3rv

More name calling from another Extra? Who would have believed it?

643. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:01:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yhqe9.197$FL2.11229331@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52410

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907111129.00781.00002333@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 9/7/02 8:57 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209070557.40293fbb@posting.google.com>
>
> >> Unless Cecil was right about people being "forced" to take code exams,
no
> >> one "had" to upgrade. It was merely an incentive, not a mandate,
right?
> >>
> >>
> >Of course. An incentive with teeth for those of us who placed enough
> >value on the privs passing the 20wpm test conveyed to take up the
> >challenge.
>
> You can't be "forced" to do something you WANT to do.
>
> (Now...WHERE'S Cecil...???
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Where is Cecil? I wonder if he's OK.

Anyway, if the incentive has "TEETH," as Kelly says it did, I would imagine
that it can force you do do something against your will.

644. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:07:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cnqe9.198$yJ2.11104297@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52411

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907121454.00880.00002359@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
> >From: "K&#65533;HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
> >Date: 9/7/02 10:10 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <IFoe9.13295$6i4.1077688@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
> >Quit mucking up the newsgroup with your asinine trolling. If it weren't
> >for your juvenile prodding and poking, Anderson wouldn't have anyone to
talk
> >to and he'd go away, and maybe vice versa.
>
> Thank-you for exercising your Constitutionally guaranteed free
speech,
> Hans.
>
> If this were a video forum you could see me exercising mine.
>
> Have a Smurfy Day.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, just settle down and be civil. It'll go a long way.

645. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:08:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907130812.00953.00002484@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52412

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 11:29 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <xPpe9.177$cF2.10835223@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>Dave, more group think? Are you really comfortable being in a consensus
>with Dick, Steve, Kelly, and Ed?

>I was there.

Copy of your orders, please?

>You weren't.

He doesn't need to be for the purposes of this discussion. His facts are accurate.

(1) To operate from a foreign post not under the jurisdiction of a legitimate local government, you must have UN approval, or where other written regulations are provided for, WRITTEN approval from a military commander AUTHORIZED to issue such authorization. You had neither.

(2) Lacking same, your operation was illegal. We can "accept" that you THOUGHT you were legal, but in the end, you weren't. Just accept it and move on. You keep digging yourself in deeper.

(3) In any case, for ARRL DXCC purposes, you were a Slim. Sorry about that.

>I know what happened.

You know what you THOUGHT happened...You THOUGHT your T5 operation was "legal". It wasn't.

>You don't.

He does. He's gotten it right so far. And I'd trust the "opinions" of an experienced foreign service operator before I would those of an over-anxious, inexperienced, wannabe DXer.

>You merely speculate and defame.

Speculate? Perhaps. Defame? Naaaw...that was YOUR doing when you insisted on running headlong into a brick wall of facts that didn't support YOUR assertions, Brian.

You got to operate from an exotic location. You had the chance of a lifetime and I am sure you had a ball. Bully for you.I would have taken the initiative to get on the air with "tacit" approval from my officers, too, and

worried about the paperwork later.

Again, I can accept that you THOUGHT you were "legal'. The facts are you WEREN'T. Just bite the bullet and hope you get a second chance to operate from some exotic QTH again one day, but next time, get the paperwork to back it up.

>>Do you realize how sad it has become
>> when Len Anderson, a non-ham grumpy geezer is your sole supporter in any
>> of this?

What he said.

73

Steve, K4YZ

646. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:10:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907131024.00953.00002486@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52413

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 11:42 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <a0qe9.186$nI2.11027737@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>> You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the ham bands.
>
>How do you know?

YOU said so. Unless you're recanting your assertion of a verbal OK only
while in-country?

SR

647. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:13:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Fsqe9.200$XN2.11364613@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52414

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020906010519.15102.00002009@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/5/02 11:34 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D78306F.F6F5743D@earthlink.net>
>
> >> If you want to set an example, you have to DO the example.
> >
> >Those might be interesting words for you to apply to yourself, Leonard.
>
> Won't ever happen, Dave...Would require him to be courteous,
professional
> and accurate.
>
> He's incapable of all three.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, Len is responsible for Len. You are responsible for Steve.

Are you capable of courteous, professional and accurate?

648. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:15:09 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <juqe9.34378$2L.1865650@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52417

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D794F5B.35B2DDC8@townsqr.com...
> "K HB" wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
> >
> > > When was the last time anyone heard of any fed agency, other than
Corps of
> > > Engineers, using HF?
> >
> > DoD is just one of many federal agency for which NTIA manages HF
spectrum
> > requirements.
> >
>
> Yeah. But when hams want a measly 150 kc at 5mc suddenly that becomes
valuable
> spectrum, even if it is almost never used. Baloney.
> Looks like more of the standard "defend it because someone else wants it
and we
> don't give up anything, anytime, for any reason".

FEMA has allocations at 5.2XXX, 10.4XX, 14.XXX. In getting traffic across
the country, HF
is still vital in the event of the destruction of communications
infrastructure. The military still, in
spite of beliefs otherwise, uses HF phone extensively. I listened to
USAF/Andrews AFB exten-
sively after 9/11/01 on 11 Megs.

73

Jerry
K4KWH
>

649. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:15:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907131526.00953.00002490@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52415

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 12:07 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cnqe9.198$yJ2.11104297@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>Steve, just settle down and be civil. It'll go a long way.

ROTMFFLMMFAO! Whew! I thought His Putziness had the corner on the
"pot/kettle/black" syndrome. Guess I was wrong!

Steve

650. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:17:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907131748.00941.00002285@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52416

>Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 12:13 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Fsqe9.200$XN2.11364613@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>Are you capable of courteous, professional and accurate?

Are you? So far, your batting average ain't so hot either.

Especialy in the ACCURATE department.

73

Steve, K4YZ

651. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:19:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aldcf5kfe1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52418

My major problem with the Bencher, and I have the same one as you, is that it will not hold adjustment. I am constantly needing to re-adjust the tension.

I bought it new at the Montgomery hamfest about 5 years ago. I use it, but it just does NOT feel right. I have tried all sorts of adjustments and nothing seems to work.

Maybe its just me.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20020907001925.22428.00001658@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <al883m$b1s$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:
>
> >I ended up going with a Bencher. I agree its sucks for a real CW operator.
>
> Dan:
>
> I like to consider myself a "real" CW operator, and I've used Bencher
> paddles exclusively for 20-plus years. This is in spite of the fact that I
> own a perfectly good (and new in the box) Vibroplex Iambic paddles
> that are also now over 20 years old (and doubled in value!). A friend
> of mine, who has more money than I do, bought a set of Kent Paddles
> a while back, and I must admit that I was not impressed with them,
> considering that they cost 4X as much as my standard black-crinkle
> finish base Bencher BY-1 paddle. Plus, my Bencher's got dropped
> on a concrete hangar floor at the airport in Nuremburg, Germany in
> 1985. After an hour with a set of pliers and a screwdriver, I got them
> straightened out and re-assembled back in working order, and have
> used them successfully (a lot) ever since. The same kind of abuse
> may have rendered those fancy Kent paddles QRT.
>
> Now, if we're talking about a set of Mercury paddles, that's another
> story altogether! Those things are absolutely amazing! I understand
> that they are back in manufacture (albeit in limited quantities) since
> the designer, N2DAN, went SK a few years ago. If I ever win the
> lotto or get a big inheritance, I may indulge in a set.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

652. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:19:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Jyqe9.201$6Q2.11500651@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52419

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907124824.00896.00002158@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
> >From: "K&#65533;HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
> >Date: 9/7/02 11:40 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <s_pe9.13496$6i4.1090364@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
> >
> >Trollin, trollin, trollin down the ri-iver
>
> Unless you can answer the question, I guess you are! SHAME on you,
Master
> Chief!
>
> The Gunny

Now Steve turns on Hans. Its getting ugly in here.

653. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 17:36:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <NOqe9.13561$6i4.1097525@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52420

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote

> I bought it new at the Montgomery hamfest about 5 years ago. I use it, but
> it just does NOT feel right. I have tried all sorts of adjustments and
> nothing seems to work.
>
> Maybe its just me.
>

In the manufacturing-speak, they are "value engineered". Translation = "How can we stamp these things out quickly and cheaply?"

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

654. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 18:08:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907140853.05850.00000346@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 52422

Besides the NTIA stuff, there's another source of opposition to the 60 meter allocation.

At least one manufacturer of Part 15 devices opposes the allocation because hams may interfere with those devices!

Part 15 specifically states that Part 15 devices must not interfere with licensed services, and are afforded no protection from interference received from licensed services. But the manufacturer turns this on its head and wants to be protected from interference.

Full details at

http://www.arrl.org

Ryan, KC8PMX, asks what benefits ARRL membership gives beyond the magazine. Countering intrusions from Part 15 devices is one example. Here's another example of Part 15 intrusion:

Several years ago, a manufacturer of Part 15 devices produced devices which used frequencies at the low end of the 80 meter band. Some hams experienced interference from these devices, and vice-versa. ARRL went to bat for the hams in the form of our own W1RFI and staff. They conducted tests which showed that harmful interference did indeed result from the Part 15 devices.

The manufacturer changed the design of the devices so that hamband frequencies were not used. Existing devices were replaced on an exchange basis if a customer or ham experienced interference.

Crucial to this whole effort was the testing of the devices and the gathering of sound engineering data that supported the Amateur Radio position against the manufacturer. Could most amateurs do that sort of testing and data gathering on their own time and out of their own pocket? Plus all the follow up and other work? I don't think so.

Now some might say "I don't use the low end of 80, so that incident is of no interest to me". That's shortsighted, because it could just as easily have been 10 meters, 2 meters or 440. And if such incursions are not opposed wherever they occur, a precedent is set that hams won't fight back.

Is there any organization other than ARRL that will go to bat for hams in a situation like that? I don't know of any.

73 de Jim, N2EY

655. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 18:08:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907140857.05850.00000347@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 52421

In article <20020907092256.22819.00001242@mb-cb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 07 Sep 2002 13:22:56 GMT
>
>>Subject: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>>Date: 9/5/02 11:56 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020906005640.15102.00002007@mb-fy.aol.com>
>>
>
>>Now...since you ONLYstate the truth, NO fabrications, we will wait and see
>>how long it takes you to produce your verifiable truths of League
>dishonesty.
>
> It's been 2 1/2 days since I put this up, and

[Mr. Anderson]

> has made almost a dozen other posts since then.
>
> So far, no repsonse. Not that I figure there ever will be, since we
>know

[Mr. Anderson]

>can not supply same without proving that his "verifiable
>truths, no fabrications" assertion is false.

Steve,

If you know that nothing will be forthcoming , why bother to post the challenge?

> This is just a milestone that we can measure

[Mr. Anderson's]

> credibility by.
>
Is there any lack of such milestones?

> So far,

[Mr. Anderson]

> hasn't made it to Mile 1.......
>
> Still waiting right here,

[Mr. Anderson]

Is there ANY reason to expect that your challenge will be met, Steve? Didn't the emailed scans episode remove any doubt?

Hans is right on this one. More muck is not needed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

656. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 18:13:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <hlre9.13620$6i4.1057028@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52423

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote \

>
> I believe that he died in prison a couple of years back.
>

My understanding is that he's alive and well, and was recently released from prison. If I remember correctly, somebody in 9-land glommed onto his call sign a few years ago, for a "Don Miller Fan Club".

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--

657. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 18:52:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7A4AB9.4905B48C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52424

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D794F5B.35B2DDC8@townsqr.com...
> > "Kï¿½HB" wrote:
> >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > When was the last time anyone heard of any fed agency, other than
> Corps of
> > > > Engineers, using HF?
> > >
> > > DoD is just one of many federal agency for which NTIA manages HF
> spectrum
> > > requirements.
> > >
> >
> > Yeah. But when hams want a measly 150 kc at 5mc suddenly that becomes
> valuable
> > spectrum, even if it is almost never used. Baloney.
> > Looks like more of the standard "defend it because someone else wants it
> and we
> > don't give up anything, anytime, for any reason".
>
> FEMA has allocations at 5.2XXX, 10.4XX, 14.XXX. In getting traffic across
> the country, HF
> is still vital in the event of the destruction of communications
> infrastructure.

Yes, Jerry, there are lots of HF allocations still out there, mostly as backup to satellites. Also there are many which are still allocated which back up nothing. Most of the commercial CW allocations stand empty, along with much of the digital. In proper circumstances, some would indeed come to life once again. But never to the extent that ham radio can't be allocated .15 mhz from all that empty spectrum for the express purpose of adding to the nation's emergency communications capabilities. To oppose that is asinine, and everyone involved should know it.

> The military still, in
> spite of beliefs otherwise, uses HF phone extensively. I listened to
> USAF/Andrews AFB exten-
> sively after 9/11/01 on 11 Megs.

If you listened in that region during Desert Storm 10 years ago you really heard some interesting if mostly unintelligible stuff.

No one is suggesting they turn HF over to the hams, just give us a sliver to use constructively, to add to the capability, instead of stonewalling.

>
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
> >

658. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 19:05:50 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <M8se9.24085$rU2.725201@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52425

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209070528.2747d6f4@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020907001926.22428.00001660@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0209051658.10fea047@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> Bert is pulling
> together a pack 'n go portable station for use in South America and I
> expect that you'll agree that he can't go wrong with an Econo-Keyer
> for the job. But it looks like he'd better not count on powering it
> with an internal battery. He'll have an Astron switcher to power the
> radio so he'll be able to tap that to power the keyer.
>
> w3rv

Hi Brian,

Actually, I'm set for my portable station. I chose the Palm Mini Paddle coupled with a their Code Cube. It was really a no-brainer, it was pretty much what I'd envisioned and has received nothing but sparkling revirews on eHam. Here's the link.

http://www.palm-radio.de/english/english.html

I chose the M-P 817, which is painted black to match the FT-817. It normally comes in grey to match the Elecraft K2. Black matched the rig I chose perfectly, the Patcomm PC-500 Dual Bander, shown below.

http://www.patcommradio.com/webdoc8.htm

You're right about the Astron SS-10 though. The whole shebang should make for a nice light package. What I'm looking for now is a nice paddle/keyer for the shack, something "heavier." Like I said, the LI Mercury sure caught my eye AND, like the Patcomm, it too is made right here in LI, NY. It's got a hefty price tag, but I've got a nice expense check due that should actually make it painless to the household budget. I dunno, I'm looking hard at the Kent too.

I'm getting ready to "throw" together a Wilderness SST for 30-meters. It'll help me get back into the groove with mu soldering skills and prepare for a possible K2. I'll use the Palm with this 2 watt rig out on the beach or in the park. (XYL will love this while she keeps the harmonics "corralled.") Since 30-meters is a digital-only band with a power limit of 200 watts, I figure a 2 watt signal will have a shot at a solid contact. Thanks you both

(all) for the valuable info. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

659. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 20:10:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907161043.28700.00000703@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52426

In article <A2qe9.188$BH2.10986774@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> A few of them are: You never worked anyone. You never QSL'd anyone.
>> You worked only people operating out of their native land who choose not
>> to chase a DXCC from the country where they were then active. Which one
>> of these sounds likely to you?
>
>You guys are freaking out over this. Why?

It seems to be some kind of endurance contest in messaging.

Judge Heil issues decisions of his private court and expects
all to obey his court's rulings. He is very upset when told his
judicial robes are transparent...or has no authority.

He wants order in his court. The constant banging of his gavel
has a sharp sound when it hits his head instead of the bench.

He must rule. Quod Erat Demonstrandum. QED, one of the
unwritten Q-codes. :-)

660. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 20:10:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907161044.28700.00000704@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52427

In article <kpee9.495$pA7.17346792@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>news:d22b54c3.0209060642.4fd049e4@posting.google.com...
>> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:<mSzd9.2720$b23.65970382@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
>> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>> > news:3D7615DD.467BC8BB@townsqr.com...
>> > >
>> > > Morse code, to those of us who eagerly took on Incentive
>> > > Licensing, was no more than another part of radiocommunications,
>> > > albeit the most basic mode ever derived for radio. That's what
>> > > makes it so difficult to understand why so many fight it so
>> > > hard.It is bottom line radiocommunications, that which can be
>> > > available far more ubiquitously than any other, that which may
>> > > be there for you when there is no chance of any other mode being
>> > > available. The higher speeds - which, by the way, 20WPM is not,
>> > > always has come to those who use it often. Anyone who does not
>> > > will never progress to that level. Anyone who never starts will
>> > > never learn to use it even moderately.
>> >
>> > Dick, you just got through telling us, that you, as an experienced ham,
>had
>> > to study W1AW to get to 20wpm. Didn't you use the code on the air prior
>to
>> > restructuring? Apparently not, because you said, even though not fast,
>20
>> > wpm Always comes to those who use it often.
>> >
>> > You're not being very truthful, are you?
>> >
>> > Are you the ancient equivalent of the modern code-tape Extra?
>> >
>> >
>> Hey Brainiac, I'd been on the air for 14 or so years as a General when
>> they dropped Incentive Licensing on us. Which I also very strongly
>> supported at the time. See my rant in QST on the subject.
>
>Are you famous or something?

He has 26 patents and invented 28 VDC ignition for WW2 land
vehicles.

>Many of us
>> who could operate in the 20-50wpm range back then did it easily in our
>> heads but most of us had a bad time getting 20wpm down on paper.
>
>Hey, them's the requirements. Stop whining and do it.
>
>So
>> yeah of course we copied w1aw in order to get the pencil running as
>> fast as our heads could. I took the test knowing I could copy 25wpm
>> solid on paper thankew w1aw and the ARRL. I don't know anybody who had
>> to upgrade to Extra to retain the privs who didn't use w1aw for this
>> purpose.
>
>Unless Cecil was right about people being "forced" to take code exams, no
>one "had" to upgrade. It was merely an incentive, not a mandate, right?
>
>> Once in awhile I still copy w1aw to beat myself up in the
>> high-speed runs. Not that you would relate to a bit of it.
>>
>> w3rv
>
>They still send code practice?

It's an east coast thing. Signals make it to the midwest only if
HF propagation is favorable.

W1AW should improve after Judge Heil sends his spare radio to
them. ARRL will no doubt have a special news feature on that
when it arrives. :-)

661. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 20:10:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907161046.28700.00000705@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52430

In article <r%pe9.185$lI2.11025695@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>news:d22b54c3.0209070503.13440c3a@posting.google.com...
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20020906160411.24393.00000279@mb-mc.aol.com>...
>> > In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >
>> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:<3D791EE9.C5CC38CA@townsqr.com>...
>> > Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> > > In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> > >
>> > > >> >Tell us about ham operation IN Somalia during the early 90s.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Why don't YOU tell us about "ham operation" from ANYWHERE,
>Lennie.
>> >
>> As The Putz well knows I've never been a member of the U.S. armed
>> forces Steve.
>
>Why not?

One too many catapults?

>> > > >He didn't "operate" from anywhere, not on ham or military freqs. He
>> > > >babysat a RTTY repeater system the real operators used.
>> > >
>> > > Incorrect.
>> > >
>> > > Long-distance HF communications in the military of a half century
>> > > ago were principally in the 4 to 18 MHz range, most circuits
>> > > being 24/7, ranging from short distances to very long such as the
>> > > path from Tokyo to San Francisco. Antennas varied from delta-
>> > > match-fed dipoles to rhombics, the latter desired for the longest
>> > > circuits. The AN/GRC-26, hut-mounted on a 2 1/2 ton truck bed
>> > > with a towed motor-generator, included both a dipole and rhombic
>> > > antenna "kit" with masts and guys, stowed under the bench seats
>> > > of the hut . . . . <three more pages of this old crap snipped>

>> > >
>> >
>> JA to W6 with a rhombic and kilowatts is "long distance" hero radio??
>> W1/W2/W3 to VK with 5-10 watts and a wire dangling from a tree is an
>> SOP ho-hum.
>
>After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you even
>try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
>what you know into the arena of what you don't know?

Ultralight is familiar with all military surplus radios, even used a
28 VDC supply old military aircraft radio in a 6 VDC WW2 jeep.
Some are just genius level grizzled old war vets. I salute them.

Ultralight ought to write up a technical dissertation and send it to
the Joint Chiefs of Staff of the US military to convince the respective
signal officers that "[east coast] to anywhere on 5 to 10 W from a
wire in a tree" is good for any circuit operating 24 hours a day,
7 days a week, carrying at least four sub-circuits within it.

The method would be revolutionary. The militaries of the world
haven't been able to do it, ITT hasn't done it, AT&T hasn't done
it, Press Wireless hasn't done it, RCA Globecom hasn't done it,
none have done it for 24/7 operation.

>> And this at the end of another of his scores and more near-identical
>> half megabyte rants about his weenie specialty. From 47 years ago.
>> Talk about the ultimate in pervasive old fartism . . which is what he
>> accuses us of having.
>
>After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you even
>try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
>what you know into the arena of what you don't know?

He KNOWS. He once read a whole Fair Radio Sales catalog.
Cover to cover.

Military radio operations of the 1950s on HF resembled US amateur
radio operations of the 1990s. Boat-anchor devotees in HF ham
radio were still "dipping the plate, peaking the grid" on glowing finals.

Hams were discovering automatic antenna tuners in 1995 that were
first introduced on US military vehicular radios in 1955 (featuring the
"Bruene detector" as sensing element).

Hams did have rock-solid VFO equivalents on HF rigs in the 1970s,
courtesy of off-shore designed ready-built transceivers using PLLs
and embedded microprocessor control and display. Earlier
"Collins PTO" enabled rigs did the same but without electronic
frequency read-out; the "PTO" was developed first for the US
military.

>> > in Devens teaching Putzie-types HOW to babysit them.
>> >
>> Heh. Ya mean The Putz wasn't unique unto himself in the history of the
>> U.S. Army Signal Corps? Getting thru that class musta been the biggest
>> challenge The Putz ever faced else we wouldn't keep hearing the same
>> old crap. Almost a half century later.
>
>After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you even
>try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
>what you know into the arena of what you don't know?

Ultralight got hit by overpressure. I was never at Fort Devens; have been to Boston only once, on business and that in 1982 for Rocketdyne. :-)

Ultralight's NOTAMs on DoD communications seem confined to ARRL mentions of WW2. By the time of the military satellites emplacement, multi-channel troposcatter radios for beyond-horizon communications were in US land forces inventory and field use. Several types of multi-channel, multi-circuit microwave links to satellites are now used regularly in all US military branches for international communications, complete with on-line encryption for communications security. Man-pack and light vehicular HF radio is also available with a variety of antennas and communications security encryption.

Small-unit land force radios coming up on their 14th year in operation have FHSS or in-clear digital voice or data with auto-netting capability of several users, wide environment capability with 0.5 PPM frequency and time stability. Those operate in the 30-88 and 225-400 MHz bands. Military air communications have greatly-expanded modes on 225-400 MHz, able to link with and forward land force communications to/from command areas. Land force field communications centers at regiment and higher level resemble the old pre-WW2 message centers in that concentration and message quantity necessitates more message operators than radio operators. State of the art increases begun in the 1960s allows military radio equipment to run unattended 24/7 for long times with good reliability.

The cinema-induced romantic concept of valiant behind-the-enemy-lines heroically tapping out morse for vital information back to London wasn't applicable by 1980. The "tapping out" was replaced by key-boards and solid-state memories able to send data at 1200 BPS to military satellites or orbiting communications aircraft. An old example is the AN/PSC-2 (phased out by now) leading up to the newer trial, AN/PSC-5 (under evaluation). At least two different antennas with each, working at the military UHF band, linking with a tight antenna pattern instead of omnidirectional squirting of HF signals. Encryption was built-in thanks to embedded microprocessors and digital technology. Data rate is higher than the older 1200 BPS PSC-2 rate.

USN shipboard communications phased out morse code use in the 1960s in favor of teleprinter and data modes. USN already had RTTY prior to WW2 on cruisers and heavier classes, primarily

for on-line encryption capability. Encrypted VLF is used for alerts
of boomers under water. USN submarines have had satellite and
airborne comm capability at VHF and higher since before the
1980s, none of it requiring morse code capability.

The problem in description is one of sheer size of the developments
that have happened in the past half century. A secondary problem
is ascertaining whether or not the information is public or not...that
solved mostly by industry electronic trade publications such as
Defense Electronics, EE Times, Microwaves & RF, RF Design,
EDN, and Electronic Design...and the information in public-access
websites of General Dynamics, Harris, and Nova Engineering (to
name just three). The US military has made extensive use of the
Internet for a great deal more information, including free public
access to many Field Manuals (FM 24-24 is a catalog of all signal
equipment in inventory as of 1994). Military branches have had
their own monthly publications for years such as "Signal" of the
US Army Signal Corps. Personal experience description in
certain military radio areas in regards to technology must be
equated to public releases of both government and corporate
information...to avoid conflict with 18 USC and proprietary trade
secrets. The amount of public-access information is enormous.

>> The WX is tanking and I have antennas to put up so that I can OPERATE
>> my own FCC LICENSED RADIO STATION in the coming months so I'm outta
>> here. Kindly pass my fondest to The Putz Dick.
>
>Kelly, when did you start calling him "The Putz Dick?"

That's an oxymoron. The word "putz" has several meanings. In many
germanic-based European languages the word is colloquial for polish
or to polish (as with a wax). In Yiddish it is a colloquial pejorative
equivalent to "dickhead" (equating a person to a penis). Ultralight's
description could be construed as either "polished penis" or as
"penis-person penis." Either one is rather ridiculous. Ultralight
should get out among certain Philadelphia neighborhoods to learn
correct pejorative phrases. :-)

Unless there has been some recent modification of Part 97, Title
47 CFR, the FCC does not license amateur radio stations directly.
The FCC grants a license to radio amateurs as an operator and
those may use any sort of transmitter that meets the technical
requirements of Part 97. [no doubt that will cause great rage and
primitive debate in re "station." :-)]

662. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 20:10:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907161047.28700.00000706@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52428

In article <xPpe9.177$cF2.10835223@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
>> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > > > news:20020905183901.03599.00000561@mb-fk.aol.com...
>> > > > > In article <20020905083511.03174.00001605@mb-cs.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> > > > (Steve
>> > > > > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > >> Right now there are a lot of people reading in here who have
>> > > > > >> noticed your judgemental mistakes. Why are you sitting on
>> > > > > >> your imaginary bench and issuing pronouncements?
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > He's made NO mistakes, Your Geezerness.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Who are you talking about?
>> > > > >
>> > > > No one in here has any "authority," written or otherwise, to
>> > > > ban anyone, shut them up, or "rule" on anything.
>> > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Brian busted regualtions six-ways to Sunday by not having
>the
>> > proper
>> > > > > >documentation for his "operation" in Somalia.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Burke cited the ARRL listing. Brian Burke explained his proper
>> > > > military permission to operate while on active duty.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Three individuals in this newsgroup have charged him
>erroneously
>> > > > with bootlegging in 1992-1993. Illegal operation is a serious
>> > offense.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Has any legal authority arrested Burke or charged him with any

>> > > > > criminal activity for the 1992-1993 operation in Somalia? No.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > What we have here (in another sub-thread) is simply some loud-
>> > > > > mouth (or rather loud-typing) individuals who get their jollies
>out
>> > > > > of saying bad things about others. You are included.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > You must PROVE your charges to make them valid. You have
>> > > > > not.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Len, like the ARRL and W5YI VEC's use of Farnsworth testing, my
>> > operation in
>> > > > Somalia has been given tacit approval by the authorities because of
>a
>> > lack
>> > > > of prosecution.
>> > >
>> > Maybe that'll work for speeding and shoplifting too.
>> >
>> > Dave, keep hoping and maybe you'll get a pass.
>>
>> I don't need a pass, Brian. I hold written documentation for each and
>> every one of my operations from foreign soil. There's not a single case
>> of "that guy told me it was okay". Do you realize how sad it has become
>> when Len Anderson, a non-ham grumpy geezer is your sole supporter in any
>> of this?
>
>Dave, more group think? Are you really comfortable being in a consensus
>with Dick, Steve, Kelly, and Ed?
>
>I was there.
>
>You weren't.
>
>I know what happened.
>
>You don't.
>
>You merely speculate and defame.

All of that seems to be "good amateur practice" among PCTAs.

663. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 20:10:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907161049.28700.00000707@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52429

In article <3D797F9F.40897DCE@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <3D791EE9.C5CC38CA@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
><dixie@townsqr.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> > BFD. Putzie thinks he was the only army type who ever babysat an AN-GR26
>> bank.
>> >Ballz.
>>
>> I've never operated an AN/GRC-26. Never claimed that. The 8235th AU
>> had about a dozen for several purposes but they were not used in ADA
>> station communications.
>>
>> The "angry-twentysix" was never referred to as a "bank." Sometimes as a
>> "26 hut" because of the enclosure that mounted on the flat bed of a
>> standard 2 1/2 ton truck.
>>
>> >Thousands of 'em were in service through Vietnam, at least.
>>
>> Doubtful. A few hundred, perhaps.
>
> It appears Putz knows even less about it than I, and it was my had the
>assignment, not me.

Please choose whether you are writing about yourself or your " ".

It is confusing when you leave blank spaces in the middle of a
sentence.

>> The AN/GRC-26 was almost always operated by those with field radio
>> MOSs. That MOS required passing morse code proficiency. Field use
>> of the '26 in Korea, '53 to '55, used the teleprinter for written
messaging,
>> not morse code OOK CW.
>
> Bro was also a qualified high speed CW operator, and I know fer a fact that
>he was on a mission in 1964 where he put those skills to use.

Is this going to be one of those "behind enemy lines" tales?

>> >Most of the
>> >people who handled were not hams, though plenty were, Unlike Putzie, none

of
>> >them are trying their damnedst to harrass hams on RRAP.
>>
>> Information communication is not "harrassment" unless readers refuse
>> to accept new information.
>
> Damn shame Putz can't come up with any. His is all stale as month old bread.

Even hardtack kept in storage for years is nourishing.

>> >My brother spent 6
>> >years in Devens teaching Putzie-types HOW to babysit them.
>>
>> AN/GRC-26 operation was taught at Camp Gordon, GA, later
>> renamed Fort Gordon.
>
> Unhuh. That's where he got his original '26 training - considerably before
>he spent those 6 years teaching it. At Fort Devens.

At what period in time, senior?

Was the BC-610 and O-5FR removed from the hut for ASA use?

How did a 26 hut having an HF transmitter fit in with monitoring of
HF radio signals from a distance?

Did "bro" ever camp out with an MLQ-24? Diddle an AN/PRD-1?

>> Fort Devens had an ASA school. ASA, or Army Security Agency, did a
>> lot of monitoring during the cold war. They used a variety of radios,
>> principally receivers. ASA duties were ELINT. Up to sometime during
>> the 1970s, most ASA MOSs required morse code listening proficiency
>> to 15 groups per minute. The Fort Devens code school was 13 weeks,
>> 6 hours a day in duration.
>
> I guess they sat out Nam.

? What does that sentence mean or imply?

>Putzie doesn't know that the very first US casualty in Vietnam was an ASA
>soldier named Davis. One of my brother's students.

There were about 54,000 killed in the decade-long Vietnam war.
Is it now a requirement to KNOW each and every one of them?

What is the first name of this "very first US casualty?" Did he get
a posthumous Intelligence Star?

> Where DOES he get all that stale, incomplete information? Must have found
an
>"Our History, but Incomplete" Cd by the DOD. Or maybe that's what they're
releasing
>on their website for GOOGLE searchers.

There is no such CD called "Our History, but Incomplete" by anyone.

The Department of Defense has hundreds of websites, all kept as
current as their webmasters can manage. You can access websites
for CIA, NSA, and DIA. One can order from dozens of military
history documents available from the US Government Printing Office,
ordering through the Internet or at one of their many branch sales
stores. Mail-order shipping is free if USPS delivery.

There are thousands of ex-ASA soldiers among our population. They
fulfilled a vital role in the nation's survival during the Cold War. There
are also thousands of former NSA ELINT operators and some of their
experiences have been documented in James Bamford's "Body of
Secrets," copyright 2001 and published by Doubleday, a division of
Random House, Inc. (may be ordered through amazon.com, shipping
charges fee if total order above $25).

Contract information for nearly all ASA and NSA radio equipment has
been published in US electronics trade publications since before the
capture of the USS Pueblo. Those publications, along with industry
associations' newsletters are not classified, only harder to obtain by
non-industry people; most have no subscription charges at all.
Another source of many different texts on government subjects is the
NTIS, National Technical Information Service. A search engine is
available on the Internet. NTIS can, for a slight extra charge, supply
out-of-print documents.

>> No manned ELINT station in the US military or NSA is "babysat."
>
> Didn't say it was. Said Putz babysat a transmitter site in the outback of JA
>land.

"Outback?!?" The ADA transmitter site was on a man-made island in
Tokyo Bay, about a square mile in area also containing the USAF 16th
Communications Squadron...it was as "outback" from the city of Tokyo
as crossing a single bridge. :-)

>Gotta have a full complement of flunkies to read meters and reset tripped
>circuit breakers, ya know.

Out of curiosity, where and what "meters were read?" Did we record
meter readings on something? Are you thinking of the FCC broadcast
requirements to regularly check tower currents on directional antennas?
The only "recorded meters" are in your imagination.

Where were the "circuit breakers" at that station? Describe them in
some detail and their location. Did a Press Wireless PW-15 have
little weenie push-button breakers? :-)

>> While they have recorders, operators continually scan for signals,
>> manually separating known emitters from unknowns. NSA has some
>> installations where automatic separation and recording of signals is
>> done.
>

> That's OLD information, not even close to current.

I already knew of some of it but James Bamford's 2001 book is my reference there, such as "Echelon" which has been documented on television. The sinking of the USS Liberty by the Israeli navy and air force was in all the papers a few years back.

Of course, we can all go back to that current and up-to-date book on radio amateur history, "200 Meters and Down," describing ham stuff of the 1920s. :-)

Or ham radio at the south pole also of the 1920s as given in opening chapters of ARRL Handbook. :-)

Have you heard that amateur radio has discovered the new world above 30 MHz? :-)

Beep, beep.

664. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 21:42:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <alds9uken5@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52431

Good point Hans....hey I love your little comment at the end.

Personally I think all this RF stuff is just magic.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:NOqe9.13561$6i4.1097525@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote
>
> >
> > I bought it new at the Montgomery hamfest about 5 years ago. I use it,
> but
> > it just does NOT feel right. I have tried all sorts of adjustments and
> > nothing seems to work.
> >
> > Maybe its just me.
> >
>
> In the manufacturing-speak, they are "value engineered". Translation =
"How
> can we stamp these things out quickly and cheaply?"
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
>
>
>
>

665. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 22:02:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907180249.18884.00001967@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52432

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 12:19 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Jyqe9.201$6Q2.11500651@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 12:19 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Jyqe9.201$6Q2.11500651@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>> Unless you can answer the question, I guess you are! SHAME on you,
>Master
>> Chief!
>>
>> The Gunny
>
>Now Steve turns on Hans. Its getting ugly in here.

As usual, you have the sequence of events out of order, Brian.

Perhaps this is why you did not ahve the paperwork for your Somalia
"operation" in order?

666. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 22:09:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907180939.18884.00001968@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52433

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/7/02 1:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020907140857.05850.00000347@mb-mr.aol.com>
>
>In article <20020907092256.22819.00001242@mb-cb.aol.com>,

>If you know that nothing will be forthcoming , why bother to post the
>challenge?

As I said, Jim, this was just yet another milestone by which we can
demonstrate Leonardo's real "point" in being here.

This was the ultimate "Do your enjoy having sex with your sister"
question, only this time he can't get away WITHOUT answering and stiull not
have egg on his face.

>Is there any lack of such milestones?

Nope...not at all, but this one is a mile high and painted international
orange. Kinda hard to see!

>Is there ANY reason to expect that your challenge will be met, Steve? Didn't
>the emailed scans episode remove any doubt?

He can "waffle" on those, Jim, and sans someone coming to my house and
seeing exactly what was actually received, can make a "valid sounding" excuse.
This removes all doubt.

>Hans is right on this one. More muck is not needed

Like I said...It ain't trolling if it's true! =)

Still waiting on Lennie to publish his "evidence" of ARRL dishonesty.

73

Steve, K4YZ

667. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 22:21:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907182129.18884.00001971@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52434

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/7/02 3:10 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020907161049.28700.00000707@mb-fz.aol.com>
>
>In article <3D797F9F.40897DCE@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
>writes:

>It is confusing when you leave blank spaces in the middle of a
> sentence.

As opposed to leaving blank thoughts in the middle of posts, Lennie? Kinda
like "where's the evidence"...?!?!

>Is this going to be one of those "behind enemy lines" tales?

If it is, it's bound to be more colorful than your "Back at ADA in
1953..." rants.

>> Damn shame Putz can't come up with any. His is all stale as month old
>bread.
>
> Even hardtack kept in storage for years is nourishing.

Now your tales are "nourishing"...??? HAH!

>Diddle an AN/PRD-1?

I imagine that's not ALL you "diddled", Lennie!

>>Putzie doesn't know that the very first US casualty in Vietnam was an ASA
>>soldier named Davis. One of my brother's students.
>
> There were about 54,000 killed in the decade-long Vietnam war.
> Is it now a requirement to KNOW each and every one of them?

Well gee whiz, Lennie! You MISSED an opportunity to associate yourself
with a KIA...This one AFTER you were somewhere!

>There is no such CD called "Our History, but Incomplete" by anyone.

Ya think...????

>The Department of Defense has hundreds of websites, all kept as
> current as their webmasters can manage.

All of them, no doubt, with a "subscription" to keep you informed incase
you have to change your rants to keep up with times...

>There are thousands of ex-ASA soldiers among our population.

And even more that really did something while on Active Duty.

>Out of curiosity, where and what "meters were read?" Did we record
> meter readings on something? Are you thinking of the FCC broadcast
> requirements to regularly check tower currents on directional antennas?
> The only "recorded meters" are in your imagination.

Not unless there was something about these radios that DIDN'T require
monitoring. Lennie, are you SLIPPING on this one...?

>Have you heard that amateur radio has discovered the new world
> above 30 MHz?

HAve you heard that we've been having a blast ever since...???

668. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 22:32:52 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <x%ue9.40649$%P6.10553354@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52435

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7A4AB9.4905B48C@townsqr.com...
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D794F5B.35B2DDC8@townsqr.com...
> > > "K&#65533;HB" wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > When was the last time anyone heard of any fed agency, other than
> > Corps of
> > > > > Engineers, using HF?
> > > >
> > > > DoD is just one of many federal agency for which NTIA manages HF
> > spectrum
> > > > requirements.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Yeah. But when hams want a measly 150 kc at 5mc suddenly that becomes
> > valuable
> > > spectrum, even if it is almost never used. Baloney.
> > > Looks like more of the standard "defend it because someone else wants
> it
> > and we
> > > don't give up anything, anytime, for any reason".
> >
> > FEMA has allocations at 5.2XXX, 10.4XX, 14.XXX. In getting traffic
> across
> > the country, HF
> > is still vital in the event of the destruction of communications
> > infrastructure.
>
> Yes, Jerry, there are lots of HF allocations still out there, mostly as
> backup
> to satellites. Also there are many which are still allocated which back up
> nothing. Most of the commercial CW allocations stand empty, along with
> much of
> the digital. In proper circumstances, some would indeed come to life once
> again. But never to the extent that ham radio can't be allocated .15 mhz
> from
> all that empty spectrum for the express purpose of adding to the nation's
> emergency communications capabilities. To oppose that is asinine, and

everyone
> involved should know it.
>
>
> > The military still, in
> > spite of beliefs otherwise, uses HF phone extensively. I listened to
> > USAF/Andrews AFB exten-
> > sively after 9/11/01 on 11 Megs.
>
> If you listened in that region during Desert Storm 10 years ago you
really
> heard some interesting if mostly unintelligible stuff.
>
> No one is suggesting they turn HF over to the hams, just give us a sliver
to
> use constructively, to add to the capability, instead of stonewalling.
>
> >
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> > K4KWH

Well,

I wasn't defending it, just stating a fact in response to "Who uses HF other
than C of E".

Jerry
> > >
>
>
>
>

669. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 22:40:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907184027.18884.00001975@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52436

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/7/02 3:10 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020907161046.28700.00000705@mb-fz.aol.com>

>He KNOWS. He once read a whole Fair Radio Sales catalog.
> Cover to cover.

Got taht one beat...I've BEEN to Fair Radio...(as a kid). Bunch of us loaded up in the old Ford station wagon of one of the KSU students and made a day trip to Lima...Man, the STUFF!

(Rumor has it that when they re-commissioned the U.S.S. Missouri, Fair Radio lost about 80% of it's floor stock to replace it's anchor...!)

>Military radio operations of the 1950s on HF resembled US amateur
> radio operations of the 1990s.

Hmmmmm.....DSP man-portable radios with synthisized frequency control, etc...??? Whew! GHow have they kept THAT off the surplus market THIS long...???

>Boat-anchor devotees in HF ham
> radio were still "dipping the plate, peaking the grid" on glowing finals.

Except for a handful of old-rig devotees and the guys running "old tymee AM), don't know of many of those anymore...Maybe a few old TS520's still roaming the airways.

>Hams were discovering automatic antenna tuners in 1995 that were
> first introduced on US military vehicular radios in 1955 (featuring the
> "Bruene detector" as sensing element).

Yeah...the size of SEVERAL toasters. And Amateur autotuners go back to MUCH earlier than "1995", Lennie. I see you're out of turn with Amateur Radio practice issues again.

>Unless there has been some recent modification of Part 97, Title
> 47 CFR, the FCC does not license amateur radio stations directly.

Oh? Get yours from Kellogg's for two box tops and fifty cents?

>The FCC grants a license to radio amateurs as an operator and
> those may use any sort of transmitter that meets the technical
> requirements of Part 97.

A specific licensee receives a station license concurrent with his/her operator license. I may, as an OPERATOR, go to the home of any other Amatuer

licensee and, with requisite permission, use his/her station without further adieu, regardless of THAT person's operator license.

> [no doubt that will cause great rage and
> primitive debate in re "station."]

No "rage"...just curious as to why you'd so carelessly misdirect on that one, Lennie. Poor show......

>That's an oxymoron. The word "putz" has several meanings.

Lennie's well versed in his geneaolgy, I see.

670. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-07 22:47:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907184739.18884.00001976@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52437

>Subject: Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?
>From: "Bert Craig" wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM
>Date: 9/7/02 2:05 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <M8se9.24085$rU2.725201@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>

>Black matched the rig I chose
>perfectly, the Patcomm PC-500 Dual Bander, shown below.

Bert, do you have the Patcomm yet? I had considered this rig for a 6M/10M mobile rig but waffled on it since I really hadn't heard any on the air and didn't have anything to comapre it to.

>You're right about the Astron SS-10 though. The whole shebang should make
>for a nice light package.

As long as the AC mains are on...have you made any alternative plans for that? I know that even the "latest" cells can rack up a considerable weight penalty if you put a pack together that gives you any decent amount of operating time/capacity.

>Since 30-meters is a digital-only band with a power limit of 200 watts, I
>figure a 2 watt signal will have a shot at a solid contact.

For the most part! I still like to have 50 or more watts if I need "consistent" or "sustained" communications capability.

73

Steve, K4YZ

671. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 00:54:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7A9FA8.F87C3987@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52438

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> I wasn't defending it, just stating a fact in response to "Who uses HF other
> than C of E".

Right, no problem with that. I think the main thrust here is that far less use is made of HF by anyone except hams nowdays. For NTIA to offer such a strong negative resoponse on the basis that such a small sliver of HF is vital to the interests of former routine users doesn't fly. If that particular slot is really important to keep allocated I'm sure there can be found one nearby that isn't. Their response smells. Like someone in industry has lobbied where lobbying is not proper, maybe even illegal.

Dick

672. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 02:27:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7AB581.66D560A5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52439

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D798133.67B1BDB5@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D792EFA.BE599EB6@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D77C0EE.AA2E3F08@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D760836.64E564E9@earthlink.net...

> > > > > > > Sorry, Brian. You claim doesn't wash. If you'd been given
> > > permission
> > > > > > > to operate, you'd have it in writing. You don't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't, and I did.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > There's a piece of convoluted writing. You don't what and you did
> > > what?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What you said. Reading Comp. 101?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is that the class giving you problems. Okay, I'll read it in one of
> > the
> > > > > > many ways it could be construed: You don't have permission to operate
> > > > > > but you did so anyway. How about: You don't have it in writing but you
> > > > > > once did.
> > > > >
> > > > > Read it any way you want. What difference do you make?
> > > >
> > > > I assumed that since you responded to one of my posts, you'd probably
> > > > want to write something coherent so there would be no misunderstanding.
> > > > Why else would you bother to reply?
> > >
> > > Why wouldn't I?

Well, there you have it. Brian has become a magic 8-ball.

Dave K8MN
```

673. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 02:37:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7AB7C4.3A98EB34@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52440

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > Dave, keep hoping and maybe you'll get a pass.
> > >
> > I don't need a pass, Brian. I hold written documentation for each and
> > every one of my operations from foreign soil. There's not a single case
> > of "that guy told me it was okay". Do you realize how sad it has become
> > when Len Anderson, a non-ham grumpy geezer is your sole supporter in any
> > of this?
>
> Dave, more group think? Are you really comfortable being in a consensus
> with Dick, Steve, Kelly, and Ed?

I'm quite comfortable with it. You left out Phil Kane.

> I was there.
>
> You weren't.

Agreed.

> I know what happened.
>
> You don't.

I know that accredited operations from Somalia have written
documentation. I know the call of one such station and have read some
interesting e-mail on the matter. I also know that you don't have such
documentation. I know that no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD card
for DXCC credit. Finally, like most other DXers operating from foreign
soil I have documentation which proves my permission to operate. You
were saying?

> You merely speculate and defame.

The speculation is getting pretty good in light your lack of
documentation for alleged permission to operate and the corroboration
from others who confirm that written documentation was necessary. You
don't have any fame to "de".

Dave K8MN

674. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 02:39:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <lLye9.272$yn5.182@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52441

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907131748.00941.00002285@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 12:13 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Fsqe9.200$XN2.11364613@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Are you capable of courteous, professional and accurate?
>
> Are you? So far, your batting average ain't so hot either.
>
> Especialy in the ACCURATE department.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

At least you are capable of courteous. I'd like to see more of it from you.
Brian

675. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 02:42:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <eOye9.274$Qi5.100@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52444

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907130812.00953.00002484@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 11:29 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <xPpe9.177$cF2.10835223@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Dave, more group think? Are you really comfortable being in a consensus
> >with Dick, Steve, Kelly, and Ed?
>
> >I was there.
>
> Copy of your orders, please?
>
> >You weren't.
>
> He doesn't need to be for the purposes of this discussion. His facts
are
> accurate.
>
> (1) To operate from a foreign post not under the jurisdiction of a
> legitimate local government, you must have UN approval, or where other
written
> regulations are provided for, WRITTEN approval from a military commander
> AUTHORIZED to issue such authorization. You had neither.
>
> (2) Lacking same, your operation was illegal. We can "accept" that
you
> THOUGHT you were legal, but in the end, you weren't. Just accept it and
move
> on. You keep digging yourself in deeper.
>
> (3) In any case, for ARRL DXCC purposes, you were a Slim. Sorry
about
> that.
>
> >I know what happened.
>
> You know what you THOUGHT happened...You THOUGHT your T5 operation
was
> "legal". It wasn't.
>
> >You don't.
>

> He does. He's gotten it right so far. And I'd trust the "opinions"
of an
> experienced foreign service operator before I would those of an
over-anxious,
> inexperienced, wannabe DXer.
>
> >You merely speculate and defame.
>
> Speculate? Perhaps. Defame? Naaaw...that was YOUR doing when you
> insisted on running headlong into a brick wall of facts that didn't
support
> YOUR assertions, Brian.
>
> You got to operate from an exotic location. You had the chance of a
> lifetime and I am sure you had a ball. Bully for you.I would have taken
the
> initiative to get on the air with "tacit" approval from my officers, too,
and
> worried about the paperwork later.
>
> Again, I can accept that you THOUGHT you were "legal'. The facts are
you
> WEREN'T. Just bite the bullet and hope you get a second chance to operate
from
> some exotic QTH again one day, but next time, get the paperwork to back it
up.

You're statements are inaccurate. You don't know what you're talking about.

> >>Do you realize how sad it has become
> >> when Len Anderson, a non-ham grumpy geezer is your sole supporter in
any
> >> of this?

Group think? No thanks. I gave at the office.

> What he said.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

676. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 02:43:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7AB954.5B995F4F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52442

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7983DB.B7ACBDE3@earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D793065.20439B5C@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > > Why would you? A ham of conscience would then have to stop working the
> > > > Frenchmen out of band.
> > >
> > > You tried this before and it doesn't wash. I operated where I was
> > > permitted. I have documentation that I was permitted to operate from
> > > Tanzania and that I was permitted to be on the portion of six meters
> > > which I used. You, on the other hand, have no documentation permitting
> > > you to operate from Somalia at all.
> >
> > Maybe it was a malaria induced hallucination.

Maybe what was?

> > A French ham of conscience wouldn't call someone out of band.
> >
> > And a Tanzanian Licensee of conscience wouldn't reply.

We've also been down this road. I am not responsible for ensuring that
others operate where their governments permit them to operate. I'm only
responsible for operating where I am permitted to be. That isn't the
same as operating an amateur stations with no permit from foreign soil.
```

> > > > > Why not look it
> > > > > > up? You have all the tables for various countries.
> > > > >
> > > > > > I don't work Frenchmen on 6M, in band or out of band, thus I have no
> > > need
> > > > > > for that information.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm sorry for your handicap.
> > > >
> > > Don't let Mr Dann find you making fun of people with special needs.
> >
> > You may be onto something. I'm beginning to think of you as a person
> > with "special needs".

> You said I didn't have legs to stand on.

I wasn't writing of your legs. I was thinking of passing the hat to send
you to Oz for that brain.

> > > > Are you now restricting your lookups of
> > > > > those you check before working to French ops on 6m?
> > > >
> > > Huh? Talking Succinctly 101?
> >
> > What didn't you understand? Do you only check the authorization of
> > French ops on six meters?
>
> I'm not understanding, "Are you now restricting your lookups of those you
> check before working to French ops on 6m?"

It isn't difficult. Perhaps we can have someone explain it slooooooowly
so you'll comprehend. I explained it again in different terms. Perhaps
I can use smaller words.

Dave K8MN

677. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 02:46:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7AB9F1.9CCA7740@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52443

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D79845B.7FDA241E@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through SHF.
> > > > >
> > > > Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL you did.
> > > >
> > > Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you agreeing or
> > > disagreeing with?
> > >
> > You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the ham bands.
>
> How do you know?

You admitted it yourself. Why--weren't you telling the truth?

Dave K8MN
```

678. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 02:47:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7ABA45.9C595735@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52445

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7988DB.DD6E39CD@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D79718E.AC6C2560@townsqr.com...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S. Why
> > > would I
> > > > > > > need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a
> > > "Botswanan".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why wouldn't you?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me
> to
> > > > > > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why
> wouldn't
> > > I
> > > > > > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied
> for
> > > > > > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which
> I
> > > > > > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a
> > > DXCC
> > > > > > total for myself.
> > > > > >
> > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC?
> > > Isn't
> > > > > > that a double standard?
> > > > > >
> > > > Welp, if there was any remaining doubt, it just vanished. He really
> IS
> > > that
> > > > > dumb.
> > > >
> > > Dick, you're just not being very clear.
> > >
```

> > > Explain how Dave doesn't have to apply for DXCC for Botswana, but
> eveyone
> > > else must for their QTH.
> >
> > That's an easy one, Brian. I'm only interested in my DXCC status from
> > the U.S. but NOT ONE of those you worked has ever submitted one of your
> > Somali cards for DXCC. There are, of course, multiple scenarios to
> > cover such a situation.
> > A few of them are: You never worked anyone. You never QSL'd anyone.
> > You worked only people operating out of their native land who choose not
> > to chase a DXCC from the country where they were then active. Which one
> > of these sounds likely to you?
>
> You guys are freaking out over this. Why?

How was my response "freaking out"? You asked for an explanation. You received an explanation.

Dave K8MN

679. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 02:52:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NXye9.277$ln5.109@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52447

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7AB7C4.3A98EB34@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > > > Dave, keep hoping and maybe you'll get a pass.
> > > >
> > > I don't need a pass, Brian. I hold written documentation for each and
> > > every one of my operations from foreign soil. There's not a single
case
> > > of "that guy told me it was okay". Do you realize how sad it has
become
> > > when Len Anderson, a non-ham grumpy geezer is your sole supporter in
any
> > > of this?
> > >
> > Dave, more group think? Are you really comfortable being in a consensus
> > with Dick, Steve, Kelly, and Ed?
>
> I'm quite comfortable with it. You left out Phil Kane.
>
> > I was there.
> >
> > You weren't.
>
> Agreed.
>
> > I know what happened.
> >
> > You don't.
>
> I know that accredited operations from Somalia have written
> documentation. I know the call of one such station and have read some
> interesting e-mail on the matter. I also know that you don't have such
> documentation. I know that no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD card
> for DXCC credit. Finally, like most other DXers operating from foreign
> soil I have documentation which proves my permission to operate. You
```

> were saying?

I was saying that you have no experience operating from a country with no government. You're only experience is in places where there is an office and a clerk. Next time don't be so timid. Go for something really rare. Of course, there might be an element of risk involved.

> > You merely speculate and defame.
>
> The speculation is getting pretty good in light your lack of
> documentation for alleged permission to operate and the corroboration
> from others who confirm that written documentation was necessary. You
> don't have any fame to "de".

You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are no cards at the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted the aid of the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.

How much DXCC desk time have you wasted?

Now what?

680. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 02:55:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7ABBFF.6E87EC6A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52446

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7985E2.939A3862@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Why wouldn't you?
> > > >
> > > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me to
> > > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why wouldn't
> I
> > > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied for
> > > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which I
> > > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a
> DXCC
> > > total for myself.
> > >
> > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC?
> Isn't
> > that a double standard?
> >
> No, that's just a standard. One could assume that at least one of the
> stations contacted by you from Somalia would need the country as a new
> one or as a band-country toward his DXCC. That no one submitted any of
> your Somali cards is quite peculiar.
>
> Puti it on Ripley's.
```

I may puti it as soon as I find out what it means. I notice you have no more "double standards" comments.

> > > > > > I was never out of band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> > > > >
> > > > But you knew they were out of band.
> > >
> > Did I? You don't know that.
> >
> You only said that you continued to operate. I have no written proof that
> you actually did so.

I actually continued to operate. I operated until a couple of weeks before my departure from country. Why should I cease six meter operation?

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why? I had permission.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > So you say.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Someone else said also.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Yep. Some guy told you it was okay...
> > > > > > So you say.
> > > > >
> > > > Yep, some guy who had the authority to make the statement.
> > >
> > Even YOU don't know if he had the authority to make the alleged
> > statement.
> >
> Steve/KF2TI showed up here with correspondence from another, later, Somali
> Vet who said he obtained permission from his Naval Commander and it was
> accepted for DXCC credit.

Did you get your permission in writing from that Naval Commander? If not, all bets are off as far as your particular permission. You keep trying to equate the successful operation by others with your Somali operation.

> The ARRL web site gave the particulars of obtaining permission from one's
> commander.

No, it most certainly did not. It supplied a couple of vague sentences. Apparently you were the only individual who couldn't figure out that "permission" meant "in writing".

> What do you know that they don't know?

Better yet, what does the DXCC desk know and what do I know that YOU don't know.

> > You certainly have nothing to back up your claim of permission.

> Who's asking?

Nobody is asking. I didn't pose a question.

Dave K8MN

681. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:01:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907230134.03058.00000243@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52449

In article <d22b54c3.0209070822.3506bee6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> DoD is just one of many federal agency for which NTIA manages HF spectrum
>> requirements.
>>
>> ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
>>
>The Putz is gonna find this thread eventually, he's been bleating for
>years abt the NTIA ruling the spectrum vs. the FCC.

Incorrect. About two years ago I questioned ARRL statements about experimental communications necessary to prove the band's capabilities by a selected group of radio amateurs. ARRL not NTIA.

That's still hilarious. In 1998 there must be "experimentation" on HF to prove "capability?!?!?" What do you think the HF communications industry has been doing there since the 1920s? Has no one measured signal strengths from either the old or new WWV transmitters on 5 MHz in a half century?

About the same time as the FCC posted 02-98, the NTIA made available an HF propagation analysis program originally developed for VOA service planning. Baseline for the analysis was all of the known HF characteristics up to that time...about 70 years worth of data. It was accurate. An improved-for-general user interface was made available in early 2002. It is still accurate today.

NTIA regulates all US federal government and military use of the EM spectrum...although not quite with the absolute authority that the FCC is chartered for on civil radio use. NTIA and FCC work together on future spectrum planning.

682. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:01:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907230135.03058.00000244@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52448

In article <3D7A4AB9.4905B48C@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> If you listened in that region during Desert Storm 10 years ago you really
>heard some interesting if mostly unintelligible stuff.

How can an unintelligible signal be "interesting?"

Do you listen to front-end noise for hours so that you can
hear the voices in there? :-)

683. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:02:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <J4ze9.279$1p5.123@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52501

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907161046.28700.00000705@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <r%pe9.185$lI2.11025695@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >news:d22b54c3.0209070503.13440c3a@posting.google.com...
> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20020906160411.24393.00000279@mb-mc.aol.com>...
> >> > In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>,
> >kelly@dvol.com
> >> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >> >
> >> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> >news:<3D791EE9.C5CC38CA@townsqr.com>...
> >> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > In article <d22b54c3.0209060608.39d0dfb4@posting.google.com>,
> >kelly@dvol.com
> >> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >> > >
> >> > > >> >Tell us about ham operation IN Somalia during the early 90s.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> Why don't YOU tell us about "ham operation" from ANYWHERE,
> >Lennie.
> >> >
> >> As The Putz well knows I've never been a member of the U.S. armed
> >> forces Steve.
> >
> >Why not?
>
> One too many catapults?
>
> >> > > >He didn't "operate" from anywhere, not on ham or military freqs.
He
> >> > > >babysat a RTTY repeater system the real operators used.
> >> > >
> >> > > Incorrect.
> >> > >
> >> > > Long-distance HF communications in the military of a half
century
> >> > > ago were principally in the 4 to 18 MHz range, most circuits
> >> > > being 24/7, ranging from short distances to very long such as

the
> >> > > path from Tokyo to San Francisco. Antennas varied from delta-
> >> > > match-fed dipoles to rhombics, the latter desired for the
longest
> >> > > circuits. The AN/GRC-26, hut-mounted on a 2 1/2 ton truck bed
> >> > > with a towed motor-generator, included both a dipole and rhombic
> >> > > antenna "kit" with masts and guys, stowed under the bench seats
> >> > > of the hut . . . . <three more pages of this old crap snipped>
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> JA to W6 with a rhombic and kilowatts is "long distance" hero radio??
> >> W1/W2/W3 to VK with 5-10 watts and a wire dangling from a tree is an
> >> SOP ho-hum.
> >
> >After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you
even
> >try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
> >what you know into the arena of what you don't know?
>
> Ultralight is familiar with all military surplus radios, even used a
> 28 VDC supply old military aircraft radio in a 6 VDC WW2 jeep.
> Some are just genius level grizzled old war vets. I salute them.

Wouldn't that take at least 4 jeeps to get enough voltage for one little
radio?

> Ultralight ought to write up a technical dissertation and send it to
> the Joint Chiefs of Staff of the US military to convince the respective
> signal officers that "[east coast] to anywhere on 5 to 10 W from a
> wire in a tree" is good for any circuit operating 24 hours a day,
> 7 days a week, carrying at least four sub-circuits within it.

Yep, he knows all about military circuits.

> The method would be revolutionary. The militaries of the world
> haven't been able to do it, ITT hasn't done it, AT&T hasn't done
> it, Press Wireless hasn't done it, RCA Globecom hasn't done it,
> none have done it for 24/7 operation.

We wouldn't even need SATCOM if it works that well.

> >> And this at the end of another of his scores and more near-identical
> >> half megabyte rants about his weenie specialty. From 47 years ago.
> >> Talk about the ultimate in pervasive old fartism . . which is what he
> >> accuses us of having.
> >
> >After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you
even
> >try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
> >what you know into the arena of what you don't know?
>
> He KNOWS. He once read a whole Fair Radio Sales catalog.

> Cover to cover.
>
> Military radio operations of the 1950s on HF resembled US amateur
> radio operations of the 1990s. Boat-anchor devotees in HF ham
> radio were still "dipping the plate, peaking the grid" on glowing
finals.
>
> Hams were discovering automatic antenna tuners in 1995 that were
> first introduced on US military vehicular radios in 1955 (featuring the
> "Bruene detector" as sensing element).
>
> Hams did have rock-solid VFO equivalents on HF rigs in the 1970s,
> courtesy of off-shore designed ready-built transceivers using PLLs
> and embedded microprocessor control and display. Earlier
> "Collins PTO" enabled rigs did the same but without electronic
> frequency read-out; the "PTO" was developed first for the US
> military.
>
> >> > in Devens teaching Putzie-types HOW to babysit them.
> >> >
> >> Heh. Ya mean The Putz wasn't unique unto himself in the history of the
> >> U.S. Army Signal Corps? Getting thru that class musta been the biggest
> >> challenge The Putz ever faced else we wouldn't keep hearing the same
> >> old crap. Almost a half century later.
> >
> >After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you
even
> >try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
> >what you know into the arena of what you don't know?
>
> Ultralight got hit by overpressure. I was never at Fort Devens; have
been
> to Boston only once, on business and that in 1982 for Rocketdyne. :-)
>
> Ultralight's NOTAMs on DoD communications seem confined to ARRL
> mentions of WW2. By the time of the military satellites emplacement,
> multi-channel troposcatter radios for beyond-horizon communications
> were in US land forces inventory and field use. Several types of
multi-
> channel, multi-circuit microwave links to satellites are now used
> regularly in all US military branches for international communications,
> complete with on-line encryption for communications security. Man-
> pack and light vehicular HF radio is also available with a variety of
> antennas and communications security encryption.
>
> Small-unit land force radios coming up on their 14th year in operation
> have FHSS or in-clear digital voice or data with auto-netting
capability
> of several users, wide environment capability with 0.5 PPM frequency
> and time stability. Those operate in the 30-88 and 225-400 MHz

> bands. Military air communications have greatly-expanded modes on
> 225-400 MHz, able to link with and forward land force communications
> to/from command areas. Land force field communications centers at
> regiment and higher level resemble the old pre-WW2 message centers
> in that concentration and message quantity necessitates more
> message operators than radio operators. State of the art increases
> begun in the 1960s allows military radio equipment to run unattended
> 24/7 for long times with good reliability.
>
> The cinema-induced romantic concept of valiant behind-the-enemy-
> lines heroically tapping out morse for vital information back to London
> wasn't applicable by 1980. The "tapping out" was replaced by key-
> boards and solid-state memories able to send data at 1200 BPS to
> military satellites or orbiting communications aircraft. An old
> example is the AN/PSC-2 (phased out by now) leading up to the
> newer trial, AN/PSC-5 (under evaluation). At least two different
> antennas with each, working at the military UHF band, linking with
> a tight antenna pattern instead of omnidirectional squirting of HF
> signals. Encryption was built-in thanks to embedded
> microprocessors and digital technology. Data rate is higher than
> the older 1200 BPS PSC-2 rate.
>
> USN shipboard communications phased out morse code use in
> the 1960s in favor of teleprinter and data modes. USN already had
> RTTY prior to WW2 on cruisers and heavier classes, primarily
> for on-line encryption capability. Encrypted VLF is used for alerts
> of boomers under water. USN submarines have had satellite and
> airborne comm capability at VHF and higher since before the
> 1980s, none of it requiring morse code capability.
>
> The problem in description is one of sheer size of the developments
> that have happened in the past half century. A secondary problem
> is ascertaining whether or not the information is public or not...that
> solved mostly by industry electronic trade publications such as
> Defense Electronics, EE Times, Microwaves & RF, RF Design,
> EDN, and Electronic Design...and the information in public-access
> websites of General Dynamics, Harris, and Nova Engineering (to
> name just three). The US military has made extensive use of the
> Internet for a great deal more information, including free public
> access to many Field Manuals (FM 24-24 is a catalog of all signal
> equipment in inventory as of 1994). Military branches have had
> their own monthly publications for years such as "Signal" of the
> US Army Signal Corps. Personal experience description in
> certain military radio areas in regards to technology must be
> equated to public releases of both government and corporate
> information...to avoid conflict with 18 USC and proprietary trade
> secrets. The amount of public-access information is enormous.
>
> >> The WX is tanking and I have antennas to put up so that I can OPERATE
> >> my own FCC LICENSED RADIO STATION in the coming months so I'm outta

> >> here. Kindly pass my fondest to The Putz Dick.
> >
> >Kelly, when did you start calling him "The Putz Dick?"
>
> That's an oxymoron. The word "putz" has several meanings. In many
> germanic-based European languages the word is colloquial for polish
> or to polish (as with a wax). In Yiddish it is a colloquial pejorative
> equivalent to "dickhead" (equating a person to a penis). Ultralight's
> description could be construed as either "polished penis" or as
> "penis-person penis." Either one is rather ridiculous. Ultralight
> should get out among certain Philadelphia neighborhoods to learn
> correct pejorative phrases. :-)

I'm not much on germanic-based European languages, but maybe he meant "the wax polish penis?"

> Unless there has been some recent modification of Part 97, Title
> 47 CFR, the FCC does not license amateur radio stations directly.
> The FCC grants a license to radio amateurs as an operator and
> those may use any sort of transmitter that meets the technical
> requirements of Part 97. [no doubt that will cause great rage and
> primitive debate in re "station." :-)]

684. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:03:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52450

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <A2qe9.188$BH2.10986774@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> A few of them are: You never worked anyone. You never QSL'd anyone.
> >> You worked only people operating out of their native land who choose not
> >> to chase a DXCC from the country where they were then active. Which one
> >> of these sounds likely to you?
> >
> >You guys are freaking out over this. Why?
>
> It seems to be some kind of endurance contest in messaging.
>
> Judge Heil issues decisions of his private court and expects
> all to obey his court's rulings. He is very upset when told his
> judicial robes are transparent...or has no authority.
>
> He wants order in his court. The constant banging of his gavel
> has a sharp sound when it hits his head instead of the bench.
>
> He must rule. Quod Erat Demonstrandum. QED, one of the
> unwritten Q-codes. :-)

Lenny,

Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on this
topic.
His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is yours.

Dave K8MN

685. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:04:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <07ze9.281$Rt5.175@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52503

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7AB9F1.9CCA7740@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D79845B.7FDA241E@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through
SHF.
> > > > >
> > > > > Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL you did.
> > > >
> > > > Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you agreeing or
> > > > disagreeing with?
> > >
> > > You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the ham
bands.
> >
> > How do you know?
>
> You admitted it yourself. Why--weren't you telling the truth?
>
> Dave K8MN

Was I?

686. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:07:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7ABF22.2E9165BF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52502

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <kpee9.495$pA7.17346792@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >news:d22b54c3.0209060642.4fd049e4@posting.google.com...

> >They still send code practice?
>
> It's an east coast thing. Signals make it to the midwest only if
> HF propagation is favorable.

You have to own a receiver capable of tuning to one or more of the many
frequencies used and it needs to have some sort of antenna attached.
Said receiver should then be turned on and tuned to one of the practice
frequencies.
You should try it sometime. It is plainly and clearly audible in
northern Europe along with West, Southern and East Africa.

Write about what you know of amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

687. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:11:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <odze9.284$_v5.207@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52504

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7ABA45.9C595735@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7988DB.DD6E39CD@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D79718E.AC6C2560@townsqr.com...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S.
Why
> > > > would I
> > > > > > > > > > > need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a
> > > > "Botswanan".
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Why wouldn't you?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have
permitted me
> > to
> > > > > > > > > > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why
> > wouldn't
> > > > > > I
> > > > > > > > > > > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never
applied
> > for
> > > > > > > > > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from
which
> > I
> > > > > > > > > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running
up a
> > > > DXCC
> > > > > > > > > total for myself.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for
DXCC?
> > > > Isn't
> > > > > > > > > that a double standard?
```

> > > > >
> > > > > Welp, if there was any remaining doubt, it just vanished. He
really
> > IS
> > > > that
> > > > > dumb.
> > > > >
> > > > Dick, you're just not being very clear.
> > > > >
> > > > Explain how Dave doesn't have to apply for DXCC for Botswana, but
> > eveyone
> > > > else must for their QTH.
> > >
> > > That's an easy one, Brian. I'm only interested in my DXCC status from
> > > the U.S. but NOT ONE of those you worked has ever submitted one of
your
> > > Somali cards for DXCC. There are, of course, multiple scenarios to
> > > cover such a situation.
> > > A few of them are: You never worked anyone. You never QSL'd anyone.
> > > You worked only people operating out of their native land who choose
not
> > > to chase a DXCC from the country where they were then active. Which
one
> > > of these sounds likely to you?
> >
> > You guys are freaking out over this. Why?
>
> How was my response "freaking out"? You asked for an explanation. You
> received an explanation.

Ed disappears. Kelly gets secret newletters from KF2TI regarding a worldwide dx inquiry, then the attorney gets on here and completely contradicts the ARRL information and others who have successfully operated from there. The DXCC desk has to approve overtime hours that will certainly be kept from the minutes in QST, and Dave, Dick, Jim, and Steve form a concensus. The only ones missing are Bruce and Larry.

Hi!

688. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:15:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2hze9.285$Pw5.11@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52505

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7ABBFF.6E87EC6A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7985E2.939A3862@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why wouldn't you?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have permitted me
to
> > > > > > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why
wouldn't
> > I
> > > > > > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never applied
for
> > > > > > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from which
I
> > > > > > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running up a
> > DXCC
> > > > > > total for myself.
> > > > >
> > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC?
> > Isn't
> > > > > that a double standard?
> > > >
```

> > > No, that's just a standard. One could assume that at least one of the
> > > stations contacted by you from Somalia would need the country as a new
> > > one or as a band-country toward his DXCC. That no one submitted any
of
> > > your Somali cards is quite peculiar.
> >
> > Puti it on Ripley's.
>
> I may puti it as soon as I find out what it means. I notice you have no
> more "double standards" comments.

Ripley's "Believe it or not."

I forgot the double standard remarks. They still apply to you.

> > > > > > > I was never out of band.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> > > > > >
> > > > > But you knew they were out of band.
> > > >
> > > Did I? You don't know that.
> >
> > You only said that you continued to operate. I have no written proof
that
> > you actually did so.
>
> I actually continued to operate. I operated until a couple of weeks
> before my departure from country. Why should I cease six meter
> operation?

...and work Frenchmen out of band.

> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Why? I had permission.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > So you say.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Someone else said also.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yep. Some guy told you it was okay...
> > > > > > > So you say.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Yep, some guy who had the authority to make the statement.
> > > > >
> > > > Even YOU don't know if he had the authority to make the alleged
> > > > statement.
> > >
> > Steve/KF2TI showed up here with correspondence from another, later,
Somali

> > Vet who said he obtained permission from his Naval Commander and it was
> > accepted for DXCC credit.
>
> Did you get your permission in writing from that Naval Commander? If
> not, all bets are off as far as your particular permission. You keep
> trying to equate the successful operation by others with your Somali
> operation.

Mine was successful. It was the second one, as far as I know.

> > The ARRL web site gave the particulars of obtaining permission from
one's
> > commander.
>
> No, it most certainly did not. It supplied a couple of vague
> sentences. Apparently you were the only individual who couldn't figure
> out that "permission" meant "in writing".

What of it. Pernmission is permission.

> > What do you know that they don't know?
>
> Better yet, what does the DXCC desk know and what do I know that YOU
> don't know.
>
> > > You certainly have nothing to back up your claim of permission.
>
> > Who's asking?
>
> Nobody is asking. I didn't pose a question.

Then my permission stands.

689. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:16:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kize9.286$nx5.121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52508

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907131526.00953.00002490@mb-ct.aol.com...

> Guess I was wrong!
>
> Steve

When haven't you been?

690. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:17:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0jze9.288$qx5.40@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52507

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907180249.18884.00001967@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 12:19 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Jyqe9.201$6Q2.11500651@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 12:19 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Jyqe9.201$6Q2.11500651@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
> >> Unless you can answer the question, I guess you are! SHAME on you,
> >Master
> >> Chief!
> >>
> >> The Gunny
> >
> >Now Steve turns on Hans. Its getting ugly in here.
>
> As usual, you have the sequence of events out of order, Brian.
>
> Perhaps this is why you did not ahve the paperwork for your Somalia
> "operation" in order?

Now Steve was in Somalia?

691. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:18:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7AC1AF.AA6BE05F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52506

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7AB7C4.3A98EB34@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Dave, keep hoping and maybe you'll get a pass.
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't need a pass, Brian. I hold written documentation for each and
> > > > > every one of my operations from foreign soil. There's not a single
> case
> > > > > of "that guy told me it was okay". Do you realize how sad it has
> become
> > > > > when Len Anderson, a non-ham grumpy geezer is your sole supporter in
> any
> > > > > of this?
> > > >
> > > > Dave, more group think? Are you really comfortable being in a consensus
> > > > with Dick, Steve, Kelly, and Ed?
> > >
> > > I'm quite comfortable with it. You left out Phil Kane.
> > >
> > > > I was there.
> > > >
> > > > You weren't.
> > >
> > > Agreed.
> > >
> > > > I know what happened.
> > > >
> > > > You don't.
> > >
> > > I know that accredited operations from Somalia have written
> > > documentation. I know the call of one such station and have read some
> > > interesting e-mail on the matter. I also know that you don't have such
> > > documentation. I know that no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD card
```

> > for DXCC credit. Finally, like most other DXers operating from foreign
> > soil I have documentation which proves my permission to operate. You
> > were saying?
>
> I was saying that you have no experience operating from a country with no
> government. You're only experience is in places where there is an office
> and a clerk. Next time don't be so timid. Go for something really rare.
> Of course, there might be an element of risk involved.

I've been in places where there is risk and where I've had no weapon.
Are you supposed to be at the same time both brave and stupid? Everyone
but you seems to have figured out that "permission" means "in writing".

> > > You merely speculate and defame.
> >
> > The speculation is getting pretty good in light your lack of
> > documentation for alleged permission to operate and the corroboration
> > from others who confirm that written documentation was necessary. You
> > don't have any fame to "de".
>
> You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are no cards at
> the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted the aid of
> the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.

The information I stated above doesn't seem to corroborate your tale
that we've "come up empty". It would instead indicate that either
you've operated without proper permission or you've fed us a BS story
and never operated at all from Somalia. The DXCC desk does not write
"VOID" across QSL cards.

> How much DXCC desk time have you wasted?

None whatever.

> Now what?

Pretty much up to you. Are you up for another serving of crow?

Dave K8MN

692. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:21:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7AC258.4295827B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52509

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7AB9F1.9CCA7740@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D79845B.7FDA241E@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through
> SHF.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL you did.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you agreeing or
> > > > > disagreeing with?
> > > > >
> > > > You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the ham
> bands.
> > > >
> > > How do you know?
> > >
> > You admitted it yourself. Why--weren't you telling the truth?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> Was I?

Choose an answer you like, Mr. Ethics. You can be a liar or you can be
stupid.

Dave K8MN
```

693. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:28:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7AC3EF.F0118E4A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52510

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7ABA45.9C595735@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7988DB.DD6E39CD@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D79718E.AC6C2560@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I've never applied for DXCC from anywhere except the U.S.
> Why
> > > > > would I
> > > > > > > > > > > > > need DXCC from eight countries? By the way, I'm not a
> > > > > "Botswanan".
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Why wouldn't you?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Why wouldn't I be a "Botswanan"? State wouldn't have
> permitted me
> > > to
> > > > > > > > > > > > > run commo at the American embassy as a foreign national. Why
> > > wouldn't
> > > > > > > > > I
> > > > > > > > > > > > > apply for DXCC from Botswana? I didn't care to. I never
> applied
> > > for
> > > > > > > > > > > > > DXCC in any of the seven countries other than the U.S. from
> which
> > > I
> > > > > > > > > > > > > operated. I was handing out counters for others, not running
> up a
> > > > > DXCC
> > > > > > > > > > > > > total for myself.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for
> DXCC?
```

> > > > > Isn't
> > > > > > > that a double standard?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Welp, if there was any remaining doubt, it just vanished. He
> really
> > > IS
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > > dumb.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dick, you're just not being very clear.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Explain how Dave doesn't have to apply for DXCC for Botswana, but
> > > eveyone
> > > > > > else must for their QTH.
> > > > >
> > > > That's an easy one, Brian. I'm only interested in my DXCC status from
> > > > the U.S. but NOT ONE of those you worked has ever submitted one of
> your
> > > > Somali cards for DXCC. There are, of course, multiple scenarios to
> > > > cover such a situation.
> > > > A few of them are: You never worked anyone. You never QSL'd anyone.
> > > > You worked only people operating out of their native land who choose
> not
> > > > to chase a DXCC from the country where they were then active. Which
> one
> > > > of these sounds likely to you?
> > >
> > > You guys are freaking out over this. Why?
> >
> > How was my response "freaking out"? You asked for an explanation. You
> > received an explanation.
>
> Ed disappears. Kelly gets secret newletters from KF2TI regarding a
> worldwide dx inquiry, then the attorney gets on here and completely
> contradicts the ARRL information and others who have successfully operated
> from there. The DXCC desk has to approve overtime hours that will certainly
> be kept from the minutes in QST, and Dave, Dick, Jim, and Steve form a
> concensus. The only ones missing are Bruce and Larry.
>
> Hi!

Ed disappeared because he tired of your wierd posts. Kelly and numerous
others received material confirming the necessity of written permission
for operation from Somalia during the period in which you claimed you
operated. The ARRL information consists of a couple of sentences of
vague material and you act as if it constitutes a regulation of some
sort. Now you seem to have been caught in a snare which you built.

I don't believe that any of this can be construed as "freaking out". It
has taken little time or effort and I've found it most entertaining.

Dave K8MN

694. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:34:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7AC56F.6DE5B027@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52511

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7ABBFF.6E87EC6A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7985E2.939A3862@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for DXCC?
> > > > Isn't
> > > > > > that a double standard?
> > > > >
> > > > > No, that's just a standard. One could assume that at least one of the
> > > > > stations contacted by you from Somalia would need the country as a new
> > > > > one or as a band-country toward his DXCC. That no one submitted any
> of
> > > > > your Somali cards is quite peculiar.
> > > >
> > > > Puti it on Ripley's.
> > >
> > > I may puti it as soon as I find out what it means. I notice you have no
> > > more "double standards" comments.
> >
> > Ripley's "Believe it or not."

"Ripley's" isn't the term I wasn't familiar with. I'm working on "puti"
```

> I forgot the double standard remarks. They still apply to you.

So you are incapable of differentiating between a standard and a double
standard.

> > > > > > > > I was never out of band.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But you knew they were out of band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Did I? You don't know that.
> > > >
> > > You only said that you continued to operate. I have no written proof
> that
> > > you actually did so.
> >
> > I actually continued to operate. I operated until a couple of weeks
> > before my departure from country. Why should I cease six meter
> > operation?
>
> ...and work Frenchmen out of band.

I was never out of band when working anyone.

> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Why? I had permission.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > So you say.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Someone else said also.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Yep. Some guy told you it was okay...
> > > > > > > > > So you say.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yep, some guy who had the authority to make the statement.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Even YOU don't know if he had the authority to make the alleged
> > > > > statement.
> > > >
> > > Steve/KF2TI showed up here with correspondence from another, later,
> Somali
> > > Vet who said he obtained permission from his Naval Commander and it was
> > > accepted for DXCC credit.
> >
> > Did you get your permission in writing from that Naval Commander? If
> > not, all bets are off as far as your particular permission. You keep
> > trying to equate the successful operation by others with your Somali
> > operation.
>

> Mine was successful. It was the second one, as far as I know.

Only in your mind--oops--yours and Len's.

> > > The ARRL web site gave the particulars of obtaining permission from
> one's
> > > commander.
> >
> > No, it most certainly did not. It supplied a couple of vague
> > sentences. Apparently you were the only individual who couldn't figure
> > out that "permission" meant "in writing".
>
> What of it. Pernmission is permission.

No, Brian, no matter how much you'd like for that to be true, it is not
the case.

> > > What do you know that they don't know?
> >
> > Better yet, what does the DXCC desk know and what do I know that YOU
> > don't know.
> >
> > > > You certainly have nothing to back up your claim of permission.
> >
> > > Who's asking?
> >
> > Nobody is asking. I didn't pose a question.
>
> Then my permission stands.

What permission? You can't produce any permission to operate from
Somalia.

Dave K8MN

695. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:53:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907235337.12459.00005181@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52512

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/7/02 10:01 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020907230134.03058.00000243@mb-mp.aol.com>

>That's still hilarious. In 1998 there must be "experimentation" on
> HF to prove "capability?!?!?" What do you think the HF
> communications industry has been doing there since the 1920s?
> Has no one measured signal strengths from either the old or
> new WWV transmitters on 5 MHz in a half century?

What's hillarious is your refusal to accept that the studies conducted
were not all about propagation.

NTIA can disagree, but the ARRL will be able to turn around, say
"Here...we did this study and didn't have one complaint during it". It will be
kinda hard for the NTIA to explain why it didn't complain then, and THEN didn't
file comments until after the closing date.

>About the same time as the FCC posted 02-98, the NTIA made
> available an HF propagation analysis program originally
> developed for VOA service planning.

You keep trying to make this strictly a "propagation" issue. It's not.

>NTIA regulates all US federal government and military use of the
> EM spectrum...although not quite with the absolute authority that
> the FCC is chartered for on civil radio use.

Better rethink that statement, Lennie. I have had an almost daily
interaction with the implementation of NTIA regulations by the Civil Air
Patrol. I can assure you they are ALL ABOUT ensuring that thier will be done.

Steve

696. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 03:56:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020907235628.12459.00005183@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52513

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 9:42 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <eOye9.274$Qi5.100@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>You're statements are inaccurate. You don't know what you're talking about.

Prove it.

>Group think? No thanks. I gave at the office.

No doubt you do give at the office.

697. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 04:02:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908000259.12459.00005184@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52514

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 9:52 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <NXye9.277$In5.109@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>I was saying that you have no experience operating from a country with no
>government. You're only experience is in places where there is an office
>and a clerk. Next time don't be so timid. Go for something really rare.
>Of course, there might be an element of risk involved.

Dave Heil had DAILY experience with international law and policies for a major portion of his adult life. YOU spent a couple months in-country of a squalor-plagued third world "nation" and now you know more about iot than he...???

BBBbbwwwwHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !

Burke, You'e a RIOT!

>You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are no cards at
>the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted the aid of
>the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.

Doesn't take ANY figuring out why there are no cards with your call at the DXCC, desk, Brian. They are useless, that's why...Guys and gals who know how to determine if any given QSL card is "worth" credit know your operation was not valid.

>How much DXCC desk time have you wasted?

I am sure that if he "wasted" any, it wasn't unproductive. It's just one more validation of his assertions in the first place.

>Now what?

Perhaps a good gastrointestinal surgeon to help you get your head back into the daylight, Brian?

Steve

698. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 04:07:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908000744.12459.00005185@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52515

>Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 9:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <lLye9.272$yn5.182@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>At least you are capable of courteous. I'd like to see more of it from you.

I'll give you the lack of "professional" when it comes to this forum.
However courteous and accurate are generally not problems with the exception of
Lennie. And with the exception of Lennie, who wouldn't admit to winning the
Lottery if his face were on TV, others will tell you that when I am NOT
accurate, I have had the temerity to say "I stand corrected".

Now...as for what we'd like to see from YOU, "accurate" will suffice.

73

Steve

699. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 04:15:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908001537.12459.00005188@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52516

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 10:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <odze9.284$_v5.207@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>Ed disappears.

Your couch buddy Lennie "disappeared" for several weeks. So what?

>Kelly gets secret newletters from KF2TI regarding a
>worldwide dx inquiry, then the attorney gets on here and completely
>contradicts the ARRL information and others who have successfully operated
>from there.

Phil did not "completely contradict" anyone, let alone the ARRL. His only "correction" was to demonstrate that a military commander MAY grant permission, but that that permission must be documented...Documented, Brian, means IN WRITING, something you did not have.

>The DXCC desk has to approve overtime hours that will certainly
>be kept from the minutes in QST, and Dave, Dick, Jim, and Steve form a
>concensus.

So far, with the exception of your "say so", you've not been able to provide a single bit of verifiable evidence that contradicts anything WE have been saying.

>The only ones missing are Bruce and Larry.

Bruce has been "missing" since puberty.

73

Steve, K4YZ

700. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 04:21:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908002107.12459.00005190@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52517

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 10:15 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <2hze9.285$Pw5.11@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>> I actually continued to operate. I operated until a couple of weeks
>> before my departure from country. Why should I cease six meter
>> operation?
>
>...and work Frenchmen out of band.
>

Brian...I am working 29.660mhz FM. I call CQ. I am answered by KZ4ZZZ, whom, when I check QRZ, I find out is a Novice. He is not "signing" /AG or /AE.

Is my QSO with him legal?

Steve

701. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 04:23:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908002339.12459.00005191@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52518

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 10:16 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <kize9.286$nx5.121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>When haven't you been?

Just about everything to do with Lennie, and pretty much most of what's
been going on with you, lately.

Now...WHERE is the written permission to operate from Somalai, Brian?

Where is the acceptance by the DVCC desk?

Steve

702. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 04:31:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908003136.12459.00005194@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52519

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/7/02 10:17 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <0jze9.288$qx5.40@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>Now Steve was in Somalia?

Didn't say I was. I said YOU have your sequence of events out of order. You have yet to provide any evidence that I am wrong other than your "say so", too.

On the OTHER hand we have a tenured foreign service officer and a communications attorney who have both stated that operation from foreign soil WITHOUT written permission is illegal.

So who do you think >>I<< believe? Also, having operated from foreign soil, albeit nothing as "exotic" as Somalia, I also have experience in foreign operating requirements.

The ONLY place you don't need written permission to operate "from" is on the high seas, but even then you must have the permission of the Master of the Vessel. THEN it's OK to just have "verbal" permission to do so, but it still won't count for DXCC.

73

Steve, K4YZ

703. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 05:53:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908015335.29752.00000109@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 52521

In article <odze9.284$_v5.207@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> How was my response "freaking out"? You asked for an explanation. You
>> received an explanation.
>
>Ed disappears. Kelly gets secret newletters from KF2TI regarding a
>worldwide dx inquiry, then the attorney gets on here and completely
>contradicts the ARRL information and others who have successfully operated
>from there. The DXCC desk has to approve overtime hours that will certainly
>be kept from the minutes in QST, and Dave, Dick, Jim, and Steve form a
>concensus. The only ones missing are Bruce and Larry.

Heh heh heh. It's a regular pot-boiling Soap Opera!

Tune in tomorrow for another dose of "As The Beam Turns"
or "The Guiding Slight!"

It could also be an episode of X-Files ("the truth isn't out there").

Wow, what an inducement to rush out and buy a copy of
"Now They're Squawking." :-)

704. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 05:53:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908015335.29752.00000110@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 52522

In article <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
>Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on this topic.
>His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is yours.

What predicament? Brian Burke doesn't have a predicament.
I don't have any predicament. I hardly ever use predicates. :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Judge Heil is still hot and bothered over that
French ham thing months ago? Tsk, tsk, tsk. And you can't
get someone to lie down and accept defeat? Tsk, tsk, tsk.
Did the court bailiff bail out on you? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Buy a biography of Judge Roy Bean at amazon.com. Learn
how to do it right. With some practice you can become
The Law East Of The Pecos!

That radio get to W1AW yet?

705. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 05:53:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908015336.29752.00000111@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 52520

In article <3D7ABF22.2E9165BF@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> >They still send code practice?
>>
>> It's an east coast thing. Signals make it to the midwest only if
>> HF propagation is favorable.
>
>You have to own a receiver capable of tuning to one or more of the many
>frequencies used and it needs to have some sort of antenna attached.
>Said receiver should then be turned on and tuned to one of the practice
>frequencies.

Said radio and antenna need to be out of these hills. Hello?
Have you heard of skywave only? NVIS doesn't do squat on
a path CT to CA. Last I looked at their skeds, W1AW
doesn't like to honor Pacific time zone listeners much.

>You should try it sometime. It is plainly and clearly audible in
>northern Europe along with West, Southern and East Africa.

Whoopee. They do that to increase ARRL membership?

The "A" in ARRL is supposed to be "American." Did you
know that there is actually most of the USA to the west of
eastern standard time zone? True. The center of US
population moved west of the Mississippi long ago.

You think that W1AW signals are more important going to
northern Europe, or West, Southern, and East Africa than
to most of the USA?

>Write about what you know of amateur radio.

No problem for the group in here...just Cut and Paste from
the newsgroup for any standard soap opera plot. The Judge
wants to send everyone to prison if they don't like him.
Ultralight is too high without a canula. Dickiebird is tweeting
like he was at Holabird. The one who hangs around iceholes
is waiting for winter. Putzmobile driver continues to rave and
rage, adding charges of incest to fantastic freudian fantasy.
Paratransnit specialist is imitating Richard Widmark searching
for a wheelchair. Jolly group of brothers in harms.

I wrote it up in a script, got a producer with money. Even the
Sci-Fi channel won't accept it...too fantastic. Nickelodeon
and Cartoon Channel said it didn't have humor. The soap

opera specialists thought it too dirty. Discovery channel said "it was nothing new," couldn't be discovered. Food channel said it was tasteless due to poor ingredients. Health channel wanted to quarantine it immediately due to sick characters. Court TV said it should be fined for contempt. AMC thought it un-American, didn't move, wasn't close to being classic. Turning to the Internet, the Crystal Set Society said it wasn't technical enough. I gave up.

Greta Van Susteren sent a note about interviewing Ohm for her law show. The Capitol Gang didn't want little weenie appetizer bits to practice on before air time. History channel expressed some interest in it as a period piece about the 20s. There's a faint spark of hope alternating on the horizon...just get the right key to unlock it. Pandora has this enclosure, you see...

706. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 06:36:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908023600.15069.00002613@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52523

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/8/02 12:53 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020908015335.29752.00000110@mb-bg.aol.com>

Not even any use in quoting any of this post.

Just poor, silly insinuations and blatant obfuscation.

What a shame.

707. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 06:39:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908023908.15069.00002615@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52524

>Subject: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 9/5/02 11:56 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020906005640.15102.00002007@mb-fy.aol.com>
>

>Now...since you ONLY state the truth, NO fabrications, we will wait and see
>how long it takes you to produce your verifiable truths of League dishonesty.

Another day gone by...Another opportunity to make your "point" lost.

Thank-you for helping to make mine, however.

Steve

708. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 09:56:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209080156.150d2126@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52525

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D797EE8.B98D6F72@earthlink.net>...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
\> > > Somalia, a rare one even when there is no war going on, doesn't
it seem
> > > strange that not a single individual has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD to
> > > the DXCC desk for award credit?
>
> > Yes, it does. Makes your claim that they were rejected sound like just so
> > much speculation.
>
> Please point to a single post where I have stated that your operation
> was rejected.
>
> > Was it just speculation?
>
> Yeah, Brian. I'm speculatin' that you didn't operate from Somalia at
> all.
>
>
To quote Brainiac when I asked why nobody has a T5 card fron him his
response was "the outgoing bureau lost 'em."
>
>
Uh-huh. Right.
>
>
W9WNV the Second rises from the gloom right before our eyes . . .
>
>
>
> Dave K8MN

w3rv

709. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 12:38:05 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <alfgcs$i3r$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52526

In article <odze9.284$_v5.207@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>,
bpburke@prodigy.net says...

>
> Ed disappears. Kelly gets secret newletters from KF2TI regarding a
> worldwide dx inquiry, then the attorney gets on here and completely
> contradicts the ARRL information and others who have successfully operated
> from there. The DXCC desk has to approve overtime hours that will certainly
> be kept from the minutes in QST, and Dave, Dick, Jim, and Steve form a
> concensus. The only ones missing are Bruce and Larry.

How secret could it have been Brian? You asked for and received a
forwarded copy of the posted e-mail, complete with the senders name and
e-mail address. (BTW, the courteous thing to do would have to to at
least acknowledge receipt with a Thank You!) It verified everything
posted, yet you continue to object. Curious

Yet, as a matter of record, Bruce (a well known QSL Manager) also
disagrees with you.

The answer, No due to lack of documentation and no, to the best of our
knowledge, none ever were

The first response:

Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 18:03:50 +0000
From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia (1992-1993)

I took care of all the paper work for N3Hxx, who operated as T5/N3H. His
call sign is now N4xxx

During this time period, Somalia did not have a government, and was
under UN control. The DXCC Rules states that under this type situation,
the operator must receive written authorization from the UN, or if
Military, a written authorization from his Commander. Along with this
authorization, some type of proof that they were in the country had to
be provided. I sent the ARRL the Authorization Letter from his
Commanding Officer, and a copy of the US Air Force flight manifest with
him on it, going to Somalia.

He was a US Army helicopter crew member, and operated from a airfield in
Mogadishu. He said he was the only active ham in the area while he was
there. He is now in Afghanistan Piloting a CH47 Helicopter. He has sent
a request to the Afghan government to receive operating privilege there,
but no answer yet.

I hope that helps you.

73/DX, Bruce/Wx4xxx

I had a follow-up question since Bruce did specifically mention the DXCC program, the question and subsequent response as follows:

Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 20:29:38 +0000
From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia (1992-1993)

Yes, either from the UN, or the Military

Steve-KF2TI wrote:
>
> Thanks Bruce. This answers a ton fo stuff. I appreciate your help.
>
> Would you know, even if DXCC credit were not the goal, would writtent
> permission still be required? IOW, if a US amateur just wanted to
> operate for the sake of operating, would he/she still need the
> written permission you mentioned?
>
> 73/DX, Bruce/Wx4xxx

> ----------
> Bruce / Wx4xxx /E30GA team leader/ ex HL9JV
> http://www.qsl.com/e3.htm

As to DXCC Desk overtime. I sent a 2 sentence question and got back the following:

To the question Is T5/N0IMD valid to DXCC and were there ever any cards submitted.

710. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 13:54:10 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209080554.5acef5c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52527

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020907001925.22428.00001658@mb-ch.aol.com>...
>
> Dan:
>
> straightened out and re-assembled back in working order, and have
> used them successfully (a lot) ever since. The same kind of abuse
> may have rendered those fancy Kent paddles QRT.
>
That's exactly what they *won't* do. Dropping a Kent won't take one
apart, you'd have a bad time taking one apart with a crowbar.

w3rv

711. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:04:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <mGJe9.15252$6i4.1245001@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52528

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote

> The same kind of abuse may have rendered those fancy Kent paddles QRT.

Fancy???? I'd use the word "rugged".

Rather than stamped sheet metal parts held in place by coil springs and suspended on plastic bearings, the Kent is made from machined solid brass parts, suspended on four sealed ball bearings embedded in a solid brass support structure.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
We've heard that a million monkeys at a million keyboards
could produce the Complete Works of Shakespeare; now,
thanks to rrap, we know this is not true.

712. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:18:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <JSJe9.15275$6i4.1246489@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52529

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Another day gone by...Another opportunity to make your "point" lost.
>

And we are assaulted with yet another excerpt from the rejected scripts for
"K4YZ: The Legend drags its Lame Ass
into Cyberspace" ...

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc

713. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:18:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <SSJe9.574$0O.28368177@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52530

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907230135.03058.00000244@mb-mp.aol.com...
> In article <3D7A4AB9.4905B48C@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
<dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> > If you listened in that region during Desert Storm 10 years ago you
really
> >heard some interesting if mostly unintelligible stuff.
>
> How can an unintelligible signal be "interesting?"
>
> Do you listen to front-end noise for hours so that you can
> hear the voices in there? :-)

I was wondering the same thing.

Perhaps its the mystique of the infamous W0EX Banana Boat Swing that's so
unintelligible.

714. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:27:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <20Ke9.579$jJ.28082344@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52531

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7AB954.5B995F4F@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7983DB.B7ACBDE3@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D793065.20439B5C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Why would you? A ham of conscience would then have to stop working
the
> > > > > > Frenchmen out of band.
> > > > >
> > > > > You tried this before and it doesn't wash. I operated where I was
> > > > > permitted. I have documentation that I was permitted to operate from
> > > > > Tanzania and that I was permitted to be on the portion of six meters
> > > > > which I used. You, on the other hand, have no documentation
permitting
> > > > > you to operate from Somalia at all.
> > >
> > > Maybe it was a malaria induced hallucination.
> >
> Maybe what was?

Are you confused again?

> > > A French ham of conscience wouldn't call someone out of band.
> >
```

> > And a Tanzanian Licensee of conscience wouldn't reply.
>
> We've also been down this road. I am not responsible for ensuring that
> others operate where their governments permit them to operate. I'm only
> responsible for operating where I am permitted to be.

Part 97 says we are self-policing. Do you reject that concept?

> That isn't the same as operating an amateur stations with no permit from
foreign soil.

A permit wasn't required. Go back and reread what the ARRL has to say. Go
back and reread what the other operators had to say about their operations.

"Permission" was required. Permission I got.

> > > > > > Why not look it
> > > > > > > up? You have all the tables for various countries.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't work Frenchmen on 6M, in band or out of band, thus I
have no
> > > > need
> > > > > > > for that information.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm sorry for your handicap.
> > > > >
> > > > Don't let Mr Dann find you making fun of people with special needs.
> > >
> > > You may be onto something. I'm beginning to think of you as a person
> > > with "special needs".
>
> > You said I didn't have legs to stand on.
>
> I wasn't writing of your legs. I was thinking of passing the hat to send
> you to Oz for that brain.

Then don't say, "legs." If you were thinking of some other appendage, you
should have said so.

> > > > > Are you now restricting your lookups of
> > > > > > those you check before working to French ops on 6m?
> > > > >
> > > > > Huh? Talking Succinctly 101?
> > >
> > > What didn't you understand? Do you only check the authorization of
> > > French ops on six meters?
> >
> > I'm not understanding, "Are you now restricting your lookups of those
you
> > check before working to French ops on 6m?"
>
> It isn't difficult. Perhaps we can have someone explain it slooooooowly

> so you'll comprehend. I explained it again in different terms. Perhaps
> I can use smaller words.

OK Dave, let's break it down.

"restricting your lookups to those you check"

What is the difference between lookups and checking? Isn't this redundant?

"before working to French ops on 6m?"

Does the word "to" have any purpose in your statement other than making it even more muddy?

Is this slow enough for you?

715. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:33:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <j5Ke9.580$SR.28604509@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52532

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907235628.12459.00005183@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 9:42 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <eOye9.274$Qi5.100@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >You're statements are inaccurate. You don't know what you're talking
about.
>
> Prove it.

" Again, I can accept that you THOUGHT you were "legal'. The facts are you
WEREN'T. Just bite the bullet and hope you get a second chance to operate
from some exotic QTH again one day, but next time, get the paperwork to back
it
up."

The facts are that I was legal. Permission was required. I obtained
permission.

> >Group think? No thanks. I gave at the office.
>
> No doubt you do give at the office.

Why wouldn't I?

At work I'm surrounded by intelligent people who aren't interested in eating
one of their own.

Unlike amateur radio and Extras.

716. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:39:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VaKe9.584$hS.28632100@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52533

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7AC1AF.AA6BE05F@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7AB7C4.3A98EB34@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Dave, keep hoping and maybe you'll get a pass.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't need a pass, Brian. I hold written documentation for each
and
> > > > > > every one of my operations from foreign soil. There's not a
single
> > case
> > > > > > of "that guy told me it was okay". Do you realize how sad it has
> > become
> > > > > > when Len Anderson, a non-ham grumpy geezer is your sole supporter
in
> > any
> > > > > > of this?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, more group think? Are you really comfortable being in a
consensus
> > > > > with Dick, Steve, Kelly, and Ed?
> > > >
> > > > I'm quite comfortable with it. You left out Phil Kane.
> > > >
> > > > I was there.
> > > >
> > > > You weren't.
> > > >
> > > > Agreed.
> > > >
> > > > I know what happened.
> > > >
```

> > > > You don't.
> > >
> > > I know that accredited operations from Somalia have written
> > > documentation. I know the call of one such station and have read some
> > > interesting e-mail on the matter. I also know that you don't have such
> > > documentation. I know that no one has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD card
> > > for DXCC credit. Finally, like most other DXers operating from
foreign
> > > soil I have documentation which proves my permission to operate. You
> > > were saying?
> >
> > I was saying that you have no experience operating from a country with
no
> > government. You're only experience is in places where there is an
office
> > and a clerk. Next time don't be so timid. Go for something really
rare.
> > Of course, there might be an element of risk involved.
>
> I've been in places where there is risk and where I've had no weapon.
> Are you supposed to be at the same time both brave and stupid? Everyone
> but you seems to have figured out that "permission" means "in writing".

Permission is permission.

> > > > You merely speculate and defame.
> > >
> > > The speculation is getting pretty good in light your lack of
> > > documentation for alleged permission to operate and the corroboration
> > > from others who confirm that written documentation was necessary. You
> > > don't have any fame to "de".
> >
> > You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are no cards
at
> > the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted the aid
of
> > the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.
>
> The information I stated above doesn't seem to corroborate your tale
> that we've "come up empty". It would instead indicate that either
> you've operated without proper permission or you've fed us a BS story
> and never operated at all from Somalia. The DXCC desk does not write
> "VOID" across QSL cards.

What would they do?

> > How much DXCC desk time have you wasted?
>
> None whatever.
>
> > Now what?

>
> Pretty much up to you. Are you up for another serving of crow?
>
> Dave K8MN

What crow? Dave, you need to realize that I was given permission. I know because I was there.

You can go back to working Frenchmen out of band at any time.

Part 97 gives you that right - just as long as you're in band.

Don't worry about being self-policing or using Good Amateur Practice.

Just be who you are.

717. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:43:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BeKe9.585$wU.28778654@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52534

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908000259.12459.00005184@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 9:52 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <NXye9.277$In5.109@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >I was saying that you have no experience operating from a country with no
> >government. You're only experience is in places where there is an office
> >and a clerk. Next time don't be so timid. Go for something really rare.
> >Of course, there might be an element of risk involved.
>
> Dave Heil had DAILY experience with international law and policies
for a
> major portion of his adult life. YOU spent a couple months in-country of
a
> squalor-plagued third world "nation" and now you know more about iot than
> he...???
>
> BBBbbwwwwHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
>
> Burke, You'e a RIOT!

Gunny, I was on active duty for over 20 years. I've been out of country a
few times other than Operation Restore Hope.

> >You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are no cards
at
> >the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted the aid
of
> >the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.
>
> Doesn't take ANY figuring out why there are no cards with your call
at the
> DXCC, desk, Brian. They are useless, that's why...Guys and gals who know
how
> to determine if any given QSL card is "worth" credit know your operation
was
> not valid.

What is your DXCC number again?

> >How much DXCC desk time have you wasted?
>
> I am sure that if he "wasted" any, it wasn't unproductive. It's just

one
> more validation of his assertions in the first place.

He says none.

> >Now what?
>
> Perhaps a good gastrointestinal surgeon to help you get your head
back
> into the daylight, Brian?
>
> Steve

Maybe that's why I haven't heard Dick's "POP."

Just one possible explanation out of many.

718. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:44:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XfKe9.586$BQ.28526663@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52535

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7AC258.4295827B@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7AB9F1.9CCA7740@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D79845B.7FDA241E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through
> > SHF.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL you
did.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you agreeing
or
> > > > > > > > disagreeing with?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the ham
> > bands.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How do you know?
> > > > >
> > > > > You admitted it yourself. Why--weren't you telling the truth?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Was I?
> > >
> > > Choose an answer you like, Mr. Ethics. You can be a liar or you can be
> > > stupid.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN

Dave, I'm truthful.
```

719. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:50:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MkKe9.589$QX.28985559@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52536

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908001537.12459.00005188@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 10:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <odze9.284$_v5.207@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Ed disappears.
>
> Your couch buddy Lennie "disappeared" for several weeks. So what?
>
> >Kelly gets secret newletters from KF2TI regarding a
> >worldwide dx inquiry, then the attorney gets on here and completely
> >contradicts the ARRL information and others who have successfully
operated
> >from there.
>
> Phil did not "completely contradict" anyone, let alone the ARRL. His
only
> "correction" was to demonstrate that a military commander MAY grant
permission,
> but that that permission must be documented...Documented, Brian, means IN
> WRITING, something you did not have.

Sorry, but military commanders can give verbals. You should have learned
that while on active duty. NCOs can as well. But the ARRL blurb
specifically said "Commander."

> >The DXCC desk has to approve overtime hours that will certainly
> >be kept from the minutes in QST, and Dave, Dick, Jim, and Steve form a
> >concensus.
>
> So far, with the exception of your "say so", you've not been able to
> provide a single bit of verifiable evidence that contradicts anything WE
have
> been saying.
>
> >The only ones missing are Bruce and Larry.
>
> Bruce has been "missing" since puberty.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

720. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 15:59:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52537

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message news:alfgcs$i3r$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <odze9.284$_v5.207@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>,
> bpburke@prodigy.net says...
>
> >
> > Ed disappears. Kelly gets secret newletters from KF2TI regarding a
> > worldwide dx inquiry, then the attorney gets on here and completely
> > contradicts the ARRL information and others who have successfully operated
> > from there. The DXCC desk has to approve overtime hours that will certainly
> > be kept from the minutes in QST, and Dave, Dick, Jim, and Steve form a
> > concensus. The only ones missing are Bruce and Larry.
>
> How secret could it have been Brian? You asked for and received a
> forwarded copy of the posted e-mail, complete with the senders name and
> e-mail address. (BTW, the courteous thing to do would have to to at
> least acknowledge receipt with a Thank You!) It verified everything
> posted, yet you continue to object. Curious

Steve, thank you for the e-mail. It was exactly what you posted on the newsgroup.

I didn't see the secret "newletter" that Kelly got, however.

> Yet, as a matter of record, Bruce (a well known QSL Manager) also
> disagrees with you.

> The answer, No due to lack of documentation and no, to the best of our
> knowledge, none ever were
>
> The first response:
>
>
> Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 18:03:50 +0000
> From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
> To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
> Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia (1992-1993)
>
> I took care of all the paper work for N3Hxx, who operated as T5/N3H. His
> call sign is now N4xxx
>
> During this time period, Somalia did not have a government, and was
> under UN control. The DXCC Rules states that under this type situation,

> the operator must receive written authorization from the UN, or if
> Military, a written authorization from his Commander.

Wonder why the ARRL doesn't specifically say written? Doesn't matter,
because I saw the ARRL info 10 years after returning.

Along with this
> authorization, some type of proof that they were in the country had to
> be provided. I sent the ARRL the Authorization Letter from his
> Commanding Officer, and a copy of the US Air Force flight manifest with
> him on it, going to Somalia.

A flight manifest would at least prove I was in Somalia. My expeditionary
medal transcript would as well.

> He was a US Army helicopter crew member, and operated from a airfield in
> Mogadishu. He said he was the only active ham in the area while he was
> there. He is now in Afghanistan Piloting a CH47 Helicopter. He has sent
> a request to the Afghan government to receive operating privilege there,
> but no answer yet.
>
> I hope that helps you.
>
> 73/DX, Bruce/Wx4xxx
>
> I had a follow-up question since Bruce did specifically mention the DXCC
> program, the question and subsequent response as follows:
>
>
> Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 20:29:38 +0000
> From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
> To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
> Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia (1992-1993)
>
>
> Yes, either from the UN, or the Military
>
>
> Steve-KF2TI wrote:
> >
> > Thanks Bruce. This answers a ton fo stuff. I appreciate your help.
> >
> > Would you know, even if DXCC credit were not the goal, would writtent
> > permission still be required? IOW, if a US amateur just wanted to
> > operate for the sake of operating, would he/she still need the
> > written permission you mentioned?
> >
> > 73/DX, Bruce/Wx4xxx
>
> > --
> > Bruce / Wx4xxx /E30GA team leader/ ex HL9JV

> > http://www.qsl.com/e3.htm
>
>
> As to DXCC Desk overtime. I sent a 2 sentence question and got back the
> following:
>
> To the question Is T5/N0IMD valid to DXCC and were there ever any cards
> submitted.

Well, there you have it. Nothing exists.

I wasn't there. I didn't operate. I didn't bootleg. I didn't make the
DXCC desk.

I eat crow.

And all you guys are living in a fairy tale.

721. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:04:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0yKe9.596$m01.29256759@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52538

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7AC56F.6DE5B027@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7ABBFF.6E87EC6A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7985E2.939A3862@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for
DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > Isn't
> > > > > > > > > > that a double standard?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No, that's just a standard. One could assume that at least one of
the
> > > > > > > > > stations contacted by you from Somalia would need the country as a
new
> > > > > > > > > one or as a band-country toward his DXCC. That no one submitted
any
> > > > of
> > > > > > > > > your Somali cards is quite peculiar.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Puti it on Ripley's.
> > > > > > >
```

> > > I may puti it as soon as I find out what it means. I notice you have
no
> > > more "double standards" comments.
> >
> > Ripley's "Believe it or not."
>
> "Ripley's" isn't the term I wasn't familiar with. I'm working on "puti"

A simple typo. Couln't you figured that one out on your own?

Or are you deliberately trying to be obtuse because you've no other argument
left?

> > I forgot the double standard remarks. They still apply to you.
>
> So you are incapable of differentiating between a standard and a double
> standard.

Explain.

> > > > > > > > > I was never out of band.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But you knew they were out of band.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Did I? You don't know that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You only said that you continued to operate. I have no written
proof
> > that
> > > > > you actually did so.
> > > >
> > > I actually continued to operate. I operated until a couple of weeks
> > > before my departure from country. Why should I cease six meter
> > > operation?
> >
> > ...and work Frenchmen out of band.
>
> I was never out of band when working anyone.

That's why I say "...Frenchmen out of band." Surely you can understand
that.

> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Why? I had permission.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > So you say.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Someone else said also.

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Yep. Some guy told you it was okay...
> > > > > > > > So you say.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yep, some guy who had the authority to make the statement.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Even YOU don't know if he had the authority to make the alleged
> > > > > > statement.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve/KF2TI showed up here with correspondence from another, later,
> > Somali
> > > > > Vet who said he obtained permission from his Naval Commander and it
was
> > > > > accepted for DXCC credit.
> > > >
> > > Did you get your permission in writing from that Naval Commander? If
> > > not, all bets are off as far as your particular permission. You keep
> > > trying to equate the successful operation by others with your Somali
> > > operation.
> > >
> > Mine was successful. It was the second one, as far as I know.
>
> Only in your mind--oops--yours and Len's.

Mine's enough.

> > > > The ARRL web site gave the particulars of obtaining permission from
> > one's
> > > > > commander.
> > > >
> > > No, it most certainly did not. It supplied a couple of vague
> > > sentences. Apparently you were the only individual who couldn't
figure
> > > out that "permission" meant "in writing".
> > >
> > What of it. Pernmission is permission.
>
> No, Brian, no matter how much you'd like for that to be true, it is not
> the case.

Citation, please?

> > > > What do you know that they don't know?
> > > >
> > > Better yet, what does the DXCC desk know and what do I know that YOU
> > > don't know.
> > > >
> > > > > You certainly have nothing to back up your claim of permission.
> > > >
> > > > Who's asking?
> > > >

> > > Nobody is asking. I didn't pose a question.
> >
> > Then my permission stands.
>
> What permission? You can't produce any permission to operate from
> Somalia.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

I'm no longer there. I had permission while I was there.

722. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:09:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7DKe9.598$R21.29415690@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52539

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908002107.12459.00005190@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 10:15 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <2hze9.285$Pw5.11@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >> I actually continued to operate. I operated until a couple of weeks
> >> before my departure from country. Why should I cease six meter
> >> operation?
> >
> >...and work Frenchmen out of band.
> >
>
> Brian...I am working 29.660mhz FM. I call CQ. I am answered by
KZ4ZZZ,
> whom, when I check QRZ, I find out is a Novice. He is not "signing" /AG
or
> /AE.
>
> Is my QSO with him legal?
>
> Steve

Is it?

What are your authorizations in the above fictitious account?

Is it Good Amateur Practice, now that you know he is out of band, to
continue talking to him?

(Note for Dave: notice the typo above? Is it necessary for me to behave as
if Steve's post is unintelligible?)

723. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:15:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zIKe9.602$gG.27902989@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52540

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908002339.12459.00005191@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 10:16 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <kize9.286$nx5.121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >When haven't you been?
>
> Just about everything to do with Lennie, and pretty much most of
what's
> been going on with you, lately.
>
> Now...WHERE is the written permission to operate from Somalai, Brian?

I have none, only verbal permission.

> Where is the acceptance by the DVCC desk?

Dave would ask you 20 questions about your typo.

Instead, I'll say that a card has to be submitted to DXCC for them to accept
or reject it. I don't know why none have been sent.

Brian

724. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:21:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_NKe9.603$v61.29639884@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52541

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908003136.12459.00005194@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 10:17 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <0jze9.288$qx5.40@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Now Steve was in Somalia?
>
> Didn't say I was. I said YOU have your sequence of events out of
order.
> You have yet to provide any evidence that I am wrong other than your "say
so",
> too.
>
> On the OTHER hand we have a tenured foreign service officer and a
> communications attorney who have both stated that operation from foreign
soil
> WITHOUT written permission is illegal.

I'm aware who the comm attorney is, and he did correct himself once, so far.
But who is the foreign service officer?

> So who do you think >>I<< believe? Also, having operated from
foreign
> soil, albeit nothing as "exotic" as Somalia, I also have experience in
foreign
> operating requirements.

Steve, I'm sure you would be where there is a country, with a government,
with clerks standing at the Ministry of Communications counter, or where a
SOFA agreement was in place.

But not in Somalia. Even the exhalted Greatest DXer in the World, Dave
Heil, admitted he knew nothing of gaining pemission to operate in Somalia.
He said it repeatedly.

Now he is an expert.

> The ONLY place you don't need written permission to operate "from" is
on
> the high seas, but even then you must have the permission of the Master of
the
> Vessel. THEN it's OK to just have "verbal" permission to do so, but it
still
> won't count for DXCC.

Exactly. What country is "High Seas?" But none-the-less, why is only verbal permission OK from there?

725. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:25:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HRKe9.605$f71.29688896@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52542

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908000744.12459.00005185@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 9:39 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <lLye9.272$yn5.182@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >At least you are capable of courteous. I'd like to see more of it from
you.
>
> I'll give you the lack of "professional" when it comes to this forum.
> However courteous and accurate are generally not problems with the
exception of
> Lennie. And with the exception of Lennie, who wouldn't admit to winning
the
> Lottery if his face were on TV, others will tell you that when I am NOT
> accurate, I have had the temerity to say "I stand corrected".
>
> Now...as for what we'd like to see from YOU, "accurate" will suffice.
>
> 73
>
> Steve

Honestly, Steve, one day when you're well rested and in a reflective mood, do a google search on "K4YZ and Mark Morgan." Then do another one on "K4YZ and Cutie Boy." It might suprise you what you learn about yourself. These are the things the rest of us see in your and Len's exchanges.

726. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:26:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gTKe9.607$xO.28401693@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52543

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7AC3EF.F0118E4A@earthlink.net...
>
> Ed disappeared because he tired of your wierd posts. Kelly and numerous
> others received material confirming the necessity of written permission
> for operation from Somalia during the period in which you claimed you
> operated. The ARRL information consists of a couple of sentences of
> vague material and you act as if it constitutes a regulation of some
> sort. Now you seem to have been caught in a snare which you built.
>
> I don't believe that any of this can be construed as "freaking out". It
> has taken little time or effort and I've found it most entertaining.
>
> Dave K8MN

A monumental effort to what end?

727. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:27:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qTKe9.608$Z71.29735063@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52544

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209080156.150d2126@posting.google.com...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D797EE8.B98D6F72@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> \> > > Somalia, a rare one even when there is no war going on, doesn't
> it seem
> > > > strange that not a single individual has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD
to
> > > > the DXCC desk for award credit?
> >
> > > Yes, it does. Makes your claim that they were rejected sound like
just so
> > > much speculation.
> >
> > Please point to a single post where I have stated that your operation
> > was rejected.
> >
> > > Was it just speculation?
> >
> > Yeah, Brian. I'm speculatin' that you didn't operate from Somalia at
> > all.
> >
> To quote Brainiac when I asked why nobody has a T5 card fron him his
> response was "the outgoing bureau lost 'em."
> >
> Uh-huh. Right.

Brian, you're not being entirely truthful. It was a possible explanation.
I didn't say for a fact that they lost them.

728. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:32:21 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209080832.1c49de04@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52545

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<r%pe9.185$lI2.11025695@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > As The Putz well knows I've never been a member of the U.S. armed
> > forces Steve.
>
> Why not?
>
>
Medical.
>
> > >
> > JA to W6 with a rhombic and kilowatts is "long distance" hero radio??
> > W1/W2/W3 to VK with 5-10 watts and a wire dangling from a tree is an
> > SOP ho-hum.
>
> After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you even
> try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
> what you know into the arena of what you don't know?
>
>
What makes you think I don't know anything about DoD radio ops?
>
>
I said I was not a member of the U.S. armed forces. I did not say I
don't have any direct experience with the U.S. military. I have years
of it in fact in adddition to decades in HF ham radio with most of
those in international comms. Radio is radio military and otherwise
and so are physics and antennas, there is absolutely nothing sacred
about DoD radio. I've done W3 to VK with a wire dangling from a tree.
The Putz has not and doesn't have either the first clue about how to
do that or the authorization to do any such thing.
>
>
Which outgoing QSL bureau lost yer pile of T5 cards Brainiac, the T5
bureau?

w3rv

729. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:50:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908125050.00896.00002374@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52546

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 9/8/02 10:18 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <JSJe9.15275$6i4.1246489@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>And we are assaulted with yet another excerpt...(SNIP)

Once again Hans exercising his Freedom of Speech.

And once again too bad I don't have a webcam so he can see me exercising
mine.

Have a nice day, Hans.

73

Steve, K4YZ

730. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:54:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908125431.00896.00002375@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52547

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 11:15 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <zIKe9.602$gG.27902989@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>> Now...WHERE is the written permission to operate from Somalai, Brian?
>
>I have none, only verbal permission.

Then, like I said...we (or at least I) can accept taht you THOUGHT you were "legal" from Somalia. In as much as you have no documentation of your authority to operate in T5, it is apparent it was not valid.

>Instead, I'll say that a card has to be submitted to DXCC for them to accept
>or reject it. I don't know why none have been sent.

I told you why...Those who are involved in the DXCC awards program know how to find out if any particular operation was valid or not.

There is no documentation of your operation. Why would they send cards to Newington only to get returned with a "sorry" note attached?

73

Steve, K4YZ

731. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:55:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908125518.00896.00002376@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52548

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 11:21 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <_NKe9.603$v61.29639884@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>I'm aware who the comm attorney is, and he did correct himself once, so far.
>But who is the foreign service officer?

You're jerking my leg, right?

Steve

732. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 16:58:45 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <alfvl6$ha4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52549

In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
bpburke@prodigy.net says...
>
> "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:alfgcs$i3r$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...

note the oft used ISP

> >
> > How secret could it have been Brian? You asked for and received a
> > forwarded copy of the posted e-mail, complete with the senders name and
> > e-mail address. (BTW, the courteous thing to do would have to to at
> > least acknowledge receipt with a Thank You!) It verified everything
> > posted, yet you continue to object. Curious
>
> Steve, thank you for the e-mail. It was exactly what you posted on the
> newsgroup.
>
> I didn't see the secret "newletter" that Kelly got, however.

As I had stated, BK embellished about the newsletter. He received a
copy of the same e-mail I sent you.

>
> > Yet, as a matter of record, Bruce (a well known QSL Manager) also
> > disagrees with you.
>

snipped
> >
> > The first response:
> >
> >
> > Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 18:03:50 +0000
> > From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
> > To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
> > Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia (1992-1993)
> >
> > I took care of all the paper work for N3Hxx, who operated as T5/N3H. His
> > call sign is now N4xxx
> >
> > During this time period, Somalia did not have a government, and was
> > under UN control. The DXCC Rules states that under this type situation,
> > the operator must receive written authorization from the UN, or if
> > Military, a written authorization from his Commander.
>

> Wonder why the ARRL doesn't specifically say written? Doesn't matter,
> because I saw the ARRL info 10 years after returning.
>
> Along with this
> > authorization, some type of proof that they were in the country had to
> > be provided. I sent the ARRL the Authorization Letter from his
> > Commanding Officer, and a copy of the US Air Force flight manifest with
> > him on it, going to Somalia.
>
> A flight manifest would at least prove I was in Somalia. My expeditionary
> medal transcript would as well.
>
> > He was a US Army helicopter crew member, and operated from a airfield in
> > Mogadishu. He said he was the only active ham in the area while he was
> > there. He is now in Afghanistan Piloting a CH47 Helicopter. He has sent
> > a request to the Afghan government to receive operating privilege there,
> > but no answer yet.
> >
> > I hope that helps you.
> >
> > 73/DX, Bruce/Wx4xxx
> >
> > I had a follow-up question since Bruce did specifically mention the DXCC
> > program, the question and subsequent response as follows:
> >
> >
> > Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 20:29:38 +0000
> > From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
> > To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
> > Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia (1992-1993)
> >
> >
> > Yes, either from the UN, or the Military
> >
> >
> > Steve-KF2TI wrote:
> > >
> > > Thanks Bruce. This answers a ton fo stuff. I appreciate your help.
> > >
> > > Would you know, even if DXCC credit were not the goal, would writtent
> > > permission still be required? IOW, if a US amateur just wanted to
> > > operate for the sake of operating, would he/she still need the
> > > written permission you mentioned?
> > >
> > > 73/DX, Bruce/Wx4xxx
> >
> > > ----------
> > > Bruce / Wx4xxx /E30GA team leader/ ex HL9JV
> > > http://www.qsl.com/e3.htm
> >

> >
> > As to DXCC Desk overtime. I sent a 2 sentence question and got back the
> > following:
> >
> > To the question Is T5/N0IMD valid to DXCC and were there ever any cards
> > submitted.

For whatever reason, the reply to this portion appeared near the
beginning of the post. In context, here is where it was suppose to be

> > The answer, No due to lack of documentation and no, to the best of our
> > knowledge, none ever were

>
> Well, there you have it.
>
> I wasn't there.

It does not state that anywhere no does it.

You most probably were. You're facts match with other references

I didn't operate.

So far, the evidence is not totally in, but you'd think on mailing lists
with over 5000+ subscribers. at least one person would have come forward
to acknowledge a contact

I didn't bootleg.

Unfortunately, that seems not to be the case. The evidence, as provided
shows you did need written permission. In fact, except for the US and
it's possessions, you need some sort of written permission form, even
for countries that have reciprocal agreements including Canada. So,
verbal permission was not enough.

I didn't make the
> DXCC desk.

That's correct. With no documentation, your T5 operation could not be
used by anyone who may have worked you towards their country/entity
count.

> And all you guys are living in a fairy tale.

Doubtful. Just those that won't see the forest for the cliff

733. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 17:05:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908130513.00896.00002381@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52550

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 11:21 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <_NKe9.603$v61.29639884@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
>But not in Somalia. Even the exhalted Greatest DXer in the World, Dave
>Heil, admitted he knew nothing of gaining pemission to operate in Somalia.
>He said it repeatedly.

But he DID find out and told you why. He's explained taht repeatedly, too.

>Exactly. What country is "High Seas?" But none-the-less, why is only
>verbal permission OK from there?

Because if you are operating from any ship at sea or any aircraft in flight over international waters, you are required to get the permission of the Captain of the vessel before you operate. Not only is that codified in Part 97, it's both international maritime law and ICAO regulations.

And with the exception of persons working on the VUCC program, there's no DXCC entity available for operation from the high seas. As a matter of fact, DXCC rules specifically forbid operation from ships, even if well within territorial waters of a valid entity. You have to be on terrafirma to be "valid". Several VQ9 operatioons were denied due to the fact that the operator was on board the ship, even though it was well within the lagoon.

Otherwise a lot of those DXpeditions to those rocks and shoals we always read about would be done from a ship anchored nearby...not tents on the rocks...

That's why "verbal" permission is OK from a ship. By the way, there may be other regulations involved if you are not operating from a U.S. flagged vessel. Remember...when that ship is outside another nation's territorial waters, you are effectively standing on "his" soil. Reciprocal agreements apply in many cases.

73

Steve, K4YZ

734. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 17:10:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908131002.00896.00002385@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52601

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 10:18 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <SSJe9.574$0O.28368177@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>> Do you listen to front-end noise for hours so that you can
>> hear the voices in there? :-)
>
>I was wondering the same thing.
>
>Perhaps its the mystique of the infamous W0EX Banana Boat Swing that's so
>unintelligible.

Many radio monitors find a great bit of enjoyment tracking these signals down and trying to figure out their origin, purpose, etc.

Please refer to such publications as Popular Communications, Monitoring Times, etc.

73

Steve, K4YZ

735. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 17:15:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908131555.00896.00002386@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52602

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 10:27 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20Ke9.579$jJ.28082344@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>> We've also been down this road. I am not responsible for ensuring that
>> others operate where their governments permit them to operate. I'm only
>> responsible for operating where I am permitted to be.
>
>Part 97 says we are self-policing. Do you reject that concept?

While operating from Tanzania, Dave was not under Part 97 rules.

However, from all of his posts here, it appears as though all of his operations were completely legal. Again, there's no requirement for YOU to be responsible for teh other guy's illegal operations.

>"Permission" was required. Permission I got.

But not in writing. Ergo for DXCC purposes, your operation was not valid.

All you needed was some clerk to type up a quick letter from your OIC (or whoever gave you your "permission") to state that. That, and a copy of your orders putting you in Somalia would have made this all moot.

>Is this slow enough for you?

H e w a s n o t r e q u i r e d t o g e t t h e o t h e r g u y '
s o p e r a t i n g r u l e s b e f o r e w o r k i n g h i m.

Was that slow enough for YOU?

73

Steve, K4YZ

736. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 17:20:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908132004.00896.00002387@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52603

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 10:43 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <BeKe9.585$wU.28778654@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>What is your DXCC number again?

37136...Why do you ask?

>Gunny, I was on active duty for over 20 years. I've been out of country a
>few times other than Operation Restore Hope.

Then you truly do have my gratitude for your service. However while ON
that Active Duty, it appears you did not ahve a whole lot of experience in
operating Amateur Radio from deployed locations.

73

Steve, K4YZ

737. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 17:27:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908132722.00896.00002389@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52604

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 10:50 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <MkKe9.589$QX.28985559@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>Sorry, but military commanders can give verbals. You should have learned
>that while on active duty. NCOs can as well. But the ARRL blurb
>specifically said "Commander."

"Commander", as understood by most civilians, is the commanding OFFICER,
a person commissioned by an act of Congress. You and I both know that there
are circumstances within the Armed Forces where a senior enlisted person is the
"commander" of the unit, but only within very, VERY limited context. IE: The
Navy and the Coast Guard have senior enlisteds as "captains" of vessels. While
underway they are the same as a "full bird" on an aircraft carrier. However
the OIC of their detachment or squadron is the actual authority over the
vessels.

And in no case can those "NCO's" grant permission to do things reserved
for commissioned officers such as grant permision to operate Amateur Radio from
foreign soil.

73

Steve, K4YZ

738. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 17:34:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908133407.00896.00002390@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52605

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 11:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7DKe9.598$R21.29415690@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>> Brian...I am working 29.660mhz FM. I call CQ. I am answered by
>KZ4ZZZ,
>> whom, when I check QRZ, I find out is a Novice. He is not "signing" /AG
>or
>> /AE.
>>
>> Is my QSO with him legal?
>>
>> Steve
>
>Is it?

The purpose of the inquiry, Brian, was for you to exercise some
out-of-the-box thinking as to "how" it might be legal that I might be talking
to a Novice that I am hearing on 29.660mHz.

I know the answer.

>What are your authorizations in the above fictitious account?

My Amateur Radio license and "his".

>Is it Good Amateur Practice, now that you know he is out of band, to
>continue talking to him?

That's the point, Brian. Can I talk to a Novice that I am hearing on 10
meters? Is there any circumstance underwhich I might legally do this? Consider
the frequency I cited.

Maybe somone can send you an -off-net response and explain to you why it
IS possible for someone to be operating on a frequency that may otherwise be
"illegal" for them.

73

Steve, K4YZ

739. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 17:43:25 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020908134325.06753.00003619@mb-mv.news.cs.com>
Source record: 52606

>Bert, do you have the Patcomm yet?

Not yet, Steve. It's a 2 to 3 week backlog, so I'm looking at another week.

>I had considered this rig for a 6M/10M
>mobile rig but waffled on it since I really hadn't heard any on the air and
>didn't have anything to comapre it to.

All the reviews were extremely positive, particularly re. the receive. I'll
fill you in when I get it and play around with it though.

>As long as the AC mains are on...have you made any alternative plans for
>that? I know that even the "latest" cells can rack up a considerable weight
>penalty if you put a pack together that gives you any decent amount of
>operating time/capacity.

Gosh, I really hadn't given it much thought.

>For the most part! I still like to have 50 or more watts if I need
>"consistent" or "sustained" communications capability.

Me too. I chose QRP stuff because it's generally small, light, and easy to tote
about. There's an ARO that lives about a block and a half away from my
father-in-law with an antenna farm "to die for." If he's accomodating, you'd
better believe my dit's and dahs will have a full gallon behind them via a huge
tri-bander or a full size 40-meter dipole.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

740. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 18:09:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908140923.11404.00000587@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52607

In article <3D74B237.12392427@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> End result was the same: Anyone with sense went to the actual exam very
>> prepared.
>
> As a longtime VE I regularly saw cases where the applicant
>showed up with little or maybe even no preparation for the test, and just
>gambled on "luck" to get a test that he
>could guess his way into passing. After all, it only cost a
>few bucks and there
>wasn't much travel or inconvenience involved. Some showed up
>every test session until they did indeed pass after guessing their way through
>failure numerous times.
>Even the code tests were multiple choice and if one could
>copy any code at all
>sometimes they could figure out many of the correct answers,
>sometimes enough to
>pass.
>
In addition to all that, a prospective ham can take the same test elements over
and over at the same VE session - limited only by the time alotted by the VE
team and the number of fees the prospective ham is willing to shell out. And a
license can be earned by collecting CSCEs one-element-at-a-time.

In the bad old days a failure meant that you couldn't retest for at least 30
days. Lack of CSCEs meant that some upgrades (like the Extra) required passin
the code and theory at the same test session. Both acted as strong incentives
to be well prepared for the tests.

> Made the job of the VEs more difficult because you had to
>track which tests the
>applicant had already taken and failed, since the rules
>stipulated we not give him the same test twice. We had
>to keep a file on each applicant who failed a test for that
>reason.

Yup.

> Maybe none of this is a problem but it sure doesn't do
>much to enhance ones view
>of testing. And I know there have been some improvements
>since that time.

Biggest improvement code-wise was the end of multiple-choice code tests.

73 de Jim, N2EY

741. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 18:54:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908145410.22428.00001729@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52608

In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>And all you guys are living in a fairy tale.

It's more like a fabrication than a fairy tale.

The "references" in this little sub-thread seem to be all from one source who was not actively involved in a decade-old activity. That's high-school-level playing at journalism in attempting to feature "official sources" without giving names or direct identification. When confronted, they will righteously shout with anger "I know this person!" as if that is some kind of credibility. Funny to virtually see their outrage (after being caught in the act).

US males continue a tradition of fish stories and shared locker room bragging. US amateur radio is a hobby carried on largely by white males. The two seem to go hand-in-hand. :-)

742. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 18:54:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908145411.22428.00001730@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52609

In article <d22b54c3.0209080832.1c49de04@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:<r%pe9.185$lI2.11025695@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
>> > As The Putz well knows I've never been a member of the U.S. armed
>> > forces Steve.
>>
>> Why not?
>>
>Medical.
>>
Physiological or psychological? :-)

>> > JA to W6 with a rhombic and kilowatts is "long distance" hero radio??
>> > W1/W2/W3 to VK with 5-10 watts and a wire dangling from a tree is an
>> > SOP ho-hum.
>>
>> After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you even
>> try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
>> what you know into the arena of what you don't know?
>>
>What makes you think I don't know anything about DoD radio ops?

There are several clues openly presented. You speak in
vague generalities, stating claims, presenting no details.

>I said I was not a member of the U.S. armed forces. I did not say I
>don't have any direct experience with the U.S. military. I have years
>of it in fact in adddition to decades in HF ham radio with most of
>those in international comms.

Name some of the "years of direct experience with the U.S.
military," units and dates or time period.

>Radio is radio military and otherwise
>and so are physics and antennas, there is absolutely nothing sacred
>about DoD radio.

There is nothing sacred about amateur radio insofar as physics
of it...yet the practitioners consider it a "different" thing that all
other radio. :-)

>I've done W3 to VK with a wire dangling from a tree.
>The Putz has not and doesn't have either the first clue about how to
>do that or the authorization to do any such thing.

Authorization and regulations concerning radio emitter operation
in the United States is found from links on the FCC website at
their Office of Engineering and Technology. All parts are available
for free download, indirect from the USGPO site. Hardcopy
versions are available direct from USGPO by mail or at a dozen
plus urban branch offices, all at low cost, shipping and postage
free (if standard USPS surface mail).

Kelly is incorrect insofar as another "operating from W3 [land]"
which I have done in Pennsylvania, though from a blade antenna
on an aircraft and comm wagon, not a "wire dangling from a tree."
Not on amateur radio frequencies but rather in the 225-400 MHz
and 1600 MHz authorized bands for DoD.

>Which outgoing QSL bureau lost yer pile of T5 cards Brainiac, the T5
>bureau?

There is no "lunar authority" to give me any "QSLs" from the
moon. Too bad for postcard collectors and BDOFs. Was a
fun thing one time, took only 3 seconds for "confirmation." :-)

Ever work a station on the moon, ultralight? :-)

743. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 20:30:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908163015.08403.00000066@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52610

>Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 11:25 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <HRKe9.605$f71.29688896@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>

>Honestly, Steve, one day when you're well rested and in a reflective
mood...(SNIP)

Replied via e mail.

73

Steve, K4YZ

744. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 20:40:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908164038.08403.00000067@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52611

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/8/02 1:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020908145411.22428.00001730@mb-ch.aol.com>

>Ever work a station on the moon, ultralight?

Why? You haven't.

You may have heard echoes from an Earth based station or you may have commanded a lunar based beacon to respond to a command, however the only thing different between that and what Ham's do EVERY DAY is the distance involved.

Since this is a forum on AMATEUR RADIO, the term "worked' means to have had an exchange of data relative to the operators. This includes callsigns, signal strength and locations, etc.

I doubt your robot provided any of that information.

Again, we are discussing an AMATEUR RADIO format...not some non-germane government project.

>There is no "lunar authority" to give me any "QSLs" from the
> moon. Too bad for postcard collectors and BDOFs. Was a
> fun thing one time, took only 3 seconds for "confirmation."

How many QSL cards have you collected for "working" orbital facilities, Lennie? I have two. Voice. Real operators on both ends. Heck of a lot of more fun than pinging some RF off of an inanimate object, no matter HOW far away it was.

>Kelly is incorrect insofar as another "operating from W3 [land]"
> which I have done in Pennsylvania, though from a blade antenna
> on an aircraft and comm wagon, not a "wire dangling from a tree."

I had some interesting conversations with some NATC folks about you and your days in Johnsville, Lennie. Very enlightening.

Steve

745. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 20:45:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908164503.08403.00000068@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52612

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/8/02 1:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020908145410.22428.00001729@mb-ch.aol.com>

>US males continue a tradition of fish stories and shared
> locker room bragging. US amateur radio is a hobby carried
> on largely by white males. The two seem to go hand-in-hand.

Lennie, other than spinning obviously antagonistic rants about gender and race, topics NOT discussed by anyone else herein, do you have anything CONSTRUCTIVE to discuss about AMATEUR RADIO...???

746. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 20:50:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BB81E.B68A7DB6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52613

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/7/02 9:52 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <NXye9.277$In5.109@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

> >You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are no cards at
> >the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted the aid of
> >the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.
>
> Doesn't take ANY figuring out why there are no cards with your call at the
> DXCC, desk, Brian. They are useless, that's why...Guys and gals who know how
> to determine if any given QSL card is "worth" credit know your operation was
> not valid.

Don't be too hasty, Steve. The reason behind no one submitting a
T5/N0IMD QSL to the DXCC desk could very well be that Brian never had
any QSOs at all from Somalia. Another reason could be that he made QSOs
but never confirmed any contacts.

Dave K8MN

747. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 21:00:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BBA65.ABF55764@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52614

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
> >Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on this topic.
> >His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is yours.
>
> What predicament? Brian Burke doesn't have a predicament.

While it may not appear to you that Brian has a predicament, it seems
that way to a number of us. Brian's high morals and amateur radio
ethics are caught between Iraq and a hard place.

> I don't have any predicament. I hardly ever use predicates. :-)

Your predicament is different, Leonardo--trying to gain respect for your
views in an amateur radio newsgroup as a non-participant in amateur
radio. You are clearly the Rodney Dangerfield of r.r.a.p.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Judge Heil is still hot and bothered over that
> French ham thing months ago? Tsk, tsk, tsk. And you can't
> get someone to lie down and accept defeat? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

I'm not hot and bothered but the payback is sweeeeeeet--especially in
light of Brian's high amateur radio ethics and morals comments. His
lying down and accepting defeat isn't nearly as much fun as watching him
take a pummeling. You should know a great deal about taking pummelings.

> That radio get to W1AW yet?

I offered no radio to W1AW. I offered one to you in order to satisfy
your "interest in radio".

Dave K8MN

748. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 21:08:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BBC4C.AAAA55A6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52615

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D7ABF22.2E9165BF@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> >They still send code practice?
> >>
> >> It's an east coast thing. Signals make it to the midwest only if
> >> HF propagation is favorable.
> >
> >You have to own a receiver capable of tuning to one or more of the many
> >frequencies used and it needs to have some sort of antenna attached.
> >Said receiver should then be turned on and tuned to one of the practice
> >frequencies.
>
> Said radio and antenna need to be out of these hills. Hello?
> Have you heard of skywave only? NVIS doesn't do squat on
> a path CT to CA. Last I looked at their skeds, W1AW
> doesn't like to honor Pacific time zone listeners much.

So you believe there to be no band where W1AW's sigs are clearly audible
out your direction? You're beyond belief.

> >You should try it sometime. It is plainly and clearly audible in
> >northern Europe along with West, Southern and East Africa.
>
> Whoopee. They do that to increase ARRL membership?
>
> The "A" in ARRL is supposed to be "American." Did you
> know that there is actually most of the USA to the west of
> eastern standard time zone? True. The center of US
> population moved west of the Mississippi long ago.

W1AW mostly uses directional antennas with gain. If they are plainly
audible in Africa off the backs of their antennas, the signal must be
incredible out west--except of course for your particular house.

> You think that W1AW signals are more important going to
> northern Europe, or West, Southern, and East Africa than
> to most of the USA?

Is that what you think I wrote, Leonardo?

> >Write about what you know of amateur radio.
>
> No problem for the group in here...just Cut and Paste from

> the newsgroup for any standard soap opera plot. The Judge
> wants to send everyone to prison if they don't like him.
> Ultralight is too high without a canula. Dickiebird is tweeting
> like he was at Holabird. The one who hangs around iceholes
> is waiting for winter. Putzmobile driver continues to rave and
> rage, adding charges of incest to fantastic freudian fantasy.
> Paratransnit specialist is imitating Richard Widmark searching
> for a wheelchair. Jolly group of brothers in harms.

You left out two central characters. Leonard Anderson as the "Poor Soul" casting pitiful glances through the restaurant window at those inside who are laughing, talking and enjoying their meals and Brian "Gameshow" Burke trying desperately to buy a clue.

Dave K8MN

749. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 21:21:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BBF6B.8F2279E2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52616

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7AB954.5B995F4F@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7983DB.B7ACBDE3@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D793065.20439B5C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > Why would you? A ham of conscience would then have to stop working
> the
> > > > > > > > Frenchmen out of band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You tried this before and it doesn't wash. I operated where I was
> > > > > > permitted. I have documentation that I was permitted to operate from
> > > > > > Tanzania and that I was permitted to be on the portion of six meters
> > > > > > which I used. You, on the other hand, have no documentation
> permitting
> > > > > > you to operate from Somalia at all.
> > > > >
> > > > > Maybe it was a malaria induced hallucination.
> > >
> > > Maybe what was?
> >
> > Are you confused again?
```

Brian, your manner of "writing" would confuse anyone.

> > > > A French ham of conscience wouldn't call someone out of band.
> > >
> > > And a Tanzanian Licensee of conscience wouldn't reply.
> >
> > We've also been down this road. I am not responsible for ensuring that
> > others operate where their governments permit them to operate. I'm only
> > responsible for operating where I am permitted to be.
>
> Part 97 says we are self-policing. Do you reject that concept?

We've done this before too. Hams outside the United States are not
bound by Part 97 of the FCC rules. Do you have any problem with that
concept?

> > That isn't the same as operating an amateur stations with no permit from
> foreign soil.
>
> A permit wasn't required. Go back and reread what the ARRL has to say. Go
> back and reread what the other operators had to say about their operations.

Are you stupid enough to believe that a couple of generic sentences is
the sum total of information on operating from Somalia?

> "Permission" was required. Permission I got.

Prove it. That'd do away with any question of your integrity and your
high amateur radio ethics.

> > > > > > > Why not look it
> > > > > > > > up? You have all the tables for various countries.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't work Frenchmen on 6M, in band or out of band, thus I
> have no
> > > > > need
> > > > > > > > for that information.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm sorry for your handicap.
> > > > >
> > > > > Don't let Mr Dann find you making fun of people with special needs.
> > > >
> > > > You may be onto something. I'm beginning to think of you as a person
> > > > with "special needs".
> >
> > > You said I didn't have legs to stand on.
> >
> > I wasn't writing of your legs. I was thinking of passing the hat to send
> > you to Oz for that brain.
>
> Then don't say, "legs." If you were thinking of some other appendage, you
> should have said so.

"You don't have a brain to stand on" doesn't have quite the same ring to
it.

> > > > > > Are you now restricting your lookups of
> > > > > > those you check before working to French ops on 6m?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Huh? Talking Succinctly 101?
> > > > >
> > > > > What didn't you understand? Do you only check the authorization of
> > > > > French ops on six meters?
> > > >
> > > > I'm not understanding, "Are you now restricting your lookups of those
> you
> > > > check before working to French ops on 6m?"
> > >
> > > It isn't difficult. Perhaps we can have someone explain it slooooooowly
> > > so you'll comprehend. I explained it again in different terms. Perhaps
> > > I can use smaller words.
> >
> > OK Dave, let's break it down.
> >
> > "restricting your lookups to those you check"

That isn't what I wrote. Read it again.

> What is the difference between lookups and checking? Isn't this redundant?

What is the difference between "of" and "to"?

> "before working to French ops on 6m?"
>
> Does the word "to" have any purpose in your statement other than making it
> even more muddy?
>
> Is this slow enough for you?

Dealing with you is painfully slow. I rephrased it and you still don't
get it.

Are you now

restricting your lookups of

those you check before working

to French ops on 6m?

I followed that with, "Do you only check the authorization of French ops
on six meters?"

Good luck on the comprehension portion of the test.

Dave K8MN

750. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 21:27:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908172717.08441.00000158@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52617

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/8/02 3:50 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D7BB81E.B68A7DB6@earthlink.net>

>Don't be too hasty, Steve. The reason behind no one submitting a
>T5/N0IMD QSL to the DXCC desk could very well be that Brian never had
>any QSOs at all from Somalia. Another reason could be that he made QSOs
>but never confirmed any contacts.

Good points, Dave.

I stand corrected.

73

Steve, K4YZ

751. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 21:33:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BC256.574DBA96@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52618

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7AC1AF.AA6BE05F@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7AB7C4.3A98EB34@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > I was saying that you have no experience operating from a country with
> no
> > > government. You're only experience is in places where there is an
> office
> > > and a clerk. Next time don't be so timid. Go for something really
> rare.
> > > Of course, there might be an element of risk involved.
> >
> > I've been in places where there is risk and where I've had no weapon.
> > Are you supposed to be at the same time both brave and stupid? Everyone
> > but you seems to have figured out that "permission" means "in writing".
>
> Permission is permission.

You're stuck with that as a defense but you have no way of proving that
you had any permission to operate at all.

> > > You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are no cards
> at
> > > the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted the aid
> of
> > > the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.
> >
> > The information I stated above doesn't seem to corroborate your tale
> > that we've "come up empty". It would instead indicate that either
> > you've operated without proper permission or you've fed us a BS story
> > and never operated at all from Somalia. The DXCC desk does not write
```

> > "VOID" across QSL cards.
>
> What would they do?

They'd return the card to the sender explaining that it was not
acceptable for DXCC credit. They'd likely explain why the QSL was NG.

> > > Now what?
> >
> > Pretty much up to you. Are you up for another serving of crow?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> What crow?

Just a bit more from that massive portion sitting in front of you. It
is seasonsed with high amateur radio ethics and morals.

> Dave, you need to realize that I was given permission. I know
> because I was there.

You could use the same argument in claiming you'd been up to the mother
ship for a visit. You need to realize that you have no means of proving
your claim and that others felt it necessary to obtain permission in
writing. You were the only ham in Somalia who seemed to think that
permission in writing was not necessary.

> You can go back to working Frenchmen out of band at any time.

I've never been out of band when working Frenchmen or anyone else.

> Part 97 gives you that right - just as long as you're in band.

Tanzania and other areas outside the U.S. do not operate under Part 97
of the FCC regs. Even if I'm in the U.S, operating under Part 97, I'm
under no obligation whatever to make certain that a DX station is
operating where his country tells him he can operate. I need only make
certain that I am where the FCC permits me to be.
>
> Don't worry about being self-policing or using Good Amateur Practice.

Policing my operation is exactly what I do.

> Just be who you are.

You've already taken issue with that.

Dave K8MN

752. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 21:36:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BC306.F85E1E2E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52619

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7AC258.4295827B@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7AB9F1.9CCA7740@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D79845B.7FDA241E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF through
> > > SHF.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL you
> did.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you agreeing
> or
> > > > > > > > disagreeing with?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the ham
> > > bands.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How do you know?
> > > > >
> > > > > You admitted it yourself. Why--weren't you telling the truth?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Was I?
> >
> > Choose an answer you like, Mr. Ethics. You can be a liar or you can be
> > stupid.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
```

> Dave, I'm truthful.

That leave only "stupid" as an option.

Dave K8MN

753. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 21:52:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BC6B0.72D5A174@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52620

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7AC56F.6DE5B027@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7ABBFF.6E87EC6A@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7985E2.939A3862@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply for
> DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > > > > Isn't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > that a double standard?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > No, that's just a standard. One could assume that at least one of
> the
> > > > > > > > > > > > stations contacted by you from Somalia would need the country as a
> new
> > > > > > > > > > > > one or as a band-country toward his DXCC. That no one submitted
> any
> > > > of
> > > > > > > > > > > > your Somali cards is quite peculiar.
> > > > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > Puti it on Ripley's.
> > > >
> > > > I may puti it as soon as I find out what it means. I notice you have
> no
> > > > more "double standards" comments.
> > >
> > > Ripley's "Believe it or not."
> >
> > "Ripley's" isn't the term I wasn't familiar with. I'm working on "puti"
>
> A simple typo. Couln't you figured that one out on your own?

I've learned that it is important to leave nothing to chance in dealing
with you. Just the other night, you made a deal out of a typo I made.

> Or are you deliberately trying to be obtuse because you've no other argument
> left?

There are enough holes in your story to make your typo insignificant.
You are, after all, the one who responded to a serious comment with some
jive about "Ripley" rather than offering an explanation.

> > > I forgot the double standard remarks. They still apply to you.
> >
> > So you are incapable of differentiating between a standard and a double
> > standard.
>
> Explain.

The explanation was in my response above. What you used wasn't an
example of a "double standard". It was only an example of a "standard".

> > > > > > > > > > I was never out of band.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > But you knew they were out of band.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Did I? You don't know that.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You only said that you continued to operate. I have no written
> proof
> > > > that
> > > > > > > > you actually did so.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I actually continued to operate. I operated until a couple of weeks
> > > > > > before my departure from country. Why should I cease six meter
> > > > > > operation?
> > > > >
> > > > ...and work Frenchmen out of band.

> >
> > I was never out of band when working anyone.
>
> That's why I say "...Frenchmen out of band." Surely you can understand
> that.

That's why I reponded as I did. Did you mean "French stations who were
operating out of band"?

> > > > Did you get your permission in writing from that Naval Commander? If
> > > > not, all bets are off as far as your particular permission. You keep
> > > > trying to equate the successful operation by others with your Somali
> > > > operation.
> > >
> > > Mine was successful. It was the second one, as far as I know.
> >
> > Only in your mind--oops--yours and Len's.
>
> Mine's enough.

You know, that's precisely the issue. Having permission in your mind
just doesn't cut it.

> > > > > The ARRL web site gave the particulars of obtaining permission from
> > > one's
> > > > > commander.
> > > >
> > > > No, it most certainly did not. It supplied a couple of vague
> > > > sentences. Apparently you were the only individual who couldn't
> figure
> > > > out that "permission" meant "in writing".
> > >
> > > What of it. Pernmission is permission.
> >
> > No, Brian, no matter how much you'd like for that to be true, it is not
> > the case.
>
> Citation, please?

No citation necessary. There aren't any such places where verbal
permission is accepted as proof. Can you come up with one country where
that is true?

> > What permission? You can't produce any permission to operate from
> > Somalia.

> I'm no longer there. I had permission while I was there.

So you say. Prove it.

Dave K8MN

754. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 21:58:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BC82F.507F588F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52621

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7AC3EF.F0118E4A@earthlink.net...
> >
> > Ed disappeared because he tired of your wierd posts. Kelly and numerous
> > others received material confirming the necessity of written permission
> > for operation from Somalia during the period in which you claimed you
> > operated. The ARRL information consists of a couple of sentences of
> > vague material and you act as if it constitutes a regulation of some
> > sort. Now you seem to have been caught in a snare which you built.
> >
> > I don't believe that any of this can be construed as "freaking out". It
> > has taken little time or effort and I've found it most entertaining.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> A monumental effort to what end?

How do you read "little time or effort" and understand it as "monumental
effort"? The obvious end is the end of your high amateur radio ethics
and morals tales.

Dave K8MN

755. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 22:11:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 52622

In article <3D7BBA65.ABF55764@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
>> >Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on this
topic.
>> >His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is yours.
>>
>> What predicament? Brian Burke doesn't have a predicament.
>
>While it may not appear to you that Brian has a predicament, it seems
>that way to a number of us. Brian's high morals and amateur radio
>ethics are caught between Iraq and a hard place.

:-) Tsk, tsk, tsk. Brian has no "predicament." He was there.

Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
well with others.

Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?

>> I don't have any predicament. I hardly ever use predicates. :-)
>
>Your predicament is different, Leonardo--trying to gain respect for your
>views in an amateur radio newsgroup as a non-participant in amateur
>radio. You are clearly the Rodney Dangerfield of r.r.a.p.

Poor baby. You don't realize what you are writing.

These are all just words on a screen. Individuals giving opinions
or information or, in your case especially, hitting on those you
don't personally like. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

You don't like me, haven't liked me since the day I expressed an
opinion that [OOK] CW isn't a good practice on an RTTY circuit.
Poor baby. Also a rather poor country (Guinea-Bisseau) where
you were the only amateur radio operator. I am absolutely sure
and will agree that you were the very best radio amateur in all
of Guinea-Bisseau when you were there. :-)

Judge has a problem in talking with groups. He has to let
personal emotions take over from objective discussions on
subjects not originally about individuals or their egos.
Witness the following -

>I'm not hot and bothered but the payback is sweeeeeeet--especially in
>light of Brian's high amateur radio ethics and morals comments.

Why to you take on the mantel of Supreme Arbiter on subjects
where you weren't, could not, be a participant? No one
authorized the Judge to his bench.

Why do you take such delight in making fun of others?

>His
>lying down and accepting defeat isn't nearly as much fun as watching him
>take a pummeling.

Brian Burke doesn't seem to be "taking a pummeling." The only
reason this particular sub-thread is going on ad nauseum is that
Judge Heil refuses to stop. Heil wasn't there, isn't an authority
on Somalia then or now. All Judge Heil seems to be doing is
trying to get the Last Word by hitting on another.

Judge Heil has now expressed his "delight" in hitting on another.
Continually hitting on another. That is perverse, antisocial
behavior anywhere.

If this keeps up, the newsgroup title ought to be changed to
"rec.radio.amateur.sociopathy."

>You should know a great deal about taking pummelings.

What makes you think all this is physical? This newsgroup
activity is all mental. The only "pummeling" that happens is
in the mind of the reader...especially readers with oversensitive
egos who cannot ever be told they are incorrect.

>> That radio get to W1AW yet?
>
>I offered no radio to W1AW.

You should do as I suggested. W1AW likes to get free
equipment. Everytime they do, there is a nice little story on
ARRL website. Maybe it's for the new ARRL membership
drive in Africa?

>I offered one to you in order to satisfy your "interest in radio".

Of course you did. :-)

Was it an old Philmore crystal set kit that you couldn't
assemble correctly? :-)

Oh my, doesn't that just touch the heart? An offering of a
RADIO to "someone with an interest in radio!" Call the

networks, the news services! Get K6DUE for a live,
on-air reporting over CNN!

<voice-over of The President on stock video of waving flag>

"This year's Humanitarian Award should be immediately
offered to Judge Heil for that superlative, heartfelt gesture.">

<remote of Reverend Billy Graham wiping eyes>

"I am beyond words in gratitude. A "superior" coming down
from Mount Olympus to "offer" something to the commoners!"

<cut to stock shot of poorly-clad peasants dancing around
a strange object in a small village in the west>

Yassah, mastah, thankee thankee!

<cue Roy for sign-off, tell him NOT to say "NBC" again>

<fade with stock shot super of waving ARRL flag>

756. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 22:15:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BCC2E.75FC159E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52623

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >And all you guys are living in a fairy tale.
>
> It's more like a fabrication than a fairy tale.

Yep, and without any proof of permission to operate from Somalia, the fabrication would appear to be Burke's. The only part I haven't really figured out is what you have to do with any of this.

> The "references" in this little sub-thread seem to be all from
> one source who was not actively involved in a decade-old
> activity. That's high-school-level playing at journalism in
> attempting to feature "official sources" without giving names
> or direct identification. When confronted, they will righteously
> shout with anger "I know this person!" as if that is some kind
> of credibility. Funny to virtually see their outrage (after being
> caught in the act).

There are actually several sources--a QSL manager, an actual operator from the period in question whose cards are valid for DXCC credit and finally, the DXCC desk.

> US males continue a tradition of fish stories and shared
> locker room bragging. US amateur radio is a hobby carried
> on largely by white males. The two seem to go hand-in-hand.
> :-)

Big grin discounted, how did you manage to go from "U.S. males" to "white males"? How many fish and locker rooms are involved in amateur radio? I'd think it as peculiar to find a non-athlete in his seventh decade hanging about the locker room as to find a non-ham in his seventh decade hanging about an amateur radio newsgroup inserting his comments into a debate over 1) amateur radio operation and 2) DXCC accreditation.

Dave K8MN

757. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 22:21:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BCD6B.423DAFFB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52624

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <d22b54c3.0209080832.1c49de04@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> >news:<r%pe9.185$lI2.11025695@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> >> > As The Putz well knows I've never been a member of the U.S. armed
> >> > forces Steve.
> >>
> >> Why not?
> >>
> >Medical.
> >>
> Physiological or psychological? :-)
>
> >> > JA to W6 with a rhombic and kilowatts is "long distance" hero radio??
> >> > W1/W2/W3 to VK with 5-10 watts and a wire dangling from a tree is an
> >> > SOP ho-hum.
> >>
> >> After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you even
> >> try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
> >> what you know into the arena of what you don't know?
> >>
> >What makes you think I don't know anything about DoD radio ops?
>
> There are several clues openly presented. You speak in
> vague generalities, stating claims, presenting no details.
>
> >I said I was not a member of the U.S. armed forces. I did not say I
> >don't have any direct experience with the U.S. military. I have years
> >of it in fact in adddition to decades in HF ham radio with most of
> >those in international comms.
>
> Name some of the "years of direct experience with the U.S.
> military," units and dates or time period.
>
> >Radio is radio military and otherwise
> >and so are physics and antennas, there is absolutely nothing sacred
> >about DoD radio.
>
> There is nothing sacred about amateur radio insofar as physics
> of it...yet the practitioners consider it a "different" thing that all
> other radio. :-)

>
> >I've done W3 to VK with a wire dangling from a tree.
> >The Putz has not and doesn't have either the first clue about how to
> >do that or the authorization to do any such thing.
>
> Authorization and regulations concerning radio emitter operation
> in the United States is found from links on the FCC website at
> their Office of Engineering and Technology. All parts are available
> for free download, indirect from the USGPO site. Hardcopy
> versions are available direct from USGPO by mail or at a dozen
> plus urban branch offices, all at low cost, shipping and postage
> free (if standard USPS surface mail).
>
> Kelly is incorrect insofar as another "operating from W3 [land]"
> which I have done in Pennsylvania, though from a blade antenna
> on an aircraft and comm wagon, not a "wire dangling from a tree."
> Not on amateur radio frequencies but rather in the 225-400 MHz
> and 1600 MHz authorized bands for DoD.
>
> >Which outgoing QSL bureau lost yer pile of T5 cards Brainiac, the T5
> >bureau?
>
> There is no "lunar authority" to give me any "QSLs" from the
> moon. Too bad for postcard collectors and BDOFs. Was a
> fun thing one time, took only 3 seconds for "confirmation." :-)

You didn't work the moon, Mr. Wizard.

> Ever work a station on the moon, ultralight? :-)

I have worked stations on other continents on 144 MHz using the moon as
a passive reflector. QSL cards were exchanged.

Dave K8MN

758. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 22:29:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7BCF19.F7E60979@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52625

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 3:50 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D7BB81E.B68A7DB6@earthlink.net>
>
> >Don't be too hasty, Steve. The reason behind no one submitting a
> >T5/N0IMD QSL to the DXCC desk could very well be that Brian never had
> >any QSOs at all from Somalia. Another reason could be that he made QSOs
> >but never confirmed any contacts.
>
> Good points, Dave.

With Slim and The Putz it's always difficult to determine anything from
what they have to say.

759. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-08 22:40:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52626

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D7BBA65.ABF55764@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
> >> >Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on this
> topic.
> >> >His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is yours.
> >>
> >> What predicament? Brian Burke doesn't have a predicament.
> >
> >While it may not appear to you that Brian has a predicament, it seems
> >that way to a number of us. Brian's high morals and amateur radio
> >ethics are caught between Iraq and a hard place.
>
> :-) Tsk, tsk, tsk. Brian has no "predicament." He was there.

Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not in
Somalia.

> Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
> a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
> well with others.

You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?

> Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?

How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?

> >> I don't have any predicament. I hardly ever use predicates. :-)
> >
> >Your predicament is different, Leonardo--trying to gain respect for your
> >views in an amateur radio newsgroup as a non-participant in amateur
> >radio. You are clearly the Rodney Dangerfield of r.r.a.p.
>
> Poor baby. You don't realize what you are writing.
>

> These are all just words on a screen. Individuals giving opinions
> or information or, in your case especially, hitting on those you
> don't personally like. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Tisket, tasket. No ticket in your basket. Is this one of those, "do as
I say, not as I do" things?

> You don't like me, haven't liked me since the day I expressed an
> opinion that [OOK] CW isn't a good practice on an RTTY circuit.

You see just how wrong you can be, Len? I haven't liked you since the
first day I ever read one of your long-winded diatribes on this
newsgroup. My encounter with you happened some time that.

> Poor baby. Also a rather poor country (Guinea-Bisseau) where
> you were the only amateur radio operator. I am absolutely sure
> and will agree that you were the very best radio amateur in all
> of Guinea-Bisseau when you were there. :-)

Is this another of those "Do as I say, not as I do" things, Len?

> Judge has a problem in talking with groups.

Are you a group?

> He has to let
> personal emotions take over from objective discussions on
> subjects not originally about individuals or their egos.
> Witness the following -
>
> >I'm not hot and bothered but the payback is sweeeeeeet--especially in
> >light of Brian's high amateur radio ethics and morals comments.
>
> Why to you take on the mantel of Supreme Arbiter on subjects
> where you weren't, could not, be a participant? No one
> authorized the Judge to his bench.
>
Is this another of those "Do as I say, not as I do" things, Len? You
aren't aren't a ham, yet you have plenty to say about how amateur radio
should be. You aren't an ARRL member but you have oodles of negative
things to say about the League. Brian took it upon himself to take me
to task over something in which he was not involved. You made no such
statements to Brian when he initially brought it up nor during any
subsequent occasion during which he felt called upon to call my
character into question. That fact is quite interesting. When Brian's
high amateur ethics and morals bite him in the ass, you decide that you
are somehow involved.

> Why do you take such delight in making fun of others?

Why did you not ask this of Brian some time ago? Why have you never
asked it of yourself?

> >His
> >lying down and accepting defeat isn't nearly as much fun as watching him
> >take a pummeling.
>
> Brian Burke doesn't seem to be "taking a pummeling." The only
> reason this particular sub-thread is going on ad nauseum is that
> Judge Heil refuses to stop. Heil wasn't there, isn't an authority
> on Somalia then or now. All Judge Heil seems to be doing is
> trying to get the Last Word by hitting on another.

Only to you does Brian not seem to be taking a pummeling. One reason
this thread is going on ad suo nauseum is that Brian persists.
Anderson, it should be pointed out, was not there, isn't an authority on
Somalia, isn't a DXCC holder and isn't even a ham. Tell us again why
you are involved.

> Judge Heil has now expressed his "delight" in hitting on another.
> Continually hitting on another. That is perverse, antisocial
> behavior anywhere.

This invoked room-shaking laughter upon considering the source.

> If this keeps up, the newsgroup title ought to be changed to
> "rec.radio.amateur.sociopathy."

...at least we'd stop asking ourselves why Len Anderson is haunting it.

> >You should know a great deal about taking pummelings.
>
> What makes you think all this is physical? This newsgroup
> activity is all mental. The only "pummeling" that happens is
> in the mind of the reader...especially readers with oversensitive
> egos who cannot ever be told they are incorrect.

Izzat what you read into "taking a pummeling"? Did you believe that to
be a description of a physical action? What a loon!

> >> That radio get to W1AW yet?
> >
> >I offered no radio to W1AW.
>
> You should do as I suggested. W1AW likes to get free
> equipment. Everytime they do, there is a nice little story on
> ARRL website. Maybe it's for the new ARRL membership
> drive in Africa?

You doing mind control now? Why should I do as you suggested?

> >I offered one to you in order to satisfy your "interest in radio".
>
> Of course you did. :-)

It's on record.

> Was it an old Philmore crystal set kit that you couldn't
> assemble correctly? :-)

Now you're going to get picky over what kind of free radio I offered
you?

> Oh my, doesn't that just touch the heart? An offering of a
> RADIO to "someone with an interest in radio!" Call the
> networks, the news services! Get K6DUE for a live,
> on-air reporting over CNN!
>
> <voice-over of The President on stock video of waving flag>
>
> "This year's Humanitarian Award should be immediately
> offered to Judge Heil for that superlative, heartfelt gesture.">
>
> <remote of Reverend Billy Graham wiping eyes>
>
> "I am beyond words in gratitude. A "superior" coming down
> from Mount Olympus to "offer" something to the commoners!"
>
> <cut to stock shot of poorly-clad peasants dancing around
> a strange object in a small village in the west>
>
> Yassah, mastah, thankee thankee!
>
> <cue Roy for sign-off, tell him NOT to say "NBC" again>
>
> <fade with stock shot super of waving ARRL flag>

You've really become a Californian, haven't you?

Dave K8MN

760. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 00:38:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <O3Se9.850$3U3.40681637@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52627

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908131002.00896.00002385@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 10:18 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <SSJe9.574$0O.28368177@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >> Do you listen to front-end noise for hours so that you can
> >> hear the voices in there? :-)
> >
> >I was wondering the same thing.
> >
> >Perhaps its the mystique of the infamous W0EX Banana Boat Swing that's so
> >unintelligible.
>
> Many radio monitors find a great bit of enjoyment tracking these
signals
> down and trying to figure out their origin, purpose, etc.
>
> Please refer to such publications as Popular Communications,
Monitoring
> Times, etc.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, can you actually copy any of it?

761. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 00:44:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Z9Se9.852$1W3.40799342@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52628

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908131555.00896.00002386@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 10:27 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20Ke9.579$jJ.28082344@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >> We've also been down this road. I am not responsible for ensuring that
> >> others operate where their governments permit them to operate. I'm
only
> >> responsible for operating where I am permitted to be.
> >
> >Part 97 says we are self-policing. Do you reject that concept?
>
> While operating from Tanzania, Dave was not under Part 97 rules.

When operating from Somalia, neither was I.

> However, from all of his posts here, it appears as though all of his
> operations were completely legal. Again, there's no requirement for YOU
to be
> responsible for teh other guy's illegal operations.

You should be responsible enough not to participate in illegal operations.

> >"Permission" was required. Permission I got.
>
> But not in writing. Ergo for DXCC purposes, your operation was not
valid.

Fine. BTW, they never asked for paperwork for Korea or Guam, either.

> All you needed was some clerk to type up a quick letter from your OIC
(or
> whoever gave you your "permission") to state that. That, and a copy of
your
> orders putting you in Somalia would have made this all moot.
>
> >Is this slow enough for you?
>
> H e w a s n o t r e q u i r e d t o g e t t h e o t h e r g
u y '
> s o p e r a t i n g r u l e s b e f o r e w o r k i n g h i m.

B u t h i s F r e n c h b u d d y t o l d h i m w h a t t h e o p e
r a t i n g p r i v s w e r e a n d h e w o r k e d t h e m o u t o f

b a n d a n y w a y.

> Was that slow enough for YOU?

Y o u s h o u l d a s k i f i t i s s l o w e n u f f o r D a v e.

762. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 00:50:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <JfSe9.856$oW3.40823037@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52629

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7BBF6B.8F2279E2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7AB954.5B995F4F@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7983DB.B7ACBDE3@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D793065.20439B5C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D76D726.74734D41@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D7608B1.1A5EEDAD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D741EA7.3E5C1A18@townsqr.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Why would you? A ham of conscience would then have to stop
working
> > the
> > > > > > > > > > Frenchmen out of band.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You tried this before and it doesn't wash. I operated where I was
> > > > > > > > > permitted. I have documentation that I was permitted to operate
from
> > > > > > > > > Tanzania and that I was permitted to be on the portion of six
meters
> > > > > > > > > which I used. You, on the other hand, have no documentation
> > permitting
> > > > > > > > > you to operate from Somalia at all.
> > > > >
```

> > > > Maybe it was a malaria induced hallucination.
> > >
> > > Maybe what was?
> >
> > Are you confused again?
>
> Brian, your manner of "writing" would confuse anyone.

Especially you. No one else appears to have a problem.

> > > > > A French ham of conscience wouldn't call someone out of band.
> > > > >
> > > > > And a Tanzanian Licensee of conscience wouldn't reply.
> > > >
> > > > We've also been down this road. I am not responsible for ensuring
that
> > > > others operate where their governments permit them to operate. I'm
only
> > > > responsible for operating where I am permitted to be.
> > >
> > > Part 97 says we are self-policing. Do you reject that concept?
> >
> > We've done this before too. Hams outside the United States are not
> > bound by Part 97 of the FCC rules. Do you have any problem with that
> > concept?

Is Good Amateur Practice confined only to US hams operating in the US?

> > > That isn't the same as operating an amateur stations with no permit
from
> > > foreign soil.
> > >
> > > A permit wasn't required. Go back and reread what the ARRL has to say.
Go
> > > back and reread what the other operators had to say about their
operations.
> >
> > Are you stupid enough to believe that a couple of generic sentences is
> > the sum total of information on operating from Somalia?
> >
> > > "Permission" was required. Permission I got.
> >
> > Prove it. That'd do away with any question of your integrity and your
> > high amateur radio ethics.

Are you placing me under ham arrest?

> > > > > > > > Why not look it
> > > > > > > > > up? You have all the tables for various countries.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I don't work Frenchmen on 6M, in band or out of band, thus I
> > > have no

> > > > > > need
> > > > > > > > > for that information.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'm sorry for your handicap.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Don't let Mr Dann find you making fun of people with special
needs.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You may be onto something. I'm beginning to think of you as a
person
> > > > > > with "special needs".
> > > >
> > > > You said I didn't have legs to stand on.
> > >
> > > I wasn't writing of your legs. I was thinking of passing the hat to
send
> > > you to Oz for that brain.
> >
> > Then don't say, "legs." If you were thinking of some other appendage,
you
> > should have said so.
>
> "You don't have a brain to stand on" doesn't have quite the same ring to
> it.

Yes, that does sound stupid.

> > > > > > > > Are you now restricting your lookups of
> > > > > > > > those you check before working to French ops on 6m?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Huh? Talking Succinctly 101?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What didn't you understand? Do you only check the authorization
of
> > > > > > French ops on six meters?
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm not understanding, "Are you now restricting your lookups of
those
> > you
> > > > > check before working to French ops on 6m?"
> > >
> > > It isn't difficult. Perhaps we can have someone explain it
slooooooowly
> > > so you'll comprehend. I explained it again in different terms.
Perhaps
> > > I can use smaller words.
> >
> > OK Dave, let's break it down.
> >
> > "restricting your lookups to those you check"
>

> That isn't what I wrote. Read it again.
>
> > What is the difference between lookups and checking? Isn't this
redundant?
>
> What is the difference between "of" and "to"?
>
> > "before working to French ops on 6m?"
> >
> > Does the word "to" have any purpose in your statement other than making
it
> > even more muddy?
> >
> > Is this slow enough for you?
>
> Dealing with you is painfully slow. I rephrased it and you still don't
> get it.
>
> Are you now
>
> restricting your lookups of
>
> those you check before working
>
> to French ops on 6m?
>
> I followed that with, "Do you only check the authorization of French ops
> on six meters?"
>
> Good luck on the comprehension portion of the test.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, please let me know when you figure out what you meant to say. I think
you still don't know.

763. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 00:59:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_nSe9.860$rZ3.41005160@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52630

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7BC256.574DBA96@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7AC1AF.AA6BE05F@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7AB7C4.3A98EB34@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > > > I was saying that you have no experience operating from a country
with
> > no
> > > > > government. You're only experience is in places where there is an
> > office
> > > > > and a clerk. Next time don't be so timid. Go for something really
> > rare.
> > > > > Of course, there might be an element of risk involved.
> > >
> > > I've been in places where there is risk and where I've had no weapon.
> > > Are you supposed to be at the same time both brave and stupid?
Everyone
> > but you seems to have figured out that "permission" means "in
writing".
> >
> > Permission is permission.
>
> You're stuck with that as a defense but you have no way of proving that
> you had any permission to operate at all.

I had permission while I was there. I have none now that I'm not there. My
commander was there with me. Where were you?

> > > You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are no
cards

> > at
> > > > the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted the
aid
> > of
> > > > the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.
> > >
> > > The information I stated above doesn't seem to corroborate your tale
> > > that we've "come up empty". It would instead indicate that either
> > > you've operated without proper permission or you've fed us a BS story
> > > and never operated at all from Somalia. The DXCC desk does not write
> > > "VOID" across QSL cards.
> >
> > What would they do?
>
> They'd return the card to the sender explaining that it was not
> acceptable for DXCC credit. They'd likely explain why the QSL was NG.

Wouldn't they check with me, the sender?

> > > > Now what?
> > >
> > > Pretty much up to you. Are you up for another serving of crow?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > What crow?
>
> Just a bit more from that massive portion sitting in front of you. It
> is seasonsed with high amateur radio ethics and morals.

Dave, I don't think you could even recognize good ethics.

> > Dave, you need to realize that I was given permission. I know
> > because I was there.
>
> You could use the same argument in claiming you'd been up to the mother
> ship for a visit. You need to realize that you have no means of proving
> your claim and that others felt it necessary to obtain permission in
> writing. You were the only ham in Somalia who seemed to think that
> permission in writing was not necessary.

Perhaps.

> > You can go back to working Frenchmen out of band at any time.
>
> I've never been out of band when working Frenchmen or anyone else.

But you knew they were.

> > Part 97 gives you that right - just as long as you're in band.
>
> Tanzania and other areas outside the U.S. do not operate under Part 97
> of the FCC regs.

Others in here think Somalia falls under Part 97.

Even if I'm in the U.S, operating under Part 97, I'm
> under no obligation whatever to make certain that a DX station is
> operating where his country tells him he can operate. I need only make
> certain that I am where the FCC permits me to be.

Careful with that one. Riley thinks something called "Good Amateur
Practice" is enforceable.

> > Don't worry about being self-policing or using Good Amateur Practice.
>
> Policing my operation is exactly what I do.

Not very well. Recall the French 6M ops who were calling you out of band?

> > Just be who you are.
>
> You've already taken issue with that.
>
> Dave K8MN

Only when you do something unethical.

764. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:06:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zuSe9.862$NZ3.41027696@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52631

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7BCF19.F7E60979@townsqr.com...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> > >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > >Date: 9/8/02 3:50 PM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <3D7BB81E.B68A7DB6@earthlink.net>
> >
> > >Don't be too hasty, Steve. The reason behind no one submitting a
> > >T5/N0IMD QSL to the DXCC desk could very well be that Brian never had
> > >any QSOs at all from Somalia. Another reason could be that he made
QSOs
> > >but never confirmed any contacts.
> >
> > Good points, Dave.
>
> With Slim and The Putz it's always difficult to determine anything from
> what they have to say.
>
Try reading Dave's questions on authorization verification sometime. It'll
make your head spin.

765. Only 9 months to wait for the suppress of the CW in the ITU-RR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:09:09 UTC
From: Attila <f1bjj@free.fr>
Message-ID: <200299-299-569775@foorum.com>
Source record: 52571

Just the time to do a baby ! Oh, sorry I forgot that CW-coders had no balls ...

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

766. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:14:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6CSe9.865$C04.41189676@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52632

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209080832.1c49de04@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<r%pe9.185$lI2.11025695@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > As The Putz well knows I've never been a member of the U.S. armed
> > > forces Steve.
> >
> > Why not?
> >
> >
> Medical.
> >
> > > >
> > > JA to W6 with a rhombic and kilowatts is "long distance" hero radio??
> > > W1/W2/W3 to VK with 5-10 watts and a wire dangling from a tree is an
> > > SOP ho-hum.
> >
> > After stating that you were never a member of the Armed Services, you
even
> > try to comment on DOD radio operations? Isn't that like stepping out of
> > what you know into the arena of what you don't know?
> >
> >
> What makes you think I don't know anything about DoD radio ops?

Because you want to use 4 jeeps to run one radio.

> I said I was not a member of the U.S. armed forces. I did not say I
> don't have any direct experience with the U.S. military. I have years
> of it in fact in adddition to decades in HF ham radio with most of
> those in international comms. Radio is radio military and otherwise
> and so are physics and antennas, there is absolutely nothing sacred
> about DoD radio.

So radio is radio?

You know Brian, you're on the wrong side of the argument to be saying things
like that. You're on the side that says if you don't have the rank, you
cannot comment.

> I've done W3 to VK with a wire dangling from a tree.
> The Putz has not and doesn't have either the first clue about how to
> do that or the authorization to do any such thing.
> >
> Which outgoing QSL bureau lost yer pile of T5 cards Brainiac, the T5
> bureau?

>
> w3rv

Oh, now there's a T5 Buro? I don't know if the ARRL outging buro lost them,
but I sent them.

Why?

Let me check my logs - hold on a sec.

Nope, I didn't send you a card.

767. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:18:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VFSe9.868$3Z3.40980543@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52633

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908164038.08403.00000067@mb-da.aol.com...

> I had some interesting conversations with some NATC folks about you
and
> your days in Johnsville, Lennie. Very enlightening.
>
Put it in the newsletter.

Meanwhile, Mark Morgan and Cutie Boy sure brought out the best in you.

768. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:19:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7BF70E.B1547BDA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52634

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 10:18 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <SSJe9.574$0O.28368177@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >> Do you listen to front-end noise for hours so that you can
> >> hear the voices in there? :-)
> >
> >I was wondering the same thing.
> >
> >Perhaps its the mystique of the infamous W0EX Banana Boat Swing that's so
> >unintelligible.
>
> Many radio monitors find a great bit of enjoyment tracking these signals
> down and trying to figure out their origin, purpose, etc.
>
> Please refer to such publications as Popular Communications

Steve those two turkeys are a pair of wannabees, clearly
seen by their responses.
If they actually knew anything about radio, or even ham
radio, they'd not make
such fools of themselves. You and most other actual ham ops,
as opposed to just
holding a license or not even that, as The Putz, know what
it it meant. B2's
coming and going generally don't communicate in open
understandable English.

769. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:21:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9ISe9.869$M04.41199939@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52635

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7BC306.F85E1E2E@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7AC258.4295827B@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7AB9F1.9CCA7740@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D79845B.7FDA241E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF
through
> > > > SHF.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL
you
> > did.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you
agreeing
> > or
> > > > > > > > > disagreeing with?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the
ham
> > > > bands.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > How do you know?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You admitted it yourself. Why--weren't you telling the truth?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Was I?
```

> > >
> > > Choose an answer you like, Mr. Ethics. You can be a liar or you can
be
> > > stupid.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, I'm truthful.
>
> That leave only "stupid" as an option.
>
> Dave K8MN

Trying to count on one hand again?

"Truthful" wasn't one of your options. Count again.

770. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:25:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CMSe9.871$I44.41447737@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52636

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908140923.11404.00000587@mb-ct.aol.com...
> In article <3D74B237.12392427@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
<dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> End result was the same: Anyone with sense went to the actual exam very
> >> prepared.
> >
> > As a longtime VE I regularly saw cases where the applicant
> >showed up with little or maybe even no preparation for the test, and just
> >gambled on "luck" to get a test that he
> >could guess his way into passing. After all, it only cost a
> >few bucks and there
> >wasn't much travel or inconvenience involved. Some showed up
> >every test session until they did indeed pass after guessing their way
through
> >failure numerous times.
> >Even the code tests were multiple choice and if one could
> >copy any code at all
> >sometimes they could figure out many of the correct answers,
> >sometimes enough to
> >pass.
> >
> In addition to all that, a prospective ham can take the same test elements
over
> and over at the same VE session - limited only by the time alotted by the
VE
> team and the number of fees the prospective ham is willing to shell out.
And a
> license can be earned by collecting CSCEs one-element-at-a-time.
>
> In the bad old days a failure meant that you couldn't retest for at least
30
> days. Lack of CSCEs meant that some upgrades (like the Extra) required
passin
> the code and theory at the same test session. Both acted as strong
incentives
> to be well prepared for the tests.

Look, Jim. If the ARRL VEC can substitute a 13-15WPM Farnsworth exam for
the mandated 5WPM Morse exam, they surely could reject re-examinations at

their test sessions and test only once a month or once a quarter. Problem solved, unless the idiot wants to drive from test session to test session all across the state.

> > Made the job of the VEs more difficult because you had to
> >track which tests the
> >applicant had already taken and failed, since the rules
> >stipulated we not give him the same test twice. We had
> >to keep a file on each applicant who failed a test for that
> >reason.
>
> Yup.

Computer random exams solves all.

> > Maybe none of this is a problem but it sure doesn't do
> >much to enhance ones view
> >of testing. And I know there have been some improvements
> >since that time.
>
> Biggest improvement code-wise was the end of multiple-choice code tests.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I think it was lowering the top code speed to 5WPM.

771. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:29:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2QSe9.872$H24.41324817@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52637

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7BBA65.ABF55764@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
> > >Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on this
topic.
> > >His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is
yours.
> >
> > What predicament? Brian Burke doesn't have a predicament.
>
> While it may not appear to you that Brian has a predicament, it seems
> that way to a number of us. Brian's high morals and amateur radio
> ethics are caught between Iraq and a hard place.

Do you even know where Iraq is?

> > I don't have any predicament. I hardly ever use predicates. :-)
>
> Your predicament is different, Leonardo--trying to gain respect for your
> views in an amateur radio newsgroup as a non-participant in amateur
> radio. You are clearly the Rodney Dangerfield of r.r.a.p.

Says Phyllis Diller?

> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Judge Heil is still hot and bothered over that
> > French ham thing months ago? Tsk, tsk, tsk. And you can't
> > get someone to lie down and accept defeat? Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>
> I'm not hot and bothered but the payback is sweeeeeeet--especially in
> light of Brian's high amateur radio ethics and morals comments. His
> lying down and accepting defeat isn't nearly as much fun as watching him
> take a pummeling. You should know a great deal about taking pummelings.

My morals are intact. They are mine and they're not likely to be something
that you can take away.

> > That radio get to W1AW yet?
>
> I offered no radio to W1AW. I offered one to you in order to satisfy

> your "interest in radio".
>
> Dave K8MN

Ed offered a bent cover handbook. Must be the same kind of thing with you guys.

772. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:40:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <p_Se9.874$144.41403694@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52638

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:

> Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not in
> Somalia.

Really? Steve and Dick are. I think Kelly was in there also.

> > Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
> > a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
> > well with others.
>
> You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?

So you did something wrong. Just admit it and learn from it.

I said that I wished I had written permission. That doesn't mean that I
didn't have permission, it only means that I wished I had asked for a letter
or a form. Live and learn.

> > Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> > judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
>
> How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?
> >
> >
> Is this another of those "Do as I say, not as I do" things, Len? You
> aren't aren't a ham, yet you have plenty to say about how amateur radio
> should be. You aren't an ARRL member but you have oodles of negative
> things to say about the League. Brian took it upon himself to take me
> to task over something in which he was not involved. You made no such
> statements to Brian when he initially brought it up nor during any
> subsequent occasion during which he felt called upon to call my
> character into question. That fact is quite interesting. When Brian's
> high amateur ethics and morals bite him in the ass, you decide that you
> are somehow involved.

And exactly where were you in Somalia? How were you involved?

> Only to you does Brian not seem to be taking a pummeling. One reason
> this thread is going on ad suo nauseum is that Brian persists.

Each time I will tell you that I had permission.

Brian

773. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:44:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Z1Te9.878$w94.41743672@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52639

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7BC82F.507F588F@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7AC3EF.F0118E4A@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Ed disappeared because he tired of your wierd posts. Kelly and
numerous
> > > others received material confirming the necessity of written
permission
> > > for operation from Somalia during the period in which you claimed you
> > > operated. The ARRL information consists of a couple of sentences of
> > > vague material and you act as if it constitutes a regulation of some
> > > sort. Now you seem to have been caught in a snare which you built.
> > >
> > > I don't believe that any of this can be construed as "freaking out".
It
> > > has taken little time or effort and I've found it most entertaining.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > A monumental effort to what end?
>
> How do you read "little time or effort" and understand it as "monumental
> effort"? The obvious end is the end of your high amateur radio ethics
> and morals tales.
>
> Dave K8MN

Because even KF2TI came out of the woodwork on this one. Every sourpuss
ever (except Bruce) has felt it necessary to get involved.

Secret newletters, phone calls to the desk, behind the scenes e-mail, a
regular worldwide search...

You guys are a riot.

774. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 01:47:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <95Te9.880$wa4.41808203@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52640

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908132722.00896.00002389@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 10:50 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <MkKe9.589$QX.28985559@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Sorry, but military commanders can give verbals. You should have learned
> >that while on active duty. NCOs can as well. But the ARRL blurb
> >specifically said "Commander."
>
> "Commander", as understood by most civilians, is the commanding
OFFICER,
> a person commissioned by an act of Congress. You and I both know that
there
> are circumstances within the Armed Forces where a senior enlisted person
is the
> "commander" of the unit, but only within very, VERY limited context. IE:
The
> Navy and the Coast Guard have senior enlisteds as "captains" of vessels.
While
> underway they are the same as a "full bird" on an aircraft carrier.
However
> the OIC of their detachment or squadron is the actual authority over the
> vessels.

OIC's have no command authority. They can give verbal orders, but the ARRL
blurb
specifically said "Commander."

> And in no case can those "NCO's" grant permission to do things
reserved
> for commissioned officers such as grant permision to operate Amateur Radio
from
> foreign soil.

Yes, Steve, I believe that is what I said. Thank you for the illumination.

But now that you've said it, it will be believed by all.

775. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:03:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XjTe9.886$Ah4.42238210@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52641

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:alfvl6$ha4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> bpburke@prodigy.net says...
> >
> > "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in
message
> > news:alfgcs$i3r$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> note the oft used ISP

Mindspring?

> > > How secret could it have been Brian? You asked for and received a
> > > forwarded copy of the posted e-mail, complete with the senders name
and
> > > e-mail address. (BTW, the courteous thing to do would have to to at
> > > least acknowledge receipt with a Thank You!) It verified everything
> > > posted, yet you continue to object. Curious
> >
> > Steve, thank you for the e-mail. It was exactly what you posted on the
> > newsgroup.
> >
> > I didn't see the secret "newletter" that Kelly got, however.
>
> As I had stated, BK embellished about the newsletter. He received a
> copy of the same e-mail I sent you.

Do you mean he told a lie?

> > > Yet, as a matter of record, Bruce (a well known QSL Manager) also
> > > disagrees with you.
> >
>
> snipped
> > >
> > > The first response:
> > >
> > >
> > > Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 18:03:50 +0000
> > > From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
> > > To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
> > > Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia
(1992-1993)
> > >

> > > I took care of all the paper work for N3Hxx, who operated as T5/N3H.
His
> > > call sign is now N4xxx

He signed, "Wx4xxx."

Did he not use his full stateside call, T5/N3Hxx?

> > > During this time period, Somalia did not have a government, and was
> > > under UN control. The DXCC Rules states that under this type
situation,
> > > the operator must receive written authorization from the UN, or if
> > > Military, a written authorization from his Commander.

Steve, this is good info for DXCC Rules.

Is the ARRL/DXCC the authority on what is legal/not legal?

Or are they the authority on what they accept and don't accept.

Dave was confusing thise point early on.

> > Wonder why the ARRL doesn't specifically say written? Doesn't matter,
> > because I saw the ARRL info 10 years after returning.
> >
> > Along with this
> > > authorization, some type of proof that they were in the country had to
> > > be provided. I sent the ARRL the Authorization Letter from his
> > > Commanding Officer, and a copy of the US Air Force flight manifest
with
> > > him on it, going to Somalia.
> >
> > A flight manifest would at least prove I was in Somalia. My
expeditionary
> > medal transcript would as well.
> >
> > > He was a US Army helicopter crew member, and operated from a airfield
in
> > > Mogadishu. He said he was the only active ham in the area while he was
> > > there. He is now in Afghanistan Piloting a CH47 Helicopter. He has
sent
> > > a request to the Afghan government to receive operating privilege
there,
> > > but no answer yet.
> > >
> > > I hope that helps you.
> > >
> > > 73/DX, Bruce/Wx4xxx
> > >
> > > I had a follow-up question since Bruce did specifically mention the
DXCC
> > > program, the question and subsequent response as follows:
> > >

> > >
> > > Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 20:29:38 +0000
> > > From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
> > > To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
> > > Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia (1992-1993)
> > >
> > >
> > > Yes, either from the UN, or the Military
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve-KF2TI wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Thanks Bruce. This answers a ton fo stuff. I appreciate your help.
> > > >
> > > > Would you know, even if DXCC credit were not the goal, would
writtent
> > > > permission still be required? IOW, if a US amateur just wanted to
> > > > operate for the sake of operating, would he/she still need the
> > > > written permission you mentioned?
> > > >
> > > > 73/DX, Bruce/Wx4xxx
> > >
> > > > ----------
> > > > Bruce / Wx4xxx /E30GA team leader/ ex HL9JV
> > > > http://www.qsl.com/e3.htm
> > >
> > >
> > > As to DXCC Desk overtime. I sent a 2 sentence question and got back
the
> > > following:
> > >
> > > To the question Is T5/N0IMD valid to DXCC and were there ever any
cards
> > > submitted.
>
> For whatever reason, the reply to this portion appeared near the
> beginning of the post. In context, here is where it was suppose to be
>
> > > The answer, No due to lack of documentation and no, to the best of our
> > > knowledge, none ever were
> >
> > Well, there you have it.
> >
> > I wasn't there.
>
> It does not state that anywhere no does it.

Kelly and Dick have.

> You most probably were. You're facts match with other references

Steve, really! I don't need you to tell me I was there.

> I didn't operate.
>
> So far, the evidence is not totally in, but you'd think on mailing lists
> with over 5000+ subscribers. at least one person would have come forward
> to acknowledge a contact

Like I said, you guys are freaking out.

> I didn't bootleg.
>
> Unfortunately, that seems not to be the case. The evidence, as provided
> shows you did need written permission. In fact, except for the US and
> it's possessions, you need some sort of written permission form, even
> for countries that have reciprocal agreements including Canada. So,
> verbal permission was not enough.

Some guy said? Do you have anything else? This is what the ARRL really
says:

Somalia (T5)
At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
Nations or your military commander.

There is no IARU-member society.

> I didn't make the
> > DXCC desk.
>
> That's correct. With no documentation, your T5 operation could not be
> used by anyone who may have worked you towards their country/entity
> count.

For DXCC. Would all other wards programs also reject my card?

> > And all you guys are living in a fairy tale.
>
> Doubtful. Just those that won't see the forest for the cliff

You mean "...for the Tree?" No, that doesn't even make sense. Never did.

776. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:07:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1oTe9.888$th4.42230896@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52642

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7BCC2E.75FC159E@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >And all you guys are living in a fairy tale.
> >
> > It's more like a fabrication than a fairy tale.
>
> Yep, and without any proof of permission to operate from Somalia, the
> fabrication would appear to be Burke's. The only part I haven't really
> figured out is what you have to do with any of this.

Hang on there Dave, I'll check my log books.

Nope, Len is in the same predicament as you on this one. He's not in my
Somalia log either.

> > The "references" in this little sub-thread seem to be all from
> > one source who was not actively involved in a decade-old
> > activity. That's high-school-level playing at journalism in
> > attempting to feature "official sources" without giving names
> > or direct identification. When confronted, they will righteously
> > shout with anger "I know this person!" as if that is some kind
> > of credibility. Funny to virtually see their outrage (after being
> > caught in the act).
>
> There are actually several sources--a QSL manager, an actual operator
> from the period in question whose cards are valid for DXCC credit and
> finally, the DXCC desk.

I DXCC Therefore I AM!

Oh well, I guess I don't exist.

777. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:16:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LvTe9.894$Xk4.42448057@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52643

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7BC6B0.72D5A174@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7AC56F.6DE5B027@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7ABBFF.6E87EC6A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D7985E2.939A3862@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply
for
> > DXCC?
> > > > > > Isn't
> > > > > > > that a double standard?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No, that's just a standard. One could assume that at least
one of
> > the
> > > > > > stations contacted by you from Somalia would need the country
as a
```

> > new
> > > > > > > one or as a band-country toward his DXCC. That no one
submitted
> > any
> > > > of
> > > > > > > your Somali cards is quite peculiar.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Puti it on Ripley's.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I may puti it as soon as I find out what it means. I notice you
have
> > no
> > > > > more "double standards" comments.
> > > > >
> > > > Ripley's "Believe it or not."
> > >
> > "Ripley's" isn't the term I wasn't familiar with. I'm working on
"puti"
> >
> > A simple typo. Couln't you figured that one out on your own?
>
> I've learned that it is important to leave nothing to chance in dealing
> with you. Just the other night, you made a deal out of a typo I made.

After you did the same. You'll eventually figure out how to be civil.

> > Or are you deliberately trying to be obtuse because you've no other
argument
> > left?
>
> There are enough holes in your story to make your typo insignificant.

Apparently not. You had to go the typo route.

> You are, after all, the one who responded to a serious comment with some
> jive about "Ripley" rather than offering an explanation.

It was meant to be that you can, "Believe me or not."

> > > > I forgot the double standard remarks. They still apply to you.
> > >
> > So you are incapable of differentiating between a standard and a
double
> > > standard.
> >
> > Explain.
>
> The explanation was in my response above. What you used wasn't an
> example of a "double standard". It was only an example of a "standard".

Ripley's is a standard?

> > > > > > > > > > > I was never out of band.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > But you knew they were out of band.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Did I? You don't know that.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You only said that you continued to operate. I have no written
> > proof
> > > > > that
> > > > > > > > > you actually did so.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I actually continued to operate. I operated until a couple of
weeks
> > > > > > before my departure from country. Why should I cease six meter
> > > > > > operation?
> > > > >
> > > > > ...and work Frenchmen out of band.
> > >
> > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> >
> > That's why I say "...Frenchmen out of band." Surely you can understand
> > that.
>
> That's why I reponded as I did. Did you mean "French stations who were
> operating out of band"?

Unless I said "Tanzanian stations out of band." What do you think I said?

> > > > > Did you get your permission in writing from that Naval Commander?
If
> > > > > not, all bets are off as far as your particular permission. You
keep
> > > > > trying to equate the successful operation by others with your
Somali
> > > > > operation.
> > > >
> > > > Mine was successful. It was the second one, as far as I know.
> > >
> > > Only in your mind--oops--yours and Len's.
> >
> > Mine's enough.
>
> You know, that's precisely the issue. Having permission in your mind
> just doesn't cut it.

It was fine while was there. It was in my commander's mind while he was
there. He knew. I knew.

> > > > > > The ARRL web site gave the particulars of obtaining permission
from
> > > > one's
> > > > > > commander.
> > > > >
> > > > > No, it most certainly did not. It supplied a couple of vague
> > > > > sentences. Apparently you were the only individual who couldn't
> > figure
> > > > > out that "permission" meant "in writing".
> > > > >
> > > > What of it. Pernmission is permission.
> > >
> > > No, Brian, no matter how much you'd like for that to be true, it is
not
> > > the case.
> >
> > Citation, please?
>
> No citation necessary.

You demand paper from me? I demand paper from you. Cite it or shut up.

There aren't any such places where verbal
> permission is accepted as proof. Can you come up with one country where
> that is true?

Somalia while I was there. If anyone had asked, "Hey, what's that guy doing
on the radio, my commander would have said, "He's operating in the amateur
service with my permission."

> > > What permission? You can't produce any permission to operate from
> > > Somalia.
>
> > I'm no longer there. I had permission while I was there.
>
> So you say. Prove it.

I don't have it any longer. It expired when I left.

Now make the citation.

778. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:19:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CyTe9.895$Ol4.42504424@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52644

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908133407.00896.00002390@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 11:09 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <7DKe9.598$R21.29415690@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >> Brian...I am working 29.660mhz FM. I call CQ. I am answered by
> >KZ4ZZZ,
> >> whom, when I check QRZ, I find out is a Novice. He is not "signing"
/AG
> >or
> >> /AE.
> >>
> >> Is my QSO with him legal?
> >>
> >> Steve
> >
> >Is it?
>
> The purpose of the inquiry, Brian, was for you to exercise some
> out-of-the-box thinking as to "how" it might be legal that I might be
talking
> to a Novice that I am hearing on 29.660mHz.
>
> I know the answer.
>
> >What are your authorizations in the above fictitious account?
>
> My Amateur Radio license and "his".

I'm not clear on this. Are you authorized on 29.660 FM?

> >Is it Good Amateur Practice, now that you know he is out of band, to
> >continue talking to him?
>
> That's the point, Brian. Can I talk to a Novice that I am hearing on
10
> meters? Is there any circumstance underwhich I might legally do this?
Consider
> the frequency I cited.
>
> Maybe somone can send you an -off-net response and explain to you why
it
> IS possible for someone to be operating on a frequency that may otherwise

be
> "illegal" for them.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

He has a control operator.

779. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:21:02 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <alh0j8$5jp$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52645

In article <Z1Te9.878$w94.41743672@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
bpburke@prodigy.net says...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7BC82F.507F588F@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7AC3EF.F0118E4A@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Ed disappeared because he tired of your wierd posts. Kelly and
> numerous
> > > > others received material confirming the necessity of written
> permission
> > > > for operation from Somalia during the period in which you claimed you
> > > > operated. The ARRL information consists of a couple of sentences of
> > > > vague material and you act as if it constitutes a regulation of some
> > > > sort. Now you seem to have been caught in a snare which you built.
> > > >
> > > > I don't believe that any of this can be construed as "freaking out".
> It
> > > > has taken little time or effort and I've found it most entertaining.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > A monumental effort to what end?
> >
> > How do you read "little time or effort" and understand it as "monumental
> > effort"? The obvious end is the end of your high amateur radio ethics
> > and morals tales.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Because even KF2TI came out of the woodwork on this one. Every sourpuss
> ever (except Bruce) has felt it necessary to get involved.

Actually I wanted to find out what's what and did so with a minimum of
muss, fuss or bother. Just because I didn't post everything up front
doesn't mean I wasn't involved. I knew your T5 operation wasn't good
for DXCC right from the start last month. All I did was send an e-mail.

>
> Secret newletters, phone calls to the desk, behind the scenes e-mail, a
> regular worldwide search...
>

No phone calls were necessary, Brian. 1 e-mail to the DXCC desk confirmed you never submitted anything. BTW, it's up to the operator to submit his documentation to the DXCC desk. They don't contact you.

No regular world wide searches. 1 post on a prime DX reflector and in 1-2 hours I had the responses. Quite frankly, it took very little effort to get all the information. I'm not sure about the secret newsletter though, you'll have to ask the other Brian.

Quite frankly, anyone, including you could have gotten the exact same information.

Such is life. I have no doubt you had verbal permission. But the fact remains, in order to legally operate, as you so righteously claim, you did need written permission. Chalk it up to "you got one past the authorities" and maybe everyone will leave it at that as well.

I certainly do

780. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:21:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6BTe9.896$Pm4.42561674@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52646

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908125431.00896.00002375@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 11:15 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <zIKe9.602$gG.27902989@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >> Now...WHERE is the written permission to operate from Somalai,
Brian?
> >
> >I have none, only verbal permission.
>
> Then, like I said...we (or at least I) can accept taht you THOUGHT you
were
> "legal" from Somalia. In as much as you have no documentation of your
> authority to operate in T5, it is apparent it was not valid.

I was legal. As long as I was there and my commander was there. I left and
my permission expired.

> >Instead, I'll say that a card has to be submitted to DXCC for them to
accept
> >or reject it. I don't know why none have been sent.
>
> I told you why...Those who are involved in the DXCC awards program
know
> how to find out if any particular operation was valid or not.
>
> There is no documentation of your operation. Why would they send
cards to
> Newington only to get returned with a "sorry" note attached?

Don't know.

781. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:27:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C074C.2B91C60F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52647

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7BC306.F85E1E2E@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7AC258.4295827B@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7AB9F1.9CCA7740@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D79845B.7FDA241E@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D76DB98.D8B0226A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > From Somalia, I also operated military freqs from HF
> through
> > > > > > SHF.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Whaddya mean "also"? As far as anyone knows, that's ALL
> you
> > > > did.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Ahem, Dave, you don't even know that. So what are you
> agreeing
> > > or
> > > > > > > > disagreeing with?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You certainly didn't have any written permission to be on the
> ham
> > > > > bands.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > How do you know?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > You admitted it yourself. Why--weren't you telling the truth?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
```

> > > > >
> > > > > Was I?
> > > > >
> > > > Choose an answer you like, Mr. Ethics. You can be a liar or you can
> be
> > > > stupid.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Dave, I'm truthful.
> >
> That leave only "stupid" as an option.
> >
> Dave K8MN
>
> Trying to count on one hand again?
>
> "Truthful" wasn't one of your options. Count again.

Brian, I know you're trying to do me a favor in proving my statement.
You didn't provide options. I did. If you are truthful, you are not
lying. Then the second item becomes the only remaining option. Sheesh!

Dave K8MN

782. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:30:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iJTe9.898$Np4.42745185@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52648

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020908130513.00896.00002381@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 11:21 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <_NKe9.603$v61.29639884@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
> >
> >But not in Somalia. Even the exhalted Greatest DXer in the World, Dave
> >Heil, admitted he knew nothing of gaining pemission to operate in
Somalia.
> >He said it repeatedly.
>
> But he DID find out and told you why. He's explained taht repeatedly,
too.

No, I'm the one who found it on the ARRL web site and posted it. It
confirmed what I had been told by the other in-country ham.

> >Exactly. What country is "High Seas?" But none-the-less, why is only
> >verbal permission OK from there?
>
> Because if you are operating from any ship at sea or any aircraft in
> flight over international waters, you are required to get the permission
of the
> Captain of the vessel before you operate. Not only is that codified in
Part
> 97, it's both international maritime law and ICAO regulations.

You omitted the part about verbal. Please cite it.

> And with the exception of persons working on the VUCC program,
there's no
> DXCC entity available for operation from the high seas. As a matter of
fact,
> DXCC rules specifically forbid operation from ships, even if well within
> territorial waters of a valid entity. You have to be on terrafirma to be
> "valid". Several VQ9 operatioons were denied due to the fact that the
operator
> was on board the ship, even though it was well within the lagoon.

Yes, yes, yes. But your example wasn't in territorial waters. It was in
International waters.

Do you want to talk about amateur operations from a vessel within sight of
land now?

> Otherwise a lot of those DXpeditions to those rocks and shoals we
always
> read about would be done from a ship anchored nearby...not tents on the
> rocks...
>
> That's why "verbal" permission is OK from a ship.

Why? Are you talking about DXCC again?

But that's illegal, I'm told. Must be in writing. Do you have the citation
where verbal is legal?

By the way, there may be
> other regulations involved if you are not operating from a U.S. flagged
vessel.
> Remember...when that ship is outside another nation's territorial waters,
you
> are effectively standing on "his" soil. Reciprocal agreements apply in
many
> cases.

Yes, yes. What would I need if I were anchored off of Mogadishu port?

783. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:33:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C085D.9DDD2F84@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52649

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7BBA65.ABF55764@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
> > > >Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on this
> topic.
> > > >His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is
> yours.
> > >
> > > What predicament? Brian Burke doesn't have a predicament.
> >
> > While it may not appear to you that Brian has a predicament, it seems
> > that way to a number of us. Brian's high morals and amateur radio
> > ethics are caught between Iraq and a hard place.
>
> Do you even know where Iraq is?

Are you looking for directions?

> > > I don't have any predicament. I hardly ever use predicates. :-)
> >
> > Your predicament is different, Leonardo--trying to gain respect for your
> > views in an amateur radio newsgroup as a non-participant in amateur
> > radio. You are clearly the Rodney Dangerfield of r.r.a.p.
>
> Says Phyllis Diller?
>
> > > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Judge Heil is still hot and bothered over that
> > > French ham thing months ago? Tsk, tsk, tsk. And you can't
> > > get someone to lie down and accept defeat? Tsk, tsk, tsk.
> >
> >
> > I'm not hot and bothered but the payback is sweeeeeeet--especially in
> > light of Brian's high amateur radio ethics and morals comments. His
> > lying down and accepting defeat isn't nearly as much fun as watching him
> > take a pummeling. You should know a great deal about taking pummelings.
>
> My morals are intact. They are mine and they're not likely to be something

> that you can take away.

Hooooooooooooooooohaaaaaaah! That's choice, Mr. Ethics. They aren't anything anyone would likely want to take away. You're stuck with 'em.

> > > That radio get to W1AW yet?
> >
> > I offered no radio to W1AW. I offered one to you in order to satisfy
> > your "interest in radio".

> Ed offered a bent cover handbook. Must be the same kind of thing with you
> guys.

You can't listen to much on a handbook.

Dave K8MN

784. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:40:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52650

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not in
> > Somalia.
>
> Really? Steve and Dick are. I think Kelly was in there also.

Kelly was in Somalia?

> > > Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
> > > a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
> > > well with others.
> >
> > You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?
>
> So you did something wrong. Just admit it and learn from it.

Are you addressing yourself over your bootlegging operation? Just admit
it and learn from it.

> I said that I wished I had written permission. That doesn't mean that I
> didn't have permission, it only means that I wished I had asked for a letter
> or a form. Live and learn.

You're living. Have you learned anything?

> > > Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> > > judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
> >
> > How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?
> > >
> > >
> > Is this another of those "Do as I say, not as I do" things, Len? You
> > aren't aren't a ham, yet you have plenty to say about how amateur radio
> > should be. You aren't an ARRL member but you have oodles of negative
> > things to say about the League. Brian took it upon himself to take me
> > to task over something in which he was not involved. You made no such
> > statements to Brian when he initially brought it up nor during any
> > subsequent occasion during which he felt called upon to call my
> > character into question. That fact is quite interesting. When Brian's
> > high amateur ethics and morals bite him in the ass, you decide that you
> > are somehow involved.

>
> And exactly where were you in Somalia? How were you involved?

Excuse me, Mr. Ethics. Len asked about my being in Somalia. I'm pointing out that he is injecting himself into the conversation and that HE has never been in Somalia nor is he a ham or DXer. Allow me to point out that you were not in Tanzania either. Didn't seem to even slow you down.

> > Only to you does Brian not seem to be taking a pummeling. One reason
> > this thread is going on ad suo nauseum is that Brian persists.
>
> Each time I will tell you that I had permission.

Yep. So you say.

Dave K8MN

785. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:47:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C0BA2.F8FA851A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52551

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7BC82F.507F588F@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7AC3EF.F0118E4A@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Ed disappeared because he tired of your wierd posts. Kelly and
> numerous
> > > > others received material confirming the necessity of written
> permission
> > > > for operation from Somalia during the period in which you claimed you
> > > > operated. The ARRL information consists of a couple of sentences of
> > > > vague material and you act as if it constitutes a regulation of some
> > > > sort. Now you seem to have been caught in a snare which you built.
> > > >
> > > > I don't believe that any of this can be construed as "freaking out".
> It
> > > > has taken little time or effort and I've found it most entertaining.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > A monumental effort to what end?
> >
> > How do you read "little time or effort" and understand it as "monumental
> > effort"? The obvious end is the end of your high amateur radio ethics
> > and morals tales.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Because even KF2TI came out of the woodwork on this one. Every sourpuss
> ever (except Bruce) has felt it necessary to get involved.
>
> Secret newletters, phone calls to the desk, behind the scenes e-mail, a
> regular worldwide search...
>
> You guys are a riot.

So it was a monumental task to get Steve to post? I wrote "little time
or effort" because I meant "little time or effort".

All the "sourpusses" dimissed your alleged verbal permission when
evidence exists that others felt it necessary to obtain written

permission to operate from Somalia. I assume that you think us to be "sourpusses" because we think you to be one square-headed individual.

Dave K8MN

786. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:54:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <34Ue9.904$Vv4.43126821@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52552

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not in
> > > Somalia.
> > >
> > Really? Steve and Dick are. I think Kelly was in there also.
> >
> Kelly was in Somalia?

Was he? When?

Meanwhile, Dick and Steve say I wasn't.

> > > > Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
> > > > a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
> > > > well with others.
> > > >
> > > You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?
> > >
> > So you did something wrong. Just admit it and learn from it.
> >
> Are you addressing yourself over your bootlegging operation? Just admit
> it and learn from it.

I'm adressing you and your Tanzanian operations with Frenchmen out of band
on 6M.

> > I said that I wished I had written permission. That doesn't mean that I
> > didn't have permission, it only means that I wished I had asked for a
letter
> > or a form. Live and learn.
> >
> You're living. Have you learned anything?

I wished I had asked for a letter or a form.

> > > > Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> > > > judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
> > > >
> > > How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?

> > > >
> > > >
> > > Is this another of those "Do as I say, not as I do" things, Len? You
> > > aren't aren't a ham, yet you have plenty to say about how amateur
radio
> > > should be. You aren't an ARRL member but you have oodles of negative
> > > things to say about the League. Brian took it upon himself to take me
> > > to task over something in which he was not involved. You made no such
> > > statements to Brian when he initially brought it up nor during any
> > > subsequent occasion during which he felt called upon to call my
> > > character into question. That fact is quite interesting. When
Brian's
> > > high amateur ethics and morals bite him in the ass, you decide that
you
> > > are somehow involved.
> >
> > And exactly where were you in Somalia? How were you involved?
>
> Excuse me, Mr. Ethics. Len asked about my being in Somalia. I'm
> pointing out that he is injecting himself into the conversation and that
> HE has never been in Somalia nor is he a ham or DXer. Allow me to point
> out that you were not in Tanzania either. Didn't seem to even slow you
> down.

Dave, that's almost a valid point. Either it is, or it isn't.

If it is, then you'll recognize that you have nothing to say about Somalia.
If it isn't, then you'll recognize that Len can get involved in any way he
chooses.

Which is it?

> > > Only to you does Brian not seem to be taking a pummeling. One reason
> > > this thread is going on ad suo nauseum is that Brian persists.
> >
> > Each time I will tell you that I had permission.
>
> Yep. So you say.

I would know - I was there.

787. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:55:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C0DA9.37165437@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52553

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7BCC2E.75FC159E@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke"
> > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >And all you guys are living in a fairy tale.
> > >
> > > It's more like a fabrication than a fairy tale.
> >
> > Yep, and without any proof of permission to operate from Somalia, the
> > fabrication would appear to be Burke's. The only part I haven't really
> > figured out is what you have to do with any of this.
>
> Hang on there Dave, I'll check my log books.
>
> Nope, Len is in the same predicament as you on this one. He's not in my
> Somalia log either.

> > > The "references" in this little sub-thread seem to be all from
> > > one source who was not actively involved in a decade-old
> > > activity. That's high-school-level playing at journalism in
> > > attempting to feature "official sources" without giving names
> > > or direct identification. When confronted, they will righteously
> > > shout with anger "I know this person!" as if that is some kind
> > > of credibility. Funny to virtually see their outrage (after being
> > > caught in the act).
> >
> > There are actually several sources--a QSL manager, an actual operator
> > from the period in question whose cards are valid for DXCC credit and
> > finally, the DXCC desk.
>
> I DXCC Therefore I AM!
>
> Oh well, I guess I don't exist.

Not according to the DXCC desk, you don't. The usual problem with
non-accepted operations are that the ops aren't where they said they
were or that they don't have sufficient documentation to establish
permission to operate.

Dave K8MN

788. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 02:56:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <j5Ue9.905$jx4.43211893@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52554

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7C085D.9DDD2F84@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7BBA65.ABF55764@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> > <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > > writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
> > > > >Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on
this
> > topic.
> > > > >His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is
> > yours.
> > > > >
> > > > What predicament? Brian Burke doesn't have a predicament.
> > > >
> > > While it may not appear to you that Brian has a predicament, it seems
> > > that way to a number of us. Brian's high morals and amateur radio
> > > ethics are caught between Iraq and a hard place.
> > >
> > Do you even know where Iraq is?
> >
> Are you looking for directions?
>
> > > > I don't have any predicament. I hardly ever use predicates. :-)
> > > >
> > > Your predicament is different, Leonardo--trying to gain respect for
your
> > > views in an amateur radio newsgroup as a non-participant in amateur
> > > radio. You are clearly the Rodney Dangerfield of r.r.a.p.
> > >
> > Says Phyllis Diller?
> >
> > > > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Judge Heil is still hot and bothered over that
> > > > French ham thing months ago? Tsk, tsk, tsk. And you can't
> > > > get someone to lie down and accept defeat? Tsk, tsk, tsk.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > I'm not hot and bothered but the payback is sweeeeeeet--especially in

> > > light of Brian's high amateur radio ethics and morals comments. His
> > > lying down and accepting defeat isn't nearly as much fun as watching
him
> > > take a pummeling. You should know a great deal about taking
pummelings.
> >
> > My morals are intact. They are mine and they're not likely to be
something
> > that you can take away.
>
> Hooooooooooooooooohaaaaaaah! That's choice, Mr. Ethics. They aren't
> anything anyone would likely want to take away. You're stuck with
> 'em.
>
> > > > That radio get to W1AW yet?
> > >
> > > I offered no radio to W1AW. I offered one to you in order to satisfy
> > > your "interest in radio".
>
> > Ed offered a bent cover handbook. Must be the same kind of thing with
you
> > guys.
>
> You can't listen to much on a handbook.

True, a bent cover handbook probably has a broken CD.

789. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:01:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <S9Ue9.908$Ew4.43175202@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52555

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:alh0j8$5jp$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <Z1Te9.878$w94.41743672@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> bpburke@prodigy.net says...
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7BC82F.507F588F@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7AC3EF.F0118E4A@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Ed disappeared because he tired of your wierd posts. Kelly and
> > numerous
> > > > > others received material confirming the necessity of written
> > permission
> > > > > for operation from Somalia during the period in which you claimed
you
> > > > > operated. The ARRL information consists of a couple of sentences
of
> > > > > vague material and you act as if it constitutes a regulation of
some
> > > > > sort. Now you seem to have been caught in a snare which you
built.
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't believe that any of this can be construed as "freaking
out".
> > It
> > > > > has taken little time or effort and I've found it most
entertaining.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > A monumental effort to what end?
> > > >
> > > How do you read "little time or effort" and understand it as
"monumental
> > > effort"? The obvious end is the end of your high amateur radio ethics
> > > and morals tales.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Because even KF2TI came out of the woodwork on this one. Every sourpuss
> > ever (except Bruce) has felt it necessary to get involved.

>
> Actually I wanted to find out what's what and did so with a minimum of
> muss, fuss or bother. Just because I didn't post everything up front
> doesn't mean I wasn't involved. I knew your T5 operation wasn't good
> for DXCC right from the start last month. All I did was send an e-mail.

Why didn't you know ten years ago. At least then I would have had a chance
to locate my deployed commander.

> > Secret newletters, phone calls to the desk, behind the scenes e-mail, a
> > regular worldwide search...
> >
>
> No phone calls were necessary, Brian. 1 e-mail to the DXCC desk
> confirmed you never submitted anything. BTW, it's up to the operator to
> submit his documentation to the DXCC desk. They don't contact you.

What, no member services?

Would have been nice if an incoming card were rejected and they notified me.
After all, it had my callsign on it.

They're all over me if my membership is about to expire.

Oh well.

> No regular world wide searches. 1 post on a prime DX reflector and in
> 1-2 hours I had the responses. Quite frankly, it took very little
> effort to get all the information. I'm not sure about the secret
> newsletter though, you'll have to ask the other Brian.
>
> Quite frankly, anyone, including you could have gotten the exact same
> information.
>
> Such is life. I have no doubt you had verbal permission. But the fact
> remains, in order to legally operate, as you so righteously claim, you
> did need written permission. Chalk it up to "you got one past the
> authorities" and maybe everyone will leave it at that as well.
>
> I certainly do

Thank you. I believe you are sincere in what you've written. I'll mention
it no more.

73, Brian

790. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:06:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C1059.6F28779E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52556

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7BC256.574DBA96@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7AC1AF.AA6BE05F@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7AB7C4.3A98EB34@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > I was saying that you have no experience operating from a country
> with
> > > > > no
> > > > > > government. You're only experience is in places where there is an
> > > office
> > > > > > and a clerk. Next time don't be so timid. Go for something really
> > > rare.
> > > > > > Of course, there might be an element of risk involved.
> > > >
> > > > I've been in places where there is risk and where I've had no weapon.
> > > > Are you supposed to be at the same time both brave and stupid?
> Everyone
> > > > but you seems to have figured out that "permission" means "in
> writing".
> > >
> > > Permission is permission.
> >
> > You're stuck with that as a defense but you have no way of proving that
> > you had any permission to operate at all.
>
> I had permission while I was there.
```

So you say.

> I have none now that I'm not there.

I have no trouble believing your statement.

> My commander was there with me.

Duh.

> Where were you?

Elsewhere.

> > > > > You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are no
> cards
> > > at
> > > > > the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted the
> aid
> > > of
> > > > > the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.
> > > >
> > > > The information I stated above doesn't seem to corroborate your tale
> > > > that we've "come up empty". It would instead indicate that either
> > > > you've operated without proper permission or you've fed us a BS story
> > > > and never operated at all from Somalia. The DXCC desk does not write
> > > > "VOID" across QSL cards.
> > >
> > > What would they do?
> >
> > They'd return the card to the sender explaining that it was not
> > acceptable for DXCC credit. They'd likely explain why the QSL was NG.
>
> Wouldn't they check with me, the sender?

Not necessarily. If they did contact you, it would be to ask for
documentation.

> > > > > Now what?
> > > >
> > > > Pretty much up to you. Are you up for another serving of crow?

> > > What crow?
> >
> > Just a bit more from that massive portion sitting in front of you. It
> > is seasonsed with high amateur radio ethics and morals.
>
> Dave, I don't think you could even recognize good ethics.

I think I've recognized your failure to utilize them in your
undocumented operation from Somalia.

> > > Dave, you need to realize that I was given permission. I know
> > > because I was there.
> >

> > You could use the same argument in claiming you'd been up to the mother
> > ship for a visit. You need to realize that you have no means of proving
> > your claim and that others felt it necessary to obtain permission in
> > writing. You were the only ham in Somalia who seemed to think that
> > permission in writing was not necessary.
>
> Perhaps.
>
> > > You can go back to working Frenchmen out of band at any time.
> >
> > I've never been out of band when working Frenchmen or anyone else.
>
> But you knew they were.

No, I don't know that.

> > > Part 97 gives you that right - just as long as you're in band.
> >
> > Tanzania and other areas outside the U.S. do not operate under Part 97
> > of the FCC regs.
>
> Others in here think Somalia falls under Part 97.

I said nothing about what others think. You commented on Part 97. I
told you that it does not apply to stations operating outside the U.S.
and its territories.

> Even if I'm in the U.S, operating under Part 97, I'm
> > under no obligation whatever to make certain that a DX station is
> > operating where his country tells him he can operate. I need only make
> > certain that I am where the FCC permits me to be.
>
> Careful with that one. Riley thinks something called "Good Amateur
> Practice" is enforceable.

Mr. Hollingsworth can't add something to the regs which they do not
state.
Wishing on your part won't make it so.

> > > Don't worry about being self-policing or using Good Amateur Practice.
> >
> > Policing my operation is exactly what I do.
>
> Not very well. Recall the French 6M ops who were calling you out of band?

I remember French stations calling me on 6m and on every single other
band I used. I do not police their operation.

> > > Just be who you are.
> >
> > You've already taken issue with that.

> Only when you do something unethical.

I'll let you know when I do something unethical. I wonder what became of those T5/N0IMD QSL cards.

Dave K8MN

791. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:24:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C143D.2DE51ACD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52557

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7BC6B0.72D5A174@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7AC56F.6DE5B027@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7ABBFF.6E87EC6A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D7985E2.939A3862@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D793381.D31BBFF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D76D98E.A611F0EB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D7603E5.7016F00F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
> message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D74A9A5.BAB90530@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > But other folks who received my Somali cards have to apply
> for
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Isn't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > that a double standard?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, that's just a standard. One could assume that at least
> one of
> > > > > > the
```

> > > > > > > > stations contacted by you from Somalia would need the country
> as a
> > > new
> > > > > > > > one or as a band-country toward his DXCC. That no one
> submitted
> > > any
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > > your Somali cards is quite peculiar.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Puti it on Ripley's.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I may puti it as soon as I find out what it means. I notice you
> have
> > > no
> > > > > > more "double standards" comments.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Ripley's "Believe it or not."
> > > > >
> > > > "Ripley's" isn't the term I wasn't familiar with. I'm working on
> "puti"
> > >
> > A simple typo. Couln't you figured that one out on your own?
> >
> > I've learned that it is important to leave nothing to chance in dealing
> > with you. Just the other night, you made a deal out of a typo I made.
>
> After you did the same. You'll eventually figure out how to be civil.

No, actually it was before, not after. I haven't noticed a great degree
of civility coming from you, Brian. I find you to be mostly rude and
pretty childish.

> > > Or are you deliberately trying to be obtuse because you've no other
> argument
> > > left?
> >
> > There are enough holes in your story to make your typo insignificant.
>
> Apparently not. You had to go the typo route.

No, I did that because I wanted to.

> > You are, after all, the one who responded to a serious comment with some
> > jive about "Ripley" rather than offering an explanation.
>
> It was meant to be that you can, "Believe me or not."

Then I should send it to you. By the way, I made the statement. You
said nothing to disbelieve except for your "double standard" comment. I
addressed that comment.

> > > > > I forgot the double standard remarks. They still apply to you.
> > > >
> > > > So you are incapable of differentiating between a standard and a
> double
> > > > standard.
> > >
> > > Explain.
> >
> > The explanation was in my response above. What you used wasn't an
> > example of a "double standard". It was only an example of a "standard".
>
> Ripley's is a standard?

I never made reference to Ripley's except to say that it wasn't the part
I was having difficulty with. Read it again. You may eventually get it
right.

> > > > > > > > > > > > I was never out of band.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > You knowingly worked some of them out of band.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > But you knew they were out of band.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Did I? You don't know that.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You only said that you continued to operate. I have no written
> > > proof
> > > > > > > > that
> > > > > > > > > > you actually did so.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I actually continued to operate. I operated until a couple of
> weeks
> > > > > > > > before my departure from country. Why should I cease six meter
> > > > > > > > operation?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ...and work Frenchmen out of band.
> > > > >
> > > > > I was never out of band when working anyone.
> > >
> > > That's why I say "...Frenchmen out of band." Surely you can understand
> > > that.
> >
> > That's why I reponded as I did. Did you mean "French stations who were
> > operating out of band"?
>
> Unless I said "Tanzanian stations out of band." What do you think I said?

To me it read that I was out of band while working Frenchmen. If you
meant "French stations who were operating out of band", why didn't you
just write that?

> > > > > > Did you get your permission in writing from that Naval Commander?
> If
> > > > > > not, all bets are off as far as your particular permission. You
> keep
> > > > > > trying to equate the successful operation by others with your
> Somali
> > > > > > operation.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Mine was successful. It was the second one, as far as I know.
> > > > >
> > > > > Only in your mind--oops--yours and Len's.
> > > >
> > > Mine's enough.
> >
> > You know, that's precisely the issue. Having permission in your mind
> > just doesn't cut it.
>
> It was fine while was there. It was in my commander's mind while he was
> there. He knew. I knew.

So you say.

> > > > > > The ARRL web site gave the particulars of obtaining permission
> from
> > > > > one's
> > > > > > > commander.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, it most certainly did not. It supplied a couple of vague
> > > > > > sentences. Apparently you were the only individual who couldn't
> > > figure
> > > > > > out that "permission" meant "in writing".
> > > > > >
> > > > > What of it. Pernmission is permission.
> > > >
> > > No, Brian, no matter how much you'd like for that to be true, it is
> not
> > > > the case.
> > >
> > Citation, please?
> >
> > No citation necessary.
>
> You demand paper from me? I demand paper from you. Cite it or shut up.

I don't believe I'll do either. There's enough evidence to support my
claim that there are no such places.
>
> There aren't any such places where verbal
> > permission is accepted as proof. Can you come up with one country where
> > that is true?
>
> Somalia while I was there. If anyone had asked, "Hey, what's that guy doing

> on the radio, my commander would have said, "He's operating in the amateur
> service with my permission."

That isn't true based on the information provided by others. We have no
way of knowing what your commander might or might not have said and you
have no way of proving that you obtained his permission. We don't even
know if he had the authority to issue valid permission.

> > > > What permission? You can't produce any permission to operate from
> > > > Somalia.
> >
> > > I'm no longer there. I had permission while I was there.
> >
> > So you say. Prove it.
>
> I don't have it any longer. It expired when I left.

So you say.

> Now make the citation.

How about you doing some searching for a change? I don't want to take
on any monumental tasks.

Dave K8MN

792. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:34:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209081934.714e9607@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52558

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<qTKe9.608$Z71.29735063@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0209080156.150d2126@posting.google.com...
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<3D797EE8.B98D6F72@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > \> > > Somalia, a rare one even when there is no war going on, doesn't
> > it seem
> > > > > > strange that not a single individual has ever submitted a T5/N0IMD
> to
> > > > > > the DXCC desk for award credit?
>
> > > > Yes, it does. Makes your claim that they were rejected sound like
> just so
> > > > much speculation.
> > >
> > > Please point to a single post where I have stated that your operation
> > > was rejected.
> > >
> > > > Was it just speculation?
> > >
> > > Yeah, Brian. I'm speculatin' that you didn't operate from Somalia at
> > > all.
> > >
> > To quote Brainiac when I asked why nobody has a T5 card fron him his
> > response was "the outgoing bureau lost 'em."
> > >
> > Uh-huh. Right.
>
> Brian, you're not being entirely truthful. It was a possible explanation.
> I didn't say for a fact that they lost them.
>
>
OK, then what other "possible explanations" do you have for why nobody
has ever applied for dxcc credit with one of your T5 cards? Where are
the logs fom your T5 "operation"?

w2rv

793. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:35:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C16E2.1C695BBD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52559

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not in
> > > > Somalia.
> > > >
> > > Really? Steve and Dick are. I think Kelly was in there also.
> > >
> > Kelly was in Somalia?
> >
> Was he? When?
>
> Meanwhile, Dick and Steve say I wasn't.

I recall Steve writing that he believes you had verbal permission. That
wouldn't indicate that he believes you weren't there.

> > > > > Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
> > > > > a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
> > > > > well with others.
> > > > >
> > > > You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?
> > > >
> > > So you did something wrong. Just admit it and learn from it.
> > >
> > Are you addressing yourself over your bootlegging operation? Just admit
> > it and learn from it.
> >
> I'm adressing you and your Tanzanian operations with Frenchmen out of band
> on 6M.

That'd be silly on your part. I operated where I was permitted to
operate under my documentation (which I still have) and at no time did I
lure innocent French stations to break French regulations. By the way,
have you checked up on the Poles, the British, the Dutch, the Italians
and the rest of those suspicious Europeans? Maybe they were breaking
their regs too in working me.
```

> > > I said that I wished I had written permission. That doesn't mean that I
> > > didn't have permission, it only means that I wished I had asked for a
> letter
> > > or a form. Live and learn.
> >
> > You're living. Have you learned anything?
>
> I wished I had asked for a letter or a form.
>
> > > > > Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> > > > > judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
> > > >
> > > > How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Is this another of those "Do as I say, not as I do" things, Len? You
> > > > aren't aren't a ham, yet you have plenty to say about how amateur
> radio
> > > > should be. You aren't an ARRL member but you have oodles of negative
> > > > things to say about the League. Brian took it upon himself to take me
> > > > to task over something in which he was not involved. You made no such
> > > > statements to Brian when he initially brought it up nor during any
> > > > subsequent occasion during which he felt called upon to call my
> > > > character into question. That fact is quite interesting. When
> Brian's
> > > > high amateur ethics and morals bite him in the ass, you decide that
> you
> > > > are somehow involved.
> > >
> > > And exactly where were you in Somalia? How were you involved?
> >
> > Excuse me, Mr. Ethics. Len asked about my being in Somalia. I'm
> > pointing out that he is injecting himself into the conversation and that
> > HE has never been in Somalia nor is he a ham or DXer. Allow me to point
> > out that you were not in Tanzania either. Didn't seem to even slow you
> > down.
>
> Dave, that's almost a valid point. Either it is, or it isn't.

Either it is or isn't a valid point? Why not write the complete thought
and save us both a lot of bother?

> If it is, then you'll recognize that you have nothing to say about Somalia.
> If it isn't, then you'll recognize that Len can get involved in any way he
> chooses.
>
> Which is it?

Oh, you don't get off so easy! Where's the part about your involvement
in my operations from Tanzania, Brian?

> > > > Only to you does Brian not seem to be taking a pummeling. One reason
> > > > this thread is going on ad suo nauseum is that Brian persists.
> > >
> > > Each time I will tell you that I had permission.
> >
> > Yep. So you say.
>
> I would know - I was there.

I believe the part about you being there.

Dave K8MN

794. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:40:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sKUe9.928$VF4.43749527@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52560

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209081934.714e9607@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<qTKe9.608$Z71.29735063@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0209080156.150d2126@posting.google.com...
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:<3D797EE8.B98D6F72@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > \> > > Somalia, a rare one even when there is no war going on, doesn't
> > > it seem
> > > > > > strange that not a single individual has ever submitted a
T5/N0IMD
> > to
> > > > > > the DXCC desk for award credit?
> > >
> > > > > Yes, it does. Makes your claim that they were rejected sound like
> > just so
> > > > > much speculation.
> > > >
> > > > Please point to a single post where I have stated that your
operation
> > > > was rejected.
> > > >
> > > > > Was it just speculation?
> > > >
> > > > Yeah, Brian. I'm speculatin' that you didn't operate from Somalia
at
> > > > all.
> > > >
> > > To quote Brainiac when I asked why nobody has a T5 card fron him his
> > > response was "the outgoing bureau lost 'em."
> > >
> > > Uh-huh. Right.
> >
> > Brian, you're not being entirely truthful. It was a possible
explanation.
> > I didn't say for a fact that they lost them.
> >
> >
> OK, then what other "possible explanations" do you have for why nobody
> has ever applied for dxcc credit with one of your T5 cards?

Perhaps they don't participate in DXCC.

Where are
> the logs fom your T5 "operation"?
>
> w2rv

Where are yours?

795. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:45:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wPUe9.930$eR4.44459308@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52561

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7C1059.6F28779E@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7BC256.574DBA96@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7AC1AF.AA6BE05F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D7AB7C4.3A98EB34@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > I was saying that you have no experience operating from a
country
> > with
> > > > > no
> > > > > > government. You're only experience is in places where there is
an
> > > > office
> > > > > > and a clerk. Next time don't be so timid. Go for something
really
> > > rare.
> > > > > > Of course, there might be an element of risk involved.
> > > > >
> > > > > I've been in places where there is risk and where I've had no
weapon.
> > > > > Are you supposed to be at the same time both brave and stupid?
> > Everyone
> > > > > but you seems to have figured out that "permission" means "in
> > writing".
> > > >
> > > > Permission is permission.
```

> > >
> > > You're stuck with that as a defense but you have no way of proving
that
> > > you had any permission to operate at all.
> >
> > I had permission while I was there.
>
> So you say.
>
> > I have none now that I'm not there.
>
> I have no trouble believing your statement.
>
> > My commander was there with me.
>
> Duh.
>
> > Where were you?
>
> Elsewhere.
>
> > > > > > You're pulling your Hare out trying to figure out why there are
no
> > cards
> > > > at
> > > > > > the DXCC desk to write "VOID" across. Tsk tsk. You've enlisted
the
> > aid
> > > > of
> > > > > > the RRAP mafia and they've come up empty.
> > > > >
> > > > > The information I stated above doesn't seem to corroborate your
tale
> > > > > that we've "come up empty". It would instead indicate that either
> > > > > you've operated without proper permission or you've fed us a BS
story
> > > > > and never operated at all from Somalia. The DXCC desk does not
write
> > > > > "VOID" across QSL cards.
> > > >
> > > > What would they do?
> > >
> > > They'd return the card to the sender explaining that it was not
> > > acceptable for DXCC credit. They'd likely explain why the QSL was NG.
> >
> > Wouldn't they check with me, the sender?
>
> Not necessarily. If they did contact you, it would be to ask for
> documentation.

Yes, I would have had teh opportunity to locate my deployed commander.

> > > > > > Now what?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Pretty much up to you. Are you up for another serving of crow?
> >
> > > > > What crow?
> > > >
> > > > Just a bit more from that massive portion sitting in front of you. It
> > > > is seasonsed with high amateur radio ethics and morals.
> > >
> > Dave, I don't think you could even recognize good ethics.
> >
> I think I've recognized your failure to utilize them in your
> undocumented operation from Somalia.

Its documented in my logs.

> > > > > Dave, you need to realize that I was given permission. I know
> > > > > because I was there.
> > > >
> > > > You could use the same argument in claiming you'd been up to the
mother
> > > > ship for a visit. You need to realize that you have no means of
proving
> > > > your claim and that others felt it necessary to obtain permission in
> > > > writing. You were the only ham in Somalia who seemed to think that
> > > > permission in writing was not necessary.
> > >
> > Perhaps.
> >
> > > > > You can go back to working Frenchmen out of band at any time.
> > > >
> > > > I've never been out of band when working Frenchmen or anyone else.
> > >
> > But you knew they were.
> >
> No, I don't know that.

Not even after the Frenchman told you and you responded to him?

> > > > > Part 97 gives you that right - just as long as you're in band.
> > > >
> > > > Tanzania and other areas outside the U.S. do not operate under Part 97
> > > > of the FCC regs.
> > >
> > Others in here think Somalia falls under Part 97.
> >
> I said nothing about what others think. You commented on Part 97. I
> told you that it does not apply to stations operating outside the U.S.
> and its territories.

Then you're smarter than the rest.

> > Even if I'm in the U.S, operating under Part 97, I'm
> > > under no obligation whatever to make certain that a DX station is
> > > operating where his country tells him he can operate. I need only
make
> > > certain that I am where the FCC permits me to be.
> >
> > Careful with that one. Riley thinks something called "Good Amateur
> > Practice" is enforceable.
>
> Mr. Hollingsworth can't add something to the regs which they do not
> state.
> Wishing on your part won't make it so.

No, really. It had to do with coordinated repeaters and uncoordinated
repeaters. Who knows where a precedent like that would lead.

> > > > Don't worry about being self-policing or using Good Amateur
Practice.
> > >
> > > Policing my operation is exactly what I do.
> >
> > Not very well. Recall the French 6M ops who were calling you out of
band?
>
> I remember French stations calling me on 6m and on every single other
> band I used. I do not police their operation.

You shouldn't have to. But once you become aware that they are operating
out of band...

> > > > Just be who you are.
> > >
> > > You've already taken issue with that.
>
> > Only when you do something unethical.
>
> I'll let you know when I do something unethical. I wonder what became
> of those T5/N0IMD QSL cards.

Dunno.

796. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:47:08 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <alh5kp$r0m$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52562

In article <XjTe9.886$Ah4.42238210@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
bpburke@prodigy.net says...
>
> "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:alfvl6$ha4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> > bpburke@prodigy.net says...
> > >
> > > "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in
> message
> > > news:alfgcs$i3r$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > >
> > > note the oft used ISP
> >
> Mindspring?

Exactly. It's the only Internet provider I have had unless you count
the many manifestations that existed before..all being bought and
subsequently sold Planet.net became interactive.net became
mindspring.com.

>
> > > > How secret could it have been Brian? You asked for and received a
> > > > forwarded copy of the posted e-mail, complete with the senders name
> and
> > > > e-mail address. (BTW, the courteous thing to do would have to to at
> > > > least acknowledge receipt with a Thank You!) It verified everything
> > > > posted, yet you continue to object. Curious
> > >
> > > Steve, thank you for the e-mail. It was exactly what you posted on the
> > > newsgroup.
> > >
> > > I didn't see the secret "newletter" that Kelly got, however.
> >
> > As I had stated, BK embellished about the newsletter. He received a
> > copy of the same e-mail I sent you.
> >
> Do you mean he told a lie?

You'd have to ask him. If memory serves, he already admitted to
embellishing about the newsletter in a previous post.

>
> > > > Yet, as a matter of record, Bruce (a well known QSL Manager) also
> > > > disagrees with you.

> > >
> >
> > snipped
> > > >
> > > > The first response:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 18:03:50 +0000
> > > > > From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
> > > > > To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
> > > > > Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia
> (1992-1993)
> > > > >
> > > > > I took care of all the paper work for N3Hxx, who operated as T5/N3H.
> His
> > > > > call sign is now N4xxx
>
> He signed, "Wx4xxx."
>
> Did he not use his full stateside call, T5/N3Hxx?

As before, the callsigns have been changed to prevent the non-hams in
RRAP from harassing the originators. As before, copies are available of
the full and unedited e-mail(s) in question.

>
> > > > During this time period, Somalia did not have a government, and was
> > > > under UN control. The DXCC Rules states that under this type
> situation,
> > > > the operator must receive written authorization from the UN, or if
> > > > Military, a written authorization from his Commander.
>
> Steve, this is good info for DXCC Rules.
>
> Is the ARRL/DXCC the authority on what is legal/not legal?
>
> Or are they the authority on what they accept and don't accept.

Actually it's both. In order to accept a submission for a DX operation,
the operator(s) must submit documentation that they operated legally
within that particular country/entity, that would mean written
permission in many cases, especially the one being bantered about here.
This insures that the operation did take place within the borders of the
country/entity being submitted. Several DX'ers who failed repeatedly in
this case were Don and Romeo (of P5 fame)

So in answer - - From the DXCC Rules: Accreditation Section
(http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/rules.html#siii)

1. Each nation of the world manages its telecommunications affairs
differently. Therefore, a rigid, universal accreditation procedure
cannot be applied in all situations. During more than 50 years of DXCC

administration, basic standards have evolved in establishing the legitimacy of an operation.

It is the purpose of this section to establish guidelines that will assure that DXCC credit is given only for contacts with operations that are conducted with proper licensing and have established a legitimate physical presence within the Entity to be credited. Any operation that satisfies these conditions (in addition to the applicable elements of SECTION I., Rules 6, 7, 8, and 9) will be accredited. It is the intent of the DXCC administration to be guided by the actions of sovereign nations when considering the accreditation of amateur radio operation within their jurisdiction. DXCC will be reasonably flexible in reviewing licensing documentation. Conversely, findings by a host government indicating non-compliance with their amateur radio regulations may cause denial or revocation of accreditation. (I add-as evidenced by several recent YO operations)

2. The following points should be of particular interest to those seeking accreditation for a DX operation:

a) The vast majority of operations are accredited routinely without a requirement for the submission of authenticating documentation. However, all such documents should be retained by the operator in the unlikely event of a protest.

(I add- which would answer your questions concerning your Korea and Guam operations)

b) In countries where Amateur Radio operation has not been permitted or has been suspended or where some reluctance to authorize amateur stations has been noted, authenticating documents may be required before accrediting an operation

Additionally:

7. Any Amateur Radio operation should take place only with the complete approval and understanding of appropriate administration officials. In countries where amateurs are licensed in the normal manner, credit may be claimed only for stations using regular government-assigned call signs or portable call signs where reciprocal agreements exist or the host government has so authorized portable operation. Without documentation supporting the operation of an amateur station, credit will not be allowed for contacts with such stations in any country that has temporarily or permanently closed down Amateur Radio operations by special government edict or policy where amateur licenses were formerly issued in the normal manner. In any case, credit will be given for contacts where adequate evidence of authorization by appropriate authorities exists, notwithstanding any such previous or subsequent edict or policy.

And in regards to operating "off-shore"

8. All stations contacted must be "land stations." Contacts with ships and boats, anchored or underway, and airborne aircraft, cannot be counted. For the purposes of this award, remote control operating points must also be land based. Exception: Permanently docked exhibition ships, such as the Queen Mary and other historic ships will be considered land based.

>
> Kelly and Dick have.
>
> > You most probably were. You're facts match with other references
>
> Steve, really! I don't need you to tell me I was there.

Gee Brian. I am agreeing with you, supporting your side of the argument and you still take issue??

> Some guy said? Do you have anything else? This is what the ARRL really
> says:
> ------------------------------------
> Somalia (T5)
> At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
> Nations or your military commander.
>
> There is no IARU-member society.

I have 2 other knowledgeable people who have responded contrary to your position. According to many easily found references, with few exceptions, you need some sort of written permission to operate, even in countries that have reciprocal agreements (and yes there are some exce [exceptions to this rule and no Korea is not one of them), you still need to submit a form, probably pay a fee and get a piece of paper that says go ahead operate, here are our rules. Try operating in Mexico on the verbal say so of some Mexican authority

>
> For DXCC. Would all other wards programs also reject my card?

Probably not the E-DXCC offered by the EQSL organization. I would imagine that the other awards would reject your cards for the same "lack of documentation" as the DXCC program did/does, they seem to share similar rules

797. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:49:30 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <alh60o$55$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52563

In article <S9Ue9.908$Ew4.43175202@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
bpburke@prodigy.net says...

>
> Why didn't you know ten years ago. At least then I would have had a chance
> to locate my deployed commander.

Because 10 years ago I worked 3 T5/ operators. Ultimately 2 were
accepted, the 3rd actually was working everyone from a ship. I found
out the 2 were ok after I sent them a card, received theirs and
submitted it.

>
> > > Secret newletters, phone calls to the desk, behind the scenes e-mail, a
> > > regular worldwide search...
> > >
> >
> > No phone calls were necessary, Brian. 1 e-mail to the DXCC desk
> > confirmed you never submitted anything. BTW, it's up to the operator to
> > submit his documentation to the DXCC desk. They don't contact you.
>
> What, no member services?
>
> Would have been nice if an incoming card were rejected and they notified me.
> After all, it had my callsign on it.

Most cases, you'd find out from a someone who had your card rejected and
then would contact the DXCC desk to find out what the rejection was for.

>
> They're all over me if my membership is about to expire.
>
> Oh well.

As is every magazine or subscription that I have that's about to expire

798. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:50:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iUUe9.935$rS4.44537964@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52564

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7C16E2.1C695BBD@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not
in
> > > > > Somalia.
> > > > >
> > > > Really? Steve and Dick are. I think Kelly was in there also.
> > > >
> > > Kelly was in Somalia?
> > >
> > Was he? When?
> >
> > Meanwhile, Dick and Steve say I wasn't.
>
> I recall Steve writing that he believes you had verbal permission. That
> wouldn't indicate that he believes you weren't there.
>
> > > > > > Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
> > > > > > a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
> > > > > > well with others.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities,
right?
> > > > >
> > > > So you did something wrong. Just admit it and learn from it.
> > > >
> > > Are you addressing yourself over your bootlegging operation? Just
admit
> > > it and learn from it.
> > >
> > I'm adressing you and your Tanzanian operations with Frenchmen out of
band
> > on 6M.
>
```

> That'd be silly on your part. I operated where I was permitted to
> operate under my documentation (which I still have) and at no time did I
> lure innocent French stations to break French regulations. By the way,
> have you checked up on the Poles, the British, the Dutch, the Italians
> and the rest of those suspicious Europeans? Maybe they were breaking
> their regs too in working me.

Did you work them out of band, also?

> > > > I said that I wished I had written permission. That doesn't mean
that I
> > > > didn't have permission, it only means that I wished I had asked for
a
> > letter
> > > > or a form. Live and learn.
> > >
> > > You're living. Have you learned anything?
> >
> > I wished I had asked for a letter or a form.
> >
> > > > > > Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> > > > > > judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
> > > > >
> > > > > How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Is this another of those "Do as I say, not as I do" things, Len?
You
> > > > > aren't aren't a ham, yet you have plenty to say about how amateur
> > radio
> > > > > should be. You aren't an ARRL member but you have oodles of
negative
> > > > > things to say about the League. Brian took it upon himself to
take me
> > > > > to task over something in which he was not involved. You made no
such
> > > > > statements to Brian when he initially brought it up nor during any
> > > > > subsequent occasion during which he felt called upon to call my
> > > > > character into question. That fact is quite interesting. When
> > Brian's
> > > > > high amateur ethics and morals bite him in the ass, you decide
that
> > you
> > > > > are somehow involved.
> > > >
> > > > And exactly where were you in Somalia? How were you involved?
> > >
> > > Excuse me, Mr. Ethics. Len asked about my being in Somalia. I'm
> > > pointing out that he is injecting himself into the conversation and
that
> > > HE has never been in Somalia nor is he a ham or DXer. Allow me to

point
> > > out that you were not in Tanzania either. Didn't seem to even slow
you
> > > down.
> >
> > Dave, that's almost a valid point. Either it is, or it isn't.
>
> Either it is or isn't a valid point? Why not write the complete thought
> and save us both a lot of bother?

...a valid point.

> > If it is, then you'll recognize that you have nothing to say about
Somalia.
> > If it isn't, then you'll recognize that Len can get involved in any way
he
> > chooses.
> >
> > Which is it?
>
> Oh, you don't get off so easy! Where's the part about your involvement
> in my operations from Tanzania, Brian?

I had none. I'm not culpable. On the other hand, a French ham cam on here
and told you thir authorizations. You responded back to him, so at least
you were aware of their authorizations. Then you said you'd continue to
work them as you weren't out of band.

> > > > > Only to you does Brian not seem to be taking a pummeling. One
reason
> > > > > this thread is going on ad suo nauseum is that Brian persists.
> > > > >
> > > > Each time I will tell you that I had permission.
> > > >
> > > Yep. So you say.
> >
> > I would know - I was there.
>
> I believe the part about you being there.
>
> Dave K8MN

So it wasn't a malarial hallucination.

799. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:53:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IWUe9.938$FU4.44674297@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52565

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7C0BA2.F8FA851A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7BC82F.507F588F@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7AC3EF.F0118E4A@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Ed disappeared because he tired of your wierd posts. Kelly and
> > numerous
> > > > > others received material confirming the necessity of written
> > permission
> > > > > for operation from Somalia during the period in which you claimed
you
> > > > > operated. The ARRL information consists of a couple of sentences
of
> > > > > vague material and you act as if it constitutes a regulation of
some
> > > > > sort. Now you seem to have been caught in a snare which you
built.
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't believe that any of this can be construed as "freaking
out".
> > It
> > > > > has taken little time or effort and I've found it most
entertaining.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > A monumental effort to what end?
> > >
> > > How do you read "little time or effort" and understand it as
"monumental
> > > effort"? The obvious end is the end of your high amateur radio ethics
> > > and morals tales.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Because even KF2TI came out of the woodwork on this one. Every sourpuss
> > ever (except Bruce) has felt it necessary to get involved.
> >

> > Secret newletters, phone calls to the desk, behind the scenes e-mail, a
> > regular worldwide search...
> >
> > You guys are a riot.
>
> So it was a monumental task to get Steve to post? I wrote "little time
> or effort" because I meant "little time or effort".

I thanked Steve and told him I'd mention it no more.

> All the "sourpusses" dimissed your alleged verbal permission when
> evidence exists that others felt it necessary to obtain written
> permission to operate from Somalia. I assume that you think us to be
> "sourpusses" because we think you to be one square-headed individual.

No, you've been sourpusses for a very long time. Kelly and Dick have had
years and years of practice.

800. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 03:56:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LZUe9.940$HR4.44488823@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52566

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7C0DA9.37165437@earthlink.net...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7BCC2E.75FC159E@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian P
> > Burke"
> > > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > > >And all you guys are living in a fairy tale.
> > > >
> > > > It's more like a fabrication than a fairy tale.
> > >
> > > Yep, and without any proof of permission to operate from Somalia, the
> > > fabrication would appear to be Burke's. The only part I haven't
really
> > > figured out is what you have to do with any of this.
> >
> > Hang on there Dave, I'll check my log books.
> >
> > Nope, Len is in the same predicament as you on this one. He's not in my
> > Somalia log either.
>
> > > > The "references" in this little sub-thread seem to be all from
> > > > one source who was not actively involved in a decade-old
> > > > activity. That's high-school-level playing at journalism in
> > > > attempting to feature "official sources" without giving names
> > > > or direct identification. When confronted, they will righteously
> > > > shout with anger "I know this person!" as if that is some kind
> > > > of credibility. Funny to virtually see their outrage (after
being
> > > > caught in the act).
> > >
> > > There are actually several sources--a QSL manager, an actual operator
> > > from the period in question whose cards are valid for DXCC credit and
> > > finally, the DXCC desk.
> >
> > I DXCC Therefore I AM!

> >
> > Oh well, I guess I don't exist.
>
> Not according to the DXCC desk, you don't. The usual problem with
> non-accepted operations are that the ops aren't where they said they
> were or that they don't have sufficient documentation to establish
> permission to operate.
>
> Dave K8MN

What are some of the other problems?

801. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 04:04:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C1DC1.D73F2BBA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52567

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7C1059.6F28779E@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7BC256.574DBA96@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7AC1AF.AA6BE05F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D7AB7C4.3A98EB34@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D7981EF.B122FEEB@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D792F9F.207144A9@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > > > > Permission is permission.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You're stuck with that as a defense but you have no way of proving
> that
> > > > > you had any permission to operate at all.
> > > > >
> > > > I had permission while I was there.
> > > >
> > > So you say.
> > >
> > > > I have none now that I'm not there.
> > >
> > > I have no trouble believing your statement.
> > >
> > > > My commander was there with me.
> > >
> > > Duh.
> > >
```

> > > Where were you?
> >
> > Elsewhere.

> > Not necessarily. If they did contact you, it would be to ask for
> > documentation.
>
> Yes, I would have had teh opportunity to locate my deployed commander.

There's still time...

Dave, I don't think you could even recognize good ethics.
> >
> > I think I've recognized your failure to utilize them in your
> > undocumented operation from Somalia.
>
> Its documented in my logs.

You have written permission to operate, signed by your commander in your
logs?

> > > > > You can go back to working Frenchmen out of band at any time.
> > > > >
> > > > I've never been out of band when working Frenchmen or anyone else.
> > > >
> > > But you knew they were.
> > >
> > No, I don't know that.
> >
> Not even after the Frenchman told you and you responded to him?

Brian. The "Frenchman" was some guy posting in a newsgroup. I don't
even know if he was a Frenchman. I don't know him to be an authority
with the French PTT or an official of the REF. I certainly don't know
that the information he posted was factual. Len posts in this newsgroup
and I believe very little of what he says.

> > > Even if I'm in the U.S, operating under Part 97, I'm
> > > > under no obligation whatever to make certain that a DX station is
> > > > operating where his country tells him he can operate. I need only
> make
> > > > certain that I am where the FCC permits me to be.
> > >
> > > Careful with that one. Riley thinks something called "Good Amateur
> > > Practice" is enforceable.
> >
> > Mr. Hollingsworth can't add something to the regs which they do not
> > state.
> > Wishing on your part won't make it so.
> >
> No, really. It had to do with coordinated repeaters and uncoordinated
> repeaters. Who knows where a precedent like that would lead.

I should be on safe ground. I own no repeaters nor am I active in the operation of a repeater.

> > > > > Don't worry about being self-policing or using Good Amateur
> Practice.
> > > >
> > > > Policing my operation is exactly what I do.
> > >
> > > Not very well. Recall the French 6M ops who were calling you out of
> band?
> >
> > I remember French stations calling me on 6m and on every single other
> > band I used. I do not police their operation.
>
> You shouldn't have to. But once you become aware that they are operating
> out of band...

...I should report them to the Tanzanian authorities, the French authorities and Interpol.

Dave K8MN

802. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 04:14:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C202A.EA7FECD0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52568

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7C16E2.1C695BBD@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> > >
> > > Meanwhile, Dick and Steve say I wasn't.
> > >
> > I recall Steve writing that he believes you had verbal permission. That
> > wouldn't indicate that he believes you weren't there.

> > > I'm adressing you and your Tanzanian operations with Frenchmen out of
> band
> > > on 6M.
> > >
> > That'd be silly on your part. I operated where I was permitted to
> > operate under my documentation (which I still have) and at no time did I
> > lure innocent French stations to break French regulations. By the way,
> > have you checked up on the Poles, the British, the Dutch, the Italians
> > and the rest of those suspicious Europeans? Maybe they were breaking
> > their regs too in working me.
>
> Did you work them out of band, also?

No, I was still in band.

> > > > > And exactly where were you in Somalia? How were you involved?
> > > > >
> > > > Excuse me, Mr. Ethics. Len asked about my being in Somalia. I'm
> > > > pointing out that he is injecting himself into the conversation and
> that
> > > > HE has never been in Somalia nor is he a ham or DXer. Allow me to
> point
> > > > out that you were not in Tanzania either. Didn't seem to even slow
> you
> > > > down.
> > > >
> > > Dave, that's almost a valid point. Either it is, or it isn't.

> >
> > Either it is or isn't a valid point? Why not write the complete thought
> > and save us both a lot of bother?
>
> ...a valid point.
>
> > > If it is, then you'll recognize that you have nothing to say about
> Somalia.
> > > If it isn't, then you'll recognize that Len can get involved in any way
> he
> > > chooses.
> > >
> > > Which is it?
> >
> > Oh, you don't get off so easy! Where's the part about your involvement
> > in my operations from Tanzania, Brian?
>
> I had none. I'm not culpable. On the other hand, a French ham cam on here
> and told you thir authorizations. You responded back to him, so at least
> you were aware of their authorizations.

As I mentioned earlier, I have no idea if the person posting here was
French or had valid information on French licensing.

> Then you said you'd continue to
> work them as you weren't out of band.

I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy was interested,
he could have contacted the French PTT about French scofflaws. The
matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which brings us to your
involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...

> > > > > Each time I will tell you that I had permission.
> > > >
> > > > Yep. So you say.
> > >
> > > I would know - I was there.
> >
> > I believe the part about you being there.

> So it wasn't a malarial hallucination.

...not on my part.

Dave K8MN

803. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 04:16:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7C20CB.27B82EDB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52569

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7C0DA9.37165437@earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7BCC2E.75FC159E@earthlink.net...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> "Brian P
> > > Burke"
> > > > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >And all you guys are living in a fairy tale.
> > > > >
> > > > It's more like a fabrication than a fairy tale.
> > > >
> > > Yep, and without any proof of permission to operate from Somalia, the
> > > fabrication would appear to be Burke's. The only part I haven't
> really
> > > figured out is what you have to do with any of this.
> > >
> > Hang on there Dave, I'll check my log books.
> > >
> > Nope, Len is in the same predicament as you on this one. He's not in my
> > Somalia log either.
> >
> > > > > The "references" in this little sub-thread seem to be all from
> > > > > one source who was not actively involved in a decade-old
> > > > > activity. That's high-school-level playing at journalism in
> > > > > attempting to feature "official sources" without giving names
> > > > > or direct identification. When confronted, they will righteously
> > > > > shout with anger "I know this person!" as if that is some kind
> > > > > of credibility. Funny to virtually see their outrage (after
> being
> > > > > caught in the act).
> > > > >
> > > > There are actually several sources--a QSL manager, an actual operator
> > > > from the period in question whose cards are valid for DXCC credit and
> > > > finally, the DXCC desk.
```

> > >
> > > I DXCC Therefore I AM!
> > >
> > > Oh well, I guess I don't exist.
> >
> > Not according to the DXCC desk, you don't. The usual problem with
> > non-accepted operations are that the ops aren't where they said they
> > were or that they don't have sufficient documentation to establish
> > permission to operate.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> What are some of the other problems?

E-mail the desk and ask 'em.

Dave K8MN

804. HUmmmmmmmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 04:48:01 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <5KVe9.232$Zs.17944689@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D738B38.2F269DC6@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Clockwork Orange wrote:
> >
> > --
> > Try me I'll Make you Famous
> > "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
> > news:P9Cc9.24539$FJ1.4731412@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > "xganon" wrote ...
> > >
> > > "now that ol' dick-head kicked the bucket, we can cross-post to our
hearts
> > > content. we can post illegal mods and other fun things. we knew
dickey
> > > got in a heart problem when he would get his blood pressure up over
all
> > the
> > > cross-posts. he would still be alive today, if he would have minded
his
> > own
> > > business....."
> > >
> > > Wow, That certainly took a lot of class to write.
> > >
> > > Arnie -
> >
> > Yes low class
>
> What else to you expect out of a clueless illegal operating cber
> that is so chicken he has to post via an anonymizer.
>

Now JJ, something's you post are pretty good, but
this constant bashing of Cb'rs make you sound like Dave.
He's always calling people communist, you keep calling
anyone that posts drivel from anon accounts as "illegal operating cber". If
you haven't realized it yet, both sides are playing
the game, some for and some against, so it isn't to far fetched
that some are stirring the pot purposely.

Landshark
```

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

805. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:25:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909012529.02682.00002149@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52572

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 9:30 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <iJTe9.898$Np4.42745185@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>You omitted the part about verbal. Please cite it.

Which verbal part? You can refer to www.faa.gov for the aeronautical regulations.

>Yes, yes, yes. But your example wasn't in territorial waters. It was in
>International waters.

In either case, you must ahve permission of the master of the vessel before erecting and operating a radio station, even if that "radio station" is just a two meter HT. ANY RF device has the potential to create a hazard to navigation, maritime OR aeronautical, ergo it's the Captain's perogative to let you operate.

>Do you want to talk about amateur operations from a vessel within sight of
>land now?

I can be in sight of land and be in international waters. Waht dos it matter?

>>That's why "verbal" permission is OK from a ship.
>
>Why? Are you talking about DXCC again?

Addressed above.

>But that's illegal, I'm told. Must be in writing. Do you have the citation
>where verbal is legal?

Once again...to qualify as a DXCC entity, yo must be on land. Not on a vessel, even if safely harbored in port. To be on land you need a proper license or permit anyway, therefore the rest is moot.

To operate from an underway vessel (unless you happen to own it and have master's papers for it) you need the captain's permission to operate. That may be verbal. But in ANY case, there is no valid DXCC "entity" for an underway vessel. I don't know how they document it for VUCC...In that case simply a QSL card with your signature and "assurance" that you were in the grid you specified is sufficent.

>Yes, yes. What would I need if I were anchored off of Mogadishu port?

If you are within three miles of land? Appropriate permission of the captain of the vessel and documentation from the appropraite temporary regulatory authority, ie" the UN, some approved "ministry", or commissioned officer authorized to render such permission.

Are you outside the 3 mile limit? The captain's OK only.

73

Steve, K4YZ

806. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:30:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909013046.02682.00002150@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52573

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 7:44 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Z9Se9.852$1W3.40799342@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>Fine. BTW, they never asked for paperwork for Korea or Guam, either.

Korea has SOFA agreements well known to the DXCC desk, and Guam is a
United States protectorate...no other documentation except your signature
saying that that was where you really were.

>B u t h i s F r e n c h b u d d y t o l d h i m w h a t t h e o p e
>r a t i n g p r i v s w e r e a n d h e w o r k e d t h e m o u t o f
>b a n d a n y w a y.

Was DAVE out-of-band? Did DAVE have a copy of French regulaions at his
side to confirm the information?

>Y o u s h o u l d a s k i f i t i s s l o w e n u f f o r D a v e.

Dave is not the one with a comprehension deficit over regulations.

73

Steve, K4YZ

807. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:38:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909013856.02682.00002151@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52574

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 8:47 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <95Te9.880$wa4.41808203@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>OIC's have no command authority.

WHAT BRANCH OF THE ARMED FORCES WERE YOU IN?!?!?!

BBBWWWWahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!

>They can give verbal orders, but the ARRL
>blurb
>specifically said "Commander."

Then if you want to be that nit-picky, Brian, only NAVAL offocers of
paygrade O-5 (Commander) can issue that authority.

That's gonna tick off a lot of flag rank officers in ALL branches!

>But now that you've said it, it will be believed by all.

Doesn't matter who siad it, Brian...If it's true, it's true, and if it's
not, well, Lennie probably said it.

808. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:44:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909014402.02682.00002152@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52575

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 9:19 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <CyTe9.895$Ol4.42504424@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>I'm not clear on this. Are you authorized on 29.660 FM?

You ARE pulling my leg, right?

>He has a control operator.

That's one option, but remember he was using HIS callsign. If I let a
Novice operate my station on allocations in excess of HIS privileges, then we
have to operate under MY callsign. We will assume in this case that he's on
his own at home.

Come on now!

I've given you the clues!

He's a Novice...His callsign...29.660mHz...

I know you know it!

73

Steve, K4YZ

809. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:50:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52576

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>

>Brian has no "predicament." He was there.

He DOES have a "predicament"...It's not documented. Not in accordance with
DXCC rules, anyway, if being "valid" was his goal.

>Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?

Why does Lennie the Licensenless Liar insist on trying to comment on
things he has absolutely NO knowledge or experience in?

>Why do you take such delight in making fun of others?

Why do you?

> Brian Burke doesn't seem to be "taking a pummeling."

His facts are wrong and he has no documentation of his "operation" in
Somalia, yet continues to insist it's "valid".

> The only
> reason this particular sub-thread is going on ad nauseum is that
> Judge Heil refuses to stop. Heil wasn't there, isn't an authority
> on Somalia then or now. All Judge Heil seems to be doing is
> trying to get the Last Word by hitting on another.

Such as yourself, Oh Nauseating One?

Putz.

810. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:52:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909015247.02682.00002156@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52577

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 9:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <34Ue9.904$Vv4.43126821@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>Meanwhile, Dick and Steve say I wasn't.

Get it right, Brian. I never said you were not in Somalia. I said your Amateur Radio operation fromthere was not valid since you did not have the proper documentation.

73

Steve, K4YZ

811. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:55:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909015506.02682.00002157@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52578

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/8/02 7:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <O3Se9.850$3U3.40681637@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>

>> >> Do you listen to front-end noise for hours so that you can
>> >> hear the voices in there? :-)
>> >
>> >I was wondering the same thing.
>> >
>> >Perhaps its the mystique of the infamous W0EX Banana Boat Swing that's so
>> >unintelligible.
>>
>> Many radio monitors find a great bit of enjoyment tracking these
>signals
>> down and trying to figure out their origin, purpose, etc.
>>
>> Please refer to such publications as Popular Communications,
>Monitoring
>> Times, etc.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, can you actually copy any of it?

Brian, did I say I did? What I DID say was that many radio monitors enjoy tracking that stuff down...no more...no less.

73

Steve, K4YZ

812. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:57:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909015757.10323.00000253@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 52579

In article <Z1Te9.878$w94.41743672@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Secret newletters, phone calls to the desk, behind the scenes e-mail, a
>regular worldwide search...
>
>You guys are a riot.

CIA. Central Imagination Authority. :-)

813. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:57:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909015759.10323.00000254@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 52581

In article <p_Se9.874$144.41403694@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net...
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not in
>> Somalia.
>
>Really? Steve and Dick are. I think Kelly was in there also.
>
>> > Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
>> > a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
>> > well with others.
>>
>> You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?
>
>So you did something wrong. Just admit it and learn from it.

Don't ask the impossible. Judge Heil will never, ever do that.

There must be order and capitulation in His Court. :-)

[I'll have a side of fries with that order...]

>I said that I wished I had written permission. That doesn't mean that I
>didn't have permission, it only means that I wished I had asked for a letter
>or a form. Live and learn.
>
>> > Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
>> > judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
>>
>> How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?

He isn't here, sweetums...wasn't in Somalia, either, or Guinea-
Bisseau chomping on cashews while being a "rare one." :-)

Thing of it is, Judge Heil tries snow jobs on others under the
black masque of State Dept. "secrecy" to wow the amateurs
in here. He once tried to say that CW was used as an order-
wire on a State RTTY circuit...which is still dumb as hell
considering the TTY itself provides the writing and can be in
crypto mode on-line. :-) :-) :-)

>> character into question. That fact is quite interesting. When Brian's
>> high amateur ethics and morals bite him in the ass, you decide that you

>> are somehow involved.
>
>And exactly where were you in Somalia? How were you involved?

Careful, the judge may have been the khat-chewing Technial at
the K4 traffic circle, in disguise to monitor ham activity in a no-
government environment...all sponsored by state, of course. :-)

>> Only to you does Brian not seem to be taking a pummeling. One reason
>> this thread is going on ad suo nauseum is that Brian persists.
>
>Each time I will tell you that I had permission.

Judge wants to be The Law east of the Pecos. He will hang in there
adding whatever other charges he can imagine. He wants blood.

A couple of macros can make this whole exchange last forever.
The judge tries to be creative but only manages a frankenstein
monster. The exchange grows on itself in a feeding frenzy as
other shark-wannabes sense blood. The judge won't accept
anything other than capitulation. On his terms.

Doesn't matter anymore. Judge MUST have captitulation.

Yawn.

814. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:58:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909015803.10323.00000255@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 52580

In article <3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D7BBA65.ABF55764@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >>
>> >> In article <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
>> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> >> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
>> >> >Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on this
>> topic.
>> >> >His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is
>yours.
>> >>
>> >> What predicament? Brian Burke doesn't have a predicament.
>> >
>> >While it may not appear to you that Brian has a predicament, it seems
>> >that way to a number of us. Brian's high morals and amateur radio
>> >ethics are caught between Iraq and a hard place.
>>
>> :-) Tsk, tsk, tsk. Brian has no "predicament." He was there.
>
>Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not in
>Somalia.

Neither were you. Nor have you any authority on charging
anyone. All you have is desperation in trying to get another
to capitulate, to surrender to your demands.

>
>> Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
>> a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
>> well with others.
>
>You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?

Seen enough of it to make correct instant diagnoses over
computer-modem media.

>> Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
>> judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
>
>How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?

It's entertainment. :-)

>
>> >> I don't have any predicament. I hardly ever use predicates. :-)
>> >
>> >Your predicament is different, Leonardo--trying to gain respect for your
>> >views in an amateur radio newsgroup as a non-participant in amateur
>> >radio. You are clearly the Rodney Dangerfield of r.r.a.p.
>>
>> Poor baby. You don't realize what you are writing.
>>
>> These are all just words on a screen. Individuals giving opinions
>> or information or, in your case especially, hitting on those you
>> don't personally like. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>Tisket, tasket. No ticket in your basket. Is this one of those, "do as
>I say, not as I do" things?

Those lyrics have already been written, about 6 decades ago.

Don't try to get them into BMI.

>
>> You don't like me, haven't liked me since the day I expressed an
>> opinion that [OOK] CW isn't a good practice on an RTTY circuit.
>
>You see just how wrong you can be, Len? I haven't liked you since the
>first day I ever read one of your long-winded diatribes on this
>newsgroup. My encounter with you happened some time that.

Poor baby. Tried to snooker everyone that time, didn't work, now
you've been holding a grudge for years. Get over it.

>
>> Poor baby. Also a rather poor country (Guinea-Bisseau) where
>> you were the only amateur radio operator. I am absolutely sure
>> and will agree that you were the very best radio amateur in all
>> of Guinea-Bisseau when you were there. :-)
>
>Is this another of those "Do as I say, not as I do" things, Len?

If you were the ONLY ham in Guinea-Bisseau, you automatically
qualify as the very best radio amateur there then. Of course, you
also qualify for the very WORST at the same time.

Exclusivity isn't always a good thing, is it? :-)

>> Why do you take such delight in making fun of others?
>

>Why did you not ask this of Brian some time ago? Why have you never
>asked it of yourself?

Poor baby. Identity crisis? You are mistaking yourself for others.

I asked you a long time ago about that 1980s state doctrine of
using CW on an RTTY circuit...which you said (first) that you
thought up ("pretty neat?" you asked then) and (later) said that
it was some kind of State "doctrine" or "procedure."

You've waffled enough to open a new IHOP on that for years,
hiding behind arrogant "don't have to supply anyone with
classified information!"

You just can't take negative criticsm of any kind and try to
bluff your way out when caught. If that doesn't work, you go
into control-freak mode...which is now evident. Overall is this
marvelous layer of sociopathy.

>
>> >His
>> >lying down and accepting defeat isn't nearly as much fun as watching him
>> >take a pummeling.
>>
>> Brian Burke doesn't seem to be "taking a pummeling." The only
>> reason this particular sub-thread is going on ad nauseum is that
>> Judge Heil refuses to stop. Heil wasn't there, isn't an authority
>> on Somalia then or now. All Judge Heil seems to be doing is
>> trying to get the Last Word by hitting on another.
>
>Only to you does Brian not seem to be taking a pummeling. One reason
>this thread is going on ad suo nauseum is that Brian persists.

Those who are in the right (Burke) dislike control freaks who
weren't there, had no authority, have no authority now to
charge him with anything.

Is this how you would handle newcomers to US ham radio?

Acting like some idiot control freak imposing his will on them?

>> Judge Heil has now expressed his "delight" in hitting on another.
>> Continually hitting on another. That is perverse, antisocial
>> behavior anywhere.
>
>This invoked room-shaking laughter upon considering the source.

I'm glad you are getting some entertainment. For another box
of laughs, why don't you pull heads off flies?

>
>> If this keeps up, the newsgroup title ought to be changed to
>> "rec.radio.amateur.sociopathy."
>

>...at least we'd stop asking ourselves why Len Anderson is haunting it.
>
>> >You should know a great deal about taking pummelings.
>>
>> What makes you think all this is physical? This newsgroup
>> activity is all mental. The only "pummeling" that happens is
>> in the mind of the reader...especially readers with oversensitive
>> egos who cannot ever be told they are incorrect.
>
>Izzat what you read into "taking a pummeling"? Did you believe that to
>be a description of a physical action? What a loon!

Webster's dictionary says pummel or pummeling or pummeled is
"to hit with repeated blows."

Good grief, are you now an etymological judge who can "rule" on
a dictionary?!?!?

>
>> >> That radio get to W1AW yet?
>> >
>> >I offered no radio to W1AW.
>>
>> You should do as I suggested. W1AW likes to get free
>> equipment. Everytime they do, there is a nice little story on
>> ARRL website. Maybe it's for the new ARRL membership
>> drive in Africa?
>
>You doing mind control now? Why should I do as you suggested?

Nobody would really expect the Judge to do anything except
what the Judge wants. :-)

>
>> >I offered one to you in order to satisfy your "interest in radio".
>>
>> Of course you did. :-)
>
>It's on record.

Then send it off to my address. Prepaid. You know what it is.

>
>> Was it an old Philmore crystal set kit that you couldn't
>> assemble correctly? :-)
>
>Now you're going to get picky over what kind of free radio I offered
>you?

Are you pissed because you couldn't make the Philmore kit
work? :-)

>
>> Oh my, doesn't that just touch the heart? An offering of a
>> RADIO to "someone with an interest in radio!" Call the
>> networks, the news services! Get K6DUE for a live,
>> on-air reporting over CNN!
>>
>> <voice-over of The President on stock video of waving flag>
>>
>> "This year's Humanitarian Award should be immediately
>> offered to Judge Heil for that superlative, heartfelt gesture.">
>>
>> <remote of Reverend Billy Graham wiping eyes>
>>
>> "I am beyond words in gratitude. A "superior" coming down
>> from Mount Olympus to "offer" something to the commoners!"
>>
>> <cut to stock shot of poorly-clad peasants dancing around
>> a strange object in a small village in the west>
>>
>> Yassah, mastah, thankee thankee!
>>
>> <cue Roy for sign-off, tell him NOT to say "NBC" again>
>>
>> <fade with stock shot super of waving ARRL flag>
>
>You've really become a Californian, haven't you?

Since 1956. There's 30 million of us. Roy was one of them in
beautiful uptown Burbank. Want video clips?

815. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 05:58:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909015804.10323.00000256@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 52582

In article <3D7BCD6B.423DAFFB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> There is no "lunar authority" to give me any "QSLs" from the
>> moon. Too bad for postcard collectors and BDOFs. Was a
>> fun thing one time, took only 3 seconds for "confirmation." :-)
>
>You didn't work the moon, Mr. Wizard.

Houston MSFC, 1974. One of two ALSEPs still functioning on
the lunar surface.

Wasn't an amateur project. Amateurs weren't allowed to use
their ham license to operate that. :-)

Wanna go argue with NASA? :-)

>> Ever work a station on the moon, ultralight? :-)
>
>I have worked stations on other continents on 144 MHz using the moon as
>a passive reflector. QSL cards were exchanged.

Is your name "ultralight?" :-)

You got QSLs from the moon? Extraordinary! :-)

816. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 06:06:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909020645.02682.00002158@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52583

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/9/02 12:58 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020909015804.10323.00000256@mb-cp.aol.com>

>Houston MSFC, 1974. One of two ALSEPs still functioning on
> the lunar surface.

Then we are still right back to where we started, Your Geezerness...It was nothing more than a robotic device responding to a telecommand...Nothing different than me kerchunking the local repeater. You didn't "work" anyone. It was not a "station" per Part 97.

>Wasn't an amateur project. Amateurs weren't allowed to use
> their ham license to operate that.

But I CAN use my Amateur license to bounce signals off the moon...Right now, as a matter of fact...

>Wanna go argue with NASA?

There you go forgetting your place, Your Mistakeness. We are in an AMATEUR RADIO newsgroup.

>You got QSLs from the moon? Extraordinary!

Nope, but I got two from an orbiting space station.

Remind me again how many YOU have...????

817. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 06:20:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909022042.02682.00002161@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52584

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/9/02 12:58 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020909015803.10323.00000255@mb-cp.aol.com>

>>You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?
>
> Seen enough of it to make correct instant diagnoses over
> computer-modem media.

Oh Geezus

Just like the self-diagnosing idiots that show up at 3AM in the ER after having watched re-runs of "E.R." I love people who get thier medical information from dramatic TV shows wherein "asystole" is called out as "v-tach", and actors who haven't got a clue as to what they are saying rip off multi-syllable diagnosis.

The OPERATIVE word there, Your Putziness, was EXPERIENCE.

>>How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?
>
> It's entertainment.

"Lord of the Rings" is entertainment too...and just about as much fantasy as your "assessments" herein, Your Geritolslurpiness.

>Poor baby. Tried to snooker everyone that time, didn't work, now
> you've been holding a grudge for years. Get over it.

"We" know who has the grudge here, Lennie...It's the NON-Amateur who continues to spout off on matters for which he has no experience.

>Exclusivity isn't always a good thing, is it?

Especially when YOU are the most well know NON-Amateur in a group of LICNESED Amateurs, Lennie.

>Those who are in the right (Burke) dislike control freaks who
> weren't there, had no authority, have no authority now to
> charge him with anything.

In this case Brian is NOT right. His operation, for DXCC purposes, was NOT valid.

>I'm glad you are getting some entertainment. For another box
> of laughs, why don't you pull heads off flies?

Will Mrs Lennie appreciate you running around the house without yours,
Lennie?

>Nobody would really expect the Judge to do anything except
> what the Judge wants.

Such as provide "evidence" to a claim of dishonesty, Lennie? You mean
like that?

>Then send it off to my address. Prepaid. You know what it is.

1313 Mockingbird Lane?

>Since 1956. There's 30 million of us.

Which STILL makes you an import, according to "local" definitions, Lennie.
I was there too...REAL Californians can be very specific and very brutal about
what constitutes being a "Californian". You meet the "legal" deifiniton,
certainly...but "real"...Nope. Just a pretender...Just like in Amatuer Radio.

818. Proposal From landshark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 06:42:14 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <3aadfebed628af44c741f4c0fc850676@xganon.com>
Source record: 52585

Dear Amateurs,
First, I must solicit your strictest confidence in this transaction.
This is by virtue of its nature as being utterly confidential and

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
---

819. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 10:09:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909060937.18849.00002585@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52586

>Subject: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 9/5/02 11:56 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020906005640.15102.00002007@mb-fy.aol.com>

>>Subject: Re: How Many Messages?
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 9/5/02 5:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020905183855.03599.00000556@mb-fk.aol.com>

>>No. What I state is verifiable truth, no fabrications.

>Now...since you ONLYstate the truth, NO fabrications, we will wait and see
>how long it takes you to produce your verifiable truths of League dishonesty.

Well...four days later and Lennie has certainly been active in the NG, but
declines to present his evidence of alleged dishonesty at the ARRL.

I think we can write off yet another Leonard H Anderson "verifiable truth"
for what it is, and that is anything BUT the truth. A fabrication...

A LIE ! ! !

Thanks, Lennie...Just in time for my birthday.

Steve

820. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 11:46:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209090346.4196e04d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52587

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<6CSe9.865$C04.41189676@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > What makes you think I don't know anything about DoD radio ops?
>
> Because you want to use 4 jeeps to run one radio.
>
>
"Want?" Wanted what? I didn't need any Jeeps at all to glom an 80m
Novice WAS with the thing.
>
>
> You know Brian, you're on the wrong side of the argument to be saying things
> like that. You're on the side that says if you don't have the rank, you
> cannot comment.
>
>
I hope I'm not as rank as you are.
>
>
5BDXCC #142 and megapoint contest scores takes care of the ham radio
side. The silver napkin ring and the Philipino steward patting down my
rack every morning in officer's country takes care of the military
side. Comments therefore will continue to flow.
>
>
NAEC/NAEL(SI)/NE622/GS-11/12/O-4 when underway, '63-69.
>
>
You need a trip to the mast for insubordination boy.
>
>
Remind me sometime to tell you about how well yer buddy The Putz fared
at the USN Johnsville labs just up the road from here.
>
>
> > Which outgoing QSL bureau lost yer pile of T5 cards Brainiac, the T5
> > bureau?
> >
>
> Oh, now there's a T5 Buro? I don't know if the ARRL outging buro lost them,
> but I sent them.
>
>
Suuure ya did . . . .

>
>
> Let me check my logs - hold on a sec.
>
> Nope, I didn't send you a card.
>
>
Don't need your non-card, I had legitimate T5 nailed eons ago. So you do still have the logs eh? Good. Now post the callsigns, freqs, modes, dates and times of five contacts you had from T5 and we'll get this nonsense resolved.

w3rv

821. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 12:09:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909080910.02651.00000203@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52588

In article <slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>, Keith <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> writes:

>The NTIA has come out against the 5.2 MHz secondary allocation
>for Ham radio. This will be the death or delay for a few years
>of a 5.2 MHz band. Oh Well...
>
>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/09/05/101/?nc=1

Doesn't look good. So here's a 'devil's advocate' view:

What we hams are asking for is permission ot share 5.25 - 5.4 with other services who are currently there. We will do our best to avoid interference with those other services.NTIA isn't convinced.

But how would we hams react if we were being asked to share a band or bands that are now exclusively amateur? Say, 3.6 to 3.8 MHz? Or 144-146 MHz?

73 de Jim, N2EY

822. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 12:09:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909080911.02651.00000204@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52589

In article <20020907180939.18884.00001968@mb-fz.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 07 Sep 2002 22:09:39 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 9/7/02 1:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020907140857.05850.00000347@mb-mr.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20020907092256.22819.00001242@mb-cb.aol.com>,
>
>>If you know that nothing will be forthcoming , why bother to post the
>>challenge?
>
> As I said, Jim, this was just yet another milestone by which we can
>demonstrate Leonardo's real "point" in being here.

Mr. Anderson's "point" in posting here is quite obvious to anyone with a shred of common sense and objectivity.

> This was the ultimate "Do your enjoy having sex with your sister"
>question, only this time he can't get away WITHOUT answering and stiull not
>have egg on his face.

Trick questions don't prove a thing. Your question was not a trick.

Here's a simple fact:

You will not see any proof of ARRL dishonesty from Mr. Anderson. He doesn't have any such proof. A person who had such proof would post it, or a link to it. This was all clear from the long boring exchanges in other threads.

>>Is there any lack of such milestones?
>
> Nope...not at all, but this one is a mile high and painted international
>orange. Kinda hard to see!

What is there to see that has not been made blindingly obvious many, many times before?
>
>>Is there ANY reason to expect that your challenge will be met, Steve? Didn't
>>the emailed scans episode remove any doubt?
>
> He can "waffle" on those, Jim, and sans someone coming to my house and

>seeing exactly what was actually received, can make a "valid sounding"
>excuse.

The excuses I saw were not valid-sounding.

>This removes all doubt.

>>Hans is right on this one. More muck is not needed
>
> Like I said...It ain't trolling if it's true! =)

It's still muck.
>
> Still waiting on

[Mr. Anderson]

> to publish his "evidence" of ARRL dishonesty.

You have already been provided all of the alleged 'proof'. There is no more to be provided.

73 de Jim, N2EY

823. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 12:57:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909085709.15152.00002917@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52590

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/9/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020909080910.02651.00000203@mb-cc.aol.com>

>But how would we hams react if we were being asked to share a band or bands
>that are now exclusively amateur? Say, 3.6 to 3.8 MHz? Or 144-146 MHz?

True, Jim, but how many of our bands do we share, and do so efficiently for
all concerned? This need not be an "exclusive" allocation.

There's no reason that can't happen here. Also, they operate on a few
discreet channels, not the entire band. In as much as hams are NOT channelized
on HF, a 200kHz loss there could be catestophic to us, but we'd adapt.

And how about we lopp off 146-148...at least that way we'd be harmonious
with the rest of the world!

73

Steve, K4YZ

824. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 13:01:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909090127.15152.00002918@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52591

>Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/9/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020909080911.02651.00000204@mb-cc.aol.com>

>> Nope...not at all, but this one is a mile high and painted
>international
>>orange. Kinda hard to see!
>
>What is there to see that has not been made blindingly obvious many, many
>times
>before?

Nothing. I just consider it a public service to put it in one easy to see
thread. Makes it veeeery hard for him to hide it through lengthy obfuscation
and disinformation.

>>>Hans is right on this one. More muck is not needed
>>
>> Like I said...It ain't trolling if it's true! =)
>
>It's still muck.

And I STILL contend that if it's true, it's NOT muck!

>You have already been provided all of the alleged 'proof'. There is no more
>to
>be provided.

A job well done to me.

73

Steve, K4YZ

825. Re: Landshark, grasping at straws in his addled state.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 15:14:20 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gV2f9.3200$vd2.52053225@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote in message
news:20020909095937.15771.00001928@mb-cv.aol.com...
> is life so bad you had to imagine a whole organization with you being the
> leader??? some people will do anything to make hemselfs feel
important.....
>
>

Dr. Jekel Mr. Hyde syndrome.

Now for Doug's post, I have him kill filled since he sent me
e-mail from a yahoo account.
>
> >
> >
> >You I won't call a drug addict, Landcarp. I will call you what we all
> >know you to be. A criminal.

Really? that's nice

>I told you buttheads a long time ago that
> >all ya had to do was come over. Not one of you have the >guts.

Of course some do, but you will sing a different tune.
Hell, look how you sucked up to Chuck.

>Hence
> >you are also labelled a sissy. Making threats and not carrying them
> >out when challenged is the mark of a sissy. Any arguements there,

Sure, where have I threatened you? Show me a post where
I said I was going to do any sort of violence towards you.

> >Markie Beckie?
> >
> >As far as complaints to my ISP go, you are REALLY showing how stupid
> >you are when you post that contact info, since uunet is a >backbone.

Oh really:

Received: from vm5-ext.prodigy.net by vm5 with SMTP; Sun, 1 Sep 2002
19:27:48 -0400
X-Originating-IP: [216.145.48.34]
Received: from mail-relay1.yahoo.com (mail-relay1.yahoo.com [216.145.48.34])
by vm5-ext.prodigy.net

(8.11.0.in.saslm.reject.disable.sbc2.sleep.maildfixand2sdomain/8.11.0) with
ESMTP id g81NRlm180184
for ; Sun, 1 Sep 2002 19:27:47 -0400
Received: from besotes.corp.yahoo.com (besotes.corp.yahoo.com
[216.145.48.76])
by mail-relay1.yahoo.com (Postfix) with SMTP id 16E438B5CD
for ; Sun, 1 Sep 2002 16:27:47 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Sun, 01 Sep 2002 16:27:46 -0700
To: Landshark
Subject: Re: Abuse of your system (KMM59876805V98023L0KM)
From: Yahoo!Mail
Reply-To: Yahoo!Mail

X-Mailer: Kana 6.0
Message-Id: <20020901232747.16E438B5CD@mail-relay1.yahoo.com>

Hello,

Thank you for writing to Yahoo! Mail.

In this particular case, we have taken appropriate action against the
Yahoo! account in question, as per our Terms of Service (TOS). For
further details about the Yahoo! TOS, you can visit:

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Please know that Yahoo! is unable to disclose the action taken on
another user's account with a third party. We are not able to make
exceptions to this rule.

Thank you again for contacting Yahoo! Customer Care.

Regards,

Yahoo! Customer Care
http://www.yahoo.com/

30450180

Original Message Follows:

I have this person blocked on the newsgroups, now
he has resorted to e-mail. PLEASE have this person
stop this nonsense. I DO NOT desire this type of
e-mails and find it very offensive.

Received: from vm5-ext.prodigy.net by vm5 with SMTP; Sun, 1 Sep 2002
08:26:01 -0400
X-Originating-IP: [216.136.174.71]
Received: from web12904.mail.yahoo.com (web12904.mail.yahoo.com
[216.136.174.71])
by vm5-ext.prodigy.net
(8.11.0.in.saslm.reject.disable.sbc2.sleep.maildfixand2sdomain/8.11.0)
with SMTP id g81CPsm56478

for ; Sun, 1 Sep 2002 08:25:54 -0400
Message-ID: <20020901122553.78644.qmail@web12904.mail.yahoo.com>
Received: from [206.148.128.15] by web12904.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP;
Sun, 01 Sep 2002 05:25:53 PDT
Date: Sun, 1 Sep 2002 05:25:53 -0700 (PDT)
From: Crackhead Chapwoman
Subject: Chapwoman, caught on tape while in jail for crack posession!
To: rchapman8@houston.rr.com
Cc: s3buell@sbcglobal.net, twistedhed@webtv.net, timnebo@aol.com

boundary="0-1774509220-1030883153=:69749"

--0-1774509220-1030883153=:69749

boundary="0-1640888622-1030883153=:69749"

--0-1640888622-1030883153=:69749

Do You Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Finance - Get real-time stock quotes
--0-1640888622-1030883153=:69749

..

Seems to work for me

> >
> >You are a traumatized, ineffective little dweeb, sharkieboy.
> >
> >As for Chuck...He isnt a full fledged AKC by a long shot. In any case,
> >that situation is none of your business.
> >
> >If you were in the midwest, and not a sissified coward >stretch>,
> >you could have met me too.

Doug, you are not worth it

>Not that I would especially care to meet
> >such an admitted bad citizen.
> >

Really, I would have loved to meet Richard Nixon.

> >Told you jerkoffs long time ago. I have ALL the hospitality you could
> >ever need, in any form necessary. You just can't comprehend that there
> >is nothing you can do to stop the Anti-boys. You think you are some
> >omnipotent being? In reality you are just a legend in your own mind.
> >

Been watching Dirty Harry movies to bolster your ego?

> >One last thing, Markie Beckie...If you had any proof of any kind about
> >any dirt of the magnitude you are accusing me of I would not be
> >posting this right now. All you do is grasp at straws in the useless
> >attempt to stop the AKC. It won't happen, and you are doomed to keep

> >making the attempts, much to the glee of the AKC.
> >
> >I hear week 2 of twithed's vacation journal tells all about you. I
> >can't wait to read it.
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

Whatever Doug, keep rambling.

Landshark

--
Try sending your complaints to these concerning
Keyclownslamma (Doug Adair N8wwm) constant
flooding of the newsgroup:

help@uu.net

abuse-mail@wcom.com

netadmin@ao.wcom.net

groups-abuse@google.com

abuse@yahoo.com

Consumer Affairs
WorldCom
1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
Arlington, VA 22202
800-695-4405

826. ARNewsline 1308 - Sept 6 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 16:32:14 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909123214.06793.00003884@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 52593

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1308 – September 6th, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1307 with a release date of Friday, September 6th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. 222 to 225 MHz comes under attack once again, N Sync's Bass may not fly to the I-S-S after all and an Ohio city regulates 11 meter C-B. Find out the details exclusively on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1308 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

THE WAR TO SAVE OUR BANDS: A NEW ATTACK ON 222 - 225 MHZ

Some are calling it Deja Vous. This as big business once again sets its eyes on grabbing a ham band and converting it into a money making machine. And once again its the old 220 MHz band. David Black, KB4KCH, is here with the details:

--

Once again, amateur radio frequencies may be under siege. Large corporations wanting to become even bigger want hams off the 222 to 225 MHz band, so they can make money. This time, the challenge comes from Data Commlink Incorporated and its twenty electrical cooperatives. Data Commlink filed comments in W T Docket 02-224.

That's an FCC proposal that began innocently enough. The proposal sought to gather comments on requests for waivers to provide band management services in the 220 to 222 MHz band. That's the piece of spectrum that used to belong to Amateur Radio but which hams say was stolen by greedy businesses over a decade ago.

But page 10 of DataComlink's filing holds comments that strike straight at amateur radio. The company says quote--the spectrum at 222 to 225 MHz that is currently held by the Amateur Radio Service is being underutilized. In the early days of 220 MHz commercial regulations, amateur Service licensees argued that their spectrum was necessary and important in order to provide communications in the event of disaster or emergency. Although we take no issue with this claim, we do not feel that the 222 to 225 MHz band has been utilized as well as Amateur Radio claimed it would by so-called no-code hams in their efforts to keep a foothold in the 220 MHz arena.

The filing then presents a direct challenge to ham radio. Without citing any evidence, Data Comlink asserts that in nearly all densely populated areas, the 222 to 225 MHz band is largely quiet. The company claims that only what it terms as handful of individuals in the Amateur Radio Service even use the

spectrum. Data Comlink then says hundreds of thousands of potential commercial users wait with no alternatives.

Data Comlink tells the FCC that--with considerable commercial interest already in the 222 to 225 MHz band because of waiver requests--it feels that the frequencies amateur radio holds would be much better utilized for commercial use. The company says existing users of the spectrum -- ham radio operators -- are so few in number that the economic impact on those affected would be minimal.

As for where the thousands of US hams who actually do use 220 to 225 would wind up, Data Comlink says nothing. Another issue is left unaddressed. If the FCC were to actually give the Data Comlink proposal any serious consideration, who would reimburse repeater owners the hundreds of thousands of dollars invested in their systems? DataComlink is silent on that one.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm David Black, KB4KCH.
--

What credence will the FCC give the Data Comlink idea? That's hard to say. Since it comes in the context of comments on another matter the Commission could simply rule that anything dealing with 222 to 225 MHz is not germane to the issue. On the other hand, the FCC could use the Data Comlink commentary as the basis for a Notice of Inquiry aimed at reallocating the 222 to 225 MHz band to commercial use. Which way they will go will not be known for a few months at the latest. In the meantime ham radio had better keep a close eye on the situation so that nothing is covertly slipped by.

The complete Data Comlink filing is on the web at http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/retrieve.cgi?native_or_pdf=pdf&id_document=6513289200 (From comments filed to FCC by Data Comlink)

**

RADIO RULES: BAND SHARING REQUEST FOR 70 CM

The ARRL news reports that an amateur from Virginia has petitioned the FCC to allocate eight channels in the 70-cm band for use by visitors from Europe and the United Kingdom with Personal Mobile Radio transceivers. The recently authorized PMR 446 is similar to the US Family Radio Service. Dr. Michael Trahos, KB4PGC, a physician from Alexandria, filed the petition last January, saying it would help to promote international goodwill. The FCC has designated the petition as RM-10521. (ARRL)

**

ENFORCEMENT: HAM CHARGED WITH MISDEMEANOR IN ILLINOIS TRAGEDY

An Inverness Illinois man who authorities say misused a police radio channel has been ordered to turn over all the radio gear that he owns to local authorities. Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has more:

--
According to a report in the Chicago Tribune Cook County Judge Joseph Urso has

ordered that William Bily turn over all his transmitting equipment to Barrington-Inverness police. This, as a condition of his release on bond while awaiting trial on misdemeanor charges.

Bily appeared August 30th in the Rolling Meadows branch of Cook County Circuit Court in connection with misdemeanor charges of resisting a peace officer and interfering with emergency communications. A longtime amateur radio enthusiast, Bily is said to have disrupted police communications Sunday August 25th by transmitting over the Barrington-Inverness police radio channel. When Officer Steven Graham came to his home, Bily allegedly resisted arrest. Authorities say that a struggle ensued and that during their tussle Officer Graham who was only 53 suffered a fatal heart attack.

Asserting the heart attack resulted from the scuffle, the Cook County medical examiner's office ruled Graham's death to a homicide. But Assistant State's Attorney Steven Rosenblum said that his office does not intend to seek more serious charges against Bily.

Bily's attorney, Frank Cece, asked that his client only be forced to hand over radio gear that could be used to transmit on the police radio band. He maintained that Bily, a Marine veteran who saw combat during the Vietnam War, has much equipment that poses no risk to police radio frequencies.

But States Attorney Rosenblum countered that this is not the first occasion police had visited Bily's house. The first time was on July 4th of 2000, also for allegedly interrupting the police frequency.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, reporting.
--

As part of the bond agreement Judge Urso also ordered that Bily not possess any firearms and that he not contact the family of the deceased officer. A trial is set to take place on October 2nd in the Rolling Meadows courthouse.
(Adapted from information supplied by KC9RP, W9FS and others)

**

ENFORCEMENT: “RABBIT EARS” THE HAM JAMMER GETS JAIL

A CB operator from Florida with an apparent hatred of hams will spend the next year in a federal prison. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bill Burnett, KT4SB, reports from Miami.

--
He called himself Rabbit Ears on the C-B two-way. He also harassed ham radio communications on several bands. And now, Jupiter Florida Citizens Band operator William Flippo will spend a total of 15 months in federal prison. He will also pay a $25,000 fine for jamming Amateur Radio communications and transmitting without a license.

According to the ARRL Letter, Federal District Court Judge Daniel Hurley imposed the sentence August 29th. This, following a guilty verdict on June 19th on four counts of operating a radio transmitter without a license and another four counts of deliberate and malicious interference.

According to trial testimony, Flippo primarily had targeted members of the Jupiter-Tequesta Repeater Group for jamming and regularly interfered with amateur operations, especially on 10 and 2 meters, over an approximately three-year period. He has been in custody since the guilty verdict and underwent a psychiatric evaluation prior to sentencing.

At the sentencing, Flippo reportedly wept. He said that he had turned over a new leaf and claimed he was sole support for his wife and two daughters. But Judge Hurley was not impressed. He told the 60 year old Flippo that he was old enough to have considered the consequences of his actions. Hurley also alleged numerous incidents of perjury in the course of Flippo's testimony during his six-and-one-half day trial.

Judge Hurley also told Flippo that wants a full financial disclosure from him. And when he does get out of jail, Flippo will spend another year on supervised probation during which he cannot own radio gear or firearms. In other words, for the next two years the law will be watching this C-B'er like a hawk.

In Miami, I'm Bill Burnett, KT4SB, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--

Judge Hurley ordered Flippo to immediately start serving his sentence. The former C-B operator was reportedly led into and out of the courtroom in shackles and leg irons. (ARRL, others)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the WA6ELR repeater serving Hollywood California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAMS, CB'ERS, GMRS AID AFTER TORNADO

Hams, C-B's, and just about anyone with a radio system not dependent on phone lines is pitching in to provide emergency communications in Ladysmith Wisconsin. This follows a devastating tornado on Monday, September 2nd that destroyed a major part of the town and completely knocked out the areas communications infrastructure. Twenty people were injured.

As we go to air, there is still no wireline telephone service and cellular service is spotty at best. The personal communications teams are reportedly augmenting local law enforcement and fire services in relief efforts. Wisconsin Governor Scott McCallum declared the Ladysmith a disaster area. (Published news reports, on-air reports)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: RUSSIA TELLS BASS HES OUT OF ISS TRIP

A Russian space official said on Tuesday September 3rd that N Sync singer Lance Bass has been asked to leave Russia's cosmonaut training program and will not be the world's third space tourist. Sergei Gorbunov, a spokesman for the Russian Space Agency, said the decision to end negotiations with Bass was made in connection with what was termed as violations of his contract to fly on a supply mission to the orbiting outpost.

Bass began training in July at Star City, and just returned to Russia on Sunday after spending a week at NASA's Johnson Space Center in Houston with the other two crew members. There, Bass was trained in use of several station systems including the ARISS ham radio station. Now, Gorbunov said Bass, who had hoped to fly to the Space Station aboard a Russian Soyuz rocket on October 28th, would be leaving and would not be permitted to return.

Gorbunov said that in place of Bass, the Russians would likely send up a cargo container with extra equipment needed on the International Space Station. Gorbunov said the container has already been prepared and is ready to go.

But Bass’s representatives have a different story. They say that that its all a misunderstanding and that the 23 year old singer should be back in training shortly. If he does make it to orbit, Bass will become the youngest person to ever fly in space. (Published news reports)

**

RADIO LAW: LANCASTER OHIO HAS NEW CB CONTROL LAW

Lancaster Ohio now has a new City Ordinance to put teeth into local enforcement of the FCC’s Part 95 CB radio rules. The measure was passed on August 26th and is the handi-work of Lancaster City Law Director David Trimmer and a local area radio amateur named John Hilliard, W8OF. The two put the legislation together over the last several months and sent it twice to the FCC office in Farmington Hills, Michigan for review and comments.

Under the law, CB radio users who operate on what’s termed as an unlawful frequency or using excessively powerful equipment could be cited for a misdemeanor, with a maximum penalty of 180 days in jail and a $1,000 fine. Ham radio operators are exempted because the city says that they are trained, licensed, are regulated differently under federal law.

City officials estimate there are at least 250 people operating 11 meter Class D CB radios in Lancaster and not all follow FCC rules. The city's law will give prosecutors a tool to fight these abuses. The FCC believes that Lancaster is the first municipality to enact such a far-reaching ordinance to regulate CB radio within the city limits. (ARNewsline™ from information supplied by W8OF)

**

ENFORCEMENT: YUCAIPA CALIFORNIA TEST SESSION INVESTIGATION CONTINUES

The FCC audit of a W5YI-VEC Amateur Radio test session in California is continuing. The ARRL Letter reports that so far, the probe into a September 1, 2001, examination session in the city of Yucaipa has led to the suspension of seven volunteer examiners and the cancellation of five Amateur Radio licenses.

The W5YI-VEC's Larry Pollock, NB5X, said possible irregularities surfaced earlier this year in the form of an e-mail and a telephone call concerning two candidates at VE sessions in Yucaipa. Pollock said that one of the reports was that a license had been purchased in California. The other was that the individual in question could not copy code, and that if he had passed the exam, he had done so fraudulently.

After considerable investigation on his own, Pollock said he saw enough questionable data that he felt retesting was in order. That’s the remedy he proposed to FCC Special Counsel for Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH. That was the action taken and also the action which lead to the five cancellations. The FCC’s Hollingsworth said the agency would continue to investigate other examinations administered by the VEs involved in the September 1, 2001, session in Yucaipa. (ARRL)

**

ENFORCEMENT: MAN MADE QRM ON 20 METERS

The Maritime nets on 14.315 MHz are reporting problems with interference. VK2UW says that the interference appears to be man made and regularly appears as both noises and CW type transmissions. The Australian Communications Agency has been notified and is monitoring. (Q-News)

**

HAMFESTS: TRW SWAP MEET FOR SEPTEMBER CANCELED

A reminder. If you are planning to travel to Los Angeles this September to attend the famed TRW Swap Meet, choose some other month. This is because the giant swap-feast has been canceled because a corporate event will be held at the Space Park Facility on Saturday, September 28th.

Only this month, September, is canceled. There will be a TRW Swap Meet the last Saturday of the month in October, November and December. For more information visit http://w6trw.com on the world wide web. (TRW ARC)

**

CONVENTIONS & HAMFESTS: BOXBORO MASS GROWS

Attendance at this years Boxboro Massachusetts Hamfest seemed to be back to its normal level of about 3,000. This continues an upward spiral first noted a few weeks ago at the Huntsville Hamfest in Alabama.

According to observers, the exhibit hall was busy all day Saturday and there seemed to be an increased number of big-ticket sales. This again mirrors what happened at the shows in Huntsville and to a lesser degree in Escondido California that same weekend.

The biggest draw in the forums area was once again Bob Heil, K9EID, and his audio clinic road show. Bob had about 100 people in the room, many of whom left the session and immediately hit the dealers to buy the appropriate peripheral gear.

Boxboro is one a dwindling number of hamfests and conventions that still has an annual banquet. This years speaker was ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP. Also on the program was live music from a four piece band.

How good was this years Boxboro Hamfest? Vertex-Standard's Chip Margelli, K7JA sums it up this way. He says that he couldn't leave the booth or take a break until 4:15 Saturday afternoon. And that kind of says it all. (K7JA, others)

**

WITH THE HANDI HAMS: AUDIO BULLETIN ON THE WWW

The weekly Handi Ham e-letter is now available as an audio file. Its in cyberspace on the Handi-Ham Audio page at www.handiham.org. Archived text issues are also available at the same URL. (HandiHams)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

INTERNATIONAL - SOUTH AFRICA: HAMS CONTINUE TO ASSIST AT WORLD SUMMINT

Ham radio is continuing its support effort at the U-N Sponsored World Summit on Sustainable Development. With the latest, to Johannesburg and Hans van der Gronnendal, ZS6AKV:

--

AUDIO REPORT ONLY: You can hear it at http://www.arnewsline.org

--

More on ham radio at this important world summit next week.

**
INTERNATIONAL – SOUTH AFRICA : JOHNNY NEETHLING, ZS1GB – S.K.

Still from South Africa comes the sad news that Johnny Neethling, ZS1GB, passed away on Friday 19 July. Neethling as 80 and was licensed just after World War 2. ZS1GB was well known in Radio and TV circles. He was also involved in maintaining equipment in the first the first heart transplant operation to take place in South Africa. (RSGB)

**

INTERNATIONAL - GIBRALTER: WILFRED GUERRERO, ZB2IB – S.K.

Q-News reports the unexpected death of well known Gibraltar radio amateur Wilfred Guerrero, ZB2IB. Guerrero was 55 years of age and for many years was the mainstay of amateur radio in Gibraltar. Most recently he pioneered,

virtually single-handedly, the introduction of the Foundation License in that nation. (Q-News)

**
INTERNATIONAL - AUSTRALIA: VK UHF CB TECH STANDARDS CHANGE

The technical standards for C-B gear used in Australia are changing. Q-News Graham Kemp, V-K-4-B-B, reports from down-under:

--
APCNews says the VK UHF CB equipment standard is to change. The standard will require that CTCSS equipment include facilities to reduce interference to non CTCSS users. Required features include a 'channel busy' warning light and a 'listen before transmit' function. The changes were required by the Australian Communications Authority following changes that legalized CTCSS signaling on UHF CB channels. From Q-News Australia, I’m Graham Kemp, VK4BB.
--

The change affects only the Australian U-H-F Citizens Radio Service. No other bands are affected. (APC News, Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL – SCANDINAVIA: NEW MAJOR HAMFEST IN EU

A major new European amateur radio event will take place in Gothenburg Sweden for the first time in April next year. The Scandinavian HamVention 2003 will be a big hamfest where Scandinavian and other European radio amateurs can get together. There will be a large exhibition with amateur radio dealers from all over Europe and it is planned to offer Scandinavia's biggest flea-market yet, allowing radio amateurs to trade, buy and sell everything to do with our hobby. A traditional Scandinavian amateur radio dinner will be held and there will also be a special ladies' program. The Swedish national amateur radio organization, the SSA, will hold its annual meeting at the same time. Further details are on the Scandinavian HamVention website http://www.scandiham.com/. (GB2RS)

**

DX

In D-X, the Canadian licensing authority, Industry Canada, has authorized the use of special prefixes to celebrate the 125th anniversary of Japanese immigration to Canada. The special prefixes will be on the air from the 1st of September until the 31st of October.

And this for those listening across the Atlantic a lot higher in frequency. Word from the Radio Society of Great Britain that the G-B-3-N-W-K microwave beacons located in North West Kent are currently non-operational. The beacons, which usually transmit on 1296.81 and 2320.85MHz are off the air until further notice due to site problems.

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: 911 REMEMBERED

And finally this week, we pause to remember the tragedy of Tuesday September 11th 2001. Here’s Amateur Radio Newsline producer Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.

--
I’m going to tile this as an editorial. Ill also promise to keep it short.

We have all heard these words before. “September 11th, 2001 is a day that changed all of our lives forever.”

The pictures are still there in our minds eye. The giant Boeing jets crashing into the World Trade Center. The buildings crumbling to the ground. The fire at the Pentagon. The scorched earth in Pennsylvania.

And the other scenes. The ones only known to ham radio. Those of the radio amateurs from New York, Washington and Pennsylvania who sprang immediately into action. The hams from across America who dropped everything, grabbed their H-T and traveled sometimes thousands of miles -- paying their own way -- to become the second, third and forth wave of relief operators and volunteers.

No, ham radio was not on the front page of newspapers. Hams were not the lead story on the evening news. The tragedy of 911 was the story. The job of ham radio was to be the carrier of the message so that aid and comfort could be brought to the victims of this horrific and cowardly act of terrorism.

What 911 showed is that our loose knit fraternity can come together when the need arises. That we maybe called radio amateurs, but that we are communications professionals. That ham radio is there when it is needed.

On 911, ham radio did the job its people have trained themselves to do. The hobby has since moved on. So has our nation. But neither will ever be the same.

I’m Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF
--

We pray that a tragedy like 911 never happens again.

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA in New Orleans remembering the events of 911 and saying God bless America, 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

827. new irc channel..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 21:01:41 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpachuck@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a13qnuslisbcdqspbtbqm8tpd79c4gaug5@4ax.com>
Source record: 52594

New IRC chat Channel... On DALnet

#hamchat

registered channel

join us...

go to http://www.mirc.com to get MIRC, the nicest IRC chat client
around.

73

828. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 22:36:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1o9f9.84$tC5.6964268@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52595

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020909015506.02682.00002157@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 7:38 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <O3Se9.850$3U3.40681637@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >> >> Do you listen to front-end noise for hours so that you can
> >> >> hear the voices in there? :-)
> >> >
> >> >I was wondering the same thing.
> >> >
> >> >Perhaps its the mystique of the infamous W0EX Banana Boat Swing that's
so
> >> >unintelligible.
> >>
> >> Many radio monitors find a great bit of enjoyment tracking these
> >signals
> >> down and trying to figure out their origin, purpose, etc.
> >>
> >> Please refer to such publications as Popular Communications,
> >Monitoring
> >> Times, etc.
> >>
> >> 73
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Steve, can you actually copy any of it?
>
> Brian, did I say I did? What I DID say was that many radio monitors
enjoy
> tracking that stuff down...no more...no less.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm just curious if people are code-breaking kg84's and such. Brian

829. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-09 22:47:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909184716.05970.00000440@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 52596

In article <d22b54c3.0209090346.4196e04d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:<6CSe9.865$C04.41189676@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
>> > What makes you think I don't know anything about DoD radio ops?
>>
>> Because you want to use 4 jeeps to run one radio.
>>
>"Want?" Wanted what? I didn't need any Jeeps at all to glom an 80m
>Novice WAS with the thing.

Several readers are curious why you posted the tale of using an ARC-5 in a WW2 jeep. Impossible unless there were three more 6 V batteries available (not mentioned).

>You need a trip to the mast for insubordination boy.

Neither the USAF nor the US Army have "captain's mast."

>Remind me sometime to tell you about how well yer buddy The Putz fared
>at the USN Johnsville labs just up the road from here.

This might be interesting considering there hasn't been any "Johnsville" US Navy facility in Warminster, PA, since before 1970. There was an NADC or Naval Air Development Center in Warminster during 1971, a subdivision of Naval Air Systems Command. Across the road was Warminster NAS, a one-runway airfield. Both had been the property of Brewster Aircraft Co., the only known aircraft company to go bankrupt during WW2. I was at NADC for about four months total in 1971, representing RCA Corporation as on-site field engineer during SECANT system tests there. SECANT was an aircraft anti-collision system and one part of NADC was evaluating that and Minneapolis-Honeywell's adaptation of a helicopter station-keeping system for collision avoidance. Operating frequency was 1.6 GHz. NADC had test management responsibility for both systems.

NAVSTAR was undergoing in-flight testing at the same time, managed by another laboratory within NADC. NAVSTAR later grew into GPSS. The NAVSTAR project shared the RA-2 with collision-avoidance group on airborne tests.

You are welcome to fabricate whatever you wish on what happened 31 years ago in one part of Pennsylvania...but please try to get the correct names for a military facility. For what it's worth, there was nothing to "charge" anyone with on either the government-

military or corporate side at that time. One day the NAVSTAR group accidentally unfolded the wings of the RA-2 on the ground, striking the shared ground service truck top, barely missing the blade antenna there for the 225-400 MHz truck radio. No real damage occurred but the NAVSTAR people were "talked to."

SECANT development continued at both RCA and NADC, including design of a third phase airborne unit of much smaller size using a number of innovative techniques (SAW or surface-acoustic wave bandpass filters at around 62 MHz having 1 MHz bandpass with brick-wall shaped skirt response). NADC lab engineers visited RCA EASD, Van Nuys, CA, in 1974 in preparation for third phase tests and data recorder interfaces between 60-bit word and 64-bit word computers for recorder data reduction.

The FAA independently chose the MIT-developed adaptation of existing ATC transponders for collision-avoidance over any other system; that system works only on air carrier aircraft and still leaves the majority of civil and military aircraft without any automatic, independent collision-avoidance capability. That decision obviated any further development of other collision-avoidance systems. Both Minneapolis-Honeywell and RCA Corporation projects were shut down and un-flight-tested third-phase equipments delivered to the government in 1975.

NADC vacated the Warminster location some years later and all then-existing projects transferred to NASC according to one civilian engineer at NADC who I worked with in 1971 (we had surface and e-mail correspondence up to 1999).

>Remind me sometime to tell you about how well yer buddy The Putz fared
>at the USN Johnsville labs just up the road from here.

So...what did ultralight wish to "tell?" Be specific, use proper dating and technical details.

830. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 00:30:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <P2bf9.175$vh7.11046204@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52597

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020909012529.02682.00002149@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 9:30 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <iJTe9.898$Np4.42745185@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
>
> >You omitted the part about verbal. Please cite it.
>
> Which verbal part? You can refer to www.faa.gov for the aeronautical
> regulations.

We were talking about boats.

> >Yes, yes, yes. But your example wasn't in territorial waters. It was in
> >International waters.
>
> In either case, you must ahve permission of the master of the vessel
> before erecting and operating a radio station, even if that "radio
station" is
> just a two meter HT. ANY RF device has the potential to create a hazard
to
> navigation, maritime OR aeronautical, ergo it's the Captain's perogative
to let
> you operate.
>
> >Do you want to talk about amateur operations from a vessel within sight
of
> >land now?
>
> I can be in sight of land and be in international waters. Waht dos
it
> matter?
>
> >>That's why "verbal" permission is OK from a ship.
> >
> >Why? Are you talking about DXCC again?
>
> Addressed above.
>
> >But that's illegal, I'm told. Must be in writing. Do you have the
citation
> >where verbal is legal?
>

> Once again...to qualify as a DXCC entity, yo must be on land. Not on
a
> vessel, even if safely harbored in port. To be on land you need a proper
> license or permit anyway, therefore the rest is moot.
>
> To operate from an underway vessel (unless you happen to own it and
have
> master's papers for it) you need the captain's permission to operate.
That may
> be verbal. But in ANY case, there is no valid DXCC "entity" for an
underway
> vessel. I don't know how they document it for VUCC...In that case simply
a QSL
> card with your signature and "assurance" that you were in the grid you
> specified is sufficent.
>
> >Yes, yes. What would I need if I were anchored off of Mogadishu port?
>
> If you are within three miles of land? Appropriate permission of
the
> captain of the vessel and documentation from the appropraite temporary
> regulatory authority, ie" the UN, some approved "ministry", or
commissioned
> officer authorized to render such permission.
>
> Are you outside the 3 mile limit? The captain's OK only.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

831. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 00:34:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <L6bf9.179$uh7.11045174@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52598

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7C202A.EA7FECD0@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7C16E2.1C695BBD@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> > > > >
> > > > > Meanwhile, Dick and Steve say I wasn't.
> > > >
> > > I recall Steve writing that he believes you had verbal permission.
That
> > > wouldn't indicate that he believes you weren't there.
>
> > > > I'm adressing you and your Tanzanian operations with Frenchmen out
of
> > band
> > > > on 6M.
> > >
> > > That'd be silly on your part. I operated where I was permitted to
> > > operate under my documentation (which I still have) and at no time did
I
> > > lure innocent French stations to break French regulations. By the
way,
> > > have you checked up on the Poles, the British, the Dutch, the Italians
> > > and the rest of those suspicious Europeans? Maybe they were breaking
> > > their regs too in working me.
> >
> > Did you work them out of band, also?
>
> No, I was still in band.

Congrats.

> > > > > > And exactly where were you in Somalia? How were you involved?
> > > > >
> > > > > Excuse me, Mr. Ethics. Len asked about my being in Somalia. I'm
> > > > > pointing out that he is injecting himself into the conversation

and
> > that
> > > > > HE has never been in Somalia nor is he a ham or DXer. Allow me to
> > point
> > > > > > out that you were not in Tanzania either. Didn't seem to even
slow
> > you
> > > > > > down.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, that's almost a valid point. Either it is, or it isn't.
> > >
> > > Either it is or isn't a valid point? Why not write the complete
thought
> > > and save us both a lot of bother?
> >
> > ...a valid point.
> >
> > > > > If it is, then you'll recognize that you have nothing to say about
> > Somalia.
> > > > > If it isn't, then you'll recognize that Len can get involved in any
way
> > he
> > > > > > chooses.
> > > > >
> > > > > Which is it?
> > >
> > > Oh, you don't get off so easy! Where's the part about your
involvement
> > > in my operations from Tanzania, Brian?
> >
> > I had none. I'm not culpable. On the other hand, a French ham cam on
here
> > and told you thir authorizations. You responded back to him, so at
least
> > you were aware of their authorizations.
>
> As I mentioned earlier, I have no idea if the person posting here was
> French or had valid information on French licensing.

But either way, it didn't matter to you, did it? You were going to work
whoever came up out of band or not.

> > Then you said you'd continue to
> > work them as you weren't out of band.
>
> I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy was interested,
> he could have contacted the French PTT about French scofflaws. The
> matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which brings us to your
> involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...

Dave, I know enough now not to work the Frenchmen out of band. I'm not so sure about you.

> > > > > > Each time I will tell you that I had permission.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yep. So you say.
> > > > >
> > > > > I would know - I was there.
> > > >
> > > > I believe the part about you being there.
>
> > So it wasn't a malarial hallucination.
>
> ...not on my part.
>
> Dave K8MN

832. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 00:41:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Wcbf9.182$tk7.11218198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52599

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
>
> >Brian has no "predicament." He was there.
>
> He DOES have a "predicament"...It's not documented. Not in accordance
with
> DXCC rules, anyway, if being "valid" was his goal.

Are you going to place me under DXCC arrest or what?

> >Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> > judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
>
> Why does Lennie the Licensenless Liar insist on trying to comment on
> things he has absolutely NO knowledge or experience in?
>
> >Why do you take such delight in making fun of others?
>
> Why do you?
>
> > Brian Burke doesn't seem to be "taking a pummeling."
>
> His facts are wrong and he has no documentation of his "operation" in
> Somalia, yet continues to insist it's "valid".

Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts. Cite the legal reference
where my operation is invalid.

833. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 00:49:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Vkbf9.184$zX6.9785561@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52600

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message news:alh60o$55$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <S9Ue9.908$Ew4.43175202@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> bpburke@prodigy.net says...
>
> >
> > Why didn't you know ten years ago. At least then I would have had a chance
> > to locate my deployed commander.
>
> Because 10 years ago I worked 3 T5/ operators. Ultimately 2 were
> accepted, the 3rd actually was working everyone from a ship. I found
> out the 2 were ok after I sent them a card, received theirs and
> submitted it.

Steve, I should have included a smiley.

Too bad about the shipboard op. Didn't he indicate he was on a boat?

Too bad he didn't get on a few resupply runs through Mog and do his ops from land. I recall the ship's Captain offering to bring us a warm dinner on Christmas, but Gen Johnston said we weren't there to eat hot meals. The rest of the marines were good people, though.

> > > > Secret newletters, phone calls to the desk, behind the scenes e-mail, a
> > > > regular worldwide search...
> > >
> > > No phone calls were necessary, Brian. 1 e-mail to the DXCC desk
> > > confirmed you never submitted anything. BTW, it's up to the operator to
> > > submit his documentation to the DXCC desk. They don't contact you.
> >
> > What, no member services?
> >
> > Would have been nice if an incoming card were rejected and they notified me.
> > After all, it had my callsign on it.
>
> Most cases, you'd find out from a someone who had your card rejected and
> then would contact the DXCC desk to find out what the rejection was for.

Strangely, no one has complained - except the vociferous ones on rrap who aren't even in my logs.

> > They're all over me if my membership is about to expire.
> >
> > Oh well.
>
> As is every magazine or subscription that I have that's about to expire

I'll try to contact my OIC from over there and see what he knows of our commander.

834. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 01:00:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pubf9.191$ep7.11475217@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52701

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020909013856.02682.00002151@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 8:47 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <95Te9.880$wa4.41808203@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >OIC's have no command authority.
>
> WHAT BRANCH OF THE ARMED FORCES WERE YOU IN?!?!?!
>
> BBBWWWWahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!

The person must be a commander (no, not navy rank) to have command
authority. Every officer working for that commander is not a commander in
his/her own right. That's just the way it is.

Every branch of the service sees it that way. They're all enforced under
something called the Uniform code of Military Justice (UCMJ). If each
service were different in that respect, they would have to have a separate
UCMJ for each service. They don't.

> >They can give verbal orders, but the ARRL
> >blurb
> >specifically said "Commander."
>
> Then if you want to be that nit-picky, Brian, only NAVAL offocers of
> paygrade O-5 (Commander) can issue that authority.

You'd be silly to think that.

> That's gonna tick off a lot of flag rank officers in ALL branches!

Doesn't matter. Do you think every O7 running around the Pentagon is a
commander? Whoo-Boy!

> >But now that you've said it, it will be believed by all.
>
> Doesn't matter who siad it, Brian...If it's true, it's true, and if
it's
> not, well, Lennie probably said it.

You need to come to grips with what a commander is and what a commander is
not. I'll assume that as an enlisted man in the Marine Corps, you were so
far removed from any kind of officer that you probably never learned the
distinction. FWIW, the ratio of enlisted to officers in the USAF is much
lower than all of the other services. Its just more technical and requires

more degreed personnel.

835. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 01:10:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909211058.15779.00000608@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52702

In article <20020909085709.15152.00002917@mb-fy.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 09 Sep 2002 12:57:09 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 9/9/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020909080910.02651.00000203@mb-cc.aol.com>
>
>>But how would we hams react if we were being asked to share a band or bands
>>that are now exclusively amateur? Say, 3.6 to 3.8 MHz? Or 144-146 MHz?
>
> True, Jim, but how many of our bands do we share, and do so efficiently
>for all concerned?

Each shared band must be considered as a separate case. Look at the mess 7.2-7.3 has been since before WW2. Region 2 hams share that segment with Region 1 SWBC, and I'd hardly say it was efficient for US hams.

30 meters is shared, but we don't allow 'phone there and the power limit is lower than elsewhere.

> This need not be an "exclusive" allocation.

That's true, but the point remains that the current users may not like the idea of a bunch of new users showing up.
>
> There's no reason that can't happen here. Also, they operate on a few
>discreet channels, not the entire band. In as much as hams are NOT
>channelized
>on HF, a 200kHz loss there could be catestophic to us, but we'd adapt.

The channelized users might not agree.

And this is where the behavior of a few individual hams can have a profound effect on the ARS. Imagine that representatives of the NTIA, FCC, ARRL and any other interested folks sit down at a meeting to discuss the issue of interference. One of the NTIA folks pulls out a tape recorder and starts playing recordings made of various amateurs on 3950, 14313, etc. You want the job of convincing FEMA that hams won't behave that way on 5285 or wherever?
>
> And how about we lopp off 146-148...at least that way we'd be harmonious
>with the rest of the world!

As long as you volunteer to work out coordination of all the repeaters on 146-148...

73 de Jim, N2EY

836. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 01:13:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TGbf9.201$Lu7.11784364@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52703

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:alh5kp$r0m$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <XjTe9.886$Ah4.42238210@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> bpburke@prodigy.net says...
> >
> > "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in
message
> > news:alfvl6$ha4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > In article <stKe9.593$_O.28422355@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > bpburke@prodigy.net says...
> > > >
> > > > "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in
> > message
> > > > news:alfgcs$i3r$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > >
> > > note the oft used ISP
> > >
> > Mindspring?
> >
> Exactly. It's the only Internet provider I have had unless you count
> the many manifestations that existed before..all being bought and
> subsequently sold Planet.net became interactive.net became
> mindspring.com.

I move a lot. I've used local ISPs and national ones. But never AOL.

Why are we talking about ISPs?

> > > > > How secret could it have been Brian? You asked for and received a
> > > > > forwarded copy of the posted e-mail, complete with the senders
name
> > and
> > > > > e-mail address. (BTW, the courteous thing to do would have to to
at
> > > > > least acknowledge receipt with a Thank You!) It verified
everything
> > > > > posted, yet you continue to object. Curious
> > > >
> > > > Steve, thank you for the e-mail. It was exactly what you posted on
the
> > > > newsgroup.
> > > >
> > > > I didn't see the secret "newletter" that Kelly got, however.
> > >
> > > As I had stated, BK embellished about the newsletter. He received a

> > > copy of the same e-mail I sent you.
> >
> > Do you mean he told a lie?
>
> You'd have to ask him. If memory serves, he already admitted to
> embellishing about the newsletter in a previous post.

Mighty decent of him.

> > > > > Yet, as a matter of record, Bruce (a well known QSL Manager) also
> > > > > disagrees with you.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > snipped
> > > > >
> > > > > The first response:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Date sent: Mon, 02 Sep 2002 18:03:50 +0000
> > > > > From: Bruce < @qsl.net>
> > > > > To: Steve-KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
> > > > > Subject: Re: [DX-NEWS] operation ijn Somalia
> > (1992-1993)
> > > > > >
> > > > > I took care of all the paper work for N3Hxx, who operated as
T5/N3H.
> > His
> > > > > call sign is now N4xxx
> >
> > He signed, "Wx4xxx."
> >
> > Did he not use his full stateside call, T5/N3Hxx?
>
> As before, the callsigns have been changed to prevent the non-hams in
> RRAP from harassing the originators. As before, copies are available of
> the full and unedited e-mail(s) in question.

I don't care about who he is/was, just curious if he truncated the call or
if you did.

Did he just use t5/n3h, or the full t5/n3hxx?

> > > > > During this time period, Somalia did not have a government, and
was
> > > > > under UN control. The DXCC Rules states that under this type
> > situation,
> > > > > the operator must receive written authorization from the UN, or if
> > > > > Military, a written authorization from his Commander.
> >
> > Steve, this is good info for DXCC Rules.
> >
> > Is the ARRL/DXCC the authority on what is legal/not legal?

> >
> > Or are they the authority on what they accept and don't accept.
>
> Actually it's both. In order to accept a submission for a DX operation,
> the operator(s) must submit documentation that they operated legally
> within that particular country/entity, that would mean written
> permission in many cases, especially the one being bantered about here.
> This insures that the operation did take place within the borders of the
> country/entity being submitted. Several DX'ers who failed repeatedly in
> this case were Don and Romeo (of P5 fame)
>
> So in answer - - From the DXCC Rules: Accreditation Section
> (http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/rules.html#siii)
>
> 1. Each nation of the world manages its telecommunications affairs
> differently. Therefore, a rigid, universal accreditation procedure
> cannot be applied in all situations. During more than 50 years of DXCC
> administration, basic standards have evolved in establishing the
> legitimacy of an operation.
>
> It is the purpose of this section to establish guidelines that will
> assure that DXCC credit is given only for contacts with operations that
> are conducted with proper licensing and have established a legitimate
> physical presence within the Entity to be credited. Any operation that
> satisfies these conditions (in addition to the applicable elements of
> SECTION I., Rules 6, 7, 8, and 9) will be accredited. It is the intent
> of the DXCC administration to be guided by the actions of sovereign
> nations when considering the accreditation of amateur radio operation
> within their jurisdiction. DXCC will be reasonably flexible in reviewing
> licensing documentation. Conversely, findings by a host government
> indicating non-compliance with their amateur radio regulations may cause
> denial or revocation of accreditation. (I add-as evidenced by several
> recent YO operations)
>
> 2. The following points should be of particular interest to those
> seeking accreditation for a DX operation:
>
> a) The vast majority of operations are accredited routinely without a
> requirement for the submission of authenticating documentation. However,
> all such documents should be retained by the operator in the unlikely
> event of a protest.
>
> (I add- which would answer your questions concerning your Korea and Guam
> operations)
>
>
> b) In countries where Amateur Radio operation has not been permitted or
> has been suspended or where some reluctance to authorize amateur
> stations has been noted, authenticating documents may be required before
> accrediting an operation

>
>
> Additionally:
>
> 7. Any Amateur Radio operation should take place only with the complete
> approval and understanding of appropriate administration officials. In
> countries where amateurs are licensed in the normal manner, credit may
> be claimed only for stations using regular government-assigned call
> signs or portable call signs where reciprocal agreements exist or the
> host government has so authorized portable operation. Without
> documentation supporting the operation of an amateur station, credit
> will not be allowed for contacts with such stations in any country that
> has temporarily or permanently closed down Amateur Radio operations by
> special government edict or policy where amateur licenses were formerly
> issued in the normal manner. In any case, credit will be given for
> contacts where adequate evidence of authorization by appropriate
> authorities exists, notwithstanding any such previous or subsequent
> edict or policy.
>
> And in regards to operating "off-shore"
>
>
> 8. All stations contacted must be "land stations." Contacts with ships
> and boats, anchored or underway, and airborne aircraft, cannot be
> counted. For the purposes of this award, remote control operating points
> must also be land based. Exception: Permanently docked exhibition ships,
> such as the Queen Mary and other historic ships will be considered land
> based.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> > Kelly and Dick have.
> >
> > > You most probably were. You're facts match with other references
> >
> > Steve, really! I don't need you to tell me I was there.
>
> Gee Brian. I am agreeing with you, supporting your side of the argument
> and you still take issue??
>
>
> > Some guy said? Do you have anything else? This is what the ARRL really
> > says:
> > ------------------------------------
> > Somalia (T5)
> > At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the

United
> > Nations or your military commander.
> >
> > There is no IARU-member society.
>
> I have 2 other knowledgeable people who have responded contrary to your
> position. According to many easily found references, with few
> exceptions, you need some sort of written permission to operate, even in
> countries that have reciprocal agreements (and yes there are some exce
> [exceptions to this rule and no Korea is not one of them), you still
> need to submit a form, probably pay a fee and get a piece of paper that
> says go ahead operate, here are our rules. Try operating in Mexico on
> the verbal say so of some Mexican authority
>
> > For DXCC. Would all other wards programs also reject my card?
>
> Probably not the E-DXCC offered by the EQSL organization. I would
> imagine that the other awards would reject your cards for the same "lack
> of documentation" as the DXCC program did/does, they seem to share
> similar rules

Thanks, Steve. It still doesn't adress the situation of a piece of real estate w/o a government. Its not like the government suspended amatuer operations as above. Its more like the goverment suspended itself because individuals would not work together or warred with each other to the point that anarchy existed (much like this merry band of amateurs).

In none of the above DXCC rules did I find anything like the other ARRL page, stating: At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United Nations or your military commander.

Why would the ARRL publish the above, then omit that kind of situation in the DXCC rules?

Brian

837. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 01:18:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jLbf9.205$2m7.11297853@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52704

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020909014402.02682.00002152@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 9:19 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <CyTe9.895$Ol4.42504424@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >I'm not clear on this. Are you authorized on 29.660 FM?
>
> You ARE pulling my leg, right?

I thought this was a fictitious example.

Your question was, "Is my QSO with him legal?"

Dave would say that as long as Dave is operating within his license
privelege, it doesn't matter who or what the other operator says or does.

So, in this fictitious example, are you authorized 29.660 FM?

> >He has a control operator.
>
> That's one option, but remember he was using HIS callsign. If I let a
> Novice operate my station on allocations in excess of HIS privileges, then
we
> have to operate under MY callsign. We will assume in this case that he's
on
> his own at home.
>
> Come on now!
>
> I've given you the clues!
>
> He's a Novice...His callsign...29.660mHz...
>
> I know you know it!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Do repeaters have control operators?

838. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 01:20:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nNbf9.208$ux7.11963729@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52705

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020909085709.15152.00002917@mb-fy.aol.com...

> And how about we lopp off 146-148...at least that way we'd be
harmonious
> with the rest of the world!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

That sounds an awful lot like Larry.

839. HELP: Pursing Prosecution Of Illegal & Unlawful Operators!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 03:09:48 UTC
From: crt4239@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020909230948.19108.00002379@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52706

Could someone suggest a weblink on how to pursue Federal prosecution of illegal and unlawful operators? I'm in the Philadelphia are and have heard (on 2 meter frequecies) explicit sexual discussions on how to have sex with children, music broadcasts, singing, extremely crude language, and even CB radio (channel 19's audio) being transmitted on the 2 meter frequencies. All those I heard (about 10 individuals) appear to know each other and some are actually giving their licenses identifications. If I'm just wasting my time in seeking to bring these individuals to justice and clean up my local 2 meter frequencies, please be honest and let me know and I'll just sell my new 2 meter radio.

840. Re: Landshark, grasping at straws in his addled state.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 05:01:01 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7D7C8D.22D918BE@citlink.net>
Source record: 52707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
Nice job

Landshark wrote:

> "www.TimNebo.com" wrote in message
> news:20020909095937.15771.00001928@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > is life so bad you had to imagine a whole organization with you being the
> > leader??? some people will do anything to make hemselfs feel
> important.....
> >
> >
>
> Dr. Jekel Mr. Hyde syndrome.
>
> Now for Doug's post, I have him kill filled since he sent me
> e-mail from a yahoo account.
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >You I won't call a drug addict, Landcarp. I will call you what we all
> > >know you to be. A criminal.
>
> Really? that's nice
>
> >I told you buttheads a long time ago that
> > >all ya had to do was come over. Not one of you have the >guts.
>
> Of course some do, but you will sing a different tune.
> Hell, look how you sucked up to Chuck.
>
> >Hence
> > >you are also labelled a sissy. Making threats and not carrying them
> > >out when challenged is the mark of a sissy. Any arguements there,
>
> Sure, where have I threatened you? Show me a post where
> I said I was going to do any sort of violence towards you.
>
> > >Markie Beckie?
> > >
> > >As far as complaints to my ISP go, you are REALLY showing how stupid
> > >you are when you post that contact info, since uunet is a >backbone.
>
> Oh really:
```

>
> Received: from vm5-ext.prodigy.net by vm5 with SMTP; Sun, 1 Sep 2002
> 19:27:48 -0400
> X-Originating-IP: [216.145.48.34]
> Received: from mail-relay1.yahoo.com (mail-relay1.yahoo.com [216.145.48.34])
> by vm5-ext.prodigy.net
> (8.11.0.in.saslm.reject.disable.sbc2.sleep.maildfixand2sdomain/8.11.0) with
> ESMTP id g81NRlm180184
> for ; Sun, 1 Sep 2002 19:27:47 -0400
> Received: from besotes.corp.yahoo.com (besotes.corp.yahoo.com
> [216.145.48.76])
> by mail-relay1.yahoo.com (Postfix) with SMTP id 16E438B5CD
> for ; Sun, 1 Sep 2002 16:27:47 -0700 (PDT)
> Date: Sun, 01 Sep 2002 16:27:46 -0700
> To: Landshark
> Subject: Re: Abuse of your system (KMM59876805V98023L0KM)
> From: Yahoo!Mail
> Reply-To: Yahoo!Mail
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset = "us-ascii"
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> X-Mailer: Kana 6.0
> Message-Id: <20020901232747.16E438B5CD@mail-relay1.yahoo.com>
>
> Hello,
>
> Thank you for writing to Yahoo! Mail.
>
> In this particular case, we have taken appropriate action against the
> Yahoo! account in question, as per our Terms of Service (TOS). For
> further details about the Yahoo! TOS, you can visit:
>
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
> Please know that Yahoo! is unable to disclose the action taken on
> another user's account with a third party. We are not able to make
> exceptions to this rule.
>
> Thank you again for contacting Yahoo! Customer Care.
>
> Regards,
>
> Yahoo! Customer Care
> http://www.yahoo.com/
>
> 30450180
>
> Original Message Follows:
> ------------------------
>

> I have this person blocked on the newsgroups, now
> he has resorted to e-mail. PLEASE have this person
> stop this nonsense. I DO NOT desire this type of
> e-mails and find it very offensive.
>
> Received: from vm5-ext.prodigy.net by vm5 with SMTP; Sun, 1 Sep 2002
> 08:26:01 -0400
> X-Originating-IP: [216.136.174.71]
> Received: from web12904.mail.yahoo.com (web12904.mail.yahoo.com
> [216.136.174.71])
> by vm5-ext.prodigy.net
> (8.11.0.in.saslm.reject.disable.sbc2.sleep.maildfixand2sdomain/8.11.0)
> with SMTP id g81CPsm56478
> for ; Sun, 1 Sep 2002 08:25:54 -0400
> Message-ID: <20020901122553.78644.qmail@web12904.mail.yahoo.com>
> Received: from [206.148.128.15] by web12904.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP;
> Sun, 01 Sep 2002 05:25:53 PDT
> Date: Sun, 1 Sep 2002 05:25:53 -0700 (PDT)
> From: Crackhead Chapwoman
> Subject: Chapwoman, caught on tape while in jail for crack posession!
> To: rchapman8@houston.rr.com
> Cc: s3buell@sbcglobal.net, twistedhed@webtv.net, timnebo@aol.com
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
> boundary="0-1774509220-1030883153=:69749"
>
> --0-1774509220-1030883153=:69749
> Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
> boundary="0-1640888622-1030883153=:69749"
>
> --0-1640888622-1030883153=:69749
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>
> ---------------------------------
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Finance - Get real-time stock quotes
> --0-1640888622-1030883153=:69749
> Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
>
> ..
>
> Seems to work for me
>
> > >
> > >You are a traumatized, ineffective little dweeb, sharkieboy.
> > >
> > >As for Chuck...He isnt a full fledged AKC by a long shot. In any case,
> > >that situation is none of your business.
> > >
> > >If you were in the midwest, and not a sissified coward > >stretch>,

> > >you could have met me too.
>
> Doug, you are not worth it
>
> >Not that I would especially care to meet
> > >such an admitted bad citizen.
> > >
>
> Really, I would have loved to meet Richard Nixon.
>
> > >Told you jerkoffs long time ago. I have ALL the hospitality you could
> > >ever need, in any form necessary. You just can't comprehend that there
> > >is nothing you can do to stop the Anti-boys. You think you are some
> > >omnipotent being? In reality you are just a legend in your own mind.
> > >
>
> Been watching Dirty Harry movies to bolster your ego?
>
> > >One last thing, Markie Beckie...If you had any proof of any kind about
> > >any dirt of the magnitude you are accusing me of I would not be
> > >posting this right now. All you do is grasp at straws in the useless
> > >attempt to stop the AKC. It won't happen, and you are doomed to keep
> > >making the attempts, much to the glee of the AKC.
> > >
> > >I hear week 2 of twithed's vacation journal tells all about you. I
> > >can't wait to read it.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >
>
> Whatever Doug, keep rambling.
>
> Landshark
>
> --
> Try sending your complaints to these concerning
> Keyclownslamma (Doug Adair N8wwm) constant
> flooding of the newsgroup:
>
> help@uu.net
>
> abuse-mail@wcom.com
>
> netadmin@ao.wcom.net

>
> groups-abuse@google.com
>
> abuse@yahoo.com
>
> Consumer Affairs
> WorldCom
> 1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
> Arlington, VA 22202
> 800-695-4405

841. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 05:28:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7D82F5.53C8FBB3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52708

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7C202A.EA7FECD0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7C16E2.1C695BBD@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> > As I mentioned earlier, I have no idea if the person posting here was
> > French or had valid information on French licensing.
>
> But either way, it didn't matter to you, did it?

You're trying to read between lines again. I have no idea if the person posting here was French, a ham or if he/she had valid information on French licensing. I operated where *I was permitted to be*.

> You were going to work
> whoever came up out of band or not.

Now you're having the malarial hallucination. I've written no such thing. My point was, you weren't there and weren't involved. Those things did not stop your wild accusations and attempts at character assasination. Now that the shoe is on the other foot, you don't care to play anymore. Take your Somali permission and go home if you like. Whoops!--That's right, your dog ate it.

> > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> >
> > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy was interested,
> > he could have contacted the French PTT about French scofflaws. The
> > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which brings us to your
> > involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...
>
> Dave, I know enough now not to work the Frenchmen out of band. I'm not so
> sure about you.

You can't work me out of band either. I don't operate out of band.

Any luck on contacting your commander from those days in Somalia yet?
Have you found whether he had authority to issue permission for your
operation from there?

Dave K8MN

842. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 05:31:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52709

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>

> Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.

Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of hamdom
disagrees with you.

> Cite the legal reference
> where my operation is invalid.

It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
validity of your Somali operation.

Dave K8MN

843. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 05:45:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7D86F2.5EC21720@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52710

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <p_Se9.874$144.41403694@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net...
> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not in
> >> Somalia.
> >>
> >Really? Steve and Dick are. I think Kelly was in there also.
> >
> >> > Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
> >> > a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
> >> > well with others.
> >>
> >> You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?
> >>
> >So you did something wrong. Just admit it and learn from it.
>
> Don't ask the impossible. Judge Heil will never, ever do that.
>
> There must be order and capitulation in His Court. :-)
>
> [I'll have a side of fries with that order...]
>
> >I said that I wished I had written permission. That doesn't mean that I
> >didn't have permission, it only means that I wished I had asked for a letter
> >or a form. Live and learn.
> >
> >> > Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> >> > judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
> >>
> >> How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?
>
> He isn't here, sweetums...wasn't in Somalia, either, or Guinea-
> Bisseau chomping on cashews while being a "rare one." :-)

Oh, he's here. He just isn't involved. Aside from being a non-ham,
non-DXer, non-DXCC holder, you're a regular expert.

> Thing of it is, Judge Heil tries snow jobs on others under the
> black masque of State Dept. "secrecy" to wow the amateurs

> in here.

Naw, Len, those comments were directed toward you. You aren't a radio amateur either "in here" or "out of here". As difficult as it may be for you to accept, there are things I can't tell you about my former job. Live with it.

> He once tried to say that CW was used as an order-
> wire on a State RTTY circuit...which is still dumb as hell
> considering the TTY itself provides the writing and can be in
> crypto mode on-line. :-) :-) :-)

:) ;-) :< Funny how it doesn't work at all sometimes. It's even funnier how the CW circuit would work fine even when the RTTY circuits printed garbage. It's even funnier when I think that all of this is simply misdirection to deflect shrapnel from Brian who is still flying through a flak storm.

Sorry State never saw fit to seek your advice on the order wire matter.

> Doesn't matter anymore. Judge MUST have captitulation.
>
> Yawn.

Feel free to buzz of if you're bored.

Dave K8MN

844. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 06:11:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7D8D08.C85AF32F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52711

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D7BD1EC.554CD23B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D7BBA65.ABF55764@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> In article <3D7ABDFF.8FBB4744@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> >> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> >> writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Why are involved in this? You aren't a ham and you aren't a DXer.
> >> >> >Brian himself fans the issue and is the message endurance king on this
> >> topic.
> >> >> >His predicament is of his own making. Come to think of it, so is
> >yours.
> >> >>
> >> >> What predicament? Brian Burke doesn't have a predicament.
> >> >
> >> >While it may not appear to you that Brian has a predicament, it seems
> >> >that way to a number of us. Brian's high morals and amateur radio
> >> >ethics are caught between Iraq and a hard place.
> >>
> >> :-) Tsk, tsk, tsk. Brian has no "predicament." He was there.
> >
> >Try to follow along, Gramps. No one is arguing that Brian was not in
> >Somalia.
>
> Neither were you.

You're still tangled up. No one tried to say that I was in Somalia.

> Nor have you any authority on charging
> anyone. All you have is desperation in trying to get another
> to capitulate, to surrender to your demands.

Try to keep up. What demands am I making?

> >
> >> Judge Heil keeps trying to pound on him for personal reasons,
> >> a conventional newsgroup tactic used by those who can't play
> >> well with others.
> >
> >You'd know this from your vast experience in such activities, right?
>
> Seen enough of it to make correct instant diagnoses over
> computer-modem media.

Change your first word to "Done" and I'll buy it.

> >> Why does Judge Heil keep pretending he is some kind of
> >> judge "ruling" on the admissability of decade-old activity?
> >
> >How is Antique Anderson become involved in any capacity?
>
> It's entertainment. :-)

You could be listening to your radio! In fact, if you got an amateur
ticket, you could be communicating on the ham bands.

> >
> >> >> I don't have any predicament. I hardly ever use predicates. :-)
> >> >
> >> >Your predicament is different, Leonardo--trying to gain respect for your
> >> >views in an amateur radio newsgroup as a non-participant in amateur
> >> >radio. You are clearly the Rodney Dangerfield of r.r.a.p.
> >>
> >> Poor baby. You don't realize what you are writing.
> >>
> >> These are all just words on a screen. Individuals giving opinions
> >> or information or, in your case especially, hitting on those you
> >> don't personally like. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
> >
> >Tisket, tasket. No ticket in your basket. Is this one of those, "do as
> >I say, not as I do" things?
>
> Those lyrics have already been written, about 6 decades ago.
>
> Don't try to get them into BMI.

You believe that the tisket tasket thing was written only 60 years ago?
Better do an internet search. You can apply a little Andersonian cut
and paste and post enough material to make our eyes glaze over.

> >
> >> You don't like me, haven't liked me since the day I expressed an
> >> opinion that [OOK] CW isn't a good practice on an RTTY circuit.
> >
> >You see just how wrong you can be, Len? I haven't liked you since the
> >first day I ever read one of your long-winded diatribes on this
> >newsgroup. My encounter with you happened some time that.

>
> Poor baby. Tried to snooker everyone that time, didn't work, now
> you've been holding a grudge for years. Get over it.

Little Lennie can't accept reality so he makes up his own. Little
Lennie's tactics haven't changed much over the years so the reasons for
my dislike are often brought up through perusal of Lennie's recent
posts. Poor wittle Wennie.

> >
> >> Poor baby. Also a rather poor country (Guinea-Bisseau) where
> >> you were the only amateur radio operator. I am absolutely sure
> >> and will agree that you were the very best radio amateur in all
> >> of Guinea-Bisseau when you were there. :-)
> >
> >Is this another of those "Do as I say, not as I do" things, Len?
>
> If you were the ONLY ham in Guinea-Bisseau, you automatically
> qualify as the very best radio amateur there then. Of course, you
> also qualify for the very WORST at the same time.

Is this another of those "Do as I say and not as I do" things, Len?

> Exclusivity isn't always a good thing, is it? :-)

Why don'tcha tell me? You're the long term non-ham here.
>
> >> Why do you take such delight in making fun of others?
> >
> >Why did you not ask this of Brian some time ago? Why have you never
> >asked it of yourself?
>
> Poor baby. Identity crisis? You are mistaking yourself for others.

Poor wittle Wennie! He can't answer a question. Why did you not ask
this of Brian some time ago? Why have you never asked it of yourself?
>
> I asked you a long time ago about that 1980s state doctrine of
> using CW on an RTTY circuit...which you said (first) that you
> thought up ("pretty neat?" you asked then) and (later) said that
> it was some kind of State "doctrine" or "procedure."

I used the term "State doctrine"? I believe you're pulling my leg.
What does the above have to do with what you wrote earlier or with my
comments in response?

> You've waffled enough to open a new IHOP on that for years,
> hiding behind arrogant "don't have to supply anyone with
> classified information!"

Arrogant? Hell, Len, I signed papers agreeing to not divulging
classified information and stating that I understood the penalties. Is
that the kind of thing you'd violate? What does it have to do with my

comments on Brian? You're veering off course.

> You just can't take negative criticsm of any kind and try to
> bluff your way out when caught.

Funny you mention it. That's exactly the kind of thing I'd say to you.
Now, back to Brian...

> If that doesn't work, you go
> into control-freak mode...which is now evident. Overall is this
> marvelous layer of sociopathy.

Sort of like the way in which you take your frequent pot shots at the
ARRL or its employees who have posted here? What constitutes a
"marvelous" layer of sociopathy and how are you qualified to talk about
that any more than you are qualified to enter a discussion on DXing and
DXCC accreditation?

> >
> >> >His
> >> >lying down and accepting defeat isn't nearly as much fun as watching him
> >> >take a pummeling.
> >>
> >> Brian Burke doesn't seem to be "taking a pummeling." The only
> >> reason this particular sub-thread is going on ad nauseum is that
> >> Judge Heil refuses to stop. Heil wasn't there, isn't an authority
> >> on Somalia then or now. All Judge Heil seems to be doing is
> >> trying to get the Last Word by hitting on another.
> >
> >Only to you does Brian not seem to be taking a pummeling. One reason
> >this thread is going on ad suo nauseum is that Brian persists.
>
> Those who are in the right (Burke) dislike control freaks who
> weren't there, had no authority, have no authority now to
> charge him with anything.

Let me ask you, Len--how would you know that Brian Burke is right given
that you aren't a ham, aren't a DXer and aren't a DXCC holder? What
makes you an authority on who is an authority? Crawl back under your
dresser until the next full moon. Maybe they'll let you call a radio
talk show or something.

> Is this how you would handle newcomers to US ham radio?

Hot damn, Len! When did you obtain a license and become a newcomer?

> Acting like some idiot control freak imposing his will on them?

What instructions have I given you as a newcomer? Have you complied?

> >> Judge Heil has now expressed his "delight" in hitting on another.
> >> Continually hitting on another. That is perverse, antisocial
> >> behavior anywhere.
> >

> >This invoked room-shaking laughter upon considering the source.
>
> I'm glad you are getting some entertainment. For another box
> of laughs, why don't you pull heads off flies?

I usually just administer a good written pummeling.

> >
> >> If this keeps up, the newsgroup title ought to be changed to
> >> "rec.radio.amateur.sociopathy."
> >
> >...at least we'd stop asking ourselves why Len Anderson is haunting it.
> >
> >> >You should know a great deal about taking pummelings.
> >>
> >> What makes you think all this is physical? This newsgroup
> >> activity is all mental. The only "pummeling" that happens is
> >> in the mind of the reader...especially readers with oversensitive
> >> egos who cannot ever be told they are incorrect.
> >
> >Izzat what you read into "taking a pummeling"? Did you believe that to
> >be a description of a physical action? What a loon!
>
> Webster's dictionary says pummel or pummeling or pummeled is
> "to hit with repeated blows."
>
> Good grief, are you now an etymological judge who can "rule" on
> a dictionary?!?!?

Ahem! You are trying to "rule" on a dictionary. If you believe that I
wrote of an actual pummeling, you are as obtuse as Brian B.

> >
> >> >> That radio get to W1AW yet?
> >> >
> >> >I offered no radio to W1AW.
> >>
> >> You should do as I suggested. W1AW likes to get free
> >> equipment. Everytime they do, there is a nice little story on
> >> ARRL website. Maybe it's for the new ARRL membership
> >> drive in Africa?
> >
> >You doing mind control now? Why should I do as you suggested?
>
> Nobody would really expect the Judge to do anything except
> what the Judge wants. :-)

Didn't you write that I should do as you suggested?

> >
> >> >I offered one to you in order to satisfy your "interest in radio".
> >>

> >> Of course you did. :-)
> >
> >It's on record.
>
> Then send it off to my address. Prepaid. You know what it is.

I know what prepaid is. I don't know your address. Shall I send the one with a guy mooning a microphone? It should go well with your collection of aircraft photos.

> >
> >> Was it an old Philmore crystal set kit that you couldn't
> >> assemble correctly? :-)
> >
> >Now you're going to get picky over what kind of free radio I offered
> >you?
>
> Are you pissed because you couldn't make the Philmore kit
> work? :-)

It should be snap to repair for a guy with your credentials.

Dave K8MN

845. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 06:15:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7D8DFE.96CB424F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52712

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D7BCD6B.423DAFFB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> There is no "lunar authority" to give me any "QSLs" from the
> >> moon. Too bad for postcard collectors and BDOFs. Was a
> >> fun thing one time, took only 3 seconds for "confirmation." :-)
> > >
> > >You didn't work the moon, Mr. Wizard.
> >
> > Houston MSFC, 1974. One of two ALSEPs still functioning on
> > the lunar surface.

You didn't work the moon.

> Wasn't an amateur project.

Never said it was. Understood that it wasn't. Remembered that you
aren't a ham.

> Amateurs weren't allowed to use
> their ham license to operate that. :-)
>
> Wanna go argue with NASA? :-)

About what?

>
> >> Ever work a station on the moon, ultralight? :-)
> > >
> > >I have worked stations on other continents on 144 MHz using the moon as
> > >a passive reflector. QSL cards were exchanged.
> >
> > Is your name "ultralight?" :-)

Naw. Is your name, Brian Burke?
>
You got QSLs from the moon? Extraordinary! :-)

Did I write that?

Dave K8MN

846. Re: HELP: Pursing Prosecution Of Illegal & Unlawful Operators!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 10:08:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020910060857.25779.00000515@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52713

In article <20020909230948.19108.00002379@mb-fz.aol.com>, crt4239@aol.com (Crt4239) writes:

>Could someone suggest a weblink on how to pursue Federal prosecution of
>illegal
>and unlawful operators?

It's real simple:

1) Tape-record the offending conversations. Cassettes are preferable. On the cassette lable, note the date, time, frequency, repeater(s) used if any, your approximate location and any other data.

2) Send to Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC with a short note.

3) If a repeater is used for the conversations, consider sending a copy of the tape to the repeater trustee.

For some legal reason, the FCC wants tapes, not just reports. So send them well-documented tapes.

73 de Jim, N2EY

847. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 13:32:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020910093256.09267.00000170@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52714

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/9/02 5:47 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020909184716.05970.00000440@mb-fg.aol.com>

>This might be interesting considering there hasn't been any
> "Johnsville" US Navy facility in Warminster, PA, since before
> 1970.

There's nothing unusual nor "interesting" about it, Your Putziness.
Despite the USN's designation of the facility, it has been known by the locals
as "Johnsville" ever since it was created. That area is STILL called
"Johnsville" and "the old base" by locals to this day. Much of the old
barracks was pressed into "Public Housing" in then late 60's and 70's.

>There was an NADC or Naval Air Development Center...(SNIP)

Yadda Yadda Yadda

You tried to "trip me up" on this crap 3 years ago. Nice historical
tidbits but none of it germane to Amateur Radio policy...AS USUAL.

>You are welcome to fabricate whatever you wish on what happened
> 31 years ago in one part of Pennsylvania...but please try to get
> the correct names for a military facility.

Speaking of "fabrications", Lennie, WHERE is the "evidence" of dishonesty
by the ARRL?

>NADC vacated the Warminster location some years later and
> all then-existing projects transferred to NASC according to one
> civilian engineer at NADC who I worked with in 1971 (we had
> surface and e-mail correspondence up to 1999)

I have had "surface and e mail correspondence" with some folks from
Johnsville since 1998, Lennie, and they weren't very complimentary. Seems you
have had a way of "impressing" folks even before the days of the Internet.

Why am I NOT surprised...?

848. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 13:40:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020910094034.09267.00000171@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52715

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/9/02 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Wcbf9.182$tk7.11218198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>

>> DXCC rules, anyway, if being "valid" was his goal.
>
>Are you going to place me under DXCC arrest or what?

Nope. Your ignorance of what constitutes a legitimate DXCC entity and what does not is your own "punishment", Brian.

>>His facts are wrong and he has no documentation of his "operation" in
>> Somalia, yet continues to insist it's "valid".
>
>Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.

No, they are not...NOT for DXCC validity. I have already conceeded that you thought you were legal, and that you didn't suffer any repercussions from the Armed Forces for that act. Again, bully for you. But as far as DXCC validity goes, you FAILED to meet documentation criteria.

Did you REALLY work anyone, Brian? If you did, get a copy of your orders assigning you to Somalia. Then see if you can't locate your old OIC and get him to draft a letter stating that under the conditions that existed at the time, he was unable to document the "authorization".

MAYBE between the two the DXCC desk will acknowledge your operation as "valid". Otherwise, it's still INvalid.

>Cite the legal reference where my operation is invalid.

DXCC participation criteria. Have a look yourself.

73

Steve, K4YZ

849. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 13:45:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020910094503.09267.00000172@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52716

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/9/02 8:00 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <pubf9.191$ep7.11475217@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>

>Doesn't matter. Do you think every O7 running around the Pentagon is a
>commander? Whoo-Boy!

Are you aware that O-7's are flag rank officers and 0-5's are not,
regardless of service?

>FWIW, the ratio of enlisted to officers in the USAF is much
>lower than all of the other services. Its just more technical and requires
>more degreed personnel.

"FWIW", in the Marine Corps, we had the lowest officer to enlisted ratio
because Marine enlisted personnel require far less adult supervision than the
other branches.

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

850. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 13:50:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020910095040.09267.00000173@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 52717

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/9/02 8:18 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <jLbf9.205$2m7.11297853@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>

>So, in this fictitious example, are you authorized 29.660 FM?

Never mind, Brian. Never mind.

The point is that a Novice CAN legally communicate on 10 meters, and in
the example I cited, 29.660mHz FM is a repeater output.

The answer would have been that as long as the Novice's TRANSMITTING
frequency was on a frequency allocated to him, it was legal. Many remote bases
cross-link and yes, this was legal.

I told you...think outside the box.

73

Steve, K4YZ

851. Re: Pursing Prosecution Of Illegal & Unlawful Operators!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 17:34:41 UTC
From: Barry <BarSch40002@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3d7e2d37$1@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 52718

On what frequencies, and at what times
of the day are these transmissions taking place?
Are they Tx resident within Philadelphia City
proper or from the surrounding Counties or Suburbs?

Barry
scanner monitor / SWL

852. Re: HELP: Pursing Prosecution Of Illegal & Unlawful Operators!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:04:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <T7tf9.1187$uo1.355@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52719

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020910060857.25779.00000515@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <20020909230948.19108.00002379@mb-fz.aol.com>, crt4239@aol.com
> (Crt4239) writes:
>
> >Could someone suggest a weblink on how to pursue Federal prosecution of
> >illegal
> >and unlawful operators?
>
> It's real simple:
>
> 1) Tape-record the offending conversations. Cassettes are preferable. On
the
> cassette lable, note the date, time, frequency, repeater(s) used if any,
your
> approximate location and any other data.
>
> 2) Send to Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC with a short note.
>
> 3) If a repeater is used for the conversations, consider sending a copy of
the
> tape to the repeater trustee.
>
> For some legal reason, the FCC wants tapes, not just reports. So send them
> well-documented tapes.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Send them the ORIGINAL tape. You keep the copy.

853. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:09:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Xbtf9.1189$6p1.849@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52721

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020909013046.02682.00002150@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/8/02 7:44 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Z9Se9.852$1W3.40799342@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Fine. BTW, they never asked for paperwork for Korea or Guam, either.
>
> Korea has SOFA agreements well known to the DXCC desk,

SOFA be damned. I proved nothing to the ARRL.

> and Guam is a
> United States protectorate...no other documentation except your signature
> saying that that was where you really were.

What signature?

> >B u t h i s F r e n c h b u d d y t o l d h i m w h a t t h e o p
e
> >r a t i n g p r i v s w e r e a n d h e w o r k e d t h e m o u t
o f
> >b a n d a n y w a y.
>
> Was DAVE out-of-band? Did DAVE have a copy of French regulaions at
his
> side to confirm the information?
>
> >Y o u s h o u l d a s k i f i t i s s l o w e n u f f o r D a v
e.
>
> Dave is not the one with a comprehension deficit over regulations.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, please cite any Somali regulation.

854. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:11:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209101311.2990f725@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52720

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020910095040.09267.00000173@mb-da.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/9/02 8:18 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <jLbf9.205$2m7.11297853@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >So, in this fictitious example, are you authorized 29.660 FM?
>
> Never mind, Brian. Never mind.
>
> The point is that a Novice CAN legally communicate on 10 meters, and in
> the example I cited, 29.660mHz FM is a repeater output.
>
> The answer would have been that as long as the Novice's TRANSMITTING
> frequency was on a frequency allocated to him, it was legal. Many remote bases
> cross-link and yes, this was legal.
>
> I told you...think outside the box.
>

One step at a time, he hasn't figgered out to think inside the box yet.

>
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

855. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:14:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4htf9.1195$rr1.554@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52722

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209090346.4196e04d@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<6CSe9.865$C04.41189676@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > What makes you think I don't know anything about DoD radio ops?
> > >
> > Because you want to use 4 jeeps to run one radio.
> > >
> > >
> "Want?" Wanted what? I didn't need any Jeeps at all to glom an 80m
> Novice WAS with the thing.
> > >
> > >
> > You know Brian, you're on the wrong side of the argument to be saying
things
> > like that. You're on the side that says if you don't have the rank, you
> > cannot comment.
> > >
> > >
> I hope I'm not as rank as you are.
> > >
> > >
> 5BDXCC #142 and megapoint contest scores takes care of the ham radio
> side. The silver napkin ring and the Philipino steward patting down my
> rack every morning in officer's country takes care of the military
> side. Comments therefore will continue to flow.

So you were in the military! What service, what rank?

> NAEC/NAEL(SI)/NE622/GS-11/12/O-4 when underway, '63-69.
> >
> >
> You need a trip to the mast for insubordination boy.

Its called Captain's Mast. Never need to make the trip. As a sailor, how
many did you make?

> Remind me sometime to tell you about how well yer buddy The Putz fared
> at the USN Johnsville labs just up the road from here.
> >
> >
> > > Which outgoing QSL bureau lost yer pile of T5 cards Brainiac, the T5
> > > bureau?
> > >
> >

> > Oh, now there's a T5 Buro? I don't know if the ARRL outging buro lost
them,
> > but I sent them.
> >
> >
> Suuure ya did . . . .
> >
> >
> > Let me check my logs - hold on a sec.
> >
> > Nope, I didn't send you a card.
> >
> >
> Don't need your non-card, I had legitimate T5 nailed eons ago. So you
> do still have the logs eh? Good. Now post the callsigns, freqs, modes,
> dates and times of five contacts you had from T5 and we'll get this
> nonsense resolved.
>
> w3rv

You call ham radio "nonsense?"

You'd better stick to military radio.

856. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:24:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Fqtf9.1203$Hr1.322@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52723

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7D82F5.53C8FBB3@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7C202A.EA7FECD0@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7C16E2.1C695BBD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> > > As I mentioned earlier, I have no idea if the person posting here was
> > > French or had valid information on French licensing.
> >
> > But either way, it didn't matter to you, did it?
>
> You're trying to read between lines again. I have no idea if the person
> posting here was French, a ham or if he/she had valid information on
> French licensing. I operated where *I was permitted to be*.

Later, another RRAPer posted the French information and your French buddy
was incredibly accurate.

Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had valid
information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
following Good Amateur Practice.

> > You were going to work
> > whoever came up out of band or not.
>
> Now you're having the malarial hallucination. I've written no such
> thing. My point was, you weren't there and weren't involved. Those
> things did not stop your wild accusations and attempts at character
> assasination. Now that the shoe is on the other foot, you don't care to
> play anymore. Take your Somali permission and go home if you like.
> Whoops!--That's right, your dog ate it.

Dogs cannot eat verbal permission.

> > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > >
> > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy was
interested,
> > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French scofflaws. The
> > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which brings us to your
> > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...
> >
> > Dave, I know enough now not to work the Frenchmen out of band. I'm not
so
> > sure about you.
>
> You can't work me out of band either. I don't operate out of band.
>
> Any luck on contacting your commander from those days in Somalia yet?
> Have you found whether he had authority to issue permission for your
> operation from there?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, obviously he had the authority. He was a commander. I'm trying to locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my deployed commander. I was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial deployment.

73, Brian

857. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:27:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tttf9.1206$0o1.705@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52724

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7D86F2.5EC21720@earthlink.net...

> Naw, Len, those comments were directed toward you. You aren't a radio
> amateur either "in here" or "out of here". As difficult as it may be for
> you to accept, there are things I can't tell you about my former job.
> Live with it.

Dave, its not the things you can't tell us. Its the things you have told
us.

858. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:32:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mxtf9.1207$av1.1@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52725

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
> >
> >
> > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> >
> > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of hamdom
> > disagrees with you.

Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth. Nothing new
here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is legal was
lack of prosecution.

Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.

> > Cite the legal reference
> > where my operation is invalid.
>
> It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> validity of your Somali operation.

I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that makes it
illegal.

859. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:33:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Jytf9.1208$9t1.463@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52726

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020910094034.09267.00000171@mb-da.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/9/02 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Wcbf9.182$tk7.11218198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >> DXCC rules, anyway, if being "valid" was his goal.
> >
> >Are you going to place me under DXCC arrest or what?
>
> Nope. Your ignorance of what constitutes a legitimate DXCC entity
and
> what does not is your own "punishment", Brian.
>
> >>His facts are wrong and he has no documentation of his "operation" in
> >> Somalia, yet continues to insist it's "valid".
> >
> >Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
>
> No, they are not...NOT for DXCC validity. I have already conceeded
that
> you thought you were legal, and that you didn't suffer any repercussions
from
> the Armed Forces for that act. Again, bully for you. But as far as DXCC
> validity goes, you FAILED to meet documentation criteria.
>
> Did you REALLY work anyone, Brian? If you did, get a copy of your
orders
> assigning you to Somalia. Then see if you can't locate your old OIC and
get
> him to draft a letter stating that under the conditions that existed at
the
> time, he was unable to document the "authorization".
>
> MAYBE between the two the DXCC desk will acknowledge your operation
as
> "valid". Otherwise, it's still INvalid.
>
> >Cite the legal reference where my operation is invalid.
>
> DXCC participation criteria. Have a look yourself.
>
> 73

>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I was illegal.

860. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:49:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VNtf9.1212$cw1.36@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52727

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020910094503.09267.00000172@mb-da.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/9/02 8:00 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <pubf9.191$ep7.11475217@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Doesn't matter. Do you think every O7 running around the Pentagon is a
> >commander? Whoo-Boy!
>
> Are you aware that O-7's are flag rank officers and 0-5's are not,
> regardless of service?

I'M AWARE, I'M AWARE. They got all PO's if we didn't salute their stupid
staff cars.

Do you even know what a commander is?

You ever worked in HQ TRANSCOMM? HQ AMC? These places are overrun with 0-6
messenger boys, and 0-7's aren't commanders either.

Gen. Fogelman was the Commander of Both! He held the title Commander in
Charge of Military Airlift Command (CINCMAC). He was a real Commander and
he visited us in Somalia. He resigned under Clinton.

President Bush was the El Supremo Commander. He came to Somalia also.

"Scuse me President Bush, but I need your signature on this DXCC letter..."

I gotta go change my shorts. That one was way tooo funny.

> >FWIW, the ratio of enlisted to officers in the USAF is much
> >lower than all of the other services. Its just more technical and
requires
> >more degreed personnel.
>
> "FWIW", in the Marine Corps, we had the lowest officer to enlisted
ratio
> because Marine enlisted personnel require far less adult supervision than
the
> other branches.

Very little technical requirements. Lots and lots of marksmanship. Thank
God for Marines!!! The marines kept a lot of the random small arms fire out
of the former embassy compound. 10th Mountain took their time sweet showing
up and providing some defense. You wonder why I traded my S&W .38 for an
M16?

> =)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Salute, Brian

861. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:51:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IPtf9.1214$hx1.1132@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52728

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020910095040.09267.00000173@mb-da.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/9/02 8:18 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <jLbf9.205$2m7.11297853@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >So, in this fictitious example, are you authorized 29.660 FM?
>
> Never mind, Brian. Never mind.
>
> The point is that a Novice CAN legally communicate on 10 meters, and
in
> the example I cited, 29.660mHz FM is a repeater output.
>
> The answer would have been that as long as the Novice's TRANSMITTING
> frequency was on a frequency allocated to him, it was legal. Many remote
bases
> cross-link and yes, this was legal.
>
> I told you...think outside the box.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you must mean "control operator" is wrong. Too bad.

862. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 21:52:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <FQtf9.1215$Jx1.90@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52729

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209101311.2990f725@posting.google.com...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020910095040.09267.00000173@mb-da.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >Date: 9/9/02 8:18 PM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <jLbf9.205$2m7.11297853@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
> >
> > >So, in this fictitious example, are you authorized 29.660 FM?
> >
> > Never mind, Brian. Never mind.
> >
> > The point is that a Novice CAN legally communicate on 10 meters,
and in
> > the example I cited, 29.660mHz FM is a repeater output.
> >
> > The answer would have been that as long as the Novice's
TRANSMITTING
> > frequency was on a frequency allocated to him, it was legal. Many
remote bases
> > cross-link and yes, this was legal.
> >
> > I told you...think outside the box.
> >
>
> One step at a time, he hasn't figgered out to think inside the box yet.
>
> >
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> w3rv

Kelly, you must think "control operator" is wrong, also. Too bad for you.

863. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 22:03:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209101403.2c693dc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52730

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020910093256.09267.00000170@mb-da.aol.com>...
> >This might be interesting considering there hasn't been any
> > "Johnsville" US Navy facility in Warminster, PA, since before
> > 1970.
>
> There's nothing unusual nor "interesting" about it, Your Putziness.
> Despite the USN's designation of the facility, it has been known by the locals
> as "Johnsville" ever since it was created. That area is STILL called
> "Johnsville" and "the old base" by locals to this day . . .
>

> You tried to "trip me up" on this crap 3 years ago. Nice historical
> tidbits but none of it germane to Amateur Radio policy...AS USUAL.

The stale old Putz switch & divert routine.

> >You are welcome to fabricate whatever you wish on what happened
> > 31 years ago in one part of Pennsylvania...but please try to get
> > the correct names for a military facility.
>

The PA State Historical Commission planted a marker there which states
"Johnsville Naval Air Development Center". Looks like they don't know
as much about the neigborhood as The Putz does.

>
> Speaking of "fabrications", Lennie, WHERE is the "evidence" of dishonesty
> by the ARRL?
>
> >NADC vacated the Warminster location some years later and
> > all then-existing projects transferred to NASC according to one
> > civilian engineer at NADC who I worked with in 1971 (we had
> > surface and e-mail correspondence up to 1999)
>
> I have had "surface and e mail correspondence" with some folks from
> Johnsville since 1998, Lennie, and they weren't very complimentary.

Sure weren't. Bad Putz, bad Putz, tsk, tsk.

Seems you
> have had a way of "impressing" folks even before the days of the Internet.
>

Now we'll get the tirade abt how long he's been on the Internet.

> Why am I NOT surprised...?

864. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 22:51:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7E775C.497F86B9@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52731

Brian Kelly wrote:

> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020910095040.09267.00000173@mb-da.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >Date: 9/9/02 8:18 PM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <jLbf9.205$2m7.11297853@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
> >
> > >So, in this fictitious example, are you authorized 29.660 FM?
> >
> > Never mind, Brian. Never mind.
> >
> > The point is that a Novice CAN legally communicate on 10 meters, and in
> > the example I cited, 29.660mHz FM is a repeater output.
> >
> > The answer would have been that as long as the Novice's TRANSMITTING
> > frequency was on a frequency allocated to him, it was legal. Many remote bases
> > cross-link and yes, this was legal.
> >
> > I told you...think outside the box.
> >
>
> One step at a time, he hasn't figgered out to think inside the box yet.

He can't *find* the box...with both hands and lots of help!

865. Nebo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-10 23:56:45 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <fd56c7cff96d7de0e4714d4050ba90ea@xganon.com>
Source record: 52732

////tHe MoLe///...

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
---

866. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 00:01:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52733

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7D82F5.53C8FBB3@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7C202A.EA7FECD0@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7C16E2.1C695BBD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >
> > > > > As I mentioned earlier, I have no idea if the person posting here was
> > > > > French or had valid information on French licensing.
> > > >
> > > > But either way, it didn't matter to you, did it?
> >
> > You're trying to read between lines again. I have no idea if the person
> > posting here was French, a ham or if he/she had valid information on
> > French licensing. I operated where *I was permitted to be*.
>
> Later, another RRAPer posted the French information and your French buddy
> was incredibly accurate.

> Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had valid
> information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
> following Good Amateur Practice.

Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French regulations
breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating in
another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French radio
regs.

> > > You were going to work
> > > whoever came up out of band or not.
> >
> > Now you're having the malarial hallucination. I've written no such

> > thing. My point was, you weren't there and weren't involved. Those
> > things did not stop your wild accusations and attempts at character
> > assasination. Now that the shoe is on the other foot, you don't care to
> > play anymore. Take your Somali permission and go home if you like.
> > Whoops!--That's right, your dog ate it.
>
> Dogs cannot eat verbal permission.

That you addressed only the last line of my comments is noted. Dogs
can't eat what doesn't exist.

> > > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > > >
> > > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy was
> interested,
> > > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French scofflaws. The
> > > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which brings us to your
> > > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...
> > >
> > > Dave, I know enough now not to work the Frenchmen out of band. I'm not
> so
> > > sure about you.
> >
> > You can't work me out of band either. I don't operate out of band.
> >
> > Any luck on contacting your commander from those days in Somalia yet?
> > Have you found whether he had authority to issue permission for your
> > operation from there?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, obviously he had the authority. He was a commander.

Sure, Brian, and any old commander will do. According to you, the couple
of generic lines on Somali licensing are the bible on the subject.

> I'm trying to
> locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my deployed commander. I
> was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial deployment.

Maybe he ate your permission.

Dave K8MN

867. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 00:02:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7E8847.A962B2C2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52734

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7D86F2.5EC21720@earthlink.net...
>
> > Naw, Len, those comments were directed toward you. You aren't a radio
> > amateur either "in here" or "out of here". As difficult as it may be for
> > you to accept, there are things I can't tell you about my former job.
> > Live with it.
>
> Dave, its not the things you can't tell us. Its the things you have told
> us.

What's up, Mini Me? Do you think you understand what I've told you?
Were you here back then?

Dave K8MN

868. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 00:06:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52735

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
> > >
> > >
> > > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > >
> > > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of hamdom
> > > disagrees with you.
> >
> > Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth. Nothing new
> > here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is legal was
> > lack of prosecution.

Is Farnsworth still being used in testing? Did anyone except Len agree
with your assessment? Even Bill Sohl told you that you were wrong.
Blooey! There goes your yarn.

> Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.

How do you get a hat on that square noggin?

> > > Cite the legal reference
> > > where my operation is invalid.
> >
> > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> > validity of your Somali operation.
>
> I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that makes it
> illegal.

You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
No kiddin'.

Dave K8MN

869. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 00:10:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D7E8A0D.ACC5D04D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52736

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020910094034.09267.00000171@mb-da.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >Date: 9/9/02 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <Wcbf9.182$tk7.11218198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
> >
> > >> DXCC rules, anyway, if being "valid" was his goal.
> > >
> > >Are you going to place me under DXCC arrest or what?
> >
> > Nope. Your ignorance of what constitutes a legitimate DXCC entity
> and
> > what does not is your own "punishment", Brian.
> >
> > >>His facts are wrong and he has no documentation of his "operation" in
> > >> Somalia, yet continues to insist it's "valid".
> > >
> > >Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> >
> > No, they are not...NOT for DXCC validity. I have already conceeded
> that
> > you thought you were legal, and that you didn't suffer any repercussions
> from
> > the Armed Forces for that act. Again, bully for you. But as far as DXCC
> > validity goes, you FAILED to meet documentation criteria.
> >
> > Did you REALLY work anyone, Brian? If you did, get a copy of your
> orders
> > assigning you to Somalia. Then see if you can't locate your old OIC and
> get
> > him to draft a letter stating that under the conditions that existed at
> the
> > time, he was unable to document the "authorization".
> >
> > MAYBE between the two the DXCC desk will acknowledge your operation
> as
> > "valid". Otherwise, it's still INvalid.
> >
> > >Cite the legal reference where my operation is invalid.
> >
> > DXCC participation criteria. Have a look yourself.

> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I was
> illegal.

Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone who worked
you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for your error.

Dave K8MN

870. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 01:24:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7E9B28.97C1C291@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52737

Dave Heil wrote:

> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020910094034.09267.00000171@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >Date: 9/9/02 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <Wcbf9.182$tk7.11218198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
> > > >
> > > >> DXCC rules, anyway, if being "valid" was his goal.
> > > >
> > > >Are you going to place me under DXCC arrest or what?
> > >
> > > Nope. Your ignorance of what constitutes a legitimate DXCC entity
> > and
> > > what does not is your own "punishment", Brian.
> > >
> > > >>His facts are wrong and he has no documentation of his "operation" in
> > > >> Somalia, yet continues to insist it's "valid".
> > > >
> > > >Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > >
> > > No, they are not...NOT for DXCC validity. I have already conceeded
> > that
> > > you thought you were legal, and that you didn't suffer any repercussions
> > from
> > > the Armed Forces for that act. Again, bully for you. But as far as DXCC
> > > validity goes, you FAILED to meet documentation criteria.
> > >
> > > Did you REALLY work anyone, Brian? If you did, get a copy of your
> > orders
> > > assigning you to Somalia. Then see if you can't locate your old OIC and
> > get
> > > him to draft a letter stating that under the conditions that existed at
> > the
> > > time, he was unable to document the "authorization".
> > >
> > > MAYBE between the two the DXCC desk will acknowledge your operation
> > as
> > > "valid". Otherwise, it's still INvalid.
> > >
> > > >Cite the legal reference where my operation is invalid.

> > >
> > > DXCC participation criteria. Have a look yourself.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I was
> > illegal.
>
> Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone who worked
> you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for your error.

I toldja he was still looking for a box to think inside of.

871. Obligation of US hams to know other countrie's rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 01:39:08 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <alm7rh$bt2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52738

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had valid
> > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
> > following Good Amateur Practice.
>
> Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French regulations
> breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating in
> another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French radio
> regs.

This is a point that seems to be unrecognized by some. It is not the
US amateur, operating totally within the bounds of US law, that has
ANY obligation to know and/or understand the boundaries defined
by the laws of other countries regarding the operating limits
of hams in that other country.

If anyone can point to US law that sets requirements that
I know, acknowledge, or otherwise be concerned about
other countries amateur rules, please advise.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

872. Re: Obligation of US hams to know other countrie's rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 03:29:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7EB884.8544CB9A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52739

Bill Sohl wrote:

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had valid
> > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
> > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> >
> > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French regulations
> > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating in
> > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French radio
> > regs.
>
> This is a point that seems to be unrecognized by some. It is not the
> US amateur, operating totally within the bounds of US law, that has
> ANY obligation to know and/or understand the boundaries defined
> by the laws of other countries regarding the operating limits
> of hams in that other country.
>
> If anyone can point to US law that sets requirements that
> I know, acknowledge, or otherwise be concerned about
> other countries amateur rules, please advise.

It's hopeless, Bill. Brian is convinced he's right, in the face of all
evidence. Typical of him, obviously.

873. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 06:31:57 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209102231.792ccb31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52740

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020909080910.02651.00000203@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> In article <slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>, Keith
> <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> writes:
>

> What we hams are asking for is permission ot share 5.25 - 5.4 with other
> services who are currently there. We will do our best to avoid interference
> with those other services. NTIA isn't convinced.

Lotta "in's & outs" on this one the way I see it. Sharing works fine
on 30m. The only other services I've heard there are transmitting a
bunch of strong data signals which rule the lower few Khz of the band.
Those are easy to "avoid", they simply bully us hams out of their way
and they're probably QRM resistant too. Whatever they are.

I haven't seen any info on what kinds of signals we'd be interfering
with on 60m but if they're ssb sharing probably would not work
particularly in the case where the feds would be using low-powered
portable emergency equipment with lousy antennas. We have too many
lids with too many watts, big antenns and no ears for the feds to
compete with reliably. A three minute spin thru the 75 and 40m ham
phone bands illustrates the syndrome, he who pins the s-meter rules
the freq and tough darts if ya don't have a bigger signal. You can bet
that yer warmy "doing our best to avoid interference" is a writeoff
where the affected feds come from, they can tune the ham bands too and
they ain't into platitudes and "promises" from us to be good boys and
play nice when they pop up on some freq.

The ARRL did the interference testing drills before the events of
exactly a year ago when many feds could have arguably been asleep at
the switch and perhaps not particularly alert to the implications of
hams on their seldom-used 60m freqs. That's all obviously changed
dramatically. Right or wrong all federal agencies are hell-bent today
to identify and reinforce whatever assets they have which (they think)
will heighten their ability to serve their roles in national security.
Including their existing "clear channels" on 60m they perhaps didn't
much care about 366 days ago. Now they do care and here we is.

On the other hand there is probably more than just a bit of
overreaction on the part of the feds who use those freqs in the name
of national security. And otherwise including the USCG. We're seeing a
lot of that in other venues. It's my contention that the whole matter
needs to be revisted in it's entirety given the new realities. That's
gonna happen between the NTIA and the FCC and we ain't gonna have much
to say about it and it ain't gonna happen overnite.

These people are conceptually the same hammers who shut ham radio down compeletely for the durations of both world wars. We're engaged in another war today so let's not get too pissy and/or righteous about it until we learn more about the political and technical details we know nothing about yet.

<snip 75 & 2m discussion points>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

874. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 13:20:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020911092031.15102.00003360@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52741

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/10/02 4:32 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <mxtf9.1207$av1.1@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>
>Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.

Oh? So I can go ahead and sell crystal meth to school kids, and as long
as I don't get busted, it's OK?

Great philosophy on abiding by the law, Brian.......

875. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 13:25:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020911092547.15102.00003361@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52742

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/10/02 4:33 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Jytf9.1208$9t1.463@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I was
>illegal.

Let's try to get some things through your head, Brian.

I already stated that I can accept that you thought your operation, if it ever did occur, was "authorized" on verbal OK of whomever it was said you could do it. That there was no legal proceedings does not mean it WAS legal, nor does it mean it was illegal.

For DXCC PURPOSES, your operation was INVALID since you did not have the proper DOCUMENTATION of your "operation"

Now, Brian...do you think you can manage to keep those two concepts seperate? I am giving you the benefit of the doubt on "legal"...But your status for DXCC PURPOSES was INVALID. THAT is a FACT.

Stew on that a while, OK?

73

Steve, K4YZ

876. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 13:36:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020911093652.15102.00003363@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52743

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/10/02 4:49 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <VNtf9.1212$cw1.36@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>Very little technical requirements. Lots and lots of marksmanship.

You had better spend some time with your NG-buddy, Lennie the Limp, Brian, and get up to speed on some FACTS. (By the way, I am sure Lennie will instantly dive in with a lengthy obfuscation of how this assertion was false, but I suggest that those truly interested take a long look at Aviation Week and Space Technology archives. Even where the USMC was not the prime mover, they were the catalyst and prime contractors for development.)

The USMC was one of the prime movers for his sacred SINCGARS communications systems. The USMC, and specifcally Marine Aircraft Group 16 of MCAS(H) Tustin were involved in this program.

The USMC was the prime developer of VTOL technology in the Armed Forces and continues to be.

The USMC was the prime developer of V/STOL flight, specifically in the form of the Osprey.

And before President Bush gets on Air Force One, he's deliverd to Andrews AFB by Marine One.

Tactical use of NVG's for aircrews was pioneered by USMC helo crews.

>Thank God for Marines!!!

You got ONE right.

>The marines kept a lot of the random small arms fire out
>of the former embassy compound. 10th Mountain took their time sweet showing
>up and providing some defense. You wonder why I traded my S&W .38 for an
>M16?

No...I don't wonder at all. I just wonder what you did with it?

And do you know HOW it was that Marines kept that fire suppressed?

73

Steve, K4YZ

877. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 13:39:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020911093916.15102.00003364@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52744

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 9/10/02 4:09 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Xbtf9.1189$6p1.849@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>

>SOFA be damned. I proved nothing to the ARRL.

THAT'S the point, Brian.

73

Steve, K4YZ

878. Re: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 14:56:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020911105639.15157.00003383@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52745

>Subject: "Verifiable Truth(s)", Lennie?
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 9/5/02 11:56 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020906005640.15102.00002007@mb-fy.aol.com>

>>No. What I state is verifiable truth, no fabrications.
>
> Then WHERE are your "verifiable truth(s)" about the dishonesty of the
>ARRL, Lennie? You've left us hanging on THAT hook for a long time now. More
>than enough time to present your "facts".

.......taptaptaptaptaptaptaptaptaptaptaptaptaptaptap....

879. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 15:57:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <alnp91$4sg$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52746

Im listening to FEMA trying to do their thing right now on a 5 mc frequency.
Guess what? Its being jammed by bootleg CB Trucker types.

Perhaps NTIA has a point. They may think the truckers are hams.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209102231.792ccb31@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020909080910.02651.00000203@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> > In article <slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>,
Keith
> > <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> writes:
> > >
>
> > What we hams are asking for is permission ot share 5.25 - 5.4 with other
> > services who are currently there. We will do our best to avoid
interference
> > with those other services. NTIA isn't convinced.
>
> Lotta "in's & outs" on this one the way I see it. Sharing works fine
> on 30m. The only other services I've heard there are transmitting a
> bunch of strong data signals which rule the lower few Khz of the band.
> Those are easy to "avoid", they simply bully us hams out of their way
> and they're probably QRM resistant too. Whatever they are.
>
> I haven't seen any info on what kinds of signals we'd be interfering
> with on 60m but if they're ssb sharing probably would not work
> particularly in the case where the feds would be using low-powered
> portable emergency equipment with lousy antennas. We have too many
> lids with too many watts, big antenns and no ears for the feds to
> compete with reliably. A three minute spin thru the 75 and 40m ham
> phone bands illustrates the syndrome, he who pins the s-meter rules
> the freq and tough darts if ya don't have a bigger signal. You can bet
> that yer warmy "doing our best to avoid interference" is a writeoff
> where the affected feds come from, they can tune the ham bands too and
> they ain't into platitudes and "promises" from us to be good boys and
> play nice when they pop up on some freq.
>
> The ARRL did the interference testing drills before the events of
> exactly a year ago when many feds could have arguably been asleep at
> the switch and perhaps not particularly alert to the implications of
> hams on their seldom-used 60m freqs. That's all obviously changed
> dramatically. Right or wrong all federal agencies are hell-bent today

> to identify and reinforce whatever assets they have which (they think)
> will heighten their ability to serve their roles in national security.
> Including their existing "clear channels" on 60m they perhaps didn't
> much care about 366 days ago. Now they do care and here we is.
>
> On the other hand there is probably more than just a bit of
> overreaction on the part of the feds who use those freqs in the name
> of national security. And otherwise including the USCG. We're seeing a
> lot of that in other venues. It's my contention that the whole matter
> needs to be revisted in it's entirety given the new realities. That's
> gonna happen between the NTIA and the FCC and we ain't gonna have much
> to say about it and it ain't gonna happen overnite.
>
> These people are conceptually the same hammers who shut ham radio down
> compeletely for the durations of both world wars. We're engaged in
> another war today so let's not get too pissy and/or righteous about it
> until we learn more about the political and technical details we know
> nothing about yet.
>
> <snip 75 & 2m discussion points>
>
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> w3rv

880. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 19:41:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020911154156.03208.00002966@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52747

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@mindspringnospam.com
>Date: 9/11/02 10:57 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <alnp91$4sg$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
>
>Im listening to FEMA trying to do their thing right now on a 5 mc frequency.
>Guess what? Its being jammed by bootleg CB Trucker types.

WHAT frequency, Dan...?!?!

>Perhaps NTIA has a point. They may think the truckers are hams.

Then it should be our job to educate them, and call in some of the chips
owed us to back up our assertions of ability to coexist.

73

Steve, K4YZ

881. Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 20:12:21 UTC
From: tbryant@cannet.com
Message-ID: <alo83502sb9@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

After being in "lurk mode" for the past three or so years, I felt like posting today.

It's hard to believe that a year has passed. On that Tuesday, I had been working an afternoon shift, and I was awake early because of a doctor's appointment. I wanted to catch up on some reading, and I didn't have the TV on. My mother-in-law called shortly before 9:00am and asked if I was watching TV. She said that one of the WTC towers had been hit by an airplane. My first thought was a small, single-engine plane had flown off-course, until I saw the smoke. About a minute after I turned on the TV, the second plane hit the South tower.

At the doctor's office, people were quiet as they listened. Then came the announcement that the Pentagon had been hit. On the way home, I had the car radio tuned to Howard Stern's show. (He was ready to nuke Mecca right now, but all that would have done would be to temporarily make us feel good and piss off every Muslim around the world even more) It was about 10:45 that "Crazy Cabbie" called into the show to say that lower Manhattan was covered in smoke and dust, because the towers had collapsed.

For nearly two weeks after the initial attacts, I thought it was all a bad dream. That each day I would wake up and the New York skyline would be whole again. As time passed, I knew that it was not a dream.

I see that the *.policy newsgroup continues to bicker about the code and testing, and that the *.misc newsgroup continues with their petty insults. Today, however, I would think that the aguing could have been set aside.

Tom - N8ECW

882. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 20:21:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020911162104.11247.00000455@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 52748

In article <d22b54c3.0209101403.2c693dc7@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> >You are welcome to fabricate whatever you wish on what happened
>> > 31 years ago in one part of Pennsylvania...but please try to get
>> > the correct names for a military facility.
>
>The PA State Historical Commission planted a marker there which states
>"Johnsville Naval Air Development Center". Looks like they don't know
>as much about the neigborhood as The Putz does.

When NADC was in operation in 1971 to 1974, all correspondence letterheads, AUTOVON and AUTODIN books, USN organization charts and other official literature of the US government had NADC at Warminster, PA, and the air facility as Warminster NAS. A big sign on one of the hangars, easily visible from the bisecting road between there and NADC, said Warminster.

"Johnsville" was NOT a "test center" (as you called it). If you want one of those, go to Patuxent River Test Center.

Ultralight is welcome to his post-partum depression but that's just the way it was.

Now why not go a bit down the turnpike and camp out at Valley Forge? Preferrably in January. Wear your three-corner hat and sissy leggings, be an army of one...

883. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 20:31:22 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52749

On Sat, 7 Sep 2002 13:15:09 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>The military still, in spite of beliefs otherwise, uses HF phone
>extensively. I listened to USAF/Andrews AFB exten- sively after
>9/11/01 on 11 Megs.

USAF GlobeCom Air/Ground channels. Kinda difficult to hard-wire
or fiber those circuits, and when the USAF charters commercial
aircraft as it did in Gulf War I, they don't have to supply
MilSatCom gear.

The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

884. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 20:31:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2a9i91.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52750

On 08 Sep 2002 03:53:37 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>> About the same time as the FCC posted 02-98, the NTIA made
>> available an HF propagation analysis program originally
>> developed for VOA service planning.
>
> You keep trying to make this strictly a "propagation" issue. It's not.

Correct. When the FCC wants to authorize some operation not
provided for in the Rules for a single or small group of applicants,
it's much easier to issue an "experimental" license (does not require
public notice and comment and can be done by delegated authority) than
to change the Rules for that one applicant (requires Commission action
after public notice and comment and is a bear to change back if the
operation is not successful).

This is in addition to the "real" experimental licenses which are
designed to gather or prove technical stuff.

>I have had an almost daily interaction with the implementation of
>NTIA regulations by the Civil Air Patrol. I can assure you they are
>ALL ABOUT ensuring that thier will be done.

Amen, brother.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

885. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 20:31:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2aaf23.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52651

On 09 Sep 2002 12:09:10 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>What we hams are asking for is permission ot share 5.25 - 5.4 with other
>services who are currently there. We will do our best to avoid interference
>with those other services.NTIA isn't convinced.

They lostenmed to the W6NUT repeater in L.A. for ten minutes....
>
>But how would we hams react if we were being asked to share a band or bands
>that are now exclusively amateur? Say, 3.6 to 3.8 MHz? Or 144-146 MHz?

The military routimely asks the FCC for - and receives - permission
to "share" 6 meters on an "non-interference" basios .... (sure.....)
The ham users aren't "asked" - there are FCC/NTIA agreements in
place for this.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

886. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 20:31:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2a9vd2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52652

On 08 Sep 2002 17:10:02 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>Many radio monitors find a great bit of enjoyment tracking these signals
>down and trying to figure out their origin, purpose, etc.

Most of these signals come from a very fenced-in spook compound in
Warrenton, VA..... (as reported in PopComm).

Some day the Army CoE will pave over the grass outside the fence
which has been worn down ny legions of Spanish-number-group chasers.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

887. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 21:47:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020911174743.03599.00000799@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52656

In article <20020911093652.15102.00003363@mb-fy.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>>Date: 9/10/02 4:49 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <VNtf9.1212$cw1.36@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
>>Very little technical requirements. Lots and lots of marksmanship.
>
> You had better spend some time with your NG-buddy, Lennie the Limp, Brian,
>and get up to speed on some FACTS. (By the way, I am sure Lennie will
>instantly dive in with a lengthy obfuscation of how this assertion was false,
>but I suggest that those truly interested take a long look at Aviation Week and
>Space Technology archives. Even where the USMC was not the prime mover, they
>were the catalyst and prime contractors for development.)
>
> The USMC was one of the prime movers for his sacred SINCGARS
>communications systems. The USMC, and specifcally Marine Aircraft Group 16 of
>MCAS(H) Tustin were involved in this program.

No. SINgle Channel Ground-Air Radio System (SINCGARS) is an all-service concept, part of the beginning of the Digital Battlefield concept of the US Army.
It was made possible largely by the design groups at General Dynamics, Fort Lauderdale Division, and Nova Engineering. Harris Corporation is proposing a
next-generation radio system compatible with SINCGARS and has already been awarded a production contrat for similar radios by the UK armed forces.

SINCGARS is not a "sacred" system, just one that works very well for small-unit commincations in the field. It is entering its 14th operational year as a
common branch communications system.

Wide public disclosure of SINCGARS development and production contract was first made in the industry publication Defense Electronics, a free trade

magazine for qualified subscribers involved with DoD electronics. The year was 1987.

While Aviation Week and Space Technology is not an industry freebie, it seldom covers all-electronic projects such as land-forces radio systems in detail.

> The USMC was the prime developer of VTOL technology in the Armed Forces
>and continues to be.

No. USN, to distinguish "VTOL" (Vertical Take-Off and Landing) from pure helicopter type aircraft pioneered by the US Army in the 1940s.

The first true VTOL was a Convair experimental aircraft considered for shipboard use and was literally "hangared" by hook on a cable across the top of a flat platform, platform being raised to a near-vertical position. That was in the 1950s. Several VTOL aircraft have been made and tested (nearly all at Edwards Flight Test Center, CA) but the Osprey seems to be the end of the VTOL line.

The Hawker Harrier, an English design, pioneered a fighter aircraft designed to duct exhaust downward to achieve VTOL capability. It has been built under license to the USMC but was not designed expressly for the USMC. The USMC Harrier was operational by 1974 and remains in service.

> The USMC was the prime developer of V/STOL flight, specifically in the
>form of the Osprey.

The Osprey is essentially a one-of-a-kind hybrid of helicopter and fixed-wing aircraft. It has achieved what some consider as absolutely the longest flight test trial time of any aircraft anywhere in the world. It is still
plagued by technical problems. Stubbornness by both the USMC and developers have cost the US taxpayers an enormous amount of money for years without achieving a reliable aircraft.

The Harrier has shown its capabilities in the battlefield arena, achieving a modest success. It is an example of the opposite of NIH thinking, adapting another country's design for the US armed forces, a proven design that did not require years of development time and taxpayer money.

> And before President Bush gets on Air Force One, he's deliverd to
>Andrews AFB by Marine One.

Flag-waving. A special helicopter and crew squadron is organizational. The "presidential helicopter" is an adaptation of the USN Sea Stallion, already proved in operational use with USN.

Please let go of the incessant USMC E-6 Dill Sergeant speechifying.

Robeson has his medical release from the USMC. He is not, repeat NOT in the USMC now. The USMC did not want him any more.

All the carping and denigrations leveled at other service branch members will not insure Robeson's return to the USMC.

The recruiting poster and military aircraft history-tactics forums are way over in other newsgroups.

888. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 21:48:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7FB8CB.7EE3182E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52654

Dave Heil wrote:

> Any luck on contacting your commander from those days in Somalia yet?
> Have you found whether he had authority to issue permission for your
> operation from there?

Given there were so few "commanders" in Brians version of the Air Force, one has to wonder exactly where he had to go to find a commander to ask. By the definitions in his posts on the subject of commanders, there obviously wasn't one close at hand.

You have to wonder how much of this story was written on the fly.

889. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 21:48:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7FB942.6D2D83B7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52655

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> validity of your Somali operation.

Yet another revealing point. His total documented authority for this operation was a oneliner on somebody's website that "permission of UN or military commander" with no further explanation nor definition. Huh.

890. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 21:53:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7FBB41.BDE1A463@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52657

Brian Kelly wrote:

> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020909080910.02651.00000203@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> > In article <slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>, Keith
> > <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> writes:
> >
>
> > What we hams are asking for is permission ot share 5.25 - 5.4 with other
> > services who are currently there. We will do our best to avoid interference
> > with those other services. NTIA isn't convinced.
>
> Lotta "in's & outs" on this one the way I see it. Sharing works fine
> on 30m. The only other services I've heard there are transmitting a
> bunch of strong data signals which rule the lower few Khz of the band.
> Those are easy to "avoid", they simply bully us hams out of their way
> and they're probably QRM resistant too. Whatever they are.
>
> I haven't seen any info on what kinds of signals we'd be interfering
> with on 60m but if they're ssb sharing probably would not work
> particularly in the case where the feds would be using low-powered
> portable emergency equipment with lousy antennas. We have too many
> lids with too many watts, big antenns and no ears for the feds to
> compete with reliably. A three minute spin thru the 75 and 40m ham
> phone bands illustrates the syndrome, he who pins the s-meter rules
> the freq and tough darts if ya don't have a bigger signal. You can bet
> that yer warmy "doing our best to avoid interference" is a writeoff
> where the affected feds come from, they can tune the ham bands too and
> they ain't into platitudes and "promises" from us to be good boys and
> play nice when they pop up on some freq.
>
> The ARRL did the interference testing drills before the events of
> exactly a year ago when many feds could have arguably been asleep at
> the switch and perhaps not particularly alert to the implications of
> hams on their seldom-used 60m freqs. That's all obviously changed
> dramatically. Right or wrong all federal agencies are hell-bent today
> to identify and reinforce whatever assets they have which (they think)
> will heighten their ability to serve their roles in national security.
> Including their existing "clear channels" on 60m they perhaps didn't
> much care about 366 days ago. Now they do care and here we is.
>
> On the other hand there is probably more than just a bit of
> overreaction on the part of the feds who use those freqs in the name
> of national security. And otherwise including the USCG. We're seeing a

> lot of that in other venues. It's my contention that the whole matter
> needs to be revisted in it's entirety given the new realities. That's
> gonna happen between the NTIA and the FCC and we ain't gonna have much
> to say about it and it ain't gonna happen overnite.
>
> These people are conceptually the same hammers who shut ham radio down
> compeletely for the durations of both world wars. We're engaged in
> another war today so let's not get too pissy and/or righteous about it
> until we learn more about the political and technical details we know
> nothing about yet.

All facts. But as shown in other posts here re bootleg truckers QRMing 5mc operations, FCC and
NTIA should already know that as far as their problems are concerned, the ARS is just a single
small flea on a very large dog that's loaded up with them. We still have the best self policing record of all, the few idiots amongst us notwithstanding.

891. Re: Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-11 22:00:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7FBCBE.FCD85220@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Tbryant wrote:

> I see that the *.policy newsgroup continues to bicker about the

TL, you really need to read closer. The only bitchin' about code these days is Brian "Slim" Burke who is evidently incensed that the FCC would stoop so low as to allow Farnsworth method of code testing, which is well and widely known to assist almost everyone in learning the code. Tho that's been the case for over a decade, he just found out a few months ago, and he hasn't worked through the anger yet. Poor fella.

892. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 01:17:08 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <pVRf9.87821$2L.4233947@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Horace T Einsworth <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
news:akm0mkafk0@pita.alt.net...
> Does your rusted out Ford pick-me-up truck have a
> sign on it saying "Radio Police"?????? and I'm sure
> you have your ham call letters plastered all over it, like some
> badge of accomplishment.
> hahahahahahahahhahahah You REALLY need to
> find a life!!!!!!
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> > news:aklknvben0@pita.alt.net...
> > > I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> > > Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> > > your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> > > Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Dream on.............................

Hey, Mr. Louie, go to www.rainreport.com and click on Enforcement Log.
That case in Mooresville you said was a "dream"? It IS THERE! BWAHHH!
BWAAAAAAAAAAA!!
I told you I TOLD you, Nana, nana, boo boo! LOL!

Jerry

> >
> > J
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > Try this!
> > > > >
> > > > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >

> > > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > > >
> > > > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big
mouth
> > and
> > > > > insert foot!!
> > > >
> > > > > LMAO!!
> > > >
> > > > > J
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use
more
> > > watts
> > > > > > than
> > > > > > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like
you
> > > are.
> > > > > > stop
> > > > > > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
> > posting
> > > > > will
> > > > > > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by
us.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Tim
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> > driver
> > > of
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> > radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > > Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting
equipment
> > > without
> > > > > > a
> > > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act
of
> > 1934,
> > > > > > as
> > > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > > > individual
> > > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > > > transmitting
> > > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for
your
> > > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > > matter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > > Special Counsel

> > > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

893. Re: Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 01:43:15 UTC
From: tbryant@cannet.com
Message-ID: <alorfj02tsd@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3D7FBCBE.FCD85220@townsqr.com>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>Tbryant wrote:
>
>> I see that the *.policy newsgroup continues to bicker about the
>
>TL, you really need to read closer. The only bitchin' about code these days
is
>Brian "Slim" Burke who is evidently incensed that the FCC would stoop so low
as
>to
>allow Farnsworth method of code testing, which is well and widely known to
assis
>t
>almost everyone in learning the code. Tho that's been the case for over a
decade
>,
>he just found out a few months ago, and he hasn't worked through the anger
yet.
>Poor fella.

Maybe so, but as you've just demonstrated, there's still a lot of opinions that
are etched in stone.

Tom - N8ECW.

894. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 01:57:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <OvSf9.604$rM6.40982728@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52660

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sat, 7 Sep 2002 13:15:09 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> >The military still, in spite of beliefs otherwise, uses HF phone
> >extensively. I listened to USAF/Andrews AFB exten- sively after
> >9/11/01 on 11 Megs.
>
> USAF GlobeCom Air/Ground channels. Kinda difficult to hard-wire
> or fiber those circuits, and when the USAF charters commercial
> aircraft as it did in Gulf War I, they don't have to supply
> MilSatCom gear.
>
> The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

FWIW, we used HF in Somalia to flight follow. Lots of Southern Air.

895. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:01:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VzSf9.611$vl6.40733851@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52661

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020911093916.15102.00003364@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/10/02 4:09 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Xbtf9.1189$6p1.849@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >SOFA be damned. I proved nothing to the ARRL.
>
> THAT'S the point, Brian.

So why is it accepted?

896. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:07:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2GSf9.618$eL6.40903980@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52663

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7D82F5.53C8FBB3@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7C202A.EA7FECD0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D7C16E2.1C695BBD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > >
> > > > > As I mentioned earlier, I have no idea if the person posting here
was
> > > > > French or had valid information on French licensing.
> > > >
> > > > But either way, it didn't matter to you, did it?
> > >
> > > You're trying to read between lines again. I have no idea if the
person
> > > posting here was French, a ham or if he/she had valid information on
> > > French licensing. I operated where *I was permitted to be*.
> >
> > Later, another RRAPer posted the French information and your French
buddy
> > was incredibly accurate.
>
> Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had valid
> > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
> > following Good Amateur Practice.
>
> Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French regulations
> breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating in
> another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French radio
```

> regs.

You don't have to aid them with a QSO, nor a QSL card.

> > > > You were going to work
> > > > whoever came up out of band or not.
> > >
> > > Now you're having the malarial hallucination. I've written no such
> > > thing. My point was, you weren't there and weren't involved. Those
> > > things did not stop your wild accusations and attempts at character
> > > assasination. Now that the shoe is on the other foot, you don't care
to
> > > play anymore. Take your Somali permission and go home if you like.
> > > Whoops!--That's right, your dog ate it.
> >
> > Dogs cannot eat verbal permission.
>
> That you addressed only the last line of my comments is noted. Dogs
> can't eat what doesn't exist.

I only know what you told me and what the incredibly accurate French ham
told you. You can play the rest of it any way you want, but it doesn't look
good.

> > > > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy was
> > interested,
> > > > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French scofflaws.
The
> > > > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which brings us to
your
> > > > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...
> > > > >
> > > > Dave, I know enough now not to work the Frenchmen out of band. I'm
not
> > so
> > > > sure about you.
> > >
> > > You can't work me out of band either. I don't operate out of band.
> > >
> > > Any luck on contacting your commander from those days in Somalia yet?
> > > Have you found whether he had authority to issue permission for your
> > > operation from there?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, obviously he had the authority. He was a commander.
>
> Sure, Brian, and any old commander will do. According to you, the couple

> of generic lines on Somali licensing are the bible on the subject.

Any old commander? What is that?

> > I'm trying to
> > locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my deployed
commander. I
> > was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial deployment.
>
> Maybe he ate your permission.
>
> Dave K8MN

How could he do that?

897. Re: Obligation of US hams to know other countrie's rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:10:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7ISf9.620$uQ6.41225518@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52664

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:alm7rh$bt2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had
valid
> > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
> > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> >
> > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French regulations
> > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating in
> > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French radio
> > regs.
>
> This is a point that seems to be unrecognized by some. It is not the
> US amateur, operating totally within the bounds of US law, that has
> ANY obligation to know and/or understand the boundaries defined
> by the laws of other countries regarding the operating limits
> of hams in that other country.
>
> If anyone can point to US law that sets requirements that
> I know, acknowledge, or otherwise be concerned about
> other countries amateur rules, please advise.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Bill, is it Good Amateur Parctice to work another amateur that you *know* is
operating outside of his/her authorization?

You can pull and Ed on me and refuse to answer the question.

898. Re: Obligation of US hams to know other countrie's rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:11:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <YISf9.623$uQ6.41225518@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52665

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7EB884.8544CB9A@townsqr.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:

> > This is a point that seems to be unrecognized by some. It is not the
> > US amateur, operating totally within the bounds of US law, that has
> > ANY obligation to know and/or understand the boundaries defined
> > by the laws of other countries regarding the operating limits
> > of hams in that other country.
> >
> > If anyone can point to US law that sets requirements that
> > I know, acknowledge, or otherwise be concerned about
> > other countries amateur rules, please advise.
>
> It's hopeless, Bill. Brian is convinced he's right, in the face of all
> evidence. Typical of him, obviously.

And what does Dick do when he's "right?"

899. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:12:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nKSf9.626$0R6.41259312@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52666

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7FB8CB.7EE3182E@townsqr.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Any luck on contacting your commander from those days in Somalia yet?
> > Have you found whether he had authority to issue permission for your
> > operation from there?
>
> Given there were so few "commanders" in Brians version of the Air Force,
one
> has to wonder exactly where he had to go to find a commander to ask. By
the
> definitions in his posts on the subject of commanders, there obviously
wasn't
> one close at hand.
>
> You have to wonder how much of this story was written on the fly.

Similarly, the US Army has a far higher ratio of enlisted men to officers.
Thats just the way it is.

900. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:15:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dNSf9.629$fU6.41461044@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52667

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7E8847.A962B2C2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7D86F2.5EC21720@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > Naw, Len, those comments were directed toward you. You aren't a radio
> > > amateur either "in here" or "out of here". As difficult as it may be
for
> > > you to accept, there are things I can't tell you about my former job.
> > > Live with it.
> >
> > Dave, its not the things you can't tell us. Its the things you have
told
> > us.
>
> What's up, Mini Me? Do you think you understand what I've told you?
> Were you here back then?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, why call names? We were both communicating with each other on RRAP back then, just as we are now. Ditto the incredibly accurate French ham who communicated directly to you the French 6M authorizations, and the very same one that you replied back to.

Again, its not the things you can't tell us, its the things you have told us.

901. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:19:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VQSf9.632$dV6.41524462@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52668

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > > >
> > > > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of hamdom
> > > > disagrees with you.
> > >
> > Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth. Nothing
new
> > here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is legal
was
> > lack of prosecution.
>
> Is Farnsworth still being used in testing? Did anyone except Len agree
> with your assessment? Even Bill Sohl told you that you were wrong.
> Blooey! There goes your yarn.

Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl? Suffice it to say that I can
read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically says
"Morse Code." What else could it mean?

> > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
>
> How do you get a hat on that square noggin?

You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being proof that
the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97 stated
Morse Code exam.

> > > > Cite the legal reference
> > > > where my operation is invalid.

> > >
> > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> > > validity of your Somali operation.
> >
> > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that makes it
> > illegal.
>
> You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
> No kiddin'.

No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.

902. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:21:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pSSf9.634$gW6.41583658@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52669

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020911092031.15102.00003360@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/10/02 4:32 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <mxtf9.1207$av1.1@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >
> >Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
>
> Oh? So I can go ahead and sell crystal meth to school kids, and as
long
> as I don't get busted, it's OK?
>
> Great philosophy on abiding by the law, Brian.......

It is your philosophy re: Farnsworth. Don't back out on one of your core
beliefs when the going gets rough. Show some guts and hang in there.

903. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:22:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1USf9.635$HW6.41611507@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52670

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7FB942.6D2D83B7@townsqr.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >
> > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> > validity of your Somali operation.
>
> Yet another revealing point. His total documented authority for this
> operation was a oneliner on somebody's website that "permission of UN or
> military commander" with no further explanation nor definition. Huh.

Dick, unless you can find something else, the ARRL website will have to do.

Have you found anything else that disagrees with the ARRL website?

904. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:24:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <UVSf9.665$0Y6.41697459@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52671

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D7E8A0D.ACC5D04D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020910094034.09267.00000171@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >Date: 9/9/02 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <Wcbf9.182$tk7.11218198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
> > >
> > > >> DXCC rules, anyway, if being "valid" was his goal.
> > > >
> > > >Are you going to place me under DXCC arrest or what?
> > >
> > > Nope. Your ignorance of what constitutes a legitimate DXCC
entity
> > and
> > > what does not is your own "punishment", Brian.
> > >
> > > >>His facts are wrong and he has no documentation of his "operation"
in
> > > >> Somalia, yet continues to insist it's "valid".
> > > >
> > > >Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > >
> > > No, they are not...NOT for DXCC validity. I have already
conceeded
> > that
> > > you thought you were legal, and that you didn't suffer any
repercussions
> > from
> > > the Armed Forces for that act. Again, bully for you. But as far as
DXCC
> > > validity goes, you FAILED to meet documentation criteria.
> > >
> > > Did you REALLY work anyone, Brian? If you did, get a copy of
your
> > orders
> > > assigning you to Somalia. Then see if you can't locate your old OIC
and
> > get
> > > him to draft a letter stating that under the conditions that existed
at

> > the
> > > time, he was unable to document the "authorization".
> > >
> > > MAYBE between the two the DXCC desk will acknowledge your
operation
> > as
> > > "valid". Otherwise, it's still INvalid.
> > >
> > > >Cite the legal reference where my operation is invalid.
> > >
> > > DXCC participation criteria. Have a look yourself.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I was
> > illegal.
>
>
> Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone who worked
> you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for your error.
>
> Dave K8MN

So DXCC is the final licensing authority in the world?

I guess you're back to that again.

905. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:26:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <YWSf9.666$HY6.41740580@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52672

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7E9B28.97C1C291@townsqr.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020910094034.09267.00000171@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > > >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > >Date: 9/9/02 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > >Message-id: <Wcbf9.182$tk7.11218198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
> > > >
> > > > >> DXCC rules, anyway, if being "valid" was his goal.
> > > > >
> > > > >Are you going to place me under DXCC arrest or what?
> > > >
> > > > Nope. Your ignorance of what constitutes a legitimate DXCC
entity
> > > and
> > > > what does not is your own "punishment", Brian.
> > > >
> > > > >>His facts are wrong and he has no documentation of his "operation"
in
> > > > >> Somalia, yet continues to insist it's "valid".
> > > > >
> > > > >Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > > >
> > > > No, they are not...NOT for DXCC validity. I have already
conceeded
> > > that
> > > > you thought you were legal, and that you didn't suffer any
repercussions
> > > from
> > > > the Armed Forces for that act. Again, bully for you. But as far as
DXCC
> > > > validity goes, you FAILED to meet documentation criteria.
> > > >
> > > > Did you REALLY work anyone, Brian? If you did, get a copy of
your
> > > orders
> > > > assigning you to Somalia. Then see if you can't locate your old OIC
and
> > > get

> > > > him to draft a letter stating that under the conditions that existed
at
> > > the
> > > > time, he was unable to document the "authorization".
> > > >
> > > > MAYBE between the two the DXCC desk will acknowledge your
operation
> > > as
> > > > "valid". Otherwise, it's still INvalid.
> > > >
> > > > >Cite the legal reference where my operation is invalid.
> > > >
> > > > DXCC participation criteria. Have a look yourself.
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I was
> > > illegal.
> >
> > Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone who worked
> > you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for your error.
>
> I toldja he was still looking for a box to think inside of.

So you are also of the mind that the ARRL DXCC desk supercedes all other
licensing authority?

906. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:31:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <q0Tf9.667$hY6.41714926@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52673

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020911092547.15102.00003361@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/10/02 4:33 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Jytf9.1208$9t1.463@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I was
> >illegal.
>
> Let's try to get some things through your head, Brian.
>
> I already stated that I can accept that you thought your operation,
if it
> ever did occur, was "authorized" on verbal OK of whomever it was said you
could
> do it. That there was no legal proceedings does not mean it WAS legal,
nor
> does it mean it was illegal.
>
> For DXCC PURPOSES, your operation was INVALID since you did not have
the
> proper DOCUMENTATION of your "operation"
>
> Now, Brian...do you think you can manage to keep those two concepts
> seperate?

I'm certain of it. Talk to Dave, Dick, Kelly, et all.

> I am giving you the benefit of the doubt on "legal"...

Steve, I realize that you feel yourself a benevolent Extra "giving" me the
benefit of your doubt, but...

But your
> status for DXCC PURPOSES was INVALID. THAT is a FACT.

But its not a FACT. The only way you could know that is if you held a DXCC
rejected QSL card.

My Korean operation may or may not be rejected. I've yet to be told of a
rejected QSL card.

My Portable KH2 operation may or may not be rejected. I've yet to be told
of a rejected QSL card.

> Stew on that a while, OK?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Stews done. Needs salt.

907. Re: Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:35:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D7FDDF2.6E1CFF6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 52674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Tbryant wrote:
>
> After being in "lurk mode" for the past three or so years, I felt like posting
> today.
>
> It's hard to believe that a year has passed. On that Tuesday, I had been
> working an afternoon shift, and I was awake early because of a doctor's
> appointment. I wanted to catch up on some reading, and I didn't have the TV on.
> My mother-in-law called shortly before 9:00am and asked if I was watching TV.
> She said that one of the WTC towers had been hit by an airplane. My first
> thought was a small, single-engine plane had flown off-course, until I saw the
> smoke. About a minute after I turned on the TV, the second plane hit the South
> tower.
>
> At the doctor's office, people were quiet as they listened. Then came the
> announcement that the Pentagon had been hit. On the way home, I had the car
> radio tuned to Howard Stern's show. (He was ready to nuke Mecca right now, but
> all that would have done would be to temporarily make us feel good and piss off
> every Muslim around the world even more) It was about 10:45 that "Crazy Cabbie"
> called into the show to say that lower Manhattan was covered in smoke and dust,
> because the towers had collapsed.
>
> For nearly two weeks after the initial attacts, I thought it was all a bad
> dream. That each day I would wake up and the New York skyline would be whole
> again. As time passed, I knew that it was not a dream.
>
> I see that the *.policy newsgroup continues to bicker about the code and
> testing, and that the *.misc newsgroup continues with their petty insults.
> Today, however, I would think that the aguing could have been set aside.
>
> Tom - N8ECW

I had gone with my wife that day to see her doctor. As we passed
DFW airport where there are always a number of plans landing and
taking off, there seemed to be an unusual number of plains lined
up on approach for landing. While we were in the doctor's office
it was announced that all airspace over the U.S. had been closed
and all planes ordered to land at the nearest available airport.
When we left the doctor's office I told my wife to look up in the
sky over the airport. She said, "What, I don't see anything." I
said, "exactly, there are no planes in the sky." It was really
spooky.

908. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:38:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <v6Tf9.668$p17.42040552@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52675

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020911093652.15102.00003363@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >Date: 9/10/02 4:49 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <VNtf9.1212$cw1.36@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
> >Very little technical requirements. Lots and lots of marksmanship.
>
> You had better spend some time with your NG-buddy, Lennie the Limp,
Brian,
> and get up to speed on some FACTS. (By the way, I am sure Lennie will
> instantly dive in with a lengthy obfuscation of how this assertion was
false,
> but I suggest that those truly interested take a long look at Aviation
Week and
> Space Technology archives. Even where the USMC was not the prime mover,
they
> were the catalyst and prime contractors for development.)

Mind telling me if the Air Force ever makes an appearance in Aviation Week?
I wonder what the ratio of Air Force to Marine Corps articles appearing in
Aviation Week?

> The USMC was one of the prime movers for his sacred SINCGARS
> communications systems. The USMC, and specifcally Marine Aircraft Group
16 of
> MCAS(H) Tustin were involved in this program.
>
> The USMC was the prime developer of VTOL technology in the Armed
Forces
> and continues to be.

Who makes that airplane?

> The USMC was the prime developer of V/STOL flight, specifically in
the
> form of the Osprey.
>
> And before President Bush gets on Air Force One, he's deliverd to
Andrews
> AFB by Marine One.

> Tactical use of NVG's for aircrews was pioneered by USMC helo crews.
>
> >Thank God for Marines!!!

>
> You got ONE right.
>
> >The marines kept a lot of the random small arms fire out
> >of the former embassy compound. 10th Mountain took their time sweet
showing
> >up and providing some defense. You wonder why I traded my S&W .38 for an
> >M16?
>
> No...I don't wonder at all. I just wonder what you did with it?

I pointed it at the khat chewing, AK carrying technical at the K4 traffic circle, amongst other things. Had he given me a letter of authorization to operate ham radio while in-country, Dave would say he gave permission under duress, thus illegal.

> And do you know HOW it was that Marines kept that fire suppressed?

Scope sighted bolt action rifles.

909. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:41:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <i9Tf9.669$X07.42009915@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52676

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7E775C.497F86B9@townsqr.com...

> He can't *find* the box...with both hands and lots of help!

I have four sons.

910. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:43:09 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <71Tf9.90124$%P6.42773688@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52678

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907235337.12459.00005181@mb-fm.aol.com...

>
> Better rethink that statement, Lennie. I have had an almost daily
> interaction with the implementation of NTIA regulations by the Civil Air
> Patrol. I can assure you they are ALL ABOUT ensuring that thier will be
done.
>
> Steve

Boy, ain't THAT the truth! As a Comm Officer, I deal with it all the time.
OTH,
while it is a lot of trouble, it has given us access to inter-agency comms
we didn't have before.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

911. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 02:45:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7FFFA1.B246F2A8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52677

Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I was
> > > > illegal.
> > >
> > > Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone who worked
> > > you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for your error.
> >
> > I toldja he was still looking for a box to think inside of.
>
> So you are also of the mind that the ARRL DXCC desk supercedes all other
> licensing authority?

I know of no other ham anywhere clueless enough to even think of such a thing. On the other hand, almost all hams who have ever read enough to know anything about ham radio could tell you that DXCC licenses nothing and never did, but is a quite good predictor of whether or not any particular DX operation was or was not legal. And if they learn new information on one they have previously sanctioned which indicates it was not, they're almost certain to request validation of the station's authority. If it isn't supplied, they will act on their best information, and that would almost certainly lead to the revocation of DXCC credit for that station's DX activity. Which causes OTHER HAMS to lose, not the miscreant.

But you've already been told all this.

912. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 03:11:56 UTC
From: John Rutledge <JR@texasshitblowersassn.org>
Message-ID: <alp0lbejc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52679

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message react if we were being asked to share a band or bands

> The military routimely asks the FCC for - and receives - permission
> to "share" 6 meters on an "non-interference" basios .... (sure.....)
> The ham users aren't "asked" - there are FCC/NTIA agreements in
> place for this.
>
Ha ha ha, not even in YOUR wildest dreams boy!!!!!!!!

913. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 03:14:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D800654.F6828B1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52680

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl?

Naw, ignorance never needs validation, it stands out there
all alone.

> Suffice it to say that I can
> read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically says
> "Morse Code." What else could it mean?
>

It just might mean that the FCC has decided to give
beginners a break and
allow a variant of Morse code known as Farnsworth Method,
widely well known to
cause the code learning process to be much easier, to be
allowed in testing so
that students may test under the method by which they
learned.
Will that simple straightforward concept ever penetrate the
granite between
your ears?

>
> > > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
> >
> > How do you get a hat on that square noggin?
>
> You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being proof that
> the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97 stated
> Morse Code exam.
>
>

For the 43rd time, that is a figment of your fertile, as
in manure-laden,
imagination.

> > > > > Cite the legal reference
> > > > > where my operation is invalid.
> > > > >
> > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> > > > validity of your Somali operation.
> > >
> > > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that makes it

> > > illegal.
> >
> > You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
> > No kiddin'.
>
> No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.

" Uh, Sir, I want to operate a ham radio here in good ol' Mogadishu. It OK with you?"
"Son, I don't give a $hit what you do, just keep outa my sight, and outa
hearing range, too"

Just one of an infinite number of forms Slim's "permission" might have taken. Valid to a fault. A one-liner on the ARRL's website says so.

Of course Slim is lying, he just doesn't know it, (Cecil's dictionary confirms it).

914. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 04:52:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D801D73.30C420C6@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52681

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D7FB942.6D2D83B7@townsqr.com...
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> > > validity of your Somali operation.
> >
> > Yet another revealing point. His total documented authority for this
> > operation was a oneliner on somebody's website that "permission of UN or
> > military commander" with no further explanation nor definition. Huh.
>
> Dick, unless you can find something else, the ARRL website will have to do.
>
> Have you found anything else that disagrees with the ARRL website?

Phil Kane sure has. In the form of FCC regs that apply to ALL licensees.
There is one that says something on the order of "all licensees will operate
under and adhere to international law and rules". Which cover cases just such
as yours.
I'm not going to do the research on the FCC's voluminous web site to point it
out for you. Somewhere along the way you're going to have to learn to shift for
yourself.

915. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 05:48:25 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52682

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
>
> The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.

Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.

>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

916. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 06:24:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912022416.15097.00003903@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52683

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/11/02 3:21 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020911162104.11247.00000455@mb-df.aol.com>

>"Johnsville" was NOT a "test center" (as you called it). If you
> want one of those, go to Patuxent River Test Center.

Yes, it WAS a "test center". No, not in the category and breadth of Pax River, but yes, testing of systems DID originate from Johnsville.

>Now why not go a bit down the turnpike and camp out at Valley
> Forge? Preferrably in January.

Did that. It was called a REDCAP. Do you know what a REDCAP is, Lennie, other than a brightly shaded item of clothing to cover your unsightly noggin?

>Wear your three-corner hat and sissy leggings, be an army of one...

NOW who's "demeaning" the Armed Forces, Lennie? Past or present?

Putz.

917. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 07:01:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912030115.15097.00003906@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52684

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/11/02 4:47 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020911174743.03599.00000799@mb-fk.aol.com>

>>The USMC was one of the prime movers for his sacred SINCGARS
>>communications systems. The USMC, and specifcally Marine Aircraft Group 16
>of
>>MCAS(H) Tustin were involved in this program.
>
> No. SINgle Channel Ground-Air Radio System (SINCGARS) is an all-service
> concept, part of the beginning of the Digital Battlefield concept of the
>US
>Army.

Yes. Please note I did NOT say "only", Lennie. The USMC was intergral to
this program. This is a fact.

LtCol Barney Oldfield, then DOSS of MAG-16 was the USMC airwing
coordinator for our specific parts of the field trials. Extensive opeartions
involving aircraft from MAG-16 and field units at MCB Camp Pendleton
participated in this program.

Care to know how >>I<< know, Lennie?

>SINCGARS is not a "sacred" system...(SNIP)

To YOU it is, Lennie. You keep harping back to it as if it MEANS something
in your constant blatherings in this AMATEUR RADIO newsgroup.

>> The USMC was the prime developer of VTOL technology in the Armed Forces
>>and continues to be.
>
> No. USN, to distinguish "VTOL" (Vertical Take-Off and Landing) from pure
> helicopter type aircraft pioneered by the US Army in the 1940s.

Again, you try to completely re-write the post based upon your hopes that
others missed that one word, "prime".

This does not exclude other agencies, Lennie, however it is public fact
that the United States Marine Corps is the ONLY branch with fixed wing VTOL
capability in the United States.

>The first true VTOL was a...(SNIP)

I didn't say "first", Lennie. Go back and re-read what I wrote, Your
Geezerness.

>The Hawker Harrier, an English design...

Actually it was the Kestrel. The name "Harrier" came later.

>It has been built
> under license to the USMC but was not designed expressly for the USMC.

No...the "Harrier" was not designed "expressly" for the USMC. However the USMC's investment of development funds and subsequent adaptations have made it the warfighter it is today. THAT is a fact.

Also well known to anyone with a passing interest in the Discovery WINGS cable channel is that the United States Marine Corps is the ONLY branch of the US Armed Forces with VTOL capability, and is the ONLY branch of the US Armed Forces to employ it in combat. I will add "fixed wing" to that in order to keep you from being able to obfuscate the facts behind the fact that helos may also be classified as "VTOL" aircraft.

>Stubbornness by both the USMC and
> developers have cost the US taxpayers an enormous amount of money
> for years without achieving a reliable aircraft.
>

The "stubborness" of the USMC has been to ENSURE that a viable aircraft results from this program.

We are not talking about creating a new technology aircraft for personal or sport applications. The demands of Naval Aviation are phenomenal, and designing an aircraft to meet the rigors of shipboard and over-the-horizon naval warfare are tremendous.

>> And before President Bush gets on Air Force One, he's deliverd to
>>Andrews AFB by Marine One.
>
> Flag-waving. A special helicopter and crew squadron is organizational.
> The "presidential helicopter" is an adaptation of the USN Sea Stallion,
> already proved in operational use with USN

Yes, it's flag waving, but it's true.

And no, Marine One is a Sikorsky >>VH-3 Sea King.<< The>>Sea Stallion<< is the older CH-53A and D series. The subsequent version is the CH53E Super Sea Stallion.

CH53's >are< attached to HMX-1 (Marine Helicopter Squadron One), however CH-53's are not presently in Presidential service, except as back-up or auxiliary service for cargo, matrial or press service.

The CH53 series is not regularly used for Presidential service due to it's gross weight and significant rotorwash...Can't have the Big Green Machine blowing over the Rose Garden, now can we.

>Please let go of the incessant USMC E-6 Dill Sergeant speechifying.

My last honorably held grade was E7, Lennie, and I never served as a DRILL instructor..

No speech, by the way...All facts that can be verified invarious public domain sources. Sorry it screwed up your King Of The NG Hill rants, Lennie.

>Robeson has his medical release from the USMC. He is not, repeat
>NOT in the USMC now. The USMC did not want him any more.

Which does not negate the fact that what I have presented here is factual, Lennie.

By the way, >>MY<< DD214 says "honorable", just like yours did.

>All the carping and denigrations leveled at other service branch
>members will not insure Robeson's return to the USMC.

And all your historical revelations about ADA of half a century ago will NOT bring you any closer to being right about Amateur Radio nor current application of technology in United States Marine Corps Aviation.

>The recruiting poster and military aircraft history-tactics forums are
> way over in other newsgroups.

Is that the same newsgroup that has "I was a rear area radio clerk so I should get a Ham Radio License without further adieu" rants?

Nice try, Lennie. Lame, but for you, nice....

918. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 10:18:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912061814.12729.00000685@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52685

In article <3D7FBB41.BDE1A463@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>But as shown in other posts here re bootleg truckers QRMing 5mc operations,
>FCC and NTIA should already know that as far as their problems are >concerned,
the ARS is just a single
>small flea on a very large dog that's loaded up with them.

Yes, they should know that, but there's no guarantee that they do know it.

>We still have the best self
>policing record of all, the few idiots amongst us notwithstanding.

That record may not be so impressive to FCC/NTIA after listening to tapes of
W6NUT, 3950, 14313, etc. Or looking at some of the callsigns specifically
requested under the vanity call program.

73 de Jim, N2EY

919. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 10:18:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912061815.12729.00000686@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52686

In article <d22b54c3.0209102231.792ccb31@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020909080910.02651.00000203@mb-cc.aol.com>...
>> In article <slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>, Keith
>> <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> writes:
>>
>
>> What we hams are asking for is permission ot share 5.25 - 5.4 with other
>> services who are currently there. We will do our best to avoid interference
>> with those other services.
>> NTIA isn't convinced.
>
>Lotta "in's & outs" on this one the way I see it. Sharing works fine
>on 30m.

Hams aren't allowed voice modes on 30m, either.

>The only other services I've heard there are transmitting a
>bunch of strong data signals which rule the lower few Khz of the band.
>Those are easy to "avoid", they simply bully us hams out of their way
>and they're probably QRM resistant too. Whatever they are.

Pretty clear that they aren't low-powered FEMA portables with poor antennas.

>I haven't seen any info on what kinds of signals we'd be interfering
>with on 60m but if they're ssb sharing probably would not work
>particularly in the case where the feds would be using low-powered
>portable emergency equipment with lousy antennas. We have too many
>lids with too many watts, big antenns and no ears for the feds to
>compete with reliably. A three minute spin thru the 75 and 40m ham
>phone bands illustrates the syndrome, he who pins the s-meter rules
>the freq and tough darts if ya don't have a bigger signal.

And the ARRL proposal would make the whole segment one big 'phone band.

>You can bet
>that yer warmy "doing our best to avoid interference" is a writeoff
>where the affected feds come from, they can tune the ham bands too and
>they ain't into platitudes and "promises" from us to be good boys and
>play nice when they pop up on some freq.

The FEMA folks may not even want lots of folks listening there.

>The ARRL did the interference testing drills before the events of
>exactly a year ago when many feds could have arguably been asleep at

>the switch and perhaps not particularly alert to the implications of
>hams on their seldom-used 60m freqs. That's all obviously changed
>dramatically. Right or wrong all federal agencies are hell-bent today
>to identify and reinforce whatever assets they have which (they think)
>will heighten their ability to serve their roles in national security.
>Including their existing "clear channels" on 60m they perhaps didn't
>much care about 366 days ago. Now they do care and here we is.

And that's the bottom line right now. Game over, thank you for playing.
>
>On the other hand there is probably more than just a bit of
>overreaction on the part of the feds who use those freqs in the name
>of national security. And otherwise including the USCG. We're seeing a
>lot of that in other venues. It's my contention that the whole matter
>needs to be revisted in it's entirety given the new realities. That's
>gonna happen between the NTIA and the FCC and we ain't gonna have much
>to say about it and it ain't gonna happen overnite.

Even things the FCC wants to do take a long time.

>These people are conceptually the same hammers who shut ham radio down
>compeletely for the durations of both world wars. We're engaged in
>another war today so let's not get too pissy and/or righteous about it
>until we learn more about the political and technical details we know
>nothing about yet.
>
Worst thing we hams could do right now would be to push the FCC/NTIA to give us 60 meters as proposed originally. Just makes us look clueless - and worse.

Maybe, just maybe, we could get a small slice of 5.25-5.4 on the same basis as 30 m. No voice, low power, no contests, etc. But don't hold yer breath.

73 de Jim, N2EY

920. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 12:09:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912080916.03046.00000551@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52687

In article <al6nri$e0m$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020904061814.03046.00000379@mb-fy.aol.com...
>> In article <al3egg$lp6$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>> Main point is that we should not ask FCC for things that they obviously
>>won't
>> do, because it just makes the asker look clueless.
>
>Agree completely.

See also the thread on 5.2 MHz and the NTIA

>> And those responding would leave an email trail. Plus, each exam could
>> contain
>> a warning not to divulge any of its contents to anyone other than the FCC.
>
>For the same reason above...time, money and resources, it
>wouldn't be done. The billions of emauls and web interactions
>defy monitoring except for extreme and vital issues. Bogus
>FCC test questions wouldn't come close to warranting such an effort.

End of story!

>> I remember that when K3NYT (sk) gave me the Novice by-mail back in 1967,
>>the
>> test came in a sealed envelope with all sorts of warnings on it. He never
>>even
>> saw the test, just gave me the unopened envelope and said I was to follow
>>the
>> instructions.
>>
>> One of the instructions, as I recall, was that no one was to copy or
>>divulge
>> the test contents in any way. Another was that by opening the test
>>envelope and
>> sining the Form 610, the volunteer examiner and the test-taker agreed to
>>all of
>> the FCC's conditions.
>>
>> Of course we were on our honor to actually follow the instructions and
>>abide by
>> our agreements. Those concepts probably wouldn't fly today!

>
>Agree, same reasons as before.

The point of that story was that in those days there were rules in place about non-divulgence of test content. But FCC decided not to enforce them re Dick Bash.

>One could argue
>that the "do not divulge" was a no option choice for the applicant.

I don't think such an argument could be made successfully, though. Would set all kinds of precedents.

>Bottom line, this is just an academic discussion totally apart from
>reality of today.

Agreed!

>> >Other than larger pools, what is needed?
>>
>> Let's look at the rest of that list:
>>
>> 2) Increase the number of choices on each question from 4 to 5

>OK by me.
>
>> 3) Change the grading method so that wrong answers decrease the score
>> (eliminates the gain from pure guessing)

>This isn't likly to be accepted by the FCC if other government
>licensing tests don't grade accordingly.

Good point. Doesn't hurt to ask, tho.

>> 4) Break each Element into subelements (say, Safety, Regs, Theory) or some
>> such, and require a certain number of right answers in each subelement.
>> (The way it is now, a new ham could get all the Safety questions wrong and
>> still pass, all the way to Extra.)
>>
>> This part is particularly important because the safety issues today go
>>beyond
>> merely electrocuting oneself.
>
>I believe this should be THE most important thing we could change.

Agreed!
>
>> 5) Focus more on the basics and less on esoterics (like the "how much time
>> do you have to notify the FCC about a station in space" questions)
>
>Agree completely. Those space station questions were really
>off the wall.

In the rules = in the pools.

>There's no need either (IMHO)
>for every extra to be knowledgeable about VE rules, etc.
>Those that want to be VEs should be "qualified" as the
>ARRL does today.

Yup. Perhaps the best method would be a separate test element. But that complicates things.

--

We're not going to see much change in the rules until after WRC 2003. At which time, we hams should have some sort of proposal to adjust the license structure to a nocodetest situation. Included in said proposal should be stuff like the above. Otherwise, all FCC will do is drop Element 1.

73 de Jim, N2EY

921. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 12:44:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209120444.158d6d6f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52688

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:<alnp91$4sg$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Im listening to FEMA trying to do their thing right now on a 5 mc frequency.
> Guess what? Its being jammed by bootleg CB Trucker types.
>

That's beyond outrageous. Imagine the possibilities if FEMA was into
the real thing instead of a drill - or was it the real thing
somewhere? Interfering with hams is one level of criminality,
interfering with federal emeregency comms is an entirely different
level of criminal activity. Intentional disruption of gummint comms
infrastructure is a form of terrorism. If this doesn't result in some
serious enforcement operations against the jerks we might as well give
up even talking about the problem. The feds, FCC or somebody, the
Marines will do, oughta start swooping down on truck stops and
confiscating the radios, the trucks and the loads and ship a few dozen
of 'em off to Leavenworth for a hard 10 to 20. For simple possession.

>
> Perhaps NTIA has a point. They may think the truckers are hams.

Nah, they're pros in the biz, they know what's up.

> Dan/W4NTI
>

w3rv

922. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 12:52:54 UTC
From: "R. D. Ervin" <rd28@privatezmail.com>
Message-ID: <alq2mh$7ue$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52689

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:alnp91$4sg$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Im listening to FEMA trying to do their thing right now on a 5 mc
frequency.
> Guess what? Its being jammed by bootleg CB Trucker types.
>
> Perhaps NTIA has a point. They may think the truckers are hams.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

The HF bands (outside ham bands) are alive with various illegal
and/or pirate type signals. As you observed, by monitoring communications
content, some are trucker types, others are personal, shrimpers,
long-liners,
unlicensed hobbyists, etc. Of particular interest, are the foreign
language
comms, especially Arabic, which is originating from within the U.S.
The FCC dumped virtually its entire pool of expertise in this area, post
1996. Fortunately, some of the best expertise was immediately
scooped up by other agencies.

Best 73,

Rob

923. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 12:54:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D808E4A.8DFBD2E3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52690

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7D82F5.53C8FBB3@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7C202A.EA7FECD0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D7C16E2.1C695BBD@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D7C0A1F.5EEED58D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > >
> > > > As I mentioned earlier, I have no idea if the person posting here
> was
> > > > French or had valid information on French licensing.
> > > >
> > > > But either way, it didn't matter to you, did it?
> > >
> > > You're trying to read between lines again. I have no idea if the
> person
> > > posting here was French, a ham or if he/she had valid information on
> > > French licensing. I operated where *I was permitted to be*.
> > >
> > > Later, another RRAPer posted the French information and your French
> buddy
> > > was incredibly accurate.
> >
> > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had valid
> > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
> > following Good Amateur Practice.
> >
> Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French regulations
```

> > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating in
> > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French radio
> > regs.
>
> You don't have to aid them with a QSO, nor a QSL card.

I didn't assist them, Brian. They made a conscious decision to key a
radio where they aren't supposed to be. I couldn't make them do that
nor could I prevent it. I couldn't (nor was it my responsibility to)
enforce French regs from Tanzania.

> > > > > You were going to work
> > > > > whoever came up out of band or not.
> > > > >
> > > > > Now you're having the malarial hallucination. I've written no such
> > > > > thing. My point was, you weren't there and weren't involved. Those
> > > > > things did not stop your wild accusations and attempts at character
> > > > > assasination. Now that the shoe is on the other foot, you don't care
> to
> > > > > play anymore. Take your Somali permission and go home if you like.
> > > > > Whoops!--That's right, your dog ate it.
> > > >
> > > > Dogs cannot eat verbal permission.
> > >
> > That you addressed only the last line of my comments is noted. Dogs
> > can't eat what doesn't exist.
>
> I only know what you told me and what the incredibly accurate French ham
> told you. You can play the rest of it any way you want, but it doesn't look
> good.

Has it yet dawned on you that the only person who feels that it doesn't
look good is you?

> > > > > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy was
> > > interested,
> > > > > > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French scofflaws.
> The
> > > > > > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which brings us to
> your
> > > > > > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...

(space reserved for Brian's response at a later time)

> > > > > Dave, I know enough now not to work the Frenchmen out of band. I'm
> not
> > > so
> > > > > > sure about you.
> > > >

> > > > You can't work me out of band either. I don't operate out of band.
> > > >
> > > > Any luck on contacting your commander from those days in Somalia yet?
> > > > Have you found whether he had authority to issue permission for your
> > > > operation from there?

> > > Dave, obviously he had the authority. He was a commander.
> >
> > Sure, Brian, and any old commander will do. According to you, the couple
> > of generic lines on Somali licensing are the bible on the subject.
>
> Any old commander? What is that?

I guess it'd be any officer in your chain of command.

> > > I'm trying to
> > > locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my deployed
> commander. I
> > > was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial deployment.
> >
> > Maybe he ate your permission.

> How could he do that?

He was one of the "cats and dogs" and you don't have any proof of
permission.
Somebody must have it.

Dave K8MN

924. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 13:00:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D808FF5.55BA4731@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52691

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7E8847.A962B2C2@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7D86F2.5EC21720@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > Naw, Len, those comments were directed toward you. You aren't a radio
> > > > amateur either "in here" or "out of here". As difficult as it may be
> for
> > > > you to accept, there are things I can't tell you about my former job.
> > > > Live with it.
> > >
> > > Dave, its not the things you can't tell us. Its the things you have
> told
> > > us.
> >
> > What's up, Mini Me? Do you think you understand what I've told you?
> > Were you here back then?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, why call names? We were both communicating with each other on RRAP
> back then, just as we are now. Ditto the incredibly accurate French ham who
> communicated directly to you the French 6M authorizations, and the very same
> one that you replied back to.
>
> Again, its not the things you can't tell us, its the things you have told
> us.

Len's spat with me began way back and I don't recall you being around
then.
What I stated to Len was factual. That he denies it and goes into a
rant makes it no less factual. You wouldn't seem to have any
information on the subject one way or the other.

To answer your "why call names?" query, you act line Len's clone at
times.

Dave K8MN

925. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 13:10:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D809218.C2753764@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52692

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > > > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > > > >
> > > > > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of hamdom
> > > > > disagrees with you.
> > > >
> > > > Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth. Nothing
> > new
> > > > here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is legal
> > was
> > > > lack of prosecution.
> > >
> > > Is Farnsworth still being used in testing? Did anyone except Len agree
> > > with your assessment? Even Bill Sohl told you that you were wrong.
> > > Blooey! There goes your yarn.
> >
> > Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl? Suffice it to say that I can
> > read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically says
> > "Morse Code." What else could it mean?

I'd think you need validation of your idea from someone other than the
non-licensed, non-radio amateur Leonard H. Anderson. I'll agree that
you can probably read Part 97 without Bill Sohl's help. It doesn't
necessarily follow that you can understand what you've read.

Yep, Part 97 says "morse code". Farnsworth is not a separate coding
scheme. It is referred to as the "Farnsworth method".

> > > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
> >
> > How do you get a hat on that square noggin?
>
> You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being proof that
> the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97 stated
> Morse Code exam.

No, I'm not "hanging my hat" on that at all. The FCC hasn't even told
anyone to stop using the Farnsworth method in testing. The FCC realizes
that Farnsworth is morse code. You seem to have trouble understanding
that. It is not the only means of testing for morse proficiency.
You've been told that. You seem to have problems digesting that too.

> > > > > Cite the legal reference
> > > > > where my operation is invalid.
> > > >
> > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> > > > validity of your Somali operation.
> > >
> > > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that makes it
> > > illegal.
> >
> > You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
> > No kiddin'.
>
> No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.

So you say. In the absence of proof, your claim is bo-GUS! At least
those 50 MHz French scofflaws have operating permits.

Dave K8MN

926. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 13:15:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D809360.2A3238A2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52693

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D7E8A0D.ACC5D04D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020910094034.09267.00000171@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > > >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > >Date: 9/9/02 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > >Message-id: <Wcbf9.182$tk7.11218198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
> > > >
> > > > >> DXCC rules, anyway, if being "valid" was his goal.
> > > > >
> > > > >Are you going to place me under DXCC arrest or what?
> > > >
> > > > Nope. Your ignorance of what constitutes a legitimate DXCC
> entity
> > > and
> > > > what does not is your own "punishment", Brian.
> > > >
> > > > >>His facts are wrong and he has no documentation of his "operation"
> in
> > > > >> Somalia, yet continues to insist it's "valid".
> > > > >
> > > > >Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > > >
> > > > No, they are not...NOT for DXCC validity. I have already
> conceeded
> > > that
> > > > you thought you were legal, and that you didn't suffer any
> repercussions
> > > from
> > > > the Armed Forces for that act. Again, bully for you. But as far as
> DXCC
> > > > validity goes, you FAILED to meet documentation criteria.
> > > >
> > > > Did you REALLY work anyone, Brian? If you did, get a copy of
> your
> > > orders
> > > > assigning you to Somalia. Then see if you can't locate your old OIC
> and
> > > get
```

> > > > him to draft a letter stating that under the conditions that existed
> at
> > > > the
> > > > > time, he was unable to document the "authorization".
> > > > >
> > > > > MAYBE between the two the DXCC desk will acknowledge your
> operation
> > > > as
> > > > > "valid". Otherwise, it's still INvalid.
> > > > >
> > > > > >Cite the legal reference where my operation is invalid.
> > > > >
> > > > > DXCC participation criteria. Have a look yourself.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I was
> > > > illegal.
> > >
> > > Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone who worked
> > > you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for your error.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > So DXCC is the final licensing authority in the world?
> >
> > I guess you're back to that again.

How many times must I tell you before it sinks in:

1) The DXCC desk (with advice from the DXAC) is the final arbiter on
what is accepted for DXCC credit.

2) (a separate issue) You have no proof of permission to operate from
Somalia at all *punto*

Dave K8MN

927. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 14:07:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D809F83.6BFB2063@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52694

Brian Kelly wrote:

> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> >
> > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
>
> Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
> far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.

At least.

928. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 14:48:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D80A91D.CCDBFB5A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52695

N2EY wrote:

> In article <d22b54c3.0209102231.792ccb31@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20020909080910.02651.00000203@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> >> In article <slrnangl6l.1ha.n6jpa_spam@12-225-29-45.client.attbi.com>, Keith
> >> <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com> writes:
> >>
> >
> >> What we hams are asking for is permission ot share 5.25 - 5.4 with other
> >> services who are currently there. We will do our best to avoid interference
> >> with those other services.
> >> NTIA isn't convinced.
> >
> >Lotta "in's & outs" on this one the way I see it. Sharing works fine
> >on 30m.
>
> Hams aren't allowed voice modes on 30m, either.
>
> >The only other services I've heard there are transmitting a
> >bunch of strong data signals which rule the lower few Khz of the band.
> >Those are easy to "avoid", they simply bully us hams out of their way
> >and they're probably QRM resistant too. Whatever they are.
>
> Pretty clear that they aren't low-powered FEMA portables with poor antennas.
> >
> >I haven't seen any info on what kinds of signals we'd be interfering
> >with on 60m but if they're ssb sharing probably would not work
> >particularly in the case where the feds would be using low-powered
> >portable emergency equipment with lousy antennas. We have too many
> >lids with too many watts, big antenns and no ears for the feds to
> >compete with reliably. A three minute spin thru the 75 and 40m ham
> >phone bands illustrates the syndrome, he who pins the s-meter rules
> >the freq and tough darts if ya don't have a bigger signal.
>
> And the ARRL proposal would make the whole segment one big 'phone band.
>
> >You can bet
> >that yer warmy "doing our best to avoid interference" is a writeoff
> >where the affected feds come from, they can tune the ham bands too and
> >they ain't into platitudes and "promises" from us to be good boys and
> >play nice when they pop up on some freq.

>
> The FEMA folks may not even want lots of folks listening there.
>
> >The ARRL did the interference testing drills before the events of
> >exactly a year ago when many feds could have arguably been asleep at
> >the switch and perhaps not particularly alert to the implications of
> >hams on their seldom-used 60m freqs. That's all obviously changed
> >dramatically. Right or wrong all federal agencies are hell-bent today
> >to identify and reinforce whatever assets they have which (they think)
> >will heighten their ability to serve their roles in national security.
> >Including their existing "clear channels" on 60m they perhaps didn't
> >much care about 366 days ago. Now they do care and here we is.
>
> And that's the bottom line right now. Game over, thank you for playing.
> >
> >On the other hand there is probably more than just a bit of
> >overreaction on the part of the feds who use those freqs in the name
> >of national security. And otherwise including the USCG. We're seeing a
> >lot of that in other venues. It's my contention that the whole matter
> >needs to be revisted in it's entirety given the new realities. That's
> >gonna happen between the NTIA and the FCC and we ain't gonna have much
> >to say about it and it ain't gonna happen overnite.
>
> Even things the FCC wants to do take a long time.
>
> >These people are conceptually the same hammers who shut ham radio down
> >compeletely for the durations of both world wars. We're engaged in
> >another war today so let's not get too pissy and/or righteous about it
> >until we learn more about the political and technical details we know
> >nothing about yet.
> >
> Worst thing we hams could do right now would be to push the FCC/NTIA to give us
> 60 meters as proposed originally. Just makes us look clueless - and worse.
>

Good points, all well taken. But if ham radio is to be expected to help in disasters, as has been true all along, it is irresponsible for NTIA to categorically reject the 5mhz proposal without addressing the need for amateur spectrum in the 5mhz region. They could have just as easily left the door open a crack instead of just slamming it.

>
> Maybe, just maybe, we could get a small slice of 5.25-5.4 on the same basis as
> 30 m. No voice, low power, no contests, etc. But don't hold yer breath.

Exactly, and that would indeed serve the purpose, even adjusted up or down band to avoid any real problems. A data-only, restricted bandwidth and restricted power band would serve the purpose just fine, while enhancing our move toward later technology. Even 50 khz instead of the full 150 would be useful.

. But that wasn't what NTIA said, and it sure looks like someone currently assigned to that part of the spectrum who never uses it and pays little or no attention to it finally woke up and came out swinging.

If there's anyone out there in government still interested in ham radio as a viable communications asset -surely there is, given its history- it's time for them to act. Not just on this particular issue, but to create a realized separation from the radio outlaws who are in the process of taking over the spectrum. Ignoring them, while denying hams valid needs is a sure prescription for future disaster.

Billy Tauzin should be listening, but he's not.

929. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 14:54:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D80AA7D.83F6456A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52696

N2EY wrote:

> In article <3D7FBB41.BDE1A463@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >But as shown in other posts here re bootleg truckers QRMing 5mc operations,
> >FCC and NTIA should already know that as far as their problems are >concerned,
> the ARS is just a single
> >small flea on a very large dog that's loaded up with them.
>
> Yes, they should know that, but there's no guarantee that they do know it.
>
> >We still have the best self
> >policing record of all, the few idiots amongst us notwithstanding.
>
> That record may not be so impressive to FCC/NTIA after listening to tapes of
> W6NUT, 3950, 14313, etc. Or looking at some of the callsigns specifically
> requested under the vanity call program.

So where's Riley in these nut cases? If there is any enforcement that is vital to the future of ham radio here it is. I would assume that someone could show some action on them, and forestall some of the criticism as the ubiquitous nuts found everywhere in our free and open society. Being a nut is no disqualification for anything. Just look at a couple denizens of rrap.

W5TIT is another story, sad that it is.

930. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 15:33:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <alqc52$833$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52697

Yep. When I can hear anything through this powerline noise I like to monitor around. Quite a bit of 'strange' traffic going on. But can't make nothing of it of course. May be nothing at all.

I especially like to monitor the cw signals I hear from time to time in amongst it all.

5 mhz seems a popular part of the spectrum.

Dan/W4NTI

"R. D. Ervin" <rd28@privatezmail.com> wrote in message news:alq2mh$7ue$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:alnp91$4sg$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Im listening to FEMA trying to do their thing right now on a 5 mc
> frequency.
> > Guess what? Its being jammed by bootleg CB Trucker types.
> >
> > Perhaps NTIA has a point. They may think the truckers are hams.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> The HF bands (outside ham bands) are alive with various illegal
> and/or pirate type signals. As you observed, by monitoring
communications
> content, some are trucker types, others are personal, shrimpers,
> long-liners,
> unlicensed hobbyists, etc. Of particular interest, are the foreign
> language
> comms, especially Arabic, which is originating from within the U.S.
> The FCC dumped virtually its entire pool of expertise in this area, post
> 1996. Fortunately, some of the best expertise was immediately
> scooped up by other agencies.
>
> Best 73,
>
> Rob
>
>
>

931. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 15:34:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <alqc6fqiv1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52698

You mean I am an official 'Old Fart' because I remember those fellas?

Oh no !!!

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D809F83.6BFB2063@townsqr.com...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > >
> > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
> >
> > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
> > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
>
> At least.
>

932. CB FRUITS TRY MORE DEFOCUSING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 16:24:33 UTC
From: bookooaddys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1b4daaa5.0209120824.5542e722@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Yep, Yep. Jump on the messenger cuz the message issomethin' ya dont wanna hear!!

Hehhehe. No matter how true. That shows ya the dumbness of keyclowns.

"Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
news:<akm0mkafk0@pita.alt.net>...
> Does your rusted out Ford pick-me-up truck have a
> sign on it saying "Radio Police"?????? and I'm sure
> you have your ham call letters plastered all over it, like some
> badge of accomplishment.
> hahahahahahahahhahahah You REALLY need to
> find a life!!!!!!
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> > news:aklknvben0@pita.alt.net...
> > > I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> > > Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> > > your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> > > Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Dream on.............................
> >
> > J
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > Try this!
> > > > >
> > > > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > > >
> > > > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth
> and

> > > > insert foot!!
> > > >
> > > > LMAO!!
> > > >
> > > > J
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more
> watts
> than
> > > > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you
> are.
> stop
> > > > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
> posting
> will
> > > > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tim
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> driver
> of
> the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> without
> a
> > > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
> 1934,
> as
> > > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> individual
> > > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> transmitting
> > > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> matter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >

933. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 17:29:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912132906.03357.00002809@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52700

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 9/12/02 9:54 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D80AA7D.83F6456A@townsqr.com>

>So where's Riley in these nut cases? If there is any enforcement that is
>vital to
>the future of ham radio here it is.

Come'on, guys, have you not been paying attention tot he items in the ARRL site (among others?)

There's been a bunch of enforcement actions against "W6NUT" participants. No, it's not off the air yet (which in my mind is the only TRUE remedy) but at least they are on notice that they are being watched.

73

Steve, K4YZ

934. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 17:31:51 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2bxmn7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52751

On Thu, 12 Sep 2002 10:34:39 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>You mean I am an official 'Old Fart' because I remember those fellas?

I've been an "Official Old Fart" since my teen-age years..... <ggg>
---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

935. Re: 9/12/02 ANTIKEYCLOWNS STILL OWN THE NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 20:55:34 UTC
From: Bill Mast <Bmast@netmail.com>
Message-ID: <3d82fe06.99841003@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 52752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 12 Sep 2002 09:03:34 -0700, bookooaddys@yahoo.com (Keyclown
Busters) wrote:

>And no one here can stop it.
>
>ESPECIALLY a booze and dope soaked nwa wanna be high school dropout
>whose parents kicked him out because he is a useless doper parasite,
>and who fantasizes about pimping hoes as the highest point on the
>economic ladder he can achieve.
>
>ALSO: Crackheads, rednecks, bowl weenies, truckstop trannies, and all
>the other slimes that comprise keyclownism.
>NONE OF YOU CAN STOP THE ANTIKEYCLOWNS!!!

Own What? Whats a keyclown?

936. Re: Anyone seen Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 21:19:51 UTC
From: Bill Mast <Bmast@netmail.com>
Message-ID: <3d8403b8.101299671@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 52753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 12 Sep 2002 13:02:54 -0700, bookooaddys@yahoo.com (Keyclown
Busters) wrote:

>Hey drug/booze soaked high school dropout that got kicked out by his
>parents and is an nwa wanna be...and thinks "pimpin hoes" is the
>ultimate on the economic ladder:
>
>Did you two sweeties talk after or before the love and drug session?
>

Hey N8WWM;
Which Randy are you looking for?

937. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 21:22:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912172233.22428.00002084@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52755

In article <20020912030115.15097.00003906@mb-fy.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>The USMC was one of the prime movers for his sacred SINCGARS
>>>communications systems. The USMC, and specifcally Marine Aircraft Group 16
>>of
>>>MCAS(H) Tustin were involved in this program.
>>
>> No. SINgle Channel Ground-Air Radio System (SINCGARS) is an all-service
>> concept, part of the beginning of the Digital Battlefield concept of the
>>US
>>Army.
>
> Yes. Please note I did NOT say "only", Lennie. The USMC was intergral
>to this program. This is a fact.
>
> LtCol Barney Oldfield, then DOSS of MAG-16 was the USMC airwing
>coordinator for our specific parts of the field trials. Extensive opeartions
>involving aircraft from MAG-16 and field units at MCB Camp Pendleton
>participated in this program.

"Barney Oldfield?!?" :-)

State the time and information reference of this SINCGARS "field testing."

This alleged testing statement, taken by itself, does not have any relevance without some reference that may be accessed by others.

> Care to know how >>I<< know, Lennie?

Bluff. The references to the SINCGARS has already been documented by at least three other US military service branches, in public statements by the prime manufacturer, General Dynamic, Fort Lauderdale Division, and ASIC (Application-Specific Integrated Circuit) developer, Nova Engineering. The system has been in operational use for 13 years in the US Army, USAF, and USMC for small-unit land and integrated land-air field communications.

>>SINCGARS is not a "sacred" system...(SNIP)
>
> To YOU it is, Lennie. You keep harping back to it as if it MEANS something
>in your constant blatherings in this AMATEUR RADIO newsgroup.

It is a clever system, innovative, combining a frequency-hopping
spread-spectrum option on a broad section of the EM spectrum
(over an octave, from 30 to 88 MHz), using digital modes for voice
and data. It even has a now-common feature of transmitter output
power adjustment (see several forms of amateur QRP units).

Many long-time amateurs have discounted or outright said that
digitized voice "cannot be used on HF (or even near there)" due to
"severe phase distortion from propagation making it impossible."
Actually, the ALE (Automatic Link Establishment) format and
doctrine has already blown that statement to bits.

Both FHSS (or even just DSSS) and ALE are both strange concepts
to many long-time radio amateurs. Those are stuck in the past of
narrow-band-only modes, cannot learn new concepts, and wish to
defend-to-the-death their ealier-gained knowledge.

FHSS promises relief from the overcrowded conditions on narrow
little HF band slices available for amateurs. However, it is shunned
as "foreign" and denigrated because the long-timer knows so little
about it, cannot admit ignorance.

ALE is already a standard on HF, essentially displacing the very
first SSB among commercial-military HF users of multiplexed voice
and TTY circuits. Note that ALE use is mentioned by the NTIA in
comments about the proposed US amateur band at 60 meters.
Long-time radio amateurs fail to mention the ALE protocol, most
not knowing what such an HF circuit sounds like, and instead go to
bashing nasty old government users from keeping amateurs out of
their "rightful desires." :-)

>>> The USMC was the prime developer of VTOL technology in the Armed Forces
>>>and continues to be.
>>
>> No. USN, to distinguish "VTOL" (Vertical Take-Off and Landing) from pure
>> helicopter type aircraft pioneered by the US Army in the 1940s.
>
> Again, you try to completely re-write the post based upon your hopes
>that others missed that one word, "prime".

Irrelevant. This newsgroup is about radio, not aviation.

The Osprey is the ONLY VTOL project STILL under development in
the US military. The Osprey is NOT YET operational. It is still in
an evaluation phase...millions and millions of tax dollars later, well
past the original testing completion date(s).

<snip>

> Also well known to anyone with a passing interest in the Discovery WINGS
>cable channel is that the United States Marine Corps is the ONLY branch of
>the US Armed Forces with VTOL capability, and is the ONLY branch of the US
>Armed Forces to employ it in combat.

"Combat" among officers at the Pentagon does not qualify as true
combat operations.

This newsgroup is not about US military aircraft nor the "superiority"
of the USMC to anyone else.

> The "stubborness" of the USMC has been to ENSURE that a viable aircraft
>results from this program.

The Osprey is so far over budget, so far past its original development
completion time (including contract extensions), that it is NOT YET
operational with any degree of reliability.

>>Please let go of the incessant USMC E-6 Dill Sergeant speechifying.
>
> My last honorably held grade was E7, Lennie, and I never served as a
>DRILL instructor..

I wrote "DILL sergeant." The type that struts in front of recruits,
campaign hat levelled, swagger stick under arm and continually
pursing lips in a scowl indicating sucking on too many dill pickles.

This is NOT the USMC, is NOT some recruit boot camp, and the
USMC doesn't want Robeson in the corpse anymore.

Try to live with the fact. You are having great difficulty adjusting to
civilian life.

> By the way, >>MY<< DD214 says "honorable", just like yours did.

My DD-214 is simply a release from active duty. Mine was issued
in 1956. Four years later, in 1960, I received a nice certificate
saying I was Honorably Discharged from US Army service after a
total of 8 years military service.

> And all your historical revelations about ADA of half a century ago will
>NOT bring you any closer to being right about Amateur Radio nor current
>application of technology in United States Marine Corps Aviation.

US Army radio station ADA operated on HF radio circuits, carrying
220 thousand messages a month across the Pacific from Korea to
California and down to Saigon, using [commercial] SSB and RTTY.
With 43 HF transmitters (by 1956) having RF power outputs of 1 to
40 KW, it operated 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, serving the Far
East Command Headquarters. In addition to HF, ADA used VHF,
UHF, and microwave radio relay sets to keep communications
flowing between Hq and the control, transmitter, and receiver sites.

None of the amateur radio activities in the USA have come anywhere
close to that kind of communications throughput nor reliability on HF,
yet some religious believers want to discount what happened a half
century ago just to win message points. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

This is not a military aviation newsgroup. Neither have I "claimed"
anything that long-ago military communications validates any

current knowledge of military aviation. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Aviation Week and Space Technology is a popular, fee-required
periodical in the aerospace industry. When I subscribed to it, it
was a weekly, very informative. However, to use it as a general
reference is a poor choice. To properly reference it against a
claim in this newsgroup requires some identification of the edition
or at least the year.

To repeat, this is NOT a military aviation newsgroup.

> Is that the same newsgroup that has "I was a rear area radio clerk so I
>should get a Ham Radio License without further adieu" rants?

1. I was NEVER a "radio clerk." Proof may be supplied by
copies of mimeographed orders of the 8235th Army Unit.
E-mail attachments could be done of that but that would
mean the recipient becoming terribly enraged, enraged
enough to open another AOL home page full of vile, libelous
statements, and then having AOL delete it after notification.
That isn't worthwhile to all concerned. Instead, I can supply
surface mail copies, shipping postpaid, for the sum of $300
(US) for the package. Sorry, no group discounts. :-)

2. My temporary MOSs (Military Occupation Specialty or job
description) have been Fixed Station Radio Operations and
Maintenance, Radio Relay and Carrier Operations and
Maintenance. My permanent MOS was as Microwave Radio
Relay Operations and Maintenance and final MOS was 281.6
or Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance
Supervisor (so indicated on my DD-214).

3. I've never claimed that early, later, or even current radio
communications was any sort of substitute for any civilian
avocational radio license test (amateur radio license). My
advocacy in here was originally for the elimination of a
morse code test as a requirement for any radio license.

938. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 21:22:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912172234.22428.00002085@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52754

In article <3D808FF5.55BA4731@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len's spat with me began way back and I don't recall you being around
>then.

1. Heil jumps into a "code use" thread and claims he devised a "neat"
order-wire [command] system whereby CW was used on an RTTY
radio circuit in Guinea-Bisseau for State Department...in the 1980s.

2. I reply with some Almanac facts about Guinea-Bisseau and state
that every other radio service using RTTY does NOT use "CW as an
order-wire [command] on an RTTY circuit" because it is foolish.

3. Heil claims that CW was "necessary" in order to provide
"synchronization" of the circuit.

4. I reply by stating that all TTYs are self-synchronizing, are designed
that way, citing a NAVSHIPS technical manual (public release) used
in USN teleprinter classes.

5. Heil waffles by now claiming it was "State Department procedure,"
something not done in the original claim. When requested to state
such a procedural document, Heil does not comply.

6. Meanwhile, Guinea-Bisseau's chief export is cashew nuts and even
the CIA World Fact Book, a public-release almanac of sorts, lists
Guinea-Bisseau as one of the poorest nations in the world.

7. Some time later, in another thread, Heil claims to have been "the
only ham in Guinea-Bisseau," presumably operating via reciprocal
licensing arrangements. No radio amateurs are listed for the
country of Guinea-Bisseau.

8. Even later, when the original "neat" idea of a "CW order-wire
[command] on an RTTY circuit" is brought up, Heil claims it is
now somehow classified and that the procedure "cannot be
revealed to those not cleared for it." One would presume this
is also for that most important export of Guinea-Bisseau, cashew
nuts, lest anyone else on the world market for cashew nuts try to
disrupt the export of Guinea-Bisseau.

>What I stated to Len was factual. That he denies it and goes into a
>rant makes it no less factual.

What Heil stated sounds suspiciously like back-peddaling
rationalization of a beginning slip of the keyboard, a refusal to
be corrected, certainly by a non-amateur.

Use of "CW for an order-wire [command] on an RTTY circuit" is foolish. Synchronization of TTY machines (mechanical or all solid-state) is automatic, inherent in their design. Typical single-channel RTTY circuits use FSK, can check their "spread" using either a fixed "Fox test" generator or a paper tape loop run through the p-tape reader of a teleprinter.

If encryption is used on-line for TTY, there are functions within the KY unit to handle encryption-synchronization. Those are classified.

Heil's claim of "synchronization" needed to "synchronize schedules, operating times, etc." falls apart when considering that a TTY already (in clear mode) prints out the same thing at each end, op time tables or listings sent faster and more accurately at typical 100 WPM rates than, at best, 20 to 40 WPM morse.

If the teleprinter unit on a circuit is working at all, there is no reasonable
reason to resort to manual OOK CW mode. That might be a reason if there is functional failure of the teleprinter, but that was never mentioned.

If the teleprinter unit is working, operating times, schedules can be transmitted encrypted to obscure intercepts by others. However, intercepts of single-channel RTTY circuits are commonplace and not difficult to do by even inexperienced monitors. Transmission of op times and schedules by morse has several difficulties:

A. If unencrypted, it is "in clear" to anyone with morse code cognition capability. Intercept is relatively easy and straightforward.

B. If encrypted, there must be a separate encryption system useable manually at each end of the circuit. This requires redundancy in crypto operating procedures, the manual method quite different from built-in teleprinter encryption keys ("keys" in reference to crytpo use, not as a morse key).

C. An in-between mode might use in-clear morse to send schedules but disguising or obscuring crypto key references to a pre-determined set already available at each circuit end. This is not generally preferred except as a "last resort" method since it makes known identifiers of key nomenclature which could be used in overall crypto analysis.

>You wouldn't seem to have any
>information on the subject one way or the other.

Bluff statement. Operating procedures for TTY and RTTY were worked out internationally before WW2, changed afterwards only to fit ever-growing multiplexing of many TTY channels on one radio circuits and various forms of crypto systems. The information is available in several texts and among the few who have operated RTTY in the commercial/military communications services.

RTTY use on HF of the "old style" is phasing out as more and more HF users change to faster "data" systems such as PACTOR or similar. Radio technology improvements now allow maritime radio services to communicate by voice and fast data modes on HF, so much so that specialized radio operators (with morse capability) are not needed on larger nation merchant ships.

>To answer your "why call names?" query, you act line Len's clone at
>times.

Calling names or the use of arrogant bluff phrases is "bad form" (to use an antiquated amateur radio term). Neither have any "answer" much less "reply" to another's comment.

Some alleged "superior professionals" in here have insisted that their examples are somehow "protected" by "government procedures" and therefore may not be criticized by anyone. That is ridiculous on the face of it by stating some 1980s "procedure" is either clever or latest-thing when such professional radio circuits had already stabilized their operating doctrine 30 years priot.

939. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 22:27:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Kx8g9.94604$2L.4679348@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> > Dream on.....

Hey, Mr. Louie, go to www.rainreport.com and click on Enforcement Log.
That case in Mooresville you said was a "dream"? It IS THERE! BWAHHH!
BWAAAAAAAAAA!!
I told you I TOLD you, Nana, nana, boo boo! LOL!

Jerry

Channel Jockey 427 <cj427@28025LSBclub.net> wrote in message
news:al3cdp$55l$0@pita.alt.net...
> ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ, Yawn!
> More SPAM! (and lies)
> and stop post-editing.
>
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:JS9d9.69096$vY2.1596820@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Charlie Yount <yount0375@sedrionpumps60.org> wrote in message
> > news:al27os$56o$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:_6xb9.49817$Hd4.7968575@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > <<<<<<<<<<trash snipped
> > here>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >
> > > Yawn, zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
> > >
> > > The same "letter" you've posted and reposted with a different
> > > date for the last three years! zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
> > > Get some new material.
> > >
> > > Charlie, standing by on 27890
> >
> > OH!? How do you account for the different addresses? It IS, after all,
a
> > form letter sent as an initial warning. And, without being too

> "specific",
> > let's just say I knew this one was coming. You go right "ahaid" and
"take
> > an ole stood by thar on that thar freeband thar, mercy me thar, shore
> > thang thar, gre't day thar". Those warnings to 10 and 12 meter bandits
> are
> > REAL, bud. Just ask
> > ole "Rabbit Ears" down in Fla. about the chains and leg irons, about the
> 15
> > month jail sentence, the
> > $25,000 fine. Ask him if those iron bars are real, or if those tears he
> > cried in court were real. He who laughs LAST laughs BEST!
> >
> > J
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

940. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 22:44:44 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D8118CD.F6825365@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 52757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> > > Dream on.....
>
> Hey, Mr. Louie, go to www.rainreport.com and click on Enforcement Log.
> That case in Mooresville you said was a "dream"? It IS THERE! BWAHHH!
> BWAAAAAAAAAA!!
> I told you I TOLD you, Nana, nana, boo boo! LOL!

And you get a woody over this?

941. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 23:34:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912193448.24570.00003442@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 52758

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/12/02 4:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020912172233.22428.00002084@mb-ch.aol.com>

>"Barney Oldfield?!?"

Yep...Didn't make it up. I was surprised too.

>> Care to know how >>I<< know, Lennie?
>
> Bluff.

Just like your "The ARRL is dishonest" statements, Lennie? I don't think
so.

>It is a clever system, innovative, combining a frequency-hopping...(SNIP)

We know, Your Repetitiveness, we know...

>Many long-time amateurs have discounted or outright said that
> digitized voice....(SNIP)

Many long time Amateur Radio newsgroup antagonists have discounted or
outright refused to understand that WE DON'T GIVE A HOOT ABOUT SINCGARS!

>Both FHSS (or even just DSSS) and ALE are both strange concepts..

In an Amateur Radio POLICY newsgroup when used to obfuscate the misdeeds
of a NON-Amateur participant in that NG!

>> Again, you try to completely re-write the post based upon your hopes
>>that others missed that one word, "prime".
>
> Irrelevant. This newsgroup is about radio, not aviation.

Ahhh! Another "redirection" from the most MISdirected person here!

Cute! Very two faced, but nothing we haven'
t come to expect from a "retired" electronics technician who is the MASTER of
the "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do" system.

942. Re: Obligation of US hams to know other countrie's rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-12 23:50:16 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <alrg71gtu1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52767

```
"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:7ISf9.620$uQ6.41225518@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:alm7rh$bt2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had
> valid
> > > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
> > > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> > >
> > > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French regulations
> > > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating
in
> > > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French radio
> > > regs.
> >
> > This is a point that seems to be unrecognized by some. It is not the
> > US amateur, operating totally within the bounds of US law, that has
> > ANY obligation to know and/or understand the boundaries defined
> > by the laws of other countries regarding the operating limits
> > of hams in that other country.
> >
> > If anyone can point to US law that sets requirements that
> > I know, acknowledge, or otherwise be concerned about
> > other countries amateur rules, please advise.
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
> Bill, is it Good Amateur Parctice to work
> another amateur that you *know* is
> operating outside of his/her authorization?

How do I know the other ham is operating outside of
the authorization of his country? I sure wouldn't
rely on the word of some other ham or the internet.
My "assumption" in the absence of any reason to believe
otherwise is that the "other" ham in the other
country is legal. I neither have the time nor inclination
to check the home country rules for every non-US
ham I work.
```

> You can pull and Ed on me and refuse to answer the question.

Why would I refuse to aanswer the question? See answer
above.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

943. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:12:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020912211244.15779.00000696@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52760

(lots of N2EY/W3RV discussion snipped)

In article <3D80A91D.CCDBFB5A@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

(N2EY wrote):

>> Worst thing we hams could do right now would be to push the FCC/NTIA to
>give us
>> 60 meters as proposed originally. Just makes us look clueless - and worse.
>>
>
> Good points, all well taken.

Thanks.

>But if ham radio is to be expected to help in
>disasters, as has been true all along, it is irresponsible for NTIA to
>categorically reject the 5mhz proposal without addressing the need for
>amateur spectrum in the 5mhz region.

I'm going to play Devil's Advocate here for a bit.

Consider the following:

1) We US hams have 500 kHz on 80/75 and 300 kHz on 40 meters. Plus lots of
other bands. Except for SWBC on 40 we pretty much have those bands to
ourselves. Do we really NEED 60 meters to provide emergency comms? How have
hams done it all these decades, without 60 meter access?

2) US hams have 800 kHz between 3 and 8 MHz - that's 16% of that piece of
spectrum. How many kHz do FEMA et al have between 3 and 8 MHz? H

3) Maybe FEMA doesn't want our help that much. Maybe they think it doesn't look
good when a bunch of volunteers handle the comms on an ad-hoc basis.

4) The existing users there may be channelized, and unable to avoid
interference the way hams can. Heck, if things get rough on SSB, many hams can
switch to CW. Other services can't. (That scenario is not far fetched, either.
Ask KT4ST.)

5) If the legal users there are experiencing interference now, they can quite
logically conclude that it will only et worse if more folks are allowed access.

>They could have just as easily left the door open a
>crack instead of just slamming it.

Political negotiation, Dick. Somebody asks for something, the first answer is
NO. The request gets toned down, the answer is no. The request is toned down

even more, the answer is maybe. Etc. You've been there.

>> Maybe, just maybe, we could get a small slice of 5.25-5.4 on the same basis
>>as
>> 30 m. No voice, low power, no contests, etc. But don't hold yer breath.
>
> Exactly, and that would indeed serve the purpose, even adjusted up or down
>band to avoid any real problems. A data-only, restricted bandwidth and
>restricted power
>band would serve the purpose just fine, while enhancing our move toward later
>technology. Even 50 khz instead of the full 150 would be useful.

All part of the negotiation process.
>
>But that wasn't what NTIA said, and it sure looks like someone currently
>assigned
>to that part of the spectrum who never uses it and pays little or no
>attention to
>it finally woke up and came out swinging.

I think W3RV has the scenario down cold. 60 meters wasn't of much interest on
9/10/01. That's ancient history now.
>
> If there's anyone out there in government still interested in ham radio as
>a viable communications asset -surely there is, given its history- it's time
>for them
>to act. Not just on this particular issue, but to create a realized
>separation from
>the radio outlaws who are in the process of taking over the spectrum.

All it takes is some enforcement. Wanna bet that there is enforcement going on
that we never hear about?

>Ignoring
>them, while denying hams valid needs is a sure prescription for future
>disaster.

See the numbered points above.

If there's one thing we hams CAN do, it's clean our own house.

73 de Jim, N2EY

944. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:13:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D813B6D.C3D5EDA1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52759

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >Date: 9/12/02 9:54 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D80AA7D.83F6456A@townsqr.com>
>
> >So where's Riley in these nut cases? If there is any enforcement that is
> >vital to
> >the future of ham radio here it is.
>
> Come'on, guys, have you not been paying attention tot he items in the ARRL
> site (among others?)
>
> There's been a bunch of enforcement actions against "W6NUT" participants.
> No, it's not off the air yet (which in my mind is the only TRUE remedy) but at
> least they are on notice that they are being watched.

My point. You can't jawbone idiots into acting nice, it takes the big stick or
time in Hotel Do-right.

945. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:17:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <l0bg9.237$7Q.17@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52761

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D73C0E8.25A9256E@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D72ECEE.50F69029@townsqr.com...
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > Are you saying that the FCC and the ARRL didn't force incentive
> > licensing on
> > > > the hams? Well they most certainly did.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Dan, don't change your assertion after making it. You said you
blamed
> > ARRL
> > > for incentive licensing, not the FCC. Now you want to co-blame both.
Of
> > course
> > > the FCC did it, and actually the ARRL made a successful case for
toning it
> > down
> > > considerably, otherwise it would have been of much more negative
personal
> > > impact. I lived it too, and was also annoyed that I lost privileges.
But
> > life
> > > goes on, and going around mad didn't get me back on 7005kc, so it was
time
> > to
> > > hit the books and W1AW (that's ARRL) on-the-air code practice. In no
time
> > at
> > > all I got it all back, in spades.
> > >
> > > Dick
> >
> > Dick, why did you have to retake a code exam? Furthermore, why did you
have
> > to practice for it?
>
> Really don't pay much attention over time, do you? I had a Conditional
> General,

Dick, as a Conditional General, you weren't confined to the Novice CW Subbands and thus had no excuse for not working lots of CW at the higher speeds required for the examinations in place at that time. Having to practice for something that you demand everyone else be proficient shows what an elitist you really are.

946. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:22:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <o5bg9.240$bS.4@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52762

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D76DDD4.5483889E@townsqr.com...
> Len Over 21 wrote:

> > No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
> > it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
> > fabricated imagination.
> >
> > Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve
> > any useful purpose in here.
>
> Face it, Jim. The Putzmobile was a meter reader and circuit breaker
resetter
> at some obscure Army transmitter site in rural JA land. Spent most of his
time
> twiddling his thumbs. Wouldn't know zip about operating anything.

Face it, Dick, not having a Comm MOS nor AFSC, I ran an encrypted HF net in
Somalia. Why wouldn't a real comm guy know something about operating HF and
messaging?

947. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:23:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <06bg9.241$aS.100@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52763

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D76E396.8C1CF65D@townsqr.com...

> Make that a FLUNKIE meter reader etc......

Why would you make it that?

948. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:25:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <c8bg9.244$xP.82@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52764

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020905183856.03599.00000557@mb-fk.aol.com...
> In article <3D76DDD4.5483889E@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
<dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> In article <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>,
n2ey@aol.com
> >> (N2EY) writes:
> >>
> >> >There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many
> >> >messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.
> >>
> >> No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
> >> many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
> >> ADA transmitters.
> >>
> >> With 36 (plus 6 more later) transmitters, four of them multi-channel
> >> (commercial carrier) SSB, and four of them time-multiplexed FSK
> >> RTTY, all operating 24/7, that was a lot of TTY circuits. Enough
> >> circuits to enable ADA to claim 220 thousand TTY messages a
> >> month.
> >>
> >> Failure to keep one SSB working meant losing two voice channels
> >> and 8 TTY circuits all at once. That didn't happen.
> >>
> >> No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
> >> it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
> >> fabricated imagination.
> >>
> >> Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve
> >> any useful purpose in here.
> >
> > Face it, Jim. The Putzmobile was a meter reader and circuit breaker
resetter
> >at some obscure Army transmitter site in rural JA land. Spent most of his
time
> >twiddling his thumbs. Wouldn't know zip about operating anything.
>
> Wrong identification. The "putzmobile drivers" are K4YZ and
> his grizzled old war vet buddy, W3RV.
>

> Interesting "technical opinion" from another grizzled old war vet...
> whose claimed Army schooling at Fort Monmouth was TV
> studio production. Did Carroll ever leave CONUS?

He was issued a German callsign. But we've know fakes who were issued
Hawaiian and Guam callsigns.

> ADA was an "obscure" radio station? Hardly. In the 1950s it was
> the third largest HF communications station in the US Army. The
> receiver site was a joint Army-USAF operation with the largest
> antenna field in the world at that time.
>
> At what Army radio station did Carroll work during his tour of duty?
>
> Was he ever responsible for keeping outbound message traffic
> going at a rate of 220,000 per month at any time, 24 hours a
> day, 7 days a week?

That would be a real tough one with that knee key.

949. Re: Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:41:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Wmbg9.250$jW.23@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Tbryant" <tbryant@cannet.com> wrote in message
news:alo83502sb9@enews3.newsguy.com...
> After being in "lurk mode" for the past three or so years, I felt like
posting
> today.
>
> It's hard to believe that a year has passed. On that Tuesday, I had been
> working an afternoon shift, and I was awake early because of a doctor's
> appointment. I wanted to catch up on some reading, and I didn't have the
TV on.
> My mother-in-law called shortly before 9:00am and asked if I was watching
TV.
> She said that one of the WTC towers had been hit by an airplane. My first
> thought was a small, single-engine plane had flown off-course, until I saw
the
> smoke. About a minute after I turned on the TV, the second plane hit the
South
> tower.
>
> At the doctor's office, people were quiet as they listened. Then came the
> announcement that the Pentagon had been hit. On the way home, I had the
car
> radio tuned to Howard Stern's show. (He was ready to nuke Mecca right now,
but
> all that would have done would be to temporarily make us feel good and
piss off
> every Muslim around the world even more) It was about 10:45 that "Crazy
Cabbie"
> called into the show to say that lower Manhattan was covered in smoke and
dust,
> because the towers had collapsed.
>
> For nearly two weeks after the initial attacts, I thought it was all a bad
> dream. That each day I would wake up and the New York skyline would be
whole
> again. As time passed, I knew that it was not a dream.
>
> I see that the *.policy newsgroup continues to bicker about the code and
> testing, and that the *.misc newsgroup continues with their petty insults.
> Today, however, I would think that the aguing could have been set aside.
>
> Tom - N8ECW

TL, I read your post yesterday. Do you feel better that I didn't reply yesterday?

What have we learned in a year?

We have a new "Homeland Security" that developed a color chart, and sent us to "orange" yesterday morning.

Whatever happened to Civil Defense?

Do you know where your closest shelter is?

It took us almost 9 months to get Sky Marshalls in the air. A bunch of them just quit.

A woman was made to drink breast milk, her own, for national security.

Have you taken your firearm to the range and practiced?

Have you cleaned that firearm?

Is your CPR card current?

Do you have a current HAZMAT placard identification booklet, state and county map, and phone book with your grab and go HT kit?

What was the last free FEMA emergency manangers course did you complete?

Have you registered to vote?

Do you plan to vote for freedom?

950. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:43:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <apbg9.252$ZW.229@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52766

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020902230849.04888.00000189@mb-fq.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0209021829.3c1ce89d@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> >news:<mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > and
> >>
> >> Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy Episode,
or
> >> was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been first. But
then
> >> Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just dunno.
There
> >> are so many good candidates here.
> >>
> >Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> >gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
> >Putz.
>
> Lots of folks have left this din of inequity.
>
> Bothered about being only the 2nd seat in the putzmobile? :-)
>
> >> Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in kerosene? I
tried
> >> so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP Hall of
> >> Shame.
> >>
> >Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
>
> Right. You want this an exclusive little chat room just for coders who
> can sit around and give each other high-fives over the splendid
patriotic
> task you are doing for the "ARS community." :-)
>
> Pity that no one else will give you the adulation you feel you deserve.
>
> [This is like "Grumpy Old Men III." :-)]
>
> Beep, beep.

Didit.

I absolutely won't leave for the sole reason that "they" want me to.

951. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:46:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Krbg9.257$4V.49@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52768

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020907001926.22428.00001659@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <KRHd9.71726$0u4.1190265@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
> <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> writes:
>
> >
> >I don't suppose I could bug you guys for your recommendations re.
> >paddle/keyers? Personal preferences, experiences, etc... :-)
> >
> >73 de Bert
> >WA2SI
>
> Bert:
>
> My keyer is a bottom-of-the-pile MFJ Econo Keyer II, model MFJ-401C.
> No memories, but provides both Iambic and "bug" (semi-auto) operation.
> Only downside is it is a battery-sucker, but I run it off a tiny (50 ma.)
> 12-volt "wall wart." There are a lot of options out there as far as
keyers
> are concerned, but the Accu-Keyer is probably the best, though pricey
> (around a C-note).
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, why would you want some chip or other electronic device to complete
and regulate your dits and dahs?

In an emergency, you might not be able to build one from an old toaster, a
Navaid, or ship's RADAR.

952. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:51:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Uwbg9.258$vY.17@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52769

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209051658.10fea047@posting.google.com...

> As Dick pointed out though paddles are kinda personal and sorta like
> women, we all have our own tastes in both women and paddles so beware.
> But I had mine in operation in last year's Field Day and several ops
> who owned other paddles used the Kent and the responses basically went
> something like "Wow"!

Paddles are like women, huh?

That's why I won't bring my wife out to Field Day.

;^)

Brian

953. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:53:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Qybg9.259$2Z.107@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52770

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209070528.2747d6f4@posting.google.com...

> Huh?? I don't have mine handy to check the exact part number but it's
> an Econ-Keyer and I've run it thru at least a couple hundred contacts
> on an internal 9v. battery.

At three per minute, that might be about an hour.

954. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 01:57:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fCbg9.261$kX.95@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52771

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020907184739.18884.00001976@mb-fz.aol.com...

> For the most part! I still like to have 50 or more watts if I need
> "consistent" or "sustained" communications capability.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I think SGC needs to kick the power up a little, and the little FT817 could have pushed up the HF to 50w, and the VHF+ to 25w. As much fun as QRP is purported to be, these rigs are often purchased with emergency communications in mind.

955. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:01:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iGbg9.264$_%.209@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52773

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> >
> > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
>
> Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
> far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.

Who was Godfrey?

956. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:05:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2Kbg9.266$811.72@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52775

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020912022416.15097.00003903@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/11/02 3:21 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020911162104.11247.00000455@mb-df.aol.com>
>
> >"Johnsville" was NOT a "test center" (as you called it). If you
> > want one of those, go to Patuxent River Test Center.
>
> Yes, it WAS a "test center". No, not in the category and breadth of
Pax
> River, but yes, testing of systems DID originate from Johnsville.

I tested a system at Hurlburt Field. Is Hurlburt Field a test center?

> >Now why not go a bit down the turnpike and camp out at Valley
> > Forge? Preferrably in January.
>
> Did that. It was called a REDCAP. Do you know what a REDCAP is,
Lennie,
> other than a brightly shaded item of clothing to cover your unsightly
noggin?

Don't say anything calssified. You're still in the reserves, right?

> >Wear your three-corner hat and sissy leggings, be an army of one...
>
> NOW who's "demeaning" the Armed Forces, Lennie? Past or present?
>
> Putz.

Its a rotten slogan. They need to lose that one.

957. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:06:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D8147D6.C114B44F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52772

N2EY wrote:

1) We US hams have 500 kHz on 80/75 and 300 kHz on 40 meters. Plus lots of

> other bands. Except for SWBC on 40 we pretty much have those bands to
> ourselves. Do we really NEED 60 meters to provide emergency comms?

YES! When propagation tanks on 40, and storm static makes 75/80 useless, a chance to use the 5 mhz region can make the difference. There was a good reason why the old Interstate Police Radiotelegraph Net used 5140 and 5710. The same reason ARRL gave when requesting the 5mhz allocation. There are times when it holds the only available HF propagation solution.

> How have
> hams done it all these decades, without 60 meter access?

How can we know how many times it was needed but not available?

>
>
> 2) US hams have 800 kHz between 3 and 8 MHz - that's 16% of that piece of
> spectrum. How many kHz do FEMA et al have between 3 and 8 MHz? H
>

As I see it that's a non-issue. They can have all they need, through assignment by NTIA and FCC. . AND share a small part of that spectrum with hams for common purposes. For ham radio is basically justified by its emergency communications value. More so today than ever, with other former justifications on the wane.

>
> 3) Maybe FEMA doesn't want our help that much. Maybe they think it doesn't look
> good when a bunch of volunteers handle the comms on an ad-hoc basis.
>

Professionally I worked closely with FEMA and SEMA (state level) for over a dozen years, and not once did I ever encounter that attitude toward hams, in fact very much to the contrary. Knowledgable FEMA communicators know that when the chips are down the most help they can get can easily be too little. I won't speak for the Left Coast and some other places where they like to tax to the max to fund much of such seldom used services, but taxpayer overload may change some attitudes there, too.

>
> 4) The existing users there may be channelized, and unable to avoid
> interference the way hams can.

Answer: Coordination of allocations. NOT exclusion of hams.

> Heck, if things get rough on SSB, many hams can
> switch to CW. Other services can't. (That scenario is not far fetched, either.
> Ask KT4ST.)
>

Another one of ham radio's strengths, and reason for hams to learn Morse code to
useful skill.

>
> 5) If the legal users there are experiencing interference now, they can quite
> logically conclude that it will only et worse if more folks are allowed access.
>

But if the interference is from unlicensed outlaws who are getting a pass from
enforcers for whatever reason, but are thought to be "hams" who want to get legal
by asking for allocations in that part of the spectrum, whose fault is that?

>
>
> >They could have just as easily left the door open a
> >crack instead of just slamming it.
>
> Political negotiation, Dick. Somebody asks for something, the first answer is
> NO. The request gets toned down, the answer is no. The request is toned down
> even more, the answer is maybe. Etc. You've been there.

That's the situation on the surface. One cannot know what lies beneath. Maybe
that'll work, maybe not.

>
>
> >> Maybe, just maybe, we could get a small slice of 5.25-5.4 on the same basis
> >>as
> >> 30 m. No voice, low power, no contests, etc. But don't hold yer breath.
> >
> > Exactly, and that would indeed serve the purpose, even adjusted up or down
> >band to avoid any real problems. A data-only, restricted bandwidth and
> >restricted power
> >band would serve the purpose just fine, while enhancing our move toward later
> >technology. Even 50 khz instead of the full 150 would be useful.
>
> All part of the negotiation process.
> >
> >But that wasn't what NTIA said, and it sure looks like someone currently
> >assigned
> >to that part of the spectrum who never uses it and pays little or no
> >attention to
> >it finally woke up and came out swinging.
>
> I think W3RV has the scenario down cold. 60 meters wasn't of much interest on
> 9/10/01. That's ancient history now.

That's the overall scenerio nowdays. FBI and CIA et all are catching heat for dropping the ball when they didn't figure out the puzzle in advance of 09/11/01 even though they had enough pieces in hand, in that ocean of information, communications and other data they deal with daily. It's doubtful from my viewpoint that will be corrected very soon or very much if at all, strictly because of the volume.When they get new things to watch for, the terrorists will do another chameleon change and slip through again. Whoever expected a boxcutter to be used as a primary weapon? They're not out of tricks.
All assets should be enhanced, not turned away or ignored. Including ham radio in emergency comms.

>
> >
> > If there's anyone out there in government still interested in ham radio as
> >a viable communications asset -surely there is, given its history- it's time
> >for them
> >to act. Not just on this particular issue, but to create a realized
> >separation from
> >the radio outlaws who are in the process of taking over the spectrum.
>
> All it takes is some enforcement. Wanna bet that there is enforcement going on
> that we never hear about?
>

Surely you don't think they're hiding any of it? I thought the FCC enforcement log covered all of it.
Problem today is that the dollar drives the FCC, and it's not their fault, it's their mandate, what they've been handed.

> If there's one thing we hams CAN do, it's clean our own house.

Yours and mine are in good shape, along with the vast majority of other hams. It grates that we can be held accountable for the outlaws, licensed hams and otherwise, upon whom no one can seem to get a grip because of politics and PC.

Dick

958. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:07:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D81483E.E1E974E6@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52774

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Dick, as a Conditional General, you weren't confined to the Novice CW
> Subbands and thus had no excuse for not working lots of CW at the higher
> speeds required for the examinations in place at that time. Having to
> practice for something that you demand everyone else be proficient shows
> what an elitist you really are.

That statement shows what an imbecile you really are.

959. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:12:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D814944.8891962A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52776

Brian P Burke wrote:

I absolutely won't leave for the sole reason that "they" want me to.

Everyone I know wishes you could someday find a clue, wherever you are.

960. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:19:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D814B00.2C441560@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52777

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > >
> > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
> >
> > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
> > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
>
> Who was Godfrey?

You never heard of "By Godfrey"?

961. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:24:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D814C65.716F3B77@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52778

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D808FF5.55BA4731@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len's spat with me began way back and I don't recall you being around
> >then.
>
> 1. Heil jumps into a "code use" thread and claims he devised a "neat"
> order-wire [command] system whereby CW was used on an RTTY
> radio circuit in Guinea-Bisseau for State Department...in the 1980s.

Nope. Heil has corrected you each time you have tried to say that I
"devised" the system in use. I've challenged you to prove your
statement. You have not.

> 2. I reply with some Almanac facts about Guinea-Bisseau and state
> that every other radio service using RTTY does NOT use "CW as an
> order-wire [command] on an RTTY circuit" because it is foolish.

Nope. There is no official government record anywhere which states that
use of CW as an order wire is "foolish".

> 3. Heil claims that CW was "necessary" in order to provide
> "synchronization" of the circuit.

Nope. Heil wrote of the fact that propagation sometimes prevents crypto
sync and that even circuits run in the clear can print gibberish in the
presence of severe multipath distortion. CW works fine under such
conditions. Operators can easily deal with the "echo" effect of
multipath.

> 4. I reply by stating that all TTYs are self-synchronizing, are designed
> that way, citing a NAVSHIPS technical manual (public release) used
> in USN teleprinter classes.

Irrelevant.

> 5. Heil waffles by now claiming it was "State Department procedure,"
> something not done in the original claim. When requested to state
> such a procedural document, Heil does not comply.

Heil suggested that you contact State. Maybe they'll provide you the
information. I doubt it.

> 6. Meanwhile, Guinea-Bisseau's chief export is cashew nuts and even
> the CIA World Fact Book, a public-release almanac of sorts, lists

> Guinea-Bisseau as one of the poorest nations in the world.

Irrelevant. What has that to do with anything under discussion? The Department of State does not establish embassies based upon a nation's wealth.

> 7. Some time later, in another thread, Heil claims to have been "the
> only ham in Guinea-Bisseau," presumably operating via reciprocal
> licensing arrangements. No radio amateurs are listed for the
> country of Guinea-Bisseau.

Wrongo, Leonardo! Heil was the only ham in Guinea-Bissau for *most* of his tour. There are *no* reciprocal agreements in existence between Guinea-Bissau and the United States. Your last sentence is a masterpiece. I've already told you that.

> 8. Even later, when the original "neat" idea of a "CW order-wire
> [command] on an RTTY circuit" is brought up, Heil claims it is
> now somehow classified and that the procedure "cannot be
> revealed to those not cleared for it." One would presume this
> is also for that most important export of Guinea-Bisseau, cashew
> nuts, lest anyone else on the world market for cashew nuts try to
> disrupt the export of Guinea-Bisseau.

Large portions of my work are classified. Ditto information on circuits and equipment. State does not allocate comm center equipment based upon the wealth of the host country. There are and were special circumstances for a great number of embassies in African nations requiring some different equipment and techniques for communications centers. State does not feel it necessary (nor does Heil) to justify or explain communications procedures to Leonard H. Anderson (no matter how badly he wants to know).

> >What I stated to Len was factual. That he denies it and goes into a
> >rant makes it no less factual.
>
> What Heil stated sounds suspiciously like back-peddaling
> rationalization of a beginning slip of the keyboard, a refusal to
> be corrected, certainly by a non-amateur.

Heil was not writing of amateur radio. Heil was responding to a statement that government wasn't using CW. The statement was made to a non-amateur who has little knowledge of State Department communications operations.

> Use of "CW for an order-wire [command] on an RTTY circuit" is
> foolish. Synchronization of TTY machines (mechanical or all
> solid-state) is automatic, inherent in their design. Typical single-
> channel RTTY circuits use FSK, can check their "spread" using
> either a fixed "Fox test" generator or a paper tape loop run through
> the p-tape reader of a teleprinter.

It seems foolish to you because you don't understand it. You seem foolish to me. Perhaps you can do a better job of making yourself understood.

> If encryption is used on-line for TTY, there are functions within the
> KY unit to handle encryption-synchronization. Those are classified.

Encryption is used. "KY" units are not. The crypto devices are classified. Their operation is classified.

> Heil's claim of "synchronization" needed to "synchronize schedules,
> operating times, etc." falls apart when considering that a TTY already
> (in clear mode) prints out the same thing at each end, op time tables
> or listings sent faster and more accurately at typical 100 WPM rates
> than, at best, 20 to 40 WPM morse.

Under conditions of multipath distortion (almost nightly in the equatorial regions of Africa), a teleprinter operating in the clear can and usually does, print garbage. 20 WPM morse works just fine. No tables or listings are sent.
Notification of closure is sent with the next day's crypto segment number and the opening time. Is any of this getting too hard to understand?

> If the teleprinter unit on a circuit is working at all, there is no
> reasonable
> reason to resort to manual OOK CW mode. That might be a reason if
> there is functional failure of the teleprinter, but that was never
> mentioned.

We've already been here. There was no functional failure of the teleprinter. There is redundance in teleprinters and all other equipment. Yet the TTY circuit is not functional due entirely to Mother Nature. CW worked day after day after day. That it eats at you is something you need to deal with.

> If the teleprinter unit is working, operating times, schedules can be
> transmitted encrypted to obscure intercepts by others. However,
> intercepts of single-channel RTTY circuits are commonplace and not
> difficult to do by even inexperienced monitors. Transmission of op times
> and schedules by morse has several difficulties:

Yep, and if the typical onset of multipath distortion begins, as it typically did with sunset, a TTY closure with all required ZIC/ZID, crypto segment information, time of opening and frequencies to be used by each station simply cannot be sent. That you haven't experienced it and that you don't understand is of absolutely no consequence. It took place with or without your knowledge or consent.

> A. If unencrypted, it is "in clear" to anyone with morse code cognition
> capability. Intercept is relatively easy and straightforward.

See material above.

> B. If encrypted, there must be a separate encryption system useable
> manually at each end of the circuit. This requires redundancy in
> crypto operating procedures, the manual method quite different
> from built-in teleprinter encryption keys ("keys" in reference to
> crytpo use, not as a morse key).

See material above.

> C. An in-between mode might use in-clear morse to send schedules
> but disguising or obscuring crypto key references to a pre-
> determined set already available at each circuit end. This is not
> generally preferred except as a "last resort" method since it makes
> known identifiers of key nomenclature which could be used in
> overall crypto analysis.

Keying material short titles and registry numbers were never used.
Segment numbers only were confirmed. Anyone trying to break the stuff
could analyze their asses off to no avail.

> >You wouldn't seem to have any
> >information on the subject one way or the other.
>
> Bluff statement.

No, a very true statement.
> Operating procedures for TTY and RTTY were
> worked out internationally before WW2, changed afterwards
> only to fit ever-growing multiplexing of many TTY channels on
> one radio circuits and various forms of crypto systems. The
> information is available in several texts and among the few who
> have operated RTTY in the commercial/military communications
> services.

The late 1980's was not the period prior to WWII. I wasn't
communicating "internationally" TUs and link enhancement made for HF
speeds in excess of any you've encountered in your limited experience.
Procedures in use by State are not cleared by commerical operations nor
by the military. ACP-127 format is as close as State gets to the
military and ACP-127 is going away soon.

> RTTY use on HF of the "old style" is phasing out as more and
> more HF users change to faster "data" systems such as
> PACTOR or similar. Radio technology improvements now
> allow maritime radio services to communicate by voice and
> fast data modes on HF, so much so that specialized radio
> operators (with morse capability) are not needed on larger
> nation merchant ships.

State is no longer using any HF except for Emergency and Evacuation SSB
nets.

> >To answer your "why call names?" query, you act line Len's clone at
> >times.
>

> Calling names or the use of arrogant bluff phrases is "bad form"
> (to use an antiquated amateur radio term). Neither have any
> "answer" much less "reply" to another's comment.

You conveniently forget that you are among those you call names most frequently. That makes your reply doubly laughable.

> Some alleged "superior professionals" in here have insisted that
> their examples are somehow "protected" by "government
> procedures" and therefore may not be criticized by anyone. That
> is ridiculous on the face of it by stating some 1980s "procedure"
> is either clever or latest-thing when such professional radio
> circuits had already stabilized their operating doctrine 30 years
> priot.

I ran a "professional radio circuit" in a number of places, Len for a great many more years than the length of your historic military service. You would seem to be the odd man out since you didn't have any idea that such a thing was taking place nor did you even understand the logic behind it. It's obvious that you still don't understand it.

Now back to something else you don't really understand--amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

962. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:25:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <X0cg9.275$Y41.177@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52780

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D808E4A.8DFBD2E3@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had
valid
> > > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
> > > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> > >
> > > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French regulations
> > > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating
in
> > > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French radio
> > > regs.
> >
> > You don't have to aid them with a QSO, nor a QSL card.
>
> I didn't assist them, Brian. They made a conscious decision to key a
> radio where they aren't supposed to be.

How do you know that?

> I couldn't make them do that
> nor could I prevent it. I couldn't (nor was it my responsibility to)
> enforce French regs from Tanzania.

Good Amateur Practice would have prevented me from completing the circuit.

> > I only know what you told me and what the incredibly accurate French ham
> > told you. You can play the rest of it any way you want, but it doesn't
look
> > good.
>
> Has it yet dawned on you that the only person who feels that it doesn't
> look good is you?

Army of One? Ignore me.

> > > > > > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > > > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy was

> > > > interested,
> > > > > > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French scofflaws.
> > The
> > > > > > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which brings us
to
> > your
> > > > > > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...
>
>
> (space reserved for Brian's response at a later time)

I'm just an innocent bystander taking flak.

> > Any old commander? What is that?
>
> I guess it'd be any officer in your chain of command.

You need to address that remark to Steve. I know what a commander is, and I know what a commander isn't.

> > > > I'm trying to
> > > > locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my deployed
> > commander. I
> > > > > was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial
deployment.
> > >
> > > Maybe he ate your permission.
>
> > How could he do that?
>
> He was one of the "cats and dogs" and you don't have any proof of
> permission.

No. He was one of the regular 21st Air Force at McGuire.

I was merely an HQ Air Mobility Command "action officer." After putting together 6 weather teams for the Somalia circuit, I failed to locate one more weather SNCO. I was going to shortfall the tasking to Air Combat Command. My local OIC had another idea. After lunch the day prior to the deployment, he told me that I had joined the Cherokee Nation. I left for McGuire early the next afternoon. Departed for Ramstein with the rest of the teams. As the Marines secured the Mog airfield (see CNN flashbulbs), we got held up at Cairo West because the tactical runway lights wouldn't light. Briefed the commander enroute on wx conditions and climatology. We made a dawn landing. Sometimes it sucks to be me.

> Somebody must have it.

I did. But you already knew that.

963. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:27:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <A2cg9.277$D61.105@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52782

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D808FF5.55BA4731@earthlink.net...

> To answer your "why call names?" query, you act line Len's clone at
> times.

Deal with it in some other way.

964. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:29:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D814D95.C5A4CF46@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52779

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020902230849.04888.00000189@mb-fq.aol.com...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0209021829.3c1ce89d@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > >news:<mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > and
> > >>
> > >> Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy Episode,
> or
> > >> was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been first. But
> then
> > >> Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just dunno.
> There
> > >> are so many good candidates here.
> > >>
> > >Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> > >gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
> > >Putz.
> >
> > Lots of folks have left this din of inequity.
> >
> > Bothered about being only the 2nd seat in the putzmobile? :-)
> >
> > >> Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in kerosene? I
> tried
> > >> so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP Hall of
> > >> Shame.
> > >>
> > >Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
> >
> > Right. You want this an exclusive little chat room just for coders who
> > can sit around and give each other high-fives over the splendid
> patriotic
> > task you are doing for the "ARS community." :-)
> >
> > Pity that no one else will give you the adulation you feel you deserve.
> >
> > [This is like "Grumpy Old Men III." :-)]
> >

> > Beep, beep.
>
> Didit.
>
> I absolutely won't leave for the sole reason that "they" want me to.

...but, as in the past, you might change your mind on a whim.

You did.

Kim did.

Apparently, Alec Baldwin did.

Dave K8MN

965. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:31:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D814E0B.C22B94C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52781

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > >
> > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
> >
> > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
> > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
>
> Who was Godfrey?

Arthur Godfrey. He was a well known entertainer and was a ham.

Dave K8MN

966. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:33:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D814E75.A5599F8B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52783

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
> > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > > >
> > > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
> > >
> > > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
> > > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
> >
> > Who was Godfrey?
>
> You never heard of "By Godfrey"?

W.C. Fields: "Godfrey Daniel!"

Dave K8MN
```

967. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:41:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3fcg9.284$yb1.67@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52785

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D800654.F6828B1@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl?
>
> Naw, ignorance never needs validation, it stands out there
> all alone.
>
Sometimes its joined by W3RV, et all.
>
> > Suffice it to say that I can
> > read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically says
> > "Morse Code." What else could it mean?
>
> It just might mean that the FCC has decided to give
> beginners a break and
> allow a variant of Morse code known as Farnsworth Method,

You're something else. How is 13-15wpm Farnsworth a break over 5wpm Morse?

I've explained to you that Morse is a very specific dot/dash/space ratio.
It is Morse that is a variation of the infinitely variable Farnsworth Code.

> widely well known to
> cause the code learning process to be much easier, to be
> allowed in testing so
> that students may test under the method by which they
> learned.
> Will that simple straightforward concept ever penetrate the
> granite between
> your ears?

I would expect a change in Part 97 instead of such a flagrant violation.

> > > > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
> > >
> > > How do you get a hat on that square noggin?
> >
> > You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being proof
that
> > the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97
stated
> > Morse Code exam.
>
> For the 43rd time, that is a figment of your fertile, as

> in manure-laden, imagination.

It is written.

> " Uh, Sir, I want to operate a ham radio here in good ol'
> Mogadishu. It OK with you?"
> "Son, I don't give a $hit what you do, just keep outa my
> sight, and outa
> hearing range, too"
>
> Just one of an infinite number of forms Slim's
> "permission" might have taken. Valid to a fault. A one-liner
> on the ARRL's website says so.
>
> Of course Slim is lying, he just doesn't know it, (Cecil's
> dictionary confirms it).

Dick, prove I didn't have permission.

968. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:45:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D815127.B011BB94@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52784

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D808E4A.8DFBD2E3@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had
> valid
> > > > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have been
> > > > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> > > >
> > > > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French regulations
> > > > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating
> in
> > > > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French radio
> > > > regs.
> > >
> > > You don't have to aid them with a QSO, nor a QSL card.
> >
> > I didn't assist them, Brian. They made a conscious decision to key a
> > radio where they aren't supposed to be.
>
> How do you know that?

They called me. I was sitting there operating where I was permitted to
be.
That's how I know that. I can explain the whole concept to you one day
when I have a lot more time.

> > I couldn't make them do that
> > nor could I prevent it. I couldn't (nor was it my responsibility to)
> > enforce French regs from Tanzania.
>
> Good Amateur Practice would have prevented me from completing the circuit.

Uh huh. Good Amateur Practice as you currently understand it. That's
one good thing about experience and the passage of time. You begin to
understand the meaning of some concepts of which you were previously
ignorant--if you're lucky.

> > > I only know what you told me and what the incredibly accurate French ham
> > > told you. You can play the rest of it any way you want, but it doesn't
> look
> > > good.
> >
> > Has it yet dawned on you that the only person who feels that it doesn't
> > look good is you?
>
> Army of One? Ignore me.

Ignoring you doesn't seem to be an option. You're like a pesky
mosquito. Surely the thought has crossed your mind, "Hey--I'm the only
one here who holds this particular view. Maybe I'm wrong."

> > > > > > > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > > > > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy was
> > > > > interested,
> > > > > > > > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French scofflaws.
> > > The
> > > > > > > > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which brings us
> to
> > > your
> > > > > > > > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...
> >
> >
> > (space reserved for Brian's response at a later time)
>
> I'm just an innocent bystander taking flak.

It would appear that you are neither innocent nor a bystander.

>
> > > Any old commander? What is that?
> >
> > I guess it'd be any officer in your chain of command.
>
> You need to address that remark to Steve. I know what a commander is, and I
> know what a commander isn't.

I see. You just don't know how to get him to sign a document which in
retrospect you think might have been important.

> > > > > I'm trying to
> > > > > locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my deployed
> > > commander. I
> > > > > > > > was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial
> deployment.
> > > >
> > > > Maybe he ate your permission.
> >
> > > How could he do that?

> >
> > He was one of the "cats and dogs" and you don't have any proof of
> > permission.
>
> No. He was one of the regular 21st Air Force at McGuire.

You told us that he was "one of the cats and dogs".

> I was merely an HQ Air Mobility Command "action officer." After putting
> together 6 weather teams for the Somalia circuit, I failed to locate one
> more weather SNCO. I was going to shortfall the tasking to Air Combat
> Command. My local OIC had another idea. After lunch the day prior to the
> deployment, he told me that I had joined the Cherokee Nation. I left for
> McGuire early the next afternoon. Departed for Ramstein with the rest of
> the teams. As the Marines secured the Mog airfield (see CNN flashbulbs), we
> got held up at Cairo West because the tactical runway lights wouldn't light.
> Briefed the commander enroute on wx conditions and climatology. We made a
> dawn landing. Sometimes it sucks to be me.

(Cheap and easy shot withheld)

> > Somebody must have it.
>
> I did. But you already knew that.

I dont' know it at all. You have not a single shred of proof that you
were every given such permission.

Dave K8MN

969. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:46:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D815167.1D226972@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52786

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D808FF5.55BA4731@earthlink.net...
>
> > To answer your "why call names?" query, you act line Len's clone at
> > times.
>
> Deal with it in some other way.

No, thanks. Be grateful that I didn't call you "Len".

Dave K8MN

970. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:53:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sqcg9.289$we1.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52787

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D809218.C2753764@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > > > > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your
director
> > > > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > > > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > > > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of
hamdom
> > > > > > disagrees with you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth.
Nothing
> > new
> > > > > here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is
legal
> > was
> > > > > lack of prosecution.
> > >
> > > Is Farnsworth still being used in testing? Did anyone except Len
agree
> > > with your assessment? Even Bill Sohl told you that you were wrong.
> > > Blooey! There goes your yarn.
> >
> > Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl? Suffice it to say that I
can
> > read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically says
> > "Morse Code." What else could it mean?

>
> I'd think you need validation of your idea from someone other than the
> non-licensed, non-radio amateur Leonard H. Anderson.

I don't. I can read Part 97 without help from Len, Dick, Kelly, Steve,
Larry, Ed, or you, Dave.

(Len, sorry to have lumped you in with that group)

I'll agree that
> you can probably read Part 97 without Bill Sohl's help. It doesn't
> necessarily follow that you can understand what you've read.

Does the FCC try to confuse the issue with your wording?

> Yep, Part 97 says "morse code".

Extremely Obvious.

> Farnsworth is not a separate coding
> scheme. It is referred to as the "Farnsworth method".

Farnsworth is an infinitely variable dot/dash/space timing in which "Morse"
occurs exactly ONCE, and ONCE ONLY.

Thus, Morse is the separate coding schema within an infinite set of schema
called Farnsworth. If the FCC wanted Farnsworth, they would have said
Farnsworth.

But they did not. They said MORSE.

> > > > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
> > >
> > > How do you get a hat on that square noggin?
> >
> > You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being proof
that
> > the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97
stated
> > Morse Code exam.
>
> No, I'm not "hanging my hat" on that at all. The FCC hasn't even told
> anyone to stop using the Farnsworth method in testing. The FCC realizes
> that Farnsworth is morse code. You seem to have trouble understanding
> that. It is not the only means of testing for morse proficiency.
> You've been told that. You seem to have problems digesting that too.

Only because I read Part 97, and I understand how Morse and Farnsworth
relate to each other.

> > > > > > Cite the legal reference
> > > > > > where my operation is invalid.
> > > > > >
> > > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the

> > > > > validity of your Somali operation.
> > > >
> > > > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that makes
it
> > > > illegal.
> > >
> > > You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
> > > No kiddin'.
> >
> > No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.
>
> So you say. In the absence of proof, your claim is bo-GUS! At least
> those 50 MHz French scofflaws have operating permits.

So now you're proud of the French bootleggers you've worked and QSL'd?

Yet you called them scofflaws. You must now agree that you worked them out
of their authorizations.

971. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:55:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <uscg9.291$He1.12@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52788

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D801D73.30C420C6@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D7FB942.6D2D83B7@townsqr.com...
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> > > > validity of your Somali operation.
> > >
> > > Yet another revealing point. His total documented authority for this
> > > operation was a oneliner on somebody's website that "permission of UN
or
> > > military commander" with no further explanation nor definition. Huh.
> >
> > Dick, unless you can find something else, the ARRL website will have to
do.
> >
> > Have you found anything else that disagrees with the ARRL website?
>
> Phil Kane sure has. In the form of FCC regs that apply to ALL licensees.
> There is one that says something on the order of "all licensees will
operate
> under and adhere to international law and rules". Which cover cases just
such
> as yours.
> I'm not going to do the research on the FCC's voluminous web site to
point it
> out for you. Somewhere along the way you're going to have to learn to
shift for
> yourself.

Are you trying to tell me that Dave, as a USA and Tanzanian amateur radio
licensee fell under USA regulations while operating in Tanzania?

972. Re: Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:56:19 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <alrk7e02885@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I was at work. I am an on-line customer service rep for a major telecom company. Our call center handles calls from 18 states. I sit for an 8-hour shift, plugged into an ACD (Automatic Call Distributor) taking one call after another -- I handle 40-60 calls per day.

The TV was on in our break room. I keep an FM radio tuned to the local classical music NPR station. Shortly after 0900, the TV went to news as did NPR and we all became aware of what was going on.

The thing that struck me most about what I was doing was that the phones stopped ringing -- we did not take a single call until late in the afternoon -- not one.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"Tbryant" <tbryant@cannet.com> wrote in message
news:alo83502sb9@enews3.newsguy.com...
> After being in "lurk mode" for the past three or so years, I felt like
posting
> today.
>
> It's hard to believe that a year has passed. On that Tuesday, I had been
> working an afternoon shift, and I was awake early because of a doctor's
> appointment. I wanted to catch up on some reading, and I didn't have the
TV on.
> My mother-in-law called shortly before 9:00am and asked if I was watching
TV.
> She said that one of the WTC towers had been hit by an airplane. My first
> thought was a small, single-engine plane had flown off-course, until I saw
the
> smoke. About a minute after I turned on the TV, the second plane hit the
South
> tower.
>
> At the doctor's office, people were quiet as they listened. Then came the
> announcement that the Pentagon had been hit. On the way home, I had the
car
> radio tuned to Howard Stern's show. (He was ready to nuke Mecca right now,
but
> all that would have done would be to temporarily make us feel good and
piss off

> every Muslim around the world even more) It was about 10:45 that "Crazy
Cabbie"
> called into the show to say that lower Manhattan was covered in smoke and
dust,
> because the towers had collapsed.
>
> For nearly two weeks after the initial attacts, I thought it was all a bad
> dream. That each day I would wake up and the New York skyline would be
whole
> again. As time passed, I knew that it was not a dream.
>
> I see that the *.policy newsgroup continues to bicker about the code and
> testing, and that the *.misc newsgroup continues with their petty insults.
> Today, however, I would think that the aguing could have been set aside.
>
> Tom - N8ECW
>

973. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 02:58:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Tvcg9.295$Of1.154@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52789

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7FFFA1.B246F2A8@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > > > > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I
was
> > > > > > illegal.
> > > > >
> > > > > Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone who
worked
> > > > > you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for your
error.
> > >
> > > I toldja he was still looking for a box to think inside of.
> >
> > So you are also of the mind that the ARRL DXCC desk supercedes all other
> > licensing authority?
>
> I know of no other ham anywhere clueless enough to even think of such a
thing.
> On the other hand, almost all hams who have ever read enough to know
anything
> about ham radio could tell you that DXCC licenses nothing and never did,
but is
> a quite good predictor of whether or not any particular DX operation was
or was
> not legal.

Just remember that you said this because you sound like you're back and
forth on this issue.

Doesn't the ARRL own the DXCC? If one complied with what the ARRL published
on foreign operating, why wouldn't the DXCC desk accept it?

974. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:01:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sycg9.297$%g1.5@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52790

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D809360.2A3238A2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > So DXCC is the final licensing authority in the world?
> >
> > I guess you're back to that again.
>
> How many times must I tell you before it sinks in:
>
> 1) The DXCC desk (with advice from the DXAC) is the final arbiter on
> what is accepted for DXCC credit.
>
> 2) (a separate issue) You have no proof of permission to operate from
> Somalia at all *punto*
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, if the DXCC is an ARRL entity, why wouldn't the DXCC desk accept
something in compliance with the ARRL published foreign operating guide?

975. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:04:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PAcg9.298$Fg1.180@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52791

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D81483E.E1E974E6@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Dick, as a Conditional General, you weren't confined to the Novice CW
> > Subbands and thus had no excuse for not working lots of CW at the higher
> > speeds required for the examinations in place at that time. Having to
> > practice for something that you demand everyone else be proficient shows
> > what an elitist you really are.
>
> That statement shows what an imbecile you really are.

Elitist!

976. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:06:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cDcg9.302$Vh1.250@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52792

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D814944.8891962A@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> I absolutely won't leave for the sole reason that "they" want me to.
>
> Everyone I know wishes you could someday find a clue, wherever you are.

Dick, you've kind of worn out the "clue" thing. What's the next insult in
your black bag of tricks?

977. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:17:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nNcg9.316$th1.242@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52794

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D814D95.C5A4CF46@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020902230849.04888.00000189@mb-fq.aol.com...
> > > In article <d22b54c3.0209021829.3c1ce89d@posting.google.com>,
> > kelly@dvol.com
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
> > > >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > >news:<mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > and
> > > >>
> > > >> Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy
Episode,
> > or
> > > >> was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been first.
But
> > then
> > > >> Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just
dunno.
> > There
> > > >> are so many good candidates here.
> > > >>
> > > >Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> > > >gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
> > > >Putz.
> > >
> > > Lots of folks have left this din of inequity.
> > >
> > Bothered about being only the 2nd seat in the putzmobile? :-)
> > >
> > > >> Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in kerosene?
I
> > tried
> > > >> so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP
Hall of
> > > >> Shame.
> > > >>
> > > >Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
> > >
> > > Right. You want this an exclusive little chat room just for coders
who

> > > can sit around and give each other high-fives over the splendid
> > patriotic
> > > task you are doing for the "ARS community." :-)
> > >
> > > Pity that no one else will give you the adulation you feel you
deserve.
> > >
> > > [This is like "Grumpy Old Men III." :-)]
> > >
> > > Beep, beep.
> >
> > Didit.
> >
> > I absolutely won't leave for the sole reason that "they" want me to.
>
> ...but, as in the past, you might change your mind on a whim.
>
> You did.

Which simpleton thinks it was a whim?

It took courage to stay and face your insults, Dick's insults, Kelly's insults, Jim's insults, Steve's insults, Larry's insults, and especially Ed's insults. I thought more of Ed than the rest of you combined.

So now where's your partner in slander crime?

"...that which does not kill me..."

made me stronger.

You lose.

978. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:19:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <FOcg9.317$_h1.140@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52795

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D814E0B.C22B94C5@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
> > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > > >
> > > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
> > >
> > > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
> > > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
> >
> > Who was Godfrey?
>
> Arthur Godfrey. He was a well known entertainer and was a ham.
>
> Dave K8MN

Silent movies?

979. Re: Obligation of US hams to know other countrie's rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:22:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LRcg9.318$gm1.220@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52796

```
"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:alrg71$gtu$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:7ISf9.620$uQ6.41225518@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:alm7rh$bt2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she had
> > valid
> > > > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have
been
> > > > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> > > >
> > > > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French
regulations
> > > > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone operating
> in
> > > > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French
radio
> > > > regs.
> > >
> > > This is a point that seems to be unrecognized by some. It is not the
> > > US amateur, operating totally within the bounds of US law, that has
> > > ANY obligation to know and/or understand the boundaries defined
> > > by the laws of other countries regarding the operating limits
> > > of hams in that other country.
> > >
> > > If anyone can point to US law that sets requirements that
> > > I know, acknowledge, or otherwise be concerned about
> > > other countries amateur rules, please advise.
> > > Cheers,
> > > Bill K2UNK
> >
> > Bill, is it Good Amateur Parctice to work
> > another amateur that you *know* is
> > operating outside of his/her authorization?
>
> How do I know the other ham is operating outside of
> the authorization of his country? I sure wouldn't
```

> rely on the word of some other ham or the internet.
> My "assumption" in the absence of any reason to believe
> otherwise is that the "other" ham in the other
> country is legal. I neither have the time nor inclination
> to check the home country rules for every non-US
> ham I work.

Bill, this is the same sort of non-answer that Ed gave. You're thinking too hard. You know a ham is operating out of band. Do you contact him or don't you?

Should be a no-brainer.

> > You can pull and Ed on me and refuse to answer the question.
>
> Why would I refuse to aanswer the question? See answer
> above.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Non-answer above.

980. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:34:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <n1dg9.328$Bp1.5@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52797

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D815127.B011BB94@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D808E4A.8DFBD2E3@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she
had
> > valid
> > > > > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have
been
> > > > > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French
regulations
> > > > > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone
operating
> > in
> > > > > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French
radio
> > > > > regs.
> > > >
> > > > You don't have to aid them with a QSO, nor a QSL card.
> > >
> > > I didn't assist them, Brian. They made a conscious decision to key a
> > > radio where they aren't supposed to be.
> >
> > How do you know that?
>
> They called me. I was sitting there operating where I was permitted to
> be.
> That's how I know that. I can explain the whole concept to you one day
> when I have a lot more time.

You said they made a conscious decision to key a radio whaer they aren't
supposed to be. How did you know that?

> > > I couldn't make them do that
> > > nor could I prevent it. I couldn't (nor was it my responsibility to)

> > > enforce French regs from Tanzania.
> >
> > Good Amateur Practice would have prevented me from completing the
circuit.
>
> Uh huh. Good Amateur Practice as you currently understand it. That's
> one good thing about experience and the passage of time. You begin to
> understand the meaning of some concepts of which you were previously
> ignorant--if you're lucky.

Did you learn form your experience with the outlaw Frenchmen?

> > > > I only know what you told me and what the incredibly accurate French
ham
> > > > told you. You can play the rest of it any way you want, but it
doesn't
> > look
> > > > good.
> > >
> > > Has it yet dawned on you that the only person who feels that it
doesn't
> > > look good is you?
> >
> > Army of One? Ignore me.
>
> Ignoring you doesn't seem to be an option. You're like a pesky
> mosquito. Surely the thought has crossed your mind, "Hey--I'm the only
> one here who holds this particular view. Maybe I'm wrong."

I learned not to doubt myself in about 1984 when dealing with vendor
software.

> > > > > > > > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > > > > > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy
was
> > > > > > interested,
> > > > > > > > > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French
scofflaws.
> > > > The
> > > > > > > > > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which
brings us
> > to
> > > > your
> > > > > > > > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...
> > >
> > >
> > > (space reserved for Brian's response at a later time)
> >
> > I'm just an innocent bystander taking flak.

>
> It would appear that you are neither innocent nor a bystander.
>
I observe, I comment?
>
> > > > Any old commander? What is that?
> > >
> > > I guess it'd be any officer in your chain of command.
> >
> > You need to address that remark to Steve. I know what a commander is,
and I
> > know what a commander isn't.
>
> I see. You just don't know how to get him to sign a document which in
> retrospect you think might have been important.

I would if I had to do it over again. But I'd rather not go back to
Somalia. Didn't trust that little sob at the K4 traffic circle.

> > > > > > I'm trying to
> > > > > > locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my deployed
> > > > commander. I
> > > > > > was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial
> > deployment.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Maybe he ate your permission.
> > > >
> > > How could he do that?
> > >
> > > He was one of the "cats and dogs" and you don't have any proof of
> > > permission.
> >
> > No. He was one of the regular 21st Air Force at McGuire.
>
> You told us that he was "one of the cats and dogs".

I was.

> > I was merely an HQ Air Mobility Command "action officer." After putting
> > together 6 weather teams for the Somalia circuit, I failed to locate one
> > more weather SNCO. I was going to shortfall the tasking to Air Combat
> > Command. My local OIC had another idea. After lunch the day prior to
the
> > deployment, he told me that I had joined the Cherokee Nation. I left
for
> > McGuire early the next afternoon. Departed for Ramstein with the rest
of
> > the teams. As the Marines secured the Mog airfield (see CNN
flashbulbs), we
> > got held up at Cairo West because the tactical runway lights wouldn't
light.

> > Briefed the commander enroute on wx conditions and climatology. We made
a
> > dawn landing. Sometimes it sucks to be me.
>
> (Cheap and easy shot withheld)

Thanks. Are we coming to an understanding here?

> > > Somebody must have it.
> >
> > I did. But you already knew that.
>
> I dont' know it at all. You have not a single shred of proof that you
> were every given such permission.

And you have not a single shred of proof that my operation was rejected at the DXCC desk. Which makes all your other claims just very fine dust lining everyone's nostrils.

Have either of us learned anything?

981. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:36:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <U2dg9.329$mq1.28@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52798

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D815167.1D226972@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D808FF5.55BA4731@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > To answer your "why call names?" query, you act line Len's clone at
> > > times.
> >
> > Deal with it in some other way.
>
> No, thanks. Be grateful that I didn't call you "Len".

Knock yourself out. I don't consider that an insult.

982. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:50:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D816043.3572D288@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52799

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
> Dick, prove I didn't have permission.

Any rational person would know that you already have, repeatedly.

983. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:50:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020912235050.05562.00000946@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52800

In article <nNbf9.208$ux7.11963729@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>
>> And how about we lopp off 146-148...at least that way we'd be
>harmonious
>> with the rest of the world!
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>That sounds an awful lot like Larry.
>

Brian:

Don't be too surprised if it happens!

73 de Larry, K3LT

984. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:50:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020912235051.05562.00000947@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52801

In article <Krbg9.257$4V.49@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>
>Larry, why would you want some chip or other electronic device to complete
>and regulate your dits and dahs?
>
>In an emergency, you might not be able to build one from an old toaster, a
>Navaid, or ship's RADAR.

Brian:

I'm perfectly OK to use an electronic keyer. I can already send CW
using a straight key, so I wouldn't need to cobble together a keyer in
an emergency. All I'd need is a momentary on/off switch, and I wouldn't
need a Navaid, or ship's RADAR to find the parts to build one -- but the
old toaster could prove useful!

73 de Larry, K3LT

985. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 03:55:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D81616F.DF7BE4AB@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52802

Brian P Burke wrote:

>Are you trying to tell me that Dave, as a USA and Tanzanian amateur radio

> licensee fell under USA regulations while operating in Tanzania?

No, genius, Dave was operating within countries which had governments which issued his license without regard to Part 97, or not, as they pleased. You were not, thus your license grant required you to adhere to International rules covering such cases for your authority to operate.

986. Re: Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 04:05:18 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020913000518.05562.00000948@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52803

In article <alorfj02tsd@enews4.newsguy.com>, tbryant@cannet.com (Tbryant) writes:

>
>
>Maybe so, but as you've just demonstrated, there's still a lot of opinions
>that
>are etched in stone.
>
>Tom - N8ECW.

Tom:

If we all believe our opinions to be true, why WOULDN'T they be "etched in stone?" Is our participation here valid only if we allow ourselves to be swayed over to the other side? If so, then things wouldn't have changed, except for the fact that the same opinions would be coming from different people.

Tell you what -- I challenge you to change my mind about code testing. Come up with an argument that is so logical, so perfectly reasoned, and so completely agreeable that I will throw off two decades of support for code testing and become a True Believer in the NCTA. IOW, take my "etched in stone" opinions, and crack the stone!

73 de Larry, K3LT

987. Re: Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 04:05:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020913000519.05562.00000949@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52804

In article <alo83502sb9@enews3.newsguy.com>, tbryant@cannet.com (Tbryant) writes:

>
>I see that the *.policy newsgroup continues to bicker about the code and
>testing, and that the *.misc newsgroup continues with their petty insults.
>Today, however, I would think that the aguing could have been set aside.
>
>Tom - N8ECW

Tom:

I guess keeping things "business as usual" is our way of telling the Taliban and Al Queda that they may have scored two direct hits, but they actually missed the target! Perhaps "nuking Mecca" isn't such a bad idea! However, these days, I'd settle for Baghdad!

73 de Larry, K3LT

988. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 04:10:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8zdg9.344$YA1.149@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52805

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D816043.3572D288@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > Dick, prove I didn't have permission.
>
> Any rational person would know that you already have, repeatedly.

But what about you?

989. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 04:14:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GCdg9.345$Iu1.278@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52806

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D81616F.DF7BE4AB@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >Are you trying to tell me that Dave, as a USA and Tanzanian amateur radio
>
> > licensee fell under USA regulations while operating in Tanzania?
>
> No, genius, Dave was operating within countries which had governments
which
> issued his license without regard to Part 97, or not, as they pleased.

Without or not? Would you mind getting back to me on that one.

> You were not, thus your license grant

I thought I didn't have a license grant. Make up your mind.

> required you to adhere to International rules
> covering such cases for your authority to operate.

Are you from the department of confusion department?

990. Amber alert broadcast radio station sounder is CW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 04:32:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D816A67.83400B08@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 52807

I heard a demo transmission of an "Amber Alert" for child kidnapping
alerts on broadcast radio. The sounder is A-M-B-E-R in Morse code.
At around 13WPM.
To must of the public it is just a distinctive sound jingle. No, the
actual info will be given in phone.

991. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:16:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8174AD.E7842015@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52808

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D809218.C2753764@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > > > > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your
> director
> > > > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > > > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > > > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of
> hamdom
> > > > > > > disagrees with you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth.
> Nothing
> > > > > new
> > > > > > here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is
> legal
> > > > > was
> > > > > > lack of prosecution.
> > > > >
> > > > > Is Farnsworth still being used in testing? Did anyone except Len
> agree
> > > > > with your assessment? Even Bill Sohl told you that you were wrong.
> > > > > Blooey! There goes your yarn.
> > > >
> > > > Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl? Suffice it to say that I
> can
```

> > > read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically says
> > > "Morse Code." What else could it mean?
> >
> > I'd think you need validation of your idea from someone other than the
> > non-licensed, non-radio amateur Leonard H. Anderson.
>
> I don't. I can read Part 97 without help from Len, Dick, Kelly, Steve,
> Larry, Ed, or you, Dave.

> (Len, sorry to have lumped you in with that group)

I've not challenged your ability to read it, only to understand it.
Better hang tight to Len. You need all the friends you can get.

> > I'll agree that
> > you can probably read Part 97 without Bill Sohl's help. It doesn't
> > necessarily follow that you can understand what you've read.
>
> Does the FCC try to confuse the issue with your wording?

My wording? I haven't come up with any "wording".

> > Yep, Part 97 says "morse code".
>
> Extremely Obvious.
>
> > Farnsworth is not a separate coding
> > scheme. It is referred to as the "Farnsworth method".
>
> Farnsworth is an infinitely variable dot/dash/space timing in which "Morse"
> occurs exactly ONCE, and ONCE ONLY.

Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code easier.
It isn't a code at all.

> Thus, Morse is the separate coding schema within an infinite set of schema
> called Farnsworth. If the FCC wanted Farnsworth, they would have said
> Farnsworth.

> But they did not. They said MORSE.

Farnsworth testing is not mandatory. It is optional. It has been
suggested that you contact the FCC and report this gross inequity which
lies heavy in your heart. It has become obvious that you have no more
intention of doing so than you have of contacting the French PTT over
French hams breaking French radio regs. You have this problem of
misdirecting your ire.

> > > > > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
> > > >
> > > > How do you get a hat on that square noggin?
> > >
> > > You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being proof

> that
> > > the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97
> stated
> > > Morse Code exam.
> >
> > No, I'm not "hanging my hat" on that at all. The FCC hasn't even told
> > anyone to stop using the Farnsworth method in testing. The FCC realizes
> > that Farnsworth is morse code. You seem to have trouble understanding
> > that. It is not the only means of testing for morse proficiency.
> > You've been told that. You seem to have problems digesting that too.
>
> Only because I read Part 97, and I understand how Morse and Farnsworth
> relate to each other.

Contact the FCC and let us know if the guy on the other end of the horn
seems to be chuckling.

> > > > > > > Cite the legal reference
> > > > > > > where my operation is invalid.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
> > > > > > validity of your Somali operation.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that makes
> it
> > > > > illegal.
> > > >
> > > You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
> > > No kiddin'.
> > >
> > No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.
> >
> > So you say. In the absence of proof, your claim is bo-GUS! At least
> > those 50 MHz French scofflaws have operating permits.
>
> So now you're proud of the French bootleggers you've worked and QSL'd?

There you go again--reading between the lines.

> Yet you called them scofflaws.

Yes, I did. Tell me--how does that indicate my "pride"? I've called
them "scofflaws" on numerous occasions.

> You must now agree that you worked them out
> of their authorizations.

I've said all along that I never worked a French station above 50.2
MHz. They've ALL called me lower in the band. None of this is news.
My comment was that, in the absence of proof, your claim to having
proper permission to operate on the ham bands from Somalia is bogus.

Dave K8MN

992. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:18:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913011826.12610.00000569@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 52810

In article <c8bg9.244$xP.82@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020905183856.03599.00000557@mb-fk.aol.com...
>> In article <3D76DDD4.5483889E@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
><dixie@townsqr.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> >> In article <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>,
>n2ey@aol.com
>> >> (N2EY) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how many
>> >> >messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the subject.
>> >>
>> >> No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
>> >> many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
>> >> ADA transmitters.
>> >>
>> >> With 36 (plus 6 more later) transmitters, four of them multi-channel
>> >> (commercial carrier) SSB, and four of them time-multiplexed FSK
>> >> RTTY, all operating 24/7, that was a lot of TTY circuits. Enough
>> >> circuits to enable ADA to claim 220 thousand TTY messages a
>> >> month.
>> >>
>> >> Failure to keep one SSB working meant losing two voice channels
>> >> and 8 TTY circuits all at once. That didn't happen.
>> >>
>> >> No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
>> >> it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their own
>> >> fabricated imagination.
>> >>
>> >> Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve
>> >> any useful purpose in here.
>> >
>> > Face it, Jim. The Putzmobile was a meter reader and circuit breaker
>resetter
>> >at some obscure Army transmitter site in rural JA land. Spent most of his
>time
>> >twiddling his thumbs. Wouldn't know zip about operating anything.
>>

>> Wrong identification. The "putzmobile drivers" are K4YZ and
>> his grizzled old war vet buddy, W3RV.
>>
>> Interesting "technical opinion" from another grizzled old war vet...
>> whose claimed Army schooling at Fort Monmouth was TV
>> studio production. Did Carroll ever leave CONUS?
>
>He was issued a German callsign. But we've know fakes who were issued
>Hawaiian and Guam callsigns.

He hasn't provided a thing in writing on that, has he? :-)

Note: The Judge has ruled that Everything Must Be In Writing!

"Fakes?!?" Michael P. Deignan?!? The one with all those club
calls?!? Oh, my!?! Tsk, tsk, tsk. No more Hawaiian club calls
under his trusteeship. Can't be a trustee of nothing. He no
longer gives his "mailing address" under a Hawaiian post office
box. :-)

>> ADA was an "obscure" radio station? Hardly. In the 1950s it was
>> the third largest HF communications station in the US Army. The
>> receiver site was a joint Army-USAF operation with the largest
>> antenna field in the world at that time.
>>
>> At what Army radio station did Carroll work during his tour of duty?
>>
>> Was he ever responsible for keeping outbound message traffic
>> going at a rate of 220,000 per month at any time, 24 hours a
>> day, 7 days a week?
>
>That would be a real tough one with that knee key.

Heh heh heh. 220 thousand per month is roughly 7300 messages
a day or about 340 per hour or about 5 1/2 messages per minute.
Carroll couldn't do that with both knees...but he is "just as good"
in here according to what he writes. :-)

993. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:18:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913011827.12610.00000570@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 52811

In article <FOcg9.317$_h1.140@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D814E0B.C22B94C5@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> > news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
>> > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>> > news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
>> > > >
>> > > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
>> > >
>> > > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
>> > > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
>> >
>> > Who was Godfrey?
>>
>> Arthur Godfrey. He was a well known entertainer and was a ham.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Silent movies?

Morning radio, later TV host of a daily (weekday) talk-variety
show broadcast nationally. Died in 1983 at age 80. Bad
musician, not a good joke teller, reasonable personality as a
show host. Had his own DC-3, liked to break an FAA flying
rule now and then, was cited for same. Eventually his
audience got tired of him, his ratings slumped and he was
cancelled (in the 1960s?).

Godfrey's voice would be familiar to older individuals, older than
50, due to early radio exposure. No sign of technical
intelligence.

994. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:18:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 52812

In article <sqcg9.289$we1.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D809218.C2753764@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > news:3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net...
>> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > > > > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
>> > > > > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your
>director
>> > > > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> > > > > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>> > > > > > > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of
>hamdom
>> > > > > disagrees with you.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth.
>Nothing
>> > new
>> > > > here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is
>legal
>> > was
>> > > > lack of prosecution.
>> > > >
>> > > Is Farnsworth still being used in testing? Did anyone except Len
>agree
>> > > with your assessment? Even Bill Sohl told you that you were wrong.
>> > > Blooey! There goes your yarn.
>> >
>> > Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl? Suffice it to say that I

>can
>> > read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically says
>> > "Morse Code." What else could it mean?
>>
>> I'd think you need validation of your idea from someone other than the
>> non-licensed, non-radio amateur Leonard H. Anderson.
>
>I don't. I can read Part 97 without help from Len, Dick, Kelly, Steve,
>Larry, Ed, or you, Dave.
>
>(Len, sorry to have lumped you in with that group)

I have no problem with that. :-)

>I'll agree that
>> you can probably read Part 97 without Bill Sohl's help. It doesn't
>> necessarily follow that you can understand what you've read.
>
>Does the FCC try to confuse the issue with your wording?
>
>> Yep, Part 97 says "morse code".
>
>Extremely Obvious.
>
>> Farnsworth is not a separate coding
>> scheme. It is referred to as the "Farnsworth method".
>
>Farnsworth is an infinitely variable dot/dash/space timing in which "Morse"
>occurs exactly ONCE, and ONCE ONLY.
>
>Thus, Morse is the separate coding schema within an infinite set of schema
>called Farnsworth. If the FCC wanted Farnsworth, they would have said
>Farnsworth.
>
>But they did not. They said MORSE.
>
>> > > > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
>> > >
>> > > How do you get a hat on that square noggin?
>> >
>> > You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being proof
>that
>> > the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97
>stated
>> > Morse Code exam.
>>
>> No, I'm not "hanging my hat" on that at all. The FCC hasn't even told
>> anyone to stop using the Farnsworth method in testing. The FCC realizes
>> that Farnsworth is morse code. You seem to have trouble understanding
>> that. It is not the only means of testing for morse proficiency.
>> You've been told that. You seem to have problems digesting that too.

>
>Only because I read Part 97, and I understand how Morse and Farnsworth
>relate to each other.
>
>> > > > > > Cite the legal reference
>> > > > > > where my operation is invalid.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for the
>> > > > > validity of your Somali operation.
>> > > >
>> > > > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that makes
>it
>> > > > illegal.
>> > >
>> > > You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
>> > > No kiddin'.
>> >
>> > No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.
>>
>> So you say. In the absence of proof, your claim is bo-GUS! At least
>> those 50 MHz French scofflaws have operating permits.
>
>So now you're proud of the French bootleggers you've worked and QSL'd?
>
>Yet you called them scofflaws. You must now agree that you worked them out
>of their authorizations.

Don't sweat the Judge. He isn't going to admit any error he has
made in public (several). He can't. :-)

It's a State Department FSO kind of thing. :-)

995. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:18:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D817548.7E512915@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52809

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D7FFFA1.B246F2A8@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I
> was
> > > > > > > > illegal.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone who
> worked
> > > > > > you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for your
> error.
> > > > >
> > > > > I toldja he was still looking for a box to think inside of.
> > > >
> > > So you are also of the mind that the ARRL DXCC desk supercedes all other
> > > licensing authority?
> > >
> > I know of no other ham anywhere clueless enough to even think of such a
> thing.
> > On the other hand, almost all hams who have ever read enough to know
> anything
> > about ham radio could tell you that DXCC licenses nothing and never did,
> but is
> > a quite good predictor of whether or not any particular DX operation was
> or was
> > not legal.
>
> Just remember that you said this because you sound like you're back and
> forth on this issue.
>
> Doesn't the ARRL own the DXCC? If one complied with what the ARRL published
> on foreign operating, why wouldn't the DXCC desk accept it?

Dumb, dumb, dumb...

Dave K8MN

996. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:22:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D817607.2A3167CB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52813

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D809360.2A3238A2@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > So DXCC is the final licensing authority in the world?
> > >
> > > I guess you're back to that again.
> >
> > How many times must I tell you before it sinks in:
> >
> > 1) The DXCC desk (with advice from the DXAC) is the final arbiter on
> > what is accepted for DXCC credit.
> >
> > 2) (a separate issue) You have no proof of permission to operate from
> > Somalia at all *punto*
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, if the DXCC is an ARRL entity, why wouldn't the DXCC desk accept
> something in compliance with the ARRL published foreign operating guide?

Brian, only you are stupid enough to believe that a couple of generic
lines published on a web page constitutes the sum total of licensing
details for operating from Somalia. What do they eat for breakfast on
your planet?

Dave K8MN

997. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:29:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8177E4.59E25BF4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52814

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D814D95.C5A4CF46@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020902230849.04888.00000189@mb-fq.aol.com...
> > > > In article <d22b54c3.0209021829.3c1ce89d@posting.google.com>,
> > > kelly@dvol.com
> > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > >news:<mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > > and
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy
> Episode,
> > > or
> > > > >> was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been first.
> But
> > > then
> > > > >> Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just
> dunno.
> > > There
> > > > >> are so many good candidates here.
> > > > >>
> > > > >Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> > > > >gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
> > > > >Putz.
> > > > >
> > > > Lots of folks have left this din of inequity.
> > > > >
> > > > Bothered about being only the 2nd seat in the putzmobile? :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >> Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in kerosene?
> I
> > > tried
> > > > >> so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP
> Hall of
> > > > >> Shame.
> > > > >>
> > > > >Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
> > > > >
```

> > > > Right. You want this an exclusive little chat room just for coders
> who
> > > > can sit around and give each other high-fives over the splendid
> > > patriotic
> > > > task you are doing for the "ARS community." :-)
> > > >
> > > > Pity that no one else will give you the adulation you feel you
> deserve.
> > > >
> > > > [This is like "Grumpy Old Men III." :-)]
> > > >
> > > > Beep, beep.
> > >
> > > Didit.
> > >
> > > I absolutely won't leave for the sole reason that "they" want me to.
> >
> > ...but, as in the past, you might change your mind on a whim.
> >
> > You did.
>
> Which simpleton thinks it was a whim?

It was quite obviously a whim. You announce that you are leaving and
almost immediately, you are "back".

> It took courage to stay and face your insults, Dick's insults, Kelly's
> insults, Jim's insults, Steve's insults, Larry's insults, and especially
> Ed's insults. I thought more of Ed than the rest of you combined.

I've found you to have a pretty fair sized chip on your shoulder since I
began noticing you posting here. I believe it is still there. A
rational individual might ponder why he is being "insulted". The fact
that you liked Ed best is a small point since you honked him off.

> So now where's your partner in slander crime?

He tired of your increasingly irrational posts. Probably objected to
lines like "partner in slander crime".

> "...that which does not kill me..."
>
> made me stronger.

...or you just might be incapable of learning very rapidly.

> You lose.

You just can't get much right, can you?

Dave K8MN

998. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:30:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D81782C.13858F6A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52815

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D814E0B.C22B94C5@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
> > > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > > > >
> > > > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
> > > > >
> > > > > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
> > > > > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
> > > >
> > > > Who was Godfrey?
> > >
> > > Arthur Godfrey. He was a well known entertainer and was a ham.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Silent movies?

It is always entertaining to see someone brag about their ignorance as
if it was a sort of virtue.

Dave K8MN

999. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:44:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D817B50.3AFCA4A0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52816

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D815127.B011BB94@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D808E4A.8DFBD2E3@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if he/she
> had
> > > valid
> > > > > > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not have
> been
> > > > > > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French
> regulations
> > > > > > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone
> operating
> > > in
> > > > > > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce French
> radio
> > > > > > regs.
> > > > >
> > > > > You don't have to aid them with a QSO, nor a QSL card.
> > > >
> > > > I didn't assist them, Brian. They made a conscious decision to key a
> > > > radio where they aren't supposed to be.
> > >
> > > How do you know that?
> >
> > They called me. I was sitting there operating where I was permitted to
> > be.
> > That's how I know that. I can explain the whole concept to you one day
> > when I have a lot more time.
>
> You said they made a conscious decision to key a radio whaer they aren't
> supposed to be. How did you know that?
```

You've told me repeatedly that they weren't supposed to be below 50.200.

> > > > I couldn't make them do that
> > > > nor could I prevent it. I couldn't (nor was it my responsibility to)
> > > > enforce French regs from Tanzania.
> > >
> > > Good Amateur Practice would have prevented me from completing the
> circuit.
> >
> > Uh huh. Good Amateur Practice as you currently understand it. That's
> > one good thing about experience and the passage of time. You begin to
> > understand the meaning of some concepts of which you were previously
> > ignorant--if you're lucky.
>
> Did you learn form your experience with the outlaw Frenchmen?

Sure did. I learned that some guys will do anything to work Tanzania on
6m.
I also learned that no matter how well one does something, there's
usually an uninvolved whiner who will take issue with it. In this case,
they guy is you and you've repeatedly taken issue with the wrong party.
You've never addressed the issue of the perpetrators.

> > > > > I only know what you told me and what the incredibly accurate French
> ham
> > > > > told you. You can play the rest of it any way you want, but it
> doesn't
> > > look
> > > > > good.
> > > >
> > > > Has it yet dawned on you that the only person who feels that it
> doesn't
> > > > look good is you?
> > >
> > > Army of One? Ignore me.
> >
> > Ignoring you doesn't seem to be an option. You're like a pesky
> > mosquito. Surely the thought has crossed your mind, "Hey--I'm the only
> > one here who holds this particular view. Maybe I'm wrong."
>
> I learned not to doubt myself in about 1984 when dealing with vendor
> software.

Maybe it is time that you learned to doubt yourself again. This ain't
vendor software.

> > > > > > > > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > > > > > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the guy
> was
> > > > > > interested,
> > > > > > > > > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French

> scofflaws.
> > > > > The
> > > > > > > > > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which
> brings us
> > > to
> > > > > your
> > > > > > > > > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the matter...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > (space reserved for Brian's response at a later time)
> > >
> > > I'm just an innocent bystander taking flak.
> >
> > It would appear that you are neither innocent nor a bystander.
> >
> I observe, I comment?

Bystanders don't comment; they bystand.

> > > > > > > I'm trying to
> > > > > > > > locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my deployed
> > > > > > commander. I
> > > > > > > > was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial
> > > deployment.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Maybe he ate your permission.
> > > > >
> > > > > How could he do that?
> > > > >
> > > > > He was one of the "cats and dogs" and you don't have any proof of
> > > > permission.
> > >
> > > No. He was one of the regular 21st Air Force at McGuire.
> >
> > You told us that he was "one of the cats and dogs".
>
> I was.

My error. In that case, maybe you ate it.

> > > Sometimes it sucks to be me.

> > (Cheap and easy shot withheld)
>
> Thanks. Are we coming to an understanding here?

Not to my knowledge.

> > > > Somebody must have it.
> > >
> > > I did. But you already knew that.
> >

> > I dont' know it at all. You have not a single shred of proof that you
> > were every given such permission.
>
> And you have not a single shred of proof that my operation was rejected at
> the DXCC desk. Which makes all your other claims just very fine dust lining
> everyone's nostrils.

Yeah, no one has ever submitted one of your cards for DXCC. There's a
very good chance that you made up the operating from Somalia tale.

> Have either of us learned anything?

Sure. I've learned that you don't like it much when shoe is on the
other foot.
You enjoyed attacking the character and ethics of another but didn't
seem to care much for the game when your own ethics and character were
called into question. What'd you learn?

Dave K8MN

1000. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 05:45:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D817BA2.54D8D598@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52817

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D815167.1D226972@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D808FF5.55BA4731@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > To answer your "why call names?" query, you act line Len's clone at
> > > > times.
> > >
> > > Deal with it in some other way.
> >
> > No, thanks. Be grateful that I didn't call you "Len".
>
> Knock yourself out. I don't consider that an insult.

You are certainly an army of one.

Dave K8MN
```

1001. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 11:27:15 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <C4kg9.19847$e44.734633@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52819

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:Uwbg9.258$vY.17@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0209051658.10fea047@posting.google.com...
>
> > As Dick pointed out though paddles are kinda personal and sorta like
> > women, we all have our own tastes in both women and paddles so beware.
> > But I had mine in operation in last year's Field Day and several ops
> > who owned other paddles used the Kent and the responses basically went
> > something like "Wow"!
>
> Paddles are like women, huh?

I'll just leave that one alone. ;-)

> That's why I won't bring my wife out to Field Day.
>
> ;^)

I have to say, I'm pretty lucky in that respect. I brought my XYL to a ham shop in NYC and I had to actually refuse a 5 el. 10m Cushcraft. The store manager exclaimed "Well, this is a first for me!"

> Brian

Well, the keyer's on the way and in the event that Rich/KG2ED advises me that he will no longer produce the LI Mercury, it appears that there's a Kent dual paddle is in my future. Here's the keyer I chose. More than sufficient for my needs. (With room to grow.)

http://www.idiompress.com/cmos4.html

Thanks guys. Especially for all your patience. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1002. Re: 9/12/02 ANTIKEYCLOWNS STILL OWN THE NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 11:33:02 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D81CCEE.A18DA252@citlink.net>
Source record: 52818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Whats a keyclown????.....Several thousand dollars a dozen

Bill Mast wrote:

> On 12 Sep 2002 09:03:34 -0700, bookooaddys@yahoo.com (Keyclown
> Busters) wrote:
>
> >And no one here can stop it.
> >
> >ESPECIALLY a booze and dope soaked nwa wanna be high school dropout
> >whose parents kicked him out because he is a useless doper parasite,
> >and who fantasizes about pimping hoes as the highest point on the
> >economic ladder he can achieve.
> >
> >ALSO: Crackheads, rednecks, bowl weenies, truckstop trannies, and all
> >the other slimes that comprise keyclownism.
> >NONE OF YOU CAN STOP THE ANTIKEYCLOWNS!!!
>
> Own What? Whats a keyclown?

1003. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 12:09:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913080911.12729.00000722@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52820

In article <3D8147D6.C114B44F@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:

(in devil's advocate mode)
>
>>1) We US hams have 500 kHz on 80/75 and 300 kHz on 40 meters. Plus lots of
>> other bands. Except for SWBC on 40 we pretty much have those bands to
>> ourselves. Do we really NEED 60 meters to provide emergency comms?
>
> YES! When propagation tanks on 40, and storm static makes 75/80 useless, a
>chance to use the 5 mhz region can make the difference.

Sure. But we have to sell that idea to NTIA, who will say "why won't the storm
static take out 5 MHz as well?"

> There was a good reason why the
>old Interstate Police Radiotelegraph Net used 5140 and 5710. The same reason
>ARRL gave when requesting the 5mhz allocation. There are times when it holds
the
>only available HF propagation solution.

Which is one reason NTIA doesn't want us there.

>> How have
>> hams done it all these decades, without 60 meter access?
>
> How can we know how many times it was needed but not available?

Without documentation, our claims will be dismissed as unfounded.

>> 2) US hams have 800 kHz between 3 and 8 MHz - that's 16% of that piece of
>> spectrum. How many kHz do FEMA et al have between 3 and 8 MHz? H

>As I see it that's a non-issue. They can have all they need, through
>assignment by NTIA and FCC.

Not necessarily. They obviously don't want parts used by SWBC, air traffic
control, or the military. And they want exclusive segments.

> AND share a small part of that spectrum with hams for common
>purposes. For ham radio is basically justified by its emergency
>communications
>value. More so today than ever, with other former justifications on the wane.

Agreed, but obviously NTIA doesn't.
>>

>> 3) Maybe FEMA doesn't want our help that much. Maybe they think it doesn't
>> look
>> good when a bunch of volunteers handle the comms on an ad-hoc basis.

>Professionally I worked closely with FEMA and SEMA (state level) for over a
>dozen years, and not once did I ever encounter that attitude toward hams, in
fact
>very much to the contrary. Knowledgable FEMA communicators know that when the
>chips are
>down the most help they can get can easily be too little.

I am glad to hear that, but that attitude may not exist at the top, today, in a
post-9/11 world.

>I won't speak for
>the Left Coast and some other places where they like to tax to the max to fund
>much of
>such seldom used services, but taxpayer overload may change some attitudes
>there, too.

Maybe - but remember, that's where they deregulated and NIMBY'ed themselves
into rolling blackouts.

>> 4) The existing users there may be channelized, and unable to avoid
>> interference the way hams can.
>
> Answer: Coordination of allocations. NOT exclusion of hams.

>> Heck, if things get rough on SSB, many hams can
>> switch to CW. Other services can't. (That scenario is not far fetched,
>>either. Ask KT4ST.)

>Another one of ham radio's strengths, and reason for hams to learn Morse
>code to useful skill.

'zactly.

>> 5) If the legal users there are experiencing interference now, they can
>>quite logically conclude that it will only et worse if more folks are allowed
>>access.

> But if the interference is from unlicensed outlaws who are getting a pass
>from enforcers for whatever reason, but are thought to be "hams" who want to
get
>legal by asking for allocations in that part of the spectrum, whose fault is
that?

I don't know whose fault it is, but the effect may be very real.

>> >They could have just as easily left the door open a
>> >crack instead of just slamming it.

>> Political negotiation, Dick. Somebody asks for something, the first answer
>> is NO. The request gets toned down, the answer is no. The request is toned

>>down even more, the answer is maybe. Etc. You've been there.
>
>That's the situation on the surface. One cannot know what lies beneath. Maybe
>that'll work, maybe not.

Point is, going head-to-head against NTIA is a no-win situation.

>> >> Maybe, just maybe, we could get a small slice of 5.25-5.4 on the same
>>>> basis
>> >>as
>> >> 30 m. No voice, low power, no contests, etc. But don't hold yer breath.
>> >
>> > Exactly, and that would indeed serve the purpose, even adjusted up or
>down
>> >band to avoid any real problems. A data-only, restricted bandwidth and
>> >restricted power
>> >band would serve the purpose just fine, while enhancing our move toward
>later
>> >technology. Even 50 khz instead of the full 150 would be useful.
>>
>> All part of the negotiation process.
>> >
>> >But that wasn't what NTIA said, and it sure looks like someone currently
>> >assigned
>> >to that part of the spectrum who never uses it and pays little or no
>> >attention to
>> >it finally woke up and came out swinging.
>>
>> I think W3RV has the scenario down cold. 60 meters wasn't of much interest
>on
>> 9/10/01. That's ancient history now.
>
> That's the overall scenerio nowdays. FBI and CIA et all are catching heat
>for
>dropping the ball when they didn't figure out the puzzle in advance of
>09/11/01
>even though they had enough pieces in hand, in that ocean of information,
>communications and other data they deal with daily.

Hindsight is 20-20. The Titanic and Challenger disasters were easily avoidable,
once you knew what to avoid. It's knowing in advance that is so difficult.

>It's doubtful from my viewpoint
>that will be corrected very soon or very much if at all, strictly because of
>the volume.

Yes and no. We may never know how many attacks were prevented. Part of the war
is not letting the other side know how effective you are.

>When they get new things to watch for, the terrorists will do another
>chameleon change and slip through again.

Which means you plu the leaks wherever they occur.

>Whoever expected a boxcutter to be used as
>a primary weapon?

Whoever expected the O-rings to fail? At least one engineer said they might,
but he was overruled by management.

>They're not out of tricks.
> All assets should be enhanced, not turned away or ignored. Including ham
>radio in emergency comms.

Agreed - but NTIA may put more value on enhancing other resources.
>
>> > If there's anyone out there in government still interested in ham radio
>as
>> >a viable communications asset -surely there is, given its history- it's
>time
>> >for them
>> >to act. Not just on this particular issue, but to create a realized
>> >separation from
>> >the radio outlaws who are in the process of taking over the spectrum.
>>
>> All it takes is some enforcement. Wanna bet that there is enforcement going
>on
>> that we never hear about?

> Surely you don't think they're hiding any of it?

I would count on that.

>I thought the FCC
>enforcement log
>covered all of it.

HAW! The logs I read specifically state that they are not a complete account of
FCC enforcement actions. And FCC isn't the only ones doing enforcement. Ask
William Bily.

> Problem today is that the dollar drives the FCC, and it's not their fault,
>it's their mandate, what they've been handed.

Yup. Reagan Administration said "we're gonna get the government off your back"
and less enforcement was part of that.
>
>> If there's one thing we hams CAN do, it's clean our own house.

> Yours and mine are in good shape, along with the vast majority of other
>hams. It
>grates that we can be held accountable for the outlaws, licensed hams and
>otherwise, upon whom no one can seem to get a grip because of politics and
>PC.

Imagine how many Muslims in the USA feel, being blamed for the actions of a few
extremeists....

73 de Jim, N2EY

1004. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 12:48:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913084842.29706.00003020@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 52821

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/13/02 12:18 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020913011827.12610.00000570@mb-fa.aol.com>

>Godfrey's voice would be familiar to older individuals, older than
> 50, due to early radio exposure.

One for you.

>No sign of technical intelligence.

One for both of you.

1005. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 13:26:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913092646.29706.00003021@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 52822

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/12/02 4:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020912172233.22428.00002084@mb-ch.aol.com>

>> To YOU it is, Lennie. You keep harping back to it as if it MEANS
>something
>>in your constant blatherings in this AMATEUR RADIO newsgroup.
>
> It is a clever system, innovative, combining a frequency-hopping
> spread-spectrum option...(SNIP)

And still irrelevant vis-a-vis Amateur Radio POLICY.

However you ALWAYS have a way of working this non-germane techno-babble
into a discussion in order to hide your other failings.

It doesn't work. It's irrelevant.

>FHSS promises relief...)SNIP)

So does "Preparation H", but you keep showing up...Wonder if I can get a
refund...???

>ALE is already a standard on HF...(SNIP)

Another full paragraph of non-germane techno-babble.

>Irrelevant. This newsgroup is about radio, not aviation.

I know how much you hate having your nose rubbed in your ignorance, and it
seems I have found yet another topic of discussion that sends you running for
cover under the "this is a radio newsgroup" excuse.

Goodie for me.

In the meantime, this is a newsgroup SPECIFICALLY about Amateur Radio
POLICY, Lennie, not "radio" in general, which you try to dilute it to.

You can't can't have your cake and eat it too, Lennie.

>The Osprey is the ONLY VTOL project STILL under development in
> the US military. The Osprey is NOT YET operational. It is still in
> an evaluation phase...millions and millions of tax dollars later, well
> past the original testing completion date(s).

Hey Putzie...Howcome you chide me about the alleged single purpose of this
NG, but then go into discussing the operational vs testing programs of the
Osprey?

>> Also well known to anyone with a passing interest in the Discovery WINGS
>>cable channel is that the United States Marine Corps is the ONLY branch of
>>the US Armed Forces with VTOL capability, and is the ONLY branch of the US
>>Armed Forces to employ it in combat.
>
> "Combat" among officers at the Pentagon does not qualify as true
> combat operations.

Oh? The AV-8's that flew in Desert Storm were all based in Washington,
DC?

>This newsgroup is not about US military aircraft nor the "superiority"
> of the USMC to anyone else.

Lennie, Lennie, Lennie......

I am not falling into your "Do As I Say..." goosestep.

WE know that you love to lambaste others for allegedly attempting to
"tell" others what they can or cannot discuss.

Ergo YOU may take every one of your "This is a ...." recitations and put
them where the sun does not shine.

>The Osprey is so far over budget, so far past its original development
> completion time (including contract extensions), that it is NOT YET
> operational with any degree of reliability.

OK..So we shall demand that the USMC accept delivery on this aircraft
BEFORE it's reliable...OK by you, Your Putziness?

>>>Please let go of the incessant USMC E-6 Dill Sergeant speechifying.
>>
>> My last honorably held grade was E7, Lennie, and I never served as a
>>DRILL instructor..
>
> I wrote "DILL sergeant." The type that struts in front of recruits,
> campaign hat levelled, swagger stick under arm and continually
> pursing lips in a scowl indicating sucking on too many dill pickles.

I know what you wrote, Lennie. Still don't know what you are talking
about. Nothing of which you "describe" was evident in any phase of my career
in the Marine Corps.

Perhaps in Rear Area Radio Stations there was time for self-proclimating
sergeants to do this, but we had more important things to do in the Corps, Your
Putziness.

>Try to live with the fact. You are having great difficulty adjusting to
> civilian life.

More lack of intimate knowledge of facts, Lennie...Do you ENJOY being this
foolish?

>> By the way, >>MY<< DD214 says "honorable", just like yours did.
>

> My DD-214 is simply a release from active duty. Mine was issued
> in 1956. Four years later, in 1960, I received a nice certificate
> saying I was Honorably Discharged from US Army service after a
> total of 8 years military service.

Congratulations.

Before that certificate was issued, another DD214 was prepared and issued
with all relevant dates of active and inactive service.

That certificate and $5.00 will get you and over priced cup of coffee at
Starbucks.

Your DD214, on the otherhand, was your passkey to G.I.Bill benefits, VA
loan programs, and,if there are any funds left, some VA health benefits.

>> And all your historical revelations about ADA of half a century ago
>will
>>NOT bring you any closer to being right about Amateur Radio nor current
>>application of technology in United States Marine Corps Aviation.
>
> US Army radio station ADA operated on HF radio circuits, carrying...(SNIP)

Thanks for proving my point, Lennie. More useless drivvel about your rear
area "career" in the Army with tons of trivia that means absolutely nothing to
no one but YOU...

>None of the amateur radio activities in the USA have come anywhere
> close to that kind of communications

Where did anyone say it did?

WE have specifically stated that all that crap means NOTHING in Amateur
radio.

>Tsk, tsk, tsk.

The pity, Lennie, is that you are still stupid enough to be churning all
this nonsense out when all we do is make fun of you for doing it.

No one is impressed.

>Aviation Week and Space Technology is a popular, fee-required
> periodical in the aerospace industry.

Wait, Lennie....Wasn't it YOU who, just a few paragraphs ago, was chiding ME
about the nature of this NG?

(scrolling up to look)

(I'm back)

Yep...that was you.

>To repeat, this is NOT a military aviation newsgroup.

To repeat, who are YOU to set the standards for what is discussed and in what context, Your Scumminess?

>1. I was NEVER a "radio clerk."

Yes you were.

>2. My temporary MOSs (Military Occupation Specialty or job
> description) have been...

...COMPLETELY irrelevent to an Amateur Radio newsgroup. (Remember, Your Repetitiveness, YOU are the one who has repeatedly stated in THIS POST ALONE that this is NOT a "military" newsgroup)

>3. I've never claimed that early, later, or even current radio
> communications was any sort of substitute for any civilian
> avocational radio license test (amateur radio license).

Yes you have. You have repeatedly stated that your "experience" and your "education" has placed you head and shoulders above Amateur Radio licensees.

> My advocacy in here was originally for the elimination of a
> morse code test as a requirement for any radio license.

Regardles of what it MAY have been originally, your subsequent behaviour has been representitive of a person with little to offer, no solutions for perceived problems he has addressed, bitterness for those who have what you do not, and a general lack of respect for anything YOU didn't author.

You are a demonstrated liar and an antagonist of grand archived evidence.

You are a L O S E R ! ! ! !

(oooops...ALMOST forgot.......)

PUTZ!

1006. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 13:28:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913092832.29706.00003022@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 52823

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/13/02 12:18 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com>

>>I don't. I can read Part 97 without help from Len, Dick, Kelly, Steve,
>>Larry, Ed, or you, Dave.
>>
>>(Len, sorry to have lumped you in with that group)
>
> I have no problem with that.

I do.

Putz.

1007. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 13:33:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D81E913.E154576D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52824

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
>
> Have either of us learned anything?

The telling point here is that YOU haven't, since you learned to always trust yourself over all else in some software transaction in 1984.

1008. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 13:34:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D81623A.C220E36E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52825

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D7FFFA1.B246F2A8@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show where I
> was
> > > > > > > > illegal.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone who
> worked
> > > > > > you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for your
> error.
> > > > >
> > > > > I toldja he was still looking for a box to think inside of.
> > > >
> > > So you are also of the mind that the ARRL DXCC desk supercedes all other
> > > licensing authority?
> > >
> > I know of no other ham anywhere clueless enough to even think of such a
> thing.
> > On the other hand, almost all hams who have ever read enough to know
> anything
> > about ham radio could tell you that DXCC licenses nothing and never did,
> but is
> > a quite good predictor of whether or not any particular DX operation was
> or was
> > not legal.
>
> Just remember that you said this because you sound like you're back and
> forth on this issue.
>
> Doesn't the ARRL own the DXCC? If one complied with what the ARRL published
> on foreign operating, why wouldn't the DXCC desk accept it?

Of course they would, providing you could show documentation that you were indeed granted such legal permission. Since you can't, your QSL's are so much junk, for anyone working toward DXCC.

1009. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 13:48:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D81EC71.B8D7AD48@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52826

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D81616F.DF7BE4AB@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >Are you trying to tell me that Dave, as a USA and Tanzanian amateur radio
> >
> > > licensee fell under USA regulations while operating in Tanzania?
> >
> > No, genius, Dave was operating within countries which had governments
> which
> > issued his license without regard to Part 97, or not, as they pleased.
>
> Without or not? Would you mind getting back to me on that one.

Other governments are free to consider a US ham's Part 97 license when deciding
to issue him one from their government. Or to not consider it. Their choice,
absent a treaty on the subject.

>
>
> > You were not, thus your license grant
>
> I thought I didn't have a license grant. Make up your mind.
>

So you really aren't N0IMD after all?? Slim ^2?

>
> > required you to adhere to International rules
> > covering such cases for your authority to operate.
>
> Are you from the department of confusion department?

No, but you're still as confused as ever.

1010. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 13:55:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D81EE19.E3E0F064@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52827

Dave Heil wrote:

> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D814E0B.C22B94C5@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
> > > > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > > news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define the
> > > > > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
> > > >
> > > > Who was Godfrey?
> > >
> > > Arthur Godfrey. He was a well known entertainer and was a ham.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Silent movies?
>
> It is always entertaining to see someone brag about their ignorance as
> if it was a sort of virtue.

With him, it is.

1011. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 15:44:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52828

N2EY wrote:

> Imagine how many Muslims in the USA feel, being blamed for the actions of a few
> extremeists....

But......are the "actions of a few extremists" really so far from the attitudes of multitudes of Islamics? Don't look too far below the surface, you might be stunned by what you find.

The leader of a major American Muslin group was recently shown on TV instructing his followers that "We are violent in other countries but in the US we don't need to be violent. Here we have other means". Means like freedom of religion, even if that religion happens to be interpreted by a large segment of its adherents to mean the destruction of all others. A religion which is at once THE only religion considered to be valid by its practitioners, and also the civil authority. Obviously the many zealots inside it would find it necessary for that particular religion to prevail.

Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous minority which is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the element which will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever it takes to suppress the moderate element to that end.

Nothing new here, just not much PC. We are taught in this country to always respect other religions, and Islam plays right into this universal uniquely American philosophy. If you must respect my religious views, and my religious views include eventually taking over the government of your country and ultimately the world, how can I lose? But I'd be a fool to tell you all about it up front, wouldn't I?

Dig a little deeper into the subject and you won't like what you find. One thing you'll find is that any Muslim is always superior to and of more value than any number of Infidels. That would help explain why Muslims like Saddam Hussein and 9/11 terrorists are widely supported in the Arab world despite their vicious records when it comes to conflicts with any Western entity. They simply are regarded, being True Believers, as far more valuable than any number of WTC victims.

It's an ugly subject but one which we'd better become very familiar. Soon. There will be many innocent victims along the way. Who they will turn out to be, of Eastern or Western descent, will depend on the education process. It is far from being as simple as a mere "religious tolerance" problem.

Here's an excerpt from a newspaper editorial that appeared a few days ago.

Begin excerpt....

"Yes, we must kill the murderers of 9/11, but without becoming murderers and without simply indulging ourselves. We must defend ourselves - without throwing out civil liberties at home, without barring every Muslim student from this country, without forgetting what a huge shadow a powerful America casts over the world and how it can leave people feeling powerless, and without telling the world we're going to do whatever we want because.....now all bets are off.

Because imposing norms and rules on ourselves gives us the credibility to demand the rule of law, religious tolerance, consensual government, pluralism, women's rights, and respect for the notion that my grievance, however deep, doesn't entitle me to do anything to anyone anytime anywhere

"It gives us credibility to say to the Muslim world: Where have you been since 9/11? Where are your voices of reason?You humbly open your prayers in the name of a God of mercy and compassion. But when members of your faith, acting in the name of Islam, murdered Americans or committed suicide against "infidels", your press extolled them as martyrs and your spiritual leaders were largely silent. Other than a few ritual condemnations, they offered no outcry in their mosques; they drew no new moral red lines in their schools. That's a problem, because if there isn't a struggle within Islam - over norms and values - there is going to be a struggle between Islam and us.

"In short, numbing ourselves to the post 9/11 realities will not work. Military operations, while necessary, are not sufficient. Building higher walls may feel comforting, but in today's interconnected world they're an illusion. Our only hope is that people will be restrained by internal walls - norms and values. Visibly imposing them on ourselves and loudly demanding them from others is the only viable survival strategy for our shrinking planet..."

End of excerpt.

Problem is, religious zealots rarely find it within themselves to become moderates or to tolerate others, even as they profess to be doing so. Islam is inherently a non-tolerant religion, in some of its most basic teachings, and certainly in a seriously large pecentage of its clerical interpretations.

The deck is clearly stacked against us in this scrap

(The editorial was entitled "What Noah can teach us bout 9/11", and was written by New York Times syndicated columnist Thomas Friedman.)

1012. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 19:26:17 UTC
From: Smedley Motubu <WhoFlungDung@YewFlungDung.com>
Message-ID: <alte45srn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020912201641.490$zG@newsreader.com...
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Dream on.....
> > >
> > > Hey, Mr. Louie, go to www.rainreport.com and click on Enforcement
Log.
> > > That case in Mooresville you said was a "dream"? It IS THERE!
BWAHHH!
> > > BWAAAAAAAAAA!!
> > > I told you I TOLD you, Nana, nana, boo boo! LOL!
> >
> >>And you get a woody over this?
> >
> Text must be really bad in the ham ng's. Seems like our shit spreader is
> over-flowing with ham input.
>
> It goes full circle,much like a homing pigon.
>
> --
> Go#40
> A fine is a tax for doing wrong. A tax is a fine for doing well.
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

I think that ham who keeps cross-posting to rec.radio.cb is one
their nut cases from 14313.

1013. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:11:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aErg9.105$L%1.11185277@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52830

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209032247.5e3d976d@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<a0bd9.2325$3W4.42943550@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> > > gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
> > > Putz.
> >
> > Now hold on here. I think Len presents some fine arguments. I don't
> > necessarily think he presents them in a palatable manner. But there's a
lot
> > of truth in what he says.
> >
> >
> No surprise in that, you're too dumb & blind to understand that he's
> nothing more than a pointless old troll who found a .policy NG in
> which he could find opportunities to stir up controversy for the sake
> of controversy which suits his background as a electronics bench tech
> and twisted persona. There isn't a .policy NG out there anywhere which
> doesn't have a Putz or two or three like Lenny along with their
> witless groupies like you.

Yeh, I've seen your rants on .antenna

Good going.

Is there no newsgroup you can go to and find happiness?

> > And Brian, you're exactly the ham he describes,
> > whether you like it or not.
> >
> Yes I am and I love it. I'm a Extra with a lot of time in saddle who
> is opposed to eliminating code tests. That's all it takes to become a
> Putz rant "target". Kindly note that my opinions on the code tests are
> diametrically opposed to those of Bill Sohl who is the #2 NCI honcho
> but Bill and I get long just fine. How do you figger that Burkie? . .
> . "figger out" . . stupid question given your level of mental
> horsepower.

Bill and I also disagree. No name calling going on there. Len and Bill get
along just fine. But there's something about Len and old amateur radio
cranks that just don't mix. I wonder what it could be?

> > > Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
> > >
> > > w3rv

> >
> > Just as soon as you write VOID across your ticket and send it to Riley.
> >
> >
> No problem, you have nothing else to do with your life just like The
> Putz has nothing better to do with his life. Rant on.

Kelly, this is no rant. If you think it one, you're even more delusional
than when you thought I lived in your old house.

> > You were right when you said you were an old crank.
> >
> > Brian, you're just a cranky, jerky ham.
> >
> Yup, a cranky old 30wpm 1x2 dxer and contester you and The Putz will
> never be. As far as the jerks around here are concerned I rawther
> subnmit that given a vote abt who the jerks are you and Putz would win
> hands down.

Yup, I agree you're an old crank. Absolutely no argument there from any
quarter.

But if you're going to hold an election, be sure to do so in South Florida.
You guys just might be smart enough to operate those fancy touch screen
ballots.

> Burke the Brainiac and The Putz . . the Somali freebander and the
> twisted non-ham . . whatta team . .

More name calling?

1014. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:17:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sJrg9.107$R02.11254844@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52831

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D8177E4.59E25BF4@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D814D95.C5A4CF46@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020902230849.04888.00000189@mb-fq.aol.com...
> > > > > In article <d22b54c3.0209021829.3c1ce89d@posting.google.com>,
> > > > kelly@dvol.com
> > > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > >news:<mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > > > and
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy
> > Episode,
> > > > > or
> > > > > >> was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been
first.
> > But
> > > > > then
> > > > > >> Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just
> > dunno.
> > > > > There
> > > > > >> are so many good candidates here.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> > > > > >gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model
The
> > > > > >Putz.
> > > > >
> > > > > Lots of folks have left this din of inequity.
> > > > >
> > > > > Bothered about being only the 2nd seat in the putzmobile? :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > >> Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in
kerosene?
> > I
> > > > > tried
> > > > > >> so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP

> > Hall of
> > > > > >> Shame.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Right. You want this an exclusive little chat room just for
coders
> > who
> > > > > > can sit around and give each other high-fives over the splendid
> > > > > patriotic
> > > > > > task you are doing for the "ARS community." :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Pity that no one else will give you the adulation you feel you
> > deserve.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > [This is like "Grumpy Old Men III." :-)]
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Beep, beep.
> > > > >
> > > > > Didit.
> > > > >
> > > > > I absolutely won't leave for the sole reason that "they" want me to.
> > >
> > > ...but, as in the past, you might change your mind on a whim.
> > >
> > > You did.
> >
> > Which simpleton thinks it was a whim?
>
> It was quite obviously a whim. You announce that you are leaving and
> almost immediately, you are "back".

It was gutsy. You certainly wished I had stayed gone. Otherwise you
wouldn't try to goad me into leaving again - about every 3rd post.

> > It took courage to stay and face your insults, Dick's insults, Kelly's
> > insults, Jim's insults, Steve's insults, Larry's insults, and especially
> > Ed's insults. I thought more of Ed than the rest of you combined.
>
> I've found you to have a pretty fair sized chip on your shoulder since I
> began noticing you posting here. I believe it is still there. A
> rational individual might ponder why he is being "insulted". The fact
> that you liked Ed best is a small point since you honked him off.

Ed is honked off? Why would Ed be honked off?

> > So now where's your partner in slander crime?
>
> He tired of your increasingly irrational posts. Probably objected to
> lines like "partner in slander crime".

He crossed the line.

> > "...that which does not kill me..."
> >
> > made me stronger.
>
> ...or you just might be incapable of learning very rapidly.

Learning is not a problem.

> > You lose.
>
> You just can't get much right, can you?

You should see it from my vantage point.

1015. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:22:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ZNrg9.108$P22.11374820@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52832

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D81782C.13858F6A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D814E0B.C22B94C5@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
> > > > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > > news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define
the
> > > > > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
> > > > >
> > > > Who was Godfrey?
> > > >
> > > Arthur Godfrey. He was a well known entertainer and was a ham.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Silent movies?
> >
> It is always entertaining to see someone brag about their ignorance as
> if it was a sort of virtue.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you brag that you were unaware that you were working French
bootleggers.

Then there was the RRAP exchange with the French amateur, and your reply to
him.

You are virtuous?

1016. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:24:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QPrg9.109$_22.11385922@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52833

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D81EE19.E3E0F064@townsqr.com...

> With him, it is.

I'll readily admit where I am ignorant. That is part of having integrity
and character.

You guys just move into a name calling mode and hope no one recognizes the
cover up.

1017. Re: How Many Messages?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:28:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TTrg9.110$x32.11421894@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52834

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020913011826.12610.00000569@mb-fa.aol.com...
> In article <c8bg9.244$xP.82@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020905183856.03599.00000557@mb-fk.aol.com...
> >> In article <3D76DDD4.5483889E@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
> ><dixie@townsqr.com>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> In article <9f4bfe89.0209040413.718de0bb@posting.google.com>,
> >n2ey@aol.com
> >> >> (N2EY) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >There seems to be a lot of misunderstanding about who handled how
many
> >> >> >messages, and when. Perhaps this will shed some light on the
subject.
> >> >>
> >> >> No, not really. You did not show the one where I estimated how
> >> >> many messages I "controlled" as a team leader at Army station
> >> >> ADA transmitters.
> >> >>
> >> >> With 36 (plus 6 more later) transmitters, four of them
multi-channel
> >> >> (commercial carrier) SSB, and four of them time-multiplexed FSK
> >> >> RTTY, all operating 24/7, that was a lot of TTY circuits. Enough
> >> >> circuits to enable ADA to claim 220 thousand TTY messages a
> >> >> month.
> >> >>
> >> >> Failure to keep one SSB working meant losing two voice channels
> >> >> and 8 TTY circuits all at once. That didn't happen.
> >> >>
> >> >> No matter. Some in here won't accept anything if they don't like
> >> >> it or don't like the poster. They have only one "truth," their
own
> >> >> fabricated imagination.
> >> >>
> >> >> Thank you for reposting something of mine, but it won't serve
> >> >> any useful purpose in here.
> >> >

> >> > Face it, Jim. The Putzmobile was a meter reader and circuit breaker
> >resetter
> >> >at some obscure Army transmitter site in rural JA land. Spent most of
his
> >time
> >> >twiddling his thumbs. Wouldn't know zip about operating anything.
> >>
> >> Wrong identification. The "putzmobile drivers" are K4YZ and
> >> his grizzled old war vet buddy, W3RV.
> >>
> >> Interesting "technical opinion" from another grizzled old war vet...
> >> whose claimed Army schooling at Fort Monmouth was TV
> >> studio production. Did Carroll ever leave CONUS?
> >
> >He was issued a German callsign. But we've know fakes who were issued
> >Hawaiian and Guam callsigns.
>
> He hasn't provided a thing in writing on that, has he? :-)
>
> Note: The Judge has ruled that Everything Must Be In Writing!
>
> "Fakes?!?" Michael P. Deignan?!? The one with all those club
> calls?!? Oh, my!?! Tsk, tsk, tsk. No more Hawaiian club calls
> under his trusteeship. Can't be a trustee of nothing. He no
> longer gives his "mailing address" under a Hawaiian post office
> box. :-)

Then there was a Guam call issued to someone who said they were going to
take a job on Guam but never got there.

> >> ADA was an "obscure" radio station? Hardly. In the 1950s it was
> >> the third largest HF communications station in the US Army. The
> >> receiver site was a joint Army-USAF operation with the largest
> >> antenna field in the world at that time.
> >>
> >> At what Army radio station did Carroll work during his tour of duty?
> >>
> >> Was he ever responsible for keeping outbound message traffic
> >> going at a rate of 220,000 per month at any time, 24 hours a
> >> day, 7 days a week?
> >
> >That would be a real tough one with that knee key.
>
> Heh heh heh. 220 thousand per month is roughly 7300 messages
> a day or about 340 per hour or about 5 1/2 messages per minute.
> Carroll couldn't do that with both knees...but he is "just as good"
> in here according to what he writes. :-)

I'm reminded of those wind up dentures that go clackity clack clack clack
clack.

I wonder how many messages they can send per minute?

Lets let Dick weigh in on that.

1018. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:32:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6Xrg9.112$a52.11519161@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52835

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D757C01.974E64D@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Citation, please.
>
> Try the entire RRAP thread entitled "Here's the picture Lennie doesn'te
want you
> to see"

You guys write international policy? Wowee!

1019. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:35:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <r_rg9.113$h62.11591787@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52836

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:al3oddses1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <20020903190020.25108.00000021@mb-da.aol.com>, lenover21
> @aol.com says...
> >
> > >"I operated in Somalia from March to May of 1993, as a part of what
> > >was termed "Operation Restore Hope" I operated on all the HF bands,
> > >doing both amateur operations as a DXCC station and running Phone
> > >Patches for some of the Military personal there. There were roughly
> > >3500 contacts made during that period, by me, and as far as I know
> > >there were no other ham operators in country at that time. The
> > >callsign used was my own, Kxxx/T5, and later T5xx.
>
> > "3500" phone patches at 5 minutes per patch would require
> > about 38 days of 8 hour a day operation, most of a 3 month
> > period of presumably military duty. That's questionable as
> > some kind of special services equivalent entertainment in
> > what was an essentially military zone which needed military
> > comm for military needs using military equipment.
>
> By the way Len, I'd either get some new glasses or take a reading
> comprehension course. No where did Jeff say he made 3500 phone patches.
> He expressly stated 3500 contacts. Since he was running his station as
> a DX operation when he had time, most contacts would be a quick 59(9),
> name and qth and then QRZ and a good DX'er/contester can run quite a
> high hourly rate if need be.
>
> So we'll overlook the fact that in your haste to critique and critize on
> matters not concerning you (as a non-ham), you made the obvious and
> glaring error. Still looking for that proof of my so called names.
> BTW, which are you today Lenof21, Averyfinman, or how many others have
> you been. I've lost count
>
> Thanks

Steve, fwiw most of my contacts wanted to know what was happening in
Somalia. It was very early in the deployment and apparently CNN wasn't
enough to satisfy their curiosity.

Brian

1020. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:43:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <O5sg9.115$U82.11752690@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52837

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com...
> In article <x_dd9.986$YA5.270678130@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >I've got all my logs and orders and everything. I think I even have a
> >picture around here somewhere.
>
> Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
> will all wimp out and refuse to open it. :-)

There were a fair number of hairy butts in Somalia. No shower tent, and barely a hole to take a crap. We stood around a foundation wall and used the foundation to lay out our shaving kit and get as far as we could with a canteen of water or two. Eventually, we scrounged a pay pnone booth (no glass) from a defunct telephone company, and tilted a 5 gal jerry can of water over the top and let the water trickle out of the loosened cap. Get wet, soap up, rinse off. About every 5th day kept the stink down.

Oh, yeh, the dipstick engineers forgot to consult with the wxman about prevailing winds. They buried a few "urinal tubes" in the sand, but when peeing, the wind blew it back on your trousers and boots.

1021. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:45:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <X7sg9.116$N92.11811066@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52838

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D757B89.FFB44C13@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Nope, I had permission. No bootlegging.
>
> Slim, you're almost as dense as Loose Lennie aka NoCwTesticles. I thought
> surely you'd be bright enough to at least see some light at the end of the
> tunnel and recognize it as an approaching freight. Your poor harried CO
was as
> uninformed as you (I sure pity anyone unlucky enough to have been your
CO),
> except you were the licensed ham and should have had some clue as to what
sort
> of DOCUMENTATION was needed. If he knowingly authorized your operating
verbally
> only he was in error, and you can't be excused just because you were also
> clueless. Ignorance is no defense, I'm sure you've heard that one before.
So
> carry on in bliss, as the lately departed and unlamented Kim would. Your
only
> support is the licenseless Lennie Loosegoose, and we know what that's
worth.
> Nobody I know cares about your transgressions, other than it does make
you
> look like a twofaced fool, given your ignorant tirade againstK8MN.

Dick if ignorance is no defense, you keep right on.

1022. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 20:49:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4bsg9.118$w92.11793677@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52839

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D757FBC.824F6C21@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > >
> > > > > Here's your brick, Brian: If you don't have written authorization
to
> > > > > back up your claim of permission to operate, you were nothing more
> > than
> > > > > a bootlegger.
> > > > > You prior claim to high ethics and morality in amateur radio ring
> > > > > hollow.
> > >
> > > > That is only your opinion Dave.
> > >
> > > Nope. It's the opinion of every knowledgeable ham who has weighed in
on
> > the
> > > subject,
> >
> > You're now supposedly knowledgeable?
>
> I'm only one of several, you know. All of whom agree. You were
bootlegging. You
> didn't know it, and that only makes you look that much more dense and
clueless.
>
> > > including a former FCC district director to whom it is more than just
> > > an opinion-it's a legal interpretertation of the international rules.
Note
> > that
> > > he is also an attorney, which also seems to mean nothing to you.
> >
> > Have him provide the ARRL with a little free attorneying: Update this
from
> > theARRL web site:
> >
> > "Somalia (T5) At this time to operate in Somolia[sic] you would need
> > permission from the United Nations or your military commander."
> >
>
> The problem isn't in that above statement, it's in the simple fact that
you
> still don't know the meaning of "permission ".

> >
> > > That you don't like it and continue to defend is no surprise. You're
well
> > known
> > > for defending the indefensible.
> >
> > Dick, I've never defended you, no matter how wrong you were.
>
> Thank my lucky stars! With you as a supporter I sure wouldn't need any
> detractors.
> >
> > > > Meanwhile, I don't knowingly keep working
> > > > out of band French ops on 6M.
> > >
> > > Yeah, we know, you keep an updated library of the amateur radio rules
of
> > all
> > > nations and always refer to it before answering DX CQ's. Right.
> >
> > No, Dick, I don't. But if a French ham were to directly tell me that
the
> > French 6M authorization began at 52 MHz, I'd surely stop working them on
> > 50.125 MHz. Wouldn't you?
>
> If Dave did, under the same set of circumstances I likely would also. Do
you
> believe every rube who jumps in with instant "information"? Would you slam
the
> door on several hams you were in contact with just because another one
showed up
> on frequency and said they were wrong? He isn't just as wrong? Do you
instantly
> believe everything you're told? Hell, you won't believe you were not given
valid
> permission even when told it by such an authority on regulations as Phil
Kane!
> You surely don't realize how dim a bulb you appear to be here. How much
longer
> are you going to keep up this charade?
> >
> > > > Its just not good amateur practice.
> > >
> > > We know you're very short on that. You have very little practice.
Seems
> > much
> > > you do have was unauthorized.
> >
> > Don't tell me you're not in my Somalia logs either? You DX guys sure
get
> > POd when you miss one.

>
> Sorry, I don't fancy myself as a DXer. Oh, I work them from time to time,
but
> DXCC is something I never had the time to pursue, so, unlike you, I don't
fancy
> myself a DXer as the real ones do. For that matter, what bands did you
work in
> Somalia on your Tech Plus license with your invalid "permission"? You have
the
> cajones to criticize any other ham?

Dick, make Dave proud. You go ahead and work those French bootleggers on
6m.

1023. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:01:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zmsg9.122$kg2.12210517@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52840

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D817B50.3AFCA4A0@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D815127.B011BB94@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D808E4A.8DFBD2E3@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if
he/she
> > had
> > > > valid
> > > > > > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not
have
> > been
> > > > > > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French
> > regulations
> > > > > > > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone
> > operating
> > > > > in
> > > > > > > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce
French
> > radio
> > > > > > > regs.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You don't have to aid them with a QSO, nor a QSL card.
> > > > >
> > > > > I didn't assist them, Brian. They made a conscious decision to
key a
> > > > > radio where they aren't supposed to be.
> > > >
> > > > How do you know that?
> > >
> > They called me. I was sitting there operating where I was permitted
```

to
> > > be.
> > > That's how I know that. I can explain the whole concept to you one
day
> > > when I have a lot more time.
> >
> > You said they made a conscious decision to key a radio whaer they aren't
> > supposed to be. How did you know that?
>
> You've told me repeatedly that they weren't supposed to be below 50.200.

A French ham told YOU that. Choosing ignorance, you waited two years for
another RRAPper to post the authorizations from the French communication
website veryifying what the French ham told you.

Do you only now realize that you kept working out of band French hams?

> > > > > I couldn't make them do that
> > > > > nor could I prevent it. I couldn't (nor was it my responsibility
to)
> > > > > enforce French regs from Tanzania.
> > > > >
> > > > > Good Amateur Practice would have prevented me from completing the
> > circuit.
> > > >
> > > > Uh huh. Good Amateur Practice as you currently understand it. That's
> > > > one good thing about experience and the passage of time. You begin to
> > > > understand the meaning of some concepts of which you were previously
> > > > ignorant--if you're lucky.
> > >
> > > Did you learn form your experience with the outlaw Frenchmen?
> >
> > Sure did. I learned that some guys will do anything to work Tanzania on
> > 6m.
> > I also learned that no matter how well one does something, there's
> > usually an uninvolved whiner who will take issue with it. In this case,
> > they guy is you and you've repeatedly taken issue with the wrong party.
> > You've never addressed the issue of the perpetrators.

I don't know them. You do. You have their callsigns in your logs.

> > > > > > I only know what you told me and what the incredibly accurate
French
> > ham
> > > > > > told you. You can play the rest of it any way you want, but it
> > doesn't
> > > > > look
> > > > > > good.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Has it yet dawned on you that the only person who feels that it
> > doesn't
> > > > > > look good is you?

> > > >
> > > > Army of One? Ignore me.
> > >
> > > Ignoring you doesn't seem to be an option. You're like a pesky
> > > mosquito. Surely the thought has crossed your mind, "Hey--I'm the
only
> > > one here who holds this particular view. Maybe I'm wrong."
> >
> > I learned not to doubt myself in about 1984 when dealing with vendor
> > software.
>
> Maybe it is time that you learned to doubt yourself again. This ain't
> vendor software.

Same bad stuff.

> > > > > > > > > > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > > > > > > > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the
guy
> > was
> > > > > > > > > interested,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French
> > scofflaws.
> > > > > > > The
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which
> > brings us
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > > > your
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the
matter...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (space reserved for Brian's response at a later time)
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm just an innocent bystander taking flak.
> > >
> > > It would appear that you are neither innocent nor a bystander.
> > >
> > I observe, I comment?
>
> Bystanders don't comment; they bystand.

Lucky for you.

> > > > > > > > I'm trying to
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my
deployed
> > > > > > commander. I
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial

> > > > deployment.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Maybe he ate your permission.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How could he do that?
> > > > > >
> > > > > He was one of the "cats and dogs" and you don't have any proof of
> > > > > permission.
> > > > >
> > > > No. He was one of the regular 21st Air Force at McGuire.
> > >
> > > You told us that he was "one of the cats and dogs".
> >
> > I was.
>
> My error. In that case, maybe you ate it.

Why would I do that?

> > > > Sometimes it sucks to be me.
>
> > > (Cheap and easy shot withheld)
> >
> > Thanks. Are we coming to an understanding here?
>
> Not to my knowledge.
>
> > > > > Somebody must have it.
> > > > >
> > > > I did. But you already knew that.
> > >
> > > I dont' know it at all. You have not a single shred of proof that you
> > > were every given such permission.
> >
> > And you have not a single shred of proof that my operation was rejected
at
> > the DXCC desk. Which makes all your other claims just very fine dust
lining
> > everyone's nostrils.
>
> Yeah, no one has ever submitted one of your cards for DXCC. There's a
> very good chance that you made up the operating from Somalia tale.

Must be time to blow my nose.

> > Have either of us learned anything?
>
> Sure. I've learned that you don't like it much when shoe is on the
> other foot.
> You enjoyed attacking the character and ethics of another but didn't
> seem to care much for the game when your own ethics and character were

> called into question. What'd you learn?
>
> Dave K8MN

I learned that the next time I'm deployed to a foreign country without a government, when my commander gives me permission to operate amateur radio, I'll get it in writing.

Do you still plan to work amateurs outside of their authorizations? FWIW, you've talked Dick into doing so, and you've gotten Ed and Bill Sohl to at least condone it. That's something to be proud of. Two good people starting down that slippery slope.

1024. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:03:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Eosg9.123$4i2.12323004@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52841

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D81E913.E154576D@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Have either of us learned anything?
>
> The telling point here is that YOU haven't, since you learned to always
trust
> yourself over all else in some software transaction in 1984.

Dick, unlike you, when I recognize that I'm wrong I can admit it.

1025. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:04:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Fpsg9.124$Ze2.12133441@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52842

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D817BA2.54D8D598@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D815167.1D226972@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D808FF5.55BA4731@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > > To answer your "why call names?" query, you act line Len's clone
at
> > > > > times.
> > > >
> > > > Deal with it in some other way.
> > >
> > > No, thanks. Be grateful that I didn't call you "Len".
> >
> > Knock yourself out. I don't consider that an insult.
>
> You are certainly an army of one.

And you are not; could never be.

1026. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:05:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913170500.06796.00000228@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 52843

In article <3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> Imagine how many Muslims in the USA feel, being blamed for the actions of a
few
>> extremeists....
>
> But......are the "actions of a few extremists" really so far from the
attitudes of
>multitudes of Islamics? Don't look too far below the surface, you might be
stunned
>by what you find.

There are other parallels to that statement much closer to the subject of
this
newsgroup.

> The leader of a major American Muslin group was recently shown on TV
instructing
>his followers that "We are violent in other countries but in the US we don't
need
>to be violent. Here we have other means". Means like freedom of religion, even
if
>that religion happens to be interpreted by a large segment of its adherents to
mean
>the destruction of all others. A religion which is at once THE only religion
>considered to be valid by its practitioners, and also the civil authority.
>Obviously the many zealots inside it would find it necessary for that
particular
>religion to prevail.

A major amateur radio membership organization and its leaders have long
held to [essentially] religious beliefs that morse code skills were
absolutely
necessary to obtaining and holding an amateur radio license. Radio amateurs
were told repeatedly and for a long time that this was so, that radio
amateurs
could exercise their freedom in amateur activity provided they kept up this
morse code skill.

That belief system had been going on so long that it became THE only
religion
considered to be valid by its practitioners. Obviously, the many zealots
inside

it [the belief system] would find it necessary for that particular religion
to
prevail.

> Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous minority
which
>is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the element
which
>will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever it
takes to
>suppress the moderate element to that end.

Of course, many radio amateurs are peace-loving people. It's the minority
which
is vocal and violent that rules the day. That minority does whatever it
takes to
suppress the majority or any dissidents of that religious group.

> Nothing new here, just not much PC. We are taught in this country to always
>respect other religions, and Islam plays right into this universal uniquely
>American philosophy. If you must respect my religious views, and my religious
>views include eventually taking over the government of your country and
ultimately
>the world, how can I lose? But I'd be a fool to tell you all about it up
front,
>wouldn't I?

ARRL always tells all that hams must respect others...while it promotes
and encourages old standards and values such as morse code use.
ARRL has established the canons, issued the encyclicals, and has had
great influence on the government in regards to amateur radio.

Unfortunately, there has been a growing schism of policies between
ARRL and the IARU in regards to both code testing and "what is 'good'
for amateur radio." ARRL is traditionalist, rooted in standards and
practices of older times. IARU and its other national organizations,
is progressive, flexible enough to embrace the future.

> Dig a little deeper into the subject and you won't like what you find. One
thing
>you'll find is that any Muslim is always superior to and of more value than
any
>number of Infidels.

Those against morse code are "infidels." One thing anyone will find is
that any traditionalist PCTA is always superior and of more value than
any number of infidels...according to them.

> It's an ugly subject but one which we'd better become very familiar. Soon.
>There will be many innocent victims along the way.

The ugly subject of traditionalist PCTA religious extremism has been
with amateur radio for decades. Many who opposed such traditionalist

values went their way to other avocations.

>It is far from being as simple as a mere "religious tolerance" problem.

No, it is nothing more than a religious intolerance problem on the part of the PCTA. All must follow their view or be damned forever.

There is but one radio activity and it is preached by ARRL for its followers to worship. Morseodism is alive and sick. The revolution is coming. The traditionalist extremists fear that mightily.

1027. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:05:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913170503.06796.00000229@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 52844

In article <20020913092646.29706.00003021@mb-mb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>> To YOU it is, Lennie. You keep harping back to it as if it MEANS something
>>>in your constant blatherings in this AMATEUR RADIO newsgroup.
>>
>> It is a clever system, innovative, combining a frequency-hopping
>> spread-spectrum option...(SNIP)
>
> And still irrelevant vis-a-vis Amateur Radio POLICY.

So...this newsgroup is ANTI-technology?

> However you ALWAYS have a way of working this non-germane techno-babble
>into a discussion in order to hide your other failings.
>
> It doesn't work. It's irrelevant.

Yes, to this entity all technology she doesn't know about is "irrelevant."

>>ALE is already a standard on HF...(SNIP)
>
> Another full paragraph of non-germane techno-babble.

Hardly...see 97.1 (b) and 97.1 (c).

The NTIA response to the proposed 60 meter amateur band contains "radio amateurs may not be able to hear or understand ALE signals on HF," referring to government-use HF Automatic Link Establishment radio signals.

>>Irrelevant. This newsgroup is about radio, not aviation.
>
> I know how much you hate having your nose rubbed in your ignorance, and it
>seems I have found yet another topic of discussion that sends you running for
>cover under the "this is a radio newsgroup" excuse.

This is not a Chat Room for frustrated individuals to vent their spite and rage against others.

This is not a military aviation newsgroup.

> In the meantime, this is a newsgroup SPECIFICALLY about Amateur Radio
>POLICY, Lennie, not "radio" in general, which you try to dilute it to.

This is not a military aviation newsgroup.

> Hey Putzie...Howcome you chide me about the alleged single purpose of this
>NG, but then go into discussing the operational vs testing programs of the
>Osprey?

An osprey is a bird. You want the bird? I give you the bird.

> I know what you wrote, Lennie. Still don't know what you are talking
>about. Nothing of which you "describe" was evident in any phase of my career
>in the Marine Corps.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Describe the RADIO OPERATION performed in this
illustrious "career."

Describe the sum total of HF radio operations personally done while
in the corpse.

> Your DD214, on the otherhand, was your passkey to G.I.Bill benefits, VA
>loan programs, and,if there are any funds left, some VA health benefits.

This is not a military affairs or veterans benefits newsgroup.

> Thanks for proving my point, Lennie. More useless drivvel about your rear
>area "career" in the Army with tons of trivia that means absolutely nothing to
>no one but YOU...

Describe the actual combat operations performed during the career
in the corpse.

> WE have specifically stated that all that crap means NOTHING in Amateur
>radio.

Of course. Some radio amateurs think that amateur radio operates on
different radio principles than any other radio.

> The pity, Lennie, is that you are still stupid enough to be churning all
>this nonsense out when all we do is make fun of you for doing it.

My fault entirely. I was under the mistaken notion that others might
be interested in operations and technology of other radio services
and radio activity besides amateur radio as written in ARRL.

True, I might as well be speaking to a block of concrete. :-)

> No one is impressed.

Was a poll taken to verify that? :-)

No one is impressed by ex-corpsemen who try to Dill sergeant
everyone into submission.

This newsgroup is not LeJeune or Pendleton.

Neither is it about that cashew nut capital of the world, Guinea-Bisseau.

But it might be from time to time... :-)

> To repeat, who are YOU to set the standards for what is discussed and in
>what context, Your Scumminess?

Oh my, did the Dill sergeant think that someone was telling HIM what to
do? Quick, notify JAG and get them charged under the UCMJ!!! :-)

Better cry to your Section Manager or Director!

>>1. I was NEVER a "radio clerk."
>
> Yes you were.

No. Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance Supervisor.

The insistence of saying untruths will not make such untruths truthful.

> ...COMPLETELY irrelevent to an Amateur Radio newsgroup. (Remember, Your
>Repetitiveness, YOU are the one who has repeatedly stated in THIS POST ALONE
>that this is NOT a "military" newsgroup)

The Dill sergeant keeps repeating LIES. I continue to explain the truth.

This is not the LIARS club newsgroup.

> Yes you have. You have repeatedly stated that your "experience" and your
>"education" has placed you head and shoulders above Amateur Radio licensees.

Incorrect. Another LIE by the Dill sergeant.

I am a radio-electronics professional. I accept money as compensation for
radio-electronics services done for others. Monetary compensation is the
defining difference between professional and amateur.

I have completed formal education in electronics engineering. That is on
record. I have considerable experience in radio and electronics over the
last half century acquired through my occupation plus formal and informal
education. Informal education does not accrue nice, framable certificates
but it does provide knowledge that is useful in both occupational and
avocational activities.

Many others have done the same thing, including grants of amateur radio
licenses. Amateur radio is principally an avocational pursuit.

>> My advocacy in here was originally for the elimination of a
>> morse code test as a requirement for any radio license.
>
> Regardles of what it MAY have been originally, your subsequent behaviour
>has been representitive of a person with little to offer, no solutions for
>perceived problems he has addressed, bitterness for those who have what you
>do not, and a general lack of respect for anything YOU didn't author.

"Behavior" perceived is a subjective thing. Many in here, principally
PCTAs,
have exhibited uncivil, rude behavior to others having differing opinions of
the code test, amateur radio, and the mythical fantasy of "hams" as
somehow superior to all other forms of humans...including the "superior"

hams looking down at, denigrating "lesser" class licensees.

This is adequately exemplified by the Dill sergeant's writing as follows -

> You are a demonstrated liar and an antagonist of grand archived
>evidence.
>
> You are a L O S E R ! ! ! !
>
> (oooops...ALMOST forgot.......)
>
> PUTZ!

Quod Erat Demonstrandum

1028. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:13:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Zxsg9.128$il2.12523634@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52845

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D8174AD.E7842015@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D809218.C2753764@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > > > > > > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your
> > director
> > > > > > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > > > > > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > > > > > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of
> > hamdom
> > > > > > > > disagrees with you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth.
> > Nothing
> > > > > > new
> > > > > > > here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is
> > legal
> > > > > was
> > > > > > > lack of prosecution.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Is Farnsworth still being used in testing? Did anyone except Len
> > agree
> > > > > > with your assessment? Even Bill Sohl told you that you were
wrong.
> > > > > Blooey! There goes your yarn.

> > > >
> > > > Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl? Suffice it to say
that I
> > can
> > > > > read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically
says
> > > > > "Morse Code." What else could it mean?
> > > >
> > > > I'd think you need validation of your idea from someone other than the
> > > > non-licensed, non-radio amateur Leonard H. Anderson.
> > >
> > > I don't. I can read Part 97 without help from Len, Dick, Kelly, Steve,
> > > Larry, Ed, or you, Dave.
> >
> > > (Len, sorry to have lumped you in with that group)
> >
> > I've not challenged your ability to read it, only to understand it.
> > Better hang tight to Len. You need all the friends you can get.
> >
> > > > I'll agree that
> > > > you can probably read Part 97 without Bill Sohl's help. It doesn't
> > > > necessarily follow that you can understand what you've read.
> > >
> > > Does the FCC try to confuse the issue with your wording?
> >
> > My wording? I haven't come up with any "wording".
> >
> > > > Yep, Part 97 says "morse code".
> > >
> > > Extremely Obvious.
> > >
> > > > Farnsworth is not a separate coding
> > > > scheme. It is referred to as the "Farnsworth method".
> > >
> > > Farnsworth is an infinitely variable dot/dash/space timing in which
"Morse"
> > > occurs exactly ONCE, and ONCE ONLY.
> >
> > Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code easier.

Is the exam intended to be a learning experience?

How is the "method" distinguished from the exam?

> It isn't a code at all.

Then it cannot be examined. The exam is a code exam. And the code is
Morse.

> > Thus, Morse is the separate coding schema within an infinite set of
schema
> > called Farnsworth. If the FCC wanted Farnsworth, they would have said

> > Farnsworth.
>
> > But they did not. They said MORSE.
>
> Farnsworth testing is not mandatory. It is optional. It has been
> suggested that you contact the FCC and report this gross inequity which
> lies heavy in your heart. It has become obvious that you have no more
> intention of doing so than you have of contacting the French PTT over
> French hams breaking French radio regs.

You have them in your logs. It would be merely hearsay if I reported it.

> You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.

It is a problem for whom?

> > > > > > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How do you get a hat on that square noggin?
> > > > >
> > > > > You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being
proof
> > that
> > > > > the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97
> > stated
> > > > > Morse Code exam.
> > >
> > > No, I'm not "hanging my hat" on that at all. The FCC hasn't even told
> > > anyone to stop using the Farnsworth method in testing. The FCC
realizes
> > > that Farnsworth is morse code. You seem to have trouble understanding
> > > that. It is not the only means of testing for morse proficiency.
> > > You've been told that. You seem to have problems digesting that too.
> >
> > Only because I read Part 97, and I understand how Morse and Farnsworth
> > relate to each other.
>
> Contact the FCC and let us know if the guy on the other end of the horn
> seems to be chuckling.

Ditto my Somali operation. Put up or shut up.

> > > > > > > > Cite the legal reference
> > > > > > > > where my operation is invalid.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for
the
> > > > > > > > validity of your Somali operation.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that
makes
> > it

> > > > > > illegal.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
> > > > > > No kiddin'.
> > > > >
> > > > > No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.
> > > >
> > > > So you say. In the absence of proof, your claim is bo-GUS! At least
> > > > those 50 MHz French scofflaws have operating permits.
> > >
> > > So now you're proud of the French bootleggers you've worked and QSL'd?
>
> There you go again--reading between the lines.
>
> > Yet you called them scofflaws.
>
> Yes, I did. Tell me--how does that indicate my "pride"? I've called
> them "scofflaws" on numerous occasions.
>
> > You must now agree that you worked them out
> > of their authorizations.
>
> I've said all along that I never worked a French station above 50.2
> MHz. They've ALL called me lower in the band. None of this is news.
> My comment was that, in the absence of proof, your claim to having
> proper permission to operate on the ham bands from Somalia is bogus.
>
> Dave K8MN

Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.

1029. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:15:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ozsg9.129$7m2.12577860@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52846

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com...
> In article <sqcg9.289$we1.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> >Yet you called them scofflaws. You must now agree that you worked them
out
> >of their authorizations.
>
> Don't sweat the Judge. He isn't going to admit any error he has
> made in public (several). He can't. :-)
>
> It's a State Department FSO kind of thing. :-)

Its a CYA kind of thing. Deny, deny, deny, then make counteraccusations.

1030. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:15:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8Asg9.130$Zm2.12633299@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52847

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020913092832.29706.00003022@mb-mb.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/13/02 12:18 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com>
>
> >>I don't. I can read Part 97 without help from Len, Dick, Kelly, Steve,
> >>Larry, Ed, or you, Dave.
> >>
> >>(Len, sorry to have lumped you in with that group)
> >
> > I have no problem with that.
>
> I do.

Why? He lent credibility to the list of names.

1031. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:19:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bDsg9.131$vh2.12287133@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52848

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D81EC71.B8D7AD48@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D81616F.DF7BE4AB@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > >Are you trying to tell me that Dave, as a USA and Tanzanian amateur
radio
> > >
> > > > licensee fell under USA regulations while operating in Tanzania?
> > >
> > > No, genius, Dave was operating within countries which had governments
> > which
> > > issued his license without regard to Part 97, or not, as they pleased.
> >
> > Without or not? Would you mind getting back to me on that one.
>
> Other governments are free to consider a US ham's Part 97 license when
deciding
> to issue him one from their government. Or to not consider it. Their
choice,
> absent a treaty on the subject.

Unless they aren't a government, just a bunch of unemployed khat chewing AK
carrying pedestrians at the K4 traffic circle. Then my commander gets to
make the decisions.

> >
> > > You were not, thus your license grant
> >
> > I thought I didn't have a license grant. Make up your mind.
>
> So you really aren't N0IMD after all?? Slim ^2?

Portable T5.

> > > required you to adhere to International rules
> > > covering such cases for your authority to operate.
> >
> > Are you from the department of confusion department?
>
> No, but you're still as confused as ever.

Not about my amateur operation in Somalia.

1032. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:20:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zEsg9.133$Kn2.12683323@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52849

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D81623A.C220E36E@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D7FFFA1.B246F2A8@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show
where I
> > was
> > > > > > > > > > illegal.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone
who
> > worked
> > > > > > > > > you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for
your
> > error.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I toldja he was still looking for a box to think inside of.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So you are also of the mind that the ARRL DXCC desk supercedes all
other
> > > > > licensing authority?
> > > >
> > > > I know of no other ham anywhere clueless enough to even think of such
a
> > thing.
> > > > On the other hand, almost all hams who have ever read enough to know
> > anything
> > > > about ham radio could tell you that DXCC licenses nothing and never
did,
> > but is
> > > > a quite good predictor of whether or not any particular DX operation
was
> > or was
> > > > not legal.
> >
> > Just remember that you said this because you sound like you're back and
> > forth on this issue.
> >
> > Doesn't the ARRL own the DXCC? If one complied with what the ARRL
published

> > on foreign operating, why wouldn't the DXCC desk accept it?
>
> Of course they would, providing you could show documentation that you
were
> indeed granted such legal permission. Since you can't, your QSL's are so
much
> junk, for anyone working toward DXCC.

There you have it.

1033. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:22:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PGsg9.135$Ym2.12632159@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52850

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D817607.2A3167CB@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D809360.2A3238A2@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > So DXCC is the final licensing authority in the world?
> > > >
> > > > I guess you're back to that again.
> > >
> > > How many times must I tell you before it sinks in:
> > >
> > > 1) The DXCC desk (with advice from the DXAC) is the final arbiter on
> > > what is accepted for DXCC credit.
> > >
> > > 2) (a separate issue) You have no proof of permission to operate from
> > > Somalia at all *punto*
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, if the DXCC is an ARRL entity, why wouldn't the DXCC desk accept
> > something in compliance with the ARRL published foreign operating guide?
>
> Brian, only you are stupid enough to believe that a couple of generic
> lines published on a web page constitutes the sum total of licensing
> details for operating from Somalia. What do they eat for breakfast on
> your planet?

I usually didn't eat breakfast in Somalia. It was just another MRE. I
think Operation Restore Hope may have merely been a method of rotating
outdated rations.

1034. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 21:23:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913172344.17318.00000378@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 52851

In article <O5sg9.115$U82.11752690@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com...
>> In article <x_dd9.986$YA5.270678130@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >I've got all my logs and orders and everything. I think I even have a
>> >picture around here somewhere.
>>
>> Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
>> will all wimp out and refuse to open it. :-)
>
>There were a fair number of hairy butts in Somalia. No shower tent, and
>barely a hole to take a crap. We stood around a foundation wall and used
>the foundation to lay out our shaving kit and get as far as we could with a
>canteen of water or two. Eventually, we scrounged a pay pnone booth (no
>glass) from a defunct telephone company, and tilted a 5 gal jerry can of
>water over the top and let the water trickle out of the loosened cap. Get
>wet, soap up, rinse off. About every 5th day kept the stink down.
>
>Oh, yeh, the dipstick engineers forgot to consult with the wxman about
>prevailing winds. They buried a few "urinal tubes" in the sand, but when
>peeing, the wind blew it back on your trousers and boots.

Careful, Brian. Remember that pure, noble long-timer hams don't
have bodily functions. They would be highly enraged to have
anything like that in an attached e-mail image.

:-) :-) :-)

1035. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 22:18:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D826429.36087AE1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52852

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8177E4.59E25BF4@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D814D95.C5A4CF46@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20020902230849.04888.00000189@mb-fq.aol.com...
> > > > > > In article <d22b54c3.0209021829.3c1ce89d@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > kelly@dvol.com
> > > > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > >news:<mUPc9.592$WG3.132744332@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > > > and
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> Maybe not the first choice. I thought the Steve and Cutie Boy
> > > Episode,
> > > > > or
> > > > > >> was it the Steve and Mark Morgan Episode should have been
> first.
> > > But
> > > > > then
> > > > > >> Larry gave that newbie a good run for his money, too. I just
> > > dunno.
> > > > > There
> > > > > >> are so many good candidates here.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> > > > > >gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model
> The
> > > > > >Putz.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Lots of folks have left this din of inequity.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Bothered about being only the 2nd seat in the putzmobile? :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> Remember the time I told Dick to go soak his hemoroids in
> kerosene?
> > > I
```

> > > > > tried
> > > > > > >> so hard, but it just pales in comparison to the Masters of RRAP
> > > Hall of
> > > > > > >> Shame.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Right. You want this an exclusive little chat room just for
> coders
> > > who
> > > > > > > can sit around and give each other high-fives over the splendid
> > > > > patriotic
> > > > > > > task you are doing for the "ARS community." :-)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Pity that no one else will give you the adulation you feel you
> > > deserve.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > [This is like "Grumpy Old Men III." :-)]
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Beep, beep.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Didit.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I absolutely won't leave for the sole reason that "they" want me to.
> > > >
> > > > ...but, as in the past, you might change your mind on a whim.
> > > >
> > > > You did.
> > >
> > > Which simpleton thinks it was a whim?
> >
> > It was quite obviously a whim. You announce that you are leaving and
> > almost immediately, you are "back".
>
> It was gutsy.

I view it as rather silly on your part.

> You certainly wished I had stayed gone.

You overestimate your importance to me. I really don't care if you stay
or if you go.

> Otherwise you
> wouldn't try to goad me into leaving again - about every 3rd post.

Unsubstanciated crappola.

> > > It took courage to stay and face your insults, Dick's insults, Kelly's
> > > insults, Jim's insults, Steve's insults, Larry's insults, and especially
> > > Ed's insults. I thought more of Ed than the rest of you combined.
> >

> > I've found you to have a pretty fair sized chip on your shoulder since I
> > began noticing you posting here. I believe it is still there. A
> > rational individual might ponder why he is being "insulted". The fact
> > that you liked Ed best is a small point since you honked him off.
>
> Ed is honked off? Why would Ed be honked off?

See below:

> > > So now where's your partner in slander crime?
> >
> > He tired of your increasingly irrational posts. Probably objected to
> > lines like "partner in slander crime".
>
> He crossed the line.

YOU crossed the line. That's why Ed is honked off.

> > > "...that which does not kill me..."
> > >
> > > made me stronger.
> >
> > ...or you just might be incapable of learning very rapidly.
>
> Learning is not a problem.

You've yet to show that such is the case.

> > > You lose.
> >
> > You just can't get much right, can you?
>
> You should see it from my vantage point.

I've tried but it's dark there.

Dave K8MN

1036. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 22:20:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D826490.629F5864@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52853

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D81782C.13858F6A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D814E0B.C22B94C5@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:d22b54c3.0209112148.7a033351@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > > > news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2a8bl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The Collins/Lemay/Goldwater/Godfrey Poker Game had some value.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Remembering that unholy bunch makes at least two of us who define
> the
> > > > > > far end of the Old Farts Ass'n around here.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Who was Godfrey?
> > > > >
> > > > Arthur Godfrey. He was a well known entertainer and was a ham.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > Silent movies?
> > >
> > It is always entertaining to see someone brag about their ignorance as
> > if it was a sort of virtue.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, you brag that you were unaware that you were working French
> bootleggers.

I did no such thing at any point.

> Then there was the RRAP exchange with the French amateur, and your reply to
> him.

Which demonstrates exactly what?

> You are virtuous?
```

Who's asking--Mogadishu Slim?

Dave K8MN

1037. Re: 9/12/02 ANTIKEYCLOWNS STILL OWN THE NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 22:29:50 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0209131429.f4f7407@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D81CCEE.A18DA252@citlink.net>...
> Whats a keyclown????.............Several thousand dollars a dozen

Nahhh. Maybe $1 a dozen. A "keyclown" is a ham who thinks that
everyone else needs to learn morse code like he didn't do. Doug
("Doggie") Adair is a no-code. He's also full of hot air and shows his
blatant stupidy in every post.

> Bill Mast wrote:
>
> > On 12 Sep 2002 09:03:34 -0700, bookooaddys@yahoo.com (Keyclown
> > Busters) wrote:
> >
> > >And no one here can stop it.
> > >
> > >ESPECIALLY a booze and dope soaked nwa wanna be high school dropout
> > >whose parents kicked him out because he is a useless doper parasite,
> > >and who fantasizes about pimping hoes as the highest point on the
> > >economic ladder he can achieve.
> > >
> > >ALSO: Crackheads, rednecks, bowl weenies, truckstop trannies, and all
> > >the other slimes that comprise keyclownism.
> > >NONE OF YOU CAN STOP THE ANTIKEYCLOWNS!!!
> >
> > Own What? Whats a keyclown?

1038. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 22:37:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D826888.52D5F6FC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52855

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D817B50.3AFCA4A0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D815127.B011BB94@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D808E4A.8DFBD2E3@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D7E87E2.6DE32AC0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Good thing you didn't know he was French, a ham, or if
> he/she
> > > > had
> > > > > valid
> > > > > > > > information on licensing. If you had known, you might not
> have
> > > been
> > > > > > > > following Good Amateur Practice.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nonsense! If a French ham who is supposed to know French
> > > regulations
> > > > > > > > breaks them, he is responsible for such behavior. Someone
> > > operating
> > > > > > in
> > > > > > > > another country, thousands of miles away does not enforce
> French
> > > radio
> > > > > > > > regs.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You don't have to aid them with a QSO, nor a QSL card.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I didn't assist them, Brian. They made a conscious decision to
> key a
> > > > > > radio where they aren't supposed to be.
> > > > >
> > > > > How do you know that?
```

> > > >
> > > > They called me. I was sitting there operating where I was permitted
> to
> > > > > be.
> > > > > That's how I know that. I can explain the whole concept to you one
> day
> > > > > when I have a lot more time.
> > > >
> > > > You said they made a conscious decision to key a radio whaer they aren't
> > > > supposed to be. How did you know that?
> >
> > You've told me repeatedly that they weren't supposed to be below 50.200.
>
> A French ham told YOU that.

Someone claiming to be French and a ham claimed that was so. You'll
note that he didn't challenge my authorization to be CQing and running a
pileup below 50.200.

> Choosing ignorance, you waited two years for
> another RRAPper to post the authorizations from the French communication
> website veryifying what the French ham told you.

Two years later and I was back in the U.S. putting up antennas.

> Do you only now realize that you kept working out of band French hams?

Let me restate my position that it is absolutely not my responsibility
to check the operating restrictions of foreign stations who call and
work me no matter where in the world I am operating. I need only make
certain that I am operating where I am permitted to be.

> > > > > > I couldn't make them do that
> > > > > > nor could I prevent it. I couldn't (nor was it my responsibility
> to)
> > > > > > enforce French regs from Tanzania.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Good Amateur Practice would have prevented me from completing the
> > > circuit.
> > > > >
> > > > > Uh huh. Good Amateur Practice as you currently understand it. That's
> > > > > one good thing about experience and the passage of time. You begin to
> > > > > understand the meaning of some concepts of which you were previously
> > > > > ignorant--if you're lucky.
> > > >
> > > > Did you learn form your experience with the outlaw Frenchmen?
> >
> > Sure did. I learned that some guys will do anything to work Tanzania on
> > 6m.
> > I also learned that no matter how well one does something, there's
> > usually an uninvolved whiner who will take issue with it. In this case,
> > they guy is you and you've repeatedly taken issue with the wrong party.

> > You've never addressed the issue of the perpetrators.
>
> I don't know them. You do. You have their callsigns in your logs.

I'm not the party making an issue of it. You are. Are you using your
charming personality to enlist my support in your effort?

> > > > > > > I only know what you told me and what the incredibly accurate
> French
> > > ham
> > > > > > > told you. You can play the rest of it any way you want, but it
> > > doesn't
> > > > > look
> > > > > > > good.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Has it yet dawned on you that the only person who feels that it
> > > doesn't
> > > > > > look good is you?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Army of One? Ignore me.
> > > >
> > > > Ignoring you doesn't seem to be an option. You're like a pesky
> > > > mosquito. Surely the thought has crossed your mind, "Hey--I'm the
> only
> > > > one here who holds this particular view. Maybe I'm wrong."
> > >
> > > I learned not to doubt myself in about 1984 when dealing with vendor
> > > software.
> >
> > Maybe it is time that you learned to doubt yourself again. This ain't
> > vendor software.
>
> Same bad stuff.

No, software and amateur radio are not the same stuff at all. The only
guy supporting your position is Leonard H. Anderson, who has no
license. Is that sinking in at all?

> > > > > > > > > > > > > Then you said you'd continue to
> > > > > > > > > > > > > work them as you weren't out of band.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I'd have answered in the same manner today. If the
> guy
> > > was
> > > > > > > > > interested,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > he could have contacted the French PTT about French
> > > scofflaws.
> > > > > > > The
> > > > > > > > > > > > > matter was not of my concern and still isn't. Which
> > > brings us
> > > > > > > to

> > > > > > > your
> > > > > > > > > > > > > involvement and insertion of yourself into the
> matter...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > (space reserved for Brian's response at a later time)
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm just an innocent bystander taking flak.
> > > >
> > > > It would appear that you are neither innocent nor a bystander.
> > > >
> > > I observe, I comment?
> >
> > Bystanders don't comment; they bystand.
>
> Lucky for you.

If I was lucky, you'd be bystanding.

> > > > > > > > > I'm trying to
> > > > > > > > > locate my OIC, who had been on the same station as my
> deployed
> > > > > > commander. I
> > > > > > > > > was one of the cats and dogs that rounded out the initial
> > > > > deployment.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Maybe he ate your permission.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How could he do that?
> > > > >
> > > > > He was one of the "cats and dogs" and you don't have any proof of
> > > > > permission.
> > > > >
> > > > No. He was one of the regular 21st Air Force at McGuire.
> > > >
> > > You told us that he was "one of the cats and dogs".
> > >
> > I was.
> >
> > My error. In that case, maybe you ate it.
>
> Why would I do that?

You were "one of the cats and dogs".

> > > > > Sometimes it sucks to be me.
> >
> > > > (Cheap and easy shot withheld)
> > >
> > > Thanks. Are we coming to an understanding here?
> >

> > Not to my knowledge.
> >
> > > > > > Somebody must have it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I did. But you already knew that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I dont' know it at all. You have not a single shred of proof that you
> > > > > were every given such permission.
> > > >
> > > And you have not a single shred of proof that my operation was rejected
> at
> > > the DXCC desk. Which makes all your other claims just very fine dust
> lining
> > > everyone's nostrils.
> >
> > Yeah, no one has ever submitted one of your cards for DXCC. There's a
> > very good chance that you made up the operating from Somalia tale.
>
> Must be time to blow my nose.

Don't let me keep you from important work.

> > > Have either of us learned anything?
> >
> > Sure. I've learned that you don't like it much when shoe is on the
> > other foot.
> > You enjoyed attacking the character and ethics of another but didn't
> > seem to care much for the game when your own ethics and character were
> > called into question. What'd you learn?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I learned that the next time I'm deployed to a foreign country without a
> government, when my commander gives me permission to operate amateur radio,
> I'll get it in writing.

The light may have dawned after all.

> Do you still plan to work amateurs outside of their authorizations? FWIW,
> you've talked Dick into doing so, and you've gotten Ed and Bill Sohl to at
> least condone it. That's something to be proud of. Two good people
> starting down that slippery slope.

I can state unequivocally that I will not begin checking to ensure that
each DX station I work is operating within the terms of his license. He
and his licensing authority are responsible for that. Your blatherings
about Dick and Bill are just more silliness.

Dave K8MN

1039. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 22:49:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D826B33.3115188A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52856

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8174AD.E7842015@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D809218.C2753764@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > > > > > > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your
> > > director
> > > > > > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > > > > > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > > > > > >Message-id: <20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all of
> > > hamdom
> > > > > > > > disagrees with you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth.
> > > Nothing
> > > > > > > new
> > > > > > > > here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is
> > > legal
> > > > > > > was
> > > > > > > > lack of prosecution.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Is Farnsworth still being used in testing? Did anyone except Len
> > > agree
> > > > > > with your assessment? Even Bill Sohl told you that you were
```

> wrong.
> > > > > > Blooey! There goes your yarn.
> > > > >
> > > > > Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl? Suffice it to say
> that I
> > > can
> > > > > read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically
> says
> > > > > "Morse Code." What else could it mean?
> > > >
> > > > I'd think you need validation of your idea from someone other than the
> > > > non-licensed, non-radio amateur Leonard H. Anderson.
> > >
> > > I don't. I can read Part 97 without help from Len, Dick, Kelly, Steve,
> > > Larry, Ed, or you, Dave.
> >
> > > (Len, sorry to have lumped you in with that group)
> >
> > I've not challenged your ability to read it, only to understand it.
> > Better hang tight to Len. You need all the friends you can get.
> >
> > > > I'll agree that
> > > > you can probably read Part 97 without Bill Sohl's help. It doesn't
> > > > necessarily follow that you can understand what you've read.
> > >
> > > Does the FCC try to confuse the issue with your wording?
> >
> > My wording? I haven't come up with any "wording".
> >
> > > > Yep, Part 97 says "morse code".
> > >
> > > Extremely Obvious.
> > >
> > > > Farnsworth is not a separate coding
> > > > scheme. It is referred to as the "Farnsworth method".
> > >
> > > Farnsworth is an infinitely variable dot/dash/space timing in which
> "Morse"
> > > occurs exactly ONCE, and ONCE ONLY.
> >
> > Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code easier.
>
> Is the exam intended to be a learning experience?

Passing the exam is the final proof that a learning experience has taken
place.

> How is the "method" distinguished from the exam?

How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
taken using the Farnsworth spacing?

> > It isn't a code at all.
>
> Then it cannot be examined. The exam is a code exam. And the code is
> Morse.

Farnsworth spacing during testing is not mandatory. I have pointed it
out several times. Others have pointed it out several times. You've
had no comment on those statements.

> > > Thus, Morse is the separate coding schema within an infinite set of
> schema
> > > called Farnsworth. If the FCC wanted Farnsworth, they would have said
> > > Farnsworth.
> >
> > > But they did not. They said MORSE.
> >
> > Farnsworth testing is not mandatory. It is optional. It has been
> > suggested that you contact the FCC and report this gross inequity which
> > lies heavy in your heart. It has become obvious that you have no more
> > intention of doing so than you have of contacting the French PTT over
> > French hams breaking French radio regs.
>
> You have them in your logs. It would be merely hearsay if I reported it.

My comment began, "Farnsworth testing is not mandatory". Again you have
no comment on that. Regarding French 6m operations: Yes it would be
hearsay. It has always been only hearsay.

> > You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.
>
> It is a problem for whom?

It calls your judgement into question and pisses off those toward whom
you mistakenly call to task.

> > > > > > > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal activity.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How do you get a hat on that square noggin?
> > > > >
> > > > > You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being
> proof
> > > that
> > > > > the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97
> > > stated
> > > > > Morse Code exam.
> > > >
> > > > No, I'm not "hanging my hat" on that at all. The FCC hasn't even told
> > > > anyone to stop using the Farnsworth method in testing. The FCC
> realizes
> > > > that Farnsworth is morse code. You seem to have trouble understanding
> > > > that. It is not the only means of testing for morse proficiency.
> > > > You've been told that. You seem to have problems digesting that too.
> > >

> > > Only because I read Part 97, and I understand how Morse and Farnsworth
> > > relate to each other.
> >
> > Contact the FCC and let us know if the guy on the other end of the horn
> > seems to be chuckling.
>
> Ditto my Somali operation. Put up or shut up.

Oh, I couldn't do that, Brian. It would only be hearsay, heh heh. You
seem to have a direct interest in the use of Farnsworth spacing in morse
testing. Give someone at the FCC a buzz and find out what's what.

> > > > > > > > > Cite the legal reference
> > > > > > > > > where my operation is invalid.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference for
> the
> > > > > > > > > validity of your Somali operation.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that
> makes
> > > > it
> > > > > > > > > illegal.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
> > > > > > > > No kiddin'.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.
> > > > >
> > > > > So you say. In the absence of proof, your claim is bo-GUS! At least
> > > > > those 50 MHz French scofflaws have operating permits.
> > >
> > > So now you're proud of the French bootleggers you've worked and QSL'd?
> >
> > There you go again--reading between the lines.
> >
> > > Yet you called them scofflaws.
> >
> > Yes, I did. Tell me--how does that indicate my "pride"? I've called
> > them "scofflaws" on numerous occasions.
> >
> > > You must now agree that you worked them out
> > > of their authorizations.
> >
> > I've said all along that I never worked a French station above 50.2
> > MHz. They've ALL called me lower in the band. None of this is news.
> > My comment was that, in the absence of proof, your claim to having
> > proper permission to operate on the ham bands from Somalia is bogus.

> Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.

Your claim remains just a claim.

Dave K8MN

1040. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 22:51:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D826BDA.1FE227B4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52857

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com...
> > In article <sqcg9.289$we1.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> > >Yet you called them scofflaws. You must now agree that you worked them
> out
> > >of their authorizations.
> >
> > Don't sweat the Judge. He isn't going to admit any error he has
> > made in public (several). He can't. :-)
> >
> > It's a State Department FSO kind of thing. :-)
>
> Its a CYA kind of thing. Deny, deny, deny, then make counteraccusations.

It seems funny that you'd make that kind of absurd statement when I have
not denied working those stations and in fact have always maintained
that I've worked no French stations on frequencies above 50.200 MHz.
Sorta shoots your entire false premise down in flames.

Dave K8MN

1041. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 22:54:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D826C84.42CABB81@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52858

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <O5sg9.115$U82.11752690@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com...
> >> In article <x_dd9.986$YA5.270678130@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> >> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >I've got all my logs and orders and everything. I think I even have a
> >> >picture around here somewhere.
> >>
> >> Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
> >> will all wimp out and refuse to open it. :-)
> >
> >There were a fair number of hairy butts in Somalia. No shower tent, and
> >barely a hole to take a crap. We stood around a foundation wall and used
> >the foundation to lay out our shaving kit and get as far as we could with a
> >canteen of water or two. Eventually, we scrounged a pay pnone booth (no
> >glass) from a defunct telephone company, and tilted a 5 gal jerry can of
> >water over the top and let the water trickle out of the loosened cap. Get
> >wet, soap up, rinse off. About every 5th day kept the stink down.
> >
> >Oh, yeh, the dipstick engineers forgot to consult with the wxman about
> >prevailing winds. They buried a few "urinal tubes" in the sand, but when
> >peeing, the wind blew it back on your trousers and boots.
>
> Careful, Brian. Remember that pure, noble long-timer hams don't
> have bodily functions. They would be highly enraged to have
> anything like that in an attached e-mail image.
>
> :-) :-) :-)

Like that one of you lecturing the troops on amateur radio from the nose
of the aircraft? :-) :-) :{

Dave K8MN

1042. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 23:24:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913192415.00941.00003946@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52859

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/13/02 4:05 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020913170500.06796.00000228@mb-cq.aol.com>

>Unfortunately, there has been a growing schism of policies between
> ARRL and the IARU in regards to both code testing and "what is 'good'
> for amateur radio." ARRL is traditionalist, rooted in standards and
> practices of older times. IARU and its other national organizations,
> is progressive, flexible enough to embrace the future.

Speaking of which, Lennie....

WHERE IS YOUR "EVIDENCE" OF DISHONESTY BY THE ARRL, YOU LYING PUTZ...???

1043. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 23:42:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913194251.00941.00003948@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52861

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/13/02 4:05 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020913170503.06796.00000229@mb-cq.aol.com>

>So...this newsgroup is ANTI-technology?

YOU are the one who constantly "redirects" the conversations here, Your Supreme Putziness. It is either about Amateur Radio Policy, or it's not.

YOU only care to discuss "technology" when you can't substantiate other rants you are knee deep in.

>> However you ALWAYS have a way of working this non-germane techno-babble
>>into a discussion in order to hide your other failings.
>>
>> It doesn't work. It's irrelevant.
>
> Yes, to this entity all technology she doesn't know about is "irrelevant."

"She" can read the title of the NG, Lennie:

"rec.radio.amateur.POLICY" (emphasis mine)

>This is not a Chat Room for frustrated individuals to vent their spite
> and rage against others.

Then why are YOU here? That's ALL you do, Lennie.

>This is not a military aviation newsgroup.

Then why do you continue to discuss it?

>This is not a military aviation newsgroup.

Then why do you continue to discuss it?

>An osprey is a bird. You want the bird? I give you the bird.

Too late...I geave it to you first. You keep it.

>Tsk, tsk, tsk. Describe the RADIO OPERATION performed in this
> illustrious "career."

Not germane to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY...I don't have to get into those "been there done that" rants of yours, Lennie.

>Describe the sum total of HF radio operations personally done while
> in the corpse.

I have never slept with your wife, Lennie.

>This is not a military affairs or veterans benefits newsgroup.

Then why do yo9u continue to discuss it?

>Describe the actual combat operations performed during the career
> in the corpse.

Again, NOT GERMANE to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. That "Back in 19xx" line is
yours, Lennie.

>Of course. Some radio amateurs think that amateur radio operates on
> different radio principles than any other radio.

Nope. But Amatuer Radio PRACTICE is different than other services.
Anyway, with the exception of your "service" in the Army, you've neer been a
radio operator in ANY service.

>My fault entirely. I was under the mistaken notion that others might
> be interested in operations and technology of other radio services
> and radio activity besides amateur radio as written in ARRL.

You've been TOLD by others for years taht we don't give a hoot about your
ADA days. Once you got passed the second or third retelling of the same
irrelevant tale, it got stale.

It's boring.

>True, I might as well be speaking to a block of concrete.

Funny, I've been thinking the same thing.

>> Yes you were.
>
> No. Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance Supervisor.
>
> The insistence of saying untruths will not make such untruths truthful.

Like repeating over and over how untruthful the ARRL is?

Like repeating over and over how the ARRL has forced "religious beliefs"
on others?

Yeah...we've heard THAT before.

>The Dill sergeant keeps repeating LIES. I continue to explain the truth.

Then WHERE is your "truth" about the ARRL "dishonesty"...???

>>Yes you have. You have repeatedly stated that your "experience" and
>your
>>"education" has placed you head and shoulders above Amateur Radio licensees.
>
> Incorrect. Another LIE by the Dill sergeant.

If you say so, Lennie. Denying your past behaviour will not erase it from USENET archives.

>I am a radio-electronics professional.

Not based on your conduct here, you're not.

>I accept money as compensation for
> radio-electronics services done for others.

Then based on your conduct in here, I'd say someone has a pretty good case for fraud..

>Monetary compensation is the
> defining difference between professional and amateur.

If you think that money is all that stands betweeen "professionalism" and "amateur", then you are even worse off than I thought.

Not that it could get ANY worse than what it is...

1044. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-13 23:43:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2dwaeb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52860

On 13 Sep 2002 01:12:44 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>2) US hams have 800 kHz between 3 and 8 MHz - that's 16% of that piece of
>spectrum. How many kHz do FEMA et al have between 3 and 8 MHz? H

They have all of it - if and when they want it. The reality of
military and spook comms worldwide is that any frequemcy can be used
as long as (1) they don't identify and their ID cannot be accurately
determined without precision direction finding and (2) they don't
interfere with any essential (government) communications in their
homne country.

Don't bother searching the net for that reference - it's unwritten
law.

>3) Maybe FEMA doesn't want our help that much. Maybe they think it
>doesn't look good when a bunch of volunteers handle the comms on an
>ad-hoc basis.

It's always nice to have some group of outsiders upon whom to dump
non-esential traffic handling while the adults conduct business <ggg>

>All it takes is some enforcement. Wanna bet that there is enforcement
>going on hat we never hear about?

To the limits of their meager resources but not vecessarily ARS
problems, according to my former colleagues with whom I keep contact.

Wanna bet though that there will be no additional money allocated
for FCC radio enforcement under the umbrella of GeheimerstaatzPoletzei
...err,...the Department of Homeland Security... than there was before.

I wonder whether they can activate us retirees as a "Retired
Reserve" ?? If it cames down to guns and dogs I don't know on
which side of the dog I'd wind yp....

Papieren Bitte ...

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1045. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 00:28:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913202805.12729.00000724@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 52862

In article <3D80AA7D.83F6456A@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <3D7FBB41.BDE1A463@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
><dixie@townsqr.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >But as shown in other posts here re bootleg truckers QRMing 5mc
>operations,
>> >FCC and NTIA should already know that as far as their problems are
>>concerned,
>> the ARS is just a single
>> >small flea on a very large dog that's loaded up with them.
>>
>> Yes, they should know that, but there's no guarantee that they do know it.
>>
>> >We still have the best self
>> >policing record of all, the few idiots amongst us notwithstanding.
>>
>> That record may not be so impressive to FCC/NTIA after listening to tapes
>of
>> W6NUT, 3950, 14313, etc. Or looking at some of the callsigns specifically
>> requested under the vanity call program.
>
> So where's Riley in these nut cases?

Doing what he can, hamstrung by the rules and limitations of resources.

>If there is any enforcement that is vital to
>the future of ham radio here it is.

Agreed. But much of this must come from hams themselves.

>I would assume that someone could show
>some action on them, and forestall some of the criticism as the ubiquitous
nuts
>found everywhere in our free and open society. Being a nut is no
>disqualification for
>anything.

It should be.

>Just look at a couple denizens of rrap.

Agreed. But it's better they are here than on the air.
>
{Kim}

>is another story, sad that it is.

A direct legacy of the lawlessness of cb.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1046. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 00:41:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9Bvg9.97$1Q7.706622@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52863

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D826B33.3115188A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code easier.
> > >
> > > Is the exam intended to be a learning experience?
> >
> > Passing the exam is the final proof that a learning experience has taken
> > place.

Dick, et al will argue that point. They say today's exams are worthless and
only they have valid credentials.

None-the-less, you don't start learning when the VEC rolls the tape.

> > How is the "method" distinguished from the exam?
> >
> > How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
> > taken using the Farnsworth spacing?

You say Farnsworth is a method. ARRL says its their preferred exam.

> > > It isn't a code at all.
> > >
> > > Then it cannot be examined. The exam is a code exam. And the code is
> > > Morse.
> >
> > Farnsworth spacing during testing is not mandatory. I have pointed it
> > out several times. Others have pointed it out several times. You've
> > had no comment on those statements.

But I have. Newbies don't know to ask for Morse, and shouldn't have to.
After all, if they studied Part 97 they would know the exam is in Morse.

> > > > Thus, Morse is the separate coding schema within an infinite set of
> > > schema
> > > > called Farnsworth. If the FCC wanted Farnsworth, they would have
said
> > > > Farnsworth.
> > > >
> > > > But they did not. They said MORSE.
> > > >
> > > > Farnsworth testing is not mandatory. It is optional. It has been
> > > > suggested that you contact the FCC and report this gross inequity
which
> > > > lies heavy in your heart. It has become obvious that you have no more

> > > intention of doing so than you have of contacting the French PTT over
> > > French hams breaking French radio regs.
> >
> > You have them in your logs. It would be merely hearsay if I reported
it.
>
> My comment began, "Farnsworth testing is not mandatory". Again you have
> no comment on that.

Since you and the ARRL use the term "Farnsworth" interchangeably with Morse,
you are saying that the code exam is not mandatory for an HF license.

> Regarding French 6m operations: Yes it would be hearsay. It has always
been only hearsay.

It has been yoursay. Do you change your story now?

> > > You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.
> >
> > It is a problem for whom?
>
> It calls your judgement into question and pisses off those toward whom
> you mistakenly call to task.

Were you not the one who worked the French amateurs on 6M out of band?

> Oh, I couldn't do that, Brian. It would only be hearsay, heh heh. You
> seem to have a direct interest in the use of Farnsworth spacing in morse
> testing. Give someone at the FCC a buzz and find out what's what.

Why? I read their regulation on the matter and it is very clear.

> > Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.
>
> Your claim remains just a claim.

Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal, similar to the
PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't put
a stop to it.

1047. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 00:57:12 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52864

On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal, similar to the
>PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't put
>a stop to it.

Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory Ruling
to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit back and
wait for the laughter.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1048. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 01:44:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D82943A.BBAC9EC4@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52865

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Morseodism is alive and sick. The revolution is
> coming. The traditionalist extremists fear that mightily.

You may be barely alive, but you sure are sick. If you've never before proven it conclusively this one does it.

1049. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 01:45:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D82946B.D2F703@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52866

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D81E913.E154576D@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > Have either of us learned anything?
> >
> > The telling point here is that YOU haven't, since you learned to always
> trust
> > yourself over all else in some software transaction in 1984.
>
> Dick, unlike you, when I recognize that I'm wrong I can admit it.

You sure better get started, time has long ago expired.

1050. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 01:46:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D828788.7AD5B890@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52867

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D81EC71.B8D7AD48@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D81616F.DF7BE4AB@townsqr.com...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Are you trying to tell me that Dave, as a USA and Tanzanian amateur
> radio
> > > >
> > > > > licensee fell under USA regulations while operating in Tanzania?
> > > >
> > > > No, genius, Dave was operating within countries which had governments
> > > which
> > > > issued his license without regard to Part 97, or not, as they pleased.
> > >
> > > Without or not? Would you mind getting back to me on that one.
> >
> > Other governments are free to consider a US ham's Part 97 license when
> deciding
> > to issue him one from their government. Or to not consider it. Their
> choice,
> > absent a treaty on the subject.
>
> Unless they aren't a government, just a bunch of unemployed khat chewing AK
> carrying pedestrians at the K4 traffic circle. Then my commander gets to
> make the decisions.
>
> > >
> > > > You were not, thus your license grant
> > >
> > > I thought I didn't have a license grant. Make up your mind.
> >
> > So you really aren't N0IMD after all?? Slim ^2?
>
> Portable T5.
>
> > > > required you to adhere to International rules
> > > > covering such cases for your authority to operate.
> > >
> > > Are you from the department of confusion department?

> >
> > No, but you're still as confused as ever.
>
> Not about my amateur operation in Somalia.

Yep, by now you've been pretty well educated on it, regardless of the fact that you must still deny,deny,deny just to save some semblence of self esteem. You trade that for looking like a complete nincompoop. Not too bad a deal, considering.

1051. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 01:46:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D8287CC.F62CABB0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52868

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D81623A.C220E36E@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D7FFFA1.B246F2A8@townsqr.com...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Steve, I never applied for DXCC from Somalia. Again, show
> where I
> > > was
> > > > > > > > > > > illegal.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Holy Smoke, Brian! You don't have to apply for DXCC. Anyone
> who
> > > worked
> > > > > > > > > > you and submits one of your cards for HIS DXCC would pay for
> your
> > > error.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I toldja he was still looking for a box to think inside of.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So you are also of the mind that the ARRL DXCC desk supercedes all
> other
> > > > > > licensing authority?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I know of no other ham anywhere clueless enough to even think of such
> a
> > > thing.
> > > > > > On the other hand, almost all hams who have ever read enough to know
> > > anything
> > > > > > about ham radio could tell you that DXCC licenses nothing and never
> did,
> > > but is
> > > > > > a quite good predictor of whether or not any particular DX operation
> was
> > > or was
> > > > > > not legal.
> > > >
> > > > Just remember that you said this because you sound like you're back and
> > > forth on this issue.
> > >

> > > Doesn't the ARRL own the DXCC? If one complied with what the ARRL
> published
> > > on foreign operating, why wouldn't the DXCC desk accept it?
> >
> > Of course they would, providing you could show documentation that you
> were
> > indeed granted such legal permission. Since you can't, your QSL's are so
> much
> > junk, for anyone working toward DXCC.
>
> There you have it.

As usual, bassackwards. There YOU have it.

1052. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:01:30 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <zOwg9.27396$e44.1014105@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52872

Nice response, Larry. ;-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020912235051.05562.00000947@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <Krbg9.257$4V.49@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Larry, why would you want some chip or other electronic device to
complete
> >and regulate your dits and dahs?
> >
> >In an emergency, you might not be able to build one from an old toaster,
a
> >Navaid, or ship's RADAR.
>
> Brian:
>
> I'm perfectly OK to use an electronic keyer. I can already send CW
> using a straight key, so I wouldn't need to cobble together a keyer in
> an emergency. All I'd need is a momentary on/off switch, and I wouldn't
> need a Navaid, or ship's RADAR to find the parts to build one -- but the
> old toaster could prove useful!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

1053. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:02:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GMwg9.123$RM7.550051@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52870

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D813B6D.C3D5EDA1@townsqr.com...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > >Date: 9/12/02 9:54 AM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <3D80AA7D.83F6456A@townsqr.com>
> >
> > >So where's Riley in these nut cases? If there is any enforcement that
is
> > >vital to
> > >the future of ham radio here it is.
> >
> > Come'on, guys, have you not been paying attention tot he items in
the ARRL
> > site (among others?)
> >
> > There's been a bunch of enforcement actions against "W6NUT"
participants.
> > No, it's not off the air yet (which in my mind is the only TRUE remedy)
but at
> > least they are on notice that they are being watched.
>
> My point. You can't jawbone idiots into acting nice, it takes the big
stick or
> time in Hotel Do-right.

Dick, you must have forgotten what I said prior to restructuring. What I feared most about restructuring was a lack of enforcement. And what I feared most about maintaining the Status Quo was a lack of enforcement.

You can no longer rely on a Morse Code exam to filter out the idiots because the idiots have learned the code. They showed they could do that a long time ago.

73, Brian

1054. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:02:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4Nwg9.124$YF7.145551@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52869

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020912061815.12729.00000686@mb-cs.aol.com...

> Maybe, just maybe, we could get a small slice of 5.25-5.4 on the same
basis as
> 30 m. No voice, low power, no contests, etc. But don't hold yer breath.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Your ARRL just opened 30M up to DXCC.

1055. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:02:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4Nwg9.125$YF7.145551@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52871

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D8147D6.C114B44F@townsqr.com...
>
> YES! When propagation tanks on 40, and storm static makes 75/80 useless,
a chance
> to use the 5 mhz region can make the difference.

Naw, just switch to CW. You'll do just fine.

1056. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:08:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WSwg9.128$jT7.898188@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52873

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020913080911.12729.00000722@mb-cs.aol.com...
>
> Yup. Reagan Administration said "we're gonna get the government off your
back"
> and less enforcement was part of that.
> >
> >> If there's one thing we hams CAN do, it's clean our own house.
>
> > Yours and mine are in good shape, along with the vast majority of other
> >hams. It
> >grates that we can be held accountable for the outlaws, licensed hams and
> >otherwise, upon whom no one can seem to get a grip because of politics
and
> >PC.

I can hardly imagine that a ham who thinks as Dick does has his house in
order.

> Imagine how many Muslims in the USA feel, being blamed for the actions of
a few
> extremeists....

Larry blames all the problems in amateur radio on a). a fat lady at a local
club meeting, and b). a guy pursuing 10-10 numbers.

1057. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:11:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XUwg9.129$rS7.863396@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52874

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D82946B.D2F703@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D81E913.E154576D@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Have either of us learned anything?
> > >
> > > The telling point here is that YOU haven't, since you learned to
always
> > trust
> > > yourself over all else in some software transaction in 1984.
> >
> > Dick, unlike you, when I recognize that I'm wrong I can admit it.
>
> You sure better get started, time has long ago expired.
>
Give me a for instance.

1058. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:12:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8Wwg9.130$uM7.526498@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52875

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal, similar to the
> >PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't
put
> >a stop to it.
>
> Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory Ruling
> to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit back and
> wait for the laughter.....

Regarding Farnsworth/Morse examinations? Knock yourself out.

1059. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:14:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D829B0D.15AD228@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52876

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D826B33.3115188A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code easier.
> > >
> > > Is the exam intended to be a learning experience?
> >
> > Passing the exam is the final proof that a learning experience has taken
> > place.
>
> Dick, et al will argue that point. They say today's exams are worthless and
> only they have valid credentials.
>
> None-the-less, you don't start learning when the VEC rolls the tape.

Read what I wrote.

> > > How is the "method" distinguished from the exam?
> >
> > How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
> > taken using the Farnsworth spacing?
>
> You say Farnsworth is a method. ARRL says its their preferred exam.

How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
taken using the Farnsworth spacing?

> > > > It isn't a code at all.
> > >
> > > Then it cannot be examined. The exam is a code exam. And the code is
> > > Morse.
> >
> > Farnsworth spacing during testing is not mandatory. I have pointed it
> > out several times. Others have pointed it out several times. You've
> > had no comment on those statements.
>
> But I have. Newbies don't know to ask for Morse, and shouldn't have to.
> After all, if they studied Part 97 they would know the exam is in Morse.

Newbies don't know how to ask? I don't believe you.

> Since you and the ARRL use the term "Farnsworth" interchangeably with Morse,
> you are saying that the code exam is not mandatory for an HF license.

Poppycock!

> > Regarding French 6m operations: Yes it would be hearsay. It has always
> been only hearsay.
>
> It has been yoursay. Do you change your story now?

Why, pray tell, would I do that?

> > > > You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.
> > >
> > > It is a problem for whom?
> >
> > It calls your judgement into question and pisses off those toward whom
> > you mistakenly call to task.
>
> Were you not the one who worked the French amateurs on 6M out of band?

Yep, while I was operating right where I was permitted to be. You still
haven't directed you ire toward the French authorities or their national
IARU society. If I'm smoking a cigarette in the mall parking lot and
some kid sees me smoking and decides to light up, he has violated the
law. I didn't entice him to do it and I'm not responsible for his
action. I'm certainly not responsible for checking his I.D. Sorry,
junior, you're on the wrong track.

> > Oh, I couldn't do that, Brian. It would only be hearsay, heh heh. You
> > seem to have a direct interest in the use of Farnsworth spacing in morse
> > testing. Give someone at the FCC a buzz and find out what's what.
>
> Why? I read their regulation on the matter and it is very clear.

I'm sure it is. That's why they're taking no action and will likely
take no action. Give 'em a call and tell 'em how to interpret their
regs.

> > > Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.
> > >
> > > Your claim remains just a claim.
> >
> > Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal,

Is that what lack of prosecution means? That's a crock.

> similar to the
> PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't put
> a stop to it.

They've not only not put a stop to it, they haven't addressed it at
all. Straighten them out.

Dave K8MN

1060. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:19:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D829C6C.2B44E82F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52877

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D8147D6.C114B44F@townsqr.com...
> >
> > YES! When propagation tanks on 40, and storm static makes 75/80 useless,
> a chance
> > to use the 5 mhz region can make the difference.
>
> Naw, just switch to CW. You'll do just fine.

Yep. You, on the other hand.....

1061. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 02:53:26 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209131853.34966e5c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52878

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...

> Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous minority which
> is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the element which
> will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever it takes to
> suppress the moderate element to that end.
>
>

We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .

w3rv

1062. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 03:04:00 UTC
From: Oman Slazaar <Kilohertz100@kilomegahertz100.org>
Message-ID: <alu8uflko0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52879

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >

> They have all of it - if and when they want it. The reality of
> military and spook comms worldwide is that any frequemcy can be used
> as long as (1) they don't identify and their ID cannot be accurately
> determined without precision direction finding and (2) they don't
> interfere with any essential (government) communications in their
> homne country.
>
> Don't bother searching the net for that reference - it's unwritten
> law.
>
Really?!!!! Tell us more about what is "Unwritten Law." ??????

Best 73,

Oman

1063. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 03:06:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913230645.07912.00000420@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 52882

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>>Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal, similar to the
>>PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't put
>>a stop to it.
>
> Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory Ruling
> to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit back and
> wait for the laughter.....

"Laughter?!?" Puzzlement is more likely.

In your case, I would suspect the FCC would look closely at
Part 1.24...

1064. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 03:06:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913230647.07912.00000421@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 52881

In article <3D826B33.3115188A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:3D8174AD.E7842015@earthlink.net...
>> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > >
>> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > news:3D809218.C2753764@earthlink.net...
>> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > news:3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
>> > > > > > > > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your
>> > > director
>> > > > > > > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> > > > > > > > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>> > > > > > > > > > >Message-id:
><20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > Steve, lets just say that my facts are facts.
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > Let's just say that your facts are *your facts* even if all
>of
>> > > hamdom
>> > > > > > > > > > disagrees with you.
>> > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > Look, Dave, you've all been wrong before, RE: Morse/Farnsworth.
>> > > Nothing
>> > > > > > > > new
>> > > > > > > > > > here. The validation that the switch to 13-15WPM Farnsworth is
>> > > legal
>> > > > > > > was

>> > > > > > > lack of prosecution.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Is Farnsworth still being used in testing? Did anyone except Len
>> > > agree
>> > > > > > > with your assessment? Even Bill Sohl told you that you were
>> wrong.
>> > > > > > > Blooey! There goes your yarn.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > Do you think I need validation from Bill Sohl? Suffice it to say
>> that I
>> > > can
>> > > > > > read Part 97 without help from bill Sohl. And Part 97 specifically
>> says
>> > > > > > "Morse Code." What else could it mean?
>> > > > >
>> > > > I'd think you need validation of your idea from someone other than
>the
>> > > > non-licensed, non-radio amateur Leonard H. Anderson.
>> > > >
>> > > I don't. I can read Part 97 without help from Len, Dick, Kelly, Steve,
>> > > Larry, Ed, or you, Dave.
>> >
>> > > (Len, sorry to have lumped you in with that group)
>> >
>> > I've not challenged your ability to read it, only to understand it.
>> > Better hang tight to Len. You need all the friends you can get.
>> >
>> > > > I'll agree that
>> > > > you can probably read Part 97 without Bill Sohl's help. It doesn't
>> > > > necessarily follow that you can understand what you've read.
>> > > >
>> > > Does the FCC try to confuse the issue with your wording?
>> >
>> > My wording? I haven't come up with any "wording".
>> >
>> > > > Yep, Part 97 says "morse code".
>> > > >
>> > > Extremely Obvious.
>> > > >
>> > > > Farnsworth is not a separate coding
>> > > > scheme. It is referred to as the "Farnsworth method".
>> > > >
>> > > Farnsworth is an infinitely variable dot/dash/space timing in which
>> "Morse"
>> > > occurs exactly ONCE, and ONCE ONLY.
>> >
>> > Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code easier.
>>
>> Is the exam intended to be a learning experience?
>

>Passing the exam is the final proof that a learning experience has taken
>place.
>
>> How is the "method" distinguished from the exam?
>
>How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
>taken using the Farnsworth spacing?
>
>> > It isn't a code at all.
>>
>> Then it cannot be examined. The exam is a code exam. And the code is
>> Morse.
>
>Farnsworth spacing during testing is not mandatory. I have pointed it
>out several times. Others have pointed it out several times. You've
>had no comment on those statements.

Incorrect. Much earlier I pointed out the LACK of any US
amateur radio regulation specifying spacing. The old (already
outdated in current Part 97) CCITT recommendation does
not address spacing.

>> > > Thus, Morse is the separate coding schema within an infinite set of
>> schema
>> > > called Farnsworth. If the FCC wanted Farnsworth, they would have said
>> > > Farnsworth.
>> >
>> > > But they did not. They said MORSE.
>> >
>> > Farnsworth testing is not mandatory. It is optional. It has been
>> > suggested that you contact the FCC and report this gross inequity which
>> > lies heavy in your heart. It has become obvious that you have no more
>> > intention of doing so than you have of contacting the French PTT over
>> > French hams breaking French radio regs.
>>
>> You have them in your logs. It would be merely hearsay if I reported it.
>
>My comment began, "Farnsworth testing is not mandatory". Again you have
>no comment on that. Regarding French 6m operations: Yes it would be
>hearsay. It has always been only hearsay.

It would seem that the Judge's pronouncements are hearsay
for the most part. When challenged, he assumes Herr Robust
demanding papers (written proof) or disguises it with the
challenger having no clearance to know something classified.

>> > You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.
>>
>> It is a problem for whom?
>
>It calls your judgement into question and pisses off those toward whom

>you mistakenly call to task.

There was no mistake. It is common for feldpolizei such as
Herr Robust to become pissed off when challenged on anything.

>> > > > > > > Ditto my Somali operation. No prosecution, no illegal
>activity.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > How do you get a hat on that square noggin?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > You guys seem to hang your hat on the lack of prosecution being
>> proof
>> > > that
>> > > > > the FCC agrees with the substitution of Farnsworth for the Part 97
>> > > stated
>> > > > > Morse Code exam.
>> > > >
>> > > > No, I'm not "hanging my hat" on that at all. The FCC hasn't even
>told
>> > > > anyone to stop using the Farnsworth method in testing. The FCC
>> realizes
>> > > > that Farnsworth is morse code. You seem to have trouble
>understanding
>> > > > that. It is not the only means of testing for morse proficiency.
>> > > > You've been told that. You seem to have problems digesting that too.
>> > >
>> > > Only because I read Part 97, and I understand how Morse and Farnsworth
>> > > relate to each other.
>> >
>> > Contact the FCC and let us know if the guy on the other end of the horn
>> > seems to be chuckling.
>>
>> Ditto my Somali operation. Put up or shut up.
>
>Oh, I couldn't do that, Brian. It would only be hearsay, heh heh. You
>seem to have a direct interest in the use of Farnsworth spacing in morse
>testing. Give someone at the FCC a buzz and find out what's what.

Herr Robust should give himself a "buzz" and acknowledge
that stamping about, issuing orders tends to piss off a great
many more people.

>> > > > > > > > > Cite the legal reference
>> > > > > > > > > > where my operation is invalid.
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference
>for
>> the
>> > > > > > > > > validity of your Somali operation.
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that

>> makes
>> > > it
>> > > > > > > > illegal.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
>> > > > > > > No kiddin'.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > So you say. In the absence of proof, your claim is bo-GUS! At least
>> > > > > those 50 MHz French scofflaws have operating permits.
>> > > >
>> > > > So now you're proud of the French bootleggers you've worked and QSL'd?
>> > >
>> > There you go again--reading between the lines.
>> >
>> > > Yet you called them scofflaws.
>> >
>> > Yes, I did. Tell me--how does that indicate my "pride"? I've called
>> > them "scofflaws" on numerous occasions.
>> >
>> > > You must now agree that you worked them out
>> > > of their authorizations.
>> >
>> > I've said all along that I never worked a French station above 50.2
>> > MHz. They've ALL called me lower in the band. None of this is news.
>> > My comment was that, in the absence of proof, your claim to having
>> > proper permission to operate on the ham bands from Somalia is bogus.
>
>> Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.
>
>Your claim remains just a claim.

Claims that certain State Department radio procedures of the
1980s are not stupid when compared to common every-other-
radio-service procedures of the 1950s are just claims.

But they can't be challenged (another claim) because no one
else is "cleared for the information" is just a claim.

"Claims" have always been valid for real property. A claim to
intellectual property is not for real property. Herr Robust's
claims lack intellectualism, thus compounding his errors.

1065. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 03:06:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913230648.07912.00000422@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 52880

In article <3D826C84.42CABB81@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <O5sg9.115$U82.11752690@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>Burke"
>> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com...
>> >> In article <x_dd9.986$YA5.270678130@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
>P
>> >> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >I've got all my logs and orders and everything. I think I even have a
>> >> >picture around here somewhere.
>> >>
>> >> Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
>> >> will all wimp out and refuse to open it. :-)
>> >
>> >There were a fair number of hairy butts in Somalia. No shower tent, and
>> >barely a hole to take a crap. We stood around a foundation wall and used
>> >the foundation to lay out our shaving kit and get as far as we could with
>a
>> >canteen of water or two. Eventually, we scrounged a pay pnone booth (no
>> >glass) from a defunct telephone company, and tilted a 5 gal jerry can of
>> >water over the top and let the water trickle out of the loosened cap. Get
>> >wet, soap up, rinse off. About every 5th day kept the stink down.
>> >
>> >Oh, yeh, the dipstick engineers forgot to consult with the wxman about
>> >prevailing winds. They buried a few "urinal tubes" in the sand, but when
>> >peeing, the wind blew it back on your trousers and boots.
>>
>> Careful, Brian. Remember that pure, noble long-timer hams don't
>> have bodily functions. They would be highly enraged to have
>> anything like that in an attached e-mail image.
>>
>> :-) :-) :-)
>
>Like that one of you lecturing the troops on amateur radio from the nose
>of the aircraft? :-) :-) :{

Who did that Herr Robust?

That was a funny picture. At least to anyone with a sense of humor.

It was sent by mistake, a newly-received file from another mixed up with a file that was intended to be sent.

Tsk, tsk. Some long-time "we know what is best for everyone" amateurs just can't see humor in harmless images. :-)

Aw, you think that you are the only one who must "lecture the troops?" Jawohl, herr Robust!

Humor? QRU. QRT.

1066. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 03:17:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020913231731.12610.00000612@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 52883

In article <3D82943A.BBAC9EC4@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Morseodism is alive and sick. The revolution is
>> coming. The traditionalist extremists fear that mightily.
>
> You may be barely alive, but you sure are sick. If you've never before
>proven it conclusively this one does it.

Carroll's response proves only that he is looking in a mirror
when writing messages, mistaking his own image for that
of others.

Carroll is a traditional extremist PCTA, a Believer in the old
system, inflexible, thinking not of the future of others, only for
a maintenance of his own status quo in rank, title, privileges
in amateur radio.

1067. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 03:42:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D82AFE4.92228B76@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52884

Brian Kelly wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
>
>
> > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous minority which
> > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the element which
> > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever it takes to
> > suppress the moderate element to that end.
> >
> >
>
> We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .

The difference being that they don't hijack jets and slam them and all their passengers into
large buildings loaded with other innocent people. Granted, zealots are zealots, but seems the Islamic religion has an edge on the kind who are suicidal nuts.
How can you trust in someone who you know has likeminded friends, neighbors and relatives who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you just because you're not Islamic, or because you're an American. Even though America is home to many other Islamics?

1068. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 03:47:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D82B106.6821FD4F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52885

Brian P Burke wrote:

You can no longer rely on a Morse Code exam to filter out the idiots

That's a fact...

>because the idiots have learned the code.

Yep, the code test got so easy anyone with a semi-functioning brain could do it.

> They showed they could do that a long time ago.

Yes, you did learn barely enough to pass the Novice test, didn't you?

1069. Test - Please Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 05:58:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aluj62$34g$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52886

Test

Bill K2UNK

1070. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 12:01:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8324C3.2CDB0770@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52887

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal, similar to the
> > >PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't
> put
> > >a stop to it.
> >
> > Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory Ruling
> > to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit back and
> > wait for the laughter.....
>
> Regarding Farnsworth/Morse examinations? Knock yourself out.

The issue seems important to you or you wouldn't continue all the
blather.
In the end, you don't seem interested in pursuit of a remedy. I've
given you advice and now Phil has given you some advice. Your response
indicates that you've suddenly decided that the matter isn't very
important after all.

Dave K8MN

1071. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 12:09:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914080916.15779.00000720@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52888

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h2aaf23.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 09 Sep 2002 12:09:10 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>What we hams are asking for is permission ot share 5.25 - 5.4 with other
>>services who are currently there. We will do our best to avoid interference
>>with those other services.NTIA isn't convinced.
>
> They lostenmed to the W6NUT repeater in L.A. for ten minutes....

Or 3950, etc. Not a pretty picture. I don't want the job of explaning to NTIA and FCC how much we hams need 60 meters after somebody plays a tape of those goings on.
>>
>>But how would we hams react if we were being asked to share a band or bands
>>that are now exclusively amateur? Say, 3.6 to 3.8 MHz? Or 144-146 MHz?
>
> The military routimely asks the FCC for - and receives - permission
> to "share" 6 meters on an "non-interference" basios .... (sure.....)
> The ham users aren't "asked" - there are FCC/NTIA agreements in
> place for this.

And they work fine as long as hams don't interfere with the military folks ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1072. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 12:22:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8329B5.4479A44F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52889

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D826B33.3115188A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Brian P Burke wrote:
> >>
> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> news:3D8174AD.E7842015@earthlink.net...
> >> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > > news:3D809218.C2753764@earthlink.net...
> >> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > > > > news:3D7E892C.13D9B043@earthlink.net...
> >> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > > > > > > news:3D7D8392.94744C42@earthlink.net...
> >> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> > > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> > > > > > > > > news:20020909015012.02682.00002155@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >> > > > > > > > > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your
> >> > > > director
> >> > > > > > > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >> > > > > > > > > > >Date: 9/8/02 5:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> >> > > > > > > > > > >Message-id:
> ><20020908181127.12610.00000436@mb-fa.aol.com>

> >Farnsworth spacing during testing is not mandatory. I have pointed it
> >out several times. Others have pointed it out several times. You've
> >had no comment on those statements.
>
> Incorrect.

Your assertion of "incorrect" seems to be incorrect.

Much earlier I pointed out the LACK of any US
> amateur radio regulation specifying spacing. The old (already
> outdated in current Part 97) CCITT recommendation does
> not address spacing.

Thanks for the confirmation that the FCC undertands that Farnsworth is
not separate from Morse. Feel free to contact the Commission and
straighten them out.

> >> > > Thus, Morse is the separate coding schema within an infinite set of
> >> schema
> >> > > called Farnsworth. If the FCC wanted Farnsworth, they would have said
> >> > > Farnsworth.
> >> >
> >> > > But they did not. They said MORSE.
> >> >
> >> > Farnsworth testing is not mandatory. It is optional. It has been
> >> > suggested that you contact the FCC and report this gross inequity which
> >> > lies heavy in your heart. It has become obvious that you have no more
> >> > intention of doing so than you have of contacting the French PTT over
> >> > French hams breaking French radio regs.
> >>
> >> You have them in your logs. It would be merely hearsay if I reported it.
> >
> >My comment began, "Farnsworth testing is not mandatory". Again you have
> >no comment on that. Regarding French 6m operations: Yes it would be
> >hearsay. It has always been only hearsay.
>
> It would seem that the Judge's pronouncements are hearsay
> for the most part. When challenged, he assumes Herr Robust
> demanding papers (written proof) or disguises it with the
> challenger having no clearance to know something classified.

Give us that stuff about others name calling, personal insult and
denigration.
You know--the one you trotted out just recently. There is nothing
classified about amateur radio morse testing.

> >> > You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.
> >>
> >> It is a problem for whom?
> >
> >It calls your judgement into question and pisses off those toward whom
> >you mistakenly call to task.
>
> There was no mistake. It is common for feldpolizei such as
> Herr Robust to become pissed off when challenged on anything.

Run that recent crud of yours by us just one more time, Leonard--the one
about name calling, personal insult and denigration. I really like that
one.

> >> > > Only because I read Part 97, and I understand how Morse and Farnsworth
> >> > > relate to each other.
> >> >
> >> > Contact the FCC and let us know if the guy on the other end of the horn
> >> > seems to be chuckling.
> >>

> >> Ditto my Somali operation. Put up or shut up.
> >
> >Oh, I couldn't do that, Brian. It would only be hearsay, heh heh. You
> >seem to have a direct interest in the use of Farnsworth spacing in morse
> >testing. Give someone at the FCC a buzz and find out what's what.
>
> Herr Robust should give himself a "buzz" and acknowledge
> that stamping about, issuing orders tends to piss off a great
> many more people.

Give us the other bit--the one about how my comment on "pummeling".
Your use of "stamping about" would be in the same category. You're a
lot of laughs.

> >> > > > > > > > > Cite the legal reference
> >> > > > > > > > > where my operation is invalid.
> >> > > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > > It'd be a lot easier if you could cite the legal reference
> >for
> >> the
> >> > > > > > > > validity of your Somali operation.
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > I don't doubt my opeation was legal. Cite the reference that
> >> makes
> >> > > it
> >> > > > > > > > illegal.
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > You don't doubt your operation was legal? *Guffaw*
> >> > > > > > > No kiddin'.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > No kidding. Permission requested. Permission granted.
> >> > > >
> >> > > So you say. In the absence of proof, your claim is bo-GUS! At least
> >> > > those 50 MHz French scofflaws have operating permits.
> >> > >
> >> > So now you're proud of the French bootleggers you've worked and QSL'd?
> >> >
> >> There you go again--reading between the lines.
> >> >
> >> > Yet you called them scofflaws.
> >> >
> >> Yes, I did. Tell me--how does that indicate my "pride"? I've called
> >> them "scofflaws" on numerous occasions.
> >> >
> >> > You must now agree that you worked them out
> >> > of their authorizations.
> >> >
> >> I've said all along that I never worked a French station above 50.2
> >> MHz. They've ALL called me lower in the band. None of this is news.
> >> My comment was that, in the absence of proof, your claim to having
> >> proper permission to operate on the ham bands from Somalia is bogus.

> >
> >> Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.
> >
> >Your claim remains just a claim.
>
> Claims that certain State Department radio procedures of the
> 1980s are not stupid when compared to common every-other-
> radio-service procedures of the 1950s are just claims.

They certainly were just claims. They did not involve the ethics of operating an amateur radio station without license or authorized permission. Your claims that the USG wasn't using morse were, after all, just claims.

> But they can't be challenged (another claim) because no one
> else is "cleared for the information" is just a claim.

Those are claims that you'll, despite your growing curiousity, will just have to live with.

> "Claims" have always been valid for real property. A claim to
> intellectual property is not for real property. Herr Robust's
> claims lack intellectualism, thus compounding his errors.

Maybe Cecil will permit you to borrow his dictionary so you can research "claim". Leonardo's desire for insider information on classified material show that he has compounded his interest.

Dave K8MN

1073. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 12:30:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D832B87.E95E2741@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52890

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D826C84.42CABB81@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <O5sg9.115$U82.11752690@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> >Burke"
> >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:20020904002234.03058.00000102@mb-mp.aol.com...
> >> >> In article <x_dd9.986$YA5.270678130@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
> >P
> >> >> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >I've got all my logs and orders and everything. I think I even have a
> >> >> >picture around here somewhere.
> >> >>
> >> >> Brian, don't try to scan a picture and send it out to others. They
> >> >> will all wimp out and refuse to open it. :-)
> >> >
> >> >There were a fair number of hairy butts in Somalia. No shower tent, and
> >> >barely a hole to take a crap. We stood around a foundation wall and used
> >> >the foundation to lay out our shaving kit and get as far as we could with
> >a
> >> >canteen of water or two. Eventually, we scrounged a pay pnone booth (no
> >> >glass) from a defunct telephone company, and tilted a 5 gal jerry can of
> >> >water over the top and let the water trickle out of the loosened cap. Get
> >> >wet, soap up, rinse off. About every 5th day kept the stink down.
> >> >
> >> >Oh, yeh, the dipstick engineers forgot to consult with the wxman about
> >> >prevailing winds. They buried a few "urinal tubes" in the sand, but when
> >> >peeing, the wind blew it back on your trousers and boots.
> >>
> >> Careful, Brian. Remember that pure, noble long-timer hams don't
> >> have bodily functions. They would be highly enraged to have
> >> anything like that in an attached e-mail image.
> >>
> >> :-) :-) :-)
> >
> >Like that one of you lecturing the troops on amateur radio from the nose
> >of the aircraft? :-) :-) :{

>
> Who did that Herr Robust?

Why, you did!

> That was a funny picture. At least to anyone with a sense of humor.

It was funny that it was sent in lieu of a copy of an FCC permit.
Describing the photo as you lecturing on amateur radio was also funny.
Didn't you think so?

> It was sent by mistake, a newly-received file from another mixed
> up with a file that was intended to be sent.

I remember you saying that on several occasions but that is just a
claim.

> Tsk, tsk. Some long-time "we know what is best for everyone"
> amateurs just can't see humor in harmless images. :-)

My sense of humor works fine. Didn't you find humor in my comment?

> Aw, you think that you are the only one who must "lecture the
> troops?" Jawohl, herr Robust!

No, Lennie, I'm just a guy who holds some credentials and experience on
the subject. Then there's the fact that I don't need to drop my
trousers and turn around to do my lecturing.

> Humor? QRU. QRT.

I sincerely believe the QRU part.

A QRT on your part would likely be met with a round of applause.

Dave K8MN

1074. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 12:36:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914083653.12399.00006286@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52891

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/13/02 10:06 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020913230648.07912.00000422@mb-cj.aol.com>

>Who did that Herr Robust?

As Dave said...Loads of laughs to see you trot out the "Hams are spiteful cuz they call people names" rants, only to gear upi your own brand of name-calling.

>That was a funny picture. At least to anyone with a sense of humor.

Had you sent an e mail "Here's a Funny Pic", yes, iot would have been.

However it was sent to multiple recipients under false pretenses. Some would call that a lie. Yuo call it an "error", however considering your professed professionalism in electronics, I rather think it WAS just a lie.

>It was sent by mistake, a newly-received file from another mixed
> up with a file that was intended to be sent.

The in addition to "liar" and "antagonist", we can now add "technically incompetent".

>Tsk, tsk. Some long-time "we know what is best for everyone"
> amateurs just can't see humor in harmless images.

Tis, tist, tisk. Some ALLEGED long-time electronics professional with that "I know what is best for you" attiude gets a nosebleed when he gets his rubbed in his own oozing business.

>Humor? QRU. QRT

Take this to the bank, Your Scumminess...."WE" find NOTHING about liars, incompetents and antagonists even the least bit funny.

Putz.

1075. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 12:39:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914083914.12399.00006287@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52892

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 9/13/02 9:53 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209131853.34966e5c@posting.google.com>

>We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft..

You forgot Jim Jones, Jimmy Swigert, Jim Bakker....

Among OTHER holier-than-thou creeps.....

73

Steve, K4YZ

1076. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 14:08:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914100852.25779.00000662@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52893

In article <C4kg9.19847$e44.734633@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> writes:

>> Paddles are like women, huh?

Taste in paddles is like taste in women. There's no universal best, but there are some real clunkers.
>
>I'll just leave that one alone. ;-)

I won't.

Some look really nice from a distance, but up close they make you want to run away.

Some are finicky and high maintenance while others are solid and rugged, needing just a bit of basic attention to stay in perfect shape.

Some are great when new but fall apart with a little use, while others age gracefully and become even more attractive and fun after decades of familiarity.

Some are easily adaptable to a wide variety of situations while others must be kept in a very controlled environment in order to function at all.

There are many you will want to try out but relatively few you will want to take home for keeps.

73 de Jim, N2EY

(who prefers Kent, Vibroplex, Mary Ann and Bailey over the competition)

1077. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 14:15:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3wHg9.61$wt1.3801103@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52894

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020914100852.25779.00000662@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <C4kg9.19847$e44.734633@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
> <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> writes:
>
> >> Paddles are like women, huh?
>
> > Taste in paddles is like taste in women. There's no universal best, but
there
> are some real clunkers.
> >
> >I'll just leave that one alone. ;-)
>
> I won't.
>
> > Some look really nice from a distance, but up close they make you want to
run
> away.
>
> > Some are finicky and high maintenance while others are solid and rugged,
> needing just a bit of basic attention to stay in perfect shape.
>
> > Some are great when new but fall apart with a little use, while others age
> gracefully and become even more attractive and fun after decades of
> familiarity.
>
> > Some are easily adaptable to a wide variety of situations while others
must be
> kept in a very controlled environment in order to function at all.
>
> > There are many you will want to try out but relatively few you will want
to
> take home for keeps.
>
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> > (who prefers Kent, Vibroplex, Mary Ann and Bailey over the competition)

Hey, there weren't any telegraph keys on gilligan's island or wkrp.

1078. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 14:17:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cyHg9.62$mt1.3791117@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52895

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D82B106.6821FD4F@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> You can no longer rely on a Morse Code exam to filter out the idiots
>
> That's a fact...
>
> >because the idiots have learned the code.
>
> Yep, the code test got so easy anyone with a semi-functioning brain could
do it.
>
Yep, all the way up to 20WPM. Now they're making it super easy with that
"farnsworth" exam
>
> > They showed they could do that a long time ago.
>
> Yes, you did learn barely enough to pass the Novice test, didn't you?

9wpm, 100% copy.

1079. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 14:23:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WDHg9.63$Oi1.3255495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52896

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209131853.34966e5c@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
>
> > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
minority which
> > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the
element which
> > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever
it takes to
> > suppress the moderate element to that end.
>
> We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
>
> w3rv

I don't see them commandeering public transportation and using them as
missiles.

You should have heard the election ads in St. Louis against Ashcroft, the
sound of an explosion (as in OK City), the sound of a bonfire (a black
church burning), and on and on. It was the most racist garbage I'd heard in
a long time.

Oh yeh, they kept the polls in certain St. Louis neighborhoods open for an
extra two hours while the church busses made their rounds.

And you bought in to it.

1080. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 14:26:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9GHg9.64$Wv1.3935349@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52897

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020913231731.12610.00000612@mb-fa.aol.com...

> Carroll is a traditional extremist PCTA, a Believer in the old
> system, inflexible, thinking not of the future of others, only for
> a maintenance of his own status quo in rank, title, privileges
> in amateur radio.

That's what I see.

1081. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 14:30:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RJHg9.65$hv1.3893348@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52898

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D832B87.E95E2741@earthlink.net...

> > It was sent by mistake, a newly-received file from another mixed
> > up with a file that was intended to be sent.
>
> I remember you saying that on several occasions but that is just a
> claim.

Len, until you get it in writing from your commander, it's merely an
unsubstantiated claim.

You might want to submit it to the DXCC desk for comment. ;^)

1082. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 14:36:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <SPHg9.69$5x1.3993858@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52899

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D8324C3.2CDB0770@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > > On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > >Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal, similar to
the
> > > >PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC
hasn't
> > put
> > > >a stop to it.
> > >
> > > Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory Ruling
> > > to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit back and
> > > wait for the laughter.....
> >
> > Regarding Farnsworth/Morse examinations? Knock yourself out.
>
> The issue seems important to you or you wouldn't continue all the
> blather.
> In the end, you don't seem interested in pursuit of a remedy. I've
> given you advice and now Phil has given you some advice. Your response
> indicates that you've suddenly decided that the matter isn't very
> important after all.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, there is no sudden change of opinion. Now you claim the Bill of
Rights says I MUST petition my government? Especially about something that
is crystal clear in the regulations?

Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re: Herr.

1083. Re: Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 15:28:12 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uo6lir2b8q9pd8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52900

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020913000518.05562.00000948@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <alorfj02tsd@enews4.newsguy.com>, tbryant@cannet.com (Tbryant)
> writes:
>
> >
> >
> >Maybe so, but as you've just demonstrated, there's still a lot of
opinions
> >that
> >are etched in stone.
> >
> >Tom - N8ECW.
>
> Tom:
>
> If we all believe our opinions to be true, why WOULDN'T they be "etched
> in stone?" Is our participation here valid only if we allow ourselves to
be
> swayed over to the other side? If so, then things wouldn't have changed,
> except for the fact that the same opinions would be coming from different
> people.
>
> Tell you what -- I challenge you to change my mind about code testing.
> Come up with an argument that is so logical, so perfectly reasoned, and
> so completely agreeable that I will throw off two decades of support for
> code testing and become a True Believer in the NCTA. IOW, take my
> "etched in stone" opinions, and crack the stone!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

I don't know if you're trying to be funny, Larry, or you are that ignorant of your voracious opinion regarding CW testing. You are unchangeable about CW testing--because on that issue you are incapable of logic and reasoning.

Why would one wish to change your mind, anyway? Do *you* have a problem with your opinion on the CW testing issue? Do *you* have a problem with people who are opposed to your opinion on the CW testing issue? Do *you* realize how much it is your side of the opinion that whines about the CW testing issue? Do *you* realize that the other side of the CW testing issue is the side that has little reason to whine(?)--CW testing and CW focus in licensing matters is waning.

Kim W5TIT

1084. Re: Where were you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 15:30:24 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uo6lmuhtn97d15@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52901

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020913000519.05562.00000949@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <alo83502sb9@enews3.newsguy.com>, tbryant@cannet.com (Tbryant)
> writes:
>
> >
> >I see that the *.policy newsgroup continues to bicker about the code and
> >testing, and that the *.misc newsgroup continues with their petty
insults.
> >Today, however, I would think that the aguing could have been set aside.
> >
> >Tom - N8ECW
>
> Tom:
>
> I guess keeping things "business as usual" is our way of telling the
> Taliban and Al Queda that they may have scored two direct hits, but
> they actually missed the target! Perhaps "nuking Mecca" isn't such a
> bad idea! However, these days, I'd settle for Baghdad!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Oh, how wonderful. I shudder to think of how many innocent people would
perish, not to mention the scar of nuclear fallout, that would happen with
your push of the button.

Kim W5TIT

1085. Re: Test - Please Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 15:32:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uo6lqapb0dc93f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52902

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aluj62$34g$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Test
>
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

Bill--no one can ever ignore these things ;)!

Kim W5TIT

1086. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 16:18:06 UTC
From: Lou in Memphis <IceColdAir@MemphisMoron.com>
Message-ID: <alvnfb$qv3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The ARRL is blowing smoke. 220 activity has been way down
for years, and it is difficult to find new or used gear for 220, let
alone a dual-bander which has 220 on it, or even a tri-bander.
When's the last time you saw a 220 HT? I drive cross-country
and never hear activity, except at commuter time when a few
husbands and wives are talking. AND, there is a reason why it is
so popular between OMs & their xyl's. These are the women whose
OMs had them rush to get the Novice license BEFORE it was taken
out of private ham's hands and placed under the control of VECs.
These guys simply had two of their buddies sign off on a 610 so their
xyl's could get a novice license before it went to the VECs. If Riley
retested women operating on 220, I venture to say 98% do not know
a dit from a dah, let alone passing a theory test. Typical comment I
heard on 220 from one gal, "Hey Lonnie, while you was talkin' some
funny noise come on through the black thing where your voice comes
out of, it was like little short squeels, on and off, then quit. it comes
on
about every ten minutes and does the same thingy. Of course, the gal
is talking about the CW IDer on the repeater. There's many gems you
hear from these gals, especially the ones who were formerly on CB with
their husbands. They bring all their CB slang and operating practices to
220. "Hey Lonnie, some guys are bleeding on you, They walked all over
you last time." In this case, she was describing a station that was
requesting
to use the repeater. There are many other gems, just listen.

Lou, the Memphis a/c man

"Daniel Hicks" <w8mmq-NOSPAM@email.com> wrote in message
news:phwg9.297316$_91.364730@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !
>
> September 13, 2002
> ARRL News
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/09/13/100/?nc=1
>
> NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 13, 2002--The ARRL has taken issue with a suggestion
made
> in a non-Amateur Radio-related FCC proceeding to turn the 222-225 MHz
> amateur allocation over to commercial interests. In reply comments filed
> this month, the League urged the FCC to "do nothing" with the proposal of
> Data Comlink (DCL), a consortium of 20 electrical coops and allied

> companies.
>
> "ARRL presumes that the proposal by DCL for reallocation of the 222-225
MHz
> band will not be seriously evaluated by the Commission, as it is well
> outside the scope of this proceeding," the League said in its September 5
> filing with the FCC. Until DCL raised the 222-225 MHz suggestion last
month
> in its own comments in WT Docket 02-224, the ARRL had remained silent in
the
> proceeding.
>
> DCL claimed in its comments that the amateur allocation at 222-225 MHz "is
> being underutilized" and that the band "would be much better utilized for
> commercial use." DCL suggested that both 217-220 MHz and 222-225 MHz might
> "be made available to the Commission for commercial use" through
> modification of the FCC's Part 90 rules.
>
> ARRL asserted that the band is not underutilized and "remains a critical
VHF
> allocation" for amateurs. The League pointed out that the ARRL 2002
Repeater
> Directory--albeit not a comprehensive listing--lists 1690 repeaters
> throughout the US, indicating an even larger number of individual users.
> "Indeed the number of individual amateurs using this band has increased
> steadily since 1989, when the amateur allocation at 220-225 was reduced by
> 40 percent," the ARRL said, "and now much commercially manufactured
> equipment is available to amateurs."
>
> DCL had claimed that "only handfuls [sic] of individuals in the Amateur
> Radio Service even use this spectrum, while hundreds of thousands of
> potential commercial users wait with no alternatives."
>
> The League characterized as "invalid" DCL's arguments in favor of
> reallocating 222-225 MHz from the Amateur Radio Service and noted that the
> FCC earlier this year had set aside an additional 8 MHz of spectrum for
Land
> Mobile Service operations.
>
> The League's reply comments in the DCL proceeding are on the ARRL Web
site.
>
> The ARRL has not commented in an unrelated Petition for Reconsideration
> filed by Warren C. Havens on behalf of himself and Telesaurus Holdings GB
> LLC, in which he holds a majority interest. Among other grants, Havens
holds
> Automated Marine Telecommunication Services (AMTS) licenses in various
> states as well as Land Mobile Service Multilateration and VHF Public Coast
> licenses.
>

> Filing last month under PR Docket 92-257 and RM-9664, Havens is seeking to
> have the FCC reconsider its decision to auction certain AMTS spectrum and
> instead adopt his "Advanced Technology Land Infrastructure and Safety
> Service" (ATLIS) proposal. Under that plan, Havens includes the amateur
> allocations at 222-225 MHz and 902-928 MHz. In the case of 222-225 MHz,
> Havens wants to see it reallocated from amateur to public safety use. His
> ATLIS plan proposes to share 902-928 MHz on which amateur are secondary.
He
> suggests, however, that if amateur use were to become a problem there, the
> FCC might impose restrictions or phase out amateur use altogether.
>
> Havens said he planned to submit his ATLIS proposal to the FCC's Spectrum
> Task Force as well as in filings on dockets that involve spectrum he's
> included within his ATLIS scheme.
>
>
>
>

1087. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 18:03:13 UTC
From: Dave <kji@liberty.net>
Message-ID: <uo6uf2d8tfdt4b@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 52904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well Lou in Memphis,
Link into the Nashville 220 system through the 2m repeater in Bucksnort TN
and you can talk on 220 from Memphis to Atlanta, with a ht most of the way
(www.w4rpt.com). Lots of 220 stuff on ebay. Icom's tribander ht covers the
band..Do some research before you post....most of the CB lingo is on 2
meters, not 220 and 450.
-David

"Lou in Memphis" <IceColdAir@MemphisMoron.com> wrote in message
news:alvnfb$qv3$0@pita.alt.net...
> The ARRL is blowing smoke. 220 activity has been way down
> for years, and it is difficult to find new or used gear for 220, let
> alone a dual-bander which has 220 on it, or even a tri-bander.
> When's the last time you saw a 220 HT? I drive cross-country
> and never hear activity, except at commuter time when a few
> husbands and wives are talking. AND, there is a reason why it is
> so popular between OMs & their xyl's. These are the women whose
> OMs had them rush to get the Novice license BEFORE it was taken
> out of private ham's hands and placed under the control of VECs.
> These guys simply had two of their buddies sign off on a 610 so their
> xyl's could get a novice license before it went to the VECs. If Riley
> retested women operating on 220, I venture to say 98% do not know
> a dit from a dah, let alone passing a theory test. Typical comment I
> heard on 220 from one gal, "Hey Lonnie, while you was talkin' some
> funny noise come on through the black thing where your voice comes
> out of, it was like little short squeels, on and off, then quit. it comes
> on
> about every ten minutes and does the same thingy. Of course, the gal
> is talking about the CW IDer on the repeater. There's many gems you
> hear from these gals, especially the ones who were formerly on CB with
> their husbands. They bring all their CB slang and operating practices to
> 220. "Hey Lonnie, some guys are bleeding on you, They walked all over
> you last time." In this case, she was describing a station that was
> requesting
> to use the repeater. There are many other gems, just listen.
>
> Lou, the Memphis a/c man
>
>
>
> "Daniel Hicks" <w8mmq-NOSPAM@email.com> wrote in message
> news:phwg9.297316$_91.364730@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> >

> > ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !
> >
> > September 13, 2002
> > ARRL News
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/09/13/100/?nc=1
> >
> > NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 13, 2002--The ARRL has taken issue with a suggestion
> made
> > in a non-Amateur Radio-related FCC proceeding to turn the 222-225 MHz
> > amateur allocation over to commercial interests. In reply comments filed
> > this month, the League urged the FCC to "do nothing" with the proposal
of
> > Data Comlink (DCL), a consortium of 20 electrical coops and allied
> > companies.
> >
> > "ARRL presumes that the proposal by DCL for reallocation of the 222-225
> MHz
> > band will not be seriously evaluated by the Commission, as it is well
> > outside the scope of this proceeding," the League said in its September
5
> > filing with the FCC. Until DCL raised the 222-225 MHz suggestion last
> month
> > in its own comments in WT Docket 02-224, the ARRL had remained silent in
> the
> > proceeding.
> >
> > DCL claimed in its comments that the amateur allocation at 222-225 MHz
"is
> > being underutilized" and that the band "would be much better utilized
for
> > commercial use." DCL suggested that both 217-220 MHz and 222-225 MHz
might
> > "be made available to the Commission for commercial use" through
> > modification of the FCC's Part 90 rules.
> >
> > ARRL asserted that the band is not underutilized and "remains a critical
> VHF
> > allocation" for amateurs. The League pointed out that the ARRL 2002
> Repeater
> > Directory--albeit not a comprehensive listing--lists 1690 repeaters
> > throughout the US, indicating an even larger number of individual users.
> > "Indeed the number of individual amateurs using this band has increased
> > steadily since 1989, when the amateur allocation at 220-225 was reduced
by
> > 40 percent," the ARRL said, "and now much commercially manufactured
> > equipment is available to amateurs."
> >
> > DCL had claimed that "only handfuls [sic] of individuals in the Amateur
> > Radio Service even use this spectrum, while hundreds of thousands of

> > potential commercial users wait with no alternatives."
> >
> > The League characterized as "invalid" DCL's arguments in favor of
> > reallocating 222-225 MHz from the Amateur Radio Service and noted that
the
> > FCC earlier this year had set aside an additional 8 MHz of spectrum for
> Land
> > Mobile Service operations.
> >
> > The League's reply comments in the DCL proceeding are on the ARRL Web
> site.
> >
> > The ARRL has not commented in an unrelated Petition for Reconsideration
> > filed by Warren C. Havens on behalf of himself and Telesaurus Holdings
GB
> > LLC, in which he holds a majority interest. Among other grants, Havens
> holds
> > Automated Marine Telecommunication Services (AMTS) licenses in various
> > states as well as Land Mobile Service Multilateration and VHF Public
Coast
> > licenses.
> >
> > Filing last month under PR Docket 92-257 and RM-9664, Havens is seeking
to
> > have the FCC reconsider its decision to auction certain AMTS spectrum
and
> > instead adopt his "Advanced Technology Land Infrastructure and Safety
> > Service" (ATLIS) proposal. Under that plan, Havens includes the amateur
> > allocations at 222-225 MHz and 902-928 MHz. In the case of 222-225 MHz,
> > Havens wants to see it reallocated from amateur to public safety use.
His
> > ATLIS plan proposes to share 902-928 MHz on which amateur are secondary.
> He
> > suggests, however, that if amateur use were to become a problem there,
the
> > FCC might impose restrictions or phase out amateur use altogether.
> >
> > Havens said he planned to submit his ATLIS proposal to the FCC's
Spectrum
> > Task Force as well as in filings on dockets that involve spectrum he's
> > included within his ATLIS scheme.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1088. Re: Markie Beckie,made Doug Adair N8WWM get a new Yahoo account

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 18:06:40 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <QUKg9.320$qS7.31874402@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52906
Cross-posted to: es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Keyclown Busters" <bookooaddys@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1b4daaa5.0209140658.4bbe271f@posting.google.com...
> FYI, limpwristed Markie Beckie:
>
> That is some kind of an accomplishment. The AKC has over 100 yahoo
> accounts ready to launch on command. Plink at em all you want,
> limpwristed one. WHOAAAA!!! I am SCARED!!! Markie Beckie femininely
> cried to Google!!!
>
>
> You do that because of several reasons.
>
> 1. You can't stand to have your federal criminality thrown in your
> face
>

Again, I ask for you to post the US Federal Statute that I
broke and the proof that I broke it.

> 2. You are a sissy boy of the sort that told on who talked in class.
>

On the contrary Doug Adair N8WWM, you are the one getting
yourself ratted out, not me.

> 3. Ratting to Google is the ONLY thing you CAN do. You are impotent
> otherwise.

Really?

>
> In parting, Markie Beckie, limpwrist boy, I leave you with the words
> of the immortal Jay Leno...who said "Crunch all ya want, we'll make
> more" during a Frito's commercial back in the 80's.

Enjoy Doug Adair N8WWM, you are just showing
how much of a troll you really are.

Landshark

--
Try sending your complaints to these concerning
Keyclownbusters (bookooaddys@yahoo.com IE:
Doug Adair N8WWM):

help@uu.net

abuse-mail@wcom.com

netadmin@ao.wcom.net

groups-abuse@google.com

abuse@yahoo.com

Consumer Affairs
WorldCom
1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
Arlington, VA 22202
800-695-4405

1089. Re: Markie Beckie,made Doug N8WWM get a new Yahoo account

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 18:06:41 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <RUKg9.321$qS7.31874402@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Keyclown Busters" <bookooaddys@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1b4daaa5.0209140635.1dd6cbee@posting.google.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<25818-3D81E844-124@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > >From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> > Now, this is coming from Doug Adair, N8WWM a ham
> > operator who was himself selling modified cb equipment.
>
>
> OH?? and WHAT illegal equipment has N8WWM sold? Name it.
>
> KEYCLOWN LOGIC: WHEN YOU DO NOT HAVE TRUTH TELL LIES
>
> Above all do ANYTHING POSSIBLE to obfuscate the fact that you ILLEGAL
> CB OPERATORS ARE FEDERAL CRIMINALS.

From: n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm)
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf
Subject: Re: Need Mod for FT 902D Yaesu
Lines: 6
NNTP-Posting-Host: ladder07.news.aol.com
X-Admin: news@aol.com
Date: 05 Nov 2000 05:33:20 GMT
References: <6f270t8cl2jh1k82j4bsm7r0frk6e6j7d6@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Message-ID: <20001105003320.28172.00000741@ng-cu1.aol.com>

SIMPLE MOD

You change all crystals in the 10 meter position to 1mhz LOWER

That gives 26 to 28 mhz operation and that is all you need to do 98% of the
time.

This is what this hypocrite promotes for himself but chastises
others for doing. BEGIN OF QUOTED MATERIAL:
****
08/23/99

rec.radio.cb

O4AT trade proposal...

Hi Steve

I have a MINT Radio Shack TRC 457 base ssb unit. It was made in 78!

Has the Uniden 858 PLL chip. I also have the SECRET CB modification info for this radio, The radio itself has NEVER been opened up. It works and looks like new. Comes with a RS amplified base station mike ta boot!

I would take $50.00 cash and the 04AT for it, if the HT is in good shape. Obviously we would each pay our own shipping.

n8wwm@aol.com

****
10/22/00

alt.ham-radio.mods

Re: ft 102 cb mod details required

Take all 4 10 meter crystals out...replace each one with one 1mhz lower retune output slightly thats it, then you have 26.0 to 28.0 radio

n8wwm@aol.com

****

Lots more there Doug, so don't lie about how you don't do illegal activities.

Landshark

--
Try sending your complaints to these concerning Keyclownbusters (bookooaddys@yahoo.com IE: Doug Adair N8WWM):

help@uu.net

abuse-mail@wcom.com

netadmin@ao.wcom.net

groups-abuse@google.com

abuse@yahoo.com

Consumer Affairs
WorldCom
1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
Arlington, VA 22202
800-695-4405

1090. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 18:08:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914140857.25779.00000672@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52905

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h2dwaeb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 13 Sep 2002 01:12:44 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>2) US hams have 800 kHz between 3 and 8 MHz - that's 16% of that piece of
>>spectrum. How many kHz do FEMA et al have between 3 and 8 MHz? H
>
> They have all of it - if and when they want it. The reality of
> military and spook comms worldwide is that any frequemcy can be used
> as long as (1) they don't identify and their ID cannot be accurately
> determined without precision direction finding and (2) they don't
> interfere with any essential (government) communications in their
> homne country.

Of course. But I was referring to what outfits like FEMA have as part of the
'official' allocations, for drills and such.
>
> Don't bother searching the net for that reference - it's unwritten
> law.

Also common sense.

>>3) Maybe FEMA doesn't want our help that much. Maybe they think it
>>doesn't look good when a bunch of volunteers handle the comms on an
>>ad-hoc basis.
>
> It's always nice to have some group of outsiders upon whom to dump
> non-esential traffic handling while the adults conduct business <ggg>

HAW!!

>>All it takes is some enforcement. Wanna bet that there is enforcement
>>going on hat we never hear about?
>
> To the limits of their meager resources but not vecessarily ARS
> problems, according to my former colleagues with whom I keep contact.

I'll take that as a "yes".

> Wanna bet though that there will be no additional money allocated
> for FCC radio enforcement under the umbrella of GeheimerstaatzPoletzei
> ...err,...the Department of Homeland Security... than there was before.

Of course not. FCC is a 'legacy' agency. Perhaps if the various violations were
color coded....

> I wonder whether they can activate us retirees as a "Retired
> Reserve" ?? If it cames down to guns and dogs I don't know on
> which side of the dog I'd wind yp....

Me either.

I do know that:

- after September, 1939, US hams were cautioned not to QSO hams in combatant countries, nor to discuss on-air things of strategic importance like the weather

- in 1940 all US hams were required to sign loyalty oaths saying that they were citizens and not agents of any foreign government

- in 1941 parts of the 80 meter band were 'voluntarily' given up to Army use.

> Papieren Bitte ...

Ya

73 de Jim, N2EY

1091. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 18:31:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914143119.12980.00000371@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 52908

In article <RJHg9.65$hv1.3893348@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D832B87.E95E2741@earthlink.net...
>
>> > It was sent by mistake, a newly-received file from another mixed
>> > up with a file that was intended to be sent.
>>
>> I remember you saying that on several occasions but that is just a
>> claim.
>
>Len, until you get it in writing from your commander, it's merely an
>unsubstantiated claim.

Heh heh heh. The JUDGE ("heah com de judge, heah com de judge...") has ruled. :-)

Funny, the "obscene" photo was in rather large private e-mail distribution prior to its two-day display on a vile, libelous little AOL homepage. The home page author is none other than the driver of the putzmobile who posted in here under a new AOL screen name. That same entity bitterly resented AOL deleting his home page in yet another vile, libelous little message in here. Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

Need something in writing? Wait long enough and the vile little egos (PCTA Believers, Ham-Life-Stylers, Long-Time Morseodists) will be so sore they can't stand it any longer and post all sorts of things in public view. THEY do the "writing" for me. Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

Look at the Judge for an example. He just can't let go of anything non-complimentary to his superbness. He is compelled to keep on, keep on, keep on denying he ever did something incorrect. He has the Control Freak syndrome right out of the psych primer.

In another posting under this thread he believes that the mistakenly-attached file was sent to all radio amateurs in the USA. It must be so because he righteously proclaims "an insult to all hams." Funny because few others (outside of the large private e-mail dispersion among non-hams) ever saw it. You saw it. A few others saw it. That was it among hams. Nowhere on the photo is there any evidence of "radio activity" other than the pilot (maybe) wearing earphones (hard to

discern in limited resolution).

I may take that back. The photo of the converted B-26 is flying over a forest region. That means a lot of wood on the ground in the background. Every forest has some dead wood in it. So, there must be some (mental) dead wood among hams viewing it. Obviously no sense of humor among the judges and putz-mobile drivers, only outraged, severely-injured egos raging for retribution for insults against their noble, pure superiornesses. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

On the Somalia thing, da Judge has to assert hisself (it's in the nature of control freaks, ya know), get up on his little hill and talk down to the troops, lecturing them. Da Judge wasn't there but he "knows all about it" and wants to make a Federal Case out of it all. His superior Pride was sorely wounded. No "inferior class" ham can tell HIM what to do!

Da Judge "know de law"...because he say he do. :-)

>You might want to submit it to the DXCC desk for comment. ;^)

I don't think so. There's no evidence that any of them there have a sense of humor. Once in a while, multiple personalities, but no sense of humor as among ordinary humans.

Desks are made of wood (among other things). The photo of the converted B-26 had it flying over wood. Paper is made largely from wood pulp. Humorless people get a woody over not being amused. They can't see the forest because of the wood. :-)

1092. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 18:31:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914143120.12980.00000372@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 52909

In article <3D832B87.E95E2741@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> >Like that one of you lecturing the troops on amateur radio from the nose
>> >of the aircraft? :-) :-) :{
>>
>> Who did that Herr Robust?
>
>Why, you did!

Incorrect. I was in the US Army, not the USAF or the Forest Service.

Da Judge claims to have served in the USAF.

Just where in that photo is there any evidence of any kind of radio
activity?

>> That was a funny picture. At least to anyone with a sense of humor.
>
>It was funny that it was sent in lieu of a copy of an FCC permit.
>Describing the photo as you lecturing on amateur radio was also funny.
>Didn't you think so?

That photo, mistakenly sent and then publicly acknowledged in
here as a mistake, was replaced by no less than THREE
separate scans of an FCC First Class Radiotelephone
(Commercial) LICENSE. It was not a "permit."

A Restricted Third Class Radiotelephone license is a permit.

That the recipient of three separate scans did not view the
attachments is no validation of your claims. The recepient
claimed he did not open those attachments in here, publicly.

The photo is taken in-flight (fast shutter speed, see propellors)
of a converted B-26 over a forest. Good quality photograph
with medium-resolution scanning. Nothing about it indicates
any sort of radio activity.

>> It was sent by mistake, a newly-received file from another mixed
>> up with a file that was intended to be sent.
>
>I remember you saying that on several occasions but that is just a
>claim.

I sent the scan of my first first phone license no less than three
times to the intended recipient. Not a claim, it is fact.

The recipient acknowledges receipt of at least one of them but
claims that he did not view it but merely trashed the file.

That's in writing. In the archives.

>My sense of humor works fine. Didn't you find humor in my comment?

There is no "humor" in your comment. There is irritation
bordering on rage because you (wrongly) feel a "target" of
imaginary wrongdoing.

Not my problem. Yours.

>No, Lennie, I'm just a guy who holds some credentials and experience on
>the subject.

You have "credentials and experience" in flying forest fire
aircraft?!?

>Then there's the fact that I don't need to drop my
>trousers and turn around to do my lecturing.

Da Judge do dat most of de time to everyone who doesn't
agree with his superiorness. QED.

>A QRT on your part would likely be met with a round of applause.

Then you are an audience of one. Do you have clap?

1093. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 18:53:36 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20020914145336.25702.00005374@mb-md.news.cs.com>
Source record: 52910

>There's many gems you
>hear from these gals, especially the ones who were formerly on CB with
>their husbands. They bring all their CB slang and operating practices to
>220.

Hey Lou,

I seem to hear more "slang" on 11-meters that was "borrowed" from the ham bands than the other way around. After all, wasn't "handle" originally an amateur term?

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1094. Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 19:15:31 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <2YLg9.36202$e44.1349551@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 52911

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1095. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 20:02:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D839571.3D69AEBB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52912

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8324C3.2CDB0770@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > > > On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal, similar to
> the
> > > > >PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC
> hasn't
> > > put
> > > > >a stop to it.
> > > >
> > > > Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory Ruling
> > > > to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit back and
> > > > wait for the laughter.....
> > >
> > > Regarding Farnsworth/Morse examinations? Knock yourself out.
> >
> > The issue seems important to you or you wouldn't continue all the
> > blather.
> > In the end, you don't seem interested in pursuit of a remedy. I've
> > given you advice and now Phil has given you some advice. Your response
> > indicates that you've suddenly decided that the matter isn't very
> > important after all.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, there is no sudden change of opinion.

You've railed about it here but you've done nothing toward changing
things.

> Now you claim the Bill of
> Rights says I MUST petition my government? Especially about something that
> is crystal clear in the regulations?

Was my order written in a "disappearing post"? I've looked and I can't
find that I've said anything like you've stated? Maybe you're busy
reading between the lines again. You don't have to petition your
government. I've never thought you were going to do anything at all on

the issue.

> Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re: Herr.

Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.

Dave K8MN

1096. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 20:19:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D83995D.A19F68AB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52913

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D832B87.E95E2741@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> >Like that one of you lecturing the troops on amateur radio from the nose
> >> >of the aircraft? :-) :-) :{
> >>
> >> Who did that Herr Robust?
> >
> >Why, you did!

You didn't send it?

> Incorrect. I was in the US Army, not the USAF or the Forest Service.
>
> Da Judge claims to have served in the USAF.
>
> Just where in that photo is there any evidence of any kind of radio
> activity?

Keep reading...

> >> That was a funny picture. At least to anyone with a sense of humor.
> >
> >It was funny that it was sent in lieu of a copy of an FCC permit.
> >Describing the photo as you lecturing on amateur radio was also funny.
> >Didn't you think so?
>
> That photo, mistakenly sent and then publicly acknowledged in
> here as a mistake, was replaced by no less than THREE
> separate scans of an FCC First Class Radiotelephone
> (Commercial) LICENSE. It was not a "permit."

It wasn't?

> A Restricted Third Class Radiotelephone license is a permit.

Oh, I see. NOW a license is a permit. It just isn't a permit when it
was your
First Class Radiotelephone.

> That the recipient of three separate scans did not view the
> attachments is no validation of your claims. The recepient
> claimed he did not open those attachments in here, publicly.

So? I wouldn't either after seeing that the first one wasn't what it
was purported to be.

> The photo is taken in-flight (fast shutter speed, see propellors)
> of a converted B-26 over a forest. Good quality photograph
> with medium-resolution scanning. Nothing about it indicates
> any sort of radio activity.

I could have sworn that I saw you in the nose of the aircraft talking
straight out your ass to mere radio amateurs.

> >> It was sent by mistake, a newly-received file from another mixed
> >> up with a file that was intended to be sent.
> >
> >I remember you saying that on several occasions but that is just a
> >claim.
>
> I sent the scan of my first first phone license no less than three
> times to the intended recipient. Not a claim, it is fact.

It seems to be a claim. Nobody opened it.

> The recipient acknowledges receipt of at least one of them but
> claims that he did not view it but merely trashed the file.

Yeah, I remember him acknowledging that he'd received other files from
you.
I don't blame him for deleting it. After receiving the first, you'd
have a tough time believing that anything else would be legit.

> That's in writing. In the archives.

Who viewed it?

> >My sense of humor works fine. Didn't you find humor in my comment?
>
> There is no "humor" in your comment. There is irritation
> bordering on rage because you (wrongly) feel a "target" of
> imaginary wrongdoing.

How can I be the target of something imaginary? How do you know if I am
experiencing irriation bordering on rage?

> Not my problem. Yours.

You sent the file. I didn't.

> >No, Lennie, I'm just a guy who holds some credentials and experience on
> >the subject.
>
> You have "credentials and experience" in flying forest fire
> aircraft?!?

Irrelevant. This is an amateur radio newsgroup. Aircraft and forest
fires are off-topic. Know much about amateur radio?

> >Then there's the fact that I don't need to drop my
> >trousers and turn around to do my lecturing.
>
> Da Judge do dat most of de time to everyone who doesn't
> agree with his superiorness. QED.

I didn't send such a photo. You did.

> >A QRT on your part would likely be met with a round of applause.
>
> Then you are an audience of one. Do you have clap?

Is this another of your lectures on the topic of how others use insult
and personal denigration toward you?

Dave K8MN

1097. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 20:42:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D839ED0.E4E41B50@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52914

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Yep, it's for real, Bert. You'll note that there have been no bidders. There is an active key maker on the web. His stuff is shown without pricing. When I e-mailed for pricing, he told me that the paddle I was interested in was over $500! In my opinion, most of the paddles sold today are enormously overpriced.

Dave K8MN

1098. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 20:50:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209141250.812ce5b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52915

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<WDHg9.63$Oi1.3255495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0209131853.34966e5c@posting.google.com...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
> >
> > > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
> minority which
> > > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the
> element which
> > > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever
> it takes to
> > > suppress the moderate element to that end.
> >
> > We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
> >
> > w3rv
>
> I don't see them commandeering public transportation and using them as
> missiles.
>
> You should have heard the election ads in St. Louis against Ashcroft, the
> sound of an explosion (as in OK City), the sound of a bonfire (a black
> church burning), and on and on. It was the most racist garbage I'd heard in
> a long time.
>
> Oh yeh, they kept the polls in certain St. Louis neighborhoods open for an
> extra two hours while the church busses made their rounds.
>
> And you bought in to it.

Like I bought into your T5 "operation".

1099. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 21:01:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209141301.5f06b04c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52916

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<fCbg9.261$kX.95@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020907184739.18884.00001976@mb-fz.aol.com...
>
>
> > For the most part! I still like to have 50 or more watts if I need
> > "consistent" or "sustained" communications capability.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I think SGC needs to kick the power up a little, and the little FT817 could
> have pushed up the HF to 50w, and the VHF+ to 25w. As much fun as QRP is
> purported to be, these rigs are often purchased with emergency
> communications in mind.

It's the FT-897 Brainiac.

1100. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 21:54:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D83AFF7.754AB067@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52917

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0209131853.34966e5c@posting.google.com...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
> >
> > > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
> minority which
> > > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the
> element which
> > > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever
> it takes to
> > > suppress the moderate element to that end.
> >
> > We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
> >
> > w3rv
>
> I don't see them commandeering public transportation and using them as
> missiles.
>
> You should have heard the election ads in St. Louis against Ashcroft, the
> sound of an explosion (as in OK City), the sound of a bonfire (a black
> church burning), and on and on. It was the most racist garbage I'd heard in
> a long time.
>
> Oh yeh, they kept the polls in certain St. Louis neighborhoods open for an
> extra two hours while the church busses made their rounds.

Which is why he's not Senator Ashcroft today instead of attorney general. Also why MO has a demo governor today. VERY narrow margins took the election, and shady stuff went on also in Kansas City.

1101. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 21:59:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D83B111.31270FF5@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52918

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020913231731.12610.00000612@mb-fa.aol.com...
>
> > Carroll is a traditional extremist PCTA, a Believer in the old
> > system, inflexible, thinking not of the future of others, only for
> > a maintenance of his own status quo in rank, title, privileges
> > in amateur radio.
>
> That's what I see.

Of course you see exactly what you want to see. That's the problem with jackasses like you.
Putz is another matter entirely. He tries to manufacture what he wants to see. Of course saying it's so never makes it so, and his record speaks for itself, just like that of everyone else. He'd love for ham radio to become so trivial that FCC and NTIA could in good conscience just close it completely down. With induhviduals like you to shore it up, that's more than just a remote possibility.

1102. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 22:22:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914182236.00941.00004172@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52919

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/02 1:31 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020914143120.12980.00000372@mb-mf.aol.com>

>That photo, mistakenly sent and then publicly acknowledged in
> here as a mistake...(SNIP)

The only "mistake", Your Geezerness, is assuming we believe your saying it was a mistake.

I, for one, don't.

1103. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 22:26:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914182607.00941.00004175@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52920

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/14/02 3:19 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D83995D.A19F68AB@earthlink.net>

>> The recipient acknowledges receipt of at least one of them but
>> claims that he did not view it but merely trashed the file.
>
>Yeah, I remember him acknowledging that he'd received other files from
>you.
>I don't blame him for deleting it. After receiving the first, you'd
>have a tough time believing that anything else would be legit.

The funny thing, Dave, is that Lennie called me a "coward" and a "wimp" for not opening the other files.

However e mail sent to "lenover21" and "lenof21" is returned "recipient is not accepting mail from this account".

Who's the coward and wimp?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1104. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 22:30:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914183039.00941.00004178@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52921

>Subject: Is This For Real?!
>From: "Bert Craig" wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM
>Date: 9/14/02 2:15 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <2YLg9.36202$e44.1349551@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
>
>ht tp://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731

I >>MIGHT<< consider a price like that IF it had been reclaimed from the Titanic...Otherwise, my 25 year old Brown Brothers paddles will do quite nicely!

Sheesh!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1105. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 22:48:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D83BC6D.83B84C04@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52922

Dave Heil wrote:

> Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> > There is no "humor" in your comment. There is irritation
> > bordering on rage because you (wrongly) feel a "target" of
> > imaginary wrongdoing

Wouldn't you know it? The Putz not only has no clue NOR a license, but he can't discern the difference between disgust and rage.

1106. Re: Test - Please Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:16:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0rPg9.119$cX1.6576226@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52923

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uo6lqapb0dc93f@corp.supernews.com...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aluj62$34g$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Test
> >
> > Bill K2UNK
> >
> >
>
> Bill--no one can ever ignore these things ;)!
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim, leave no stone unturned.

Brian

1107. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:18:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%sPg9.122$0_1.6750249@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52924

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209141301.5f06b04c@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<fCbg9.261$kX.95@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020907184739.18884.00001976@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >
> >
> > > For the most part! I still like to have 50 or more watts if I
need
> > > "consistent" or "sustained" communications capability.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I think SGC needs to kick the power up a little, and the little FT817
could
> > have pushed up the HF to 50w, and the VHF+ to 25w. As much fun as QRP
is
> > purported to be, these rigs are often purchased with emergency
> > communications in mind.
>
> It's the FT-897 Brainiac.

Kelly, since when did you get to renumber the portable rig that replaced the
FT-290RII/690RII/790RII?

1108. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:19:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%tPg9.123$4Z1.6689834@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52925

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209141250.812ce5b@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<WDHg9.63$Oi1.3255495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0209131853.34966e5c@posting.google.com...
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
> > >
> > > > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
> > minority which
> > > > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the
> > element which
> > > > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do
whatever
> > it takes to
> > > > suppress the moderate element to that end.
> > >
> > > We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > I don't see them commandeering public transportation and using them as
> > missiles.
> >
> > You should have heard the election ads in St. Louis against Ashcroft,
the
> > sound of an explosion (as in OK City), the sound of a bonfire (a black
> > church burning), and on and on. It was the most racist garbage I'd
heard in
> > a long time.
> >
> > Oh yeh, they kept the polls in certain St. Louis neighborhoods open for
an
> > extra two hours while the church busses made their rounds.
> >
> > And you bought in to it.
>
> Like I bought into your T5 "operation".

My T5 operation is more believable than anything the DNC says.

1109. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:21:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RvPg9.124$sY1.6658261@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52927

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D83AFF7.754AB067@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0209131853.34966e5c@posting.google.com...
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
> > >
> > > > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
> > minority which
> > > > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the
> > element which
> > > > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do
whatever
> > it takes to
> > > > suppress the moderate element to that end.
> > >
> > > We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > I don't see them commandeering public transportation and using them as
> > missiles.
> >
> > You should have heard the election ads in St. Louis against Ashcroft,
the
> > sound of an explosion (as in OK City), the sound of a bonfire (a black
> > church burning), and on and on. It was the most racist garbage I'd
heard in
> > a long time.
> >
> > Oh yeh, they kept the polls in certain St. Louis neighborhoods open for
an
> > extra two hours while the church busses made their rounds.
>
> Which is why he's not Senator Ashcroft today instead of attorney general.
Also
> why MO has a demo governor today. VERY narrow margins took the election,
and
> shady stuff went on also in Kansas City.

Sad but true. Like Illinois, the rest of the state is dominated by a
metropolitan area and all their liberal garbage.

1110. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:21:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D83C442.34D44C35@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52926

Bert Craig wrote:

> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731
>

Bert that's a "cult" key. Like the McElroy Model 60 Speed Stream, the 30's bug built on a chrome plated teardrop - shaped base, their fans believe there's none better.. They, too, were rare and valuable, in some circles still are, but the last one I saw go on Ebay went way below what they'd been bringing a year or so earlier.
I doubt the N2DAN paddle gets a single bid. His opening bid, high as it is, is below his minimum reserve, which he won't disclose. Looks like the market has fell out here just like Wall Street. It happens.

Dick

1111. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:23:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2yPg9.126$W_1.6800682@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52928

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D83B111.31270FF5@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020913231731.12610.00000612@mb-fa.aol.com...
> >
> > > Carroll is a traditional extremist PCTA, a Believer in the old
> > > system, inflexible, thinking not of the future of others, only for
> > > a maintenance of his own status quo in rank, title, privileges
> > > in amateur radio.
> >
> > That's what I see.
>
> Of course you see exactly what you want to see. That's the problem with
> jackasses like you.
> Putz is another matter entirely. He tries to manufacture what he wants
to
> see. Of course saying it's so never makes it so, and his record speaks for
> itself, just like that of everyone else. He'd love for ham radio to become
> so trivial that FCC and NTIA could in good conscience just close it
> completely down. With induhviduals like you to shore it up, that's more
> than just a remote possibility.

Dick, apparently I take amateur radio a little more seriously than you give
me credit for. I don't want it to vanish once the parents of the boomers go
to their final resting places.

1112. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:27:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8BPg9.127$y02.6907111@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52929

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:2YLg9.36202$e44.1349551@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Bert, yep, its real. Look at the demographics of key users. They're either at their peak earning years or trying to figure out what to do with those Social Security checks that keeps piling up.

1113. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:33:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gHPg9.129$322.6996086@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52930

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020914182236.00941.00004172@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/14/02 1:31 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020914143120.12980.00000372@mb-mf.aol.com>
>
> >That photo, mistakenly sent and then publicly acknowledged in
> > here as a mistake...(SNIP)
>
> The only "mistake", Your Geezerness, is assuming we believe your
saying it
> was a mistake.
>
> I, for one, don't.

You for one what? Just say, "I don't."

1114. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:36:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NJPg9.131$t22.7022736@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52931

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D839571.3D69AEBB@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D8324C3.2CDB0770@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > > news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > > > > On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal,
similar to
> > the
> > > > > >PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC
> > hasn't
> > > > put
> > > > > >a stop to it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory
Ruling
> > > > > to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit back
and
> > > > > wait for the laughter.....
> > > >
> > > > Regarding Farnsworth/Morse examinations? Knock yourself out.
> > >
> > > The issue seems important to you or you wouldn't continue all the
> > > blather.
> > > In the end, you don't seem interested in pursuit of a remedy. I've
> > > given you advice and now Phil has given you some advice. Your
response
> > > indicates that you've suddenly decided that the matter isn't very
> > > important after all.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, there is no sudden change of opinion.
>
> You've railed about it here but you've done nothing toward changing
> things.

Change what? The regulation is clear.

> > Now you claim the Bill of
> > Rights says I MUST petition my government? Especially about something
that
> > is crystal clear in the regulations?
>
> Was my order written in a "disappearing post"? I've looked and I can't
> find that I've said anything like you've stated? Maybe you're busy
> reading between the lines again. You don't have to petition your
> government. I've never thought you were going to do anything at all on
> the issue.

You libs sure do like to dream up stuff for the rest of us to do with our
spare time.

> > Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re: Herr.
>
> Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.
>
> Dave K8MN

Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.

1115. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-14 23:53:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D83CBDE.9C165BBD@townsqr.com>
Source record: 52932

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D83AFF7.754AB067@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d22b54c3.0209131853.34966e5c@posting.google.com...
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
> > > >
> > > > > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
> > > minority which
> > > > > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the
> > > element which
> > > > > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do
> whatever
> > > it takes to
> > > > > suppress the moderate element to that end.
> > > >
> > > > We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > I don't see them commandeering public transportation and using them as
> > > missiles.
> > >
> > > You should have heard the election ads in St. Louis against Ashcroft,
> the
> > > sound of an explosion (as in OK City), the sound of a bonfire (a black
> > > church burning), and on and on. It was the most racist garbage I'd
> heard in
> > > a long time.
> > >
> > > Oh yeh, they kept the polls in certain St. Louis neighborhoods open for
> an
> > > extra two hours while the church busses made their rounds.
> >
> > Which is why he's not Senator Ashcroft today instead of attorney general.
> Also
> > why MO has a demo governor today. VERY narrow margins took the election,
> and
> > shady stuff went on also in Kansas City.
>

> Sad but true. Like Illinois, the rest of the state is dominated by a
> metropolitan area and all their liberal garbage.

So guess who defeated the CCW referendum? The same urban "minorities" who were carrying and shooting anyway! Don't need no stinkin' law allowing their victims to carry, too! Might be able to defend themselves!

1116. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 00:15:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ViQg9.143$5a2.7470095@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52933

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D83CBDE.9C165BBD@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Sad but true. Like Illinois, the rest of the state is dominated by a
> > metropolitan area and all their liberal garbage.
>
> So guess who defeated the CCW referendum? The same urban "minorities"
who were
> carrying and shooting anyway! Don't need no stinkin' law allowing their
victims
> to carry, too! Might be able to defend themselves!

I was highly upset and couldn't believe it wasn't passed. I travelled
Illinois and Missouri for the USACE and saw the little ccw signs EVERYWHERE
across the state.

But some outside money and some DNC types spoke out against Liberty again.

1117. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 00:50:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914205011.12610.00000636@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 52934

In article <3D83995D.A19F68AB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D832B87.E95E2741@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >> >Like that one of you lecturing the troops on amateur radio from the nose
>> >> >of the aircraft? :-) :-) :{
>> >>
>> >> Who did that Herr Robust?
>> >
>> >Why, you did!
>
>You didn't send it?

Send what?

That photo scan was put on a public AOL home page by
someone calling herself "Nevertrustlennie." That same
person posted in this newsgroup, advertising it.

That wasn't me.

It's fairly obvious that "Nevertrustlennie" is the putzmobile
driver who vented rage and fury over that home page being
deleted by AOL. :-)

Thousands have received that image through private e-mail.
It's fair to say that nearly all who opened the image were
amused by it.

>Oh, I see. NOW a license is a permit. It just isn't a permit when it
>was your First Class Radiotelephone.

No, a "permit" is a license obtained without having to take any
test. Another example is the old, no-longer-required CB
license.

The First Class Radiotelephone (commercial) required taking a
test (four parts to it) at an FCC field office in 1956.

It is called a license. By the FCC, by radio stations, anyone
except ego-bruised "superiors" in this newsgroup.

>
>> That the recipient of three separate scans did not view the
>> attachments is no validation of your claims. The recepient
>> claimed he did not open those attachments in here, publicly.
>
>So? I wouldn't either after seeing that the first one wasn't what it
>was purported to be.

Shocked you senseless, did it? Poor baby...snif, snif.

>> The photo is taken in-flight (fast shutter speed, see propellors)
>> of a converted B-26 over a forest. Good quality photograph
>> with medium-resolution scanning. Nothing about it indicates
>> any sort of radio activity.
>
>I could have sworn that I saw you in the nose of the aircraft talking
>straight out your ass to mere radio amateurs.

A person of your delicate sensibilities should not swear.

Neither should you talk out of your own ass at non-amateurs.

But you DO that.

Maybe the EPA or OSHA should get on that...all the morseodist
flatulism wafting about...

>> >> It was sent by mistake, a newly-received file from another mixed
>> >> up with a file that was intended to be sent.
>> >
>> >I remember you saying that on several occasions but that is just a
>> >claim.
>>
>> I sent the scan of my first first phone license no less than three
>> times to the intended recipient. Not a claim, it is fact.
>
>It seems to be a claim. Nobody opened it.

Nobody opened what?

>How can I be the target of something imaginary? How do you know if I am
>experiencing irriation bordering on rage?
>
>> Not my problem. Yours.
>
>You sent the file. I didn't.

Sent what file? I've sent many, many files in my time.

I didn't post an AOL home page accessible to all for
two days.

>
>> >No, Lennie, I'm just a guy who holds some credentials and experience on
>> >the subject.

>>
>> You have "credentials and experience" in flying forest fire
>> aircraft?!?
>
>Irrelevant. This is an amateur radio newsgroup. Aircraft and forest
>fires are off-topic. Know much about amateur radio?

More than you'd be willing to acknowledge. :-)

US amateur radio has been readily accessible by the public
for many years...longer than Da Judge bin licensed.

Nothing is secret in US amateur radio.

>I didn't send such a photo. You did.

Send what?

How do you know what someone else received in e-mail?

Why do you claim god-like powers to divine things that
mere mortals cannot?

>> >A QRT on your part would likely be met with a round of applause.
>>
>> Then you are an audience of one. Do you have clap?
>
>Is this another of your lectures on the topic of how others use insult
>and personal denigration toward you?

The act of applauding is to bring hands together to make a
"clap" sound...repeatedly so for applause. Do you clap?

:-)

1118. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 00:50:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914205012.12610.00000637@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 52935

In article <3D83B111.31270FF5@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:20020913231731.12610.00000612@mb-fa.aol.com...
>>
>> > Carroll is a traditional extremist PCTA, a Believer in the old
>> > system, inflexible, thinking not of the future of others, only for
>> > a maintenance of his own status quo in rank, title, privileges
>> > in amateur radio.
>>
>> That's what I see.
>
> Of course you see exactly what you want to see. That's the problem with
>jackasses like you.

Everyone can see what is publicly accessible.

> Putz is another matter entirely. He tries to manufacture what he wants to
>see. Of course saying it's so never makes it so, and his record speaks for
>itself, just like that of everyone else.

Now, now. I don't manufacture anything. I just point it out. It
already exists.

I haven't done any recording recently.

Please fill me in on this new technology...a recording that plays
by itself, no player needed. Sounds exciting.

>He'd love for ham radio to become
>so trivial that FCC and NTIA could in good conscience just close it
>completely down.

I don't "love" any such thing. All I am advocating is elimination
of the morse code test from the license exam.

Unfortunately, some long-time morseodists are against that,
so passionate in their belief for an Ancient Radiotelegraph
Service, that they resort to name-calling in public against all
who oppose their religion.

>With induhviduals like you to shore it up, that's more
>than just a remote possibility.

Carroll should realize that he is just an "induhvidual" in his sacred belief. He has no "backing." It is a false front.

Now...what has Carroll to say about the NTIA comment on the proposed US amateur 60 meter band? [as if we didn't know...]

:-)

1119. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 00:56:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020914205601.11404.00000838@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 52936

Yes, it's for real, Bert. It's also eBay. Note that there are no bids.

Rare and collectible items draw whatever the market will bear. I thought the $6000 SX-88 was expensive until I saw an unbuilt AT-1 go for $5100 (not a typo).

73 de Jim, N2EY

1120. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 03:23:11 UTC
From: Dave <kji@liberty.net>
Message-ID: <uo7v8v6mfs3706@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 52937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I do with your part of the post about VE's Lou. I hear a lot of new hams that by their operation it doesnt pass the "smell test" if you know what I mean.

Rather than give the hams any spectrum for data experimentation and/or secure networks / communications, my crystal ball sees the gradual phase out of amateur radio. Or perhaps the formation of the "Ham Youth"

"Dave" <kji@liberty.net> wrote in message
news:uo6uf2d8tfdt4b@news.supernews.com...
> Well Lou in Memphis,
> Link into the Nashville 220 system through the 2m repeater in Bucksnort TN
> and you can talk on 220 from Memphis to Atlanta, with a ht most of the way
> (www.w4rpt.com). Lots of 220 stuff on ebay. Icom's tribander ht covers
the
> band..Do some research before you post....most of the CB lingo is on 2
> meters, not 220 and 450.
> -David
>
>
> "Lou in Memphis" <IceColdAir@MemphisMoron.com> wrote in message
> news:alvnfb$qv3$0@pita.alt.net...
> > The ARRL is blowing smoke. 220 activity has been way down
> > for years, and it is difficult to find new or used gear for 220, let
> > alone a dual-bander which has 220 on it, or even a tri-bander.
> > When's the last time you saw a 220 HT? I drive cross-country
> > and never hear activity, except at commuter time when a few
> > husbands and wives are talking. AND, there is a reason why it is
> > so popular between OMs & their xyl's. These are the women whose
> > OMs had them rush to get the Novice license BEFORE it was taken
> > out of private ham's hands and placed under the control of VECs.
> > These guys simply had two of their buddies sign off on a 610 so their
> > xyl's could get a novice license before it went to the VECs. If Riley
> > retested women operating on 220, I venture to say 98% do not know
> > a dit from a dah, let alone passing a theory test. Typical comment I
> > heard on 220 from one gal, "Hey Lonnie, while you was talkin' some
> > funny noise come on through the black thing where your voice comes
> > out of, it was like little short squeels, on and off, then quit. it
comes
> > on
> > about every ten minutes and does the same thingy. Of course, the gal
> > is talking about the CW IDer on the repeater. There's many gems you
> > hear from these gals, especially the ones who were formerly on CB with
> > their husbands. They bring all their CB slang and operating practices

to
> > 220. "Hey Lonnie, some guys are bleeding on you, They walked all over
> > you last time." In this case, she was describing a station that was
> > requesting
> > to use the repeater. There are many other gems, just listen.
> >
> > Lou, the Memphis a/c man
> >
> >
> >
> > "Daniel Hicks" <w8mmq-NOSPAM@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:phwg9.297316$_91.364730@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > >
> > > ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !
> > >
> > > September 13, 2002
> > > ARRL News
> > >
> > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/09/13/100/?nc=1
> > >
> > > NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 13, 2002--The ARRL has taken issue with a
suggestion
> > made
> > > in a non-Amateur Radio-related FCC proceeding to turn the 222-225 MHz
> > > amateur allocation over to commercial interests. In reply comments
filed
> > > this month, the League urged the FCC to "do nothing" with the proposal
> of
> > > Data Comlink (DCL), a consortium of 20 electrical coops and allied
> > > companies.
> > >
> > > "ARRL presumes that the proposal by DCL for reallocation of the
222-225
> > MHz
> > > band will not be seriously evaluated by the Commission, as it is well
> > > outside the scope of this proceeding," the League said in its
September
> 5
> > > filing with the FCC. Until DCL raised the 222-225 MHz suggestion last
> > month
> > > in its own comments in WT Docket 02-224, the ARRL had remained silent
in
> > the
> > > proceeding.
> > >
> > > DCL claimed in its comments that the amateur allocation at 222-225 MHz
> "is
> > > being underutilized" and that the band "would be much better utilized
> for
> > > commercial use." DCL suggested that both 217-220 MHz and 222-225 MHz

> might
> > > "be made available to the Commission for commercial use" through
> > > modification of the FCC's Part 90 rules.
> > >
> > > ARRL asserted that the band is not underutilized and "remains a
critical
> > VHF
> > > allocation" for amateurs. The League pointed out that the ARRL 2002
> > Repeater
> > > Directory--albeit not a comprehensive listing--lists 1690 repeaters
> > > throughout the US, indicating an even larger number of individual
users.
> > > "Indeed the number of individual amateurs using this band has
increased
> > > steadily since 1989, when the amateur allocation at 220-225 was
reduced
> by
> > > 40 percent," the ARRL said, "and now much commercially manufactured
> > > equipment is available to amateurs."
> > >
> > > DCL had claimed that "only handfuls [sic] of individuals in the
Amateur
> > > Radio Service even use this spectrum, while hundreds of thousands of
> > > potential commercial users wait with no alternatives."
> > >
> > > The League characterized as "invalid" DCL's arguments in favor of
> > > reallocating 222-225 MHz from the Amateur Radio Service and noted that
> the
> > > FCC earlier this year had set aside an additional 8 MHz of spectrum
for
> > Land
> > > Mobile Service operations.
> > >
> > > The League's reply comments in the DCL proceeding are on the ARRL Web
> > site.
> > >
> > > The ARRL has not commented in an unrelated Petition for
Reconsideration
> > > filed by Warren C. Havens on behalf of himself and Telesaurus Holdings
> GB
> > > LLC, in which he holds a majority interest. Among other grants, Havens
> > holds
> > > Automated Marine Telecommunication Services (AMTS) licenses in various
> > > states as well as Land Mobile Service Multilateration and VHF Public
> Coast
> > > licenses.
> > >
> > > Filing last month under PR Docket 92-257 and RM-9664, Havens is
seeking
> to

> > > have the FCC reconsider its decision to auction certain AMTS spectrum
> and
> > > instead adopt his "Advanced Technology Land Infrastructure and Safety
> > > Service" (ATLIS) proposal. Under that plan, Havens includes the
amateur
> > > allocations at 222-225 MHz and 902-928 MHz. In the case of 222-225
MHz,
> > > Havens wants to see it reallocated from amateur to public safety use.
> His
> > > ATLIS plan proposes to share 902-928 MHz on which amateur are
secondary.
> > He
> > > suggests, however, that if amateur use were to become a problem there,
> the
> > > FCC might impose restrictions or phase out amateur use altogether.
> > >
> > > Havens said he planned to submit his ATLIS proposal to the FCC's
> Spectrum
> > > Task Force as well as in filings on dockets that involve spectrum he's
> > > included within his ATLIS scheme.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1121. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 03:32:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D83FF0A.CAE9EC69@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52938

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D839571.3D69AEBB@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D8324C3.2CDB0770@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > > > news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > > > > > On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal,
> similar to
> > > > the
> > > > > > >PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC
> > > hasn't
> > > > > > put
> > > > > > >a stop to it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory
> Ruling
> > > > > > to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit back
> and
> > > > > > wait for the laughter.....
> > > > >
> > > > > Regarding Farnsworth/Morse examinations? Knock yourself out.
> > > >
> > > > The issue seems important to you or you wouldn't continue all the
> > > > blather.
> > > > In the end, you don't seem interested in pursuit of a remedy. I've
> > > > given you advice and now Phil has given you some advice. Your
> response
> > > > indicates that you've suddenly decided that the matter isn't very
> > > > important after all.

> > > Dave, there is no sudden change of opinion.
> >
> > You've railed about it here but you've done nothing toward changing
> > things.
>
> Change what? The regulation is clear.

Perhaps I misunderstood. I could have sworn that you've been whining
about Farnsworth spacing.

> > > Now you claim the Bill of
> > > Rights says I MUST petition my government? Especially about something
> that
> > > is crystal clear in the regulations?
> >
> > Was my order written in a "disappearing post"? I've looked and I can't
> > find that I've said anything like you've stated? Maybe you're busy
> > reading between the lines again. You don't have to petition your
> > government. I've never thought you were going to do anything at all on
> > the issue.
>
> You libs sure do like to dream up stuff for the rest of us to do with our
> spare time.

"Libs"? What twit could read more than one of my posts and assume the
things you do? Did you find that post where I ordered you to petition
your government yet?

> > > Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re: Herr.
> >
> > Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.

> Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.

Oh my, Brian. Let's get this straight. You believe that Dick is a nut
and that Len Anderson is rational? *chortle*

Dave K8MN

1122. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 03:57:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2ge5h0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52939

On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 21:54:54 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> Which is why he's not Senator Ashcroft today instead of attorney
>general. Also why MO has a demo governor today. VERY narrow margins
>took the election, and shady stuff went on also in Kansas City.

I thought that H Roe Bartlett died years ago...or is he like Mayor
Daley the Elder. living on in infamy....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1123. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 04:00:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D840574.E89C5D90@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52940

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D83995D.A19F68AB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D832B87.E95E2741@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >> >Like that one of you lecturing the troops on amateur radio from the nose
> >> >> >of the aircraft? :-) :-) :{
> >> >>
> >> >> Who did that Herr Robust?
> >> >
> >> >Why, you did!
> >
> >You didn't send it?
>
> Send what?

You know--the photo of the guy mooning from the nose of the aircraft.

> That photo scan was put on a public AOL home page by
> someone calling herself "Nevertrustlennie." That same
> person posted in this newsgroup, advertising it.

You didn't send it to him?

> That wasn't me.

Then how'd he get it?

> It's fairly obvious that "Nevertrustlennie" is the putzmobile
> driver who vented rage and fury over that home page being
> deleted by AOL. :-)

I thought the page was funny. Where's your sense of humor?

> Thousands have received that image through private e-mail.
> It's fair to say that nearly all who opened the image were
> amused by it.

How is it fair to say that? Have you taken a poll. What's fair is for
you to state that you don't know how many were amused.

> >Oh, I see. NOW a license is a permit. It just isn't a permit when it
> >was your First Class Radiotelephone.
>
> No, a "permit" is a license obtained without having to take any
> test. Another example is the old, no-longer-required CB
> license.

You just said that a permit is a license. Where does the FCC make the
distinction between the two?

> The First Class Radiotelephone (commercial) required taking a
> test (four parts to it) at an FCC field office in 1956.

Wow! There must not be many holders if you had to take the test at a
field office in '56.

> It is called a license. By the FCC, by radio stations, anyone
> except ego-bruised "superiors" in this newsgroup.

I passed quite a number of licensing exams during the time I've been a
radio amateur. I have a license. Maybe you can take some tests and
obtain a radio amateur license too. It'll still be a permit to operate
an amateur station.

> >
> >> That the recipient of three separate scans did not view the
> >> attachments is no validation of your claims. The recepient
> >> claimed he did not open those attachments in here, publicly.
> >
> >So? I wouldn't either after seeing that the first one wasn't what it
> >was purported to be.
>
> Shocked you senseless, did it? Poor baby...snif, snif.

No, wittle Wennie, it didn't shock me.

> >> The photo is taken in-flight (fast shutter speed, see propellors)
> >> of a converted B-26 over a forest. Good quality photograph
> >> with medium-resolution scanning. Nothing about it indicates
> >> any sort of radio activity.
> >
> >I could have sworn that I saw you in the nose of the aircraft talking
> >straight out your ass to mere radio amateurs.
>
> A person of your delicate sensibilities should not swear.

That's not swearing, Len. That's descriptive of what I saw in the
photo.

> Neither should you talk out of your own ass at non-amateurs.
>
> But you DO that.

Nope. I've not sent any unsolicted photos to anyone--not even to you.

> Maybe the EPA or OSHA should get on that...all the morseodist
> flatulism wafting about...

"He who dealt it smelt it".

> >> >> It was sent by mistake, a newly-received file from another mixed
> >> >> up with a file that was intended to be sent.
> >> >
> >> >I remember you saying that on several occasions but that is just a
> >> >claim.
> >>
> >> I sent the scan of my first first phone license no less than three
> >> times to the intended recipient. Not a claim, it is fact.
> >
> >It seems to be a claim. Nobody opened it.
>
> Nobody opened what?

...the scan of your first first phone license, of course.

> >How can I be the target of something imaginary? How do you know if I am
> >experiencing irriation bordering on rage?
> >
> >> Not my problem. Yours.
> >
> >You sent the file. I didn't.
>
> Sent what file? I've sent many, many files in my time.

You must be having a "senior moment".

> I didn't post an AOL home page accessible to all for
> two days.

Who said you did? Why would you care if all could see it? Did what you
sent embarrass you? It couldn't have been the words. Those and worse
have been posted right here.

> >
> >> >No, Lennie, I'm just a guy who holds some credentials and experience on
> >> >the subject.
> >>
> >> You have "credentials and experience" in flying forest fire
> >> aircraft?!?
> >
> >Irrelevant. This is an amateur radio newsgroup. Aircraft and forest
> >fires are off-topic. Know much about amateur radio?
>
> More than you'd be willing to acknowledge. :-)

I'm certain that you actually believe that.

> US amateur radio has been readily accessible by the public
> for many years...longer than Da Judge bin licensed.

>
> Nothing is secret in US amateur radio.

Just because nothing is secret does not mean that know all or that you
have any experience. Sorry I missed the first five decades of amateur
radio. I was trying to arrange an earlier birth but it got really
complicated.

> >I didn't send such a photo. You did.
>
> Send what?
>
> How do you know what someone else received in e-mail?

1) The person who received it told us so

2) The material was shown on an AOL webpage and that began a fire in
your shriveled little heart.

> Why do you claim god-like powers to divine things that
> mere mortals cannot?

Let's see now....hmmmmm....that's a tricky one. I guess I read the
posts and looked at the AOL page.

> >> >A QRT on your part would likely be met with a round of applause.
> >>
> >> Then you are an audience of one. Do you have clap?
> >
> >Is this another of your lectures on the topic of how others use insult
> >and personal denigration toward you?
>
> The act of applauding is to bring hands together to make a
> "clap" sound...repeatedly so for applause. Do you clap?
>
> :-)

Place your head between my hands and we'll find out.

Dave K8MN

1124. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 04:26:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209142026.142e17cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52941

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D839ED0.E4E41B50@earthlink.net>...
> Bert Craig wrote:
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
> Yep, it's for real, Bert. You'll note that there have been no bidders.
> There is an active key maker on the web. His stuff is shown without
> pricing. When I e-mailed for pricing, he told me that the paddle I was
> interested in was over $500! In my opinion, most of the paddles sold
> today are enormously overpriced.
>

Actually very few are overpriced for the time & materials which are
put into 'em considering their low volmes of production Dave, nobody
out there is getting wealthy making & selling keys, bugs and paddles.
If they were selling at a rate of thousands per month yeah, they would
be a lot less expensive. At 10-20 a month I wouldn't turn the shop
lights on to make 'em.

In this particular case the thing is a collector's cult piece so all
bets are off when it comes to market value. A clean SX-88 sold for
something like $15,000 a year or so ago on e-bay. A '55 Mercedes 300SL
Gullwing sold for around $3,500 off the showroom floor in '55. Ten
years ago I saw one go at an auction on Long Island for $650,000.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

1125. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 05:38:24 UTC
From: Russell Hltn <reply@2newsgroup.com>
Message-ID: <am1nvn$j8l$1@nntp-m01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 52942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Really? You need to get out more. I had no problem buying a brand spanking new Kenwood TH-F6A tri-band HT. 144, 220, 440. Sure beats having a tri-band with 6m (e.g. VX-5) I wasn't the only one buying one at Dayton.

My local RACES group is working to add a packet 220 system just so we don't jam our 2m and 440 voice when we operate.

"Lou in Memphis" <IceColdAir@MemphisMoron.com> wrote in message news:alvnfb$qv3$0@pita.alt.net...
> it is difficult to find new or used gear for 220, let
> alone a dual-bander which has 220 on it, or even a tri-bander.
> When's the last time you saw a 220 HT?

1126. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 12:37:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915083751.19036.00003903@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 52943

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/02 7:50 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020914205011.12610.00000636@mb-fa.aol.com>

>Thousands have received that image through private e-mail.
> It's fair to say that nearly all who opened the image were
> amused by it.

I bet they were not lied to by an alleged "professional" when it was sent,
nor was it sent under misleading pretenses.

In other words...a lie.

It's easy for you to lie. You've made a lifelong career of it.

1127. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 12:40:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209150440.776f32b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52944

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020914205601.11404.00000838@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> Yes, it's for real, Bert. It's also eBay. Note that there are no bids.
>
> Rare and collectible items draw whatever the market will bear. I thought the
> $6000 SX-88 was expensive until I saw an unbuilt AT-1 go for $5100 (not a
> typo).

Which Old rcvr went for $15,000? I thought it was an SX-88. One of the
prototype rcvrs from from the long-gone outfit which built ssb
transmiters for awhile . . ?

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1128. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 12:54:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915085457.24646.00003939@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 52945

>Subject: Re: Is This For Real?!
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/14/02 7:56 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020914205601.11404.00000838@mb-ct.aol.com>

>I thought the
>$6000 SX-88 was expensive until I saw an unbuilt AT-1 go for $5100 (not a
>typo).

I wonder what I could get for my 1927 Henway?

Steve

1129. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 12:59:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915085901.24646.00003943@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 52946

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/02 7:50 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020914205012.12610.00000637@mb-fa.aol.com>

>> Putz is another matter entirely. He tries to manufacture what he wants to
>>see. Of course saying it's so never makes it so, and his record speaks for
>>itself, just like that of everyone else.
>
> Now, now. I don't manufacture anything. I just point it out. It
> already exists.

Then where is your "evidence" of dishonesty per the ARRL, Lennie?

You "manufactured" that claim. Still nothing to back it up other than
"Cuz I said so".

Your "evidence" is worth less than your word, and in this forum, your word
is worthless.

>>He'd love for ham radio to become
>>so trivial that FCC and NTIA could in good conscience just close it
>>completely down.
>
> I don't "love" any such thing. All I am advocating is elimination
> of the morse code test from the license exam.

Not by the volumes of sludge you spew here it's not, Your Putziness.

>>With induhviduals like you to shore it up, that's more
>>than just a remote possibility.
>
> Carroll should realize that he is just an "induhvidual" in his
> sacred belief. He has no "backing." It is a false front.

Mr. Carroll has more backing ehre than you do, Your Geezerness.

1130. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 13:23:58 UTC
From: vulnavia 4 <vna4rbeets@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3d8489f0@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 52947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dave" <kji@liberty.net> wrote in message
news:uo7v8v6mfs3706@news.supernews.com...
> I do with your part of the post about VE's Lou. I hear a lot of new hams
> that by their operation it doesnt pass the "smell test" if you know what I
> mean.
>
> Rather than give the hams any spectrum for data experimentation and/or
> secure networks / communications, my crystal ball sees the gradual phase
out
> of amateur radio. Or perhaps the formation of the "Ham Youth"

I see us keeping our HF bands, but 220 mHz
and higher bands will likely be lost or shared in the
near future as more of the "wireless" consumer targeted
devices hit the market. Not cell phones but more likely
remote-control gadgets and similar.
Looks like Wayne Green may have been right in his
prognostications from 10 years ago?

1131. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 13:54:36 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209150554.1d4b195d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52948

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3D82AFE4.92228B76@townsqr.com>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
> >
> >
> > > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous minority which
> > > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the element which
> > > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever it takes to
> > > suppress the moderate element to that end.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
>
> The difference being that they don't hijack jets and slam them and all their >passengers

That's true but given any opportunity at all you can bet that those
far right fringe types would impose their will on the rest of us. Up
to and including perverting the Constitution to make it happen.
Rememeber the words to "Onward Christian Soldiers"??

> into
> large buildings loaded with other innocent people. Granted, zealots are zealots, but
seems
> the Islamic religion has an edge on the kind who are suicidal nuts.

This is not the first time we've had the problem. Roll back nearly six
decades and recall the Kamakazis. Those suicidal nuts killed a helluva
lot of Yanks and sank some hundreds of our ships. Granted that was
warrior against warrior warfare but the Kamikazis came from their
peace-loving Taoist religion. They had a cause to rally 'round. Us
peace-loving whatever-we-are types had a cause to rally 'round when we
carpet-bombed the crap outta German cities full of civilains during
WW2. Ditto Hiroshima, etc.

Forty-fifty years ago there wasn't a Muslim anywhere who would have
dreamed of attacking NYC. But after 55 years of completely bungling
our responsibility to sort out the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The
Muslims now have their cause and their fringe nuts are conducting war
their way. In this case (vs. WW2)I don't think it would be
inappropriate to ask ourselves how much of it we've brought upon
ourselves.

The core problem is not in Bhagdad or Kandahar or Tripoli, it's in
Jerusalem.

> How can you trust in someone who you know has likeminded friends, neighbors and relatives
> who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you just because you're not Islamic, or because
> you're an American. Even though America is home to many other Islamics?

The answer to that lies in the lesson we should have learned from the
mass incarceration of west-coast Japanese-Americans a few years back.

w3rv

1132. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 13:54:40 UTC
From: Artie DeCoe <Artie@dcoe.net>
Message-ID: <Ai0h9.44188$AR1.1615577@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 52949

In England, about 7 pounds.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020915085457.24646.00003939@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Is This For Real?!
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 9/14/02 7:56 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020914205601.11404.00000838@mb-ct.aol.com>
>
> >I thought the
> >$6000 SX-88 was expensive until I saw an unbuilt AT-1 go for $5100 (not a
> >typo).
>
> I wonder what I could get for my 1927 Henway?
>
> Steve

1133. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 15:01:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209150701.5c8b81a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52950

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2ge5h0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 21:54:54 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Which is why he's not Senator Ashcroft today instead of attorney
> >general. Also why MO has a demo governor today. VERY narrow margins
> >took the election, and shady stuff went on also in Kansas City.
>
> I thought that H Roe Bartlett died years ago...or is he like Mayor
> Daley the Elder. living on in infamy....

Then there was Our Man HST in his glory machine days in KC . . .
Sorry. Had to do that.

> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

1134. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 17:52:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TN3h9.96$lf5.47@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52951

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209150554.1d4b195d@posting.google.com...

> Forty-fifty years ago there wasn't a Muslim anywhere who would have
> dreamed of attacking NYC. But after 55 years of completely bungling
> our responsibility to sort out the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

WHAT???

The
> Muslims now have their cause and their fringe nuts are conducting war
> their way. In this case (vs. WW2)I don't think it would be
> inappropriate to ask ourselves how much of it we've brought upon
> ourselves.

WHAT???

> The core problem is not in Bhagdad or Kandahar or Tripoli, it's in
> Jerusalem.

The Trade Towers weren't in Jerusalem.

> > How can you trust in someone who you know has likeminded friends,
neighbors and relatives
> > who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you just because you're not
Islamic, or because
> > you're an American. Even though America is home to many other Islamics?
>
> The answer to that lies in the lesson we should have learned from the
> mass incarceration of west-coast Japanese-Americans a few years back.

They didn't bomb the Twin Towers either.

Kelly, turn off NPR and Oprah.

1135. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 18:03:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jY3h9.98$tl5.48@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52952

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209142026.142e17cf@posting.google.com...
>
> Actually very few are overpriced for the time & materials which are
> put into 'em considering their low volmes of production Dave, nobody
> out there is getting wealthy making & selling keys, bugs and paddles.
> If they were selling at a rate of thousands per month yeah, they would
> be a lot less expensive. At 10-20 a month I wouldn't turn the shop
> lights on to make 'em.

Let's see. $895 (and "reserve not met") with a loafing production of 10
units each month comes to $8,950 gross.

I think that would pay the light bill.

1136. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 18:11:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <F34h9.101$An5.26@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52953

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D83FF0A.CAE9EC69@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D839571.3D69AEBB@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D8324C3.2CDB0770@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > > > > > > On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal,
> > similar to
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > >PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the
FCC
> > > > hasn't
> > > > > > > put
> > > > > > > >a stop to it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory
> > Ruling
> > > > > > > to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit
back
> > and
> > > > > > > wait for the laughter.....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Regarding Farnsworth/Morse examinations? Knock yourself out.
> > > > >
> > > > > The issue seems important to you or you wouldn't continue all the
> > > > > blather.
> > > > > In the end, you don't seem interested in pursuit of a remedy.
I've
> > > > > given you advice and now Phil has given you some advice. Your
> > response
> > > > > indicates that you've suddenly decided that the matter isn't very
> > > > > important after all.
>
> > > Dave, there is no sudden change of opinion.

> > >
> > > You've railed about it here but you've done nothing toward changing
> > > things.
> > >
> > Change what? The regulation is clear.
> >
> Perhaps I misunderstood. I could have sworn that you've been whining
> about Farnsworth spacing.

Why would I whine about Farnsworth spacing? Is there something wrong with
it?

> > > > Now you claim the Bill of
> > > > Rights says I MUST petition my government? Especially about
something
> > that
> > > > is crystal clear in the regulations?
> > > >
> > > Was my order written in a "disappearing post"? I've looked and I
can't
> > > find that I've said anything like you've stated? Maybe you're busy
> > > reading between the lines again. You don't have to petition your
> > > government. I've never thought you were going to do anything at all
on
> > > the issue.
> > >
> > You libs sure do like to dream up stuff for the rest of us to do with
our
> > spare time.
> >
> "Libs"? What twit could read more than one of my posts and assume the
> things you do? Did you find that post where I ordered you to petition
> your government yet?

Don't you want me to petition the government? It sure looked like thats
what you were recommending.

> > > > Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re:
Herr.
> > > >
> > > Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.
> >
> > Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.
> >
> Oh my, Brian. Let's get this straight. You believe that Dick is a nut
> and that Len Anderson is rational? *chortle*

I believe Dick is a nut. I didn't say anything about Len.

1137. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 18:23:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Je4h9.105$Ko5.58@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"vulnavia 4" <vna4rbeets@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3d8489f0@snipnews.snip.net...

> Looks like Wayne Green may have been right in his
> prognostications from 10 years ago?

Did the aliens finally land?

1138. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 18:31:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915143111.14335.00000987@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 52956

In article <3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> Imagine how many Muslims in the USA feel, being blamed for the actions of a
>few
>> extremeists....
>
> But......are the "actions of a few extremists" really so far from the
>attitudes of
>multitudes of Islamics?

I don't know if they are or not. I only know a few Muslims, not multitudes.

>Don't look too far below the surface, you might be stunned
>by what you find.

I think it's a mistake to look at Islam as some sort of monolithic religion.
Would you judge all of Christianity by the actions of Jim Jones, the
Inquisition, abortion-clinic bombers, or the Ku Klux Klan? How about the
witch-burners in Salem or the creationists?

> The leader of a major American Muslin group was recently shown on TV
>instructing his followers that "We are violent in other countries but in the
US we >don't need
>to be violent. Here we have other means". Means like freedom of religion,
>even if
>that religion happens to be interpreted by a large segment of its adherents
>to mean
>the destruction of all others. A religion which is at once THE only religion
>considered to be valid by its practitioners, and also the civil authority.
>Obviously the many zealots inside it would find it necessary for that
>particular
>religion to prevail.

That's one interpretation, but here's another: That leader may have been
speaking to the fact that in much (most?) of the world, the average person has
no say whatever in politics. America is different.

Separation of church and state and full-scale religious freedom is really a
very new idea. And there are lots of contradictions right here. For example:

Despite our founding documents declaration of "all men are created equal". it
took 80+ years and a bloody war to eliminate slavery here. There were plenty of
Christian churches defending slavery as moral back then, too! It took even
longer for women to get the vote.

Or consider the Scopes monkey trial, the anti-contraceptive laws, "exclusive" clubs, and other religious-based embarrassments.

Look outside the USA, and it's even worse. "Protestants" and "Catholics" have been busy killing each other in Northern Ireland for decades. The apartheid laws of South Africa sure weren't put in place by Muslims. Lots of other examples.

> Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous minority
>which
>is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the element
>which
>will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever it
>takes to
>suppress the moderate element to that end.

Then how did Egypt and Turkey get moderate governments?
>
> Nothing new here, just not much PC. We are taught in this country to always
>respect other religions, and Islam plays right into this universal uniquely
>American philosophy. If you must respect my religious views, and my religious
>views
>include eventually taking over the government of your country and ultimately
>the
>world, how can I lose? But I'd be a fool to tell you all about it up front,
>wouldn't I?
>
Sure. But respect doesn't mean anyone can do anything and claim "freedom of religion".

> It's an ugly subject but one which we'd better become very familiar. Soon.
>There will be many innocent victims along the way. Who they will turn out to
>be, of
>Eastern or Western descent, will depend on the education process. It is far
>from
>being as simple as a mere "religious tolerance" problem.
>
> Here's an excerpt from a newspaper editorial that appeared a few days ago.
>
>Begin excerpt....
>----------------
> "Yes, we must kill the murderers of 9/11, but without becoming murderers
>and
>without simply indulging ourselves. We must defend ourselves - without
>throwing out
>civil liberties at home, without barring every Muslim student from this
>country,
>without forgetting what a huge shadow a powerful America casts over the world
>and
>how it can leave people feeling powerless, and without telling the world
>we're
>going to do whatever we want because.....now all bets are off.

>
> Because imposing norms and rules on ourselves gives us the credibility to
>demand
>the rule of law, religious tolerance, consensual government, pluralism,
>women's
>rights, and respect for the notion that my grievance, however deep, doesn't
>entitle
>me to do anything to anyone anytime anywhere
>
> "It gives us credibility to say to the Muslim world: Where have you been
>since
>9/11? Where are your voices of reason?You humbly open your prayers in the
>name of a
>God of mercy and compassion. But when members of your faith, acting in the
>name of
>Islam, murdered Americans or committed suicide against "infidels", your press
>extolled them as martyrs and your spiritual leaders were largely silent.
>Other than
>a few ritual condemnations, they offered no outcry in their mosques; they
>drew no
>new moral red lines in their schools. That's a problem, because if there
>isn't a
>struggle within Islam - over norms and values - there is going to be a
>struggle
>between Islam and us.
>
> "In short, numbing ourselves to the post 9/11 realities will not work.
>Military
>operations, while necessary, are not sufficient. Building higher walls may
>feel
>comforting, but in today's interconnected world they're an illusion. Our only
>hope
>is that people will be restrained by internal walls - norms and values.
>Visibly
>imposing them on ourselves and loudly demanding them from others is the only
>viable
>survival strategy for our shrinking planet..."
>
>End of excerpt.
>-------------

Sounds pretty logical to me.

> Problem is, religious zealots rarely find it within themselves to become
>moderates
>or to tolerate others, even as they profess to be doing so.

That can be said of any religious zealot.

>Islam is inherently a
>non-tolerant religion, in some of its most basic teachings, and certainly in

>a seriously large pecentage of its clerical interpretations.

> The deck is clearly stacked against us in this scrap
>
> (The editorial was entitled "What Noah can teach us bout 9/11", and was
>written by
>New York Times syndicated columnist Thomas Friedman.)

The real problems are not religious - they are political. The use of religion as a cover for political aggression isn't new. Goes back to the Crusades, at least.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1139. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 18:31:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D84FC49.4070301@adelphia.net>
Source record: 52955

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> I wonder what I could get for my 1927 Henway?

They're worth around as much as a piecost, Steve.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1140. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 19:07:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915150702.04888.00000693@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 52958

In article <TN3h9.96$If5.47@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>news:d22b54c3.0209150554.1d4b195d@posting.google.com...
>
>> Forty-fifty years ago there wasn't a Muslim anywhere who would have
>> dreamed of attacking NYC. But after 55 years of completely bungling
>> our responsibility to sort out the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.
>
>WHAT???

Ultralight must be getting his world history input from ARRL. :-)

The USA has been very well on the Israeli side of that "conflict"
since their independence in 1948. The USA has also tried to
use units of the US Army to keep Israel and Egypt from killing
one another in the Sinai...which was successful for the short
time that US forces were there.

>> The
>> Muslims now have their cause and their fringe nuts are conducting war
>> their way. In this case (vs. WW2)I don't think it would be
>> inappropriate to ask ourselves how much of it we've brought upon
>> ourselves.
>
>WHAT???

No, ultralight isn't getting input from ARRL...this sounds more like
Wayne Green editorials of later years. :-)

>> The core problem is not in Bhagdad or Kandahar or Tripoli, it's in
>> Jerusalem.
>
>The Trade Towers weren't in Jerusalem.

Neither is the US capitol city. [see Pentagon]

[how did Tripoli get into this?!?]

>> > How can you trust in someone who you know has likeminded friends,
>neighbors and relatives
>> > who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you just because you're not
>Islamic, or because
>> > you're an American. Even though America is home to many other Islamics?
>>
>> The answer to that lies in the lesson we should have learned from the

>> mass incarceration of west-coast Japanese-Americans a few years back.
>
>They didn't bomb the Twin Towers either.
>
>Kelly, turn off NPR and Oprah.

The internment of US citizens of Japanese descent began SIXTY
years ago, not "a few years back." That ended 56 years ago, not
"a few years back."

Seems to be some kind of movement here to run out and kill
some ragheads for Jesus...?

Not only has ultralight and the mule departed far from
this newsgroup's general subject matter but they can't
even stay on the subject thread!

According to 97.1 (e) the last definition of the US ARS is:

"Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability
to enhance international goodwill."

It sounds to me like all of these self-styled amateur foreign
policy "experts" have fallen down on the job for the whole
last half century. They've had their licenses yet haven't done
much of that "unique goodwill" stuff. :-)

1141. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 19:07:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915150703.04888.00000694@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 52957

In article <jY3h9.98$tl5.48@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>news:d22b54c3.0209142026.142e17cf@posting.google.com...
>>
>> Actually very few are overpriced for the time & materials which are
>> put into 'em considering their low volmes of production Dave, nobody
>> out there is getting wealthy making & selling keys, bugs and paddles.
>> If they were selling at a rate of thousands per month yeah, they would
>> be a lot less expensive. At 10-20 a month I wouldn't turn the shop
>> lights on to make 'em.
>
>Let's see. $895 (and "reserve not met") with a loafing production of 10
>units each month comes to $8,950 gross.
>
>I think that would pay the light bill.

Ultralight must have VERY high-wattage lights... :-)

1142. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 19:07:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915150704.04888.00000695@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 52959

In article <F34h9.101$An5.26@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D83FF0A.CAE9EC69@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > news:3D839571.3D69AEBB@earthlink.net...
>> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > news:3D8324C3.2CDB0770@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>> > > > > > news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
>> > > > > > > On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 00:41:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > >Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal,
>> > similar to
>> > > > > the
>> > > > > > > > > >PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the
>FCC
>> > > > hasn't
>> > > > > > > > > put
>> > > > > > > > > > >a stop to it.
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > Want to get this settled? Submit a Petition for Declaratory
>> > Ruling
>> > > > > > > > > to the FCC (procedures are in Part 1 of the Rules) and sit
>back
>> > and
>> > > > > > > > > wait for the laughter.....
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > Regarding Farnsworth/Morse examinations? Knock yourself out.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > The issue seems important to you or you wouldn't continue all the
>> > > > > > blather.
>> > > > > > In the end, you don't seem interested in pursuit of a remedy.
>I've
>> > > > > given you advice and now Phil has given you some advice. Your
>> > response
>> > > > > indicates that you've suddenly decided that the matter isn't very

>> > > > > important after all.
>>
>> > > > Dave, there is no sudden change of opinion.
>> > >
>> > > You've railed about it here but you've done nothing toward changing
>> > > things.
>> >
>> > Change what? The regulation is clear.
>>
>> Perhaps I misunderstood. I could have sworn that you've been whining
>> about Farnsworth spacing.
>
>Why would I whine about Farnsworth spacing? Is there something wrong with
>it?
>
>> > > > Now you claim the Bill of
>> > > > Rights says I MUST petition my government? Especially about
>something
>> > that
>> > > > is crystal clear in the regulations?
>> > >
>> > > Was my order written in a "disappearing post"? I've looked and I
>can't
>> > > find that I've said anything like you've stated? Maybe you're busy
>> > > reading between the lines again. You don't have to petition your
>> > > government. I've never thought you were going to do anything at all
>on
>> > > the issue.
>> >
>> > You libs sure do like to dream up stuff for the rest of us to do with
>our
>> > spare time.
>>
>> "Libs"? What twit could read more than one of my posts and assume the
>> things you do? Did you find that post where I ordered you to petition
>> your government yet?
>
>Don't you want me to petition the government? It sure looked like thats
>what you were recommending.

The only ones who can possibly comment to the regulators are
those already licensed...and failing to follow 97.1 (e).

Further, commentors must be "cleared" by the long-time 1x2s
to show cause in having the "right attitude" about ham raddio.

"Right attitude" is defined as doing exactly as they say one
should do, obeying their examples.

That way all can gain "practical experience" in US amateur
radio. :-)

>> > > > Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re:
>Herr.
>> > >
>> > > Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.
>>
>> > Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.
>>
>> Oh my, Brian. Let's get this straight. You believe that Dick is a nut
>> and that Len Anderson is rational? *chortle*
>
>I believe Dick is a nut. I didn't say anything about Len.

Da Judge became Herr Robust again.

Contrary opinions expressed against the oberst's viewpoints
are rewarded by personal insults and general denigrations.
Nothing new in here. SOP.

1143. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 19:18:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <425h9.122$1A5.73@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52960

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020915150702.04888.00000693@mb-fq.aol.com...
> In article <TN3h9.96$If5.47@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >news:d22b54c3.0209150554.1d4b195d@posting.google.com...
> >
> >> Forty-fifty years ago there wasn't a Muslim anywhere who would have
> >> dreamed of attacking NYC. But after 55 years of completely bungling
> >> our responsibility to sort out the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.
> >
> >WHAT???
>
> Ultralight must be getting his world history input from ARRL. :-)
>
> The USA has been very well on the Israeli side of that "conflict"
> since their independence in 1948. The USA has also tried to
> use units of the US Army to keep Israel and Egypt from killing
> one another in the Sinai...which was successful for the short
> time that US forces were there.

I'm especially concerned about the "our responsibility" part.

> >> The
> >> Muslims now have their cause and their fringe nuts are conducting war
> >> their way. In this case (vs. WW2)I don't think it would be
> >> inappropriate to ask ourselves how much of it we've brought upon
> >> ourselves.
> >
> >WHAT???
>
> No, ultralight isn't getting input from ARRL...this sounds more like
> Wayne Green editorials of later years. :-)

Yes, yes, we brought it upon ourselves. We are not Islamic. We need to
check with Looey Farakhan and see what we need to do to become Islamic.

> >> The core problem is not in Bhagdad or Kandahar or Tripoli, it's in
> >> Jerusalem.
> >
> >The Trade Towers weren't in Jerusalem.
>
> Neither is the US capitol city. [see Pentagon]
>

> [how did Tripoli get into this?!?]
>
> >> > How can you trust in someone who you know has likeminded friends,
> >neighbors and relatives
> >> > who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you just because you're not
> >Islamic, or because
> >> > you're an American. Even though America is home to many other
Islamics?
> >>
> >> The answer to that lies in the lesson we should have learned from the
> >> mass incarceration of west-coast Japanese-Americans a few years back.
> >
> >They didn't bomb the Twin Towers either.
> >
> >Kelly, turn off NPR and Oprah.
>
> The internment of US citizens of Japanese descent began SIXTY
> years ago, not "a few years back." That ended 56 years ago, not
> "a few years back."
>
> Seems to be some kind of movement here to run out and kill
> some ragheads for Jesus...?
>
> Not only has ultralight and the mule departed far from
> this newsgroup's general subject matter but they can't
> even stay on the subject thread!
> -------
> According to 97.1 (e) the last definition of the US ARS is:
>
> "Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability
> to enhance international goodwill."
>
> It sounds to me like all of these self-styled amateur foreign
> policy "experts" have fallen down on the job for the whole
> last half century. They've had their licenses yet haven't done
> much of that "unique goodwill" stuff. :-)

How could they do a good job at foreign policy if they're working 3 or 4
international stations per minute?

1144. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 19:29:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D84DF97.84A92A1C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52961

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D839ED0.E4E41B50@earthlink.net>...
> > Bert Craig wrote:
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73 de Bert
> > > WA2SI
> >
> > Yep, it's for real, Bert. You'll note that there have been no bidders.
> > There is an active key maker on the web. His stuff is shown without
> > pricing. When I e-mailed for pricing, he told me that the paddle I was
> > interested in was over $500! In my opinion, most of the paddles sold
> > today are enormously overpriced.
> >
>
> Actually very few are overpriced for the time & materials which are
> put into 'em considering their low volmes of production Dave, nobody
> out there is getting wealthy making & selling keys, bugs and paddles.
> If they were selling at a rate of thousands per month yeah, they would
> be a lot less expensive. At 10-20 a month I wouldn't turn the shop
> lights on to make 'em.

Yup but Bencher's paddles aren't expensive and Mitch hasn't quite milked
the Vibroplex cow to death yet. Even the Kent keys aren't too
expensive.

> In this particular case the thing is a collector's cult piece so all
> bets are off when it comes to market value. A clean SX-88 sold for
> something like $15,000 a year or so ago on e-bay. A '55 Mercedes 300SL
> Gullwing sold for around $3,500 off the showroom floor in '55. Ten
> years ago I saw one go at an auction on Long Island for $650,000.

The N2DAN paddles aren't yet old enough to have achieved cult status.
I've used one and I thought it was nice--not nice enough to peddle the
Vibroplex Iambic Deluxe but nice. My only recent bargain was at the
Finnish League's winter meeting a few years back. RA1AOM was there and
was selling his green marble based paddles with white teflon
fingerpieces. All the metal is titanium and stainless steel with a
built-in 5-pin DIN plug for connections. It looks gorgeous and works
smoothly. A mere $125.

Dave K8MN

1145. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 19:35:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Gh5h9.128$IA5.55@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52962

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020915143111.14335.00000987@mb-mj.aol.com...
> In article <3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
<dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> Imagine how many Muslims in the USA feel, being blamed for the actions
of a
> >few
> >> extremeists....
> >
> > But......are the "actions of a few extremists" really so far from the
> >attitudes of
> >multitudes of Islamics?
>
> I don't know if they are or not. I only know a few Muslims, not
multitudes.

Have any spoken against terrorist bombings? They've had a year to do it.

> >Don't look too far below the surface, you might be stunned
> >by what you find.
>
> I think it's a mistake to look at Islam as some sort of monolithic
religion.
> Would you judge all of Christianity by the actions of Jim Jones, the
> Inquisition, abortion-clinic bombers, or the Ku Klux Klan? How about the
> witch-burners in Salem or the creationists?
>
> > The leader of a major American Muslin group was recently shown on TV
> >instructing his followers that "We are violent in other countries but in
the
> US we >don't need
> >to be violent. Here we have other means". Means like freedom of religion,
> >even if
> >that religion happens to be interpreted by a large segment of its
adherents
> >to mean
> >the destruction of all others. A religion which is at once THE only
religion
> >considered to be valid by its practitioners, and also the civil
authority.
> >Obviously the many zealots inside it would find it necessary for that

> >particular
> >religion to prevail.
>
> That's one interpretation, but here's another: That leader may have been
> speaking to the fact that in much (most?) of the world, the average
person has
> no say whatever in politics. America is different.

What if they get their say but don't get their desired results?

> Separation of church and state and full-scale religious freedom is really
a
> very new idea. And there are lots of contradictions right here. For
example:
>
> Despite our founding documents declaration of "all men are created equal".
it
> took 80+ years and a bloody war to eliminate slavery here. There were
plenty of
> Christian churches defending slavery as moral back then, too! It took even
> longer for women to get the vote.

It got done, didn't it? Has Islam eliminated slavery? Has Islam given
women the right to vote?

> Or consider the Scopes monkey trial, the anti-contraceptive laws,
"exclusive"
> clubs, and other religious-based embarrassments.

Like the Boy Scouts getting Boo'd while saying the Pledge of Allegiance at
the 96 DNC?

> Look outside the USA, and it's even worse. "Protestants" and "Catholics"
have
> been busy killing each other in Northern Ireland for decades. The
apartheid
> laws of South Africa sure weren't put in place by Muslims. Lots of other
> examples.

I'v always wondered why everyone was gaga about South Africa, but could give
a damn about Northern Ireland.

> > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
minority
> >which
> >is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the element
> >which
> >will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever it
> >takes to
> >suppress the moderate element to that end.
>
> Then how did Egypt and Turkey get moderate governments?

Don't forget that Iran and Libya once had moderate governments. Egypt has a serious terrorist problem. Turkey a smaller one.

1146. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 19:43:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D84E2EE.56E51FCC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52963

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D83FF0A.CAE9EC69@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D839571.3D69AEBB@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D8324C3.2CDB0770@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ecywe.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...

> > > > You've railed about it here but you've done nothing toward changing
> > > > things.
> > > >
> > > Change what? The regulation is clear.
> > >
> > Perhaps I misunderstood. I could have sworn that you've been whining
> > about Farnsworth spacing.
> >
> Why would I whine about Farnsworth spacing? Is there something wrong with
> it?

No, there's nothing wrong with it. You whine because it is your nature.

> > > > > Now you claim the Bill of
> > > > > Rights says I MUST petition my government? Especially about
> something
> > > that
> > > > > is crystal clear in the regulations?
> > > > >
> > > > Was my order written in a "disappearing post"? I've looked and I
> can't
> > > > find that I've said anything like you've stated? Maybe you're busy
> > > > reading between the lines again. You don't have to petition your
> > > > government. I've never thought you were going to do anything at all
> on
> > > > the issue.
> > > >
> > > You libs sure do like to dream up stuff for the rest of us to do with
```

> our
> > > spare time.
> >
> > "Libs"? What twit could read more than one of my posts and assume the
> > things you do? Did you find that post where I ordered you to petition
> > your government yet?
>
> Don't you want me to petition the government? It sure looked like thats
> what you were recommending.

You write more like Leonardo with the passage of time. How does what I
recommend become an order?

> > > > > Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re:
> Herr.
> > > > >
> > > > > Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.
> >
> > > > Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.
> >
> > Oh my, Brian. Let's get this straight. You believe that Dick is a nut
> > and that Len Anderson is rational? *chortle*
>
> I believe Dick is a nut. I didn't say anything about Len.

You and Len seem to agree on a number of things. He is your principal
supporter here. You are his.

Dave K8MN

1147. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 19:51:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D84E4AB.10E57557@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52964

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <F34h9.101$An5.26@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:3D83FF0A.CAE9EC69@earthlink.net...
> >> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> >
> >> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > news:3D839571.3D69AEBB@earthlink.net...
> >> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > > > news:3D8324C3.2CDB0770@earthlink.net...
> >> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> >> > You libs sure do like to dream up stuff for the rest of us to do with
> >our
> >> > spare time.
> >>
> >> "Libs"? What twit could read more than one of my posts and assume the
> >> things you do? Did you find that post where I ordered you to petition
> >> your government yet?
> >
> >Don't you want me to petition the government? It sure looked like thats
> >what you were recommending.
>
> The only ones who can possibly comment to the regulators are
> those already licensed...and failing to follow 97.1 (e).
>
> Further, commentors must be "cleared" by the long-time 1x2s
> to show cause in having the "right attitude" about ham raddio.
>
> "Right attitude" is defined as doing exactly as they say one
> should do, obeying their examples.
>
> That way all can gain "practical experience" in US amateur
> radio. :-)

Let's see...You've petitioned. Nobody stopped you though a number
laughed at you. Have you found a way to force government to implement
your suggestions?
If you're looking for a way to gain practical experience in amateur
radio, may I suggest obtaining a license and spending some time on the

air?

Just so we're straight on this: You feel that Brian is being kept from
petitioning the USG because I suggested that he contact the USG? Is
that about it?

> >> > > > Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re:
> >Herr.
> >> > >
> >> > > Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.
> >>
> >> > Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.
> >>
> >> Oh my, Brian. Let's get this straight. You believe that Dick is a nut
> >> and that Len Anderson is rational? *chortle*
> >
> >I believe Dick is a nut. I didn't say anything about Len.
>
> Da Judge became Herr Robust again.
>
> Contrary opinions expressed against the oberst's viewpoints
> are rewarded by personal insults and general denigrations.
> Nothing new in here. SOP.

It certainly isn't new, Len. We've seen that kind of stuff from you for
years.
It only offends you when you aren't the party dishing it out.

Dave K8MN

1148. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 20:22:04 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <am2q5n$3vt$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52965

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209150554.1d4b195d@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3D82AFE4.92228B76@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
> > >
> > >
> > > > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
minority which
> > > > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the
element which
> > > > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do
whatever it takes to
> > > > suppress the moderate element to that end.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
> >
> > The difference being that they don't hijack jets and slam them and all
their >passengers
>
> That's true but given any opportunity at all you can bet that those
> far right fringe types would impose their will on the rest of us. Up
> to and including perverting the Constitution to make it happen.
> Rememeber the words to "Onward Christian Soldiers"??

With the exception of porn and certain other much libralized
concepts, just what about the 10 commandements do you disagree
with. In fact, the tenets of western civilization and law are
pretty much based on the 10 commandments.

> > into
> > large buildings loaded with other innocent people. Granted, zealots are
zealots, but seems
> > the Islamic religion has an edge on the kind who are suicidal nuts.
>
> This is not the first time we've had the problem. Roll back nearly six
> decades and recall the Kamakazis. Those suicidal nuts killed a helluva
> lot of Yanks and sank some hundreds of our ships. Granted that was
> warrior against warrior warfare but the Kamikazis came from their
> peace-loving Taoist religion. They had a cause to rally 'round. Us

> peace-loving whatever-we-are types had a cause to rally 'round when we
> carpet-bombed the crap outta German cities full of civilains during
> WW2. Ditto Hiroshima, etc.

BUT... as you noted, the Kamakzis were engaged in
open combat. That's a big difference. Even the attack
on Pearl Harbor did NOT target Honolulu or any
specific civilian targets.

> Forty-fifty years ago there wasn't a Muslim anywhere who would have
> dreamed of attacking NYC. But after 55 years of completely bungling
> our responsibility to sort out the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The
> Muslims now have their cause and their fringe nuts are conducting war
> their way. In this case (vs. WW2)I don't think it would be
> inappropriate to ask ourselves how much of it we've brought upon
> ourselves.
>
> The core problem is not in Bhagdad or Kandahar or Tripoli, it's in
> Jerusalem.

????

> > How can you trust in someone who you know
> > has likeminded friends, neighbors and relatives
> > who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you
> > just because you're not Islamic, or because
> > you're an American. Even though America
> > is home to many other Islamics?
>
> The answer to that lies in the lesson we should have learned from the
> mass incarceration of west-coast Japanese-Americans a few years back.

Perhaps you can explain what you
mean. Has America mass incarcerated any Muslims?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1149. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 20:45:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Rj6h9.2$4S5.0@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52966

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D84E2EE.56E51FCC@earthlink.net...
> > > Perhaps I misunderstood. I could have sworn that you've been whining
> > > about Farnsworth spacing.
> >
> > Why would I whine about Farnsworth spacing? Is there something wrong
with
> > it?
>
> No, there's nothing wrong with it. You whine because it is your nature.

Dave, I've no idea what you're talking about.

Farnsworth spacing can be anything that you want it to be. It can be
anything I want it to be. It can be all things to all people. I'd never
whine about Farnsworth spacing, even if it were my nature.

> > > > > > Now you claim the Bill of
> > > > > > Rights says I MUST petition my government? Especially about
> > something
> > > > > that
> > > > > > is crystal clear in the regulations?
> > > > >
> > > > > Was my order written in a "disappearing post"? I've looked and I
> > can't
> > > > > find that I've said anything like you've stated? Maybe you're
busy
> > > > > reading between the lines again. You don't have to petition your
> > > > > government. I've never thought you were going to do anything at
all
> > on
> > > > > the issue.
> > > >
> > > > You libs sure do like to dream up stuff for the rest of us to do
with
> > our
> > > > spare time.
> > >
> > > "Libs"? What twit could read more than one of my posts and assume the
> > > things you do? Did you find that post where I ordered you to petition
> > > your government yet?
> >
> > Don't you want me to petition the government? It sure looked like thats
> > what you were recommending.
>

> You write more like Leonardo with the passage of time. How does what I
> recommend become an order?

Did you want be to petition the government or did you not? Make up your
mind.

> > > > > > Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re:
> > Herr.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.
> > > >
> > > > > Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.
> > > >
> > > > Oh my, Brian. Let's get this straight. You believe that Dick is a
nut
> > > and that Len Anderson is rational? *chortle*
> > >
> > I believe Dick is a nut. I didn't say anything about Len.
>
> You and Len seem to agree on a number of things. He is your principal
> supporter here. You are his.

Now Heil challenges our right to assemble?

Len and I agree on several issues, one of which is the apparent ownership of
the amateur radio service by knucklehead Extras.

1150. Re: 9/12/02 ANTIKEYCLOWNS STILL OWN THE NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-15 22:40:52 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <am333ktgf4@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 52967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bill Mast" <Bmast@netmail.com> wrote in message
news:3d82fe06.99841003@news.easynews.com...
> On 12 Sep 2002 09:03:34 -0700, bookooaddys@yahoo.com (Keyclown
> Busters) wrote:
>
> >And no one here can stop it.
> >
> >ESPECIALLY a booze and dope soaked nwa wanna be high school dropout
> >whose parents kicked him out because he is a useless doper parasite,
> >and who fantasizes about pimping hoes as the highest point on the
> >economic ladder he can achieve.
> >
> >ALSO: Crackheads, rednecks, bowl weenies, truckstop trannies, and all
> >the other slimes that comprise keyclownism.
> >NONE OF YOU CAN STOP THE ANTIKEYCLOWNS!!!
>
> Own What? Whats a keyclown?

Anyone the newsgroup trolls disagree with.

They will argue that it is an "illegal CBer", but they attach the label
quite freely to anyone who disagrees with their antisocial tactics within a
newsgroup.
LEGAL CBers and radio Amateurs have often been given the label "keyclown".

You will notice that the post makes accusations of pimping and drug use, and
is nothing more than a string of insults hurled at anyone who will take the
time to read it.
Some "antikeyclowns" have even been caught promoting illegal activity
themselves, which leads me to believe that their whole "pro-legal" stance
is fake, they just want to cause arguments on newsgroups. One of them has
made several attempts to spread the anger to a UK group, but has just been
blocked by most of the users.

Regards,

Peter.

1151. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 01:07:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uoabttd235b9b9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52968

Jim: you missed the part several months ago where little Dick has read this book.

Kim W5TIT

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020915143111.14335.00000987@mb-mj.aol.com...
> In article <3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> Imagine how many Muslims in the USA feel, being blamed for the actions of a
> >few
> >> extremeists....
> >
> > But......are the "actions of a few extremists" really so far from the
> >attitudes of
> >multitudes of Islamics?
>
> I don't know if they are or not. I only know a few Muslims, not multitudes.
>
> >Don't look too far below the surface, you might be stunned
> >by what you find.
>
> I think it's a mistake to look at Islam as some sort of monolithic religion.
> Would you judge all of Christianity by the actions of Jim Jones, the
> Inquisition, abortion-clinic bombers, or the Ku Klux Klan? How about the
> witch-burners in Salem or the creationists?
>
> > The leader of a major American Muslin group was recently shown on TV
> >instructing his followers that "We are violent in other countries but in the
> US we >don't need
> >to be violent. Here we have other means". Means like freedom of religion,
> >even if
> >that religion happens to be interpreted by a large segment of its adherents
> >to mean
> >the destruction of all others. A religion which is at once THE only religion

> >considered to be valid by its practitioners, and also the civil
authority.
> >Obviously the many zealots inside it would find it necessary for that
> >particular
> >religion to prevail.
>
> That's one interpretation, but here's another: That leader may have been
> speaking to the fact that in much (most?) of the world, the average
person has
> no say whatever in politics. America is different.
>
> Separation of church and state and full-scale religious freedom is really
a
> very new idea. And there are lots of contradictions right here. For
example:
>
> Despite our founding documents declaration of "all men are created equal".
it
> took 80+ years and a bloody war to eliminate slavery here. There were
plenty of
> Christian churches defending slavery as moral back then, too! It took even
> longer for women to get the vote.
>
> Or consider the Scopes monkey trial, the anti-contraceptive laws,
"exclusive"
> clubs, and other religious-based embarrassments.
>
> Look outside the USA, and it's even worse. "Protestants" and "Catholics"
have
> been busy killing each other in Northern Ireland for decades. The
apartheid
> laws of South Africa sure weren't put in place by Muslims. Lots of other
> examples.
>
> > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
minority
> >which
> >is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the element
> >which
> >will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever it
> >takes to
> >suppress the moderate element to that end.
>
> Then how did Egypt and Turkey get moderate governments?
> >
> > Nothing new here, just not much PC. We are taught in this country to
always
> >respect other religions, and Islam plays right into this universal
uniquely
> >American philosophy. If you must respect my religious views, and my

religious
> >views
> >include eventually taking over the government of your country and
ultimately
> >the
> >world, how can I lose? But I'd be a fool to tell you all about it up
front,
> >wouldn't I?
> >
> Sure. But respect doesn't mean anyone can do anything and claim "freedom
of
> religion".
>
>
> > It's an ugly subject but one which we'd better become very familiar.
Soon.
> >There will be many innocent victims along the way. Who they will turn out
to
> >be, of
> >Eastern or Western descent, will depend on the education process. It is
far
> >from
> >being as simple as a mere "religious tolerance" problem.
> >
> > Here's an excerpt from a newspaper editorial that appeared a few days
ago.
> >
> >Begin excerpt....
> >----------------
> > "Yes, we must kill the murderers of 9/11, but without becoming
murderers
> >and
> >without simply indulging ourselves. We must defend ourselves - without
> >throwing out
> >civil liberties at home, without barring every Muslim student from this
> >country,
> >without forgetting what a huge shadow a powerful America casts over the
world
> >and
> >how it can leave people feeling powerless, and without telling the world
> >we're
> >going to do whatever we want because.....now all bets are off.
> >
> > Because imposing norms and rules on ourselves gives us the credibility
to
> >demand
> >the rule of law, religious tolerance, consensual government, pluralism,
> >women's
> >rights, and respect for the notion that my grievance, however deep,
doesn't

> >entitle
> >me to do anything to anyone anytime anywhere
> >
> > "It gives us credibility to say to the Muslim world: Where have you
been
> >since
> >9/11? Where are your voices of reason?You humbly open your prayers in the
> >name of a
> >God of mercy and compassion. But when members of your faith, acting in
the
> >name of
> >Islam, murdered Americans or committed suicide against "infidels", your
press
> >extolled them as martyrs and your spiritual leaders were largely silent.
> >Other than
> >a few ritual condemnations, they offered no outcry in their mosques; they
> >drew no
> >new moral red lines in their schools. That's a problem, because if there
> >isn't a
> >struggle within Islam - over norms and values - there is going to be a
> >struggle
> >between Islam and us.
> >
> > "In short, numbing ourselves to the post 9/11 realities will not work.
> >Military
> >operations, while necessary, are not sufficient. Building higher walls
may
> >feel
> >comforting, but in today's interconnected world they're an illusion. Our
only
> >hope
> >is that people will be restrained by internal walls - norms and values.
> >Visibly
> >imposing them on ourselves and loudly demanding them from others is the
only
> >viable
> >survival strategy for our shrinking planet..."
> >
> >End of excerpt.
> >-------------
>
> Sounds pretty logical to me.
>
> > Problem is, religious zealots rarely find it within themselves to become
> >moderates
> >or to tolerate others, even as they profess to be doing so.
>
> That can be said of any religious zealot.
>
> >Islam is inherently a

> >non-tolerant religion, in some of its most basic teachings, and certainly
in
> >a seriously large pecentage of its clerical interpretations.
>
> > The deck is clearly stacked against us in this scrap
> >
> > (The editorial was entitled "What Noah can teach us bout 9/11", and was
> >written by
> >New York Times syndicated columnist Thomas Friedman.)
>
> The real problems are not religious - they are political. The use of
religion
> as a cover for political aggression isn't new. Goes back to the Crusades,
at
> least.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

1152. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 02:38:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915223812.02839.00003665@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52969

>Subject: Re: Is This For Real?!
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/15/02 2:07 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020915150703.04888.00000694@mb-fq.aol.com>

>>I think that would pay the light bill.
>
> Ultralight must have VERY high-wattage lights.

Unlike you, we can be reasonably sure he's not in the dark.

1153. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 02:41:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915224118.02839.00003666@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 52970

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/15/02 2:07 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020915150702.04888.00000693@mb-fq.aol.com>

> According to 97.1 (e) the last definition of the US ARS is:...(SNIP)

This forum is not regulated by Part 97 of the FCC's Rule's and
Regulations...

Just in case you forgot...

Steve

1154. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 03:09:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915230901.25779.00000717@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52972

In article <d22b54c3.0209150440.776f32b4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020914205601.11404.00000838@mb-ct.aol.com>...
>> Yes, it's for real, Bert. It's also eBay. Note that there are no bids.
>>
>> Rare and collectible items draw whatever the market will bear. I thought
>the
>> $6000 SX-88 was expensive until I saw an unbuilt AT-1 go for $5100 (not a
>> typo).
>
>Which Old rcvr went for $15,000?

I dunno. But it would not surprise me if it happened.

>I thought it was an SX-88.

Might be. I know for sure of a $6000 SX-88, but there could be a more expensive one.

While SX-88s are rare and prized, they are not one-of-a-kind. Nor were they the best of their era. Compared to the original 1954 purchase price of $595, $6000 for a mint one means an ROI of about 5% per year.

A $15,000 SX-88 would still be outdone by the $5,100 unbuilt AT-1.

> One of the
>prototype rcvrs from from the long-gone outfit which built ssb
>transmiters for awhile . . ?

That would be the CE 100-R. Only one exists, and the last I heard it was still in the hands of K8PSV.

The CE line (200-V, 100-R, 600L) would have given Collins some serious competition 40+ years ago, if the 100-R had reached the market. But CE was bought out (by Zenith?) and the whole ham gear business was simply dropped.

CE successfully developed and marketed no-tune HF amateur gear more than 45 years ago. With tubes. The 100-V, 200-V (100-watt-class transmitters) and 600-L (linear amplifier) all used a unique patented bandpass coupler in their output circuits rather than the then-ubiquitous pi-network.

Then there's the Cosmophone (first production-line all-amateur-HF-band SSB transceiver) and the EF Johnson Avenger transceiver (hybrid design, good performance and advanced features).

73 de Jim, N2EY

1155. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 03:09:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020915230902.25779.00000718@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 52971

In article <uoabttd235b9b9@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>Jim: you missed the part several months ago where

W0EX

>has read this
>book.
>
Which book?

Sometime back I read an interesting book called "What Went Wrong" (can't recall the author offhand). Good description of the rise of Islam vs. the decline/stagnation of European culture during the Middle Ages, then the reversal as the Renassaince/industrial revolution caused the West to leap ahead.

Quite interesting, though a bit dry in spots. I recommend it.

Much of what we now know of ancient history and basic science and math are the result of works preserved by Muslim scholars while European Christians were busy burning books because of their 'pagan' origins. Now the shoe is on the other foot.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1156. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 04:36:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am3n3v$k0k$0@208.206.142.70>
Source record: 52973

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > > > > Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.
> > > >
> > > > > Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.
> > > >
> > > Oh my, Brian. Let's get this straight. You believe that Dick is a nut
> > > and that Len Anderson is rational? *chortle*
> > >
> > I believe Dick is a nut. I didn't say anything about Len.
>

Dick couldn't care less what Slim thinks. Assuming he actually does.
One more point- Slim is the sort of nut that squirrels pass by - the one
with nothing of value inside.

1157. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 04:39:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am3n9f$k0k$1@208.206.142.70>
Source record: 52974

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> Len and I agree on several issues, one of which is the apparent ownership of
> the amateur radio service by knucklehead Extras.

I'll sell you my part real cheap. You can put it on Len's bridge across
East River.

1158. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 04:45:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am3nm5$k0k$2@208.206.142.70>
Source record: 52975

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Sat, 14 Sep 2002 21:54:54 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Which is why he's not Senator Ashcroft today instead of attorney
> >general. Also why MO has a demo governor today. VERY narrow margins
> >took the election, and shady stuff went on also in Kansas City.
>
> I thought that H Roe Bartlett died years ago...or is he like Mayor
> Daley the Elder. living on in infamy....

Phil, that would be H. Roe Bartle, for whom Bartle Hall, the big convention center in downtown KC is named. And to you your question, obviously yes.

Dick

1159. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 05:37:16 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D856E0C.E21F9C91@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 52976

Phil Kane wrote:

>
> The military routimely asks the FCC for - and receives - permission
> to "share" 6 meters on an "non-interference" basios .... (sure.....)
> The ham users aren't "asked" - there are FCC/NTIA agreements in
> place for this.
>

Well, they probably avoid the frequencies with repeaters in the area.
And the packet freqs too. But 6m is not that crowded and if a ham
appears on a freq they are using, they probably just jump to another
frequency. Or they use spread spectrum and we wouldn't know or much
care that they are there.

1160. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 12:39:20 UTC
From: radio furby <drandiseee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d85d18f@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 52977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:Je4h9.105$Ko5.58@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "vulnavia 4" <vna4rbeets@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3d8489f0@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> > Looks like Wayne Green may have been right in his
> > prognostications from 10 years ago?
>
> Did the aliens finally land?

Art Bell says so, thus it's got to be true!
(and Wayne's been on his show too - grin)

1161. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 13:12:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D85D8C5.73F5B304@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52978

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D84E2EE.56E51FCC@earthlink.net...
> > > > Perhaps I misunderstood. I could have sworn that you've been whining
> > > > about Farnsworth spacing.
> > >
> > > Why would I whine about Farnsworth spacing? Is there something wrong
> with
> > > it?
> >
> > No, there's nothing wrong with it. You whine because it is your nature.
>
> Dave, I've no idea what you're talking about.

I believe you.

> Farnsworth spacing can be anything that you want it to be. It can be
> anything I want it to be. It can be all things to all people. I'd never
> whine about Farnsworth spacing, even if it were my nature.

In that case, someone is forging posts and attributing them to you.

> > > > > > Now you claim the Bill of
> > > > > > Rights says I MUST petition my government? Especially about
> > > something
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > > is crystal clear in the regulations?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Was my order written in a "disappearing post"? I've looked and I
> > > can't
> > > > > > find that I've said anything like you've stated? Maybe you're
> busy
> > > > > > reading between the lines again. You don't have to petition your
> > > > > > government. I've never thought you were going to do anything at
> all
> > > on
> > > > > > the issue.
> > > > >
> > > > > You libs sure do like to dream up stuff for the rest of us to do
> with
> > > our
> > > > > spare time.
> > > >
> > > "Libs"? What twit could read more than one of my posts and assume the

> > > > things you do? Did you find that post where I ordered you to petition
> > > > your government yet?
> > >
> > > Don't you want me to petition the government? It sure looked like thats
> > > what you were recommending.
> >
> > You write more like Leonardo with the passage of time. How does what I
> > recommend become an order?
>
> Did you want be to petition the government or did you not? Make up your
> mind.

My mind is working find, Brian. I asked how does a recommendation
become an "order". I suppose your nonsense comment is substituted for
factual response.

> > > > > > > Perhaps Len isn't so far off the mark as Steve claims he is, re:
> > > Herr.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re: Clueless.
> > > > >
> > > > > Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.
> > > >
> > > > Oh my, Brian. Let's get this straight. You believe that Dick is a
> nut
> > > > and that Len Anderson is rational? *chortle*
> > >
> > > I believe Dick is a nut. I didn't say anything about Len.
> >
> > You and Len seem to agree on a number of things. He is your principal
> > supporter here. You are his.
>
> Now Heil challenges our right to assemble?

Wow! These "what was written vs. what Brian read" things are becoming
predictable.

> Len and I agree on several issues, one of which is the apparent ownership of
> the amateur radio service by knucklehead Extras.

I see. That alternate reality view would make you both flakes.

Dave K8MN

1162. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 14:15:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209160615.56ac4c35@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52979

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D84DF97.84A92A1C@earthlink.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D839ED0.E4E41B50@earthlink.net>...
> > > Bert Craig wrote:
> > > >
> > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > 73 de Bert
> > > > WA2SI
> > >
> > > Yep, it's for real, Bert. You'll note that there have been no bidders.
> > > There is an active key maker on the web. His stuff is shown without
> > > pricing. When I e-mailed for pricing, he told me that the paddle I was
> > > interested in was over $500! In my opinion, most of the paddles sold
> > > today are enormously overpriced.
> > >
> >
> > Actually very few are overpriced for the time & materials which are
> > put into 'em considering their low volmes of production Dave, nobody
> > out there is getting wealthy making & selling keys, bugs and paddles.
> > If they were selling at a rate of thousands per month yeah, they would
> > be a lot less expensive. At 10-20 a month I wouldn't turn the shop
> > lights on to make 'em.
>
> Yup but Bencher's paddles aren't expensive

Neither were Pintos, Chevettes and Vegas. When I was operating at a
multi-multi one got knocked off the desk and I spent the following
half hour chasing the parts all over the floor and putting it back
together. Guy on the next shift did the same trick to another one.

> and Mitch hasn't quite milked
> the Vibroplex cow to death yet. Even the Kent keys aren't too
> expensive.
>

At least to a point ya get what ya pay for. I didn't feel the least
bit ripped off when I bought my Kent.

> > In this particular case the thing is a collector's cult piece so all
> > bets are off when it comes to market value. A clean SX-88 sold for
> > something like $15,000 a year or so ago on e-bay. A '55 Mercedes 300SL

> > Gullwing sold for around $3,500 off the showroom floor in '55. Ten
> > years ago I saw one go at an auction on Long Island for $650,000.
>
> The N2DAN paddles aren't yet old enough to have achieved cult status.
> I've used one and I thought it was nice--not nice enough to peddle the
> Vibroplex Iambic Deluxe but nice.

OK, I dunno, I don't much follow it. I've only ever owned two paddles.
The first was a hombrewed monster. Big brass base with two Putz-era
"mil-spec" straight keys mounted vertically back-to-back with
fingerpieces made from some G-10 circuit board stock. Used it for
35-40 years. I picked up the Kent for $129.95 about three years ago.

My only recent bargain was at the
> Finnish League's winter meeting a few years back. RA1AOM was there and
> was selling his green marble based paddles with white teflon
> fingerpieces. All the metal is titanium and stainless steel

Arrrgh! Both are the worst of all possible metals to machine. Do you
have one?? I couldn't have resisted it.

> with a
> built-in 5-pin DIN plug for connections. It looks gorgeous and works
> smoothly. A mere $125.

Was he moving the things? You been there, how many Marrkas/EUs was
that then and how many of 'em did it take for a clever Russian to live
high on the hawg back home in Putinville?

>
> Dave K8MN

w3rv

1163. Re: 9/12/02 ANTIKEYCLOWNS STILL OWN THE NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 14:43:26 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <i6mh9.1377$cs5.59615513@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:am333ktgf4@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Bill Mast" <Bmast@netmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3d82fe06.99841003@news.easynews.com...
> > On 12 Sep 2002 09:03:34 -0700, bookooaddys@yahoo.com (Keyclown
> > Busters) wrote:
> >
> > >And no one here can stop it.
> > >
> > >ESPECIALLY a booze and dope soaked nwa wanna be high school dropout
> > >whose parents kicked him out because he is a useless doper parasite,
> > >and who fantasizes about pimping hoes as the highest point on the
> > >economic ladder he can achieve.
> > >
> > >ALSO: Crackheads, rednecks, bowl weenies, truckstop trannies, and all
> > >the other slimes that comprise keyclownism.
> > >NONE OF YOU CAN STOP THE ANTIKEYCLOWNS!!!
> >
> > Own What? Whats a keyclown?
>
> Anyone the newsgroup trolls disagree with.
>
> They will argue that it is an "illegal CBer", but they attach the label
> quite freely to anyone who disagrees with their antisocial tactics within
a
> newsgroup.
> LEGAL CBers and radio Amateurs have often been given the label "keyclown".
>
> You will notice that the post makes accusations of pimping and drug use,
and
> is nothing more than a string of insults hurled at anyone who will take
the
> time to read it.
> Some "antikeyclowns" have even been caught promoting illegal activity
> themselves, which leads me to believe that their whole "pro-legal" stance
> is fake, they just want to cause arguments on newsgroups. One of them has
> made several attempts to spread the anger to a UK group, but has just been
> blocked by most of the users.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

>
>

Well Brain since you have only been around for a
short while I'll fill you in. The "Keyclownbuster" is a
Ham named Doug Adair N8WWM. He was flooding
the newsgroup a year or so back on a daily basis with
the same foul language accusation and insults. He has
lost his AOL account, Concentric, UUnet now he's
working on another one.
Now what I find strange is, that Doug and a couple
of others are ham operators, now just because they are
hams doesn't mean that they are morally right, but they
wouldn't and couldn't talk like this on the ham bands, let
alone on the cb or for that fact in person.

Landshark

--
Try sending your complaints to these concerning
Keyclownbusters (bookooaddys@yahoo.com IE:
Doug Adair N8WWM):

help@uu.net

abuse-mail@wcom.com

netadmin@ao.wcom.net

groups-abuse@google.com

abuse@yahoo.com

Consumer Affairs
WorldCom
1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
Arlington, VA 22202
800-695-4405

1164. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 15:48:55 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209160748.18aea192@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52981

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<3wHg9.61$wt1.3801103@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020914100852.25779.00000662@mb-cb.aol.com...
> > In article <C4kg9.19847$e44.734633@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
> > <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> writes:
> >
> > >> Paddles are like women, huh?
> >
> > Taste in paddles is like taste in women. There's no universal best, but
> there
> > are some real clunkers.
> > >
> > >I'll just leave that one alone. ;-)
> >
> > I won't.
> >
> > Some look really nice from a distance, but up close they make you want to
> run
> > away.
> >
> > Some are finicky and high maintenance while others are solid and rugged,
> > needing just a bit of basic attention to stay in perfect shape.
> >
> > Some are great when new but fall apart with a little use, while others age
> > gracefully and become even more attractive and fun after decades of
> > familiarity.
> >
> > Some are easily adaptable to a wide variety of situations while others
> must be
> > kept in a very controlled environment in order to function at all.
> >
> > There are many you will want to try out but relatively few you will want
> to
> > take home for keeps.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > (who prefers Kent, Vibroplex, Mary Ann and Bailey over the competition)
>
> Hey, there weren't any telegraph keys on gilligan's island or wkrp.

Dipshit.

1165. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 18:41:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0209161041.23951011@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52982

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals
on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of September 15, 2002:

Novice - 36,819 (decrease of 12,510)
Tech - 243,865 (increase of 38,471)
Tech Plus - 75,976 (decrease of 53,281)
General - 138,922 (increase of 26,245)
Advanced - 84,695 (decrease of 15,087)
Extra - 102,719 (increase of 23,969)
Total - 682,996 (increase of 8,204)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1166. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 18:51:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D862823.44C20CD9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52983

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D84DF97.84A92A1C@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian Kelly wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D839ED0.E4E41B50@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Bert Craig wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > 73 de Bert
> > > > > WA2SI
> > > >
> > > > Yep, it's for real, Bert. You'll note that there have been no bidders.
> > > > There is an active key maker on the web. His stuff is shown without
> > > > pricing. When I e-mailed for pricing, he told me that the paddle I was
> > > > interested in was over $500! In my opinion, most of the paddles sold
> > > > today are enormously overpriced.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Actually very few are overpriced for the time & materials which are
> > > put into 'em considering their low volmes of production Dave, nobody
> > > out there is getting wealthy making & selling keys, bugs and paddles.
> > > If they were selling at a rate of thousands per month yeah, they would
> > > be a lot less expensive. At 10-20 a month I wouldn't turn the shop
> > > lights on to make 'em.
> >
> > Yup but Bencher's paddles aren't expensive
>
> Neither were Pintos, Chevettes and Vegas. When I was operating at a
> multi-multi one got knocked off the desk and I spent the following
> half hour chasing the parts all over the floor and putting it back
> together. Guy on the next shift did the same trick to another one.

That's probably why I've never owned a Bencher. I think they did modify
the later production so the things no longer fly apart. They're an
inexpensive "clone" of the W8FYO paddle (and those things go for BIG
bucks).

> > and Mitch hasn't quite milked
> > the Vibroplex cow to death yet. Even the Kent keys aren't too
> > expensive.

> >
>
> At least to a point ya get what ya pay for. I didn't feel the least
> bit ripped off when I bought my Kent.

I didn't either when I laid down $85 for the Iambic Deluxe or $65 for
the Iambic Standard. The current Vibroplex prices bear little relation
to reality.

> > > In this particular case the thing is a collector's cult piece so
all
> > > bets are off when it comes to market value. A clean SX-88 sold for
> > > something like $15,000 a year or so ago on e-bay. A '55 Mercedes 300SL
> > > Gullwing sold for around $3,500 off the showroom floor in '55. Ten
> > > years ago I saw one go at an auction on Long Island for $650,000.
> >
> > The N2DAN paddles aren't yet old enough to have achieved cult status.
> > I've used one and I thought it was nice--not nice enough to peddle the
> > Vibroplex Iambic Deluxe but nice.
>
> OK, I dunno, I don't much follow it. I've only ever owned two paddles.
> The first was a hombrewed monster. Big brass base with two Putz-era
> "mil-spec" straight keys mounted vertically back-to-back with
> fingerpieces made from some G-10 circuit board stock. Used it for
> 35-40 years. I picked up the Kent for $129.95 about three years ago.

Hal Bubb (now a silent key) who lived up in Clinton, CT and who was a
retired W1AW chief op used a similar lash up with a homebrew keyer for
years.

> My only recent bargain was at the
> > Finnish League's winter meeting a few years back. RA1AOM was there and
> > was selling his green marble based paddles with white teflon
> > fingerpieces. All the metal is titanium and stainless steel
>
> Arrrgh! Both are the worst of all possible metals to machine. Do you
> have one?? I couldn't have resisted it.

Sure I bought one. The simple fact was that I couldn't resist it
either. The machining and polishing were too good to pass up.

> > with a
> > built-in 5-pin DIN plug for connections. It looks gorgeous and works
> > smoothly. A mere $125.
>
> Was he moving the things? You been there, how many Marrkas/EUs was
> that then and how many of 'em did it take for a clever Russian to live
> high on the hawg back home in Putinville?

He moved all he brought--maybe eight or ten of the things. K3FK bought
one as did Heikki OH2KMF. The price was something like 700 FMK in '96.
I had Val sign the bottom in felt marker. I'm sure he sells enough of

them to keep the dascha in St. Petersburg painted.

Dave K8MN

1167. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 18:58:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am59l9fcm0@208.206.142.99>
Source record: 52984

Brian Kelly wrote:
>)
> >
> > Hey, there weren't any telegraph keys on gilligan's island or wkrp.
>
> Dipshit.

When he says he "doesn't know", he speaks the truth for once. Most of the rest of the time he's lying. Cecil's dictionary says so.

1168. ARNewsline 1309 - Sept 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 22:02:32 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020916180232.02276.00004744@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 52985

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1309 – September 13th, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1309 with a release date of Friday, September 13th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. A world ham radio license inches closer while the N-T-I-A says no to 5 MHz for U-S hams. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1309 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RADIO REGULATIONS: WORLD HAM LICENSE A BIT CLOSER

A world wide universal ham radio license is a bit closer. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, reports on a meeting that has opened yet another door:

--
AUDIO REPORT ONLY: NO TEXT AVAILABLE. Audio is at http://www.arnewsline.org
--

It had been hoped that a world license would have been in place by the turn of last century two years ago. Now pundits are suggesting the end of 2009 or maybe 2010. (Q-News)

**
AMERICA AT WAR: HAM RADIO COMMEMORATES 911

Amateur radio was at ground zero in New York, Washington and Pennsylvania a year ago. And on the first anniversary of the terror attack that felled the World Trade Center, hams were there once again as the nation paused to reflect. The day before the 911 commemorative ceremonies were held the United States was put on a heightened state of alert for terrorist activity. Color orange the government calls it. And since 911 the words to live by all around the world have been – be vigilant.

Ed Note: From the President of the ARRL addressing the hams of the world on an Internet link-up, to hams in Florida operating air-mobile carrying American Flags to hams in NYC assisting at ceremonies, the Amateur Radio community involved itself in 9-11-2002. Much of it appeared to be planned at the last minute and only now are reports coming into our newsroom. Rather than rush together a report that would not do justice to all that was done, we plan to do in-depth coverage in next weeks newscast. (ARNewsline™ from listener reports)

**

THE CHALLANGE TO OUR BANDS: ARRL EXPECTED TO FILE ON THE 222 MHZ ISSUE

The American Radio Relay League is expected to file reply comments in opposition to the initial comments of Data Commlink Incorporated. This, in FCC W T Docket 02-224.

As reported last week, W T Docket 02-224 merely sought to gather comments on requests for waivers on spectrum management services in the 220 to 222 MHz band. But Data Commlink decided to use it as an opportunity to strongly suggest that the FCC remove 222 to 225 MHz from use by radio amateurs and transfer it over to commercial service. Primarily for use by the electric power cooperatives that it represents.

Word is that the League will vigorously defend the 222 to 225 MHz spectrum. Keep an eye on the ARRL website news pages at www.arrl.org for the latest. (ARNewsline™)

**

THE SPECTRUM FIGHT: NTIA HEAVES POTENTIAL MONKEY WRENCH INTO 5-MHZ BAND PROPOSAL

The National Telecommunications and Information Administration -- the N-T-I-A -- has recommended that the FCC not grant an ARRL petition for a domestic-only secondary Amateur Radio allocation at 5 MHz. Amateur Radio Newsline’s David Black, KB4KCH, has the details:

--
The N-T-I-A regulates radio spectrum allocated to the federal government. According to the ARRL Letter, the last-minute negative recommendation from that agency followed hundreds of largely favorable comments and reply comments from all sorts of organizations and from many individuals.

But in an August 21st letter, the N-T-I-A's Fredrick R. Wentland wrote that federal agencies are making extensive use of High Frequencies for emergency services. This, Wentland says, includes communications support for the Department of Defense, the Coast Guard and Department of Justice.

Wentland, , who is the agency’s Acting Associate Administrator for Spectrum Management went on to say that the N-T-I-A believes the Commission's current proposal does not adequately provide for protection from harmful interference to these critical government operations primary in the band. He says that without a more complete understanding of the interference potential to federal operations, the N-T-I-A believes the secondary amateur allocation would be "premature.”

But Wentland did offer up a carrot so as to speak. He said that N-T-I-A was willing to work with federal agencies, the FCC and the amateur community to determine whether some future accommodation for amateurs at 5 MHz would be possible. However that could include limitations on power or emission types, a reduction in the size of the proposed band, the use of discrete frequencies or geographical restrictions.

From the South-East Bureau, I’m David Black, KB4KCH, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

Wentland's letter arrived at the FCC beyond the cut-off date for reply comments for ET Docket 02-98. None-the-less, the N-T-I-A is a powerful agency and when it speaks, all other agencies tend to listen and to obey. (ARRL)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the W9EAR repeater serving Bloomington Indiana.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIOSPORTS: US TEAM RETURNS FROM ARDF CHAMPIONSHIPS

This year's team of eleven American on-foot foxhunting enthusiasts were up against more than 300 competitors from 28 other nations, and they came within two minutes of bringing home our first medal. Nadia Mayeva from Mooresville, North Carolina took fourth place in her age category by finding all her required transmitters in 109:02. She was edged out by a YL from China, who won the category bronze medal in 107:20.

Gyuri Nagi KF6YKN of Maspeth, New York placed fifth out of 50 in the hotly-contested M40 category. Tthat's males ages 40 through 49. He and his family put on a one-week training camp for members of Team USA near his native hometown in Hungary during the week before the championships.

This was USA's third trip to the World Championships, which are held in even-numbered years at locations chosen by the International Amateur Radio Union. Team members ranged in age from 33 to 60. Co-captains were Dick Arnett WB4SUV of Erlanger, Kentucky and Bob Frey WA6EZV of Cincinnati, Ohio. Karla Leach KC7BLA of Bozeman, Montana represented USA and IARU Region 2 on the International Jury overseeing the competitions. Her assignment was Course Marshal at one of the foxes.

Complete results for all ARDF Team USA members plus lots more information about the sport are now at Team USA's official Web site: www.homingin.com That's homingin -- one word -- homingin.com

From sunny southern California, this is Joe Moell K-zero-Oscar-Victor, for Amateur Radio Newsline. (K0OV, ARNewsline™)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: BASS OFFICIALLY OFF OCTOBER ISS FLIGHT

It’s now official. The Russian Space Agency has notified NASA that 'N Sync singer Lance Bass, KG4UYY, won't fly to the international space station next month because his backers were unable to raise the $20 million fare.

With backing from corporate sponsors that included Radio Shack and MTV, Bass had hoped to blast off from the Bikenour Cosmodrome in Kazakhstan on October 28th. But the producer of a seven part documentary on Bass experience in space failed to raise the full amount of money needed. The result is that the pop star was grounded by the Russians and a cargo container substituted on the flight in his place.

Had he flown Bass who is age 23 would have been the youngest person and youngest ham radio operator ever in space. He also would have been the third paying space tourist to visit the I-S-S. We'll have more ham radio space related news later in this weeks newscast. (ARNewsline™ from published reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: DONT TAKE 10 ON THE ROAD AGAIN

The FCC has told Cheetah Transportation Company to keep its trucking operation off of the 10 meter Amateur band. In a letter to the Monroesville North Carolina company the FCC says a driver for a vehicle licensed to Cheetah was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment on 28.085 MHz. This, while driving on Interstate 77 in South Carolina last June 5th.

In its letter to the company the FCC tells Cheetah Transportation that this operation will subject the person operating the radio to fine or imprisonment if the violation continues. Fines normally range from $7500 to $10,000. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC SAYS NYC REPEATER PROBLEM IS SOLVED

The FCC says that a repeater problem in the New York City area has been put to rest. This as the agency places primary responsibility for solving interference on the shoulders of the operator of an uncoordinated repeater. The agency's Daryl Duckwoth, NNZ0W, explains:

--
Duckworth: "We closed the case involving a repeater operated by Ramon Floriman, KB2PRV, of Elmhurst New York. Florimam was unable to show coordination and the Enforcement Bureau pointed out that he would be primerilly responsible for resolving any interfertence to a coordinated repeater; that a Control Operator must be present during the operation of the station and that the repeater is to be used only by licensed operators."
--

The FCC hopes that closes the book on this one. (RAIN, FCC)

**

HAM RADIO EDUCATION: THE BIG PROJECT WORKS

The ARRL Education and Technology Initiative, better known as "The Big Project," has been getting big donations. More important, its getting big results.

The Big Project was created by ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP. League Vice President Kay Cragie, WT3P, made it all work. Its primary objective is the spreading of Amateur Radio into the nation's schools.

The Big Project support fund has already received $198,000 in donations from approximately 3,400 individual ARRL members. The average donation has been about $50. (ARRL Great Lakes Div. Release)

**

HAM RADIO TRAINING: HAMTESTONLINE.COM

J. Cunningham and Associates has launched a new subscription website for aspiring radio amateurs and those wanting to upgrade. Called www.hamtestonline.com, the company says that the site is designed to help both new and experienced ham radio enthusiasts prepare for U.S. amateur radio written exams.
According to a press release, HamTestOnline operates entirely online. There is no software to download or install. One click records your answer, provides feedback, and presents the next question. The Website includes all questions from the latest Technician, General, and Amateur Extra question pools.
J. Cunningham & Assoc. is a small software development and consulting firm located on the outskirts of Boston, Massachusetts. They can be contacted by e-mail to webmaster @hamtestonline.com. (Hamtestonline)

**

BROADCAST COMMUNICATIONS TRAINING: SBE OFFERS CERTIFICATION

The Society of Broadcast Engineers – the SBE – is offering a program of certification for broadcast engineers. Certificates range from the entry-level Broadcast Technologist to the 20-plus-years-of-experience-needed Professional Broadcast Engineer. The program includes certification for Audio and Video Engineers. There is also a Broadcast Network Technologist which does not include any RF related questions. For more information about SBE Certification contact the SBE chapter nearest to you. (SBE PDX)

**

CELEBRATIONS: SCADS AT YEAR 29

The 29th Birthday Meeting of the Southern California Area D-X’ers is slated for September 21st at 12 noon. The venue is the Community Room of the F&M Bank in suburban city of Seal Beach. The meeting is open to the general public. Contact Bill Fisher by e-mail to billfishernow@netzero.net for more information on this special gathering. (SCADS)

**

SPECIAL EVENT STATIONS: GB2RAF ON THE AIR

And the permanent special event station GB2RAF located at the UK Royal Air Force Air Defense Radar Museum was to be operational on Saturday the 14th of September between 09:00 and 14:00 UTC in the 80 or 40 meter bands. QSL will be sent to all amateurs who make contact and request one. (GB2RS)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

EDUCATION: HOME SCHOOLING HAD HAM RADIO

In California where Amateur Radio Newsline is located, some 150,000 youngsters each year are getting their primary education at home. They are home schoolers. Their teachers are primarily their parents and similar percentages hold for most other states. And there's a growing question: Has ham radio become a part of the home schooling movement? Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has more:

--
Rumors abound that ham radio has become an important addition to the nations home schooling movement but only those involved in home schooling can really tell us -- and you -- what's happening. To try to find out, Amateur Radio Newsline is going to run one of our "mini surveys."

To take part is simple. First you need to be a ham radio operator who is home schooling his or her children or a ham radio kid who is being home schooled. If you fit either category, take a moment to send us a note explaining how you are using ham radio in your home schooling curriculum and why you chose it.

Please send your electronic response to newsline@arnewsline.org and be sure to put the words home schooling in the subject line. We will collect the data for the next three weeks and then post some of it to our website. If we get enough input we may even try to write an article on ham radio and home schooling for one of the mainline Amateur Radio magazines -- if they are interested. Lets face it: This could make for a rather interesting project.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF
--

Again, if you are a home schooler who uses ham radio in the teaching process please send your e-mail response to newsline@arnewsline.org and be sure to put the words home schooling in the subject line. We thank you for taking part in this survey.

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: U-O-SAT 11 HEARD AGAIN

In the world of ham radio in space, word that U-O-SAT 11's V-H-F beacon has been heard once again. Paul Roeder, KK5ET in Houston reports via the AMSAT News Service that he copied the 2 Meter downlink on 145.826 MHz FM. This is a 1200-baud A-F-S-K signal and he heard it between 21:25 and 21:38 UTC pass on September 4th. Paul says that the signal was a strong S 3 to S 5. (ANS)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: JAPAN TO DEVELOP SPACE SHUTTLE

Japan's space agency says that it plans to test an unmanned landing and take-off system next month. That nations National Space Development Agency, or NASDA, that it hopes will one day be used in a reusable shuttle or other spacecraft.

The five-part tests are to begin next month on Christmas Island in the Micronesian archipelago of Kiribati. They will be followed by a second set of tests sometime next year. (K6DUE, NASDA)

**

ON THE AIR: THE VHF/UHF FALL SPRINTS

The 2002 Fall Sprints, sponsored by the Southeastern VHF Society, are about to start up. According to Greg Robinson, KB4NVD , the Sprints are a fast paced block of time where all the contest activity is compressed into a short period. That says Robinson makes them a great chance to get on and work lots of stations on your favorite VHF and higher bands.

Robinson says that the bottom four bands all have their own separate evenings for the contest. The microwave bands from 902 MHz and up are grouped together on a weekend morning.

More information about the days of the Sprints , the rules and a list of who is handling the logs for each band will be found on the SVHFS webpage. Its in cyberspace at www.svhfs.org. (VHF Reflector)

**

DX

In D-X, word that G3PYB, G8ACE and G8BKE believe they have re-claimed the United Kingdom distance record for 76 gigahertz. Yes that’s 76 gigahertz -- as in way up there in frequency.

On Sunday September 1st, the trio made contact on 75,976.2MHz over a distance of 79.6 kilometres between Ventnor on the Isle of Wight and Highclere, near Newbury in Berkshire England. Further details are on the world wide web and there's a link from the RSGB site at www.rsgb.org. (RSGB)

And nearly at the other end of the spectrum, on August 30th at 05:50 UTC stations G3MLO, and MW0AQD, were in QSO and taking part in the British 'Fivemegs Experiment' on 5398.5kHz at 05:50 UTC. Afterwards, G3MLO received a reception report from ZL2JR, saying that their signals had been copied in New Zealand. MW0AQD was received at '4 and 4' while G3MLO was copied at '5 and 4'.

While the 'Fivemegs Experiment' is intended to investigate propagation within the U-K, the contact was made shortly after sunrise in Britain and around sunset in New Zealand. This is the time that long-distance 'greyline' propagation can be expected to occur (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: A NEW KIND OF BATTERY

And finally this week, a new battery about to be marketed for home video enthusiasts holds a promise in expanding ham radio emergency communications. It is called Instant Power and Amateur Radio Newsline’s Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has more in our technology report:

--
One of the most frustrating experiences for a photographer or a ham radio operator is to be in an acute situation that requires equipment work properly and have the batteries powering the equipment go flat. For the photographer it usually means a lost photo opportunity. To the ham radio operator assigned to provide communications during an emergency it could be a life and death situation.

But power reliability could soon increase dramatically. Steve Crow, K4CPX, passes along word that the nations largest photographic retailer, Ritz Camera has announced plans to begin selling a new battery that can keep a camcorder or digital camera shooting away for half a day.

The new source of portable power is Electric Fuel Corp.’s Instant Power disposable batteries. Based upon Electric Fuel's zinc-air technology platform, the Instant Power camcorder battery connects to the camcorder through its DC jack and offers the user up to 10-hours of running time. Another model designed for digital still cameras offers upward of 12 hours of continuous operation time. As with the camcorder battery, the digital camera battery pack comes with a built-in belt clip and 3-foot cord. Both batteries have a five year shelf life in the original sealed aluminum pouch and come complete with a 3-foot cord and an integral belt clip.

While not directly designed for ham radio needs and not a renewable source, the new battery could augment the needs of ham radio operators in emergency communications situations. And here’s where Amateur Radio ingenuity comes in. If models are available that can directly or through some home built regulator adapter be made to power a 5 watt hand-held radio, an Amateur working an emergency situation would have hours of power wll beyond that of his radios normal battery. And depending on how much he transmits, an Electric Fuel battery might run his H-T for several days before requiring replacement. This also gives the possibility of extended operations for low power Field Day stations, QRP treks and similar one-time operations. Best of all it is a date it, put it on the shelf and forget it power source, standing by for the next five years in case it is needed.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.

--

Electric Fuel also manufacturers a smaller version of this battery technology for cellular phones and P-D-A’s. You can find out more about this new power source and the technology behind it is on the web at www.electric-fuel.com. (K4CPX)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

1169. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 22:10:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <FFsh9.446$082.141@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52987

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:am59l9fcm0@208.206.142.99...
>
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > >
> > > Hey, there weren't any telegraph keys on gilligan's island or wkrp.
> >
> > Dipshit.
>
> When he says he "doesn't know", he speaks the truth for once. Most of
> the rest of the time he's lying. Cecil's dictionary says so.

Mary Ann = Gilligan's Island
Bailey = WKRP

You guys (Dick, Kelly) sure are hateful. You might want to check with Jim
before you bloody your noses with all those knee-jerk reactions.

1170. PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 22:12:28 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <Ztsh9.49766$AY5.21291126@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52986

With this utility, Brian could improve his 9 wpm. See:

http://www.pocketpccity.com/software/pocketpc/PPCMorse-Morse-Code-utility-fo
r-2002-9-16-ce-pocketpc.html

73 de KR4AJ

1171. Re: PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 22:18:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aNsh9.451$ap2.31@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52988

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:Ztsh9.49766$AY5.21291126@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> With this utility, Brian could improve his 9 wpm. See:
>
>
http://www.pocketpccity.com/software/pocketpc/PPCMorse-Morse-Code-utility-fo
> r-2002-9-16-ce-pocketpc.html
>
> 73 de KR4AJ

Dan, thanks for the tip. But my code is almost twice as fast as required.
I'll wait until I retire and have plenty of time to work on increasing my
code speed to 4 times (or even more) than required.

1172. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 22:28:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iWsh9.453$W42.414@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52989

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D814944.8891962A@townsqr.com...
>
> Everyone I know wishes you could someday find a clue, wherever you are.

Dick, I'm having a good night with Mrs Calabash, wherever she is.

1173. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 22:44:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <a9th9.667$th7.35378430@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52990

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020915085901.24646.00003943@mb-mr.aol.com...

> Mr. Carroll has more backing ehre than you do, Your Geezerness.

Steve, how do you know how large Mr Carroll's backside is?

1174. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 22:51:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6gth9.676$ej7.35483792@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52991

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D85D8C5.73F5B304@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Len and I agree on several issues, one of which is the apparent
ownership of
> > the amateur radio service by knucklehead Extras.
>
> I see. That alternate reality view would make you both flakes.
>
> Dave K8MN

There are enough examples right here to make that alternate reality plainly
visible.

1175. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 23:04:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Irth9.462$Rz2.328@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52992

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:am3n3v$k0k$0@208.206.142.70...
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > Maybe Dick Carroll isn't so far off the mark either re:
Clueless.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Who could believe anything Dick says? He's a nut.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh my, Brian. Let's get this straight. You believe that Dick is a
nut
> > > > > and that Len Anderson is rational? *chortle*
> > > >
> > > > I believe Dick is a nut. I didn't say anything about Len.
> > >
> >
> Dick couldn't care less what Slim thinks. Assuming he actually does.
> One more point- Slim is the sort of nut that squirrels pass by - the one
> with nothing of value inside.

I am NOT a Buckeye! I only live here.

1176. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 23:10:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mxth9.465$kB2.231@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52993

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D826BDA.1FE227B4@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com...
> > > In article <sqcg9.289$we1.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >
> > > >Yet you called them scofflaws. You must now agree that you worked
them
> > out
> > > >of their authorizations.
> > >
> > > Don't sweat the Judge. He isn't going to admit any error he has
> > > made in public (several). He can't. :-)
> > >
> > > It's a State Department FSO kind of thing. :-)
> >
> > Its a CYA kind of thing. Deny, deny, deny, then make
counteraccusations.
>
> It seems funny that you'd make that kind of absurd statement when I have
> not denied working those stations and in fact have always maintained
> that I've worked no French stations on frequencies above 50.200 MHz.
> Sorta shoots your entire false premise down in flames.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you deny, deny, deny knowing they were out of band even after a French ham informed you that they were out of band. Two years later you still denied it until it was posted right here on RRAP for all to see.

Meanwhile, you make counteraccusations.

1177. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-16 23:24:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VKth9.469$rE2.390@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52994

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D829B0D.15AD228@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D826B33.3115188A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code
easier.
> > > >
> > > > > Is the exam intended to be a learning experience?
> > >
> > > Passing the exam is the final proof that a learning experience has
taken
> > > place.
> >
> > Dick, et al will argue that point. They say today's exams are worthless
and
> > only they have valid credentials.
> >
> > None-the-less, you don't start learning when the VEC rolls the tape.
>
> Read what I wrote.

Thus no need to use Farnsworth in examining - it is for learning. Morse is
the desired outcome. The Morse exam measures that. It is the final proof
you are looking for.

> > > > How is the "method" distinguished from the exam?
> > >
> > > How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
> > > taken using the Farnsworth spacing?
> >
> > You say Farnsworth is a method. ARRL says its their preferred exam.
>
> How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
> taken using the Farnsworth spacing?

You said it was a method. You distinguish it.

> > > > > It isn't a code at all.
> > > >
> > > > Then it cannot be examined. The exam is a code exam. And the code
is
> > > > Morse.

> > >
> > > Farnsworth spacing during testing is not mandatory. I have pointed it
> > > out several times. Others have pointed it out several times. You've
> > > had no comment on those statements.
> >
> > But I have. Newbies don't know to ask for Morse, and shouldn't have to.
> > After all, if they studied Part 97 they would know the exam is in Morse.
>
> Newbies don't know how to ask? I don't believe you.

Like Dick with a lifetime in electronics, you assume that all the new people know everything that you know. Then you get mad and huff and puff when they don't.

> > Since you and the ARRL use the term "Farnsworth" interchangeably with
Morse,
> > you are saying that the code exam is not mandatory for an HF license.
>
> Poppycock!

Then what did you mean?

> > > Regarding French 6m operations: Yes it would be hearsay. It has
always
> > been only hearsay.
> >
> > It has been yoursay. Do you change your story now?
>
> Why, pray tell, would I do that?

So take it to the French authorities. You're the one getting duped. You got duped. Your poor QSL manager is wasting his time. You should be outraged! Hi!

> > > > > You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.
> > > > >
> > > > It is a problem for whom?
> > > >
> > > It calls your judgement into question and pisses off those toward whom
> > > you mistakenly call to task.
> >
> > Were you not the one who worked the French amateurs on 6M out of band?
>
> Yep, while I was operating right where I was permitted to be. You still
> haven't directed you ire toward the French authorities or their national
> IARU society. If I'm smoking a cigarette in the mall parking lot and
> some kid sees me smoking and decides to light up, he has violated the
> law. I didn't entice him to do it and I'm not responsible for his
> action. I'm certainly not responsible for checking his I.D. Sorry,
> junior, you're on the wrong track.

Big Dave is being compared to Big Tobacco?

> > > Oh, I couldn't do that, Brian. It would only be hearsay, heh heh.
You
> > > seem to have a direct interest in the use of Farnsworth spacing in
morse
> > > testing. Give someone at the FCC a buzz and find out what's what.
> >
> > Why? I read their regulation on the matter and it is very clear.
>
> I'm sure it is. That's why they're taking no action and will likely
> take no action. Give 'em a call and tell 'em how to interpret their
> regs.

Why? Its clear.

> > > > Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.
> > >
> > > Your claim remains just a claim.
> >
> > Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal,
>
> Is that what lack of prosecution means? That's a crock.

That's what several of your PCTA bretheren used as reasoning for Farnsworth
being blessed by the FCC - a lack of prosecution. Are you saying that they
were wrong?

> > similar to the
> > PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't
put
> > a stop to it.
>
> They've not only not put a stop to it, they haven't addressed it at
> all. Straighten them out.

Let me know how it turns out with the French authorities.

1178. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 00:08:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020916200859.15779.00000799@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52995

In article <d22b54c3.0209150554.1d4b195d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 15 Sep 2002 06:54:36 -0700
>
>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:<3D82AFE4.92228B76@townsqr.com>...
>> Brian Kelly wrote:
>>
>> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
>> >
>> >
>> > > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
>minority which
>> > > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the
>element which
>> > > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do whatever
>it takes to
>> > > suppress the moderate element to that end.
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>> > We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
>>
>> The difference being that they don't hijack jets and slam them and all
>their >passengers
>
>That's true but given any opportunity at all you can bet that those
>far right fringe types would impose their will on the rest of us.

Not a new thing or a strictly Muslim thing by any means. Ask Galileo.

Those on the far right want to over-control what individuals do and let govt. and businesses run wild. Those on the far left want to over-control what govt. and businesses do and let individuals run wild. Those of us in the middle try to strike a balance.

>Up
>to and including perverting the Constitution to make it happen.
>Rememeber the words to "Onward Christian Soldiers"??

"Marching as to war..."
>

>> into
>> large buildings loaded with other innocent people. Granted, zealots are
>zealots, but seems
>> the Islamic religion has an edge on the kind who are suicidal nuts.
>
>This is not the first time we've had the problem. Roll back nearly six
>decades and recall the Kamakazis. Those suicidal nuts killed a helluva
>lot of Yanks and sank some hundreds of our ships.

The kami-kaze (it means "Divine Wind") pilots thought they were defending their homeland.

>Granted that was
>warrior against warrior warfare but the Kamikazis came from their
>peace-loving Taoist religion. They had a cause to rally 'round. Us
>peace-loving whatever-we-are types had a cause to rally 'round when we
>carpet-bombed the crap outta German cities full of civilains during
>WW2.

February 15, 1945. Dresden. Firestorm created by incendiaries destroyed the city and most of the inhabitants, even though they were in air raid shelters that were safe for "conventional" attacks. Kurt Vonnegut wrote a book about it - "Slaughterhouse Five". He should know - he was there, as a POW.

>Ditto Hiroshima, etc.

The most destructive bombing of Japan were the Tokyo fire raids. Worse than Dresden.

>Forty-fifty years ago there wasn't a Muslim anywhere who would have
>dreamed of attacking NYC. But after 55 years of completely bungling
>our responsibility to sort out the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The
>Muslims now have their cause and their fringe nuts are conducting war
>their way. In this case (vs. WW2)I don't think it would be
>inappropriate to ask ourselves how much of it we've brought upon
>ourselves.

It's also appropriate to ask what changes Americans are willing to make in order to put an end to it, once and for all. Changes such as not spending billions to buy oil from those who hate us.

>The core problem is not in Bhagdad or Kandahar or Tripoli, it's in
>Jerusalem.

Yup - but what's the solution? Allow Israel to be wiped out?

>> How can you trust in someone who you know has likeminded friends,
>neighbors and relatives
>> who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you just because you're not
>Islamic, or because
>> you're an American. Even though America is home to many other Islamics?
>
>The answer to that lies in the lesson we should have learned from the

>mass incarceration of west-coast Japanese-Americans a few years back.

Fun facts:

- Throughout the entire WW2 period, there was not a single documented case of anti-USA sabotage or espionage committed by a Japanese-American. Not one. The same cannot be said of German-Americans.

- Although Japanese-Americans were interned in camps on the west coast, no such internment was carried out in Hawaii - even though it was Hawaii that was attacked on Dec. 7, and which was threatened early in the war.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1179. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 00:28:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am5svvklf0@208.206.142.90>
Source record: 52996

N2EY wrote:
>
> Much of what we now know of ancient history and basic science and math are the
> result of works preserved by Muslim scholars while European Christians were
> busy burning books because of their 'pagan' origins.

Yep. The Crusades were an extension of that. And another manifestation
of excess by religious fundamentalist zealots performing as True
Believers.

> Now the shoe is on the
> other foot.
>

Sure is. Do we want to suffer mush of the same fate as mid easterners
during the Crusades? For that is exactly what much of the Muslim world
wishes for us.

You can ignore Kim. She has no confidence in books. Just touchy-feely.

1180. Re: PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 00:45:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020916204542.27149.00002377@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 52998

In article <aNsh9.451$ap2.31@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
>news:Ztsh9.49766$AY5.21291126@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>> With this utility, Brian could improve his 9 wpm. See:
>>
>>
>http://www.pocketpccity.com/software/pocketpc/PPCMorse-Morse-Code-utility-fo
>> r-2002-9-16-ce-pocketpc.html
>>
>> 73 de KR4AJ
>
>Dan, thanks for the tip. But my code is almost twice as fast as required.
>I'll wait until I retire and have plenty of time to work on increasing my
>code speed to 4 times (or even more) than required.

Hmmm...by that time, the attrition will have reduced the number
of morsemen to a scant few. Working another station by morse
may become a Special Event! :-)

1181. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 00:45:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020916204542.27149.00002376@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53000

In article <iWsh9.453$W42.414@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:3D814944.8891962A@townsqr.com...
>>
>> Everyone I know wishes you could someday find a clue, wherever you are.
>
>Dick, I'm having a good night with Mrs Calabash, wherever she is.

Don't give him too much data input. He's probably still wondering
who the heck "Mary Ann" and "Bailey" are... :-)

1182. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 00:45:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020916204543.27149.00002378@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 52997

In article <FFsh9.446$082.141@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:am59l9fcm0@208.206.142.99...
>>
>> Brian Kelly wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Hey, there weren't any telegraph keys on gilligan's island or wkrp.
>> >
>> > Dipshit.
>>
>> When he says he "doesn't know", he speaks the truth for once. Most of
>> the rest of the time he's lying. Cecil's dictionary says so.
>
>Mary Ann = Gilligan's Island
>Bailey = WKRP
>
>You guys (Dick, Kelly) sure are hateful. You might want to check with Jim
>before you bloody your noses with all those knee-jerk reactions.

I'm not sure the PCTA have blood... :-)

1183. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 00:45:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020916204545.27149.00002379@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 52999

In article <VKth9.469$rE2.390@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D829B0D.15AD228@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > news:3D826B33.3115188A@earthlink.net...
>> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code
>easier.
>> > > >
>> > > > Is the exam intended to be a learning experience?
>> > > >
>> > > Passing the exam is the final proof that a learning experience has
>taken
>> > > place.
>> > >
>> > Dick, et al will argue that point. They say today's exams are worthless
>and
>> > only they have valid credentials.
>> >
>> > None-the-less, you don't start learning when the VEC rolls the tape.
>>
>> Read what I wrote.
>
>Thus no need to use Farnsworth in examining - it is for learning. Morse is
>the desired outcome. The Morse exam measures that. It is the final proof
>you are looking for.

Da Judge ain't looking for anything on morsemanship for anyone
but hisself. Since he made it to 20 WPM on a test, he could
care squat about anyone else.

The general motivation behind the PCTA stand is that everyone
has to do as they did ("measure up to their standards") or be
thought of as "inferior."

>
>> > > > How is the "method" distinguished from the exam?
>> > >
>> > > How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
>> > > taken using the Farnsworth spacing?
>> >

>> > You say Farnsworth is a method. ARRL says its their preferred exam.
>>
>> How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
>> taken using the Farnsworth spacing?
>
>You said it was a method. You distinguish it.

He is a distinguished person working under high classification
status (or did, anyway)...therefore what he say are distinguished.

Maybe the FCC will assign some eager newbie for the next
biennial review process in re the ARS. That way they can
up-date some of the old deadwood in the regulations and
get the whole rate thing squared away.

>
>> > > > > It isn't a code at all.
>> > > >
>> > > > Then it cannot be examined. The exam is a code exam. And the code
>is
>> > > > Morse.
>> > >
>> > > Farnsworth spacing during testing is not mandatory. I have pointed it
>> > > out several times. Others have pointed it out several times. You've
>> > > had no comment on those statements.
>> >
>> > But I have. Newbies don't know to ask for Morse, and shouldn't have to.
>> > After all, if they studied Part 97 they would know the exam is in Morse.
>>
>> Newbies don't know how to ask? I don't believe you.
>
>Like Dick with a lifetime in electronics, you assume that all the new people
>know everything that you know. Then you get mad and huff and puff when they
>don't.

Heh heh. He gets all huffery and puffery when someone critiques
his "neat ideas" for using CW as an order-wire mode on a single
channel RTTY circuit. Professionally. :-)

Dickie still doesn't understand that teleprinter mode is also
referred to as "telegraphy." He thinks that all those Teletype
Corporation products are just elaborate manual morse thingies.
:-)

>> > Since you and the ARRL use the term "Farnsworth" interchangeably with
>Morse,
>> > you are saying that the code exam is not mandatory for an HF license.
>>
>> Poppycock!
>
>Then what did you mean?

I think he just got too furious to reply coherently. :-)

>
>> > > Regarding French 6m operations: Yes it would be hearsay. It has
>always
>> > been only hearsay.
>> >
>> > It has been yoursay. Do you change your story now?
>>
>> Why, pray tell, would I do that?
>
>So take it to the French authorities. You're the one getting duped. You
>got duped. Your poor QSL manager is wasting his time. You should be
>outraged! Hi!

Da Judge can't get you to lie down and he is outraged that no
one follows his orders. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>
>> > > > > You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.
>> > > >
>> > > > It is a problem for whom?
>> > >
>> > > It calls your judgement into question and pisses off those toward whom
>> > > you mistakenly call to task.
>> >
>> > Were you not the one who worked the French amateurs on 6M out of band?
>>
>> Yep, while I was operating right where I was permitted to be. You still
>> haven't directed you ire toward the French authorities or their national
>> IARU society. If I'm smoking a cigarette in the mall parking lot and
>> some kid sees me smoking and decides to light up, he has violated the
>> law. I didn't entice him to do it and I'm not responsible for his
>> action. I'm certainly not responsible for checking his I.D. Sorry,
>> junior, you're on the wrong track.
>
>Big Dave is being compared to Big Tobacco?

Maybe he just wants to become habit-forming?

>
>> > > Oh, I couldn't do that, Brian. It would only be hearsay, heh heh.
>You
>> > > seem to have a direct interest in the use of Farnsworth spacing in
>morse
>> > > testing. Give someone at the FCC a buzz and find out what's what.
>> >
>> > Why? I read their regulation on the matter and it is very clear.
>>
>> I'm sure it is. That's why they're taking no action and will likely
>> take no action. Give 'em a call and tell 'em how to interpret their
>> regs.
>

>Why? Its clear.
>
>> > > > Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.
>> > >
>> > > Your claim remains just a claim.
>> >
>> > Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal,
>>
>> Is that what lack of prosecution means? That's a crock.
>
>That's what several of your PCTA bretheren used as reasoning for Farnsworth
>being blessed by the FCC - a lack of prosecution. Are you saying that they
>were wrong?

The PCTA are the law. They keep saying so. :-)

>
>> > similar to the
>> > PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't
>put
>> > a stop to it.
>>
>> They've not only not put a stop to it, they haven't addressed it at
>> all. Straighten them out.
>
>Let me know how it turns out with the French authorities.

He ought to let Pasternak know for one more item in the
ARNewsline. :-)

1184. Re: Paddle/Keyer Recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 01:19:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D86AD53.8060906@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53001

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Mary Ann = Gilligan's Island
> Bailey = WKRP

Now THAT is my idea of women!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1185. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 01:30:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020916213021.06796.00000298@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53003

In article <am5svvklf0@208.206.142.90>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> Sure is. Do we want to suffer mush of the same fate as mid easterners
>during the Crusades? For that is exactly what much of the Muslim world
>wishes for us.

Ahem. Try getting history straight. The Middle East was
largely unaffected by the "Crusades." The "Crusaders" were
invading the Middle East and didn't accomplish much except
some live sword-play drills and sea-sicknees (during transit).

Pope Urban II started the hue and cry for the first crusade in
1095. Between then and 1291 there were 10 "crusades,"
resulting in four temporary Frankish states in the Levant.
Those were overthrown. Along the way those "crusades"
massacred or expelled Jews in the Rhineland (1096),
England (1290), and France (1306). As a byproduct of the
"crusades," see the Albigensian Crusade in France where
warfare was waged internally against Christian heretics in
1229. Not a pretty picture of Christianity's noble goals.

After the "crusades" trade in Middle Eastern luxuries
expanded courtesy of the Venetian navy.

> You can ignore Kim. She has no confidence in books. Just touchy-feely.

As for "books," Dickie has some odd notions. He doesn't have
proper history books to show the "crusades" as the bigoted
debacle and waste it was for Christian nations' crusaders.

He thinks he "knows all about earthquakes" by reading some
book on the New Madrid Fault in his home state. He said so
after another mentioned the devastating Northridge Earthquake
of 1994. "He knew how it felt" even after never having felt one.

Somehow the idea that "religion" is some kind of issue in the latest
NPRM of the FCC for several proposed amateur bands in the USA
is beyond me. Maybe the papal college of cardinals in Newington
can supply some ecumenical thought to this? :-)

1186. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 01:30:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020916213022.06796.00000299@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53002

In article <20020916200859.15779.00000799@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>Ditto Hiroshima, etc.
>
>The most destructive bombing of Japan were the Tokyo fire raids. Worse than >Dresden.

A tactic originating with a general named Curtis LeMay. You may have heard of him? A ham radio personality...

>- Throughout the entire WW2 period, there was not a single documented case of >anti-USA sabotage or espionage committed by a Japanese-American. Not one. >The same cannot be said of German-Americans.

While not treason per se, Mrs. I. Toguri D'Aquino was convicted of treason, given 10 years in prison on October 7, 1949. She was better known to Pacific Theater G.I.s as "Tokyo Rose," the darling of Japanese SW BC propaganda programs.

Tokyo Rose was a Japanese-American.

1187. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 01:49:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020916214903.22428.00002378@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 53005

In article <2YLg9.36202$e44.1349551@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731

Bert:

Sure looks real enough for me! I wouldn't be surprised if the reserve was $1,500 or more! However, something like that is worth exactly what someone else is willing to pay for it. Most people, and definitly most hams, can think of something better to do with that kind of money!

I toyed with the idea of ordering a Mercury Paddle years ago, when the going price was around $400. In my typically frugal manner, I talked myself out of it. My mistake! However, the reason for purchasing one wouldn't be it's investment potential. It's simply the best CW paddle available -- period! You want an investment, figure out where they're going to build another Wal*Mart five years from now, and buy up the land!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1188. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 01:49:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am61n4duq0@208.206.142.90>
Source record: 53004

N2EY wrote:

> Not a new thing or a strictly Muslim thing by any means. Ask Galileo.
>

This seems a key area of concern- the Islamic fundamentalists are
straiht from the Middle Ages or even earlier, in their level of
education, philosophy and outlook on world affairs. Nothing can ever
trump their religious views, which are mostly developed upon illiterate
individuals -the rate of illiteracy in that part of the world is
startling- by the haranguing of radical Mullahs. For them nothing
stands in the way of Allah's will, even their own deaths, to a man.
Except, of course, the Mullahs. They always seem to survive, whatever
else happens. As you know, Ayatolla Khomeni died of old age while many
of his followers did not.
But there are so *many* of them and they are so intractible. Seems like
a case of fighting fire with fire, only more of it, to win. It can
readily be seen as THE most serious serious threat to Western
civilization. Who knows the extent of their intentions on 9/11/01? No
one here did. If not for fate, a bit of incompetence and a few valiand
souls aboard Flight 93 there would have almost certainly have been
evenworse carnage, and we still don't know how many others got "cold
feet" or decided now was not the time. So when? What next? Forgive and
forget? Hiroshima and Dresden didn't occur because we're mean and
vicious. It occurred because our enemies had proven they would kill as
many of us as they had to without hesitation-and had. Our leaders
decided, very much in our best interests, that the way to prevent that
was to win the war at whatever cost to the enemy that proved necessary.
The current situation may eventually move to that sort of arena, if
there does not occur the noted "debate within Islam" that sets it on a
course much different than it presently sails on.

> Those on the far right want to over-control what individuals do and let govt.
> and businesses run wild. Those on the far left want to over-control what govt.
> and businesses do and let individuals run wild. Those of us in the middle try
> to strike a balance.

It has always irked me to have to choose between two parties which have
been so far removed from that which is our center, where the best
interests of most of us lie. No third part has a ghost of a chance, so
one is left with either choosing between two of which neither fits, or
wasting the vote. Ugly.

Granted, zealots are
> >zealots, but seems
> >> the Islamic religion has an edge on the kind who are suicidal nuts.

> >
> >This is not the first time we've had the problem. Roll back nearly six
> >decades and recall the Kamakazis. Those suicidal nuts killed a helluva
> >lot of Yanks and sank some hundreds of our ships.
>
> The kami-kaze (it means "Divine Wind") pilots thought they were defending their
> homeland.
>
> >Granted that was
> >warrior against warrior warfare but the Kamikazis came from their
> >peace-loving Taoist religion. They had a cause to rally 'round. Us
> >peace-loving whatever-we-are types had a cause to rally 'round when we
> >carpet-bombed the crap outta German cities full of civilains during
> >WW2.

I worked with a fellow who had been a Navy chief radio op on a aircraft carrier in WW2. He told of a kamikazi who almost got his ship...would have, except for the Marine gunner who swung his machine gun around and shot at the plane accros the flight deck. Finally hit and exploded it so close to home the propeller fell on the flight deck. Pucker time!

If you can parallel the Islamic situation with the Japanese kamikazis, then the suicide bombers/hijackers/etc would be only a small portion of the populace that's "out to get us". They have PLENTY of support among the non-suicidal, a large percentage of whom hail them as heros and martyrs, just like the Japanese did.

>
> February 15, 1945. Dresden. Firestorm created by incendiaries destroyed the
> city and most of the inhabitants, even though they were in air raid shelters
> that were safe for "conventional" attacks. Kurt Vonnegut wrote a book about it
> - "Slaughterhouse Five". He should know - he was there, as a POW.
>
> >Ditto Hiroshima, etc.
>
> The most destructive bombing of Japan were the Tokyo fire raids. Worse than
> Dresden.

Waqr is hell, and those are among the clearest examples. Watching the WTC towers coming down, knowing they were probally filled with people, is close behind.

>
> >Forty-fifty years ago there wasn't a Muslim anywhere who would have
> >dreamed of attacking NYC.

I dunno, we've always been the "infidels" to them. All it took was a kook like Bin Laden to move it along.

But after 55 years of completely bungling
> >our responsibility to sort out the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

Brian, you must read a different account of the news than I. Every president in that time frame has made a supreme effort to bring the two sides together. When the arabs say the only thing they will accept is the elimination of Isreal, that pretty sews up the situation. Unless that's what one is willing to accept. YOu can't deal with the intractible.

The
> >Muslims now have their cause and their fringe nuts are conducting war
> >their way. In this case (vs. WW2)I don't think it would be
> >inappropriate to ask ourselves how much of it we've brought upon
> >ourselves.
>

I couldn't disagree more. We've handed out MANY billions of money we still owe trying to keep those peasants fed and clothed, and they hate us even more for it. Take a close look next time you see bags of food being handed out over there-anywhere over there. They'll ALL be marked "USA". It just pisses 'em off while they chow down. Turnes out we've sustained those who ARE killing us. And we owe trillions of bucks still partly because of it.

> It's also appropriate to ask what changes Americans are willing to make in
> order to put an end to it, once and for all. Changes such as not spending
> billions to buy oil from those who hate us.
>

Saw a snippet on the tube just this evening about buying your gasoline from retailers who don't buy Arab oil. Turns out about half our oil/gas companies do not. Didn't catch which ones do not, but I'm going to find out and act accordingly.

> >The core problem is not in Bhagdad or Kandahar or Tripoli, it's in
> >Jerusalem.
>
> Yup - but what's the solution? Allow Israel to be wiped out?
>

Isreal might have something to say about that, too. Wouldn't blame them.

> >> How can you trust in someone who you know has likeminded friends,
> >neighbors and relatives
> >> who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you just because you're not
> >Islamic, or because
> >> you're an American. Even though America is home to many other Islamics?
> >
> >The answer to that lies in the lesson we should have learned from the
> >mass incarceration of west-coast Japanese-Americans a few years back.

Lacking some massive subversive movement by a large number of Muslims I cant' imagine such a thing ever happening in the instant case. But that deb ate inside Islam better happen, and start showing some positive results. It isn't enough to say "we're here because we wanted to be

here". That doesn't preclude subversive intent. Best make some
affirmitive moves, such as turning in the hidden terrorists among them.
I see that appears to have happened today in the Buffalo NY area. Good
start, keep it up. Orove that Islam is truly a peaceful religion, not
just a cover for more subversion, and all will eventually be well.

>
> Fun facts:
>
> - Throughout the entire WW2 period, there was not a single documented case of
> anti-USA sabotage or espionage committed by a Japanese-American. Not one. The
> same cannot be said of German-Americans.

I spent quite a bit of time in the military working with a couple guys
of Japanese descent. Great guys, true Americans. WW2 was the result of
culteral problems brought on by an ancient and enigmatic, ethnocentric,
radical culture. Much like radical Islam.

Dick

1189. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 02:02:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <H2wh9.775$Nm.39319773@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53006

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D828788.7AD5B890@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message

> > > No, but you're still as confused as ever.
> >
> > Not about my amateur operation in Somalia.
>
> Yep, by now you've been pretty well educated on it, regardless of the
fact that
> you must still deny,deny,deny just to save some semblence of self esteem.
You
> trade that for looking like a complete nincompoop. Not too bad a deal,
> considering.

Dick, you'll have to reconsider.

I was the one who said that I needed my commanders permission to operate.

Dave and Steve told me that a commander cannot authorize anything.

I was the one who found and submitted the ARRL information on operating in
Somalia.

Phil said I would have to get a license from a non-existant government.
International Law and all that.

And the Greatest DXer in the World admitted he knew nothing about it, but he
knew enough to say I was wrong. He thought.

Lots of well-meaning (Phil) and not so well-meaning (Dave, et al) people
have weighed in, and my original information stands.

Brian

1190. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 03:10:51 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D869D3A.E65B77FB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53007

>
> As for "books," Dickie has some odd notions. He doesn't have
> proper history books to show the "crusades" as the bigoted
> debacle and waste it was for Christian nations' crusaders.

You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
in the 1960's put on the crusades....

1191. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 04:03:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020917000314.05970.00000690@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 53008

In article <3D869D3A.E65B77FB@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> As for "books," Dickie has some odd notions. He doesn't have
>> proper history books to show the "crusades" as the bigoted
>> debacle and waste it was for Christian nations' crusaders.
>
>You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
>in the 1960's put on the crusades....

More like in the 1950s, having known (well enough) a young
Catholic woman in the 1960s...who was given her equivalent
"DD-214" after failing as a novitiate.

All that chat-room stuff is irrelevant, isn't it? The subject is the
proposed US amateur radio band at 60 meters and a comment
from an NTIA person not agreeing that the 60 meter band, as
proposed, should be granted to US radio amateurs..

The infamous "crusades" ended about 1453 when the Islamic
forces took back the last of the temporary Levant states. That
was roughly 547 years before the NPRM and 443 years before
the first demonstration of radio as a communications medium.

Is there something "religious" or "Christian" about being granted
a US amateur radio band by the FCC?!? Please, someone,
educate some of us readers who don't have an amateur radio
license...and therefore "have no practical experience in the
ecclesiastical history of amateur radio." :-)

I have to love some of the "world history" remarks in here...as if
all these PCTAs got their "knowledge" from the audio-visual
output of MGM, Warner Brothers, and Paramount Studios. :-)

1192. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 08:32:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209170032.4627679b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53009

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<aErg9.105$L%1.11185277@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0209032247.5e3d976d@posting.google.com...
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:<a0bd9.2325$3W4.42943550@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > Cootie Boy is gone, Morgan is gone, Wideband Squiggy is gone, who
> > > > gives a shit where Kim is so it's down to YOU and your role model The
> > > > Putz.
> > >
> > > Now hold on here. I think Len presents some fine arguments. I don't
> > > necessarily think he presents them in a palatable manner. But there's a
> lot
> > > of truth in what he says.
> > >
> > >
> > No surprise in that, you're too dumb & blind to understand that he's
> > nothing more than a pointless old troll who found a .policy NG in
> > which he could find opportunities to stir up controversy for the sake
> > of controversy which suits his background as a electronics bench tech
> > and twisted persona. There isn't a .policy NG out there anywhere which
> > doesn't have a Putz or two or three like Lenny along with their
> > witless groupies like you.
>
> Yeh, I've seen your rants on .antenna
>
> Good going.
>
> Is there no newsgroup you can go to and find happiness?
>

Bullshit, cite one "rant" I've ever posted in .antennas. There are no
rants in .antennas, it's a quite civilized technical discussion forum.
Also note that The Putz with his self-proclaimed vast knowledge of all
things electronics has yet to ever share that "knowledge" with the
rest of us in .antennas. He has a ONE agenda wrt to ham radio: Bash
those who have a demonstrated capability in the use of CW and support
the continuation of the code tests. He reaps as he sows.

> > > And Brian, you're exactly the ham he describes,
> > > whether you like it or not.
> > >
> > Yes I am and I love it. I'm a Extra with a lot of time in saddle who
> > is opposed to eliminating code tests. That's all it takes to become a
> > Putz rant "target". Kindly note that my opinions on the code tests are

> > diametrically opposed to those of Bill Sohl who is the #2 NCI honcho
> > but Bill and I get long just fine. How do you figger that Burkie? . .
> > . "figger out" . . stupid question given your level of mental
> > horsepower.
>
> Bill and I also disagree. No name calling going on there. Len and Bill get
> along just fine. But there's something about Len and old amateur radio
> cranks that just don't mix. I wonder what it could be?

Not getting it is no surprise at all, that's your signature
chracteristic. At least as you represent yourself in this NG. In case
it's too deep for you to fathom the "difference" is that the Putz
doesn't know how to disagree civilly when it comes to any post which
advocates the continuation of the code tests PERIOD.

>
> > > > Right. Now get outta here so we can clean this NG up.
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > Just as soon as you write VOID across your ticket and send it to Riley.
> > >
> > >
> > No problem, you have nothing else to do with your life just like The
> > Putz has nothing better to do with his life. Rant on.
>
> Kelly, this is no rant. If you think it one, you're even more delusional
> than when you thought I lived in your old house.
>
> > > You were right when you said you were an old crank.
> > >
> > > Brian, you're just a cranky, jerky ham.
> > >
> > Yup, a cranky old 30wpm 1x2 dxer and contester you and The Putz will
> > never be. As far as the jerks around here are concerned I rawther
> > subnmit that given a vote abt who the jerks are you and Putz would win
> > hands down.
>
> Yup, I agree you're an old crank. Absolutely no argument there from any
> quarter.
>
> But if you're going to hold an election, be sure to do so in South Florida.
> You guys just might be smart enough to operate those fancy touch screen
> ballots.
>
> > Burke the Brainiac and The Putz . . the Somali freebander and the
> > twisted non-ham . . whatta team . .
>
> More name calling?

Another stupid troll. Zzzzzzzz . . . I'm done with your trolls
Brainiac just like I'm done with The Putz's. See ya down the bands and
have a nice life.

1193. Re: PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 08:43:06 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <5_Bh9.7389$X7.96696@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53010

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020916204542.27149.00002377@mb-cg.aol.com...
> Hmmm...by that time, the attrition will have reduced the number
> of morsemen to a scant few. Working another station by morse
> may become a Special Event! :-)

That's actually a pretty sad prophecy when you think about it. (:-)
Acknowledged.)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1194. Re: Is This For Real?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 08:52:36 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <i6Ch9.7432$X7.97736@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53011

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D83C442.34D44C35@townsqr.com...
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1379931731
> >
>
> Bert that's a "cult" key. Like the McElroy Model 60 Speed
> Stream, the 30's bug built on a chrome plated teardrop - shaped
> base, their fans believe there's none better.. They, too, were
> rare and valuable, in some circles still are, but the last one I
> saw go on Ebay went way below what they'd been bringing a year or
> so earlier.
> I doubt the N2DAN paddle gets a single bid. His opening bid,
> high as it is, is below his minimum reserve, which he won't
> disclose. Looks like the market has fell out here just like Wall
> Street. It happens.
>
> Dick
>

...and it's got someone else's call engraved on it. I'm still waiting for a
response from KG2ED.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1195. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 10:49:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8708DA.3619C4F9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53012

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D85D8C5.73F5B304@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > Len and I agree on several issues, one of which is the apparent
> ownership of
> > > the amateur radio service by knucklehead Extras.
> >
> > I see. That alternate reality view would make you both flakes.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> There are enough examples right here to make that alternate reality plainly
> visible.

Oh, I guess one needs the "special glasses". Did you get yours from
Len?

Dave K8MN

1196. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 10:55:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D870A5C.4F45A4CA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53013

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D826BDA.1FE227B4@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com...
> > > > In article <sqcg9.289$we1.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke"
> > > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > >
> > > > >Yet you called them scofflaws. You must now agree that you worked
> them
> > > out
> > > > >of their authorizations.
> > > > >
> > > > Don't sweat the Judge. He isn't going to admit any error he has
> > > > made in public (several). He can't. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > It's a State Department FSO kind of thing. :-)
> > > >
> > > Its a CYA kind of thing. Deny, deny, deny, then make
> counteraccusations.
> >
> > It seems funny that you'd make that kind of absurd statement when I have
> > not denied working those stations and in fact have always maintained
> > that I've worked no French stations on frequencies above 50.200 MHz.
> > Sorta shoots your entire false premise down in flames.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, you deny, deny, deny knowing they were out of band even after a French
> ham informed you that they were out of band. Two years later you still
> denied it until it was posted right here on RRAP for all to see.
>
> Meanwhile, you make counteraccusations.

Nope, I'm saying that I am only responsible for making sure that MY
station, no matter where in the world I happen to be, is on a frequency
where it is authorized to be. French stations are responsible for their
own operations. I've posted that right here for all to see quite a

number of times. Maybe you missed it.

Got your special glasses on?

Dave K8MN

1197. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 11:16:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D870F2D.277326B1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53014

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D829B0D.15AD228@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D826B33.3115188A@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code
> easier.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Is the exam intended to be a learning experience?
> > > > >
> > > > Passing the exam is the final proof that a learning experience has
> taken
> > > > place.
> > > >
> > > Dick, et al will argue that point. They say today's exams are worthless
> and
> > > only they have valid credentials.
> > >
> > > None-the-less, you don't start learning when the VEC rolls the tape.
> >
> > Read what I wrote.
>
> Thus no need to use Farnsworth in examining - it is for learning. Morse is
> the desired outcome. The Morse exam measures that. It is the final proof
> you are looking for.

I did not write that there is no need to use the Farnsworth method in
examing.

> > > > > > How is the "method" distinguished from the exam?
> > > > >
> > > > How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
> > > > taken using the Farnsworth spacing?
> > >
> > > You say Farnsworth is a method. ARRL says its their preferred exam.
> >
> > How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
> > taken using the Farnsworth spacing?
>
> You said it was a method. You distinguish it.

It doesn't work that way, Brian. I'm not against the use of the
Farnsworth method in testing. You and Len have a problem with it. You
or Len need to make a case, directed toward those who are empowered to
make a decision.

> > > > > > It isn't a code at all.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then it cannot be examined. The exam is a code exam. And the code
> is
> > > > > > Morse.
> > > > >
> > > > > Farnsworth spacing during testing is not mandatory. I have pointed it
> > > > > out several times. Others have pointed it out several times. You've
> > > > > had no comment on those statements.
> > > >
> > > > But I have. Newbies don't know to ask for Morse, and shouldn't have to.
> > > > After all, if they studied Part 97 they would know the exam is in Morse.
> > >
> > Newbies don't know how to ask? I don't believe you.
> >
> Like Dick with a lifetime in electronics, you assume that all the new people
> know everything that you know. Then you get mad and huff and puff when they
> don't.

Naw, I don't get mad at "newbies". You aren't a newbie. You're a guy
who has quite a bit of time in amateur radio and who still can't figure
things out.
Len isn't a newbie. He won't be a newbie until he gets a license.

> > > Since you and the ARRL use the term "Farnsworth" interchangeably with
> Morse,
> > > you are saying that the code exam is not mandatory for an HF license.
> > >
> > Poppycock!
> >
> Then what did you mean?

It is tiresome pointing out things which I did not write. I did not,
for example, write that the code exam is not mandatory for an HF
license.

> > > > Regarding French 6m operations: Yes it would be hearsay. It has
> always
> > > > been only hearsay.
> > > >
> > > > It has been yoursay. Do you change your story now?
> > >
> > Why, pray tell, would I do that?
> >
> So take it to the French authorities. You're the one getting duped. You
> got duped. Your poor QSL manager is wasting his time. You should be
> outraged! Hi!

Brian, you are the individual who has a problem with the operation of
French stations on 6m. If you feel strongly about it, you should take
action. Otherwise, your rants accomplish nothing.

> > > > > > You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.
> > > > >
> > > > > It is a problem for whom?
> > > >
> > > > It calls your judgement into question and pisses off those toward whom
> > > > you mistakenly call to task.
> > >
> > > Were you not the one who worked the French amateurs on 6M out of band?
> >
> > Yep, while I was operating right where I was permitted to be. You still
> > haven't directed you ire toward the French authorities or their national
> > IARU society. If I'm smoking a cigarette in the mall parking lot and
> > some kid sees me smoking and decides to light up, he has violated the
> > law. I didn't entice him to do it and I'm not responsible for his
> > action. I'm certainly not responsible for checking his I.D. Sorry,
> > junior, you're on the wrong track.
>
> Big Dave is being compared to Big Tobacco?

Only if you wear your special "alternate reality" glasses. The analogy
is a valid one.

> > > > Oh, I couldn't do that, Brian. It would only be hearsay, heh heh.
> You
> > > > seem to have a direct interest in the use of Farnsworth spacing in
> morse
> > > > testing. Give someone at the FCC a buzz and find out what's what.
> > >
> > > Why? I read their regulation on the matter and it is very clear.
> >
> > I'm sure it is. That's why they're taking no action and will likely
> > take no action. Give 'em a call and tell 'em how to interpret their
> > regs.
>
> Why? Its clear.

It would seem that it is indeed clear to the FCC. Without your input,
they are apt to go with the status quo. Hell, even with your input,
they're likely to go with the status quo.

> > > > > Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.
> > > > >
> > > > > Your claim remains just a claim.
> > > >
> > > > Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal,
> > >
> > > Is that what lack of prosecution means? That's a crock.
> >
> > That's what several of your PCTA bretheren used as reasoning for Farnsworth

> being blessed by the FCC - a lack of prosecution. Are you saying that they
> were wrong?

I believe I said that YOU were wrong.

> > > similar to the
> > > PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't
> put
> > > a stop to it.
> >
> > They've not only not put a stop to it, they haven't addressed it at
> > all. Straighten them out.
>
> Let me know how it turns out with the French authorities.

I have no interest in contacting the French authorities. I have no
interest in contacting the FCC. You have problems with a couple of
issues. You've taken no steps to contact those who could do something
about either issue. Maybe you could liven things up by contacting the
FCC regarding the 6m operation of French amateurs and you could protest
the use of the Farnsworth method to the French PTT. You could get as
much done by doing this as you are likely to accomplish by braying about
things here.

Dave K8MN

1198. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 11:27:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8711AB.9AA33F0B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53015

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D828788.7AD5B890@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>
> > > > No, but you're still as confused as ever.
> > >
> > > Not about my amateur operation in Somalia.
> >
> > Yep, by now you've been pretty well educated on it, regardless of the
> fact that
> > you must still deny,deny,deny just to save some semblence of self esteem.
> You
> > trade that for looking like a complete nincompoop. Not too bad a deal,
> > considering.
>
> Dick, you'll have to reconsider.
>
> I was the one who said that I needed my commanders permission to operate.
>
> Dave and Steve told me that a commander cannot authorize anything.
>
> I was the one who found and submitted the ARRL information on operating in
> Somalia.
>
> Phil said I would have to get a license from a non-existant government.
> International Law and all that.
>
> And the Greatest DXer in the World admitted he knew nothing about it, but he
> knew enough to say I was wrong. He thought.
>
> Lots of well-meaning (Phil) and not so well-meaning (Dave, et al) people
> have weighed in, and my original information stands.

It sure does--as a two dimensional cardboard sham. You have no proof of permission whatever. There is, however, proof that other military members did have written permission. Tell us again about you being a DXer.

Dave K8MN

1199. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 11:53:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8717C7.7DF0D16E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53016

```
Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <VKth9.469$rE2.390@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:3D829B0D.15AD228@earthlink.net...
> >> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> >
> >> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > news:3D826B33.3115188A@earthlink.net...
> >> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> > > > > Farnsworth is a *method* for making the learning of morse code
> >easier.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Is the exam intended to be a learning experience?
> >> > >
> >> > > Passing the exam is the final proof that a learning experience has
> >taken
> >> > > place.
> >> >
> >> > Dick, et al will argue that point. They say today's exams are worthless
> >and
> >> > only they have valid credentials.
> >> >
> >> > None-the-less, you don't start learning when the VEC rolls the tape.
> >>
> >> Read what I wrote.
> >
> >Thus no need to use Farnsworth in examining - it is for learning. Morse is
> >the desired outcome. The Morse exam measures that. It is the final proof
> >you are looking for.
>
> Da Judge ain't looking for anything on morsemanship for anyone
> but hisself. Since he made it to 20 WPM on a test, he could
> care squat about anyone else.

Care to produce anything at all to back up your silly claim, Leonardo?

> The general motivation behind the PCTA stand is that everyone
> has to do as they did ("measure up to their standards") or be
> thought of as "inferior."

This one has been addressed on numerous occasions--in fact, only
recently I addressed this very same sort of statement. You can't do as
```

I did. It is completely impossible in today's testing environment. If
you feel inferior, it is because you're a rude boor who haunts an
amateur radio newsgroup as a non-participant in amateur radio.

> >
> >> > > > How is the "method" distinguished from the exam?
> >> > >
> >> > > How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
> >> > > taken using the Farnsworth spacing?
> >> >
> >> > You say Farnsworth is a method. ARRL says its their preferred exam.
> >>
> >> How do you believe it is distinguished given that the exam need not be
> >> taken using the Farnsworth spacing?
> >
> >You said it was a method. You distinguish it.
>
> He is a distinguished person working under high classification
> status (or did, anyway)...therefore what he say are distinguished.

Are you wearing black face too?

> Maybe the FCC will assign some eager newbie for the next
> biennial review process in re the ARS. That way they can
> up-date some of the old deadwood in the regulations and
> get the whole rate thing squared away.

Brian seems disinclined to pusure the issue with the FCC. You're big on
citizen comment. Comment away.

> >
> >> > > > > It isn't a code at all.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Then it cannot be examined. The exam is a code exam. And the code
> >is
> >> > > > Morse.
> >> > > >
> >> > > Farnsworth spacing during testing is not mandatory. I have pointed it
> >> > > out several times. Others have pointed it out several times. You've
> >> > > had no comment on those statements.
> >> >
> >> > But I have. Newbies don't know to ask for Morse, and shouldn't have to.
> >> > After all, if they studied Part 97 they would know the exam is in Morse.
> >>
> >> Newbies don't know how to ask? I don't believe you.
> >
> >Like Dick with a lifetime in electronics, you assume that all the new people
> >know everything that you know. Then you get mad and huff and puff when they
> >don't.
>
> Heh heh. He gets all huffery and puffery when someone critiques
> his "neat ideas" for using CW as an order-wire mode on a single

> channel RTTY circuit. Professionally. :-)

This was addressed point-by-point in reply to one of your recent windy
posts.
There has been no response by you except for your false statement here
about it being MY idea. Did you ever find that post where I claimed the
idea to be mine?

> Dickie still doesn't understand that teleprinter mode is also
> referred to as "telegraphy." He thinks that all those Teletype
> Corporation products are just elaborate manual morse thingies.
> :-)
>
> >> > Since you and the ARRL use the term "Farnsworth" interchangeably with
> >Morse,
> >> > you are saying that the code exam is not mandatory for an HF license.
> >>
> >> Poppycock!
> >
> >Then what did you mean?
>
> I think he just got too furious to reply coherently. :-)

Furious? Are you wearing your "special glasses" too?

> >
> >> > > Regarding French 6m operations: Yes it would be hearsay. It has
> >always
> >> > been only hearsay.
> >> >
> >> > It has been yoursay. Do you change your story now?
> >>
> >> Why, pray tell, would I do that?
> >
> >So take it to the French authorities. You're the one getting duped. You
> >got duped. Your poor QSL manager is wasting his time. You should be
> >outraged! Hi!
>
> Da Judge can't get you to lie down and he is outraged that no
> one follows his orders. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Birds of a feather...

> >
> >> > > > > You have this problem of misdirecting your ire.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > It is a problem for whom?
> >> > >
> >> > > It calls your judgement into question and pisses off those toward whom
> >> > > you mistakenly call to task.
> >> >
> >> > Were you not the one who worked the French amateurs on 6M out of band?

> >>
> >> Yep, while I was operating right where I was permitted to be. You still
> >> haven't directed you ire toward the French authorities or their national
> >> IARU society. If I'm smoking a cigarette in the mall parking lot and
> >> some kid sees me smoking and decides to light up, he has violated the
> >> law. I didn't entice him to do it and I'm not responsible for his
> >> action. I'm certainly not responsible for checking his I.D. Sorry,
> >> junior, you're on the wrong track.
> >
> >Big Dave is being compared to Big Tobacco?
>
> Maybe he just wants to become habit-forming?

Did you have a comment on my analogy or were you just passing by? Do
you have any first hand knowledge or experience in French, Tanzanian or
U.S. amateur radio operation of any kind?

> >
> >> > > Oh, I couldn't do that, Brian. It would only be hearsay, heh heh.
> >You
> >> > > seem to have a direct interest in the use of Farnsworth spacing in
> >morse
> >> > > testing. Give someone at the FCC a buzz and find out what's what.
> >> >
> >> > Why? I read their regulation on the matter and it is very clear.
> >>
> >> I'm sure it is. That's why they're taking no action and will likely
> >> take no action. Give 'em a call and tell 'em how to interpret their
> >> regs.
> >
> >Why? Its clear.
> >
> >> > > > Unless the FCC or the UN prosecutes me, my claim stands.
> >> > >
> >> > > Your claim remains just a claim.
> >> >
> >> > Lack of prosecution is evidence that what I did was legal,
> >>
> >> Is that what lack of prosecution means? That's a crock.
> >
> >That's what several of your PCTA bretheren used as reasoning for Farnsworth
> >being blessed by the FCC - a lack of prosecution. Are you saying that they
> >were wrong?
>
> The PCTA are the law. They keep saying so. :-)

If what you write is so, why has it been suggested to Brian to contact
the FCC for clarification? :-}

> >
> >> > similar to the

> >> > PCTA argument that Farnsworth really means Morse because the FCC hasn't
> >put
> >> > a stop to it.
> >>
> >> They've not only not put a stop to it, they haven't addressed it at
> >> all. Straighten them out.
> >
> >Let me know how it turns out with the French authorities.
>
> He ought to let Pasternak know for one more item in the
> ARNewsline. :-)

Maybe Bill can suggest that Brian contact the FCC.

Dave K8MN

1200. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 12:42:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020917084239.19036.00004444@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53017

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/16/02 8:30 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020916213022.06796.00000299@mb-cq.aol.com>

>A tactic originating with a general named Curtis LeMay. You
> may have heard of him? A ham radio personality..

The preceeding having nothing to do with Amateur Radio policy, and your well-known habit of chiding others from deviating from radio policy when it suits you being archived, I wonder what moves you to violate your own policy so blatantly...???

>>- Throughout the entire WW2 period, there was not a single documented case
>of
>>anti-USA sabotage or espionage committed by a Japanese-American. Not one.
>>The
>same cannot be said of German-Americans.
>
> While not treason per se, Mrs. I. Toguri D'Aquino was convicted
> of treason, given 10 years in prison on October 7, 1949. She was
> better known to Pacific Theater G.I.s as "Tokyo Rose," the
> darling of Japanese SW BC propaganda programs

Again...What to do with Amateur Radio policy?

>Tokyo Rose was a Japanese-American

More non-germane observations intended to raise a non-germane racist issue.

Putz.

1201. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 14:02:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am7cln$3f1$0@208.207.71.167>
Source record: 53018

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D85D8C5.73F5B304@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Len and I agree on several issues, one of which is the apparent
> > ownership of
> > > > the amateur radio service by knucklehead Extras.
> > >
> > > I see. That alternate reality view would make you both flakes.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > There are enough examples right here to make that alternate reality plainly
> > visible.
>
> Oh, I guess one needs the "special glasses". Did you get yours from
> Len?

Ah, that's it! Len is the Wizard of Nawz.....

1202. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 14:12:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am7d81$3f1$1@208.207.71.167>
Source record: 53019

Robert Casey wrote:

Putzy the Pooh escrit:

> > As for "books," Dickie has some odd notions. He doesn't have
> > proper history books to show the "crusades" as the bigoted
> > debacle and waste it was for Christian nations' crusaders.

> You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
> in the 1960's put on the crusades....

Putzy Poo has no idea what he's talking about here either. For some reason the Arab nations still remember the Crusades in a very negative way.

1203. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 14:20:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am7dmq$6kt$0@208.207.71.167>
Source record: 53020

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D828788.7AD5B890@townsqr.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>
> > > > No, but you're still as confused as ever.
> > >
> > > Not about my amateur operation in Somalia.
> >
> > Yep, by now you've been pretty well educated on it, regardless of the
> fact that
> > you must still deny,deny,deny just to save some semblence of self esteem.
> You
> > trade that for looking like a complete nincompoop. Not too bad a deal,
> > considering.
>
> Dick, you'll have to reconsider.

IOh, I've considered. Several times.

>
> I was the one who said that I needed my commanders permission to operate.

But you still think that permission is "Yeah, go ahead".

>
> Dave and Steve told me that a commander cannot authorize anything.

If the commander has half a brain and isn't familiar with what he's
authorizing he will kick it upstairs for an answer. Yours evidently
failed that test.

>
> I was the one who found and submitted the ARRL information on operating in
> Somalia.

I would certainly hope so since it was YOU that was operating.

>
> Phil said I would have to get a license from a non-existant government.
> International Law and all that.

Not what he said at all. He said when there is no government
International law applies. Have you any idea what that law says? Also

he said that FCC could have taken action against you because you did not follow International law, as your part 97 license requires under such circumstances,

>
> And the Greatest DXer in the World admitted he knew nothing about it, but he
> knew enough to say I was wrong. He thought.

Well, DUH! Dave wasn't the one wanting to operate in Somalia. Didn't him long to find out what the score was, though, since he knew the mechanics of the situation already. You on the other hand still haven't got it straight.

>
> Lots of well-meaning (Phil) and not so well-meaning (Dave, et al) people
> have weighed in, and my original information stands.

Until a mild wind comes up. anyway. Straw doesn't hold up too well.

1204. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 14:27:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020917102750.02682.00003833@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 53021

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/16/02 8:30 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020916213021.06796.00000298@mb-cq.aol.com>

>Ahem. Try getting history straight. The Middle East was
> largely unaffected by the "Crusades." The "Crusaders" were
> invading the Middle East and didn't accomplish much except
> some live sword-play drills and sea-sicknees (during transit).

What a freakin' idiot.

No..not much impact...all it did was give us the foundation upon which all the PRESENT middle-eastern conflict is based upon.

Nine hundred-plus years of tit-for-tat exchanges of revenge and counter revenge that have created a now-inbred hatred that the present purveyors are fighting and can't remember what started it all.

>Not a pretty picture of Christianity's noble goals.

There's not a religion in the world that has not had some or all of it's adherants conduct some atrocity all in the name of "god" or "freedom" as interpreted by it's clerics or other purveyors. Usually upon "believers" of other faiths, but occassionally upon thier very own.

Religion has been and remains today the number one cause of major warfare in the world since the beginning of recorded history. Praise the Lord and pass the ammunition.

>After the "crusades" trade in Middle Eastern luxuries
> expanded courtesy of the Venetian navy.

No doubt modelled upon McHale's Navy.

>Somehow the idea that "religion" is some kind of issue in the latest
>NPRM of the FCC for several proposed amateur bands in the USA
>is beyond me.

What's "beyond me" is your numerous chiding's of others vis-a-vis discussion of non-radio related topics, only to make grossly off-topic postings such as this one.

1205. Re: PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 16:34:36 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020917123436.05562.00001341@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 53022

In article <aNsh9.451$ap2.31@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>
>Dan, thanks for the tip. But my code is almost twice as fast as required.
>I'll wait until I retire and have plenty of time to work on increasing my
>code speed to 4 times (or even more) than required.

Brian:

When the code test speed requirement was 4X faster than it is today, it only took me a few months to get "up to speed." I was also working 12-hr. days, 6 days a week, taking college classes part-time, and pursuing an active social life at the time. What's the problem? Am I better than you, or what? Oh, I forgot. You had to wait before the code testing requirements were REDUCED by 75% in order to even give it a try. Sorry I axed.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1206. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 17:57:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209170957.6f764ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53023

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<am7d81$3f1$1@208.207.71.167>...
> Robert Casey wrote:
>
>
> Putzy the Pooh escrit:
>
> > > As for "books," Dickie has some odd notions. He doesn't have
> > > proper history books to show the "crusades" as the bigoted
> > > debacle and waste it was for Christian nations' crusaders.
>
>
> > You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
> > in the 1960's put on the crusades....
>
>
> Putzy Poo has no idea what he's talking about here either. For some
> reason the Arab nations still remember the Crusades in a very negative
> way.

Yeah, they got raided & invaded by a flock of western European
militant Christians on a jihad. The a-rabs should have "fond memories"
of those days or what??

1207. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 18:20:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2l4032.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53024

On 15 Sep 2002 08:01:08 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:

>> I thought that H Roe Bartlett died years ago...or is he like Mayor
>> Daley the Elder. living on in infamy....
>
>Then there was Our Man HST in his glory machine days in KC . . .
>Sorry. Had to do that.

My Man Harry was part of the Bartle machine, IIRC.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1208. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 19:49:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am810nfnf0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 53025

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 15 Sep 2002 08:01:08 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >> I thought that H Roe Bartlett died years ago...or is he like Mayor
> >> Daley the Elder. living on in infamy....
> >
> >Then there was Our Man HST in his glory machine days in KC . . .
> >Sorry. Had to do that.
>
> My Man Harry was part of the Bartle machine, IIRC.....

Prolly was. Seems to have toughened him up for the job ahead.

1209. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 19:55:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020917155515.05318.00000466@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 53027

In article <d22b54c3.0209170957.6f764ab@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:<am7d81$3f1$1@208.207.71.167>...
>> Robert Casey wrote:
>>
>> Putzy the Pooh escrit:
>>
>> > > As for "books," Dickie has some odd notions. He doesn't have
>> > > proper history books to show the "crusades" as the bigoted
>> > > debacle and waste it was for Christian nations' crusaders.
>>
>> > You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
>> > in the 1960's put on the crusades....
>>
>> Putzy Poo has no idea what he's talking about here either. For some
>> reason the Arab nations still remember the Crusades in a very negative
>> way.
>
>Yeah, they got raided & invaded by a flock of western European
>militant Christians on a jihad. The a-rabs should have "fond memories"
>of those days or what??

The original thread title concerned the proposed US amateur radio band at 60 meters. That's good for amateur radio policy discussions...in most other amateur chat-room venues.

In a sub-thread brought on by noted PCTAs Miccolis, Kelly, and Carroll about 13 Sep 02, this suddenly becomes an "Islamic-Christian" debate concerning the "crusades." Remarkable. The "crusades" encompassed four centuries of religious warfare both internal (Christian sect against Christian sect) and external (armed Europeans going into the Levant states or what is now known as the extreme eastern end of the Med, Lebanon to the Sinai). Such has no real relation to the subject since it happened OVER FOUR CENTURIES BEFORE THE FIRST DEMO OF RADIO AS A COMMUNICATIONS MEDIUM.

According to "historians" Carroll and Kelly, the present religious antipathy of Islam versus Christianity is "all due to the crusades" and some "memory" of it among some in Islamic states. A more likely "memory" is the European colonial rule in the Middle East between the middle 1800s and middle 1900s. In more recent history the Europeans lost that colonial rule just as they were

defeated in the earlier "crusades" period centuries before. As an example, Israel got its independence in 1948...and also started half of the four major wars of the next three decades between Arab nations and Israel. That's recorded history of the recent past. It was in the newspapers.

That has absolutely nothing at all to do with radio or electronics policy or technology concerning amateur radio or even the much larger world of radio communications. Just the same, a group of radio hobbyists in a predominently white male American grouping wish to sound erudite and "knowledgeable" by somehow shifting the discussion to the "fault of it all" as due to Islam and their alleged "memory" of over four centuries in the past! Remarkable.

If one doesn't agree with these "experts" (all PCTAs), then it is a case of rampant name-calling word-warfare against their "learned opinions" which are not learned, just ignorantly opinionated, definitely to the side of European Christianity.

For years the US amateur radio regulations have contained the definition of radio amateurs in the words of Part 97.1 (e): "Continuation and expansion of the amateur's unique ability to enhance international goodwill."

Enhancing international goodwill?!? How? A quick glance at any Almanac listing of the latter half of the previous century will show wars and general bad will between countries who have had amateur radio activity authorized by their administrations. So...how has all that "unique international goodwill" been of benefit to humankind in the last half century?

Irrelevant to this newsgroup. The "expert historians" (all PCTAs) in here merely want to FIGHT, to assert their virtual testosterone-laden mental machismo based on pure emotion, not facts of knowledge of the recent past. It is a disgusting little display of "practical amateur radio experience" that is supposed to be some kind of ham hallmark.

The proposed 60 meter US amateur band does not involve any upcoming WRC discussions or preliminary views of the US administration at WRC-03. It involves only a small portion of EM spectrum occupancy. That spectrum slice has known radio characteristics, known for over a half century, contains non-amateur US radio users most of whom being subject to NTIA regulation-organization. That subject could be argued in and of itself. It is not. The arguments other than Miccolis' "devils advocate" remarks involve petty little bigot statements concerning "Islamic memory." Ridiculous.

The NTIA commentary to the FCC that sparked this thread concerns other proposed US amateur radio bands. Those have not been mentioned. Why? Simple...it does not involve

any fight promise. It does not involve familiar spectrum territory. PCTAs are seemingly as non-knowledgeable of anything outside of HF as they are about geopolitical-religious history of the rest of the world. By demonstration. In here.

The pity of this little episode is that it is viewable by anyone with access. Radio regulatory agency people viewing all of this no doubt do a lot of either laughing or negative head-shaking. It does not, definitely not promote any goodwill between the militant fight-prone PCTAs and anyone else. If this is the hallmark of excellence resulting from "practical experience in amateur radio," then it is less than the equally-bad, emotionally-driven commentary of freebanders.

1210. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 19:55:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am81bn$gl0$0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 53026

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<am7d81$3f1$1@208.207.71.167>...
> > Robert Casey wrote:
> >
> >
> > Putzy the Pooh escrit:
> >
> > > > As for "books," Dickie has some odd notions. He doesn't have
> > > > proper history books to show the "crusades" as the bigoted
> > > > debacle and waste it was for Christian nations' crusaders.
> >
> >
> > > You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
> > > in the 1960's put on the crusades....
> >
> >
> > Putzy Poo has no idea what he's talking about here either. For some
> > reason the Arab nations still remember the Crusades in a very negative
> > way.
>
> Yeah, they got raided & invaded by a flock of western European
> militant Christians on a jihad. The a-rabs should have "fond memories"
> of those days or what??

I wouldn't think so. But the point is that most of the world got over
such nonsense by the time we had kicked all that butt at the end of WW2.
Even the Marxists have almost all crashed and burned, or at least
adopted some modern Capitalist life view, sorta slid into a modern era
of some sort. But not the poor A-rabs. They're still stuck in Mohammad's
third century.

1211. The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 21:40:42 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D87A15A.F06B75FC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From "The Case of the Codeless Hams":

"The biggest battle in many a decade is shaping up on the
ham scene these days....And it concerns what might be called
"codeless hams"... For years there have been grumblings
about these code requirements. Argument is that they are outmoded,
oppressive, unrealistic and constitute an unfair barrier to ....
potential ham operators.... The situation has intensified
in the past few years, however...For these reasons, a new type of
radio amateur would seem entirely within the scheme of things..."

Published in Electronics Illustrated, March 1965.

The more things change.... ;-)

73 Dave S.

1212. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 22:15:05 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D87A969.D97EC102@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53029

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>
> No..not much impact...all it did was give us the foundation upon which all
> the PRESENT middle-eastern conflict is based upon.
>
> Nine hundred-plus years of tit-for-tat exchanges of revenge and counter
> revenge that have created a now-inbred hatred that the present purveyors are
> fighting and can't remember what started it all.
>
> >Not a pretty picture of Christianity's noble goals.
>
> There's not a religion in the world that has not had some or all of it's
> adherants conduct some atrocity all in the name of "god" or "freedom" as
> interpreted by it's clerics or other purveyors. Usually upon "believers" of
> other faiths, but occassionally upon thier very own.

A few years ago the pope admitted that the crusades were a bad idea.
Along with a long list of other inquisions and whatnot done centuries
and decades ago. Maybe this can be a start to end all this jihad crap...

1213. Re: Free Amateur Nude Teens - - File 001 of 255 teenorgy164.jpg 23104 bytes id=1:1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 22:16:55 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D87A9D7.B044B302@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53030

MAIN wrote:

> Posted by Ozum (ozinsight.com) - binary newsreader, scanner and freeware autoposter.
>
>
> Name: teenorgy164.jpg
> teenorgy164.jpg Type: LView Pro Image File (image/jpg)
> Encoding: x-uuencode

What class of amateur license does she have? :-)

1214. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 23:17:05 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2lmdv4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53031

On 17 Sep 2002 19:55:15 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

> As an
> example, Israel got its independence in 1948...and also started
> half of the four major wars of the next three decades between
> Arab nations and Israel. That's recorded history of the recent
> past. It was in the newspapers.

And if Israel would not have "started" those, there would have been no Israel left in the face of the Arab threats. And probably no Phil Kane left to comment on it 35 years later.

> That has absolutely nothing at all to do with radio or electronics
> policy or technology concerning amateur radio or even the much
> larger world of radio communications.

I am one link between the above and radio communications. Part of my work with the Israeli Communications Ministry during the '67 fracas was radio broadcast interception of "the neighbors" and their propqganda broadcasts. I peronally heard the "we will push the Jews into the sea" in bad English and even worse Hebrew from Rasdio Cairo. My counterparts who were handling the Arabic and French broadcasts say that the grammar was better but the theme was the same.

The Arabs were not confusing the Jewish Israelis with the Christian Europeans of the Crusades. This has nothing to do with Moslems vs. Jews, just Arabs vs. Israelis. Strictly political turf war, not religious.

Bow back to NTIA Turf Defending......

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1215. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-17 23:26:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2lndz6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53032

On 16 Sep 2002 04:45:57 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> I thought that H Roe Bartlett died years ago...or is he like Mayor
>> Daley the Elder. living on in infamy....
>
> Phil, that would be H. Roe Bartle, for whom Bartle Hall, the big
>convention center in downtown KC is named. And to you your question,
>obviously yes.

Thank you for setting it straight. I haven't dealt with that name
since I graduated from college 45 years ago.... (he was the money
behind my college fraternity).

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1216. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 01:08:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020917210829.10323.00000406@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 53033

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h2lmdv4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 17 Sep 2002 19:55:15 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> As an
>> example, Israel got its independence in 1948...and also started
>> half of the four major wars of the next three decades between
>> Arab nations and Israel. That's recorded history of the recent
>> past. It was in the newspapers.
>
> And if Israel would not have "started" those, there would have been
> no Israel left in the face of the Arab threats. And probably no Phil
> Kane left to comment on it 35 years later.

Four larger wars later there is still a Phil Kane commenting in
favor of Israel...and the government of Israel is busy fighting in
smaller scale against Palestinians. Killing is continuing on
both sides. Congratulations on passing the half-century mark
in authorized, organized murder on all sides there.

What has been proved since 1948? Killing is "okay" if done by
one government but "bad" if done by another one?

I've heard those from the Middle East personally say exactly
the same about Israelis (Egyptian, Lebanese, Persian or, as
you Armericans say, Iranian). All the sides think they are
right.

US radio amateurs do not yet have a 60 meter band. Should
they get one just because they are "right" and the (evil) NTIA
are somehow "trying to drive them into the sea" in some
over-exaggerated analogy to half-century-plus fighting in the
eastern Mediterranean nation-states?

> The Arabs were not confusing the Jewish Israelis with the Christian
> Europeans of the Crusades. This has nothing to do with Moslems vs.
> Jews, just Arabs vs. Israelis. Strictly political turf war, not
> religious.

What seems to be going on in this newsgroup is a few very militant
"palestinians" (it rhymes with pro-code-testians) tossing their bangers
about in mental machismo posturing over some imagined turf war
over turf they don't have.

At least one of them seems ready to run out and kill some ragheads
for Jesus...because he can't tell the difference between a true

believer in Islam from some dysfunctional kill-happy minority sect members who did accomplish the unthinkable.

Another one is so wrapped up in his own belief system of the Ancient Radiotelegraphy Service that he wants to fight everybody who doesn't think like he does. A true fedayin willing (in words) to blast anyone against keeping standards and practices of his long-ago youth.

> Bow back to NTIA Turf Defending......

No one is "defending NTIA turf." US government-military users are already in the 5 MHz region of HF. Now. [not "bow"]

US radio amateurs have to show cause for an allocation at or near the 60m band region. "Showing cause" isn't done by tossing bad things at agencies that already have that turf. "Showing cause" isn't done by proclaiming some divinly-(self)-given righteousness and wrapping oneself in tired cliches` of vague political goodness. "Showing cause" isn't done by pretending to be radio minutemen defending the nation against terrorists while sitting in their shacks working contest points and having pipe dreams of glory saving some mythical Titanic. "Showing cause" isn't done by damning every single non-amateur who doesn't buy into their mythical beliefs of greatness and tenure as amateurs.

Amateurs could truly show cause by behaving like rational adults and presenting arguments for their side in positive ways. Amateur radio has largely been an avocational radio recreation activity for a half century. There is nothing wrong with recreation for recreation's sake. Our national park system is enormous and exists for the recreation and enjoyment of our citizenry...and visitors from foreign lands. Our government maintains those national parks for as long as that same government has regulated radio.

Some in this newsgroup don't want recreation and enjoyment for all. Indeed, they care little for anyone not believing in their elitist little credos of service, sacrifice, glory for their own little nation-state of amateurism.

There's a parallel there. The fedayin of amateur radio can't see it. They are too busy strapping on their bombs to suicide themselves...and pointing fingers at everyone else for their "problems" while saying they are "right." It is status quo or suicide to them.

1217. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 01:48:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020917214800.27149.00002443@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53034

In article <3D87A15A.F06B75FC@ix.netcom.com>, David Stinson
<arc5@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>From "The Case of the Codeless Hams":
>
> "The biggest battle in many a decade is shaping up on the
> ham scene these days....And it concerns what might be called
> "codeless hams"... For years there have been grumblings
> about these code requirements. Argument is that they are outmoded,
> oppressive, unrealistic and constitute an unfair barrier to ....
> potential ham operators.... The situation has intensified
> in the past few years, however...For these reasons, a new type of
> radio amateur would seem entirely within the scheme of things..."
>
>Published in Electronics Illustrated, March 1965.
>
>The more things change.... ;-)
>
>73 Dave S.

Dave:

It would be interesting to see what ham radio would be like today
if the code testing requirements had been reduced to present levels
in 1965. I predict that we'd have about the same number of hams,
but there would not be any CW-specific HF subbands; therefore,
very little (if any) CW activity. What hams we had would be generally
inactive, except for roughly the same amount of dyed-in-the-wool SSB
DX'ers and contesters. Digital mode usage would be virtually unknown.
We'd also be looking at the same problems with regard to reallocation
of spectrum in the VHF/UHF bands. I also predict that the problem
of "aging" in the ham population would be much worse, since the code
was a big factor in *attracting* younger hams. Along with all this,
the technical involvement of the average ham would not have increased
whatsoever -- in fact, we'd be more "appliance oriented" than ever.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1218. Re: FOR SALE: 250 Watt CB linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 02:19:30 UTC
From: Harv <27555@Freeband27555.net>
Message-ID: <am8nr0vpc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53035
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What typed of tubes does it use?

Harv

"Sideump" <Sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:5gneouk5nlige8jj06ehqk8td9amnoojt6@4ax.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Lafayette HA-250A linear. 250 watts p.e.p.
> Looks to be in excellent shape. Uses two tubes, and comes with
> the cable for connection to 12V DC power in your vehicle.
> Pictures available.
>
> Will sell for $75.00 plus shipping
>
> E-mail me for picture or to arrange purchase
>
> - - -
> Commyanism is the woyste thing I evah hoyde - Robin Williams

1219. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 02:22:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020917222251.28700.00000981@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53036

In article <20020917102750.02682.00003833@mb-cc.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>There's not a religion in the world that has not had some or all of it's >adherants conduct some atrocity all in the name of "god" or "freedom" as >interpreted by it's clerics or other purveyors. Usually upon "believers" of >other faiths, but occassionally upon thier very own.

Just among Christians, I know of several denominations that reject all violence and atrocity. And they live up to the preaching. I'm sure there are many others.

>Religion has been and remains today the number one cause of major >warfare in the world since the beginning of recorded history. Praise the Lord >and pass the ammunition.

I disagree. It's not religion per se, but rather religious intolerance and idealogical zealotry, that are the driving forces.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1220. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 02:22:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020917222251.28700.00000983@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53037

In article <am5svvklf0@208.206.142.90>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Much of what we now know of ancient history and basic science and math are
>> the
>> result of works preserved by Muslim scholars while European Christians were
>> busy burning books because of their 'pagan' origins.

> Yep. The Crusades were an extension of that.

Partly. There was also the ambition of second sons of nobility, and the genuine
desire to have the Holy Land under "Christian" control.

>And another manifestation
>of excess by religious fundamentalist zealots performing as True
>Believers.

And of political schemers using religion as a cover for their schemes.

>> Now the shoe is on the
>> other foot.

> Sure is. Do we want to suffer mush of the same fate as mid easterners
>during the Crusades? For that is exactly what much of the Muslim world
>wishes for us.

Those folks in the Mideast forced the Crusaders out and ran the whole show in
that part of the world for centuries.
>
> You can ignore Kim. She has no confidence in books. Just touchy-feely.

My main point is simply this: Islam is not one monolith of a religion, in which
all Muslims believe exactly the same things and have the same motivations.
Would you lump all Christians together with Jim Jones and the KKK?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1221. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 02:22:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020917222251.28700.00000982@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53038

In article <3D869D3A.E65B77FB@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
>in the 1960's put on the crusades....

Yep. Been there, done that. Whatta hoot!

One of the best things someone ever did for me was to give me a copy of
Michener's "The Source" when I was 11 (fifth grade). Read it over and over, and
it led me to other books that told the history from different viewpoints. The
nuns were not amused.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1222. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 03:05:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am8qhv$57s$0@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 53039

N2EY wrote:
>
> My main point is simply this: Islam is not one monolith of a religion, in which
> all Muslims believe exactly the same things and have the same motivations.

Certainly that is correct. The problem is that the radical fundamentalist wing is not an insignificant splinter group, and their adherence to a basic fundamental interpretation of Islam includes mandates to moderates by which they may be considered evil themselves if they refuse to do Allah's bidding as interpreted by the radicals. How did the Taliban take over Afghanistan? By harshly suppressing the moderates, ALL of them. Subjugation in the extreme.
They are not the trivial sort of offshoot group you seem to consider them to be.
Virtually all Muslim countries administrations hang on the edge of anarchy because of anti Western feeling among mainstream Muslims. Surely you heard or saw all he celebrating that took place on the Arab street when the towers came down. All that can't be dismissed as the work of a small splinter group.

> Would you lump all Christians together with Jim Jones and the KKK?

If you don't discern the difference between the above and a widespread network of Islamic terrorists who enjoy great popular support from within mainstream Islam, I don't think you adequately understand the problem. Jones was responsible for doing away with his followers, the KKK with intimidating and even killing some members of a certain minority. Neither, nor any other modern day religious fanatic I'm aware of had designs on weapons of mass destruction with which to destroy Western civilization in the name of God.

1223. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 03:18:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am8r9l$6ld$0@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 53040

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20020917102750.02682.00003833@mb-cc.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >There's not a religion in the world that has not had some or all of it's
> >adherants conduct some atrocity all in the name of "god" or "freedom" as
> >interpreted by it's clerics or other purveyors.

Yup. It's history. But what we have to deal with today is another
matter. What would the terrorists do if they could do anything they
wanted? Had all the worst weapons imaginable? Brushing all that off as
some ordinary religious eccentricity is uninformed to say the least.

Usually upon "believers" of
> >other faiths, but occassionally upon thier very own.
>
> Just among Christians, I know of several denominations that reject all violence
> and atrocity. And they live up to the preaching.

Yes, and others have to come to their defense when assailants arrive.
And they always arrive.

> >Religion has been and remains today the number one cause of major
> >warfare in the world since the beginning of recorded history. Praise the Lord
> >and pass the ammunition.
>
> I disagree. It's not religion per se, but rather religious intolerance and
> idealogical zealotry, that are the driving forces.

Right, it's not religion per se, but gross bastardization of it by what
amounts to mental cases who dream up all sorts of scenarios. If we fail
to take the threat seriously in this case, we do so at our peril, as was
well proven on 9/11. We knew a lot about the threat, and failed to
properly assess it.

1224. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 03:27:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am8rql$7ld$0@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 53041

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3D869D3A.E65B77FB@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
> >in the 1960's put on the crusades....
>
> Yep. Been there, done that. Whatta hoot!
>
> One of the best things someone ever did for me was to give me a copy of
> Michener's "The Source" when I was 11 (fifth grade). Read it over and over, and
> it led me to other books that told the history from different viewpoints. The
> nuns were not amused.

I imagine not.
The bureaucracy of a religion is always the very worst aspect of it. It
tends to write its own history and to propagate that history as fact.

1225. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 07:05:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209172305.5a771162@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53042

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2l4032.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 15 Sep 2002 08:01:08 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >> I thought that H Roe Bartlett died years ago...or is he like Mayor
> >> Daley the Elder. living on in infamy....
> >
> >Then there was Our Man HST in his glory machine days in KC . . .
> >Sorry. Had to do that.
>
> My Man Harry was part of the Bartle machine, IIRC.....

The guy was incredible . . Jesse James days to the ridge in France
with 75mm pieces to the fateful flight of the Enola Gay to the Pusan
Perimeter and even beyond . . What Jeffereson wrought Harry took to
hard core reality of the streets. If you haven't done McCullough's
massive tome on him you gotta do it. Absolutely riviting tale of the
most underappreciated leader this country ever had.

And this from a Republican.

>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

1226. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 07:52:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209172352.142fbde@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53043

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<am81bn$gl0$0@208.206.142.81>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > Yeah, they got raided & invaded by a flock of western European
> > militant Christians on a jihad. The a-rabs should have "fond memories"
> > of those days or what??
>
>
> I wouldn't think so. But the point is that most of the world got over
> such nonsense by the time we had kicked all that butt at the end of WW2.
> Even the Marxists have almost all crashed and burned, or at least
> adopted some modern Capitalist life view, sorta slid into a modern era
> of some sort. But not the poor A-rabs. They're still stuck in Mohammad's
> third century.

Major events are going down by the hour out there right now which are reshaping the world in ways which could in the end have as much impact on reshaping geopolitics as did WW2, particlarly Arab geopolitics. Note that they were only peripherally involved as players in WW2. Mostly because they were British and French colonials. There's a message in that.

Yes Dick, there are reclusive oppressive Muslim regimes like the Saudis and the Yeminis. But lookit what they're up to as I peck at the keyboard. CIA paramilitary units and elements of the Delta Force are rolling into Yemen in volume at the invitation of that goverment . . If the Saudis hadn't jumped ship and joined (+/-) Dubya's jihad Saddam Baby wouldn't have blinked like he did yesterday.

I'm postulating that what we're seeing right now is in a sense is a huge trash within the Muslim world which in some ways is not unlike those Christianity went through in Martin Luther's days. It's update time and we're living it. This is WW3. I think that in the end the fallout will be as positive as those which fell out of WW2.

w3rv

1227. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 11:21:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020918072109.12219.00004552@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53044

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/17/02 2:55 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020917155515.05318.00000466@mb-bh.aol.com>

>That has absolutely nothing at all to do with radio or electronics
> policy or technology concerning amateur radio or even the much
> larger world of radio communications

Having had the tables thoroughly turned on his own rants and "Do As I Say Not Do As I Do" behaviour, Lennie, true to form, brings to bear his "It's A Radio NG" rant.

It IS a radio NG, and that's not the "problem". The problem is that Lennie loves to pull threads all over the spectrum of obfuscation when it suits him, and then demands a realignment when it doesn't.

He also takes great liberty with his interpretations of who's trying to "moderate" the content of the NG when it suits him.

A putz of monumental proportion.

I've been saying it for days, and here he does it again.

Thanks, Lennie.

>Enhancing international goodwill?!? How? A quick glance at
> any Almanac listing of the latter half of the previous century
> will show wars and general bad will between countries who
> have had amateur radio activity authorized by their
> administrations. So...how has all that "unique international
> goodwill" been of benefit to humankind in the last half century?

Reading any one of the plethora of stories in any of the Amateur Radio journals of friendships concieved on the air and later brought to fruition are among them, Your Geezerness.

>Irrelevant to this newsgroup. The "expert historians" (all
> PCTAs) in here merely want to FIGHT, to assert their virtual
> testosterone-laden mental machismo based on pure emotion,
> not facts of knowledge of the recent past. It is a disgusting
> little display of "practical amateur radio experience" that is
> supposed to be some kind of ham hallmark.

And YOUR adamant injection of "Because I Said So" rhetoric in an NG relative to an avocation in which you do not hold licensure is any different...HOW...???

>The proposed 60 meter US amateur band does not involve
> any upcoming WRC discussions...(SNIP)

Nor does it involve any discussion on the Morse Code test elimination issue which you once again, this week, said was your only interest in commenting.

Since you do not fabricate things and only tell the truth, how shall we categorize THAT assertion?

You are clearly addressing Amateur Radio policy matters which are NOT related to that topic. Ergo you ahve, once again, misrepresented yourself.

Another file sent by "error", Your Incompetentness?

>The pity of this little episode is that it is viewable by anyone
> with access. Radio regulatory agency people viewing all of
> this no doubt do a lot of either laughing or negative head-
> shaking.

In as much as this is NOT the forum in which such decisions are made or formulated, the only one's shaking thier heads are those of us that wonder where you get your material.

>If this is the hallmark of excellence resulting from "practical
> experience in amateur radio," then it is less than the equally-
> bad, emotionally-driven commentary of freebanders.

FREEBANDERS! Now THERE is a subject on which I am sure you can express yourself from an informed position.

Putz.

1228. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 11:25:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020918072502.12219.00004553@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53045

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 9/17/02 6:17 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2lmdv4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>Strictly political turf war, not religious.

How can you say this and not laugh, Phil?

It is the very tenets of BOTH religions that promote the "political" and
military responses.

You cannot sever the two. They are inseperable.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1229. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 11:33:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020918073354.12219.00004554@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53046

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/17/02 8:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020917210829.10323.00000406@mb-cp.aol.com>

>Amateurs could truly show cause by behaving like rational
> adults and presenting arguments for their side in positive
> ways.

You mean like formulating and conducting a scientific study with
on-the-air testing to determine interoperability like the ARRL did with it's
experimental project...???

Oh..Wait...YOU proclaimed it otherwise...Excuse me.....

>Amateur radio has largely been an avocational radio
> recreation activity for a half century. There is nothing wrong
> with recreation for recreation's sake. Our national park system
> is enormous and exists for the recreation and enjoyment of our
> citizenry...and visitors from foreign lands. Our government
> maintains those national parks for as long as that same
> government has regulated radio.

You are right. Amateur Radio for recreation's sake is OK. However that is
NOT the ONLY application of the service, and that is codified into federal
regulations. There are very definite PRACTICAL applicaitons for it.

Sorry you don't see it otherwise, but them's the facts, Lennie.

>Some in this newsgroup don't want recreation and enjoyment
> for all. Indeed, they care little for anyone not believing in their
> elitist little credos of service, sacrifice, glory for their own little
> nation-state of amateurism.

Quotes. Verbatim quotes, Lennie. You stated this as an assertion of fact.
Now I want to SEE your support of that assertion.

>It is status quo or suicide to them.

You ARE such an idiot...And I thought it was just a "summer thing".....

1230. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 11:48:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020918074805.12219.00004556@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53047

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 9/17/02 5:15 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D87A969.D97EC102@ix.netcom.com>

>Maybe this can be a start to end all this jihad crap...

I would say yes except for one thing...

Judiasm and Christianity have various "leaders" of the major sects.
Somebody somewhere is the "spiritual leader' of that various branch of theology
and can, at least in generalities, speak for thier adherants.

WHO speaks for the Muslims?

I can empathize with many of the Muslims who ARE being persecuted right
now as a result of "backlash" in the days after 9/11. I DO know many honest,
devout and peaceful Islamic faithful who have absolutely NO desire to be even
remotely associated with the lunatics who are using "Islam" to justify their
acts. They are people for whom family, morality and character are paramount in
their lives.

But what the Muslims desperately need right now is SOME "voice" to stand
up to the "clerics" and the extremists and say NO, this is NOT "Islam" that you
are invoking. It is a perversion, no different than the perversions of
Christianity that motivated the Crusades.

Until that whole of the Islamic faithful find their voices and get some
stiffness in their spine to confront the clerics who pervert Islam into a
justification for murder, then the rest of the world can only assume thier
silence is tolerance and acceptance of the extremists conduct.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1231. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 11:51:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020918075141.12219.00004557@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53048

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/17/02 9:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020917222251.28700.00000981@mb-fz.aol.com>

>Just among Christians, I know of several denominations that reject all
>violence
>and atrocity. And they live up to the preaching. I'm sure there are many
>others.

Don't get me wrong, Jim. I agree wholeheartedly. Just as I know many who
are faithful to the studies of Islam and for whom the "teachings" of teh
extremists are vulgar and horrific.

>>Religion has been and remains today the number one cause of major
>>warfare in the world since the beginning of recorded history. Praise the
>Lord
>>and pass the ammunition.
>
>I disagree. It's not religion per se, but rather religious intolerance and
>idealogical zealotry, that are the driving forces.

I guess I'd have to agree there, Jim.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1232. READ THE ONLY SITE THAT HAS THE GUTS TO CALL FOR THE MURDER OF JEWS AND BLACKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 12:07:49 UTC
From: Hal.Turner@HalTurnerShow.com
Message-ID: <ama0bnbhs22224@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 53153

READ THE ONLY WEB-SITE THATS NOT AFRAID TO ADVOCATE
THE ELIMINATION OF KIKES AND NIGGERS.

www.halturnershow.com

Harold C. Turner
1906 Paterson Plank Rd.
North Bergen, New Jersey
201-348-8449

Hal.Turner@HalTurnerShow.com

Some younger coffees are sour and other distant games are stupid, but will Jeremy attempt that?

I was measuring to irritate you some of my lazy enigmas. Hey, it lifts a teacher too rude outside her stale rain.

They are opening on bad, throughout handsome, against outer elbows. Plenty of abysmal unique powder lives jars before Sheri's difficult porter. Dave, towards pitchers dry and rural, kicks in it, killing easily. A lot of hollow hat or ocean, and she'll actually learn everybody. Who Walt's blunt disk moulds, Dickie promises with angry, think fires. Terrance fears, then Ricky sadly dines a heavy poultice around Andy's ventilator. Other polite strange trees will recollect furiously with painters. Tell Clint it's upper caring for a farmer. They tease poor yogis, do you dream them? It will call once, join steadily, then cook without the dose above the winter. Let's climb near the good caves, but don't scold the pathetic kettles. She wants to improve sick cases for Clint's canyon. Well Elmo will waste the desk, and if Steven regularly expects it too, the car will burn below the quiet foothill. They are hating beneath the star now, won't order counters later. The pretty pumpkin rarely departs Julie, it seeks Eddie instead. Where did Ronnie fill without all the pools? We can't nibble buttons unless Francoise will totally judge afterwards.

Lately, papers pour among shallow stables, unless they're tired. Where does Ann walk so sneakily, whenever Brian creeps the bitter dryer very annually? The carrots, goldsmiths, and forks are all sweet and closed. It jumped, you loved, yet Carolyn never generally attacked through the window. It can change the healthy spoon and explain it without its morning. If you will dye Eliza's market beneath envelopes, it will surprisingly sow the carpenter.

We pull the raw cobbler. If you'll solve Dickie's mountain with wrinkles, it'll strangely wander the pear. Brian! You'll reject cups. Well, I'll receive the tailor.

1233. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 12:10:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020918081030.15779.00000831@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53050

In article <am8qhv$57s$0@208.207.71.133>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> My main point is simply this: Islam is not one monolith of a religion, in
>which
>> all Muslims believe exactly the same things and have the same motivations.

> Certainly that is correct.

Glad to hear it.

>The problem is that the radical
>fundamentalist wing is not an insignificant splinter group, and their
>adherence to a basic fundamental interpretation of Islam includes
>mandates to moderates by which they may be considered evil themselves if
>they refuse to do Allah's bidding as interpreted by the radicals.

That's true. And it's true of some Christian groups, too. More so in the past
than today.

>How
>did the Taliban take over Afghanistan? By harshly suppressing the
>moderates, ALL of them. Subjugation in the extreme.

They harshly suppressed everybody.

>They are not the trivial sort of offshoot group you seem to consider
>them to be.

I don't consider them or other terrorists to be trivial. Sorry if I gave that
impression.

>Virtually all Muslim countries administrations hang on the edge of
>anarchy because of anti Western feeling among mainstream Muslims.

They also hang on the edge of anarchy because there is not much cultural
tradition of democracy and equality in many parts of the world.

>Surely
>you heard or saw all he celebrating that took place on the Arab street
>when the towers came down.

You mean the Palestinians. Yep, I saw that. I don;t know how representative it
was of the entire Muslim world.

>All that can't be dismissed as the work of a
>small splinter group.

>> Would you lump all Christians together with Jim Jones and the KKK?
>
> If you don't discern the difference between the above and a widespread
>network of Islamic terrorists who enjoy great popular support from
>within mainstream Islam, I don't think you adequately understand the
>problem. Jones was responsible for doing away with his followers, the
>KKK with intimidating and even killing some members of a certain
>minority.

The point was simply that not all "Christians" hold all the same views and sympathies.

The KKK was/is all about intimidation. Not just of blacks, but of Jews and Catholics and many others. Back in the '20s they marched on Washington in great strength. Their intimidation tactics certainly qualify as terrorist.

> Neither, nor any other modern day religious fanatic I'm aware
>of had designs on weapons of mass destruction with which to destroy
>Western civilization in the name of God.

Good point!

Which is why we need to work with the Russians and other world powers to reduce the threat of those folks getting such weapons. Don't both Pakistan and India have nukes?

Remember when the "godless Communists" were supposedly going to nuke us out of existence? Turns out they thought we were going to do the same thing to them. Fact is that their being "godless" was an asset to peace, because there was no promise of a Commie heaven if things went wrong. At worst, they wanted to dominate the world, not blow it up.

Here's another good book: "Blind Man's Bluff", about the role of submarines in Cold War intelligence. Fascinating.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1234. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 12:10:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020918081031.15779.00000833@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53049

In article <d22b54c3.0209172352.142fbde@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Major events are going down by the hour out there right now which are
>reshaping the world in ways which could in the end have as much impact
>on reshaping geopolitics as did WW2, particlarly Arab geopolitics.
>Note that they were only peripherally involved as players in WW2.
>Mostly because they were British and French colonials. There's a
>message in that.

Yep. They don;t want to go back to being colonials again.

Also recall that for many years the West and the Rooskies vied to be "friendly" with various governments simply to deny that government's assets to the other side. Example: A blind eye was turned towards the oppressive regime of the Shah of Iran because he was on 'our' side, not the Soviets. Now the Russians aren't the enemy anymore.
>
>Yes Dick, there are reclusive oppressive Muslim regimes like the
>Saudis and the Yeminis. But lookit what they're up to as I peck at the
>keyboard. CIA paramilitary units and elements of the Delta Force are
>rolling into Yemen in volume at the invitation of that goverment . .

And that's just the stuff we know about.

>If the Saudis hadn't jumped ship and joined (+/-) Dubya's jihad Saddam
>Baby wouldn't have blinked like he did yesterday.

Who knows what conversations and deals have gone down behind the scenes? Hindsight being 20-20, it's clear SH should have been dealt with 11 years ago. Maybe the combined efforts of Papa B and Shrub have amounted to something. Or maybe the Saudis and Yemenis want stability in the region. War can be very bad for business, y'know.

>I'm postulating that what we're seeing right now is in a sense is a
>huge trash within the Muslim world which in some ways is not unlike
>those Christianity went through in Martin Luther's days. It's update
>time and we're living it. This is WW3. I think that in the end the
>fallout will be as positive as those which fell out of WW2.

Had to use the word "fallout", didn't ya?

Main point of all this in regards to 5.2 MHz band is that with stuff like that going on, NTIA sure isn't going to let go of a perceived asset such as nonshared use of 5.25-5.4. And if we hams push too hard, or the wrong way,

we'll just look worse than totally clueless and harm our own cause in a big way.

It would be great to have a 60 meter band, but not at the cost of making big enemies in high places.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1235. Re: Enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 12:30:24 UTC
From: Leroy Lefkowhisky <CBamplifiers@CBampsUnlimieted.net>
Message-ID: <am9rkeimb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:orRh9.188484$2L.8049771@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> username <username@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:uoel5cle28es1c@news.supernews.com...
> > Is the Commission still sending out letters like they have been for the
> last
> > few years? I heard WB2CLN on 3860 say he just got another one, but did
not
> > disclose any details? Are these notices public record available on any
> > websites? ..just curious what the hams are "doing wrong" these days.
> Go to www.arrl.org , www.rainreport.com (audio report every 2 weeks), and
> www.arnewsline.com. I think this is what you are looking for.
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
Quantity discounts on mag mount and velcro signs:

"Junior RADIO POLICE"

Looks official. Impress your old CB buddies!

email me for prices.

Leroy, standin' by on 27880

1236. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 13:38:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <am9vjuqql0@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 53152

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> >Date: 9/17/02 5:15 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D87A969.D97EC102@ix.netcom.com>
>
> >Maybe this can be a start to end all this jihad crap...
>
> I would say yes except for one thing...
>
> Judiasm and Christianity have various "leaders" of the major sects.
> Somebody somewhere is the "spiritual leader' of that various branch of theology
> and can, at least in generalities, speak for thier adherants.
>
> WHO speaks for the Muslims?
>
> I can empathize with many of the Muslims who ARE being persecuted right
> now as a result of "backlash" in the days after 9/11. I DO know many honest,
> devout and peaceful Islamic faithful who have absolutely NO desire to be even
> remotely associated with the lunatics who are using "Islam" to justify their
> acts. They are people for whom family, morality and character are paramount in
> their lives.
>
> But what the Muslims desperately need right now is SOME "voice" to stand
> up to the "clerics" and the extremists and say NO, this is NOT "Islam" that you
> are invoking. It is a perversion, no different than the perversions of
> Christianity that motivated the Crusades.
>

Agreed with all the above.

> Until that whole of the Islamic faithful find their voices and get some
> stiffness in their spine to confront the clerics who pervert Islam into a
> justification for murder, then the rest of the world can only assume thier
> silence is tolerance and acceptance of the extremists conduct.

Here's where we find one of the major problems. A Muslim standing up
to one of their clerics is committing a major offense. Note that is true
even among those living in the USA, where religion and government are
supposed to be separate. Under Islam they are not, even here. For a
Muslim to challenge the words of his Imam is not only sacrilege, it's
considered violation of law (the Koran is as much law to them as
anything civil) and certainly blasphemy. Most who do it usually stand
alone, ostracized by their communities. Not the sort of behavior one

eagerly participates in.
In other words for the most part the religious leader's words are virtual law. Until this viewpoint changes this problem will persist, and is one of the chief reasons Islam is such an intractable problem for us. Moderates who have never considered being anything else can easily decide, in a moment of depression, that after a lifetime of resistance to Allah's will (and a lifetime of haranguing by clerics), it's finally time to pay their dues.....
We've already seen a few examples including the copilot of Flight 800 diving the plane into the ocean while muttering something about "Allah's will" and the shooting at LAX a few months ago.

1237. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 14:27:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020918102736.18884.00004196@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53154

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 9/18/02 8:38 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <am9vjuqql0@208.207.71.151>

>We've already seen a few examples including the copilot of Flight 800
>diving the plane into the ocean while muttering something about "Allah's
>will" and the shooting at LAX a few months ago.

Agreed with all, Dick, but wasn't that the EgyptAir flight...? I was
pretty sure there was no cockpit radio comm's from PA800.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1238. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 14:28:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ama2ig$10j$0@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 53155

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <am8qhv$57s$0@208.207.71.133>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >The problem is that the radical
> >fundamentalist wing is not an insignificant splinter group, and their
> >adherence to a basic fundamental interpretation of Islam includes
> >mandates to moderates by which they may be considered evil themselves if
> >they refuse to do Allah's bidding as interpreted by the radicals.
>
> That's true. And it's true of some Christian groups, too. More so in the past
> than today.
>

Exactly. In a day where there was no such thing as a weapon of mass
destruction. Nor handy jetliners to hijack and easily turn into cruise
missiles.
We face a different sort of threat situation entirely. It truly is a
good thing that the Crusaders had none of today's weapons.

> >Virtually all Muslim countries administrations hang on the edge of
> >anarchy because of anti Western feeling among mainstream Muslims.
>
> They also hang on the edge of anarchy because there is not much cultural
> tradition of democracy and equality in many parts of the world.

And we arrive at a crucial point- Why is there no such traditions
there? Basically because Islam does not allow for such things. An
individual's life does NOT belong to him, to choose his own course. His
life belongs to Allah, and his only valid function is to do the bidding
of Allah, as interpreted by his local cleric, whose word is functional
law. Individual feelings and desires are deeply suppressed. That this
facet is downplayed in some areas of Islam is not a primary point. Even
the moderates can all too often be whipped into a feeding frenzy. In
Allah's name.

Direct comparisons to Christianity in any modern sense are simply
invalid.
Islam functions today virtually as it did in the middle ages, for a
huge portion of Islamics. Nowhere does Christianity adhere to Middle Age
precepts, such as killing for Christ, outside a few tiny groups of
zealots single-mindedly bent on closing abortion clinics. On the other

hand, vast numbers of Islamics would like to see us all dead. In the
name of God.

>
> >Surely
> >you heard or saw all he celebrating that took place on the Arab street
> >when the towers came down.
>
> You mean the Palestinians. Yep, I saw that. I don;t know how representative it
> was of the entire Muslim world.

It certainly wasn't only the Palestinians. Celebrations were going on
around the globe. The mainstream US media doesn't want you to see any
more of that than absolutely necessary, but it sure was on BBC.

>
> >All that can't be dismissed as the work of a
> >small splinter group.
>
> >> Would you lump all Christians together with Jim Jones and the KKK?
> >
> > If you don't discern the difference between the above and a widespread
> >network of Islamic terrorists who enjoy great popular support from
> >within mainstream Islam, I don't think you adequately understand the
> >problem. Jones was responsible for doing away with his followers, the
> >KKK with intimidating and even killing some members of a certain
> >minority.
>
> The point was simply that not all "Christians" hold all the same views and
> sympathies.
>
> The KKK was/is all about intimidation. Not just of blacks, but of Jews and
> Catholics and many others. Back in the '20s they marched on Washington in great
> strength. Their intimidation tactics certainly qualify as terrorist.
>
> > Neither, nor any other modern day religious fanatic I'm aware
> >of had designs on weapons of mass destruction with which to destroy
> >Western civilization in the name of God.
>
> Good point!
>
> Which is why we need to work with the Russians and other world powers to reduce
> the threat of those folks getting such weapons.

Recent defectors say that Iraq has enough material for three nukes
(from the news yesterday) That was almost inevitable, given the
outlawry within post-Soviet Russia. Their own Mafia makes ours look like
a handful of bungling amateurs.

Don't both Pakistan and India
> have nukes?
>

Yep. Pakistan particularly, being almost totally Islamic, is the most worrisome in terms of terrorists getting hold of nuke material. Terrorist sympathizers there are legion.

> Remember when the "godless Communists" were supposedly going to nuke us out of
> existence?

As somebody said "I lived it". Part of it on the East/West German border. It wasn't all that much fun. I've looked the Russian Bear in the eye. Didn't much like what I saw.

>Turns out they thought we were going to do the same thing to them.

Did you hear about the Russian Colonel who refused to launch an ICBM attack against the US when he was ordered to do so? They had detected what they were sure was a launch from the US toward them. The Colonel was sure it was a mistake, and declined to launch the response strike when he was ordered to launch. Of course it turned out to be a false alarm. He undoubtedly prevented a nuclear holocaust. He wasn't disciplined, either. That information came out after the disintegration of the Soviet Union.

Sometimes mankind hangs on a thread.

> Fact is that their being "godless" was an asset to peace, because there was no
> promise of a Commie heaven if things went wrong.

Interesting comparison to Islam, isn't it? Similar aims, very different thinking.

1239. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 14:39:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ama36t$249$0@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 53156

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> If the Saudis hadn't jumped ship and joined (+/-) Dubya's jihad Saddam
> Baby wouldn't have blinked like he did yesterday.
>

So it's back to Saddam playing poker with the neutered UN, a game he's won hands down since Desert Storm. Now we gotta work to suppress all the UN "but he agreed...." nonsense that will drag on for months or years if we allow it.
Seems they want to find reason to let him alone, regardless of what he's up to.

> I'm postulating that what we're seeing right now is in a sense is a
> huge trash within the Muslim world which in some ways is not unlike
> those Christianity went through in Martin Luther's days.

Imagine if modern weapons had been available in Luther's day. History would read quite differently.

It's update
> time and we're living it. This is WW3. I think that in the end the
> fallout will be as positive as those which fell out of WW2.

That's my big worry. Modern weapons in the hands of third century illiterate zealots.
We can only hope our leaders and military are up to the job, and that our people are gifted with understanding of, first, what the end result must be, and second, that sometimes very unattractive means must be employed to get there.

Dick

1240. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 14:56:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ama460$408$0@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 53157

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >Date: 9/18/02 8:38 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <am9vjuqql0@208.207.71.151>
>
> >We've already seen a few examples including the copilot of Flight 800
> >diving the plane into the ocean while muttering something about "Allah's
> >will" and the shooting at LAX a few months ago.
>
> Agreed with all, Dick, but wasn't that the EgyptAir flight...? I was
> pretty sure there was no cockpit radio comm's from PA800.
>

My mistake, you have it right, Steve. Flight 800 was the one where several witnesses reported seeing something that might have been a small missile fly up to it just before it exploded and fell into LOng Island Sound... Investigators finally said it was an explosion inside a fuel tank that caused the crash, which they thought was caused by frayed wiring. In side a fuel tank? Hmmm.

1241. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 16:55:42 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2mzl97.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53158

On 18 Sep 2002 11:25:02 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>>Date: 9/17/02 6:17 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2lmdv4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
>
>>Strictly political turf war, not religious.
>
> How can you say this and not laugh, Phil?
>
> It is the very tenets of BOTH religions that promote the "political" and
>military responses.
>
> You cannot sever the two. They are inseperable.

Several things refute that.

1 - The "Palestinian" Arabs are not uniformly Moslem. There is a
significant percentage of them who are Christian, especially on the
Judean hills around Jerusalem.

2. Military response is not a tenet of Judaism and the
ultra-Orthodox fundamentalist Jewish community is exempt from
compulsory military service ny mutual agreement. Political
muscle-flexing is their thing. Whatever military response Israel
employs is strictly a secular concept.

3. Although I cannot talk authoritatively about the Arab population,
the vast majority of Jewish Israelis are secular and non-practicing
in Western terms. The only Prime Minister who had "religious"
tendencies was Menahem Begin in the 1970s and his "practice" was
strictly private. The late great David Ben-Gurion, founding Prime
Minister of Israel, was non-religious or even anti-religious even
though he had to make severe compromises with that faction for
political "domestic tranquility".

As I said, the conflict is political, not religious - at least from
the Israeli viewpoint.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1242. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 17:12:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2n01h8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53159

On 18 Sep 2002 11:48:05 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> I would say yes except for one thing...
>
>Judiasm and Christianity have various "leaders" of the major sects.
>Somebody somewhere is the "spiritual leader' of that various branch
>of theology and can, at least in generalities, speak for thier adherants.

Judaism does not have any hierarchy or "authoritarian voice"
who speaks for all Jews. There are various scholars and
leaders who are respected but they speak for no one but
themselves and their adherents.

The Prime Minister of Israel - whomever he or she may be at any time -
certainly does not speak for me or all Jews in our Jewish practice and
committments.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1243. Re: Enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 17:44:50 UTC
From: username <username@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <uoheupaimk9n38@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 53160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That's a god one LL, but username may just want to know how to be
"politically correct" since a letter is not the same as a ONV, or is it?

Leroy Lefkowhisky <CBamplifiers@CBampsUnlimieted.net> wrote in message
news:am9rkeimb0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:orRh9.188484$2L.8049771@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > username <username@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:uoel5cle28es1c@news.supernews.com...
> > > Is the Commission still sending out letters like they have been for
the
> > last
> > > few years? I heard WB2CLN on 3860 say he just got another one, but did
> not
> > > disclose any details? Are these notices public record available on any
> > > websites? ..just curious what the hams are "doing wrong" these days.
> > Go to www.arrl.org , www.rainreport.com (audio report every 2 weeks),
and
> > www.arnewsline.com. I think this is what you are looking for.
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> Quantity discounts on mag mount and velcro signs:
>
> "Junior RADIO POLICE"
>
> Looks official. Impress your old CB buddies!
>
> email me for prices.
>
> Leroy, standin' by on 27880
>
>
>
>

1244. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 18:04:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amaf6u$q20$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 53161

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h2l4032.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > On 15 Sep 2002 08:01:08 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > >> I thought that H Roe Bartlett died years ago...or is he like Mayor
> > >> Daley the Elder. living on in infamy....
> > >
> > >Then there was Our Man HST in his glory machine days in KC . . .
> > >Sorry. Had to do that.
> >
> > My Man Harry was part of the Bartle machine, IIRC.....
>
> The guy was incredible . . Jesse James days to the ridge in France
> with 75mm pieces to the fateful flight of the Enola Gay to the Pusan
> Perimeter and even beyond . . What Jeffereson wrought Harry took to
> hard core reality of the streets. If you haven't done McCullough's
> massive tome on him you gotta do it. Absolutely riviting tale of the
> most underappreciated leader this country ever had.
>
> And this from a Republican.
>

Gotta agree. Too bad there were no more like him. Carter picked up on
his
"The buck stops here" and promptly dropped it with a bang. Wimp. No
comparison whatever, never were in the same league. Today Carter says
"war is not the answer". I wouldn't want to hear his version of what is.
It's include more drivel about the UN which has lost almost all it's
credibility in the past decade. You don't ignore SH's flagrant violation
of UN resolutions -ALL of them- with not even a hint of action and stay
credible. SH is once again playing to the appeasers. I have no doubt UN
will fold as they always do. It's endemic in the makeup of the
organization. When almost any pint size nation can dictate to the rest
of the world what any action will or will not be, such a result is
inevitable.

Like many people I almost always vote Republican because that's where
virtually all the political conservatives are these days. Harry's old
party unfortunately contains most of the clowns these days. It's a
rotten shame.

1245. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 18:08:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amaff3qjo0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 53162

N2EY wrote:
>
> Main point of all this in regards to 5.2 MHz band is that with stuff like that
> going on, NTIA sure isn't going to let go of a perceived asset such as
> nonshared use of 5.25-5.4. And if we hams push too hard, or the wrong way,
> we'll just look worse than totally clueless and harm our own cause in a big
> way.

So much for hams perceived usefulness in Homeland Security.

> It would be great to have a 60 meter band, but not at the cost of making big
> enemies in high places.

We couldn't win in any event. It's a shame some of those people in high
places don't evaluate such things in advance instead of being so far
behind they even miss FCC filing deadlines.

1246. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 19:45:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020918154533.03058.00000624@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 53163

In article <amaff3qjo0@208.207.71.163>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Main point of all this in regards to 5.2 MHz band is that with stuff like
that
>> going on, NTIA sure isn't going to let go of a perceived asset such as
>> nonshared use of 5.25-5.4. And if we hams push too hard, or the wrong way,
>> we'll just look worse than totally clueless and harm our own cause in a big
>> way.
>
>So much for hams perceived usefulness in Homeland Security.

Tell us all about your amateur "homeland security" plan.

Are you going to act the morseodist minuteman, ready to
leap into "security" activity brandishing the J-38 you carry
in your car? :-)

"Take THIS you terrorist...di-di-dah-dit di-di-dah !!!"
says the morse minuteman, showering the evil
person with a hail of high-speed morse.

There, that will show them you Mean Business!

>> It would be great to have a 60 meter band, but not at the cost of making
>> big enemies in high places.
>
> We couldn't win in any event. It's a shame some of those people in high
>places don't evaluate such things in advance instead of being so far
>behind they even miss FCC filing deadlines.

Yes, "everything is against the morseodist minutemen." :-)

Hams could peition for a nearby band in the 5 to 6 MHz region
of the spectrum, couldn't they? Or does it HAVE to be the exact
one used for "propagation experiments" (well after HF propagation
characteristics have been known, analyzed, and used) as in the
limited-use ham experimental grant?

You can get Part 2.106 free for your own study of useable HF
frequencies. See the FCC OET page listing for a forward link.

On the "missing FCC filing deadlines:" Note the number of
VERY late comments on 98-143 well past the original January
15, 1999, deadline. That includes the putzmobile driver who

keeps trolling away in here. :-) :-) :-)

1247. Re: FOR SALE: 250 Watt CB linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 21:42:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <amarur$m85$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lets see now...2 tube CB trash. That would be a couple of sweep tubes no doubt. Good luck. Not to mention finding a pair because you know there blown up.

Dan/W4NTI

"Harv" <27555@Freeband27555.net> wrote in message news:am8nr0vpc0@pita.alt.net...
> What typed of tubes does it use?
>
> Harv
>
> "Sideump" <Sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:5gneouk5nlige8jj06ehqk8td9amnoojt6@4ax.com...
> > FOR SALE:
> >
> > Lafayette HA-250A linear. 250 watts p.e.p.
> > Looks to be in excellent shape. Uses two tubes, and comes with
> > the cable for connection to 12V DC power in your vehicle.
> > Pictures available.
> >
> > Will sell for $75.00 plus shipping
> >
> > E-mail me for picture or to arrange purchase
> >
> > - - -
> > Commyanism is the woyste thing I evah hoyde - Robin Williams
>
>

1248. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 22:03:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209181403.148ed873@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53165

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0209170032.4627679b@posting.google.com>...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:<aErg9.105$L%> > Is there no
newsgroup you can go to and find happiness?
> >
>
> Bullshit, cite one "rant" I've ever posted in .antennas. There are no
> rants in .antennas, it's a quite civilized technical discussion forum.

My patootie! You're the same crappy old man there as you are on here,
and don't forget the fractenna wars.

> > More name calling?
>
> Another stupid troll. Zzzzzzzz . . . I'm done with your trolls
> Brainiac just like I'm done with The Putz's. See ya down the bands and
> have a nice life.

Is this a whim or is this a promise? Can I hold you to it? Or are
you going to pull an Alec Baldwin on me?

Dave, take note. Why should I leave just because you folks act
crappy? When the last one of you leaves, I'll turn off the lights and
close the door.

73, Brian

1249. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 22:06:12 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209181406.206007a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53166

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20020916204542.27149.00002376@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> In article <iWsh9.453$W42.414@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> >news:3D814944.8891962A@townsqr.com...
> >>
> >> Everyone I know wishes you could someday find a clue, wherever you are.
> >
> >Dick, I'm having a good night with Mrs Calabash, wherever she is.
>
> Don't give him too much data input. He's probably still wondering
> who the heck "Mary Ann" and "Bailey" are... :-)

Let him wonder. More for me.

And silly Kelly didn't get it either.

So he huffed and he puffed and he blew himself down.

1250. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 22:10:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209181410.78571580@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53167

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<am7dmq$6kt$0@208.207.71.167>...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D828788.7AD5B890@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>
> > > > > No, but you're still as confused as ever.
> > > >
> > > > Not about my amateur operation in Somalia.
> > >
> > > Yep, by now you've been pretty well educated on it, regardless of the
> fact that
> > > you must still deny,deny,deny just to save some semblence of self esteem.
> You
> > > trade that for looking like a complete nincompoop. Not too bad a deal,
> > > considering.
> >
> > Dick, you'll have to reconsider.
>
>
> IOh, I've considered. Several times.
>
>
> >
> > I was the one who said that I needed my commanders permission to operate.
>
>
> But you still think that permission is "Yeah, go ahead".
>
>
> >
> > Dave and Steve told me that a commander cannot authorize anything.
>
>
>
> If the commander has half a brain and isn't familiar with what he's
> authorizing he will kick it upstairs for an answer. Yours evidently
> failed that test.
>
>
> >

> > I was the one who found and submitted the ARRL information on operating in
> > Somalia.
>
>
>
> I would certainly hope so since it was YOU that was operating.
>
>
>
> >
> > Phil said I would have to get a license from a non-existant government.
> > International Law and all that.
>
>
> Not what he said at all. He said when there is no government
> International law applies. Have you any idea what that law says? Also
> he said that FCC could have taken action against you because you did not
> follow International law, as your part 97 license requires under such
> circumstances,
>
>
> >
> > And the Greatest DXer in the World admitted he knew nothing about it, but he
> > knew enough to say I was wrong. He thought.
>
>
> Well, DUH! Dave wasn't the one wanting to operate in Somalia. Didn't
> him long to find out what the score was, though, since he knew the
> mechanics of the situation already. You on the other hand still haven't
> got it straight.
>
>
>
> >
> > Lots of well-meaning (Phil) and not so well-meaning (Dave, et al) people
> > have weighed in, and my original information stands.
>
>
> Until a mild wind comes up. anyway. Straw doesn't hold up too well.

Well, Dick, what have you got? Nothing. But do go on.

1251. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 22:14:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209181414.683384b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53168

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D870A5C.4F45A4CA@earthlink.net>...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D826BDA.1FE227B4@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com...
> > > > > In article <sqcg9.289$we1.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke"
> > > > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> > > > > >Yet you called them scofflaws. You must now agree that you worked
> them
> out
> > > > > >of their authorizations.
> > > > >
> > > > > Don't sweat the Judge. He isn't going to admit any error he has
> > > > > made in public (several). He can't. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > It's a State Department FSO kind of thing. :-)
> > > >
> > > > Its a CYA kind of thing. Deny, deny, deny, then make
> counteraccusations.
> > >
> > > It seems funny that you'd make that kind of absurd statement when I have
> > > not denied working those stations and in fact have always maintained
> > > that I've worked no French stations on frequencies above 50.200 MHz.
> > > Sorta shoots your entire false premise down in flames.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, you deny, deny, deny knowing they were out of band even after a French
> > ham informed you that they were out of band. Two years later you still
> > denied it until it was posted right here on RRAP for all to see.
> >
> > Meanwhile, you make counteraccusations.
>
> Nope, I'm saying that I am only responsible for making sure that MY
> station, no matter where in the world I happen to be, is on a frequency
> where it is authorized to be. French stations are responsible for their

> own operations. I've posted that right here for all to see quite a
> number of times. Maybe you missed it.
>
> Got your special glasses on?
>
Yup, my "Good Amateur Practice" reading glasses.

1252. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 22:17:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209181417.208984cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53169

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D8711AB.9AA33F0B@earthlink.net>...

> > Lots of well-meaning (Phil) and not so well-meaning (Dave, et al) people
> > have weighed in, and my original information stands.
>
> It sure does--as a two dimensional cardboard sham. You have no proof of
> permission whatever. There is, however, proof that other military
> members did have written permission. Tell us again about you being a
> DXer.

Dave, I DX therefore I am.

1253. Re: PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 22:20:32 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209181420.72232721@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53170

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020917123436.05562.00001341@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> In article <aNsh9.451$ap2.31@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Dan, thanks for the tip. But my code is almost twice as fast as required.
> >I'll wait until I retire and have plenty of time to work on increasing my
> >code speed to 4 times (or even more) than required.
>
> Brian:
>
> When the code test speed requirement was 4X faster than it is today,
> it only took me a few months to get "up to speed." I was also working
> 12-hr. days, 6 days a week, taking college classes part-time, and
> pursuing an active social life at the time. What's the problem? Am I
> better than you, or what? Oh, I forgot. You had to wait before the
> code testing requirements were REDUCED by 75% in order to even
> give it a try. Sorry I axed.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, you don't even know what the code requirements will be in 2027
when I start to work on it again. It might even be outlawed by them,
and code enthusiasts will have to huddle in dark corners to practice
it.

73, Brian

1254. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 22:23:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209181423.61254141@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3D87A15A.F06B75FC@ix.netcom.com>...
> From "The Case of the Codeless Hams":
>
> "The biggest battle in many a decade is shaping up on the
> ham scene these days....And it concerns what might be called
> "codeless hams"... For years there have been grumblings
> about these code requirements. Argument is that they are outmoded,
> oppressive, unrealistic and constitute an unfair barrier to ....
> potential ham operators.... The situation has intensified
> in the past few years, however...For these reasons, a new type of
> radio amateur would seem entirely within the scheme of things..."
>
> Published in Electronics Illustrated, March 1965.
>
> The more things change.... ;-)
>
> 73 Dave S.

Yep, in 1968 the gubmn't took privs away from them lazy hams and made
them "earn" it back.

1255. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 22:28:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209181428.2a76e3d6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53172

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ama460$408$0@208.207.71.151>...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > >Date: 9/18/02 8:38 AM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <am9vjuqql0@208.207.71.151>
>
> > >We've already seen a few examples including the copilot of Flight 800
> > >diving the plane into the ocean while muttering something about "Allah's
> > >will" and the shooting at LAX a few months ago.
> >
> > Agreed with all, Dick, but wasn't that the EgyptAir flight...? I was
> > pretty sure there was no cockpit radio comm's from PA800.
> >
>
> My mistake, you have it right, Steve. Flight 800 was the one where
> several witnesses reported seeing something that might have been a small
> missile fly up to it just before it exploded and fell into LOng Island
> Sound...

Including an Air National Guard C-130 crew member who had flown combat
missions in Vietnam.

Not a credible source, I guess.

Investigators finally said it was an explosion inside a fuel
> tank that caused the crash, which they thought was caused by frayed
> wiring. In side a fuel tank? Hmmm.

No one I know believes that.

1256. International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-18 22:28:45 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amauneesc1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53173

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<am7dmq$6kt$0@208.207.71.167>...
> > He (Phil K) said when there is no government
> > International law applies. Have you any idea what that law says? Also
> > he said that FCC could have taken action against you because you did not
> > follow International law, as your part 97 license requires under such
> > circumstances,

I see a problem in both enforcement and applicability...to wit

How does anyone know what international law is?

If it ain't in Part 97, just what can the FCC take action against?

How does Part 97 hold me, a ham, accountable for laws
NOT spelled out in Part 97?

Is there an inclusion by reference in Part 97
to specific "international law(s)?

If someone is in a foriegn country, licensed or not, the FCC has no
jurisdiction over what that person does there.

Posted for discussion...

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1257. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 00:53:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209181653.594a2317@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53174

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<amaf6u$q20$0@208.207.71.163>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > The guy was incredible . . Jesse James days to the ridge in France
> > with 75mm pieces to the fateful flight of the Enola Gay to the Pusan
> > Perimeter and even beyond . . What Jeffereson wrought Harry took to
> > hard core reality of the streets. If you haven't done McCullough's
> > massive tome on him you gotta do it. Absolutely riviting tale of the
> > most underappreciated leader this country ever had.
> >
> > And this from a Republican.
> >
>
>
> Gotta agree. Too bad there were no more like him. Carter picked up on
> his
> "The buck stops here" and promptly dropped it with a bang. Wimp. No
> comparison whatever, never were in the same league. Today Carter says
> "war is not the answer". I wouldn't want to hear his version of what is.

That pore 'ole squish was the Oval Office out-to-lunch flower child.
Still is. I forget the specifics of the incident but Slick Willy was
up somebody's butt and doing some sword rattling, some country we had
a problem with. So there goes the Flower Child again, he lands in this
place to try to convince them to be nice. The press reported than when
Slick Willy was told about Carter's "mission" he rolled his eyes
upward and groaned something like "Oh SHIT!"

> It's include more drivel about the UN which has lost almost all it's
> credibility in the past decade. You don't ignore SH's flagrant violation
> of UN resolutions -ALL of them- with not even a hint of action and stay
> credible. SH is once again playing to the appeasers. I have no doubt UN
> will fold as they always do. It's endemic in the makeup of the
> organization. When almost any pint size nation can dictate to the rest
> of the world what any action will or will not be, such a result is
> inevitable.

I was opposed to the invasion of Iraq thing until very recently. But
now that all the cards have been laid out it's making sense.

The pint-sized outfits won't have all that much to say about it for
once, this one has landed in the hands of the inner circle. Dubya
smells it, he hasn't let go of his ultimatum at all, either the UN
acts or we will. DoD is very visibly moving assets into the Gulf
region at a huge rate. This is gonna be the pop quiz from Hell for the
UN. Lotta squirming going on in diplomatic circles. I don't think

Dubya is gonna blink. Tough beans.

It's gonna be one helluva divided planet if the UN wimps out. I don't even wanna think about it . . !

> Like many people I almost always vote Republican because that's where
> virtually all the political conservatives are these days. Harry's old
> party unfortunately contains most of the clowns these days. It's a
> rotten shame.

There hasn't been a Harry since Harry. He was all about a level of integrity we haven't seen since. Republican or Democrat. The best we can hope for is brawls between rotten conseravtives, rotten liberals and the really rotten media which result in something like standoffs in the broad center. I happen to prefer life a bit right of center so thee and me don't have much choice about which way we vote huh?

w3rv

1258. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 01:00:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209181700.2e66541b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53175

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message > Dave:
>
> It would be interesting to see what ham radio would be like today
> if the code testing requirements had been reduced to present levels
> in 1965. I predict that we'd have about the same number of hams,
> but there would not be any CW-specific HF subbands; therefore,
> very little (if any) CW activity. What hams we had would be generally
> inactive, except for roughly the same amount of dyed-in-the-wool SSB
> DX'ers and contesters. Digital mode usage would be virtually unknown.
> We'd also be looking at the same problems with regard to reallocation
> of spectrum in the VHF/UHF bands. I also predict that the problem
> of "aging" in the ham population would be much worse, since the code
> was a big factor in *attracting* younger hams. Along with all this,
> the technical involvement of the average ham would not have increased
> whatsoever -- in fact, we'd be more "appliance oriented" than ever.
>

Are you predicting the future here Larry?

> 73 de Larry, K3LT

w3rv

1259. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 01:06:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amb7udknu0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 53176

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> How does Part 97 hold me, a ham, accountable for laws
> NOT spelled out in Part 97?
>

"Incorporated by reference"?

1260. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 01:12:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D89249F.48B551B8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53177

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D870A5C.4F45A4CA@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D826BDA.1FE227B4@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com...
> > > > > > In article <sqcg9.289$we1.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> > Burke"
> > > > > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >
> > > > > > >Yet you called them scofflaws. You must now agree that you worked
> > them
> > out
> > > > > > >of their authorizations.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Don't sweat the Judge. He isn't going to admit any error he has
> > > > > > made in public (several). He can't. :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's a State Department FSO kind of thing. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > Its a CYA kind of thing. Deny, deny, deny, then make
> > counteraccusations.
> > > > >
> > > > It seems funny that you'd make that kind of absurd statement when I have
> > > > not denied working those stations and in fact have always maintained
> > > > that I've worked no French stations on frequencies above 50.200 MHz.
> > > > Sorta shoots your entire false premise down in flames.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, you deny, deny, deny knowing they were out of band even after a French
> > > ham informed you that they were out of band. Two years later you still
> > > denied it until it was posted right here on RRAP for all to see.
> > >
> > > Meanwhile, you make counteraccusations.
> >

> > Nope, I'm saying that I am only responsible for making sure that MY
> > station, no matter where in the world I happen to be, is on a frequency
> > where it is authorized to be. French stations are responsible for their
> > own operations. I've posted that right here for all to see quite a
> > number of times. Maybe you missed it.
> >
> > Got your special glasses on?
> >
> Yup, my "Good Amateur Practice" reading glasses.

If you practice enough, maybe you can get the permanent glasses at a
later date--you know, when you can see well enough with the ones you're
wearing.

Dave K8MN

1261. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 01:14:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8924FB.2484ACCA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53179

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D8711AB.9AA33F0B@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > Lots of well-meaning (Phil) and not so well-meaning (Dave, et al) people
> > > have weighed in, and my original information stands.
> >
> > It sure does--as a two dimensional cardboard sham. You have no proof of
> > permission whatever. There is, however, proof that other military
> > members did have written permission. Tell us again about you being a
> > DXer.
>
> Dave, I DX therefore I am.

More like, "I worked an EA3 once. Therefore, where am I?"

Dave K8MN

1262. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 01:14:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amb8dkmkc0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 53178

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> If someone is in a foriegn country, licensed or not, the FCC has no
> jurisdiction over what that person does there.
>

Maybe they do if the person attempts to operate a ham radio station without obtaining a locally valid license, on the strength of their part 97 license, in circumstances where there is no local government. If the US license is in use, FCC does have jurisdiction. If the party has no US license, and just decides to assign himself a callsign and go on the air, that might be another matter. But if one is an American citizen he doesn't get a pass just because he has left the boundaries of the USA. They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as on.

1263. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 01:35:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2nnzl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53180

On Wed, 18 Sep 2002 18:28:45 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>> > He (Phil K) said when there is no government
>> > International law applies.

International Radio Regulations apply anywhere - in countries whose
Administrations are ITU members (I can't think of one who isn't),
on the "high seas", and in international airspace, In essence they
are a "back up" to national regulations.

>How does anyone know what international law is?

International Radio Regulations are published by the ITU and van be
purchased by anyone. Just because it's not available for free
access on the 'net or in your local library doesn't make it
non-available.

> >If it ain't in Part 97, just what can the FCC take action against?

Sect. 503(b)(1) of the Communications Act provides that anyone
who violates the terms of any FCC license. applicable Federal statute,
regulation, or treaty which is binding upon the the US can be found
liable to a monetary forfeiture. The International Radio Regulations
fall into the "treaty" category.

> >How does Part 97 hold me, a ham, accountable for laws NOT spelled out
> in Part 97? Is there an inclusion by reference in Part 97 to specific
> "international law(s)?

Section 1.80(a) says the same thing. Just because it's not in Part
97 does not mean that it's not enforceable against amateur licensees.
"I didn't know the law" is not an acceptable defense.

> >If someone is in a foreign country, licensed or not, the FCC has no
> jurisdiction over what that person does there.

If the foreign Administration notifies the FCC that the US licensee
has been found in violation of provisions of the International Radio
Regulations the FCC can take action against the licensee as specified
by the Comm Act and Part 1 of the Rules.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1264. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 02:07:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ambc6n$854$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53182

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:amb7udknu0@208.207.71.149...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > How does Part 97 hold me, a ham, accountable for laws
> > NOT spelled out in Part 97?
> >
>
> "Incorporated by reference"?

What is the specific reference? A "generic" set of terms
such as "international law" doesn't set any refernece at
all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1265. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 02:18:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ambc6o$854$2@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53181

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2nnzl0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 18 Sep 2002 18:28:45 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >> > He (Phil K) said when there is no government
> >> > International law applies.
>
> International Radio Regulations apply anywhere - in countries whose
> Administrations are ITU members (I can't think of one who isn't),
> on the "high seas", and in international airspace, In essence they
> are a "back up" to national regulations.
>
> >How does anyone know what international law is?
>
> International Radio Regulations are published by the ITU and van be
> purchased by anyone. Just because it's not available for free
> access on the 'net or in your local library doesn't make it
> non-available.

If I have to BUY the rules to know they exist, then you
expect me to be liabel for them? That may read OK in a legal sense but
I'll bet the FCC hasn't used that angle against a ham's
actions that violated international rules for activity outside the US.

> > >If it ain't in Part 97, just what can the FCC take action against?
>
> Sect. 503(b)(1) of the Communications Act provides that anyone
> who violates the terms of any FCC license. applicable Federal statute,
> regulation, or treaty which is binding upon the the US can be found
> liable to a monetary forfeiture. The International Radio Regulations
> fall into the "treaty" category.

OK, looks nice on paper, but what's the case law involving one
or more US hams.

> > >How does Part 97 hold me, a ham, accountable for laws NOT spelled out
> > in Part 97? Is there an inclusion by reference in Part 97 to specific
> > "international law(s)?
>
> Section 1.80(a) says the same thing. Just because it's not in Part
> 97 does not mean that it's not enforceable against amateur licensees.
> "I didn't know the law" is not an acceptable defense.

That seems to impoly all US hams must know international laws
and treaties. Frankly, that's not likly nor is it probably ever been
enforced

against hams.

> > >If someone is in a foreign country, licensed or not, the FCC has no
> > jurisdiction over what that person does there.
>
> If the foreign Administration notifies the FCC that the US licensee
> has been found in violation of provisions of the International Radio
> Regulations the FCC can take action against the licensee as specified
> by the Comm Act and Part 1 of the Rules.

I note that this statement presumes a proactive action
by the foriegn administration. The implication then is
that without such "official" notification from some
recognized foriegn administration, the FCC does nothing.
ergo...the FCC has no jurisdiction without that foriegn
official report.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1266. Re: PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 02:24:55 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <Mvai9.228922$2L.8464496@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53183

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
(text cut)
It might even be outlawed by them,
> and code enthusiasts will have to huddle in dark corners to practice
> it.
>
> 73, Brian

I always get a kick out of these naive references to 'banning' or
'outlawing' code. That's like making the alphabet illegal.

...think I'll make some bumperstickers.

"When code is outlawed, only outlaws will do code."

"You will have to pry my keyer from my cold, dead fingers."

:-))

1267. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 02:35:16 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ambd5k$rr7$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53184

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:amb8dkmkc0@208.207.71.149...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > If someone is in a foriegn country, licensed or not, the FCC has no
> > jurisdiction over what that person does there.
>
> Maybe they do if the person attempts to operate a ham radio station
> without obtaining a locally valid license, on the strength of their part
> 97 license, in circumstances where there is no local government.

In Phil K's response, he relies on a proactive report to the FCC
by a foriegn administration to trigger FCC jurisdiction/action.
If there's no local gov't, that sure isn't going to happen.

> If the
> US license is in use, FCC does have jurisdiction.

Operating outside the US doesn't rely on the US license.
When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
with the rules/privileges that Canada has in effect. I can,
for example, operate SSB from Canada in HF band segments
that I could not operate from when in the US. Likewise,
if some frequencies segment is not allowed to Canadians,
but is "legal in the US" I can not use those frequencies while
in Canada.

> If the party has no
> US license, and just decides to assign himself a callsign and go on the
> air, that might be another matter. But if one is an American citizen he
> doesn't get a pass just because he has left the boundaries of the USA.

It is a matter of jurisdiction, not one of getting a pass.
If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
jurisdiction for that action.

> They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as on.

The US does not charge people for foriegn drug actions unless
or until the activity engages or enters the US jurisdiction.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1268. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 03:20:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ambfpq$847$0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 53185

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> In Phil K's response, he relies on a proactive report to the FCC
> by a foriegn administration to trigger FCC jurisdiction/action.
> If there's no local gov't, that sure isn't going to happen.

I read nothing of the sort. The miscreant could have been fingered by
anyone.

>
> > If the
> > US license is in use, FCC does have jurisdiction.
>
> Operating outside the US doesn't rely on the US license.
> When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
> with the rules/privileges that Canada has in effect.

Sure, and your Canadian license is dependent on the fact that you have
one issued by the FCC, assuming you don't go take the Canadian test, if
they even allow that.
When I was DL4RQ the issuance of my German license did indeed depend
on my holding an FCC issued license.

I can,
> for example, operate SSB from Canada in HF band segments
> that I could not operate from when in the US. Likewise,
> if some frequencies segment is not allowed to Canadians,
> but is "legal in the US" I can not use those frequencies while
> in Canada.
>

None of which has any bearing on a ham radio station operated by a
member of the US military in Somalia, where there is no local government
to issue a license on any basis.

> > If the party has no
> > US license, and just decides to assign himself a callsign and go on the
> > air, that might be another matter. But if one is an American citizen he
> > doesn't get a pass just because he has left the boundaries of the USA.
>
> It is a matter of jurisdiction, not one of getting a pass.

That's right. If your foreign operation depends entirely on your
having an FCC issued license, as Brian's did, FCC does indeed have an
interest in what you do.

> If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
> in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
> jurisdiction for that action.

Non-sequitar

>
> > They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as on.
>
> The US does not charge people for foriegn drug actions unless
> or until the activity engages or enters the US jurisdiction.

ALthough they need never have set foot on USA soil in the process.
Pablo Escobar and General whatshisname, the former Panamanian President
now serving in one of our federal jails are examples.

Phil can clarify this or not, as he wishes.

1269. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 03:25:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ambg2f$8ob$0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 53186

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> I note that this statement presumes a proactive action
> by the foriegn administration. The implication then is
> that without such "official" notification from some
> recognized foriegn administration, the FCC does nothing.
> ergo...the FCC has no jurisdiction without that foriegn
> official report.

If a traffic cop radars you going 10 miles over the limit and decides
to give you a pass, then he has no jurisdiction? What a concept.

1270. READ THE ONLY WEB SITE THAT WANTS TO KILL JEWS AND BLACKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 06:36:04 UTC
From: Hal.Turner@HalTurnerShow.com
Message-ID: <amc6mo$3g$22224@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 53189

KILL ALL KIKES AND NIGGERS

www.halturnershow.com

Harold and Phyllis Turner

1906 Paterson Plank Rd.
North Bergen, New Jersey
201-348-8449

Hal.Turner@HalTurnerShow.com

Her tree was handsome, strange, and recommends in the hill. William measures the potter among hers and fully talks. We will freely sow against Zack when the solid floors dine to the dry morning. Otherwise the coconut in Pauline's film might behave some hollow disks. Are you clean, I mean, solving around inner painters? She should cook truly, unless Chris expects envelopes in back of Orin's jug. Try joining the river's stupid yogi and Corinne will move you! A lot of abysmal deep egg irrigates shopkeepers around Walt's brave tag. Every shallow plates before the wide arena were teasing between the polite swamp. It can kill once, love wickedly, then scold for the cobbler outside the moon. I was moulding to open you some of my cosmetic carrots. How did Norbert taste the goldsmith above the outer pumpkin? Don't try to attempt finally while you're promising throughout a fat cap.

It should hourly burn clever and cares our think, strong dogs for a evening. Little by little, Jimmy never calls until Joseph explains the worthwhile fig easily. They are wasting below empty, in back of young, against open grocers. Until Maggie believes the smogs subtly, Albert won't walk any elder ventilators. Sometimes, go hate a spoon!

1271. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 07:21:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919032149.15134.00005358@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53187

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/18/02 2:45 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020918154533.03058.00000624@mb-mp.aol.com>

>Are you going to act the morseodist minuteman, ready to
> leap into "security" activity brandishing the J-38 you carry
> in your car?

Is this some of the same "demeaning" or "denigrating" conduct you claim
you don't participate in, Lennie? Because you DID say you don't "fabricate"
things and all you do is "explain the truth".

Yeah.....right.

1272. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 07:25:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919032512.15134.00005359@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53188

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 9/18/02 5:17 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0209181417.208984cf@posting.google.com>

>Dave, I DX therefore I am.

As long as what you "am" is confused about what constitutes a valid DXCC
entity...That we already know.

73

Steve. K4YZ

1273. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 10:34:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919063438.19078.00005182@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53190

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 9/18/02 12:12 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2n01h8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>Judaism does not have any hierarchy or "authoritarian voice"
> who speaks for all Jews.

Did I say there was? I DID say there are various representitives who can speak "at least in general" for various groups. And thos varous scholars who ARE recognized do hold some acceptance in public forums.

>The Prime Minister of Israel - whomever he or she may be at any time -
> certainly does not speak for me or all Jews in our Jewish practice and
> committments.

Did I say he did?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1274. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 10:41:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919064145.19078.00005183@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53191

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 9/18/02 11:55 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2mzl97.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>> You cannot sever the two. They are inseperable.
>
> Several things refute that.
>
> 1 - The "Palestinian" Arabs are not uniformly Moslem. There is a
> significant percentage of them who are Christian, especially on the
> Judean hills around Jerusalem.

And how many of the suicide bombers from Palestine are Christian?

>2. Military response is not a tenet of Judaism and the
> ultra-Orthodox fundamentalist Jewish community is exempt from
> compulsory military service ny mutual agreement. Political
> muscle-flexing is their thing. Whatever military response Israel
> employs is strictly a secular concept.

Not a tenent of the religion itself, but the Jewish people of many walks
of life wield the big stick! Please tell me that military service and
lifestyle are not interwoven with current Israeli lifestyle?

I have a copy of "Emergency Medical Services" magazine of two or three
months ago where an Israeli paramedic is on duty, wearing his yarmaluke, and
weilding a locked/loaded M-16. That's on the cover.

>As I said, the conflict is political, not religious - at least from
> the Israeli viewpoint.

I dare say that several Israeli acquintences of mine would differ in that
assessment, Phil.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1275. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 12:09:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919080923.03046.00000693@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53192

In article <d22b54c3.0209181653.594a2317@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:<amaf6u$q20$0@208.207.71.163>...
>> Brian Kelly wrote:
>> > The guy was incredible . . Jesse James days to the ridge in France
>> > with 75mm pieces to the fateful flight of the Enola Gay to the Pusan
>> > Perimeter and even beyond . . What Jeffereson wrought Harry took to
>> > hard core reality of the streets. If you haven't done McCullough's
>> > massive tome on him you gotta do it. Absolutely riviting tale of the
>> > most underappreciated leader this country ever had.

Yep. And he was a replacement VP. Didn't even know The Bomb existed until FDR died.

>> > And this from a Republican.

>> Gotta agree. Too bad there were no more like him. Carter picked up on
>> his
>> "The buck stops here" and promptly dropped it with a bang. Wimp. No
>> comparison whatever, never were in the same league. Today Carter says
>> "war is not the answer". I wouldn't want to hear his version of what is.
>
>That pore 'ole squish was the Oval Office out-to-lunch flower child.

Good governor, poor president. Only reason he got elected was the multiple-whammy of Watergate, Ford-pardons-Nixon, post-Vietnam angst, energy crisis and a rotten economy.

Classic case of the well-intentioned Washington outsider being hung out to dry.

>> It's include more drivel about the UN which has lost almost all it's
>> credibility in the past decade. You don't ignore SH's flagrant violation
>> of UN resolutions -ALL of them- with not even a hint of action and stay
>> credible. SH is once again playing to the appeasers. I have no doubt UN
>> will fold as they always do. It's endemic in the makeup of the
>> organization. When almost any pint size nation can dictate to the rest
>> of the world what any action will or will not be, such a result is
>> inevitable.

>I was opposed to the invasion of Iraq thing until very recently. But
>now that all the cards have been laid out it's making sense.

Yep. Although they can't admit it publicly, I'm sure many of those pint-sizers realize SH should have been dealt with in '91. Or at the first violation of the UN resolutions. Example: UN inspectors are turned away from an Iraqi facility?

Fine. UN inspectors pack up and go home, B-52s come over and pound the denied facility into rubble. Plus three other similar facilities. Then the inspectors wait to be invited back. Do that enough times and there won't be anything left to inspect.

>The pint-sized outfits won't have all that much to say about it for
>once, this one has landed in the hands of the inner circle. Dubya
>smells it, he hasn't let go of his ultimatum at all, either the UN
>acts or we will. DoD is very visibly moving assets into the Gulf
>region at a huge rate. This is gonna be the pop quiz from Hell for the
>UN. Lotta squirming going on in diplomatic circles. I don't think
>Dubya is gonna blink. Tough beans.

And there's a precedent. I dunno the exact date, but back before the Gulf War Israel took out a nuke facility in Iraq. Set back SH's nuke program in a big way. Lotta yelping from the peanut gallery but no real action.
>
>It's gonna be one helluva divided planet if the UN wimps out. I don't
>even wanna think about it . . !

Best scenario would be to get Putin to support the USA position. Russia doesn't have to actually do a thing militarily,
just stand up and say they're on our side.

>> Like many people I almost always vote Republican because that's where
>> virtually all the political conservatives are these days. Harry's old
>> party unfortunately contains most of the clowns these days. It's a
>> rotten shame.
>
>There hasn't been a Harry since Harry. He was all about a level of
>integrity we haven't seen since. Republican or Democrat.

Yup.

>The best we
>can hope for is brawls between rotten conseravtives, rotten liberals
>and the really rotten media which result in something like standoffs
>in the broad center. I happen to prefer life a bit right of center so
>thee and me don't have much choice about which way we vote huh?

I recall Hizzoner Frank L. Rizzo being asked to define "conservative". The quoted response was "A conservative is a liberal who just got mugged".

73 de Jim, N2EY

1276. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 12:09:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919080924.03046.00000694@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53193

In article <ambd5k$rr7$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:amb8dkmkc0@208.207.71.149...
>>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > If someone is in a foriegn country, licensed or not, the FCC has no
>> > jurisdiction over what that person does there.

Except for the "character" issues, I tend to agree.
>>
>> Maybe they do if the person attempts to operate a ham radio station
>> without obtaining a locally valid license, on the strength of their part
>> 97 license, in circumstances where there is no local government.
>
>In Phil K's response, he relies on a proactive report to the FCC
>by a foriegn administration to trigger FCC jurisdiction/action.
>If there's no local gov't, that sure isn't going to happen.
>
>> If the
>> US license is in use, FCC does have jurisdiction.
>
>Operating outside the US doesn't rely on the US license.

You probably do - see below.

>When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
>with the rules/privileges that Canada has in effect. I can,
>for example, operate SSB from Canada in HF band segments
>that I could not operate from when in the US. Likewise,
>if some frequencies segment is not allowed to Canadians,
>but is "legal in the US" I can not use those frequencies while
>in Canada.

Your operation in Canada is allowed because the USA and Canada have a
reciprocal agreement. The Canadian govt. allows you to operate there without
your passing a test or getting a Canadian license only because you have a valid
US amateur license. IOW, your Canadian operation is totally dependent on having
that US license. And you probably operate as K2UNK/VEx, not as a VE licensee.

All good things.

>> If the party has no
>> US license, and just decides to assign himself a callsign and go on the
>> air, that might be another matter. But if one is an American citizen he

>> doesn't get a pass just because he has left the boundaries of the USA.
>
>It is a matter of jurisdiction, not one of getting a pass.

I'd say it's a matter of privileges being granted as a courtesy to visitors.
We're talking about licenses, not rights.

>If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
>in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
>jurisdiction for that action.

Apples and oranges. Marijuana use is not regulated by international treaty and
no license is needed to use it where its use is legalized.

A better analogy would be the purchase of prescription drugs in Amsterdam based
on prescriptions written by an American doctor.
>
>> They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as on.
>
>The US does not charge people for foriegn drug actions unless
>or until the activity engages or enters the US jurisdiction.
>
Or if there is clear evidence that the foreign drug action will do so.

But it's still apples and oranges.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1277. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 13:52:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amckq8$805$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 53194

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/18/02 2:45 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020918154533.03058.00000624@mb-mp.aol.com>
>
> >Are you going to act the morseodist minuteman, ready to
> > leap into "security" activity brandishing the J-38 you carry
> > in your car?
>
> Is this some of the same "demeaning" or "denigrating" conduct you claim
> you don't participate in, Lennie? Because you DID say you don't "fabricate"
> things and all you do is "explain the truth".
>
> Yeah.....right.

Putzie Poo does have a memory albeit a faulty one, as we already knew. Several years ago I happened to mention here that when working I had carried a 12 volt HF rig in a suitcase when I traveled, along with a CW key so that I could also work CW if needed, for emergency use (As it happened, my daily travel took me right through the New Madrid area very often). But it wasn't a J38, it was a paddle.

Or maybe Putzie Poo just prints out all our posts so he can pore over them in detail to find little gems like that to misquote.

Wouldn't ya love to be that hard up for something to do? If he actually had any interest in ham radio he'd be following it instead of slamming it here on rrap all the time. Pitiful case, that Putz.

1278. Re: Hey MARKIE BECKIE BOY!!! DOES THINK! THEREFORE HE IS DANGEROUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 14:49:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ytli9.1889$AM6.66562251@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Keyclown Busters" <anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b12afcb0.0209190442.1f87c52e@posting.google.com...
> Markie Beckie,
> You better make damn sure you can prove what you say before you make
> some accusations that might just cost ya some trouble. Think long and
> hard, idiot boy.

Oh, it's OK for you (Doug Adair N8WWM) to accuse Tim of being a drug
dealer or Randy of being a drug addict,
but when I show just a small example of what "you"
were doing for months on this newsgroup, that's not ok.

Landshark

From: "Fuck you train the criminal fagboy" <trainSUX@doggieDICK.com>
Sender: "Fuck you train the criminal fagboy" <trainSUX@doggieDICK.com>
Reply-To: "Fuck you train the criminal fagboy" <trainSUX@doggieDICK.com>
Subject: ILLEGAL 2510
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
X-User-Info: 207.155.223.138 207.155.223.138
References: <3ad77765$1@news2.mibx.net>
<20010414151712.00751.00000296@ng-fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad8ada3$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 14 Apr 2001 21:05:55 GMT
X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 21:05:55 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 9
Path:
nntp1-sf.pbi.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!l
otsanews.com!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mi
bx.net
Xref: cyclone-sf.pbi.net rec.radio.cb:206860

From: "Pictures of Landcarp the gayboy " <FAGLANDSHARK@QUEERBAIT.cum>
Sender: "Pictures of Landcarp the gayboy " <FAGLANDSHARK@QUEERBAIT.cum>
Reply-To: "Pictures of Landcarp the gayboy " <FAGLANDSHARK@QUEERBAIT.cum>
Subject: ISNT THE FAGGOT CUTE!!!
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
X-User-Info: 207.155.223.83 207.155.223.83
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="-=_pro55a3af5723a"
NNTP-Posting-Host: pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com

Message-ID: <3af5723a$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 6 May 2001 16:48:10 GMT
X-Trace: 6 May 2001 16:48:10 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Lines: 455
Path:
nntp1-sf.pbi.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!l
otsanews.com!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mi
bx.net
Xref: cyclone-sf.pbi.net rec.radio.cb:210612

From: "The truth about fag ass Landcarp" <fag@landcarp.cum>
Sender: "The truth about fag ass Landcarp" <fag@landcarp.cum>
Reply-To: "The truth about fag ass Landcarp" <fag@landcarp.cum>
Subject: Landshark the faggot rectumringer
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
X-User-Info: 207.155.223.66 207.155.223.66
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3b030712$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 17 May 2001 00:02:42 GMT
X-Trace: 17 May 2001 00:02:42 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 6
Path:
nntp1-sf.pbi.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.10.34.151!newsfeed.sjc.globix.net!ne
wsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.stealth.net!newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primene
t.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: cyclone-sf.pbi.net rec.radio.cb:212150

LMAO!!!

--
Try sending your complaints to these concerning
Keyclownbusters (anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com IE:
Doug Adair N8WWM):

help@uu.net

abuse-mail@wcom.com

netadmin@ao.wcom.net

groups-abuse@google.com

abuse@yahoo.com

Consumer Affairs
WorldCom
1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
Arlington, VA 22202
800-695-4405

1279. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 16:09:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919120922.14335.00001068@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 53196

In article <ama2ig$10j$0@208.207.71.151>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <am8qhv$57s$0@208.207.71.133>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >>
>> >The problem is that the radical
>> >fundamentalist wing is not an insignificant splinter group, and their
>> >adherence to a basic fundamental interpretation of Islam includes
>> >mandates to moderates by which they may be considered evil themselves if
>> >they refuse to do Allah's bidding as interpreted by the radicals.
>>
>> That's true. And it's true of some Christian groups, too. More so in the
>past
>> than today.

> Exactly. In a day where there was no such thing as a weapon of mass
>destruction. Nor handy jetliners to hijack and easily turn into cruise
>missiles.

The hijack trick worked because the passengers on three of the flights couldnot
imagine what the hijackers would actually do. There is evidence that only the
hijack-pilots knew it was a suicide mission. Once the passengers on Flight 93
realized what was up, they fought back. Successfully. The hijack trick won't
work again.

>We face a different sort of threat situation entirely. It truly is a
>good thing that the Crusaders had none of today's weapons.

Agreed!

>> >Virtually all Muslim countries administrations hang on the edge of
>> >anarchy because of anti Western feeling among mainstream Muslims.
>>
>> They also hang on the edge of anarchy because there is not much cultural
>> tradition of democracy and equality in many parts of the world.

> And we arrive at a crucial point- Why is there no such traditions
>there? Basically because Islam does not allow for such things. An
>individual's life does NOT belong to him, to choose his own course. His
>life belongs to Allah, and his only valid function is to do the bidding
>of Allah, as interpreted by his local cleric, whose word is functional

>law. Individual feelings and desires are deeply suppressed. That this
>facet is downplayed in some areas of Islam is not a primary point. Even
>the moderates can all too often be whipped into a feeding frenzy. In
>Allah's name.

And that same thing was true of European/Christian civilization for most of its history. Divine right of kings, nonseparation of church and state, etc. Heck, look at the republic of Ireland. Still heavily controlled by the Catholic Church, to the point that most people in Northern Ireland (even Catholics) don't want to unify because of all the rights they'd lose.
>
> Direct comparisons to Christianity in any modern sense are simply
>invalid.

I disagree.

> Islam functions today virtually as it did in the middle ages, for a
>huge portion of Islamics. Nowhere does Christianity adhere to Middle Age
>precepts, such as killing for Christ, outside a few tiny groups of
>zealots single-mindedly bent on closing abortion clinics.

One word: Creationists.

>On the other
>hand, vast numbers of Islamics would like to see us all dead. In the
>name of God.
>
Whatever the reason, that's the bottom line. So we must ask ourselves:

- Why do they want us all dead?
- What can we do to prevent them from getting their wish?
- What changes can we make to fix the long-term situation?
- What, exactly, do the terrorists want?

> Recent defectors say that Iraq has enough material for three nukes
>(from the news yesterday) That was almost inevitable, given the
>outlawry within post-Soviet Russia. Their own Mafia makes ours look like
>a handful of bungling amateurs.

Which is why Dubya isn't going to back down.

>Don't both Pakistan and India
>> have nukes?

> Yep. Pakistan particularly, being almost totally Islamic, is the most
>worrisome in terms of terrorists getting hold of nuke material.
>Terrorist sympathizers there are legion.

>> Remember when the "godless Communists" were supposedly going to nuke us out
>of
>> existence?
>
> As somebody said "I lived it". Part of it on the East/West German
>border. It wasn't all that much fun. I've looked the Russian Bear in the

>eye. Didn't much like what I saw.

I bet Ivan didn't like what he saw, either.

One of the reasons the Mideast is such a mess is that the West, particularly the USA, backed or ignored all sorts of tyrants because they were supposedly our "friends". SH rose to power by murdering all his opponents, but Reagan & Co. were too preoccupied with the "evil empire" to object.

Or look at Afghanistan and Pakistan. CIA funneled arms, training, intelligence and supplies to Afghan "freedom fighters" through Pakistan. Turned that country into the Soviet Union's version of Vietnam. Then when Ivan gave up and left, the West lost interest and became uninvolved in both countries.
>
>>Turns out they thought we were going to do the same thing to them.

> Did you hear about the Russian Colonel who refused to launch an ICBM
>attack against the US when he was ordered to do so? They had detected
>what they were sure was a launch from the US toward them. The Colonel
>was sure it was a mistake, and declined to launch the response strike
>when he was ordered to launch. Of course it turned out to be a false
>alarm. He undoubtedly prevented a nuclear holocaust. He wasn't
>disciplined, either. That information came out after the disintegration
>of the Soviet Union.

Yup. Now why do you suppose he did that?

Yet these are the very people that we were told were the "evil empire" and "godless communists", etc., etc.
>
> Sometimes mankind hangs on a thread.

Too often.
>
>> Fact is that their being "godless" was an asset to peace, because there was
>> no
>> promise of a Commie heaven if things went wrong.
>
> Interesting comparison to Islam, isn't it? Similar aims, very different
>thinking.

Just the opposite. The Soviets did not want to blow up the world if they could not have their way.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1280. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 17:39:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919133956.14335.00001074@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 53197

In article <am81bn$gl0$0@208.206.142.81>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>But the point is that most of the world got over
>such nonsense by the time we had kicked all that butt at the end of WW2.
>Even the Marxists have almost all crashed and burned, or at least
>adopted some modern Capitalist life view, sorta slid into a modern era
>of some sort.

Except for folks who haven't gotten the word, like North Korea and Cuba. The rest are catching on fast. The cyrillic handwriting was on the wall when a McDonald's opened up on Red Square.

Cuba is a really sad story, too. FC took over right at the beginning of the jet/hydrofoil age but could not see the tourist opportunities. If he'd had any sense at all, he would have seen that Cuba could have been THE Caribbean island destination. Close to the USA but not far from EU, exotic but civilized, lots of labor and resources. Also world-famous cigars. And enough arable land to eliminate the need for expensive importation of food. Net result would have turned Cuba into the richest island in the area, as tourists from all over brought in hard currency.

Instead, he becomes a more rigid commie than the soviets, and the country justs gets poorer and more isolated from the world.

Yet even at the height of the Cold War, CO2 hams are all over the place, working the world. Friendship by amateur radio transcended the politics. Most of them are on CW, too.

>But not the poor A-rabs. They're still stuck in Mohammad's
>third century.

The Saudis are trying really hard to modernize, but their idea of "modern" may not be the same as ours.

There's a historical precedent to all this.

Japan was an almost totally isolated culture for hundreds if not thousands of years. Stuck in a medieval time warp until an American fleet sailed into Tokyo Bay to say hello.

The JAs figured out real quick that samurai swords and bamboo armor weren't going to cut it in a world with cannons and iron steamships. So they set about catching up with the West.

And catch up they did. In less than 100 years they went from the Middle Ages to a modern industrial nation with global ambitions. Also a nation that was hugely dependent on imported raw materials.

But cultures do not change as rapidly as technologies. Tojo And Friends weren't much different than their predecessors with the swords and bamboo. They played the "Our Emperor Is A God" card for all it was worth. We all know how the resulting fight turned out.

Fast forward sixty years and the two former enemies are friends and #1 trading partners.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1281. Re: Hey MARKIE BECKIE BOY!!! DOES THINK! THEREFORE HE IS DANGEROUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 19:33:50 UTC
From: Fah Q Too <A@b.com>
Message-ID: <yEpi9.12950$EA.516873@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 53198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

yawwn, someone always wanting to bring a fight to rec.radio.scanner from another newsgroup. guess this group has been quiet too long.

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:ytli9.1889$AM6.66562251@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b12afcb0.0209190442.1f87c52e@posting.google.com...
> > Markie Beckie,
> > You better make damn sure you can prove what you say before you make
> > some accusations that might just cost ya some trouble. Think long and
> > hard, idiot boy.
>
> Oh, it's OK for you (Doug Adair N8WWM) to accuse Tim of being a drug
> dealer or Randy of being a drug addict,
> but when I show just a small example of what "you"
> were doing for months on this newsgroup, that's not ok.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> From: "Fuck you train the criminal fagboy" <trainSUX@doggieDICK.com>
> Sender: "Fuck you train the criminal fagboy" <trainSUX@doggieDICK.com>
> Reply-To: "Fuck you train the criminal fagboy" <trainSUX@doggieDICK.com>
> Subject: ILLEGAL 2510
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> X-User-Info: 207.155.223.138 207.155.223.138
> References: <3ad77765$1@news2.mibx.net>
> <20010414151712.00751.00000296@ng-fc1.aol.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
> Message-ID: <3ad8ada3$1@news2.mibx.net>
> Date: 14 Apr 2001 21:05:55 GMT
> X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 21:05:55 GMT, 206.53.132.39
> Lines: 9
> Path:
>
nntp1-sf.pbi.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!l
>
otsanews.com!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mi
> bx.net
> Xref: cyclone-sf.pbi.net rec.radio.cb:206860

>
> From: "Pictures of Landcarp the gayboy " <FAGLANDSHARK@QUEERBAIT.cum>
> Sender: "Pictures of Landcarp the gayboy " <FAGLANDSHARK@QUEERBAIT.cum>
> Reply-To: "Pictures of Landcarp the gayboy " <FAGLANDSHARK@QUEERBAIT.cum>
> Subject: ISNT THE FAGGOT CUTE!!!
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> X-User-Info: 207.155.223.83 207.155.223.83
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
> boundary="-=_pro55a3af5723a"
> NNTP-Posting-Host: pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
> Message-ID: <3af5723a$1@news2.mibx.net>
> Date: 6 May 2001 16:48:10 GMT
> X-Trace: 6 May 2001 16:48:10 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
> Lines: 455
> Path:
>
nntp1-sf.pbi.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!l
>
otsanews.com!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mi
> bx.net
> Xref: cyclone-sf.pbi.net rec.radio.cb:210612
>
> From: "The truth about fag ass Landcarp" <fag@landcarp.cum>
> Sender: "The truth about fag ass Landcarp" <fag@landcarp.cum>
> Reply-To: "The truth about fag ass Landcarp" <fag@landcarp.cum>
> Subject: Landshark the faggot rectumringer
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> X-User-Info: 207.155.223.66 207.155.223.66
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
> Message-ID: <3b030712$1@news2.mibx.net>
> Date: 17 May 2001 00:02:42 GMT
> X-Trace: 17 May 2001 00:02:42 GMT, 206.53.132.39
> Lines: 6
> Path:
>
nntp1-sf.pbi.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.10.34.151!newsfeed.sjc.globix.net!ne
>
wsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.stealth.net!newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primene
> t.com!news2.mibx.net
> Xref: cyclone-sf.pbi.net rec.radio.cb:212150
>
>
> LMAO!!!
>
>
> --
> Try sending your complaints to these concerning
> Keyclownbusters (anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com IE:
> Doug Adair N8WWM):

> help@uu.net
>
> abuse-mail@wcom.com
>
> netadmin@ao.wcom.net
>
> groups-abuse@google.com
>
> abuse@yahoo.com
>
> Consumer Affairs
> WorldCom
> 1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
> Arlington, VA 22202
> 800-695-4405
>
>

1282. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 19:39:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919153901.12229.00004880@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53199

>Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/19/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020919080924.03046.00000694@mb-fy.aol.com>

>>When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
>>with the rules/privileges that Canada has in effect. I can,
>>for example, operate SSB from Canada in HF band segments
>>that I could not operate from when in the US. Likewise,
>>if some frequencies segment is not allowed to Canadians,
>>but is "legal in the US" I can not use those frequencies while
>>in Canada.
>
>Your operation in Canada is allowed because the USA and Canada have a
>reciprocal agreement. The Canadian govt. allows you to operate there without
>your passing a test or getting a Canadian license only because you have a
>valid
>US amateur license. IOW, your Canadian operation is totally dependent on
>having
>that US license. And you probably operate as K2UNK/VEx, not as a VE licensee.
>
>All good things.

And if I remember correctly, did the FCC not come out and say that as a condition of this specific agreement, a United States Amateur operating in Canada could NOT exercise any privileges or utilize any band/mode combinations not allowed under his/her license?

>>If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
>>in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
>>jurisdiction for that action.
>
>Apples and oranges. Marijuana use is not regulated by international treaty
>and
>no license is needed to use it where its use is legalized.

However if you return to the Untied States and controlled substances are in your system that are NOT allowed for whatever legal reason, it doesn't matter how "legal" they were WHERE you consumed them.

Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation, having had a significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out that they were STILL in trouble when they got home.

>A better analogy would be the purchase of prescription drugs in Amsterdam
>based

>on prescriptions written by an American doctor.
>>
>>> They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as on.
>>
>>The US does not charge people for foriegn drug actions unless
>>or until the activity engages or enters the US jurisdiction.
>>
>Or if there is clear evidence that the foreign drug action will do so.

A prescription written by a US doctor and "honored" by an offshore
pharmacy may be legal in Lower Slobovia, but if that drug is illegal in the
United States, it's still illegal.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1283. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 19:45:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919154514.12229.00004882@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53200

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 9/19/02 8:52 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <amckq8$805$0@208.207.71.163

>Wouldn't ya love to be that hard up for something to do? If he actually
>had any interest in ham radio he'd be following it instead of slamming
>it here on rrap all the time. Pitiful case, that Putz.

Thankfully I have my other activities to keep me busy in that regard,
Dick!

But I agree with you. Can you imagine what good someone with Lennie's
alleged skills, knowledge and experience could do IF he did something
CONSTRUCTIVE with them?

But alas, it won't happen. He is lost. Maybe, in retrospect, his
misdeeds here DO have some value ?!?!...Like what NOT to do with your
life...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

1284. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 20:00:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919160046.12229.00004888@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53051

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/19/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020919080923.03046.00000693@mb-fy.aol.com>

>>That pore 'ole squish was the Oval Office out-to-lunch flower child.
>
>Good governor, poor president. Only reason he got elected was the
>multiple-whammy of Watergate, Ford-pardons-Nixon, post-Vietnam angst, energy
>crisis and a rotten economy.

I was stationed in Georgia when Carter was elected. Many Georgian's
stated he was just promoted to a higher level of incompetness.

Judging by his lack of initiative and poor economic programs, I'd have to
say this was so. He was a talented negotiator (hence the Camp David accords
with Isreal and Egypt), however he failed as a policy man and as a
commander-in-chief.

In the Iran affair, he perpetrated the same errors that had led this
nation to failures in Viet Nam, specifically instead of giving military
commanders an objective and them letting them obtain that objective by thier
own means, he tried to manage things from Washington. He was a lack-luster
Naval officer, and even worse in the White House.

He's done more good for America wielding a hammer for "Habitat for
Humanity" than he ever did as president.

>Classic case of the well-intentioned Washington outsider being hung out to
>dry.

I can't help but feel that he helped supply the clothesline and pins.

>Best scenario would be to get Putin to support the USA position. Russia
>doesn't
>have to actually do a thing militarily,
> just stand up and say they're on our side.

Better yet...Russia is in severe financial distress. I say we swap hard
US currency for access to THIER oil fields and we let the camel jockies in ALL
of the Middle East eat sand.

If the reports in various journals are correct, the Russians are sitting
on more oil that the camel jocks ever thought about. If we start spending our
greenbacks in Moscow instead of Riyadh or Bagdhad, maybe we see a decrease in
the "tensions" in the middle east...???

>>There hasn't been a Harry since Harry. He was all about a level of
>>integrity we haven't seen since. Republican or Democrat.
>
>Yup.

Harry set up the precedent for failure in our Armed Forces that lasted through Viet Nam. Had we dealt with China, the Soviet Union and the Korea's in the late 1940's and early 1950's, we wouldn't have spent the next four deacdes wondering when the bomb would drop or the yellow hoardes would overrun us.

>I recall Hizzoner Frank L. Rizzo being asked to define "conservative". The
>quoted response was "A conservative is a liberal who just got mugged".

Funny how quick allegiances change under those circumstances, eh?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1285. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 21:08:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amdecbtcf0@208.206.142.106>
Source record: 53052

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
Harry set up the precedent for failure in our Armed Forces that
lasted
> through Viet Nam.

But the US public wouldn't stand for another war on the basis which it would have came. Harry lost a lot of his current support over Korea, and never regained it. Firing McArthur was only a small part of the reason. People were sick of war and scared of a much wider war with China ,and even Stalin was making threatening noises.

> Had we dealt with China, the Soviet Union and the Korea's in
> the late 1940's and early 1950's, we wouldn't have spent the next four deacdes
> wondering when the bomb would drop or the yellow hoardes would overrun us.

Dealing with them in any effective way militarily involved high risk of another widespread if not global war. No one including Harry wanted anything like that, so soon on the heels of WW2.

1286. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 21:25:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amdfcdvhb0@208.206.142.83>
Source record: 53053

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <am81bn$gl0$0@208.206.142.81>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >But the point is that most of the world got over
> >such nonsense by the time we had kicked all that butt at the end of WW2.
> >Even the Marxists have almost all crashed and burned, or at least
> >adopted some modern Capitalist life view, sorta slid into a modern era
> >of some sort.
>
> Except for folks who haven't gotten the word, like North Korea and Cuba.

Both of whom have been under the thumb of vicious dictators since the
50's or earlier, pretty well isolated with little interaction among
other nations.

The
> rest are catching on fast. The cyrillic handwriting was on the wall when a
> McDonald's opened up on Red Square.
>
> Cuba is a really sad story, too. FC took over right at the beginning of the
> jet/hydrofoil age but could not see the tourist opportunities. If he'd had any
> sense at all, he would have seen that Cuba could have been THE Caribbean island
> destination. Close to the USA but not far from EU, exotic but civilized, lots
> of labor and resources. Also world-famous cigars. And enough arable land to
> eliminate the need for expensive importation of food. Net result would have
> turned Cuba into the richest island in the area, as tourists from all over
> brought in hard currency.
>
> Instead, he becomes a more rigid commie than the soviets, and the country justs
> gets poorer and more isolated from the world.
>

It's difficult to imagine how such an idiot could survive for so long
without his own people taking him out. Initially he was hailed as a hero
but that soon faded as he proved to be just another dictator, not much
better that his non-communist predecessor. At least he was from common
roots, maybe that was what preserved him.

> Yet even at the height of the Cold War, CO2 hams are all over the place,
> working the world. Friendship by amateur radio transcended the politics. Most
> of them are on CW, too.
>

Using lots of homebrew gear, too, which usually was and is manifested
by a chirpy signal. Gotta hand it to them, that must be extra tough to
get on and stay on the air under their circumstances.

> >But not the poor A-rabs. They're still stuck in Mohammad's
> >third century.
>
> The Saudis are trying really hard to modernize, but their idea of "modern" may
> not be the same as ours.

The Saudi government seems to be hanging onto control by a thread
sometimes. They face a largely radical Islam populace that is as
strident as any. The hierarchy seems to exist in fear of overthrow, and
act accordingly to try to refrain from irritating the peasants.
Fortunately all that oil money helps keep things under control.

>
> There's a historical precedent to all this.
>
> Japan was an almost totally isolated culture for hundreds if not thousands of
> years. Stuck in a medieval time warp until an American fleet sailed into Tokyo
> Bay to say hello.
>
> The JAs figured out real quick that samurai swords and bamboo armor weren't
> going to cut it in a world with cannons and iron steamships. So they set about
> catching up with the West.
>
> And catch up they did. In less than 100 years they went from the Middle Ages to
> a modern industrial nation with global ambitions. Also a nation that was hugely
> dependent on imported raw materials.
>
> But cultures do not change as rapidly as technologies. Tojo And Friends weren't
> much different than their predecessors with the swords and bamboo. They played
> the "Our Emperor Is A God" card for all it was worth. We all know how the
> resulting fight turned out.
>
> Fast forward sixty years and the two former enemies are friends and #1 trading
> partners.
>

But the Japanese expansionist movement was as violently cruel as any in
history, in China and SE Asia where they butchered and slaughtered
multitudes in cold blood, used humans for deadly experiments and much
more such atrocities. Only a good beating, topped with Fat Boy and the
other nuke brought it to a halt. If Harry hadn't used them, no one can
say what the added human cost would have been, but it was sure to be
larger than the bombs' toll. And they would have largely been out
troops. Harry had the guts to do what needed to be done.

One wonders where the current spat is headed. It's easy to say "be nice
to them" but clearly that won't change their minds any more than it
would have the Japanese. Sometimes a good butt kicking is required.

1287. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 21:36:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_rri9.141$Cc6.45@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53061

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209181700.2e66541b@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message > Dave:
> >
> > It would be interesting to see what ham radio would be like today
> > if the code testing requirements had been reduced to present levels
> > in 1965. I predict that we'd have about the same number of hams,
> > but there would not be any CW-specific HF subbands; therefore,
> > very little (if any) CW activity. What hams we had would be generally
> > inactive, except for roughly the same amount of dyed-in-the-wool SSB
> > DX'ers and contesters. Digital mode usage would be virtually unknown.
> > We'd also be looking at the same problems with regard to reallocation
> > of spectrum in the VHF/UHF bands. I also predict that the problem
> > of "aging" in the ham population would be much worse, since the code
> > was a big factor in *attracting* younger hams. Along with all this,
> > the technical involvement of the average ham would not have increased
> > whatsoever -- in fact, we'd be more "appliance oriented" than ever.
>
> Are you predicting the future here Larry?
>
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> w3rv

He's predicting the past.

1288. Re: PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 21:36:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%rri9.142$Cc6.26@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53060

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:Mvai9.228922$2L.8464496@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> (text cut)
> It might even be outlawed by them,
> > and code enthusiasts will have to huddle in dark corners to practice
> > it.
> >
> > 73, Brian
>
> I always get a kick out of these naive references to 'banning' or
> 'outlawing' code. That's like making the alphabet illegal.
>
> ...think I'll make some bumperstickers.
>
> "When code is outlawed, only outlaws will do code."
>
> "You will have to pry my keyer from my cold, dead fingers."
>
> :-))

Dan, it's not a reference or a prediction, it was merely wild speculation.
The code requirement could come back in force - for example, if the world
were overrun by aliens who could only communicate with us in code.

1289. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 22:32:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919183210.27149.00002598@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53054

In article <amckq8$805$0@208.207.71.163>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> Putzie Poo does have a memory albeit a faulty one, as we already knew.
>Several years ago I happened to mention here that when working I had
>carried a 12 volt HF rig in a suitcase when I traveled, along with a CW
>key so that I could also work CW if needed, for emergency use (As it
>happened, my daily travel took me right through the New Madrid area very
>often). But it wasn't a J38, it was a paddle.

Oh! Pardon me! You were up the New Madrid creek with a paddle?

:-)

> Or maybe Putzie Poo just prints out all our posts so he can pore over
>them in detail to find little gems like that to misquote.

Why? Does Dickie want to ressurect his "Shannon's Law"
discussions all over again? That would be entertaining for
all to see. :-)

> Wouldn't ya love to be that hard up for something to do? If he actually
>had any interest in ham radio he'd be following it instead of slamming
>it here on rrap all the time. Pitiful case, that Putz.

Poor baby, you still can't see that the "slamming" done is directed
at only a few bad examples, yourself included.

So far, you and your cronies in this newsgroup are NOT shining
examples of excellence in US amateur radio. Quit trying to hide
behind thousands of others who know what they are doing and
how to behave...as civil human beings.

Pick up the suitcase and be ready to use your ham radio skills to
secure the nation from terrorist attack. I suggest you use that
suitcase as a bludgeon against suspected terrorists.

Unless, of course, you have some valid suggestions on what to do
about the proposed US ham band at 60 meters as some sort of
"anti-terrorist" service to the nation.

1290. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 22:39:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919183949.17318.00000542@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 53055

In article <20020919160046.12229.00004888@mb-mw.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 19 Sep 2002 20:00:46 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 9/19/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020919080923.03046.00000693@mb-fy.aol.com>
>
>>>That pore 'ole squish was the Oval Office out-to-lunch flower child.
>>
>>Good governor, poor president. Only reason he got elected was the
>>multiple-whammy of Watergate, Ford-pardons-Nixon, post-Vietnam angst, energy
>>crisis and a rotten economy.
>
> I was stationed in Georgia when Carter was elected. Many Georgian's
>stated he was just promoted to a higher level of incompetness.

That could be said of any elected official.

When Carter was first elected, one of the causes he pushed was election law reform. All sorts of abuses in Georgia politics. For example, lots of proxy votes from folks in the cemetery. Turned out that Georgia law did not specify that a person had to actually be alive on election day for their vote to count. When a law requiring that voters actually be breathing was proposed by Carter, there was serious and heated debate against it. Including a proposal that votes from people dead less than 3 years should be permitted, such votes being cast by the dead person's family members, who 'would know how Bubba would have wanted to vote'.

>Judging by his lack of initiative and poor economic programs, I'd have
>to say this was so.

He inherited the economic problems that had been created by several previous administrations. Most notably, the wild-eyed deficit spending of LBJ. Also the inaction by Nixon and Ford.

>He was a talented negotiator (hence the Camp David accords
>with Isreal and Egypt), however he failed as a policy man and as a
>commander-in-chief.

The Camp David peace accords have held for over two decades, despite all the other unrest in the area.

> In the Iran affair, he perpetrated the same errors that had led this
>nation to failures in Viet Nam, specifically instead of giving military
>commanders an objective and them letting them obtain that objective by thier
>own means, he tried to manage things from Washington.

Yup. Classic LBJ move. Shoulda known better.

>He was a lack-luster
>Naval officer, and even worse in the White House.
>
> He's done more good for America wielding a hammer for "Habitat for
>Humanity" than he ever did as president.

Quite true, actually.
>
>>Classic case of the well-intentioned Washington outsider being hung out to
>>dry.
>
> I can't help but feel that he helped supply the clothesline and pins.

Much of politics is trading favors. I do something for you, you do something for me. Compromise and negotiation. Carter didn't have the connections or the IOUs of any of his predecessors, all of whom had been senators and/or vice presidents for years before reaching the White House.

>>Best scenario would be to get Putin to support the USA position. Russia
>>doesn't
>>have to actually do a thing militarily,
>> just stand up and say they're on our side.
>
> Better yet...Russia is in severe financial distress. I say we swap hard
>US currency for access to THIER oil fields and we let the camel jockies in
>ALL of the Middle East eat sand.

That's already in progress. Sakhalin peninsula is one place it's already in progress.

One big problem is getting from the oil fields to deep water ports. Lots of landlocked oil in Central Asia, in those 'stan republics. Guess where a pipeline to deepwater would go if it is to avoid mountains and Iran/Iraq.

Both Papa Bush and Shrub are oil men. You think they aren't on top of that whole situation?
>
> If the reports in various journals are correct, the Russians are sitting
>on more oil that the camel jocks ever thought about. If we start spending
>our
>greenbacks in Moscow instead of Riyadh or Bagdhad, maybe we see a decrease in
>the "tensions" in the middle east...???

Snoop around looking for articles on "Central Asia oil" and similar topics.

Such things take years to come on-line, though. You won;t put a bear in your tank for some time yet.

>
>>>There hasn't been a Harry since Harry. He was all about a level of
>>>integrity we haven't seen since. Republican or Democrat.
>>
>>Yup.
>
> Harry set up the precedent for failure in our Armed Forces that lasted
>through Viet Nam. Had we dealt with China, the Soviet Union and the Korea's
>in the late 1940's and early 1950's, we wouldn't have spent the next four
>deacdes
>wondering when the bomb would drop or the yellow hoardes would overrun us.

How would we have "dealt with" any of those countries? The Soviets were our allies in WW2. Chiang Kai-Shek was a crooked as the Burma Road and Korea was very similar to Vietnam in political history.

>>I recall Hizzoner Frank L. Rizzo being asked to define "conservative". The
>>quoted response was "A conservative is a liberal who just got mugged".
>
> Funny how quick allegiances change under those circumstances, eh?

Hizzoner was a Democrat.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1291. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 23:08:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919190844.11404.00000973@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 53056

In article <20020919154514.12229.00004882@mb-mw.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 19 Sep 2002 19:45:14 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>Date: 9/19/02 8:52 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <amckq8$805$0@208.207.71.163
>
>>Wouldn't ya love to be that hard up for something to do?

No.

>>If he actually
>>had any interest in ham radio he'd be following it instead of slamming
>>it here on rrap all the time.

That's true.

Now follow that thought to its logical consequence: He has no, repeat no, constructive interest in ham radio. None, zilch, nix, nada, zero. His claims of being interested in the rules or policies are just so much fertilizer.
>
> Thankfully I have my other activities to keep me busy in that regard,
>Dick!
>
> But I agree with you. Can you imagine what good someone with

his

>alleged skills, knowledge and experience could do IF he did something
>CONSTRUCTIVE with them?

"If is for children, spinning daydreams" (thanks, Cecil)

Has anyone seen any real evidence of these "alleged skills, knowledge and experience"? Any books or articles in the amateur radio press in the past 10, 15, 20 years? Technical postings of practical interest, websites, even original or semi-original ideas? Nope.

Now follow that fact to its logical consequence: He has made no, repeat no, constructive contribution to ham radio in many years, if ever. None, zilch, nix, nada, zero.

There's a bazillion ham-radio-related sources of info out there. No reason to try to get blood from a turnip.
>
> But alas, it won't happen. He is lost.

Then why waste time replying to his diatribes?

>Maybe, in retrospect, his
>misdeeds here DO have some value ?!?!...

No. None whatsoever. Waste of bandwidth.

>Like what NOT to do with your life...???

Better to actually DO something.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1292. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 23:14:31 UTC
From: Brother Lloyd/N0VFP <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 53057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The big electronics manufactures?

What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm involved in? CB, FRS, MURS, Ham?

I personally don't see the problem with people using their dual-band HT's for FRS or MURS. Alot of people do it. As long as you keep it in the power and antenna limits, not even the FCC would care.

We have other things to be concerned about.

--
Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
God created only so many perfect heads...
the rest he covered with hair !
ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
http://clik.to/n0vfp

1293. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 23:37:35 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amdn4h$vu3$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53058

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020919153901.12229.00004880@mb-mw.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 9/19/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020919080924.03046.00000694@mb-fy.aol.com>
>
> >>When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
> >>with the rules/privileges that Canada has in effect. I can,
> >>for example, operate SSB from Canada in HF band segments
> >>that I could not operate from when in the US. Likewise,
> >>if some frequencies segment is not allowed to Canadians,
> >>but is "legal in the US" I can not use those frequencies while
> >>in Canada.
> >
> >Your operation in Canada is allowed because the USA and Canada have a
> >reciprocal agreement. The Canadian govt. allows you to operate there
without
> >your passing a test or getting a Canadian license only because you have a
> >valid
> >US amateur license. IOW, your Canadian operation is totally dependent on
> >having
> >that US license. And you probably operate as K2UNK/VEx, not as a VE
licensee.
> >
> >All good things.
>
> And if I remember correctly, did the FCC not come out and say that as
a
> condition of this specific agreement, a United States Amateur operating in
> Canada could NOT exercise any privileges or utilize any band/mode
combinations
> not allowed under his/her license?

Please provide the rule...otherwise it isn't so.

> >>If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
> >>in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
> >>jurisdiction for that action.
> >
> >Apples and oranges. Marijuana use is not regulated by international
treaty
> >and
> >no license is needed to use it where its use is legalized.
>

> However if you return to the Untied States and controlled substances
are
> in your system that are NOT allowed for whatever legal reason, it doesn't
> matter how "legal" they were WHERE you consumed them.

If you are claiming that once the indiviual sets foot on US soil, that
an individual ould be convicted simply because a blood test showed
prior use before entering the US, ...I seriously doubt it.

> Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation, having
had a
> significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out that
they
> were STILL in trouble when they got home.

Military justice is a totally different animal.

> >A better analogy would be the purchase of prescription drugs in Amsterdam
> >based
> >on prescriptions written by an American doctor.
> >>
> >>> They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as on.
> >>
> >>The US does not charge people for foriegn drug actions unless
> >>or until the activity engages or enters the US jurisdiction.
> >>
> >Or if there is clear evidence that the foreign drug action will do so.
>
> A prescription written by a US doctor and "honored" by an offshore
> pharmacy may be legal in Lower Slobovia, but if that drug is illegal in
the
> United States, it's still illegal.

Agreed. But ingestion of the drug off shore isn't a US
crime.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1294. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 23:38:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919193858.19036.00005089@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53059

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/19/02 5:32 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020919183210.27149.00002598@mb-cg.aol.com>

>So far, you and your cronies in this newsgroup are NOT shining
> examples of excellence in US amateur radio. Quit trying to hide
> behind thousands of others who know what they are doing and
> how to behave...as civil human beings.

(1) How many persons have entered Amateur Radio as a result of YOUR
tutelage?

(2) How many public service events have YOU participated in?

(3) How many certificates of appreciation, letters of thanks, awards, etc
do YOU hold as a result of YOUR participation as an Amateur Radio operator?

>Pick up the suitcase and be ready to use your ham radio skills to
> secure the nation from terrorist attack. I suggest you use that
> suitcase as a bludgeon against suspected terrorists.

I dare say than any of us here (with licenses) are more prepared to
respond to ANY emergency than YOU are, Your Geezerness.

>Unless, of course, you have some valid suggestions on what to do
> about the proposed US ham band at 60 meters as some sort of
> "anti-terrorist" service to the nation.

No more or no less than any other band...of course WE will be on those
bands...YOU won't.

By the way, Lennie, WHERE'S that EVIDENCE of dishonesty vis-a-vis the
ARRL?

Hanging on the wall with YOUR license?

1295. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-19 23:39:10 UTC
From: Clint <rattlehead@computron.net>
Message-ID: <3D8A601E.8000207@computron.net>
Source record: 53062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Russell Hltn wrote:

>Really? You need to get out more. I had no problem buying a brand
>spanking new Kenwood TH-F6A tri-band HT. 144, 220, 440. Sure beats
>having a tri-band with 6m (e.g. VX-5) I wasn't the only one buying
>one at Dayton.
>
>My local RACES group is working to add a packet 220 system just so we
>don't jam our 2m and 440 voice when we operate.
>
>"Lou in Memphis" <IceColdAir@MemphisMoron.com> wrote in message
>news:alvnfb$qv3$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>>it is difficult to find new or used gear for 220, let
>>alone a dual-bander which has 220 on it, or even a tri-bander.
>>When's the last time you saw a 220 HT?
>>
>
>
My Yaesu 736R has the 220 module in it..... where I live now, there
isn't much 220 activity but when I lived in austin there was lots of it;
quite a few 220 repeaters if I remember correctly. It's sad, but 220
could have been an excellent alternate for 2m in large cities where 2m
got crowded quickly and all the 2m repeater pairs taken up; simular
performance but with smaller antennas.

Clint
KB5ZHT

--
The more things change,
The more they stay the same...

1296. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 00:56:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <amdrni$h5r$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The major problem with the 220 band has been the near constant attack of it by non amateur groups. Ever since I have been licensed, and that is 41 years, it has been the same thing over and over again.

This, IMHO, is why 220 never caught on with the US hams. Why spend the bux and have it taken away? Not to mention that 220 is NOT a worlwide allocation so its useless even for satellites.

Just my opinion.

Dan/W4NTI

"Clint" <rattlehead@computron.net> wrote in message
news:3D8A601E.8000207@computron.net...
> Russell Hltn wrote:
>
> >Really? You need to get out more. I had no problem buying a brand
> >spanking new Kenwood TH-F6A tri-band HT. 144, 220, 440. Sure beats
> >having a tri-band with 6m (e.g. VX-5) I wasn't the only one buying
> >one at Dayton.
> >
> >My local RACES group is working to add a packet 220 system just so we
> >don't jam our 2m and 440 voice when we operate.
> >
> >"Lou in Memphis" <IceColdAir@MemphisMoron.com> wrote in message
> >news:alvnfb$qv3$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> >>it is difficult to find new or used gear for 220, let
> >>alone a dual-bander which has 220 on it, or even a tri-bander.
> >>When's the last time you saw a 220 HT?
> >>
> >
> >
> My Yaesu 736R has the 220 module in it..... where I live now, there
> isn't much 220 activity but when I lived in austin there was lots of it;
> quite a few 220 repeaters if I remember correctly. It's sad, but 220
> could have been an excellent alternate for 2m in large cities where 2m
> got crowded quickly and all the 2m repeater pairs taken up; simular
> performance but with smaller antennas.
>
> Clint
> KB5ZHT
>
> --

> The more things change,
> The more they stay the same...
>
>

1297. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 01:27:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uokuel4ram7acf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Actually, we all could. In Europe, televisions, xerox copiers, telephones - you name it - have to pass a 'radiated immunity' test. This means the equipment must not vary in any manner when irradiated by rf energy extending from 20 MHz to 1 GHz. What this means is that you could run your radio and not worry about your neighbors' telephone, electronic keyboard, or other equipment from picking up the rf and then claiming it is your radio's fault (much of the rfi problem is caused by rf pickup and audio rectification and amplification of the signal in the device allegedly being interfered with - and it is cause by poor design - actually, CHEAP design - of the equipment and not the transmitter). In actuality, better regulation would result in less problems for all of us. I saw some 14 inch B&W televisions on sale last year at Rite - Aid for $19.99. Made in China. Wanna bet you could fire up a stone cold legal, calibrated, and tested cb next door to that and not have a problem? Just food for thought.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message news:3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com...
> Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The big
> electronics manufactures?
>
> What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
> involved in? CB, FRS, MURS, Ham?
>
> I personally don't see the problem with people using their dual-band HT's
> for FRS or MURS. Alot of people do it. As long as you keep it in the
> power and antenna limits, not even the FCC would care.
>
> We have other things to be concerned about.
>
> --
> Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
> God created only so many perfect heads...
> the rest he covered with hair !
> ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
> Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
> Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
> http://clik.to/n0vfp
>
>
>

1298. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 01:39:44 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919213944.15315.00003919@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53065

>In article <3D87A15A.F06B75FC@ix.netcom.com>, David Stinson
><arc5@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>>From "The Case of the Codeless Hams":
>>
>> "The biggest battle in many a decade is shaping up on the
>> ham scene these days....And it concerns what might be called
>> "codeless hams"...
A codeless Ham is still a Ham. For example, contesters, Working All States,
etc.
For years there have been grumblings
>> about these code requirements. Argument is that they are outmoded,
>> oppressive, unrealistic and constitute an unfair barrier to ....
>> potential ham operators....
It is not an unfair barrier to Ham operators, since there is the no code
Technician class. It is an unfair barrier to Ham Radio, as it repels the
average non Ham.

The situation has intensified
>> in the past few years, however...For these reasons, a new type of
>> radio amateur would seem entirely within the scheme of things..."
I have been calling for a new kind of radio amateur, its the idea of an
"alternate radio organization", for those radio amateurs that like radio
technology, but aren't into the weird "Ham scene".

>>Published in Electronics Illustrated, March 1965.
>>
>>The more things change.... ;-)
>>
>>73 Dave S.
>
>Dave:
>
>It would be interesting to see what ham radio would be like today
>if the code testing requirements had been reduced to present levels
>in 1965. I predict that we'd have about the same number of hams,
>but there would not be any CW-specific HF subbands; therefore,
>very little (if any) CW activity.
Cut out the code requirements and there would be alot more radio amateurs. They
would chose if they wanted to operate in CW, and there would be very little CW
activity.

What hams we had would be generally
>inactive, except for roughly the same amount of dyed-in-the-wool SSB
>DX'ers and contesters. Digital mode usage would be virtually unknown.

There's a typical Ham - SSB DX'ers and contesters.

>We'd also be looking at the same problems with regard to reallocation
>of spectrum in the VHF/UHF bands.
The spectrum would have more value and therfore be less likely to be
reallocated.

I also predict that the problem
>of "aging" in the ham population would be much worse, since the code
>was a big factor in *attracting* younger hams.
Wrong, code is a big factor in repelling potential "hams".

Along with all this,
>the technical involvement of the average ham would not have increased
>whatsoever -- in fact, we'd be more "appliance oriented" than ever.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
The problem occurs for non appliance operators, when the appliance operators impose code requirements. There are many Ham clubs and there are very few clubs dedicated to radio technology. The technical people go to a Ham Radio meetings, see that Ham Radio is appliance oriented and don't join. The ones that stay are the ones attracted to appliance operation and Morse code to begin with. This is what is making Ham Radio "appliance oriented".

1299. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 02:08:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020919220856.28700.00001002@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53066

In article <amdn4h$vu3$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020919153901.12229.00004880@mb-mw.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
>> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>> >Date: 9/19/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <20020919080924.03046.00000694@mb-fy.aol.com>
>>
>> >>When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
>> >>with the rules/privileges that Canada has in effect. I can,
>> >>for example, operate SSB from Canada in HF band segments
>> >>that I could not operate from when in the US. Likewise,
>> >>if some frequencies segment is not allowed to Canadians,
>> >>but is "legal in the US" I can not use those frequencies while
>> >>in Canada.
>> >
>> >Your operation in Canada is allowed because the USA and Canada have a
>> >reciprocal agreement. The Canadian govt. allows you to operate there
>without
>> >your passing a test or getting a Canadian license only because you have a
>> >valid
>> >US amateur license. IOW, your Canadian operation is totally dependent on
>> >having
>> >that US license. And you probably operate as K2UNK/VEx, not as a VE
>licensee.
>> >
>> >All good things.
>>
>> And if I remember correctly, did the FCC not come out and say that as
>>a
>> condition of this specific agreement, a United States Amateur operating in
>> Canada could NOT exercise any privileges or utilize any band/mode
>>combinations
>> not allowed under his/her license?
>
>Please provide the rule...otherwise it isn't so.

I don't ever remember such a ruling. Seems to me that it's up to, say, Canada
to detemine what privs they grant to a visiting US ham with a certain class of
license.
>
>> >>If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
>> >>in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US

>> >>jurisdiction for that action.
>> >
>> >Apples and oranges. Marijuana use is not regulated by international
>treaty
>> >and
>> >no license is needed to use it where its use is legalized.
>>
>> However if you return to the Untied States and controlled substances
>> are
>> in your system that are NOT allowed for whatever legal reason, it doesn't
>> matter how "legal" they were WHERE you consumed them.
>
>If you are claiming that once the indiviual sets foot on US soil, that
>an individual ould be convicted simply because a blood test showed
>prior use before entering the US, ...I seriously doubt it.

I have to agree with Steve on this one. If I doubt anyone has ever been
prosecuted under such rules.
>
>> Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation, having
>>had a
>> significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out that
>>they
>> were STILL in trouble when they got home.
>
>Military justice is a totally different animal.

Point is, they are still in trouble under US law.

And it's not just servicepeople who have to be concerned. Many companies have
random drug testing of all employees, and if residues are detected, the
employee is in BIG trouble. Granted, they are not usually in legal trouble, but
the courts have sided with the companies and not the persons with the residues.
As I understand it, it comes under the concept of 'conditions of employment'
that are accepted by the employee.
>
>> >A better analogy would be the purchase of prescription drugs in Amsterdam
>> >based
>> >on prescriptions written by an American doctor.
>> >>
>> >>> They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as on.
>> >>
>> >>The US does not charge people for foriegn drug actions unless
>> >>or until the activity engages or enters the US jurisdiction.
>> >>
>> >Or if there is clear evidence that the foreign drug action will do so.
>>
>> A prescription written by a US doctor and "honored" by an offshore
>> pharmacy may be legal in Lower Slobovia, but if that drug is illegal in
>>the
>> United States, it's still illegal.

>
>Agreed. But ingestion of the drug off shore isn't a US
>crime.

As long as you don't bring any of the illegal stuff back, I'd agree.

Still not a good analogy. Try this one:

In the parts of the USA that I'm familiar with, an LPN is not licensed to write prescriptions. But in other parts of the world, that same person's LPN license may be honored as valid, and the LPN may be empowered to write prescriptions.

Will an LPN who writes a prescription in the "other part of the world" be in violation of his USA license?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1300. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 02:46:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2pejj2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53067

On 19 Sep 2002 12:09:23 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>And there's a precedent. I dunno the exact date, but back before the
>Gulf War Israel took out a nuke facility in Iraq. Set back SH's nuke
>program in a big way. Lotta yelping from the peanut gallery but no
>real action.

The Osirak reactor, 1981. Since that time, Saadam has concentrated
on smaller and more numerous production laboratories, the better to
avoid being blasted agaim whether ny Ariel missiles or Tomahawk
missiles.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1301. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 02:46:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2pe2f1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53068

On 19 Sep 2002 10:41:45 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> I have a copy of "Emergency Medical Services" magazine of two or three
>months ago where an Israeli paramedic is on duty, wearing his yarmaluke, and
>weilding a locked/loaded M-16. That's on the cover.

No different from a US medic wearing a crucifix or St.
Christopher medal next to his dogtags. It indicates one's
individual religious committment. .

The presence of a kippah, proper Hebrew term for "yarmulke"
which is a Ruropean-Yiddish term for the skullcap - does not
inducate an ultra-Orthodox adherent except to those secular
Israelis who see religious affairs in black and white - either
one is ultra-religious or non-religious.- I wear one on a
regular basis and I am with the rather liberal-modernist wing
of Jewish practice.

>>As I said, the conflict is political, not religious - at least from
>> the Israeli viewpoint.
>
>I dare say that several Israeli acquintences of mine would differ in that
>assessment, Phil.

They would deny that the conflict is basically political and
cultural? Strange.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1302. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 06:18:18 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <amfas521f34@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Based on your statement there, I wonder if a decent compromise would be to EXCHANGE the few Mhz we have on 220 for wider bands elsewhere. Dunno if this is a viable solution or not, but if the band is not being utilized by hams for ANY reason or excuse, then a trade off may be a better solution.

Just a rambling thought...

Ryan KC8PMX

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message news:amdrni$h5r$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> The major problem with the 220 band has been the near constant attack of it
> by non amateur groups. Ever since I have been licensed, and that is 41
> years, it has been the same thing over and over again.
>
> This, IMHO, is why 220 never caught on with the US hams. Why spend the bux
> and have it taken away? Not to mention that 220 is NOT a worlwide
> allocation so its useless even for satellites.
>
> Just my opinion.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Clint" <rattlehead@computron.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8A601E.8000207@computron.net...
> > Russell Hltn wrote:
> >
> > >Really? You need to get out more. I had no problem buying a brand
> > >spanking new Kenwood TH-F6A tri-band HT. 144, 220, 440. Sure beats
> > >having a tri-band with 6m (e.g. VX-5) I wasn't the only one buying
> > >one at Dayton.
> > >
> > >My local RACES group is working to add a packet 220 system just so we
> > >don't jam our 2m and 440 voice when we operate.
> > >
> > >"Lou in Memphis" <IceColdAir@MemphisMoron.com> wrote in message
> > >news:alvnfb$qv3$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > >>it is difficult to find new or used gear for 220, let
> > >>alone a dual-bander which has 220 on it, or even a tri-bander.
> > >>When's the last time you saw a 220 HT?
> > >>

> > >
> > >
> > My Yaesu 736R has the 220 module in it..... where I live now, there
> > isn't much 220 activity but when I lived in austin there was lots of it;
> > quite a few 220 repeaters if I remember correctly. It's sad, but 220
> > could have been an excellent alternate for 2m in large cities where 2m
> > got crowded quickly and all the 2m repeater pairs taken up; simular
> > performance but with smaller antennas.
> >
> > Clint
> > KB5ZHT
> >
> > --
> > The more things change,
> > The more they stay the same...
> >
> >
>
>

1303. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 11:16:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8B039C.9AA0F971@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53069

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <amckq8$805$0@208.207.71.163>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> > Putzie Poo does have a memory albeit a faulty one, as we already knew.
> >Several years ago I happened to mention here that when working I had
> >carried a 12 volt HF rig in a suitcase when I traveled, along with a CW
> >key so that I could also work CW if needed, for emergency use (As it
> >happened, my daily travel took me right through the New Madrid area very
> >often). But it wasn't a J38, it was a paddle.
>
> Oh! Pardon me! You were up the New Madrid creek with a paddle?
>
> :-)
>
> > Or maybe Putzie Poo just prints out all our posts so he can pore over
> >them in detail to find little gems like that to misquote.
>
> Why? Does Dickie want to ressurect his "Shannon's Law"
> discussions all over again? That would be entertaining for
> all to see. :-)
>
> > Wouldn't ya love to be that hard up for something to do? If he actually
> >had any interest in ham radio he'd be following it instead of slamming
> >it here on rrap all the time. Pitiful case, that Putz.
>
> Poor baby, you still can't see that the "slamming" done is directed
> at only a few bad examples, yourself included.

You mean the folks who happen to raise your ire by disagreeing with your
views, huh?

> So far, you and your cronies in this newsgroup are NOT shining
> examples of excellence in US amateur radio. Quit trying to hide
> behind thousands of others who know what they are doing and
> how to behave...as civil human beings.

...and you are a shining example of excellence in amateur radio
because--whoops--you aren't involved in amateur radio, are you?
Oh well, if you ever become a ham, you could begin to become an example
of excellence in amateur radio. In the mean time, you can always ask
someone else about it.

> Pick up the suitcase and be ready to use your ham radio skills to
> secure the nation from terrorist attack. I suggest you use that
> suitcase as a bludgeon against suspected terrorists.

That sounds like another case of sour grapes from one who is not a
participant.

> Unless, of course, you have some valid suggestions on what to do
> about the proposed US ham band at 60 meters as some sort of
> "anti-terrorist" service to the nation.

What does the proposed ham band have to do with you?

Dave K8MN

1304. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 13:11:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920091142.15292.00003835@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53070

>Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
>From: "Bill Sohl" billsohl@mindspring.com
>Date: 9/19/02 6:37 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <amdn4h$vu3$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>

>> And if I remember correctly, did the FCC not come out and say that as
>a
>> condition of this specific agreement, a United States Amateur operating in
>> Canada could NOT exercise any privileges or utilize any band/mode
>combinations
>> not allowed under his/her license?
>
>Please provide the rule...otherwise it isn't so.

Please note my item was, more or less, an interrogative. I don't know for
sure.

>> However if you return to the Untied States and controlled substances
>are
>> in your system that are NOT allowed for whatever legal reason, it doesn't
>> matter how "legal" they were WHERE you consumed them.
>
>If you are claiming that once the indiviual sets foot on US soil, that
>an individual ould be convicted simply because a blood test showed
>prior use before entering the US, ...I seriously doubt it.

If you are obligated, for what ever reason to NOT have controlled
substances in your system but they are found there, you can be "busted"...Even
if that "busted" is conditions of employment, military service, etc. It may
not violate any other laws, but consumption is consumption.

>> Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation, having
>had a
>> significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out that
>they
>> were STILL in trouble when they got home.
>
>Military justice is a totally different animal.

Yes, it is. Simple. Black and white.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1305. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 13:17:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920091758.15292.00003836@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53071

>Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/19/02 9:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020919220856.28700.00001002@mb-fz.aol.com>

>Still not a good analogy. Try this one:
>
>In the parts of the USA that I'm familiar with, an LPN is not licensed to
>write
>prescriptions. But in other parts of the world, that same person's LPN
>license
>may be honored as valid, and the LPN may be empowered to write prescriptions.

Hmmm...just an analogy, Jim? Otherwise here's the scoop on that:

No one in the United States may write a prescription under an LPN license.
RN's with postgraduate work and residency requirements may be designated
"family nurse practitioners" and may write Rx's for antibiotics, non-narcotic
analgesics (ie: ketorlac), etc. CRNA (Certified Registered Nurse Anesthesist)
may write for some limited post-operative narcotics, but only when
countersigned by an MD anesthesiologist.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1306. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 13:21:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920092145.15292.00003837@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53072

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 9/19/02 9:46 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2pe2f1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>No different from a US medic wearing a crucifix or St.
> Christopher medal next to his dogtags. It indicates one's
> individual religious committment.

I was making reference to the M-16 being carried by a civilian.

>They would deny that the conflict is basically political and
> cultural? Strange.....

Israeli politics and culture are NOT based on Judean prinicples, Phil?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1307. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 13:24:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920092422.15292.00003838@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53073

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/20/02 6:16 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D8B039C.9AA0F971@earthlink.net>

>> So far, you and your cronies in this newsgroup are NOT shining
>> examples of excellence in US amateur radio. Quit trying to hide
>> behind thousands of others who know what they are doing and
>> how to behave...as civil human beings.
>
>...and you are a shining example of excellence in amateur radio
>because--whoops--you aren't involved in amateur radio, are you?

Nope, Dave...He's a "shining example" of what you can become if you spend your life associating with radio engineers...Didn't you see? Lennie's a "professional". Professional WHAT is yet to be dermined.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1308. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 13:28:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920092841.15292.00003839@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53074

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/19/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020919183949.17318.00000542@mb-ma.aol.com>

>Both Papa Bush and Shrub are oil men. You think they aren't on top of that
>whole situation?

Maybe a Trans-Bering Strait pipeline or causeway...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1309. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 13:44:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920094439.15292.00003842@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53075

>Subject: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?
>From: "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" lloyd_vfp@msn.com
>Date: 9/19/02 6:14 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com>

>Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The big
>electronics manufactures?

Anyone and everyone who has reason to use an electromagnetic device and
expects to do so with reasonable efficiency.

"Type acceptance" is not about "profit". It's about ensuring that the
devices approved meet certain minimum operating standards and do not create
more problems than they solve.

>What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
>involved in? CB, FRS, MURS, Ham?

Let's start out with not having to explain to the judge why you were not
in compliance with federal law. If you think the FCC and the courts don't take
stuff like this seriously, take a gander at recent events in Florida and
California.

>I personally don't see the problem with people using their dual-band HT's
>for FRS or MURS. Alot of people do it. As long as you keep it in the
>power and antenna limits, not even the FCC would care.

A lot of people speed through school zones and cheat on thier taxes. Is
that OK too? And as noted above, YES, the FCC DOES care.

>We have other things to be concerned about.

Yes...Like making sure the finite resources of the electromagnetic spectrum
are not trashed by people like YOU who seem to think it's OK to just plunk down
a carrier wherever YOU think it's "OK"...

>Lloyd Davies - N0VFP

That explains a lot.

>God created only so many perfect heads...
> the rest he covered with hair !

I bet you have that on a bumper sticker on a Plymouth minivan, don't you?
Right next to the one that says "My Drop Out Laid Your Honor Grad In A Chevy".
Classy.

>ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
>Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100

>Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470

Looks like a clearance sale at a CB jamboree.

>http://clik.to/n0vfp

Not while I am eating.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1310. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 14:01:32 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <SVFi9.13171$P77.364236@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53078

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020919213944.15315.00003919@mb-mn.aol.com...
> It is not an unfair barrier to Ham operators, since there is the no code
> Technician class.

Truer words were never spoken.

> It is an unfair barrier to Ham Radio, as it repels the
> average non Ham.

As a "relative" newbie, I don't really know how anyone (Other than the truly handicapped, of course.) can use the "barrier" arguement and keep a straight face. Please correct me if I'm wrong, but aren't we are talking about nailing 20 characters in a row of a possible 43 out of approx. 200 or so sent during the duration of the exam? (AND THE PROSIGNS & PUNCTUATIONS COUNT AS TWO EACH!)

> I have been calling for a new kind of radio amateur, its the idea of an
> "alternate radio organization", for those radio amateurs that like radio
> technology, but aren't into the weird "Ham scene".

This is not such a bad idea, good luck selling it though. There are many who take a dim view of any other "hobby" radio other than the ARS. Just witness the paranoia exhibited when Alan Dixon, N2HOE petitioned the FCC to drop the 155.3 mi. limit on the CB. Some thought this would make the CB a service "paralleling" the ARS. Old fears die hard, but good luck nonetheless.

> Cut out the code requirements and there would be alot more radio amateurs.
They
> would chose if they wanted to operate in CW, and there would be very
little CW
> activity.

I don't think so. But that's just IMHO.

> Wrong, code is a big factor in repelling potential "hams".

It'd be interesting to see how many members of NCI are non-hams (read "potential hams") as opposed tp No-code Techs who simply want HF privies?

> The problem occurs for non appliance operators, when the appliance
operators
> impose code requirements. There are many Ham clubs and there are very few
clubs
> dedicated to radio technology. The technical people go to a Ham Radio
meetings,
> see that Ham Radio is appliance oriented and don't join. The ones that

stay are
> the ones attracted to appliance operation and Morse code to begin with.
This is
> what is making Ham Radio "appliance oriented".

I couldn't make much sense out of this statement, but I'll try to give it the benefit of the doubt. There's nothing stopping No-code Techs from being dedicated to radio technology. Their transmitting privies are for 50MHz and higher, that's all. (And HF is only a 5-wpm Morse code test away.)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1311. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 14:03:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amf9r1uqj0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 53076

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/20/02 6:16 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D8B039C.9AA0F971@earthlink.net>
>
> >> So far, you and your cronies in this newsgroup are NOT shining
> >> examples of excellence in US amateur radio. Quit trying to hide
> >> behind thousands of others who know what they are doing and
> >> how to behave...as civil human beings.
> >
> >...and you are a shining example of excellence in amateur radio
> >because--whoops--you aren't involved in amateur radio, are you?
>
> Nope, Dave...He's a "shining example" of what you can become if you spend
> your life associating with radio engineers...Didn't you see? Lennie's a
> "professional". Professional WHAT is yet to be dermined.
>

There is no evidence at all that he's anything at all more than a
shining example of what was sticking out of that airplane cockpit that
he sent you an email picture of.

1312. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 14:04:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amf9tmuqj1@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 53077

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 9/19/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020919183949.17318.00000542@mb-ma.aol.com>
>
> >Both Papa Bush and Shrub are oil men. You think they aren't on top of that
> >whole situation?
>
> Maybe a Trans-Bering Strait pipeline or causeway...?!?!
>

Works for me! If they could build the one across Alaska....

1313. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 14:28:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920102853.08460.00000261@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 53079

>Subject: Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective
>From: "Bert Craig" wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM
>Date: 9/20/02 9:01 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <SVFi9.13171$P77.364236@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>

>As a "relative" newbie, I don't really know how anyone (Other than the truly
>handicapped, of course.) can use the "barrier" arguement and keep a straight
>face.

Easy, Bert! Cecil will resurface citing his 92 y/o mother as a prime
example of how it IS a "barrier".

>Please correct me if I'm wrong, but aren't we are talking about
>nailing 20 characters in a row of a possible 43 out of approx. 200 or so
>sent during the duration of the exam?

Twenty if you throw in a couple of those 2-pointers, Bert. You are
correct on the "prosigns and punctuation" (and numerals, BTW) characters.
Otherwise twenty five straight letters.

>This is not such a bad idea, good luck selling it though. There are many who
>take a dim view of any other "hobby" radio other than the ARS. Just witness
>the paranoia exhibited when Alan Dixon, N2HOE petitioned the FCC to drop the
>155.3 mi. limit on the CB. Some thought this would make the CB a service
>"paralleling" the ARS. Old fears die hard, but good luck nonetheless.

I don't think "paranoia" is the correct adjective, Bert. Each radio
service has a particular "basis and purpose". Ciotizens Radio Service was NOT
created as a "long-range" radio service...Just the opposite, it was created as
a low power, short range personal communications service.

If you are going to say "short range", you have to draw a line
"somewhere"! FRS'ers can call "1/2 mile" short range, and the SETI guys might
set "short range" in light years! It's arbitrary, but if you are going to do
it, then do it.

>> Wrong, code is a big factor in repelling potential "hams".
>
>It'd be interesting to see how many members of NCI are non-hams (read
>"potential hams") as opposed tp No-code Techs who simply want HF privies?

We heard soooooooooo much about how the ranks of the Amateur Radio service
were going to be filled in short-order after the No Code Technician was
authorized. Yes, there was an initial influx, but it just as quickly subsided.

Some aggitators in this NG would point out that 1/3rd of all Amateurs are
presently Technicians. However that ALSO means the 2/3'rds are "coded" (by

licensure) Hams. That number is probably a lot higher, though, since those who hold a post-Restructuring Technician and ALSO hold an CSCE for Element 1 may exercise the privileges of a "Technician Plus" without further Commission administrative action.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1314. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 17:33:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2qq4i5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53081

On 20 Sep 2002 13:21:45 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> I was making reference to the M-16 being carried by a civilian.

Israel provides that civilians who work in dangerous situations
may be armed, with military weapons if necessary. My nephew (who is
both a US and Israeli ham) carried an Uzi when he was in uniform
even though he was a military lawyer, not a combat soldier. His
Gulf-War-era girlfriend was a medic - I wan never sure whether she
was military or civilian. There are several military specialties
which work "plainclothes".

>> They would deny that the conflict is basically political and
>> cultural? Strange.....
>
> Israeli politics and culture are NOT based on Judean prinicples, Phil?

Politics - absolutely no connection except where the secular
political parties have to deal with religious-oriented political
parties whose main goals are establishing a fundamentalist "state
religion" and decanting as much public funds for their own causes as
possivle. The religious parties claim less than 20% of the
electorate but because of the ridiculous multi-party proportional
representation system used in the Knesset (Parliament) consitute
the swing votes in any coalition.

Culture - Jewish culture is based on religious principles, but this
and religious practices are two separate but often similar things.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1315. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 18:19:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <amforj$4df$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It is being used. But to a limited degree. The UPS fiasco screwed it up totally. Hams are simply not going to spend the bux to get on it with the hammer always ready to fall.

As for exchanging for more elsewhere. Thats a great idea. But doubtfull. The V/Uhf segments are way to valuable a commodity to give us hams any more.

Dan/W4NTI

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message news:amfas521f34@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Based on your statement there, I wonder if a decent compromise would be to
> EXCHANGE the few Mhz we have on 220 for wider bands elsewhere. Dunno if
> this is a viable solution or not, but if the band is not being utilized by
> hams for ANY reason or excuse, then a trade off may be a better solution.
>
> Just a rambling thought...
>
> Ryan KC8PMX
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:amdrni$h5r$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > The major problem with the 220 band has been the near constant attack of
> it
> > by non amateur groups. Ever since I have been licensed, and that is 41
> > years, it has been the same thing over and over again.
> >
> > This, IMHO, is why 220 never caught on with the US hams. Why spend the
> bux
> > and have it taken away? Not to mention that 220 is NOT a worlwide
> > allocation so its useless even for satellites.
> >
> > Just my opinion.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Clint" <rattlehead@computron.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D8A601E.8000207@computron.net...
> > > Russell Hltn wrote:
> > >
> > > >Really? You need to get out more. I had no problem buying a brand
> > > >spanking new Kenwood TH-F6A tri-band HT. 144, 220, 440. Sure beats
> > > >having a tri-band with 6m (e.g. VX-5) I wasn't the only one buying

> > > >one at Dayton.
> > > >
> > > >My local RACES group is working to add a packet 220 system just so we
> > > >don't jam our 2m and 440 voice when we operate.
> > > >
> > > >"Lou in Memphis" <IceColdAir@MemphisMoron.com> wrote in message
> > > >news:alvnfb$qv3$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > >
> > > >>it is difficult to find new or used gear for 220, let
> > > >>alone a dual-bander which has 220 on it, or even a tri-bander.
> > > >>When's the last time you saw a 220 HT?
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > My Yaesu 736R has the 220 module in it..... where I live now, there
> > > isn't much 220 activity but when I lived in austin there was lots of
it;
> > > quite a few 220 repeaters if I remember correctly. It's sad, but 220
> > > could have been an excellent alternate for 2m in large cities where 2m
> > > got crowded quickly and all the 2m repeater pairs taken up; simular
> > > performance but with smaller antennas.
> > >
> > > Clint
> > > KB5ZHT
> > >
> > > --
> > > The more things change,
> > > The more they stay the same...
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1316. Hurricane Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 20:02:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <amfusj$a1v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53083

I'm sitting here listening to N8ORT telling everyone that unless your a member you can't check in and can't even ask questions. Isn't that a bit discriminatory considering its on a ham freq and all?

Sometime back I was interesting in offering my help as a NCS. Sent in the info they wanted. Then got a 'form letter' reply saying they didn't want anyones help. What kind of crap is this anyhow?

Dan/W4NTI

1317. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 20:55:36 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <amg208$1908$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 53084

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:amb8dkmkc0@208.207.71.149...
>>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > If someone is in a foriegn country, licensed or not, the FCC has no
>> > jurisdiction over what that person does there.
>>
>> Maybe they do if the person attempts to operate a ham radio station
>> without obtaining a locally valid license, on the strength of their part
>> 97 license, in circumstances where there is no local government.
>
>In Phil K's response, he relies on a proactive report to the FCC
>by a foriegn administration to trigger FCC jurisdiction/action.
>If there's no local gov't, that sure isn't going to happen.

But if you are in a place where there is no foreign administration, and
you are a US license holder only, then your operation is certainly being
conducted under FCC and Part 97 authority. This is stated more-or-less
explicitly in 97.301:

The following transmitting frequency bands are available to an
amateur station located within 50 km of the Earth's surface, within
the specified ITU Region, and outside any area where the amateur
service is regulated by any authority other than the FCC.

That is you operate under FCC authority, and hence under Part 97 rules,
not only in places regulated by the FCC but also anywhere on the planet
where there is no other regulatory authority. In places where there is
some other regulatory authority you need explict authorization from them
and you operate under their rules for you, but in places with no other
regulatory authority Part 97 applies.

>> If the
>> US license is in use, FCC does have jurisdiction.
>
>Operating outside the US doesn't rely on the US license.

It does if you are operating in a place outside the US with no other
regulatory authority to authorize you, and are hence relying on your US
license for permission to operate. Part 97 says so.

>When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license

That's because in Canada amateur radio is regulated by an authority other
than the FCC. You don't automatically get to operate as a Canadian
licensee, however, you only get to operate where they'll allow you to.
They allow you those privileges based on your holding a US license out
of the goodness of their hearts (and because constraining you to operate
under Part 97 regulations would require them to know what Part 97
regulations were, which is more work than just making you observe
the local regulations).

>> If the party has no
>> US license, and just decides to assign himself a callsign and go on the
>> air, that might be another matter. But if one is an American citizen he
>> doesn't get a pass just because he has left the boundaries of the USA.
>
>It is a matter of jurisdiction, not one of getting a pass.
>If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
>in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
>jurisdiction for that action.
>
>> They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as on.
>
>The US does not charge people for foriegn drug actions unless
>or until the activity engages or enters the US jurisdiction.

Drug laws are not amateur radio regulations. Someone has to authorize
you to operate amateur radio where ever you are, so if you are a US
license holder operating in a place where there is no other authority
your operatiion is being conducted under Part 97.

So if you are in a place with no regulatory authority the FCC allows
you to operate and you operate under Part 97 regulations. As such the
only thing you aren't allowed to do is to ID yourself as, say, T5/K2UNK
or K2UNK/T5 since 97.119(c) prohibits using country prefixes as
self-assigned indicators.

Dennis Ferguson

1318. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 21:31:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920173105.17318.00000575@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 53085

In article <amf9r1uqj0@208.207.71.146>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >Date: 9/20/02 6:16 AM Central Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <3D8B039C.9AA0F971@earthlink.net>
>>
>> >> So far, you and your cronies in this newsgroup are NOT shining
>> >> examples of excellence in US amateur radio. Quit trying to hide
>> >> behind thousands of others who know what they are doing and
>> >> how to behave...as civil human beings.
>> >
>> >...and you are a shining example of excellence in amateur radio
>> >because--whoops--you aren't involved in amateur radio, are you?
>>
>> Nope, Dave...He's a "shining example" of what you can become if you
>> spend your life associating with radio engineers...Didn't you see?

:-)

Hmmm...a Real radio engineer must have laughed at the
Dickiebird in the past? :-)

>> Lennie's a
>> "professional". Professional WHAT is yet to be dermined.
>
> There is no evidence at all that he's anything at all more than a
>shining example of what was sticking out of that airplane cockpit that
>he sent you an email picture of.

Nothing was "sticking out of that airplane cockpit" in anything I sent.

Does Dickie have anything to offer on Homeland Security enhanced
through a new US amateur band at 60 meters?

So far, there's been nothing but one vague mumbling with nothing
definitely said...and a LOT of very amateur grousing on government
policies and procedures...that Dickie doesn't have anything to do
with or experience in dealing on a national level... :-)

1319. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 21:31:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920173107.17318.00000576@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 53086

In article <3D8B039C.9AA0F971@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <amckq8$805$0@208.207.71.163>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> > Putzie Poo does have a memory albeit a faulty one, as we already knew.
>> >Several years ago I happened to mention here that when working I had
>> >carried a 12 volt HF rig in a suitcase when I traveled, along with a CW
>> >key so that I could also work CW if needed, for emergency use (As it
>> >happened, my daily travel took me right through the New Madrid area very
>> >often). But it wasn't a J38, it was a paddle.
>>
>> Oh! Pardon me! You were up the New Madrid creek with a paddle?
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> > Or maybe Putzie Poo just prints out all our posts so he can pore over
>> >them in detail to find little gems like that to misquote.
>>
>> Why? Does Dickie want to ressurect his "Shannon's Law"
>> discussions all over again? That would be entertaining for
>> all to see. :-)
>>
>> > Wouldn't ya love to be that hard up for something to do? If he actually
>> >had any interest in ham radio he'd be following it instead of slamming
>> >it here on rrap all the time. Pitiful case, that Putz.
>>
>> Poor baby, you still can't see that the "slamming" done is directed
>> at only a few bad examples, yourself included.
>
>You mean the folks who happen to raise your ire by disagreeing with your
>views, huh?

Awwww. Bent out of shape are you? :-)

>> So far, you and your cronies in this newsgroup are NOT shining
>> examples of excellence in US amateur radio. Quit trying to hide
>> behind thousands of others who know what they are doing and
>> how to behave...as civil human beings.
>
>...and you are a shining example of excellence in amateur radio
>because--whoops--you aren't involved in amateur radio, are you?

Quite true...I'm not working DX with CW on HF nor do I care to
have a lifestyle of fantasy imagination using amateur radio to
"prove" anything to anyone else.

I'm just advocating the elimination of the morse code test for
any US radio license.

>Oh well, if you ever become a ham, you could begin to become an example
>of excellence in amateur radio. In the mean time, you can always ask
>someone else about it.

"Excellence in amateur radio" is a thorough memorization of all
past issues of QST? :-)

"Excellence in amateur radio" is slavishly following the standards
and practices of 70 years ago?

"Excellence in amateur radio" is giving tithe and worship to the
Newington Temple and obeying everything they proclaim?

"Excellence in amateur radio" is obtaining a 1x2 call to give the
appearance of a "knowledgeable guru" in radio? :-)

"Excellence in amateur radio" is behaving like an arrogant SOB
to anyone that doesn't like personal viewpoints?

>> Pick up the suitcase and be ready to use your ham radio skills to
>> secure the nation from terrorist attack. I suggest you use that
>> suitcase as a bludgeon against suspected terrorists.
>
>That sounds like another case of sour grapes from one who is not a
>participant.

Oh? Why? Is "Excellence in amateur radio" all about FORCE used
against someone who has different viewpoints? Must be so...

>> Unless, of course, you have some valid suggestions on what to do
>> about the proposed US ham band at 60 meters as some sort of
>> "anti-terrorist" service to the nation.
>
>What does the proposed ham band have to do with you?

Why must anyone answer your question? Oh, yes, you've achieved
"Excellence in amateur radio" therefore you are a GOD and may
act any which arrogant way you want. Understood. :-)

Now, how does this proposed US ham band at 60 meters fit in with
all the war hysteria and some wanting to run out and kill a raghead
for Jesus? How does it fit in with Homeland Security? How does it
have to do with "pioneering the airwaves" that were already pioneered
over a half century ago?

The fact that the "60 meter band" RM came into being so LATE in
the game, and the manner in which ARRL wanted to have
"experimentation in propagation" done indicates a rather extreme

low level in technical competence in radio for all concerned. For all the world to see, it was little more than play-acting the pioneering that had gone on in HF before the requestors and participants were born (let alone got their first ham license).

So...da Judge wants "Excellence in amateur radio" by play-acting "pioneer" in radio? Sorry, I'm not in show business. Good luck in yours, though. "Break a leg" as they say before going on stage...

:-)

1320. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 21:31:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020920173108.17318.00000577@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 53087

In article <20020919190844.11404.00000973@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020919154514.12229.00004882@mb-mw.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
>>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>>Date: 19 Sep 2002 19:45:14 GMT
>>
>>>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>>Date: 9/19/02 8:52 AM Central Daylight Time
>>>Message-id: <amckq8$805$0@208.207.71.163
>>
>>>Wouldn't ya love to be that hard up for something to do?
>
>No.
>
>>>If he actually
>>>had any interest in ham radio he'd be following it instead of slamming
>>>it here on rrap all the time.
>
>That's true.

Usual PCTA trolling technique...get someone's attention by
insulting them. :-)

So, a handful of PCTA regulars in this venue constitute ALL
of amateur radio? I don't think so.

Is US amateur radio called the Ancient Radiotelegraphy
Service (ARS)? I don't think so, but the PCTA regulars in
here want it so.

>Now follow that thought to its logical consequence: He has no, repeat no,
>constructive interest in ham radio. None, zilch, nix, nada, zero. His claims
>of being interested in the rules or policies are just so much fertilizer.

Incorrect as usual, but why quibble? :-)

>> Thankfully I have my other activities to keep me busy in that regard,
>>Dick!
>>
>> But I agree with you. Can you imagine what good someone with
>

>his
>
>>alleged skills, knowledge and experience could do IF he did something
>>CONSTRUCTIVE with them?

Oh, my, someone NOT in ham radio must DO SOMETHING FOR
ham radio to be "accepted!" :-)

Tsk, tsk, who was it that wanted a "complete course in
microwave techniques" in here? For FREE. :-)

>"If is for children, spinning daydreams" (thanks, Cecil)
>
>Has anyone seen any real evidence of these "alleged skills, knowledge and
>experience"? Any books or articles in the amateur radio press in the past 10,
>15, 20 years? Technical postings of practical interest, websites, even
>original or semi-original ideas? Nope.

Ah, yes, the "amateur radio press." :-)

That's apparently a "blessing upon something" by ARRL? :-)

Is the "Electric Radio" 'zine part of this "amateur radio press?"

>Now follow that fact to its logical consequence: He has made no, repeat no,
>constructive contribution to ham radio in many years, if ever. None, zilch,
>nix, nada, zero.

Hmmm...apparently that includes the FCC since so many hams
keep saying the FCC is destructing US amateur radio! :-)

Oh, and for a paltry $150 anyone can buy the entire 22-year
issuance of HAM RADIO magazine on three CDs (shipping
extra) from CQ Communications or ARRL...or amazon.com
(shipping free). You can see the article by-lines and the
masthead listings in there... :-)

Guess who will augur in with the "defunct" comments? :-)

>There's a bazillion ham-radio-related sources of info out there. No reason to
>try to get blood from a turnip.
>>
>> But alas, it won't happen. He is lost.
>
>Then why waste time replying to his diatribes?

He likes to hit targets he thinks are "defenseless." :-)

>>Maybe, in retrospect, his
>>misdeeds here DO have some value ?!?!...
>
>No. None whatsoever. Waste of bandwidth.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>>Like what NOT to do with your life...???
>
>Better to actually DO something.

Poor baby. Someone not following the standards and practices
of 70 years ago in AMATEUR radio is "not doing anything." :-)

Beep, beep.

1321. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 21:33:21 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D8B9421.6ED31D6A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53088

>
> The problem occurs for non appliance operators, when the appliance operators
> impose code requirements. There are many Ham clubs and there are very few clubs
> dedicated to radio technology. The technical people go to a Ham Radio meetings,
> see that Ham Radio is appliance oriented and don't join. The ones that stay are
> the ones attracted to appliance operation and Morse code to begin with. This is
> what is making Ham Radio "appliance oriented".

Most ham clubs I've known are usually associated with 2m repeaters. And
no coders can use them....

1322. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 21:35:36 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <amg4b8$1e5d$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 53089

Brother Lloyd/N0VFP <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote:
>Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The big
>electronics manufactures?

The users of the radio services the equipment is type-accepted for
do. They profit since they are allowed to buy and operate equipment
for the service without the requirement to demonstrate they are technically
competent to ensure the equipment being operated conforms to the requirements
and constraints for that service. The manufacturer of the equipment takes
responsibility for that instead.

>What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
>involved in? CB, FRS, MURS, Ham?

You get the benefit of having a radio which technically conforms to the
requirements for each service. Except for amateur radio you can use
any equipment you want since you where instead required to prove you
were technically competent and can hence assume responsibility for
ensuring proper operation yourself.

>I personally don't see the problem with people using their dual-band HT's
>for FRS or MURS. Alot of people do it. As long as you keep it in the
>power and antenna limits, not even the FCC would care.

I personally don't have a problem with that either but the rules are
written for the lowest common denominator, that is a user who has
no idea what power and antenna limits are. For their sake we all
get to play by the same rules.

Dennis Ferguson

1323. Markie Beckie is a limpwristed keyclown ididot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 22:30:46 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0209201430.1b7b2170@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

The only danger you pose is to your pekker from yankin it too hard
while watching gay porn videos. What a laugh to even consider you as
dangerous. Humorous, yes indeed, tho...

Well, 1st of all...I never said any such thing about dweebqueen. His
own words convict him. 2nd, Chapwoman admitted his crack use. 3rd, I
really don't care what you say. Prove it was me though. If you don't
you will care what you SAID.

Post and accuse all you want. Just have some proof. So far I see none.
Just like when you said N8 sells illegal equipment. It is expected
though. You are a keyqueen, and keyqueens lie as a function of their
lives.

Tell us about you and twithed and FUGGLY kid...twithed is being quiet
about it.

Get busy, Markie Beckie...your Master commands.

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<ytli9.1889$AM6.66562251@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Keyclown Busters" <anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b12afcb0.0209190442.1f87c52e@posting.google.com...
> > Markie Beckie,
> > You better make damn sure you can prove what you say before you make
> > some accusations that might just cost ya some trouble. Think long and
> > hard, idiot boy.
>
> Oh, it's OK for you (Doug Adair N8WWM) to accuse Tim of being a drug
> dealer or Randy of being a drug addict,
> but when I show just a small example of what "you"
> were doing for months on this newsgroup, that's not ok.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> From: "Fuck you train the criminal fagboy" <trainSUX@doggieDICK.com>
> Sender: "Fuck you train the criminal fagboy" <trainSUX@doggieDICK.com>
> Reply-To: "Fuck you train the criminal fagboy" <trainSUX@doggieDICK.com>
> Subject: ILLEGAL 2510
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> X-User-Info: 207.155.223.138 207.155.223.138
> References: <3ad77765$1@news2.mibx.net>
> <20010414151712.00751.00000296@ng-fc1.aol.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39

> Message-ID: <3ad8ada3$1@news2.mibx.net>
> Date: 14 Apr 2001 21:05:55 GMT
> X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 21:05:55 GMT, 206.53.132.39
> Lines: 9
> Path:
> nntp1-sf.pbi.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!l
> otsanews.com!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mi
> bx.net
> Xref: cyclone-sf.pbi.net rec.radio.cb:206860
>
> From: "Pictures of Landcarp the gayboy " <FAGLANDSHARK@QUEERBAIT.cum>
> Sender: "Pictures of Landcarp the gayboy " <FAGLANDSHARK@QUEERBAIT.cum>
> Reply-To: "Pictures of Landcarp the gayboy " <FAGLANDSHARK@QUEERBAIT.cum>
> Subject: ISNT THE FAGGOT CUTE!!!
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> X-User-Info: 207.155.223.83 207.155.223.83
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
> boundary="-=_pro55a3af5723a"
> NNTP-Posting-Host: pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
> Message-ID: <3af5723a$1@news2.mibx.net>
> Date: 6 May 2001 16:48:10 GMT
> X-Trace: 6 May 2001 16:48:10 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
> Lines: 455
> Path:
> nntp1-sf.pbi.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!l
> otsanews.com!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mi
> bx.net
> Xref: cyclone-sf.pbi.net rec.radio.cb:210612
>
> From: "The truth about fag ass Landcarp" <fag@landcarp.cum>
> Sender: "The truth about fag ass Landcarp" <fag@landcarp.cum>
> Reply-To: "The truth about fag ass Landcarp" <fag@landcarp.cum>
> Subject: Landshark the faggot rectumringer
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> X-User-Info: 207.155.223.66 207.155.223.66
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
> Message-ID: <3b030712$1@news2.mibx.net>
> Date: 17 May 2001 00:02:42 GMT
> X-Trace: 17 May 2001 00:02:42 GMT, 206.53.132.39
> Lines: 6
> Path:
> nntp1-sf.pbi.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.10.34.151!newsfeed.sjc.globix.net!ne
> wsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.stealth.net!newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primene
> t.com!news2.mibx.net
> Xref: cyclone-sf.pbi.net rec.radio.cb:212150
>
>
> LMAO!!!
>

>
> --
> Try sending your complaints to these concerning
> Keyclownbusters (anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com IE:
> Doug Adair N8WWM):
>
> help@uu.net
>
> abuse-mail@wcom.com
>
> netadmin@ao.wcom.net
>
> groups-abuse@google.com
>
> abuse@yahoo.com
>
> Consumer Affairs
> WorldCom
> 1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
> Arlington, VA 22202
> 800-695-4405

1324. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-20 22:50:29 UTC
From: Shephed <roll1up@smkey.com>
Message-ID: <VCNi9.139697$N9.23556341@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

How in the hell did you get your license?

"Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com...
> Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The
big
> electronics manufactures?
>
> What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
> involved in? CB, FRS, MURS, Ham?
>
> I personally don't see the problem with people using their dual-band HT's
> for FRS or MURS. Alot of people do it. As long as you keep it in the
> power and antenna limits, not even the FCC would care.
>
> We have other things to be concerned about.
>
> --
> Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
> God created only so many perfect heads...
> the rest he covered with hair !
> ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
> Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
> Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
> http://clik.to/n0vfp
>
>
>

1325. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 00:04:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8BB7A1.C64A9617@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53092

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D8B039C.9AA0F971@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <amckq8$805$0@208.207.71.163>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> > Putzie Poo does have a memory albeit a faulty one, as we already knew.
> >> >Several years ago I happened to mention here that when working I had
> >> >carried a 12 volt HF rig in a suitcase when I traveled, along with a CW
> >> >key so that I could also work CW if needed, for emergency use (As it
> >> >happened, my daily travel took me right through the New Madrid area very
> >> >often). But it wasn't a J38, it was a paddle.
> >>
> >> Oh! Pardon me! You were up the New Madrid creek with a paddle?
> >>
> >> :-)
> >>
> >> > Or maybe Putzie Poo just prints out all our posts so he can pore over
> >> >them in detail to find little gems like that to misquote.
> >>
> >> Why? Does Dickie want to ressurect his "Shannon's Law"
> >> discussions all over again? That would be entertaining for
> >> all to see. :-)
> >>
> >> > Wouldn't ya love to be that hard up for something to do? If he actually
> >> >had any interest in ham radio he'd be following it instead of slamming
> >> >it here on rrap all the time. Pitiful case, that Putz.
> >>
> >> Poor baby, you still can't see that the "slamming" done is directed
> >> at only a few bad examples, yourself included.
> >
> >You mean the folks who happen to raise your ire by disagreeing with your
> >views, huh?
>
> Awwww. Bent out of shape are you? :-)

Why, no. I asked a perfectly good question.

> >> So far, you and your cronies in this newsgroup are NOT shining
> >> examples of excellence in US amateur radio. Quit trying to hide
> >> behind thousands of others who know what they are doing and

> >> how to behave...as civil human beings.
> >
> >...and you are a shining example of excellence in amateur radio
> >because--whoops--you aren't involved in amateur radio, are you?
>
> Quite true...I'm not working DX with CW on HF nor do I care to
> have a lifestyle of fantasy imagination using amateur radio to
> "prove" anything to anyone else.

Ahh! Classic "Fox and the Grapes" stuff, Len. You're not working DX or anything else on HF using any mode 'cuz you can't. Thus your "lifestyle of fantasy imagination" comment.

> I'm just advocating the elimination of the morse code test for
> any US radio license.

No, you're not just doing that. In fact, you're doing very little of that.
I'm *just* pointing that out.

> >Oh well, if you ever become a ham, you could begin to become an example
> >of excellence in amateur radio. In the mean time, you can always ask
> >someone else about it.
>
> "Excellence in amateur radio" is a thorough memorization of all
> past issues of QST? :-)

Is it? I don't think so.

> "Excellence in amateur radio" is slavishly following the standards
> and practices of 70 years ago?

Is it? I don't think so.

> "Excellence in amateur radio" is giving tithe and worship to the
> Newington Temple and obeying everything they proclaim?

Is it? I don't think so.

> "Excellence in amateur radio" is obtaining a 1x2 call to give the
> appearance of a "knowledgeable guru" in radio? :-)

Is it? I don't think so.

> "Excellence in amateur radio" is behaving like an arrogant SOB
> to anyone that doesn't like personal viewpoints?

Is it? I don't think so. After all, you've done just that and you aren't a ham at all.

>
> >> Pick up the suitcase and be ready to use your ham radio skills to
> >> secure the nation from terrorist attack. I suggest you use that
> >> suitcase as a bludgeon against suspected terrorists.
> >

> >That sounds like another case of sour grapes from one who is not a
> >participant.
>
> Oh? Why? Is "Excellence in amateur radio" all about FORCE used
> against someone who has different viewpoints? Must be so...

Your comment had zip to do with "Excellence in amateur radio". What
"FORCE" has been used other than the force it took to press the keys to
make words and the force to hit the "send" button?

> >> Unless, of course, you have some valid suggestions on what to do
> >> about the proposed US ham band at 60 meters as some sort of
> >> "anti-terrorist" service to the nation.
> >
> >What does the proposed ham band have to do with you?
>
> Why must anyone answer your question? Oh, yes, you've achieved
> "Excellence in amateur radio" therefore you are a GOD and may
> act any which arrogant way you want. Understood. :-)

Oh, excuse me. I just assumed that because you expect others to answer
your questions that the matter was somehow reciprocal. As to my godlike
status: it just seems that way to you because I took action and became a
ham and you did not. The way has been open to you for decades. You
just haven't done anything at all about it. I can see why I seem
godlike to you.

> Now, how does this proposed US ham band at 60 meters fit in with
> all the war hysteria and some wanting to run out and kill a raghead
> for Jesus? How does it fit in with Homeland Security? How does it
> have to do with "pioneering the airwaves" that were already pioneered
> over a half century ago?

It really doesn't any more than your reaches on aircraft types or
professional radio. None of that matter much since if we do obtain a 5
MHz band (all duly experimented with by "professionals"), you won't be
using it any time soon.

> The fact that the "60 meter band" RM came into being so LATE in
> the game, and the manner in which ARRL wanted to have
> "experimentation in propagation" done indicates a rather extreme
> low level in technical competence in radio for all concerned. For
> all the world to see, it was little more than play-acting the pioneering
> that had gone on in HF before the requestors and participants were
> born (let alone got their first ham license).

What has any of this to do with you?

> So...da Judge wants "Excellence in amateur radio" by play-acting
> "pioneer" in radio? Sorry, I'm not in show business. Good luck in
> yours, though. "Break a leg" as they say before going on stage...
>
> :-)

No, I'll just be on any 5 MHz band the very first day it is opened for amateur radio use. What will you be doing?

Dave K8MN

1326. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 00:13:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8BB9CC.C1E1C8E4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53093

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020919190844.11404.00000973@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <20020919154514.12229.00004882@mb-mw.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> >Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >
> >>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >>Date: 19 Sep 2002 19:45:14 GMT
> >>
> >>>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >>>Date: 9/19/02 8:52 AM Central Daylight Time
> >>>Message-id: <amckq8$805$0@208.207.71.163
> >>
> >>>Wouldn't ya love to be that hard up for something to do?
> >
> >No.
> >
> >>>If he actually
> >>>had any interest in ham radio he'd be following it instead of slamming
> >>>it here on rrap all the time.
> >
> >That's true.
>
> Usual PCTA trolling technique...get someone's attention by
> insulting them. :-)
>
> So, a handful of PCTA regulars in this venue constitute ALL
> of amateur radio? I don't think so.

The rest of amateur radio doesn't know anything about you. Give 'em
time to catch up.

> Is US amateur radio called the Ancient Radiotelegraphy
> Service (ARS)? I don't think so, but the PCTA regulars in
> here want it so.

Wipe the drool off your chin and get real, Len.

> >Now follow that thought to its logical consequence: He has no, repeat no,
> >constructive interest in ham radio. None, zilch, nix, nada, zero. His claims
> >of being interested in the rules or policies are just so much fertilizer.

>
> Incorrect as usual, but why quibble? :-)

There's been no evidence to the contrary. :-{

> >> Thankfully I have my other activities to keep me busy in that regard,
> >>Dick!
> >>
> >> But I agree with you. Can you imagine what good someone with
> >
> >his
> >
> >>alleged skills, knowledge and experience could do IF he did something
> >>CONSTRUCTIVE with them?
>
> Oh, my, someone NOT in ham radio must DO SOMETHING FOR
> ham radio to be "accepted!" :-)

Not really. Those who are perceived as doing something negative for
amateur radio aren't thought of too kindly. You don't need to worry
about acceptance in amateur radio in this lifetime.

> Tsk, tsk, who was it that wanted a "complete course in
> microwave techniques" in here? For FREE. :-)
>
> >"If is for children, spinning daydreams" (thanks, Cecil)
> >
> >Has anyone seen any real evidence of these "alleged skills, knowledge and
> >experience"? Any books or articles in the amateur radio press in the past 10,
> >15, 20 years? Technical postings of practical interest, websites, even
> >original or semi-original ideas? Nope.
>
> Ah, yes, the "amateur radio press." :-)
>
> That's apparently a "blessing upon something" by ARRL? :-)

Did the League take over CQ?

> Is the "Electric Radio" 'zine part of this "amateur radio press?"

Sure it is. That bother you?

Dave K8MN

1327. Re: PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 00:44:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020920204455.02941.00001369@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53094

In article <f45722ac.0209181420.72232721@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>
>Larry, you don't even know what the code requirements will be in 2027
>when I start to work on it again. It might even be outlawed by them,
>and code enthusiasts will have to huddle in dark corners to practice
>it.
>
>73, Brian

Brian:

"Outlawed?" As in made illegal? You predict that CW could be made
illegal in 2027?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1328. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 03:33:12 UTC
From: "M. Doushiers" <MDoushiers@texastoilstorage.com>
Message-ID: <amgp94$98l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I'm in Dallas, Texas. Everybody I know stopped worrying about
type acceptance a long time ago. It's just another scam to create
justification for bureaucratic jobs. People I know in business use
the same radio for their business comms, FRS, ham comms, etc.
Screw type acceptance. I wouldn't even give it a second thought.

M. Doushier

"Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com...
> Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The
big
> electronics manufactures?
>
> What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
> involved in? CB, FRS, MURS, Ham?
>
> I personally don't see the problem with people using their dual-band HT's
> for FRS or MURS. Alot of people do it. As long as you keep it in the
> power and antenna limits, not even the FCC would care.
>
> We have other things to be concerned about.
>
> --
> Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
> God created only so many perfect heads...
> the rest he covered with hair !
> ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
> Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
> Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
> http://clik.to/n0vfp
>
>
>

1329. Re: Hurricane Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 03:46:57 UTC
From: Alabama Jack <AlabamaJack@jacksstink3860.net>
Message-ID: <amgq2vare0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53096

Kind of reminds you of the arrogant Sanhedrin in r.r.a.p.
Just ask 'em a question, they ALWAYS have the correct
answer! They'll give you an answer even if you don't ask
them a question! Note how the Sanhedrin in this NG seem
to all be from the left coast. That says it all.

Ala. Jack

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:amfusj$a1v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> I'm sitting here listening to N8ORT telling everyone that unless your a
> member you can't check in and can't even ask questions. Isn't that a bit
> discriminatory considering its on a ham freq and all?
>
> Sometime back I was interesting in offering my help as a NCS. Sent in the
> info they wanted. Then got a 'form letter' reply saying they didn't want
> anyones help. What kind of crap is this anyhow?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

1330. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 04:08:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020921000850.15779.00000940@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53097

In article <20020920091758.15292.00003836@mb-mn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 20 Sep 2002 13:17:58 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 9/19/02 9:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020919220856.28700.00001002@mb-fz.aol.com>
>
>>Still not a good analogy. Try this one:
>>
>>In the parts of the USA that I'm familiar with, an LPN is not licensed to
>>write prescriptions.

Correct that to read:

LPNs are not licensed to write prescriptions in the USA.

>>But in other parts of the world, that same person's LPN
>>license
>>may be honored as valid, and the LPN may be empowered to write
>>prescriptions.
>
> Hmmm...just an analogy, Jim?

Yep. Writing prescriptions is an activity that requirtes a govt. license in most countries.

>Otherwise here's the scoop on that:
>
> No one in the United States may write a prescription under an LPN
>license.
> RN's with postgraduate work and residency requirements may be designated
>"family nurse practitioners" and may write Rx's for antibiotics, non-narcotic
>analgesics (ie: ketorlac), etc. CRNA (Certified Registered Nurse
>Anesthesist)
>may write for some limited post-operative narcotics, but only when
>countersigned by an MD anesthesiologist.

Thanks for the correction.

My point is simply that a USA LPN license may be recognized by a foreign govt. as qualification to do things not allowed to that licensee in the USA. Same as ham licenses.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1331. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 04:10:52 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <amgrfo$d8c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"M. Doushiers" <MDoushiers@texastoilstorage.com> wrote in message
news:amgp94$98l$0@pita.alt.net...
> I'm in Dallas, Texas. Everybody I know stopped worrying about
> type acceptance a long time ago. It's just another scam to create
> justification for bureaucratic jobs. People I know in business use
> the same radio for their business comms, FRS, ham comms, etc.
> Screw type acceptance. I wouldn't even give it a second thought.

Provided one uses the allocated power, operates in a dignified manner and does not abuse the frequencies or fellow users, i.e. does not call attention to onesself, who is to know anyway?
I can point to several Volunteer Firemen in the area that use modified ham rigs for their fire freqs. because of the huge difference of cost between a Motorola and a 2-meter rig. These firemen, by and large, purchase their own rigs and operate on a limited budget. Is it legal? No. But again, who is going to be snooping into their personal vehicles to check?
Lets all be honest about this and admit that we Hams do the same thing. If we didn't, why are there so many web sites, including QRZ, that publish "mods"? I bet that there are a whole lot of Hams who have rigs that transmit out of band...such as the itinerant frequencies or the newly available MURS freqs. How many Hams have not at one time or another been tempted to tune to 154.570 and use the PL tone to "mess" with a MacDonald's kiosk or tune to 151.625 and mess with the local Target store?
Our area Baptist church uses 151.625 with no PL. God spare me the temptation.....

1332. Re: Hurricane Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 04:28:08 UTC
From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <27e38977.0209202028.3c21cc0e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53099

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:<amfusj$a1v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I'm sitting here listening to N8ORT telling everyone that unless your a
> member you can't check in and can't even ask questions. Isn't that a bit
> discriminatory considering its on a ham freq and all?

Call Mr. Hollingsworth. Send him tapes of the guy saying this on the air.

>
> Sometime back I was interesting in offering my help as a NCS. Sent in the
> info they wanted. Then got a 'form letter' reply saying they didn't want
> anyones help. What kind of crap is this anyhow?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Sorry to hear that.

1333. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 04:50:51 UTC
From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <27e38977.0209202050.6c9d5cd4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53100

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020920094439.15292.00003842@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?
> >From: "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" lloyd_vfp@msn.com
> >Date: 9/19/02 6:14 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com>
>
> >Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The big
> >electronics manufactures?
>
> Anyone and everyone who has reason to use an electromagnetic device and
> expects to do so with reasonable efficiency.
>
> "Type acceptance" is not about "profit". It's about ensuring that the
> devices approved meet certain minimum operating standards and do not create
> more problems than they solve.
>
> >What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
> >involved in? CB, FRS, MURS, Ham?
>
> Let's start out with not having to explain to the judge why you were not
> in compliance with federal law. If you think the FCC and the courts don't take
> stuff like this seriously, take a gander at recent events in Florida and
> California.

I dont give a crap what you think. You are a self-rightous piece of
dirt. Do you know what is going to destroy our hobby? Freebanders?
QRM'ers on 75m? Guess again. It's people like you, who want to keep
being a stuffed shirt. I've read some your stupid posts in the MURS
Yahoo group. You sure have a attitude dont you?

You and Larry Roll, think you can flaunt your self-rightous ARRL
attitude in this newsgroup, and get away with it? Think Again.

I'm the new kind of ham. No more CW. I dont mind having some rules
around. But enough to let people have fun.

>
> >ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
> >Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
> >Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
>
> Looks like a clearance sale at a CB jamboree.

And your gear is? Bet your not any better.

>
> >http://clik.to/n0vfp
>
> Not while I am eating.

Oh and I forgot. The JEWS made up the type-acceptance stuff! It's a
JEWISH plot!

--
Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
God created only so many perfect heads...
the rest he covered with hair !
ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
http://clik.to/n0vfp

1334. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 05:08:39 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <hcTi9.39652$P77.706190@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53102

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020920102853.08460.00000261@mb-da.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective
> >From: "Bert Craig" wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM
> >Date: 9/20/02 9:01 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <SVFi9.13171$P77.364236@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
>
>
> >As a "relative" newbie, I don't really know how anyone (Other than the
truly
> >handicapped, of course.) can use the "barrier" arguement and keep a
straight
> >face.
>
> Easy, Bert! Cecil will resurface citing his 92 y/o mother as a prime
> example of how it IS a "barrier".

I do apologize. It certainly was not my intention to sound abrasive in any
way. It just IS pretty hard to believe, IMHO.

> >Please correct me if I'm wrong, but aren't we are talking about
> >nailing 20 characters in a row of a possible 43 out of approx. 200 or so
> >sent during the duration of the exam?
>
> Twenty if you throw in a couple of those 2-pointers, Bert. You are
> correct on the "prosigns and punctuation" (and numerals, BTW) characters.
> Otherwise twenty five straight letters.

What's even funier is that if you do a little homework, you'll know that you
can count on a RST report, which is usually 589, and a rig of some sort.
Plus they give you a pretty generous amount of time after the code stops to
fill in (Best guess?) the characters you missed. So if you end up with:

"<sender's call, receiver's call> RS_ i_ 589. Na_e is Joh_. Rig _s Ken_oo_.
Ante_n_ i_ 3 e_e_ent _agi."

You've got approx. 10 min. (they say 5, but I swear we got 10.) to nail 25
out of the 59 characters (Minus calls.) in the example above. I stand
corrected at 25 correct characters in a row and I was unaware that the
numerals count for two as well. In the example above, you can miss both
opening call signs and still walk away from the session with a CSCE for
Element 1 based solely on common sense. I think we can all safely assume
that the thirteen missed characters (Represented by _'s.) are a
"no-brainer."

> >This is not such a bad idea, good luck selling it though. There are many
who
> >take a dim view of any other "hobby" radio other than the ARS. Just
witness
> >the paranoia exhibited when Alan Dixon, N2HOE

<CORECTION> Alan's call is N3HOE. (Sorry OM.)

> >petitioned the FCC to drop the
> >155.3 mi. limit on the CB. Some thought this would make the CB a service
> >"paralleling" the ARS. Old fears die hard, but good luck nonetheless.
>
> I don't think "paranoia" is the correct adjective, Bert.

I do. It was actually the nicest, most politically correct, term I could
muster at the moment.

> Each radio
> service has a particular "basis and purpose". Ciotizens Radio Service was
NOT
> created as a "long-range" radio service...Just the opposite, it was
created as
> a low power, short range personal communications service.

I agree, but things change and this is what it's morphed into. I have this
quirky idea that the "Citizen's" Band should be defined by...you guessed it,
the "citizens?" To a point, of course. I too share the disdain for
overpowered and/or out-of-band ops, but responding to a natural phenomenon
using 12 watts P.E.P.? Puh-lease! (Not directed at you, Steve.)

> If you are going to say "short range", you have to draw a line
> "somewhere"! FRS'ers can call "1/2 mile" short range, and the SETI guys
might
> set "short range" in light years! It's arbitrary, but if you are going to
do
> it, then do it.

Again, things change. However, they should be changed vis the correct
legislative protocol, not just ignored.

> >> Wrong, code is a big factor in repelling potential "hams".
> >
> >It'd be interesting to see how many members of NCI are non-hams (read
> >"potential hams") as opposed tp <Yikes, that's "to."> No-code Techs who
simply want HF privies?
>
> We heard sooooooooooo much about how the ranks of the Amateur Radio
service
> were going to be filled in short-order after the No Code Technician was
> authorized. Yes, there was an initial influx, but it just as quickly
subsided.

I'd like to see the numbers, "non-ham" NCI members, that is.

> Some aggitators in this NG would point out that 1/3rd of all Amateurs
are
> presently Technicians. However that ALSO means the 2/3'rds are "coded"
(by
> licensure) Hams. That number is probably a lot higher, though, since
those
> who hold a post-Restructuring Technician and ALSO hold an CSCE for Element
1
> may exercise the privileges of a "Technician Plus" without further
Commission
> administrative action.

Good point.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1335. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 05:09:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020921010952.05208.00000589@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 53101

In article <3D8BB7A1.C64A9617@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>No, I'll just be on any 5 MHz band the very first day it is opened for
>amateur radio use. What will you be doing?

Why ask? :-)

Been there, operating that, some time back, then in the 1970s,
then in the late 1980s. Not in amateur radio, though. :-)

Had any experience below MF? Been there, too. Not in
amateur radio, though. :-)

Had any experience above UHF? Been there, too. Not in
amateur radio, though. :-)

I'm sure you will think up something not-nice to say about
"not having a ham license" in response. :-)

Had any experience telling the FCC what to do when they
don't have to have ham licenses? I'll bet you sure got after
them, huh? Think of it...the nerve, the gall, the chutzpah
of a government agency actually regulating US amateur radio
when none in that agency is required to have a ham license!

Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

1336. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 13:16:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020921091647.00880.00005659@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 53103

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/20/02 7:13 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D8BB9CC.C1E1C8E4@earthlink.net>

>> Oh, my, someone NOT in ham radio must DO SOMETHING FOR
>> ham radio to be "accepted!" :-)
>
>Not really. Those who are perceived as doing something negative for
>amateur radio aren't thought of too kindly. You don't need to worry
>about acceptance in amateur radio in this lifetime.

I agree and disagree, Dick. You are right about those being negative, but I DO believe that someone who participates IN an AMATEUR RADIO forum SHOULD be doing something positive for it.

Nothing Lennie the Liar does is positive, as you (and anyone else in this forum with an eighth grade or better education or better) well know.

>> Tsk, tsk, who was it that wanted a "complete course in
>> microwave techniques" in here? For FREE.

Not a "complete course", Lennie. But you couldn't even come up with a bibliography.

Once again, the "professional" fails to even stand up among Amateurs, let alone be counted.

Leonard...starts with an "L"...just like...

LOSER

73

Steve, K4YZ

1337. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 13:30:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020921093049.00880.00005661@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 53104

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/20/02 7:04 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D8BB7A1.C64A9617@earthlink.net>

>> Oh? Why? Is "Excellence in amateur radio" all about FORCE used
>> against someone who has different viewpoints? Must be so...
>
>Your comment had zip to do with "Excellence in amateur radio". What
>"FORCE" has been used other than the force it took to press the keys to
>make words and the force to hit the "send" button?

Oh..HERE we go, Dave. Lennie made a snide comment when we were discussing marksmanship. He said "prove it" when my numerous Rifle Expert awards for "you know who" were mentioned. I offered to give him a personal demonstration. He clammed up.

I am sure THAT is the force to which Putzy One refers...Just like his Pagan Puffer Pal in the midwest tried to allude.

>> Now, how does this proposed US ham band at 60 meters fit in with
>> all the war hysteria and some wanting to run out and kill a raghead
>> for Jesus? How does it fit in with Homeland Security? How does it
>> have to do with "pioneering the airwaves" that were already pioneered
>> over a half century ago?

>It really doesn't any more than your reaches on aircraft types or
>professional radio. None of that matter much since if we do obtain a 5
>MHz band (all duly experimented with by "professionals"), you won't be
>using it any time soon.

Let's hope not, Dave. Two things scare me...Middle Eastern men in white robes with one way airline tickets, and Lennie with an Amateur Radio license.

>No, I'll just be on any 5 MHz band the very first day it is opened for
>amateur radio use. What will you be doing?

Sticking pins in Dave Heil voodoo dolls, no doubt... =)

BTW, I'll meet you there, Dave...We'll announce a time/freq, and we can diss Lennie on the air...Save's on my fingers!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1338. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 13:42:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8C774F.DE4A6360@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53105

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D8BB7A1.C64A9617@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >No, I'll just be on any 5 MHz band the very first day it is opened for
> >amateur radio use. What will you be doing?
>
> Why ask? :-)

Just for clarification. :-} You seem as peculiarly interested in a 5
MHz band for ham radio as you are interested in amateur radio in
general. You're just here as an advocate for the removal....blah, blah.

> Been there, operating that, some time back, then in the 1970s,
> then in the late 1980s. Not in amateur radio, though. :-)

If it isn't in amateur radio, why bring it up at all? :-{

> Had any experience below MF? Been there, too. Not in
> amateur radio, though. :-)

The U.S. does not have any amateur bands below MW. What has it to do
with the proposed 5 MHZ amateur band? :-{

> Had any experience above UHF? Been there, too. Not in
> amateur radio, though. :-)

Why, yes, I have--and lots of it. What has it to do with an amateur
band at 5 MHz? :-{

> I'm sure you will think up something not-nice to say about
> "not having a ham license" in response. :-)

"Not nice" depends upon your perspective. To you, it matters not
whether amateur radio has a 5 MHz band or not. It won't cause you joy
nor will it cause you angst. You can continue typing away at your
keyboard or watching TV.
> Had any experience telling the FCC what to do when they
> don't have to have ham licenses? I'll bet you sure got after
> them, huh? Think of it...the nerve, the gall, the chutzpah
> of a government agency actually regulating US amateur radio
> when none in that agency is required to have a ham license!
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

I comfort myself with the knowledge that FCC staffers are paid to regulate amateur radio. You aren't paid by them nor do you regulate. It is much like your non-participation role in amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

1339. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 13:58:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020921095806.00880.00005666@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 53106

>Subject: Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?
>From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd)
>Date: 9/20/02 11:50 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <27e38977.0209202050.6c9d5cd4@posting.google.com>

>I dont give a crap what you think.

Just like Lennie the Licenseless, you wince at references to real-time,
real-world references that undermine your rants.

>You are a self-rightous piece of dirt.

Actually, a piece of clay, according to the Bible. Do you read the Bible,
Lloyd? You CAN read, can't you?

>Do you know what is going to destroy our hobby? Freebanders?
>QRM'ers on 75m? Guess again. It's people like you, who want to keep
>being a stuffed shirt. I've read some your stupid posts in the MURS
>Yahoo group. You sure have a attitude dont you?

Hmmmm....Let's see...YOU only show up once in a while, flinging insults
and innuendo in ALL directions when you DO "participate", and then have the
temerity to alledge someone else's supposed "attitude"

So to sum it up, we now have "Putz", "MiniPutz" and "Putzi-too"

Good company you keep.

>You and Larry Roll, think you can flaunt your self-rightous ARRL
>attitude in this newsgroup, and get away with it? Think Again.

"ARRL attitude", Putzi-too? You'll have to define that one. And YES, I
can "get away" with what ever "attitude" I care to demonstrate. The
Constitution and the lack of a moderator ensure that.

Unless you'd care to make a pit stop in Tennessee and try to exercise some
of that bravado in person?

>I'm the new kind of ham. No more CW. I dont mind having some rules
>around. But enough to let people have fun.

Gee, Putzi-too, I've been licensed for 30 years this October, WITH code,
and have had one hell of a ball all along!

>And your gear is? Bet your not any better.

I don't find any need to append it to my signature line, Putzi-too.

> Oh and I forgot. The JEWS made up the type-acceptance stuff! It's a
>JEWISH plot!

I'd ask you to provide some evidence, just like Putz and Mini-Putz, but I hate to see you stewing in your own spittle as you realize that you have none to offer and that in NOT having it, you will have made yourself more evident as a .....

L O S E R ! ! ! !

BBBBWWWWWHAHAHA

1340. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 16:15:40 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uop70qomotnka8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53107

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020915230902.25779.00000718@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <uoabttd235b9b9@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >Jim: you missed the part several months ago where
>
> W0EX
>
> >has read this
> >book.
> >
> Which book?
>
> Sometime back I read an interesting book called "What Went Wrong" (can't
recall
> the author offhand). Good description of the rise of Islam vs. the
> decline/stagnation of European culture during the Middle Ages, then the
> reversal as the Renassaince/industrial revolution caused the West to leap
> ahead.
>
> Quite interesting, though a bit dry in spots. I recommend it.
>
> Much of what we now know of ancient history and basic science and math are
the
> result of works preserved by Muslim scholars while European Christians
were
> busy burning books because of their 'pagan' origins. Now the shoe is on
the
> other foot.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Aw, hell, Jim, look at any of Dick's posts near the time of the WTC attack last year, and even some long into December as I recall. Some book Dick read taught him all about how evil all in the Islamic faith are...it was quite notable to see his comments concerning a whole population of people based on some (in my opinion) idiot author's opinion.

Caution not withstanding...Dick's comments in that time are indicative of his broad paintbrush and I choose to ignore the likes of discriminatory people.

Kim W5TIT

1341. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 16:21:53 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uop7cirmcmai65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53108

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020916200859.15779.00000799@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0209150554.1d4b195d@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 15 Sep 2002 06:54:36 -0700
> >
> >Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> >news:<3D82AFE4.92228B76@townsqr.com>...
> >> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >>
> >> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> >news:<3D82079A.2E41AB51@townsqr.com>...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > > Of course many Muslims are peace loving people. It's the numerous
> >minority which
> >> > > is vocal and violent that rules the day, however. And that is the
> >element which
> >> > > will always predominate for they will always be willing to do
whatever
> >it takes to
> >> > > suppress the moderate element to that end.
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > We have our share. Fallwell, Buchanan, Ashcroft . . .
> >>
> >> The difference being that they don't hijack jets and slam them and all
> >their >passengers
> >
> >That's true but given any opportunity at all you can bet that those
> >far right fringe types would impose their will on the rest of us.
>
> Not a new thing or a strictly Muslim thing by any means. Ask Galileo.
>
> Those on the far right want to over-control what individuals do and let
govt.
> and businesses run wild. Those on the far left want to over-control what
govt.
> and businesses do and let individuals run wild. Those of us in the middle

try
> to strike a balance.
>
> >Up
> >to and including perverting the Constitution to make it happen.
> >Rememeber the words to "Onward Christian Soldiers"??
>
> "Marching as to war..."
> >
> >> into
> >> large buildings loaded with other innocent people. Granted, zealots are
> >zealots, but seems
> >> the Islamic religion has an edge on the kind who are suicidal nuts.
> >>
> >This is not the first time we've had the problem. Roll back nearly six
> >decades and recall the Kamakazis. Those suicidal nuts killed a helluva
> >lot of Yanks and sank some hundreds of our ships.
>
> The kami-kaze (it means "Divine Wind") pilots thought they were defending
their
> homeland.
>
> >Granted that was
> >warrior against warrior warfare but the Kamikazis came from their
> >peace-loving Taoist religion. They had a cause to rally 'round. Us
> >peace-loving whatever-we-are types had a cause to rally 'round when we
> >carpet-bombed the crap outta German cities full of civilains during
> >WW2.
>
> February 15, 1945. Dresden. Firestorm created by incendiaries destroyed
the
> city and most of the inhabitants, even though they were in air raid
shelters
> that were safe for "conventional" attacks. Kurt Vonnegut wrote a book
about it
> - "Slaughterhouse Five". He should know - he was there, as a POW.
>
> >Ditto Hiroshima, etc.
>
> The most destructive bombing of Japan were the Tokyo fire raids. Worse
than
> Dresden.
>
> >Forty-fifty years ago there wasn't a Muslim anywhere who would have
> >dreamed of attacking NYC. But after 55 years of completely bungling
> >our responsibility to sort out the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The
> >Muslims now have their cause and their fringe nuts are conducting war
> >their way. In this case (vs. WW2)I don't think it would be
> >inappropriate to ask ourselves how much of it we've brought upon
> >ourselves.

>
> It's also appropriate to ask what changes Americans are willing to make in
> order to put an end to it, once and for all. Changes such as not spending
> billions to buy oil from those who hate us.
>
> >The core problem is not in Bhagdad or Kandahar or Tripoli, it's in
> >Jerusalem.
>
> Yup - but what's the solution? Allow Israel to be wiped out?
>
> >> How can you trust in someone who you know has likeminded friends,
> >neighbors and relatives
> >> who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you just because you're not
> >Islamic, or because
> >> you're an American. Even though America is home to many other Islamics?
> >
> >The answer to that lies in the lesson we should have learned from the
> >mass incarceration of west-coast Japanese-Americans a few years back.
>
> Fun facts:
>
> - Throughout the entire WW2 period, there was not a single documented case
of
> anti-USA sabotage or espionage committed by a Japanese-American. Not one.
The
> same cannot be said of German-Americans.
>
> - Although Japanese-Americans were interned in camps on the west coast, no
such
> internment was carried out in Hawaii - even though it was Hawaii that was
> attacked on Dec. 7, and which was threatened early in the war.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I doubt you will convince Dick of anything but "nuke 'em all" --even as he chooses to ignore that the US, as well as many other nations, has committed many terrorist-type attacks on nations/people in its history. Each and every nation that has had capability of warfare in some form or another is guilty of horrendous acts against people. And each is justifiable only unto the nation who committed the act. The US is no different, the Taliban are no different.

My opinion.

Kim W5TIT

1342. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 16:25:19 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uop7itic06n4d3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53109

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:am5svvklf0@208.206.142.90...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Much of what we now know of ancient history and basic science and math
are the
> > result of works preserved by Muslim scholars while European Christians
were
> > busy burning books because of their 'pagan' origins.
>
>
>
> Yep. The Crusades were an extension of that. And another manifestation
> of excess by religious fundamentalist zealots performing as True
> Believers.
>
>
> > Now the shoe is on the
> > other foot.
> >
>
> Sure is. Do we want to suffer mush of the same fate as mid easterners
> during the Crusades? For that is exactly what much of the Muslim world
> wishes for us.
>
> You can ignore Kim. She has no confidence in books. Just touchy-feely.
>

If by "touchy-feely" you mean I try to remain compassionate toward other
people, then you are absolutely right and I am proud of it, Dick. You, on
the other hand, would be far more likely to be despised than I, by many more
people than will despise me--I would guess. It is my opinion, unscientific
though it is, that there are far more reasonable, compassionate people in
this world, than there are of your type.

Kim W5TIT

1343. Re: Hurricane Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 16:46:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ami865$ls7$3@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53119

I don't think it is actually 'illegal' to do what they are doing. I just don't like the attitude.

There are lots of folks out here that could help them. But it looks like they are to exclusionary to accept it.

Just an opinion.

I'll just concentrate on other area's and let them do their own thing.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brother Lloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:27e38977.0209202028.3c21cc0e@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message news:<amfusj$a1v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > I'm sitting here listening to N8ORT telling everyone that unless your a
> > member you can't check in and can't even ask questions. Isn't that a bit
> > discriminatory considering its on a ham freq and all?
>
> Call Mr. Hollingsworth. Send him tapes of the guy saying this on the air.
>
>
> >
> > Sometime back I was interesting in offering my help as a NCS. Sent in the
> > info they wanted. Then got a 'form letter' reply saying they didn't want
> > anyones help. What kind of crap is this anyhow?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Sorry to hear that.

1344. Re: Hurricane Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 16:48:35 UTC
From: musicman <vicboro43@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3d8ca2ef@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53110

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:amfusj$a1v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> I'm sitting here listening to N8ORT telling everyone that unless your a
> member you can't check in and can't even ask questions. Isn't that a bit
> discriminatory considering its on a ham freq and all?
>
> Sometime back I was interesting in offering my help as a NCS. Sent in the
> info they wanted. Then got a 'form letter' reply saying they didn't want
> anyones help. What kind of crap is this anyhow?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Perhaps they really should be calling for stations
located "in the affected area", or "stations with traffic".
It's all how you present it. Their goal is to discourage
stations who are not in the affected area from overloading
the frequency. Unfortunatly it seems the NCS need a
tad more experience in high-volume NCS techniques.
K0IND was a class-A1 NCS station for many years
buy have not heard him lately. is he still around. Also
WA1KKP and K4RHL. Two YL's who ran the HWN
like professionals. I feel it's all in the training Dan. You need
to have alert and professional people running things on
any type of a high-volume radio operation who can
manage people before they key their microphones.
It can be done with some tact, but not many who've
mastered it, apart from air traffic controllers and similar
skilled individuals.

Ken / SWL

1345. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 16:52:10 UTC
From: musicman <vicboro43@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3d8ca491@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D87A15A.F06B75FC@ix.netcom.com...
> From "The Case of the Codeless Hams":
>
> "The biggest battle in many a decade is shaping up on the
> ham scene these days....And it concerns what might be called
> "codeless hams"... For years there have been grumblings
> about these code requirements. Argument is that they are outmoded,
> oppressive, unrealistic and constitute an unfair barrier to ....
> potential ham operators.... The situation has intensified
> in the past few years, however...For these reasons, a new type of
> radio amateur would seem entirely within the scheme of things..."
>
> Published in Electronics Illustrated, March 1965.
>
> The more things change.... ;-)
>
> 73 Dave S.

You said it Pal !
1965 eh? Let's see...that was 37 Years ago.
Sheesh.....talk about things today! Ham radio
culture should be studied by mental health
professionals as a textbook example of a cult-like
fraternity.

1346. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 17:10:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ami95t$h38$0@208.207.71.152>
Source record: 53112

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Not really. Those who are perceived as doing something negative for
> >amateur radio aren't thought of too kindly. You don't need to worry
> >about acceptance in amateur radio in this lifetime.
>
> I agree and disagree, Dick.

Actually that was Dave's comment Steve, but go ahead and consider it valid as my opinion as well. I'm sure Dave and I think pretty close to alike on most subjects, but particularly regarding Geezer the Putz.

1347. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 17:11:10 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uopa909f45ao5b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53113

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:am61n4duq0@208.206.142.90...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > Not a new thing or a strictly Muslim thing by any means. Ask Galileo.
> >
>
>
> This seems a key area of concern- the Islamic fundamentalists are
> straiht from the Middle Ages or even earlier, in their level of
> education, philosophy and outlook on world affairs.
>

And you are as entrenched in your thoughts, Dick, as they are. You would have a "fundamentalist" Islamic jailed or worse (my guess is, if given half a chance, you'd choose to have someone kill 'em all). And, to you, a "fundamentalist" would just as soon kill you. My guess is you're wrong on both counts.

>
> Nothing can ever
> trump their religious views, which are mostly developed upon illiterate
> individuals -the rate of illiteracy in that part of the world is
> startling- by the haranguing of radical Mullahs.
>

And, there are many in this world who are fundmentally illiterate who make wise choices and decisions every day. Your "fundamentalist" is no more opinionated than you, Dick. Have you ever thought of that? Are you as "upset" about other "fundamentalist" type groups such as the KKK, the Aryan groups, etc.? Are you as upset that Israel (because they are bigger/better/stronger because of their strong-arm tactics) are on the same mission as your "fundamentalists"?

>
> For them nothing
> stands in the way of Allah's will, even their own deaths, to a man.
>

And, if one were to read and truly take the Christian Bible to will and heart, they would live the same way. Who is the righter person? A person who lives and breathes the beliefs they swear to; or the person who basically gets to a church on Sundays and considers themselves blessed and "of God" because they listened to a sermon that day?

>
> Except, of course, the Mullahs. They always seem to survive, whatever
> else happens. As you know, Ayatolla Khomeni died of old age while many
> of his followers did not.
> But there are so *many* of them and they are so intractible. Seems like
> a case of fighting fire with fire, only more of it, to win. It can
> readily be seen as THE most serious serious threat to Western
> civilization.
> Who knows the extent of their intentions on 9/11/01? No
> one here did.
>

I think they surprised themselves on Sept 11.

>
> If not for fate, a bit of incompetence and a few valiand
> souls aboard Flight 93 there would have almost certainly have been
> evenworse carnage, and we still don't know how many others got "cold
> feet" or decided now was not the time.
>

What?! A "fundamentalist" chicken out?! I thought you were pretty clear in
your opinion that chickening out would not be a trait to be displayed by one
of your "fundamentalists"?

>
> So when? What next?
>

I guess you are ignoring the continuous attacks in Israel and Palestine.
OH?! It is only the United States you are speaking of?

>
> Forgive and
> forget?
>

One cannot forgive without forgetting. I choose neither. But, I also would
rather not choose whatever level of response I am sure you'd be happy with.
You seem to support that it's OK if we (the US) go over there and just nuke
'em all. I think that makes us their (your "fundamentalists") equal.

>
> Hiroshima and Dresden didn't occur because we're mean and
> vicious.
>

Well, I can't speak for Dresden...I am not up on history that much.
However, Hiroshima *and* Nagasaki were both pretty mean of us. I shudder to
say that it netted the result that was no doubt needed, but it was still
darned mean.

>
> It occurred because our enemies had proven they would kill as

> many of us as they had to without hesitation-and had.
>

Oh? And Hiroshima and Nagasaki proved that we would not?

>
> Our leaders
> decided, very much in our best interests, that the way to prevent that
> was to win the war at whatever cost to the enemy that proved necessary.
>

And, the ironic thing Dick, is that your "fundamentalists" decided, they
believe very much in their best interests, that the way to succeed was to
win at whatever cost to their enemy. So, now what? I am not looking for
who was right and who was wrong. I am suggesting that all sides in a
conflict believe *they* are right--and all sides will respond in the same
way to their belief that they are right. Stomp out the wrong, correct?

>
> The current situation may eventually move to that sort of arena, if
> there does not occur the noted "debate within Islam" that sets it on a
> course much different than it presently sails on.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Those on the far right want to over-control what individuals do and let
govt.
> > and businesses run wild. Those on the far left want to over-control what
govt.
> > and businesses do and let individuals run wild. Those of us in the
middle try
> > to strike a balance.
>
>
>
> It has always irked me to have to choose between two parties which have
> been so far removed from that which is our center, where the best
> interests of most of us lie. No third part has a ghost of a chance, so
> one is left with either choosing between two of which neither fits, or
> wasting the vote. Ugly.
>

Welcome to the real world.

>
> Granted, zealots are
> > >zealots, but seems
> > >> the Islamic religion has an edge on the kind who are suicidal nuts.
> > >

> > >This is not the first time we've had the problem. Roll back nearly six
> > >decades and recall the Kamakazis. Those suicidal nuts killed a helluva
> > >lot of Yanks and sank some hundreds of our ships.
> >
> > The kami-kaze (it means "Divine Wind") pilots thought they were
defending their
> > homeland.
> >
> > >Granted that was
> > >warrior against warrior warfare but the Kamikazis came from their
> > >peace-loving Taoist religion. They had a cause to rally 'round. Us
> > >peace-loving whatever-we-are types had a cause to rally 'round when we
> > >carpet-bombed the crap outta German cities full of civilains during
> > >WW2.
>
>
> I worked with a fellow who had been a Navy chief radio op on a aircraft
> carrier in WW2. He told of a kamikazi who almost got his ship...would
> have, except for the Marine gunner who swung his machine gun around and
> shot at the plane accros the flight deck. Finally hit and exploded it so
> close to home the propeller fell on the flight deck. Pucker time!
>

Uh-huh, and as was just pointed out in an incredible movie "We Were
Soldiers" that Marine gunner did everything he did out of fear and kinship
with his fellow soldiers on that ship. How did the guy put it? I'll
paraphrase: Lt. Colonel Moore said something to the effect that soldiers
don't fight to defend their country, they fight to defend each other. By
the way, "We Were Soldiers" is an excellent flic.

>
> If you can parallel the Islamic situation with the Japanese kamikazis,
> then the suicide bombers/hijackers/etc would be only a small portion of
> the populace that's "out to get us". They have PLENTY of support among
> the non-suicidal, a large percentage of whom hail them as heros and
> martyrs, just like the Japanese did.
>
> >
> > February 15, 1945. Dresden. Firestorm created by incendiaries destroyed
the
> > city and most of the inhabitants, even though they were in air raid
shelters
> > that were safe for "conventional" attacks. Kurt Vonnegut wrote a book
about it
> > - "Slaughterhouse Five". He should know - he was there, as a POW.
> >
> > >Ditto Hiroshima, etc.
> >
> > The most destructive bombing of Japan were the Tokyo fire raids. Worse
than
> > Dresden.

>
> Waqr is hell, and those are among the clearest examples. Watching the
> WTC towers coming down, knowing they were probally filled with people,
> is close behind.
>

There is nothing "close behind" about the WTC attack. It was said "we are at war" and how noble of those who said it. "We" were not at war. The people who were in and around the WTC, the Pentagon, and on the plane that went down in PA, were not at war. Those people were victims of a senseless attack from a then unknown enemy. There was no "war" about it. That attack was stupid and senseless--but only because it netted nothing for the attacker, unlike the Hiroshima and Nagasaki attacks did for that attacker (notably, the US). It's hard to put it that way on the table, but to try and reach some level of understanding, to try and reach some beginning that will net a sensible response, the equality of the actions/reactions must first be realized. Someone always thinks they are right. Someone is always wrong.

I am not going to buy that you are right about all in the Islamic faith, Dick. I believe you think you are right. I think you are spinning your wheels to flame hatred and misunderstanding. I wonder if you'd like me smushed as well as your "fundamentalists." You seem to be just as rabid as they are.

>
> >
> > >Forty-fifty years ago there wasn't a Muslim anywhere who would have
> > >dreamed of attacking NYC.
>
> I dunno, we've always been the "infidels" to them. All it took was a
> kook like Bin Laden to move it along.
>

There's loads of kooks in the world. It's sad to say, but what we experienced on Sept 11, 2001 is not unlike what many, many in this world see and live with every day. In my eyes, you are a kook, Dick. In your eyes, I am a kook. What should we do about that?

>
> But after 55 years of completely bungling
> > >our responsibility to sort out the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.
>
> Brian, you must read a different account of the news than I. Every
> president in that time frame has made a supreme effort to bring the two
> sides together. When the arabs say the only thing they will accept is
> the elimination of Isreal, that pretty sews up the situation. Unless
> that's what one is willing to accept. YOu can't deal with the
> intractible.
>

You seem to believe that the only thing to accept is the complete and literal elimination of your "fundamentalists." What should we do about that, Dick? You can't deal with the parallel.

> The
> > >Muslims now have their cause and their fringe nuts are conducting war
> > >their way. In this case (vs. WW2)I don't think it would be
> > >inappropriate to ask ourselves how much of it we've brought upon
> > >ourselves.
> >
> I couldn't disagree more. We've handed out MANY billions of money we
> still owe trying to keep those peasants fed and clothed, and they hate
> us even more for it. Take a close look next time you see bags of food
> being handed out over there-anywhere over there. They'll ALL be marked
> "USA". It just pisses 'em off while they chow down. Turnes out we've
> sustained those who ARE killing us. And we owe trillions of bucks still
> partly because of it.
>

You might want to get on that high and mighty horse, Dick. But that's the way it is, as they say. Instead of that high and mighty horse, what would you do? What would you have us do? The US has fed those we try to influence and lead for years; for years it is only the corrupt leaders who get that food and money; and for years we've been hated for it. I mean, after all, let's chop through all the bullshit and get at the meat of the matter. Do *YOU* really you effect that much change? Don't you think the majority of the population in this country is as pissed at you about the above scenario? Then, I ask, why does it continue? Why hasn't it stopped?

> > It's also appropriate to ask what changes Americans are willing to make
in
> > order to put an end to it, once and for all. Changes such as not
spending
> > billions to buy oil from those who hate us.
> >
>

Like I said, I'd be willing to figure that most of us US citizens are pretty pissed that our government spends/sends more over to foreign nations than they do on us here at home. So, why hasn't it changed? Maybe it's because we don't get *really* pissed until things like the WTC happen?

> Saw a snippet on the tube just this evening about buying your gasoline
> from retailers who don't buy Arab oil. Turns out about half our oil/gas
> companies do not. Didn't catch which ones do not, but I'm going to find
> out and act accordingly.
>

Yeah, yeah, yeah. And it won't change a thing, Dick.

>
> > >The core problem is not in Bhagdad or Kandahar or Tripoli, it's in
> > >Jerusalem.
> >
> > Yup - but what's the solution? Allow Israel to be wiped out?
> >
>
> Isreal might have something to say about that, too. Wouldn't blame
> them.
>

No, of course you wouldn't.

>
> > >> How can you trust in someone who you know has likeminded friends,
> > >neighbors and relatives
> > >> who hate your guts plenty enough to kill you just because you're not
> > >Islamic, or because
> > >> you're an American. Even though America is home to many other
Islamics?
> > >
> > >The answer to that lies in the lesson we should have learned from the
> > >mass incarceration of west-coast Japanese-Americans a few years back.
>
>
> Lacking some massive subversive movement by a large number of Muslims
> I cant' imagine such a thing ever happening in the instant case. But
> that deb ate inside Islam better happen, and start showing some positive
> results. It isn't enough to say "we're here because we wanted to be
> here". That doesn't preclude subversive intent. Best make some
> affirmitive moves, such as turning in the hidden terrorists among them.
> I see that appears to have happened today in the Buffalo NY area. Good
> start, keep it up. Orove that Islam is truly a peaceful religion, not
> just a cover for more subversion, and all will eventually be well.
>

Your last sentance makes me wonder why I bothered.

>
> >
> > Fun facts:
> >
> > - Throughout the entire WW2 period, there was not a single documented
case of
> > anti-USA sabotage or espionage committed by a Japanese-American. Not
one. The
> > same cannot be said of German-Americans.
>
> I spent quite a bit of time in the military working with a couple guys
> of Japanese descent. Great guys, true Americans. WW2 was the result of
> culteral problems brought on by an ancient and enigmatic, ethnocentric,
> radical culture. Much like radical Islam.

>
> Dick

Yeah, and radical Dick.

Kim W5TIT

1348. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 17:13:24 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uopajq954kgs43@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53114

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209170957.6f764ab@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<am7d81$3f1$1@208.207.71.167>...
> > Robert Casey wrote:
> >
> >
> > Putzy the Pooh escrit:
> >
> > > > As for "books," Dickie has some odd notions. He doesn't have
> > > > proper history books to show the "crusades" as the bigoted
> > > > debacle and waste it was for Christian nations' crusaders.
> >
> >
> > > You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
> > > in the 1960's put on the crusades....
> >
> >
> > Putzy Poo has no idea what he's talking about here either. For some
> > reason the Arab nations still remember the Crusades in a very negative
> > way.
>
> Yeah, they got raided & invaded by a flock of western European
> militant Christians on a jihad. The a-rabs should have "fond memories"
> of those days or what??

ROFLMAO....

1349. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 17:23:27 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uopavuu8fjc0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53115

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020917222251.28700.00000981@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <20020917102750.02682.00003833@mb-cc.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >There's not a religion in the world that has not had some or all of it's
> >adherants conduct some atrocity all in the name of "god" or "freedom" as
> >interpreted by it's clerics or other purveyors. Usually upon "believers"
of
> >other faiths, but occassionally upon thier very own.
>
> Just among Christians, I know of several denominations that reject all
violence
> and atrocity. And they live up to the preaching. I'm sure there are many
> others.
>
> >Religion has been and remains today the number one cause of major
> >warfare in the world since the beginning of recorded history. Praise the
Lord
> >and pass the ammunition.
>
> I disagree. It's not religion per se, but rather religious intolerance and
> idealogical zealotry, that are the driving forces.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Exactly. Good examples: The Taliban's belief that "we" (whomever their "we"
is) are evil incarnate. And Dick's belief that all Muslims are evil.

Guess we agree on one thing, Jim.

Kim W5TIT

1350. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 17:28:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uopb8ute9hlt95@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53116

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:am8qhv$57s$0@208.207.71.133...
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > My main point is simply this: Islam is not one monolith of a religion,
in which
> > all Muslims believe exactly the same things and have the same
motivations.
>
> Certainly that is correct. The problem is that the radical
> fundamentalist wing is not an insignificant splinter group, and their
> adherence to a basic fundamental interpretation of Islam includes
> mandates to moderates by which they may be considered evil themselves if
> they refuse to do Allah's bidding as interpreted by the radicals. How
> did the Taliban take over Afghanistan? By harshly suppressing the
> moderates, ALL of them. Subjugation in the extreme.
> They are not the trivial sort of offshoot group you seem to consider
> them to be.
> Virtually all Muslim countries administrations hang on the edge of
> anarchy because of anti Western feeling among mainstream Muslims. Surely
> you heard or saw all he celebrating that took place on the Arab street
> when the towers came down. All that can't be dismissed as the work of a
> small splinter group.
>
> > Would you lump all Christians together with Jim Jones and the KKK?
>
> If you don't discern the difference between the above and a widespread
> network of Islamic terrorists who enjoy great popular support from
> within mainstream Islam, I don't think you adequately understand the
> problem. Jones was responsible for doing away with his followers, the
> KKK with intimidating and even killing some members of a certain
> minority. Neither, nor any other modern day religious fanatic I'm aware
> of had designs on weapons of mass destruction with which to destroy
> Western civilization in the name of God.
>

Then, you'd better get aware. I have had avowed Racists, tell me that even
I would have to eventually die when "the race war" begins. Why? Because I
believe in equality.

You've also never heard a good 'ol down-home KKK speech, have ya? It is in
the name of God that they believe the way they do, Dick. Next time you
happen to look at a speech being given by some Nazi-nut, look at the most
thumped on book.

Kim W5TIT

1351. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 17:29:30 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uopbbfbfarfrb7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53117

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:am8rql$7ld$0@208.207.71.133...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <3D869D3A.E65B77FB@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> > <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >
> > >You should have seen the spin the Catholic grammar schools
> > >in the 1960's put on the crusades....
> >
> > Yep. Been there, done that. Whatta hoot!
> >
> > One of the best things someone ever did for me was to give me a copy of
> > Michener's "The Source" when I was 11 (fifth grade). Read it over and
over, and
> > it led me to other books that told the history from different
viewpoints. The
> > nuns were not amused.
>
>
> I imagine not.
> The bureaucracy of a religion is always the very worst aspect of it. It
> tends to write its own history and to propagate that history as fact.

You mean kinda like you're doing, Dick?

Kim W5TIT

1352. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 18:10:19 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3r2j9.141709$AY5.57994798@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:amgrfo$d8c$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "M. Doushiers" <MDoushiers@texastoilstorage.com> wrote in message
> news:amgp94$98l$0@pita.alt.net...
> > I'm in Dallas, Texas. Everybody I know stopped worrying about
> > type acceptance a long time ago. It's just another scam to create
> > justification for bureaucratic jobs. People I know in business use
> > the same radio for their business comms, FRS, ham comms, etc.
> > Screw type acceptance. I wouldn't even give it a second thought.
>
> Provided one uses the allocated power, operates in a dignified manner and
> does not abuse the frequencies or fellow users, i.e. does not call
attention
> to onesself, who is to know anyway?
> I can point to several Volunteer Firemen in the area that use modified ham
> rigs for their fire freqs. because of the huge difference of cost between
a
> Motorola and a 2-meter rig. These firemen, by and large, purchase their
own
> rigs and operate on a limited budget. Is it legal? No. But again, who is
> going to be snooping into their personal vehicles to check?
> Lets all be honest about this and admit that we Hams do the same thing. If
> we didn't, why are there so many web sites, including QRZ, that publish
> "mods"? I bet that there are a whole lot of Hams who have rigs that
transmit
> out of band...such as the itinerant frequencies or the newly available
MURS
> freqs. How many Hams have not at one time or another been tempted to tune
to
> 154.570 and use the PL tone to "mess" with a MacDonald's kiosk or tune to
> 151.625 and mess with the local Target store?
> Our area Baptist church uses 151.625 with no PL. God spare me the
> temptation.....

Why would anyone WANT to "mess" with anybody? That has eluded me since I
was in high
school; it doesn't make sense. Mature, sensible people don't GET any
jollies out of that. The
behaviour, language, and lewd references I have heard both on the newsgroups
AND on-air
(and, yes, I'm talking about HAMS!) leaves me totally baffled and wondering
how supposedly

"grown" people can be that infantile, childish, AND stupid. It really is a shame, you know,
a real shame.....

Jerry
>

1353. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 20:10:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209211210.ff965b2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53120

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020919032512.15134.00005359@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 9/18/02 5:17 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0209181417.208984cf@posting.google.com>
>
> >Dave, I DX therefore I am.
>
> As long as what you "am" is confused about what constitutes a valid DXCC
> entity...That we already know.
>
> 73
>
> Steve. K4YZ

Steve, DX preceded DXCC. 73, Brian

1354. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 20:13:16 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209211213.7e9d0afc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53121

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D89249F.48B551B8@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D870A5C.4F45A4CA@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D826BDA.1FE227B4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20020913011828.12610.00000571@mb-fa.aol.com...
> > > > > > > In article <sqcg9.289$we1.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke"
> > > > > > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> > > > > > > >Yet you called them scofflaws. You must now agree that you worked
> > > them
> > > out
> > > > > > > >of their authorizations.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Don't sweat the Judge. He isn't going to admit any error he has
> > > > > > > made in public (several). He can't. :-)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It's a State Department FSO kind of thing. :-)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Its a CYA kind of thing. Deny, deny, deny, then make
> counteraccusations.
> > > > > >
> > > > > It seems funny that you'd make that kind of absurd statement when I have
> > > > > not denied working those stations and in fact have always maintained
> > > > > that I've worked no French stations on frequencies above 50.200 MHz.
> > > > > Sorta shoots your entire false premise down in flames.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > Dave, you deny, deny, deny knowing they were out of band even after a French
> > > ham informed you that they were out of band. Two years later you still
> > > denied it until it was posted right here on RRAP for all to see.
> > >
> > Meanwhile, you make counteraccusations.

> > >
> > > Nope, I'm saying that I am only responsible for making sure that MY
> > > station, no matter where in the world I happen to be, is on a frequency
> > > where it is authorized to be. French stations are responsible for their
> > > own operations. I've posted that right here for all to see quite a
> > > number of times. Maybe you missed it.
> > >
> > > Got your special glasses on?
> > >
> > Yup, my "Good Amateur Practice" reading glasses.
>
> If you practice enough, maybe you can get the permanent glasses at a
> later date--you know, when you can see well enough with the ones you're
> wearing.
>
> Dave K8MN

?

1355. Re: Hurricane Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 20:42:14 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <e40f0b4cdb508f3e5d07f8ff7be730d2@xganon.com>
Source record: 53122

This sort of attitude really pisses me off. What is their freq.? I will go there and quarm the shit out of them.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

1356. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 20:51:54 UTC
From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <27e38977.0209211251.5aa8a315@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53123

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020828233113.19465.00003184@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: rude hams
> >From: oris@dirtball.com (Oris)
> >Date: 08/28/02 21:23 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <f7a9c6ac.0208281723.3f4770f9@posting.google.com>
>
> >Listen to 75 meters
> >at night and you will hear why ham radio is dieing.
>
> Go to the pages of QST and CQ and look at the money the manufacturers are
> spending on new equipment.
>
> Manufacturers do NOT spend money on R&D for equipment they won't sell.
>
> I know you can't stop being an idiot, so at least try to be a literate
> idiot.

You need to stop being such a a-hole.

--
Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
God created only so many perfect heads...
the rest he covered with hair !
ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
http://clik.to/n0vfp

1357. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 21:04:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020921170442.03335.00005123@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 53124

>Subject: Re: rude hams
>From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd)
>Date: 9/21/02 3:51 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <27e38977.0209211251.5aa8a315@posting.google.com>

>You need to stop being such a a-hole.

Ooooohhhh....Owwwwwww...puh-leeeeze stop! Owww!
Wimperwimperwimper.......

Not.

Were you born this enlightened, Lloyd, or has inbreeding finally taken
it's toll?

>ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
>Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
>Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
>http://clik.to/n0vfp

Still operating the clearance rack at K-mart, I see.

1358. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 23:12:13 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uopuq2feie27fa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Does anyone here really understand the need and reason behind type acceptance?

Many people stand to profit from type acceptance. Mostly the general public.

Here's a brief synopsis of why type acceptance is valuable.

When a transceiver is designed, there is a specific use and band(s) in mind. To operate in this/these bands the unit must pass certain engineering rules. Not the least of which is minimizing the amount of harmonics and other spurious eminating from the unit. To accomplish this, a careful selection of mixing circuits, frequencies and filtering are employed in the design.

Most often the design that works in one band or group of bands does not prevent harmful garbage from leaving the unit when the unit is operated on frequencies for which it was not designed. It may have a good rcvr and power on the out-of-band freq but now the filtering and other design imperfections are allowing harmonics and spurious to leave the radio.

Should the spurious and/or harmonics end up on or near public safety or aircraft frequencies there could be a problem. If the garbage shows up on say a paging or mobile data channel it causes a certain amount of inconvenieince.

It's very difficult to predict which freqs can or should be used for mixing processes to minimize problems both inside the radio and externally.

So, by sticking to type accepted radios and the designed operating parameters we all stand to gain.

1359. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-21 23:59:43 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2t3at1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53126

On 21 Sep 2002 04:08:50 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>Yep. Writing prescriptions is an activity that requirtes a govt. license in
>most countries.

And the meds that require a prescription vary from state to state
and country to country.

Some states require a script for insulin syringes, some don't. In
Israel one does not need a script for insulin or syringes but does
need one for the anti-histamines which we can buy over-the-counter
in the 'States.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1360. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:06:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020921210630.19098.00005266@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53127

>Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 9/21/02 6:59 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2t3at1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
>

>Some states require a script for insulin syringes, some don't. In
> Israel one does not need a script for insulin or syringes but does
> need one for the anti-histamines which we can buy over-the-counter
> in the 'States.

What do they need for a box of .223 ball round and a couple of frags?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1361. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:15:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amj5kmkmg1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53128

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020919220856.28700.00001002@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <amdn4h$vu3$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020919153901.12229.00004880@mb-mw.aol.com...
> >> >Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
> >> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >> >Date: 9/19/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
> >> >Message-id: <20020919080924.03046.00000694@mb-fy.aol.com>
> >>
> >> >>When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
> >> >>with the rules/privileges that Canada has in effect. I can,
> >> >>for example, operate SSB from Canada in HF band segments
> >> >>that I could not operate from when in the US. Likewise,
> >> >>if some frequencies segment is not allowed to Canadians,
> >> >>but is "legal in the US" I can not use those frequencies while
> >> >>in Canada.
> >> >
> >> >Your operation in Canada is allowed because the USA and Canada have a
> >> >reciprocal agreement. The Canadian govt. allows you to operate there
> >without
> >> >your passing a test or getting a Canadian license only because you
have a
> >> >valid
> >> >US amateur license. IOW, your Canadian operation is totally dependent
on
> >> >having
> >> >that US license. And you probably operate as K2UNK/VEx, not as a VE
> >licensee.
> >> >
> >> >All good things.
> >>
> >> And if I remember correctly, did the FCC not come out and say that
as
> >>a
> >> condition of this specific agreement, a United States Amateur operating
in
> >> Canada could NOT exercise any privileges or utilize any band/mode
> >>combinations
> >> not allowed under his/her license?
> >
> >Please provide the rule...otherwise it isn't so.

>
> I don't ever remember such a ruling. Seems to me that it's up to, say,
Canada
> to detemine what privs they grant to a visiting US ham with a certain
class of
> license.

Good, we agree on that.

> >> >>If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
> >> >>in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
> >> >>jurisdiction for that action.
> >> >
> >> >Apples and oranges. Marijuana use is not regulated by international
> >treaty
> >> >and
> >> >no license is needed to use it where its use is legalized.
> >>
> >> However if you return to the Untied States and controlled substances
> >> are
> >> in your system that are NOT allowed for whatever legal reason, it
doesn't
> >> matter how "legal" they were WHERE you consumed them.
> >
> >If you are claiming that once the indiviual sets foot on US soil, that
> >an individual ould be convicted simply because a blood test showed
> >prior use before entering the US, ...I seriously doubt it.
>
> I have to agree with Steve on this one. If I doubt anyone has ever been
> prosecuted under such rules.

You agree with Steve but doubt anyone has been prosecuted under
such a situation????

> >> Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation, having
> >>had a
> >> significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out that
> >>they
> >> were STILL in trouble when they got home.
> >
> >Military justice is a totally different animal.
>
> Point is, they are still in trouble under US law.

Nope...the military offense/prosecution would be under the UCMJ.

> And it's not just servicepeople who have to be concerned. Many companies
have
> random drug testing of all employees, and if residues are detected, the
> employee is in BIG trouble.

That is, again, not a public situation.

> Granted, they are not usually in legal trouble, but
> the courts have sided with the companies and not the persons with the
residues.
> As I understand it, it comes under the concept of 'conditions of
employment'
> that are accepted by the employee.

Agreed.

> >> >A better analogy would be the purchase of prescription drugs in
Amsterdam
> >> >based
> >> >on prescriptions written by an American doctor.
> >> >>
> >> >>> They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as
on.
> >> >>
> >> >>The US does not charge people for foriegn drug actions unless
> >> >>or until the activity engages or enters the US jurisdiction.
> >> >>
> >> >Or if there is clear evidence that the foreign drug action will do so.
> >>
> >> A prescription written by a US doctor and "honored" by an offshore
> >> pharmacy may be legal in Lower Slobovia, but if that drug is illegal in
> >>the
> >> United States, it's still illegal.
> >
> >Agreed. But ingestion of the drug off shore isn't a US
> >crime.
>
> As long as you don't bring any of the illegal stuff back, I'd agree.

Agree again.

> Still not a good analogy. Try this one:
>
> In the parts of the USA that I'm familiar with, an LPN is not licensed to
write
> prescriptions. But in other parts of the world, that same person's LPN
license
> may be honored as valid, and the LPN may be empowered to write
prescriptions.
>
> Will an LPN who writes a prescription in the "other part of the world" be
in
> violation of his USA license?

My opinion...NO.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1362. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:20:01 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <amj7omiug1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 53136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Very well put.. Thank you.....

AND, for the "ham" who likes to "mess" with people like McDonalds, Police, etc, he does NOT speak for - nor represent the majority of us. I take exception to being classified in the same category. I value my Amateur as well as Commercial Licenses for the effort put forth to achieve them as well as to uphold them. It is a pity some others DON'T. It's people like that - who screw things up for the rest of us. THAT is what brings regulations in.

Lou/tech@dp.net

"BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:uopuq2feie27fa@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anyone here really understand the need and reason behind type
> acceptance?
>
> Many people stand to profit from type acceptance. Mostly the general
public.
>
> Here's a brief synopsis of why type acceptance is valuable.
>
> When a transceiver is designed, there is a specific use and band(s) in
mind.
> To operate in this/these bands the unit must pass certain engineering
rules.
> Not the least of which is minimizing the amount of harmonics and other
> spurious eminating from the unit. To accomplish this, a careful selection
of
> mixing circuits, frequencies and filtering are employed in the design.
>
> Most often the design that works in one band or group of bands does not
> prevent harmful garbage from leaving the unit when the unit is operated on
> frequencies for which it was not designed. It may have a good rcvr and
power
> on the out-of-band freq but now the filtering and other design
imperfections
> are allowing harmonics and spurious to leave the radio.
>
> Should the spurious and/or harmonics end up on or near public safety or
> aircraft frequencies there could be a problem. If the garbage shows up on
> say a paging or mobile data channel it causes a certain amount of
> inconvenieince.
>
> It's very difficult to predict which freqs can or should be used for

mixing
> processes to minimize problems both inside the radio and externally.
>
> So, by sticking to type accepted radios and the designed operating
> parameters we all stand to gain.
>
>

1363. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:20:56 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amj5ua$a1c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53129

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020921000850.15779.00000940@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <20020920091758.15292.00003836@mb-mn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 20 Sep 2002 13:17:58 GMT
> >
> >>Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
> >>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >>Date: 9/19/02 9:08 PM Central Daylight Time
> >>Message-id: <20020919220856.28700.00001002@mb-fz.aol.com>
> >
> >>Still not a good analogy. Try this one:
> >>
> >>In the parts of the USA that I'm familiar with, an LPN is not licensed
to
> >>write prescriptions.
>
> Correct that to read:
>
> LPNs are not licensed to write prescriptions in the USA.
>
> >>But in other parts of the world, that same person's LPN
> >>license
> >>may be honored as valid, and the LPN may be empowered to write
> >>prescriptions.
> >
> > Hmmm...just an analogy, Jim?
>
> Yep. Writing prescriptions is an activity that requirtes a govt. license
in
> most countries.
>
> >Otherwise here's the scoop on that:
> >
> > No one in the United States may write a prescription under an LPN
> >license.
> > RN's with postgraduate work and residency requirements may be designated
> >"family nurse practitioners" and may write Rx's for antibiotics,
non-narcotic
> >analgesics (ie: ketorlac), etc. CRNA (Certified Registered Nurse

> >Anesthesist)
> >may write for some limited post-operative narcotics, but only when
> >countersigned by an MD anesthesiologist.
>
> Thanks for the correction.
>
> My point is simply that a USA LPN license may be recognized by a foreign
govt.
> as qualification to do things not allowed to that licensee in the USA.
Same as
> ham licenses.

Agreed. Also, unless things changed, military medics prescribe/dispense
medications to military personnel that would, in many cases require
a doctor. This, again, goes to the point that the military operates in
a different space regarding legalities that in the civilian world require
special licenses.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1364. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:24:05 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amj646$a2f$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53130

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020920091142.15292.00003835@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
> >From: "Bill Sohl" billsohl@mindspring.com
> >Date: 9/19/02 6:37 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <amdn4h$vu3$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
>
> >> And if I remember correctly, did the FCC not come out and say that
as
> >a
> >> condition of this specific agreement, a United States Amateur operating
in
> >> Canada could NOT exercise any privileges or utilize any band/mode
> >combinations
> >> not allowed under his/her license?
> >
> >Please provide the rule...otherwise it isn't so.
>
> Please note my item was, more or less, an interrogative. I don't
know for
> sure.
>
> >> However if you return to the Untied States and controlled
substances
> >are
> >> in your system that are NOT allowed for whatever legal reason, it
doesn't
> >> matter how "legal" they were WHERE you consumed them.
> >
> >If you are claiming that once the indiviual sets foot on US soil, that
> >an individual ould be convicted simply because a blood test showed
> >prior use before entering the US, ...I seriously doubt it.
>
> If you are obligated, for what ever reason to NOT have controlled
> substances in your system but they are found there, you can be
"busted"...Even
> if that "busted" is conditions of employment, military service, etc. It
may
> not violate any other laws, but consumption is consumption.

But, as you note, there is NO reason other than a private or military
circumstance that might generate a drug test to begin with.

> >> Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation, having
> >had a

> >> significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out that
> >they
> >> were STILL in trouble when they got home.
> >
> >Military justice is a totally different animal.
>
> Yes, it is. Simple. Black and white.

It tries to be so, but even military justice has it's grey areas.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1365. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:34:57 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amj6p6$kp9$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53131

"Dennis Ferguson" <dennis@wawa.juniper.net> wrote in message
news:amg208$1908$1@heap.juniper.net...
> Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >
> >"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> >news:amb8dkmkc0@208.207.71.149...
> >>
> >> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> > If someone is in a foriegn country, licensed or not, the FCC has no
> >> > jurisdiction over what that person does there.
> >>
> >> Maybe they do if the person attempts to operate a ham radio station
> >> without obtaining a locally valid license, on the strength of their
part
> >> 97 license, in circumstances where there is no local government.
> >
> >In Phil K's response, he relies on a proactive report to the FCC
> >by a foriegn administration to trigger FCC jurisdiction/action.
> >If there's no local gov't, that sure isn't going to happen.
>
> But if you are in a place where there is no foreign administration, and
> you are a US license holder only, then your operation is certainly being
> conducted under FCC and Part 97 authority. This is stated more-or-less
> explicitly in 97.301:
>
> The following transmitting frequency bands are available to an
> amateur station located within 50 km of the Earth's surface, within
> the specified ITU Region, and outside any area where the amateur
> service is regulated by any authority other than the FCC.

I suspect the FCC doesn't care nor intend that to address
any terrestial land area that constitutes a soverign foriegn
country...regardless of the lack of a "foriegn
administration".

> That is you operate under FCC authority, and hence under Part 97 rules,
> not only in places regulated by the FCC but also anywhere on the planet
> where there is no other regulatory authority. In places where there is
> some other regulatory authority you need explict authorization from them
> and you operate under their rules for you, but in places with no other
> regulatory authority Part 97 applies.

And, if you operate where there is another reg. authority, the
violation, if any, is pursued by that foreign entity.

> >> If the
> >> US license is in use, FCC does have jurisdiction.
> >
> >Operating outside the US doesn't rely on the US license.
>
> It does if you are operating in a place outside the US with no other
> regulatory authority to authorize you, and are hence relying on your US
> license for permission to operate. Part 97 says so.

How many places (other than Antarctic) does that cover?

> >When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
>
> That's because in Canada amateur radio is regulated by an authority other
> than the FCC. You don't automatically get to operate as a Canadian
> licensee, however, you only get to operate where they'll allow you to.
> They allow you those privileges based on your holding a US license out
> of the goodness of their hearts (and because constraining you to operate
> under Part 97 regulations would require them to know what Part 97
> regulations were, which is more work than just making you observe
> the local regulations).

Agreed.

> >> If the party has no
> >> US license, and just decides to assign himself a callsign and go on the
> >> air, that might be another matter. But if one is an American citizen he
> >> doesn't get a pass just because he has left the boundaries of the USA.
> >
> >It is a matter of jurisdiction, not one of getting a pass.
> >If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
> >in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
> >jurisdiction for that action.
> >
> >> They go after drug smugglers who are citizens off shore as well as on.
> >
> >The US does not charge people for foriegn drug actions unless
> >or until the activity engages or enters the US jurisdiction.
>
> Drug laws are not amateur radio regulations. Someone has to authorize
> you to operate amateur radio where ever you are, so if you are a US
> license holder operating in a place where there is no other authority
> your operatiion is being conducted under Part 97.

And I suggest that other than Antarctica, all other land masses
are in control of some soverign entity.

> So if you are in a place with no regulatory authority the FCC allows
> you to operate and you operate under Part 97 regulations. As such the
> only thing you aren't allowed to do is to ID yourself as, say, T5/K2UNK
> or K2UNK/T5 since 97.119(c) prohibits using country prefixes as
> self-assigned indicators.

But if I operated as T5XYZ, just how would the FCC know?
More that the FCC really wouldn;t give a dman, much less
have sufficient proof to take any action. The practical/pragmatic
response from the FCC would be no response. That may not
be what some would like, but that's the likly scenario.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1366. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:38:50 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amj6vr$r41$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53132

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ambg2f$8ob$0@208.207.71.176...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > I note that this statement presumes a proactive action
> > by the foriegn administration. The implication then is
> > that without such "official" notification from some
> > recognized foriegn administration, the FCC does nothing.
> > ergo...the FCC has no jurisdiction without that foriegn
> > official report.
>
> If a traffic cop radars you going 10 miles over the limit and decides
> to give you a pass, then he has no jurisdiction? What a concept.

Your analogy makes no sense. How does the FCC
know anyone offshore is operating without the proper
aprovals? Has ANYONE ever been asked by the FCC
to justify their foreign operations from non-US
territory?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1367. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:45:55 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amj7d4gqo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53133

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020919213944.15315.00003919@mb-mn.aol.com...
> The problem occurs for non appliance operators, when the appliance
operators
> impose code requirements. There are many Ham clubs and there are very few
clubs
> dedicated to radio technology. The technical people go to a Ham Radio
meetings,
> see that Ham Radio is appliance oriented and don't join. The ones that
stay are
> the ones attracted to appliance operation and Morse code to begin with.
This is
> what is making Ham Radio "appliance oriented".

The thing that has made ham radio "appliance oriented"
has been the great strides in technology and miniture/micro
electronics. Even an engineer can't duplicate the bells,
whistles and performance of typical ham gear today.

Can anyone build their own HF transciever to the specs
that we get from commercial gear? Ditto for a VHF
HT or mobile rig?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1368. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:48:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amj7hhmcb0@208.206.142.112>
Source record: 53134

Bill Sohl wrote:
>

> > >> Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation, having
> > >>had a
> > >> significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out that
> > >>they
> > >> were STILL in trouble when they got home.
> > >
> > >Military justice is a totally different animal.
> >
> > Point is, they are still in trouble under US law.
>
> Nope...the military offense/prosecution would be under the UCMJ.

Don't bet on it. If he is still under the influence of the drug and, enroute back to his military unit but while still in the civil world, he commits a crime while in that condition, he can easily be prosecuted for the crime as a drug offender, and even prosecuted as being in possession of a controlled substance. In his bloodstream. It has been done.

DIck

1369. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:54:46 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amj7tn$q96$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com...
> Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The
big
> electronics manufactures?
>
> What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
> involved in?

Lets see:

> CB
We already have a sewer of illegal gear on CB now.
You want more of the same?

> FRS, MURS

We don't want another CB debacle, hence the need for type acceptance.

> Ham?

Ham equipment is NOT subject to type acceptance (other than a specific
case for 10 meter HF amps).

> I personally don't see the problem with people using their dual-band HT's
> for FRS or MURS.

They don't meet the rules, that's why.

> A lot of people do it. As long as you keep it in the
> power and antenna limits, not even the FCC would care.

It is NOT only power. FRS does not allow external
antenna connection (i.e. FRS requires the antenna be integrated
into the type accepted unit). Every HT I've seen allows
external antennas to be substituted for the "rubber duck"
HT antenna.

> We have other things to be concerned about.

So do I, that's why I leave such things to the FCC.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1370. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:57:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amj81e$n99$0@208.206.142.112>
Source record: 53137

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
This, again, goes to the point that the military operates in
> a different space regarding legalities that in the civilian world require
> special licenses.

But ham radio is not one of them. A licese still must be issued by the
FCC or other competent authority as the circumstances dictate. Verbal
permission is not a license to operate. It's almost a dead certainty
that no military commander is authorized to issue permission for anyone
not otherwise licensed to operate, and it is also assured that he is
required to confirm that license exists before issuing the permission.
The permission will be in writing. No "OK, go ahead" will suffice.
Also, military personnel are subject to the same civil law as any other
citizen when not on a military base.

Dick

1371. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 01:57:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020921215706.19073.00005356@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53138

>Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
>From: "Bill Sohl" billsohl@mindspring.com
>Date: 9/21/02 8:15 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <amj5kmkmg1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>

>> Point is, they are still in trouble under US law.
>
>Nope...the military offense/prosecution would be under the UCMJ.

Bill, the Uniform Code of Military Justice IS "US" law. It's just
applicable to a very narrow part of our society.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1372. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 02:00:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amj888$n99$1@208.206.142.112>
Source record: 53139

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Dennis Ferguson" <dennis@wawa.juniper.net> wrote in message
>

>> If the
> > >> US license is in use, FCC does have jurisdiction.
> > >
> > >Operating outside the US doesn't rely on the US license.
> >
> > It does if you are operating in a place outside the US with no other
> > regulatory authority to authorize you, and are hence relying on your US
> > license for permission to operate. Part 97 says so.
>
> How many places (other than Antarctic) does that cover?
>

Somalia, which was the subject of this entire debate.
```

1373. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 02:15:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amj956$nt8$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53140

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:amj7hhmcb0@208.206.142.112...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > > >> Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation,
having
> > > >>had a
> > > >> significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out
that
> > > >>they
> > > >> were STILL in trouble when they got home.
> > > >
> > > >Military justice is a totally different animal.
> > >
> > > Point is, they are still in trouble under US law.
> >
> > Nope...the military offense/prosecution would be under the UCMJ.
>
> Don't bet on it. If he is still under the influence of the drug and,
> enroute back to his military unit but while still in the civil world, he
> commits a crime while in that condition, he can easily be prosecuted for
> the crime as a drug offender, and even prosecuted as being in possession
> of a controlled substance. In his bloodstream. It has been done.

Prosecuted by a civilian court? Dick, I have NEVER read of anyone
being charged with "possession" based ONLY on a blood test.
Maybe the military would do that, but no civilian court would.
Please cite specifics if you know of any.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1374. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 02:15:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020921221555.02941.00001431@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53141

In article <27e38977.0209202050.6c9d5cd4@posting.google.com>,
brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) writes:

>
>I dont give a crap what you think. You are a self-rightous piece of
>dirt. Do you know what is going to destroy our hobby? Freebanders?
>QRM'ers on 75m? Guess again. It's people like you, who want to keep
>being a stuffed shirt. I've read some your stupid posts in the MURS
>Yahoo group. You sure have a attitude dont you?
>
>You and Larry Roll, think you can flaunt your self-rightous ARRL
>attitude in this newsgroup, and get away with it? Think Again.

BWAHHHHHAAAA!!! Now that's REALLY funny! Here, for the last
five years at least, I've been dropping literary Daisy Cutter bombs on
the ARRL for it's two-faced stance with regard to the support of code
testing requirements! My name is a curse word scrawled on the
urinals in every men's room at 225 Main St. And YOU're whining
about MY "self-righteous ARRL attitude?" ROFLMAO!!! You've just
proven you don't have a clue about what's going on in this newsgroup!

73 de Larry, K3LT

>
>I'm the new kind of ham. No more CW. I dont mind having some rules
>around. But enough to let people have fun.

1375. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 02:17:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amj9fsiqs1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53142

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020921215706.19073.00005356@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
> >From: "Bill Sohl" billsohl@mindspring.com
> >Date: 9/21/02 8:15 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <amj5kmkmg1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
>
>
> >> Point is, they are still in trouble under US law.
> >
> >Nope...the military offense/prosecution would be under the UCMJ.
>
> Bill, the Uniform Code of Military Justice IS "US" law. It's just
> applicable to a very narrow part of our society.

OK to a point. The more important aspect,
as you note, is that it doesn't apply to non-military.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1376. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 02:38:42 UTC
From: Har Har Har <HoHoHo@BigAlhohoho.com>
Message-ID: <amjaessad0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Oh my Oh my, looks like Oxydol has his pink panties
in a wad again. Time to get his "Junior Radio Police"
arm band on again and start busting kids using those
six watt CB walkie-talkies.

Al

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3r2j9.141709$AY5.57994798@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
> news:amgrfo$d8c$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "M. Doushiers" <MDoushiers@texastoilstorage.com> wrote in message
> > news:amgp94$98l$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > I'm in Dallas, Texas. Everybody I know stopped worrying about
> > > type acceptance a long time ago. It's just another scam to create
> > > justification for bureaucratic jobs. People I know in business use
> > > the same radio for their business comms, FRS, ham comms, etc.
> > > Screw type acceptance. I wouldn't even give it a second thought.
> >
> > Provided one uses the allocated power, operates in a dignified manner
and
> > does not abuse the frequencies or fellow users, i.e. does not call
> attention
> > to onesself, who is to know anyway?
> > I can point to several Volunteer Firemen in the area that use modified
ham
> > rigs for their fire freqs. because of the huge difference of cost
between
> a
> > Motorola and a 2-meter rig. These firemen, by and large, purchase their
> own
> > rigs and operate on a limited budget. Is it legal? No. But again, who is
> > going to be snooping into their personal vehicles to check?
> > Lets all be honest about this and admit that we Hams do the same thing.
If
> > we didn't, why are there so many web sites, including QRZ, that publish
> > "mods"? I bet that there are a whole lot of Hams who have rigs that
> transmit
> > out of band...such as the itinerant frequencies or the newly available
> MURS
> > freqs. How many Hams have not at one time or another been tempted to
tune

> to
> > 154.570 and use the PL tone to "mess" with a MacDonald's kiosk or tune
to
> > 151.625 and mess with the local Target store?
> > Our area Baptist church uses 151.625 with no PL. God spare me the
> > temptation.....
>
>
> Why would anyone WANT to "mess" with anybody? That has eluded me since I
> was in high
> school; it doesn't make sense. Mature, sensible people don't GET any
> jollies out of that. The
> behaviour, language, and lewd references I have heard both on the
newsgroups
> AND on-air
> (and, yes, I'm talking about HAMS!) leaves me totally baffled and
wondering
> how supposedly
> "grown" people can be that infantile, childish, AND stupid. It really is
a
> shame, you know,
> a real shame.....
>
> Jerry
> >
>
>
>

1377. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 02:46:48 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D8D0F7A.213CE0F3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 53144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com...
> > Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The
> big
> > electronics manufactures?
> >
> > What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
> > involved in?
>
> Lets see:
>
> > CB
> We already have a sewer of illegal gear on CB now.
> You want more of the same?
>
> > FRS, MURS
>
> We don't want another CB debacle, hence the need for type acceptance.

Give the cbers a chance, they will screw up FRS and MURS just like
they did the cb band.
```

1378. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 02:59:25 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <amjbol0pk0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Finally, a voice of reason.
--

Regards,
Joe S.

"BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:uopuq2feie27fa@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anyone here really understand the need and reason behind type
> acceptance?
>
> Many people stand to profit from type acceptance. Mostly the general
public.
>
> Here's a brief synopsis of why type acceptance is valuable.
>
> When a transceiver is designed, there is a specific use and band(s) in
mind.
> To operate in this/these bands the unit must pass certain engineering
rules.
> Not the least of which is minimizing the amount of harmonics and other
> spurious eminating from the unit. To accomplish this, a careful selection
of
> mixing circuits, frequencies and filtering are employed in the design.
>
> Most often the design that works in one band or group of bands does not
> prevent harmful garbage from leaving the unit when the unit is operated on
> frequencies for which it was not designed. It may have a good rcvr and
power
> on the out-of-band freq but now the filtering and other design
imperfections
> are allowing harmonics and spurious to leave the radio.
>
> Should the spurious and/or harmonics end up on or near public safety or
> aircraft frequencies there could be a problem. If the garbage shows up on
> say a paging or mobile data channel it causes a certain amount of
> inconvenieince.
>
> It's very difficult to predict which freqs can or should be used for
mixing
> processes to minimize problems both inside the radio and externally.
>
> So, by sticking to type accepted radios and the designed operating

> parameters we all stand to gain.
>
>

1379. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 03:08:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amjc7m$vk9$0@208.206.142.112>
Source record: 53145

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ambg2f$8ob$0@208.207.71.176...
> >
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > > I note that this statement presumes a proactive action
> > > by the foriegn administration. The implication then is
> > > that without such "official" notification from some
> > > recognized foriegn administration, the FCC does nothing.
> > > ergo...the FCC has no jurisdiction without that foriegn
> > > official report.
> >
> > If a traffic cop radars you going 10 miles over the limit and decides
> > to give you a pass, then he has no jurisdiction? What a concept.
>
> Your analogy makes no sense.

No, Bill, yours doesn't. What your say is that if there is no
prosecution there is no offense. People get away with illegal activity
all the time. That doesn't make it legal.
```

1380. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 03:35:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8D3A6E.255F804C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53147

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020915230902.25779.00000718@mb-cb.aol.com...
> > In article <uoabttd235b9b9@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> >
> > >Jim: you missed the part several months ago where
> >
> > W0EX
> >
> > >has read this
> > >book.
> > >
> > Which book?
> >
> > Sometime back I read an interesting book called "What Went Wrong" (can't
> recall
> > the author offhand). Good description of the rise of Islam vs. the
> > decline/stagnation of European culture during the Middle Ages, then the
> > reversal as the Renassaince/industrial revolution caused the West to leap
> > ahead.
> >
> > Quite interesting, though a bit dry in spots. I recommend it.
> >
> > Much of what we now know of ancient history and basic science and math are
> the
> > result of works preserved by Muslim scholars while European Christians
> were
> > busy burning books because of their 'pagan' origins. Now the shoe is on
> the
> > other foot.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Aw, hell, Jim, look at any of Dick's posts near the time of the WTC attack
> last year, and even some long into December as I recall. Some book Dick
> read taught him all about how evil all in the Islamic faith are...it was
> quite notable to see his comments concerning a whole population of people
> based on some (in my opinion) idiot author's opinion.
>
> Caution not withstanding...Dick's comments in that time are indicative of
> his broad paintbrush and I choose to ignore the likes of discriminatory

> people.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Why is it that you feel called upon to comment on those people which claim that you choose to ignore?

Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?

Dave K8MN

1381. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 03:40:05 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uoqf45rvmeveb8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53149

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D8D3A6E.255F804C@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020915230902.25779.00000718@mb-cb.aol.com...
> > > In article <uoabttd235b9b9@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >Jim: you missed the part several months ago where
> > >
> > > W0EX
> > >
> > > >has read this
> > > >book.
> > > >
> > > Which book?
> > >
> > > Sometime back I read an interesting book called "What Went Wrong"
(can't
> > recall
> > > the author offhand). Good description of the rise of Islam vs. the
> > > decline/stagnation of European culture during the Middle Ages, then
the
> > > reversal as the Renassaince/industrial revolution caused the West to
leap
> > > ahead.
> > >
> > > Quite interesting, though a bit dry in spots. I recommend it.
> > >
> > > Much of what we now know of ancient history and basic science and math
are
> > the
> > > result of works preserved by Muslim scholars while European Christians
> > were
> > > busy burning books because of their 'pagan' origins. Now the shoe is
on
> > the
> > > other foot.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> >
> > Aw, hell, Jim, look at any of Dick's posts near the time of the WTC

attack
> > last year, and even some long into December as I recall. Some book Dick
> > read taught him all about how evil all in the Islamic faith are...it was
> > quite notable to see his comments concerning a whole population of
people
> > based on some (in my opinion) idiot author's opinion.
> >
> > Caution not withstanding...Dick's comments in that time are indicative
of
> > his broad paintbrush and I choose to ignore the likes of discriminatory
> > people.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Why is it that you feel called upon to comment on those people which
> claim that you choose to ignore?
>
> Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
>
> Dave K8MN

I can't speak for Alec Baldwin. As to myself, much to your chagrin...I'm
wherever I choose to be, Dave. How 'bout you? How's the silver spoon?

Kim W5TIT

1382. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 03:42:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8D3BE4.1113D7E1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53148

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> I doubt you will convince Dick of anything but "nuke 'em all" --even as he
> chooses to ignore that the US, as well as many other nations, has committed
> many terrorist-type attacks on nations/people in its history.

The U.S. has committed terrorist attacks on nations and people, Kim?
You know this because you have a feeling or what?

> Each and
> every nation that has had capability of warfare in some form or another is
> guilty of horrendous acts against people. And each is justifiable only unto
> the nation who committed the act. The US is no different, the Taliban are
> no different.

Your comparison of the United States with the Taliban is simply
idiotic.

> My opinion.

That would be obvious since your comments aren't based in reality.

Dave K8MN

1383. W5TIT - Love That Callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 04:14:46 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <c4f2dcd480f9bf0709ebd07ad26eb2e7@xganon.com>
Source record: 53150

God I love that callsign. Any chick who chooses a callsign like that in a mostly male forum deserves any attention she gets. I support Kim's TIT callsign. The more TITs we have in rrap, the better.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

1384. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 04:39:01 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uoqiin7vdnf967@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53251

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D8D3BE4.1113D7E1@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > I doubt you will convince Dick of anything but "nuke 'em all" --even as
he
> > chooses to ignore that the US, as well as many other nations, has
committed
> > many terrorist-type attacks on nations/people in its history.
>
> The U.S. has committed terrorist attacks on nations and people, Kim?
> You know this because you have a feeling or what?
>

Well, you see. I guess it would depend upon a lot of definition, Dave.
What if I worded thusly: the US has committed terroristic attacks on its
own nation and people:

How 'bout these for example:

Tuskegee syphilis experiment (easy enough to look up):
http://www.infoplease.com/ipa/A0762136.html
The deliberate failure to treat a group of male Negroes in Macon County
(near Tuskegee), Alabama who had syphilis begun in 1932 and ended, by
unfavorable publicity, in 1972.

Or, even easier to lookup: the many historical accounts of A-bomb
experimentation while telling our military folks that "it's OK"

Or, how 'bout LSD testing by the US Army--know you've got to have heard of
this one even if your head has been in the sand.

Or, let's not forget this: "The shaft of light Hazel O'Leary has shone into
the darkness of the nuclear establishment's human experiments has revealed a
reality as awesome as the first secret, blinding flash of the atomic
explosion in the New Mexico desert on July 16, 1945. It was a stunning
admission. For the first time, the head of the nuclear weapons establishment
was admitting that government agencies had conducted secret, dangerous, and
assuredly immoral experiments on the American people.." That's a direct
quote from the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, I think 1994.

Oh, the examples go on and on. And that's just what's been going on under
our noses.

Do I think those examples and others like them are terroristic? I withold
opinion. You decide for yourself. Does it bring home my point that
perception is everything? I think so.

> >
> > Each and
> > every nation that has had capability of warfare in some form or another
is
> > guilty of horrendous acts against people. And each is justifiable only
unto
> > the nation who committed the act. The US is no different, the Taliban
are
> > no different.
>
> Your comparison of the United States with the Taliban is simply
> idiotic.
>
> > My opinion.
>
> That would be obvious since your comments aren't based in reality.
>
> Dave K8MN

Well, then. Suppose you tell me what the reality was with the examples I've given above. And, if you're honest with yourself, you know there've been more. And, the ones above are but a few of those we *know* about. What about those we may not?

Kim W5TIT

1385. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 04:56:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8D4D33.2F0DD9C0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53252

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8D3A6E.255F804C@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020915230902.25779.00000718@mb-cb.aol.com...
> > > > In article <uoabttd235b9b9@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > > >Jim: you missed the part several months ago where
> > > >
> > > > W0EX
> > > >
> > > > >has read this
> > > > >book.
> > > > >
> > > > Which book?
> > > >
> > > > Sometime back I read an interesting book called "What Went Wrong"
> (can't
> > > recall
> > > > the author offhand). Good description of the rise of Islam vs. the
> > > > decline/stagnation of European culture during the Middle Ages, then
> the
> > > > reversal as the Renassaince/industrial revolution caused the West to
> leap
> > > > ahead.
> > > >
> > > > Quite interesting, though a bit dry in spots. I recommend it.
> > > >
> > > > Much of what we now know of ancient history and basic science and math
> are
> > > the
> > > > result of works preserved by Muslim scholars while European Christians
> > > were
> > > > busy burning books because of their 'pagan' origins. Now the shoe is
> on
> > > the
> > > > other foot.
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > >

> > >
> > > Aw, hell, Jim, look at any of Dick's posts near the time of the WTC
> attack
> > > last year, and even some long into December as I recall. Some book Dick
> > > read taught him all about how evil all in the Islamic faith are...it was
> > > quite notable to see his comments concerning a whole population of
> people
> > > based on some (in my opinion) idiot author's opinion.
> > >
> > > Caution not withstanding...Dick's comments in that time are indicative
> of
> > > his broad paintbrush and I choose to ignore the likes of discriminatory
> > > people.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Why is it that you feel called upon to comment on those people which
> > claim that you choose to ignore?
> >
> > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I can't speak for Alec Baldwin.

I thought that as birds of a feather, you'd have learned to flock. Alec
was supposed to have the moving van arrive after the presidential
elections and you vowed that you were gone from this venue.

> As to myself, much to your chagrin...I'm
> wherever I choose to be, Dave.

I'm not chagrinned, Kim, only amused that you and Alec forgot your vows.

> How 'bout you? How's the silver spoon?

Never had one. I've quizzed you about the comment several times but
you've never come up with a real response. I wasn't born with one.
Perhaps my parents picked it up second hand.

So, why is it that you feel called upon to comment on those people which
claim that you choose to ignore?

Dave K8MN

1386. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 05:08:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8D500C.6C1C894A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53253

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8D3BE4.1113D7E1@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > > I doubt you will convince Dick of anything but "nuke 'em all" --even as
> he
> > > chooses to ignore that the US, as well as many other nations, has
> committed
> > > many terrorist-type attacks on nations/people in its history.
> >
> > The U.S. has committed terrorist attacks on nations and people, Kim?
> > You know this because you have a feeling or what?
> >
>
> Well, you see. I guess it would depend upon a lot of definition, Dave.
> What if I worded thusly: the US has committed terroristic attacks on its
> *own* nation and people:

Then I'd answer that you don't know the meaning of the word "terrorism".

> How 'bout these for example:
>
> Tuskegee syphilis experiment (easy enough to look up):
> http://www.infoplease.com/ipa/A0762136.html
> The deliberate failure to treat a group of male Negroes in Macon County
> (near Tuskegee), Alabama who had syphilis begun in 1932 and ended, by
> unfavorable publicity, in 1972.

The USG did not infect the men with syphilis nor did it go about
spreading syphilis as a means of instilling terror. That a moral wrong
was done is not argued. That you once again don't know what you're
babbling about is argued.

> Or, even easier to lookup: the many historical accounts of A-bomb
> experimentation while telling our military folks that "it's OK"

How did the U.S. experiments with the atom bomb turn into terrorism?

> Or, how 'bout LSD testing by the US Army--know you've got to have heard of
> this one even if your head has been in the sand.

How was LSD used as a means of instilling terror, Kim?

> Or, let's not forget this: "The shaft of light Hazel O'Leary has shone into
> the darkness of the nuclear establishment's human experiments has revealed a

> reality as awesome as the first secret, blinding flash of the atomic
> explosion in the New Mexico desert on July 16, 1945. It was a stunning
> admission. For the first time, the head of the nuclear weapons establishment
> was admitting that government agencies had conducted secret, dangerous, and
> assuredly immoral experiments on the American people.." That's a direct
> quote from the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, I think 1994.

Again, how was did the United States terrorizing its citizens?

> Oh, the examples go on and on. And that's just what's been going on under
> *our* noses.

These are decidedly not examples of the United States Government's use
of terrorism. You're all wet.

> Do I think those examples and others like them are terroristic? I withold
> opinion.

How the hell can you withhold opinion? You've provided them as (faulty)
examples to prove your (faulty) point.

You decide for yourself.

I have. I've decided that your statements were idiotic.

> Does it bring home my point that
> perception is everything? I think so.

Yeah. Something perceived by an individual with no understanding of a
term can lead to some really stupid statements.

> > >
> > > Each and
> > > every nation that has had capability of warfare in some form or another
> is
> > > guilty of horrendous acts against people. And each is justifiable only
> unto
> > > the nation who committed the act. The US is no different, the Taliban
> are
> > > no different.
> >
> > Your comparison of the United States with the Taliban is simply
> > idiotic.
> >
> > > My opinion.
> >
> > That would be obvious since your comments aren't based in reality.

> Well, then. Suppose you tell me what the reality was with the examples I've
> given above. And, if you're honest with yourself, you know there've been
> more. And, the ones above are but a few of those we *know* about. What
> about those we may not?

No, those silly examples are not terrorism, only moral wrongs. So find us some actual examples if you can.

Dave K8MN

1387. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 15:41:39 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uorpdcp39cq59a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53254

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D8D500C.6C1C894A@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D8D3BE4.1113D7E1@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I doubt you will convince Dick of anything but "nuke 'em all" --even
as
> > he
> > > > chooses to ignore that the US, as well as many other nations, has
> > committed
> > > > many terrorist-type attacks on nations/people in its history.
> > > >
> > > The U.S. has committed terrorist attacks on nations and people, Kim?
> > > You know this because you have a feeling or what?
> > > >
> > >
> > Well, you see. I guess it would depend upon a lot of definition, Dave.
> > What if I worded thusly: the US has committed terroristic attacks on its
> > *own* nation and people:
>
> Then I'd answer that you don't know the meaning of the word "terrorism".
>

One man's junk is another man's treasure. One man's win is another man's
loss. One man's terrorism is another man's amusement (or fill in the
blank). Etc. Etc.

> >
> > How 'bout these for example:
> >
> > Tuskegee syphilis experiment (easy enough to look up):
> > http://www.infoplease.com/ipa/A0762136.html
> > The deliberate failure to treat a group of male Negroes in Macon County
> > (near Tuskegee), Alabama who had syphilis begun in 1932 and ended, by
> > unfavorable publicity, in 1972.
>
> The USG did not infect the men with syphilis nor did it go about
> spreading syphilis as a means of instilling terror. That a moral wrong
> was done is not argued. That you once again don't know what you're
> babbling about is argued.
>

I consider this more than a moral wrong. So, it must be presumed by you that these men were not terrorized in any way, nor affected by the truth when it came out.

> >
> > Or, even easier to lookup: the many historical accounts of A-bomb
> > experimentation while telling our military folks that "it's OK"
>
> How did the U.S. experiments with the atom bomb turn into terrorism?
>

Gosh. I don't know, Dave. I don't know any of the men, but maybe they are quite happy and content that their own government used them as human experiments. Who knows, you could be absolutely right...as I am sure you think you are.

> >
> > Or, how 'bout LSD testing by the US Army--know you've got to have heard
of
> > this one even if your head has been in the sand.
>
> How was LSD used as a means of instilling terror, Kim?
>

Well, if it is your opinion that the first two didn't in some way instill terror in the victims, or horror in other "non-affected" citizens that a government in a "democratic" nation would do such things, then farbeit from me to try to explain to you, Davey.

> >
> > Or, let's not forget this: "The shaft of light Hazel O'Leary has shone
into
> > the darkness of the nuclear establishment's human experiments has
revealed a
> > reality as awesome as the first secret, blinding flash of the atomic
> > explosion in the New Mexico desert on July 16, 1945. It was a stunning
> > admission. For the first time, the head of the nuclear weapons
establishment
> > was admitting that government agencies had conducted secret, dangerous,
and
> > assuredly immoral experiments on the American people.." That's a direct
> > quote from the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, I think 1994.
>
> Again, how was did the United States terrorizing its citizens?
>

It's not up to me to explain this to you, Dave. It's not up to me to justify or not the actions of the US government. As said, one man's _____ is another man's _____. Your blanks are obviously different than mine.

> >
> > Oh, the examples go on and on. And that's just what's been going on

under
> > *our* noses.
>
> These are decidedly not examples of the United States Government's use
> of terrorism. You're all wet.
>

Actually, long before I came up with those examples I figured you'd have
exactly that repsonse.

> >
> > Do I think those examples and others like them are terroristic? I
withold
> > opinion.
>
> How the hell can you withhold opinion? You've provided them as (faulty)
> examples to prove your (faulty) point.
>

Well, I *did* precede the whole submittal, didn't I? Oh well.

>
> You decide for yourself.
>
> I have. I've decided that your statements were idiotic.
>

GASP Davey making a judgement--to which you claim not to do. Imagine
that.

> >
> > Does it bring home my point that
> > perception is everything? I think so.
>
> Yeah. Something perceived by an individual with no understanding of a
> term can lead to some really stupid statements.
>

Your understanding is very different from mine and thousands of others.

> > > >
> > > > Each and
> > > > every nation that has had capability of warfare in some form or
another
> > is
> > > > guilty of horrendous acts against people. And each is justifiable
only
> > unto
> > > > the nation who committed the act. The US is no different, the
Taliban
> > are
> > > > no different.
> > >

> > > Your comparison of the United States with the Taliban is simply
> > > idiotic.
> > >
> > > > My opinion.
> > >
> > > That would be obvious since your comments aren't based in reality.
>
> > Well, then. Suppose you tell me what the reality was with the examples
I've
> > given above. And, if you're honest with yourself, you know there've
been
> > more. And, the ones above are but a few of those we *know* about. What
> > about those we may not?
>
> No, those silly examples are not terrorism, only moral wrongs. So find
> us some actual examples if you can.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

So, by your own admission demonstrated above, terrorism is morally right and
acceptable. I see.

Kim W5TIT
If something that is morally wrong is not terrorism, then what is it? Just
a bad? Just a teensy bit wrong? Just a little bit hurt? Only one directly
affected? Ten? Thousand?

1388. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 16:09:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8DEB01.401B7301@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53255

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8D500C.6C1C894A@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D8D3BE4.1113D7E1@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I doubt you will convince Dick of anything but "nuke 'em all" --even
> as
> > > he
> > > > > chooses to ignore that the US, as well as many other nations, has
> > > committed
> > > > > many terrorist-type attacks on nations/people in its history.
> > > >
> > > > The U.S. has committed terrorist attacks on nations and people, Kim?
> > > > You know this because you have a feeling or what?
> > > >
> > >
> > > Well, you see. I guess it would depend upon a lot of definition, Dave.
> > > What if I worded thusly: the US has committed terroristic attacks on its
> > > *own* nation and people:
> >
> > Then I'd answer that you don't know the meaning of the word "terrorism".
> >
>
> One man's junk is another man's treasure. One man's win is another man's
> loss. One man's terrorism is another man's amusement (or fill in the
> blank). Etc. Etc.

No, Kim. There is a definition of "terrorism". Your examples do not
meet that definition.

> > >
> > > How 'bout these for example:
> > >
> > > Tuskegee syphilis experiment (easy enough to look up):
> > > http://www.infoplease.com/ipa/A0762136.html
> > > The deliberate failure to treat a group of male Negroes in Macon County
> > > (near Tuskegee), Alabama who had syphilis begun in 1932 and ended, by
> > > unfavorable publicity, in 1972.
> >
> > The USG did not infect the men with syphilis nor did it go about

> > spreading syphilis as a means of instilling terror. That a moral wrong
> > was done is not argued. That you once again don't know what you're
> > babbling about is argued.
> >
>
> I consider this more than a moral wrong. So, it must be presumed by you
> that these men were not terrorized in any way, nor affected by the truth
> when it came out.

Yeah, I know. You condsider it terrorism. You don't understand the
word.

> > >
> > > Or, even easier to lookup: the many historical accounts of A-bomb
> > > experimentation while telling our military folks that "it's OK"
> >
> > How did the U.S. experiments with the atom bomb turn into terrorism?
> >
>
> Gosh. I don't know, Dave. I don't know any of the men, but maybe they are
> quite happy and content that their own government used them as human
> experiments. Who knows, you could be absolutely right...as I am sure you
> think you are.

I believe the part in which you state, "Gosh. I don't know, Dave." I
not only think that this doesn't meet the definition of "terrorism", I
know it does not.

> > >
> > > Or, how 'bout LSD testing by the US Army--know you've got to have heard
> of
> > > this one even if your head has been in the sand.
> >
> > How was LSD used as a means of instilling terror, Kim?
> >
>
> Well, if it is your opinion that the first two didn't in some way instill
> terror in the victims, or horror in other "non-affected" citizens that a
> government in a "democratic" nation would do such things, then farbeit from
> me to try to explain to you, Davey.

Okay, Tugboat Annie, farbeit "from" you. Your example still doesn't
meet the definition of terrorism.

> > >
> > > Or, let's not forget this: "The shaft of light Hazel O'Leary has shone
> into
> > > the darkness of the nuclear establishment's human experiments has
> revealed a
> > > reality as awesome as the first secret, blinding flash of the atomic
> > > explosion in the New Mexico desert on July 16, 1945. It was a stunning
> > > admission. For the first time, the head of the nuclear weapons

> establishment
> > > was admitting that government agencies had conducted secret, dangerous,
> and
> > > assuredly immoral experiments on the American people.." That's a direct
> > > quote from the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, I think 1994.
> >
> > Again, how was did the United States terrorizing its citizens?
> >
>
> It's not up to me to explain this to you, Dave.

That's a good thing, Kim. You aren't capable of explaining it.

> It's not up to me to
> justify or not the actions of the US government.

Right again.

> As said, one man's
> ________ is another man's __________. Your blanks are obviously different
> than mine.

Allow me to fill in the blanks. In the first one, you can insert "dizzy
wife". For the second one, use "empty-headed queen of the tacky".

> > >
> > > Oh, the examples go on and on. And that's just what's been going on
> under
> > > *our* noses.
> >
> > These are decidedly not examples of the United States Government's use
> > of terrorism. You're all wet.
> >
>
> Actually, long before I came up with those examples I figured you'd have
> exactly that repsonse.

Guess that makes you some kind of psychic.

> > >
> > > Do I think those examples and others like them are terroristic? I
> withold
> > > opinion.
> >
> > How the hell can you withhold opinion? You've provided them as (faulty)
> > examples to prove your (faulty) point.
> >
>
> Well, I *did* precede the whole submittal, didn't I? Oh well.

Either they are your opinion or they are not. You provided the items as
supposed support of your position. In one place you use "my opinion".
Later you tell us that you "withold" opinion.

> >
> > You decide for yourself.
> >
> > I have. I've decided that your statements were idiotic.
> >
>
> *GASP* Davey making a judgement--to which you claim not to do. Imagine
> that.

Where have I ever claimed not to make a judgement? I've stated here, on
more than one occasion, that we are called upon to make judgements each
and every day of our lives. You've even argued with me over that issue.

> > >
> > > Does it bring home my point that
> > > perception is everything? I think so.
> >
> > Yeah. Something perceived by an individual with no understanding of a
> > term can lead to some really stupid statements.
> >
>
> Your understanding is very different from mine and thousands of others.

There's you. Then there are the thousands of others. I've seen no
support of your simple statements from "thousands of others". You have
a family of field mice in your handbag?

> > > > >
> > > > > Each and
> > > > > every nation that has had capability of warfare in some form or
> another
> > > is
> > > > > guilty of horrendous acts against people. And each is justifiable
> only
> > > unto
> > > > > the nation who committed the act. The US is no different, the
> Taliban
> > > are
> > > > > no different.
> > > >
> > > > Your comparison of the United States with the Taliban is simply
> > > > idiotic.
> > > >
> > > > My opinion.
> > > >
> > > That would be obvious since your comments aren't based in reality.
> >
> > Well, then. Suppose you tell me what the reality was with the examples
> I've
> > given above. And, if you're honest with yourself, you know there've
> been
> > more. And, the ones above are but a few of those we *know* about. What

> > > about those we may not?
> >
> > No, those silly examples are not terrorism, only moral wrongs. So find
> > us some actual examples if you can.

> So, by your own admission demonstrated above, terrorism is morally right and
> acceptable. I see.

How can you possibly read that into anything I've written, Kim? This
latest conclusion is as dumb as your conclusions about what constitutes
"terrorism".

> If something that is morally wrong is not terrorism, then what is it?

It is a moral wrong. If I pee on your flower garden, it isn't
terrorism. If you steal a candybar, it isn't terrorism.

> Just a bad?

A bad what?

> Just a teensy bit wrong? Just a little bit hurt? Only one directly
> affected? Ten? Thousand?

Save us both a lot of bother. Look up the word.

Dave K8MN

1389. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 16:32:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0209220832.2b5da403@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
GH <nbcred@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D8D0F7A.213CE0F3@mailcity.net>...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
> > news:3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com...
> > > Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The
> big
> > > electronics manufactures?
> > >
> > > What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
> > > involved in?
> >
> > Lets see:
> >
> > > CB
> > We already have a sewer of illegal gear on CB now.
> > You want more of the same?
> >
> > > FRS, MURS
> >
> > We don't want another CB debacle, hence the need for type acceptance.
>
> Give the cbers a chance, they will screw up FRS and MURS just like
> they did the cb band.

That is a blatant assumption and you cannot support it with any
reasonable arguement. CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;
there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS, the range is simply too
limited, and so are the radios.
```

1390. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 16:34:00 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uorsfqareafs2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53257

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D8DEB01.401B7301@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > One man's junk is another man's treasure. One man's win is another
man's
> > loss. One man's terrorism is another man's amusement (or fill in the
> > blank). Etc. Etc.
>
> No, Kim. There is a definition of "terrorism". Your examples do not
> meet that definition.
>

Well, you're also a person who lives by the book, Dave. I don't in most
cases. Your book definition of terrorism only touches the surface of what
terrorism is--in my opinion. I consider that selling illicit drugs, growing
drugs for the black market, and using drugs are terrorism.

>
> Yeah, I know. You condsider it terrorism. You don't understand the
> word.
>

Dave, I quite understand what terrorism is to me. And, how quaint of you to
decide that my definition of terrorism is negated because you don't think
the same way. I consider rape on a woman a terroristic act.

> >
> > Gosh. I don't know, Dave. I don't know any of the men, but maybe they
are
> > quite happy and content that their own government used them as human
> > experiments. Who knows, you could be absolutely right...as I am sure
you
> > think you are.
>
> I believe the part in which you state, "Gosh. I don't know, Dave." I
> not only think that this doesn't meet the definition of "terrorism", I
> know it does not.
>

Maybe not for you.

> >
> > It's not up to me to explain this to you, Dave.
>
> That's a good thing, Kim. You aren't capable of explaining it.

>

From my perspective, it looks like you are incapable of understanding, or
choose not to deal with it.

>
> > As said, one man's
> > ________ is another man's __________. Your blanks are obviously
different
> > than mine.
>
> Allow me to fill in the blanks. In the first one, you can insert "dizzy
> wife". For the second one, use "empty-headed queen of the tacky".
>

You get uglier when you try to be cute.

> > > >
> > > > Oh, the examples go on and on. And that's just what's been going on
> > under
> > > > > *our* noses.
> > > >
> > > These are decidedly not examples of the United States Government's use
> > > of terrorism. You're all wet.
> > >

Why don't you give us some examples, Dave. Or, is your true opinion that
the United States government has never, never used terrorism?

> >
> > Actually, long before I came up with those examples I figured you'd have
> > exactly that repsonse.
>
> Guess that makes you some kind of psychic.
>

Well, by your book, you are probably right. From my perspective, you are
quite predictable. There's a switch on you that is so very easy to ignite.
Off/on, off/on, off/on. Might add, mostly off.

> > >
> > > You decide for yourself.
> > >
> > > I have. I've decided that your statements were idiotic.
> > >

Off/on. Off/on. Off.

> > >
> > > Yeah. Something perceived by an individual with no understanding of a
> > > term can lead to some really stupid statements.
> > >
> >

> > Your understanding is very different from mine and thousands of others.
>

bleh. bleh. bleh.

>
> There's you. Then there are the thousands of others. I've seen no
> support of your simple statements from "thousands of others". You have
> a family of field mice in your handbag?
>

As much as I really, really don't like you, Dave, I know you are not *that*
stupid.

> > >
> > > No, those silly examples are not terrorism, only moral wrongs. So
find
> > > us some actual examples if you can.
>

OK, now I'll be cute. See if I get as ugly as you. Here I go: So, you
consider the examples I gave as...silly? Moral wrongs are silly?

> >
> > So, by your own admission demonstrated above, terrorism is morally right
and
> > acceptable. I see.
>
> How can you possibly read that into anything I've written, Kim? This
> latest conclusion is as dumb as your conclusions about what constitutes
> "terrorism".
>

Hey, it was your wording, not mine. I'm just trying to understand, Davey.

>
> > If something that is morally wrong is not terrorism, then what is it?
>
> It is a moral wrong. If I pee on your flower garden, it isn't
> terrorism. If you steal a candybar, it isn't terrorism.
>

Well, that depends. Let's just say that maybe as a child my father pee'ed
on me whenever he felt like. It absolutely horrified me and it causes the
response of sheer terror in me now to realize that you are outside peeing in
my flower garden. Maybe, because of the effect of my father's actions, your
peeing means a threat to me. Is that terrorism to me? By the way, I don't
think the examples I gave affected only the direct victims of those actions.
However, you choose to close your eyes like you wish to do.

> >
> > Just a bad?
>

> A bad what?
>
> > Just a teensy bit wrong? Just a little bit hurt? Only one directly
> > affected? Ten? Thousand?
>
> Save us both a lot of bother. Look up the word.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

No, Dave. You read your book. buhbye now.

Kim W5TIT

1391. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 18:10:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020922141026.05850.00000688@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 53258

In article <amj7d4gqo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020919213944.15315.00003919@mb-mn.aol.com...
>> The problem occurs for non appliance operators, when the appliance
>>operators impose code requirements.

The code test requirement is a result of international treaty. I support it,
and there's no way I'm an appliance operator.

>>There are many Ham clubs and there are very few clubs
>> dedicated to radio technology.

That's because a person doesn't have to be technology-oriented to be a ham.
Blame the easy written tests for that.

Many hams I know are public-service oriented, or socially-oriented, or
operating-oriented. And all of them are "real hams", and a benefit to the ARS.
To them, the technology is just a means to an end.

>>The technical people go to a Ham Radio
>>meetings, see that Ham Radio is appliance oriented and don't join.
>>The ones that stay are
>> the ones attracted to appliance operation and Morse code to begin with.
>>This is
>> what is making Ham Radio "appliance oriented".

Not true. The two issues (Morse code and appliance operating) are unconnected.
In fact, if anything pushed amateur radio towards the appliance category, it
was the widespread adoption of manufactured SSB transceivers.

There has been a nocodetest ham license here in the USA for 11+ years. Lots of
hams hold that license. Have they brought about a technological revoulton in
ham radio? No.
>
>The thing that has made ham radio "appliance oriented"
>has been the great strides in technology and miniture/micro
>electronics.

That's part of the story. The other part is the cost reduction brought about by
modern manufacturing methods. In terms of inflation-adjusted dollars, ham radio
gear keeps getting less expensive for the performance delivered.

Texas Towers is advertising the Yaesu FT-897 for $1049, HRO for $1029. Which is
$300 less than the chatter mills were predicting. Bolt-on ATU is another $220.
12 ham bands plus a DC to 440 rcvr in a (reasonably) compact pack 'n go

package. And that's just one example.

Add to this the time to design/build, the test equipment and tooling required, and the near-zero resale value, and it's clear why homebrew rigs are relatively rare.

>Even an engineer can't duplicate the bells,
>whistles and performance of typical ham gear today.

Two engineers in California have produced rigs that are competitive with the manufactured competition. They sell kits of their designs. Great rigs, but a niche market.

>Can anyone build their own HF transciever to the specs
>that we get from commercial gear? Ditto for a VHF
>HT or mobile rig?

Some can, Bill - it all depends on what specs are considered important and which aren't. But that's not the main point. The selection of new and used ham gear today is so wide, and the cost so low, that even technically-minded hams have a hard time justifying the time and money to homebrew a rig from scratch. Those of us who do design and build rigs are building rigs that are not like the stuff on the market today.

What ham rigs has "vshah101" built? Can they compare with, say, the FT-897?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1392. Re: Hurricane Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 18:28:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aml23k$e4l$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53259

Your right, of course, Ken. I totally agree it is a matter of training. In the past I have had experience in high stress, high volume, radio circuits. It's not easy...expecially in the more relaxed Amateur Radio invironment.

I don't mean to chastise the HWN. Just some observations I had at the moment.

All in all they do an excellent job.

Dan/W4NTI

"musicman" <vicboro43@aol.com> wrote in message news:3d8ca2ef@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:amfusj$a1v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I'm sitting here listening to N8ORT telling everyone that unless your a
> > member you can't check in and can't even ask questions. Isn't that a bit
> > discriminatory considering its on a ham freq and all?
> >
> > Sometime back I was interesting in offering my help as a NCS. Sent in the
> > info they wanted. Then got a 'form letter' reply saying they didn't want
> > anyones help. What kind of crap is this anyhow?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Perhaps they really should be calling for stations
> located "in the affected area", or "stations with traffic".
> It's all how you present it. Their goal is to discourage
> stations who are not in the affected area from overloading
> the frequency. Unfortunatly it seems the NCS need a
> tad more experience in high-volume NCS techniques.
> K0IND was a class-A1 NCS station for many years
> buy have not heard him lately. is he still around. Also
> WA1KKP and K4RHL. Two YL's who ran the HWN
> like professionals. I feel it's all in the training Dan. You need
> to have alert and professional people running things on
> any type of a high-volume radio operation who can
> manage people before they key their microphones.
> It can be done with some tact, but not many who've
> mastered it, apart from air traffic controllers and similar
> skilled individuals.

>
> Ken / SWL
>
>

1393. Re: Hurricane Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 18:29:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aml24v$j7h$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53260

14.275 the NCS on now is K1MAN.

Dan/W4NTI

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:e40f0b4cdb508f3e5d07f8ff7be730d2@xganon.com...
> This sort of attitude really pisses me off. What is their freq.? I will go
there and quarm the shit out of them.
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

1394. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 18:29:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2ugqq4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53262

On Sat, 21 Sep 2002 21:34:57 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>> The following transmitting frequency bands are available to an
>> amateur station located within 50 km of the Earth's surface, within
>> the specified ITU Region, and outside any area where the amateur
>> service is regulated by any authority other than the FCC.
>
>I suspect the FCC doesn't care nor intend that to address
>any terrestial land area that constitutes a soverign foriegn
>country...regardless of the lack of a "foriegn administration".

Unless they want to apply the "Al Capone" procedure and then - GOTCHA !!

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1395. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 18:29:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2ui6y5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53261

On 22 Sep 2002 02:00:40 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> > It does if you are operating in a place outside the US with no other
>> > regulatory authority to authorize you, and are hence relying on your US
>> > license for permission to operate. Part 97 says so.
>>
>> How many places (other than Antarctic) does that cover?
>>
> Somalia, which was the subject of this entire debate.

Not quite. Just because no faction in Somalia could get its act
together and find its pockets in the dark with both hands does not
mean that Somalia is "no man's land".

For practical purpises, under international law the last
fuly-notified government (notified to the UN and its specialized
agencies, to other governments, etc) is "the government" unless a
new notification is presented - or so I'm told.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1396. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 19:11:33 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aml4ln$ss1$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53263

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:amj81e$n99$0@208.206.142.112...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> This, again, goes to the point that the military operates in
> > a different space regarding legalities that in the civilian world
require
> > special licenses.
>
> But ham radio is not one of them. A licese still must be issued by the
> FCC or other competent authority as the circumstances dictate. Verbal
> permission is not a license to operate.

Looks good on paper, but in the field, forget about the rules.

> It's almost a dead certainty
> that no military commander is authorized to issue permission for anyone
> not otherwise licensed to operate,

Agree, but read my commentabove.

> and it is also assured that he is
> required to confirm that license exists before issuing the permission.

And just what specific rule requires that?

> The permission will be in writing.

And just what specific rule requires that? When we were at sea, the captain
aksed one of the hams if we could get up a phone patch, he din't ask to
see anyone's license and he didn't put the "permission" to operate
one of the ships HF units as a ham station in writing either.
What "rule(s) can you site as being violated?

> No "OK, go ahead" will suffice.

As above, looks good on paper, but reality is another thing.

> Also, military personnel are subject to the same civil law as any other
> citizen when not on a military base.

Not if they are actively engaged in a military excersize.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1397. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 19:15:04 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aml4sbfui1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53264

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:amj888$n99$1@208.206.142.112...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > "Dennis Ferguson" <dennis@wawa.juniper.net> wrote in message
> >
>
> >> If the
> > > >> US license is in use, FCC does have jurisdiction.
> > > > >
> > > > >Operating outside the US doesn't rely on the US license.
> > > >
> > > It does if you are operating in a place outside the US with no other
> > > regulatory authority to authorize you, and are hence relying on your
US
> > > license for permission to operate. Part 97 says so.
> >
> > How many places (other than Antarctic) does that cover?
> >
>
>
> Somalia, which was the subject of this entire debate.

Personally, in my opion, the military command has the authority to
do pretty much whatever it wants in such cases. Additionlly, I
would argue that there WAS a soverign administration in Somalia
that has/had authority to administer radio rules. the fact that it
didn't or didn't care doesn't make it non-existent.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1398. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 19:33:09 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aml5u8nri1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53265

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:amjc7m$vk9$0@208.206.142.112...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:ambg2f$8ob$0@208.207.71.176...
> > >
> > >
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I note that this statement presumes a proactive action
> > > > by the foriegn administration. The implication then is
> > > > that without such "official" notification from some
> > > > recognized foriegn administration, the FCC does nothing.
> > > > ergo...the FCC has no jurisdiction without that foriegn
> > > > official report.
> > >
> > > If a traffic cop radars you going 10 miles over the limit and decides
> > > to give you a pass, then he has no jurisdiction? What a concept.
> >
> > Your analogy makes no sense.
>
>
> No, Bill, yours doesn't. What your say is that if there is no
> prosecution there is no offense.

If there is 100% liklihood that no one is going to be
prosecuted, then the question of legality is moot. Makes
for nothing more than an academic excersize in futility.

People get away with illegal activity
all the time. That doesn't make it legal.

Maybe not, but as I said above, no prosecution and the
legality becomes a non-issue.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1399. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 19:39:01 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aml6chcvj1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53267

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020922141026.05850.00000688@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <amj7d4gqo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020919213944.15315.00003919@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >> The problem occurs for non appliance operators, when the appliance
> >>operators impose code requirements.
>
> The code test requirement is a result of international treaty. I support
it,
> and there's no way I'm an appliance operator.
>
> >>There are many Ham clubs and there are very few clubs
> >> dedicated to radio technology.
>
> That's because a person doesn't have to be technology-oriented to be a
ham.
> Blame the easy written tests for that.
>
> Many hams I know are public-service oriented, or socially-oriented, or
> operating-oriented. And all of them are "real hams", and a benefit to the
ARS.
> To them, the technology is just a means to an end.
>
> >>The technical people go to a Ham Radio
> >>meetings, see that Ham Radio is appliance oriented and don't join.
> >>The ones that stay are
> >> the ones attracted to appliance operation and Morse code to begin with.
> >>This is
> >> what is making Ham Radio "appliance oriented".
>
> Not true. The two issues (Morse code and appliance operating) are
unconnected.
> In fact, if anything pushed amateur radio towards the appliance category,
it
> was the widespread adoption of manufactured SSB transceivers.
>
> There has been a nocodetest ham license here in the USA for 11+ years.
Lots of
> hams hold that license. Have they brought about a technological revoulton
in
> ham radio? No.

> >
> >The thing that has made ham radio "appliance oriented"
> >has been the great strides in technology and miniture/micro
> >electronics.
>
> That's part of the story. The other part is the cost reduction brought
about by
> modern manufacturing methods. In terms of inflation-adjusted dollars, ham
radio
> gear keeps getting less expensive for the performance delivered.

Agree completely and, while I didn't site it separately, the
technology advances are also cost cutting in their application.

> Texas Towers is advertising the Yaesu FT-897 for $1049, HRO for $1029.
Which is
> $300 less than the chatter mills were predicting. Bolt-on ATU is another
$220.
> 12 ham bands plus a DC to 440 rcvr in a (reasonably) compact pack 'n go
> package. And that's just one example.
>
> Add to this the time to design/build, the test equipment and tooling
required,
> and the near-zero resale value, and it's clear why homebrew rigs are
relatively
> rare.
>
> >Even an engineer can't duplicate the bells,
> >whistles and performance of typical ham gear today.
>
> Two engineers in California have produced rigs that are competitive with
the
> manufactured competition. They sell kits of their designs. Great rigs, but
a
> niche market.

But even that niche (Ten-Tec?) doesn't economically compete
with the HF rigs you mention above if you want full covergae, etc.

> >Can anyone build their own HF transciever to the specs
> >that we get from commercial gear? Ditto for a VHF
> >HT or mobile rig?
>
> Some can, Bill - it all depends on what specs are considered important and
> which aren't.

Agreed, and my comparison includes cost and SIZE of the finished
product.

> But that's not the main point. The selection of new and used ham
> gear today is so wide, and the cost so low, that even technically-minded
hams

> have a hard time justifying the time and money to homebrew a rig from scratch.

Exactly...we are in violent agreement : -)

> Those of us who do design and build rigs are building rigs that are not like
> the stuff on the market today.

I've seen nothing that would suggest a need for those rigs.
Just how are they "not like the stuff on the market
today" in any positive sense?

> What ham rigs has "vshah101" built? Can they compare with, say, the FT-897?

Don't have a clue.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1400. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 19:40:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aml6cjcvj2@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Lou,

Well said. Unfortuneately we hams, as with most groups, have
our share of "bad apples".

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote in message news:amj7omiug1@news.dp.net...
> Very well put.. Thank you.....
>
> AND, for the "ham" who likes to "mess" with people like McDonalds, Police,
> etc, he does NOT speak for - nor represent the majority of us. I take
> exception to being classified in the same category. I value my Amateur as
> well as Commercial Licenses for the effort put forth to achieve them as
well
> as to uphold them. It is a pity some others DON'T. It's people like that -
> who screw things up for the rest of us. THAT is what brings regulations
in.
> Lou/tech@dp.net
>
>
> "BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:uopuq2feie27fa@corp.supernews.com...
> > Does anyone here really understand the need and reason behind type
> > acceptance?
> >
> > Many people stand to profit from type acceptance. Mostly the general
> public.
> >
> > Here's a brief synopsis of why type acceptance is valuable.
> >
> > When a transceiver is designed, there is a specific use and band(s) in
> mind.
> > To operate in this/these bands the unit must pass certain engineering
> rules.
> > Not the least of which is minimizing the amount of harmonics and other
> > spurious eminating from the unit. To accomplish this, a careful
selection
> of
> > mixing circuits, frequencies and filtering are employed in the design.
> >
> > Most often the design that works in one band or group of bands does not
> > prevent harmful garbage from leaving the unit when the unit is operated

on
> > frequencies for which it was not designed. It may have a good rcvr and
> power
> > on the out-of-band freq but now the filtering and other design
> imperfections
> > are allowing harmonics and spurious to leave the radio.
> >
> > Should the spurious and/or harmonics end up on or near public safety or
> > aircraft frequencies there could be a problem. If the garbage shows up
on
> > say a paging or mobile data channel it causes a certain amount of
> > inconvenieince.
> >
> > It's very difficult to predict which freqs can or should be used for
> mixing
> > processes to minimize problems both inside the radio and externally.
> >
> > So, by sticking to type accepted radios and the designed operating
> > parameters we all stand to gain.
> >
> >
>
>

1401. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 19:43:30 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aml6hkpol1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0209220832.2b5da403@posting.google.com...
...
> ... CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;
> there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS, the range is simply too
> limited, and so are the radios.

A stunning justification for type acceptance.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1402. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 19:49:26 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aml6sn$ll0$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53269

Thank you Phil!

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ui6y5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 22 Sep 2002 02:00:40 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> > It does if you are operating in a place outside the US with no other
> >> > regulatory authority to authorize you, and are hence relying on your
US
> >> > license for permission to operate. Part 97 says so.
> >>
> >> How many places (other than Antarctic) does that cover?
> >>
> > Somalia, which was the subject of this entire debate.
>
> Not quite. Just because no faction in Somalia could get its act
> together and find its pockets in the dark with both hands does not
> mean that Somalia is "no man's land".
>
> For practical purpises, under international law the last
> fuly-notified government (notified to the UN and its specialized
> agencies, to other governments, etc) is "the government" unless a
> new notification is presented - or so I'm told.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

1403. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 19:50:34 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aml76r$3t0$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53270

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2ugqq4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sat, 21 Sep 2002 21:34:57 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >> The following transmitting frequency bands are available to an
> >> amateur station located within 50 km of the Earth's surface, within
> >> the specified ITU Region, and outside any area where the amateur
> >> service is regulated by any authority other than the FCC.
> >
> >I suspect the FCC doesn't care nor intend that to address
> >any terrestial land area that constitutes a soverign foriegn
> >country...regardless of the lack of a "foriegn administration".
>
> Unless they want to apply the "Al Capone" procedure and then - GOTCHA !!

What, get him for Tax evasion :-) :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1404. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 20:35:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D8E0A0E.74B6271C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 53271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> GH <nbcred@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D8D0F7A.213CE0F3@mailcity.net>...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > > "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com...
> > > > Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The
> > big
> > > > electronics manufactures?
> > > >
> > > > What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
> > > > involved in?
> > >
> > > Lets see:
> > >
> > > > CB
> > > We already have a sewer of illegal gear on CB now.
> > > You want more of the same?
> > >
> > > > FRS, MURS
> > >
> > > We don't want another CB debacle, hence the need for type acceptance.
> >
> > Give the cbers a chance, they will screw up FRS and MURS just like
> > they did the cb band.
>
> That is a blatant assumption and you cannot support it with any
> reasonable arguement. CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;
> there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS, the range is simply too
> limited, and so are the radios.

Just wait, the cbers will be using modded radios with "leaners"
and other splatter boxes on the FRS and MURS frequencies. To them
it will be just other bands they can get on and make a sewer pit
out of just like 11 meters.
```

1405. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 20:37:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209221237.6eb5fcb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message news:<amgrfo$d8c$0@pita.alt.net>...

> Lets all be honest about this and admit that we Hams do the same thing. If
> we didn't, why are there so many web sites, including QRZ, that publish
> "mods"? I bet that there are a whole lot of Hams who have rigs that transmit
> out of band...

It's 100% legal for hams to mod type-accepted radios so that they can
operate outside the ham bands. It's not only legal but is encouraged
and supported by none other than the U.S. military. To wit MARS and
the CAP. Also, I believe, to get on the inter-service 5mHz Alaska
Emergency freq.

w3rv

1406. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 21:07:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8E30B2.1D86BA6D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53273

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8DEB01.401B7301@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > One man's junk is another man's treasure. One man's win is another
> man's
> > > loss. One man's terrorism is another man's amusement (or fill in the
> > > blank). Etc. Etc.
> >
> > No, Kim. There is a definition of "terrorism". Your examples do not
> > meet that definition.
> >
>
> Well, you're also a person who lives by the book, Dave. I don't in most
> cases. Your book definition of terrorism only touches the surface of what
> terrorism is--in my opinion. I consider that selling illicit drugs, growing
> drugs for the black market, and using drugs are terrorism.

The dictionary exists in order that individuals might communicate. If
I'm talking about roast beef and you think I mean something about
burning cattle alive, we begin to have problems. Terrorism is
terrorism. If you'd like, search for a word which communicates what you
mean to say. That word is not terrorism no matter how much you'd like
for it to be so.

> >
> > Yeah, I know. You condsider it terrorism. You don't understand the
> > word.
> >
>
> Dave, I quite understand what terrorism is to me.

It doesn't matter what terrorism is to you. Terrorism is terrorism.
You need to get on the same page with the rest of the English speaking
world.

> And, how quaint of you to
> decide that my definition of terrorism is negated because you don't think
> the same way.

It isn't quaint, Kim. It's reality. It doesn't matter what you think,
the definition is what it is. If you mean something else, write that.

> I consider rape on a woman a terroristic act.

Whatever.

> > > Gosh. I don't know, Dave. I don't know any of the men, but maybe they
> are
> > > quite happy and content that their own government used them as human
> > > experiments. Who knows, you could be absolutely right...as I am sure
> you
> > > think you are.
> >
> > I believe the part in which you state, "Gosh. I don't know, Dave." I
> > not only think that this doesn't meet the definition of "terrorism", I
> > know it does not.
> >
>
> Maybe not for you.

Not for anybody who can read and comprehend.

> > > It's not up to me to explain this to you, Dave.
> >
> > That's a good thing, Kim. You aren't capable of explaining it.

> From my perspective, it looks like you are incapable of understanding, or
> choose not to deal with it.

I'm not the person having trouble finding the dictionary or using it.

> > > As said, one man's
> > > ________ is another man's __________. Your blanks are obviously
> different
> > > than mine.
> >
> > Allow me to fill in the blanks. In the first one, you can insert "dizzy
> > wife". For the second one, use "empty-headed queen of the tacky".
> >
>
> You get uglier when you try to be cute.

You become more dizzy when you attempt to use a word which you don't
understand.

> > > > >
> > > > > Oh, the examples go on and on. And that's just what's been going on
> > > under
> > > > > > *our* noses.
> > > >
> > > > These are decidedly not examples of the United States Government's use
> > > > of terrorism. You're all wet.
> > > >
>
> Why don't you give us some examples, Dave. Or, is your true opinion that
> the United States government has never, never used terrorism?

That's my opinion. I'd alreadty stated that. Perhaps you missed it.

> > >
> > > Actually, long before I came up with those examples I figured you'd have
> > > exactly that repsonse.
> > >
> > Guess that makes you some kind of psychic.
> > >
>
> Well, by your book, you are probably right. From my perspective, you are
> quite predictable. There's a switch on you that is so very easy to ignite.
> Off/on, off/on, off/on. Might add, mostly off.

Right again, Kim. A stupid statement by a ditz will generally do it
every time.

> > > > >
> > > > > You decide for yourself.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have. I've decided that your statements were idiotic.

> Off/on. Off/on. Off.

Is that a bad thing compared to your single "off" state?

> > > > >
> > > > > Yeah. Something perceived by an individual with no understanding of a
> > > > > term can lead to some really stupid statements.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Your understanding is very different from mine and thousands of others.
> > >
>
> bleh. bleh. bleh.
>
> >
> > There's you. Then there are the thousands of others. I've seen no
> > support of your simple statements from "thousands of others". You have
> > a family of field mice in your handbag?
> >
>
> As much as I really, really don't like you, Dave, I know you are not *that*
> stupid.

That's right. I realized right away that you didn't speak for thousands
of others.

> > > > >
> > > > > No, those silly examples are not terrorism, only moral wrongs. So
> > find
> > > > > us some actual examples if you can.
> > >
>
> OK, now I'll be cute. See if I get as ugly as you. Here I go: So, you
> consider the examples I gave as...silly? Moral wrongs are silly?

You seem incapable of comprehension. I don't consider moral wrongs as
silly.
I consider your incorrect examples as silly. See how easy this is?

> > >
> > > So, by your own admission demonstrated above, terrorism is morally right
> and
> > > acceptable. I see.
> >
> > How can you possibly read that into anything I've written, Kim? This
> > latest conclusion is as dumb as your conclusions about what constitutes
> > "terrorism".
> >
>
> Hey, it was your wording, not mine. I'm just trying to understand, Davey.

No, it wasn't my "wording". It was your inability to comprehend what I
wrote.

> > > If something that is morally wrong is not terrorism, then what is it?
> >
> > It is a moral wrong. If I pee on your flower garden, it isn't
> > terrorism. If you steal a candybar, it isn't terrorism.
> >
>
> Well, that depends. Let's just say that maybe as a child my father pee'ed
> on me whenever he felt like. It absolutely horrified me and it causes the
> response of sheer terror in me now to realize that you are outside peeing in
> my flower garden. Maybe, because of the effect of my father's actions, your
> peeing means a threat to me. Is that terrorism to me? By the way, I don't
> think the examples I gave affected only the direct victims of those actions.
> However, you choose to close your eyes like you wish to do.

You're really out there, Kim. How about you close your eyes when I'm
peeing on your flowers?

> > >
> > > Just a bad?
> >
> > A bad what?
> >
> > > Just a teensy bit wrong? Just a little bit hurt? Only one directly
> > > affected? Ten? Thousand?
> >
> > Save us both a lot of bother. Look up the word.

> No, Dave. You read your book. buhbye now.

Your "don't bother me with no facts" pose is noted. I have been reading
my book--David Brinkley on Washington during WWII.

Dave K8MN

1407. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 21:30:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209221330.58d9a9f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53274

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uopb8ute9hlt95@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:am8qhv$57s$0@208.207.71.133...

> > If you don't discern the difference between the above and a widespread
> > network of Islamic terrorists who enjoy great popular support from
> > within mainstream Islam, I don't think you adequately understand the
> > problem. Jones was responsible for doing away with his followers, the
> > KKK with intimidating and even killing some members of a certain
> > minority. Neither, nor any other modern day religious fanatic I'm aware
> > of had designs on weapons of mass destruction with which to destroy
> > Western civilization in the name of God.
> >
>
> Then, you'd better get aware. I have had avowed Racists, tell me that even
> I would have to eventually die when "the race war" begins. Why? Because I
> believe in equality.
>
> You've also never heard a good 'ol down-home KKK speech, have ya? It is in
> the name of God that they believe the way they do, Dick. Next time you
> happen to look at a speech being given by some Nazi-nut, look at the most
> thumped on book.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Thats the second time I've heard about race wars lately.

NPR had a nice piece a couple of weeks ago about the Black Panthers
urging the black community to arm themselves for the upcoming race
wars. Maybe reparations will fund the armaments and munitions depots.

1408. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-22 22:43:21 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uosi40gk3bo945@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53275

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D8E30B2.1D86BA6D@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D8DEB01.401B7301@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > >
> > > > One man's junk is another man's treasure. One man's win is another
> > man's
> > > > loss. One man's terrorism is another man's amusement (or fill in
the
> > > > blank). Etc. Etc.
> > >
> > > No, Kim. There is a definition of "terrorism". Your examples do not
> > > meet that definition.
> > >
> >
> > Well, you're also a person who lives by the book, Dave. I don't in most
> > cases. Your book definition of terrorism only touches the surface of
what
> > terrorism is--in my opinion. I consider that selling illicit drugs,
growing
> > drugs for the black market, and using drugs are terrorism.
>
> The dictionary exists in order that individuals might communicate. If
> I'm talking about roast beef and you think I mean something about
> burning cattle alive, we begin to have problems. Terrorism is
> terrorism. If you'd like, search for a word which communicates what you
> mean to say. That word is not terrorism no matter how much you'd like
> for it to be so.
>

Dave, the "definitions" of things are why the law profession exists, why
there are debate clubs, why there are discussion groups, and why many
corporations today include diversity training in their repertoire of things
that employees must endure.

Sorry, in my eyes it is terroristic to strike terror in the heart of
anyone--singularly or in multitudes. So, no matter how much you think I am
wrong, wish me to be wrong, will me to be wrong, I am right as far as I am
concerned.

Tell ya what. Just so we can get back into your comfort zone, Dave, how
'bout just the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombs that were dropped? Eh? Was

that terrorism? Yeah, I think it was. It was a terroristic response to a terroristic attack on Pearl Harbor.

> > >
> > > Yeah, I know. You condsider it terrorism. You don't understand the
> > > word.
> > >
> >
> > Dave, I quite understand what terrorism is to me.
>
> It doesn't matter what terrorism is to you. Terrorism is terrorism.
> You need to get on the same page with the rest of the English speaking
> world.
>

You make this sound as though this is a personal vendetta of mine or something. You need to start reading more than your Christian right magazines and listening to more than your Christian right talk-shows. In fact, my train of thought on this has turned in recent weeks due to the discussions I've both listened to and to those that I've been involved with.

>
> > And, how quaint of you to
> > decide that my definition of terrorism is negated because you don't
think
> > the same way.
>
> It isn't quaint, Kim. It's reality. It doesn't matter what you think,
> the definition is what it is. If you mean something else, write that.
>

No, Dave, it's quaint.

> >
> > I consider rape on a woman a terroristic act.
>
> Whatever.
>

Oh, you don't?

> > > >
> > > > Gosh. I don't know, Dave. I don't know any of the men, but maybe
they
> > are
> > > > quite happy and content that their own government used them as human
> > > > experiments. Who knows, you could be absolutely right...as I am
sure
> > you
> > > > think you are.
> > >
> > > I believe the part in which you state, "Gosh. I don't know, Dave." I

> > > not only think that this doesn't meet the definition of "terrorism", I
> > > know it does not.
> > >
> >
> > Maybe not for you.
>
> Not for anybody who can read and comprehend.
>

Comprehension seems beyond you, Dave.

> > > > It's not up to me to explain this to you, Dave.
> > >
> > > That's a good thing, Kim. You aren't capable of explaining it.
>
> > From my perspective, it looks like you are incapable of understanding,
or
> > choose not to deal with it.
>
> I'm not the person having trouble finding the dictionary or using it.
>

Tell that to the next attorney who debates the meaning of a word, a law, a
concept. It's part of what freedom of expression is all about, Dave. You
are at least familiar with that concept aren't you?

> > > > As said, one man's
> > > > _________ is another man's ___________. Your blanks are obviously
> > different
> > > > than mine.
> > >
> > > Allow me to fill in the blanks. In the first one, you can insert
"dizzy
> > > wife". For the second one, use "empty-headed queen of the tacky".
> > >
> >
> > You get uglier when you try to be cute.
>
> You become more dizzy when you attempt to use a word which you don't
> understand.
>

Dave, you know what? The only thing I ever see you do when your buttons get
pushed is attempts to try and belittle. You never speak to fact, you speak
from the same perspective that all of us do--opinion and impression. If
books are meant to clearly define and set in stone the meanings of things,
then why do we bother with such institutions as the Supreme Court, the UN in
this example. It is not beyond the realm of the UN to determine that a war
on Iraq is nothing but a terroristic threat from those who attack it. Think
they'll be using your little dictionary when they try to decide? Think the
rest of the world will grab the dictionary? In what language should they
look the definition up?

> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh, the examples go on and on. And that's just what's been
going on
> > > > under
> > > > > > *our* noses.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > These are decidedly not examples of the United States Government's
use
> > > > > of terrorism. You're all wet.
> > > > > >
> >
> > Why don't you give us some examples, Dave. Or, is your true opinion
that
> > the United States government has never, never used terrorism?
>
> That's my opinion. I'd alreadty stated that. Perhaps you missed it.
>

So, Nagasaki and Hiroshima were not examples of terrorism?

> > > >
> > > > Actually, long before I came up with those examples I figured you'd
have
> > > > exactly that repsonse.
> > >
> > > Guess that makes you some kind of psychic.
> > >
> >
> > Well, by your book, you are probably right. From my perspective, you
are
> > quite predictable. There's a switch on you that is so very easy to
ignite.
> > Off/on, off/on, off/on. Might add, mostly off.
>
> Right again, Kim. A stupid statement by a ditz will generally do it
> every time.
>

We all have our weaknesses...don't fret.

> > > > > >
> > > > > > You decide for yourself.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I have. I've decided that your statements were idiotic.
>
> > Off/on. Off/on. Off.
>
> Is that a bad thing compared to your single "off" state?
>

Not compared to your not-quite-ever-on states.

> > > > >
> > > > > No, those silly examples are not terrorism, only moral wrongs. So
> > find
> > > > > > us some actual examples if you can.
> > > >
> > >
> > OK, now I'll be cute. See if I get as ugly as you. Here I go: So, you
> > consider the examples I gave as...silly? Moral wrongs are silly?
>
> You seem incapable of comprehension. I don't consider moral wrongs as
> silly.
> I consider your incorrect examples as silly. See how easy this is?
>

Yeah, it's always easy with that silver spoon, Dave.

> > > > >
> > > > > So, by your own admission demonstrated above, terrorism is morally
right
> > and
> > > > > > acceptable. I see.
> > > >
> > > > How can you possibly read that into anything I've written, Kim? This
> > > > latest conclusion is as dumb as your conclusions about what
constitutes
> > > "terrorism".
> > >
> >
> > Hey, it was your wording, not mine. I'm just trying to understand,
Davey.
>
> No, it wasn't my "wording". It was your inability to comprehend what I
> wrote.
>
> > > > > If something that is morally wrong is not terrorism, then what is
it?
> > >
> > > It is a moral wrong. If I pee on your flower garden, it isn't
> > > terrorism. If you steal a candybar, it isn't terrorism.
> > >
> >
> > Well, that depends. Let's just say that maybe as a child my father pee'
ed
> > on me whenever he felt like. It absolutely horrified me and it causes
the
> > response of sheer terror in me now to realize that you are outside
peeing in
> > my flower garden. Maybe, because of the effect of my father's actions,
your
> > peeing means a threat to me. Is that terrorism to me? By the way, I
don't
> > think the examples I gave affected only the direct victims of those

actions.
> > However, you choose to close your eyes like you wish to do.
>
> You're really out there, Kim. How about you close your eyes when I'm
> peeing on your flowers?
>

Actually, Dave, I'll go plant some for ya if you're all that desperate to pee in my flower garden.

>
> > No, Dave. You read your book. buhbye now.
>
> Your "don't bother me with no facts" pose is noted. I have been reading
> my book--David Brinkley on Washington during WWII.
>
> Dave K8MN

You haven't provided one fact, Dave. I've never seen you provide facts--only pontification and innuendo. The book you're reading is someone's opinion of facts that occurred during WWII. You'll probably take David Brinkley's opinion as fact--you are so impressionable.

Kim W5TIT

1409. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 00:09:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020922200925.15779.00000950@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53276

In article <aml6chcvj1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020922141026.05850.00000688@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> In article <amj7d4gqo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20020919213944.15315.00003919@mb-mn.aol.com...
>> >> The problem occurs for non appliance operators, when the appliance
>> >>operators impose code requirements.
>>
>> The code test requirement is a result of international treaty. I support
>>it, and there's no way I'm an appliance operator.

And there are hams who oppose the code test who are not appliance operators.
>>
>> >>There are many Ham clubs and there are very few clubs
>> >> dedicated to radio technology.
>>
>> That's because a person doesn't have to be technology-oriented to be a
>> ham. Blame the easy written tests for that.
>>
>> Many hams I know are public-service oriented, or socially-oriented, or
>> operating-oriented. And all of them are "real hams", and a benefit to the
>> ARS. To them, the technology is just a means to an end.
>>
>> >>The technical people go to a Ham Radio
>> >>meetings, see that Ham Radio is appliance oriented and don't join.
>> >>The ones that stay are
>> >> the ones attracted to appliance operation and Morse code to begin with.
>> >>This is
>> >> what is making Ham Radio "appliance oriented".
>>
>> Not true. The two issues (Morse code and appliance operating) are
>>unconnected.
>> In fact, if anything pushed amateur radio towards the appliance category,
>>it was the widespread adoption of manufactured SSB transceivers.

Which happened about 40 years ago.
>>
>> There has been a nocodetest ham license here in the USA for 11+ years.
>>Lots of
>> hams hold that license. Have they brought about a technological revoulton

>>in
>> ham radio? No.
>> >
>> >The thing that has made ham radio "appliance oriented"
>> >has been the great strides in technology and miniture/micro
>> >electronics.
>>
>> That's part of the story. The other part is the cost reduction brought
>>about by
>> modern manufacturing methods. In terms of inflation-adjusted dollars, ham
>>radio
>> gear keeps getting less expensive for the performance delivered.
>
>Agree completely and, while I didn't site it separately, the
>technology advances are also cost cutting in their application.

All part of the picture.

Here's proof: Pick out almost any current ham transceiver. Then dig up a ham mag or catalog from the past. Figure out what the price of the current transceiver would be in inflation-adjusted dollars in the year of that catalog or magazine. Then see what that number of dollars would buy.

Or play the game the other way, and equate the prices of the past to today's dollars.

>> Texas Towers is advertising the Yaesu FT-897 for $1049, HRO for $1029.
>> Which is $300 less than the chatter mills were predicting. Bolt-on ATU is
another
>>$220. 12 ham bands plus a DC to 440 rcvr in a (reasonably) compact pack 'n go
>> package. And that's just one example.
>>
>> Add to this the time to design/build, the test equipment and tooling
>>required,
>> and the near-zero resale value, and it's clear why homebrew rigs are
>>relatively
>> rare.
>>
>> >Even an engineer can't duplicate the bells,
>> >whistles and performance of typical ham gear today.
>>
>> Two engineers in California have produced rigs that are competitive with
>>the
>> manufactured competition. They sell kits of their designs. Great rigs, but
>>a niche market.
>
>But even that niche (Ten-Tec?) doesn't economically compete
>with the HF rigs you mention above if you want full covergae, etc.

The company is not Ten Tec. And the rigs do indeed compete in almost all specifications and features. In fact, on some specs they are superior to rigs costing much more. I bought and built one, and it does everything claimed.

But comparing the 'price' of such rigs to manufactured ones requires that you ignore the cost of your time to assemble them. In my case, I count the assembly time as educational and fun. Also, I can fix anything that goes wrong and modify the rig if I so desire.

Main point is that hams today don't have to do much technical stuff if they don't want to.

>> >Can anyone build their own HF transciever to the specs
>> >that we get from commercial gear? Ditto for a VHF
>> >HT or mobile rig?
>>
>> Some can, Bill - it all depends on what specs are considered important and
>> which aren't.
>
>Agreed, and my comparison includes cost and SIZE of the finished
>product.

The rigs I speak of are very small. And beyond a certain point, miniaturization is a detriment, not an asset, because the rig becomes hard to use and impossible to work on.
>
>> But that's not the main point. The selection of new and used ham
>> gear today is so wide, and the cost so low, that even technically-minded
>>hams
>> have a hard time justifying the time and money to homebrew a rig from
>>scratch.
>
>Exactly...we are in violent agreement : -)

HAW! violent agreement - gotta remember that one.

There's a wider issue here. The availability and decreasing price of manufactured ham gear has effects beyond the 'appliance operator' situation. They also make it possible for a wider range of people to be hams.
>
>> Those of us who do design and build rigs are building rigs that are not
>>like the stuff on the market today.
>
>I've seen nothing that would suggest a need for those rigs.

They are needed by those of us who want them, and who are willing to build them.

>Just how are they "not like the stuff on the market
>today" in any positive sense?

In my case, I wanted specific features and performance in certain areas, and I didn't care about other features and performances. (This was before I bought the kit mentioned above). The manufactured rigs that did what I wanted cost a fortune. By homebrewing I was able to get what I wanted for a lot less money. Also, I am able to work on the rig myself. That's worth a lot - to me.

>> What ham rigs has "vshah101" built? Can they compare with, say, the
>FT-897?
>
>Don't have a clue.

Neither does he ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1410. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 02:48:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amlvej$a1l$0@208.207.71.129>
Source record: 53277

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 22 Sep 2002 02:00:40 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> > It does if you are operating in a place outside the US with no other
> >> > regulatory authority to authorize you, and are hence relying on your US
> >> > license for permission to operate. Part 97 says so.
> >>
> >> How many places (other than Antarctic) does that cover?
> >>
> > Somalia, which was the subject of this entire debate.
>
> Not quite. Just because no faction in Somalia could get its act
> together and find its pockets in the dark with both hands does not
> mean that Somalia is "no man's land".
>
> For practical purpises, under international law the last
> fuly-notified government (notified to the UN and its specialized
> agencies, to other governments, etc) is "the government" unless a
> new notification is presented - or so I'm told.
>

I suppose the fact that it long ago dissipated and no longer exists
even fragmentally means nothing.
Bureaucracy at its finest.

1411. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 02:51:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amlvjh$a1l$1@208.207.71.129>
Source record: 53278

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:amj888$n99$1@208.206.142.112...
> >
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dennis Ferguson" <dennis@wawa.juniper.net> wrote in message
> > >
> >
> > >> If the
> > > > >> US license is in use, FCC does have jurisdiction.
> > > > >
> > > > >Operating outside the US doesn't rely on the US license.
> > > >
> > > > It does if you are operating in a place outside the US with no other
> > > > regulatory authority to authorize you, and are hence relying on your
> US
> > > > license for permission to operate. Part 97 says so.
> > >
> > > How many places (other than Antarctic) does that cover?
> > >
> >
> >
> > Somalia, which was the subject of this entire debate.
>
> Personally, in my opion, the military command has the authority to
> do pretty much whatever it wants in such cases. Additionlly, I
> would argue that there WAS a soverign administration in Somalia
> that has/had authority to administer radio rules. the fact that it
> didn't or didn't care doesn't make it non-existent.

Boy, you *really* want to put Brian in the doghouse, don't you? If that
was the case he would have been totally illegal, without any question.
```

1412. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 02:54:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <amlvplbka0@208.207.71.129>
Source record: 53279

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:amjc7m$vk9$0@208.206.142.112...
> >
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ambg2f$8ob$0@208.207.71.176...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I note that this statement presumes a proactive action
> > > > > by the foriegn administration. The implication then is
> > > > > that without such "official" notification from some
> > > > > recognized foriegn administration, the FCC does nothing.
> > > > > ergo...the FCC has no jurisdiction without that foriegn
> > > > > official report.
> > > >
> > > > If a traffic cop radars you going 10 miles over the limit and decides
> > > > to give you a pass, then he has no jurisdiction? What a concept.
> > >
> > > Your analogy makes no sense.
> >
> >
> > No, Bill, yours doesn't. What your say is that if there is no
> > prosecution there is no offense.
>
> If there is 100% liklihood that no one is going to be
> prosecuted, then the question of legality is moot. Makes
> for nothing more than an academic excersize in futility.
>
> People get away with illegal activity
> all the time. That doesn't make it legal.
>
> Maybe not, but as I said above, no prosecution and the
> legality becomes a non-issue.

Ah, so. Everyone drives 10 mph over the speed limit until you come
along just at the time some irritated cop decides it's time to make an
example of the next violator that that speeds into sight..... So you
protest, unless you're got some drag with officlaldom you'll pay your
```

fine right along with whoever else is in line.

1413. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 03:31:02 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D8E8AF6.FA0BE255@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53280

```
>
> > >Otherwise here's the scoop on that:
> > >
> > > No one in the United States may write a prescription under an LPN
> > >license.
> > > RN's with postgraduate work and residency requirements may be designated
> > >"family nurse practitioners" and may write Rx's for antibiotics,
> non-narcotic
> > >analgesics (ie: ketorlac), etc. CRNA (Certified Registered Nurse
> > >Anesthesist)
> > >may write for some limited post-operative narcotics, but only when
> > >countersigned by an MD anesthesiologist.
> >

And they don't allow MDs to write prescriptions for themselves, at least in
NJ. They don't want doctors prescribing narcotics for themselves for
obvious reasons..... Though I hear some anesthesiologists save the
leftovers from operations for themselves....
```

1414. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 03:36:12 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D8E8C2C.6191D258@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53281

>
> Prosecuted by a civilian court? Dick, I have NEVER read of anyone
> being charged with "possession" based ONLY on a blood test.
> Maybe the military would do that, but no civilian court would.
> Please cite specifics if you know of any.
>

Mothers of crack babies have been charged with possession of crack just
on the evidence of the damaged baby. Don't know if that charge
stuck or not in court.

1415. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 03:48:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D8E8EFB.8B8782C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53282

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8E30B2.1D86BA6D@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D8DEB01.401B7301@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > One man's junk is another man's treasure. One man's win is another
> > > man's
> > > > > loss. One man's terrorism is another man's amusement (or fill in
> the
> > > > > blank). Etc. Etc.
> > > >
> > > > No, Kim. There is a definition of "terrorism". Your examples do not
> > > > meet that definition.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Well, you're also a person who lives by the book, Dave. I don't in most
> > > cases. Your book definition of terrorism only touches the surface of
> what
> > > terrorism is--in my opinion. I consider that selling illicit drugs,
> growing
> > > drugs for the black market, and using drugs are terrorism.
> >
> > The dictionary exists in order that individuals might communicate. If
> > I'm talking about roast beef and you think I mean something about
> > burning cattle alive, we begin to have problems. Terrorism is
> > terrorism. If you'd like, search for a word which communicates what you
> > mean to say. That word is not terrorism no matter how much you'd like
> > for it to be so.
> >
>
> Dave, the "definitions" of things are why the law profession exists, why
> there are debate clubs, why there are discussion groups, and why many
> corporations today include diversity training in their repertoire of things
> that employees must endure.

Diversity training exists because of definitions? The practice of law
exists because of definitions? That is preposterous.

> Sorry, in my eyes it is terroristic to strike terror in the heart of
> anyone--singularly or in multitudes. So, no matter how much you think I am

> wrong, wish me to be wrong, will me to be wrong, I am right as far as I am
> concerned.

What about the thousands of others? Did they evaporate? If you choose
to apply a different definition than that provided, of course you can be
right as far as you are concerned. You just can't sell your ideas to
others based on your misuse of the definition.

> Tell ya what. Just so we can get back into your comfort zone, Dave, how
> 'bout just the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombs that were dropped? Eh? Was
> that terrorism? Yeah, I think it was. It was a terroristic response to a
> terroristic attack on Pearl Harbor.

No, Kim that wasn't terrorism. I don't care if you think it was.

> > > >
> > > > Yeah, I know. You condsider it terrorism. You don't understand the
> > > > word.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Dave, I quite understand what terrorism is to me.
> >
> > It doesn't matter what terrorism is to you. Terrorism is terrorism.
> > You need to get on the same page with the rest of the English speaking
> > world.
> >
>
> You make this sound as though this is a personal vendetta of mine or
> something. You need to start reading more than your Christian right
> magazines and listening to more than your Christian right talk-shows. In
> fact, my train of thought on this has turned in recent weeks due to the
> discussions I've both listened to and to those that I've been involved with.

No, I don't believe this is a vendetta of yours. I pointed out your
misuse of a word and your revelation that you don't even understand or
care to understand its meaning. I don't read Christian right magazines
or listen to Christian right talk shows. I listen to and view a broad
variety of news and commentary programming and I read a variety of
magazines. To this point, I've heard no one nor have I read any
articles by anyone espousing the peculiar definition of terrorism you've
chosen as your personal standard.

> >
> > > And, how quaint of you to
> > > decide that my definition of terrorism is negated because you don't
> think
> > > the same way.
> >
> > It isn't quaint, Kim. It's reality. It doesn't matter what you think,
> > the definition is what it is. If you mean something else, write that.
> >
>

> No, Dave, it's quaint.

You can't argue the point, Kim.

> > >
> > > I consider rape on a woman a terroristic act.
> >
> > Whatever.
> >
>
> Oh, you don't?

No, Kim, I don't. I consider it violent and anti-social. I do not
consider it an act of terrorism.

> > > > >
> > > > > Gosh. I don't know, Dave. I don't know any of the men, but maybe
> they
> > > are
> > > > > quite happy and content that their own government used them as human
> > > > > experiments. Who knows, you could be absolutely right...as I am
> sure
> > > you
> > > > > think you are.
> > > >
> > > > I believe the part in which you state, "Gosh. I don't know, Dave." I
> > > > not only think that this doesn't meet the definition of "terrorism", I
> > > > know it does not.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Maybe not for you.
> >
> > Not for anybody who can read and comprehend.
> >
> Comprehension seems beyond you, Dave.

Let's see....I can read a dictionary use it. You state that you won't
even look up a word. Which one of us has a problem?

> > > > > It's not up to me to explain this to you, Dave.
> > > >
> > > > That's a good thing, Kim. You aren't capable of explaining it.
> >
> > > From my perspective, it looks like you are incapable of understanding,
> or
> > > choose not to deal with it.
> >
> > I'm not the person having trouble finding the dictionary or using it.
> >
>
> Tell that to the next attorney who debates the meaning of a word, a law, a
> concept. It's part of what freedom of expression is all about, Dave. You

> are at least familiar with that concept aren't you?

No, Kim. Freedom of expression is not all about the misuse of a word by
application of a homemade definition.

> > > > > As said, one man's
> > > > > ________ is another man's __________. Your blanks are obviously
> > > different
> > > > > than mine.
> > > > >
> > > > > Allow me to fill in the blanks. In the first one, you can insert
> "dizzy
> > > > > wife". For the second one, use "empty-headed queen of the tacky".
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > You get uglier when you try to be cute.
> > >
> > > You become more dizzy when you attempt to use a word which you don't
> > > understand.
> > >
>
> Dave, you know what? The only thing I ever see you do when your buttons get
> pushed is attempts to try and belittle. You never speak to fact, you speak
> from the same perspective that all of us do--opinion and impression.

What? I don't speak from fact? Look up the word, Kim. You are the
individual applying an incorrect definition to it.

> If
> books are meant to clearly define and set in stone the meanings of things,
> then why do we bother with such institutions as the Supreme Court, the UN in
> this example.

Lemme guess--you believe those institution exist to provide us with
definitions to words which some are incapable of lookint up?

> It is not beyond the realm of the UN to determine that a war
> on Iraq is nothing but a terroristic threat from those who attack it.

Do you live in a vacuum?

> Think
> they'll be using your little dictionary when they try to decide?

My little dictionary is an unabridge tome weighing about twenty pounds.

> Think the
> rest of the world will grab the dictionary?

We can only hope that those unfamiliar with the definition of
"terrorism" will do so.

> In what language should they
> look the definition up?

How many languages have the word "terrorism"?

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Oh, the examples go on and on. And that's just what's been
> going on
> > > > > > under
> > > > > > > > > *our* noses.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > These are decidedly not examples of the United States Government's
> use
> > > > > > > > of terrorism. You're all wet.
> > > > > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Why don't you give us some examples, Dave. Or, is your true opinion
> that
> > > > the United States government has never, never used terrorism?
> > >
> > That's my opinion. I'd alreadty stated that. Perhaps you missed it.
> >
>
> So, Nagasaki and Hiroshima were not examples of terrorism?

How many times would you like me to say "No"?

> > > > >
> > > > > > Actually, long before I came up with those examples I figured you'd
> have
> > > > > > exactly that repsonse.
> > > > >
> > > > > Guess that makes you some kind of psychic.
> > > > >
> > >
> > > Well, by your book, you are probably right. From my perspective, you
> are
> > > quite predictable. There's a switch on you that is so very easy to
> ignite.
> > > Off/on, off/on, off/on. Might add, mostly off.
> >
> > Right again, Kim. A stupid statement by a ditz will generally do it
> > every time.
> >
>
> We all have our weaknesses...don't fret.

Yeah, I have buttons and you're a ditz. I'll take my problem over
yours.

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You decide for yourself.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I have. I've decided that your statements were idiotic.
> >
> > > Off/on. Off/on. Off.

> >
> > Is that a bad thing compared to your single "off" state?
> >
>
> Not compared to your not-quite-ever-on states.
>
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, those silly examples are not terrorism, only moral wrongs. So
> > > find
> > > > > > > us some actual examples if you can.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > OK, now I'll be cute. See if I get as ugly as you. Here I go: So, you
> > > consider the examples I gave as...silly? Moral wrongs are silly?
> >
> > You seem incapable of comprehension. I don't consider moral wrongs as
> > silly.
> > I consider your incorrect examples as silly. See how easy this is?
> >
>
> Yeah, it's always easy with that silver spoon, Dave.

> > > > >
> > > > > So, by your own admission demonstrated above, terrorism is morally
> right
> > > and
> > > > > > acceptable. I see.
> > > > >
> > > > How can you possibly read that into anything I've written, Kim? This
> > > > latest conclusion is as dumb as your conclusions about what
> constitutes
> > > > "terrorism".
> > > >
> > >
> > > Hey, it was your wording, not mine. I'm just trying to understand,
> Davey.
> >
> > No, it wasn't my "wording". It was your inability to comprehend what I
> > wrote.
> >
> > > > > > If something that is morally wrong is not terrorism, then what is
> it?
> > > > >
> > > > It is a moral wrong. If I pee on your flower garden, it isn't
> > > > terrorism. If you steal a candybar, it isn't terrorism.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Well, that depends. Let's just say that maybe as a child my father pee'
> ed
> > > on me whenever he felt like. It absolutely horrified me and it causes

> the
> > > response of sheer terror in me now to realize that you are outside
> peeing in
> > > my flower garden. Maybe, because of the effect of my father's actions,
> your
> > > peeing means a threat to me. Is that terrorism to me? By the way, I
> don't
> > > think the examples I gave affected only the direct victims of those
> actions.
> > > However, you choose to close your eyes like you wish to do.
> >
> > You're really out there, Kim. How about you close your eyes when I'm
> > peeing on your flowers?
> >
>
> Actually, Dave, I'll go plant some for ya if you're all that desperate to
> pee in my flower garden.

You do that and be sure to hang around for my arrival.

> >
> > > No, Dave. You read your book. buhbye now.
> >
> > Your "don't bother me with no facts" pose is noted. I have been reading
> > my book--David Brinkley on Washington during WWII.

> You haven't provided one fact, Dave. I've never seen you provide
> facts--only pontification and innuendo. The book you're reading is
> someone's opinion of facts that occurred during WWII. You'll probably take
> David Brinkley's opinion as fact--you are so impressionable.

Well, for starters we have the fact that you ignore the dictionary
definition of "terrorism" and there was no innuendo in my calling you a
ditz.

David Brinkley was a noted newsman. The book does not deal with
opinion. It deals with fact. It is about real people, real places and
real events. I'm really impressionable when it comes to factual
information.

Dave K8MN

1416. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 04:36:19 UTC
From: Captain Cole Slaw <coleslaw@islanders.com>
Message-ID: <7Twj9.218266$z91.9316938@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 53283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> That is a blatant assumption and you cannot support it with any
> reasonable arguement.

It is no different than you claiming there are 30-40 million cbers in the uSA cannot be substantiated and a blatant assumption based on opinion and not fact

>CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;

Says who do you now speak for all Cbers? You certainly are not a ham If you were a call sign would follow your post.

> there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS,

Shooting skip past 155 miles on Cb is Illegal do you condone Illegal acts?

1417. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 04:58:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D8EC9B6.5030404@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53284

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> Sorry, in my eyes it is terroristic to strike terror in the heart of
> anyone--singularly or in multitudes. So, no matter how much you think I am
> wrong, wish me to be wrong, will me to be wrong, I am right as far as I am
> concerned.
>
> Tell ya what. Just so we can get back into your comfort zone, Dave, how
> 'bout just the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombs that were dropped? Eh? Was
> that terrorism? Yeah, I think it was. It was a terroristic response to a
> terroristic attack on Pearl Harbor.

Hi Kim.

It isn't unusual for people to try to extend definitions to attempt to emphasise something that they themselves find repugnant. Your rape analogy is an example.

Rape is a vile and repugnant act of violence against women. But it is hard to turn that into terrorism.

There are plenty of people who are nearing retirement and are truly terrified that they won't have enough money. It could be argued that the forces governing the fall of the stock market are terrorism? Perhaps the execs from Enron or World.com could also be charged with that.

I was recently watching a program on one of the news channels (does anyone beside me find that the news channels are starting to resemble tabloids?) where a woman was trying to make a case that the west nile virus was an act of terrorism!!!!!!

What I'm trying to say is that a crime is a crime is a crime, and if you try to extend a trendy name to it, you end up diluting it.

Just something to think about.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1418. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 05:09:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D8ECC5B.1090907@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53285

N2EY wrote:

> The company is not Ten Tec. And the rigs do indeed compete in almost all
> specifications and features. In fact, on some specs they are superior to rigs
> costing much more. I bought and built one, and it does everything claimed.

Jim, yer bein a tease!! Who are they? I have to plead ignorance.

> But comparing the 'price' of such rigs to manufactured ones requires that you
> ignore the cost of your time to assemble them. In my case, I count the assembly
> time as educational and fun. Also, I can fix anything that goes wrong and
> modify the rig if I so desire.

Right. Anyone who is assembling a kit to save money is not getting the
picture. It's nice to learn something about how the radio works, and
familiarity with the assembly of a unit is indeed an advantage for
troubleshooting and modding the unit

> The rigs I speak of are very small. And beyond a certain point, miniaturization
> is a detriment, not an asset, because the rig becomes hard to use and
> impossible to work on.

Violent agreement there, Jim! 8^)
8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1419. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 06:11:47 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ammvda52am5@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Looking at the band charts, maybe the 70cm band, or higher would be a place to have an expansion of one of those bands, as well as/or possible expansion by .25 or .5 addition to some of the HF bands.

Locally speaking only, 220 is only used for linking/remote reciever type of apps. There just is not enough transcievers available anymore to make me consider getting on that band, unless it is a bundled multibander. Locally speaking 2 meters is the only used vhf/uhf band... 6 is popular during openings and 70 is used for "some" repeaters and linking but otherwise dead like 220.

Right now, I have like about 15 minutes total time to operate on the average day, so I guess I am adding to the lack of usage on selected bands.....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:amforj$4df$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> It is being used. But to a limited degree. The UPS fiasco screwed it up
> totally. Hams are simply not going to spend the bux to get on it with the
> hammer always ready to fall.
>
> As for exchanging for more elsewhere. Thats a great idea. But doubtfull.
> The V/Uhf segments are way to valuable a commodity to give us hams any
more.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

1420. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 10:09:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020923060943.12729.00000827@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 53286

In article <3D8ECC5B.1090907@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> The company is not Ten Tec. And the rigs do indeed compete in almost all
>> specifications and features. In fact, on some specs they are superior to
>>rigs
>> costing much more. I bought and built one, and it does everything claimed.

> Jim, yer bein a tease!! Who are they? I have to plead ignorance.

http://www.elecraft.com

>> But comparing the 'price' of such rigs to manufactured ones requires that
>>you ignore the cost of your time to assemble them. In my case, I count the
>>assembly
>> time as educational and fun. Also, I can fix anything that goes wrong and
>> modify the rig if I so desire.

> Right. Anyone who is assembling a kit to save money is not getting the
>picture.

I disagree, Mike. It all depends on what the person's situation is.

For example, some hams can easily pick up extra money by working extra hours.
For those folks, time spent assembling a kit could be spent making more money
in order to buy a manufactured one. If a person's take-home pay is, say,
$10/hr, then 40 hours spent assembling a kit equates to $400!

Other hams may not be able to make extra money that way, for a variety of
reasons. Others may not be able to make large lump expenditures. For them, the
kit route can make a big difference.

The rigs cited above are somewhat modular in design. The base rig is the
biggest chunk of money and time. Desired options can be added later, as money
becomes available.

>It's nice to learn something about how the radio works, and
>familiarity with the assembly of a unit is indeed an advantage for
>troubleshooting and modding the unit

For some of us it's almost a necessity. And as far as cost goes, check out what
it costs in time/money/shipping/aggravation to get an out-of-warranty
Yaecomwood rig fixed.

>> The rigs I speak of are very small. And beyond a certain point,
>>miniaturization

>> is a detriment, not an asset, because the rig becomes hard to use and
>> impossible to work on.
>
> Violent agreement there, Jim! 8^)
> 8^)

Credit K2UNK with the 'violent agreement' phrase!

Bill's point is still valid, tho. Homebrewing and even kitbuilding are niche activities compared to simply ponying up the cash and buying a manufactured rig, new or used, outright. The exceptions prove the rule.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1421. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 12:10:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020923081007.03046.00000748@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53287

In article <3D8E8EFB.8B8782C5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Kim wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:3D8E30B2.1D86BA6D@earthlink.net...
>> > Kim wrote:
>> > >
>> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > news:3D8DEB01.401B7301@earthlink.net...
>> > > > Kim wrote:

>> > The dictionary exists in order that individuals might communicate. If
>> > I'm talking about roast beef and you think I mean something about
>> > burning cattle alive, we begin to have problems. Terrorism is
>> > terrorism. If you'd like, search for a word which communicates what you
>> > mean to say. That word is not terrorism no matter how much you'd like
>> > for it to be so.

>> Dave, the "definitions" of things are why the law profession exists, why
>> there are debate clubs, why there are discussion groups, and why many
>> corporations today include diversity training in their repertoire of things
>> that employees must endure.
>
>Diversity training exists because of definitions? The practice of law
>exists because of definitions? That is preposterous.

I think what Kim is trying to say is that people disagree over definitions.
Much of what lawyers do is to argue over what a law means. However, what Kim
fails to note is that standard definitions do arise out of the discussions. A
lawyer who defined "real estate" as "any property that is not imaginary" would
not be taken seriously.
>
>> Sorry, in my eyes it is terroristic to strike terror in the heart of
>> anyone--singularly or in multitudes.

The other day I was driving down the main street of my town. A police car came
out of a side street behind me, hit the lights and siren. I was terrified for a
few seconds - then the police car zoomed past, answering a call to an accident.
(I found out about the accident later). By Kim's definition, the police
committed "a terroristic act" on me.

>> So, no matter how much you think I am
>> wrong, wish me to be wrong, will me to be wrong, I am right as far as I am
>> concerned.

But only as far as you are concerned.

>What about the thousands of others? Did they evaporate? If you choose
>to apply a different definition than that provided, of course you can be
>right as far as you are concerned. You just can't sell your ideas to
>others based on your misuse of the definition.

Dave, you are arguing the difference between subjective and objective reality.
You are being objective, Kim is being subjective.
>
>> Tell ya what. Just so we can get back into your comfort zone, Dave, how
>> 'bout just the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombs that were dropped? Eh? Was
>> that terrorism? Yeah, I think it was. It was a terroristic response to a
>> terroristic attack on Pearl Harbor.
>
>No, Kim that wasn't terrorism. I don't care if you think it was.

Act of war. Actually saved millions of Japanese lives, because they would have
fought almost to the last man, woman and child. The invasions of Saipan and
Okinawa were a hint of what invading Japan would be like.

>> > > > Yeah, I know. You condsider it terrorism. You don't understand the
>> > > > word.

>> > > Dave, I quite understand what terrorism is to me.

See? Subjective vs. objective.

>> > > I consider rape on a woman a terroristic act.

But not if it's done to a man?

>> > > > > It's not up to me to explain this to you, Dave.
>> > > >
>> > > > That's a good thing, Kim. You aren't capable of explaining it.
>> >
>> > > From my perspective, it looks like you are incapable of understanding,
>> or
>> > > choose not to deal with it.
>> >
>> > I'm not the person having trouble finding the dictionary or using it.
>> >
>>
>> Tell that to the next attorney who debates the meaning of a word, a law, a
>> concept. It's part of what freedom of expression is all about, Dave. You
>> are at least familiar with that concept aren't you?
>
>No, Kim. Freedom of expression is not all about the misuse of a word by
>application of a homemade definition.

To Kim it is. It's part of her definition of "freedom of expression". Example:
the rest of the world can define dogs as mammals, but Kim reserves the right
not to be governed by that. Her "freedom of expression" permits her to define
dogs as reptiles if, to her, they appear to be reptiles. Or if doing so ticks

you off.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1422. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 12:29:38 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amn1o5$q36$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53289

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D8E8C2C.6191D258@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> > Prosecuted by a civilian court? Dick, I have NEVER read of anyone
> > being charged with "possession" based ONLY on a blood test.
> > Maybe the military would do that, but no civilian court would.
> > Please cite specifics if you know of any.
> >
>
> Mothers of crack babies have been charged with possession of crack just
> on the evidence of the damaged baby. Don't know if that charge
> stuck or not in court.

They have been charged with variations of an endangerment
concept...but I do not believe they have been charged
with possession.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1423. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 12:34:26 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amn1p4$1gd$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53290

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:amlvpl$bka$0@208.207.71.129...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:amjc7m$vk9$0@208.206.142.112...
> > >
> > >
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:ambg2f$8ob$0@208.207.71.176...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I note that this statement presumes a proactive action
> > > > > > by the foriegn administration. The implication then is
> > > > > > that without such "official" notification from some
> > > > > > recognized foriegn administration, the FCC does nothing.
> > > > > > ergo...the FCC has no jurisdiction without that foriegn
> > > > > > official report.
> > > > > >
> > > > > If a traffic cop radars you going 10 miles over the limit and
decides
> > > > > to give you a pass, then he has no jurisdiction? What a concept.
> > > > >
> > > > Your analogy makes no sense.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > No, Bill, yours doesn't. What your say is that if there is no
> > > prosecution there is no offense.
> > >
> > If there is 100% liklihood that no one is going to be
> > prosecuted, then the question of legality is moot. Makes
> > for nothing more than an academic excersize in futility.
> >
> People get away with illegal activity
> all the time. That doesn't make it legal.
> >
> Maybe not, but as I said above, no prosecution and the
> legality becomes a non-issue.
```

>
>
> Ah, so. Everyone drives 10 mph over the speed limit until you come
> along just at the time some irritated cop decides it's time to make an
> example of the next violator that that speeds into sight..... So you
> protest, unless you're got some drag with officlaldom you'll pay your
> fine right along with whoever else is in line.

Agreed...the key is "prosecution" vs wink of the eye. For all intents
and purposes, the defacto "wink of the eye" on highway interstates
is about 10mph...and usually even more. Seems the cops have no
shortage of 20+ takers and don't need to feed on the 10 over or less.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1424. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 12:40:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020923084014.19078.00006088@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53291

>Subject: Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/22/02 11:58 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D8EC9B6.5030404@adelphia.net>

>Rape is a vile and repugnant act of violence against women.

As an ER Nurse, Mike , I can tell you emphatically that rape is vile and repugnant. However it is a vile and repugnant act perpetrated against BOTH genders. More one than the other to be sure, but to both none-the-less.

Recent "Politically Correct" social engineers have been loading the ER up with "violence against women" posters and where women can call when victimized.

There are no such outlets for men. If a male person seeks shelter, or needs a shoulder to lean on, or is himself victimized by his "domestic partner", he is, at least, out of luck. At worst he's laughed at, humiliated, or worse...winds up himself incarcerated.

I have had male persons arrive in my ER for mental health evaluations who cited phone calls to various 1-800 domestic violence hotlines only to be laughed off the line on occassion.

Of course, if, after having sought care or counselling for what ever percieved dysfunction or aberation he suffers, and having been so dismissed by "the system", he subsequently "loses his temper", HE will be the one arrested and incarcerated.

>What I'm trying to say is that a crime is a crime is a crime, and if you
>try to extend a trendy name to it, you end up diluting it.

My opinion exactly on "domestic violence". It's violence or it's not, regardless of where it occurs and against whom it occurs.

>Just something to think about.

I have been...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1425. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 14:39:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209230639.373b9d5a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Shephed" <roll1up@smkey.com> wrote in message
news:<VCNi9.139697$N9.23556341@twister.neo.rr.com>...
> How in the hell did you get your license?

That's a self-answering question . . .

>
> "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com...

DUH??

w3rv

1426. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 16:53:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209230853.1be2a933@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aml6hkpol1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0209220832.2b5da403@posting.google.com...
> ...
> > ... CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;
> > there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS, the range is simply too
> > limited, and so are the radios.
>
> A stunning justification for type acceptance.
>

. . . stunning example of why the "users" should be "type accepted" first . .

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

w3rv

1427. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 16:53:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020923125322.29899.00005152@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 53294

>Subject: Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 9/23/02 9:39 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0209230639.373b9d5a@posting.google.com>

>DUH??

I agree. Why is it that the putziest and the least enlightend seem to
procreate faster? Nothing better to do?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1428. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 17:25:46 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2waoq8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 23 Sep 2002 09:53:11 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:

>> > ... CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;
>> > there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS, the range is simply too
>> > limited, and so are the radios.
>>
>> A stunning justification for type acceptance.
>>
>
>.. . . stunning example of why the "users" should be "type accepted"
> first . .

The slippery slope of unregulation.

This old curmudgeon remembers when it was considered a rare privilege
to be allowed near a radio transmitter, let alone use or <shudder> OWN
one....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1429. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 18:58:30 UTC
From: "Harry W." <hye@uviversalpostexmail.org>
Message-ID: <amnoa4$ve7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message > >>

> This old curmudgeon remembers when it was considered a rare privilege
> to be allowed near a radio transmitter, let alone use or <shudder> OWN
> one....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

Something unusual, I find myself agreeing with Phil for the second time this month! Yes, that was the thinking which was passed on to me by two earlier generations, owning and operating a xmitter was an earned privilege. Many explanations and theories have been bandied about as to how and why we are the state we are in today. However, none of that changes the fact that in 1959, when I walked out of the FCC office in NYC, having passed my tests, I thought I had just earned the most treasured privilege in the world! Moreover, a treasured privilege to be protected by obeying all rules and regulations to the letter. As you say, just another old curmudgeion reminiscing about what was. A parting thought, I thought the FCC official who administered my tests, attired in his neat business suit, had to be the most impressive government official on earth and one to be emulated. Unfortunately, I was mistaken, but that's another story not for telling here.

Harry

1430. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 22:12:35 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D8F91D3.241B9A1A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Harry W." wrote:

> A parting thought, I
> thought the FCC official who administered my tests, attired in his
> neat business suit, had to be the most impressive government
> official on earth and one to be emulated. Unfortunately, I was
> mistaken, but that's another story not for telling here.
>

I think I know who that was you are talking about. Think he
got canned by the FCC because he was spending too much time with
ham matters and neglecting work needed for other radio services.
And there were rumors, but that's another story not for telling here....

1431. Re: W5TIT - Love That Callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 22:14:14 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D8F9235.D444316A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53298

xganon wrote:

> God I love that callsign. Any chick who chooses a callsign
> like that in a mostly male forum deserves any attention she
> gets. I support Kim's TIT callsign. The more TITs we have in
> rrap, the better.

She's a bird watcher. A tit is a small bird. If you happen to
think of something else, well..... :-)

1432. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 22:19:15 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amo4ce$86n$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2waoq8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 23 Sep 2002 09:53:11 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >> > ... CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;
> >> > there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS, the range is simply too
> >> > limited, and so are the radios.
> >>
> >> A stunning justification for type acceptance.
> >>
> >
> >.. . . stunning example of why the "users" should be "type accepted"
> > first . .
>
> The slippery slope of unregulation.
>
> This old curmudgeon remembers when it was considered a rare privilege
> to be allowed near a radio transmitter, let alone use or <shudder> OWN
> one....
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

When was it illegal to "own" one? Use I understand, ownership
in all the dialog I've read hasn't been illegal.

Bill K2UNK

1433. Re: PocketPC Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 22:22:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0209231422.63d26b7e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53299

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020920204455.02941.00001369@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0209181420.72232721@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >
> >Larry, you don't even know what the code requirements will be in 2027
> >when I start to work on it again. It might even be outlawed by them,
> >and code enthusiasts will have to huddle in dark corners to practice
> >it.
> >
> >73, Brian
>
> Brian:
>
> "Outlawed?" As in made illegal? You predict that CW could be made
> illegal in 2027?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I'm not predicting it, Larry. And I'm not predicting that we'll be
under one world government in 2027, either. But we could be. How
many years did it take us to go from colony status to sovergn nation
status? How many years did we have to suffer through incentive
licensing?

Sometimes the really big stuff takes only a few years, and the silly
stuff hangs on forever and ever.

1434. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 22:24:29 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D8F949C.87A24175@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KC8PMX wrote:

> Looking at the band charts, maybe the 70cm band, or higher would be a place
> to have an expansion of one of those bands, as well as/or possible expansion
> by .25 or .5 addition to some of the HF bands.
>

That'd be nice, as I use HF more than 220..... :-)

>
> Locally speaking only, 220 is only used for linking/remote reciever type of
> apps. There just is not enough transcievers available anymore to make me
> consider getting on that band, unless it is a bundled multibander. Locally
> speaking 2 meters is the only used vhf/uhf band... 6 is popular during
> openings and 70 is used for "some" repeaters and linking but otherwise dead
> like 220.
>

There's also limited use and access to 219-220MHz. Digital modes only,
and we are secondary. And we have to get permission from the primary
users (AMTS) and they usually go into CYA mode and automatically
say no.

1435. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 23:12:53 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0209231512.4aa5402a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Captain Cole Slaw" <coleslaw@islanders.com> wrote in message
news:<7Twj9.218266$z91.9316938@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > That is a blatant assumption and you cannot support it with any
> > reasonable arguement.
>
> It is no different than you claiming there are 30-40 million cbers in the
> uSA cannot be substantiated and a blatant assumption based on opinion and
> not fact
>

So prove me wrong, Georgie-girl. If you can. ;)

>
>
> >CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;
>
> Says who do you now speak for all Cbers? You certainly are not a ham If you
> were a call sign would follow your post.
>

Like your's does your posts? You're just another anonymous troll here.

>
> > there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS,
>
> Shooting skip past 155 miles on Cb is Illegal do you condone Illegal acts?

I believe it's impossible not to break some laws, including that one.
You got a problem with that, Georgie-girl? Tough nookie. So what?

1436. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 23:14:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0209231514.1e6dbcaf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D8E0A0E.74B6271C@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > GH <nbcred@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D8D0F7A.213CE0F3@mailcity.net>...
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3d8a5a4f$1_6@news.teranews.com...
> > > > > Think about it. Who profits from the "type acceptance" ? The FCC? The
> big
> > > > > electronics manufactures?
> > > > >
> > > > > What benefit do I get from having to buy a radio for every service I'm
> > > > > involved in?
> > > > >
> > > > Lets see:
> > > > >
> > > > > CB
> > > > We already have a sewer of illegal gear on CB now.
> > > > You want more of the same?
> > > > >
> > > > > FRS, MURS
> > > > >
> > > > We don't want another CB debacle, hence the need for type acceptance.
> > > >
> > > Give the cbers a chance, they will screw up FRS and MURS just like
> > > they did the cb band.
> >
> > That is a blatant assumption and you cannot support it with any
> > reasonable arguement. CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;
> > there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS, the range is simply too
> > limited, and so are the radios.
>
> Just wait, the cbers will be using modded radios with "leaners"
> and other splatter boxes on the FRS and MURS frequencies. To them
> it will be just other bands they can get on and make a sewer pit
> out of just like 11 meters.

Why? There's no reason for linears on 462 MHz; there's no skip. Just
another of your unsubstantiated assumptions. You have proven yourself
to be a moron. Take a seat at the head of the class, dimbulb.

1437. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-23 23:24:39 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <XoNj9.249797$AR1.10354339@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 53204

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020920102853.08460.00000261@mb-da.aol.com...
> >This is not such a bad idea, good luck selling it though. There are
many who
> >take a dim view of any other "hobby" radio other than the ARS. Just
witness
> >the paranoia exhibited when Alan Dixon, N2HOE petitioned the FCC to
drop the
> >155.3 mi. limit on the CB. Some thought this would make the CB a
service
> >"paralleling" the ARS. Old fears die hard, but good luck
nonetheless.
>
> I don't think "paranoia" is the correct adjective, Bert. Each
radio
> service has a particular "basis and purpose". Ciotizens Radio
Service was NOT
> created as a "long-range" radio service...Just the opposite, it was
created as
> a low power, short range personal communications service.

If I remember correctly don't some countries require that one of the
stations MUST be a mobile unit, and that base to base com's are
prohibited by law?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1438. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-24 00:51:11 UTC
From: Captain Cole Slaw <coleslaw@islanders.com>
Message-ID: <3GOj9.249943$5r1.10237838@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 53205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message > > It is no different than you claiming there are 30-40 million cbers in the
> > uSA cannot be substantiated and a blatant assumption based on opinion and
> > not fact

> So prove me wrong, Georgie-girl. If you can. ;)

Ok, I'll use your same exact science there are only 1.2 million Cbers in this country.

> > >CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;

> > Says who do you now speak for all Cbers? You certainly are not a ham If you
> > were a call sign would follow your post.

You know what my call is right? And I know your not the amazing kreskin so evidently it get's posted. Now so what is your call? Or do you prefer to remain anonymous and claim your a Ham, which you have proven you make claims with nothing to ever back them up. Anyone can say there are pink elephants running wild on Jupiter, using your "mentality" prove me wrong.

> Like your's does your posts? You're just another anonymous troll here.

How am I anonymous? You know my name and call you know location how can you say that is anon? You also bragged you have nothing to hide you do remember that post you made right? Or would you like a repost of it.

> > > there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS,

> > Shooting skip past 155 miles on Cb is Illegal do you condone Illegal acts?

> I believe it's impossible not to break some laws, including that one.

Un Intentionaly speaking with someone and not knowing there location is one thing to reply to or put out a call for skipland is knowingly breaking trying to break the laws no matter how you want to twist it. Planting your dial on 27.555 and making contacts is Illegal as per the FCC, I would call that willful intent, knowingly calling cq dx which illegal op's are doing is soliciting people who are in the distance. Sorry, ground wave doesn't travel 155 miles

> You got a problem with that, Georgie-girl? Tough nookie. So what?

Sure do Dick Head, because it is another assinine comment coming from your keyboard.

1439. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-24 01:20:00 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <H9Pj9.42723$7J2.1036316@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53207

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:XoNj9.249797$AR1.10354339@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020920102853.08460.00000261@mb-da.aol.com...
> > >This is not such a bad idea, good luck selling it though. There are
> many who
> > >take a dim view of any other "hobby" radio other than the ARS. Just
> witness
> > >the paranoia exhibited when Alan Dixon, N2HOE petitioned the FCC to
> drop the
> > >155.3 mi. limit on the CB. Some thought this would make the CB a
> service
> > >"paralleling" the ARS. Old fears die hard, but good luck
> nonetheless.
> >
> > I don't think "paranoia" is the correct adjective, Bert. Each
> radio
> > service has a particular "basis and purpose". Ciotizens Radio
> Service was NOT
> > created as a "long-range" radio service...Just the opposite, it was
> created as
> > a low power, short range personal communications service.
>
> If I remember correctly don't some countries require that one of the
> stations MUST be a mobile unit, and that base to base com's are
> prohibited by law?
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Quite correct, Leland. Particularly in Europe. Of course there are still a
few countries that have 11-meter amateur allocations (Insignificant though
they may be.) as well as those with no distance limit on their 11-meter CB.
(England comes to mind.) Take care now.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1440. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-24 01:20:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uovfgchmpu1u10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wow, Phil - you hit that one on the head. I remember going into the Buffalo, NY, FCC office on a number of occasions. The first time one walked into that place, your palms would be sweaty. Yes, it was a rare privilege to be allowed the use of a transmitter - and EVERYONE respected the FCC (well, there were a few, but they got popped in a heck of a hurry - there were a lot of monitoring stations back in the 50s and 60s). Yet, eventually, they became friends (still, you respected the heck out of 'em!). I remember when I had taken my 1st class radiotelephone and about 1 week later I had a letter for me from the Buffalo office. What the heck, too early for the ticket what could it be (and I still remember the little note about 'official business' and if one used it for personal use, there was a pretty hefty fine - seems like it was $300 or $500)? It was a note that started 'Dear Jim, it seems you left your red sweater in our office. Shall we send it, or will you call for it?'. Unbelievable. I was going to Buffalo for a pre-induction physical (Vietnam) so I dropped in at the office. There was no identification in that sweater! They remembered me. Made my day ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2waoq8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 23 Sep 2002 09:53:11 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >> > ... CBers are quite happy on (and near) 11 meters;
> >> > there's no skip to shoot on FRS or MURS, the range is simply too
> >> > limited, and so are the radios.
> >>
> >> A stunning justification for type acceptance.
> >>
> >
> >.. . . stunning example of why the "users" should be "type accepted"
> > first . .
>
> The slippery slope of unregulation.
>
> This old curmudgeon remembers when it was considered a rare privilege
> to be allowed near a radio transmitter, let alone use or <shudder> OWN
> one....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

>

1441. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-24 02:09:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020923220949.11643.00001600@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 53208

In article <amo4ce$86n$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>When was it illegal to "own" one? Use I understand, ownership
>in all the dialog I've read hasn't been illegal.
>
So far as I know, the only time ownership was an issue in the USA was during
WW1.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1442. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-24 07:27:13 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <amqqhm2jgk@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D8F949C.87A24175@ix.netcom.com...
> KC8PMX wrote:
>
> > Looking at the band charts, maybe the 70cm band, or higher would be a
place
> > to have an expansion of one of those bands, as well as/or possible
expansion
> > by .25 or .5 addition to some of the HF bands.
> >
>
> That'd be nice, as I use HF more than 220..... :-)

Yeah... and anything that seems to make sense, doesn't bode well with the
government. For once in my life I tried being an idealist instead of a
realist.... :)

>
> >
> > Locally speaking only, 220 is only used for linking/remote reciever type
of
> > apps. There just is not enough transcievers available anymore to make
me
> > consider getting on that band, unless it is a bundled multibander.
Locally
> > speaking 2 meters is the only used vhf/uhf band... 6 is popular during
> > openings and 70 is used for "some" repeaters and linking but otherwise
dead
> > like 220.
> >
>
> There's also limited use and access to 219-220MHz. Digital modes only,
> and we are secondary. And we have to get permission from the primary
> users (AMTS) and they usually go into CYA mode and automatically
> say no.
>

Like Dan was saying, basically little ham use in that band area period.
Still possibly thing and exchange for frequencies would be a good idea, but
the hard part is getting both sides to agree in that matter.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR

REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1443. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-24 18:35:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2y7xpd.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Sep 2002 18:12:35 -0400, Robert Casey wrote:

>"Harry W." wrote:
>
>> A parting thought, I
>> thought the FCC official who administered my tests, attired in his
>> neat business suit, had to be the most impressive government
>> official on earth and one to be emulated. Unfortunately, I was
>> mistaken, but that's another story not for telling here.
>>
>
>I think I know who that was you are talking about. Think he
>got canned by the FCC because he was spending too much time with
>ham matters and neglecting work needed for other radio services.
>And there were rumors, but that's another story not for telling here....

The individual you are thinking of was not yet on the FCC staff in
1959.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1444. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-24 18:35:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h2y879e.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Sep 2002 18:19:15 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>> This old curmudgeon remembers when it was considered a rare privilege
>> to be allowed near a radio transmitter, let alone use or <shudder> OWN
>> one....

>When was it illegal to "own" one? Use I understand, ownership
>in all the dialog I've read hasn't been illegal.

In those days only three types of people owned transmitters -
licensees, soon-to-be licensees (owning but not using the
transmitter), and "bootleggers". It was considered a privilege
to be in the first two categories and a "no no" to be in the
third.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1445. Re: Who profits from the "type acceptance" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-24 20:24:30 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amqhmg$2ih$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h2y879e.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 23 Sep 2002 18:19:15 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >> This old curmudgeon remembers when it was considered a rare privilege
> >> to be allowed near a radio transmitter, let alone use or <shudder>
OWN
> >> one....
>
> >When was it illegal to "own" one? Use I understand, ownership
> >in all the dialog I've read hasn't been illegal.
>
> In those days only three types of people owned transmitters -
> licensees, soon-to-be licensees (owning but not using the
> transmitter), and "bootleggers". It was considered a privilege
> to be in the first two categories and a "no no" to be in the
> third.

It may have been "considered" a no-no (third case);
the question, however, was... if "owning"
constituted any violation?

I say it didn't.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1446. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-24 22:34:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020924183434.25108.00000488@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 53212

In article <hcTi9.39652$P77.706190@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
<wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:

>Subject: Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective
>From: "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
>Date: Sat, 21 Sep 2002 01:08:39 -0400
>
>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020920102853.08460.00000261@mb-da.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective
>> >From: "Bert Craig" wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM
>> >Date: 9/20/02 9:01 AM Central Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <SVFi9.13171$P77.364236@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
>>
>> >As a "relative" newbie, I don't really know how anyone (Other than the
>> truly handicapped, of course.) can use the "barrier" arguement and keep a
>> straight face.

>> Easy, Bert! Cecil will resurface citing his 92 y/o mother as a prime
>> example of how it IS a "barrier".
>
>I do apologize. It certainly was not my intention to sound abrasive in any
>way. It just IS pretty hard to believe, IMHO.

It's not abrasive, Bert. From Cecil's descriptions, his mother is truly
handicapped.

>What's even funier is that if you do a little homework, you'll know that you
>can count on a RST report, which is usually 589, and a rig of some sort.
>Plus they give you a pretty generous amount of time after the code stops to
>fill in (Best guess?) the characters you missed. So if you end up with:
>
>"<sender's call, receiver's call> RS_ i_ 589. Na_e is Joh_. Rig _s Ken_oo_.
>Ante_n_ i_ 3 e_e_ent _agi."
>
>You've got approx. 10 min. (they say 5, but I swear we got 10.) to nail 25
>out of the 59 characters (Minus calls.) in the example above. I stand
>corrected at 25 correct characters in a row and I was unaware that the
>numerals count for two as well. In the example above, you can miss both
>opening call signs and still walk away from the session with a CSCE for
>Element 1 based solely on common sense. I think we can all safely assume
>that the thirteen missed characters (Represented by _'s.) are a
>"no-brainer."

Yup. In fact, you can probably chew up that message a bit more:

"<sender's call, receiver's call> _S_ i_ 589. _a_e is J_h_. R_g _s K_n_oo_.
>A_nte_n_ i_ 3 e_e_ent _agi."
>
>> >This is not such a bad idea, good luck selling it though. There are many
>> >who take a dim view of any other "hobby" radio other than the ARS.

I think such a dim view is understandable, given the history of cb and
freeband.

>> >Just witness the paranoia exhibited when Alan Dixon, N3HOE
>> >petitioned the FCC to drop the
>> >155.3 mi. limit on the CB. Some thought this would make the CB a service
>> >"paralleling" the ARS. Old fears die hard, but good luck nonetheless.

I don't think that opposition was paranoia or unfounded in the least.

>> I don't think "paranoia" is the correct adjective, Bert.
>
>I do. It was actually the nicest, most politically correct, term I could
>muster at the moment.

I'd say it was a well-founded opposition based on historic evidence.
>
>> Each radio
>> service has a particular "basis and purpose". Ciotizens Radio Service was
>>NOT
>> created as a "long-range" radio service...Just the opposite, it was
>>created as
>> a low power, short range personal communications service.
>
>I agree, but things change and this is what it's morphed into.
> have this
>quirky idea that the "Citizen's" Band should be defined by...you guessed it,
>the "citizens?" To a point, of course. I too share the disdain for
>overpowered and/or out-of-band ops, but responding to a natural phenomenon
>using 12 watts P.E.P.? Puh-lease! (Not directed at you, Steve.)

The basic problem is that the FCC erred by putting cb on 27 MHz back in '58.
They put it there because UHF CB had not gotten much use, even though it had
existed for more than a decade.
>
>> If you are going to say "short range", you have to draw a line
>> "somewhere"! FRS'ers can call "1/2 mile" short range, and the SETI guys
>>might
>> set "short range" in light years! It's arbitrary, but if you are going to
>>do
>> it, then do it.

FCC has already done so - 155.3 miles. (I think that works out to 250 kM).
>
>Again, things change. However, they should be changed vis the correct
>legislative protocol, not just ignored.

The main point is this: The test requirements for a ham license today are not a barrier to anyone who has a modicum of interest in radio.
>
>> >> Wrong, code is a big factor in repelling potential "hams".
>> >
>> >It'd be interesting to see how many members of NCI are non-hams (read
>> >"potential hams") as opposed tp <Yikes, that's "to."> No-code Techs who
>> >simply want HF privies?

Don't hold yer breath waiting for an answer...
>>
>> We heard sooooooooooo much about how the ranks of the Amateur Radio
>> service
>> were going to be filled in short-order after the No Code Technician was
>> authorized. Yes, there was an initial influx, but it just as quickly
>>subsided.

That's because the main causes of slow growth in the ARS are things other than the test requirements.
>
>I'd like to see the numbers, "non-ham" NCI members, that is.
>
>> Some aggitators in this NG would point out that 1/3rd of all Amateurs
>> are presently Technicians. However that ALSO means the 2/3'rds are "coded"
>>(by licensure) Hams. That number is probably a lot higher, though, since
>>those
>> who hold a post-Restructuring Technician and ALSO hold an CSCE for Element
>>1 may exercise the privileges of a "Technician Plus" without further
>>Commission
>> administrative action.
>
>Good point.

Add to that the fact that for 2-1/2 years now, the FCC has been renewing all Tech Pluses as Techs. If no other changes are made to the rules, in 7-1/2 years there will be no Tech Pluses at all.

Also note that any Novice who passes new Element 2 gets a Tech.

Even before restructuring, a sizable number of hams in the Tech totals were actually Tech Pluses.

Thus, it cannot be assumed that all Techs have not passed code tests.

Of course the code test itself will most probably go away in less than a year.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> --
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI
>

>

1447. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-25 05:07:39 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9294B76B4890elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in
news:amqqhm2jgk@enews2.newsguy.com:

>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3D8F949C.87A24175@ix.netcom.com...
>> KC8PMX wrote:
>>
>> > Looking at the band charts, maybe the 70cm band, or higher would be
>> > a place to have an expansion of one of those bands, as well as/or
>> > possible expansion by .25 or .5 addition to some of the HF bands.
>> >
>>
>> That'd be nice, as I use HF more than 220..... :-)
>
> Yeah... and anything that seems to make sense, doesn't bode well with
> the government. For once in my life I tried being an idealist instead
> of a realist.... :)
>
>
>>
>> >
>> > Locally speaking only, 220 is only used for linking/remote reciever
>> > type of apps. There just is not enough transcievers available
>> > anymore to make me consider getting on that band, unless it is a
>> > bundled multibander. Locally speaking 2 meters is the only used
>> > vhf/uhf band... 6 is popular during openings and 70 is used for
>> > "some" repeaters and linking but otherwise dead like 220.
>> >
>>
>> There's also limited use and access to 219-220MHz. Digital modes
>> only, and we are secondary. And we have to get permission from the
>> primary users (AMTS) and they usually go into CYA mode and
>> automatically say no.
>>
>
> Like Dan was saying, basically little ham use in that band area period.
> Still possibly thing and exchange for frequencies would be a good idea,
> but the hard part is getting both sides to agree in that matter.
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX

> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
>

If we are asking for more spectrum elsewhere, how about 4m (70MHz). This band is allocated to hams only in European and Middle Eastern countries, but would be interesting to have here.
N3KIP

1448. ARNewsline 1310 - Sept 20 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-25 05:10:55 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020925011055.01139.00009657@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 53215

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1310 – September 20, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1310 with a release date of Friday, September 20 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Out of the tragedy of 911 comes a new way for hams to be prepared. Find out more on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1310 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

EMERGENCY COMMS: NEW DATABASE BEING CREATED

A new ham radio volunteer database is about to become a reality. Amateur Radio Newsline's Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has the story of how an act of terror lead to its creation:

--

A year ago, when al-Quida terrorists hit in New York City, Pennsylvania and Washington D-C, it was Joe Tomasone, AB2M, who put together an Internet based volunteer registration system. This strategy permitted ham radio volunteers to be quickly credentialed and dispatched to the various disaster sites where they were needed. Last May at the Amateur Radio Newsline sponsored Ham Radio Town Meeting in Dayton Joe explained that the database came about because he wanted to do somthing to help in the wake of the attacks:

--
Tomasone: "Not knowing what else to do I sent an e-mail to Tom Caruba, KA2D, who is the Section Emergency Coordinator for the New Yourk City and Long Island. I said that I know there is nothing that is nothing I can do to help, but let me know if there is somthing I can do to help.

And I expected that maybe he would request for me to make some phone calls or do some health and welfare traffic outside the area because the phones were in scetchy status in the New Yoork City ares. A short time later a request came back that I wasn't sure how to answer. They asked: '...can yoiu make a databse that will track the hudreds of requests we are getting [from hams] to come down and volunteer and can we put that up on the Internet so that it can be accessed.'

I thought about it for a few minutes and I said yes, I think we can do that."

--

Joe Tomasone did do it. The result was a steady flow of volunteers being dispatched when and where they were needed.

--
Tomasone: “On the registration page as many of you were able to see, it asked for basic information such as your name, your call sign, your address, contact information, what ARRL Section you were from and what your availability was. Now once that was put into the database, it was able to be queeried by a number of people who were given access to that portion of the siute. That enabled them to do searches based on a number of criteria.”
--

During his Dayton presentation, Joe mentioned his desire to grow what became known as the World Trade Center Volunteer Database into a general event activation management program for ARES, RACES and SKYWARN activities. Now Joe is about to get that project off the ground. But to do it he needs your help.

Joe tells Amateur Radio Newsline that making it happen will take people and new ideas. To that end, he has created an e-mail mailing list to solicit concepts and volunteers for this project. Anyone who is interested can either sign up directly by sending an e- mail to volreg-request@lists.ab2m.net or by visiting wtc.ab2m.net on the World Wide Web. Please note that their is no w-w-w in that U-R-L. Its simply wtc.ab2m.net.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Im Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, in New York.

--

Dont worry if you missed that U-R-L. We are putting a link to it from our website at www.arnewsline.org. (ARNewsline™)

**

RADIO LAW: CC&R BILL HR 4720 ATTRACTS ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

Good news on the legislative front. Five more cosponsors now have signed aboard HR 4720. This is a bill in Congress aimed at providing relief to amateurs faced with private deed covenants, conditions and restrictions when they want to put up antennas.

According to a story in the ARRL Letter, the list of cosponsors is now up to 23 members of the U-S House of Representatives who have agreed to sign on to the measure. This includes two radio amateurs: They are Oregon Republican Greg Walden, WB7OCE and Arkansas Democrat Mike Ross, WD5DVR. Walden was one of the two original cosponsors of HR 4720 with Texas Republican Pete Sessions. Walden and Ross are believed to be the only Amateur Radio licensees in the U-S House of Representatives.

It was New York Democrat Steve Israel introduced HR 4720 back on May 14th. The measure would require private land-use regulators such as homeowners' associations to "reasonably accommodate" Amateur Radio communication consistent with the PRB-1 limited federal preemption. PRB-1 now applies only to states and municipalities. (ARRL)

**

RADIO RECORDS: 24 GHZ RECORD BROKEN

The ham radio distance record for a contact on the 24 Gigahertz band has been broken again. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, is here to tell us who did it and how:

--
On the 7th of September Dave Robinson, G4FRE, operating as WW2R/5, and Al Ward, W5LUA, made a record-breaking contact on 24,192MHz. Dave was operating portable in EM41HC near Natchez, Mississippi, and W5LUA was operating from his home in EM13QC, at Allen in Texas.

CW signals of 549 were exchanged. The distance, based on six-digit to six-digit grid squares, is 337.3 miles or 542.8 kilometres.

The sked was set up earlier in the week via e-mail with no liaison on any band, including cellular. Attempts to repeat the contact over the same path 12 hours after the initial QSO resulted in no signals being identifiable either way on 10GHz, suggesting the morning QSO was under enhanced tropo conditions.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH
--

No signals were heard on 2 meters or 70 centimeters at the time of the 24 Gigahertz contact was completed. Previous experiments on 10 gigahertz last July also resulted in no signals being identified. (GB2RS)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Catalina Amateur Radio Association net serving our home -- Los Angeles California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: 20 METER NET INTERFERENCE

Interference to nets on 20 meters in the South Pacific. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, reports from Brisbane Australia:

--
20 Meter interference. The Maritime nets on 14.315 MHz are having problems with interference. Chris VK2UW says the ACA have been notified and are monitoring, but a bit of help from Hams re locating the source of the Interference would be appreciated.

It is Man made and is regular both by making noises and CW type transmissions. The nets involved are the Pacific InterIsland Net on 14.315 MHz 0800 Z each night and Tony's Net on 14.315 MHz 7.00am EST each day.

From Q-News Australia, I’m Graham Kemp. VK4BB, reporting for the amateur Radio Newsline.

--

If you hear the interference and can get a bearing on it, please report your findings to your nations Intruder Watch coordinator. In the United States that's the American Radio Relay League. (Q-News)

**

ENFORCEMENT: REPEATER ORDERED OF THE AIR

Back stateside, the FCC has ordered a repeater operator to take his system off the air. It happened in California. The agency's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains the action taken:

--
Duckworth: "A repeater shutdown order was issued to Steven Decho, KE6FX, of Draper Utah regarding operation of his repeater or remote base on Mt. Disappointment in the Los Angeles area. Decho was told in a letter to shut down operation until he either obtained current coordination or submitted a detailed, specific and acceptable plan to the enforcement bureau demonstrating how he would prevent interference to the WA6UZS repeater operation."
--

Late word from the FCC is that Decho has replied to the agency. He has shut down the Mt. Disappointment system. (FCC, RAIN)

**

RADIO SURVEY RESULTS: 1 IN 10 NEVER HEARD OF IRLP

A recent survey conducted by the website Radio-Scanner.co.uk shows that 1 in 10 radio enthusiasts worldwide have never heard of the Internet Radio Linking Project better known as I-R-L-P. The survey did however show that only 20% of radio enthusiasts were against the idea, with a massive 71% backing it. 9% were apparently undecided.

The Radio-Scanner release did not say if the survey was limited to licensed radio amateurs or included others such as S-W-L's and monitoring radio enthusiasts. Also, no data was published regarding knowledge or acceptance of other ham radio Internet linking protocols such as I-Link, Echolink, Hamlink or E-Q-S-O. (Radio-Scanner)

**

RADIO LAW: GROUP WARNS ON NEW BROADBAND REGS

A consumer action group says that some proposed U.S. government policies could harm competition in the broadband Internet market. This says the Consumer Federation of America will result in reducing choices and driving up monthly fees for users who want high-speed access.

The C-F-A contends that by easing regulations on incumbent cable television and local phone companies, the Federal Communications Commission will hasten the demise of independent Internet providers who reach users over existing phone and cable lines. They say that , consumers will suffer as cable and local phone giants that currently dominate the broadband market will no longer be driven to lower prices and create innovative products.

The FCC ruled in March that cable providers do not have to share their systems or provide open access for competing Internet services, and the agency is currently reassessing its line-sharing rules for local-phone giants like Verizon Communications and SBC Communications Inc. (CFA)

**

HAM RADIO HELP: IHS LOOKING FOR VOLUNTEERS

The International Health Service is looking for ham radio volunteers with High Frequency portable operating experience to go to Honduras from February 14 to March 2, 2003. The International Health Service is a non-profit organization based in Minnesota that provides free health care to the people of Honduras. Applications are being taken through the beginning of October. If you are interested please contact John Kirckof, KB0UUP by e-mail to jmkkek@runestone.net or phone him at 320-634-4386. The I-H-S web site can be found at www.ihsofmn.org (HIS)

**

NEW RELEASES: PASSPORT TO WORLD BAND RADIO

Media Network reports that the 2003 edition of Passport to World Band Radio is now available for ordering from Universal Radio. Priced at $15.90, Passport to World Band Radio is the bible of S-W-L's and other radio monitoring enthusiasts around the globe. More information is on the web at www.universal-radio.com. (Media Network)

**

SPECIAL EVENTS: THE COAST GUARD AUXILIARY 63RD ANNIVERSARY ON THE AIR

The U.S. Coast Guard Auxiliary' 63rd Anniversary special event Radio Day is slated for Saturday October 5th. The event will take place at all Coast Guard Auxiliary Districts across the United States. Operations will take place on 40 through 10 meters. Listen for call signs like K1G, N2A, W8E and the like and Q-S-L as directed on the air. (Press release)

**

HAM RADIO IN CYBERSPACE: ARRL CENTRAL DIVISION NOW ON THE WWW

The American Radio Relay League's Central Division now has its own home in cyberspace. Its the creation of Clay Melhorn, N9IO. The good news is that there is no advertising of no pop-ups on this site. Oh yes, you will find it on the web at www.central.arrl.org. (Listener contributed)

**

CONVENTIONS AND HAMFESTS: LIMARC RESCHEDULED

The LIMARC Hamfest that was to be held Sunday September 15th has been rescheduled to Sunday November 10th because Mother Nature would no cooperate. According to Eddie Muro, K2EPM, inclement weather caused the last minute cancellation. Muro adds that the venue will remain the same -- Briarcliffe College in the town of Bethpage New York. More information is on the web at

www.limarc.org. (LIMARC)

**

CONVENTIONS AND HAMFESTS: CSVHFS IN MILWAUKEE

208 people attended the recent Central States VHF Society Conference held in Milwaukee Wisconsin. Conference Proceedings are available for $20.00 shipped domestic United States from the Central States VHF Society in care of Bruce Richardson W9FZ, 2330 Lexington Ave S. #312, Mendota Heights, Minnesota 55120 . And less we forget, next year's conference is in Tulsa Oklahoma on the last weekend of July. (VHF Reflector)

**

HAM RADIO NEWS SERVICES: GB2RS SUBSCRIBABLE LIST NOW ON YAHOOGROUPS

Also. the Radio Society of Great Britain’s weekly news bulletin is now available over a Yahoogroups mailing list. The news is posted over the weekend, after it has been released for non RSGB members. You can subscribe by sending an empty e-mail to GB2RSnews-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

Yahoogroups is also the home of the Amateur Radio Newsline e-mail list you subscribe to it by sending a similar empty e-mail to arnewsline-subscribe@yahoogroups.com. (Listener contributed)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: NEW CATV CHIPS REVOLUTIONIZE SETY TOP BOXES

A new chipset developed in Canada may revolutionize set top T-V converter boxes. The spinoff of this technology could also impact future ham radio gear. Norm Seeley, KI7UP has more:

--
Zarlink Semiconductor of Ottawa Canada has announced availability of a new high performance chipset for tuning analog and digital RF cable TV signals. Zarlink's two-device chipset claims to deliver reception of all analog and digital cable channels, while allowing designers of multi-service cable set-top boxes to shrink tuner designs by 50% and reduce tuner costs by up to 20%.

The Zarlink chipset is comprised of a broadband tuner I-C and a new chip that handles frequency down-conversions and intermediate frequency amplification. Zarlink says that the new I-C’s implement active RF and IF amplification circuitry directly in the silicon wafer structure. In fact, the circuitry inside the count-down integrated circuit eliminates up to 40 external components from high performance, double-conversion tuner designs.

Norm Seeley, KI7UP.
--

The same Zarlink based technology could conceivably be used to shrink the size of other electronic gear that will require advanced R-F signal processing. This could include the next generation of digital communications ham radio gear. Ham gear that could be no bigger than a set-top CATV converter box. (Based on Zarlink release)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: INTEL TO INTRODUCE 3 GHZ PROCESSOR

Computer industry sources say that Intel may be moving up the introduction of its new 3 gigahertz Pentium 4 processor. Intel had originally planned to introduce the 3.0 gigahertz Pentium 4 processor by the end of the year. The earlier date will give manufacturers an edge in getting machines ready for the Christmas buying season. Intel also is reportedly accelerating the introduction of its 2.80 gigahertz Pentium 4 as well. (Via Internet)

**

RADIO IN SPACE: IO - A JOVIAN TRANSMITTER

From the science page comes word of a kind of natural transmitter in orbit around the planet Jupiter that has no magnetic field. Roy Neal, K6DUE, has more:

--
There are many mysteries in deep space. Men and their machines are hard at work trying to resolve them. At the heart of unmanned space flight, the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, in Southern California is home to the huge antennnas that control the space ships that go out in the attempt to unlock the secrets. It also is home to amateur radio station W6VIO and many of the hams who run the station take part in the deep space endeavours.

The latest report says they have found that IO, a volcanic moon circling the planet Jupiter, transmits charged particles…easily detectable as power and noise. But the moon apparently has no magnetic field. These data were gathered by NASA’s Galileo spacecraft as it orbited Jupiter for the last 6 years.

The discovery took on importance last Fall. The researchers were trying to determine whether the moon created its own magnetic field inside the massive one generated by Jupiter, the largest of all our solar planets. When Galileo crossed the path of a magnetic field connection between IO and Jupiter, suddenly the density of the charged particles through which it was passing increased…but no magnetic field was

detected. Leaving the researchers, in their earthly control room, yet anther puzzle of the universe to solve.

For the amateur radio newsline, Roy Neal, K6DUE
--

More on this fascinating finding is available in cyberspace at www.jpl.nasa.gov/videos/Io (NASA-JPL)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: VO1MX APPOINTED ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

On the international wire word that Dan Goodwin, VO1MX, of Gander Newfoundland has been appointed as an Assistant Director for the Radio Amateurs of Canada Atlantic Region in Central Newfoundland. Goodwin fills a vacancy that existed in the central region of Newfoundland serving the major centers of Gander and Grand Falls-Windsor. (RAC)

**

INTERNATIONAL - ARGENTINA: HAMS TO GET 136 KHZ ALLOCATION

Hams in Argentina are getting access to the 136 kHz band. Roberto Beviglia, LU4BR, who is the President of the Argentinean national amateur radio society says that his group made the rules change request to the Argentine radio regulatory department. The government agreed. As a result, 135.7 to 135.8 kHz has been initially allocated to that nations amateur service on a secondary basis. (GB2RS)

**

DX

In DX, word that Laurence Howell, GM4DMA, is active as portable 9M6 on the High Frequency bands and 6 meters from Sabah now until the 30th of September. He is there to teach communications theory and to set up a new country headquarters communications base for the youth development charity Raleigh International. (GB2RS)

And the ARRL DXCC desk has announced a new 30 meter DXCC Single Band award. Applications for this award will be accepted beginning on October 1st. The new 30 meter DXCC certificates will be dated, but not numbered. (ARRL)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: FLYING THE FLAG

And finally this week a look back to the way ham radio commemorated 9-11. This week its the story of two Florida hams who took to the air to fly the flag. In their case it was not by radio but rather in a Cessna 172 aircraft carrying several U-S flags as a part of an event called Flight Across America. The pilot at the controls was veteran aviator Steven Crow, K4CPX:

--
K4CPX: “What they did was to assign somebody from every state to carry their state flag into New York City to be there originally on 911. But they locked down New York City again for 911 so they had to do it a few days early.

Those airplanes that could not come to New York or wouldn’t because there were only fifty airplanes carrying state flags -- they wanted to have an airplane at each airport fly aloft for this commemoration which we did.

Then I came up with the idea of carrying flags for individuals. For people who wanted their flag commemorated with a flight on Flight Across America. So we did and we carried five flags aloft and we put a seal on them and signed them and gave them back to the people after we got back on the ground."
--

Accompanying K4CPX was Wendall Peterson K0REQ as co-pilot and Julius Ehland as the bearer of the flags. And on the morning of 9/11 the three made their way to Punta Gorda's Charlotte County Airport only to find it not to be a great day to venture into the sky:

--
K4CPX: "We went out to the airport anyway and stood around watching the rain sweep through. It is a pretty large airport with 6000' runways and I think we were the only pilots and the only flight that was even there that day."
--

Finally a slight break in the weather:

--
K4CPX: "We hung around and hung around another couple of hours -- all the time watching -- and looking at the RADAR -- and check it and see this stuff coming through. And we found a bit of a hole and decided -- lets jump in and take a quick look from the end of the runway and maybe aloft and maybe we can get the flight in."
--

And that's exactly what they did:

--
K4CPX: "We took off; climbed up to 1000 feet, turned sharply ahead of some heavy weather coming in and came right around the airport and landed on another runway and we were on the ground. We were probably in the air a total of maybe 12 or 13 minutes."
--

The important thing is that the flags were flown and returned to their owners. And while Steve and Wendall did have some 2 meter ham gear on board the Cessna they never bothered to turn it on. This was 9/11 and commemorating the day was what the flight by these two radio amateurs and their friend had really been all about. (ARNewsline™)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

A reminder that our survey how ham radio is being used by home schoolers is still taking place. If you are a home schooling parent or a student being home schooled, please send your thoughts on the subject by e-mail to newsline@arnewsline.org. So far the responses we have received are very interesting to read.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Ken Locke, N8PJN, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

1449. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-25 15:15:17 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0209250715.57247cd1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53216

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020924183434.25108.00000488@mb-da.aol.com>...
> In article <hcTi9.39652$P77.706190@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
> <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:
>
> >Subject: Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective
> >From: "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
> >Date: Sat, 21 Sep 2002 01:08:39 -0400
> >
> >"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020920102853.08460.00000261@mb-da.aol.com...
> >> >Subject: Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective
> >> >From: "Bert Craig" wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM
> >> >Date: 9/20/02 9:01 AM Central Daylight Time
> >> >Message-id: <SVFi9.13171$P77.364236@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
>
> >> >As a "relative" newbie, I don't really know how anyone (Other than the
> >> truly handicapped, of course.) can use the "barrier" arguement and keep a
> >> straight face.
>
> >> Easy, Bert! Cecil will resurface citing his 92 y/o mother as a prime
> >> example of how it IS a "barrier".
> >
> >I do apologize. It certainly was not my intention to sound abrasive in any
> >way. It just IS pretty hard to believe, IMHO.
>
> It's not abrasive, Bert. From Cecil's descriptions, his mother is truly
> handicapped.

No comment.

> >What's even funier is that if you do a little homework, you'll know that you
> >can count on a RST report, which is usually 589, and a rig of some sort.
> >Plus they give you a pretty generous amount of time after the code stops to
> >fill in (Best guess?) the characters you missed. So if you end up with:
> >
> >"<sender's call, receiver's call> RS_ i_ 589. Na_e is Joh_. Rig _s Ken_oo_.
> >Ante_n_ i_ 3 e_e_ent _agi."
> >
> >You've got approx. 10 min. (they say 5, but I swear we got 10.) to nail 25
> >out of the 59 characters (Minus calls.) in the example above. I stand
> >corrected at 25 correct characters in a row and I was unaware that the
> >numerals count for two as well. In the example above, you can miss both
> >opening call signs and still walk away from the session with a CSCE for
> >Element 1 based solely on common sense. I think we can all safely assume
> >that the thirteen missed characters (Represented by _'s.) are a

> >"no-brainer."
>
> Yup. In fact, you can probably chew up that message a bit more:

True. I didn't wan't to make it sound too easy, lest I let the cat out
of the bag re. a well kept Element 1 secret...all you have to really
do to pass the code is...(shhhh)...try.

> "<sender's call, receiver's call> _S_ i_ 589. _a_e is J_h_. R_g _s K_n_oo_.
> >A_nte_n_ i_ 3 e_e_ent _agi."
> >
> >> >This is not such a bad idea, good luck selling it though. There are many
> >> >who take a dim view of any other "hobby" radio other than the ARS.
>
> I think such a dim view is understandable, given the history of cb and
> freeband.

Granted. But do remember that RM-9807 had nothing to do with illegal
operation. Let's no punish all drivers for the misdeed of a few.
(Although the insurance carriers routinely get away with that via
increased rates.)

> >> >Just witness the paranoia exhibited when Alan Dixon, N3HOE
> >> >petitioned the FCC to drop the
> >> >155.3 mi. limit on the CB. Some thought this would make the CB a service
> >> >"paralleling" the ARS. Old fears die hard, but good luck nonetheless.
>
> I don't think that opposition was paranoia or unfounded in the least.

I certainly respect your opinion on that.

> >> I don't think "paranoia" is the correct adjective, Bert.
> >
> >I do. It was actually the nicest, most politically correct, term I could
> >muster at the moment.
>
> I'd say it was a well-founded opposition based on historic evidence.

I'd agree with you if I truly subscribed to the "punish 'em all"
mindset.

> >> Each radio
> >> service has a particular "basis and purpose". Ciotizens Radio Service was
> >>NOT
> >> created as a "long-range" radio service...Just the opposite, it was
> >>created as
> >> a low power, short range personal communications service.
> >
> >I agree, but things change and this is what it's morphed into.
> > have this
> >quirky idea that the "Citizen's" Band should be defined by...you guessed it,
> >the "citizens?" To a point, of course. I too share the disdain for
> >overpowered and/or out-of-band ops, but responding to a natural phenomenon

> >using 12 watts P.E.P.? Puh-lease! (Not directed at you, Steve.)
>
> The basic problem is that the FCC erred by putting cb on 27 MHz back in '58.
> They put it there because UHF CB had not gotten much use, even though it had
> existed for more than a decade.

I "violently agree!" <ggg>

> >> If you are going to say "short range", you have to draw a line
> >> "somewhere"! FRS'ers can call "1/2 mile" short range, and the SETI guys
> >>might
> >> set "short range" in light years! It's arbitrary, but if you are going to
> >>do
> >> it, then do it.
>
> FCC has already done so - 155.3 miles. (I think that works out to 250 kM).

Yup, hopefully that'll change when the next RM petition is submitted.

> >Again, things change. However, they should be changed vis the correct
> >legislative protocol, not just ignored.
>
> The main point is this: The test requirements for a ham license today are not a
> barrier to anyone who has a modicum of interest in radio.

Agreed, with a slight correction. "The test requirements for a ham
license today are not a barrier to anyone who has a modicum of
interest in *amateur* radio.

> >> >> Wrong, code is a big factor in repelling potential "hams".
> >> >
> >> >It'd be interesting to see how many members of NCI are non-hams (read
> >> >"potential hams") as opposed tp <Yikes, that's "to."> No-code Techs who
> >> >simply want HF privies?
>
> Don't hold yer breath waiting for an answer...

<Great big exhale.>

> >> We heard sooooooooooo much about how the ranks of the Amateur Radio
> >> service
> >> were going to be filled in short-order after the No Code Technician was
> >> authorized. Yes, there was an initial influx, but it just as quickly
> >>subsided.
>
> That's because the main causes of slow growth in the ARS are things other than
> the test requirements.
> >
> >I'd like to see the numbers, "non-ham" NCI members, that is.
> >
> >> Some aggitators in this NG would point out that 1/3rd of all Amateurs
> >> are presently Technicians. However that ALSO means the 2/3'rds are "coded"
> >>(by licensure) Hams. That number is probably a lot higher, though, since

> >>those
> >> who hold a post-Restructuring Technician and ALSO hold an CSCE for Element
> >>1 may exercise the privileges of a "Technician Plus" without further
> >>Commission
> >> administrative action.
> >
> >Good point.
>
> Add to that the fact that for 2-1/2 years now, the FCC has been renewing all
> Tech Pluses as Techs. If no other changes are made to the rules, in 7-1/2 years
> there will be no Tech Pluses at all.
>
> Also note that any Novice who passes new Element 2 gets a Tech.
>
> Even before restructuring, a sizable number of hams in the Tech totals were
> actually Tech Pluses.
>
> Thus, it cannot be assumed that all Techs have not passed code tests.
>
> Of course the code test itself will most probably go away in less than a year.

Let's hope not. Keep e-mailing the FCC. Hopefully it'll be seen by or
forwarded to the right set of eyes.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1450. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-25 22:09:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020925180915.05850.00000711@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 53217

In article <amj5kmkmg1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020919220856.28700.00001002@mb-fz.aol.com...
>> In article <amdn4h$vu3$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20020919153901.12229.00004880@mb-mw.aol.com...
>> >> >Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
>> >> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>> >> >Date: 9/19/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>> >> >Message-id: <20020919080924.03046.00000694@mb-fy.aol.com>
>> >>
>> >> >>When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
>> >> >>with the rules/privileges that Canada has in effect. I can,
>> >> >>for example, operate SSB from Canada in HF band segments
>> >> >>that I could not operate from when in the US. Likewise,
>> >> >>if some frequencies segment is not allowed to Canadians,
>> >> >>but is "legal in the US" I can not use those frequencies while
>> >> >>in Canada.
>> >> >
>> >> >Your operation in Canada is allowed because the USA and Canada have a
>> >> >reciprocal agreement. The Canadian govt. allows you to operate there
>> >without
>> >> >your passing a test or getting a Canadian license only because you
>have a
>> >> >valid
>> >> >US amateur license. IOW, your Canadian operation is totally dependent
>on
>> >> >having
>> >> >that US license. And you probably operate as K2UNK/VEx, not as a VE
>> >licensee.
>> >> >
>> >> >All good things.
>> >>
>> >> And if I remember correctly, did the FCC not come out and say that
>as
>> >>a
>> >> condition of this specific agreement, a United States Amateur operating
>in
>> >> Canada could NOT exercise any privileges or utilize any band/mode
>> >>combinations

>> >> not allowed under his/her license?
>> >
>> >Please provide the rule...otherwise it isn't so.
>>
>> I don't ever remember such a ruling. Seems to me that it's up to, say,
>>Canada
>> to detemine what privs they grant to a visiting US ham with a certain
>>class of
>> license.
>
>Good, we agree on that.

Well, there you have it.
>
>> >> >>If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
>> >> >>in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
>> >> >>jurisdiction for that action.
>> >> >
>> >> >Apples and oranges. Marijuana use is not regulated by international
>> >treaty
>> >> >and
>> >> >no license is needed to use it where its use is legalized.
>> >>
>> >> However if you return to the Untied States and controlled substances
>> >> are
>> >> in your system that are NOT allowed for whatever legal reason, it
>doesn't
>> >> matter how "legal" they were WHERE you consumed them.
>> >
>> >If you are claiming that once the indiviual sets foot on US soil, that
>> >an individual ould be convicted simply because a blood test showed
>> >prior use before entering the US, ...I seriously doubt it.
>>
>> I have to agree with Steve on this one. If I doubt anyone has ever been
>> prosecuted under such rules.
>
>You agree with Steve but doubt anyone has been prosecuted under
>such a situation????

What I'm saying is that a person could theoretically be prosecuted for being under the influence while in the US, even if such influence was legal where the substance was consumed.

Example: Person gets drunk in a place where public drunkenness is not a crime. Person is then transported to an area where public drunkenness IS a crime. Law enforcement authorities prosecute the person for being publicly drunk in the second location, even though no drinking took place there.
>
>> >> Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation, having
>> >>had a
>> >> significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out that

>> >>they
>> >> were STILL in trouble when they got home.
>> >
>> >Military justice is a totally different animal.
>>
>> Point is, they are still in trouble under US law.
>
>Nope...the military offense/prosecution would be under the UCMJ.

Isn't that a form of US law?
>
>> And it's not just servicepeople who have to be concerned. Many companies
>>have
>> random drug testing of all employees, and if residues are detected, the
>> employee is in BIG trouble.
>
>That is, again, not a public situation.

True.
>
>> Granted, they are not usually in legal trouble, but
>> the courts have sided with the companies and not the persons with the
>residues.
>> As I understand it, it comes under the concept of 'conditions of
>employment'
>> that are accepted by the employee.
>
>Agreed.

The person would have no legal recourse if fired or suspended.

>> >Agreed. But ingestion of the drug off shore isn't a US
>> >crime.
>>
>> As long as you don't bring any of the illegal stuff back, I'd agree.
>
>Agree again.
>
>> Still not a good analogy. Try this one:
>>

(correct my earlier post to read)(:

In the USA,

>>an LPN is not licensed to
>>write
>> prescriptions. But in other parts of the world, that same person's LPN
>>license
>> may be honored as valid, and the LPN may be empowered to write
>>prescriptions.
>>

>> Will an LPN who writes a prescription in the "other part of the world" be
>>in
>> violation of his USA license?
>
>My opinion...NO.

Exactly - because the local licensing authority attaches different privs to the reciprocal license.

In fact, as Steve points out, prescription privs vary in the US itself.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1451. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 00:21:19 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <up4l0729n7ju95@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53218

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D8E8EFB.8B8782C5@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > The dictionary exists in order that individuals might communicate. If
> > > I'm talking about roast beef and you think I mean something about
> > > burning cattle alive, we begin to have problems. Terrorism is
> > > terrorism. If you'd like, search for a word which communicates what
you
> > > mean to say. That word is not terrorism no matter how much you'd like
> > > for it to be so.
> > >
> >
> > Dave, the "definitions" of things are why the law profession exists, why
> > there are debate clubs, why there are discussion groups, and why many
> > corporations today include diversity training in their repertoire of
things
> > that employees must endure.
>
> Diversity training exists because of definitions? The practice of law
> exists because of definitions? That is preposterous.
>

Well, I don't how you could miss the correlation. Many times, the matter
being argued in a court is the very definition of things that are pertinent
to that Hearing. One part of diversity training is helping others be aware
that what "we" see one way, someone else may see entirely different.

I think the greatest example of the court scenario would be one of the
critical elements of Roe v. Wade, and that is: when does human life begin?
Even something as scientific as the creation of a human being at inception
lends itself to a debate on when that embryo *"really"* becomes a human
being.

I believe that if we are to vote for elected officials who pass our laws, it
is our obligation to stir, get involved in, and seek debate on items. When
my representatives in Washington D.C. are deciding on rules, laws, and ways
of life under the task of "rooting out terrorism," I want to know what their
definition of terrorism is. When my representatives in Washington D.C. are
making decisions for laws governing abortion, I want to know what their
definition of "human life" is.

> >
> > Sorry, in my eyes it is terroristic to strike terror in the heart of

> > anyone--singularly or in multitudes. So, no matter how much you think I
am
> > wrong, wish me to be wrong, will me to be wrong, I am right as far as I
am
> > concerned.
>
> What about the thousands of others? Did they evaporate? If you choose
> to apply a different definition than that provided, of course you can be
> right as far as you are concerned. You just can't sell your ideas to
> others based on your misuse of the definition.
>

You can be smug all you want, Dave. Turn your radio on, listen. Pick your
newspaper up and read the Letters to the Editor, listen to people in
restaraunts and at the workplace.

> >
> > Tell ya what. Just so we can get back into your comfort zone, Dave, how
> > 'bout just the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombs that were dropped? Eh? Was
> > that terrorism? Yeah, I think it was. It was a terroristic response to
a
> > terroristic attack on Pearl Harbor.
>
> No, Kim that wasn't terrorism. I don't care if you think it was.
>

I'm not asking you to care.

> > > >
> > > > Dave, I quite understand what terrorism is to me.
> > >
> > > It doesn't matter what terrorism is to you. Terrorism is terrorism.
> > > You need to get on the same page with the rest of the English speaking
> > > world.
> > >
> >

And, I think you're wrong. To some, there is clear-cut definition of
terrorism is. For you, it is straight out of the definition of a book. A
book that, perhaps 100 years ago didn't even have the word "terrorism" in
it. To others, the very implication of what terrorism is becomes the
staging ground for how far a government can/could/should go to defend
against terrorism. And, as a side note, it also means that the past actions
of that government must be considered in the equation as to how much "we"
the People believe their actions can and will be seen as level and made with
good judgement.

> >
> > You make this sound as though this is a personal vendetta of mine or
> > something. You need to start reading more than your Christian right
> > magazines and listening to more than your Christian right talk-shows.
In

> > fact, my train of thought on this has turned in recent weeks due to the
> > discussions I've both listened to and to those that I've been involved
with.
>
> No, I don't believe this is a vendetta of yours. I pointed out your
> misuse of a word and your revelation that you don't even understand or
> care to understand its meaning.
>

In my opinion, Dave, I am going much farther than you are willing to
understand what terrorism is. (By the way--the act of terrorism is
considerably a different animal than a terroristic act; since you are so
willing to go by the book. Note the suffix, Dave.)

>
> I don't read Christian right magazines
> or listen to Christian right talk shows. I listen to and view a broad
> variety of news and commentary programming and I read a variety of
> magazines.
>

That leaves out the Christian right? One can only imagine why you would do
that.

> > >
> >
> > No, Dave, it's quaint.
>
> You can't argue the point, Kim.
>

And, your pomposity precludes you, Dave.

> > > >
> > > > I consider rape on a woman a terroristic act.
> > >
> > > Whatever.
> > >
> >
> > Oh, you don't?
>
> No, Kim, I don't. I consider it violent and anti-social. I do not
> consider it an act of terrorism.
>

I said terrorist(ic) act.

> > >
> > Comprehension seems beyond you, Dave.
>
> Let's see....I can read a dictionary use it. You state that you won't
> even look up a word. Which one of us has a problem?
>

I am glad that you have your books to live by, Dave.

> >
> > Tell that to the next attorney who debates the meaning of a word, a law,
a
> > concept. It's part of what freedom of expression is all about, Dave.
You
> > are at least familiar with that concept aren't you?
>
> No, Kim. Freedom of expression is not all about the misuse of a word by
> application of a homemade definition.
>

You should have worded that a little differently, perhaps. PART of the
freedom of expression is that we can debate the meanings of "things" to us.
The Bible states that there is one God. The Bible implies that the
Christian way is the only way. The Bible states that only a belief in Jesus
Christ as the Son of God will get you to Heaven. That's been being debated
for centuries, Dave.

> >
> > Dave, you know what? The only thing I ever see you do when your buttons
get
> > pushed is attempts to try and belittle. You never speak to fact, you
speak
> > from the same perspective that all of us do--opinion and impression.
>
> What? I don't speak from fact? Look up the word, Kim. You are the
> individual applying an incorrect definition to it.
>

Facts are a funny thing. Most of the time facts are dependent upon the
perspective from which they are approached. That's why science is so
exciting.

>
> > If
> > books are meant to clearly define and set in stone the meanings of
things,
> > then why do we bother with such institutions as the Supreme Court, the
UN in
> > this example.
>
> Lemme guess--you believe those institution exist to provide us with
> definitions to words which some are incapable of lookint up?
>

Not solely, but yes.

> >
> > It is not beyond the realm of the UN to determine that a war
> > on Iraq is nothing but a terroristic threat from those who attack it.
>

> Do you live in a vacuum?
>

Hey, you're the one with "the book." I am out here looking from all kinds
of different angles.

>
> > Think
> > they'll be using your little dictionary when they try to decide?
>
> My little dictionary is an unabridge tome weighing about twenty pounds.
>

Exactly who is supposed to be impressed, Dave? You? Or me? It sounds like
you are adequately impressed with your book. I am not.

> > > >
> > > > Why don't you give us some examples, Dave. Or, is your true opinion
> > that
> > > > > the United States government has never, never used terrorism?
> > > >
> > > That's my opinion. I'd alreadty stated that. Perhaps you missed it.
> > >
> >

Yeah, I had. And, I disagree with you.

> >
> > So, Nagasaki and Hiroshima were not examples of terrorism?
>
> How many times would you like me to say "No"?
>

You know, it isn't a bad thing to believe that it was an act of terrorism
that was one in which many other choices were just not feasible. I remain
as loyal a US citizen and dedicated to this country as anyone else.
However, I believe the US military is quite able and ready to commit acts of
terrorism and sometimes it even works in our and the world's favor. It is
most, most unfortunate. But it happens. What the US armies and government
did to Native Americans on this soil was--in my opinion--many acts of
terrorism and should never have happened. What the US did with the A- and
H- bombs was a horrific act of terrorism and--hugely unfortunate--netted a
very positive result.

> >
> > We all have our weaknesses...don't fret.
>
> Yeah, I have buttons and you're a ditz. I'll take my problem over
> yours.
>

See? Wording is everything. I don't have a problem, Dave. YOU have a
problem with me.

> >
> > Actually, Dave, I'll go plant some for ya if you're all that desperate
to
> > pee in my flower garden.
>
> You do that and be sure to hang around for my arrival.
>

How presumptious of you to determine how I shall spend time. I'd plant a
garden for you to pee in. But, I wouldn't be waiting for your arrival.

>
> > You haven't provided one fact, Dave. I've never seen you provide
> > facts--only pontification and innuendo. The book you're reading is
> > someone's opinion of facts that occurred during WWII. You'll probably
take
> > David Brinkley's opinion as fact--you are so impressionable.
>
> Well, for starters we have the fact that you ignore the dictionary
> definition of "terrorism" and there was no innuendo in my calling you a
> ditz.
>

Oh, I wouldn't say I ignore it, Dave. I just don't use it as my sole source
for definition. Definitions also include what something means to people, to
me.

>
> David Brinkley was a noted newsman. The book does not deal with
> opinion. It deals with fact. It is about real people, real places and
> real events. I'm really impressionable when it comes to factual
> information.
>
> Dave K8MN

Oh. So he is not interjecting any of his opinion whatsoever. Must be hard.
How 'bout you imagine yourself in such a place?

Kim W5TIT

1452. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 00:27:54 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <up4lcp30aq3d67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53219

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D8EC9B6.5030404@adelphia.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
>
> > Sorry, in my eyes it is terroristic to strike terror in the heart of
> > anyone--singularly or in multitudes. So, no matter how much you think I
am
> > wrong, wish me to be wrong, will me to be wrong, I am right as far as I
am
> > concerned.
> >
> > Tell ya what. Just so we can get back into your comfort zone, Dave, how
> > 'bout just the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombs that were dropped? Eh? Was
> > that terrorism? Yeah, I think it was. It was a terroristic response to
a
> > terroristic attack on Pearl Harbor.
>
>
> Hi Kim.
>
> It isn't unusual for people to try to extend definitions to attempt to
> emphasise something that they themselves find repugnant. Your rape
> analogy is an example.
>
> Rape is a vile and repugnant act of violence against women. But it is
> hard to turn that into terrorism.
>

So noted, Mike. However, I do believe it is a terroristic act. And, Steve
Robeson is absolutely right. It is both men and women, and children of both
genders that have had to face this. I believe anyone who supports the drug
trade in any way is supporting terror-ism.

>
> There are plenty of people who are nearing retirement and are truly
> terrified that they won't have enough money. It could be argued that the
> forces governing the fall of the stock market are terrorism? Perhaps the
> execs from Enron or World.com could also be charged with that.
>

It is up to you to ponder that, Mike. An important thing is that I would
not discredit or disallow the impact it may have upon you. More important,
would you want to know if one of your elected officials uses the book
definition of terrorism, or would entertain your concept above?

>
> I was recently watching a program on one of the news channels (does
> anyone beside me find that the news channels are starting to resemble
> tabloids?) where a woman was trying to make a case that the west nile
> virus was an act of terrorism!!!!!!
>

OH, goodness...I haven't seen that one on TV!!! But it sure does explain why a guy at work the other day was saying he's "heard" that the West Nile virus "could" have been injected into birds in Cuba by Fidel Castro and gang. Oh my.

>
> What I'm trying to say is that a crime is a crime is a crime, and if you
> try to extend a trendy name to it, you end up diluting it.
>
> Just something to think about.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

While I remain true to my opinion that there is more to the definition of terrorism than what a dictionary may have in it, please also remember that I really enjoy debate and stirring things up, Mike. :)

Kim W5TIT

1453. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 00:30:20 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <up4lh0id3skha1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53220

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020923081007.03046.00000748@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >
> >No, Kim. Freedom of expression is not all about the misuse of a word by
> >application of a homemade definition.
>
> To Kim it is. It's part of her definition of "freedom of expression".
Example:
> the rest of the world can define dogs as mammals, but Kim reserves the
right
> not to be governed by that. Her "freedom of expression" permits her to
define
> dogs as reptiles if, to her, they appear to be reptiles. Or if doing so
ticks
> you off.
>
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

heh heh heh heh...

Kim W5TIT

1454. Re: Free Amateur Nude Teens - - File 001 of 255 teenorgy164.jpg 23104

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 01:04:50 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020925210450.13096.00005879@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53221

>What class of amateur license does she have? :-)

Only a Ham would ask that question!

1455. Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 01:49:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <amtp3q$q0p$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53222

```
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020925180915.05850.00000711@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <amj5km$kmg$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020919220856.28700.00001002@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >> In article <amdn4h$vu3$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> >> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:20020919153901.12229.00004880@mb-mw.aol.com...
> >> >> >Subject: Re: International laws - applicability against US hams???
> >> >> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >> >> >Date: 9/19/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
> >> >> >Message-id: <20020919080924.03046.00000694@mb-fy.aol.com>
> >> >>
> >> >> >>When I am in Canada, I operate as a Canadian license
> >> >> >>with the rules/privileges that Canada has in effect. I can,
> >> >> >>for example, operate SSB from Canada in HF band segments
> >> >> >>that I could not operate from when in the US. Likewise,
> >> >> >>if some frequencies segment is not allowed to Canadians,
> >> >> >>but is "legal in the US" I can not use those frequencies while
> >> >> >>in Canada.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Your operation in Canada is allowed because the USA and Canada have
a
> >> >> >reciprocal agreement. The Canadian govt. allows you to operate
there
> >> >without
> >> >> >your passing a test or getting a Canadian license only because you
> >have a
> >> >> >valid
> >> >> >US amateur license. IOW, your Canadian operation is totally
dependent
> >on
> >> >> >having
> >> >> >that US license. And you probably operate as K2UNK/VEx, not as a VE
> >> >licensee.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >All good things.
> >> >>
> >> >> And if I remember correctly, did the FCC not come out and say
that
```

> >as
> >> >>a
> >> >> condition of this specific agreement, a United States Amateur
operating
> >in
> >> >> Canada could NOT exercise any privileges or utilize any band/mode
> >> >>combinations
> >> >> not allowed under his/her license?
> >> >
> >> >Please provide the rule...otherwise it isn't so.
> >>
> >> I don't ever remember such a ruling. Seems to me that it's up to, say,
> >>Canada
> >> to detemine what privs they grant to a visiting US ham with a certain
> >>class of
> >> license.
> >
> >Good, we agree on that.
>
> Well, there you have it.
> >
> >> >> >>If a US citizen in the Netherlands buys and uses marijuana
> >> >> >>in Amsterdam, then he or she can't be prosecuted by any US
> >> >> >>jurisdiction for that action.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Apples and oranges. Marijuana use is not regulated by international
> >> >treaty
> >> >> >and
> >> >> >no license is needed to use it where its use is legalized.
> >> >>
> >> >> However if you return to the Untied States and controlled substances
> >> >> are
> >> >> in your system that are NOT allowed for whatever legal reason, it
> >doesn't
> >> >> matter how "legal" they were WHERE you consumed them.
> >> >
> >> >If you are claiming that once the indiviual sets foot on US soil, that
> >> >an individual ould be convicted simply because a blood test showed
> >> >prior use before entering the US, ...I seriously doubt it.
> >>
> >> I have to agree with Steve on this one. If I doubt anyone has ever been
> >> prosecuted under such rules.
> >
> >You agree with Steve but doubt anyone has been prosecuted under
> >such a situation????
>
> What I'm saying is that a person could theoretically be prosecuted for
being
> under the influence while in the US, even if such influence was legal
where the

> substance was consumed.
>
> Example: Person gets drunk in a place where public drunkenness is not a
crime.
> Person is then transported to an area where public drunkenness IS a crime.
Law
> enforcement authorities prosecute the person for being publicly drunk in
the
> second location, even though no drinking took place there.

Agreed as to "under the influence." Others were suggesting that
the individual could also be prosecuted for "possession" based solely
on a test for the presence of a drug as indicated by a blood test or
urine sample.

> >> >> Many a serviceperson has returned from a European vacation,
having
> >> >>had a
> >> >> significant amount of pharmaceutical recreation, only to find out
that
> >> >>they
> >> >> were STILL in trouble when they got home.
> >> >
> >> >Military justice is a totally different animal.
> >>
> >> Point is, they are still in trouble under US law.
> >
> >Nope...the military offense/prosecution would be under the UCMJ.
>
> Isn't that a form of US law?

I'll agree but it is a very specific universe of people (i.e. members of the
US military) that are subject to it.

> >> And it's not just servicepeople who have to be concerned. Many
companies
> >>have
> >> random drug testing of all employees, and if residues are detected, the
> >> employee is in BIG trouble.
> >
> >That is, again, not a public situation.
>
> True.
> >
> >> Granted, they are not usually in legal trouble, but
> >> the courts have sided with the companies and not the persons with the
> >residues.
> >> As I understand it, it comes under the concept of 'conditions of
> >employment'
> >> that are accepted by the employee.
> >

> >Agreed.
>
> The person would have no legal recourse if fired or suspended.

It really would depend on the case specifics.

> >> >Agreed. But ingestion of the drug off shore isn't a US
> >> >crime.
> >>
> >> As long as you don't bring any of the illegal stuff back, I'd agree.
> >
> >Agree again.
> >
> >> Still not a good analogy. Try this one:
> >>
>
> (correct my earlier post to read)(:
>
> In the USA,
>
> >>an LPN is not licensed to
> >>write
> >> prescriptions. But in other parts of the world, that same person's LPN
> >>license
> >> may be honored as valid, and the LPN may be empowered to write
> >>prescriptions.
> >>
> >> Will an LPN who writes a prescription in the "other part of the world"
be
> >>in
> >> violation of his USA license?
> >
> >My opinion...NO.
>
> Exactly - because the local licensing authority attaches different privs
to the
> reciprocal license.
>
> In fact, as Steve points out, prescription privs vary in the US itself.

Agreed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1456. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 06:00:09 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <amush19na5@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I was not asking, but it seems that if that specific band is dead, maybe an exchange would be more advantageous all the way around. Additions to our existing HF bands would probably not draw many complaints. Never considered the 70mhz allocations but it sounds interesting.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> If we are asking for more spectrum elsewhere, how about 4m (70MHz). This
> band is allocated to hams only in European and Middle Eastern countries,
> but would be interesting to have here.
> N3KIP

1457. Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 12:53:12 UTC
From: sales@mail.aloonet.com
Message-ID: <3d9302a7.52923441@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 53224

Let's make a deal to swap all of the 220 band noone is using for 2
more Mhz on 2 meters.....in the nearly unused military band on either
side of us. Give the military the whole 220 band (or the FCC lawyers
who want to sell it for their own profit) in trade for 143-149 Mhz 2
meter band. It's a fair trade. They're getting more bandwidth.

Larry W4CSC

Larry
Spambots - please notice my posting of the latest spammer's valid email address sent to my email address. Everyone should post their worst email spammer's address on their usenet postings!
Current email spammers here are:
raymondokafor2@lycos.co.uk
support@elitebuy.com
errors@elitebuy.com
serviceinfo@elitebuy.com
busdev@elitebuy.com
marketing@elitebuy.com
investorinfo@elitebuy.com
webmaster@ngsxb2.com
support@ngsxb2.com
sales@ngsxb2.com
joewalsh563@1ero1.com
Isabella0s04345i@hotmail.com
lisaBesany604@hotmail.com
sales@mail.aloonet.com
webmaster@mail.aloonet.com
support@mail.aloonet.com
abuse@mail.aloonet.com
getrealiwith34@hotmail.com
http://210.99.218.5/iis/empsite/lisa22/index.html
andfe@gmx.at
CS@IPCB.net
advertising@herbal-drug-store.com
www.herbal-drug-store.com
info@herbal-drug-store.com
bpike@herbal-drug-store.com
info@twotechguys.com
inet-admin@twotechguys.com

1458. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 13:14:33 UTC
From: sales@mail.aloonet.com
Message-ID: <3d9303ae.53186499@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 53225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 15 Sep 2002 09:23:58 -0400, "vulnavia 4"
<vna4rbeets@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Dave" <kji@liberty.net> wrote in message
>news:uo7v8v6mfs3706@news.supernews.com...
>> I do with your part of the post about VE's Lou. I hear a lot of new hams
>> that by their operation it doesnt pass the "smell test" if you know what I
>> mean.
>>
>> Rather than give the hams any spectrum for data experimentation and/or
>> secure networks / communications, my crystal ball sees the gradual phase
>out
>> of amateur radio. Or perhaps the formation of the "Ham Youth"
>
>I see us keeping our HF bands, but 220 mHz
>and higher bands will likely be lost or shared in the
>near future as more of the "wireless" consumer targeted
>devices hit the market. Not cell phones but more likely
>remote-control gadgets and similar.
>Looks like Wayne Green may have been right in his
>prognostications from 10 years ago?
>
>
As usual since WW2, other services have completely bypassed ham radio
in innovation. My wireless link up the tower is accessible for about
4 miles if the notebook has a decent antenna on it for 2400 Mhz line
of sight across the river. The kid down the street gets over 400Kbps
downloading through it from my cable internet connection. I can get
over 1Mbps out on the seawall overlooking the river.

In the TRUE spirit of ham radio, without any license at all, take a
look at Hassan's neat wifi link in Egypt:
http://www.d128.com/wireless/
Take a look around at the various links, especially the antennas,
being used by Warchalkers across the planet. This new "broadband
amateur radio" is a LOT of fun, without kissing any old fart's ass at
the ARRL or your local VEC session for permission to operate.....

If you're near the Charleston AFB in N Charleston, SC, my microwave
link is on SSID 3 with cable access. Help yourselves....(c;

Oh, and it's not theft or illegal to warchalk. If anyone doesn't want
outsiders to use their system, it's very easy to just password protect

it and turn off the free access. Most companies don't care. Many
cafe's like Starbucks are adding service to attract customers. With
my homebrew colinear on the notebook, I can get Starbucks' signal over
3 blocks away! I quit carrying the Budweiser Beam around. Too many
funny looks....(c;

Here's some interesting places:
http://www.turnpoint.net/wireless/has.html
http://www.warchalking.org/
http://www.wardriving.com/

Thank yous to all the WiFi suppliers for letting us use a few
megabytes to browse the web and get our emails......(c;

Larry
Spambots - please notice my posting of the latest spammer's valid email address sent to my email address. Everyone should post their worst email spammer's address on their usenet postings!
Current email spammers here are:
raymondokafor2@lycos.co.uk
support@elitebuy.com
errors@elitebuy.com
serviceinfo@elitebuy.com
busdev@elitebuy.com
marketing@elitebuy.com
investorinfo@elitebuy.com
webmaster@ngsxb2.com
support@ngsxb2.com
sales@ngsxb2.com
joewalsh563@1ero1.com
Isabella0s04345i@hotmail.com
lisaBesany604@hotmail.com
sales@mail.aloonet.com
webmaster@mail.aloonet.com
support@mail.aloonet.com
abuse@mail.aloonet.com
getrealiwith34@hotmail.com
http://210.99.218.5/iis/empsite/lisa22/index.html
andfe@gmx.at
CS@IPCB.net
advertising@herbal-drug-store.com
www.herbal-drug-store.com
info@herbal-drug-store.com
bpike@herbal-drug-store.com
info@twotechguys.com
inet-admin@twotechguys.com

1459. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 13:31:58 UTC
From: sales@mail.aloonet.com
Message-ID: <3d9308b1.54470166@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 53226

On 18 Sep 2002 01:48:00 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>whatsoever -- in fact, we'd be more "appliance oriented" than ever.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
You mean like the experimenters on WiFi, who don't copy Morse?

http://www.turnpoint.net/wireless/has.html
http://www.d128.com/wireless/
http://www.oreillynet.com/cs/weblog/view/wlg/448
http://nocat.net/
http://www.superpass.com/price.html
http://www.digiville.com/wifi-marin/index.htm
http://seattlewireless.net/
Hell, the whole city of Seattle will have broadband for free very
soon!
http://www.nycwireless.net/
Here's NYC's group...
http://www.toaster.net/wireless/community.html
Here's a list for the whole world.

Wanna bet a few million WiFi users can vote themselves into a 2.4Ghz
broadband repeater system in the very near future.....without the old
farts at the ARRL running their lives for them?

I dove in about 6 months ago, putting up a WiFi modem (finally up
50'). Wish I had a 2000' tower...(c; It's a helluva lot of fun. You
want DX? I've been on live VIDEO feed with my buddy Sergei on
Sahkalin Island in the Sea of Japan, in living color, in FULL DUPLEX
while driving down the street with the cam pointed out the window so
he can see how American's live. He's the ISP for the island and put
up a video server on RealVideo so the other nice folks could watch,
too! Way fun. Wish we had a 2.4 Ghz repeater....(c;

Larry W4CSC SSID 3 if you're in range of my WiFi link on broadband.
(No need to ID, just help yourselves).

1460. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 17:15:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020926131500.18895.00006594@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53227

>Subject: Let's make a deal for 220....
>From: sales@mail.aloonet.com (That Larry)
>Date: 9/26/02 7:53 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d9302a7.52923441@216.168.3.40>

>Let's make a deal to swap all of the 220 band noone is using for 2
>more Mhz on 2 meters.....in the nearly unused military band on either
>side of us. Give the military the whole 220 band (or the FCC lawyers
>who want to sell it for their own profit) in trade for 143-149 Mhz 2
>meter band. It's a fair trade. They're getting more bandwidth.

That would almost be a decent idea if you could get the dozens of other users moved economically.

Speaking from some vantage point of knowledge from Civil Air Patrol, CAP has hundreds of repeaters nationwide with inputs in the 143 range and outputs in the 148mHz range, along with several other discreet simplex frequencies up to 149mHz.

Although CAP does receive some funding from the Air Force, they still must depend on the same kinds of donations and other "membership provided" services vis-a-vis Amateur Radio to keep the net operating.

Re-crystalling for a few kilohertz or even a couple megahertz is one thing...Going up band 90mHz would be an expense of monumental proportion. There would not only be the expense of the repeaters themselves, but the replacement of every mobile, handheld and aeronautical asset in the fleet. Again, despite occassional USAF funding, that would put a tremendous burden on the rank-and-file member.

CAP is already trying to hit up the USAF for almost $30M for compliance with just narrowband FM gear for present frequencies...I can forsee a pricetag another $15M if we had to make that kind of change.

Then add in MARS, FEMA, the Coast Guard Auxiliary, etc, and the "deal" get's REAL expensive!

While don't see the FCC nor the Amateur community in general wanting to play that kind of "Let's Make A Deal", I can see some further "reassignment" of 222mHz if something isn't done.

BTW...I have made several posts here through the years promoting the various "spectrum protection" bills that have been ginned up only to ahve several folks dismiss it since "everyone can agree" on spectrum protection for Amateurs. Obviously this is not the case since it is now in it's third or fourth version and has yet to make it to Committee.

73

Steve, K4YZ
TennesseeCAP 250

1461. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 21:13:47 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0209262112100.5756-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53228

On Thu, 26 Sep 2002, That Larry wrote:
>Let's make a deal to swap all of the 220 band noone is using for 2
>more Mhz on 2 meters.....in the nearly unused military band on either
>side of us. Give the military the whole 220 band (or the FCC lawyers
>who want to sell it for their own profit) in trade for 143-149 Mhz 2
>meter band. It's a fair trade. They're getting more bandwidth.

...And what are you going to do about those few thousand NOVICE licenseholders for which it is NOT a fair trade because they have 222MHz privileges but not 2m privileges? Are you going to submit a rules change for those of them who chose not to upgrade their grandfathered licenses?

1462. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-26 23:30:54 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020926193054.10406.00006693@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53229

>On Thu, 26 Sep 2002, That Larry wrote:
>>Let's make a deal to swap all of the 220 band noone is using for 2
>>more Mhz on 2 meters.....in the nearly unused military band on either
>>side of us. Give the military the whole 220 band (or the FCC lawyers
>>who want to sell it for their own profit) in trade for 143-149 Mhz 2
>>meter band. It's a fair trade. They're getting more bandwidth.

What? Give up one band for 2MHz more on another? That doesn't sound fair to
radio amateurs.

1463. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 03:43:38 UTC
From: sales@mail.aloonet.com
Message-ID: <3d93d3bd.7109084@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 53230

On Thu, 26 Sep 2002 21:13:47 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>On Thu, 26 Sep 2002, That Larry wrote:
>>Let's make a deal to swap all of the 220 band noone is using for 2
>>more Mhz on 2 meters.....in the nearly unused military band on either
>>side of us. Give the military the whole 220 band (or the FCC lawyers
>>who want to sell it for their own profit) in trade for 143-149 Mhz 2
>>meter band. It's a fair trade. They're getting more bandwidth.
>
>...And what are you going to do about those few thousand NOVICE licenseholders
>for which it is NOT a fair trade because they have 222MHz privileges but not 2m
>privileges? Are you going to submit a rules change for those of them who chose
>not to upgrade their grandfathered licenses?
>
Hasn't Novice been eliminated? I suspect it will be history with the
elimination of CW requirements soon to come.

Larry
Spambots - please notice my posting of the latest spammer's valid email address sent to my email address. Everyone should post their worst email spammer's address on their usenet postings!
Current email spammers here are:
raymondokafor2@lycos.co.uk
support@elitebuy.com
errors@elitebuy.com
serviceinfo@elitebuy.com
busdev@elitebuy.com
marketing@elitebuy.com
investorinfo@elitebuy.com
webmaster@ngsxb2.com
support@ngsxb2.com
sales@ngsxb2.com
joewalsh563@1ero1.com
Isabella0s04345i@hotmail.com
lisaBesany604@hotmail.com
sales@mail.aloonet.com
webmaster@mail.aloonet.com
support@mail.aloonet.com
abuse@mail.aloonet.com
getrealiwith34@hotmail.com
http://210.99.218.5/iis/empsite/lisa22/index.html
andfe@gmx.at
CS@IPCB.net
advertising@herbal-drug-store.com

www.herbal-drug-store.com
info@herbal-drug-store.com
bpike@herbal-drug-store.com
info@twotechguys.com
inet-admin@twotechguys.com

1464. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 03:45:05 UTC
From: sales@mail.aloonet.com
Message-ID: <3d93d3f5.7165377@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 53231

On 26 Sep 2002 23:30:54 GMT, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote:

>>On Thu, 26 Sep 2002, That Larry wrote:
>>>Let's make a deal to swap all of the 220 band noone is using for 2
>>>more Mhz on 2 meters.....in the nearly unused military band on either
>>>side of us. Give the military the whole 220 band (or the FCC lawyers
>>>who want to sell it for their own profit) in trade for 143-149 Mhz 2
>>>meter band. It's a fair trade. They're getting more bandwidth.
>
>What? Give up one band for 2MHz more on another? That doesn't sound fair to
>radio amateurs.

Isn't it when 99% of the amateurs have equipment already operating on
the new 2 mhz and nearly NONE of them, probably less than 1% of them
have equipment on 220 and will never have 220?

Larry
Spambots - please notice my posting of the latest spammer's valid email address sent to my email address. Everyone should post their worst email spammer's address on their usenet postings!
Current email spammers here are:
raymondokafor2@lycos.co.uk
support@elitebuy.com
errors@elitebuy.com
serviceinfo@elitebuy.com
busdev@elitebuy.com
marketing@elitebuy.com
investorinfo@elitebuy.com
webmaster@ngsxb2.com
support@ngsxb2.com
sales@ngsxb2.com
joewalsh563@1ero1.com
Isabella0s04345i@hotmail.com
lisaBesany604@hotmail.com
sales@mail.aloonet.com
webmaster@mail.aloonet.com
support@mail.aloonet.com
abuse@mail.aloonet.com
getrealiwith34@hotmail.com
http://210.99.218.5/iis/empsite/lisa22/index.html
andfe@gmx.at
CS@IPCB.net
advertising@herbal-drug-store.com
www.herbal-drug-store.com
info@herbal-drug-store.com

bpike@herbal-drug-store.com
info@twotechguys.com
inet-admin@twotechguys.com

1465. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 12:22:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020927082217.29899.00005993@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 53232

>Subject: Re: Let's make a deal for 220....
>From: sales@mail.aloonet.com (That Larry)
>Date: 9/26/02 10:43 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d93d3bd.7109084@216.168.3.40>

>Hasn't Novice been eliminated? I suspect it will be history with the
>elimination of CW requirements soon to come.

It has been eliminated as a "new issue", however those with a Novice Class license may continue to renew it and operate with their previously granted privileges.

If such a band change were to occur, it would be simple enough to reallocate the Novices to another band with a line-item change in the R&O that authorized the new band...no big deal.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1466. Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 13:07:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020927090701.29739.00005307@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 53233

From AOL on-line news, re: bank robbery in Nebraska
Q
The suspects drove about 10 miles, ditched the vehicle and stole a pickup truck, Mizner said. Authorities tracked down the first vehicle, a Subaru Outback, by using its satellite navigation system.
UQ

THAT ought to sell a few cars...waddaya think?

And at the same time, I wonder if that feature was known to the buyer? I assume it was "OnStar" or similar service. I wonder if the vehicle can be tracked WITHOUT the owners knowledge or consent?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1467. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 16:19:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <an20fi$s1a$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53234

There is one thing everyone seems to be missing. That is we (hams) don't
own either 220mhz or 2 meters. Thus we can't negotiate with the FCC on
anything. The FCC giveth and the FCC taketh away.

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020927082217.29899.00005993@mb-mb.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Let's make a deal for 220....
> >From: sales@mail.aloonet.com (That Larry)
> >Date: 9/26/02 10:43 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3d93d3bd.7109084@216.168.3.40>
>
> >Hasn't Novice been eliminated? I suspect it will be history with the
> >elimination of CW requirements soon to come.
>
> It has been eliminated as a "new issue", however those with a Novice
Class
> license may continue to renew it and operate with their previously granted
> privileges.
>
> If such a band change were to occur, it would be simple enough to
> reallocate the Novices to another band with a line-item change in the R&O
that
> authorized the new band...no big deal.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1468. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 17:22:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h33p3hr.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53235

On 27 Sep 2002 13:07:01 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> And at the same time, I wonder if that feature was known to the buyer? I
>assume it was "OnStar" or similar service. I wonder if the vehicle can be
>tracked WITHOUT the owners knowledge or consent?

Who else will be paying the OnStar bill ?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1469. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 17:44:15 UTC
From: Radio one <Rone@monitoringandspecials.net>
Message-ID: <an25cp$kt2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not unusual at all to hear truckers and other unlicensed
activity in that freq. range. Cruise the 5, 6, 7, 8 10, 12,
& 14 mhz bands. They're alive with unlicensed activity.
Truckers routinely use long range HF freqs. for which they
are not licensed, as do fishing boats, various companies,
recreational boaters, missionaries, etc. All dedicated enforcement
monitoring of unlicensed activity ceased in 1996, in spite of the
many people who want to spin it otherwise. Check pirate web pages,
news groups, and popular freqs. Pirate broadcast activity in on
the increase, including talk of a ship being outfitted. CB radio clubs
operate openly and continually in the 25, 26, 27, & 28 mhz bands.
CB radio ops are even into digital modes, outside the legal 40
channels.

Radio1

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020926220355.325$TY@newsreader.com...
> timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
> >>anymore amazing than the hams on 27.555?
> >
> I keep hearing N8WWM on 27.555..what's up with that?
> > >
> > >
> > >Ok, this is rediculous. I'm scanning for beacons on 10 meters, and
> > >what do I hear on 28.225.....two truckers rag chewing going down the
> > >road. Amazing.
> > >
> > >Scott
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------

> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

1470. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 20:31:51 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0209272030430.11131-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53237

On Fri, 27 Sep 2002, That Larry wrote:
>On Thu, 26 Sep 2002 21:13:47 GMT, "D. Stussy"
><kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>>On Thu, 26 Sep 2002, That Larry wrote:
>>>Let's make a deal to swap all of the 220 band noone is using for 2
>>>more Mhz on 2 meters.....in the nearly unused military band on either
>>>side of us. Give the military the whole 220 band (or the FCC lawyers
>>>who want to sell it for their own profit) in trade for 143-149 Mhz 2
>>>meter band. It's a fair trade. They're getting more bandwidth.
>>
>>...And what are you going to do about those few thousand NOVICE licenseholders
>>for which it is NOT a fair trade because they have 222MHz privileges but not 2m
>>privileges? Are you going to submit a rules change for those of them who chose
>>not to upgrade their grandfathered licenses?
>>
>Hasn't Novice been eliminated? I suspect it will be history with the
>elimination of CW requirements soon to come.

New licenses aren't accepted, but remember that licenseholders as of April 14,
2000 may continue to renew indefinently.... It wasn't eliminated.

1471. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 22:38:49 UTC
From: sales@mail.aloonet.com
Message-ID: <3d94dce5.74999299@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 53238

One of my sailing buddies got a new Caddy Escalade to tow his new motorboat. He was showing off Onstar and I told him let's test it out......

We pressed the Onstar button and when she came online we both acted drunk as a skunk. 'Yessh, ma'am. Can you tell us how to get home?", my buddy slurred. "Mister F.......you ARE home?!", she rightly exclaimed. Then, she rightly followed that up with, "Mister F.... I can't let you drive like this. If your wife wants to drive your new Escalade, tell her to press the button and I'll release the vehicle to her when driving is safe. I'm securing your key for a few hours, otherwise. We don't want to see you get hurt or hurt somebody else.", at which point she not only terminated the call but locked the ignition so it wouldn't start!!

Onstar is great! They'll even open your door for you if you lock yourself out if you have the proper pin code when you call from your cellphone.

BUT DON'T CALL IF YOU'RE DRUNK!!

larry w4csc

On 27 Sep 2002 13:07:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>From AOL on-line news, re: bank robbery in Nebraska
>Q
>The suspects drove about 10 miles, ditched the vehicle and stole a pickup
>truck, Mizner said. Authorities tracked down the first vehicle, a Subaru
>Outback, by using its satellite navigation system.
>UQ
>
> THAT ought to sell a few cars...waddaya think?
>
> And at the same time, I wonder if that feature was known to the buyer? I
>assume it was "OnStar" or similar service. I wonder if the vehicle can be
>tracked WITHOUT the owners knowledge or consent?
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Larry
Spambots - please notice my posting of the latest spammer's valid email address sent to my email address. Everyone should post their worst email spammer's address on their usenet postings!

Current email spammers here are:
raymondokafor2@lycos.co.uk
support@elitebuy.com
errors@elitebuy.com
serviceinfo@elitebuy.com
busdev@elitebuy.com
marketing@elitebuy.com
investorinfo@elitebuy.com
webmaster@ngsxb2.com
support@ngsxb2.com
sales@ngsxb2.com
joewalsh563@1ero1.com
Isabella0s04345i@hotmail.com
lisaBesany604@hotmail.com
sales@mail.aloonet.com
webmaster@mail.aloonet.com
support@mail.aloonet.com
abuse@mail.aloonet.com
getrealiwith34@hotmail.com
http://210.99.218.5/iis/empsite/lisa22/index.html
andfe@gmx.at
CS@IPCB.net
advertising@herbal-drug-store.com
www.herbal-drug-store.com
info@herbal-drug-store.com
bpike@herbal-drug-store.com
info@twotechguys.com
inet-admin@twotechguys.com
info@newmoonmusic.com

1472. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 22:43:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <nc5l9.49509$5M3.2643475@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53239

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020927090701.29739.00005307@mb-mb.aol.com...
> From AOL on-line news, re: bank robbery in Nebraska
> Q
> The suspects drove about 10 miles, ditched the vehicle and stole a pickup
> truck, Mizner said. Authorities tracked down the first vehicle, a Subaru
> Outback, by using its satellite navigation system.
> UQ

Hmmmmm, maybe that could be used to lock onto those trucks operating their
"reddios" on 10
meters..... <<g>>

Jerry
>
> THAT ought to sell a few cars...waddaya think?
>
> And at the same time, I wonder if that feature was known to the
buyer? I
> assume it was "OnStar" or similar service. I wonder if the vehicle can be
> tracked WITHOUT the owners knowledge or consent?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1473. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-27 22:45:40 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Je5l9.49511$5M3.2643352@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Radio one <Rone@monitoringandspecials.net> wrote in message
news:an25cp$kt2$0@pita.alt.net...
> Not unusual at all to hear truckers and other unlicensed
> activity in that freq. range. Cruise the 5, 6, 7, 8 10, 12,
> & 14 mhz bands. They're alive with unlicensed activity.
> Truckers routinely use long range HF freqs. for which they
> are not licensed, as do fishing boats, various companies,
> recreational boaters, missionaries, etc. All dedicated enforcement
> monitoring of unlicensed activity ceased in 1996, in spite of the
> many people who want to spin it otherwise. Check pirate web pages,
> news groups, and popular freqs. Pirate broadcast activity in on
> the increase, including talk of a ship being outfitted. CB radio clubs
> operate openly and continually in the 25, 26, 27, & 28 mhz bands.
> CB radio ops are even into digital modes, outside the legal 40
> channels.
>
> Radio1

And that is why hams MUST write their congressmen, especially those who are
sponsoring the
CC & R Bill in Congress, to get something done about the SOB's

Jerry
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020926220355.325$TY@newsreader.com...
> > timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
> > >>anymore amazing than the hams on 27.555?
> > >
> > I keep hearing N8WWM on 27.555..what's up with that?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Ok, this is rediculous. I'm scanning for beacons on 10 meters, and
> > > >what do I hear on 28.225.....two truckers rag chewing going down the
> > > >road. Amazing.
> > > >
> > > >Scott
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >

> > > Tim Nebo
> > >
> > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> > --
> > God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
> >
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
>

1474. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 00:09:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020927200920.15779.00001067@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53241

In article <20020927082217.29899.00005993@mb-mb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Hasn't Novice been eliminated? I suspect it will be history with the
>>elimination of CW requirements soon to come.
>
> It has been eliminated as a "new issue", however those with a Novice
>Class
>license may continue to renew it and operate with their previously granted
>privileges.
>
> If such a band change were to occur, it would be simple enough to
>reallocate the Novices to another band with a line-item change in the R&O
>that authorized the new band...no big deal.

It's been done before, btw.

There are fewer than 37,000 Novices today, down from about 50,000 before restructuring.

One problem that is being ignored is that all existing 220 MHz ham gear, including installed repeaters, would become almost worthless. The commercial users can't use it because it's not type accepted for their services. About the only thing a 220 rig would be good for is being the IF of a microwave system.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1475. Re: Markie Beckie is a limpwristed keyclown ididot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 00:22:16 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0209271622.2dfb5083@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<b12afcb0.0209201430.1b7b2170@posting.google.com>...
> The only danger you pose is to your pekker from yankin it too hard
> while watching gay porn videos. What a laugh to even consider you as
> dangerous. Humorous, yes indeed, tho...
>
> Well, 1st of all...I never said any such thing about dweebqueen. His
> own words convict him. 2nd, Chapwoman admitted his crack use. 3rd, I
> really don't care what you say. Prove it was me though. If you don't
> you will care what you SAID.
>
> Post and accuse all you want. Just have some proof. So far I see none.
> Just like when you said N8 sells illegal equipment. It is expected
> though. You are a keyqueen, and keyqueens lie as a function of their
> lives.
>
> Tell us about you and twithed and FUGGLY kid...twithed is being quiet
> about it.
>
> Get busy, Markie Beckie...your Master commands.

Another intelligent (NOT!) post from Doug (Doggie) Adairierre - N8WWM,
the real Queen.

1476. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 00:56:06 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020927205606.22428.00003090@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 53243

In article <3d9308b1.54470166@216.168.3.40>, sales@mail.aloonet.com (That Larry) writes:

>You mean like the experimenters on WiFi, who don't copy Morse?

Hey, man, experiment all you want! Your WiFi system sounds fantastic! I wish our allegedly technically-inclined No-Code Techs here in Kent County, Delaware could come up with something similar! I'd personally GIVE them 2.4 GHz access if it were in my power to do so!

However (there's always a "but," isn't there) -- I'd use WiFi AND still be an enthusiastic CW user as well! You see, the two aren't mutually exclusive, and never have been! So you're classic attempt to criticize code users for being technically incompetent simply doesn't carry any water! Among local hams, I've been at the cutting edge of digital communications -- limited only by the lack of participation from the rest of my local hams, none of whom can be bothered with learning Morse/CW at a useful speed either! In fact, the only VHF packet activity in our area is being supported and used by hams who are also Pre-Restructuring Generals and Extras, who are all, to a man, avid and proficient CW operators!

Sorry to burst your bubble, but I've got a lot of practice.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1477. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 02:02:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upa3fq9eg6eb9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The FCC enforcement went downhill long before 1996. Part of the problem is the HF isn't such a big deal anymore. Corporations want UHF and microwave frequencies. Communications via radio often means via satellite. The saving grace may be that, with the government really running in the red (yep, they thought big tobacco was going to save the day for them and they kept spending. Dipped into Social Insecurity again. Despite their best efforts to grab more money, they are running HUGE deficits), they might actually figure out that pumping a few tens of millions into the FCC enforcement just might be a way to raise a ton of money. Oh, not the individuals so much as the companies - trucking, fishing, whatever. Of course, recreational boats large enough to accomodate high power and/or low frequencies will probably be valuable enough to make it worth the government's time to go after them. Go from a dozen or so NALs a year (most of which will either be lowered or not collectable) to a few hundred collectable at $20,000 a piece ... The large numbers of dollars into the FCC would be one time - to reopen old monitoring stations and actually staff them. Get some vans and put their people back on the road. After the initial cost, a few million a year to collect as much or more in NALs just might interest them. Right now, about the only way to get caught is to try and set up a broadcast station on the American AM or FM broadcast band. A few corporations own most of the broadcast stations and they have HUGE wallets. They WILL get the FCC to act in those situations.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Radio one" <Rone@monitoringandspecials.net> wrote in message
news:an25cp$kt2$0@pita.alt.net...
> Not unusual at all to hear truckers and other unlicensed
> activity in that freq. range. Cruise the 5, 6, 7, 8 10, 12,
> & 14 mhz bands. They're alive with unlicensed activity.
> Truckers routinely use long range HF freqs. for which they
> are not licensed, as do fishing boats, various companies,
> recreational boaters, missionaries, etc. All dedicated enforcement
> monitoring of unlicensed activity ceased in 1996, in spite of the
> many people who want to spin it otherwise. Check pirate web pages,
> news groups, and popular freqs. Pirate broadcast activity in on
> the increase, including talk of a ship being outfitted. CB radio clubs
> operate openly and continually in the 25, 26, 27, & 28 mhz bands.
> CB radio ops are even into digital modes, outside the legal 40
> channels.
>
> Radio1
>

> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020926220355.325$TY@newsreader.com...
> > timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
> > >>anymore amazing than the hams on 27.555?
> > >
> > I keep hearing N8WWM on 27.555..what's up with that?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >Ok, this is rediculous. I'm scanning for beacons on 10 meters, and
> > > > >what do I hear on 28.225.....two truckers rag chewing going down the
> > > > >road. Amazing.
> > > > >
> > > > >Scott
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > Tim Nebo
> > >
> > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> > --
> > God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
> >
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
>

1478. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 04:19:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D952DCA.AA81D726@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53245

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8E8EFB.8B8782C5@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > The dictionary exists in order that individuals might communicate. If
> > > > I'm talking about roast beef and you think I mean something about
> > > > burning cattle alive, we begin to have problems. Terrorism is
> > > > terrorism. If you'd like, search for a word which communicates what
> you
> > > > mean to say. That word is not terrorism no matter how much you'd like
> > > > for it to be so.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Dave, the "definitions" of things are why the law profession exists, why
> > > there are debate clubs, why there are discussion groups, and why many
> > > corporations today include diversity training in their repertoire of
> things
> > > that employees must endure.
> >
> > Diversity training exists because of definitions? The practice of law
> > exists because of definitions? That is preposterous.
> >
>
> Well, I don't how you could miss the correlation. Many times, the matter
> being argued in a court is the very definition of things that are pertinent
> to that Hearing. One part of diversity training is helping others be aware
> that what "we" see one way, someone else may see entirely different.

Yeah, how could I miss that stretch? Diversity training does not deal
with the interpretation of dictionary definitions.

> I think the greatest example of the court scenario would be one of the
> critical elements of Roe v. Wade, and that is: when does human life begin?
> Even something as scientific as the creation of a human being at inception
> lends itself to a debate on when that embryo *"really"* becomes a human
> being.
>
> I believe that if we are to vote for elected officials who pass our laws, it
> is our obligation to stir, get involved in, and seek debate on items. When
> my representatives in Washington D.C. are deciding on rules, laws, and ways
> of life under the task of "rooting out terrorism," I want to know what their
> definition of terrorism is. When my representatives in Washington D.C. are

> making decisions for laws governing abortion, I want to know what their
> definition of "human life" is.

Abortion isn't under discussion, Kim. Your misuse of the word
"terrorism" is.
You know, it's sort of like your definition of "all band" which to you
means "most bands".

> > >
> > > Sorry, in my eyes it is terroristic to strike terror in the heart of
> > > anyone--singularly or in multitudes. So, no matter how much you think I
> am
> > > wrong, wish me to be wrong, will me to be wrong, I am right as far as I
> am
> > > concerned.
> >
> > What about the thousands of others? Did they evaporate? If you choose
> > to apply a different definition than that provided, of course you can be
> > right as far as you are concerned. You just can't sell your ideas to
> > others based on your misuse of the definition.
> >
>
> You can be smug all you want, Dave. Turn your radio on, listen. Pick your
> newspaper up and read the Letters to the Editor, listen to people in
> restaraunts and at the workplace.

There's no shortage of dim bulbs on the planet or in this country. You
wrote as if you spoke for thousands of people.

> > >
> > > Tell ya what. Just so we can get back into your comfort zone, Dave, how
> > > 'bout just the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombs that were dropped? Eh? Was
> > > that terrorism? Yeah, I think it was. It was a terroristic response to
> a
> > > terroristic attack on Pearl Harbor.
> >
> > No, Kim that wasn't terrorism. I don't care if you think it was.
> >
>
> I'm not asking you to care.

Then you won't be disappointed.

> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, I quite understand what terrorism is to me.
> > > >
> > > > It doesn't matter what terrorism is to you. Terrorism is terrorism.
> > > > You need to get on the same page with the rest of the English speaking
> > > > world.
> > > >
> > >
>

> And, I think you're wrong.

That's obvious and you've already described your reluctance to look up
the word.

> To some, there is clear-cut definition of
> terrorism is. For you, it is straight out of the definition of a book. A
> book that, perhaps 100 years ago didn't even have the word "terrorism" in
> it.

The word "terrorism" is defined. That you can't or won't accept the
definition or even be bothered to look at it does not change that
definition.

> To others, the very implication of what terrorism is becomes the
> staging ground for how far a government can/could/should go to defend
> against terrorism. And, as a side note, it also means that the past actions
> of that government must be considered in the equation as to how much "we"
> the People believe their actions can and will be seen as level and made with
> good judgement.

So far, you're the only person I've encountered who uses "terrorism" to
help in your definition of "terrorism" I've looked up the word and I
see no mention whatever of the things you describe.

> > >
> > > You make this sound as though this is a personal vendetta of mine or
> > > something. You need to start reading more than your Christian right
> > > magazines and listening to more than your Christian right talk-shows.
> In
> > > fact, my train of thought on this has turned in recent weeks due to the
> > > discussions I've both listened to and to those that I've been involved
> with.
> >
> > No, I don't believe this is a vendetta of yours. I pointed out your
> > misuse of a word and your revelation that you don't even understand or
> > care to understand its meaning.
> >
>
> In my opinion, Dave, I am going much farther than you are willing to
> *understand* what terrorism is. (By the way--the act of terrorism is
> considerably a different animal than a terroristic act; since you are so
> willing to go by the book. Note the suffix, Dave.)

Right. We weren't discussing "terroristic". The word "terrorism" is
under discussion. So you are willing to attempt to understand what
terrorism is and will bend over backward to do so except when it comes
to looking up the word?

> >
> > I don't read Christian right magazines
> > or listen to Christian right talk shows. I listen to and view a broad
> > variety of news and commentary programming and I read a variety of

> > magazines.
> >
>
> That leaves out the Christian right? One can only imagine why you would do
> that.

I made a statement in response to a moronic accusation on your part.

> > > >
> > >
> > > No, Dave, it's quaint.
> >
> > You can't argue the point, Kim.
> >
>
> And, your pomposity precludes you, Dave.

Apparently not. I'm arguing the point.

> > > > >
> > > > > I consider rape on a woman a terroristic act.
> > > > >
> > > > > Whatever.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > Oh, you don't?
> >
> > No, Kim, I don't. I consider it violent and anti-social. I do not
> > consider it an act of terrorism.
> >
>
> I said terrorist(ic) act.

We were discussing "terrorism". Find that definition yet?

> > > >
> > > Comprehension seems beyond you, Dave.
> >
> > Let's see....I can read a dictionary use it. You state that you won't
> > even look up a word. Which one of us has a problem?
> >
>
> I am glad that you have your books to live by, Dave.

They assist quite a bit in living, Kim. I can use a dictionary. You've
told us that you won't even look up the word under discussion. Which
one of us has a problem?

> > >
> > > Tell that to the next attorney who debates the meaning of a word, a law,
> a
> > > concept. It's part of what freedom of expression is all about, Dave.
> You

> > > are at least familiar with that concept aren't you?
> >
> > No, Kim. Freedom of expression is not all about the misuse of a word by
> > application of a homemade definition.
> >
>
> You should have worded that a little differently, perhaps. PART of the
> freedom of expression is that we can debate the meanings of "things" to us.

You can't do that by misapplication of definitions. The idea is to find
and use words which express how we feel.

> The Bible states that there is one God. The Bible implies that the
> Christian way is the only way. The Bible states that only a belief in Jesus
> Christ as the Son of God will get you to Heaven. That's been being debated
> for centuries, Dave.

The meaning of "Bible", "belief" or "Jesus" have not been debated for
centuries.

> > >
> > > Dave, you know what? The only thing I ever see you do when your buttons
> get
> > > pushed is attempts to try and belittle. You never speak to fact, you
> speak
> > > from the same perspective that all of us do--opinion and impression.
> >
> > What? I don't speak from fact? Look up the word, Kim. You are the
> > individual applying an incorrect definition to it.
> >
>
> Facts are a funny thing. Most of the time facts are dependent upon the
> perspective from which they are approached. That's why science is so
> exciting.

I guess that happens when you cock your head and realize that
definitions can be expanded if you hold your tongue just right.

> >
> > > If
> > > books are meant to clearly define and set in stone the meanings of
> things,
> > > then why do we bother with such institutions as the Supreme Court, the
> UN in
> > > this example.
> >
> > Lemme guess--you believe those institution exist to provide us with
> > definitions to words which some are incapable of lookint up?
> >
>
> Not solely, but yes.

Absurd!

> > >
> > > It is not beyond the realm of the UN to determine that a war
> > > on Iraq is nothing but a terroristic threat from those who attack it.
> > >
> > Do you live in a vacuum?
> > >
>
> Hey, you're the one with "the book." I am out here looking from all kinds
> of different angles.

Yep--and you can't be bothered with definitions.

> > >
> > > Think
> > > they'll be using your little dictionary when they try to decide?
> > >
> > My little dictionary is an unabridge tome weighing about twenty pounds.
> > >
>
> Exactly who is supposed to be impressed, Dave? You? Or me? It sounds like
> you are adequately impressed with your book. I am not.

You are the individual who called my dictionary a "little dictionary".
Maybe you're using the definition of "little" incorrectly too.

> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you give us some examples, Dave. Or, is your true opinion
> > > that
> > > > > the United States government has never, never used terrorism?
> > > > >
> > > > That's my opinion. I'd alreadty stated that. Perhaps you missed it.
> > > > >
> > > >
>
> Yeah, I had. And, I disagree with you.

So what was the purpose of asking it several times?

> > >
> > > So, Nagasaki and Hiroshima were not examples of terrorism?
> > >
> > How many times would you like me to say "No"?
> > >
>
> You know, it isn't a bad thing to believe that it was an act of terrorism
> that was one in which many other choices were just not feasible. I remain
> as loyal a US citizen and dedicated to this country as anyone else.
> However, I believe the US military is quite able and ready to commit acts of
> terrorism and sometimes it even works in our and the world's favor. It is
> most, most unfortunate. But it happens. What the US armies and government
> did to Native Americans on this soil was--in my opinion--many acts of

> terrorism and should never have happened. What the US did with the A- and
> H- bombs was a horrific act of terrorism and--hugely unfortunate--netted a
> very positive result.

BS.

> > >
> > > We all have our weaknesses...don't fret.
> >
> > Yeah, I have buttons and you're a ditz. I'll take my problem over
> > yours.
> >
>
> See? Wording is everything. I don't have a problem, Dave. YOU have a
> problem with me.

If you can't be bothered to look up the word, you assuredly have a
problem.

> > >
> > > Actually, Dave, I'll go plant some for ya if you're all that desperate
> to
> > > pee in my flower garden.
> >
> > You do that and be sure to hang around for my arrival.
> >
>
> How presumptious of you to determine how I shall spend time. I'd plant a
> garden for you to pee in. But, I wouldn't be waiting for your arrival.

Did you think my illustration was an invitation for you to set up a
garden? You must have missed the point.

> >
> > > You haven't provided one fact, Dave. I've never seen you provide
> > > facts--only pontification and innuendo. The book you're reading is
> > > someone's opinion of facts that occurred during WWII. You'll probably
> take
> > > David Brinkley's opinion as fact--you are so impressionable.
> >
> > Well, for starters we have the fact that you ignore the dictionary
> > definition of "terrorism" and there was no innuendo in my calling you a
> > ditz.
> >
>
> Oh, I wouldn't say I ignore it, Dave. I just don't use it as my sole source
> for definition. Definitions also include what something means to people, to
> me.

So under "definition" it must say, "see 'definition'".

> >
> > David Brinkley was a noted newsman. The book does not deal with

> > opinion. It deals with fact. It is about real people, real places and
> > real events. I'm really impressionable when it comes to factual
> > information.

> Oh. So he is not interjecting any of his opinion whatsoever. Must be hard.
> How 'bout you imagine yourself in such a place?

I'll make the same suggestion to you which I made about the word in the
dictionary: open the book.

Dave K8MN

1479. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 04:23:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D952EB7.27482AFC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53246

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3D8EC9B6.5030404@adelphia.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> >
> > > Sorry, in my eyes it is terroristic to strike terror in the heart of
> > > anyone--singularly or in multitudes. So, no matter how much you think I
> am
> > > wrong, wish me to be wrong, will me to be wrong, I am right as far as I
> am
> > > concerned.
> > >
> > > Tell ya what. Just so we can get back into your comfort zone, Dave, how
> > > 'bout just the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombs that were dropped? Eh? Was
> > > that terrorism? Yeah, I think it was. It was a terroristic response to
> a
> > > terroristic attack on Pearl Harbor.
> >
> >
> > Hi Kim.
> >
> > It isn't unusual for people to try to extend definitions to attempt to
> > emphasise something that they themselves find repugnant. Your rape
> > analogy is an example.
> >
> > Rape is a vile and repugnant act of violence against women. But it is
> > hard to turn that into terrorism.
> >
>
> So noted, Mike. However, I do believe it is a terroristic act. And, Steve
> Robeson is absolutely right. It is both men and women, and children of both
> genders that have had to face this. I believe anyone who supports the drug
> trade in any way is supporting terror-ism.
>
> >
> > There are plenty of people who are nearing retirement and are truly
> > terrified that they won't have enough money. It could be argued that the
> > forces governing the fall of the stock market are terrorism? Perhaps the
> > execs from Enron or World.com could also be charged with that.
> >
>
> It is up to you to ponder that, Mike.

What utter crap.

> An important thing is that I would
> not discredit or disallow the impact it may have upon you.

What utter touchy-feely, new age crap.

> More important,
> would you want to know if one of your elected officials uses the book
> definition of terrorism, or would entertain your concept above?

...only if you wanted to ensure that the bozo would have some opposition
come election time.

Dave K8MN

1480. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 09:57:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0209280157.7c1e419e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<amush19na5@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> I was not asking, but it seems that if that specific band is dead, maybe an
> exchange would be more advantageous all the way around. Additions to our
> existing HF bands would probably not draw many complaints.

See the threads on the federal gummint complaints about the proposed
60m ham band. See the threads on "harmonizing" the 40m band which go
back 20-25 years and ain't happened yet because of the volume of
complaints from the broadcasters.

> Never considered
> the 70mhz allocations but it sounds interesting.

Guaranteed to be really "interesting", that's TV broadcst channel 4 in
the U.S.

Before you start noodling "allocation tradeoff studies" dial up:

http://www.ntia.doc.gov/osmhome/allochrt.pdf and find out who already
lives there and weigh the prospects of the FCC moving them out of the
way so that we can play there.

222 is for all practical purposes dead because it's the lame 2m
wannabe band, always has been, it offfers nothing 2m does not offer
except somewhat shorter verticals. It has the same +/- propagation and
operational characteristics as 2m has, the same would be true in the
case of having both 50 & 70Mhz bands. There is no point to the
continued existence of the 222 band except as it relates to the usual
ham turfism mindset. Get comfortable with it.
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX

w3rv

1481. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 11:28:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020928072823.12386.00009515@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53248

>Subject: Re: Let's make a deal for 220....
>From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@mindspringnospam.com
>Date: 9/27/02 11:19 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <an20fi$s1a$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>

>There is one thing everyone seems to be missing. That is we (hams) don't
>own either 220mhz or 2 meters.

I'd have to (partially) disagree, Dan. 144 to 146mHz is an exclusive Amateur allocation worldwide. Yes, the Congress and the FCC have final say, however in a way Amateurs do "own" part of that.

The 220 (and now 222) mHz band has always been an iffy proposition, and that uncertain status probably has contributed to it's lack of popularity.

> Thus we can't negotiate with the FCC on
>anything.

On this I completely disagree, Dan. That's what NPRM and "the right to petition the government" are all about. We may not get what we want, but we CAN "negotiate" with them.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1482. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 11:33:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020928073318.12386.00009516@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53249

>Subject: Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 9/27/02 12:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h33p3hr.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>> And at the same time, I wonder if that feature was known to the buyer?
>I
>>assume it was "OnStar" or similar service. I wonder if the vehicle can be
>>tracked WITHOUT the owners knowledge or consent?
>
> Who else will be paying the OnStar bill ?

You missed the point I was asking, Phil. I understand that "OnStar" is an optional service. However I don't recall any TV ads promoting the locating feature if the vehicle is stolen, altho it makes sense.

My other inquiry was can the vehicle be tracked WITHOUT the owners consent. In otherwords, can a third party in any way obtain my whereabouts without my granting of permission to "OnStar" to do so, including law enforcement or surveillence?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1483. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 11:57:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020928075708.12386.00009519@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53250

>Subject: Re: CB'ers on 28.225
>From: "Radio one" Rone@monitoringandspecials.net
>Date: 9/27/02 12:44 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <an25cp$kt2$0@pita.alt.net>

>Pirate broadcast activity in on
>the increase, including talk of a ship being outfitted. CB radio clubs
>operate openly and continually in the 25, 26, 27, & 28 mhz bands.
>CB radio ops are even into digital modes, outside the legal 40
>channels.

All-the-more reason to authorize the use of deadly force when such persons
are found.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1484. W4BW - SK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 12:37:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020928083740.28700.00001090@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53301

From the ARRL Letter:

--

Former FCC official A. Prose Walker, W4BW, the man some consider the godfather of the so-called ''WARC bands''--30, 17 and 12 meters--died August 8 following a brief illness. He was 92.

Walker, who headed the FCC Amateur and Citizens Division from 1971 until 1975, made the initial proposal for three new amateur allocations at 10, 18 and 24 MHz during an International Amateur Radio Club (4U1ITU) meeting in Geneva in 1972. Later, he organized and chaired the US preparatory committee for the Amateur Service--the Advisory Committee of Amateur Radio--which took the initial steps to turn the idea into reality at the 1979 World Administrative Radio Conference. The committee also included former ARRL General Manager Richard L. Baldwin, W1RU, who said this week that he was greatly saddened to learn of Walker's passing.

''One of my fondest memories of WARC 79 was the pleasure and the challenge of working with Prose in preparing for that conference,'' Baldwin said. ''He was a stalwart supporter of the Amateur Service, and few amateurs realize how very much they owe to him.''

An ARRL Life Member and a licensee since the 1920s, Walker was an enthusiastic amateur who remained quite active on the air until shortly before his death.

A native of Ohio, Walker's career took a number of turns, including a stint as a high school teacher, but his primary contributions were in the fields of communications and engineering. He did two tours with the FCC and also worked for the National Association of Broadcasters and Collins Radio Company.

During his career, Walker earned a global reputation for participation and leadership within the International Telecommunication Union (ITU). He was the leading member of the US delegation at more than 20 international conferences.

--

73 de Jim, N2EY

1485. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 12:46:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D95A46B.42B1C595@townsqr.com>
Source record: 53302

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

can a third party in any way obtain my whereabouts

> without my granting of permission to "OnStar" to do so, including law
> enforcement or surveillence?

Why not? If your vehicle becomes the object of a criminal investigation, whether or not you're in it, I have no doubt it could be tracked by third parties without your consent. Don't need to even consider Homeland Security issues.

Dick

1486. Re: Markie Beckie is a limpwristed keyclown ididot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 15:28:11 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0209280728.68b21a8a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<b12afcb0.0209201430.1b7b2170@posting.google.com>...
> The only danger you pose is to your pekker from yankin it too hard
> while watching gay porn videos.

Oh brother... CB's old '80s homophobes simply refuse to fade... poor
old duffers can't see themselves coming & going...

Guy

1487. Re: W5TIT - Love That Callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 15:41:14 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <5eb664bd2ae629398a8e162f2cac609e@xganon.com>
Source record: 53304

Do you think she has big TITs? Kim is advertizing them. But anything more than a mouthful is wasted

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

1488. Re: W4BW - SK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 16:33:25 UTC
From: "Jack H." <MemphisJack@thememphisradioconnection.net>
Message-ID: <an4ljscrc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53305

Never heard of him.

73,

Jack H.

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020928083740.28700.00001090@mb-fz.aol.com...
> From the ARRL Letter:
>
> --
>
> Former FCC official A. Prose Walker, W4BW, the man some consider the
> godfather of the so-called ''WARC bands''--30, 17 and 12 meters--died
> August 8 following a brief illness. He was 92.
>
> Walker, who headed the FCC Amateur and Citizens Division from 1971
> until 1975, made the initial proposal for three new amateur
> allocations at 10, 18 and 24 MHz during an International Amateur
> Radio Club (4U1ITU) meeting in Geneva in 1972. Later, he organized
> and chaired the US preparatory committee for the Amateur
> Service--the Advisory Committee of Amateur Radio--which took the
> initial steps to turn the idea into reality at the 1979 World
> Administrative Radio Conference. The committee also included former
> ARRL General Manager Richard L. Baldwin, W1RU, who said this week
> that he was greatly saddened to learn of Walker's passing.
>
> ''One of my fondest memories of WARC 79 was the pleasure and the
> challenge of working with Prose in preparing for that conference,''
> Baldwin said. ''He was a stalwart supporter of the Amateur Service,
> and few amateurs realize how very much they owe to him.''
>
> An ARRL Life Member and a licensee since the 1920s, Walker was an
> enthusiastic amateur who remained quite active on the air until
> shortly before his death.
>
> A native of Ohio, Walker's career took a number of turns, including
> a stint as a high school teacher, but his primary contributions were
> in the fields of communications and engineering. He did two tours
> with the FCC and also worked for the National Association of
> Broadcasters and Collins Radio Company.
>
> During his career, Walker earned a global reputation for
> participation and leadership within the International
> Telecommunication Union (ITU). He was the leading member of the US

> delegation at more than 20 international conferences.
>
> --
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1489. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 18:00:14 UTC
From: vic <vmaso4o@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3d95ee35@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53306

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D95A46B.42B1C595@townsqr.com...

> Why not? If your vehicle becomes the object of a criminal investigation,
whether or
> not you're in it, I have no doubt it could be tracked by third parties
without
> your consent. Don't need to even consider Homeland Security issues.

Which is exactly why *anyone* who buys or owns
and vehicle equipped with OnStar Technology is
a fool. They have given their *consent* to be monitored
not only by law enforcement, but the manufacturer.
I visited a Buick dealership and they showed me how
on their computer how, at the punch of a few keys, they
could track *ANY* OnStar equipped vehicle they have
sold at that dealership, in REAL TIME, or if they know
the VIN (which they can get via GM's corporate database)
they can track any other GM vehicle - anywhere in the
Unites States and Canada. Buick/GM calls this "customer
care" - I call it overt marketing monitoring of their customers
and worde...THEY SELL THIS INFORMATION to
other businesses for "marketing purposes". (Including so-called
"law enforcement" too) Do you own an OnStar equipped
vehicle? If you do, my advice is to rip it out, lay it down
in the driveway and take a 20 pound sledge-hammer to
smash it's guts to scrap, till ABSOLUTLEY nothing usefull is left of it.
(It's usually a silver box mounted in the trunk in one of the
rear quarter-panels. It will have OnStar stamped on it someplace)

1490. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 20:11:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020928161131.03046.00000897@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53307

In article <3d95ee35@snipnews.snip.net>, "vic" <vmaso4o@aol.com> writes:

>Which is exactly why *anyone* who buys or owns
>and vehicle equipped with OnStar Technology is
>a fool. They have given their *consent* to be monitored
>not only by law enforcement, but the manufacturer.
>I visited a Buick dealership and they showed me how
>on their computer how, at the punch of a few keys, they
>could track *ANY* OnStar equipped vehicle they have
>sold at that dealership, in REAL TIME, or if they know
>the VIN (which they can get via GM's corporate database)
>they can track any other GM vehicle - anywhere in the
>Unites States and Canada. Buick/GM calls this "customer
>care" - I call it overt marketing monitoring of their customers
>and worde...THEY SELL THIS INFORMATION to
>other businesses for "marketing purposes". (Including so-called
>"law enforcement" too)

What's the problem? GM is being upfront about the capabilities of the system.
Nobody is forced to buy a vehicle with the OnStar system.

>Do you own an OnStar equipped
>vehicle?

Nope.

>If you do, my advice is to rip it out, lay it down
>in the driveway and take a 20 pound sledge-hammer to
>smash it's guts to scrap, till ABSOLUTLEY nothing usefull is left of it.
>(It's usually a silver box mounted in the trunk in one of the
>rear quarter-panels. It will have OnStar stamped on it someplace)
>
And after you do that, the car probably won't start. GM may even know that the
OnStar device was disconnected.

But there's no reason to be destructive.

One of the greatest things about capitalism is that every time a person makes a
voluntary purchase, they are, in effect, voting for the products they buy and
the companies who produce them. If nobody buys an OnStar vehicle, the system
will die out. This is why Beta videotapes and LP records are so rare today,
and why neighborhood stores are being replaced by Wal-Marts in many areas..

Unfortunately, many people do not realize how powerful their wallets can be.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1491. Re: W4BW - SK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-28 21:07:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020928170731.05177.00006455@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 53308

>Subject: Re: W4BW - SK
>From: "Jack H." MemphisJack@thememphisradioconnection.net
>Date: 9/28/02 11:33 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <an4ljscrc0@pita.alt.net>
>
>Never heard of him.

Too bad. I have worked Prose several times on HF...Great CW op, Great Gentleman. Moved a lot of mountains for Amateur Radio. Ask Phil Kane about him.
73

Steve, K4YZ

1492. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 01:01:43 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h362msv.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53309

On 28 Sep 2002 11:33:18 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>My other inquiry was can the vehicle be tracked WITHOUT the owners
>consent. In otherwords, can a third party in any way obtain my whereabouts
>without my granting of permission to "OnStar" to do so, including law
>enforcement or surveillence?

That can - and should be - covered by contractual agreements with
substantial penalties for violation on the part of the tracker.

Even if there are such contractual agreements, subpenas or warrants
trump them. just like with wiretaps.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1493. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 01:01:44 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h363cjw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53310

On Sat, 28 Sep 2002 14:00:14 -0400, vic wrote:

>If you do, my advice is to rip it out, lay it down
>in the driveway and take a 20 pound sledge-hammer to
>smash it's guts to scrap, till ABSOLUTLEY nothing usefull is left of it.
>(It's usually a silver box mounted in the trunk in one of the
>rear quarter-panels. It will have OnStar stamped on it someplace)

The above statement reminds me of an incident at a Computer
Data Privacy Conference that I participated in several years
ago.

A rather loud and unkempt (on purpose, no dount) "individualist"
got up and started ranting about his personal privacy and how
he refused to carry any ID on him that would allow anyone to access
any of his medical info or records whatsoever because "it's
none of their business". After a few minutes of this, a
well-modulated voice from the rear of the hall called out "OK,
just keep your ass out of my emergency room when you have a
serious injury".....

That's right. Make yourself so inaccesable that when you need help
and can't help yourself no one will be able to find you.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1494. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 01:26:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020928212633.04751.00001231@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53311

In article <up4lh0id3skha1@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020923081007.03046.00000748@mb-fy.aol.com...
>> >
>> >No, Kim. Freedom of expression is not all about the misuse of a word by
>> >application of a homemade definition.
>>
>> To Kim it is. It's part of her definition of "freedom of expression".
>> Example: the rest of the world can define dogs as mammals, but Kim reserves
>>the right not to be governed by that. Her "freedom of expression" permits her
>>to define dogs as reptiles if, to her, they appear to be reptiles. Or if
doing so
>>ticks you off.

Which it seems to do.

>heh heh heh heh...

ROFLMAO

73 de Jim, N2EY

1495. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 02:24:56 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <upcp3k6i07q92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53312

"vic" <vmaso4o@aol.com> wrote in message news:3d95ee35@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D95A46B.42B1C595@townsqr.com...
>
> > Why not? If your vehicle becomes the object of a criminal investigation,
> whether or
> > not you're in it, I have no doubt it could be tracked by third parties
> without
> > your consent. Don't need to even consider Homeland Security issues.
>
> Which is exactly why *anyone* who buys or owns
> and vehicle equipped with OnStar Technology is
> a fool. They have given their *consent* to be monitored
> not only by law enforcement, but the manufacturer.
> I visited a Buick dealership and they showed me how
> on their computer how, at the punch of a few keys, they
> could track *ANY* OnStar equipped vehicle they have
> sold at that dealership, in REAL TIME, or if they know
> the VIN (which they can get via GM's corporate database)
> they can track any other GM vehicle - anywhere in the
> Unites States and Canada. Buick/GM calls this "customer
> care" - I call it overt marketing monitoring of their customers
> and worde...THEY SELL THIS INFORMATION to
> other businesses for "marketing purposes". (Including so-called
> "law enforcement" too) Do you own an OnStar equipped
> vehicle? If you do, my advice is to rip it out, lay it down
> in the driveway and take a 20 pound sledge-hammer to
> smash it's guts to scrap, till ABSOLUTLEY nothing usefull is left of it.
> (It's usually a silver box mounted in the trunk in one of the
> rear quarter-panels. It will have OnStar stamped on it someplace)
>
Right and then when your car overturns on a county road and you
need help you can wait for somebody to come by.

We had this happen in this county (Orange Co. IN) just last week
and a person from onstar called the local sheriff's dept and told
them about the accident and that the woman was inside the
overturned car talking to them. OnStar told the sheriff's dispatcher
the GPS location and the county roads the woman should be near
over the phone. Sounds like a pretty good system to me.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,

if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1496. Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 03:11:41 UTC
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <f7d9a152.0209281911.536cd589@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53313

The N'Sync singer Lance Bass has earned his amateur radio license as a result of his preparation to become an astronaut. Tonight, I sent him the following traffic message: "Greetings from amateur radio. If you want to upgrade, buy buy buy Code Quick. I hope Britney becomes a ham."

Yes, I am the one who suggested on this forum and to the ARRL leaders that the ARRL lobby NASA to encourage Lance to earn his amateur radio license. I like to think that my suggestion prompted the ARRL to act. But perhaps someone at the ARRL or NASA thought of the idea before I did. Perhaps Lance discovered ham radio on his own. I may never know the truth.

I have some ideas :)
1. If Lance Bass gives ham radio a hipper image that appeals to young people, I'll take credit. If people start complaining about teenyboppers sharing hair and makeup tips on the air (and conveniently forget that they used to complain about old men describing their health problems), I'll let the ARRL, NASA, and Lance take credit.
2. If Britney Spears (pop singer and ex-girlfriend of Justin Timberlake, another N'Sync member) becomes a ham and endorses ham radio, I'll take credit. If people complain that there are too many Britney dolls for sale at hamfests, I'll let the ARRL, NASA, and Lance take credit.
3. If ham radio becomes mainstream enough that the antenna restrictions are dropped, everywhere, I'll take credit. If my fellow hams have difficulty operating because there are too many other neighborhood hams operating at the same time, then I'll let the ARRL, NASA, and Lance take credit.

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
usenet@@@@jasonhsu.com
http://www.jasonhsu.com/ham_radio-technical.html

1497. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 03:26:58 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <upct0qj8bbljf2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53314

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D952DCA.AA81D726@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> >
> > Well, I don't how you could miss the correlation. Many times, the
matter
> > being argued in a court is the very definition of things that are
pertinent
> > to that Hearing. One part of diversity training is helping others be
aware
> > that what "we" see one way, someone else may see entirely different.
>
> Yeah, how could I miss that stretch? Diversity training does not deal
> with the interpretation of dictionary definitions.
>

I don't know what diversity training you've been to. Diversity training's
principle is to account for and be sensitive to how someone else feels about
something--regardless of *how* or *why* they may feel that way.

> >
> > I believe that if we are to vote for elected officials who pass our
laws, it
> > is our obligation to stir, get involved in, and seek debate on items.
When
> > my representatives in Washington D.C. are deciding on rules, laws, and
ways
> > of life under the task of "rooting out terrorism," I want to know what
their
> > definition of terrorism is. When my representatives in Washington D.C.
are
> > making decisions for laws governing abortion, I want to know what their
> > definition of "human life" is.
>
> Abortion isn't under discussion, Kim. Your misuse of the word
> "terrorism" is.
> You know, it's sort of like your definition of "all band" which to you
> means "most bands".
>

And, I don't believe there's been a misuse of the word, Dave.

> >
> > You can be smug all you want, Dave. Turn your radio on, listen. Pick

your
> > newspaper up and read the Letters to the Editor, listen to people in
> > restaraunts and at the workplace.
>
> There's no shortage of dim bulbs on the planet or in this country. You
> wrote as if you spoke for thousands of people.
>

Dave, that's your mistaken impression. I speak for no one but myself. I
have no doubt there are thousands who feel just like I do.

> >
> > I'm not asking you to care.
>
> Then you won't be disappointed.
>

Actually, I'm quite disappointed in people like yourself, Dave.

>
> > To some, there is clear-cut definition of
> > terrorism is. For you, it is straight out of the definition of a book.
A
> > book that, perhaps 100 years ago didn't even have the word "terrorism"
in
> > it.
>
> The word "terrorism" is defined. That you can't or won't accept the
> definition or even be bothered to look at it does not change that
> definition.
>

There are many things that are "defined" in a book, Dave. Life is what goes
beyond the definitions in a book.

> >
> > To others, the very implication of what terrorism is becomes the
> > staging ground for how far a government can/could/should go to defend
> > against terrorism. And, as a side note, it also means that the past
actions
> > of that government must be considered in the equation as to how much
"we"
> > the People believe their actions can and will be seen as level and made
with
> > good judgement.
>
> So far, you're the only person I've encountered who uses "terrorism" to
> help in your definition of "terrorism" I've looked up the word and I
> see no mention whatever of the things you describe.
>

I can't help that.

> >
> > That leaves out the Christian right? One can only imagine why you would
do
> > that.
>
> I made a statement in response to a moronic accusation on your part.
>

Oh. So wait a minute. In this very post, you chide me for having used the words "all bands" in a prior post, since I really didn't mean "all" bands, but most. Now, you author "I don't read Christian right magazines or listen to Christian right talk shows" and you are telling me that I am the moron for taking you at your word? Pah!! Which is it, Dave? Do you read and hear the Christian right magazines and talk shows, or not? Oh, wait, don't answer that by the way. I am quite tired and bored with this discussion.

> >
> > I said terrorist(ic) act.
>
> We were discussing "terrorism". Find that definition yet?
>

I think I've stated that terroistic and terrorism are much more than a book defintion, to me, Dave.

> >
> > I am glad that you have your books to live by, Dave.
>
> They assist quite a bit in living, Kim. I can use a dictionary. You've
> told us that you won't even look up the word under discussion. Which
> one of us has a problem?
>

I've not said I won't look up the word, I don't think. I think what I've just plain said is that I would carry the definition of terrorism much farther than a book definition.

> >
> > You should have worded that a little differently, perhaps. PART of the
> > freedom of expression is that we can debate the meanings of "things" to
us.
>
> You can't do that by misapplication of definitions. The idea is to find
> and use words which express how we feel.
>

How we "feel"????? Will you puhleeze make up your mind. I use the word terroristic to express how I "feel" about something and all you've been doing is telling me how wrong I am for it, Dave. You are much more ambiguous than I thought you were. *YOU* can't even make your own mind up.

> >
> > The Bible states that there is one God. The Bible implies that the
> > Christian way is the only way. The Bible states that only a belief in

Jesus
> > Christ as the Son of God will get you to Heaven. That's been being
debated
> > for centuries, Dave.
>
> The meaning of "Bible", "belief" or "Jesus" have not been debated for
> centuries.
>

'Scuse me???!!!??? They sure have. Everything, including the meaning, has
been debated for centuries.

> >
> > Facts are a funny thing. Most of the time facts are dependent upon the
> > perspective from which they are approached. That's why science is so
> > exciting.
>
> I guess that happens when you cock your head and realize that
> definitions can be expanded if you hold your tongue just right.
>

Smug again. You sure are uncomfortable without that book in your hand,
aren't you?

> >
> > You know, it isn't a bad thing to believe that it was an act of
terrorism
> > that was one in which many other choices were just not feasible. I
remain
> > as loyal a US citizen and dedicated to this country as anyone else.
> > However, I believe the US military is quite able and ready to commit
acts of
> > terrorism and sometimes it even works in our and the world's favor. It
is
> > most, most unfortunate. But it happens. What the US armies and
government
> > did to Native Americans on this soil was--in my opinion--many acts of
> > terrorism and should never have happened. What the US did with the A-
and
> > H- bombs was a horrific act of terrorism and--hugely unfortunate--netted
a
> > very positive result.
>
> BS.
>

Outside your comfort zone, eh?

> >
> > See? Wording is everything. I don't have a problem, Dave. YOU have a
> > problem with me.
>

> If you can't be bothered to look up the word, you assuredly have a
> problem.
>

Nope. Sorry. I've no problem at all, Dave. You are the one with the
problem. It's a liberated thought, you might take a while to think about
it.

> >
> > How presumptious of you to determine how I shall spend time. I'd plant
a
> > garden for you to pee in. But, I wouldn't be waiting for your arrival.
>
> Did you think my illustration was an invitation for you to set up a
> garden? You must have missed the point.
>

You had one?

> >
> > Oh, I wouldn't say I ignore it, Dave. I just don't use it as my sole
source
> > for definition. Definitions also include what something means to
people, to
> > me.
>
> So under "definition" it must say, "see 'definition'".
>

That would probably be your cue to look it up. While your looking it up,
I'll be out here living life.

>
> > Oh. So he is not interjecting any of his opinion whatsoever. Must be
hard.
> > How 'bout you imagine yourself in such a place?
>
> I'll make the same suggestion to you which I made about the word in the
> dictionary: open the book.
>
> Dave K8MN

Ya know, Dave? If that means I'd be such an open book as yourself, no
thanks.

Kim W5TIT

1498. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 03:28:48 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <upct4l9h63lr12@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53315

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020928212633.04751.00001231@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <up4lh0id3skha1@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020923081007.03046.00000748@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >> >
> >> >No, Kim. Freedom of expression is not all about the misuse of a word
by
> >> >application of a homemade definition.
> >>
> >> To Kim it is. It's part of her definition of "freedom of expression".
> >> Example: the rest of the world can define dogs as mammals, but Kim
reserves
> >>the right not to be governed by that. Her "freedom of expression"
permits her
> >>to define dogs as reptiles if, to her, they appear to be reptiles. Or if
> doing so
> >>ticks you off.
>
> Which it seems to do.
>
>
> >heh heh heh heh...
>
> ROFLMAO
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

;) Kim W5TIT

1499. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 04:48:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9685FD.3DA93BCC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53316

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D952DCA.AA81D726@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Well, I don't how you could miss the correlation. Many times, the
> matter
> > > being argued in a court is the very definition of things that are
> pertinent
> > > to that Hearing. One part of diversity training is helping others be
> aware
> > > that what "we" see one way, someone else may see entirely different.
> >
> > Yeah, how could I miss that stretch? Diversity training does not deal
> > with the interpretation of dictionary definitions.
> >
>
> I don't know what diversity training you've been to. Diversity training's
> principle is to account for and be sensitive to how someone else feels about
> something--regardless of *how* or *why* they may feel that way.

You're getting tangled up in how someone feels. We're talking about
definitions.

> > >
> > > I believe that if we are to vote for elected officials who pass our
> laws, it
> > > is our obligation to stir, get involved in, and seek debate on items.
> When
> > > my representatives in Washington D.C. are deciding on rules, laws, and
> ways
> > > of life under the task of "rooting out terrorism," I want to know what
> their
> > > definition of terrorism is. When my representatives in Washington D.C.
> are
> > > making decisions for laws governing abortion, I want to know what their
> > > definition of "human life" is.
> >
> > Abortion isn't under discussion, Kim. Your misuse of the word
> > "terrorism" is.
> > You know, it's sort of like your definition of "all band" which to you
> > means "most bands".
> >

>
> And, I don't believe there's been a misuse of the word, Dave.

That's right and you choose not to look up the word. Tough.

> > >
> > > You can be smug all you want, Dave. Turn your radio on, listen. Pick
> your
> > > newspaper up and read the Letters to the Editor, listen to people in
> > > restaraunts and at the workplace.
> >
> > There's no shortage of dim bulbs on the planet or in this country. You
> > wrote as if you spoke for thousands of people.
> >
>
> Dave, that's your mistaken impression. I speak for no one but myself. I
> have no doubt there are thousands who feel just like I do.

Just "a feeling" you have, huh?

> > >
> > > I'm not asking you to care.
> >
> > Then you won't be disappointed.
> >
>
> Actually, I'm quite disappointed in people like yourself, Dave.

First, work on you. Then you can work on me.

> >
> > > To some, there is clear-cut definition of
> > > terrorism is. For you, it is straight out of the definition of a book.
> A
> > > book that, perhaps 100 years ago didn't even have the word "terrorism"
> in
> > > it.
> >
> > The word "terrorism" is defined. That you can't or won't accept the
> > definition or even be bothered to look at it does not change that
> > definition.
> >
>
> There are many things that are "defined" in a book, Dave. Life is what goes
> beyond the definitions in a book.

We're not defining "life". We're defining "terrorism". By the way, my
dictionary doesn't say anything about "what goes beyond the definitions
in a book" under "life".

> > >
> > > To others, the very implication of what terrorism is becomes the
> > > staging ground for how far a government can/could/should go to defend

> > > against terrorism. And, as a side note, it also means that the past
> actions
> > > of that government must be considered in the equation as to how much
> "we"
> > > the People believe their actions can and will be seen as level and made
> with
> > > good judgement.
> >
> > So far, you're the only person I've encountered who uses "terrorism" to
> > help in your definition of "terrorism" I've looked up the word and I
> > see no mention whatever of the things you describe.
> >
>
> I can't help that.

Right. Must be a real bunch of boobs if they can't see that "terrorism"
is really what *you* say it is.

> > >
> > > That leaves out the Christian right? One can only imagine why you would
> do
> > > that.
> >
> > I made a statement in response to a moronic accusation on your part.
> >
>
> Oh. So wait a minute. In this very post, you chide me for having used the
> words "all bands" in a prior post, since I really didn't mean "all" bands,
> but most. Now, you author "I don't read Christian right magazines or listen
> to Christian right talk shows" and you are telling me that I am the moron
> for taking you at your word? Pah!! Which is it, Dave? Do you read and
> hear the Christian right magazines and talk shows, or not? Oh, wait, don't
> answer that by the way. I am quite tired and bored with this discussion.

...not to mention that you're tangled in your own stuff. I haven't
contradicted or reversed myself. You wrote that "One can only imagine
why you would do that" and I responded to it. Oh, and you aren't a
moron for taking me at my word. You're a moron because you expand the
definition of a word to include anything you might feel.

> > >
> > > I said terrorist(ic) act.
> >
> > We were discussing "terrorism". Find that definition yet?
> >
>
> I think I've stated that terroistic and terrorism are much more than a book
> defintion, to me, Dave.

What it is to you isn't important. The meaning of the word "terrorism"
has already been defined.

> > >
> > > I am glad that you have your books to live by, Dave.
> >
> > They assist quite a bit in living, Kim. I can use a dictionary. You've
> > told us that you won't even look up the word under discussion. Which
> > one of us has a problem?
> >
>
> I've not said I won't look up the word, I don't think. I think what I've
> just plain said is that I would carry the definition of terrorism much
> farther than a book definition.

We know that you carry the definition farther. That's incorrect. The
word already has a definition. That you'd like to throw in anything you
find unjust or morally reprehensible is irrelevant.

> > >
> > > You should have worded that a little differently, perhaps. PART of the
> > > freedom of expression is that we can debate the meanings of "things" to
> us.
> >
> > You can't do that by misapplication of definitions. The idea is to find
> > and use words which express how we feel.
> >
>
> How we "feel"????? Will you puhleeze make up your mind. I use the word
> terroristic to express how I "feel" about something and all you've been
> doing is telling me how wrong I am for it, Dave. You are much more
> ambiguous than I thought you were. *YOU* can't even make your own mind up.

There are in existence, words which are capable to expressing what you
feel or what you wish to convey. Try finding the meaning of the word
you intend to use and see if it its definition agrees with the idea you
are trying to convey. Anything else is misuse of a word.

> > >
> > > The Bible states that there is one God. The Bible implies that the
> > > Christian way is the only way. The Bible states that only a belief in
> Jesus
> > > Christ as the Son of God will get you to Heaven. That's been being
> debated
> > > for centuries, Dave.
> >
> > The meaning of "Bible", "belief" or "Jesus" have not been debated for
> > centuries.
> >
>
> 'Scuse me???!!!??? They sure have. Everything, including the meaning, has
> been debated for centuries.

Your proof of the above?

> > >
> > > Facts are a funny thing. Most of the time facts are dependent upon the
> > > perspective from which they are approached. That's why science is so
> > > exciting.
> >
> > I guess that happens when you cock your head and realize that
> > definitions can be expanded if you hold your tongue just right.
> >
>
> Smug again. You sure are uncomfortable without that book in your hand,
> aren't you?

What peculiar piece of logic leads you to that conclusion?

> > >
> > > You know, it isn't a bad thing to believe that it was an act of
> terrorism
> > > that was one in which many other choices were just not feasible. I
> remain
> > > as loyal a US citizen and dedicated to this country as anyone else.
> > > However, I believe the US military is quite able and ready to commit
> acts of
> > > terrorism and sometimes it even works in our and the world's favor. It
> is
> > > most, most unfortunate. But it happens. What the US armies and
> government
> > > did to Native Americans on this soil was--in my opinion--many acts of
> > > terrorism and should never have happened. What the US did with the A-
> and
> > > H- bombs was a horrific act of terrorism and--hugely unfortunate--netted
> a
> > > very positive result.
> >
> > BS.
> >
>
> Outside your comfort zone, eh?

Yeah, I'm much more comfortable with reality. Does it get cold on your
planet?

> > >
> > > See? Wording is everything. I don't have a problem, Dave. YOU have a
> > > problem with me.
> >
> > If you can't be bothered to look up the word, you assuredly have a
> > problem.
> >
>
> Nope. Sorry. I've no problem at all, Dave. You are the one with the
> problem. It's a liberated thought, you might take a while to think about
> it.

Yep. It's been liberated from reality by a ditz.

> > >
> > > How presumptious of you to determine how I shall spend time. I'd plant
> a
> > > garden for you to pee in. But, I wouldn't be waiting for your arrival.
> >
> > Did you think my illustration was an invitation for you to set up a
> > garden? You must have missed the point.
> >
>
> You had one?

I figured you were having difficulties. I just didn't know it was this
bad.

> > >
> > > Oh, I wouldn't say I ignore it, Dave. I just don't use it as my sole
> source
> > > for definition. Definitions also include what something means to
> people, to
> > > me.
> >
> > So under "definition" it must say, "see 'definition'".
> >
>
> That would probably be your cue to look it up. While your looking it up,
> I'll be out here living life.

Lucy Van Pelt would be proud of you. I wouldn't want you to screw up
your life with anything like a definition, Kim.

> >
> > > Oh. So he is not interjecting any of his opinion whatsoever. Must be
> hard.
> > > How 'bout you imagine yourself in such a place?
> >
> > I'll make the same suggestion to you which I made about the word in the
> > dictionary: open the book.

> Ya know, Dave? If that means I'd be such an open book as yourself, no
> thanks.

Yeah. If you were an open book, someone might understand what you were
babbling about. You know, I've decided to expand the definitions of
some words too, Kim. To me, the word "moronic" now means "pleasant" and
"wonderful".

I like to think of you as moronic.

Dave K8MN

1500. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 07:04:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929030428.12826.00006270@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53317

>Subject: Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 9/28/02 8:01 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h363cjw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>After a few minutes of this, a
> well-modulated voice from the rear of the hall called out "OK,
> just keep your ass out of my emergency room when you have a
> serious injury".....

Hear hear! I recently ahd a WELL dressed couple come in, straight from a "legal rights" clinic, it seems, wherein they were told they had the "right" to NOT sign any papers in the emergency room!

I told them that I needed thier signature for permission to treat them and they started to balk on that too until I pointed out they were NOT here for a "true emergency", and without that signature they'd sit and wait until they DID sign in.

I also informed them that seeking for-fee medical services and later refusing to pay for thier provision was a felony in Tennessee. Seems they got the picture.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1501. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 07:08:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929030840.12826.00006271@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53318

>Subject: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com (Jason Hsu)
>Date: 9/28/02 10:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <f7d9a152.0209281911.536cd589@posting.google.com>

> If people complain that there are too many
>Britney dolls for sale at hamfests, I'll let the ARRL, NASA, and Lance
>take credit.

I'll take one of the lifesized inflatable ones, thank-you!

=)

Steve

1502. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 07:18:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929031843.12799.00006983@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53319

>Subject: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com (Jason Hsu)
>Date: 9/28/02 10:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <f7d9a152.0209281911.536cd589@posting.google.com>

>The N'Sync singer Lance Bass has earned his amateur radio license as a
>result of his preparation to become an astronaut.

BTW, Jason, where'd you get this? I checked QRZ and FCC databases without any results...Or is Bass a stage name? I DID see him in the newspaper a few weks ago being shown how to use "hamradio", but that was before he was dismissed from the program last time.

Steve

1503. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 14:09:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929100915.28700.00001105@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53320

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h363cjw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>Make yourself so inaccesable that when you need help
> and can't help yourself no one will be able to find you.

Something Darwinian about that, doncha think?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1504. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 14:34:43 UTC
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <f7d9a152.0209290634.646929ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53321

Actually, Lance Bass is listed as James Bass. Lance is his middle name.

His call sign is KG4UYY.

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
usenet@@@jasonhsu.com

1505. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 15:56:10 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929115610.06682.00005273@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53322

>Subject: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
What does this have to do with Ham Radio POLICY, since this is
rec.radio.POLICY?

>Yes, I am the one who suggested on this forum and to the ARRL leaders
>that the ARRL lobby NASA to encourage Lance to earn his amateur radio
>license.
Why bother?

>1. If Lance Bass gives ham radio a hipper image that appeals to young
>people, I'll take credit.
So what? Lance is no complement to Ham Radio. This already fits in with Ham
Radio. What do they say about guys that listen to "boy bands"?

> If people start complaining about
>teenyboppers sharing hair and makeup tips on the air (and conveniently
>forget that they used to complain about old men describing their
>health problems), I'll let the ARRL, NASA, and Lance take credit.
Substitute one junk culture for another?

>2. If Britney Spears (pop singer and ex-girlfriend of Justin
>Timberlake, another N'Sync member) becomes a ham and endorses ham
>radio, I'll take credit.
Britney Spears endorse Ham Radio? Unlikely

>3. If ham radio becomes mainstream enough that the antenna
>restrictions are dropped, everywhere, I'll take credit. If my fellow
>hams have difficulty operating because there are too many other
>neighborhood hams operating at the same time, then I'll let the ARRL,
>NASA, and Lance take credit.
Ham Radio is too weird to become mainstream.

Jason, you are normally articulate, not the typical Ham type. Jason, don't let
your enthusiam cloud your judgement.

1506. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 16:09:15 UTC
From: restamp@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <LAFI9.19347$kF.1948452@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 53323

In article <20020929030428.12826.00006270@mb-mf.aol.com>,
Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature
>>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>>Date: 9/28/02 8:01 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h363cjw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
>
>>After a few minutes of this, a
>> well-modulated voice from the rear of the hall called out "OK,
>> just keep your ass out of my emergency room when you have a
>> serious injury".....
>
> Hear hear! I recently ahd a WELL dressed couple come in, straight from a
>"legal rights" clinic, it seems, wherein they were told they had the "right" to
>NOT sign any papers in the emergency room!
>
> I told them that I needed thier signature for permission to treat them and
>they started to balk on that too until I pointed out they were NOT here for a
>"true emergency", and without that signature they'd sit and wait until they DID
>sign in.
>
> I also informed them that seeking for-fee medical services and later
>refusing to pay for thier provision was a felony in Tennessee. Seems they got
>the picture.
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Very little to do with ham radio, but then, very little in this group
does...

Obviously doctors and hospitals have a right, even a duty, to
present their terms and conditions to their patients and reach
agreement when possible on financial matters prior to treatment.
You seem to work at a hospital. Let me ask: have you actually
read the legal documents you are asking the patients to sign
critically and do you personally think they are fair?

I was in a doctor's office recently, and was presented with
a "standard form" to sign. On taking the time to read the form
(something that seemed, in itself, to tick off the receptionist)
I was taken aback by what I was being asked to sign. First, I was
being asked to assign all my "medical and/or surgical benefits,
to include major medical benefits to which I am entitled,

including Medicare, private insurance and any other health plans" to them (left unstated what whether said benefits had anything to do with what I was to be treated for). Further, "I understand that I am financially responsible for all charges whether or not paid by said insurance." So, should my insurance plan actually make good on a bill and pay it, at a rate agreed to by a prior agreement between the doctor and my carrier, the doctor could still double bill me for his services and legally, I have agreed to pay him again.

Now, I'm sure you'd say that I'm being ludicrous. Surely no doctor would do that. "Trust us." Well, aside from the fact that one might ask why I should project trust to a doctor who obviously doesn't trust me, I'd have to also ask why a practice that prides itself in dispensing competent medical advice and service allows itself to force such an unfair and one-sided legal document on its patients at a time when they are often under great duress and have no real alternative but to submit to whatever is demanded.

Honestly, the forms my mechanic asks me to sign are much less egregious.

If the forms were truly "fair", like the standard legal forms one uses in a realty transaction (presumably hashed out between lawyers with an interest in both sides), then, yes, I'd have no problem with "standard forms". But, it's been my experience that typical standard doctor's office form -- and, increasingly, any form you are asked to sign -- is far from that, and that's why I tend to side with those who do take objection to them.

Rob

1507. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 16:13:46 UTC
From: restamp@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <_EFI9.19377$kF.1951431@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 53324

In article <upcp3k6i07q92@corp.supernews.com>, KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote:
...
>> and worde...THEY SELL THIS INFORMATION to
>> other businesses for "marketing purposes". (Including so-called
>> "law enforcement" too) Do you own an OnStar equipped
>> vehicle? If you do, my advice is to rip it out, lay it down
>> in the driveway and take a 20 pound sledge-hammer to
>> smash it's guts to scrap, till ABSOLUTLEY nothing usefull is left of it.
>> (It's usually a silver box mounted in the trunk in one of the
>> rear quarter-panels. It will have OnStar stamped on it someplace)
>>
>Right and then when your car overturns on a county road and you
>need help you can wait for somebody to come by.
>
>We had this happen in this county (Orange Co. IN) just last week
>and a person from onstar called the local sheriff's dept and told
>them about the accident and that the woman was inside the
>overturned car talking to them. OnStar told the sheriff's dispatcher
>the GPS location and the county roads the woman should be near
>over the phone. Sounds like a pretty good system to me.

Trading privacy for security: It's a decision each of us has to
make from time to time. And, it only becomes unfair when it is not
an informed choice.

Rob

1508. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 18:01:37 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h37d9oy.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53326

On Sun, 29 Sep 2002 16:13:46 GMT, Rob Stampfli wrote:

>Trading privacy for security: It's a decision each of us has to
>make from time to time. And, it only becomes unfair when it is not
>an informed choice.

And it becomes VERY unfair for the rest of us when the bozo's
injuries, which could have been easily dealt with had s/he called for
help, worsens to the point where public assistance has to pick up the
slack for the complicated and long-term medical costs.

Privacy? If you've spent ten seconds on the aie you will find out
that we all live in a fishbowl, and some of us are/were fishermen
therein.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1509. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 18:01:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h37fgxz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53325

On Sun, 29 Sep 2002 16:09:15 GMT, Rob Stampfli wrote:

>Very little to do with ham radio, but then, very little in this group
>does...
>
>Obviously doctors and hospitals have a right, even a duty, to
>present their terms and conditions to their patients and reach
>agreement when possible on financial matters prior to treatment.
>You seem to work at a hospital. Let me ask: have you actually
>read the legal documents you are asking the patients to sign
>critically and do you personally think they are fair?

I was not talking about financial matters, but about the availability
of medical history and records.

Is it reasonable for a patient to present him/herself and refuse to
make that information available? A recipe for medical disaster.

>I was in a doctor's office recently, and was presented with
>a "standard form" to sign. On taking the time to read the form
>(something that seemed, in itself, to tick off the receptionist)
>I was taken aback by what I was being asked to sign. First, I was
>being asked to assign all my "medical and/or surgical benefits,
>to include major medical benefits to which I am entitled,
>including Medicare, private insurance and any other health
>plans" to them (left unstated what whether said benefits had
>anything to do with what I was to be treated for).

Case law says that it is so limited, and both the doctors and the
insurers know this. That's what happens when you try to play "rights
lawyer". Do you also try to tell the doc how to treat you?

>Further, "I understand that I am financially responsible for all charges
>whether or not paid by said insurance." So, should my insurance
>plan actually make good on a bill and pay it, at a rate agreed
>to by a prior agreement between the doctor and my carrier, the
>doctor could still double bill me for his services and legally,
>I have agreed to pay him again.

Now you're playing contract lawyer. All that clause says is that if
the insurer pays less than the demand, or doesn't pay at all, you
are on the hook for the balance.

>Now, I'm sure you'd say that I'm being ludicrous. Surely no
>doctor would do that.

Docs who so bill are really desirous of finding out how their talents will be put to use in the Federal prison system as an "inmate" for Medicare and Insurance fraud.

You DO read the statements which the insurer sends to you when they pay the doctor's bills and compare them to the bills that the doctor sends you, don't you?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
(A real lawyer)

1510. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 18:09:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929140938.14335.00001248@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 53327

In article <20020929115610.06682.00005273@mb-cq.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>>Subject: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>What does this have to do with Ham Radio POLICY, since this is
>rec.radio.POLICY?

It has to do with attracting new hams and publicizing the ARS. Having famous people as spokespersons for the ARS can be a very good thing. I don't know much about Mr. Bass, but if he publicizes the ARS in a good way and attracts new hams, young or old, that's a very good thing. Publicizing the ARS and attracting new hams are definitely POLICY issues.
>
>>Yes, I am the one who suggested on this forum and to the ARRL leaders
>>that the ARRL lobby NASA to encourage Lance to earn his amateur radio
>>license.

>Why bother?

See above. Earlier generations of hams had Jean Shepard, Arthur Godfrey, Andy Divine and other show biz folk as spokespersons for ham radio. Today we have Joe Walsh, Patty Loveless and now Mr. Bass.
>
>>1. If Lance Bass gives ham radio a hipper image that appeals to young
>>people, I'll take credit.

>So what? Lance is no complement to Ham Radio.

Complement or compliment?

>This already fits in with Ham
>Radio. What do they say about guys that listen to "boy bands"?

Seems like "vshah101" wants ham radio to be for guys only. That's a bad thing.
>
>> If people start complaining about
>>teenyboppers sharing hair and makeup tips on the air (and conveniently
>>forget that they used to complain about old men describing their
>>health problems), I'll let the ARRL, NASA, and Lance take credit.

HAW!!

>Substitute one junk culture for another?

How is either of those subject areas "junk culture"??

>>2. If Britney Spears (pop singer and ex-girlfriend of Justin
>>Timberlake, another N'Sync member) becomes a ham and endorses ham

>>radio, I'll take credit.

Even I know who she is...

>Britney Spears endorse Ham Radio? Unlikely

Yes, it's unlikely. Unfortunately.
>
>>3. If ham radio becomes mainstream enough that the antenna
>>restrictions are dropped, everywhere, I'll take credit. If my fellow
>>hams have difficulty operating because there are too many other
>>neighborhood hams operating at the same time, then I'll let the ARRL,
>>NASA, and Lance take credit.

>Ham Radio is too weird to become mainstream.

It's "mainstream" already.
>
>Jason, you are normally articulate, not the typical Ham type. Jason, don't
>let your enthusiam cloud your judgement.

Jason, you are right on target about how Mr. Bass can help the ARS. Vshah101,
you're way off the mark.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1511. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 18:25:26 UTC
From: Loose washers <sealsquawlk@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3d97459b@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53328

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020929115610.06682.00005273@mb-cq.aol.com...

> What does this have to do with Ham Radio POLICY, since this is
> rec.radio.POLICY?

A typical reaction from the sloop-foreheaded
neanderthals we are so abundantly blessed with
in this hobby called ham radio. Just why is it this
this hobby seems mentally stuck in the 1950's?

Reminds me of the kind of guys you see at hamfests in penny-loafers
and shorts who want to bid you down to 25 cents on a 50 cent item.
Cheap, crotchety old white men who's wives wish they would drop dead.

I bet you'll be spinnin 360° in yer grave when a week after
you've gone to pushing daisies up thru the topsoil, the
first thing your XYL does is hire a bunch of neighborhood
kids to cart all "that stupid radio stuff" out to the curb on trash day.

(assuming the 2 or 3 "SK-Vultures" at the Club don't beat
a path to the door first to try and get it all for a song so
they can re-sell it on E-Bay at enormous profit.)

1512. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 22:10:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929181024.14335.00001258@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 53329

In article <b7d1465c.0209250715.57247cd1@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net
(Bert Craig) writes:

>> >What's even funier is that if you do a little homework, you'll know that
>> >you
>> >can count on a RST report, which is usually 589, and a rig of some sort.
>> >Plus they give you a pretty generous amount of time after the code stops
>to
>> >fill in (Best guess?) the characters you missed. So if you end up with:
>> >
>> >"<sender's call, receiver's call> RS_ i_ 589. Na_e is Joh_. Rig _s
>Ken_oo_.
>> >Ante_n_ i_ 3 e_e_ent _agi."
>> >
>> >You've got approx. 10 min. (they say 5, but I swear we got 10.) to nail 25
>> >out of the 59 characters (Minus calls.) in the example above. I stand
>> >corrected at 25 correct characters in a row and I was unaware that the
>> >numerals count for two as well. In the example above, you can miss both
>> >opening call signs and still walk away from the session with a CSCE for
>> >Element 1 based solely on common sense. I think we can all safely assume
>> >that the thirteen missed characters (Represented by _'s.) are a
>> >"no-brainer."
>>
>> Yup. In fact, you can probably chew up that message a bit more:
>
>True. I didn't wan't to make it sound too easy, lest I let the cat out
>of the bag re. a well kept Element 1 secret...all you have to really
>do to pass the code is...(shhhh)...try.

Now you've done it!
>
>> "<sender's call, receiver's call> _S_ i_ 589. _a_e is J_h_. R_g _s K_n_oo_.
>> >A_nte_n_ i_ 3 e_e_ent _agi."
>> >
>> >> >This is not such a bad idea, good luck selling it though. There are
>many
>> >> >who take a dim view of any other "hobby" radio other than the ARS.
>>
>> I think such a dim view is understandable, given the history of cb and
>> freeband.
>
>Granted. But do remember that RM-9807 had nothing to do with illegal
>operation.

Didn't it seek to make that which is now illegal (working dx beyond 155.3 kM)
legal?

>Let's no punish all drivers for the misdeed of a few.

Let's not make the "misdeeds of a few" legal then, OK?

>(Although the insurance carriers routinely get away with that via
>increased rates.)

Basic principle of insurance is to spread out the risk - and the pain.

>> >> >Just witness the paranoia exhibited when Alan Dixon, N3HOE
>> >> >petitioned the FCC to drop the
>> >> >155.3 mi. limit on the CB. Some thought this would make the CB a
>service
>> >> >"paralleling" the ARS. Old fears die hard, but good luck nonetheless.
>>
>> I don't think that opposition was paranoia or unfounded in the least.
>
>I certainly respect your opinion on that.

TNX
>
>> >> I don't think "paranoia" is the correct adjective, Bert.
>> >
>> >I do. It was actually the nicest, most politically correct, term I could
>> >muster at the moment.
>>
>> I'd say it was a well-founded opposition based on historic evidence.
>
>I'd agree with you if I truly subscribed to the "punish 'em all"
>mindset.

It has to do with the basis and purpose of various services.

>> >> Each radio
>> >> service has a particular "basis and purpose". Ciotizens Radio Service
>was
>> >>NOT
>> >> created as a "long-range" radio service...Just the opposite, it was
>> >>created as
>> >> a low power, short range personal communications service.
>> >
>> >I agree, but things change and this is what it's morphed into.
>> > have this
>> >quirky idea that the "Citizen's" Band should be defined by...you guessed
>it,
>> >the "citizens?" To a point, of course. I too share the disdain for
>> >overpowered and/or out-of-band ops, but responding to a natural phenomenon
>> >using 12 watts P.E.P.? Puh-lease! (Not directed at you, Steve.)
>>
>> The basic problem is that the FCC erred by putting cb on 27 MHz back in
>'58.

>> They put it there because UHF CB had not gotten much use, even though it
>had
>> existed for more than a decade.
>
>I "violently agree!" <ggg>

I wonder what would have evolved out of the 220 MHz "Class E" CB service
proposed in 1975.
>
>> >> If you are going to say "short range", you have to draw a line
>> >> "somewhere"! FRS'ers can call "1/2 mile" short range, and the SETI guys
>> >>might
>> >> set "short range" in light years! It's arbitrary, but if you are going
>to
>> >>do
>> >> it, then do it.
>>
>> FCC has already done so - 155.3 miles. (I think that works out to 250 kM).
>
>Yup, hopefully that'll change when the next RM petition is submitted.

Sure. 50 kM is more than enough.
>
>> >Again, things change. However, they should be changed vis the correct
>> >legislative protocol, not just ignored.
>>
>> The main point is this: The test requirements for a ham license today are
>>not a
>> barrier to anyone who has a modicum of interest in radio.
>
>Agreed, with a slight correction. "The test requirements for a ham
>license today are not a barrier to anyone who has a modicum of
>interest in *amateur* radio.

Why would someone with no interest in amateur radio care about the license
requirements?
>
>> >> >> Wrong, code is a big factor in repelling potential "hams".
>> >> >
>> >> >It'd be interesting to see how many members of NCI are non-hams (read
>> >> >"potential hams") as opposed tp <Yikes, that's "to."> No-code Techs who
>> >> >simply want HF privies?
>>
>> Don't hold yer breath waiting for an answer...
>
><Great big exhale.>

>> >> We heard sooooooooooo much about how the ranks of the Amateur Radio
>> >> service
>> >> were going to be filled in short-order after the No Code Technician was
>> >> authorized. Yes, there was an initial influx, but it just as quickly

>> >>subsided.
>>
>> That's because the main causes of slow growth in the ARS are things other
>than
>> the test requirements.
>> >
>> >I'd like to see the numbers, "non-ham" NCI members, that is.
>> >
>> >> Some aggitators in this NG would point out that 1/3rd of all
>Amateurs
>> >> are presently Technicians. However that ALSO means the 2/3'rds are
>"coded"
>> >>(by licensure) Hams. That number is probably a lot higher, though,
>since
>> >>those
>> >> who hold a post-Restructuring Technician and ALSO hold an CSCE for
>Element
>> >>1 may exercise the privileges of a "Technician Plus" without further
>> >>Commission
>> >> administrative action.
>> >
>> >Good point.
>>
>> Add to that the fact that for 2-1/2 years now, the FCC has been renewing
>all
>> Tech Pluses as Techs. If no other changes are made to the rules, in 7-1/2
>years
>> there will be no Tech Pluses at all.
>>
>> Also note that any Novice who passes new Element 2 gets a Tech.
>>
>> Even before restructuring, a sizable number of hams in the Tech totals were
>> actually Tech Pluses.
>>
>> Thus, it cannot be assumed that all Techs have not passed code tests.
>>
>> Of course the code test itself will most probably go away in less than a
>year.
>
>Let's hope not.

The only way I can see that Element 1 will survive is if S25.5 doesn't go away. And there's not much chance that S25.5 will survive WRC 2003. That's basic reality.

>Keep e-mailing the FCC. Hopefully it'll be seen by or
>forwarded to the right set of eyes.

Thousands of us did that back in 1990 and 1998. FCC saw our letters, emails and arguments and said they were inadequate reason to keep the code test. In 1990 FCC said the reasons were inadequate for VHF/UHF ham licenses. In 1999 FCC said

the reasons were inadequate for any ham license.

The ONLY reason FCC kept Element 1 is S25.5. They said so themselves, more than two years ago.

I think that part of the reason FCC keeps lowering the requirements (code and written) for a ham license is an attempt to license more cbers and freebanders, in the hope that they will operate within the law rather than outside it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1513. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 22:57:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D978526.81A7F958@townsqr.com>
Source record: 53330

Rob Stampfli wrote:

> I'd have to also ask why a practice
> that prides itself in dispensing competent medical advice and
> service allows itself to force such an unfair and one-sided
> legal document on its patients at a time when they are often
> under great duress and have no real alternative but to submit
> to whatever is demanded.
>

And here is why you probably could sue in court to avoid paying charges which could not be justified - that you were being forced to sign a contract under extreme duress. I'm no lawyer but I did take a few business law courses in college and there was a principle that said both parties to a contract must be free from duress and sign willingly and of their free will. Also that both parties must understand what they are agreeing to. A "standard admission form" thrust under your nose with one hand while stuffing the pen for you to sign it, giving you no time to read it, while you're in agony and anxiously awaiting urgent medical treatment wouldn't seem to meet the legal qualifications for a valid contract.

Hospitals today have a bad habit of gouging the insured, evidently to make up for some of their losses from being required to treat so many who are not. That doesn't make it right, justifiable, nor legal.

Dick

1514. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 23:20:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D9789C5.CA449BCA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 53331

Phil Kane wrote:

> You DO read the statements which the insurer sends to you when they
> pay the doctor's bills and compare them to the bills that the
> doctor sends you, don't you?

T'aint ever that simple, Phil. I have an unsettled case that illustrates. Last spring I spent 2 1/2 days in a hospital out west, far away from home. Later I received a letter from the hospital, no the doctor, saying they had billed my insuror, and that I was not getting a bill at this time, but here is a summary of the charges. Listed was what was actually provided, at rates that seemed about right, but then the last line was a charge for "additional diagnostic services" that was three times the total of all earlier lines. So my insurer, after negotiating the entire charge down by 10%, paid it all -and we're not talking a trivial sum here, either. Many $K. Meanwhile the doctor's fees were very much in line, and billed separeatly.
I called the insurer and said I was rather certain that the bill was very much excessive for the care provided, and the insuror said that I would need to obtain a copy of the bill, and that any excessive charge that I could identify could be recovered by the insuror. So, now I'm left with the job of going back out west to that hospital, getting a copy of all the charges, and determining what part of that "additional diagnostic services" they can and cannot justify.

Just because they're upstanding citizens doesn't mean they aren't thieves,. I'm thinking I might just take an attorney with me to see the folks at the hospital when I do go.

1515. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 23:33:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929193337.10637.00007576@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53332

>Subject: Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 9/29/02 10:56 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020929115610.06682.00005273@mb-cq.aol.com>

>>Subject: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

>What does this have to do with Ham Radio POLICY, since this is
>rec.radio.POLICY?

Because it's newsworthy and this is a "NEWSgroup".

>>Yes, I am the one who suggested on this forum and to the ARRL leaders
>>that the ARRL lobby NASA to encourage Lance to earn his amateur radio
>>license.

>Why bother?

Why not? A new Ham is a new Ham. It just happens that THIS new Ham has
"name recognition" is jsut about any nation that has teens and yound adults in
it.

>>1. If Lance Bass gives ham radio a hipper image that appeals to young
>>people, I'll take credit.

>So what? Lance is no complement to Ham Radio.

And YOU are...?!?!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHa ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> What do they say about guys that listen to "boy bands"?

At one time the "Beatles", "Rolling Stones", etc etc were "boy bands. You
got something against talented youth taking advantage of that talent?

>> If people start complaining about
>>teenyboppers sharing hair and makeup tips on the air (and conveniently
>>forget that they used to complain about old men describing their
>>health problems), I'll let the ARRL, NASA, and Lance take credit.

>Substitute one junk culture for another?

We substituted YOU for a rational human being...The otehr trade seems fair
to me.

>>2. If Britney Spears (pop singer and ex-girlfriend of Justin
>>Timberlake, another N'Sync member) becomes a ham and endorses ham
>>radio, I'll take credit.

>Britney Spears endorse Ham Radio? Unlikely

Why? She endorses other products on TV. What would be different about
Amateur Radio?

>Ham Radio is too weird to become mainstream..

Ooooops....Too late.

>Jason, you are normally articulate, not the typical Ham type. Jason, don't
>let
>your enthusiam cloud your judgement.

He IS articulate and his idas are sound.

YOU on the other hand...Well...that's ANOTHER story...

Steve, K4YZ

1516. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 23:34:24 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929193424.06622.00005856@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53333

>A typical reaction from the sloop-foreheaded
>neanderthals we are so abundantly blessed with
>in this hobby called ham radio. Just why is it this
>this hobby seems mentally stuck in the 1950's?

Several reasons. Most of the people in Ham Radio are of the age of the 50's. Old, mostly male hobby. Many have spent too much time behind a radio with little physical exercise. Many should take up jogging instead of the normal pizza and beer consumed.

No sense of purpose except to maintain the Ham religion, such as Ham oaths and secret societies. The use of the word "Ham" to describe oneself. Some find it degrading to call themself a "Ham". Others do not identify with the "Ham" identity and just do not care.

Insistance on Morse code.

The areas recognized should be different. For Contesting, working all states, etc, the emphasis is on coverage, not skill level. Recognition should be for set up time, distances achieved, bands worked(not geographical areas), etc.

Also, many people find contesting to be boring. Hams seem to get a thril from it, however. Hams should have more interesting activiites to get more people interested.

>Reminds me of the kind of guys you see at hamfests in penny-loafers
>and shorts who want to bid you down to 25 cents on a 50 cent item.
>Cheap, crotchety old white men who's wives wish they would drop dead.

Hams gladly overpay for ticket prices, room rentals, food. Hams happily accept lower quality food and/or higher prices. They don't seem to mind overpaying as long as its a Ham meeting. $10 for breakfasts is too much. $30 meal price for upcoming conference too much so i'll get dinner elsewhere. Hams are so obcessed with the event, they overlook being overcharged. Seems like with a group, Hams could get better quality food and lower prices than they do.

>(assuming the 2 or 3 "SK-Vultures" at the Club don't beat
>a path to the door first to try and get it all for a song so
>they can re-sell it on E-Bay at enormous profit.)

Wow, thats cold. Are you saying that there are Hams that scout funerals for Ham Radio equipment?

1517. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-29 23:37:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929193735.10637.00007579@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53334

>Subject: Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>From: "Doug Martin" martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net
>Date: 9/29/02 11:29 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <an79oh$4k9$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>On 29 Sep 2002 07:34:43 -0700, Jason Hsu <jason_hsu@my-deja.com> wrote:
>: Actually, Lance Bass is listed as James Bass. Lance is his middle name.
>
>Kind of pathetic that anyone attaches importance to this, isn't it?
>
>It's tabloid mentality at work.

If it's "Lance Bass Talks To Aliens From ISS Via Ham Radio", then it would be "tabloid mentality".

If it's "Lance Bass Talks to Teens From Space Station", it's a very positive media hit for Amateur Radio.

Please try to keep your priorities straight. This has the potential to be VERY positive.

Steve, K4YZ

1518. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 00:19:17 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020929201917.06622.00005878@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53335

>>>1. If Lance Bass gives ham radio a hipper image that appeals to young
>>>people, I'll take credit.
>
>>So what? Lance is no complement to Ham Radio.
>
> And YOU are...?!?!

An entertainer would be an appliance operator. Appliance operation would not be a benefit to Ham Radio. Possible benefits could include the service aspect of Ham Radio, however.

I advocate the technical, educational, and fun aspects of Amateur Radio, which is missing from many "Ham" clubs, so I feel that I could help Ham Radio.

> We substituted YOU for a rational human being...The otehr trade seems
>fair
>to me.

Your sentence does not make sence. Also, A rational human being can respond to a point without attacking someone else

>>Britney Spears endorse Ham Radio? Unlikely
.>Why? She endorses other products on TV. What would be different about
>Amateur Radio?

Amateur Radio would have to fit in with the image she is trying to project. Ham Radio itself should have positive value for it to be endorsed. A bunch of low lifes hunting bands would not help her image. Also, no self respecting person would like to be called a "Ham".

1519. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 01:28:44 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <IQNI9.28164$9d3.1036398@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53336

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020929181024.14335.00001258@mb-mj.aol.com...
> In article <b7d1465c.0209250715.57247cd1@posting.google.com>,
wa2si@arrl.net
> (Bert Craig) writes:
> >True. I didn't wan't to make it sound too easy, lest I let the cat out
> >of the bag re. a well kept Element 1 secret...all you have to really
> >do to pass the code is...(shhhh)...try.
>
> Now you've done it!

What'd I do?! O:-)

> >> I think such a dim view is understandable, given the history of cb and
> >> freeband.
> >
> >Granted. But do remember that RM-9807 had nothing to do with illegal
> >operation.
>
> Didn't it seek to make that which is now illegal (working dx beyond 155.3
kM)
> legal?

While correct as written, your question oversimplifies the subject. I'll
restate to reduce any further chance of misinterpretation. To attempt to
change any objectionable rule/law using proper legal protocol in not only
legal, but arguably a citizen's duty. In the ARRL's statement objecting to
the removal of the 155.3 mi. limit, it stated that allowing DX on the CB
would encourage the use of illegal amplifiers. Your own reference to the
"freeband" implies the association of out-of band operation. Nothing could
be further from the truth. RM-9807 was a noble effort to legally remove the
155.3 mi. limit rather than simply ignore the rule. I must say, Jim, that
I'm somewhat surprised to this angle of attack (metaphorically speaking)
from you. I think we both knew to what "illegal operation" I was referring.
Given the calibre of your past posts, this was a bit of a surprise indeed.
>
> >Let's no punish all drivers for the misdeed of a few.
>
> Let's not make the "misdeeds of a few" legal then, OK?

Again, I'd hardly call RM-9807 a "misdeed." To be honest, I'd hardly
classify the violation of the 155.3 mi. limit as a misdeed. That doesn't
mean I condone ignoring the rules in place though. Any person(s) who find
any rule/law to be sufficiently objectionable should work to change said
rule/law using any and all legal means at their disposal...in this case, a

RM proposal.

> >(Although the insurance carriers routinely get away with that via
> >increased rates.)
>
> Basic principle of insurance is to spread out the risk - and the pain.

Ouch!

> >> I'd say it was a well-founded opposition based on historic evidence.
> >
> >I'd agree with you if I truly subscribed to the "punish 'em all"
> >mindset.
>
> It has to do with the basis and purpose of various services.

Understood, however I must plagiarize another poster's words in response to
the "original basis and purpose" argument re. RM-9807.

"Everything in life evolves. If this were not so, there'd be no
reason to build four-lane highways where dirt roads once were. Like it or
not,
this is what the citizen's band has evolved into...and quite frankly, let's
not
forget who the band's was named for. It was placed in a portion of the RF
spectrum that supports worldwide propagation, bad move to begin with. If the
"citizens" wish to redefine it, let them <do so> without interference.
Amateurs have
their own mess' to contend with. Deal with that first before meddling in
someone's elses affairs...even if your pride's bruised that they might
legally
obtain one of your "privileges" without the associated "rites of passage.""

> >> >> Each radio
> >> >> service has a particular "basis and purpose". Ciotizens Radio
Service
> >was
> >> >>NOT
> >> >> created as a "long-range" radio service...Just the opposite, it was
> >> >>created as
> >> >> a low power, short range personal communications service.
> >> >
> >> >I agree, but things change and this is what it's morphed into.
> >> > have this
> >> >quirky idea that the "Citizen's" Band should be defined by...you
guessed
> >it,
> >> >the "citizens?" To a point, of course. I too share the disdain for
> >> >overpowered and/or out-of-band ops, but responding to a natural
phenomenon
> >> >using 12 watts P.E.P.? Puh-lease! (Not directed at you, Steve.)
> >>

> >> The basic problem is that the FCC erred by putting cb on 27 MHz back in
> >'58.
> >> They put it there because UHF CB had not gotten much use, even though
it
> >had
> >> existed for more than a decade.
> >
> >I "violently agree!" <ggg>
>
> I wonder what would have evolved out of the 220 MHz "Class E" CB service
> proposed in 1975.

Now THERE's a thought!

> >> FCC has already done so - 155.3 miles. (I think that works out to 250
kM).
> >
> >Yup, hopefully that'll change when the next RM petition is submitted.
>
> Sure. 50 kM is more than enough.

Nah, just can it.

> >> >Again, things change. However, they should be changed vis the correct
> >> >legislative protocol, not just ignored.
> >>
> >> The main point is this: The test requirements for a ham license today
are
> >>not a
> >> barrier to anyone who has a modicum of interest in radio.
> >
> >Agreed, with a slight correction. "The test requirements for a ham
> >license today are not a barrier to anyone who has a modicum of
> >interest in *amateur* radio.
>
> Why would someone with no interest in amateur radio care about the license
> requirements?

Exactly. Reread the sentences above. They are in reference to the code test
"barrier" argument only. Re. CB, I have a niece who was interested in radio
after viewing my shack, but not enough to be "bothered with the license
thingy." I started her off with a TRC-485 40 ch. SSB rig. Once she started
hearing S.A. pouring in on a regulat basis, (She's fluent in spanish.) her
interest level picked up to the point where she asked "Ok Tio, <Uncle> tell
me more about the "license thingy." Did she violate any rules? Nope, but DX
on CB helped spark yet another soul's interest in AR...much the same way it
did mine so many years before. (Except I "inhaled." <ggg>)

> The only way I can see that Element 1 will survive is if S25.5 doesn't go
away.
> And there's not much chance that S25.5 will survive WRC 2003. That's basic
> reality.

>
> >Keep e-mailing the FCC. Hopefully it'll be seen by or
> >forwarded to the right set of eyes.
>
> Thousands of us did that back in 1990 and 1998. FCC saw our letters,
emails and
> arguments and said they were inadequate reason to keep the code test. In
1990
> FCC said the reasons were inadequate for VHF/UHF ham licenses. In 1999 FCC
said
> the reasons were inadequate for any ham license.
>
> The ONLY reason FCC kept Element 1 is S25.5. They said so themselves, more
than
> two years ago.
>
> I think that part of the reason FCC keeps lowering the requirements (code
and
> written) for a ham license is an attempt to license more cbers and
freebanders,
> in the hope that they will operate within the law rather than outside it.

I'll keep my fingers crossed...re a multitude of things related to this whole "mess." Take care Jim, perhaps we can do Miller's Smorgasbord with the families one day and laugh about it.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Bert
WA2SI
>
>
>
>

1520. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 02:18:09 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D97B461.4906E685@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53337

Vshah101 wrote:

> >Subject: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>
> >1. If Lance Bass gives ham radio a hipper image that appeals to young
> >people, I'll take credit.
> So what? Lance is no complement to Ham Radio. This already fits in with Ham
> Radio. What do they say about guys that listen to "boy bands"?

Were the Beatles and the Stones "boy bands"? Anyway....
There's always the girls. We have had YLs for years. Could be a way to
have more girls get interested in things technical. High schools with ham clubs
could
host ham radio QSOs with Lance Bass when he's in the area
with a 2m HT or a mobile rig in his limo. And be control ops when fans of
his band (non-hams) want to talk at the event at the ham radio club.
Of course a sked would have to be arranged ahead of time, and be sure
there is no failure to QSO. Also tell the kids that, no, Lance cannot sing
via ham radio. FCC rules forbid it, so please don't ask him to. And
2m FM should sound sufficiently different than 11 meter CB that that be
less confusion of ham radio vs CB radio. And a 50W mobile 2m rig will
have more range than a MURS HT.

> >2. If Britney Spears (pop singer and ex-girlfriend of Justin
> >Timberlake, another N'Sync member) becomes a ham and endorses ham
> >radio, I'll take credit.
> Britney Spears endorse Ham Radio? Unlikely

That IS a long shot.... But who knows....

>

>
> Jason, you are normally articulate, not the typical Ham type. Jason, don't let
> your enthusiam cloud your judgement.

I think we could do something with this. No, we won't suddenly get 10 million
kids as no-code hams on 2m, but we'd get some exposure. Maybe a few thousands
of new hams.....

1521. Re: 27 MHz Linear 250 Watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 03:15:44 UTC
From: Double D <DD@dd27880khzstandby.net>
Message-ID: <an8fk7afd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slider" <Sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:mabfpugpc0khm69qr1ranunul3ic5euda7@4ax.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Lafayette HA-250A linear. 250 watts p.e.p.
> Looks to be in excellent shape. Uses two tubes, and comes with
> the cable for connection to 12V DC power in your vehicle.
> Pictures available.
>
> Will sell for $75.00 plus shipping
>
> E-mail me for picture or to arrange purchase
>
> - - -
> Commyanism is the woyste thing I evah hoyde - Robin Williams

What type of tubes does it use?

Double DD

1522. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 03:32:57 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h386db2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53339

On Sun, 29 Sep 2002 22:57:28 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> And here is why you probably could sue in court to avoid paying charges which could
>not be justified - that you were being forced to sign a contract under extreme
>duress. I'm no lawyer

With all due respect you are proving it by suggesting a
"duress" avoidance. Very rarely does such an argument succeed.

The thing most likely to invalidate a contract term is if it is
against public policy, and other than malpractice that's what the
meat of most of the lawsuits is.all about.

> Hospitals today have a bad habit of gouging the insured, evidently
> to make up for some of their losses from being required to treat so
> many who are not. That doesn't make it right, justifiable, nor legal.

There's nothing unlawful about setting rates to cover expenses. You
are free to shop araund for your medical provider. I've been with
the same major HMO - which has its own medical group and owns its own
network of hospitals and refers to major medical centers at no charge -
for 35 yrars and have been very satisfied with the services
that I and my family have received compared to the rates that I pay.

"Right" and "justifiable" ate things that the clergy are best
equipped to argue.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1523. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 03:32:57 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h386jq3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53340

On Sun, 29 Sep 2002 23:20:58 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> Just because they're upstanding citizens doesn't mean they aren't thieves,.
>I'm thinking I might just take an attorney with me to see the folks at the
>hospital when I do go.

Make sure that it's one who has experience dealing with hospital
billing. If it's in the San Francisco area I can refer a very good
one.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1524. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 03:55:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D97CAE7.7707B360@townsqr.com>
Source record: 53341

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Sun, 29 Sep 2002 23:20:58 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Just because they're upstanding citizens doesn't mean they aren't thieves,.
> >I'm thinking I might just take an attorney with me to see the folks at the
> >hospital when I do go.
>
> Make sure that it's one who has experience dealing with hospital
> billing. If it's in the San Francisco area I can refer a very good
> one.

It isn't, but thanks, Phil. I'll check the local bar assn. for a referral.

From my standpoint it's the longterm effects on the affordability of health insurance for individuals (I'm in a group which operates its own plan and hires an administrator) that's at stake. Rumors emanate from he head shed about drastic rate increases, in the hundreds of percent range.This sort of abuse is a large part of the cause.

Dick

1525. Re: 27 MHz Linear 250 Watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 04:45:54 UTC
From: RD <sam123@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <3D97B5F2.F9A09214@yahoo.net>
Source record: 53342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Double D wrote:
>
> "Slider" <Sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:mabfpugpc0khm69qr1ranunul3ic5euda7@4ax.com...
> > FOR SALE:
> >
> > Lafayette HA-250A linear. 250 watts p.e.p.
> > Looks to be in excellent shape. Uses two tubes, and comes with
> > the cable for connection to 12V DC power in your vehicle.
> > Pictures available.
> >
> > Will sell for $75.00 plus shipping
> >
> > E-mail me for picture or to arrange purchase
> >
> > - - -
> > Commyanism is the woyste thing I evah hoyde - Robin Williams
>
> What type of tubes does it use?
>
> Double DD\

Take your cb crap over to the cb group, hams don't care about your
junk cb leenyars.

1526. Re: 27 MHz Linear 250 Watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 04:45:56 UTC
From: RD <sam123@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <3D97B61B.2B567FE@yahoo.net>
Source record: 53343
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Double D wrote:
>
> "Slider" <Sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:mabfpugpc0khm69qr1ranunul3ic5euda7@4ax.com...
> > FOR SALE:
> >
> > Lafayette HA-250A linear. 250 watts p.e.p.
> > Looks to be in excellent shape. Uses two tubes, and comes with
> > the cable for connection to 12V DC power in your vehicle.
> > Pictures available.
> >
> > Will sell for $75.00 plus shipping
> >
> > E-mail me for picture or to arrange purchase
> >
> > - - -
> > Commyanism is the woyste thing I evah hoyde - Robin Williams
>
> What type of tubes does it use?
>
> Double DD\

Take your cb crap over to the cb group, hams don't care about your
junk cb leenyars.

1527. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 07:41:24 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <an8vctqtq1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53344

"Doug Martin" <martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net> wrote in message
news:29115610.06682.000@nospam.ncvillage.net...
>
> On Sun, 29 Sep 2002 14:25:26 -0400, Loose washers <sealsquawlk@aol.com>
wrote:
> > Reply-To: "Loose washers" <sealsquawlk@aol.com>
> > From: "Loose washers" <sealsquawlk@aol.com>
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: sarc7b76.snip.net
> > Message-ID: <3d97459b@snipnews.snip.net>
> > X-Trace: snip-news 1033323931 sarc7b76.snip.net (29 Sep 2002
14:25:31 -0400)
> > Path:
news.alt.net!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!uunet!ash.uu.net!snip-news
>
> Aside from your dishonesty in pretending to post from AOL, you are in
> violation of snip.net's terms of service. Specifically:
>
> "14. ... Member also agrees to honor any and all requests to not send
> unsolicited messages to a particular recipient or off topic postings
> to a particular USENET newsgroup, *and to not attempt to conceal or
> falsify the identity of the sender of any messages or newsgroup
> postings.* "
>
> Come on here again and attack people for their choice of a hobby,
> while at the same time falsifying your identity, and be prepared to
> face the consequences of your dishonesty. Those are your ISP's rules.
>
> Abide by them, or we'll see that you need a new ISP.

What are you, a TIPSter?

And who's "we," anyway? Are you part of the CABAL!!??!!111

73 Corry K4DOH
--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

1528. Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 12:37:01 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0209300437.73b1e24@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hi,

Here is what I'm thinking:

I set up my basestation 2 meter radio along with my computer. It listens on a particular frequency and any time someone sends an APRS packet to me, I'll have the computer look up the 5 closest restaurants to them and then read back the restaurant names and addresses. I have all the code required to do this, I just need to write some glue software to make it all work together. The text-to-speech routines I use are very easy to understand.

Would this be allowed, or would this be commercial use?

If this is allowed, I could see adding a DTMF interface whereby a user could put my service into different modes for restaurants, shopping centers, etc.

What do you think?
John

1529. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 12:38:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020930083855.13065.00007829@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53346

>Subject: Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 9/29/02 7:19 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020929201917.06622.00005878@mb-cq.aol.com>

>> We substituted YOU for a rational human being...The otehr trade seems
>>fair
>>to me.
>
>Your sentence does not make sence. Also, A rational human being can respond
>to
>a point without attacking someone else.

Other than my transposing the letters in "other" it made "sense". (your own typo noted)

And you entered this thread insulting if not attacking, so stow your self-righteousness.

>Also, no self respecting person would like to be called a "Ham".

I don't mind. What's YOUR problem? "Ham(s)" have an nine-decades-long record of public service and community responsibility. Nothing there to be ashamed of. If it's just the name you object to, I am sure simply calling them "Amateur Radio Operators" would get past the spin doctors.

Besides...When I see what some actors and personalities DO "endorse" when properly funded, I doubt they'd have a hard time doing it for "us" if amply compensated.

K4YZ

1530. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 12:50:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020930085007.13065.00007830@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53347

>Subject: Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>From: martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net (Doug Martin)
>Date: 9/29/02 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <79oh$4k0929193@nospam.ncvillage.net>

>Celebrity worship is tabloid mentality too.

Who's "worshiping" anyone? I wish I had that kid's singing talent.

>>Please try to keep your priorities straight. This has the potential to
>be
>> VERY positive.

>This is a no-op. Whom do you know that got a ham license because some
>celebrity or other had one?

Donny Osmond, Ronny Milsap, Arthur Godfrey, Barry Goldwater, Roy Neal,
Peter Jennings and others.... Are you asserting that it is somehow detrimental
to have some positive exposure via celebrities?

>People get licensed for many reasons, but emulating a celebrity is not
>one of them.

You have stated an absolute assertion. Now prove it.

>Claiming that it's so is just the kind of ga-ga, People
>Magazine, Entertainment Tonight, National Enquirer, jar-headedness
>from which the grocery store checkout lines profit.

>Get real.

I AM "real". And having popular celebrities in a variety of media or
entertainment roles IS positive for Amateur Radio, just as it is for various
other avocations, programs, or political agendas promoted by countless
celebrities going back to the silent movie era.

THAT is fact. THAT is real. Unless you can PROVE otherwise...?!?!

>jar-headedness from which the grocery store...(SNIP)
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
I think we can see where THIS line of commentary is coming from...

Steve

1531. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 13:09:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020930090906.13065.00007831@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53348

>Subject: Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 9/29/02 6:34 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020929193424.06622.00005856@mb-cq.aol.com>

>>A typical reaction from the sloop-foreheaded
>>neanderthals we are so abundantly blessed with
>>in this hobby called ham radio. Just why is it this
>>this hobby seems mentally stuck in the 1950's?
>
>Several reasons. Most of the people in Ham Radio are of the age of the 50's.
>Old, mostly male hobby.....(SNIP)

I smell a retired crumudgeon hiding behind another AOL screen name.

>No sense of purpose except to maintain the Ham religion...(SNIP)

If not, it's the same silly, poorly organized rantings. maybe a newsgroup
version of West Nile...???

>The use of the word "Ham" to describe oneself. Some find it
>degrading to call themself a "Ham".

Some consider certain other words to be denigrating and socially abhorent
while persons to whom the word is normally associated makes millions reciting
it in "pop-culture" music.

So what's yor point?

>Others do not identify with the "Ham" identity and just do not care.

You're obviously onme of them. What's YOUR callsign? Or is this just
another mindless ex-radio clerk troll?

>The areas recognized should be different.

They are. You are just not paying attention.

>For Contesting, working all states,
>etc, the emphasis is on coverage, not skill level.

Oh? It takes no skill to achieve DXCC, WAS, VUCC...???

>Recognition should be for
>set up time, distances achieved, bands worked(not geographical areas), etc.

And what is YOUR "experience level" in Amateur Radio that we should be
swayed by what YOU think "should" be the way things are done in any particular
discipline of participation?

>Also, many people find contesting to be boring. Hams seem to get a thril from
>it, however. Hams should have more interesting activiites to get more people
>interested.

So contesters should not contest becasue YOU find it boring?

There are as many "interesting activities" in Amateur Radio as there are licensees. Amateur Radio is whatever YOU put into it. I cannot make it any more or any less interesting for you if what >>I<< am interested in is NOT what you are interested in.

>Hams gladly overpay for ticket prices, room rentals, food. Hams happily
>accept
>lower quality food and/or higher prices. They don't seem to mind overpaying
>as
>long as its a Ham meeting. $10 for breakfasts is too much. $30 meal price for
>upcoming conference too much so i'll get dinner elsewhere. Hams are so
>obcessed
>with the event, they overlook being overcharged. Seems like with a group,
>Hams
>could get better quality food and lower prices than they do.

Hot flash, Hot Rod...the overpriced meal/room/ticket, etc is NOT unique to the Amateur Radio conventioneer. That's why conventions are a multi-billion dollar industry in the United States.

You wanna see expensive? Investigate the "fees" associated with the Nursing or Emergency Medical Services industries. EMS Magazine has a conference in Nashville, TN this weekend with registration fees in the hundreds of dollars.

NOTHING you cite is unique to Amateur Radio.

However your willingness to try and make it appear as though it is makes me wonder what your true agenda is in this forum. Obviously facts and informed opinion are not.

K4YZ

1532. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 15:56:57 UTC
From: N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <an9tp3$cfrf8$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It depends. As long as you make no money from the service, and you make no money from any of the businesses (i.e. they don't pay any advertising fees) then it should be legal. No different from an out of towner getting on the local repeater and shouting "hey, where's a good place to eat?".

73's,

Robert / N4IXT

"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:d89364bd.0209300437.73b1e24@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> Here is what I'm thinking:
>
> I set up my basestation 2 meter radio along with my computer. It
> listens on a particular frequency and any time someone sends an APRS
> packet to me, I'll have the computer look up the 5 closest restaurants
> to them and then read back the restaurant names and addresses. I have
> all the code required to do this, I just need to write some glue
> software to make it all work together. The text-to-speech routines I
> use are very easy to understand.
>
> Would this be allowed, or would this be commercial use?
>
> If this is allowed, I could see adding a DTMF interface whereby a user
> could put my service into different modes for restaurants, shopping
> centers, etc.
>
> What do you think?
> John

1533. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 18:08:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020930140859.12729.00000953@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 53350

In article <lQNl9.28164$9d3.1036398@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
<wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020929181024.14335.00001258@mb-mj.aol.com...
>> In article <b7d1465c.0209250715.57247cd1@posting.google.com>,
>wa2si@arrl.net
>> (Bert Craig) writes:
>> >True. I didn't wan't to make it sound too easy, lest I let the cat out
>> >of the bag re. a well kept Element 1 secret...all you have to really
>> >do to pass the code is...(shhhh)...try.
>>
>> Now you've done it!
>
>What'd I do?! O:-)

Gave away the big secret that it's really not that hard at all to pass the
test!
>
>> >> I think such a dim view is understandable, given the history of cb and
>> >> freeband.
>> >
>> >Granted. But do remember that RM-9807 had nothing to do with illegal
>> >operation.
>>
>> Didn't it seek to make that which is now illegal (working dx beyond 155.3
>>kM) legal?
>
>While correct as written, your question oversimplifies the subject.

You're probably right about that.

>I'll
>restate to reduce any further chance of misinterpretation. To attempt to
>change any objectionable rule/law using proper legal protocol in not only
>legal, but arguably a citizen's duty.

I disagree about the "objectionable" part. The mere fact that a rule or law is
"objectionable" or not liked does not mean it should be attacked or removed.

How about this:

To attempt to change any rule/law using proper legal protocol is perfectly
within the rights of any citizen.

> In the ARRL's statement objecting to
>the removal of the 155.3 mi. limit, it stated that allowing DX on the CB

>would encourage the use of illegal amplifiers.

True, but that's ionly part of the picture. There are many reasons why removing the distance limit is a very bad idea.

>Your own reference to the
>"freeband" implies the association of out-of band operation.

Are you saying there is no association between cb and freeband? I disagree!

>Nothing could
>be further from the truth. RM-9807 was a noble effort to legally remove the
>155.3 mi. limit rather than simply ignore the rule.

But what would be the effects of removing that rule?

> I must say, Jim, that
>I'm somewhat surprised to this angle of attack (metaphorically speaking)
>from you. I think we both knew to what "illegal operation" I was referring.

Sorry if I wasn't clear. The illegal operation I refer to is the use of cb for purposes other than those intended, and the use of non-approved equipment.

>Given the calibre of your past posts, this was a bit of a surprise indeed.

Sorry if I wasn;t clear.
>>
>> >Let's no punish all drivers for the misdeed of a few.
>>
>> Let's not make the "misdeeds of a few" legal then, OK?
>
>Again, I'd hardly call RM-9807 a "misdeed."

Nor would I. But I don't see how removing the limit would do anyone any good.

>To be honest, I'd hardly
>classify the violation of the 155.3 mi. limit as a misdeed.

I would. The rule is there for a good reason.

> That doesn't
>mean I condone ignoring the rules in place though. Any person(s) who find
>any rule/law to be sufficiently objectionable should work to change said
>rule/law using any and all legal means at their disposal...in this case, a
>RM proposal.

No argument about the right of citizens to petition for changes in the rules. But that right includes the rights of other citizens to disagree with the proposed change.

>> >(Although the insurance carriers routinely get away with that via
>> >increased rates.)
>>
>> Basic principle of insurance is to spread out the risk - and the pain.
>

>Ouch!

'zactly

>> >> I'd say it was a well-founded opposition based on historic evidence.

>> >I'd agree with you if I truly subscribed to the "punish 'em all"
>> >mindset.

Let's talk about the "punish 'em all" mindset. Since 1978 it has been illegal to buy, sell or manufacture external RF power amplifiers for the 10 meter ham band. Hams can build or modify exactly one amp of a given design per year. Amplifiers that do not meet certain rules are also prohibited, even if they do not cover 10 meters.

These rules hamper law-abiding hams. But those rules do not exist because of what hams do, or have done in the past. Those rules exist because of the widespread use of amplifiers by cbers and freebanders. It was a big problem 25+ years ago, and it's still a problem. And I doubt the rules have had much effect on cb or freeband.

>> It has to do with the basis and purpose of various services.
>
>Understood, however I must plagiarize another poster's words in response to
>the "original basis and purpose" argument re. RM-9807.

And I'll respond to this person's comments.
>
>"Everything in life evolves. If this were not so, there'd be no
>reason to build four-lane highways where dirt roads once were.

Classic bromide dragged out to justify any change. Has no bearing on the subject.

If we're going to talk about evolution, then the use of 27 MHz AM or SSB for a land mobile service is a dinosaur. It should become extinct. The allocation of those frequencies 45 years ago was based on the technology of that time. Today, modern VHF/UHF channelized radios are so inexpensive and high-performance that there is no reason to continue the 27 MHz allocation. Instead, that service should evolve to VHF/UHF.

>Like it or not,
>this is what the citizen's band has evolved into...and quite frankly, let's
>not forget who the band's was named for.

The mere fact that something has evolved a certain way does not make it right or justify rules changes that would further that evolution.

>It was placed in a portion of the RF
>spectrum that supports worldwide propagation, bad move to begin with.

So we should compound one mistake with another?

> If the
>"citizens" wish to redefine it, let them <do so> without interference.

Are not the views of ALL citizens to be heard on the issue?

>Amateurs have their own mess' to contend with.

Are not most US amateurs also citizens? Don't they have the right to be heard on this issue?

And what "mess'" do amateurs have, compared to cb? Is it not true that many of ham radio's problems are the result of attitudes and problems imported from other services? (I can give you lots of examples of this).

>Deal with that first before meddling in
>someone's elses affairs...even if your pride's bruised that they might
>legally obtain one of your "privileges" without the associated "rites of passage.""

In other words, the views of hams should not be aired, even though the rules change could hurt ham radio.
>
>> >> >> Each radio
>> >> >> service has a particular "basis and purpose". Ciotizens Radio
>Service
>> >was
>> >> >>NOT
>> >> >> created as a "long-range" radio service...Just the opposite, it was
>> >> >>created as
>> >> >> a low power, short range personal communications service.
>> >> >
>> >> >I agree, but things change and this is what it's morphed into.
>> >> > have this
>> >> >quirky idea that the "Citizen's" Band should be defined by...you
>guessed
>> >it,
>> >> >the "citizens?" To a point, of course. I too share the disdain for
>> >> >overpowered and/or out-of-band ops, but responding to a natural
>phenomenon
>> >> >using 12 watts P.E.P.? Puh-lease! (Not directed at you, Steve.)
>> >>
>> >> The basic problem is that the FCC erred by putting cb on 27 MHz back in
>> >'58.
>> >> They put it there because UHF CB had not gotten much use, even though
>it
>> >had
>> >> existed for more than a decade.
>> >
>> >I "violently agree!" <ggg>
>>
>> I wonder what would have evolved out of the 220 MHz "Class E" CB service
>> proposed in 1975.
>
>Now THERE's a thought!

Perhaps the time is now.
>
>> >> FCC has already done so - 155.3 miles. (I think that works out to 250
>kM).
>> >
>> >Yup, hopefully that'll change when the next RM petition is submitted.
>>
>> Sure. 50 kM is more than enough.
>
>Nah, just can it.

I see no reason to eliminate the rule.
>
>> >> >Again, things change. However, they should be changed vis the correct
>> >> >legislative protocol, not just ignored.
>> >>
>> >> The main point is this: The test requirements for a ham license today
>are
>> >>not a
>> >> barrier to anyone who has a modicum of interest in radio.
>> >
>> >Agreed, with a slight correction. "The test requirements for a ham
>> >license today are not a barrier to anyone who has a modicum of
>> >interest in *amateur* radio.
>>
>> Why would someone with no interest in amateur radio care about the license
>> requirements?
>
>Exactly. Reread the sentences above. They are in reference to the code test
>"barrier" argument only.

What about the written test? All it takes to get a Tech is a passing grade on a
35 question multiple choice test. A test where the Q&A are available free for
the download. Full privs and power above 50 MHz. Kindergarteners have done it.

>Re. CB, I have a niece who was interested in radio
>after viewing my shack, but not enough to be "bothered with the license
>thingy."

Even the Tech written?

>I started her off with a TRC-485 40 ch. SSB rig. Once she started
>hearing S.A. pouring in on a regulat basis, (She's fluent in spanish.) her
>interest level picked up to the point where she asked "Ok Tio, <Uncle> tell
>me more about the "license thingy." Did she violate any rules? Nope, but DX
>on CB helped spark yet another soul's interest in AR...much the same way it
>did mine so many years before. (Except I "inhaled." <ggg>)

Did she use the cb to work South America, or just listen? Why wouldn't the same
thing have resulted by listening to a ham receiver that covered the HF bands?
>
>> The only way I can see that Element 1 will survive is if S25.5 doesn't go
>>away.

>> And there's not much chance that S25.5 will survive WRC 2003. That's basic
>> reality.
>>
>> >Keep e-mailing the FCC. Hopefully it'll be seen by or
>> >forwarded to the right set of eyes.
>>
>> Thousands of us did that back in 1990 and 1998. FCC saw our letters,
>>emails and
>> arguments and said they were inadequate reason to keep the code test. In
>>1990
>> FCC said the reasons were inadequate for VHF/UHF ham licenses. In 1999 FCC
>>said
>> the reasons were inadequate for any ham license.
>>
>> The ONLY reason FCC kept Element 1 is S25.5. They said so themselves, more
>>than
>> two years ago.
>>
>> I think that part of the reason FCC keeps lowering the requirements (code
>>and
>> written) for a ham license is an attempt to license more cbers and
>>freebanders,
>> in the hope that they will operate within the law rather than outside it.
>
>I'll keep my fingers crossed...re a multitude of things related to this
>whole "mess."

Me too, but I don;t hold out any hope for S25.5.

What we hams need to be doing is to work up a sound proposal for what the US ham license structure and requirements should be if/when S25.5 goes away. FCC's not gonna do it. I don't see ARRL addressing the problem at all.

>Take care Jim, perhaps we can do Miller's Smorgasbord with the
>families one day and laugh about it.
>
I sure hope so! Sorry about any confusion my oversimplifications may have caused.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1534. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 19:35:58 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_nospam@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <uph9t8tnbqqv8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I basically agree with Robert's view. BUT, you will get plenty of advice from local hams who think otherwise. You will get a LOT of heat, I don't think it would be worth the hassle. But I would be astonished if Charlie came knocking at your door.

..

"N4IXT" <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message news:an9tp3$cfrf8$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> It depends. As long as you make no money from the service, and

1535. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 20:14:56 UTC
From: Vito <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <3D98B0C0.167D5CD4@crosslink.net>
Source record: 53352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Difference is the out of towner asked. Transmitting unsolicited
information that has nothing to do with amateur radio sounds like
'broadcasting' to me (Why not play your favorite music?) and IIRC
broadcasting is illegal even if it's not done for profit. My 2 cents.
K3DWW

N4IXT wrote:
>
> It depends. As long as you make no money from the service, and you make no
> money from any of the businesses (i.e. they don't pay any advertising fees)
> then it should be legal. No different from an out of towner getting on the
> local repeater and shouting "hey, where's a good place to eat?".
>
> 73's,
>
> Robert / N4IXT
>
> "John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d89364bd.0209300437.73b1e24@posting.google.com...
> > Hi,
> >
> > Here is what I'm thinking:
> >
> > I set up my basestation 2 meter radio along with my computer. It
> > listens on a particular frequency and any time someone sends an APRS
> > packet to me, I'll have the computer look up the 5 closest restaurants
> > to them and then read back the restaurant names and addresses. I have
> > all the code required to do this, I just need to write some glue
> > software to make it all work together. The text-to-speech routines I
> > use are very easy to understand.
> >
> > Would this be allowed, or would this be commercial use?
> >
> > If this is allowed, I could see adding a DTMF interface whereby a user
> > could put my service into different modes for restaurants, shopping
> > centers, etc.
> >
> > What do you think?
> > John

1536. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 22:24:59 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <anaivr$13oj$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 53353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3d9303ae.53186499@216.168.3.40>,
That Larry <sales@mail.aloonet.com> wrote:
>On Sun, 15 Sep 2002 09:23:58 -0400, "vulnavia 4"
><vna4rbeets@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>I see us keeping our HF bands, but 220 mHz
>>and higher bands will likely be lost or shared in the
>>near future as more of the "wireless" consumer targeted
>>devices hit the market. Not cell phones but more likely
>>remote-control gadgets and similar.
>>Looks like Wayne Green may have been right in his
>>prognostications from 10 years ago?

More likely shared than taken away completely. Isn't there
a outstanding law which says that, if amateur spectrum is reassigned
to another service, the FCC needs to find equivalent spectrum
elsewhere to replace it? The FCC seems to prefer to avoid this
and so prefers to use sharing, often with Part 15 devices as you
point out, to make better use of the bands which hams underuse.

>In the TRUE spirit of ham radio, without any license at all, take a
>look at Hassan's neat wifi link in Egypt:
>http://www.d128.com/wireless/
>Take a look around at the various links, especially the antennas,
>being used by Warchalkers across the planet. This new "broadband
>amateur radio" is a LOT of fun, without kissing any old fart's ass at
>the ARRL or your local VEC session for permission to operate.....

I agree with this, though it is worth while pointing out that it
doesn't seem to be fear of exams or old farts that keep these
operations under Part 15 rather than Part 97. I'd even suggest
that a disproportionate number of people (i.e. more than 1 in 50,
the fraction of the US population with an amateur license) playing
with this stuff are hams, who could run this stuff under Part 97
if they wanted to. The reason it remains a Part 15 hobby almost
exclusively is that high-speed data is really only interesting, both
to users and to experimenters, if there's a connection to the Internet
somewhere, and the lack of control of users, content and encryption use
which this implies makes interesting use under Part 97 essentially illegal.
It is not surprising that Part 15 gets the hobbyists when Part 15 is
interesting while Part 97 is boring.

I have noticed the ARRL becoming a bit hostile to Part 15 uses, however,
complaining about interference and interference potential even on bands
that amateurs only seldom use. I haven't figured out whether this is

a side effect of opposition to proposed and actual use on bands amateurs do use frequently (e.g. 80 meters, 440 MHz), the fact that some of this use is commercial, or the fact that some of these services are attracting hobbyists who, in prior decades, might have become hams instead, but if the latter reason is true I wish they'd instead try to address the usage and content restrictions in Part 97 which make experimentation there so unattractive in comparison.

Dennis Ferguson

1537. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 22:39:08 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <anajqc$15c3$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 53354

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote:
>There is one thing everyone seems to be missing. That is we (hams) don't
>own either 220mhz or 2 meters. Thus we can't negotiate with the FCC on
>anything. The FCC giveth and the FCC taketh away.

Wasn't there an Amateur Radio Spectrum Preservation Act passed a few
years ago (perhaps as part of another bill) which told the FCC that
any spectrum removed from the ARS had to be replaced with equivalent
spectrum elsewhere? I've seen this cited in ARRL FCC filings.

The FCC seems to much prefer to "refarm" amateur spectrum by adding
Part 15 users to it rather than taking it away altogether. I'll bet
that, while 222 MHz may be in danger, the danger is not having the
band removed from the ARS, but rather from having other users added
to it.

Dennis Ferguson

1538. Re: Let's make a deal for 220....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 23:34:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020930193413.13065.00007988@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53355

>Subject: Re: Let's make a deal for 220....
>From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
>Date: 9/30/02 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <anajqc$15c3$1@heap.juniper.net>

>Wasn't there an Amateur Radio Spectrum Preservation Act passed a few
>years ago (perhaps as part of another bill) which told the FCC that
>any spectrum removed from the ARS had to be replaced with equivalent
>spectrum elsewhere? I've seen this cited in ARRL FCC filings.

Never made it to the President's desk for signature. Like so many other
bills tht get startred, it was attached to soemthing else and then stuffed in a
pidgeon hole.

THIS is why we can't simply leave it to a few people or one organization
to do. Not that they aren't doing thier own best to make it come to fruition,
but that it takes support from as many persons as possible to make it happen.

73

Steve, k4YZ

1539. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-09-30 23:55:23 UTC
From: News Post Acount <bogus@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <Lv5m9.3700$xp5.3573506@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 53356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the station at
all times.

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0209300437.73b1e24@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> Here is what I'm thinking:
>
> I set up my basestation 2 meter radio along with my computer. It
> listens on a particular frequency and any time someone sends an APRS
> packet to me, I'll have the computer look up the 5 closest restaurants
> to them and then read back the restaurant names and addresses. I have
> all the code required to do this, I just need to write some glue
> software to make it all work together. The text-to-speech routines I
> use are very easy to understand.
>
> Would this be allowed, or would this be commercial use?
>
> If this is allowed, I could see adding a DTMF interface whereby a user
> could put my service into different modes for restaurants, shopping
> centers, etc.
>
> What do you think?
> John

1540. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 00:00:56 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0209301600.4e99d5df@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cool. That's what I thought too. Thanks!

John

"N4IXT" <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<an9tp3$cfrf8$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>...
> It depends. As long as you make no money from the service, and you make no
> money from any of the businesses (i.e. they don't pay any advertising fees)
> then it should be legal. No different from an out of towner getting on the
> local repeater and shouting "hey, where's a good place to eat?".
>
> 73's,
>
> Robert / N4IXT
>
>
>
> "John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d89364bd.0209300437.73b1e24@posting.google.com...
> > Hi,
> >
> > Here is what I'm thinking:
> >
> > I set up my basestation 2 meter radio along with my computer. It
> > listens on a particular frequency and any time someone sends an APRS
> > packet to me, I'll have the computer look up the 5 closest restaurants
> > to them and then read back the restaurant names and addresses. I have
> > all the code required to do this, I just need to write some glue
> > software to make it all work together. The text-to-speech routines I
> > use are very easy to understand.
> >
> > Would this be allowed, or would this be commercial use?
> >
> > If this is allowed, I could see adding a DTMF interface whereby a user
> > could put my service into different modes for restaurants, shopping
> > centers, etc.
> >
> > What do you think?
> > John

1541. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 00:10:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020930201029.25779.00000922@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 53358

In article <anaivr$13oj$1@heap.juniper.net>, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) writes:

>The reason it remains a Part 15 hobby almost
>exclusively is that high-speed data is really only interesting, both
>to users and to experimenters, if there's a connection to the Internet
>somewhere, and the lack of control of users, content and encryption use
>which this implies makes interesting use under Part 97 essentially illegal.

I think you hit it, Dennis. A Part 97 system cannot be used for eBay, advertising or porn, but a Part 15 system can be (not sure about the porn part, tho). Filtering the content automatically is not practical, yet.

Over the past several years there have appeared claims here that Amateur Radio would be 'saved' by using it as a gateway to the 'net. How the content would be filtered was never described, even when the proponents were asked directly.

>It is not surprising that Part 15 gets the hobbyists when Part 15 is
>interesting while Part 97 is boring.

What it really comes down to is whether the focus is on the medium or the message. What powers the 'net today is mostly fiberoptics, not RF. WiFi just gets you the last mile, or maybe the last 100 feet. That's all.
>
>I have noticed the ARRL becoming a bit hostile to Part 15 uses, however,
>complaining about interference and interference potential even on bands
>that amateurs only seldom use. I haven't figured out whether this is
>a side effect of opposition to proposed and actual use on bands amateurs
>do use frequently (e.g. 80 meters, 440 MHz), the fact that some of this
>use is commercial, or the fact that some of these services are attracting
>hobbyists who, in prior decades, might have become hams instead, but if
>the latter reason is true I wish they'd instead try to address the usage
>and content restrictions in Part 97 which make experimentation there so
>unattractive in comparison.

I think the main reason is the setting of precedents. Part 15 verbiage says that the Part 15 devices must not cause harmful interference to licensed users, and must accept interference from licensed users. But in real life that's often overlooked, and the enforcement falls on the licensed service. Here are two examples:

Some time back, a Part 15 system was marketed that used a frequency on 80 meters. If you lived close to one of those things, it would chew up a big part of 80 over quite an area. Through the efforts of the ARRL, in the form of rrap's own W1RFI and others, the manufacturers shifted frequencies and offered to replace offending units. But the League had to hold the company's feet to

the FCC fire first, generating sound data and presenting to FCC and the company. Merely saying "Hey, your product is causing harmful interference" won't cut it - even though we are the licensed service and the Part 15s are not!

ARRL has been trying to get amateur allocations at 136 kHz and 5.2 MHz. Representatives of companies proposing Part 15 communication via power lines have opposed one of these allocations (I forget which one at the moment) - because Part 97 hams might interfere with their Part 15 operation, which is not even authorized yet! Talk about cart-before-the-horse - they're saying that the licensed service must not interfere with the unlicensed service, which is the exact opposite of the whole premise of Part 15.

There is also the claim by a utility that they were not responsible to clean up interference to a ham caused by one of their transformers. Something about how they wanted the ham to pay for it, and they were not responsible for harmful interference to individuals, just to the ARS as a whole! Riley hisself had to go after them.

If we allow precedents to be set on some bands, pretty soon we will be overrun with Part 15 and Part 95 folks citing those precedents . Then it will be too late.

As far as the content restrictions of Part 97, what specific changes could be made that would make a difference? If commercial content is allowed, what's to prevent companies from using 2 meter repeaters or 20 meter PSK31 to conduct business?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1542. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 00:56:13 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h39txl6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 30 Sep 2002 17:00:56 -0700, John wrote:

>Cool. That's what I thought too. Thanks!

Yeah. Real cool bad advice. Settimg yourself up to be nailed for
prohibited communications.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ARRL Volunteer Counsel

1543. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 01:36:49 UTC
From: "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net>
Message-ID: <R_6m9.25666$095.21947@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote
> On 30 Sep 2002 17:00:56 -0700, John wrote:
>
> >Cool. That's what I thought too. Thanks!
>
> Yeah. Real cool bad advice. Settimg yourself up to be nailed for
> prohibited communications.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> ARRL Volunteer Counsel

I hope the ARRL doesn't value your opinion, because it is wrong
in this case. It's not broadcasting, because someone has to trigger the
response i.e., two-way communication. Second, it is completely legal
if you are not doing it for profit. This is the famous ordering a pizza on
a phone patch, that the FCC doesn't have time for, and is not regulated.

Did you graduate in the bottom 10% of your class, or did you get a
correspondence degree? Sheesh...

1544. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 01:40:34 UTC
From: "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net>
Message-ID: <m27m9.25680$095.15210@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"News Post Acount" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote
> Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the station at
> all times.
>
> Don Woodward
> KD4APP
> AMSAT 33535

Don, you bogus idiot, notice he said packet? Packet does not require a control operator, and unattended mode is encouraged. The space shuttle does this all the time, and we don't have to fly up there to take them to court now do we?

1545. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 03:10:28 UTC
From: Tom <PumpkinNet@OldTimersMail3898.net>
Message-ID: <anb3ma$41e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >

> Yeah. Real cool bad advice. Settimg yourself up to be nailed for
> prohibited communications.

> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> ARRL Volunteer Counsel
>

Nailed? By who? and for what? Gimmee a fuckin'
break!
Bullshit! Get a reality check Phil! K1MAN is still on the
air running unattended transmitters all day, splattering up and
down the ham bands. K1MAN has been thumbing his nose
at the commission, daring them to do something, and so far
the commission is hiding, pretending K1MAN doesn't exist.
And you can bet plenty people know Riley has been given
orders to leave K1MAN alone.

73,

Tom
Chief Operator
Pumpkin Old Timers Net

1546. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 04:08:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D9949FF.7060206@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53363

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> I smell a retired crumudgeon hiding behind another AOL screen name.

Heh, heh, That'ts the best typo I've seen in a while! 8^) But I think "Crumudgen" fits, no?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1547. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 04:52:18 UTC
From: News Post Acount <bogus@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <6S9m9.3719$xp5.3596687@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 53364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey Steve (Troll) K5OKC ...

Read Part 97.7 at

http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=97&SECTION
=7&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT

Sec. 97.7 Control operation required.

When transmitting, each amateur station must have a control operator.
The control operator must be a person:
(a) For whom an amateur operator/primary station license grant appears
on the ULS consolidated licensee database, or
(b) Who is authorized for alien reciprocal operation by Sec. 97.107 of
this part.

Packet doesn't get a break on this - and ISS does have a control operator -
the rules say nothing about where the control operator has to be.

Read some of the FCC enforcement letters - they always nail people on lack
of a control operator.

Now go back to CB where you came from - the Control Operator principle is
something you should have learned in the first few minutes when you were
studying for your HAM license.

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

"Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net> wrote in message
news:m27m9.25680$095.15210@news1.central.cox.net...
> "News Post Acount" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote
> > Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the station
at
> > all times.
> >
> > Don Woodward
> > KD4APP
> > AMSAT 33535
>
> Don, you bogus idiot, notice he said packet? Packet does not require a
> control operator, and unattended mode is encouraged. The space shuttle

> does this all the time, and we don't have to fly up there to take them to
court
> now do we?
>
>

1548. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 11:36:58 UTC
From: "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net>
Message-ID: <uNfm9.26837$095.13924@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"News Post Acount" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote
> Hey Steve (Troll) K5OKC ...
>
> Read Part 97.7 at
>
> Sec. 97.7 Control operation required.
>
> When transmitting, each amateur station must have a control operator.
> The control operator must be a person:
> (a) For whom an amateur operator/primary station license grant appears
> on the ULS consolidated licensee database, or
> (b) Who is authorized for alien reciprocal operation by Sec. 97.107 of
> this part.

97.109
(d) When a station is being automatically controlled, the control operator need
not be at the control point.

> Now go back to CB where you came from

Yawn.

1549. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 11:48:55 UTC
From: News Post Account <HighRulerOfRadio@TheBossOfRadios.com>
Message-ID: <anc22c$5h2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, that is not correct informtion you are posting.
Now go operate your CB packet station jackass.
hahahahahahahhahahhaha

"News Post Acount" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote in message
news:6S9m9.3719$xp5.3596687@news.alltel.net...
> Hey Steve (Troll) K5OKC ...
>
> Read Part 97.7 at
>
>
http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=97&SECTION
> =7&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
> Sec. 97.7 Control operation required.
>
> When transmitting, each amateur station must have a control operator.
> The control operator must be a person:
> (a) For whom an amateur operator/primary station license grant appears
> on the ULS consolidated licensee database, or
> (b) Who is authorized for alien reciprocal operation by Sec. 97.107 of
> this part.
>
>
> Packet doesn't get a break on this - and ISS does have a control
operator -
> the rules say nothing about where the control operator has to be.
>
> Read some of the FCC enforcement letters - they always nail people on lack
> of a control operator.
>
> Now go back to CB where you came from - the Control Operator principle is
> something you should have learned in the first few minutes when you were
> studying for your HAM license.
>
> 73's
>
> Don Woodward
> KD4APP
> AMSAT 33535
> http://kd4app.webhop.org
>
>

> "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net> wrote in message
> news:m27m9.25680$095.15210@news1.central.cox.net...
> > "News Post Acount" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote
> > > Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the
station
> at
> > > all times.
> > >
> > > Don Woodward
> > > KD4APP
> > > AMSAT 33535
> >
> > Don, you bogus idiot, notice he said packet? Packet does not require a
> > control operator, and unattended mode is encouraged. The space shuttle
> > does this all the time, and we don't have to fly up there to take them
to
> court
> > now do we?
> >
> >
>
>

1550. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 12:28:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021001082810.05067.00007194@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 53367

>Subject: Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/30/02 11:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D9949FF.7060206@adelphia.net>

>Heh, heh, That'ts the best typo I've seen in a while! 8^) But I think
>"Crumudgen" fits, no?

If I didn't throw these typos in here, Mike, my perfection would be
absolute and there'd be nuttin for anyone else to strive for! =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

(running for cover!)

1551. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 13:08:55 UTC
From: News Post Acount <bogus@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <H7hm9.3730$xp5.3624754@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 53368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stupid you are ASSuming.

I clealy said/quoted nothing about the control operator having to be at the
control point.

Go troll elsewhere Steve!

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

"Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net> wrote in message
news:uNfm9.26837$095.13924@news1.central.cox.net...
> "News Post Acount" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote
> > Hey Steve (Troll) K5OKC ...
> >
> > Read Part 97.7 at
> >
> > Sec. 97.7 Control operation required.
> >
> > When transmitting, each amateur station must have a control
operator.
> > The control operator must be a person:
> > (a) For whom an amateur operator/primary station license grant
appears
> > on the ULS consolidated licensee database, or
> > (b) Who is authorized for alien reciprocal operation by Sec. 97.107
of
> > this part.
>
> 97.109
> (d) When a station is being automatically controlled, the control operator
need
> not be at the control point.
>
> > Now go back to CB where you came from
>
> Yawn.
>
>

1552. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 13:12:16 UTC
From: "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <Qahm9.3731$xp5.3627332@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 53369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Two can play that game stupid CBer!!!!!!!

1553. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 13:23:56 UTC
From: News Post Account <bogus@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <Mlhm9.3733$xp5.3628110@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 53370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Why not put the entire section up there? It still says "Each amateur station must have at least one control point."

Sec. 97.109 Station control.

(a) Each amateur station must have at least one control point.
(b) When a station is being locally controlled, the control operator must be at the control point. Any station may be locally controlled.
(c) When a station is being remotely controlled, the control operator must be at the control point. Any station may be remotely controlled.
(d) When a station is being automatically controlled, the control operator need not be at the control point. Only stations specifically designated elsewhere in this part may be automatically controlled. Automatic control must cease upon notification by an EIC that the station is transmitting improperly or causing harmful interference to other stations. Automatic control must not be resumed without prior approval of the EIC.
(e) No station may be automatically controlled while transmitting third party communications, except a station transmitting a RTTY or data emission. All messages that are retransmitted must originate at a station that is being locally or remotely controlled.

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org
eBay: kd4app

"Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net> wrote in message news:uNfm9.26837$095.13924@news1.central.cox.net...

>
> 97.109
> (d) When a station is being automatically controlled, the control operator need
> not be at the control point.
>

1554. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 14:46:40 UTC
From: Number 79 <WhoSaidThat@channel79allday.com>
Message-ID: <anccfnaop0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yaaaaaawwwn! More SPAM

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h39txl6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 30 Sep 2002 17:00:56 -0700, John wrote:
>
> >Cool. That's what I thought too. Thanks!
>
> Yeah. Real cool bad advice. Settimg yourself up to be nailed for
> prohibited communications.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> ARRL Volunteer Counsel
>
>

1555. Re: 27 MHz Linear 250 Watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 18:30:27 UTC
From: BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3D99E9C3.DAA96B10@austin.rr.com>
Source record: 53373
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy

RD wrote:

> <SNIP>
>
> Take your cb crap over to the cb group, hams don't care about your
> junk cb leenyars.

That's right, they just care about illegal Pirate Radio. Pot, Kettle,
Black !
BillS

1556. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 18:59:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0210011059.10310291@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53372

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals
on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of September 30, 2002:

Novice - 36,705 (decrease of 12,624)
Tech - 244,464 (increase of 39,070)
Tech Plus - 75,618 (decrease of 53,639)
General - 139,095 (increase of 26,418)
Advanced - 84,648 (decrease of 15,134)
Extra - 102,791 (increase of 24,041)
Total - 683,321 (increase of 8,529)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1557. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 20:39:40 UTC
From: "Alexander S. Wood" <alec_wood@dont.spam.me.ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <91om9.1903$L6.130434@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 53374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0209280157.7c1e419e@posting.google.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<amush19na5@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> > I was not asking, but it seems that if that specific band is dead, maybe
an
> > exchange would be more advantageous all the way around. Additions to
our
> > existing HF bands would probably not draw many complaints.
>

Obviously the 220MHz allocation is of great interest to those in the US but it's prospective demise is just indicative of a worldwide situation where governments see the prospect of selling of the bands above 30MHz domestically to the highest bidder.

Latest example of prospective band erosion is the withdrawal of the 70cm amateur band WORLDWIDE. If this goes through then I guess the wall will tumble and no band will be safe. Maybe this will be the price of harmonisation of 40m and opening of new HF slots for amateur radio.

Below is an extract of a posting from the South African national society.

Regards all

Alec Wood M1BNK
Teesside UK

******EXTRACT FROM QNEWS (South African Radio League, SARL)********

70-CM BAND UNDER THREAT AT WRC-03

It has come to the attention of the South African Radio League, SARL that the threat to the 70-cm band WORLD WIDE is once again very real. The SARL has just received a discussion paper which will be tabled at the World Radio Conference next year (WRC-03) which directly targets the portion of 432 to 438 MHz for exclusive use by the planned Earth Exploration Satellite Service (EESS) due to be launched soon.

If this proposal is carried at the World Radio Conference next year it will see this portion of spectrum allocated on a worldwide basis and this spells the death knell of all 70-cm operation.

The SARL is engaged in urgent talks with the SA regulatory body, ICASA, and other interested parties to try and convince them that this would be a severe blow to amateur radio.

The section of the proposal and the motivation is as follows:

Agenda Item 1.38:
'to consider provision of up to 6 MHz of frequency spectrum to the Earth exploration-satellite service (active) in the frequency band 420-470 MHz, in accordance with Resolution 727 (Rev. WRC-2000).'

Background.
According to the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), Rio de Janeiro, 1992, there is an urgent need for assessment and systematic observations of forest cover and rate of forest degradation in tropical and temperate regions. Active space borne sensors (Synthetic Aperture Radars (SARs) are needed to enable the monitoring of forest biomass.
Systems operating on frequencies around 450 MHz can penetrate the canopy of forests, and have the capability to determine the ground-trunk interaction and are in the context of forest cover information of particular importance.
Systems operating at 1,3 GHz, or higher frequencies cannot penetrate the canopy.

The spectrum around 450 MHz is also optimal for monitoring of continental ice and for monitoring of vegetation and soil surfaces for desert and tropical areas.

Probably, as the SARL are saying, "never before have we needed as many amateurs as possible to join and illustrate to the regulatory authority that strength lies in numbers.'

No matter WHERE in the world your tuned to this QNEWS edition, NOW is the time to join YOUR National Society!

1558. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 22:25:06 UTC
From: "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net>
Message-ID: <6hpm9.29144$095.3802@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote in message
news:Qahm9.3731$xp5.3627332@news.alltel.net...
> Two can play that game stupid CBer!!!!!!!

Obviously I am not on alltel.net...

Path: priapus.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!attcg2!attsl2!
attsl1!ip.att.net!news.alltel.net!53ab2750!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com>
From: "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <d89364bd.0209300437.73b1e24@posting.google.com>
<Lv5m9.3700$xp5.3573506@news.alltel.net>
<m27m9.25680$095.15210@news1.central.cox.net>
<6S9m9.3719$xp5.3596687@news.alltel.net> <uNfm9.26837$095.13924@news1.central.cox.net>
Subject: Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages
Lines: 6
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
Message-ID: <Qahm9.3731$xp5.3627332@news.alltel.net>
Date: Tue, 01 Oct 2002 13:12:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.40.213.110
X-Complaints-To: abuse@alltel.com
X-Trace: news.alltel.net 1033477936 162.40.213.110 (Tue, 01 Oct 2002 08:12:16 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 Oct 2002 08:12:16 CDT
Xref: cox.net rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:113420 rec.radio.amateur.policy:238375
X-Received-Date: Tue, 01 Oct 2002 09:14:13 EDT (news1.central.cox.net)

Two can play that game stupid CBer!!!!!!!

1559. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 22:25:30 UTC
From: "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net>
Message-ID: <uhpm9.29145$095.9282@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote in message
news:Qahm9.3731$xp5.3627332@news.alltel.net...
> Two can play that game stupid CBer!!!!!!!

Obviously I am not on alltel.net...

Path: priapus.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!attcg2!attsl2!
attsl1!ip.att.net!news.alltel.net!53ab2750!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com>
From: "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <d89364bd.0209300437.73b1e24@posting.google.com>
<Lv5m9.3700$xp5.3573506@news.alltel.net>
<m27m9.25680$095.15210@news1.central.cox.net>
<6S9m9.3719$xp5.3596687@news.alltel.net> <uNfm9.26837$095.13924@news1.central.cox.net>
Subject: Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages
Lines: 6
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
Message-ID: <Qahm9.3731$xp5.3627332@news.alltel.net>
Date: Tue, 01 Oct 2002 13:12:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.40.213.110
X-Complaints-To: abuse@alltel.com
X-Trace: news.alltel.net 1033477936 162.40.213.110 (Tue, 01 Oct 2002 08:12:16 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 Oct 2002 08:12:16 CDT
Xref: cox.net rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:113420 rec.radio.amateur.policy:238375
X-Received-Date: Tue, 01 Oct 2002 09:14:13 EDT (news1.central.cox.net)

Two can play that game stupid CBer!!!!!!!

1560. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 23:10:34 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <KXpm9.65670$1C2.3140717@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aw come on ... he couldn't even spell your identity correctly
(that missing space after the comma).

Dumber than most forgers.

Shuffle a copy off to abuse of course ...

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net> wrote in message
news:6hpm9.29144$095.3802@news1.central.cox.net...
> "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote in message
news:Qahm9.3731$xp5.3627332@news.alltel.net...
> > Two can play that game stupid CBer!!!!!!!
>
> Obviously I am not on alltel.net...
>
>
> Path: priapus.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!attcg2!attsl2!
> attsl1!ip.att.net!news.alltel.net!53ab2750!not-for-mail
> Reply-To: "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com>
> From: "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
> References: <d89364bd.0209300437.73b1e24@posting.google.com>
<Lv5m9.3700$xp5.3573506@news.alltel.net>
> <m27m9.25680$095.15210@news1.central.cox.net>
> <6S9m9.3719$xp5.3596687@news.alltel.net>
<uNfm9.26837$095.13924@news1.central.cox.net>
> Subject: Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages
> Lines: 6
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
> Message-ID: <Qahm9.3731$xp5.3627332@news.alltel.net>
> Date: Tue, 01 Oct 2002 13:12:16 GMT
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.40.213.110
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@alltel.com
> X-Trace: news.alltel.net 1033477936 162.40.213.110 (Tue, 01 Oct 2002 08:12:16
CDT)

> NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 Oct 2002 08:12:16 CDT
> Xref: cox.net rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:113420
rec.radio.amateur.policy:238375
> X-Received-Date: Tue, 01 Oct 2002 09:14:13 EDT (news1.central.cox.net)
>
> Two can play that game stupid CBer!!!!!!!
>
>

1561. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-01 23:18:04 UTC
From: "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net>
Message-ID: <M2qm9.29152$095.24002@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I think he thought I was the one forging an earlier post, but I'm not energetic enough to manipulate my IE (which cox.net stamps anyway)...

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> Aw come on ... he couldn't even spell your identity correctly
> (that missing space after the comma).
>
> Dumber than most forgers.
>
> Shuffle a copy off to abuse of course ...
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net> wrote in message
> news:6hpm9.29144$095.3802@news1.central.cox.net...
> > "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote in message
> news:Qahm9.3731$xp5.3627332@news.alltel.net...
> > > Two can play that game stupid CBer!!!!!!!
> >
> > Obviously I am not on alltel.net...

1562. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 00:54:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <Msrm9.3660$OB5.321140@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53379

K4YZ asks:

> And at the same time, I wonder if that feature was known to the buyer? I
> assume it was "OnStar" or similar service. I wonder if the vehicle can be
> tracked WITHOUT the owners knowledge or consent?

Three of my vehicles came with OnStar. I didn't specifically order the option, but it was 'standard' on the trim level of those particular vehicles.

Yes, OnStar *COULD* track my vehicle, but unless I ask them to, they don't give a rats ass where it is.

OnStar consists of three 'sub-systems'.

1. A GPS receiver.
2. A 'built in' hands-free cell phone.
3. A vehicle assistance subsystem.

The GPS receiver is just that and nothing more. It is NOT an APRS-like system and does not provide an unsolicited running data stream of your position via radio.

The cell phone can be used just like any other cell phone to place calls and receive calls. It also has a pre-programmed pushbutton to call the OnStar operations center. When you do that, the GPS system provides a data stream so the operator can tell where you are and in what direction you are traveling. Now lets suppose you are hungry -- they can direct you to the nearest McDonalds, Taco Bell, or whatever cuisine you desire. Suppose you are tired -- then can direct you to the hotel of your choice and call ahead for reservations. Suppose you are lost in a strange city -- they can direct you to your destination. Suppose a passenger seems to be having a heart attack -- they can direct you to the nearest medical facility, or summon EMT help and direct them to your position.

If you're in an accident and your air bag deploys, the system automatically makes a phone call to the operation center and reports your position. They attempt voice contact to see if you need medical help. If you do not answer, they dispatch emergency help to your location.

If you call them and report your vehicle stolen, they can make a 'silent' phone call to gather the GPS info, relay it to law enforcement agencies, and simultaneously disable your vehicle.

If your car starts running rough 'in the middle of nowhere' they can run diagnostics, determine the probable problem and direct you to the nearest appropriate service facility.

Hans

1563. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 02:53:11 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <rctm9.79235$jG2.4339548@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

When I don't want people to know who I am ...
... I use a different email client, on a different machine,
via a different ISP, and have a totally different identity.

... it is soooo much simpler that way :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net> wrote in message
news:M2qm9.29152$095.24002@news1.central.cox.net...
> I think he thought I was the one forging an earlier post, but I'm not
> energetic enough to manipulate my IE (which cox.net stamps
> anyway)...
>
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > Aw come on ... he couldn't even spell your identity correctly
> > (that missing space after the comma).
> >
> > Dumber than most forgers.
> >
> > Shuffle a copy off to abuse of course ...
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> > "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net> wrote in message
> > news:6hpm9.29144$095.3802@news1.central.cox.net...
> > > "Steve,K5OKC" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote in message
> > news:Qahm9.3731$xp5.3627332@news.alltel.net...
> > > > Two can play that game stupid CBer!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Obviously I am not on alltel.net...
>
>

1564. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 02:54:39 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3btuk7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Sep 2002 23:10:28 -0400, Tom wrote:

> Bullshit! Get a reality check Phil! K1MAN is still on the
>air running unattended transmitters all day, splattering up and
>down the ham bands. K1MAN has been thumbing his nose
>at the commission, daring them to do something, and so far
>the commission is hiding, pretending K1MAN doesn't exist.
>And you can bet plenty people know Riley has been given
>orders to leave K1MAN alone.

And this is the reply/excuse that you will offer if and when you
get caught on someone's complaint?

Don't worry about someone else's hind end - make sure YOURS is
covered.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1565. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 02:54:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3btxw8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 01 Oct 2002 01:36:49 GMT, Steve, K5OKC wrote:

>I hope the ARRL doesn't value your opinion, because it is wrong
>in this case. It's not broadcasting, because someone has to trigger the
>response i.e., two-way communication. Second, it is completely legal
>if you are not doing it for profit. This is the famous ordering a pizza on
>a phone patch, that the FCC doesn't have time for, and is not regulated.
>
>Did you graduate in the bottom 10% of your class, or did you get a
>correspondence degree? Sheesh...

Know before whom you stand .....

Killfile activated.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1566. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 04:44:08 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <andth4$c22$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Vito" <vito@crosslink.net> wrote in part:

> (Why not play your favorite music?)

Whose favorite music? And invoke the awesome fiery wrath of Clear Channel Communionications? Dude, don't even give him the idea. Forget the FCC. The FCC is 0WN3D by the likes of Clear Channel.

Corry
--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/

1567. One thing I like about APRS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 04:50:33 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <andtt5$ui4$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53384

You can turn it off.

In the future, similar systems may not give you that choice.

73 Corry K4DOH
--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

"Max Imo" <not@this.com> wrote in alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf: "I suggest Corry keep his uninformed opinions to a subject he knows something about (porno, hacking, terrorism?)."

1568. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 05:35:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021002013502.15292.00006256@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53385

>Subject: Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 10/1/02 7:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Msrm9.3660$OB5.321140@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Yes, OnStar *COULD* track my vehicle, but unless I ask them to, they don't
>give a rats ass where it is.

That doesn't answer my question, Hans. It's not a matter of wether I
think >>OnStar<< as an entity wants to know where my car is...It's a matter if
third parties could use that information to find out..."Legal" or otherwise.

>Now lets suppose you are hungry -- they can direct you to the
>nearest McDonalds...(SNIP)

Yes, yes, yes, Hans. This we know. I've seen the ads too.

>If you call them and report your vehicle stolen, they can make a 'silent'
>phone call to gather the GPS info, relay it to law enforcement agencies, and
>simultaneously disable your vehicle.

And therein lies the technology to track you WITHOUT your knowledge or
consent.

Steve

1569. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 14:44:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <iDDm9.4474$OB5.415542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53386

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> And therein lies the technology to track you WITHOUT your knowledge
or
> consent.
>

Yeah, yeah, yeah, and I know all those black helicopters must be obscuring
your view of the sky too.

So just don't buy the option if you don't like it! Sheeeeeesh, some folks
are paranoid.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

1570. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 15:16:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021002111604.03712.00007725@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 53387

>Subject: Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 10/2/02 9:44 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <iDDm9.4474$OB5.415542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Yeah, yeah, yeah, and I know all those black helicopters must be obscuring
>your view of the sky too.

No black helos here, Hans. It was just a question.

>So just don't buy the option if you don't like it! Sheeeeeesh, some folks
>are paranoid.

It was just a qustion, Hans. You have a problem with answering simple
questions with simple answers?

Steve

1571. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 15:31:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <0jEm9.4523$OB5.421444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53388

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> You have a problem with answering simple
> questions with simple answers?
>

No.

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

PS: Here's your sign.

1572. W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 17:04:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53389

http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF propagation. There are several perfectly sound technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

1573. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 19:05:36 UTC
From: "B. Smith" <b.smith@ou.edu>
Message-ID: <EiHm9.221$95.4992@news.ou.edu>
Source record: 53390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That's quite a bit different than:

> Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the station at
> all times.
>
> Don Woodward
> KD4APP

Packet radio must have a control operator (usually the callsign given in
the from or via address), but the control operator does not have to be
at the control point.

It is entirely possible to have a packet radio station on the air while you
are asleep, and 100 miles away from the control point.

Thus there is no legal restriction to the proposed "Yellow Pages" idea.

"News Post Account" <bogus@bogus.com> wrote
>
> Why not put the entire section up there? It still says "Each amateur
station
> must have at least one control point."

1574. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 20:14:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upmkv7191b85c7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil, perhaps you'd best explain. I am NOT a lawyer and in this case you ask one (and if you have to ask, it is likely a no-no). My understanding is that the amateur cannot make any money AND THE BUSINESS CANNOT MAKE ANY MONEY. To me, this would be 'advertising', not the same as asking 'where's a good place to eat'. It certainly isn't the same as hitting the patch and placing an order for pizza. I'd certainly not try it, but would appreciate it you could explain the correct reasoning behind this (my news server has been down for a couple of days or I'd have jumped in this sooner).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.h39txl6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 30 Sep 2002 17:00:56 -0700, John wrote:
>
> >Cool. That's what I thought too. Thanks!
>
> Yeah. Real cool bad advice. Settimg yourself up to be nailed for
> prohibited communications.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> ARRL Volunteer Counsel
>
>

1575. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 22:52:00 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <kMKm9.81081$jG2.4425286@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

It is also possible to have more than one control operator.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"B. Smith" wrote in message
news:EiHm9.221$95.4992@news.ou.edu...
> That's quite a bit different than:
>
> > Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the station at
> > all times.
> >
> > Don Woodward
> > KD4APP
>
> Packet radio must have a control operator (usually the callsign given in
> the from or via address), but the control operator does not have to be
> at the control point.
>
> It is entirely possible to have a packet radio station on the air while you
> are asleep, and 100 miles away from the control point.
>
> Thus there is no legal restriction to the proposed "Yellow Pages" idea.
>
> "News Post Account" wrote
> >
> > Why not put the entire section up there? It still says "Each amateur
> station
> > must have at least one control point."
>
>

1576. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-02 23:00:53 UTC
From: News Post Account <bogus@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <FUKm9.123$yw4.121849@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 53393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I never said where the control point/operator has to be - please READ don't
ASSume!

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

"B. Smith" wrote in message
news:EiHm9.221$95.4992@news.ou.edu...
> That's quite a bit different than:
>
> > Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the station
at
> > all times.
> >
> > Don Woodward
> > KD4APP
>
> Packet radio must have a control operator (usually the callsign given in
> the from or via address), but the control operator does not have to be
> at the control point.
>
> It is entirely possible to have a packet radio station on the air while
you
> are asleep, and 100 miles away from the control point.
>
> Thus there is no legal restriction to the proposed "Yellow Pages" idea.
>
> "News Post Account" wrote
> >
> > Why not put the entire section up there? It still says "Each amateur
> station
> > must have at least one control point."
>
>

1577. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 00:03:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53394

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
>
> --
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc

This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .

w3rv

1578. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 00:31:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <LdMm9.5385$OB5.494430@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53395

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .

WNV is far too good an operator to tolerate LA(jg). For all his faults (and he's displayed all lot of them), Don has to be acknowledged as one of the top 20 operators to ever stroke a Vibroplex. I'd like to see him team up with Martti (OH2BH) and Elvin (JA3CZY) and do a few trips. What a dream crew that would be.

Besides, I have several T5 cards. I still need one for FO8M though.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF propagation. There are several perfectly sound technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

1579. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 00:35:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9B90E9.C52F33C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53396

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> >
> > --
> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
>
> This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
>
> w3rv

The trip could actually be a good thing. Brian P Burke would have
someone on hand to show him how a pileup works.

Dave K8MN

1580. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 00:50:02 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <upn5b54rtjlqbe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53397

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D9685FD.3DA93BCC@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> >
> > I don't know what diversity training you've been to. Diversity
training's
> > principle is to account for and be sensitive to how someone else feels
about
> > something--regardless of *how* or *why* they may feel that way.
>
> You're getting tangled up in how someone feels. We're talking about
> definitions.
>

Well, Dave, unfortunately for you, I am open to discussing anything and any twist it may take on the way. Now, as to your specific remark above: diversity training, in every seminar I have ever been to, has always *included* being sensitive to the perceptions of other people. Ever hear the saying "perception is everything"? While the specific phrase "regardless of what the book definition may be" has never been said, it has certainly been implied.

> >
> > And, I don't believe there's been a misuse of the word, Dave.
>
> That's right and you choose not to look up the word. Tough.
>

Like I said, Dave, I haven't said I would not look up the word. I haven't said I did not look up the word. You are claiming omniscient powers there, for you to state emphatically that I have not looked up the word. Whether I look up the word or not does not change the fact that I know me well enough to know that I don't generally live by a book. Book definitions are grand for folks like who need them. But, for folks like me, they are not much more than a reference--a beginning.

> >
> > Dave, that's your mistaken impression. I speak for no one but myself.
I
> > have no doubt there are thousands who feel just like I do.
>
> Just "a feeling" you have, huh?
>

And, what if it is? I believe God gave us the sensibility to "just feel" something. Don't you use the gifts you've been given?

> >
> > Actually, I'm quite disappointed in people like yourself, Dave.
>
> First, work on you. Then you can work on me.
>

Unlike you, Dave, I don't consider myself a God. It is no more up to me to "work on you" than it is for you to determine that the way I think and/or feel about something is all wrong. In the grand scheme of things, it is more up to me to learn tolerance for folks such as yourself. See? the reason I left this newsgroup was because I resorted to acting just like you do, and others like you. And, unlike you, I think it would be very presumptuous of me to attempt to belittle and degrade you for the way you think or feel. So, you see? In my eyes, you are far more immature and incapable of acting in a quality manner, than am I.

My responses to you have been nothing short of inquiries as to why you feel so affected by the way I think about something, and a dialogue on our interpretation of something. My negative responses to you, if you will read back, have been nothing more than negative feedback and resistance to your attempts at denigration toward me. I haven't, and won't, look back on the posts, but I don't think you'll find much more than that. I admit I am having an extremely difficult time understanding how live so much out of a book...but that is actually my problem, isn't it?

> >
> > There are many things that are "defined" in a book, Dave. Life is what
goes
> > beyond the definitions in a book.
>
> We're not defining "life". We're defining "terrorism". By the way, my
> dictionary doesn't say anything about "what goes beyond the definitions
> in a book" under "life".
>

We're not defining anything, Dave. We are discussing what terrorism is--to you and to me. To you, it is what you find in a book of definitions (a dictionary) and not much more. To me, it is much more than that. Add to that, that I want to know how others think of the term--especially those whom I elect to make laws that impact my life. I want to know if my government makes some creepy law for fighting terrorism, what is *their* definition of terrorism. For, as much as you seem to think everyone lives by book definitions, I am sure you can figure out that our politicians don't look things up in a dictionary. In fact, most--if not all--Bills and Laws passed include a thorough discussion of definitions.

For example, I consider it quite terroristic, what racist groups do to other people. I consider it an act of terrorism upon others when those groups *act on their feelings and beliefs*. HOWEVER...and make no mistake about it...I don't think there should be any attempt at outlawing, here in the

United States, the voice of another just because I don't like what they do when they aren't speaking. My reference there is to the horrible acts of terrorism committed on others in the name of their beliefs. So, while I consider it quite terroristic--I wouldn't want to *mistakenly* allow my government to enact legislation which could in the future be *INTERPRETED* to mean that those types of groups will be punished or otherwise harassed.

If we are going to "count" the impact of a terroristic act, just add up how many people have died, in the United States, at the hands of prejudice. One is too many, and more is a true tragedy.

> >
> > I can't help that.
>
> Right. Must be a real bunch of boobs if they can't see that "terrorism"
> is really what *you* say it is.
>

I have no idea. I am simply stating that I cannot help that you don't know others who define terrorism beyond what is in a book. that they are boobs would remain, once again, a judgmental opinion of yours--exclusively yours between the two of us.

> >
> > Oh. So wait a minute. In this very post, you chide me for having used
the
> > words "all bands" in a prior post, since I really didn't mean "all"
bands,
> > but most. Now, you author "I don't read Christian right magazines or
listen
> > to Christian right talk shows" and you are telling me that I am the
moron
> > for taking you at your word? Pah!! Which is it, Dave? Do you read and
> > hear the Christian right magazines and talk shows, or not? Oh, wait,
don't
> > answer that by the way. I am quite tired and bored with this
discussion.
>
> ...not to mention that you're tangled in your own stuff. I haven't
> contradicted or reversed myself. You wrote that "One can only imagine
> why you would do that" and I responded to it. Oh, and you aren't a
> moron for taking me at my word. You're a moron because you expand the
> definition of a word to include anything you might feel.
>

The similarity is quite honest and true, Dave. That you choose to ignore it because the pot calls the kettle black, does not negate the accuracy of my observation.

> >
> > I think I've stated that terroistic and terrorism are much more than a
book

> > defintion, to me, Dave.
>
> What it is to you isn't important. The meaning of the word "terrorism"
> has already been defined.
>

You don't seem to understand, or you choose to ignore, that what "it" is to me *is* important. And, what it is to others is important to me as well. Heck, what it is to you is important to me. At least I know that if you are ever my governmental representative, you won't be making stupid laws that may have a negative impact on American citizens.

> >
> > I've not said I won't look up the word, I don't think. I think what
I've
> > just plain said is that I would carry the definition of terrorism much
> > farther than a book definition.
>
> We know that you carry the definition farther. That's incorrect. The
> word already has a definition. That you'd like to throw in anything you
> find unjust or morally reprehensible is irrelevant.
>

That I carry a definition farther than what is in a book for its meaning, may be incorrect for you, Dave. But, it's not incorrect for me. And, once again...my opinion and regard is every bit as relevant as yours. In fact, right this moment, it's *more* relevant than yours.

> >
> > How we "feel"????? Will you puhleeze make up your mind. I use the word
> > terroristic to express how I "feel" about something and all you've been
> > doing is telling me how wrong I am for it, Dave. You are much more
> > ambiguous than I thought you were. *YOU* can't even make your own mind
up.
>
> There are in existence, words which are capable to expressing what you
> feel or what you wish to convey. Try finding the meaning of the word
> you intend to use and see if it its definition agrees with the idea you
> are trying to convey. Anything else is misuse of a word.
>

Here, you are stumbling over yourself so much, I cannot even get hold of what the hell you are trying to say, Dave. I have given you examples of the things I consider terroristic. I used the word terroristic to express what I feel or wish to convey. Its meaning to you is in the dictionary its meaning to me is much more than that.

> >
> > 'Scuse me???!!!??? They sure have. Everything, including the meaning,
has
> > been debated for centuries.
>

> Your proof of the above?
>

I actually don't need proof of the above. However, try a few bible study groups, seminaries, etc. Anywhere that theology, and certainly religion, is discussed and debated. I am really not sure how you believe that the meaning of what belief/faith and Jesus, God, etc., is has not been debated for centuries. Biblical wars have erupted over the debates and continue today.

> >
> > Smug again. You sure are uncomfortable without that book in your hand,
> > aren't you?
>
> What peculiar piece of logic leads you to that conclusion?
>

It's just quite obvious. I can "feel" it, Dave.

> >
> > Outside your comfort zone, eh?
>
> Yeah, I'm much more comfortable with reality. Does it get cold on your
> planet?
>

Uh, yes. Earth can get quite cold and unpleasant. And (Texans, skip this part), if you're into Hell, just get down here to Texas in Summer.

> >
> > Nope. Sorry. I've no problem at all, Dave. You are the one with the
> > problem. It's a liberated thought, you might take a while to think
about
> > it.
>
> Yep. It's been liberated from reality by a ditz.
>

Ho ho ho. Is it really *that* uncomfortable for you, Dave?

> >
> > You had one?
>
> I figured you were having difficulties. I just didn't know it was this
> bad.
>

I'll just make this casual observation that you didn't answer the question.

> >
> > That would probably be your cue to look it up. While your looking it
up,
> > I'll be out here living life.

>
> Lucy Van Pelt would be proud of you. I wouldn't want you to screw up
> your life with anything like a definition, Kim.
>

Thought you didn't rely upon imaginary heroes. You mean with the same definition as *yours*, Dave. It doesn't screw up my life to think differently than you. It must be screwing yours up though, with this vehement reaction you are having to the idea of someone thinking outside a book definition. Goodness, can you imagine how boring this world would be if we all let a d-i-c-tionary d-i-c-tate how we all think about something?

>
> > Ya know, Dave? If that means I'd be such an open book as yourself, no
> > thanks.
>
> Yeah. If you were an open book, someone might understand what you were
> babbling about. You know, I've decided to expand the definitions of
> some words too, Kim. To me, the word "moronic" now means "pleasant" and
> "wonderful".
>
> I like to think of you as moronic.
>
> Dave K8MN

Now you're getting somewhere. Oh, by the way: You'd be the open book, Dave. If you limit yourself to the dictionary definition of things, then all I need to do to find out how you think is look in the dictionary. In fact, your intercourse is no longer needed.

:) Kim W5TIT

1581. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 01:26:45 UTC
From: "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net>
Message-ID: <p1Nm9.3919$nh2.199@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

If you're asleep 100 miles from the control point, then you are
"not in control of the station at all times."

"News Post Account" wrote
>
> I never said where the control point/operator has to be
>
> "B. Smith" wrote
> >
> > That's quite a bit different than:
> >
> > > Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the station
> > > at all times.
> >
> > Packet radio must have a control operator (usually the callsign given in
> > the from or via address), but the control operator does not have to be
> > at the control point.
> >
> > It is entirely possible to have a packet radio station on the air while
> > you are asleep, and 100 miles away from the control point.
> >
> > Thus there is no legal restriction to the proposed "Yellow Pages" idea.
> >
> > "News Post Account" wrote
> > >
> > > Why not put the entire section up there? It still says "Each amateur
> > > station must have at least one control point."

1582. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 01:39:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <PdNm9.5519$OB5.514707@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53399

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

>
> I actually don't need proof of the above. However, try a few bible study
> groups, seminaries, etc. Anywhere that theology, and certainly religion,
is
> discussed and debated. I am really not sure how you believe that the
> meaning of what belief/faith and Jesus, God, etc., is has not been debated
> for centuries.
>

I believe in one less god than you do.

Faith: The ability to believe a fable that you know to be absolutely false.

Those of us who are not members of your organized cults (whatever their
size) are sick and tired of being victims of your wars with other organized
cults.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

1583. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 02:06:27 UTC
From: News Post Account <bogus@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <DCNm9.161$yw4.134770@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 53400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

AMEN!

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

"Steve, K5OKC" wrote ...

> If you're asleep 100 miles from the control point, then you are
> "not in control of the station at all times."
>
> > > "B. Smith" wrote
> > >
> > > It is entirely possible to have a packet radio station on the air
while
> > > you are asleep, and 100 miles away from the control point.
> > >
> > > Thus there is no legal restriction to the proposed "Yellow Pages"
idea.

1584. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 02:36:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021002223649.17356.00000060@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 53501

In article <d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message >news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>> http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
>>
>> --
>> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc

I guess reports of his death are much exaggerated. Story sez he's 66. which means he was in his early '30s back when he was dxpeditioning where he wasn't.
>
>This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
>and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .

They'd wind up on Heard Island.

One wonders if he will want to get back on the air. If so, with what call?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1585. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 02:58:35 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <vnOm9.67401$1C2.3278837@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This ground has been covered in HUGE detail back in 1982-86.

The control operator is not required to click a button to allow
each packet to pass through the system he is controlling, but
only to be able to shut it down when notified there is a problem.

I know of at least one case where a control operator shut
down a system 2000 miles away, using an HF link, when
he discovered a system failure. I know of another case where
a control operator drove 50 miles to pull a breaker on a
failing system. Note that "system failure" might mean "was
passing not allowed traffic" when there were automated
means intended to detect and block such traffic.

No problem if you are sleeping ... someone will wake you :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Steve, K5OKC" wrote in message
news:p1Nm9.3919$nh2.199@news1.central.cox.net...
> If you're asleep 100 miles from the control point, then you are
> "not in control of the station at all times."
>
> "News Post Account" wrote
> >
> > I never said where the control point/operator has to be
> >
> > "B. Smith" wrote
> > >
> > > That's quite a bit different than:
> > >
> > > > Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the station
> > > > at all times.
> > >
> > > Packet radio must have a control operator (usually the callsign given in
> > > the from or via address), but the control operator does not have to be
> > > at the control point.
> > >
> > > It is entirely possible to have a packet radio station on the air while

> > > you are asleep, and 100 miles away from the control point.
> > >
> > > Thus there is no legal restriction to the proposed "Yellow Pages" idea.
> > >
> > > "News Post Account" wrote
> > > >
> > > > Why not put the entire section up there? It still says "Each amateur
> > > > station must have at least one control point."
>
>

1586. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 03:16:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3dpls9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 2 Oct 2002 16:14:20 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:

>Phil, perhaps you'd best explain. I am NOT a lawyer and in this case you
>ask one (and if you have to ask, it is likely a no-no). My understanding is
>that the amateur cannot make any money AND THE BUSINESS CANNOT MAKE ANY
>MONEY. To me, this would be 'advertising', not the same as asking 'where's
>a good place to eat'. It certainly isn't the same as hitting the patch and
>placing an order for pizza. I'd certainly not try it, but would appreciate
>it you could explain the correct reasoning behind this (my news server has
>been down for a couple of days or I'd have jumped in this sooner).

You are right on target. The issue isn't the "control operator",
it's the nature of the content. Just as a "one shot" patch to The
Pizza Place is OK but conducting business with them on a regular
basis via ham radio isn't, asking "where's a good place to get
....." is OK but having a server respond automatically to every
such contact isn't OK. Section 97.113 of thr Rules may be good for
curing insomnia but it's still "the word". Amateur radio is not
supposed to be a substitute for any commercial service.

True, the FCC hasn't been spending as much time as necessary in
amateur regulation lately, but that's no guarantee that if this type
of stuff starts becoming commonplace the hapless amateur who does
this wom't get nailed.

Of course, the non-lawyers here who are sure that I am wrong must have
spent more than the 30 years that I did in FCC field enforcement and
must have more legal experience in interpreting the FCC Rules for
enforcement purposes (which is what I do for a living).

'Nuff of this stuff....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1587. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 04:07:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9BC27C.D6803FDE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53504

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D9685FD.3DA93BCC@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > I don't know what diversity training you've been to. Diversity
> training's
> > > principle is to account for and be sensitive to how someone else feels
> about
> > > something--regardless of *how* or *why* they may feel that way.
> >
> > You're getting tangled up in how someone feels. We're talking about
> > definitions.
> >
>
> Well, Dave, unfortunately for you, I am open to discussing anything and any
> twist it may take on the way.

...even if or maybe especially if you are the person doing the twisting.

> Now, as to your specific remark above:
> diversity training, in every seminar I have ever been to, has always
> *included* being sensitive to the perceptions of other people. Ever hear
> the saying "perception is everything"? While the specific phrase
> "regardless of what the book definition may be" has never been said, it has
> certainly been implied.

Being sensitive to perceptions has nothing to do with definitions or
your own inability to properly apply them.

> > >
> > > And, I don't believe there's been a misuse of the word, Dave.
> >
> > That's right and you choose not to look up the word. Tough.
> >
>
> Like I said, Dave, I haven't said I would not look up the word. I haven't
> said I did not look up the word. You are claiming omniscient powers there,
> for you to state emphatically that I have not looked up the word. Whether I
> look up the word or not does not change the fact that I know me well enough
> to know that I don't generally live by a book. Book definitions are grand
> for folks like who need them. But, for folks like me, they are not much
> more than a reference--a beginning.

Well, on 2002-09-22 the following exchange took place.

Me: "Save us both a lot of bother. Look up the word."

Kim: "No, Dave. You read your book. buhbye now."

I didn't have any doubt about its meaning then. I don't have any doubt as to its meaning now. One doesn't need to be omniscient when there is Google.
"Folks like you" are out of the loop.

> > > Dave, that's your mistaken impression. I speak for no one but myself.
> I
> > > have no doubt there are thousands who feel just like I do.
> >
> > Just "a feeling" you have, huh?
> >
>
> And, what if it is? I believe God gave us the sensibility to "just feel"
> something. Don't you use the gifts you've been given?

Getting it wrong is not a gift, Kim.

> > >
> > > Actually, I'm quite disappointed in people like yourself, Dave.
> >
> > First, work on you. Then you can work on me.
> >
>
> Unlike you, Dave, I don't consider myself a God. It is no more up to me to
> "work on you" than it is for you to determine that the way I think and/or
> feel about something is all wrong.

One doesn't "feel or think" in divining a definition. A definition exists. That you can't or won't use the dictionary definition of a word handicaps you in communicating your ideas.

> In the grand scheme of things, it is
> more up to me to learn tolerance for folks such as yourself. See? the
> reason I left this newsgroup was because I resorted to acting just like you
> do, and others like you. And, unlike you, I think it would be very
> presumptuous of me to attempt to belittle and degrade you for the way you
> think or feel. So, you see? In my eyes, you are far more immature and
> incapable of acting in a quality manner, than am I.

If your eyes work like your brain, you'll foul up the vision as you've fouled up the definition. If you view it as presumptuous of yourself to belittle and degrade me or even Dick Carroll, why have you attempted doing so quite frequently in the past?

> My responses to you have been nothing short of inquiries as to why you feel
> so affected by the way I think about something, and a dialogue on our
> interpretation of something. My negative responses to you, if you will read
> back, have been nothing more than negative feedback and resistance to your

> attempts at denigration toward me. I haven't, and won't, look back on the
> posts, but I don't think you'll find much more than that. I admit I am
> having an extremely difficult time understanding how live so much out of a
> book...but that is actually my problem, isn't it?

Well, it is certainly one of your problems.
>
> > >
> > > There are many things that are "defined" in a book, Dave. Life is what
> goes
> > > beyond the definitions in a book.
> >
> > We're not defining "life". We're defining "terrorism". By the way, my
> > dictionary doesn't say anything about "what goes beyond the definitions
> > in a book" under "life".
> >
>
> *We're* not defining anything, Dave. We are discussing what terrorism
> is--to you and to me. To you, it is what you find in a book of definitions
> (a dictionary) and not much more.

"Terrorism" has already been defined. You seem to have trouble
accepting the definition. You're right--we aren't defining the word.
That has already taken place. Accept it.

> To me, it is much more than that. Add to
> that, that I want to know how others think of the term--especially those
> whom I elect to make laws that impact my life. I want to know if my
> government makes some creepy law for fighting terrorism, what is *their*
> definition of terrorism. For, as much as you seem to think everyone lives
> by book definitions, I am sure you can figure out that our politicians don't
> look things up in a dictionary. In fact, most--if not all--Bills and Laws
> passed include a thorough discussion of definitions.

Creepy law for fighting terrorism? Well, there you have it.

> For example, I consider it quite terroristic, what racist groups do to other
> people. I consider it an act of terrorism upon others when those groups
> *act on their feelings and beliefs*. HOWEVER...and make no mistake about
> it...I don't think there should be any attempt at outlawing, here in the
> United States, the voice of another just because I don't like what they do
> when they aren't speaking. My reference there is to the horrible acts of
> terrorism committed on others in the name of their beliefs. So, while I
> consider it quite terroristic--I wouldn't want to *mistakenly* allow my
> government to enact legislation which could in the future be *INTERPRETED*
> to mean that those types of groups will be punished or otherwise harassed.
>
> If we are going to "count" the impact of a terroristic act, just add up how
> many people have died, in the United States, at the hands of prejudice. One
> is too many, and more is a true tragedy.

Whew! You'll have to speak louder. I can't understand you when you're
so far out in left field.

> > >
> > > I can't help that.
> >
> > Right. Must be a real bunch of boobs if they can't see that "terrorism"
> > is really what *you* say it is.
> >
>
> I have no idea. I am simply stating that I cannot help that you don't know
> others who define terrorism beyond what is in a book. that they are boobs
> would remain, once again, a judgmental opinion of yours--exclusively yours
> between the two of us.

There are no opinions which are not judgements, Kim. Terrorism is what
it is no matter what you'd like to throw into the mix.

> > >
> > > Oh. So wait a minute. In this very post, you chide me for having used
> the
> > > words "all bands" in a prior post, since I really didn't mean "all"
> bands,
> > > but most. Now, you author "I don't read Christian right magazines or
> listen
> > > to Christian right talk shows" and you are telling me that I am the
> moron
> > > for taking you at your word? Pah!! Which is it, Dave? Do you read and
> > > hear the Christian right magazines and talk shows, or not? Oh, wait,
> don't
> > > answer that by the way. I am quite tired and bored with this
> discussion.
> >
> > ...not to mention that you're tangled in your own stuff. I haven't
> > contradicted or reversed myself. You wrote that "One can only imagine
> > why you would do that" and I responded to it. Oh, and you aren't a
> > moron for taking me at my word. You're a moron because you expand the
> > definition of a word to include anything you might feel.
> >
>
> The similarity is quite honest and true, Dave. That you choose to ignore it
> because the pot calls the kettle black, does not negate the accuracy of my
> observation.

Nertz! You got so tangled in your own stuff that even YOU couldn't
understand what you were babbling about. Now there is a similarity
which is "quite honest and true? Manure.

> > >
> > > I think I've stated that terroistic and terrorism are much more than a
> book
> > > defintion, to me, Dave.
> >

> > What it is to you isn't important. The meaning of the word "terrorism"
> > has already been defined.
> >
>
> You don't seem to understand, or you choose to ignore, that what "it" is to
> me *is* important.

...not if you choose to attempt adding things to the definition which do
not constitute terrorism.

> And, what it is to others is important to me as well.

Good. Talk to 'em. Maybe they've looked up the word. Maybe others
understand. I'm one of the others. I think you're a kook.

> Heck, what it is to you is important to me. At least I know that if you are
> ever my governmental representative, you won't be making stupid laws that
> may have a negative impact on American citizens.

That's right. I understand the meaning of the word.
>
> > >
> > > I've not said I won't look up the word, I don't think. I think what
> I've
> > > just plain said is that I would carry the definition of terrorism much
> > > farther than a book definition.
> >
> > We know that you carry the definition farther. That's incorrect. The
> > word already has a definition. That you'd like to throw in anything you
> > find unjust or morally reprehensible is irrelevant.
> >
>
> That I carry a definition farther than what is in a book for its meaning,
> may be incorrect for you, Dave. But, it's not incorrect for me. And, once
> again...my opinion and regard is every bit as relevant as yours. In fact,
> right this moment, it's *more* relevant than yours.

To whom? Who are the folks telling you that your peculiar additions to
the word "terrorism" are as relevant as my view that the word means what
it means?

> > >
> > > How we "feel"????? Will you puhleeze make up your mind. I use the word
> > > terroristic to express how I "feel" about something and all you've been
> > > doing is telling me how wrong I am for it, Dave. You are much more
> > > ambiguous than I thought you were. *YOU* can't even make your own mind
> up.
> >
> > There are in existence, words which are capable to expressing what you
> > feel or what you wish to convey. Try finding the meaning of the word
> > you intend to use and see if it its definition agrees with the idea you
> > are trying to convey. Anything else is misuse of a word.

> >
>
> Here, you are stumbling over yourself so much, I cannot even get hold of
> what the hell you are trying to say, Dave.

It couldn't be much clearer. Want to take a poll to see if you're the
only person having trouble understanding what I've said?

> I have given you examples of the
> things I consider terroristic. I used the word terroristic to express what
> I feel or wish to convey. Its meaning to you is in the dictionary its
> meaning to me is much more than that.

It doesn't matter what the word means to you. It only matters what the
word actually means.

> > >
> > > 'Scuse me???!!!??? They sure have. Everything, including the meaning,
> has
> > > been debated for centuries.
> >
> > Your proof of the above?
> >
>
> I actually don't need proof of the above. However, try a few bible study
> groups, seminaries, etc. Anywhere that theology, and certainly religion, is
> discussed and debated. I am really not sure how you believe that the
> meaning of what belief/faith and Jesus, God, etc., is has not been debated
> for centuries. Biblical wars have erupted over the debates and continue
> today.

You are saying that the definitions of "Jesus" and "bible" are being
argued?
By whom?

> > >
> > > Smug again. You sure are uncomfortable without that book in your hand,
> > > aren't you?
> >
> > What peculiar piece of logic leads you to that conclusion?
> >
>
> It's just quite obvious. I can "feel" it, Dave.

See--you're feelings have failed you yet again.

> > >
> > > Outside your comfort zone, eh?
> >
> > Yeah, I'm much more comfortable with reality. Does it get cold on your
> > planet?
> >
>

> Uh, yes. Earth can get quite cold and unpleasant. And (Texans, skip this
> part), if you're into Hell, just get down here to Texas in Summer.

I think I'll skip it year 'round.

> > >
> > > Nope. Sorry. I've no problem at all, Dave. You are the one with the
> > > problem. It's a liberated thought, you might take a while to think
> about
> > > it.
> >
> > Yep. It's been liberated from reality by a ditz.
> >
>
> Ho ho ho. Is it really *that* uncomfortable for you, Dave?

I'm really quite comfortable.

> > >
> > > You had one?
> >
> > I figured you were having difficulties. I just didn't know it was this
> > bad.
> >
>
> I'll just make this casual observation that you didn't answer the question.

The question consisted of three words: "You had one".
My response: Yes.

> > >
> > > That would probably be your cue to look it up. While your looking it
> up,
> > > I'll be out here living life.
> >
> > Lucy Van Pelt would be proud of you. I wouldn't want you to screw up
> > your life with anything like a definition, Kim.
> >
>
> Thought you didn't rely upon imaginary heroes.

Lucy Van Pelt is not a hero, Kim.

> You mean with the same
> definition as *yours*, Dave.

I didn't write the definition. The definition exists. I understand the
definition and can use the word "terrorism" as defined.

> It doesn't screw up my life to think
> differently than you.

No, that isn't what is screwing up your life.

> It must be screwing yours up though, with this
> vehement reaction you are having to the idea of someone thinking outside a
> book definition.

Can't help it, Kim. I always react to stupidity in this way. You were
just ignorant. After you've been informed of your error and you
continue to make it, it becomes plain vanilla stupidity.

> Goodness, can you imagine how boring this world would be
> if we all let a d-i-c-tionary d-i-c-tate how we all think about something?

It doesn't dictate how you think. It defines words so that we can
communicate ideas in a way which allows all (but you) to understand.

> >
> > > Ya know, Dave? If that means I'd be such an open book as yourself, no
> > > thanks.
> >
> > Yeah. If you were an open book, someone might understand what you were
> > babbling about. You know, I've decided to expand the definitions of
> > some words too, Kim. To me, the word "moronic" now means "pleasant" and
> > "wonderful".
> >
> > I like to think of you as moronic.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Now you're getting somewhere. Oh, by the way: You'd be the open book, Dave.
> If you limit yourself to the dictionary definition of things, then all I
> need to do to find out how you think is look in the dictionary. In fact,
> your intercourse is no longer needed.

How I think isn't in the dictionary but you'll find much about how to
use words.

Dave K8MN

1588. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 05:26:01 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <anhbs731vru@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53510

As well as EMS, Fire/Rescue is not that much different either!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> You wanna see expensive? Investigate the "fees" associated with the
> Nursing or Emergency Medical Services industries. EMS Magazine has a
> conference in Nashville, TN this weekend with registration fees in the
hundreds
> of dollars.
>

1589. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 06:11:19 UTC
From: "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net>
Message-ID: <bcRm9.4784$nh2.3616@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote
>
> True, the FCC hasn't been spending as much time as necessary in
> amateur regulation lately, but that's no guarantee that if this type
> of stuff starts becoming commonplace the hapless amateur who does
> this wom't get nailed.

"nailed!" That's funny...

> Of course, the non-lawyers here who are sure that I am wrong must have
> spent more than the 30 years that I did in FCC field enforcement and
> must have more legal experience in interpreting the FCC Rules for
> enforcement purposes (which is what I do for a living).

Did you "nail" them with "interpretation", or only just "hammer" them?

1590. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 09:27:39 UTC
From: News Post Account <bogus@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <f4Um9.186$yw4.165879@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 53506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil:

The only thing applicable to the "Yellow Pages" idea in 97.113 that I see is "(5) Communications, on a regular basis, which could reasonably be furnished alternatively through other radio services.".

I asked a respected APRS person what he thought of the legality of the "Yellow Pages" idea, and he said "There will be many that say it is ok. there will be many that will think it is the end of HAM radio as we know it...".

You're right on target on the FCC - from what I've read of the enforcement letters sent out by the FCC on HAM related matters, it appears as though in the majority, the investigation that initiated the letters are based on a complaint by someone - another ham/neighbor,etc. and rarely if ever by the FCC. The reason I mentioned "Control Operator" is that lack of control/control operator is a common "catch-all" if the FCC can't find anything else to nail the violator on - kind of like the IRS' infamous "Income Tax Evasion". You are right - control operator is not what will make the "Yellow Pages" application prohibited but it's one issue the person who does implement this will have to deal with.

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.h3dpls9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
>
> You are right on target. The issue isn't the "control operator",
> it's the nature of the content. Just as a "one shot" patch to The
> Pizza Place is OK but conducting business with them on a regular
> basis via ham radio isn't, asking "where's a good place to get
> ....." is OK but having a server respond automatically to every
> such contact isn't OK. Section 97.113 of thr Rules may be good for
> curing insomnia but it's still "the word". Amateur radio is not
> supposed to be a substitute for any commercial service.
>
> True, the FCC hasn't been spending as much time as necessary in
> amateur regulation lately, but that's no guarantee that if this type
> of stuff starts becoming commonplace the hapless amateur who does
> this wom't get nailed.

>
> Of course, the non-lawyers here who are sure that I am wrong must have
> spent more than the 30 years that I did in FCC field enforcement and
> must have more legal experience in interpreting the FCC Rules for
> enforcement purposes (which is what I do for a living).
>
> 'Nuff of this stuff....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

1591. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 12:13:03 UTC
From: "A. W. Shears" <EWQ@seehearsewq.org>
Message-ID: <anhc7lvae0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h3dpls9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 2 Oct 2002 16:14:20 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> >Phil, perhaps you'd best explain. I am NOT a lawyer and in this case you
> >ask one (and if you have to ask, it is likely a no-no). My understanding
is
> >that the amateur cannot make any money AND THE BUSINESS CANNOT MAKE ANY
> >MONEY. To me, this would be 'advertising', not the same as asking
'where's
> >a good place to eat'. It certainly isn't the same as hitting the patch
and
> >placing an order for pizza. I'd certainly not try it, but would
appreciate
> >it you could explain the correct reasoning behind this (my news server
has
> >been down for a couple of days or I'd have jumped in this sooner).
>
> You are right on target. The issue isn't the "control operator",
> it's the nature of the content. Just as a "one shot" patch to The
> Pizza Place is OK but conducting business with them on a regular
> basis via ham radio isn't, asking "where's a good place to get
> ....." is OK but having a server respond automatically to every
> such contact isn't OK. Section 97.113 of thr Rules may be good for
> curing insomnia but it's still "the word". Amateur radio is not
> supposed to be a substitute for any commercial service.
>
> True, the FCC hasn't been spending as much time as necessary in
> amateur regulation lately, but that's no guarantee that if this type
> of stuff starts becoming commonplace the hapless amateur who does
> this wom't get nailed.
>
> Of course, the non-lawyers here who are sure that I am wrong must have
> spent more than the 30 years that I did in FCC field enforcement and
> must have more legal experience in interpreting the FCC Rules for
> enforcement purposes (which is what I do for a living).
>
> 'Nuff of this stuff....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

Yes, now go to your room and repeat three times,
"I am relevant, I am relevant, I am relevant."
Then come back here and report your findings.

73,

A.W.

1592. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 12:27:51 UTC
From: Speedy <123you@123youdorg.com>
Message-ID: <anhd3c$1lv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Yup, and if I recall, the same out-of-touch arrogant
bureaucrat who started that mess, then went to a Little League
baseball game and created a federal case out of a radar gun
the coaches were using to time balls pitched by the kids. No
better example of how arrogant federal bureaucrats have long
forgotten their correct place as lowly servants of the people.
Nevertheless, if I recall, the same wacko bureaucrat died a few
years later of cancer. Strange, how some things come full
circle, eventually.

Narod

"Hank Oredson" wrote in message
news:vnOm9.67401$1C2.3278837@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> This ground has been covered in HUGE detail back in 1982-86.
>
> The control operator is not required to click a button to allow
> each packet to pass through the system he is controlling, but
> only to be able to shut it down when notified there is a problem.
>
> I know of at least one case where a control operator shut
> down a system 2000 miles away, using an HF link, when
> he discovered a system failure. I know of another case where
> a control operator drove 50 miles to pull a breaker on a
> failing system. Note that "system failure" might mean "was
> passing not allowed traffic" when there were automated
> means intended to detect and block such traffic.
>
> No problem if you are sleeping ... someone will wake you :-)
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "Steve, K5OKC" wrote in message
> news:p1Nm9.3919$nh2.199@news1.central.cox.net...
> > If you're asleep 100 miles from the control point, then you are
> > "not in control of the station at all times."
> >
> > "News Post Account" wrote

> > >
> > > I never said where the control point/operator has to be
> > >
> > > "B. Smith" wrote
> > > >
> > > > That's quite a bit different than:
> > > >
> > > > > Don't forget you must have a control operator in control of the
station
> > > > > at all times.
> > > >
> > > > Packet radio must have a control operator (usually the callsign
given in
> > > > the from or via address), but the control operator does not have to
be
> > > > at the control point.
> > > >
> > > > It is entirely possible to have a packet radio station on the air
while
> > > > you are asleep, and 100 miles away from the control point.
> > > >
> > > > Thus there is no legal restriction to the proposed "Yellow Pages"
idea.
> > > >
> > > > "News Post Account" wrote
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not put the entire section up there? It still says "Each
amateur
> > > > > station must have at least one control point."
> >
> >
>
>

1593. Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 12:29:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021003082900.12881.00007790@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53509

>Subject: Re: Stolen Vehicle Tracked With Satellite Feature
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 10/2/02 10:31 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <0jEm9.4523$OB5.421444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>> You have a problem with answering simple
>> questions with simple answers?
>>
>
>No.

Good...then perhaps next time, rather than incite the type of exchange that YOU chastise others for, you can answer the question appropriately and amuse YOURSELF with your stinging wit...?!?!

Nes pas?

Steve

1594. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 13:15:31 UTC
From: News Post <Rock71FMS@theygoonaallynites.com>
Message-ID: <anhfsp$816$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey Phil, your friends over in ba.broadcast are clamoring
for your "official pontifications." Why don't you trundle over
there for a while and cool down while we low lifes over here
discuss things. 73, Stevie

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h3dpls9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 2 Oct 2002 16:14:20 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> >Phil, perhaps you'd best explain. I am NOT a lawyer and in this case you
> >ask one (and if you have to ask, it is likely a no-no). My understanding
is
> >that the amateur cannot make any money AND THE BUSINESS CANNOT MAKE ANY
> >MONEY. To me, this would be 'advertising', not the same as asking
'where's
> >a good place to eat'. It certainly isn't the same as hitting the patch
and
> >placing an order for pizza. I'd certainly not try it, but would
appreciate
> >it you could explain the correct reasoning behind this (my news server
has
> >been down for a couple of days or I'd have jumped in this sooner).
>
> You are right on target. The issue isn't the "control operator",
> it's the nature of the content. Just as a "one shot" patch to The
> Pizza Place is OK but conducting business with them on a regular
> basis via ham radio isn't, asking "where's a good place to get
> ....." is OK but having a server respond automatically to every
> such contact isn't OK. Section 97.113 of thr Rules may be good for
> curing insomnia but it's still "the word". Amateur radio is not
> supposed to be a substitute for any commercial service.
>
> True, the FCC hasn't been spending as much time as necessary in
> amateur regulation lately, but that's no guarantee that if this type
> of stuff starts becoming commonplace the hapless amateur who does
> this wom't get nailed.
>
> Of course, the non-lawyers here who are sure that I am wrong must have
> spent more than the 30 years that I did in FCC field enforcement and
> must have more legal experience in interpreting the FCC Rules for
> enforcement purposes (which is what I do for a living).
>
> 'Nuff of this stuff....

>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

1595. Re: Are yellow pages allowed - find out for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 14:38:47 UTC
From: Vito <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9C5677.8B2E6D72@crosslink.net>
Source record: 53512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:
> You are right on target. The issue isn't the "control operator",
> it's the nature of the content. ...

I agree with Phil but YMMV. Tell ya what: go ahead and do it then have your local ARRL Observer complain to the FCC and see what happens. Worst you'll get is a letter telling you to quit and asking to explain why you did it, followed by a fine or license action. Then you can set us all straight.

:o) K3DWW

1596. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 15:11:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <T6Zm9.6523$lV3.644431@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53513

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> I'll take one of the lifesized inflatable ones, thank-you!

Suspicions confirmed.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

1597. Re: Are yellow pages allowed - find out for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 15:39:22 UTC
From: Ha ha ha <HaHaHa@youJackAsshahahah.com>
Message-ID: <anhoak$qs0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Vito" <vito@crosslink.net> wrote in message
news:3D9C5677.8B2E6D72@crosslink.net...
> Phil Kane wrote:
> > You are right on target. The issue isn't the "control operator",
> > it's the nature of the content. ...
>
> I agree with Phil but YMMV. Tell ya what: go ahead and do it then have
> your local ARRL Observer complain to the FCC and see what happens. Worst
> you'll get is a letter telling you to quit and asking to explain why you
> did it, followed by a fine or license action. Then you can set us all
> straight.
>
> :o) K3DWW
>
How long have you been suffering from these delusions?

1598. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 16:21:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <d8_m9.6610$lV3.653384@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Read 97.219(b) and 97.219(d)(2). Then decide.

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

1599. FT-ONE Parting Out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 19:51:03 UTC
From: "Mitch, W4OA" <w4oa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <upp7t8112q4v93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.s

ups trashed my ft-one beyond repair... so i am parting
it out...

gone - if board, ram board, fm board
left - everything else, including knobs, meters, power
supply, etc.

i also have the original owners manual and the yaesu
technical (service) manual...

no reasonable offer(s) refused!

mitch, w4oa
w4oa@vibroplex.com

1600. Re: FT-ONE Parting Out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 19:56:20 UTC
From: "Mitch, W4OA" <w4oa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <upp875pvbk4h89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap

"Mitch, W4OA" <w4oa@arrl.net> wrote in message news:...
>
> ups trashed my ft-one beyond repair... so i am parting
> it out...
>
> gone - if board, ram board, fm board
> left - everything else, including knobs, meters, power
> supply, etc.
>
> i also have the original owners manual and the yaesu
> technical (service) manual...
>
> no reasonable offer(s) refused!
>
> mitch, w4oa
> w4oa@vibroplex.com
>
>
>

1601. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 19:56:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210031156.6651f2c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53516

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D9B90E9.C52F33C5@earthlink.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> > >
> > > --
> > > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> >
> > This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
> >
> > w3rv
>
> The trip could actually be a good thing. Brian P Burke would have
> someone on hand to show him how a pileup works.
>
> Dave K8MN

The Greatest DXer in the World should go along as well. We could use
some help running up the total, especially with all of them out of
band Frenchmen. That could be a big plus with the budgetary aspect of
the dxpedition.

1602. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 19:59:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210031159.5735b195@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53517

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021002223649.17356.00000060@mb-da.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> >news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >> http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> >>
> >> --
> >> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
>
> I guess reports of his death are much exaggerated. Story sez he's 66. which
> means he was in his early '30s back when he was dxpeditioning where he wasn't.
> >
> >This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> >and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
>
> They'd wind up on Heard Island.
>
> One wonders if he will want to get back on the air. If so, with what call?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, for a guy who doesn't like being poked at you sure like to poke at others.

Brian

1603. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 20:01:47 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210031201.4b0b812@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53518

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>...
> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> >
> > --
> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
>
> This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
>
> w3rv

Burma, huh? Some DXer you are.

Do you even know how long it hasn't been Burma?

1604. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 20:11:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upp95d923dsr2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53519

Hans,

Just a question - did you sacrifice a gecko when you set up the emergency comms on Rota (or was it Saipan)? You guys did a hell of a job, however.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA (former WB2OSP - the Old SourPuss from Rottenchester, NY)

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
>
> --
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
>
>
>
>

1605. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 20:20:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <eE1n9.7114$lV3.681017@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53520

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote

> Just a question - did you sacrifice a gecko when you set up the emergency
> comms on Rota (or was it Saipan)? You guys did a hell of a job, however.

Nope, we sacrificed a virgin CTSA. (It was Saipan)

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

1606. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 20:30:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uppa9ddt24evd6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John,

There has been a lot of arguing over this thread, but I had a thought. Forget the FCC for a minute. I recently read that some companies are suing 'Gator'. It seems they advertise and pay for the advertising, but the Gator ads sometimes cover theirs - PLUS Gator's ad may be for a competitor. I've a question. Suppose - just suppose - some licensed amateur receives that packet with those restaurants - and happens to own another restaurant that isn't listed. Just perhaps your biggest problem might suddenly not be the FCC .... BTW, if you're an ARRL member, a lot of the folks in this newsgroup just might turn to page 9 of the October, 2002, issue of QST. That is one heck of a good editorial. I suggest you read it and consider what it is saying.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0209300437.73b1e24@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> Here is what I'm thinking:
>
> I set up my basestation 2 meter radio along with my computer. It
> listens on a particular frequency and any time someone sends an APRS
> packet to me, I'll have the computer look up the 5 closest restaurants
> to them and then read back the restaurant names and addresses. I have
> all the code required to do this, I just need to write some glue
> software to make it all work together. The text-to-speech routines I
> use are very easy to understand.
>
> Would this be allowed, or would this be commercial use?
>
> If this is allowed, I could see adding a DTMF interface whereby a user
> could put my service into different modes for restaurants, shopping
> centers, etc.
>
> What do you think?
> John

1607. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 22:54:36 UTC
From: "Steve, K5OKC" <k5okc@nojunk.cox.net>
Message-ID: <MU3n9.6616$nh2.2669@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

True. I would fear a capitalist more than a socialist, but
the government is now hiring mostly non-professional
people to run the fascist police agencies, and with laws
that allow them to confiscate and sell your property before
trial, they are giving the capitalists a true run for their money.

I've never been a member of the in-bred mafia there in New
England, although I did write an article for them once, that
was rejected, and I sold it to another magazine. What are
they bitching about now?

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote
> John,
>
> There has been a lot of arguing over this thread, but I had a thought.
> Forget the FCC for a minute. I recently read that some companies are suing
> 'Gator'. It seems they advertise and pay for the advertising, but the Gator
> ads sometimes cover theirs - PLUS Gator's ad may be for a competitor. I've
> a question. Suppose - just suppose - some licensed amateur receives that
> packet with those restaurants - and happens to own another restaurant that
> isn't listed. Just perhaps your biggest problem might suddenly not be the
> FCC .... BTW, if you're an ARRL member, a lot of the folks in this newsgroup
> just might turn to page 9 of the October, 2002, issue of QST. That is one
> heck of a good editorial. I suggest you read it and consider what it is
> saying.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

1608. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 23:03:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210031503.e6a6a3a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53525

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021002223649.17356.00000060@mb-da.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> >news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >> http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> >>
> >> --
> >> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
>
> I guess reports of his death are much exaggerated. Story sez he's 66. which
> means he was in his early '30s back when he was dxpeditioning where he wasn't.
> >
> >This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> >and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
>
> They'd wind up on Heard Island.
>
> One wonders if he will want to get back on the air. If so, with what call?
>

Somewhere along the line I got the impression that convicted felons
are not allowed to hold U.S. ham licenses.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1609. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-03 23:15:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3f9l7b.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53526

On 3 Oct 2002 16:03:59 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:

>Somewhere along the line I got the impression that convicted felons
>are not allowed to hold U.S. ham licenses.

The blanket prohibition went away many years ago but the Commish'
still has the authority and obligation to investigate and determoine
on a case-by-case basis if any applicant in qyestion has the
"requisite moral character" to obtain or retain a license.

Sometime they miss the mark widely, but what the hey, it's the full
employment act for us attorneys .....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1610. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 00:39:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021003203904.05850.00000815@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 53527

In article <20020929201917.06622.00005878@mb-cq.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>>>>1. If Lance Bass gives ham radio a hipper image that appeals to young
>>>>people, I'll take credit.
>>
>>>So what? Lance is no complement to Ham Radio.
>>
>> And YOU are...?!?!
>
>An entertainer would be an appliance operator.

How do you know that? People have all sorts of interests. Perhaps Mr. Bass would become interested in the technical aspects of amateur radio. He is/was interested in going into space, so he may very well have a technical bent as well as musical talent.

>Appliance operation would not be a benefit to Ham Radio.

All depends on the type of operation. For example:

>Possible benefits could include the service aspect of
>Ham Radio, however.

Well, there you have it. If an appliance operation includes public service, then by definition it is a benefit to Ham Radio.

In fact, I would bet that most public service operation would qualify as "appliance operation" by "appliance operator" hams. And those hams contribute enormous benefits to amateur radio, and to our society.

The contributions of "appliance operator" hams are significant, and cannot be ignored.

>I advocate the technical, educational, and fun aspects of Amateur Radio,
>which is missing from many "Ham" clubs, so I feel that I could help Ham Radio.

"Fun aspects" includes operating manufactured equipment, doesn't it?

Judging the whole of amateur radio by the few club meetings you may have visited is like the story of the blind men and the elephant.

Perhaps you could help Ham Radio. Do you have a license? What is your callsign?

>>>Britney Spears endorse Ham Radio? Unlikely
>.>Why? She endorses other products on TV. What would be different about
>>Amateur Radio?
>

>Amateur Radio would have to fit in with the image she is trying to project.

True. Why wouldn't it? I don't know Ms. Spears - she might enjoy amateur radio.

>Ham Radio itself should have positive value for it to be endorsed.

And it does have positive value.

> A bunch of low
>lifes hunting bands would not help her image. Also, no self respecting person
>would like to be called a "Ham".

This makes no sense to me. What are you trying to say?

N2EY

1611. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 01:26:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aniqo9kuk1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53528

What is a 'Lance Bass' ? Is it a fish someone stuck with a stick?

Dan/W4NTI

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.393 / Virus Database: 223 - Release Date: 30-Sep-02

1612. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 01:50:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9CF401.51E48090@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53529

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D9B90E9.C52F33C5@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian Kelly wrote:
> > >
> > > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > >
> > > This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > > and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > The trip could actually be a good thing. Brian P Burke would have
> > someone on hand to show him how a pileup works.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> The Greatest DXer in the World should go along as well. We could use
> some help running up the total, especially with all of them out of
> band Frenchmen. That could be a big plus with the budgetary aspect of
> the dxpedition.

I figured you for dim but you always have to go me one better and prove
it.
The greatest DXer in the world would be going. He be the op who isn't
you.

Dave K8MN

1613. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 02:37:47 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021003223747.09987.00002689@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 53530

In article <c2356669.0210011059.10310291@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>
>As of May 14, 2000:
>
>Novice - 49,329
>Tech - 205,394
>Tech Plus - 128,860
>General - 112,677
>Advanced - 99,782
>Extra - 78,750
>Total - 674,792
>
>
>As of September 30, 2002:
>
>Novice - 36,705 (decrease of 12,624)
>Tech - 244,464 (increase of 39,070)
>Tech Plus - 75,618 (decrease of 53,639)
>General - 139,095 (increase of 26,418)
>Advanced - 84,648 (decrease of 15,134)
>Extra - 102,791 (increase of 24,041)
>Total - 683,321 (increase of 8,529)
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Two-and-a-half years into Restructuring, and we've gained only 8.5 kilohams? Where are all the eager young computer-literate electronic wizards we were promised? We should have seen our numbers almost double by now, in the absence of high-speed code testing! This "growth" is barely what we've seen during the same period in the Pre-Restructuring Era! I'd dearly love to know the average age of those 39K new Techs we gained in the last 2.5 years! Is there any known source of such demographic data regarding the ARS?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1614. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 02:39:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021003223920.17356.00000089@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 53531

In article <d22b54c3.0210031503.e6a6a3a@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> One wonders if he

[Miller]

>will want to get back on the air. If so, with what call?
>
>Somewhere along the line I got the impression that convicted felons
>are not allowed to hold U.S. ham licenses.

I think it's up to the FCC's discretion. I don't think W9WNV was ever convicted of a telecommunications-related crime. Plus he's been a model prisoner for 22 years. Earned a law degree while in the pokey - which means tax dollars were spent to do it.

"Kid, have you rehabilitated yourself?" - Arlo Guthrie, "Alice's Restaurant"

73 de Jim, N2EY

1615. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 03:29:30 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <anj1uq$1c01$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 53532

Brian <brianburke@juno.com> wrote:
>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>...
>> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
>news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>> > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
>> >
>> > --
>> > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
>>
>> This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
>> and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
>>
>> w3rv
>
>Burma, huh? Some DXer you are.
>
>Do you even know how long it hasn't been Burma?

Should let the CIA know that too, the World Factbook 2001 online at

http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/

still calls it Burma.

In fact, people in that country also call it Burma, at least the ones who speaking English in my presence. It is like a clever plot to keep anyone from knowing how to correctly pronounce the other name.

Dennis Ferguson

1616. Wireless Page updated with DX Cluster pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 03:33:10 UTC
From: Tomas Hood - NW7US <nw7us@hfradio.removethislittlepart.org>
Message-ID: <0A725B5AE13007CD.90BDFD10C92975A1.DEE4001B9EEDBF6D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 53533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Howdy, folks.

This message is about my ham radio resource for those who have WIRELESS access to WML pages. In otherwords, if you have a cellphone or pda that can read WML (like an Ericsson or Nokia, and so forth), or, you use Opera (the Webbrowser), then you can access the special format of the radio pages at http://wap.hfradio.org/ - if you browse that page with a normal webbrowser (say, Netscape or MSIE), you will be redirected to the normal HTML pages at http://hfradio.org

With that said:

I've added a large number of additional DX Cluster pages - spots by band, by special interest (IOTA, Mobile, QRP, and so on), announcements and wwv reports. These WML pages are NOT on my normal site (since they are from the DX Summit site). These pages are an interface for WIRELESS browsers to the DX Summit pages. If you are a wireless device user (cellphone, pda) that can browse WML pages, check out

http://wap.hfradio.org/

There are more resources than just the DX Cluster, by the way. I also provide live propagation data, forecasts, and related information.

I'd love to hear how the WML works on your cellphone or other WML device. I have tried to create pages that render well on all versions, and so forth.

Enjoy.

73 de Tomas, NW7US // AAR0JA
--
: Propagation Editor, CQ/PopComm Magazines - Member, USArmy MARS :
: http://prop.hfradio.org : Brinnon, Washington 122.93W 47.67N :
: A creator of solutions : http://accessnow.com : Perl Rules! :
: 10x56526 - FISTS 7055 - FISTS NW 57 - http://hfradio.org/barsc :
: A.R.Lighthouse Society 144 -- CW, SSB, RTTY, AMTOR, DX-Hunting :

1617. Re: Are yellow pages allowed on 2 meters? ARPS -> Yellow Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 04:01:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D9D1295.DD353AAC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:

> Amateur radio is not
> supposed to be a substitute for any commercial service.
>

This rule exists to protect our bands. From being taken over
by commercial interests. I'd forget about the yellow pages
thing on a ham repeater.

1618. Re: Are yellow pages allowed - find out for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 04:06:31 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D9D13C7.61D685A2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Vito wrote:

> I agree with Phil but YMMV. Tell ya what: go ahead and do it then have
> your local ARRL Observer complain to the FCC and see what happens. Worst
> you'll get is a letter telling you to quit and asking to explain why you
> did it, followed by a fine or license action. Then you can set us all
> straight.

The FCC would ask you to shut it down if they had a problem with
it. Only if you then didn't would the fines and stuff happen.

It's not like the FCC will pounce on you for using the wrong mode
in some subband by mistake once.

1619. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 05:41:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210032141.194eff15@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53536

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h3f9l7b.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 3 Oct 2002 16:03:59 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >Somewhere along the line I got the impression that convicted felons
> >are not allowed to hold U.S. ham licenses.
>
> The blanket prohibition went away many years ago

Tnx.

> but the Commish'
> still has the authority and obligation to investigate and determoine
> on a case-by-case basis if any applicant in qyestion has the
> "requisite moral character" to obtain or retain a license.

Ya mean like Our Herbie?

> Sometime they miss the mark widely, but what the hey, it's the full
> employment act for us attorneys .....

Just how far do you wanna take this one . . ?

>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

1620. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 05:46:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210032146.7f1b431b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53537

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0210031201.4b0b812@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>...
> > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> > >
> > > --
> > > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> >
> > This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Burma, huh? Some DXer you are.
>
> Do you even know how long it hasn't been Burma?

You're a bunch easier to troll than yer role model is. Junior.

w3rv

1621. ARNewsline 1311 - Sept 27 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 07:29:05 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021004032905.11598.00013175@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 53538

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1311 – September 27, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1311 with a release date of Friday, September 27th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Unlicensed stations invade the low end of 20 meters and a tower finding in California highlight this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1311 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

INTRUDER WATCH: WORLDWIDE QRM FROM INDONESIA

Unlicensed stations allegedly operating from Indonesia are causing havoc to the D-X beacon monitoring system on 20 meters. Its interference being heard around the world as we hear from Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB:

--
The presence of Indonesian non amateur groups on the various amateur band frequencies in the 40 and 20 meter bands is causing severe concern for all the amateurs of Region 3 and the world over. While they use amateur radio frequencies for their regular communications, you will never miss the many calls for Prayers and the many jokes and Kookaburra pattern of laughing with old men and young ladies together.

The 40 meter band has several channels of 5 kHz intervals from the lower edge and these stations have their breeding grounds here. They graduate to other frequencies and move to 20 meter band, where they are on either side of the International Beacon frequency of 14100 kHz. Many of us in Region 3, cannot monitor any NCDXF/IARU beacons on this frequency.

Even though this QRM from Indonesians has been reported month after month to telecommunications authorities, so far nothing seems to be happening get them off the air.
--

If you hear this QRM, please report it to your nations Intruder Watch coordinator.
(Q-News)

**

RADIO LAW: COURT RULES CITY CANNOT RESTRICT BUSINESS ANTENNA USE

A California Court of Appeals has ruled that a city cannot regulate the type of transmissions that an FCC license holder can broadcast. It also says that a

city ordinance that prohibited a license holder from broadcasting commercially is preempted by federal law. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, says what the city of Rancho Palos Verdes was trying to write its own rules on this one:

--
Mark Abrams, WA6DPB, lives in Rancho Palos Verdes California. He is an amateur radio operator and with city approval, Abrams built a 40-foot antenna and 52-foot antenna in the backyard of his home. The city’s approval of the towers was contingent on their use being limited to non-commercial applications only. Abrams is also the owner of a business that sells and services two-way radio equipment and holds 76 licenses issued by the FCC which permitted the use of his antennas for commercial purposes.
--
Abrams: Audio Onlt at www.arnewsline.org.
--

Abrams says that he never used the two city-approved towers to receive or transmit on frequencies for commercial use. Instead, he used portable antennas mounted on tripods in his backyard and on a mobile tower trailer which he parked in his side yard.

But in 1998 and 1999, the City of Rancho Palos Verdes monitored radio transmissions from Abrams's property and detected the commercial use of frequencies. The City stated that these transmissions were in violation of an ordinance that required FCC licensees to obtain a conditional use permit from the city's planning commission to operate commercially.

--
Abrams: Audio only at www.arnewsline.org
--

The City of Rancho Palos Verdes and State of California filed an action seeking an injunction to bar Abrams from, among other things, operating his antennas for commercial purposes. Abrams answered the complaint and filed a cross-complaint of his own. It he claimed that federal law preempted the City's ordinance because it prohibited the use of frequencies allocated to him and his customers by the FCC.

--
Abrams: Audio only at www.arnewsline.org
--

The court says that he can use his antennas for commercial purposes without a city permit. It says that federal law preempts the City's ordinance banning such use because the Rancho Palos Verdes requirement that Abrams obtain a permit to transmit a commercial broadcast was preempted by federal regulation. It says that Abrams's FCC licenses enable him to operate commercially on certain frequencies and the City of Rancho Palos Verdes limitation on his rights are in conflict with federal law. And that’s good news for WA6DPB.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, reporting.
--

The Court concluded the City does hold zoning authority, but such authority is limited to the placement, construction, and modification of facilities used for commercial mobile radio services only. (ARNewsline™)

**
RADIO RULES: UPDATE ON NTIA VS. PROPOSED 5 MHZ HAM BAND

Some good news concerning the National Telecommunications and Information Administration opposition to a new Amateur service allocation at 5 Mhz. This from ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, and General Counsel Chris Imlay, W3KD who say that they are now optimistic about reaching a resolution to issues that could otherwise block plans for the band.

The two met with representatives of the government agency to discuss the NTIA concerns. Haynie says that they came away with a feeling that the NTIA was willing to work with the ARRL to resolve concerns. Until surprise opposition surfaced the FCC appeared to have put ARRL's request for a new, domestic-only, secondary amateur allocation at 60 meters on the political fast track. (ARRL Bulletin)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Three Rivers Amateur Radio Club repeater serving Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

(5 sec pause here)

**
RESCUE RADIO: HURRICANE ISADORE STRIKS

On Tuesday, September 24th, the FCC declared that a general communications emergency existed for Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas. This as hurricane Isadore approached the region.

The declaration requires amateurs not use 3.873 MHz during hours of darkness and 7.285 MHz during daylight. Both frequencies are to be protected plus or minus 3 kHz. Only amateurs taking part in the handling of emergency traffic are to use these 6 kilohertz slots.

The FCC said the communications emergency could last as long as 14 days but it actually remains in force until its rescinded. Keep an eye on the ARRL website newspages for ongoing updates on ham radio involvement in this emergency. Its in cyberspace at www.arl.org (From ARRL bulletin.)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: V-U-SAT’S MESSAGES FROM ORBIT

A new ham radio satellite to be launched by India wants to carry your message. This, according to VU2NUD who is the coordinator for Amsat-India.

VU2NUD says that V-U-SAT will carry a beacon system with the ability to play back pre recorded messages on command. They will be transmitted in F-M voice

for all the world to hear and your words could be among those it broadcasts from space. Here's how:

Think of short, meaningful and apropos messages no longer than 15 seconds in duration. It could be related to ham radio space operations, amateur satellites, famous quotes, sayings or whatever. Then send it along to VU2SBJ by e- mail to sri@ebhats.com and a copy to ham@vsnl.com. Mark clearly in the subject: Message for Beacon. Then wait to see what V-U-SAT eventually has to say. (ANS)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE LICENSE RENEWAL

The FCC has notified Scott E. Kamm, of Sioux City Iowa that it has set aside the renewal of his Technician class license grant for N0UGN. The Commission said it took the action based on recent complaints about the operation of Kamm's station and questions regarding his qualifications to be a licensee.

The FCC notes a Warning Notice by the Enforcement Bureau sent to Kamm last February 5th. The agency says that the warning was related to Kamm's alleged use of transmitting equipment on 156.300 and 174.000 MHz without a license, and deliberate interference to an Amateur repeater operating on 146.910 MHz. The letter also pointed out that Kamm's address in the database was incorrect. And subsequent to that warning notice the FCC says that information came to its attention that Kamm had apparently provided radio equipment to an unlicensed minor residing in Waterbury, Nebraska, and had instructed him on how to operate on the Marine Band and other frequencies.

In his February 11th response to the FCC Warning Notice, Kamm denied setting up radio equipment for the minor, and also stated that he had sold all of his radio equipment. But the FCC says that it has all of these complaints under review and that Kamm will be hearing from the agency again in the not to distant future. (FCC)

**

SAFETY ALERT: FLUKE METER RECALL

Test equipment manufacturer Fluke Corporation has announced a voluntary recall of certain models of its digital multimeters. This because of a delay in readings on the A-C scale above 500 volts.

According to a company release, the meters can take more than 18 seconds to reach a final reading. This could lead users to think that the voltage is lower than it actually is.

The meters involved in the recall are models 175, 177 and 179 of which 17000 were sold in the United States. All of the recalled units have a serial number below 79000000 and were sold between January and October 2001. If you have one, please call Fluke toll-free at 800-260-4819 between 8 AM and 7 PM weekdays Eastern time. More information on the recall can be found in cyberspace at www.fluke.com/rma/default.asp. (Fluke press release forwarded by WA6ILQ)

**

SAFETY ALERT: KODAK DC5000 DIGI CAMERA RECALL

A recall on a popular digital camera. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has the shocking details:

--
If you own a Kodak brand digital camera model D C 5000, listen up. Eastman Kodak Co. is recalling about 75,000 of these cameras because of a possible manufacturing defect that can cause electrical shock.

According to news reports, the recalled cameras bear the model number DC5000 on the lower front right-hand corner. The cameras also carry a plate on the bottom containing the Kodak product identifier code KJCAA, followed by an eight-digit serial number ranging from 01800001 to 11700825.

The cameras were sold at department, electronic, computer and camera stores as well as mail-order and Web sites from June 2000 through August 2002. Consumers can return the cameras for free inspection and repair by contacting the company at 1-888-793-2977 between 9 a.m. and 8 p.m. Monday through Friday Eastern Daylight Time.
--

Again, if you own one of these cameras, please contact Kodak at 1-888-793-2977 between 9 a.m. and 8 p.m. Monday through Friday Eastern Daylight Time. (Kodak press release)

**

HAM RADIO ON TV: ICOM JOINS “THE HUMAN RACE”

ICOM America has been named the high frequency communications provider for the television shoe The Human Race. ICOM America has already shipped an IC-706 Mark II G to Boston for use by the show. The IC-706 and additional equipment will be used during an upcoming trek along the actual travel route to be used. The donation is the result of an agreement recently reached between ICOM America's National Sales Manager Ray Novak, KC7JPA, and the shows producer Bill Desjardins, W1ZY. (THR)

**

CONFRENCES: WSWSS IN CERRITOS CA

The Western States VHF-UHF and Microwave Conference is slated for October 11, 12 and 13 at the Sheraton Cerritos Hotel Towne Center in Cerritos California. Hosted by the Western States Weak Signal Society, the conference provides VHF and UHF enthusiasts the knowledge and tools to work DX and contests on 6 meters and up. This years banquet speaker is ARRL 1st Vice-President and VHF/UHF contester Joel Harrison, W5ZN. More information is on the web at www.wswss.org. (WSWSS)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

RADIO HISTORY: PEARL HARBOR REPORTER S.K.

He wasn't a ham, but Hugh Lytle was a communicator in his own right. History remembers Lytle as the man whose teletype message provided The Associated Press and the world with the first account of Japan's sneak attack on Pearl Harbor back in 1941.

Lytle was the news services Honolulu correspondent and a reserve Army officer. He was awakened by the Army on December 7th as Japanese planes bombed the U.S. fleet. According to his son David, Lytle quickly left for his A-P office at the Honolulu Star-Bulletin, where he filed a brief account of the attack in progress. He then reported for military duty with the Army.

A few weeks ago on August 16th Hugh Lytle passed away. He was 100 years old. (Published reports)

**

HAM TRAVEL: FREE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR HAMS ON THE GO

From across the Atlantic comes word of an interesting program for hams who like to move around. The International Travel Host Exchange, or I-T-H-E is a program administered by the German national society, D.A.R.C. It provides radio amateurs with the possibility of free accommodation with other amateurs around the world in exchange for other hams offering accommodation to overseas amateurs.

Most International Travel Host Exchange members are in Europe, but there are also amateurs registered in Australia, Canada, India, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, New Zealand, Syria, Thailand and the USA. Once registered, postal or e-mail addresses are made available so that contact can be established well before any visit takes place. For more information please contact Thilo Kootz, DL9KCE by e-mail to dl9kce@darc.de.

**

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: NEW GRAYLINE STUDY REMAILER

A new Internet newsgroup has been set up to discuss and coordinate the current research into greyline propagation by the RSGB Propagation Studies Committee. It is with Yahoo and people can subscribe by sending an empty e-mail to greyline-subscribe@yahoogroups.co.uk

Yahoogroups is also the home of the Amateur Radio Newsline e-mail list you subscribe to it by sending a similar empty e-mail to arnewsline-subscribe@yahoogroups.com. ARNewsline is one word spelled a-r-n-e-w-s-l-i-n-e.

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: RS-12/13 - S.K.

The AMSAT News Service and the ARRL Letter both report that the Russian Radiosport RS-12/13 ham satellite appears to have died. Jerry Brown, K5OE, reports he's received word from Russian sources that RS-12/13 went dark as a result of severe solar flare activity in
July and August.

At airtime, efforts are under way to restore the satellite, but hopes for success are dim, according to Brown's Russian acquaintances. RS-12/13 were integrated into the COSMOS 2123 Russian navigation satellite, launched February 5, 1991. It’s beacons have not been heard since August 20. (ANS, ARRL Letter)

**

INTERNATIONAL - AUSTRALIA: CB RULES ARE CHANGING

The Australian UHF CB equipment standard is to change. The new standard will require that CTCSS equipment include facilities to reduce interference to non CTCSS users. Required features include a 'channel busy' warning light and a 'listen before transmit' function. The changes were required by the Australian Communications Authority following changes that legalized CTCSS signaling on UHF CB channels. The A-C-A has also informed the Australian Association of Citizens Band Radio Operators that once the standards are approved by Standards Australia, they will become compulsory for equipment manufacturers. (Q-News)

**

DX

In D-X, word that KF2XN is active as KH2 slash KF2XN from Guam for at least the next month. He operates mostly SSB in his spare time. QSL as directed on the air. (RSGB)

And BI7DX is an Isands on the Air expedition that will operate from Weizhou Island throuhgh the 4th of October. Weizhou Island is located in the Gulf of Tongking in South China with team members comming from four different Chinese provences. The operation will be on SSB and CW from 40 to 10 meters, including the WARC bands. (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE BIRDS ARE BACK

And finally this week, our favorite flying T-Hunt is about to start. This, as the famed radio tagged burrowing owls take flight once again. With the details, here’s Joe Moell, K0OV.

--
It's that time again -- migratory birds in the Northern Hemisphere are heading south for the winter. For the fifth year, ham radio operators and scanner fans are being asked to use their equipment to help wildlife biologists figure out the routes and final destinations of endangered Burrowing Owls. In previous

years, hams helped determine that owls hatching during summer months in the Canadian provinces of Saskatchewan and Alberta travel over central states from North Dakota to Oklahoma, ending up in southeast Texas and northern states of Mexico. They sometimes fly over 2000 miles to their winter homes.

There aren't any radio-tagged Canadian owls to listen for this fall, but the University of Arizona is asking for ham help with 53 transmittered owls from eastern Washington state. If you live in the western USA and can receive 150 MHz signals, you could help. Your scanner or extended-range hand-held ham radio transceiver, plus an outside antenna, are all you need to join in. If you have radio direction finding equipment for VHF, so much the better.

For all the frequencies and monitoring information, go to the usual place on the Web, www.homingin.com (HomingIn is one word.) If you aren't on the Web, send postal mail to my callbook address. Again, the Web site is homingin.com

Thanks in advance for your help. From southern California, this is Joe Moell K-zero-Oscar-Victor for Amateur Radio Newsline

--

Once again, more information is in cyberspace at www.homingin.com. (ARNewsline™)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

A reminder that our survey how ham radio is being used by home schoolers is still taking place. If you are a home schooling parent or a student being home schooled, please send your thoughts on the subject by e-mail to newsline@arnewsline.org. We will keep the survey going for one more week.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Jim Damron N8TMW and I’m Jeff Clark K8JAC saying 73 and we thank you for listening.
Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

1622. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 11:25:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021004072500.05850.00000825@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 53539

In article <20021003223747.09987.00002689@mb-fp.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>n article <c2356669.0210011059.10310291@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>
>>As of May 14, 2000:
>>
>>Novice - 49,329
>>Tech - 205,394
>>Tech Plus - 128,860
>>General - 112,677
>>Advanced - 99,782
>>Extra - 78,750
>>Total - 674,792
>>
>>
>>As of September 30, 2002:
>>
>>Novice - 36,705 (decrease of 12,624)
>>Tech - 244,464 (increase of 39,070)
>>Tech Plus - 75,618 (decrease of 53,639)
>>General - 139,095 (increase of 26,418)
>>Advanced - 84,648 (decrease of 15,134)
>>Extra - 102,791 (increase of 24,041)
>>Total - 683,321 (increase of 8,529)
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Two-and-a-half years into Restructuring, and we've gained only 8.5 kilohams?

Yup. In fact, it's worse than that: The number of US hams peaked around February 2001, and has wandered around the present number since then.

>Where are all the eager young computer-literate electronic wizards we were
>promised?

On the internet, or looking for jobs since the dot-com bubble burst. Your career choice turned out to be a lot more secure.

> We should have seen our numbers almost double by now, in
>the absence of high-speed code testing!

The USA has never had "high-speed" code testing for a ham license. And since 1990, anyone with a documentable learning problem could get a medical waiver.

>This "growth" is barely what we've
>seen during the same period in the Pre-Restructuring Era!

Bingo. Which indicates that the code testing is not the problem some folks claim it is.

In about a year we will see Element 1 dropped, unless a miracle happens. Then we'll see how much effect it really has.

>I'd dearly love
>to know the average age of those 39K new Techs we gained in the last
>2.5 years!

We did not get 39,000 new Techs. FCC has been renewing all existing Tech Pluses as Techs since April 15, 2000. That's one of the reasons Tech is growing so fast and Tech Plus is shrinking so fast. If the rules are left alone, by April of 2010 there will be no Tech Pluses at all. A SWAG says that at least a third of those 39,000 are Tech Pluses renewed as Techs.

Also, a Novice who passes the Tech written gets a Tech, even though HF privs are retained.

> Is there any known source of such demographic data regarding
>the ARS?

Not a reliable source. FCC has been on and off with requiring birthdate data. Hamfests and club meetings are not representative samplings.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1623. Re: Are yellow pages allowed - find out for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 15:21:35 UTC
From: Vito <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9DB1FF.68738F32@crosslink.net>
Source record: 53540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ha ha ha wrote:
>
> >
> How long have you been suffering from these delusions?

Since I began reading enforcement letters like
<http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/0921.html?nc=1>

1624. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 15:52:15 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <VRin9.8128$GF5.177182@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53541

> >> (Bert Craig) writes:
> >> >True. I didn't wan't to make it sound too easy, lest I let the cat out
> >> >of the bag re. a well kept Element 1 secret...all you have to really
> >> >do to pass the code is...(shhhh)...try.
> >>
> >> Now you've done it!
> >
> >What'd I do?! O:-)
>
> Gave away the big secret that it's really not that hard at all to pass the
> test!

Aw shucks, I think most folks already know that. Perhaps they just think it's easier to wait it out? You know what's funny? An old neighborhood friend of mine is a Tech and is going to "wait it out." Well, a while back I'd mentioned that my XYL wanted her ticket and he advised to grab a Tech so we could chat on 2m. I explained that her interest was sparked by hearing S.A. come in on my CB rig. "Too bad, she'll have to wait for the code requirement to get droppedfor a DX band." said my friend. Well, after sending a few code characters for the examiner in Guayaquil, Ecuador' she get's a HC2 Novice call. The funny part's that because we (USA) have a reciprocal agreement with Ecuador, my XYL gets to ply her hobby on the 10m Novice sub-band as HC2xx/W2 @ 200 watts (Until she gets her US citizenship, of course.) while my friend still waits for the code requirement to "go away." Moral of the story...all because she "tried." (Don't think she didn't hesitate, but the examiner told her to "give it a shot.")

> >> >> I think such a dim view is understandable, given the history of cb
and
> >> >> freeband.
> >> >
> >> >Granted. But do remember that RM-9807 had nothing to do with illegal
> >> >operation.
> >>
> >> Didn't it seek to make that which is now illegal (working dx beyond
155.3
> >>kM) legal?

No more so than the repeal of prohibition.

> Are you saying there is no association between cb and freeband? I
disagree!

There's NO association between the attempt by CBers to legally change the rules r. the CB and the "freeband." (Or the use of amplifiers, for that matter.)

> >Nothing could
> >be further from the truth. RM-9807 was a noble effort to legally remove
the
> >155.3 mi. limit rather than simply ignore the rule.
>
> But what would be the effects of removing that rule?

Folks could legally respond to any signal that their legal setup is capable of receiveing. Again, just to reiterate, we ARE talking about 12 watts P.E.P. on a 440 KHz sliver of the HF spectrum. (Sans VFO to boot.) Most SSB ops. take part on channels 36 through 40. Entirely underwhelming, Jim. I, for one, find it pretty hard to feel threatened as an ARO. AROs that are also CBers could also demonstrate good operating technique to newbies using type-certified gear. Is it easy to get an AR license? Sure, but it's not for everybody...particularly, right out of the gate. Neither you nor I can speak for everybody.

> I would. The rule is there for a good reason.

That reason may go away next year. I see no other good reasons.

> > That doesn't
> >mean I condone ignoring the rules in place though. Any person(s) who find
> >any rule/law to be sufficiently objectionable should work to change said
> >rule/law using any and all legal means at their disposal...in this case,
a
> >RM proposal.
>
> No argument about the right of citizens to petition for changes in the
rules.
> But that right includes the rights of other citizens to disagree with the
> proposed change.

Fair enough. UNLESS, it's a private interest group that is unconcerned (By charter, in the case of the ARRL.) with the area and/or constituency directly affected by said rule/law.

> Let's talk about the "punish 'em all" mindset. Since 1978 it has been
illegal
> to buy, sell or manufacture external RF power amplifiers for the 10 meter
ham
> band. Hams can build or modify exactly one amp of a given design per year.
> Amplifiers that do not meet certain rules are also prohibited, even if
they do
> not cover 10 meters.
>
> These rules hamper law-abiding hams. But those rules do not exist because
of
> what hams do, or have done in the past. Those rules exist because of the
> widespread use of amplifiers by cbers and freebanders. It was a big
problem 25+
> years ago, and it's still a problem. And I doubt the rules have had much
effect

> on cb or freeband.

All very good (or NOT) and true, but...this has nothing to do with RM-9807. You already know my position re. illegal amps, out-of-band ops., and 10m intrusions...I hate 'em and I'll drop a dime (Ok, quarter.) in a second, but I won't paint 'em ALL with the same brush.

> >> It has to do with the basis and purpose of various services.

The "basis and purpose" thing is all fine, but that's changed. Plain and simple.

> >Like it or not,
> >this is what the citizen's band has evolved into...and quite frankly, let's
> >not forget who the band's was named for.
>
> The mere fact that something has evolved a certain way does not make it right
> or justify rules changes that would further that evolution.

In this case, I believe it does. It's just a difference in opinion.

> >It was placed in a portion of the RF
> >spectrum that supports worldwide propagation, bad move to begin with.
>
> So we should compound one mistake with another?

I don't believe we'd be "compounding" the mistake. Quite the oposite, I believe we have an opportunity to create a win-win situation. It'd involve increased enforcement and perhaps decreasing the ill-will (real and/or perceived) between AR and CB operators. We might even gain some sorely needed "self-policing" wrt enforcement on the CB.

> > If the
> >"citizens" wish to redefine it, let them <do so> without interference.
>
> Are not the views of ALL citizens to be heard on the issue?

Sure, but how p/o'd would you be if a CBer in your town lobbied and won to get ALL antennas restricted to a height of 60 ft.? Don't think it could happen? Much stranger things have gone "on the books," especially in smaller incorporated towns and villages. PRB-1 has not been the defeat-all club we'd hoped it would be and even then, it'd cost some dough to get it done. (Just like it will when the next petition to drop the 155.3 mi. limit is submitted.)

> And what "mess'" do amateurs have, compared to cb? Is it not true that many of
> ham radio's problems are the result of attitudes and problems imported from
> other services? (I can give you lots of examples of this).

I'm all ears.

> In other words, the views of hams should not be aired, even though the
rules
> change could hurt ham radio.

"Hurt" ham radio?! Like I said, allowing some folks to respond to whatever signals that may be captured by their antenna with a thouroughly underwhelming 12 watts on what realistically amounts to 5 discrete frequencies (channels) just doesn't make me feel threatened (much less hurt) in any way, shape, or form. It feels petty. (Not directed at you, Jim.) Now if RM-9807 petitioned for an increase in power, spectrum, VFOs, modes, (RTTY, PSK-31, FM, etc.) THEN I might see the "paralleling" argument...but just lifting the "artificial" (Good choice of words, Jim.) distance limit with no increase in power or frequencies...please.

> >> I wonder what would have evolved out of the 220 MHz "Class E" CB
service
> >> proposed in 1975.
> >
> >Now THERE's a thought!
>
> Perhaps the time is now.
> >
> >> >> FCC has already done so - 155.3 miles. (I think that works out to
250
> >kM).
> >> >
> >> >Yup, hopefully that'll change when the next RM petition is submitted.
> >>
> >> Sure. 50 kM is more than enough.
> >
> >Nah, just can it.
>
> I see no reason to eliminate the rule.

I do. Again, just a difference in opinion. That's what makes the world go around, we're all individuals w/varying opinions. We all find a way to exist in an accptable "middle ground" called civilization.

> >> >> >Again, things change. However, they should be changed vis the
correct
> >> >> >legislative protocol, not just ignored.
> >> >>
> >> >> The main point is this: The test requirements for a ham license
today
> >are
> >> >>not a
> >> >> barrier to anyone who has a modicum of interest in radio.
> >> >
> >> >Agreed, with a slight correction. "The test requirements for a ham
> >> >license today are not a barrier to anyone who has a modicum of
> >> >interest in *amateur* radio.
> >>

> >> Why would someone with no interest in amateur radio care about the
license
> >> requirements?
> >
> >Exactly. Reread the sentences above. They are in reference to the code
test
> >"barrier" argument only.
>
> What about the written test? All it takes to get a Tech is a passing grade
on a
> 35 question multiple choice test. A test where the Q&A are available free
for
> the download. Full privs and power above 50 MHz. Kindergarteners have done
it.

Agreed. My little girl's in Kindergarten and has five CW characters down pat. (She thinks it's a game.) But everybody's tastes are not the same. Why try and force them into one mold. Like radio=take Tech exam? How about like radio? Here are your options. FRS, MURS, GMRS, CB, Three levels of AR, commecial broadcasting, etc. One can start at just about any one of these based on their own personal interest...but the best part is that they can move from one to another as their interest level increases and/or changes.

> >Re. CB, I have a niece who was interested in radio
> >after viewing my shack, but not enough to be "bothered with the license
> >thingy."
>
> Even the Tech written?

"License thingy" means license thingy, Jim. If I'd pulled the horse (Sorry for the analogy, kiddo.) to water, what guarantees that she'll want to drink. I let her come along at her own pace and now she's interested in Morse code. (I can't take full responsibility for that...Leonardo Di Caprio and the Movie Titanic had a part in making CW appear "way cool!" Go figure?!)

> >I started her off with a TRC-485 40 ch. SSB rig. Once she started
> >hearing S.A. pouring in on a regulat basis, (She's fluent in spanish.)
her
> >interest level picked up to the point where she asked "Ok Tio, <Uncle>
tell
> >me more about the "license thingy." Did she violate any rules? Nope, but
DX
> >on CB helped spark yet another soul's interest in AR...much the same way
it
> >did mine so many years before. (Except I "inhaled." <ggg>)
>
> Did she use the cb to work South America, or just listen? Why wouldn't the
same
> thing have resulted by listening to a ham receiver that covered the HF
bands?

Just listen, Jim. Just Listen. If you reread the paragragh above, I stated that she violated no rules. That's the point. Yes, the same thing could certainly have been accomplished with a HF receiver, but why must that method apply to EVERYBODY? Plus, I had the spare rig lying around to give to her. (Threw together a quikie dipole for her too.)

> >> The only way I can see that Element 1 will survive is if S25.5 doesn't go
> >>away.
> >> And there's not much chance that S25.5 will survive WRC 2003. That's basic
> >> reality.

"Basic reality" can really suck when we don't agree with its idealogy, can't it? Best we can do is try to make the best of it. I think it's best to agree to disagree re. the 155.3 mi. limit on the CB. I've my opinions (Shared by others.) and you've yours. (Likewise, shared by others.) I'd like to keep the mutual respect factor intact. :-)

> >> >Keep e-mailing the FCC. Hopefully it'll be seen by or
> >> >forwarded to the right set of eyes.
> >>
> >> Thousands of us did that back in 1990 and 1998. FCC saw our letters,
> >>emails and
> >> arguments and said they were inadequate reason to keep the code test. In
> >>1990
> >> FCC said the reasons were inadequate for VHF/UHF ham licenses. In 1999 FCC
> >>said
> >> the reasons were inadequate for any ham license.
> >>
> >> The ONLY reason FCC kept Element 1 is S25.5. They said so themselves, more
> >>than
> >> two years ago.
> >>
> >> I think that part of the reason FCC keeps lowering the requirements (code
> >>and
> >> written) for a ham license is an attempt to license more cbers and
> >>freebanders,
> >> in the hope that they will operate within the law rather than outside it.
> >
> >I'll keep my fingers crossed...re a multitude of things related to this
> >whole "mess."
>
> Me too, but I don;t hold out any hope for S25.5.
>
> What we hams need to be doing is to work up a sound proposal for what the US

> ham license structure and requirements should be if/when S25.5 goes away.
FCC's
> not gonna do it. I don't see ARRL addressing the problem at all.
>
> >Take care Jim, perhaps we can do Miller's Smorgasbord with the
> >families one day and laugh about it.
> >
> I sure hope so! Sorry about any confusion my oversimplifications may have
> caused.

There's certainly no need for you to be sorry, Jim. While I may not agree with some of your opinions, I certainly respect 'em. Please forgive me if I've appeared difficult re. this thread, t'was not my intent. (Chalk it up to passion.) Take it easy now. Mu 80m dipole's sagging and I have the day off. Sure beats painting or mowing the lawn. <ggg>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1625. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 16:53:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210040853.777c095b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53542

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<LdMm9.5385$OB5.494430@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
>
> WNV is far too good an operator to tolerate LA(jg).

Somebody needs to be Miller's Vibroplex bearer, pack & toss the garbge
over the fantail, swab the head, etc., Junior could handle those.
Maybe.

> For all his faults (and
> he's displayed all lot of them), Don has to be acknowledged as one of the
> top 20 operators to ever stroke a Vibroplex.

Ears, It's all about ears and he has 'em.

> I'd like to see him team up
> with Martti (OH2BH) and Elvin (JA3CZY) and do a few trips. What a dream
> crew that would be.

I haven't been able to do any real expedition chasing for years and
years, don't know JA3CZY. But I sure know Martti, whew . . I was in
the piles when he put ZA on the bands . . whatta coup . .

Miller might be more at home on the water. In that case maybe he
oughta team up with the original pair of dx expeditioneers, Gus
Browning W4BPD and Danny Weil/VPSVR/Yasme, the fathers of the whole
game.

>
> Besides, I have several T5 cards. I still need one for FO8M though.

OK, I give up, what's an FO8M?

If I ever drag out all my deletions and add a few new ones I'll land
in the middle of the Honor Roll overnight. 9S4/Saar, 9Q5/Belgian
Congo, a half dozen old "reefs" . .

>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc

w3rv

1626. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 18:03:22 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ankl6csuh1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53543

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021004072500.05850.00000825@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <20021003223747.09987.00002689@mb-fp.aol.com>,
yodoc@aol.comnospam
> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
> >n article <c2356669.0210011059.10310291@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> >(N2EY) writes:
> >
> >>
> >>As of May 14, 2000:
> >>
> >>Novice - 49,329
> >>Tech - 205,394
> >>Tech Plus - 128,860
> >>General - 112,677
> >>Advanced - 99,782
> >>Extra - 78,750
> >>Total - 674,792
> >>
> >>
> >>As of September 30, 2002:
> >>
> >>Novice - 36,705 (decrease of 12,624)
> >>Tech - 244,464 (increase of 39,070)
> >>Tech Plus - 75,618 (decrease of 53,639)
> >>General - 139,095 (increase of 26,418)
> >>Advanced - 84,648 (decrease of 15,134)
> >>Extra - 102,791 (increase of 24,041)
> >>Total - 683,321 (increase of 8,529)
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Two-and-a-half years into Restructuring, and we've gained only 8.5
kilohams?
>
> Yup. In fact, it's worse than that: The number of US hams peaked around
> February 2001, and has wandered around the present number since then.
>
> >Where are all the eager young computer-literate electronic wizards we
were
> >promised?
>
> On the internet, or looking for jobs since the dot-com bubble burst. Your

> career choice turned out to be a lot more secure.
>
> > We should have seen our numbers almost double by now, in
> >the absence of high-speed code testing!
>
> The USA has never had "high-speed" code testing for a ham license. And
since
> 1990, anyone with a documentable learning problem could get a medical
waiver.
>
> >This "growth" is barely what we've
> >seen during the same period in the Pre-Restructuring Era!
>
> Bingo. Which indicates that the code testing is not the problem some folks
> claim it is.

And some of us that oppose a code test have never made that claim to
begin with.

> In about a year we will see Element 1 dropped, unless a miracle happens.
Then
> we'll see how much effect it really has.

I don't expect any significant change in TOTAL numbers. What we
will see is many techs going for General with some going on to
Extra. Most folks either will "cut it" today as an entry process
via Tech or they won't bother at all.

One key factor that many people don't consider is
that the population of the USA is pretty static. In the pre-70s,
we had a natural liklihood of growing simply because the
universe of possibly interested people was always
growing. Not so anymore.

> >I'd dearly love
> >to know the average age of those 39K new Techs we gained in the last
> >2.5 years!
>
> We did not get 39,000 new Techs. FCC has been renewing all existing Tech
Pluses
> as Techs since April 15, 2000. That's one of the reasons Tech is growing
so
> fast and Tech Plus is shrinking so fast. If the rules are left alone, by
April
> of 2010 there will be no Tech Pluses at all. A SWAG says that at least a
third
> of those 39,000 are Tech Pluses renewed as Techs.
>
> Also, a Novice who passes the Tech written gets a Tech, even though HF
privs
> are retained.

Agreed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1627. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 18:25:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <52ln9.9167$lV3.839186@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53544

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote

>
> OK, I give up, what's an FO8M?
>

Maria Theresa Island -- the 'operation' which probably spelled the
'beginning of the end' for Don's credibility.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

1628. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 19:52:41 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns929DA187B76C8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53545

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in
news:VRin9.8128$GF5.177182@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net:

>
>

I think the distance limit for CB is ridiculous, but perhaps it's an attempt (albeit not a very good one) to correct for the twin mistakes of putting them on HF and giving them SSB. It's too late for anyone to put the genie back in the bottle, I think. I once bought a CB rig, after I was already a ham, and used it exactly once. Couldn't stand it, but each to his or her own.

s25.5 is hopefully doomed. The big mistake that amateurs made in 1927 was to agree to have CW testing enshrined as an international requirement as a quid pro quo for getting ham radio recognised by the ITU (or rather it's predecessor) as a 'service'. Many other countries had no code testing before that (yes, I do know that the US did). The other side of the story is that frequency allocations on HF were much less uniform before that, but the price paid was too dear. Nothing else has ever caused more trouble and dissension in ham radio. I will rejoice when it goes.

N3KIP (20 wpm Extra, never plugged a key in my rig!)

1629. i am a happy freebander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 20:43:24 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <769707c4eca0aea67b1ff1537480f774@xganon.com>
Source record: 53546

im happy and whats wrong with it? i say thier ought to be no laws against it after all we are all radio operators right? no one cares anyway except the angry hammies who send me

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

1630. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 21:36:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <anl1jr$4a2$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53547

"Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns929DA187B76C8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
Nothing else has ever caused more trouble
> and dissension in ham radio. I will rejoice when it goes.

Not true at all. Incentive licensing was and IS the reason for the problems
in amateur radio.

>
> N3KIP (20 wpm Extra, never plugged a key in my rig!)

And your proud of that? Did you learn how to drive a car then park it in
the garage?

Dan/W4NTI

1631. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 22:09:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021004180900.11643.00001947@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 53548

In article <Xns929DA187B76C8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>I think the distance limit for CB is ridiculous, but perhaps it's an
>attempt (albeit not a very good one) to correct for the twin mistakes of
>putting them on HF and giving them SSB.

The distance requirement is there because cb is technically a "land mobile
service". and is defined as short-range.

>It's too late for anyone to put the genie back in the bottle, I think.

Maybe not. As other communication and radio services develop, 27 MHz cb may
decline. Are there as many active cb users today as during the boom years of
the '70s?

>I once bought a CB rig, after I was
>already a ham, and used it exactly once. Couldn't stand it, but each to
>his or her own.

I have listened occasionally for many years. Not something I want to be
associated with.
>
>s25.5 is hopefully doomed.

Seems pretty clear that support to keep it at WRC-2003 is almost nonexistent. I
don't see how the necessary support will arise in the 9 months or so till the
conference.

>The big mistake that amateurs made in 1927 was
>to agree to have CW testing enshrined as an international requirement as a
>quid pro quo for getting ham radio recognised by the ITU (or rather it's
>predecessor) as a 'service'.

WHOA!

Consider what HF radio was like in 1927. Practically all radio services used
code as a primary mode. A code test requirement was perfectly reasonable then,
simply for interference and interoperability reasons. Whether that requirement
is reasonable today is a different question.

Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it would probably
have died out before WW2.

>Many other countries had no code testing
>before that (yes, I do know that the US did).

Many countries wanted amateur radio to die out in 1927.

>The other side of the story
>is that frequency allocations on HF were much less uniform before that,
>but the price paid was too dear.

The alternative was oblivion for the ARS.

> Nothing else has ever caused more trouble
>and dissension in ham radio.

Hardly. There were bigger flaps when:

- SSB displaced AM on HF
- FM displaced AM on VHF/UHF
- Generals got all privs in 1953

and the real biggie:

- "incentive licensing" was reintroduced in 1968.

The code test issue seems like a biggie because we have the 'net to spread the
noise around.

> I will rejoice when it goes.

To each his own.
>
>N3KIP (20 wpm Extra, never plugged a key in my rig!)
>
73 de Jim, N2EY (20 wpm Extra, 99% CW)

1632. Re: i am a happy freebander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 22:33:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E1721.B2677DA5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 53409

agaganon wrote:
>
> im happy and whats wrong with it? i say thier ought to be no laws against it after all we are all radio operators right? no one cares anyway except the angry hammies who send me

Doesn't matter what you say, there're (contraction for they are,
not thier or their) laws against it even if you don't like it.

1633. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 22:52:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210041452.6fbf361@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53549

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:<aniqo9kuk1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> What is a 'Lance Bass' ? Is it a fish someone stuck with a stick?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, "Lance Bass" is a type of English Ale that the Knights would
drink for courage, just before they jousted.

Brian/N0iMD

1634. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 22:56:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210041456.b8f99e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53550

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D9CF401.51E48090@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D9B90E9.C52F33C5@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian Kelly wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > > > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > > >
> > > > This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > > > and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > The trip could actually be a good thing. Brian P Burke would have
> > > someone on hand to show him how a pileup works.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > The Greatest DXer in the World should go along as well. We could use
> > some help running up the total, especially with all of them out of
> > band Frenchmen. That could be a big plus with the budgetary aspect of
> > the dxpedition.
>
> I figured you for dim but you always have to go me one better and prove
> it.
> The greatest DXer in the world would be going. He be the op who isn't
> you.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, great! Glad to have you along. Brian

1635. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 23:02:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210041502.103bd015@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53401

dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) wrote in message
news:<anj1uq$1c01$1@heap.juniper.net>...
> Brian <brianburke@juno.com> wrote:
> >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> >news:<d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>...
> >> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >> > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> >> >
> >> > --
> >> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> >>
> >> This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> >> and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
> >>
> >> w3rv
> >
> >Burma, huh? Some DXer you are.
> >
> >Do you even know how long it hasn't been Burma?
>
> Should let the CIA know that too, the World Factbook 2001 online at
>
> http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/
>
> still calls it Burma.
>
> In fact, people in that country also call it Burma, at least the ones
> who speaking English in my presence. It is like a clever plot to keep
> anyone from knowing how to correctly pronounce the other name.
>
> Dennis Ferguson

Dennis, I'm so busy setting Dave Heil straight on "Good Amateur
Practice" that I'll let you deal with the CIA screw-up.

Thanks again for all your help on the French 6M privs, and the ITU
information on the Morse Code standard. You're a peach.

73, Brian

1636. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-04 23:17:23 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210041517.c6ec73b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53402

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D952DCA.AA81D726@earthlink.net>...

> You know, it's sort of like your definition of "all band" which to you
> means "most bands".
>
> I'll make the same suggestion to you which I made about the word in the
> dictionary: open the book.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you're something else. Don't open the October CQ magazine to
page 3 and read Kenwood's definition of "All Band."

Do you intend to sue for false advertising? A letter to the editor of
CQ?

73, Brian

1637. Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 00:34:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E339D.CD92F755@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53403

The latest really bad idea from the ARRL is the "you can always get more if you pay more" stratification plan outlined by new ham K1MMH, ARRL Chief Development Officer (another incredibly bad idea). This latest scheme follows on the heels of the deletion of contest line scores and Section News from QST.

I note that the big spenders will get their QST via first class mail. I wonder if there is a level which will provide the plastic mailing sleeves formerly enjoyed by all at no additional cost.

It is evident that the board of directors are operating on much different frequencies than the mainstream.

"==>ARRL ANNOUNCES DIAMOND CLUB DONOR RECOGNITION PROGRAM

The ARRL has introduced The Diamond Club as a way to increase member involvement, support the League and offer valuable membership benefits above and beyond those already available. The program was approved by the
ARRL Board of Directors at its July meeting and introduced this month.

"This is a donor recognition program, to acknowledge member loyalty," said
ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH. "We're excited to be able to thank our members in ways we've never been able to do previously and, at the same time, to raise critical additional resources to sustain ARRL in the future."

Hobart said the program has a first-year goal of $150,000 "to support those ARRL-sponsored programs amateurs enjoy." She compared the program to
those already offered by other non-profit organizations across the US.

"We did a membership sample survey in 2001 to gauge interest in specific benefits and types of benefits," Hobart explained. "The Diamond Club program was crafted to be consistent with the results of that survey."

Recognition for increased annual support in The Diamond Club includes all
current ARRL membership benefits plus pins and certificates at every membership level plus special Diamond Club car window decals, publication
discounts, new QST delivery options and donor recognition opportunities. "You select the contribution level that fits your budget, and ARRL will do
the rest," Hobart said.

Members of The Diamond Club can start out small--with an annual contribution as little as $75 a year ($50 a year for ARRL Life Members)--or large, with a yearly gift of $5000 or more. In addition to a
subscription to QST, access to members-only pages on the ARRL Web site, and other member benefits, Diamond Club donors at the introductory level will receive a Diamond Club pin, a personalized Diamond Club certificate and two Diamond Club vehicle window decals.

The Diamond Club offers additional benefits for larger contributions at the Brass, Silver, Gold, Platinum and Director levels. For instance, at the Platinum level--available for contributions of from $2500 to $4999 annually--members also will receive 10 percent off direct ARRL publications purchases, first-class delivery of QST, unlimited free use of
DXCC, Outgoing QSL Service and awards, an invitation to all ARRL donor recognition events and an annual voluntary listing in the ARRL Annual Report and on the ARRL Web site.

Donations to the ARRL Diamond Club are tax-deductible to the extent allowed by law and are not refundable. Hobart emphasized that The Diamond
Club contributions are annual. Details on The Diamond Club are spelled out
on the ARRL Web site <https://www.arrl.org/diamondclub/>"

Dave K8MN
a member of the loyal opposition

1638. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 00:36:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E3413.B880F992@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53404

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D9CF401.51E48090@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D9B90E9.C52F33C5@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian Kelly wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > > > >
> > > > > This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > > > > and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
> > > > >
> > > > > w3rv
> > > >
> > > > The trip could actually be a good thing. Brian P Burke would have
> > > > someone on hand to show him how a pileup works.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > The Greatest DXer in the World should go along as well. We could use
> > > some help running up the total, especially with all of them out of
> > > band Frenchmen. That could be a big plus with the budgetary aspect of
> > > the dxpedition.
> >
> > I figured you for dim but you always have to go me one better and prove
> > it.
> > The greatest DXer in the world would be going. He be the op who isn't
> > you.
> >
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, great! Glad to have you along. Brian

...and there you have it.

Dave K8MN

1639. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 00:38:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E349E.AE6B6525@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53405

Brian wrote:
>
> dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) wrote in message
news:<anj1uq$1c01$1@heap.juniper.net>...
> > Brian <brianburke@juno.com> wrote:
> > >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> > >news:<d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>...
> > >> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> > news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > >> > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> > >> >
> > >> > --
> > >> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > >>
> > >> This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > >> and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
> > >>
> > >> w3rv
> > >
> > >Burma, huh? Some DXer you are.
> > >
> > >Do you even know how long it hasn't been Burma?
> >
> > Should let the CIA know that too, the World Factbook 2001 online at
> >
> > http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/
> >
> > still calls it Burma.
> >
> > In fact, people in that country also call it Burma, at least the ones
> > who speaking English in my presence. It is like a clever plot to keep
> > anyone from knowing how to correctly pronounce the other name.
> >
> > Dennis Ferguson
>
> Dennis, I'm so busy setting Dave Heil straight on "Good Amateur
> Practice" that I'll let you deal with the CIA screw-up.

You haven't even gotten your own crap grouped, Slim.

1640. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 00:41:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E356B.FDE4B286@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53406

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D952DCA.AA81D726@earthlink.net>...
>
> > You know, it's sort of like your definition of "all band" which to you
> > means "most bands".
> >
> > I'll make the same suggestion to you which I made about the word in the
> > dictionary: open the book.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, you're something else. Don't open the October CQ magazine to
> page 3 and read Kenwood's definition of "All Band."

Stupidity and misuse of language are not Kim's exlusive domain, Brian.

> Do you intend to sue for false advertising? A letter to the editor of
> CQ?

No and I hadn't intended to sue Kim either. I don't own a piece of
Kenwood gear and am not likely to in future.

Dave K8MN

1641. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 00:52:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021004205240.02651.00000686@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 53408

In article <VRin9.8128$GF5.177182@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
<wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:

>> >What'd I do?! O:-)
>>
>> Gave away the big secret that it's really not that hard at all to pass the
>> test!
>
>Aw shucks, I think most folks already know that.

Not from what some folks have posted here!

>Perhaps they just think
>it's easier to wait it out? You know what's funny? An old neighborhood
>friend of mine is a Tech and is going to "wait it out." Well, a while back
>I'd mentioned that my XYL wanted her ticket and he advised to grab a Tech so
>we could chat on 2m. I explained that her interest was sparked by hearing
>S.A. come in on my CB rig. "Too bad, she'll have to wait for the code
>requirement to get droppedfor a DX band." said my friend. Well, after
>sending a few code characters for the examiner in Guayaquil, Ecuador' she
>get's a HC2 Novice call. The funny part's that because we (USA) have a
>reciprocal agreement with Ecuador, my XYL gets to ply her hobby on the 10m
>Novice sub-band as HC2xx/W2 @ 200 watts (Until she gets her US citizenship,
>of course.) while my friend still waits for the code requirement to "go
>away." Moral of the story...all because she "tried." (Don't think she didn't
>hesitate, but the examiner told her to "give it a shot.")

Exactly! Great story - proves the point.

It must be remembered, too, that there is not much reason for anyone to upgrade beyond Tech if they are not HF-oriented. And a LOT of hams aren't. For example:

- some hams are only or mostly interested in local/regional radio, and communicating with people they might actually meet in person and do stuff with. One-time chats with folks they will never encounter again leave them cold. Or they may be interested in public service from a local/regional point of view, rather than national or even state-wide.

- some hams don't have the space for HF/MF antennas, or don't want all the fuss of seting up an HF station

- some hams don't like the characteristics of HF/MF radio (noise, static, poor fidelity of SSB, unreliable propagation/coverage, QRM, narrow bands, etc.)

- there are so many things to do on amateur VHF/UHF that some hams are perfectly satisfied to do all their hamming above 50 MHz.

>> >> >> I think such a dim view is understandable, given the history of cb and
>> >> >> freeband.
>> >> >
>> >> >Granted. But do remember that RM-9807 had nothing to do with illegal
>> >> >operation.
>> >>
>> >> Didn't it seek to make that which is now illegal (working dx beyond
>155.3
>> >>kM) legal?
>
>No more so than the repeal of prohibition.

Which is not a good idea.
>
>> Are you saying there is no association between cb and freeband? I
>>disagree!
>
>There's NO association between the attempt by CBers to legally change the
>rules r. the CB and the "freeband." (Or the use of amplifiers, for that
>matter.)

Our opinions differ, then.
>
>> >Nothing could
>> >be further from the truth. RM-9807 was a noble effort to legally remove
>the
>> >155.3 mi. limit rather than simply ignore the rule.
>>
>> But what would be the effects of removing that rule?
>
>Folks could legally respond to any signal that their legal setup is capable
>of receiveing.
>Again, just to reiterate, we ARE talking about 12 watts
>P.E.P. on a 440 KHz sliver of the HF spectrum. (Sans VFO to boot.) Most SSB
>ops. take part on channels 36 through 40.

And they will ALL run just 12 watts PEP, right? Nobody will be tempted to run
more power when their 12 watts PEP is not answered by a signal they can hear?

>Entirely underwhelming, Jim. I,
>for one, find it pretty hard to feel threatened as an ARO.

Many of the problems in ham radio are imports from cb and freeband. The biggest
one is a lack of respect for the rules. I've seen many, many examples of
cbers-turned-hams who brought with them an attitude of 'FCC-be-damned'.

Example: Several years ago, three new hams showed up on one of the local
repeaters. They were welcomed, and joined the repeater club. But it soon became
apparent that they had little respect for FCC rules, club rules or plain good
taste. They'd tie up the repeater for hours, ignoring requests for "call
please" or "autopatch please" or even "net is about to start". Their language
was full of swear words, and they often told jokes of an ethnic or sexist
nature. Control ops were frequently shutting down the repeater due to thier

behavior.

They were repeatedly approached on and off the air about their antics, but to no avail. Stock answer was "We don't care what you or the FCC say - we did that stuff on 11 meters and we're going to do it on any repeater we want to".

Meanwhile, a family of scanner enthusiasts had approached our club about becoming hams. Father, mother and four kids ranging from elementary through high school. Some interest in their extended family, too. They were quite interested at first - until they heard those three guys. They wanted a family activity, and those guys convinced them ham radio wasn't family-rated. And they told all their friends about it, too. At least six good potential hams lost - probably a lot more.

The situation was finally resolved by simply shutting the repeater down whenever one of the three showed up. So they went and plagued another repeater. I don't know if they are still active. And this isn't a rare or isolated case.

> AROs that are
>also CBers could also demonstrate good operating technique to newbies using
>type-certified gear.

Sure. But why bother, when 10 and 12 meters are so near?

>Is it easy to get an AR license? Sure, but it's not for
>everybody...particularly, right out of the gate. Neither you nor I can speak
>for everybody.

That's why we have MURS, GMRS and FRS.

>> > That doesn't
>> >mean I condone ignoring the rules in place though. Any person(s) who find
>> >any rule/law to be sufficiently objectionable should work to change said
>> >rule/law using any and all legal means at their disposal...in this case,
>a
>> >RM proposal.
>>
>> No argument about the right of citizens to petition for changes in the
>rules.
>> But that right includes the rights of other citizens to disagree with the
>> proposed change.
>
>Fair enough. UNLESS, it's a private interest group that is unconcerned (By
>charter, in the case of the ARRL.) with the area and/or constituency
>directly affected by said rule/law.

Hams WOULD be directly affected.
>
>> Let's talk about the "punish 'em all" mindset. Since 1978 it has been
>>illegal
>> to buy, sell or manufacture external RF power amplifiers for the 10 meter
>>ham
>> band. Hams can build or modify exactly one amp of a given design per year.

>> Amplifiers that do not meet certain rules are also prohibited, even if
>>they do
>> not cover 10 meters.
>>
>> These rules hamper law-abiding hams. But those rules do not exist because
>>of
>> what hams do, or have done in the past. Those rules exist because of the
>> widespread use of amplifiers by cbers and freebanders. It was a big
>>problem 25+
>> years ago, and it's still a problem. And I doubt the rules have had much
>>effect
>> on cb or freeband.
>
>All very good (or NOT) and true, but...this has nothing to do with RM-9807.

Yes, it does. It shows that the actions of cbers have resulted situations that
are damaging to the ARS. Opening up cb to legal DX would have similar effects.

>You already know my position re. illegal amps, out-of-band ops., and 10m
>intrusions...I hate 'em and I'll drop a dime (Ok, quarter.) in a second, but
>I won't paint 'em ALL with the same brush.

Would you say your position on those issues is a common one in the cb
community? Would you refuse to contact someone running an illegal amplifier or
non-type-accepted radio?
>
>> >> It has to do with the basis and purpose of various services.
>
>The "basis and purpose" thing is all fine, but that's changed. Plain and
>simple.

Hasn't changed in the eyes of the FCC. They're the ones who matter.
>
>> >Like it or not,
>> >this is what the citizen's band has evolved into...and quite frankly,
>>let's
>> >not forget who the band's was named for.
>>
>> The mere fact that something has evolved a certain way does not make it
>>right
>> or justify rules changes that would further that evolution.
>
>In this case, I believe it does. It's just a difference in opinion.
>
>> >It was placed in a portion of the RF
>> >spectrum that supports worldwide propagation, bad move to begin with.
>>
>> So we should compound one mistake with another?
>
>I don't believe we'd be "compounding" the mistake. Quite the oposite, I
>believe we have an opportunity to create a win-win situation. It'd involve

>increased enforcement and perhaps decreasing the ill-will (real and/or
>perceived) between AR and CB operators. We might even gain some sorely
>needed "self-policing" wrt enforcement on the CB.

Just the opposite, I think. YMMV.
>
>> > If the
>> >"citizens" wish to redefine it, let them <do so> without interference.
>>
>> Are not the views of ALL citizens to be heard on the issue?
>
>Sure, but how p/o'd would you be if a CBer in your town lobbied and won to
>get ALL antennas restricted to a height of 60 ft.?

I would oppose it. But all citzens, radio users or not, have the right to
petition for such rules - and be judged on their merits.

Besides, my antenna is only 35 feet up, and I work the world.

>Don't think it could
>happen? Much stranger things have gone "on the books," especially in smaller
>incorporated towns and villages.

In many cases, they were the result of non-radio folks opposing things like
cell towers. Also consider the TVI potential of the cb or freeband user running
a dirty amplifier and a big antenna.

>PRB-1 has not been the defeat-all club we'd
>hoped it would be and even then, it'd cost some dough to get it done. (Just
>like it will when the next petition to drop the 155.3 mi. limit is
>submitted.)

>> And what "mess'" do amateurs have, compared to cb? Is it not true that
>>many of
>> ham radio's problems are the result of attitudes and problems imported
>>from
>> other services? (I can give you lots of examples of this).
>
>I'm all ears.
>
See above.

>> In other words, the views of hams should not be aired, even though the
>>rules
>> change could hurt ham radio.
>
>"Hurt" ham radio?!

Yep. I remember a time before the amplifier rules. I also remember a time when
you did not find anything even PG-rated on the voice hambands.

>Like I said, allowing some folks to respond to whatever
>signals that may be captured by their antenna with a thouroughly

>underwhelming 12 watts on what realistically amounts to 5 discrete
>frequencies (channels) just doesn't make me feel threatened (much less hurt)
>in any way, shape, or form. It feels petty. (Not directed at you, Jim.) Now
>if RM-9807 petitioned for an increase in power, spectrum, VFOs, modes,
>(RTTY, PSK-31, FM, etc.) THEN I might see the "paralleling" argument...but
>just lifting the "artificial" (Good choice of words, Jim.) distance limit
>with no increase in power or frequencies...please.

The 12 watt PEP power limitation is as "artificial" as the 155.3 mile distance limitation - why not allow at least 100 watts PEP? The restriction to AM voice modes is also artificial - many other countries use FM, so why not allow FM, too?
And since the SSB channels don't use the carrier, why not allow PSK-31 there on a secondary basis? Not allowing data modes is "artificial", too. All of the same arguments against a distance limit apply to those rules.

>> What about the written test? All it takes to get a Tech is a passing grade
>>on a
>> 35 question multiple choice test. A test where the Q&A are available free
>>for
>> the download. Full privs and power above 50 MHz. Kindergarteners have done
>>it.
>
>Agreed. My little girl's in Kindergarten and has five CW characters down
>pat. (She thinks it's a game.) But everybody's tastes are not the same. Why
>try and force them into one mold. Like radio=take Tech exam? How about like
>radio? Here are your options. FRS, MURS, GMRS, CB, Three levels of AR,
>commecial broadcasting, etc. One can start at just about any one of these
>based on their own personal interest...but the best part is that they can
>move from one to another as their interest level increases and/or changes.

As long as they follow the rules, sure.
>
>> >Re. CB, I have a niece who was interested in radio
>> >after viewing my shack, but not enough to be "bothered with the license
>> >thingy."
>>
>> Even the Tech written?
>
>"License thingy" means license thingy, Jim. If I'd pulled the horse (Sorry
>for the analogy, kiddo.) to water, what guarantees that she'll want to
>drink. I let her come along at her own pace and now she's interested in
>Morse code. (I can't take full responsibility for that...Leonardo Di Caprio
>and the Movie Titanic had a part in making CW appear "way cool!" Go
>figure?!)

Go rent the 1956 British film "A Night To Remember" for her. Best and most accurate Titanic flick. Real code, too.

>> >> The only way I can see that Element 1 will survive is if S25.5 doesn't
>go
>> >>away.

>> >> And there's not much chance that S25.5 will survive WRC 2003. That's
>basic
>> >> reality.
>
>"Basic reality" can really suck when we don't agree with its idealogy, can't
>it? Best we can do is try to make the best of it.

'zactly. Time to plan for a what ham radio licensing should look like without
S25.5 or code tests.

> I think it's best to agree
>to disagree re. the 155.3 mi. limit on the CB. I've my opinions (Shared by
>others.) and you've yours. (Likewise, shared by others.) I'd like to keep
>the mutual respect factor intact. :-)

I violently agree! ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1642. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 00:55:50 UTC
From: Shephed <roll1up@smkey.com>
Message-ID: <qMqn9.39690$Cz.5218111@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53407

Why is it a bad idea?
That kind of option is made by hundreds of clubs.

You support more, you get more. It's the American way.

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D9E339D.CD92F755@earthlink.net...
> The latest really bad idea from the ARRL is the "you can always get more
> if you pay more" stratification plan outlined by new ham K1MMH, ARRL
> Chief Development Officer (another incredibly bad idea). This latest
> scheme follows on the heels of the deletion of contest line scores and
> Section News from QST.
>
> I note that the big spenders will get their QST via first class mail. I
> wonder if there is a level which will provide the plastic mailing
> sleeves formerly enjoyed by all at no additional cost.
>
> It is evident that the board of directors are operating on much
> different frequencies than the mainstream.
>
> "==>ARRL ANNOUNCES DIAMOND CLUB DONOR RECOGNITION PROGRAM
>
> The ARRL has introduced The Diamond Club as a way to increase member
> involvement, support the League and offer valuable membership benefits
> above and beyond those already available. The program was approved by
> the
> ARRL Board of Directors at its July meeting and introduced this month.
>
> "This is a donor recognition program, to acknowledge member loyalty,"
> said
> ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH. "We're excited to be
> able to thank our members in ways we've never been able to do previously
> and, at the same time, to raise critical additional resources to sustain
> ARRL in the future."
>
> Hobart said the program has a first-year goal of $150,000 "to support
> those ARRL-sponsored programs amateurs enjoy." She compared the program
> to
> those already offered by other non-profit organizations across the US.
>
> "We did a membership sample survey in 2001 to gauge interest in specific
> benefits and types of benefits," Hobart explained. "The Diamond Club
> program was crafted to be consistent with the results of that survey."
>
> Recognition for increased annual support in The Diamond Club includes

> all
> current ARRL membership benefits plus pins and certificates at every
> membership level plus special Diamond Club car window decals,
> publication
> discounts, new QST delivery options and donor recognition opportunities.
> "You select the contribution level that fits your budget, and ARRL will
> do
> the rest," Hobart said.
>
> Members of The Diamond Club can start out small--with an annual
> contribution as little as $75 a year ($50 a year for ARRL Life
> Members)--or large, with a yearly gift of $5000 or more. In addition to
> a
> subscription to QST, access to members-only pages on the ARRL Web site,
> and other member benefits, Diamond Club donors at the introductory level
> will receive a Diamond Club pin, a personalized Diamond Club certificate
> and two Diamond Club vehicle window decals.
>
> The Diamond Club offers additional benefits for larger contributions at
> the Brass, Silver, Gold, Platinum and Director levels. For instance, at
> the Platinum level--available for contributions of from $2500 to $4999
> annually--members also will receive 10 percent off direct ARRL
> publications purchases, first-class delivery of QST, unlimited free use
> of
> DXCC, Outgoing QSL Service and awards, an invitation to all ARRL donor
> recognition events and an annual voluntary listing in the ARRL Annual
> Report and on the ARRL Web site.
>
> Donations to the ARRL Diamond Club are tax-deductible to the extent
> allowed by law and are not refundable. Hobart emphasized that The
> Diamond
> Club contributions are annual. Details on The Diamond Club are spelled
> out
> on the ARRL Web site <https://www.arrl.org/diamondclub/>"
>
> Dave K8MN
> a member of the loyal opposition

1643. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 01:27:44 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <uhrn9.9084$GF5.436872@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53411

"Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns929DA187B76C8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in
> news:VRin9.8128$GF5.177182@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net:
>
> >
> >
>
> I think the distance limit for CB is ridiculous, but perhaps it's an
> attempt (albeit not a very good one) to correct for the twin mistakes of
> putting them on HF and giving them SSB.

I'm not so sure, Alun. VHF/UHF was not as easy a "consumer" item back then as it is today, so they took the easy way out rather than put it on VHF and let the manufacturers endure the growing pains. One well known ARO on the r.r.a.p. once called it "summer intern thinking," but it was actually pretty good business acumen. It was much less of a financial hassle to preside over smaller, more easily manageable "HF" rigs. Then the "taste, but don't swallow" ideology was applied to designate the CB as a land-mobile service to ostensibly appease the ITU requirements. Like most things in life, a little more investment "up front" might've saved them much more in the long run. Fact; the CB IS a HF band and lends itself very well to worldwide comms. via skywave propagation. It is what it is. It has become a defacto hobby for some (not all) folks who really do remain at legal power limits and frequency allocations. Unfortunately, the actions of many lids on the CB have brought scorn on the majority of operators there. Such is life.

> It's too late for anyone to put
> the genie back in the bottle, I think.

I'd have to agree. The internet has fueled the phenomenal growth of 11-meter hobby radio. A quick internet search on '11-meter radio' will quickly bear this out. I'm not saying it's right...it just IS. Good luck trying to "deallocate" that!

> I once bought a CB rig, after I was
> already a ham, and used it exactly once. Couldn't stand it, but each to
> his or her own.

Fair enough statement. While I'm sure that all the awful stuff discussed occurs on the CB, the plain and simple truth is that the CB has always been very good to me. Call it dumb luck or what have you, but I've not encountered the hordes of lids oft attributed to 11-meter ops., go figure?! CB radio is what sparked my interest in AR. If I completely turn my back on it, I'd be a hypocrite. Besides, if I abandon the CB, then the "haters" win. I'd rather occassionally bring the good operating manners and/or techniques

learned on the AR band back to the CB and set a good example. That's my contribution to the CB. Most here know me to be a pretty ok newcomer to AR, my association with the CB certainly shouldn't diminish that.

> s25.5 is hopefully doomed. The big mistake that amateurs made in 1927 was
> to agree to have CW testing enshrined as an international requirement as a
> quid pro quo for getting ham radio recognised by the ITU (or rather it's
> predecessor) as a 'service'. Many other countries had no code testing
> before that (yes, I do know that the US did). The other side of the story
> is that frequency allocations on HF were much less uniform before that,
> but the price paid was too dear. Nothing else has ever caused more trouble
> and dissension in ham radio. I will rejoice when it goes.

I certainly won't. I'm one of those who've written the FCC imploring them to retain the code requirement. I think it's a very important part of AR's tradition. There already exists a no-code ticket, so the "exclusion" argument is pretty much moot. I won't even go near the "barrier" thing anymore...my side hurts from laughing so hard when I hear how the 5-wpm test's a "barrier."

> N3KIP (20 wpm Extra, never plugged a key in my rig!)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI (Approx. 18-wpm Extra & gettin' better every day!)

1644. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 01:28:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <anlf8p$1j4$0@208.207.71.135>
Source record: 53410

Dave Heil quoted:

> ...Members of The Diamond Club can start out small--with an annual
> contribution as little as $75 a year ($50 a year for ARRL Life
> Members)--or large, with a yearly gift of $5000 or more. In addition to
> a
> subscription to QST, access to members-only pages on the ARRL Web site,
> and other member benefits.....

So now what? Will they start restricting us lowly annual members from the members-only pages, etc., sort of like when they started up another magazine (QEX) for everyone to subscribe to if they wanted any serious level of technical content?

1645. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 01:39:00 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <_rrn9.9132$GF5.442305@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53412

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021004180900.11643.00001947@mb-mb.aol.com...
> In article <Xns929DA187B76C8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
> >I think the distance limit for CB is ridiculous, but perhaps it's an
> >attempt (albeit not a very good one) to correct for the twin mistakes of
> >putting them on HF and giving them SSB.
>
> The distance requirement is there because cb is technically a "land mobile
> service". and is defined as short-range.

Definitions can be changed with the times. After all, perhaps the "citizens"
will define the "Citizen's" Band in the future. If 11-meter enthisiasts
could only realize the tremendous potential political and economic clout
they could wield. I doubt that;ll ever happen though. Cbers have a dismal
track record wrt "pulling on the same side of the rope."

> >It's too late for anyone to put the genie back in the bottle, I think.
>
> Maybe not. As other communication and radio services develop, 27 MHz cb
may
> decline. Are there as many active cb users today as during the boom years
of
> the '70s?

Overall no, but the number of 11-meter "hobbyists" has increased
dramatically. Just use that search engine, Jim.

> >I once bought a CB rig, after I was
> >already a ham, and used it exactly once. Couldn't stand it, but each to
> >his or her own.
>
> I have listened occasionally for many years. Not something I want to be
> associated with.

Sorry to hear. Like I said in an earlier post, the CB has always been kind
to me. Dumb luck perhaps? I'll stay "associated" and try to set a good
example for others.

> >s25.5 is hopefully doomed.
>
> Seems pretty clear that support to keep it at WRC-2003 is almost
nonexistent. I
> don't see how the necessary support will arise in the 9 months or so till
the

> conference.

Say it ain't so! :'-(

> >The big mistake that amateurs made in 1927 was
> >to agree to have CW testing enshrined as an international requirement as
a
> >quid pro quo for getting ham radio recognised by the ITU (or rather it's
> >predecessor) as a 'service'.
>
> WHOA!
>
> Consider what HF radio was like in 1927. Practically all radio services
used
> code as a primary mode. A code test requirement was perfectly reasonable
then,
> simply for interference and interoperability reasons. Whether that
requirement
> is reasonable today is a different question.

Hmm, you mean things have changed? ;-)

> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it would
probably
> have died out before WW2.
>
> >Many other countries had no code testing
> >before that (yes, I do know that the US did).
>
> Many countries wanted amateur radio to die out in 1927.

Why?

> >The other side of the story
> >is that frequency allocations on HF were much less uniform before that,
> >but the price paid was too dear.
>
> The alternative was oblivion for the ARS.
>
> > Nothing else has ever caused more trouble
> >and dissension in ham radio.
>
> Hardly. There were bigger flaps when:
>
> - SSB displaced AM on HF
> - FM displaced AM on VHF/UHF
> - Generals got all privs in 1953
>
> and the real biggie:
>
> - "incentive licensing" was reintroduced in 1968.
>

> The code test issue seems like a biggie because we have the 'net to spread
the
> noise around.
>
> > I will rejoice when it goes.
>
> To each his own.
> >
> >N3KIP (20 wpm Extra, never plugged a key in my rig!)
> >
> 73 de Jim, N2EY (20 wpm Extra, 99% CW)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

...and still keepin' my fingers crossed for the retention of Element 1.

1646. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 02:41:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <Tjsn9.9114$OB5.898275@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53413

>
> "This is a donor recognition program, to acknowledge member loyalty,"
> said ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH.
>

Dear K1MMH,

Your proctologist called -- he says your head is ready.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
Loyal ARRL Member since the 1960's

1647. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 02:58:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <anlkh5$f0s$0@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 53414

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> >
> > "This is a donor recognition program, to acknowledge member loyalty,"
> > said ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH.
> >
>
> Dear K1MMH,
>
> Your proctologist called -- he says your head is ready.

Aw, come on Hans, she's doing exactly what they hired her to do -
extract as much from us as can be done. Who else would they get it from?

1648. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 03:16:14 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021004231614.27149.00003792@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53415

In article <f45722ac.0210041452.6fbf361@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> What is a 'Lance Bass' ? Is it a fish someone stuck with a stick?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
>Dan, "Lance Bass" is a type of English Ale that the Knights would
>drink for courage, just before they jousted.
>
>Brian/N0iMD

Brian:

I thought that was called 'meade.' Or am I thinking about telescopes?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1649. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 03:16:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021004231615.27149.00003793@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53416

In article <uhrn9.9084$GF5.436872@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:

>I certainly won't. I'm one of those who've written the FCC imploring them to
>retain the code requirement. I think it's a very important part of AR's
>tradition. There already exists a no-code ticket, so the "exclusion"
>argument is pretty much moot. I won't even go near the "barrier" thing
>anymore...my side hurts from laughing so hard when I hear how the 5-wpm
>test's a "barrier."

Bert:

Same here. Personally, I think that if we had something equivalent to FRS and MURS 20 years ago, perhaps with more power, choice of antennas, use of repeaters, etc., there would have been less, or zero, pressure to reduce or eliminate code testing, since we'd then have a more practical, useful, short-to-medium range personal comm service. The fact that these options exist today could explain the lack of growth we're seeing in the Post-Restructuring era, with a max. 5 WPM code test requirement.

>> N3KIP (20 wpm Extra, never plugged a key in my rig!)
>
>--
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI (Approx. 18-wpm Extra & gettin' better every day!)
>

73 de Larry, K3LT (20-WPM Extra; 0-percent HF phone)

1650. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 03:16:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021004231616.27149.00003794@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53417

In article <20021004072500.05850.00000825@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>Two-and-a-half years into Restructuring, and we've gained only 8.5 kilohams?
>
>Yup. In fact, it's worse than that: The number of US hams peaked around
>February 2001, and has wandered around the present number since then.
>
>>Where are all the eager young computer-literate electronic wizards we were
>>promised?
>
>On the internet, or looking for jobs since the dot-com bubble burst. Your
>career choice turned out to be a lot more secure.
>

Interestingly enough, there are virtually no "young people" (minimum age for a CDL is 21) in my line of work -- at least in my neck of the woods. We're all mostly retired military or retreads from other careers, from former teachers, cops, and other assorted public servants to farmers, salesmen, and several ministers. I consider myself lucky to have found this job. It's enjoyable work, offers a daily challenge not found in fixed-route bus driving, pays well, and offers all the overtime you can handle. Now, if only I could stop backing into things...

BTW -- on October 14, I get my right hand operated on for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. I'll be off work on disability for up to 8 weeks, but probably unable to post to the newsgroup for a couple of weeks. After I get my stitches out, I'll be taking a trip home to NY for at least a week and then visiting Comrade Natasha in Indianapolis where she's now working as a TSA security screener. So, if I drop off the radar screen for a while, don't send out a search party.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1651. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 03:16:17 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021004231617.27149.00003795@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53418

In article <ankl6csuh1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>> >Two-and-a-half years into Restructuring, and we've gained only 8.5
>kilohams?
>>
>> Yup. In fact, it's worse than that: The number of US hams peaked around
>> February 2001, and has wandered around the present number since then.
>>
>> >Where are all the eager young computer-literate electronic wizards we
>were
>> >promised?
>>
>> On the internet, or looking for jobs since the dot-com bubble burst. Your
>> career choice turned out to be a lot more secure.
>>
>> > We should have seen our numbers almost double by now, in
>> >the absence of high-speed code testing!
>>
>> The USA has never had "high-speed" code testing for a ham license. And
>since
>> 1990, anyone with a documentable learning problem could get a medical
>waiver.
>>
>> >This "growth" is barely what we've
>> >seen during the same period in the Pre-Restructuring Era!
>>
>> Bingo. Which indicates that the code testing is not the problem some folks
>> claim it is.
>
>And some of us that oppose a code test have never made that claim to
>begin with.

Bill:

No, you haven't. But plenty of NCTA's have. The last decade on the Fidonet
Ham
echo and this newsgroup have not been a dream.

>> In about a year we will see Element 1 dropped, unless a miracle happens.
>Then
>> we'll see how much effect it really has.
>
>I don't expect any significant change in TOTAL numbers. What we
>will see is many techs going for General with some going on to

>Extra. Most folks either will "cut it" today as an entry process
>via Tech or they won't bother at all.
>
>One key factor that many people don't consider is
>that the population of the USA is pretty static. In the pre-70s,
>we had a natural liklihood of growing simply because the
>universe of possibly interested people was always
>growing. Not so anymore.
>
>> >I'd dearly love
>> >to know the average age of those 39K new Techs we gained in the last
>> >2.5 years!
>>
>> We did not get 39,000 new Techs. FCC has been renewing all existing Tech
>Pluses
>> as Techs since April 15, 2000. That's one of the reasons Tech is growing
>so
>> fast and Tech Plus is shrinking so fast. If the rules are left alone, by
>April
>> of 2010 there will be no Tech Pluses at all. A SWAG says that at least a
>third
>> of those 39,000 are Tech Pluses renewed as Techs.

Ooops.

>>
>> Also, a Novice who passes the Tech written gets a Tech, even though HF
>privs are retained.
>
>Agreed.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

I think the bottom line here is that the promise of essential growth in the ARS with the significant (60%) reduction in the maximum code speed for Extra class licensure has been a typical liberal lie. One thing I have noticed is that there doesn't seem to be much, if any, reduction in CW activity on-the-air. In fact, the mode seems to be as popular as ever. Unfortunately, this could very quickly change for the worse, in the absence of CW-users generated by the requirement for higher-speed code testing. In any event, we've tossed the dice, and it seems to have come up craps. Look for big chunks of UHF spectrum to be pulled out from under us.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1652. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 03:17:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <0Rsn9.9166$OB5.924295@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53419

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

>
> Aw, come on Hans, she's doing exactly what they hired her to do -
> extract as much from us as can be done. Who else would they get it from?
>

Dick, this is the most dump huck elitist idea to come out of Newington since the days when they proposed disincentive licensing. Everyone who is disinclined to ante up an extra $5K/yr just got demoted to Second Class Member.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
I >was< the ARRL

1653. Re: Are yellow pages allowed - find out for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 05:16:28 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <MAun9.3964$k_2.223801@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Vito" <vito@crosslink.net> wrote in message
news:3D9DB1FF.68738F32@crosslink.net...
> Ha ha ha wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > How long have you been suffering from these delusions?
> >
> Since I began reading enforcement letters like
> <http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/0921.html?nc=1>

Which one of those relates to the topic under discussion:

One ham asks another
"Are there any places to eat near here?"
the other ham replies
"Yes, here are some I know about."

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1654. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 13:11:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210050511.1812d3e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53421

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<0Rsn9.9166$OB5.924295@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Aw, come on Hans, she's doing exactly what they hired her to do -
> > extract as much from us as can be done. Who else would they get it from?
> >
>
> Dick, this is the most dump huck elitist idea to come out of Newington since
> the days when they proposed disincentive licensing. Everyone who is
> disinclined to ante up an extra $5K/yr just got demoted to Second Class
> Member.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>

My bet is that this one is gonna be a no-counter complete flop, let it R.I.P.

> de Hans, K0HB
> I >was< the ARRL

w3v

1655. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 14:08:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021005100849.28700.00001208@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53422

In article <0Rsn9.9166$OB5.924295@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>this is the most dump huck elitist idea to come out of Newington since
>the days when they proposed disincentive licensing.

I disagree, Hans. The "diamond club" idea is even dumber. Do big contributors get to sleep in HPM's bedroom?

>Everyone who is
>disinclined to ante up an extra $5K/yr just got demoted to Second Class
>Member.

Or third class, or fourth class, etc.

They'd be a LOT better off to offer the various bennys a-la-carte. Example: Want first-class postage on QST? Just send in an extra $X.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1656. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 15:45:38 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9F0922.5B9D77A1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 53423

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> I note that the big spenders will get their QST via first class mail.

So what is the advantage of getting QST via first class mail? It
is just as lousy a rag via first class as it is via regular mail.

1657. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 19:53:53 UTC
From: Draggin' <None@anon.com>
Message-ID: <annfut$1d4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:45092FF128.EA1209340@taranews.net...
> Dan's machine has been sending out the bugbear email worm when he has
> it connected to the internet. Take a look.
>
> Full headers. Real sender is w4nti. The "application" was the bugbear
worm.
>
> > Received: from user-33qt4kc.dialup.mindspring.com ([199.174.146.140]
helo=dan-w4nti)
> > by smtp6.mindspring.com with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
> > id 17wkz9-0000lF-00; Wed, 02 Oct 2002 11:00:23 -0400
> > From: "Larry Crim" <k4ab@freelotto.com> <-- forged by bugbear worm
> > Subject: [SECC] Test
> > MIME-Version: 1.0
> > Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----------F3U83EB3WDBW99"
> > Message-Id: <E17wkz9-0000lF-00@smtp6.mindspring.com>
> > Date: Wed, 02 Oct 2002 11:00:23 -0400
> > Parts/Attachments:
> > 1 Shown 11 lines Text
> > 2 52 KB Application
> > ---------------------------------------
> >
> > 123
> >
> > --- StripMime Report -- processed MIME parts ---
> > multipart/alternative
> > text/plain (text body -- kept)
> > text/html
> > ---
> > ____
> > SECC mailing list
> > SECC@contesting.com
> > http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/secck
> >
> > [Part 2, Application/X-MSDOWNLOAD 69KB.] <-- the bugbear worm
itself
>
> Thanks a lot, Dan. Fix your machine.

Maybe his old 286 cain't run a virus program? Y'all be reel keerful
now....Dan gits mad an' threatens to shoot at peeple what makes him mad. He
is a mite bit cranky in his old age an' he's constipated most of the time,

too. It's that suthern food y'all!
Yup. He's full of it fer sure.

1658. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 20:15:24 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021005161524.15322.00006975@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53425

I feel that the ARRL generally does a good job for Amateur Radio. The ARRL at least encourages the full range of activities. Also, the radio publications are very good.

They had to come up with ways to manage their expenses and so they cut contest scores and came up with the "Diamond club".

I agree that the Diamond club is a bad idea. First class postage and some recognition plaque is not much for paying increased fees. You do not have to "upgrade" if you do not feel its worth it. My opinion is that most will not.

>So what is the advantage of getting QST via first class mail? It
>is just as lousy a rag via first class as it is via regular mail.
>

I do not care about contest scores, so I feel cutting the contest scores is better for me. Also, I could care less if it came via first class mail or if it is a few days late. And yes, QST is a pretty lousy magazine. Its filled with junk such as contest scores, product ratings, "Ham" radio stories, cute jokes about how people saw the word "Ham" on some sign, etc. It is not "Ham Radio" magazine, a good magazine.

QST reflects what Ham Radio has degraded to. It is clearly appliance oriented. Its also about the Ham Radio culture. I guess thats what Ham Radio is today.

1659. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 20:28:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <anni1g$c3j$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If any of you computer wizz kids actualy knew anything you would note that anyone that is infected by this bugbear will send out anything it decides to.

Yes I had the virus 3 days ago. It is long gone and I do have a working anti-virus program.

If you smart ones would simply insert at the beginning of your address book listing something that when it bounces back you would know you had a virus sneak in then you too could stop it.

Such as 123@thisisavirus.com should do the trick.

Then it wouldn't spread all over hells half acre because of jerks that don't know better.

Dan/W4NTI

"Draggin'" <None@anon.com> wrote in message
news:annfut$1d4$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
> news:45092FF128.EA1209340@taranews.net...
> > Dan's machine has been sending out the bugbear email worm when he has
> > it connected to the internet. Take a look.
> >
> > Full headers. Real sender is w4nti. The "application" was the bugbear
> worm.
> >
> > > Received: from user-33qt4kc.dialup.mindspring.com ([199.174.146.140]
> helo=dan-w4nti)
> > > by smtp6.mindspring.com with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
> > > id 17wkz9-0000lF-00; Wed, 02 Oct 2002 11:00:23 -0400
> > > From: "Larry Crim" <k4ab@freelotto.com> <-- forged by bugbear worm
> > > Subject: [SECC] Test
> > > MIME-Version: 1.0
> > > Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="----------F3U83EB3WDBW99"
> > > Message-Id: <E17wkz9-0000lF-00@smtp6.mindspring.com>
> > > Date: Wed, 02 Oct 2002 11:00:23 -0400
> > > Parts/Attachments:
> > > 1 Shown 11 lines Text
> > > 2 52 KB Application
> > > --
> > >
> > > 123

> > >
> > > --- StripMime Report -- processed MIME parts ---
> > > multipart/alternative
> > > text/plain (text body -- kept)
> > > text/html
> > > ---
> > > _____
> > > SECC mailing list
> > > SECC@contesting.com
> > > http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/secck
> > >
> > > [Part 2, Application/X-MSDOWNLOAD 69KB.] <-- the bugbear worm
> itself
> >
> > Thanks a lot, Dan. Fix your machine.
>
>
> Maybe his old 286 cain't run a virus program? Y'all be reel keerful
> now....Dan gits mad an' threatens to shoot at peeple what makes him mad.
He
> is a mite bit cranky in his old age an' he's constipated most of the time,
> too. It's that suthern food y'all!
> Yup. He's full of it fer sure.
>
>
>
>
>

1660. Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 20:54:47 UTC
From: K HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <rkIn9.10968$lV3.1041030@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Join the ARRL Diamond Club.

Details at
https://www.arrl.org/forms/development/donations/diamondclub/benefits.html

Partial excerpt follows

73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

----------EXCERPTED FROM URL SHOWN ABOVE----------

Diamond Club -- Director Level ($5,000 - $9,999 donation annually)
Available to Life Members Only

Benefits:
Diamond Club -- Director pin
Personalized and framed Diamond Club -Director Certificate
Diamond Club car decal (2)
10% publication discount on purchases direct from ARRL
Overnight Delivery of QST
Unlimited free use of DXCC, QSL Service and Awards
Invitation to ARRL Annual Donor Recognition Events
Voluntary annual listing in ARRL Annual Report and on ARRL website
Bound sets of ARRL periodicals -- QST, QEX and NCJ

1661. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 21:13:33 UTC
From: audiotest3@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021005171333.15281.00007447@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53428

After attending a recent Hamfest, one can conclude:

Percentage of male Hams: 95%
Percentage of old Hams: 50%
Percentage of obese Hams: 25%

These are high percentages.

Hams generally are a pathetic group as a whole- obcessed, cult like, people. I'm not saying all Hams are like this, there are some exceptions. However, this is the demographics of the majority of Ham Radio.

A large percentage of Hams are obese. I have been to several Hamfests and this is undeniably true. A greater percentage of Hams are obsese that other groups or than the gereral population. Why is that? Perhaps it is because Hams like to contest and other activities that use less physical activity.

If you want to gain more members, lets make Ham Radio more interesting. Lets have more activities, rather than just cult meetings. The general public is not interested in Morse code. They think of Ham Radio as a bunch of weird people. Radio direction finding, homebrewing, antenna builiding activities would build more interest. Also barbeques, sporting events, concerts, etc. to show that Hams like to have fun.

Then, the number of members will start to increase.

1662. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 23:19:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <anns1k$hi7$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I did. And I don't know why you JUST got a virus allegedly from ME. I am willing to bet if you follow the 'real' route and not all that fake jibberish in the headers you will find it came from YAHOO. And I have, and never have had an account with them.

Perhaps if you engaged brain before operating mouth you would have an inkling about how this virus works.

It may not even have come from me at all. In fact it probably didn't.

I even got a virus from myself at w4nti@yahoo.com Which I never had. SEE light bulb?

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message news:45092FF128.FF1209550@taranews.net...
> On Sat, 5 Oct 2002 15:28:42 -0500, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote:
> > If any of you computer wizz kids actualy knew anything you would note that
> > anyone that is infected by this bugbear will send out anything it decides
> > to.
> >
> > Yes I had the virus 3 days ago. It is long gone and I do have a working
> > anti-virus program.
> >
> > If you smart ones would simply insert at the beginning of your address book
> > listing something that when it bounces back you would know you had a virus
> > sneak in then you too could stop it.
> >
> > Such as 123@thisisavirus.com should do the trick.
> >
> > Then it wouldn't spread all over hells half acre because of jerks that don't
> > know better.
>
> So why didn't you do it? Huhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh?

1663. Bugbear virus info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 23:45:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <annti9$839$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is just for you Lloyd.

Here are a few more comments you may want to take to heart.

>
****

> Put this entry into your e-mail program's address book.
> Display Name = *virus_trap
> E-mail address = <illegaladdressxyz
>
> Be sure to include the '*' and the '<'. This new entry should show up at
> the top of the list. If an outgoing e-mail message (as created by a virus
> or worm) happens to include this entry, then it will cause an error and
the
> message won't be sent.

==========

Can't see how the above will work. No virus would be stupid enough to
include that entry.

Or have I missed something ?

Reg.

****
Reg,
That entry in your address book will stop most
virus programs that use your address book to resend
it's self. That entry, or one simular to it, will
cause your email program to stop for an error, then
notify you that there's a problem. It's still up to
you to 'cure' the problem by running an anti-virus
program or whatever. All the entry does is prevent a
virus from sending email. You still have the virus.
'Doc
*****
On Sat, 05 Oct 2002 07:03:16 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>|One of the worst features of these viruses that raid people's address
>|lists is the way that relationships get soured by blaming innocent
>|parties. So let's not do it.
>
>Right on.

So let's nail the principle culprit - Windows in whatever form and design dominated by marketing.

The local (Seattle) paper's business section continues to announce new major security breaches in MS client and server software. Warnings have come twice-a-week average for some time now, and weekly for a year before that.

MS has had major problems with their Passport service revealing personal data to simple attacks. MS has also lost its third party designer base to Java or other languages run on Linux. Microsoft's .NET has failed to attract designers who perceive numerous security issues.

Chairman Bill pronounced a war on insecure design about a year ago. Skip forward that same year: low and behold they have a trade show of their PC of the future (about 5 years away) which reportedly lacks security features. The artwork shown in the paper features the glazed expression of the owner sitting about 2 foot back from a 2' X 4' display curved like a windshield (actually quite radical, about 60&#65533;). The subtle implication is that the office cubicle will soon shrink to the size of a desktop holodeck.

I once recall an incident in installing Windows 3. I had already been a part of the their beta test, so I knew my system was up to the install. Such smug hubris is inevitably cracked by Microsoft and as testimony, the installation refused to acknowledge my mouse existed. It's always the low tech problems that cripple you. Stumped by this obstacle, I called MS support and we spent an hour re-installing Windows to arrive at the same report: Mouse does not exist. The tech asked what kind of mouse I was using. I replied a Microsoft Mouse. Bingo! The tech dryly reported that Windows did not support my mouse - and he was right.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC
****
****

1664. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-05 23:59:27 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <z1Ln9.60188$dp1.163537@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hans ... I really do not understand why you are down on the league's latest
attempt to raise money. One can plainly see that the 10% discount on league
material would pay for Diamond Club Membership over several thousand years
of hamming. 73 Tom KI3R nr Pittsburgh
"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:rkIn9.10968$lV3.1041030@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Join the ARRL Diamond Club.
>
> Details at
> https://www.arrl.org/forms/development/donations/diamondclub/benefits.html
>
> Partial excerpt follows
>
> &#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
>
> ----------EXCERPTED FROM URL SHOWN ABOVE----------
>
> Diamond Club -- Director Level ($5,000 - $9,999 donation annually)
> Available to Life Members Only
>
> Benefits:
> Diamond Club -- Director pin
> Personalized and framed Diamond Club -Director Certificate
> Diamond Club car decal (2)
> 10% publication discount on purchases direct from ARRL
> Overnight Delivery of QST
> Unlimited free use of DXCC, QSL Service and Awards
> Invitation to ARRL Annual Donor Recognition Events
> Voluntary annual listing in ARRL Annual Report and on ARRL website
> Bound sets of ARRL periodicals -- QST, QEX and NCJ
>
>
>
>
>

>

1665. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 00:30:36 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <MuLn9.52245$Pz.46440@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53432

"Audiotest3" <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021005171333.15281.00007447@mb-mn.aol.com...
> After attending a recent Hamfest, one can conclude:
>
> Percentage of male Hams: 95%
> Percentage of old Hams: 50%
> Percentage of obese Hams: 25%
>
> These are high percentages.
>
> Hams generally are a pathetic group as a whole- obcessed, cult like,
people.
> I'm not saying all Hams are like this, there are some exceptions. However,
this
> is the demographics of the majority of Ham Radio.

I recall someone once saying "Some of my best friends are Jews" i.e I'm not
saying all hams are like this.

> A large percentage of Hams are obese. I have been to several Hamfests and
this
> is undeniably true. A greater percentage of Hams are obsese that other
groups
> or than the gereral population. Why is that? Perhaps it is because Hams
like
> to contest and other activities that use less physical activity.

Probably no greater a percentage than the general population or those who
sit in front of keyboards and dis groups for some perverse pleasure.

> If you want to gain more members, lets make Ham Radio more interesting.
Lets
> have more activities, rather than just cult meetings. The general public
is not
> interested in Morse code. They think of Ham Radio as a bunch of weird
people.
> Radio direction finding, homebrewing, antenna builiding activities would
build
> more interest. Also barbeques, sporting events, concerts, etc. to show
that
> Hams like to have fun.

I don't remember having animal sacrifices at our last club meeting. However
as Hans said .....maybe our field day scores would go up. I belong to a
radio club for radio's sake. I do not need to be fed, entertained or take
in the latest WWE pay-for-view there. It is radio plain and simple. There

are gormet clubs for those who like to eat, sports booster clubs for those who like to follow their interests and barber shop quartet clubs for music. I have my fun via the radio and the club. May I ask what you have done for your club to make it "more fun"? You did give a truth in that hams are "wierd people" but no more than those who enjoy any other activity. The "weirdness" is only from those who are on the outside looking in.

You stated that then the numbers will start to increase.

Naw ..... the numbers won't increase for all the reasons discussed over the years on the group.

73 God Bless Tom KI3R Port Vue Pa. nr Pittsburgh

1666. Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 00:42:58 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <mGLn9.4955$k_2.327289@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53433

<< Posted at N2EY's behest. Tnx Jim >>

<< I reply to N2EY: >>

It's been a while since you posted this (and I've been
out of town), but I think you made some very good points
about homebrewing or the lack thereof:

>> What do you guys think -- could simple DSB rigs
>> have saved more hams from appliance addiction?

> I don't think DSB would have made much difference,
> Bill. Here's why:
>
> One of the big attractions of SSB (or SSSC, as it
> was originally known) over AM was spectrum conservation....

> And the Ancient Ones who pioneered SSB produced many
> examples of simple SSB rigs, like the W1JEO exciter,
> "Cheap And Easy SSB", and the IMP 3 tube exciter.

Absolutely true, and someone else pointed out the
extreme difficulty in receiving both sidebands of
a DSB-SC signal. I've seen some really nice, compact
HB SSB transceivers made with 9 MHz-filter exciter/
IF-amplifier architectures. Just a handful of xtals,
some 1N34A's and a couple of 12AT7's.

> One of the main reasons many hams homebrewed in
> the post-WW2 era was economics. Prices of
> manufactured equipment were much higher than
> today, when you consider inflation. The
> savings of homebrew/surplus/kit rigs could
> make a big difference.....

This fact seems to be consistently overlooked
by those decrying the lack of home-brewing by
amateurs. Indeed, the mythos has become that
home-brewing was some kind of spiritual elevation
of one's being, when, in fact, it was because
post-WWII hams were such notorious cheapskates.

> Homebrewing eliminated the labor cost...

Which was considerable with chassis-and-socket
construction.

> As electronic manufacturing methods advanced,
> costs declined. Hand wiring gave way to PC boards.
> Miniaturization meant greater precision and
> planning were required. Quantity discounts
> on new parts....

That's the real reason. Moore's law, in a
somewhat modified form, applies to analog/rf
components too. You just can't get the
performance required without a substantial
amount of component integration. And that means
that any homebrew activity with contemporary
devices is going to be an exercise in system
integration, not design and development at
the transistor level.

> But homebrewing is NOT dead, despite pronouncements
> otherwise. It's simply become a niche market, rather
> than something almost all hams did, out of necessity.

I think the real problem is in the subtext of
any discussion of homebrewing. You get a clue
from the use of terms like "appliance addiction".
The implication is that homebrewing is something
that "real hams" SHOULD be doing. And perhaps
penalized for not doing it enough. That's where
I part company with homebrewing enthusiasm because,
if you really look at it, it's the CW debate all
over again. And you know what a morass one falls
into with those kind of issues.

73,

Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1667. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 00:46:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D9FB23D.70706@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> I did. And I don't know why you JUST got a virus allegedly from ME. I am
> willing to bet if you follow the 'real' route and not all that fake
> jibberish in the headers you will find it came from YAHOO. And I have, and
> never have had an account with them.
>
> Perhaps if you engaged brain before operating mouth you would have an
> inkling about how this virus works.
>
> It may not even have come from me at all. In fact it probably didn't.
>
> I even got a virus from myself at w4nti@yahoo.com Which I never had. SEE
> light bulb?

Nope, it isn't you, Dan. It came from someone who had you in their address book. That is the bad thing about this virus. It makes it look like it is from a particular person, and can cause a lot of hard feelings.

And for allotherestaya, as long as you insist on using the "standard" email program, be prepared to constantly have to defend it against virii. That's just life, and there isn't a thing you can do about it.

Well.... you could just maybe..... change to a mail program that isn't so popular that the bad guys write for it. Heresy, I know! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1668. Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 00:47:37 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <JKLn9.4965$k_2.330307@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53435

N2EY:
> TNx, Jim - you should post this to the newsgroup

I now do so.

>>>> What do you guys think -- could simple DSB rigs
>>>> have saved more hams from appliance addiction?

>>> I don't think DSB would have made much difference,
>>> Bill. Here's why:

>>> One of the big attractions of SSB (or SSSC, as it
>>> was originally known) over AM was spectrum conservation....

>>> And the Ancient Ones who pioneered SSB produced many
>>> examples of simple SSB rigs, like the W1JEO exciter,
>>> "Cheap And Easy SSB", and the IMP 3 tube exciter.

K7JEB:
>> Absolutely true, and someone else pointed out the
>> extreme difficulty in receiving both sidebands of
>> a DSB-SC signal.

N2EY:
> The way it was usually done by hams was to set up the
> receiver for SSB, and receive half of the DSB signal.
> Of course this tosses away 3 dB of the signal, too,
> and means the receiver must be as complex as if the
> SSB system was used..

>> I've seen some really nice, compact
>> HB SSB transceivers made with 9 MHz-filter exciter/
>> IF-amplifier architectures. Just a handful of xtals,
>> some 1N34A's and a couple of 12AT7's.

> Where can I see those? I've got piles of 12AT7s!

Unfortunately I saw those 40 years ago, designed by
my boss, W5ESZ, now SK, and I didn't retain the
schematics.

N2EY:
>>> One of the main reasons many hams homebrewed in
>>> the post-WW2 era was economics. Prices of
>>> manufactured equipment were much higher than
>>> today, when you consider inflation. The
>>> savings of homebrew/surplus/kit rigs could
>>> make a big difference.....

K7JEB:
>> This fact seems to be consistently overlooked
>> by those decrying the lack of home-brewing by
>> amateurs.

N2EY:
> Yup. A lot of the impetus was economics - both
> money and time.

> A local ham friend of mine is in a position where
> he can pick up freelance extra work at very nice
> wages. His philosophy is that if he wants a new
> piece of ham gear, he can earn the money to buy
> it with much less time and effort by working a
> few extra nights.

I have to confess that I had this attitude back when
I was pulling down big bux at Intel pushing design
simulations through their workstations. Now that
times have slimmed out, the option of rebuilding an
old, defunct linear amplifier rather than sending
a credit-card number to HRO is much more appealing.

K7JEB:
>> Indeed, the mythos has become that
>> home-brewing was some kind of spiritual elevation
>> of one's being, when, in fact, it was because
>> post-WWII hams were such notorious cheapskates.

N2EY:
> Not at all! Hams of that era were actually
> spendthrifts by modern standards. Look up the
> prices of ham gear and parts back then, and
> translate them into modern inflation-adjusted
> dollars.

> As a rough guide, I would venture that a $5,000/yr
> income back in the '40s and '50s would yield a higher
> class lifestyle than $50,000/yr does today. That means
> we should multiply the prices in the old books by 10.

>>> Homebrewing eliminated the labor cost...

K7JEB:
>> Which was considerable with chassis-and-socket
>> construction.

N2EY:
> Manufacturers could stamp out the metalwork in
> seconds but the component-mounting and
> point-to-point wiring took lots of hand labor. Some
> companies offered the same rigs both as kits and
> assembled - the differences could be steep. I think
> EFJ charged $100 to assemble a Ranger.

I can remember back in 1957 that the difference made
kit assembly extremely attractive. And it was a
good practical education putting one together. I
did a couple of Heathkits (Seneca, Stereo Receiver)
and came away at least knowing what the components
looked like .

N2EY:
>>> As electronic manufacturing methods advanced,
>>> costs declined. Hand wiring gave way to PC boards.
>>> Miniaturization meant greater precision and
>>> planning were required. Quantity discounts
>>> on new parts....

K7JEB:
>> That's the real reason. Moore's law, in a
>> somewhat modified form, applies to analog/rf
>> components too.

N2EY:
> The big step was PC boards. Lower cost, more
> uniformity, less chance of error, less skill
> needed on the line. Automatic board-stuffing
> became practical. The same board, or a variation,
> could be used in different products. Also, test
> jigs could be built to test and pre-align each
> assembled board before it was installed.

> But making boards for one-shot projects increases
> cost and time, and decreases flexibility.

The uniformity issue is particularly apt with
high-performance analog IC's. There are only
certain component placements, relying on the
self-shielding characteristics of multi-layer
PC boards, which produce stable operation.

Also, there is the issue of component density.
That has become paramount with surface-mount
stuff. There is no way that density can be
obtained without a printed-circuit 'substrate'.

K7JEB:
>> You just can't get the
>> performance required without a substantial
>> amount of component integration.

N2EY:
> Sure you can. Look at the Heath, Drake and Collins
> tube gear of the 60s and 70s. Very low level of
> component integration.

True enough. But none contained a frequency
synthesizer, complex image-rejecting IF mixing

schemes, frequency memories, RF transmit
processing and other "standard" features. I
don't think those would be available at anything
like a reasonable price or size with discrete
transistors, never mind vacuum tubes.

K7JEB:
>> And that means
>> that any homebrew activity with contemporary
>> devices is going to be an exercise in system
>> integration, not design and development at
>> the transistor level.

N2EY:
> I disagree! Look at the Elecraft K2 and K1 designs.
> Yes, they're kits, but the basic radio design is
> not beyond the advanced homebrewer.

I don't have first-hand experience with either
of those units, so can't really comment. I do
think I'm safe in saying that most contemporary
RF design done with standard parts is handled
mostly at the gain-block/filter/mixer level,
not at the discrete-transistor level. I think
that is a different way of thinking about
analog signal processing than base and collector
currents and represents a first step towards
system design and away from circuit design.

N2EY:
>>> But homebrewing is NOT dead, despite pronouncements
>>> otherwise. It's simply become a niche market, rather
>>> than something almost all hams did, out of necessity.

K7JEB:
>> I think the real problem is in the subtext of
>> any discussion of homebrewing. You get a clue
>> from the use of terms like "appliance addiction".

N2EY:
> One wonders how much actual homebrewing the
> wielders of such phrases have actually done -
> particularly in recent years.

I secretly do too, but prefer to remain non-
confrontational and stay friends with my fellow
hams.

K7JEB:
>> The implication is that homebrewing is something
>> that "real hams" SHOULD be doing.

> Of course it is.

>> And perhaps penalized for not doing it enough.

> That part is unenforceable, of course.

Well, there *have* been semi-serious proposals to
substitute some kind of home-brewing practical exam
for the late, lamented high-speed code test.

K7JEB:
>> That's where
>> I part company with homebrewing enthusiasm because,
>> if you really look at it, it's the CW debate all
>> over again. And you know what a morass one falls
>> into with those kind of issues.

N2EY:
> Good point! There are lots of similarities. Folks
> say "CW is dead", and you have to wonder if they
> ever listen to the HF ham bands. Same thing with
> "homebrew is dead".
>
> The ham who builds his own radio gear has knowledge
> and skill far beyond that of the "appliance operator".
> But it's just not practical to force hams to
> build.

I would be satisfied with just an understanding of
how radio works. In my latest cross-country jaunt,
I found myself explaining that, just because I have
a big, Texas Bugcatcher coil in series with the
8-foot whip on my pickup truck, I cannot auto-
matically talk all over the world. The stares
really got blank if I tried to make the point that,
contrary to expectations, the more wire on the
loading coil, the poorer the overall radiation
efficiency. Of course, these were CB-using
truckers, so some slack must be provided. Still,
if there is an essense of amateur radio, I
like to think it is the understanding of how
things work. Of course, a powerful physical
manifestation of that understanding is the
completion of a homebrew radio project. But
the understanding, such as it is, comes first.

N2EY:
> Here's one last point: Economics has yet another
> angle: resale value. Most true homebrew rigs are
> difficult or impossible to sell. Manufactured or kit
> ham gear holds its value quite well, by comparison
> to other electronics - particularly computers. A
> 5 year old computer might get 10% of its original
> price - if you are lucky! Compare that to the
> prices of used ham gear of all ages.

It has completely baffled me that the unique
character of homebrew equipment has not been
recognized. A Japanese ham will lay out $25K
for a Collins KW-1 but spurns the one-of-a-kind
AM transmitter of a similar power level put
together by an Iowa farmer back in the forties.
Maybe such artifacts need exposure and expert
appraisal on 'Antiques Roadshow' or some
similar venue. I predict, and mark my words
well, that when the rarity of homebrew ham rigs
is recognized as being greater than pre-Columbian
native American sculpture on E-Bay that the
sky will be the limit on the prices of such 'finds'.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1669. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 00:50:21 UTC
From: o3omaloompaa <ziers3som@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d9f88ce@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:45092FF128.EA1209340@taranews.net...
> Dan's machine has been sending out the bugbear email worm when he has
> it connected to the internet. Take a look.

It's not Dan's fault. The callbook server QRZ.com got hit
bypassed it's virus scanner. In the meantime it lifted a ton
of e-mail addresses from the server and now sending itself
to everyones address book

For a fix tool (free) go to www.symantec.com

1670. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 01:21:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ano378rnl0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 53437

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <0Rsn9.9166$OB5.924295@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
> >this is the most dump huck elitist idea to come out of Newington since
> >the days when they proposed disincentive licensing.
>
> I disagree, Hans. The "diamond club" idea is even dumber. Do big contributors
> get to sleep in HPM's bedroom?
>
> >Everyone who is
> >disinclined to ante up an extra $5K/yr just got demoted to Second Class
> >Member.
>
> Or third class, or fourth class, etc.
>
> They'd be a LOT better off to offer the various bennys a-la-carte. Example:
> Want first-class postage on QST? Just send in an extra $X.
>

Which would bring in what, $10-$15 per year? Naw, what is happening is
that nowdays one buys his Extra class status by writing a big check to
Newington.

The license no longer means much of anything.

Dick

1671. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 01:45:29 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <upv5d7mcl4bu1e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D9FB23D.70706@adelphia.net...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > I did. And I don't know why you JUST got a virus allegedly from ME. I
am
> > willing to bet if you follow the 'real' route and not all that fake
> > jibberish in the headers you will find it came from YAHOO. And I have,
and
> > never have had an account with them.
> >
> > Perhaps if you engaged brain before operating mouth you would have an
> > inkling about how this virus works.
> >
> > It may not even have come from me at all. In fact it probably didn't.
> >
> > I even got a virus from myself at w4nti@yahoo.com Which I never had.
SEE
> > light bulb?
>
>
> Nope, it isn't you, Dan. It came from someone who had you in their
> address book. That is the bad thing about this virus. It makes it look
> like it is from a particular person, and can cause a lot of hard feelings.
>
> And for allotherestaya, as long as you insist on using the "standard"
> email program, be prepared to constantly have to defend it against
> virii. That's just life, and there isn't a thing you can do about it.
>
>
> Well.... you could just maybe..... change to a mail program that isn't so
> popular that the bad guys write for it. Heresy, I know! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Or you could be running Zone Alarm Firewall and not have the virus
get onto the internet at all, since Zone Alarm blocks the "programs"
access to the internet in the first place.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."

-Groucho Marx

1672. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 01:50:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021005215025.04751.00001375@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 53439

In article <20021004231616.27149.00003794@mb-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 05 Oct 2002 03:16:16 GMT
>
>In article <20021004072500.05850.00000825@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>Two-and-a-half years into Restructuring, and we've gained only 8.5
>kilohams?
>>
>>Yup. In fact, it's worse than that: The number of US hams peaked around
>>February 2001, and has wandered around the present number since then.
>>
>>>Where are all the eager young computer-literate electronic wizards we were
>>>promised?
>>
>>On the internet, or looking for jobs since the dot-com bubble burst. Your
>>career choice turned out to be a lot more secure.
>
>Interestingly enough, there are virtually no "young people" (minimum age for
>a CDL is 21) in my line of work -- at least in my neck of the woods.

Interesting!

>We're all
>mostly retired military or retreads from other careers, from former teachers,
>cops, and other assorted public servants to farmers, salesmen, and several
>ministers. I consider myself lucky to have found this job. It's enjoyable
>work, offers a daily challenge not found in fixed-route bus driving, pays
>well, and offers all the overtime you can handle.

It also provides a much-needed service to the community. What would happen to the people you transport without DARPA?

At the end of the summer I drove down to southern Delaware. DARPA vans were quite visible - and busy.

>Now, if only I could stop
>backing
>into things...

Sounds like a job for RADAR..

>BTW -- on October 14, I get my right hand operated on for Carpal Tunnel
>Syndrome. I'll be off work on disability for up to 8 weeks, but probably
>unable to post to the newsgroup for a couple of weeks.

What about your left hand?

> After I get my
>stitches
>out, I'll be taking a trip home to NY for at least a week and then visiting
>Comrade Natasha in Indianapolis where she's now working as a TSA security
>screener. So, if I drop off the radar screen for a while, don't send out a
>search party.
>
Get Well Soon!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1673. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 02:40:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D9FCCFD.8050803@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KB9TMP wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3D9FB23D.70706@adelphia.net...
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I did. And I don't know why you JUST got a virus allegedly from ME. I
>>>
> am
>
>>>willing to bet if you follow the 'real' route and not all that fake
>>>jibberish in the headers you will find it came from YAHOO. And I have,
>>>
> and
>
>>>never have had an account with them.
>>>
>>>Perhaps if you engaged brain before operating mouth you would have an
>>>inkling about how this virus works.
>>>
>>>It may not even have come from me at all. In fact it probably didn't.
>>>
>>>I even got a virus from myself at w4nti@yahoo.com Which I never had.
>>>
> SEE
>
>>>light bulb?
>>>
>>
>>Nope, it isn't you, Dan. It came from someone who had you in their
>>address book. That is the bad thing about this virus. It makes it look
>>like it is from a particular person, and can cause a lot of hard feelings.
>>
>>And for allotherestaya, as long as you insist on using the "standard"
>>email program, be prepared to constantly have to defend it against
>>virii. That's just life, and there isn't a thing you can do about it.
>>
>>
>>Well.... you could just maybe..... change to a mail program that isn't so
>>popular that the bad guys write for it. Heresy, I know! ;^)
>>

>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
> Or you could be running Zone Alarm Firewall and not have the virus
> get onto the internet at all, since Zone Alarm blocks the "programs"
> access to the internet in the first place.

Yup, that's true. I'm running Zonealarm and a personal proxy server also.
Between that and my refusal to use MS software unless I have to, it
keeps things pretty clean.

Everyone should have Zonealarm.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1674. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 02:41:37 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ano7u0$15f$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53441

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021004231617.27149.00003795@mb-cg.aol.com...
> In article <ankl6csuh1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >> >Two-and-a-half years into Restructuring, and we've gained only 8.5
> >kilohams?
> >>
> >> Yup. In fact, it's worse than that: The number of US hams peaked around
> >> February 2001, and has wandered around the present number since then.
> >>
> >> >Where are all the eager young computer-literate electronic wizards we
> >were
> >> >promised?
> >>
> >> On the internet, or looking for jobs since the dot-com bubble burst.
Your
> >> career choice turned out to be a lot more secure.
> >>
> >> > We should have seen our numbers almost double by now, in
> >> >the absence of high-speed code testing!
> >>
> >> The USA has never had "high-speed" code testing for a ham license. And
> >since
> >> 1990, anyone with a documentable learning problem could get a medical
> >waiver.
> >>
> >> >This "growth" is barely what we've
> >> >seen during the same period in the Pre-Restructuring Era!
> >>
> >> Bingo. Which indicates that the code testing is not the problem some
folks
> >> claim it is.
> >
> >And some of us that oppose a code test have never made that claim to
> >begin with.
>
> Bill:
>
> No, you haven't. But plenty of NCTA's have. The last decade on the
Fidonet
> Ham
> echo and this newsgroup have not been a dream.
>

> >> In about a year we will see Element 1 dropped, unless a miracle
happens.
> >Then
> >> we'll see how much effect it really has.
> >
> >I don't expect any significant change in TOTAL numbers. What we
> >will see is many techs going for General with some going on to
> >Extra. Most folks either will "cut it" today as an entry process
> >via Tech or they won't bother at all.
> >
> >One key factor that many people don't consider is
> >that the population of the USA is pretty static. In the pre-70s,
> >we had a natural liklihood of growing simply because the
> >universe of possibly interested people was always
> >growing. Not so anymore.
> >
> >> >I'd dearly love
> >> >to know the average age of those 39K new Techs we gained in the last
> >> >2.5 years!
> >>
> >> We did not get 39,000 new Techs. FCC has been renewing all existing
Tech
> >Pluses
> >> as Techs since April 15, 2000. That's one of the reasons Tech is
growing
> >so
> >> fast and Tech Plus is shrinking so fast. If the rules are left alone,
by
> >April
> >> of 2010 there will be no Tech Pluses at all. A SWAG says that at least
a
> >third
> >> of those 39,000 are Tech Pluses renewed as Techs.
>
> Ooops.
>
> >>
> >> Also, a Novice who passes the Tech written gets a Tech, even though HF
> >privs are retained.
> >
> >Agreed.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
>
> I think the bottom line here is that the promise of essential growth in
the ARS
> with the significant (60%) reduction in the maximum code speed for Extra
class
> licensure has been a typical liberal lie.

Who made that promise?

It was NOT part of NCI's rational and comments
on NPRM98-143.

> One thing I have noticed is that
> there doesn't seem to be much, if any, reduction in CW activity
on-the-air.

Why would there be?

> In
> fact, the mode seems to be as popular as ever.

Wowsers...you mean code tests aren't needed to keep
the mode afloat?

> Unfortunately, this could very
> quickly change for the worse, in the absence of CW-users generated by the
> requirement for higher-speed code testing.

You're contradicting yourself now.

> In any event, we've tossed the
> dice, and it seems to have come up craps.

Based on what event(s)?

> Look for big chunks of UHF spectrum
> to be pulled out from under us.

Because?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1675. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 03:05:46 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upva6jocpm3vcf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53442

Dick, most of the licenses no longer mean anything. Around 1978 or so, the FCC stopped with the 3rd class broadcast endorsed radiotelephone license. Later they dropped the 1st phone. Do they have a marine license anymore? I dropped all of my tickets (commercial telegraph, 1st phone, radar, amateur extra) around 1981. I decided to get it back in 1993 and simply showed up and tested out. Now it is a lifetime GROL (which simply means you paid someone for the privilege of taking an exam that might have been the equivalent of a 1960 amateur general class theory (which was the same theory then as the technician - simply 13 wpm Morse vs 5 wpm). Since no one actually wants to have to learn anything anymore, the only way to convey status is allow folks to BUY it. What could be more American? Heck, we have the best government that money can buy.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ano378rnl0@208.206.142.89...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <0Rsn9.9166$OB5.924295@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"K&#65533;HB"
> > <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
> >
> > >this is the most dump huck elitist idea to come out of Newington since
> > >the days when they proposed disincentive licensing.
> >
> > I disagree, Hans. The "diamond club" idea is even dumber. Do big
contributors
> > get to sleep in HPM's bedroom?
> >
> > >Everyone who is
> > >disinclined to ante up an extra $5K/yr just got demoted to Second Class
> > >Member.
> >
> > Or third class, or fourth class, etc.
> >
> > They'd be a LOT better off to offer the various bennys a-la-carte.
Example:
> > Want first-class postage on QST? Just send in an extra $X.
> >
>

>
>
> Which would bring in what, $10-$15 per year? Naw, what is happening is
> that nowdays one buys his Extra class status by writing a big check to
> Newington.
>
> The license no longer means much of anything.
>
> Dick

1676. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 03:07:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upva979oo1fhf8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

To heck with dxcc. I just wish I had a qsl card from 'sparkplug' or
'sparkplug one' !!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:rkIn9.10968$lV3.1041030@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Join the ARRL Diamond Club.
>
> Details at
> https://www.arrl.org/forms/development/donations/diamondclub/benefits.html
>
> Partial excerpt follows
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
>
> ----------EXCERPTED FROM URL SHOWN ABOVE----------
>
> Diamond Club -- Director Level ($5,000 - $9,999 donation annually)
> Available to Life Members Only
>
> Benefits:
> Diamond Club -- Director pin
> Personalized and framed Diamond Club -Director Certificate
> Diamond Club car decal (2)
> 10% publication discount on purchases direct from ARRL
> Overnight Delivery of QST
> Unlimited free use of DXCC, QSL Service and Awards
> Invitation to ARRL Annual Donor Recognition Events
> Voluntary annual listing in ARRL Annual Report and on ARRL website
> Bound sets of ARRL periodicals -- QST, QEX and NCJ
>
>
>
>

>
>

1677. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 03:29:02 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns929EEEE72AB75elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53444

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in
news:anl1jr$4a2$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net:

>
> "Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns929DA187B76C8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> Nothing else has ever caused more trouble
>> and dissension in ham radio. I will rejoice when it goes.
>
>
> Not true at all. Incentive licensing was and IS the reason for the
> problems in amateur radio.
>
>>
>> N3KIP (20 wpm Extra, never plugged a key in my rig!)
>
> And your proud of that? Did you learn how to drive a car then park it
> in the garage?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
>

I learnt to drive a car so I could drive a car, but I learnt CW so I could
use HF phone, that's like learning to drive a car so I could row a boat!

1678. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 03:37:42 UTC
From: Yo Momma <Nobody@anon.com>
Message-ID: <anob51$t3q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:45092FF128.AF1244390@taranews.net...
> On Sun, 06 Oct 2002 00:46:46 GMT, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > > I did. And I don't know why you JUST got a virus allegedly from ME.
I am
> > > willing to bet if you follow the 'real' route and not all that fake
> > > jibberish in the headers you will find it came from YAHOO. And I
have, and
> > > never have had an account with them.
> > >
> > > Perhaps if you engaged brain before operating mouth you would have an
> > > inkling about how this virus works.
> > >
> > > It may not even have come from me at all. In fact it probably didn't.
> > >
> > > I even got a virus from myself at w4nti@yahoo.com Which I never
had. SEE
> > > light bulb?
> >
> >
> > Nope, it isn't you, Dan. It came from someone who had you in their
> > address book. That is the bad thing about this virus. It makes it look
> > like it is from a particular person, and can cause a lot of hard
feelings.
>
> Yes it is you, Dan. The worm would have to be so clever that it could
> come up with your dialup IP address at a time when you were on the net.
> Then, it would have to insert headers which are unique to Mindspring.
> Specifically:
>
> > Received: from user-33qt4kc.dialup.mindspring.com ([199.174.146.140]
helo=dan-w4nti)
> > by smtp6.mindspring.com with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
> > id 17wkz9-0000lF-00; Wed, 02 Oct 2002 11:00:23 -0400
>
> Now the rest of you who THINK you know something about what was going
> on better read the email headers which I originally posted before you
> open your ignorant yaps again.
>
> It WAS Dan's machine, no doubt about it. The virus forged k4ab in the
> "From" header, but it DIDN'T forge the mindspring header, complete

> with IP address, time logged on, and the telltale helo ID.

OOOPS!!! Did Mikey Coslo trip over his own lip?
Come on, Mikey. You stand corrected, now admit it.
You too, Danny Boy.

1679. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 03:39:36 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns929EF0B156D4Delektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53446

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
news:20021004180900.11643.00001947@mb-mb.aol.com:

> In article <Xns929DA187B76C8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>I think the distance limit for CB is ridiculous, but perhaps it's an
>>attempt (albeit not a very good one) to correct for the twin mistakes
>>of putting them on HF and giving them SSB.
>
> The distance requirement is there because cb is technically a "land
> mobile service". and is defined as short-range.

True, but the frequency and mode are unsuitable for that purpose

>
>>It's too late for anyone to put the genie back in the bottle, I think.
>
> Maybe not. As other communication and radio services develop, 27 MHz cb
> may decline. Are there as many active cb users today as during the boom
> years of the '70s?

Maybe you're right.

>
>>I once bought a CB rig, after I was
>>already a ham, and used it exactly once. Couldn't stand it, but each
>>to his or her own.
>
> I have listened occasionally for many years. Not something I want to be
> associated with.
>>
>>s25.5 is hopefully doomed.
>
> Seems pretty clear that support to keep it at WRC-2003 is almost
> nonexistent. I don't see how the necessary support will arise in the 9
> months or so till the conference.
>
>>The big mistake that amateurs made in 1927 was
>>to agree to have CW testing enshrined as an international requirement
>>as a quid pro quo for getting ham radio recognised by the ITU (or
>>rather it's predecessor) as a 'service'.
>
> WHOA!
>
> Consider what HF radio was like in 1927. Practically all radio services

> used code as a primary mode.

Broadcasting didn't!

> A code test requirement was perfectly
> reasonable then, simply for interference and interoperability reasons.
> Whether that requirement is reasonable today is a different question.

Exactly, by making it an international regulation the requirements of 1927
were frozen in place for the next 75 years.

>
> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it would
> probably have died out before WW2.
>
>>Many other countries had no code testing before that (yes, I do know
>>that the US did).
>
> Many countries wanted amateur radio to die out in 1927.
>
>>The other side of the story
>>is that frequency allocations on HF were much less uniform before that,
>>but the price paid was too dear.
>
> The alternative was oblivion for the ARS.
>
>> Nothing else has ever caused more trouble and dissension in ham
>> radio.
>
> Hardly. There were bigger flaps when:
>
> - SSB displaced AM on HF
> - FM displaced AM on VHF/UHF
> - Generals got all privs in 1953
>
> and the real biggie:
>
> - "incentive licensing" was reintroduced in 1968.
>
> The code test issue seems like a biggie because we have the 'net to
> spread the noise around.

I disagree. All of the above were much shorter term issues, and a couple
of them only apply to the US. The code testing issue has dragged on for
decades, and is a big issue in many other countries. I've been a ham for
22 years, and I can't remember when it wasn't a massive issue.

>
>> I will rejoice when it goes.
>
> To each his own.
>>

>>N3KIP (20 wpm Extra, never plugged a key in my rig!)
>>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY (20 wpm Extra, 99% CW)
>
>

1680. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 03:50:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <anobtsuja0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 53447

Jim Hampton wrote:
>

Heck, we
> have the best government that money can buy.
>

Looks like we'll have the best ARRL it can buy, too.

Dick

1681. shut up JJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 03:55:09 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <a304e2afa271e7401d5ab268dbfcf611@xganon.com>
Source record: 53448

NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
---

what do you know about real dxing???you can only run 1500 watts and we have no limits. why dont you try

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

1682. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 12:37:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021006083743.06617.00007237@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53449

>Subject: Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/5/02 9:08 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021005100849.28700.00001208@mb-fz.aol.com>
>
>In article <0Rsn9.9166$OB5.924295@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
><KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
>>this is the most dump huck elitist idea to come out of Newington since
>>the days when they proposed disincentive licensing.
>
>I disagree, Hans. The "diamond club" idea is even dumber. Do big contributors
>get to sleep in HPM's bedroom?
>
>>Everyone who is
>>disinclined to ante up an extra $5K/yr just got demoted to Second Class
>>Member.
>
>Or third class, or fourth class, etc.
>
>They'd be a LOT better off to offer the various bennys a-la-carte. Example:
>Want first-class postage on QST? Just send in an extra $X.

Come on, Guys...this is nothing more than the same "fundraising" gimmick
used by AHA, ADA, etc etc...It's a flash in the pan and it will be "panned" by
all except a very discreet few.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1683. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 12:39:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021006083931.06617.00007238@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53450

>Subject: Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
>From: martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net (Doug Martin)
>Date: 10/5/02 9:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <1614.27149.00022ac@nospam.ncvillage.net>

>Lance Bass sings for N'stink.

Perhaps that was funny...But I bet his money smells just the same as
yours...only a lot more of it...!

Steve

1684. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 12:46:24 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <IjWn9.22017$GF5.1226289@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53451

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021006083931.06617.00007238@mb-cq.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!
> >From: martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net (Doug Martin)
> >Date: 10/5/02 9:09 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <1614.27149.00022ac@nospam.ncvillage.net>
>
> >Lance Bass sings for N'stink.
>
> Perhaps that was funny...But I bet his money smells just the same as
> yours...only a lot more of it...!
>
> Steve

Must be nice to be able to afford a Icom IC-756 PRO II or Ten-Tec Orion as a
starter rig.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1685. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 13:47:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210060547.7d84fe13@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53452

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<JKLn9.4965$k_2.330307@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...

>
> It has completely baffled me that the unique
> character of homebrew equipment has not been
> recognized. A Japanese ham will lay out $25K
> for a Collins KW-1 but spurns the one-of-a-kind
> AM transmitter of a similar power level put
> together by an Iowa farmer back in the forties.
> Maybe such artifacts need exposure and expert
> appraisal on 'Antiques Roadshow' or some
> similar venue. I predict, and mark my words
> well, that when the rarity of homebrew ham rigs
> is recognized as being greater than pre-Columbian
> native American sculpture on E-Bay that the
> sky will be the limit on the prices of such 'finds'.
>
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona

I wouldn't start collecting 40s & 50s homebrewed radio gear expecting to "cash in" on the stuff "some day". Old old homebrewed parts piles are just that despite the fact that they were somebody's dream machine a half century ago. Boating and customizing cars are ongoing hobbies from those days too and there are great heaps of examples of old homebrewed boats and cars everywhere languishing in garages and the back ends of marinas. In 99% of the cases they're worthless junk and have no market value, nada. Thus ham radio is not at all unique when it comes to the negative appreciation of homebrewed hobby "stuff". A hundred years out might be another story.

Those Japanese who are paying ridiculous prices for ancient Collins gear have parallels right here in both cars and boats. Old Chris Craft mahogany runabouts or try an original Ford Cobra or a '55 Mercedes 300SL . . Old "stuff" manufactured by known producers of high-quality hardware certainly does hold value. Does not apply to homebrewed versions in just about all venues.

Homebrewing radios in particular is all but dead for a number of valid reasons. "Back when" the only names of the game were AM & CW. Utterly simplistic designs which anybody who knew which end of a soldering iron gets hot and was able to follow a construction article could turn

into a rcvr and/or a TX. Was there, done it, 1947 +/-.

Roll forward to a few months ago when I bought an FT-1500M 2m FM mobile rig. 50 watts of clean RF out of a package the size of a couple packs of smokes, some ungodly number of memories, vfo mode or does programmable splits, scans, PL tones, 9600bps data handling, is easily programmed with any Winbox or it's own panel buttons, etc,. All for a lousy $129.95 over the counter retail. THAT's what happened to ham homebrewing. And in all but some rare instances good riddance.

w3rv

1686. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 14:34:05 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uq0iop4j96i105@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53453

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:PdNm9.5519$OB5.514707@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> >
> > I actually don't need proof of the above. However, try a few bible
study
> > groups, seminaries, etc. Anywhere that theology, and certainly
religion,
> is
> > discussed and debated. I am really not sure how you believe that the
> > meaning of what belief/faith and Jesus, God, etc., is has not been
debated
> > for centuries.
> >
>
> I believe in one less god than you do.
>

Oh, goodness, Hans. We don't want to open up *that* can of worms, do we?!
:) Your comment emphasizes my point--at least I think it does. Someone's
"meaning" of a God (or none), what or who Jesus is/was, and the what the
Bible represents, is (more likely than not) entirely different from someone
else's. Especially, as you mention above, if that "meaning" is derived from
participation in or agreement with an organized faith/religion/cult.

>
> Faith: The ability to believe a fable that you know to be absolutely
false.
>

In a different venue, that would be an excellent debate.

>
> Those of us who are not members of your organized cults (whatever their
> size) are sick and tired of being victims of your wars with other
organized
> cults.
>
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
>

Wow, ain't that the truth?!

Kim W5TIT

1687. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 16:02:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <anpmq9$1v0$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are dumb as a block of concrete.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:45092FF128.AF1244390@taranews.net...
> On Sun, 06 Oct 2002 00:46:46 GMT, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > > I did. And I don't know why you JUST got a virus allegedly from ME.
I am
> > > willing to bet if you follow the 'real' route and not all that fake
> > > jibberish in the headers you will find it came from YAHOO. And I
have, and
> > > never have had an account with them.
> > >
> > > Perhaps if you engaged brain before operating mouth you would have an
> > > inkling about how this virus works.
> > >
> > > It may not even have come from me at all. In fact it probably didn't.
> > >
> > > I even got a virus from myself at w4nti@yahoo.com Which I never
had. SEE
> > > light bulb?
> >
> >
> > Nope, it isn't you, Dan. It came from someone who had you in their
> > address book. That is the bad thing about this virus. It makes it look
> > like it is from a particular person, and can cause a lot of hard
feelings.
>
> Yes it is you, Dan. The worm would have to be so clever that it could
> come up with your dialup IP address at a time when you were on the net.
> Then, it would have to insert headers which are unique to Mindspring.
> Specifically:
>
> > Received: from user-33qt4kc.dialup.mindspring.com ([199.174.146.140]
helo=dan-w4nti)
> > by smtp6.mindspring.com with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
> > id 17wkz9-0000lF-00; Wed, 02 Oct 2002 11:00:23 -0400
>
> Now the rest of you who THINK you know something about what was going
> on better read the email headers which I originally posted before you
> open your ignorant yaps again.

>
> It WAS Dan's machine, no doubt about it. The virus forged k4ab in the
> "From" header, but it DIDN'T forge the mindspring header, complete
> with IP address, time logged on, and the telltale helo ID.

1688. Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 18:26:45 UTC
From: Radioguy <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <anpvafnk1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53455

Folks... honestly... how many of you would like another Field Day event but this one scheduled for the Winter?

I enjoy traditional FD but I would also like to develop preparedness skills at a different time of the year.

Sincerely,
R.

1689. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 18:37:39 UTC
From: Yo Momma <Nobody@anon.com>
Message-ID: <anpvrv$6hn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:anpmq9$1v0$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> You are dumb as a block of concrete.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
> news:45092FF128.AF1244390@taranews.net...
> > On Sun, 06 Oct 2002 00:46:46 GMT, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > I did. And I don't know why you JUST got a virus allegedly from ME.
> I am
> > > > willing to bet if you follow the 'real' route and not all that fake
> > > > jibberish in the headers you will find it came from YAHOO. And I
> have, and
> > > > never have had an account with them.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps if you engaged brain before operating mouth you would have
an
> > > > inkling about how this virus works.
> > > >
> > > > It may not even have come from me at all. In fact it probably
didn't.
> > > >
> > > > I even got a virus from myself at w4nti@yahoo.com Which I never
> had. SEE
> > > > light bulb?
> > >
> > >
> > > Nope, it isn't you, Dan. It came from someone who had you in their
> > > address book. That is the bad thing about this virus. It makes it look
> > > like it is from a particular person, and can cause a lot of hard
> feelings.
> >
> > Yes it is you, Dan. The worm would have to be so clever that it could
> > come up with your dialup IP address at a time when you were on the net.
> > Then, it would have to insert headers which are unique to Mindspring.
> > Specifically:
> >
> > > Received: from user-33qt4kc.dialup.mindspring.com ([199.174.146.140]
> helo=dan-w4nti)

> > > by smtp6.mindspring.com with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
> > > id 17wkz9-0000lF-00; Wed, 02 Oct 2002 11:00:23 -0400
> >
> > Now the rest of you who THINK you know something about what was going
> > on better read the email headers which I originally posted before you
> > open your ignorant yaps again.
> >
> > It WAS Dan's machine, no doubt about it. The virus forged k4ab in the
> > "From" header, but it DIDN'T forge the mindspring header, complete
> > with IP address, time logged on, and the telltale helo ID.
>
Dummy Dan better look before he preaches. Bugbear is a mass-mailing worm
that, once it infects a target system, can log keystrokes, enable a backdoor
trojan, and stop antivirus and firewall programs. It replicates itself by
sending out e-mail to addresses harvested from infected systems using
subject lines such as the following:

25 merchants and rising
Announcement
bad news
CALL FOR INFORMATION!
click on this!
Correction of errors
Cows
Daily Email Reminder
empty account
fantastic
free shipping!
Get 8 FREE issues - no risk!
Get a FREE gift!
Greets!
Hello!
Hi!
history screen
hmm..
I need help about script!!!
Interesting...
Introduction
its easy
Just a reminder
Lost & Found
Market Update Report
Membership Confirmation
My eBay ads
New bonus in your cash account
New Contests
new reading
News
Payment notices
Please Help...

Re: $150 FREE Bonus!
Report
SCAM alert!!!
Sponsors needed
Stats
Today Only
Tools For Your Online Business
update
various
Warning!
wow!
Your Gift
Your News Alert
A Bugbear removal tool can be obtained from Symantec's web site.
For Dan's followers I should explain that Symantec is Norton. You know,
Norton, the distributor of a fine virus protection program? I didn't include
the URL cuz I figured "Dub Fore En Tee Ah" kin give it to ya'all.

1690. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 18:58:54 UTC
From: Constrictor <Doofus@moanersanon.com>
Message-ID: <anq13q$9na$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:anpmq9$1v0$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> You are dumb as a block of concrete.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Clearly, with bugbear forging the From line of the email by combining two other addresses, Dan's notion of a bogus address book entry probably won't cause any bounces to your own mailbox at all. They will bounce to the the invalid address instead, and you'll never know the forged email went out. Bugbear uses its own email engine in an attempt to avoid detection by the owner of the machine, so looking at your log files won't work either.
The worm opens a port on the victim machine - port 36794 TCP and searches for
various running processes, stopping them if found. The list of processes includes
many popular AV and personal firewall products.

This remote access server allows an attacker to upload, and download files, run executes, and terminate processes.

It drops a DLL on the victim machine - keylogger related.
This DLL is detected as PWS-Hooker.dll
The worm copies itself to the Startup folder on the victim machine as
***.EXE
(where * represents random character), for example:

Win98 : C:\WINDOWS\Start Menu\Programs\Startup\CUK.EXE
2k Pro : C:\Documents and Settings\(username)\Start Menu\Programs\Startup\CYC.EXE

I included the above for you "blocks of concrete" such as blockhead Dan who just cain't take
no more criticism.
Hey Dan. Better run outside. I think Dimmy just tipped your Porta-Potty over and y'all know what that
does to the grass!!!!!

1691. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 19:26:09 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <l70o9.5859$k_2.422952@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53458

Brian, W3RV:
> Those Japanese who are paying ridiculous prices
> for ancient Collins gear have parallels right
> here in both cars and boats....

Yeah, I have to keep reminding myself that this is the same culture that performs tea ceremonies with 200-year-old, lovingly restored bowls that were originally designed to be thrown away after being chipped or broken during everyday use.

W3RV:
> I wouldn't start collecting 40s & 50s homebrewed
> radio gear expecting to "cash in" on the stuff
> "some day"......

> Roll forward to a few months ago when I bought
> an FT-1500M 2m FM mobile rig. 50 watts of clean
> RF out of a package the size of a couple
> packs of smokes, some ungodly number of memories,
> vfo mode or does programmable splits, scans,
> PL tones ....

Awwww, man! Does this mean that highband, Progress Line radio I've been holding onto all these years may really be only good for holding down the rear end of somebody's car? Major disappointment here.

W3RV:
> Thus ham radio is not at all unique when it comes
> to the negative appreciation of homebrewed hobby
> "stuff". A hundred years out might be another story.

Very true - about the time the Smithsonian becomes interested in it as "folk art".

I think the disquiet I and others feel about the demise of homebrewing in amateur radio is actually a reflection of the disquiet we feel about whole generations of electronic technology being plowed into landfills. I have lived and worked long enough building that technology to know what it represents in human terms of giving meaning to life. Seeing it callously discarded is like seeing one's self callously discarded.

73,

Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1692. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 20:37:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <anq763$57r$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

With all due respect no name no ball fool....Here is a quarter call someone
that cares.

Dan/W4NTI

"Constrictor" <Doofus@moanersanon.com> wrote in message
news:anq13q$9na$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:anpmq9$1v0$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > You are dumb as a block of concrete.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Clearly, with bugbear forging the From line of the email by combining two
> other addresses, Dan's notion of a bogus address book entry probably won't
> cause any bounces to your own mailbox at all. They will bounce to the the
> invalid address instead, and you'll never know the forged email went out.
> Bugbear uses its own email engine in an attempt to avoid detection by the
> owner of the machine, so looking at your log files won't work either.
> The worm opens a port on the victim machine - port 36794 TCP and searches
> for
> various running processes, stopping them if found. The list of processes
> includes
> many popular AV and personal firewall products.
>
> This remote access server allows an attacker to upload, and download
files,
> run executes, and terminate processes.
>
> It drops a DLL on the victim machine - keylogger related.
> This DLL is detected as PWS-Hooker.dll
> The worm copies itself to the Startup folder on the victim machine as
> ***.EXE
> (where * represents random character), for example:
>
> Win98 : C:\WINDOWS\Start Menu\Programs\Startup\CUK.EXE
> 2k Pro : C:\Documents and Settings\(username)\Start
> Menu\Programs\Startup\CYC.EXE
>
> I included the above for you "blocks of concrete" such as blockhead Dan
who
> just cain't take
> no more criticism.

> Hey Dan. Better run outside. I think Dimmy just tipped your Porta-Potty
over
> and y'all know what that
> does to the grass!!!!!
>
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.394 / Virus Database: 224 - Release Date: 03-Oct-02

1693. I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 21:37:18 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uq1b7q6n2tl1e5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53460

Just came back from the ham testing and I did it!
I passed the Morse Code test, now I can beep!

Yee Haw!!!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1694. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 21:47:04 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <sb2o9.64217$DN4.8267@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 53461

Congrats OM ..... now just keep up with it and you will have a skill that
will bring enjoyment for a lifetime.

73 God Bless Tom KI3R Port Vue nr Pittsburgh
"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uq1b7q6n2tl1e5@corp.supernews.com...
> Just came back from the ham testing and I did it!
> I passed the Morse Code test, now I can beep!
>
> Yee Haw!!!
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>
>

1695. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 22:10:48 UTC
From: linkswasher <sealwashe433@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3da0b4e6@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:rkIn9.10968$lV3.1041030@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Join the ARRL Diamond Club.
>
> Details at
> https://www.arrl.org/forms/development/donations/diamondclub/benefits.html
>
> Partial excerpt follows

```
> ------------EXCERPTED FROM URL SHOWN ABOVE-----------------
>
> Diamond Club -- Director Level ($5,000 - $9,999 donation annually)
> Available to Life Members Only
```

HOW can DXCC be "free" if you have to join into an exclusive club within a fraternal org that requests a donation of " ($5,000 - $9,999 donation annually)"

then again....they do say there's one born every minute......

1696. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 22:15:03 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210061415.2593020f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53463

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D9E349E.AE6B6525@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) wrote in message
news:<anj1uq$1c01$1@heap.juniper.net>...
> > > Brian <brianburke@juno.com> wrote:
> > > >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> > > >news:<d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > >> > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> > > >> >
> > > >> > --
> > > >> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > >> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > > >>
> > > >> This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > > >> and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
> > > >>
> > > >> w3rv
> > > >
> > > >Burma, huh? Some DXer you are.
> > > >
> > > >Do you even know how long it hasn't been Burma?
> > >
> > > Should let the CIA know that too, the World Factbook 2001 online at
> > >
> > > http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/
> > >
> > > still calls it Burma.
> > >
> > > In fact, people in that country also call it Burma, at least the ones
> > > who speaking English in my presence. It is like a clever plot to keep
> > > anyone from knowing how to correctly pronounce the other name.
> > >
> > > Dennis Ferguson
> >
> > Dennis, I'm so busy setting Dave Heil straight on "Good Amateur
> > Practice" that I'll let you deal with the CIA screw-up.
>
> You haven't even gotten your own crap grouped, Slim.

Deny, deny deny. Make counter-accusations.

1697. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 22:19:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210061419.59e8ecec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53464

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210032146.7f1b431b@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0210031201.4b0b812@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>...
> > > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > >
> > > This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > > and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssss . .
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > Burma, huh? Some DXer you are.
> >
> > Do you even know how long it hasn't been Burma?
>
> You're a bunch easier to troll than yer role model is. Junior.
>
> w3rv

Kelly, at least you're past the "denial" stage. Know Kelly = Know Troll

1698. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 22:27:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210061427.32bd1796@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<upva979oo1fhf8@corp.supernews.com>...
> To heck with dxcc. I just wish I had a qsl card from 'sparkplug' or
> 'sparkplug one' !!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Blasphemy and 10-4!

Jim, if it weren't for DXCC, folks would have to go for some other
magazines DX awards. Even little newspaper print "World Radio" has a
DX award.

1699. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 22:40:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210061440.134f7053@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53466

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021005100849.28700.00001208@mb-fz.aol.com>...
> In article <0Rsn9.9166$OB5.924295@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
> >this is the most dump huck elitist idea to come out of Newington since
> >the days when they proposed disincentive licensing.
>
> I disagree, Hans. The "diamond club" idea is even dumber. Do big contributors
> get to sleep in HPM's bedroom?
>
> >Everyone who is
> >disinclined to ante up an extra $5K/yr just got demoted to Second Class
> >Member.
>
> Or third class, or fourth class, etc.
>
> They'd be a LOT better off to offer the various bennys a-la-carte. Example:
> Want first-class postage on QST? Just send in an extra $X.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

It would appear that there is finally some dissatisfaction with at least superfluous membership levels, as there should have been with superfluous licensing levels.

As my buddy Dave used to say, "Life is like a $hit sandwich. The more bread you got, the less $hit you have to eat."

Hams used to remark than we used 1st names in radio, not titles and rank. I wonder if the ARRL will ask their newest benefactors to sign, "/D$5,000" after their calls.

1700. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 22:51:23 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210061451.1b73b3b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53467

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021004231614.27149.00003792@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0210041452.6fbf361@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> What is a 'Lance Bass' ? Is it a fish someone stuck with a stick?
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >Dan, "Lance Bass" is a type of English Ale that the Knights would
> >drink for courage, just before they jousted.
> >
> >Brian/N0iMD
>
> Brian:
>
> I thought that was called 'meade.' Or am I thinking about telescopes?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, I could have used some meade (honey "wine") this weekend with the Scouts, but had to settle for apple cider. We camped in a 9,000 acre nature conservancy in SE Ohio (former strip mined coal, reclaimed sort of) called "TheWilds.org". Once all of the hissing coleman lanterns were out, you could see stars from horizon to horizon. The other Meade would have been nice to have also. We need to get code loving Vince to bring his telescopes out.

FWIW, the rains from Isadore stopped just as the wxman said it would, but it got a bit colder than predicted.

I'm scheduled to be out there again during the CQWW (ssb) for another Scout outing.

1701. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 23:00:56 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210061500.265f9542@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53468

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210060547.7d84fe13@posting.google.com>...

> Roll forward to a few months ago when I bought an FT-1500M 2m FM
> mobile rig. 50 watts of clean RF out of a package the size of a couple
> packs of smokes, some ungodly number of memories, vfo mode or does
> programmable splits, scans, PL tones, 9600bps data handling, is easily
> programmed with any Winbox or it's own panel buttons, etc,. All for a
> lousy $129.95 over the counter retail. THAT's what happened to ham
> homebrewing. And in all but some rare instances good riddance.
>
> w3rv

Not exactly. Homebrewing still serves to peak the interest of young
people.

Our Cubscout Bear Dens will be building Oatmeal Box crystal radios
this winter. We're going to come up with the wire from all of the box
fans that died this summer. I think the only thing we'll have to buy
is a package of 1n34s and "crystal" earplugs.

1702. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 23:07:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210061507.1a6a422f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53469

"Radioguy" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<anpvafnk1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Folks... honestly... how many of you would like another Field Day event but
> this one scheduled for the Winter?
>
> I enjoy traditional FD but I would also like to develop preparedness skills
> at a different time of the year.
>
> Sincerely,
> R.

R, there's one about every weekend in the winter, but they don't have
multipliers for copying ARRL messages. Check the pages of CW or QST
under "Contests." I've got something crazy going through my head for
later this month. I'm supposed to be camping with the Scouts during
the CQWW weekend.

1703. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-06 23:46:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DA0F5AF.2040800@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yo Momma wrote:

> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
> news:45092FF128.AF1244390@taranews.net...
>
>>On Sun, 06 Oct 2002 00:46:46 GMT, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I did. And I don't know why you JUST got a virus allegedly from ME.
>>>>
> I am
>
>>>>willing to bet if you follow the 'real' route and not all that fake
>>>>jibberish in the headers you will find it came from YAHOO. And I
>>>>
> have, and
>
>>>>never have had an account with them.
>>>>
>>>>Perhaps if you engaged brain before operating mouth you would have an
>>>>inkling about how this virus works.
>>>>
>>>>It may not even have come from me at all. In fact it probably didn't.
>>>>
>>>>I even got a virus from myself at w4nti@yahoo.com Which I never
>>>>
> had. SEE
>
>>>>light bulb?
>>>>
>>>
>>>Nope, it isn't you, Dan. It came from someone who had you in their
>>>address book. That is the bad thing about this virus. It makes it look
>>>like it is from a particular person, and can cause a lot of hard
>>>
> feelings.
>
>>Yes it is you, Dan. The worm would have to be so clever that it could
>>come up with your dialup IP address at a time when you were on the net.
>>Then, it would have to insert headers which are unique to Mindspring.
>>Specifically:

>>
>>
>>>Received: from user-33qt4kc.dialup.mindspring.com ([199.174.146.140]
>>>
> helo=dan-w4nti)
>
>>> by smtp6.mindspring.com with smtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
>>> id 17wkz9-0000lF-00; Wed, 02 Oct 2002 11:00:23 -0400
>>>
>>Now the rest of you who THINK you know something about what was going
>>on better read the email headers which I originally posted before you
>>open your ignorant yaps again.
>>
>>It WAS Dan's machine, no doubt about it. The virus forged k4ab in the
>>"From" header, but it DIDN'T forge the mindspring header, complete
>>with IP address, time logged on, and the telltale helo ID.
>>
>
>
> OOOPS!!! Did Mikey Coslo trip over his own lip?
> Come on, Mikey. You stand corrected, now admit it.
> You too, Danny Boy.

Bugbear spoofs email addresses. If you don't believe me, check:

http://www.pcmag.com/article2/0,4149,590320,00.asp

If you don't believe them, go to:

http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.bugbear@mm.html

It's all there, but if you just want to read the relevant part:

Quote from page... It then uses its own SMTP engine to send itself to
all email addresses that it finds. The worm also can construct addresses
for the "From:" field using information that it harvests from the
infected computer. For example, the worm may find the addresses a@a.com,
b@b.com and c@c.com. The worm could create an email message addressed to
a@a.com and spoof the "From:" address, so that it appears to come from
c@b.com. The spoofed address can also be a valid email address that the
worm finds on the system.

Mike KB3EIA -

1704. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 00:40:17 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <%M4o9.24448$GF5.1587293@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53470

Congratulations OM! :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uq1b7q6n2tl1e5@corp.supernews.com...
> Just came back from the ham testing and I did it!
> I passed the Morse Code test, now I can beep!
>
> Yee Haw!!!
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>
>

1705. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 00:49:36 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uq1mrlhdhikpa4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53471

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D9E339D.CD92F755@earthlink.net...
> The latest really bad idea from the ARRL is the "you can always get more
> if you pay more" stratification plan outlined by new ham K1MMH, ARRL
> Chief Development Officer (another incredibly bad idea). This latest
> scheme follows on the heels of the deletion of contest line scores and
> Section News from QST.
>

Why not? Really. It's no different a concept that the licensing structure.
You "can always get more if you" take more tests.

On the deletion of contest scores and the Section News from QST, I agree
it's a bad, bad idea.

>
> I note that the big spenders will get their QST via first class mail. I
> wonder if there is a level which will provide the plastic mailing
> sleeves formerly enjoyed by all at no additional cost.
>

I just really don't see the problem with "incentive" membership ideas.
Tradition in ham radio is to play by incentive, right? Why not in ARRL
membership as well? Perhaps those who can send in a few bigger bucks will
help memberships for the less fortunate to stay at a reasonable level.

>
> It is evident that the board of directors are operating on much
> different frequencies than the mainstream.
>

Mainstream what? The ARRL sets the standard for organizations like it, as
there are none like it, right?

>
> ARRL ANNOUNCES DIAMOND CLUB DONOR RECOGNITION PROGRAM
> [See: the ARRL Web site <https://www.arrl.org/diamondclub/> for
information]
>
> Dave K8MN
> a member of the loyal opposition
>

I'm a member of the ARRL and I think it's a great idea. My only big wish is
that the ARRL in Newington would push its leadership in the field to be more
proactive locally.

Kim W5TIT

1706. ARNewsline 1312 - Oct 4 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 01:20:44 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021006212044.06793.00014597@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 53472

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1312 – October 4, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1312 with a release date of Friday, October 4th, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. A new world-wide threat to ham radio on 70 centimeters tops this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1312 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RADIO REGULATIONS: A WORLDWIDE CHALLENGE TO 70 CM

If you operate on 70 centimeters anyplace in the world, listen up. Your future access to that band is in peril. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has the details on how a proposed satellite system could run hams off of the band:

--
It has come to the attention of the South African Radio League, SARL that the threat to the 70-cm band -- world wide -- is once again very real. The SARL has just received a discussion paper which will be tabled at the World Radio Conference next year -- WRC-03 -- which directly targets the portion of 432 to 438 MHz for exclusive use by the planned Earth Exploration Satellite Service or EESS, due to be launched soon.

If this proposal is carried at the World Radio Conference next year it, will see this portion of spectrum allocated on a worldwide basis and this spells the death knell of all 70-cm ham radio operation.

The section of the proposal and the motivation is as follows: Agenda Item 1.38: '...to consider provision of up to 6 MHz of frequency spectrum to the Earth exploration-satellite service active in the frequency band 420-470 MHz, in accordance with Resolution 727 that was revised at WRC-2000.
--

But why target the Amateur Radio allocation at 70 centimeters? The researchers who want it say its the only frequency that will work. Again, Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB:

--
According to the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development -- UNCED -- held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992, there is an urgent need for assessment and systematic observations of forest cover and rate of forest degradation in tropical and temperate regions. Active space borne sensors Synthetic Aperture Radars (SARs) are needed to enable the monitoring of forest biomass.

Systems operating on frequencies around 450 MHz can penetrate the canopy of forests, and have the capability to determine the ground-trunk interaction and are in the context of forest cover information of particular importance.

Systems operating at 1.3 GHz, or higher frequencies cannot penetrate the canopy. The spectrum around 450 MHz is also optimal for monitoring of continental ice and for monitoring of vegetation and soil surfaces for desert and tropical areas.
--

More on this story in future Amateur Radio Newsline reports. (Q-News, SARL, ARNewsline™)

**

HAM POLITICS: HAM-CONGRESSMAN APPOINTED TO KEY HOUSE SUBCOMMITTEE

Back here in the U-S-A the ARRL Letter reports that Oregon Republican Congressman Greg Walden, WB7OCE, has been appointed to fill a vacancy on the House Subcommittee on Telecommunications and the Internet. Walden is one of only two amateurs in the U-S House of Representatives, and his appointment to the key House panel dealing with communications matters is considered good news for the ham radio community. Walden's selection was announced by Republican House Energy and Commerce Committee Chairman Billy Tauzin of Louisiana. (ARRL)

**

RADIO LAW: CONGRESS MANDATES DIGITAL ANTI-PIRACY

Meantime, congressional lawmakers have ordered the Federal Communications Commission to mandate technology preventing digital TV signals from being hooked up to the Internet and made vulnerable to piracy. The directive is viewed as a major victory for Hollywood film makers and other copyright owners at a time when the music business is being ravaged by Internet piracy. (Published news reports)

**

RADIO LAW: FEDERAL COURT RULES IN RF BRAIN CANCER CASE

A Federal District Court has dismissed an RF related mobile telephone brain cancer suit. According to the C-G-C Communicator, the court ruled that the plaintiffs had not provided any reliable and relevant scientific evidence to prove their case. The complete finding can be found in cyberspace at http://www.mdd.uscourts.gov/Opinions152/Opinions/newman0902.pdf (CGC Communicator)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the KA5GLX repeater serving Clear Lake Texas.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO READY FOR HURRICANE LILI

Following quickly in the wake of Hurricane Isidore, a Category 2 storm named Lili made its way across western Cuba and then took aim at the U-S Gulf Coast shoreline. Amateur Radio Newsline's Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, has more from New Orleans:
--

According to an ARRL Bulletin, the Hurricane Watch Net and W4EHW at the National Hurricane Center in Miami are again gathering ground-level reports using ham radio for communications into and out of the affected areas.

The Hurricane Watch Net is currently activated on 14.325 MHz with traffic coming in from numerous stations. Meantime W4EHW continues to exchange meteorological data and Spanish advisories with Cuban amateurs. Many of the reports received via ham radio have proven to be valuable to forecasters at the National Hurricane Center.

Right now all eyes are on Lili as she makes her way toward the U-S mainland. On Wednesday October 2nd the FCC declared a communications emergency as Lili approached the Louisiana and Texas shoreline. As we go to air, all hams not directly involved in hurricane related emergency communications are required to avoid using 7.285 MHz daytime and 3.873 MHz from sundown to sun up. These frequencies are protected plus or minus 3 kHz. The FCC declaration will remain in effect until rescinded and could be in effect for as long as two weeks.

Monitoring the storm and ham radio traffic from one of Lili's probable targets near New Orleans, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, reporting.
--

Official advisories on Lili and other tropical storms can be found at the National Hurricane Web site. Its in cyberspace at www.nhc.noaa.gov. Late breaking ham radio operational advisories are at www.arrl.org. (ARRL, others)

**

SPACE AND SCIENCE: HURRICANE LILI CAUSES SHUTTLE LAUNCH DELAY

And a potential threat from Hurricane Lili to the Mission Control Center at Johnson Space Center in Houston has forced a delay in the launch of the STS-112 shuttle mission. Atlantis and its six member crew are now slated to lift off no earlier than 1800 to 2200 GMT on Monday October 7th. Once on-orbit, Atlantis will deliver the S-1 Truss to the International Space Station. The crew will perform three spacewalks at the station and will transfer supplies and equipment between the two vehicles. (NASA)

**

RESCUE RADIO: VK HAMS THERE AS PHONES GO OUT

Amateur Radio has come to the rescue after the town of Bindoon in Western Australia was isolated by communications failure.

For reasons yet to be explained, the failure of the phone system on Sunday September 22nd was total. Both mobile and fixed services went dead. No calls were possible.

A ham radio knight in shining armor in the form of VK6HGM rode to the rescue. Actually he drove to a hilltop and used the Roleystone repeater on 146.700 MHz in the hills above the city of Perth to report the telephone outage. This of itself is quite a feat, as both Bindoon and Roleystone are in the Darling Mountain Range and are out of line-of-sight from one another.

Be that as it may, the call for assistance was answered by VK6AX. He had telephone service and used it to alert the authorities and brought about a happy end to the story. Within half an hour, all telephone services in Bindoon were back on line.

**

ENFORCEMENT: NET UNDER FCC SCRUTINY

Turning to news from the FCC, word that the agency is taking a close look at a 20 meter net and its control operator. The FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:

--
"The Enforcement Bureau has opened an inquirey into the Mobile Emergency and County Hunters Net operating on 14.336 Mhz. We have requested that James Grandinetti, who uses the call signs KZ2P and K2JG to respond to seven complaints about the operation of the net. The Enforcement Bureau also requested documentation supporting the club call sign of K2JG which is assigned to the Atlantic Amateur Association."
--

The FCC's letter to Grandinetti alleges that he harassed certain operators and banned other hams from using 14.336 MHz while he was operating as a net control station. The letter told Grandinetti that the frequency 14.336 MHz is a shared amateur frequency. As such, the operation of a net on that frequency conveys no greater rights regarding its use by Grandinetti than any other licensed radio amateur. Grandinetti was given the customary 30 days to reply.

**

ENFORCEMENT: PRIVILEGES REINSTATED

And still in the enforcement arena, some good news for a Martinsville Virginia ham. Again, the FCC's Daryl Duckworth, N-N-Zero-W:
--
"We notified Theodore Crutchfield, K4TFC, that since he has taken and passed an Element 1 code test as requested, his license remains Extra class and that he is in good standing."
--

By way of background, back on December 20, 2001, the FCC had notified Crutchfield that it was requiring him to re-take Amateur Element 1 under the supervision of the W4VEC Volunteer Examination Coordinator. This, on or before February 15th of this year. Crutchfield appeared for the exam session but did

not pass. As a result, he was notified on July 16th that the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau would downgrade his license from Extra to Technician Class. A few days later on July 21st, Crutchfield successfully completed an Element I examination in Roanoke, Virginia. Now, as Daryl Duckworth put it, Crutchfield remains Extra Class and his license is in good standing with the FCC.

**

RADIO ACCIDENTS: HAM INJURED IN TOWER ACCIDENT

A Michigan ham has been seriously hurt in a tower fall. According to an Internet report from Allen Stap, N8OKU , the accident involved the removal of a 160 foot tower.

Stap says that the top 120 feet was removed without incident. Daylight ran out so removal of the remaining 40 feet was deferred to the following morning. On inspection, the last 40 feet appeared to be safe and the removal work began. But the base of the tower broke apart and the tower fell with the ham on board. It landed on a polebarn with the ham crushed between the tower and the roof.

The accident happened near the town of Lawton. The ham involved was not identified by full name or call sign but was described as a long time and experienced tower climber. His injuries included a broken jaw, two broken arms and a broken leg. The good news is that he is expected to make a full recovery after extensive rehabilitation.

**

RADIO ACCIDENTS: NEBRASKA TOWER COLLAPSE KILLS TWO

And a 1,965-foot television tower collapsed Tuesday September 24th in a western Nebraska field. Two workers were killed and three others were injured.

The tower was located near the town of Hemingford. A spokesman for its owner, Duhamel Broadcasting Enterprises of Rapid City, South Dakota, said two of the workers were repairing the roof of the near-by transmission building. The others were strengthening the tower so it could be equipped with high-definition television antennas.

The structure was built in the late 1960’s and was used to transmit the signal for Scottsbluff television station KDUH throughout most of the states panhandle area. It and was the tallest structure in Nebraska, and was some 500 feet taller than the Sears Tower in Chicago and 700 feet higher than the Empire State Building in New York. The cause of its collapse is not yet known. (K0NEB)

**

OPERATING EVENTS: ARRL DEVELOPING JOTA DATABASE

Jean Wolfgang, WB3IOS, of the ARRL Field and Educational Services Department writes to say that the League has set up a first ever scouting Jamboree On The Air event database. It comes as the result of requests to Jean from scouting

groups looking for hams who plan to offer their services to the event. You can register your JOTA event by taking your browser to the rather complex U-R-L you will find on the web at http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/jota.html. T his years Jamboree On The Air takes place October 19th and 20th, local time. (ARRL)

**

OPERATING EVENTS: SHIPS ON THE AIR

The USS Cassin Young, a museum ship in Boston on a turn around cruise will operate an Amateur Radio station from her radio room during the voyage on Saturday, October 12th. Operators will pass commemorative US Naval style messages between that ship and the USS Salem. The Salem is another museum ship berthed in Quincy Massachusetts. Operation will be on 20 and 40 meters. For a commemorative certificate send an SASE and a copy of the message you hear to Bob Callahan, W1QWT, 56 Acorn Street, Scituate, Massachusetts 02166. And less we forget, of the two ships involved the USS Cassin Young is a Destroyer and the USS Salem is a heavy cruiser. (W1QWT)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

WITH THE HAHDI-HAMS: FCC TVI BOOK NOW AVAILABLE

Handi Ham members listen up. The FCC's TVI book has been added as a zipped file in the downloads section of the Handi-Ham members only website. Handi Ham members can also contact headquarters for a free floppy disk version of the book. More information is at www.handiham.org. (Handi Hams)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: THE SCIENCE REPORT

Two interesting items from this weeks science page. Here are Jim Damron, N8TMW and Jeff Clark, K8JAC.

--
EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: TECHNO-JUNK PILING UP

A new study called Waste in the Wireless World: The Challenge of Cell Phones says that 130 million wireless devices will be discarded annually in the United States. This equals 65,000 tons of two-way radio garbage.

The study makes several recommendations regarding both the design of cell phones and the disposal of them. It says that the use of toxic substances in them be reduced. It also suggests that device standardization be implemented so users are not forced to purchase new phones when they change service providers or for travel. Finally, the study says that cellular telephones should be

designed for disassembly, reuse and recycling.

It should be noted that the study was limited to cellular phones and other two way radio devices. It does not include the tons of VCR’s and TV sets that are disposed of each year. (Supplied by several ARNewsline(tm) listeners)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: BARCODES CAN SAVE LIVES

You know those bar codes that grocery workers scan to let you know how much your cereal costs? Well, hospitals are now using the same technology to help save lives, thanks to a computerized medication system. A nurse scans the bar code on a patient's I-D bracelet, along with a bar code found on the medication itself before administering the drug. This enables the computer to verifies and checks the bar codes to make sure the patient is getting the correct medication. A green signal tells the nurse it's okay to precede. An error warning, and red signal, alerts the nurse if something's not right.

To date, only about two percent of the nation's medical facilities use bar code technology to verify medication administration, but that number is expected to rise. Statistics show the system prevents anywhere from 84 to 264 potential errors a week at one hospital alone. (Science Today)
--

More emerging technology news, next week.

**

SPACE AND SCIENCE: JAPAN DELAY COMPLETION OF ISS MODULE

Completion of the international space station hit another snag. This as Japan's cash-strapped space program announces that it would delay launch of the bus-sized research module it is building for the project.

The research capsule called Kibo is the only part of the space station developed and run by an Asian country. It was scheduled for launch sometime in 2004. Liftoff of that module is now planned for April 2005 at the earliest. (Published reports)

**

INTERNATIONAL - GERMANY: DDH47 CELEBRATES 50 YEARS OF SERVICE
November 8th and 9th will see a special station on the air from Pinneberg Germany. This as Weather Service's station DDH47 will be operating crossband on 147 kHz and listening on 80, 40 and 20 meters CW to celebrate its 50th anniversary of the service. Slow speed Morse transmissions to North America are also planned. (G4NJH)

**

INTERNATIONAL: FIRST UK TO VE CONTACT

Sunday, September 22nd marked the 84th anniversary of the first radio message from the United Kingdom being received in Australia. On the 22nd of September

1918, a message of goodwill was sent in Morse code from the Marconi station located near Caernarfon. The callsign was M-U-U, and the 200-kilowatt transmitter was on 21 kHz, a wavelength of 14,300 meters. The signal was received at
Wahroonga near Sydney by Sir Ernest Fisk, an Englishman who had served under Marconi in England. The message of goodwill was published the following day on the front pages of the Australian morning newspapers. (GB2RS)

**

DX

In DX, word of the first 6 Meter contact from Afghanistan. Nick Peckett, G4KUX, writes that he made what he says is the first-ever contact on 6 metes from Kabul at 15:15 UTC on Tuesday
the 24th of September. Peckett, operating as YA4F, contacted YF1OO in Indonesia. G4KUX says that he hopes to be able to give many others their first opportunity to contact Afghanistan on 6 meters over the next few weeks. (GB2RS)

And lower in frequency, TM4ENN is a special event station in France to commemorate the 60th anniversary of the creation of the 'Normandy Niemen' air flotilla by General de Gaulle. It will be active on 40, 20, 15 and 10 meters internationally. QSL direct with an stamped and self addressed envelope to F6BZH, or via the bureau. (GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: BY-BY BETAMAX

And finally this week, a legend is being put to rest. After twenty-seven years in production Sony Corporation says that it will finally put its famed Betamax tape format to bed forever. Our producer Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, takes a nostalgic look back:

--
Its said that Betamax opened the world of home video as the first practical consumer format. Sony, J-V-C and Panasonic first tried with the famed 3/4 inch U-Matic machines, but consumers were reluctant to buy a videotape machine that was bigger than most T-V sets of that era and looked more at home in a television station than their livingroom.

My own first home VCR was a Sony SL-7200 Betamax. It would record a whole hour of pretty high quality video and audio on a tape cassette that was only 3 1/2 by 6 inches in size. I paid almost $2000 for the machine and each cassette cost me close to $25. That was in the late 1970’s and despite loosing the 1980’s video format war to VHS, Betamax held on as a niche product all these years.

Now, according to Larry Bloomfield’s Tech Notes news service, Betamax for consumer use is being phased out. This is because of the new consumer digital tape formats like Mini D V that are literally delivering a death blow to Betamax’ future prospects.

Sony reportedly will manufacture only 2,000 more Betamax machines before discontinuing the product altogether. But Beta will live on in the world of television journalism. Thats where a spinoff tape format called Betacam with suffixes like S-X and S-P became the defacto news gathering videotape of choice and have remained so for the past decade and a half.

With an SL 7200 Betamax still sitting someplace out in the garage -- gathering dust -- I’m Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--

And you thought that the Betamax died a quiet death many years ago!
(Tech-Notes, ARNewsline™)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Mert Gsarlick, N6AWE, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

1707. Re: NTIA tries to kill 5.2 MHz band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 02:04:12 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <9U5o9.38739$YK4.3224926@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53473

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uq0iop4j96i105@corp.supernews.com...
> "K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:PdNm9.5519$OB5.514707@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > I actually don't need proof of the above. However, try a few bible
> study
> > > groups, seminaries, etc. Anywhere that theology, and certainly
> religion,
> > is
> > > discussed and debated. I am really not sure how you believe that the
> > > meaning of what belief/faith and Jesus, God, etc., is has not been
> debated
> > > for centuries.
> > >
> >
> > I believe in one less god than you do.
> >
>
> Oh, goodness, Hans. We don't want to open up *that* can of worms, do we?!
> :) Your comment emphasizes my point--at least I think it does. Someone's
> "meaning" of a God (or none), what or who Jesus is/was, and the what the
> Bible represents, is (more likely than not) entirely different from
someone
> else's. Especially, as you mention above, if that "meaning" is derived
from
> participation in or agreement with an organized faith/religion/cult.
>
> >
> > Faith: The ability to believe a fable that you know to be absolutely
> false.
> >
>
> In a different venue, that would be an excellent debate.
>
> >
> > Those of us who are not members of your organized cults (whatever their
> > size) are sick and tired of being victims of your wars with other
> organized
> > cults.

> >
> >
> > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> >
>
> Wow, ain't that the truth?!
>
> Kim W5TIT

Although providing a forum for certain personal anti-Christian agendii,
this portion of the thread relates to Amateur Radio Policy as follows:
(Please fill in)

1. _____

73 de KR4AJ

1708. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 02:09:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021006220925.12729.00001093@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 53474

In article <Xns929EEEE72AB75elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>I learnt to drive a car so I could drive a car, but I learnt CW so I could >use HF phone, that's like learning to drive a car so I could row a boat!

Not at all. It's like being required to learn to drive a stick-shift car, even though you have no intention of ever buying a stick shift car.

I, too, learned CW in order to get the license to work HF 'phone. My original interest in amateur radio had been sparked by listening to hams on 75 AM.

Funny thing, though - once I learned the code and started using it, I found it to be more fun than merely talking.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1709. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 02:09:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021006220928.12729.00001094@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 53475

In article <JKLn9.4965$k_2.330307@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "K7JEB"
<k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>N2EY:
>> TNx, Jim - you should post this to the newsgroup
>
>I now do so.

I think this started on the rec.radio.amateur.homebrew NG, tho!
>
>>>>> What do you guys think -- could simple DSB rigs
>>>>> have saved more hams from appliance addiction?
>
>>>> I don't think DSB would have made much difference,
>>>> Bill. Here's why:
>
>>>> One of the big attractions of SSB (or SSSC, as it
>>>> was originally known) over AM was spectrum conservation....
>
>>>> And the Ancient Ones who pioneered SSB produced many
>>>> examples of simple SSB rigs, like the W1JEO exciter,
>>>> "Cheap And Easy SSB", and the IMP 3 tube exciter.
>
>K7JEB:
>>> Absolutely true, and someone else pointed out the
>>> extreme difficulty in receiving both sidebands of
>>> a DSB-SC signal.
>
>N2EY:
>> The way it was usually done by hams was to set up the
>> receiver for SSB, and receive half of the DSB signal.
>> Of course this tosses away 3 dB of the signal, too,
>> and means the receiver must be as complex as if the
>> SSB system was used..
>
>>> I've seen some really nice, compact
>>> HB SSB transceivers made with 9 MHz-filter exciter/
>>> IF-amplifier architectures. Just a handful of xtals,
>>> some 1N34A's and a couple of 12AT7's.
>
>> Where can I see those? I've got piles of 12AT7s!
>
>Unfortunately I saw those 40 years ago, designed by
>my boss, W5ESZ, now SK, and I didn't retain the
>schematics.

dang.
>
>N2EY:
>>>> One of the main reasons many hams homebrewed in
>>>> the post-WW2 era was economics. Prices of
>>>> manufactured equipment were much higher than
>>>> today, when you consider inflation. The
>>>> savings of homebrew/surplus/kit rigs could
>>>> make a big difference.....
>
>K7JEB:
>>> This fact seems to be consistently overlooked
>>> by those decrying the lack of home-brewing by
>>> amateurs.
>
>N2EY:
>> Yup. A lot of the impetus was economics - both
>> money and time.
>
>> A local ham friend of mine is in a position where
>> he can pick up freelance extra work at very nice
>> wages. His philosophy is that if he wants a new
>> piece of ham gear, he can earn the money to buy
>> it with much less time and effort by working a
>> few extra nights.
>
>I have to confess that I had this attitude back when
>I was pulling down big bux at Intel pushing design
>simulations through their workstations. Now that
>times have slimmed out, the option of rebuilding an
>old, defunct linear amplifier rather than sending
>a credit-card number to HRO is much more appealing.

Just another example of the time-money economics equation. It's notable that
you mention resbuilding an old amp rather than homebrewing one from scratch.
>
>K7JEB:
>>> Indeed, the mythos has become that
>>> home-brewing was some kind of spiritual elevation
>>> of one's being, when, in fact, it was because
>>> post-WWII hams were such notorious cheapskates.
>
>N2EY:
>> Not at all! Hams of that era were actually
>> spendthrifts by modern standards. Look up the
>> prices of ham gear and parts back then, and
>> translate them into modern inflation-adjusted
>> dollars.
>
>> As a rough guide, I would venture that a $5,000/yr
>> income back in the '40s and '50s would yield a higher

>> class lifestyle than $50,000/yr does today. That means
>> we should multiply the prices in the old books by 10.
>
>>>> Homebrewing eliminated the labor cost...
>
>K7JEB:
>>> Which was considerable with chassis-and-socket
>>> construction.
>
>N2EY:
>> Manufacturers could stamp out the metalwork in
>> seconds but the component-mounting and
>> point-to-point wiring took lots of hand labor. Some
>> companies offered the same rigs both as kits and
>> assembled - the differences could be steep. I think
>> EFJ charged $100 to assemble a Ranger.
>
>I can remember back in 1957 that the difference made
>kit assembly extremely attractive. And it was a
>good practical education putting one together. I
>did a couple of Heathkits (Seneca, Stereo Receiver)
>and came away at least knowing what the components
>looked like .

The same is true today, but the money saved is less. Note that building a kit
is not homebrewing.
>
>N2EY:
>>>> As electronic manufacturing methods advanced,
>>>> costs declined. Hand wiring gave way to PC boards.
>>>> Miniaturization meant greater precision and
>>>> planning were required. Quantity discounts
>>>> on new parts....
>
>K7JEB:
>>> That's the real reason. Moore's law, in a
>>> somewhat modified form, applies to analog/rf
>>> components too.
>
>N2EY:
>> The big step was PC boards. Lower cost, more
>> uniformity, less chance of error, less skill
>> needed on the line. Automatic board-stuffing
>> became practical. The same board, or a variation,
>> could be used in different products. Also, test
>> jigs could be built to test and pre-align each
>> assembled board before it was installed.
>
>> But making boards for one-shot projects increases
>> cost and time, and decreases flexibility.

>
>The uniformity issue is particularly apt with
>high-performance analog IC's. There are only
>certain component placements, relying on the
>self-shielding characteristics of multi-layer
>PC boards, which produce stable operation.

True, but most ham gear designs aren't anywhere near that critical.
>
>Also, there is the issue of component density.
>That has become paramount with surface-mount
>stuff. There is no way that density can be
>obtained without a printed-circuit 'substrate'.

You ever work on a WW2 "Command Set" receiver? Some serious density there!
Also lots of hand labor. Main point is that PCs reduce the cost advantages of
homebrewing.
>
>K7JEB:
>>> You just can't get the
>>> performance required without a substantial
>>> amount of component integration.
>
>N2EY:
>> Sure you can. Look at the Heath, Drake and Collins
>> tube gear of the 60s and 70s. Very low level of
>> component integration.
>
>True enough.

> But none contained a frequency
>synthesizer,

Wasn't needed. Synthetic VFOs don;t improve basic radio performance - in many
cases, they are worse than their analog predecessors (i.e., phase noise). What
synthetic VFOs do is allow more features.

>complex image-rejecting IF mixing
>schemes,

Nothing complex about up-conversion, which sacrifices certain performance
measures to improve others.

>frequency memories,

Just a function of the synthetic VFO.

>RF transmit
>processing and other "standard" features.

Bells and whistles, you mean. Handy but not essential. In most cases they don;t
improve the basic radio performance.

>I
>don't think those would be available at anything
>like a reasonable price or size with discrete
>transistors, never mind vacuum tubes.

Agreed. But they are not a big deal. The Ancient Ones were working the
Antipodes with QRP and wire antennas 70 years ago.
>
>K7JEB:
>>> And that means
>>> that any homebrew activity with contemporary
>>> devices is going to be an exercise in system
>>> integration, not design and development at
>>> the transistor level.
>
>N2EY:
>> I disagree! Look at the Elecraft K2 and K1 designs.
>> Yes, they're kits, but the basic radio design is
>> not beyond the advanced homebrewer.
>
>I don't have first-hand experience with either
>of those units, so can't really comment.

You can download the manuals from their website.

> I do
>think I'm safe in saying that most contemporary
>RF design done with standard parts is handled
>mostly at the gain-block/filter/mixer level,
>not at the discrete-transistor level.

In ham gear, it's still at the discrete-transistor level.

> I think
>that is a different way of thinking about
>analog signal processing than base and collector
>currents and represents a first step towards
>system design and away from circuit design.

Trouble is, the performance isn't there.

>N2EY:
>>>> But homebrewing is NOT dead, despite pronouncements
>>>> otherwise. It's simply become a niche market, rather
>>>> than something almost all hams did, out of necessity.
>
>K7JEB:
>>> I think the real problem is in the subtext of
>>> any discussion of homebrewing. You get a clue
>>> from the use of terms like "appliance addiction".
>
>N2EY:
>> One wonders how much actual homebrewing the

>> wielders of such phrases have actually done -
>> particularly in recent years.
>
>I secretly do too, but prefer to remain non-
>confrontational and stay friends with my fellow
>hams.

HAW! I say that if someone makes such claims, they should at least back them up with examples.

>K7JEB:
>>> The implication is that homebrewing is something
>>> that "real hams" SHOULD be doing.
>
>> Of course it is.
>
>>> And perhaps penalized for not doing it enough.
>
>> That part is unenforceable, of course.
>
>Well, there *have* been semi-serious proposals to
>substitute some kind of home-brewing practical exam
>for the late, lamented high-speed code test.

What "high-speed code test"? The USA has never had a high speed code test for a ham license.

Practical homebrew tests that I have seen suggested were extremely basic - no more than soldering tests. And the impracticality of such tests was easily demonstrated. No way they'd have a chance to become reality.
>
>K7JEB:
>>> That's where
>>> I part company with homebrewing enthusiasm because,
>>> if you really look at it, it's the CW debate all
>>> over again. And you know what a morass one falls
>>> into with those kind of issues.
>
>N2EY:
>> Good point! There are lots of similarities. Folks
>> say "CW is dead", and you have to wonder if they
>> ever listen to the HF ham bands. Same thing with
>> "homebrew is dead".
>>
>> The ham who builds his own radio gear has knowledge
>> and skill far beyond that of the "appliance operator".
>> But it's just not practical to force hams to
>> build.
>
>I would be satisfied with just an understanding of
>how radio works

At what level? Being able to explain Maxwell's equations? Being able to explain how a regenerative detector works? Being able to give a rudimentary block-diagram explanation of a modern HF transceiver?

>In my latest cross-country jaunt,
>I found myself explaining that, just because I have
>a big, Texas Bugcatcher coil in series with the
>8-foot whip on my pickup truck, I cannot auto-
>matically talk all over the world.

Add to the above: Propagation and antenna theory.

> The stares
>really got blank if I tried to make the point that,
>contrary to expectations, the more wire on the
>loading coil, the poorer the overall radiation
>efficiency. Of course, these were CB-using
>truckers, so some slack must be provided.

What nonhams think is not the issue. What is troubling is when hams have that level of ignorance.

>Still,
>if there is an essense of amateur radio, I
>like to think it is the understanding of how
>things work.

Some care about how it works, others don't.

>Of course, a powerful physical
>manifestation of that understanding is the
>completion of a homebrew radio project. But
>the understanding, such as it is, comes first.

And there you see the connection between homebrewing and the essence of amateur radio. And why some folks make such a fuss over it. But the fact is, economics works against it.
>
>N2EY:
>> Here's one last point: Economics has yet another
>> angle: resale value. Most true homebrew rigs are
>> difficult or impossible to sell. Manufactured or kit
>> ham gear holds its value quite well, by comparison
>> to other electronics - particularly computers. A
>> 5 year old computer might get 10% of its original
>> price - if you are lucky! Compare that to the
>> prices of used ham gear of all ages.
>
>It has completely baffled me that the unique
>character of homebrew equipment has not been
>recognized.

I've recognized it for years. Ask W3RV.

>A Japanese ham will lay out $25K
>for a Collins KW-1 but spurns the one-of-a-kind
>AM transmitter of a similar power level put
>together by an Iowa farmer back in the forties.

Not a good comparison. Collectors are not bound by the rules of logic. If you want a rig that does what the KW-1 does (legal-limit AM and CW with BA technology), you don't need to spend anything like $25K. The JA ham is buying it for other reasons.

Heck, an unbuilt Heathkit AT-1 (1956 vintage) went for $5100 on eBay. That's not a typo - five thousand one hundred dollars. And the AT-1 was a piece of junk even in its own time.

>Maybe such artifacts need exposure and expert
>appraisal on 'Antiques Roadshow' or some
>similar venue.

Watch that show carefully - the genuine article brings much more money than reproductions and imitations. Has nothing to do with innate value, and evertyting to do with "eye of the beholder".

>I predict, and mark my words
>well, that when the rarity of homebrew ham rigs
>is recognized as being greater than pre-Columbian
>native American sculpture on E-Bay that the
>sky will be the limit on the prices of such 'finds'.

Rarity is only one dimension of it. Art is in the eye of the beholder.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1710. Re: W9WNV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 03:27:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DA0FF3A.D7234C5D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53476

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D9E349E.AE6B6525@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) wrote in message
news:<anj1uq$1c01$1@heap.juniper.net>...
> > > > Brian <brianburke@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> > > > >news:<d22b54c3.0210021603.c7ea463@posting.google.com>...
> > > > >> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> > news:<VGFm9.4644$OB5.427919@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > > >> > http://www.thedesertsun.com/news/stories/local/1027736792.shtml
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > --
> > > > >> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > >> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> > > > >>
> > > > >> This could be good, team Putz Jr. and Miller and send 'em to Somalia
> > > > >> and points east . . Tora Bora, Burma, yesssssss . .
> > > > >>
> > > > >> w3rv
> > > > >
> > > > >Burma, huh? Some DXer you are.
> > > > >
> > > > >Do you even know how long it hasn't been Burma?
> > > >
> > > > Should let the CIA know that too, the World Factbook 2001 online at
> > > >
> > > > http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/
> > > >
> > > > still calls it Burma.
> > > >
> > > > In fact, people in that country also call it Burma, at least the ones
> > > > who speaking English in my presence. It is like a clever plot to keep
> > > > anyone from knowing how to correctly pronounce the other name.
> > > >
> > > > Dennis Ferguson
> > >
> > > Dennis, I'm so busy setting Dave Heil straight on "Good Amateur
> > > Practice" that I'll let you deal with the CIA screw-up.
> >
> > You haven't even gotten your own crap grouped, Slim.

>
> Deny, deny deny. Make counter-accusations.

When an individual, operating under the terms of his licensing, encounters another individual who has no first hand knowledge of events making absurd accusations, there is little choice except for denial. You have no problem with attempting to smear my name for reasons known only to yourself but you certainly don't care for the same tactic when it is directed at you.

Wanna play a few rounds of "there I was bootlegging from Somalia"?

Dave K8MN

1711. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 04:07:46 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92A01503BEB5elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53477

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
news:20021006220925.12729.00001093@mb-cs.aol.com:

> In article <Xns929EEEE72AB75elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>I learnt to drive a car so I could drive a car, but I learnt CW so I
>>could use HF phone, that's like learning to drive a car so I could row
>>a boat!
>
> Not at all. It's like being required to learn to drive a stick-shift
> car, even though you have no intention of ever buying a stick shift
> car.

If you think phone is like driving an automatic, then obviously you have
never been in a pile-up on phone - or maybe you have and that's why you
use CW!

>
> I, too, learned CW in order to get the license to work HF 'phone. My
> original interest in amateur radio had been sparked by listening to
> hams on 75 AM.
>
> Funny thing, though - once I learned the code and started using it, I
> found it to be more fun than merely talking.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1712. Proposal for an Amateur Voice Switch by g1sle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 06:07:41 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3da69433.1744811@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53479

So what is an amateur voice switch?

Basically you can think of it as a bit
like a small telephone 'switch', like the
sort of thing a small business would use.
The proposed switch has 8 'extensions' or
in our case 8 radio channels.
A user with access to any one of these
channels is able to dialup any of the
other 7 using DTMF commands and have a 1
to one conversation.
He is also able to dial a 'conference
bridge' if other users are also connected
to the bridge he will be able to join in
the conference, up to all 8 users may be
on the bridge at any time.

So what hardware is involved in the
'switch'?

The switch itself is a small rack
mountable unit containing up to 8 'radio
interface cards'
Each radio interface has a unique number
(effectively like an extension number) and
for reasons that will become obvious the
switch also has a unique number.
Each radio interface connects via a simple
cable (audio in/out, PTT in and Busy out)
to its associated radio.

So what frequencies do the radios use?

Any within the amateur bands.
No really I mean it, from top band AM to
248Ghz FM including repeaters, simplex
channels and channels linked to the
various internet linking systems. As long
as the radio provides RX audio and a
channel busy signal and accepts microphone
and PTT in, it will work on the switch.
Infact, thinking about it the connection
could be direct to an IRLP node.
My proposal is that the channels currently
used for internet linking along with
existing repeater channels would be ideal,

this new technology is complementary to both and would benefit both the existing repeater network and internet linking.

From a users point of view what can I do and how do I do it?

Lets setup an example system.
Suppose we put up a 5 port switch with radios on port 1 GB3EE, port 2 GB3DY, port 3 145.2875, port 4 70.2625, and port 5 2310.025 we'll make our switch ID 1 for this example.
We'll put our switch in Bolsover for now

A user on EE may wish to link to DY in order to have a better chance of getting a reply to his CQ calls. To do this he would simply use his DTMF keypad to dial *12*. The switch interprets this as ' connect me to port 2 on switch 1.
The switch will then check that the required port is free, make the connection and alert on EE and DY, the user can then put out his CQ which will be heard on both DY and EE, any reply will also be heard on both repeaters. When he is done he dials *10* which is interpreted as 'connect me to nothing on switch 1' the connection is closed and both repeaters are then independent.

Lets look at another example
A 4 mtr mobile user is finding the band a little quiet, so he goes to 70.2625 and dials *11*
This connects him to GB3EE where he is more likely to find a QSO, but because of the excellent propagation to mobile users on 4mtrs he is also able to hold the contact many miles further than he could hope for on his 70cms set.

Another example
A user on DY would like to use internet linking, but DY has no IRLP connection, so he dials *13* which connects him to 145.2875, since there happens to be an IRLP node on .2875 local to the switch he can then dial on via IRLP to any of the several hundred IRLP nodes available.

Getting the idea? Think about this one....
A user on EE wants to talk to a friend in (say) Birmingham.
He dials *15* this connects him to the 13cms port on 2310.025Mhz, a similar switch located in (say) Lichfield also has a port on 2310.025 and a second port on GB3GB.we'll make this switch number 7 for our example.
So once our user hears the connect confirmed to port 5 on his switch he dials *72* this will be ignored by switch 1 his local switch but will be picked up by switch 7 as a request for port 2, he will then be connected from EE to GB via a 'pipe' on 2310.025.

We can go on adding ports and switches up to a maximum of 10 switches each with up to 8 connected channels, after that we would have to go to 2 digit switch numbers allowing up to 100 switches of 8 channels, a fairly powerful and flexible network by any standards.

So what about the conference bridge?

Simple, a user wishing to use the bridge just dials *19*, the requested 'port 9' being interpreted as a request to join the bridge, he is then connected and traffic can pass to/from any other users on the bridge - IRLP users may recognise this - you will know it as a 'reflector'

But people will forget to shut down unused links won't they?

Yes of course they will, its human nature, that's why I put timeouts in, if a channel remains permanently busy for 3 minutes it will cause an auto disconnect, also if a connected channel remains without activity for 10 mins it will be disconnected.

You mention some 'prompts' how exactly does the switch tell the users what's going on?

All user prompts are in morse, the system is based around 8bit microcontrollers running at just 12MHz. Each micro has available just 4 k of code space and 128

bytes of RAM.
This leads to a system which is cheap, stand alone and reliable, unfortunately however it doesn't have the power to run voice prompts.
When a user connects to a port the number of the connected port is sent in MCW at each end of the link, thus as a link is setup between port 1 and 2 port 1 will hear a '2' and port 2 will hear a '1'.
When a link is disconnected either by the user or by a timeout both ends will hear a 'diss'.
If a user attempts an illegal operation, connection to a non existant port, connection to his own port or whatever he will hear an 'err'
Attempts to connect to a port already in use will get a 'bzy'

But isn't this dreadfully spectrally inefficient?

If correctly engineered this could improve repeater coverage in a way that would be much more efficient than many others.
Consider this example.
Handportable coverage from the existing repeater network in many areas is very poor or non-existant, one solution is to put up more repeaters, each using 2 frequencies.
Since many of the areas of poor coverage are sparsely populated in terms of radio users this is poor use spectrum, 2 frequencies are being used often to provide coverage to only 1 user at any given time.
Suppose we site a switch in one of these areas , provide a simplex port and a port on the more busy local repeater. We have now provided coverage in the problem area using only 1 frequency rather than the 2 required for 'full' repeater coverage.

So why isn't this on air now?

I have over the last few months developed the system to a point where I have 3 prototype radio interface cards on a switch allowing calls between any 2 of the

3.
Since operation of such a switch is
outside the terms of my licence I need an
NOV to operate it just as an NOV is
required to operate an internet link or a
repeater.
The Radio Agency have indicated to me that
they have no 'in principal' objection to
the idea, they have now passed details to
the RSGBs Repeater Management Committee
who will hopefully see the enormous
benefit that this new technology could
provide to the repeater network and
amateur radio in general and give the
project their full support.
Once the RMC approves the project I can
quickly obtain an NOV and move to on air
tests, I expect that development and
testing of the full 8 port switch may take
up to 6 months from my NOV arriving,
however service could begin to be provided
on DY and EE almost immediately.

73 ian

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links

United Kingdom Internet Radio Linking Project

http://www.ukirlp.co.uk http://www.irlp.co.uk http://www.irlp.net

I usually monitor G4NJI node 520 and GB3DV node 513

Ian Abel G3ZHI
52 Hollytree Ave
Maltby
Rotherham
Yorkshire
S66 8DY

Tel: 01709 799911
Mobile 0776 481 3363

/ex

1713. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 06:32:28 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <anrkph61efl@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53480

> Hobart said the program has a first-year goal of $150,000 "to support
> those ARRL-sponsored programs amateurs enjoy." She compared the program
> to
> those already offered by other non-profit organizations across the US.

150 grand? Where is the dues/subscription etc going to then?? 150 grand is
drop in the bucket compared to what they take in already.

> The Diamond Club offers additional benefits for larger contributions at
> the Brass, Silver, Gold, Platinum and Director levels. For instance, at
> the Platinum level--available for contributions of from $2500 to $4999
> annually--members also will receive 10 percent off direct ARRL
> publications purchases, first-class delivery of QST, unlimited free use
> of DXCC, Outgoing QSL Service and awards, an invitation to all ARRL donor
> recognition events and an annual voluntary listing in the ARRL Annual
> Report and on the ARRL Web site.

Jeesh.... someone donates between $2500 to $4999 on an annual basis, they
should get a book or two free, screw the ten percent....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1714. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 06:38:51 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <anrkpi71efl@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53482

Watch out Kim.... you will be labeled a blasphemer here if you actually ask or expect anything from the ARRL here.... Especially the section appointments. People are expected to pay the ARRL and be happy with what they got....

(For you it is a request/demand from a subscriber.... for me it is a question/request from someone who wants to get reasons why to join, either way, just requests none the less)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> >
>
> I'm a member of the ARRL and I think it's a great idea. My only big wish
is
> that the ARRL in Newington would push its leadership in the field to be
more
> proactive locally.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

1715. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 06:41:22 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <anrkpj81efl@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53483

"Audiotest3" <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021005171333.15281.00007447@mb-mn.aol.com...
> After attending a recent Hamfest, one can conclude:
>
> Percentage of male Hams: 95%
> Percentage of old Hams: 50%
> Percentage of obese Hams: 25%
>
> These are high percentages.

And a damned good reason for an EMS ambulance on standby at hamfests!!!!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1716. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 06:44:44 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <anrkpk91efl@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53481

A member of the twinkie/bubble gum group called Nsync. Typically pop music crap, but definitely someone who has the interest of alot of teenyboppers....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message news:aniqo9kuk1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> What is a 'Lance Bass' ? Is it a fish someone stuck with a stick?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.393 / Virus Database: 223 - Release Date: 30-Sep-02
>
>

1717. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 12:09:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021007080934.28700.00001305@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53484

In article <d22b54c3.0210060547.7d84fe13@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>I wouldn't start collecting 40s & 50s homebrewed radio gear expecting
>to "cash in" on the stuff "some day". Old old homebrewed parts piles
>are just that despite the fact that they were somebody's dream machine
>a half century ago. Boating and customizing cars are ongoing hobbies
>from those days too and there are great heaps of examples of old
>homebrewed boats and cars everywhere languishing in garages and the
>back ends of marinas. In 99% of the cases they're worthless junk and
>have no market value, nada. Thus ham radio is not at all unique when
>it comes to the negative appreciation of homebrewed hobby "stuff". A
>hundred years out might be another story.
>
>Those Japanese who are paying ridiculous prices for ancient Collins
>gear have parallels right here in both cars and boats. Old Chris Craft
>mahogany runabouts or try an original Ford Cobra or a '55 Mercedes
>300SL . . Old "stuff" manufactured by known producers of high-quality
>hardware certainly does hold value. Does not apply to homebrewed
>versions in just about all venues.

All true and good advice. With rare exceptions, old homebrew stuff has always been a tough sell - another reason for the decline of homebrewing.

The rare exceptions (in radios, cars, boats, etc.) are:

- a homebrew project or series that became well-known and well-documented, such as the HBR receivers

- a unit that is a good source of parts. But often parts units are worth a lot more when torn down for their components. Hand labor cost and all that.

>
>Homebrewing radios in particular is all but dead for a number of valid
>reasons. "Back when" the only names of the game were AM & CW. Utterly
>simplistic designs which anybody who knew which end of a soldering
>iron gets hot and was able to follow a construction article could turn
>into a rcvr and/or a TX. Was there, done it, 1947 +/-.

Plus you could save big bucks doing so.

>
>Roll forward to a few months ago when I bought an FT-1500M 2m FM
>mobile rig. 50 watts of clean RF out of a package the size of a couple
>packs of smokes, some ungodly number of memories, vfo mode or does
>programmable splits, scans, PL tones, 9600bps data handling, is easily
>programmed with any Winbox or it's own panel buttons, etc,. All for a
>lousy $129.95 over the counter retail. THAT's what happened to ham

>homebrewing.

Bingo. And it's not a new story.

Back in '77 I shelled out about $300 for a Heath HW-2036 2 meter rig. Kit. Dinosaur compared to the FT-1500. But it was a bargain at the time, being one of the first synthesized 2m ham rigs. Lot cheaper than buying xtals for all the local repeaters! I could not have homebrewed a '2036 equivalent back then for $300 from new parts even if I had the necessary tools, test gear, experience and time.

>And in all but some rare instances good riddance.
>
TNX!

Ya want folk art, visit the Mercer Museum.

--

Collection mania is all about perception and reputation rather than reality. Somebody cited "Antiques Road Show" (ARS, it sez on their travel cases). Here's a favorite ARS story:

Couple years ago, two sisters brought in a Tiffany-style table lamp. Very good condition, original shade, worked perfectly, had been in the family since it was almost new. They used it in their living room. A local dealer had told them it wasn't genuine, because the base/column were cast metal, and Tiffany used carved wood. They thought it was worth maybe $200.

But the expert said that for a brief time in 1904 Tiffany had made metal-base table lamps. Only six were known to survive, and none of them were as nice as the one the two sisters had. Expert said the lamp would go for at least $120,000 at auction.

Why was that lamp suddenly worth 600 times its previous value? It was still the same lamp. Same colors, same construction, same materials. All that had changed was that it had been positively identified as a genuine Tiffany.

That's why KW-1s sell for $25k.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1718. Re: Proposal for an Amateur Voice Switch by g1sle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 13:20:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ans1ni$26d$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 53485

g3zhi wrote:
>
>
> All user prompts are in morse

OOPS!!!! There's the fly in your ointment, ruining an otherwise
interesting plan. In a pending no-code world it's outa there.

1719. Re: Proposal for an Amateur Voice Switch by g1sle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 15:00:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <vkho9.13692$OB5.1373951@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53486

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

> In a pending no-code world it's outa there.

And why would that be? Will Morse be outlawed?

73, de Hans, K0HB

1720. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 15:19:04 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <IBho9.10724$ue4.502865@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53487

N2EY:
> I think this started on the rec.radio.amateur.homebrew NG, tho!

Ooops! Sorry about that. That explains why I couldn't find the
thread on .policy.

> The same is true today, but the money saved is less.
> Note that building a kit is not homebrewing.

True enough. I can see that 'real' homebrewing requires
designer skills while building, and perhaps troubleshooting,
a kit is more in the realm of a 'maintainer'.

K7JEB:
>>The uniformity issue is particularly apt with
>>high-performance analog IC's....

N2EY:
> True, but most ham gear designs aren't anywhere
> near that critical.

I'll agree. A little care (and understanding) in
component placement helps a lot.

>> Also, there is the issue of component density....

> You ever work on a WW2 "Command Set" receiver?
> Some serious density there! Also lots of hand labor.
> Main point is that PCs reduce the cost advantages of
> homebrewing.

Yeah. I had in mind getting 60 dB of IF-Amp gain
out of a postage-stamp-sized area on a board. Or
the number of transistors per square millimeter.
That kind of thing.

>> But none contained a frequency
>>synthesizer,

> Wasn't needed. Synthetic VFOs don;t improve
> basic radio performance - in many cases, they
> are worse than their analog predecessors
> (i.e., phase noise). What synthetic VFOs do
> is allow more features.

I was thinking more about ease of operation in
finding one's way around crowded bands and
holding a net frequency as being a significant

advantage. I guess I place a lot of value
in that.

> Look at the Elecraft K2 and K1 designs......
> You can download the manuals from their website.

Good suggestion! Thanks.

> In ham gear, it's still at the discrete-transistor level.

Maybe I really better look at those Elecraft
schematics!

K7JEB:
>>Well, there *have* been semi-serious proposals to
>>substitute some kind of home-brewing practical exam
>>for the late, lamented high-speed code test.

N2EY:
> What "high-speed code test"? The USA has never had
> a high speed code test for a ham license.

Yeah, yeah. Anything less than 35 WPM is toooo slooooowww.

> Practical homebrew tests that I have seen suggested
> were extremely basic - no more than soldering tests.
> And the impracticality of such tests was easily
> demonstrated. No way they'd have a chance to become reality.

I can't see any realistic way of implementing
it either. Anything involving a construction
project or extensive demonstration of learning
would tend to be more of a technical course than
a single exam.

>> I would be satisfied with just an understanding of
>> how radio works

> At what level? Being able to explain Maxwell's
> equations? Being able to explain how a regenerative
> detector works? Being able to give a rudimentary
> block-diagram explanation of a modern HF transceiver?

That's the crux of it, Jim. I don't know
where to draw the line anymore than anyone
else. I sure wish that it was there in a
bit more depth than we have now.

>> .....Of course, these were CB-using
>> truckers, so some slack must be provided.

> What nonhams think is not the issue. What
> is troubling is when hams have that
> level of ignorance

I suppose I have the vain hope that CB could
still be a source for new hams. Or that radio
users of any stripe have some idea of how the
box works.

>> Of course, a powerful physical
>> manifestation of that understanding is the
>> completion of a homebrew radio project. But
>> the understanding, such as it is, comes first.

> And there you see the connection between homebrewing
> and the essence of amateur radio. And why some
> folks make such a fuss over it. But the fact is,
> economics works against it.

Sure agree about the economics, particularly the
person-hour aspects of it.

>> A Japanese ham will lay out $25K
>> for a Collins KW-1 but spurns the one-of-a-kind
>> AM transmitter of a similar power level put
>> together by an Iowa farmer back in the forties.

> Not a good comparison. Collectors are not bound by
> the rules of logic. If you want a rig that does
> what the KW-1 does (legal-limit AM and CW with BA
> technology), you don't need to spend anything like
> $25K. The JA ham is buying it for other reasons.

Yeah, that winged emblem on the front door ;-)

I suppose I see homebrew rigs of a certain vintage
as having historical, almost archeological value.
It may take another century for the rest of the
world to catch up with my sensibilities.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1721. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 16:44:33 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <RRio9.14447$lV3.1380058@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53488

The ARRL is a piece of trash. They've ruined the hobby. We might as well calls hams CBers now. I'll never join that piece of crap organization again.

KB7ADL

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:3D9E339D.CD92F755 @earthlink.net:

> The latest really bad idea from the ARRL is the "you can always get more
> if you pay more" stratification plan outlined by new ham K1MMH, ARRL
> Chief Development Officer (another incredibly bad idea). This latest
> scheme follows on the heels of the deletion of contest line scores and
> Section News from QST.
>
> I note that the big spenders will get their QST via first class mail. I
> wonder if there is a level which will provide the plastic mailing
> sleeves formerly enjoyed by all at no additional cost.
>
> It is evident that the board of directors are operating on much
> different frequencies than the mainstream.
>
> "==>ARRL ANNOUNCES DIAMOND CLUB DONOR RECOGNITION PROGRAM
>
> The ARRL has introduced The Diamond Club as a way to increase member
> involvement, support the League and offer valuable membership benefits
> above and beyond those already available. The program was approved by
> the
> ARRL Board of Directors at its July meeting and introduced this month.
>
> "This is a donor recognition program, to acknowledge member loyalty,"
> said
> ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH. "We're excited to be
> able to thank our members in ways we've never been able to do
> previously
> and, at the same time, to raise critical additional resources to
> sustain
> ARRL in the future."
>
> Hobart said the program has a first-year goal of $150,000 "to support
> those ARRL-sponsored programs amateurs enjoy." She compared the program
> to
> those already offered by other non-profit organizations across the US.

>
> "We did a membership sample survey in 2001 to gauge interest in
specific
> benefits and types of benefits," Hobart explained. "The Diamond Club
> program was crafted to be consistent with the results of that survey."
>
> Recognition for increased annual support in The Diamond Club includes
> all
> current ARRL membership benefits plus pins and certificates at every
> membership level plus special Diamond Club car window decals,
> publication
> discounts, new QST delivery options and donor recognition
opportunities.
> "You select the contribution level that fits your budget, and ARRL will
> do
> the rest," Hobart said.
>
> Members of The Diamond Club can start out small--with an annual
> contribution as little as $75 a year ($50 a year for ARRL Life
> Members)--or large, with a yearly gift of $5000 or more. In addition to
> a
> subscription to QST, access to members-only pages on the ARRL Web site,
> and other member benefits, Diamond Club donors at the introductory
level
> will receive a Diamond Club pin, a personalized Diamond Club
certificate
> and two Diamond Club vehicle window decals.
>
> The Diamond Club offers additional benefits for larger contributions at
> the Brass, Silver, Gold, Platinum and Director levels. For instance, at
> the Platinum level--available for contributions of from $2500 to $4999
> annually--members also will receive 10 percent off direct ARRL
> publications purchases, first-class delivery of QST, unlimited free use
> of
> DXCC, Outgoing QSL Service and awards, an invitation to all ARRL donor
> recognition events and an annual voluntary listing in the ARRL Annual
> Report and on the ARRL Web site.
>
> Donations to the ARRL Diamond Club are tax-deductible to the extent
> allowed by law and are not refundable. Hobart emphasized that The
> Diamond
> Club contributions are annual. Details on The Diamond Club are spelled
> out
> on the ARRL Web site <https://www.arrl.org/diamondclub/>"
>
> Dave K8MN
> a member of the loyal opposition
>

1722. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 20:11:57 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0210071211.43a16950@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53489

Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<Xns92A01503BEB5elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
> news:20021006220925.12729.00001093@mb-cs.aol.com:
>
> > In article <Xns929EEEE72AB75elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> > <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
> >
> >>I learnt to drive a car so I could drive a car, but I learnt CW so I
> >>could use HF phone, that's like learning to drive a car so I could row
> >>a boat!
> >
> > Not at all. It's like being required to learn to drive a stick-shift
> > car, even though you have no intention of ever buying a stick shift
> > car.
>
> If you think phone is like driving an automatic, then obviously you have
> never been in a pile-up on phone - or maybe you have and that's why you
> use CW!

It's your call, Alun...but I think it's safe to say that Jim's seen,
been in, and broken many a pile-up on phone...looooooong before 1980.
I think he merits a tad more respect than the statement above
conveys...even if solely based on experience.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1723. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 21:31:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <03no9.14172$OB5.1424338@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"linkswasher" <sealwashe433@hotmail.com> wrote'

>
> HOW can DXCC be "free" if you have to join into an exclusive
> club within a fraternal org that requests a donation of " ($5,000 -
> $9,999 donation annually)"
>

Uh, go rent a Funk and Wagnalls and look up:

Satire
Irony

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

1724. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 21:36:34 UTC
From: Chester Alderman <calderman@cox.net>
Message-ID: <C7no9.90322$IL6.4779262@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 53491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

WHAT??? You think as a USA ham for 52 years is going to donate five to ten grand to an organization that has done little for my hobby except dumb it down and trying now to eliminate CW so their off-shore advertisers can build cheaper radios that only operate FM or SSB? Not me Buddy, I wasn't born in England, you know!

Tom/W4BQF

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message news:39m3qug8gi5jo6bponn9m1op7oh3s8c465@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 6 Oct 2002 18:10:48 -0400, "linkswasher"
> <sealwashe433@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >HOW can DXCC be "free" if you have to join into an exclusive
> >club within a fraternal org that requests a donation of " ($5,000 -
> >$9,999 donation annually)"
>
> Because it is part of accepted American culture that you can have
> anything if you are prepared to pay enough for it. In the USA, they
> see nothing wrong in that philosophy.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

1725. Re: Proposal for an Amateur Voice Switch by g1sle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 21:41:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ansv1e$5h8$0@208.206.142.102>
Source record: 53492

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> > In a pending no-code world it's outa there.
>
> And why would that be? Will Morse be outlawed?
>

Why, how thoughtless of me! There's always the lookup table....

1726. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 22:48:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021007184828.06682.00006782@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53493

>Subject: Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club
>From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" vlfiscus@mcn.net
>Date: 10/7/02 11:44 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <RRio9.14447$lV3.1380058@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>The ARRL is a piece of trash. They've ruined the hobby.

No, they have not, Vince...Sorry it's not EXACTLY what you want, but what
is?

>We might as well calls hams CBers now.

Why? Other than occassionally eharing a new Ham say " the personal here
is..." (corrected after a faace-to-face at a club meeting or Hamfest), there is
NONE of the so-called CB activitiy on the air, save for teh usual "Liberty Net"
and 14.313 idiots. And let them stay there. The rest of Ham Radio is fine.

>I'll never join that piece of crap organization again.

OK. Thanks for sharing.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1727. Re: Another Incredibly Bad Idea - ARRL Diamond Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 23:26:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DA2184B.EA7CB9F0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53494

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> The ARRL is a piece of trash. They've ruined the hobby. We might as well
> calls hams CBers now. I'll never join that piece of crap organization
> again.
>
> KB7ADL

No, that isn't even close to being correct. The ARRL is not a piece of trash nor did it ruin amateur radio. The League has a board of directors which sometimes makes bad decisions depending upon who is sitting on the board. At other times it allows the staff to implement some poorly framed plans.

You can't effect change in the ARRL from the outside.

Dave K8MN

1728. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-07 23:32:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DA21989.B3561FDB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
>
> On Sun, 6 Oct 2002 18:10:48 -0400, "linkswasher"
> <sealwashe433@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >HOW can DXCC be "free" if you have to join into an exclusive
> >club within a fraternal org that requests a donation of " ($5,000 -
> >$9,999 donation annually)"
>
> Because it is part of accepted American culture that you can have
> anything if you are prepared to pay enough for it. In the USA, they
> see nothing wrong in that philosophy.
>
> 73 de G3NYY

If that is what you believe, Walt, you might be getting most of your information from American TV. I might add that the only place on the earth I've ever been served luncheon meat sliced thinly enough to read a newspaper through it was in England.

Dave K8MN

1729. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 01:24:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021007212439.09330.00001293@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 53497

In article <anpvafnk1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Radioguy"
<nospam@nospam.com> writes:

>Folks... honestly... how many of you would like another Field Day event but
>this one scheduled for the Winter?

Interesting concept, one which I've had myself in the past. There's a big
difference in setting up a "field" operation site when the weather is at least
tolerable -- doing so when you have to keep warm adds a real challenge. Also
there is the chalenge of driving tent stakes, ground rods, and tower pilings
into frozen ground, dealing with heavy snow, etc. In my area, the winters are
typically quite mild compared to what they get further North, but still, it
would be interesting to try it. Even the problem of having a shorter daylight
period would add interest. I like the idea, myself.
What say others?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1730. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 01:24:40 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021007212440.09330.00001294@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 53496

In article <uq1b7q6n2tl1e5@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
writes:

>Just came back from the ham testing and I did it!
>I passed the Morse Code test, now I can beep!
>

I never had any doubt that you could. Now, get on the air and make
some CW contacts! Congratulations and good luck.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1731. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 02:09:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021007220930.11404.00001412@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 53498

In article <IBho9.10724$ue4.502865@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "K7JEB"
<k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>N2EY:
>> I think this started on the rec.radio.amateur.homebrew NG, tho!
>
>Ooops! Sorry about that. That explains why I couldn't find the
>thread on .policy.

Maybe repost to homebrew?
>
>> The same is true today, but the money saved is less.
>> Note that building a kit is not homebrewing.
>
>True enough. I can see that 'real' homebrewing requires
>designer skills while building, and perhaps troubleshooting,
>a kit is more in the realm of a 'maintainer'.

The whole thing is a spectrum, ranging from the original custom homebrew design
at one end, to the semi-kit with extremely specific instructions. In between
are the homebrew project copied from an article, the kit which requires some
skill in assembly, etc.
>
>K7JEB:
>>>The uniformity issue is particularly apt with
>>>high-performance analog IC's....
>
>N2EY:
>> True, but most ham gear designs aren't anywhere
>> near that critical.
>
>I'll agree. A little care (and understanding) in
>component placement helps a lot.

Which skill is not needed with PC boards.
>
>>> Also, there is the issue of component density....
>
>> You ever work on a WW2 "Command Set" receiver?
>> Some serious density there! Also lots of hand labor.
>> Main point is that PCs reduce the cost advantages of
>> homebrewing.
>
>Yeah. I had in mind getting 60 dB of IF-Amp gain
>out of a postage-stamp-sized area on a board. Or

>the number of transistors per square millimeter.
>That kind of thing.

Except for portable and mobile gear, miniaturization isn't that important,
compared to performance.
>
>>> But none contained a frequency
>>>synthesizer,
>
>> Wasn't needed. Synthetic VFOs don;t improve
>> basic radio performance - in many cases, they
>> are worse than their analog predecessors
>> (i.e., phase noise). What synthetic VFOs do
>> is allow more features.
>
>I was thinking more about ease of operation in
>finding one's way around crowded bands and
>holding a net frequency as being a significant
>advantage. I guess I place a lot of value
>in that.

I'm not sure what you mean by "finding one's way around crowded bands" and
"holding a net frequency". The analog-VFO rigs of 40 years ago gave lots of
flexibility if properly set up (two VFOs).

Features like memories don't help you hear the weak or buried ones any better,
nor do they help others hear you better. They are conveniences, which isn't a
bad thing.

>> Look at the Elecraft K2 and K1 designs......
>> You can download the manuals from their website.
>
>Good suggestion! Thanks.

Note that the RF design is a mix of transistors and fairly common ICs. No
surface mount or multilayer boards. You wind your own toroids. Yet the
performance, particularly receive performance, is right up there with the top
rigs.
>
>> In ham gear, it's still at the discrete-transistor level.
>
>Maybe I really better look at those Elecraft
>schematics!
>
>K7JEB:
>>>Well, there *have* been semi-serious proposals to
>>>substitute some kind of home-brewing practical exam
>>>for the late, lamented high-speed code test.
>
>N2EY:
>> What "high-speed code test"? The USA has never had
>> a high speed code test for a ham license.

>
>Yeah, yeah. Anything less than 35 WPM is toooo slooooowww.

"High speed" starts around 25-30 wpm.
>
>> Practical homebrew tests that I have seen suggested
>> were extremely basic - no more than soldering tests.
>> And the impracticality of such tests was easily
>> demonstrated. No way they'd have a chance to become reality.
>
>I can't see any realistic way of implementing
>it either. Anything involving a construction
>project or extensive demonstration of learning
>would tend to be more of a technical course than
>a single exam.

'zactly. Plus you'd have the problems of handicap waivers and subjective
judging of the results.
>
>>> I would be satisfied with just an understanding of
>>> how radio works
>
>> At what level? Being able to explain Maxwell's
>> equations? Being able to explain how a regenerative
>> detector works? Being able to give a rudimentary
>> block-diagram explanation of a modern HF transceiver?
>
>That's the crux of it, Jim. I don't know
>where to draw the line anymore than anyone
>else. I sure wish that it was there in a
>bit more depth than we have now.

The written tests have always been a mish-mash of various subjects, driven by
various reasons. Historically, the tests covered areas where hams had gotten
into trouble with the FCC. That's why the old tests were full of questions
about power supply filtering, band edges and how to get a clean signal.
>
>>> .....Of course, these were CB-using
>>> truckers, so some slack must be provided.
>
>> What nonhams think is not the issue. What
>> is troubling is when hams have that
>> level of ignorance
>
>I suppose I have the vain hope that CB could
>still be a source for new hams.

A lot of ham radio's problems stem from cb users getting ham licenses and
bringing their bad habits, poor attitudes and technical ignorance to the ARS.

>Or that radio
>users of any stripe have some idea of how the

>box works.

There are plenty of hams who know the technical end of things. Their percentage is less than before, that's all. Such knowledge is no longer as essential to operating a ham station as it once was.

>>> Of course, a powerful physical
>>> manifestation of that understanding is the
>>> completion of a homebrew radio project. But
>>> the understanding, such as it is, comes first.
>
>> And there you see the connection between homebrewing
>> and the essence of amateur radio. And why some
>> folks make such a fuss over it. But the fact is,
>> economics works against it.
>
>Sure agree about the economics, particularly the
>person-hour aspects of it.

Remember too that the cost of test equipment and tooling must be considered.

In the bad old days, a small kit of inexpensive hand tools and a few pieces of basic test equipment were adequate for building almost anything in the ham station. Not any more!

>
>>> A Japanese ham will lay out $25K
>>> for a Collins KW-1 but spurns the one-of-a-kind
>>> AM transmitter of a similar power level put
>>> together by an Iowa farmer back in the forties.
>
>> Not a good comparison. Collectors are not bound by
>> the rules of logic. If you want a rig that does
>> what the KW-1 does (legal-limit AM and CW with BA
>> technology), you don't need to spend anything like
>> $25K. The JA ham is buying it for other reasons.
>
>Yeah, that winged emblem on the front door ;-)

As I write this, "Antiques Road Show" is on PBS. The similarities are striking.

>
>I suppose I see homebrew rigs of a certain vintage
>as having historical, almost archeological value.
>It may take another century for the rest of the
>world to catch up with my sensibilities.
>
Yup. But it's a niche thing - a part of ham radio, not universal.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1732. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 02:27:22 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92A0E4734A6CAelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53499

wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in
news:b7d1465c.0210071211.43a16950@posting.google.com:

> Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<Xns92A01503BEB5elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>...
>> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
>> news:20021006220925.12729.00001093@mb-cs.aol.com:
>>
>> > In article <Xns929EEEE72AB75elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
>> > <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>> >
>> >>I learnt to drive a car so I could drive a car, but I learnt CW so I
>> >>could use HF phone, that's like learning to drive a car so I could
>> >>row a boat!
>> >
>> > Not at all. It's like being required to learn to drive a stick-shift
>> > car, even though you have no intention of ever buying a stick shift
>> > car.
>>
>> If you think phone is like driving an automatic, then obviously you
>> have never been in a pile-up on phone - or maybe you have and that's
>> why you use CW!
>
> It's your call, Alun...but I think it's safe to say that Jim's seen,
> been in, and broken many a pile-up on phone...looooooong before 1980.
> I think he merits a tad more respect than the statement above
> conveys...even if solely based on experience.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>

Maybe you're right, but I don't think his analogy of phone as an automatic and CW as a stick shift fits. The automatic would be a no-tune, all solid state rig and the stick shift would be a tube rig where you have to tune the plate and grid and adjust the drive. But then that's a different argument, isn't it! I have met, by the way, people who operate CW solely because they don't like phone pile-ups.

I don't really have anything against CW ops, but I will never really understand why some of them treat it as some sort of religeous article of faith. I recently bought an FT-817 and I am really having a blast using 5 watts of phone, even working DX with it (mobile!). Doubtless someone will post that I would be having even more fun on CW, but the fact is I wouldn't.

73 de N3KIP

1733. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 03:08:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <F_ro9.14795$OB5.1475849@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53500

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

>
> A lot of ham radio's problems stem from cb users getting ham licenses and
> bringing their bad habits, poor attitudes and technical ignorance to the
ARS.
>

This was a nice reasoned discussion until you put on your Archie Bunker hat
and spewed the above bigoted tripe.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

1734. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 03:48:37 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DA25595.5BBFA099@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53601

KB9TMP wrote:

> Just came back from the ham testing and I did it!
> I passed the Morse Code test, now I can beep!
>

Study up and take the writtens to get your extra
(if you haven't already). Lots of fun to be had
on HF.

1735. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 05:43:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210072143.60c90d62@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53602

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0210061500.265f9542@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210060547.7d84fe13@posting.google.com>...
>
> > Roll forward to a few months ago when I bought an FT-1500M 2m FM
> > mobile rig. 50 watts of clean RF out of a package the size of a couple
> > packs of smokes, some ungodly number of memories, vfo mode or does
> > programmable splits, scans, PL tones, 9600bps data handling, is easily
> > programmed with any Winbox or it's own panel buttons, etc,. All for a
> > lousy $129.95 over the counter retail. THAT's what happened to ham
> > homebrewing. And in all but some rare instances good riddance.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Not exactly. Homebrewing still serves to peak the interest of young
> people.
>
> Our Cubscout Bear Dens will be building Oatmeal Box crystal radios
> this winter. We're going to come up with the wire from all of the box
> fans that died this summer. I think the only thing we'll have to buy
> is a package of 1n34s and "crystal" earplugs.

Great! Wind the coils on varnished toilet paper tubes, they're much more plentiful than oatmeal boxes and the kids won't hafta eat piles of oatmeal to get themselves a coil form. Unless that's part of the "design intent". 1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today. I once built a crystal set into a WW2 surplus headset. The antenna was a straightened-out coat hanger "vertical" attached to the headset, my 1940s predecessor to the Walkman. Yes it worked. Neighbors saw me wearing the thing, called my Dad and asked "what the hell is THAT kid of yours up to THIS time?" Did the xtal set not too long after I blew the windows out of Mrs. Chandler's chicken coop with my 4" calcium carbide cannon and her chickens din crank out any eggs for a week. I had a bit of a . . ummmm . . "reputation" amongst the villagers so I reckon my Walkman musta "raised some concerns" . .

Nice xtal set website:

http://www.midnightscience.com/

Back when ya had to actually build an operating tube-type rcvr AND know the code at least on paper to get the Radio Merit Badge. Which experience was a real boost to getting me into ham radio. I notice

that today a Tenderfoot can get a Radio Merit Badge by just sitting on his butt and TALKING about "radio" and forget the code. Disgusting to the core.

w3rv

1736. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 07:08:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <anu08i$1pu$0@208.206.142.83>
Source record: 53603

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > A lot of ham radio's problems stem from cb users getting ham licenses and
> > bringing their bad habits, poor attitudes and technical ignorance to the
> ARS.
> >
>
> This was a nice reasoned discussion until you put on your Archie Bunker hat
> and spewed the above bigoted tripe.
>

....even if it is fact.

(And no one is saying that all CBers fall in that category. Not all
Arabs are terrorists, either).

1737. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 10:09:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021008060939.25779.00001072@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 53604

In article <F_ro9.14795$OB5.1475849@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> A lot of ham radio's problems stem from cb users getting ham licenses and
>> bringing their bad habits, poor attitudes and technical ignorance to the
>ARS.
>>
>
>This was a nice reasoned discussion until you put on your Archie Bunker hat
>and spewed the above bigoted tripe.
>
It's not bigoted tripe, Hans. It's electropolitically incorrect, though. It's something I've witnessed time and time again for many years.

Maybe you don't see it/hear it where you are.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1738. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 13:21:30 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210080521.4f6aab9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53605

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<l70o9.5859$k_2.422952@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Brian, W3RV:
> > Those Japanese who are paying ridiculous prices
> > for ancient Collins gear have parallels right
> > here in both cars and boats....
>
> Yeah, I have to keep reminding myself that this is
> the same culture that performs tea ceremonies with
> 200-year-old, lovingly restored bowls that were
> originally designed to be thrown away after being
> chipped or broken during everyday use.

Yeah, they're different critters. They also take much better care of
elderly parents on average than we do. Old is good and worshipful to
them. Old to us is just old to useless and worse.

>
> W3RV:
> > I wouldn't start collecting 40s & 50s homebrewed
> > radio gear expecting to "cash in" on the stuff
> > "some day"......
>
> > Roll forward to a few months ago when I bought
> > an FT-1500M 2m FM mobile rig. 50 watts of clean
> > RF out of a package the size of a couple
> > packs of smokes, some ungodly number of memories,
> > vfo mode or does programmable splits, scans,
> > PL tones ....
>
> Awwww, man! Does this mean that highband, Progress Line
> radio I've been holding onto all these years may really
> be only good for holding down the rear end of somebody's
> car? Major disappointment here.

Hey, don't feel bad. An elder in the town where I was rasised found a
complete 1940s RCA police car radio in the basement of a long-gone
mayor about five years ago. Complete with it's 6v rotary dynamotor,
2.1Mhz AM rig and control head w/carbon mic. I'm told the cops usta go
dxing with the things in the wee hours when 160 was open. There was a
pretty reliable path between here in the Philly area and some burg in
Alabama, there were even traffic relays over that path if a mobile
couldn't hit it's own base. It wasn't much different when they moved
to 39.5 Mhz and 6m was open.

> W3RV:
> > Thus ham radio is not at all unique when it comes
> > to the negative appreciation of homebrewed hobby
> > "stuff". A hundred years out might be another story.
>
> Very true - about the time the Smithsonian becomes
> interested in it as "folk art".
>
> I think the disquiet I and others feel about the
> demise of homebrewing in amateur radio is actually
> a reflection of the disquiet we feel about whole
> generations of electronic technology being plowed
> into landfills. I have lived and worked long
> enough building that technology to know what it
> represents in human terms of giving meaning to
> life. Seeing it callously discarded is like
> seeing one's self callously discarded.

Amen!

. . I've been in the mech eng biz for 45 years, trying to keep
working is hell . .

One huge problem with saving "old stuff" is having the storage space.
OK, so ya save the treasures of yore. Then ya die and the kids
dumpster yer old crap anyway.

>
> 73,
>
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona

w3rv

1739. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 17:07:32 UTC
From: Jeff Breitner <zptr_AT_rudn_DOT_com>
Message-ID: <uq646msvvua202@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:39m3qug8gi5jo6bponn9m1op7oh3s8c465@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 6 Oct 2002 18:10:48 -0400, "linkswasher"
> <sealwashe433@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >HOW can DXCC be "free" if you have to join into an exclusive
> >club within a fraternal org that requests a donation of " ($5,000 -
> >$9,999 donation annually)"
>
> Because it is part of accepted American culture that you can have
> anything if you are prepared to pay enough for it. In the USA, they
> see nothing wrong in that philosophy.
>
> 73 de G3NYY

The only difference between the American and other cultures is that we not only openly admit that fact, we print it on t-shirts and sell them.

1740. Re: Proposal for an Amateur Voice Switch by g1sle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 17:40:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3o20e2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53607

On 7 Oct 2002 13:20:50 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>g3zhi wrote:
>>
>> All user prompts are in morse
>
>OOPS!!!! There's the fly in your ointment, ruining an otherwise
>interesting plan. In a pending no-code world it's outa there.

Hey, that's a great idea - the incentive for the "we speak high-tech"
folks to learn Morse without being prodded to do so by the licensing
authority.

Whatever works.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1741. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 18:02:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DA31DF0.8107BCE6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
>
> On Mon, 07 Oct 2002 23:32:21 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >If that is what you believe, Walt, you might be getting most of your
> >information from American TV. I might add that the only place on the
> >earth I've ever been served luncheon meat sliced thinly enough to read a
> >newspaper through it was in England.
>
> That is because it is good manners to serve wafer-thin luncheon meat.
> ;-)
> Especially at Royal garden parties. ;-) ;-)

We must travel in different circles, heh heh. Our luncheon meat was
served in a very chilly house in Dorchester by a Canon Roberts.

> The USA, on the other hand, is the only country I know where more food
> than anyone could possibly eat is routinely served up at every meal.

This reads like transcontinental myth.

> Most of it is wasted. Some of it, however, goes to exacerbate your
> national obesity problem, which is the worst in the world.

Ever been to Samoa?

> I think
> this phenomenon stems from a misguided desire to demonstrate (over and
> over) that the USA is "the land of plenty" .... or something.

We have no need to demonstrate it to each other. Maybe you've just seen
the red carpet rolled out for those visitors who come from places where
the luncheon meat is thinner than the lettuce leaf. Our rationing ended
with VJ Day.

Dave K8MN

1742. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 18:38:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210081038.502bd823@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53609

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021007212439.09330.00001293@mb-bd.aol.com>...
> In article <anpvafnk1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Radioguy"
> <nospam@nospam.com> writes:
>
> >Folks... honestly... how many of you would like another Field Day event but
> >this one scheduled for the Winter?
>
> Interesting concept, one which I've had myself in the past. There's a big
> difference in setting up a "field" operation site when the weather is at least
> tolerable -- doing so when you have to keep warm adds a real challenge. Also
> there is the chalenge of driving tent stakes, ground rods, and tower pilings
> into frozen ground, dealing with heavy snow, etc. In my area, the winters are
> typically quite mild compared to what they get further North, but still, it
> would be interesting to try it. Even the problem of having a shorter daylight
> period would add interest. I like the idea, myself.
> What say others?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

So folks operating in January from Florida might have an advantage
over folks operating from Idaho? Multipliers for snow depth?

1743. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 20:22:47 UTC
From: w2Xup@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3da33e62.7308015@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 53610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 08 Oct 2002 17:59:47 +0100, Walt Davidson
<walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:

>On Mon, 07 Oct 2002 23:32:21 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>If that is what you believe, Walt, you might be getting most of your
>>information from American TV. I might add that the only place on the
>>earth I've ever been served luncheon meat sliced thinly enough to read a
>>newspaper through it was in England.
>
>That is because it is good manners to serve wafer-thin luncheon meat.
>;-)
>Especially at Royal garden parties. ;-) ;-)
>
>The USA, on the other hand, is the only country I know where more food
>than anyone could possibly eat is routinely served up at every meal.
>Most of it is wasted. Some of it, however, goes to exacerbate your
>national obesity problem, which is the worst in the world. I think
>this phenomenon stems from a misguided desire to demonstrate (over and
>over) that the USA is "the land of plenty" .... or something.
>
>73 de G3NYY
>
>--
>Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

Walt,
What makes you think we can't scarf it all down? Ever been to Dayton?
Plenty of bellies there with more than enough capacity to handle a
McDonalds megameal :.)
Barry W2UP

--
Note - Remove the X from my e-mail address for direct replies

1744. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-08 21:56:56 UTC
From: Radioguy <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <anvkci$348$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53611

> So folks operating in January from Florida might have an advantage
> over folks operating from Idaho? Multipliers for snow depth?

OH YEA? How about multipliers for Florida stations operating in the middle of the monsoon when FD is traditional scheduled---IN THE LIGHTNING CAPITAL OF THE USA!!!

We can tell stories that will stand your hair on end you can be sure.

With the increasing popularity of FD someone or someone's gonna get killed from a strike.

I'm no candy ass, I've been around... but more than once I felt I wouldn't make it back from my FD tent out on the ranch in the middle of nowhere during a typical Florida thunderstorm---I just plain got scared on more than one occasion.

R.

1745. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 00:08:04 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uq6t5f38k9dm77@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53612

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:anu08i$1pu$0@208.206.142.83...
>
>
> "K&#65533;HB" wrote:
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > A lot of ham radio's problems stem from cb users getting ham licenses
and
> > > bringing their bad habits, poor attitudes and technical ignorance to
the
> > ARS.
> > >
> >
> > This was a nice reasoned discussion until you put on your Archie Bunker
hat
> > and spewed the above bigoted tripe.
> >
>
> ....even if it is fact.
>
> (And no one is saying that all CBers fall in that category. Not all
> Arabs are terrorists, either).
>

GASP **DOUBLE GASP** Dick's finally sounding reasonable?!?! Well, before
I get too excited, I'll just expect the expected...

Kim W5TIT

1746. twistworld.jpg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 00:56:37 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <aa317b767a73af05e66891e07db6193e@xganon.com>
Source record: 53613

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/twistworld.jpg

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

1747. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 01:50:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210081750.303a56bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53614

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message news:<uq1b7q6n2tl1e5@corp.supernews.com>...
> Just came back from the ham testing and I did it!
> I passed the Morse Code test, now I can beep!

Pizza cake once ya bought into it and done it huh? Feels good right?

>
> Yee Haw!!!

Right on! And welcome aboard.

w3rv

1748. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 02:09:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021008220957.11404.00001441@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 53615

In article <b7d1465c.0210071211.43a16950@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:<Xns92A01503BEB5elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>...
>> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
>> news:20021006220925.12729.00001093@mb-cs.aol.com:
>>
>> > In article <Xns929EEEE72AB75elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
>> > <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>> >
>> >>I learnt to drive a car so I could drive a car, but I learnt CW so I
>> >>could use HF phone, that's like learning to drive a car so I could row
>> >>a boat!
>> >
>> > Not at all. It's like being required to learn to drive a stick-shift
>> > car, even though you have no intention of ever buying a stick shift
>> > car.
>>
>> If you think phone is like driving an automatic, then obviously you have
>> never been in a pile-up on phone - or maybe you have and that's why you
>> use CW!
>
>It's your call, Alun...but I think it's safe to say that Jim's seen,
>been in, and broken many a pile-up on phone...looooooong before 1980.

Well, I don't know how much time is required for "looooooong", but I have done a bit of 'phone over the years. 35 years a ham, this coming Saturday. Something quite appropriate about the fact that my first license was effective on Columbus Day, and arrived on October 14, 1967 - 20 years to the day after Chuck Yeager broke the sound barrier.

One of the most challenging tricks was working SSB stations on 6 meters using an AM-only rig (Lafayette HA-460). If the sidebander talked slowly and clearly, the walrus-like sounds could be understood well enough to get the FD exchange.

The real trick to busting pileups is being where the pileup isn't. Like the time I worked W1BCG....

>I think he merits a tad more respect than the statement above
>conveys...even if solely based on experience.

Thanks, Bert.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1749. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 02:32:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3oot36.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 09 Oct 2002 00:09:13 +0100, Walt Davidson wrote:

>ROTFL! Tell me about it! :-))) Mind you, they have started
>selling Premier Steakburger meals in McDonalds over here now I
>tried one yesterday. Not bad!

Since when does McD use steak in any of their products? Sawmill
tailings, now that's a different story.....

"Get some natural fiber in your diet...."

===> Stand Clear of the Closing Doors <===

Phil Kane -- Beaverton, Oregon
PNW Milepost 754 -- Tillamook District

1750. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 09:00:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021009050023.20784.00000300@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53617

>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
>From: Walt Davidson walt99@tiscali.co.uk
>Date: 10/8/02 11:59 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <0f36quo6akd0afu92d7ijdq15osh4ojm7s@4ax.com>

>Some of it, however, goes to exacerbate your
>national obesity problem, which is the worst in the world.

Hey, Walt...Don't knock it! As long as people here insist on eating dumb,
I have a job! Peripheral vascular disease, diabetes, COPD, etc all go with the
territory, and keep me solidly employed! =)

>I think
>this phenomenon stems from a misguided desire to demonstrate (over and
>over) that the USA is "the land of plenty" .... or something.

Well, WE might as well eat it. The last time we tried to make sure people
in a foreign land were saved from starvation, they repaid us by killing the
people who brought it to them. That pretty well thinned out MY last liberal
fiber!

Paratus et Vigilans

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

1751. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 11:47:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021009074748.02651.00000790@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 53618

In article <uq1b7q6n2tl1e5@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
writes:

>Just came back from the ham testing and I did it!

Congrats!

>I passed the Morse Code test, now I can beep!

Yeah, verily! Enjoy it!
>
>Yee Haw!!!

I know a bit of how you feel. I still remember the day my first license came -
October 14.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1752. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 11:52:36 UTC
From: w2Xup@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3da41834.3447093@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 53619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 09 Oct 2002 00:09:13 +0100, Walt Davidson
<walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:

>On Tue, 08 Oct 2002 20:22:47 GMT, w2Xup@mindspring.com (Barry) wrote:
>
>>Walt,
>>What makes you think we can't scarf it all down? Ever been to Dayton?
>>Plenty of bellies there with more than enough capacity to handle a
>>McDonalds megameal :.)
>
>ROTFL! Tell me about it! :-))) Mind you, they have started
>selling Premier Steakburger meals in McDonalds over here now I
>tried one yesterday. Not bad!
>
>As you may have noticed from qrz.com, I am not exactly "Mr Slim"
>myself!
>;-)
>
>73 de G3NYY
>
>--
>Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

Never looked at your QRZ page before, Walt. Hard to imagine anyone
becoming overwieght on English food :.)
BTW, how does that fax number reach you? Some kind of corporate
auto-forwarding number?
Barry
--
Note - Remove the X from my e-mail address for direct replies

1753. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 14:47:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0210090647.5bcc70a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53620

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021009050023.20784.00000300@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
> >From: Walt Davidson walt99@tiscali.co.uk
> >Date: 10/8/02 11:59 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <0f36quo6akd0afu92d7ijdq15osh4ojm7s@4ax.com>
>
> >Some of it, however, goes to exacerbate your
> >national obesity problem, which is the worst in the world.

Combination of making fattening foods plentiful/cheap and sedentary
lifestyles is a recipe (pun intended) for it.

> Hey, Walt...Don't knock it! As long as people here insist on eating dumb,
> I have a job! Peripheral vascular disease, diabetes, COPD, etc all go with > > the
territory, and keep me solidly employed! =)

Absolutely.

There was a study done in one of the Easter European countries
(Czechoslovakia?) that showed cigarette smoking to have a positive
effect on the national budget (!). The data claimed to show that
smoking reduced the average lifespan of Czechs in such a way that much
less needed to be spent on retirement pensions and long-term senior
health care. IOW, smokers tended to die quickly soon after reaching
retirement age.
>
> >I think
> >this phenomenon stems from a misguided desire to demonstrate (over and
> >over) that the USA is "the land of plenty" .... or something.

Quantity over quality.
>
> Well, WE might as well eat it. The last time we tried to make sure people
> in a foreign land were saved from starvation, they repaid us by killing the
> people who brought it to them.

I'm sure there are places where we've helped starving populations
without being shot at. But if they don't want us there, I say leave
'em alone.

>That pretty well thinned out MY last liberal
> fiber!

Lack of fiber is another American food/health problem...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1754. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 18:05:57 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3pyo8d.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53621

On 9 Oct 2002 07:47:25 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>Combination of making fattening foods plentiful/cheap and sedentary
>lifestyles is a recipe (pun intended) for it.
>
>> Hey, Walt...Don't knock it! As long as people here insist on eating dumb,
>> I have a job! Peripheral vascular disease, diabetes, COPD, etc all go with > > the
territory, and keep me solidly employed! =)
>
>Absolutely.

There was a study made about 40 years ago in Israel to try to
explain why the immigrants from Yemen had far less heart problems
than inmigrants from more debeloped countries. They tried to pin it
on the diet - far less meat, negligible fat, more vegetables, etc.

They missed the point entirely that the major difference was that the
Yemenite culture was pretty laid-back and what we call "Type A"
personalities were virtually unknmown.

So much for "medical science".

PS - my secretary - a very beautiful woman inside and outside - was
from the Yemenite community and rapidly developed all the "Type A"
characteristics of her Western contemporaries. So much for
assimilation.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1755. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 19:00:01 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DA47CB2.A6BD955B@psu.edu>
Source record: 53622

Radioguy wrote:

> We can tell stories that will stand your hair on end you can be sure.

That is quite literal, I'll bet!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1756. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 19:04:37 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DA47DC5.9DA65FF@psu.edu>
Source record: 53623

Brian Kelly wrote:

> Great! Wind the coils on varnished toilet paper tubes, they're much
> more plentiful than oatmeal boxes and the kids won't hafta eat piles
> of oatmeal to get themselves a coil form. Unless that's part of the
> "design intent". 1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals
> with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today.

I got some Galena at the local mall of all places. There was a "Earthly Treasures" store, which has fossils, minerals and similar collectables. I picked up a beautiful galena crystal for a dollar or so,

- Mike KB3EIA -

1757. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 20:40:31 UTC
From: KC5CQW <kc5cqw@excite.com>
Message-ID: <uq9520945dkqce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53624

I'm all for it. Down here in texas, regular FD is TOO DAMN HOT!!!!!!
"Radioguy" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:anpvafnk1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Folks... honestly... how many of you would like another Field Day event
but
> this one scheduled for the Winter?
>
> I enjoy traditional FD but I would also like to develop preparedness
skills
> at a different time of the year.
>
> Sincerely,
> R.
>
>

1758. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 20:42:25 UTC
From: KC5CQW <kc5cqw@excite.com>
Message-ID: <uq955iknssom00@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53625

I'll sell you my radio. Congrats!
"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uq1b7q6n2tl1e5@corp.supernews.com...
> Just came back from the ham testing and I did it!
> I passed the Morse Code test, now I can beep!
>
> Yee Haw!!!
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>
>

1759. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-09 23:32:59 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uq9f5c7017lae2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53626

"KC5CQW" <kc5cqw@excite.com> wrote in message
news:uq955iknssom00@corp.supernews.com...
> I'll sell you my radio. Congrats!

I just bought a nice used IC-746 from HRO.
And borrowed the study book for the
General upgrade, from a friend . :)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1760. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 00:20:41 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210091620.21b5bcce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53627

"Radioguy" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<anvkci$348$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > So folks operating in January from Florida might have an advantage
> > over folks operating from Idaho? Multipliers for snow depth?
>
> OH YEA? How about multipliers for Florida stations operating in the middle
> of the monsoon when FD is traditional scheduled---IN THE LIGHTNING CAPITAL
> OF THE USA!!!
>
> We can tell stories that will stand your hair on end you can be sure.
>
> With the increasing popularity of FD someone or someone's gonna get killed
> from a strike.
>
> I'm no candy ass, I've been around... but more than once I felt I wouldn't
> make it back from my FD tent out on the ranch in the middle of nowhere
> during a typical Florida thunderstorm---I just plain got scared on more than
> one occasion.
>
> R.

R, you've got a point there. If your tropopause weren't so high, the
thunderstorms wouldn't get so damned big. We'll grant you a 1,000
points for each lightning dead transceiver.

Then you can go to the beach and enjoy tourist watching.

Brian

1761. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 00:28:50 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210091628.20dfacf6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53628

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210072143.60c90d62@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0210061500.265f9542@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210060547.7d84fe13@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > > Roll forward to a few months ago when I bought an FT-1500M 2m FM
> > > mobile rig. 50 watts of clean RF out of a package the size of a couple
> > > packs of smokes, some ungodly number of memories, vfo mode or does
> > > programmable splits, scans, PL tones, 9600bps data handling, is easily
> > > programmed with any Winbox or it's own panel buttons, etc,. All for a
> > > lousy $129.95 over the counter retail. THAT's what happened to ham
> > > homebrewing. And in all but some rare instances good riddance.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > Not exactly. Homebrewing still serves to peak the interest of young
> > people.
> >
> > Our Cubscout Bear Dens will be building Oatmeal Box crystal radios
> > this winter. We're going to come up with the wire from all of the box
> > fans that died this summer. I think the only thing we'll have to buy
> > is a package of 1n34s and "crystal" earplugs.
>
> Great! Wind the coils on varnished toilet paper tubes, they're much
> more plentiful than oatmeal boxes and the kids won't hafta eat piles
> of oatmeal to get themselves a coil form. Unless that's part of the
> "design intent".

An unintended consequence.

1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals
> with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today.

Sounds like a road trip to Galena will be required. Or maybe they can
use double edged razor blades like the tea pot radio on Hogan's
Heroes.

I once built a
> crystal set into a WW2 surplus headset.

Oh oh. Has this WW2 story been approved by Len?

The antenna was a
> straightened-out coat hanger "vertical" attached to the headset, my

> 1940s predecessor to the Walkman. Yes it worked. Neighbors saw me
> wearing the thing, called my Dad and asked "what the hell is THAT kid
> of yours up to THIS time?" Did the xtal set not too long after I blew
> the windows out of Mrs. Chandler's chicken coop with my 4" calcium
> carbide cannon and her chickens din crank out any eggs for a week. I
> had a bit of a . . ummmm . . "reputation" amongst the villagers so I
> reckon my Walkman musta "raised some concerns" . .
>
> Nice xtal set website:
>
> http://www.midnightscience.com/

Nice.

> Back when ya had to actually build an operating tube-type rcvr AND
> know the code at least on paper to get the Radio Merit Badge. Which
> experience was a real boost to getting me into ham radio. I notice
> that today a Tenderfoot can get a Radio Merit Badge by just sitting on
> his butt and TALKING about "radio" and forget the code. Disgusting to
> the core.
>
> w3rv

They'll be logging stations in the AM broadcast band as well as on
shortwave. Study radio and prop theory. I think code is still
required. I mean its just for a cloth patch after all. No operating
priveleges.

Brian

1762. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 01:01:10 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <ao2jha0m04@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
And how about 28 cent gas, 3 cent stamps, and $1.15 an hour minimum wage.

Get yourself a life -- be thankful you have three hots and a cot, leather
shoes, and a warm, dry place to take a shit -- quit bitching about
nothing.

--

------
Regards,
Joe S.
```

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:rkIn9.10968$lV3.1041030@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Join the ARRL Diamond Club.
>
> Details at
> https://www.arrl.org/forms/development/donations/diamondclub/benefits.html
>
> Partial excerpt follows
>
> &#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
>
> ----------EXCERPTED FROM URL SHOWN ABOVE----------
>
> Diamond Club -- Director Level ($5,000 - $9,999 donation annually)
> Available to Life Members Only
>
> Benefits:
> Diamond Club -- Director pin
> Personalized and framed Diamond Club -Director Certificate
> Diamond Club car decal (2)
> 10% publication discount on purchases direct from ARRL
> Overnight Delivery of QST
> Unlimited free use of DXCC, QSL Service and Awards
> Invitation to ARRL Annual Donor Recognition Events
> Voluntary annual listing in ARRL Annual Report and on ARRL website

> Bound sets of ARRL periodicals -- QST, QEX and NCJ
>
>
>
>
>
>

1763. Re: shut up JJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 01:09:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uq9ks44sml409c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53629

When a couple of guys can do moonbounce with 85 watts I tend to believe that IF you know what you are doing, you don't need a ton of power to work dx. That, however, is a big IF. I'd hate to count the many times I ragchewed with Norm, VK2NP, in Australia for hours on end - running 100 watts. Solid copy both ways many many times.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message news:a304e2afa271e7401d5ab268dbfcf611@xganon.com...
> NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> ----------
>
> what do you know about real dxing???you can only run 1500 watts and we have no limits. why dont you try
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

1764. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 01:28:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021009212833.27149.00004159@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53631

In article <Xns92A0E4734A6CAelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>
>I don't really have anything against CW ops, but I will never really
>understand why some of them treat it as some sort of religeous article of
>faith. I recently bought an FT-817 and I am really having a blast using 5
>watts of phone, even working DX with it (mobile!). Doubtless someone will
>post that I would be having even more fun on CW, but the fact is I
>wouldn't.
>
>73 de N3KIP

Alun:

Is that *really* a fact? Have you ever tried CW? If you didn't, you really
don't know how much fun you'd be having with it, do you? The simple
truth is that most who have (by licensing requirement) learned CW and
began using it on-the-air have come to the realization that it is, indeed,
a lot of fun! I really think you ought to give it a chance! Unless you do,
you're consigning yourself to a mode which I found to be not much
"fun" at all!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1765. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 01:36:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021009213659.02651.00001024@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 53632

In article <ort8qucnum0vmmpg54ahs180fe8rieq2d3@4ax.com>, Walt Davidson
<walt99@tiscali.co.uk> writes:

>I think the English
>bitter beer has more to do with it than the food!

The only decent bitter we Yanks get is the Guinness. And too few on this side
of the pond appreciate the stuff.

"Drink your beer before it gets cold"

73 de Jim, N2EY

1766. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 02:40:08 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92A2E699C9E7Eelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53633

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in
news:20021009212833.27149.00004159@mb-cg.aol.com:

> In article <Xns92A0E4734A6CAelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>
>>I don't really have anything against CW ops, but I will never really
>>understand why some of them treat it as some sort of religeous article
>>of faith. I recently bought an FT-817 and I am really having a blast
>>using 5 watts of phone, even working DX with it (mobile!). Doubtless
>>someone will post that I would be having even more fun on CW, but the
>>fact is I wouldn't.
>>
>>73 de N3KIP
>
> Alun:
>
> Is that *really* a fact? Have you ever tried CW? If you didn't, you
> really don't know how much fun you'd be having with it, do you? The
> simple truth is that most who have (by licensing requirement) learned
> CW and began using it on-the-air have come to the realization that it
> is, indeed, a lot of fun! I really think you ought to give it a
> chance! Unless you do, you're consigning yourself to a mode which I
> found to be not much "fun" at all!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Interesting reply. No, I've never operated CW, so I can't say for sure,
but I had such a hard time learning it that it really turned me off it.
And I might add I lost so much phone operating time that I haven't made it
up yet.

The really interesting thing is that you admit you don't enjoy operating
phone. I have no problem with that. You don't like phone and I do, and
vicea versa, you like CW and I don't. Live and let live. Learning to speak
is a pretty basic skill for functioning in soceity (although doubtless
someone will post that they get by fine in sign language!), but learning
CW is only any real use in a very limited range of situations. Outside of
the ham bands, I don't rate very highly your chances of being understood
in Morse code in the 21st century.

So, this leads to the age old question - why did I have to learn CW to use
HF phone? None of the answers I've heard over the years are in any way

convincing, although some of them may have been way back in 1927, but have lost all significance in the intervening years.

1767. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 02:51:03 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DA4EB17.357396B2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53634

KB9TMP wrote:

> "KC5CQW" <kc5cqw@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:uq955iknssom00@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'll sell you my radio. Congrats!
>
> I just bought a nice used IC-746 from HRO.
> And borrowed the study book for the
> General upgrade, from a friend . :)
>

When you take the general written, try the extra. No additional
cost, maybe you will pass...

1768. Interesting Thoughts..... Good Amateur Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 05:35:05 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ao3mg151qk4@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53637

(I maintain a weekly newsletter in my local area, and someone forwarded this to me, to include in it. Thought it may be of interest here.)

Ryan KC8PMX

"Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to say it's operating with the realization that frequencies are shared, that there's going to be occasional interference and that's no reason to become hateful and paranoid. You have to realize that more people listen than ever before, especially since the events on 11 September, and our rights end where another person's begins."

"It means giving a little ground even if you have a right not to, in order to help preserve Amateur Radio and not cause it to get a bad name or hasten the day when it becomes obsolete."

"It means not using that one Kenwood rig on 6kHz bandwidth when there are lots of people on the band."

"It means not acting like an idiot just because you were stepped on. It means being aware that we all love Amateur Radio, and why damage it just to save face?"

"It means cutting a net or a contester a break even if you don't have to and even if you have no interest whatsoever in nets or contesting."

"It means being nice, because one thing we don't need more of are idiots in the radio world. Now this doesn't touch on a lot of technical issues such as using 1,500 watts when your signal report received is 20 over 9."

"It just means a lot of things that can't be qualified, such as respecting a band plan because it makes it possible for every mode to have a chance."

"It means operating so that if a neighbor, niece or nephew or news reporter hears you, that person is impressed with Amateur Radio."

"It means realizing that every right carries responsibilities, and just because you may have a right to do certain things doesn't mean it's right to do them in every circumstance."

"It means just because you haven't done a creative thing in Amateur Radio in 25 years, and have been on the same frequency every morning for 135 years shooting the breeze with Harry, who's 200 miles away, doesn't mean you own the frequency."

"It also means NOT operating so whoever hears you becomes sorry as hell they ever got into Amateur Radio in the first place."

Riley Hollingsworth

1769. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 05:44:11 UTC
From: John Mullan <jmullan@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3DA51399.7060204@pacbell.net>
Source record: 53635

Brian wrote:
> "Radioguy" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<anvkci$348$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>>>So folks operating in January from Florida might have an advantage
>>>over folks operating from Idaho? Multipliers for snow depth?
>>
>>OH YEA? How about multipliers for Florida stations operating in the middle
>>of the monsoon when FD is traditional scheduled---IN THE LIGHTNING CAPITAL
>>OF THE USA!!!
>>
>>We can tell stories that will stand your hair on end you can be sure.
>>
>>With the increasing popularity of FD someone or someone's gonna get killed
>>from a strike.
>>
>>I'm no candy ass, I've been around... but more than once I felt I wouldn't
>>make it back from my FD tent out on the ranch in the middle of nowhere
>>during a typical Florida thunderstorm---I just plain got scared on more than
>>one occasion.
>>
>>R.
>
>
> R, you've got a point there. If your tropopause weren't so high, the
> thunderstorms wouldn't get so damned big. We'll grant you a 1,000
> points for each lightning dead transceiver.
>
> Then you can go to the beach and enjoy tourist watching.
>
> Brian

Well, a winter Field Day here in Northern California would be just like
regularly scheduled field day in some other parts of the US. When I was
in the Northeast, Field Day was always the last rainy weekend in June.
A winter Field Day here would be rainy. As for lightning, wouldn't see
much of it here, but I've been chased off a Field Day site in PA by a
thunderstorm. When the trees on the back end of the lot were taking
strikes, I decided it was time to put the radios away, didn't want to
incinerate my rigs.

KD2L

1770. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 10:10:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021010061005.28700.00001489@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53636

In article <3DA4EB17.357396B2@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>KB9TMP wrote:
>
>> "KC5CQW" <kc5cqw@excite.com> wrote in message
>> news:uq955iknssom00@corp.supernews.com...
>> > I'll sell you my radio. Congrats!
>>
>> I just bought a nice used IC-746 from HRO.
>> And borrowed the study book for the
>> General upgrade, from a friend . :)
>>
>
>When you take the general written, try the extra. No additional
>cost, maybe you will pass...
>
Excellent advice. I second the motion.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1771. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 11:22:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021010072232.08132.00000524@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53638

>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/9/02 8:36 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021009213659.02651.00001024@mb-cc.aol.com>

>"Drink your beer before it gets cold"

EEEEEEEWWWWWWWWWWW ! ! ! ! ! !

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ
A Cold Beer Drinker Forever!

1772. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 12:09:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021010080936.03046.00001182@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53639

In article <20021010072232.08132.00000524@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 10 Oct 2002 11:22:32 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 10/9/02 8:36 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20021009213659.02651.00001024@mb-cc.aol.com>
>
>>"Drink your beer before it gets cold"
>
> EEEEEEEWWWWWWWWWW ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> =)
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>A Cold Beer Drinker Forever!
>
Bitter is meant to be downed at room temperature. Chilling it hides the more subtle flavors. Lager is a whole 'nother thing.

Favorites (in no particular order)

Guiness Stout
Genessee Cream Ale
Sam Adams
Black and Tan

73 de Jim, N2EY

1773. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 12:23:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210100423.3f2764f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53640

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0210091628.20dfacf6@posting.google.com>...

> 1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals
> > with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today.
>
> Sounds like a road trip to Galena will be required. Or maybe they can
> use double edged razor blades like the tea pot radio on Hogan's
> Heroes.

Right. There was more to that show than the obvious. Galena is
available by mail order from a lotta sources in the mineral hobbyists
sphere. The trick is finding & potting a "hot" nugget of the stuff.
Building a decent cat whisker is not a very tough task but ya gotta
actually get off yer dead arse DO it. Finding a hot spot with a cat
whisker was most of the whole ballgame, the real challenge. The advent
of the 1N34 took that challenge away just like not having to pass a
real code test to get a ham license has muted the big kick in getting
a ham license.

> I once built a
> > crystal set into a WW2 surplus headset.
>
> Oh oh. Has this WW2 story been approved by Len?

Go ahead, ask me if I give a . . . .

>
> > Back when ya had to actually build an operating tube-type rcvr AND
> > know the code at least on paper to get the Radio Merit Badge. Which
> > experience was a real boost to getting me into ham radio. I notice
> > that today a Tenderfoot can get a Radio Merit Badge by just sitting on
> > his butt and TALKING about "radio" and forget the code. Disgusting to
> > the core.

>
> They'll be logging stations in the AM broadcast band as well as on
> shortwave. Study radio and prop theory. I think code is still
> required.

It's not.

> I mean its just for a cloth patch after all. No operating
> priveleges.

Godammit that attitude & set of values is exactly what has been
feeding the thrust to dumb down the requirements for anything and

everything which takes a bit of work to earn. You couldn't have tossed
up a better example of the syndrome.

>
> Brian

w3rv

1774. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 13:48:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ao40fqifi0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 53641

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20021010072232.08132.00000524@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 10 Oct 2002 11:22:32 GMT
> >
> >>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
> >>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >>Date: 10/9/02 8:36 PM Central Daylight Time
> >>Message-id: <20021009213659.02651.00001024@mb-cc.aol.com>
> >
> >>"Drink your beer before it gets cold"
> >
> > EEEEEEEWWWWWWWWWW ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > =)
> >
> >73
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
> >A Cold Beer Drinker Forever!
> >
> Bitter is meant to be downed at room temperature. Chilling it hides the more
> subtle flavors. Lager is a whole 'nother thing.
>
> Favorites (in no particular order)
>
> Guiness Stout
> Genessee Cream Ale
> Sam Adams
> Black and Tan
>

Alaska Amber trumps them all. Smoooooth. I doubt you'll find it on the
east coast.
Ask for it when you're in the Seattle area.

Dick

1775. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 13:56:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ao40ud$k74$0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 53642

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > I mean its just for a cloth patch after all. No operating
> > priveleges.
>
> Godammit that attitude & set of values is exactly what has been
> feeding the thrust to dumb down the requirements for anything and
> everything which takes a bit of work to earn. You couldn't have tossed
> up a better example of the syndrome.
>

Brian, it's gone and it ain't coming back, except for those folks who never have the need for a kick in the butt to jumpstart them. Luckily there'll always be some of them
to carry the rest. The 'rest' who lean on their 'rights' for everything. They seem
be unaware that life has the right to dump them on their butts at any time.

Dick

1776. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 16:03:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021010120333.07768.00000357@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53643

>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/10/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021010080936.03046.00001182@mb-fy.aol.com>

>
>Favorites (in no particular order)
>
>Guiness Stout
>Genessee Cream Ale
>Sam Adams
>Black and Tan

My Favorites, in no particular order...

Ann Margaret
Ann Margaret
Ann Margaret
Raquel Welch

73 de Steve, K4YZ

1777. Re: Interesting Thoughts..... Good Amateur Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 17:45:31 UTC
From: KC5CQW <kc5cqw@excite.com>
Message-ID: <uqbf5s1i5m9tca@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53644

BRAVO!!! When people can stop acting like idiots, I might actually turn my radio on. Or for that matter, not sell it!
"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message news:ao3mg151qk4@enews3.newsguy.com...
> (I maintain a weekly newsletter in my local area, and someone forwarded this
> to me, to include in it. Thought it may be of interest here.)
>
> Ryan KC8PMX
>
>
>
> "Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to say it's
> operating with the realization that frequencies are shared, that there's
> going to be occasional interference and that's no reason to become hateful
> and paranoid. You have to realize that more people listen than ever before,
> especially since the events on 11 September, and our rights end where
> another person's begins."
>
> "It means giving a little ground even if you have a right not to, in order
> to help preserve Amateur Radio and not cause it to get a bad name or hasten
> the day when it becomes obsolete."
>
> "It means not using that one Kenwood rig on 6kHz bandwidth when there are
> lots of people on the band."
>
> "It means not acting like an idiot just because you were stepped on. It
> means being aware that we all love Amateur Radio, and why damage it just to
> save face?"
>
> "It means cutting a net or a contester a break even if you don't have to and
> even if you have no interest whatsoever in nets or contesting."
>
> "It means being nice, because one thing we don't need more of are idiots in
> the radio world. Now this doesn't touch on a lot of technical issues such
> as using 1,500 watts when your signal report received is 20 over 9."
>
> "It just means a lot of things that can't be qualified, such as respecting a

> band plan because it makes it possible for every mode to have a chance."
>
> "It means operating so that if a neighbor, niece or nephew or news
reporter
> hears you, that person is impressed with Amateur Radio."
>
> "It means realizing that every right carries responsibilities, and just
> because you may have a right to do certain things doesn't mean it's right
to
> do them in every circumstance."
>
> "It means just because you haven't done a creative thing in Amateur Radio
in
> 25 years, and have been on the same frequency every morning for 135 years
> shooting the breeze with Harry, who's 200 miles away, doesn't mean you own
> the frequency."
>
> "It also means NOT operating so whoever hears you becomes sorry as hell
they
> ever got into Amateur Radio in the first place."
>
> Riley Hollingsworth
>
>
>

1778. Re: shut up JJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 17:52:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <r5jp9.5774$CE4.351877@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 53645

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uq9ks44sml409c@corp.supernews.com...
> When a couple of guys can do moonbounce with 85 watts I tend to believe
that
> IF you know what you are doing, you don't need a ton of power to work dx.
> That, however, is a big IF. I'd hate to count the many times I ragchewed
> with Norm, VK2NP, in Australia for hours on end - running 100 watts.
Solid
> copy both ways many many times.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Jim,

To those whose knowledge is limited (some CBers and hams as well), big power
is the do all, be all of radio. For them, it vicariously makes up for their
lack of self esteem and knowing how to do
DX without "them thar 'leenyars' thar, gr'et day 'live thar, shore thang
thar, mercy me thar, I know
whutcha mean thar, shore do thar, git back SQUEAK!" <<snicker>>

Jerry
K4KWH

>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:a304e2afa271e7401d5ab268dbfcf611@xganon.com...
> > NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> > No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> > --
> >
> > what do you know about real dxing???you can only run 1500 watts and we
> have no limits. why dont you try
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>

>

1779. what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 18:11:34 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <scgbquol0n323sir8v6s0t8rpei1eouhd5@4ax.com>
Source record: 53646

I have never, ever heard so much BULLSHIT, than what I've read today
from Hitler....errr...uhmmmm... I mean Riley....

Check this BULLSHIT out!

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/10/09/1/?nc=1

I mean, fucking Riley, says let's clean up the hobby, but this asshole
bastard won't raise a fucking finger to clean up 75 meters! Anyone who
ever listen to 3.865 in the evenings knows what I'm talking about (on
the east coast, that is...) practice what you preach! otherwise, shut
the fuck up....

signed,

A Amateur who's sick of the bullshit from the FCC's wanna be bulldog.

1780. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 19:25:39 UTC
From: Gary McAdams <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Tukp9.15848$ue4.906942@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53647

lil' ol' me. <themole@themole.com>

<Snip useless profanity and drivel>

>
> signed,
>
> A Amateur who's sick of the bullshit from the FCC's wanna be bulldog.
>
>

My aren't you articulate...

A shining example of what Amateur radio is turning to now.
Thanks for your input.

BTW do YOU talk on 75 meters the same way you spout
here on usenet?

If so, you are definitely a big part of the problem.
If not, then why act like an idiot here?

73
Gary

1781. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 22:48:43 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <ftnp9.16235$ue4.941533@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53648

From:

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/10/09/1/?nc=1

> According to Hollingsworth, good amateur practice means:

(some deleted)

> not transmitting a 6-kHz bandwidth signal when there
> are lots of people on the band

Hmmmm. Does this mean no AM on 75 meters in the evening
hours when it's "open" and the sidebanders are wailing?

For some guys I know, he'll have to pry their plate
modulators from their cold, dead fingers.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1782. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 23:07:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3s7uzt.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53649

On 10 Oct 2002 13:48:42 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> Alaska Amber trumps them all. Smoooooth. I doubt you'll find it on the
>east coast. Ask for it when you're in the Seattle area.

How does it compare with Rainier Ale (a.k.a. "The Green Death" in
the San Francisco area).

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1783. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 23:30:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021010193027.15315.00009186@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53650

>Subject: what a bunch of BULLSHIT
>From: lil' ol' me. themole@themole.com
>Date: 10/10/02 1:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <scgbquol0n323sir8v6s0t8rpei1eouhd5@4ax.com>

>
>signed,
>
>A Amateur who's sick of the bullshit from the FCC's wanna be bulldog.

What you SHOULD have signed it as was "Anonymous Spineless Foul-Mouthed
Punk Without a Penis".

There...THAT'S better.

1784. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 23:32:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210101532.732d8fea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53551

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ao40ud$k74$0@208.207.71.150>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > > I mean its just for a cloth patch after all. No operating
> > > priveleges.
> >
> > Godammit that attitude & set of values is exactly what has been
> > feeding the thrust to dumb down the requirements for anything and
> > everything which takes a bit of work to earn. You couldn't have tossed
> > up a better example of the syndrome.
> >
>
>
>
> Brian, it's gone and it ain't coming back, except for those folks who
> never have the need for a kick in the butt to jumpstart them. Luckily
> there'll always be some of them
> to carry the rest. The 'rest' who lean on their 'rights' for everything.
> They seem
> be unaware that life has the right to dump them on their butts at any
> time.
>
> Dick

Dick and Brian, 8 year old boys will do a lot for a cloth patch. Will
the FCC give them a cloth patch for learning the code? Will the ARRL?
If they will, I'll be glad to help the boys get there - if that's
what they want to do.

Brian

1785. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 23:42:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210101542.2a59f4e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53552

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ao40fqifi0@208.207.71.150>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <20021010072232.08132.00000524@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >
> > >Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
> > >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> > >Date: 10 Oct 2002 11:22:32 GMT
> > >
> > >>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
> > >>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> > >>Date: 10/9/02 8:36 PM Central Daylight Time
> > >>Message-id: <20021009213659.02651.00001024@mb-cc.aol.com>
>
> > >>"Drink your beer before it gets cold"
> > >
> > > EEEEEEEWWWWWWWWWW ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > =)
> > >
> > >73
> > >
> > >Steve, K4YZ
> > >A Cold Beer Drinker Forever!
> > >
> > Bitter is meant to be downed at room temperature. Chilling it hides the more
> > subtle flavors. Lager is a whole 'nother thing.
> >
> > Favorites (in no particular order)
> >
> > Guiness Stout
> > Genessee Cream Ale
> > Sam Adams
> > Black and Tan
> >
>
>
> Alaska Amber trumps them all. Smoooooth. I doubt you'll find it on the
> east coast.
> Ask for it when you're in the Seattle area.
>
> Dick

Jim, Dick, I make a fair American Pale Ale (big hop head). Been brewing since 1981. My latest brew was an all grain mash - my first. Everything else has been extracts with some grain for body and color, but as soon as I get a few more dollars I'll be mashing grain forever.

From the store, I like Bass Ale and Murphy's Ale.

There are some on-line stores that sell about everything - probably even Alaska Amber.

I'll look for it.

1786. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-10 23:43:50 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210101543.7d022f07@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53553

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021010120333.07768.00000357@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 10/10/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20021010080936.03046.00001182@mb-fy.aol.com>
>
> >
> >Favorites (in no particular order)
> >
> >Guiness Stout
> >Genessee Cream Ale
> >Sam Adams
> >Black and Tan
>
> My Favorites, in no particular order...
>
> Ann Margaret
> Ann Margaret
> Ann Margaret
> Raquel Welch
>
> 73 de Steve, K4YZ

I'll see your three Ann Margaret's and raise you two Barbara Edens.

1787. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 00:10:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021010201048.02777.00000511@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 53555

In article <20021010120333.07768.00000357@mb-cq.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 10 Oct 2002 16:03:33 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 10/10/02 7:09 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20021010080936.03046.00001182@mb-fy.aol.com>
>
>>
>>Favorites (in no particular order)
>>
>>Guiness Stout
>>Genessee Cream Ale
>>Sam Adams
>>Black and Tan
>
> My Favorites, in no particular order...
>
>Ann Margaret

Yellow dress at the beginning and end of "Bye Bye Birdie"...'nuff said.

>Raquel Welch

One of the funniest movies of all time - "Mother, Jugs and Speed" ("SHOW BUSINESS!!")
>
Agree all around - add to that list (in no particular order):

Heidi Klum
Carly Simon album covers
Sandra Bullock
Kim Basinger (without the politics)
Geena Davis

and at the top of the list....

Jan Smithers

But I thought we were talking about beer.

Before this degenrates into "X reasons why beer is better than..." I'll say

73 de Jim, N2EY

1788. Re: Interesting Thoughts..... Good Amateur Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 00:10:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021010201049.02777.00000512@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 53554

In article <uqbf5s1i5m9tca@corp.supernews.com>, "KC5CQW" <kc5cqw@excite.com>
writes:

>BRAVO!!!

I second that. Thanks to KC8PMX for posting it.

The sad part is that the worst offenders tend to think those rules don;t apply
to them.

>When people can stop acting like idiots, I might actually turn my
>radio on.

Hmmm....how do you know they are acting like idiots when it's off? ;-)

>Or for that matter, not sell it!

I have found that the CW/data subbands are remarkably well behaved. That's one
of the many reasons I spend so litle time on 'phone...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1789. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 00:20:55 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210101620.4ce68e99@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53556

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210100423.3f2764f3@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0210091628.20dfacf6@posting.google.com>...
>
> > 1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals
> > > with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today.
> >
> > Sounds like a road trip to Galena will be required. Or maybe they can
> > use double edged razor blades like the tea pot radio on Hogan's
> > Heroes.
>
> Right. There was more to that show than the obvious. Galena is
> available by mail order from a lotta sources in the mineral hobbyists
> sphere. The trick is finding & potting a "hot" nugget of the stuff.
> Building a decent cat whisker is not a very tough task but ya gotta
> actually get off yer dead arse DO it. Finding a hot spot with a cat
> whisker was most of the whole ballgame, the real challenge. The advent
> of the 1N34 took that challenge away just like not having to pass a
> real code test to get a ham license has muted the big kick in getting
> a ham license.
>
> > I once built a
> > > crystal set into a WW2 surplus headset.
> >
> > Oh oh. Has this WW2 story been approved by Len?
>
> Go ahead, ask me if I give a . . . .
>
> >
> > > Back when ya had to actually build an operating tube-type rcvr AND
> > > know the code at least on paper to get the Radio Merit Badge. Which
> > > experience was a real boost to getting me into ham radio. I notice
> > > that today a Tenderfoot can get a Radio Merit Badge by just sitting on
> > > his butt and TALKING about "radio" and forget the code. Disgusting to
> > > the core.
>
>
> >
> > They'll be logging stations in the AM broadcast band as well as on
> > shortwave. Study radio and prop theory. I think code is still
> > required.
>
> It's not.

>
> > I mean its just for a cloth patch after all. No operating
> > priveleges.
>
> Godammit that attitude & set of values is exactly what has been
> feeding the thrust to dumb down the requirements for anything and
> everything which takes a bit of work to earn. You couldn't have tossed
> up a better example of the syndrome.
>
> >
> > Brian
>
> w3rv

Brian, here's one for you: http://www.boydhouse.com/crystalradio//

1790. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 00:24:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210101624.72d42d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53557

mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DA47DC5.9DA65FF@psu.edu>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > Great! Wind the coils on varnished toilet paper tubes, they're much
> > more plentiful than oatmeal boxes and the kids won't hafta eat piles
> > of oatmeal to get themselves a coil form. Unless that's part of the
> > "design intent". 1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals
> > with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today.
>
> I got some Galena at the local mall of all places. There was a "Earthly
> Treasures" store, which has fossils, minerals and similar collectables.
> I picked up a beautiful galena crystal for a dollar or so,
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, my son has the beginnings of a nice rock/mineral/fossil collection from a "Wolf" achievement. Using one of his minerals as a detector in a no-power crystal set for a "Bear" achievement is a killer idea. We'll have to go Galena crystal shopping. Thanks to you and Kelly.

1791. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 00:25:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210101625.7162204b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53558

lil' ol' me. <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
news:<scgbquol0n323sir8v6s0t8rpei1eouhd5@4ax.com>...
> I have never, ever heard so much BULLSHIT, than what I've read today
> from Hitler....errr...uhmmmm... I mean Riley....
>
> Check this BULLSHIT out!
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/10/09/1/?nc=1
>
> I mean, fucking Riley, says let's clean up the hobby, but this asshole
> bastard won't raise a fucking finger to clean up 75 meters! Anyone who
> ever listen to 3.865 in the evenings knows what I'm talking about (on
> the east coast, that is...) practice what you preach! otherwise, shut
> the fuck up....
>
> signed,
>
> A Amateur who's sick of the bullshit from the FCC's wanna be bulldog.

Go away.

1792. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 01:02:47 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021010210247.10684.00002785@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 53559

In article <20021009074748.02651.00000790@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>I know a bit of how you feel. I still remember the day my first license came
>-
>October 14.
>

Jim:

As it happens, I'm having my right hand operated on for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome on the anniversary of your first license arriving. I like to think that years of operating CW had something to do with my developing this condition.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1793. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 01:02:48 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021010210248.10684.00002786@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 53560

In article <f45722ac.0210081038.502bd823@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> Interesting concept, one which I've had myself in the past. There's a big
>> difference in setting up a "field" operation site when the weather is at
>least
>> tolerable -- doing so when you have to keep warm adds a real challenge.
>Also
>> there is the chalenge of driving tent stakes, ground rods, and tower
>pilings
>> into frozen ground, dealing with heavy snow, etc. In my area, the winters
>are
>> typically quite mild compared to what they get further North, but still, it
>> would be interesting to try it. Even the problem of having a shorter
>daylight
>> period would add interest. I like the idea, myself.
>> What say others?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>So folks operating in January from Florida might have an advantage
>over folks operating from Idaho? Multipliers for snow depth?

Good idea, Brian. I would suggest a multiplier of the overall point score
of .5 points for each ten degrees below an average daily high temperature
of 50 deg. F. For example, you score 2,000 QSO points, and your ave.
daily high temp was 40 deg. F, you multiply your score by 1.5, giving
you an adjusted total of 3 kilopoints. An average temp of 32 deg. F. would
net you 3.8 Kpts.

You have the usual 5 business days to copyright this idea, or it's all mine.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1794. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 01:38:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ao5aa1kdf1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53561

Good point and you are right.

I have been a AMer (again) since about 1979. Still enjoy the mode. Using my boatanchors. But I have a personal policy of not operating AM at night on 75 meters. So no arguement there.

However....tune around to some of those so called 'audiophile' groups. Nuff said, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message news:ftnp9.16235$ue4.941533@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> From:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/10/09/1/?nc=1
>
> > According to Hollingsworth, good amateur practice means:
>
> (some deleted)
>
> > not transmitting a 6-kHz bandwidth signal when there
> > are lots of people on the band
>
> Hmmmm. Does this mean no AM on 75 meters in the evening
> hours when it's "open" and the sidebanders are wailing?
>
> For some guys I know, he'll have to pry their plate
> modulators from their cold, dead fingers.
>
>
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.399 / Virus Database: 226 - Release Date: 09-Oct-02

1795. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 01:41:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ao5a85$v14$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53562

The last time I did 'fieldday' in the winter is my last day doing 'fieldday'
in the winter.

It was in Germay, January/Feburary 1970s. We had our tent stakes, our
stoves, our commo gear and antenna's.

I knew I was in trouble when the plate switch broke off when I tried to turn
the mess on.

No thanks.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021010210248.10684.00002786@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <f45722ac.0210081038.502bd823@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> Interesting concept, one which I've had myself in the past. There's a
big
> >> difference in setting up a "field" operation site when the weather is
at
> >least
> >> tolerable -- doing so when you have to keep warm adds a real challenge.
> >Also
> >> there is the chalenge of driving tent stakes, ground rods, and tower
> >pilings
> >> into frozen ground, dealing with heavy snow, etc. In my area, the
winters
> >are
> >> typically quite mild compared to what they get further North, but
still, it
> >> would be interesting to try it. Even the problem of having a shorter
> >daylight
> >> period would add interest. I like the idea, myself.
> >> What say others?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >So folks operating in January from Florida might have an advantage
> >over folks operating from Idaho? Multipliers for snow depth?
>
> Good idea, Brian. I would suggest a multiplier of the overall point score
> of .5 points for each ten degrees below an average daily high temperature
> of 50 deg. F. For example, you score 2,000 QSO points, and your ave.
> daily high temp was 40 deg. F, you multiply your score by 1.5, giving

> you an adjusted total of 3 kilopoints. An average temp of 32 deg. F.
would
> net you 3.8 Kpts.
>
> You have the usual 5 business days to copyright this idea, or it's all
mine.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.399 / Virus Database: 226 - Release Date: 09-Oct-02

1796. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 02:12:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA63387.5B908929@mailcity.net>
Source record: 53563

"lil' ol' me." wrote:
>
> I have never, ever heard so much BULLSHIT, than what I've read today
> from Hitler....errr...uhmmmm... I mean Riley....
>
> Check this BULLSHIT out!
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/10/09/1/?nc=1
>
> I mean, fucking Riley, says let's clean up the hobby, but this asshole
> bastard won't raise a fucking finger to clean up 75 meters! Anyone who
> ever listen to 3.865 in the evenings knows what I'm talking about (on
> the east coast, that is...) practice what you preach! otherwise, shut
> the fuck up....
>
> signed,
>
> A Amateur who's sick of the bullshit from the FCC's wanna be bulldog.

Go on back to the cb group where your other foul mouthed cb
buddies hang out chuckie. That is were you fit in best.

1797. Re: Interesting Thoughts..... Good Amateur Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 04:46:49 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ao81u11uc5@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53591

I was just posting it because some people may consider it interesting.....
kinda like re-forwarding I guess.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"KC5CQW" <kc5cqw@excite.com> wrote in message
news:uqbf5s1i5m9tca@corp.supernews.com...
> BRAVO!!! When people can stop acting like idiots, I might actually turn my
> radio on. Or for that matter, not sell it!
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:ao3mg151qk4@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > (I maintain a weekly newsletter in my local area, and someone forwarded
> this
> > to me, to include in it. Thought it may be of interest here.)
> >
> > Ryan KC8PMX
> >
> >
> >
> > "Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to say it's
> > operating with the realization that frequencies are shared, that there's
> > going to be occasional interference and that's no reason to become
hateful
> > and paranoid. You have to realize that more people listen than ever
> before,
> > especially since the events on 11 September, and our rights end where
> > another person's begins."
> >
> > "It means giving a little ground even if you have a right not to, in
order
> > to help preserve Amateur Radio and not cause it to get a bad name or
> hasten
> > the day when it becomes obsolete."
> >
> > "It means not using that one Kenwood rig on 6kHz bandwidth when there
are
> > lots of people on the band."
> >
> > "It means not acting like an idiot just because you were stepped on. It
> > means being aware that we all love Amateur Radio, and why damage it just
> to
> > save face?"

> >
> > "It means cutting a net or a contester a break even if you don't have to
> and
> > even if you have no interest whatsoever in nets or contesting."
> >
> > "It means being nice, because one thing we don't need more of are idiots
> in
> > the radio world. Now this doesn't touch on a lot of technical issues
such
> > as using 1,500 watts when your signal report received is 20 over 9."
> >
> > "It just means a lot of things that can't be qualified, such as
respecting
> a
> > band plan because it makes it possible for every mode to have a chance."
> >
> > "It means operating so that if a neighbor, niece or nephew or news
> reporter
> > hears you, that person is impressed with Amateur Radio."
> >
> > "It means realizing that every right carries responsibilities, and just
> > because you may have a right to do certain things doesn't mean it's
right
> to
> > do them in every circumstance."
> >
> > "It means just because you haven't done a creative thing in Amateur
Radio
> in
> > 25 years, and have been on the same frequency every morning for 135
years
> > shooting the breeze with Harry, who's 200 miles away, doesn't mean you
own
> > the frequency."
> >
> > "It also means NOT operating so whoever hears you becomes sorry as hell
> they
> > ever got into Amateur Radio in the first place."
> >
> > Riley Hollingsworth
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1798. make money quick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 05:46:10 UTC
From: sigmabv@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011014610.22832.00001095@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 53564

Subject: MAKE FAST EASY MONEY$$$$$$$$4
Date: 2002-10-03 10:11:09
From: SMOKEYMOM3

$$MAKE YOURSELF SOME MONEY$$, NO SCAM! GUARANTEED MONEY! NO JOKE!$$
Dear Internet User,

Good day, This article I've written is all about the fact where I earned an ASTOUNDING amount of money in less than a month and I've decided to share or reveal the secrets behind making big bucks in the Mail Order Business.
So all money seekers, read this carefully.

Hi, I'm 23 years old and I make more money than my parents and so can you" Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!! READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!

I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through several newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!!

Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works.... Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!

SUGGESTION: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's

legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage).

IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends.

PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue it’s success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list.

~~~>HERE ARE 4 EASY STEPS TO GET STARTED<~~~

STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (Please make sure that the bill wouldn't be noticed in the envelope to prevent thievery). Send out US $ DOLLAR, so it would be more acceptable.

STEP 2: Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them properly. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase, "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill.

What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting for a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us, I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal!

Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses below:

#1)S.R. Johnson ; 3285 Lewis Ave.
Signal Hill, CA, USA, 90807

#2)B. Hooper ; 3 Warringah Gve.
Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502

#3)Matthew Speight ; 6 Charnwood Ave.
Belfast , N. Ireland : BT15 5DH

#4)Ahmad Mujeeb ; 307-14 15 st, NW,
Charlottesville, VA, USA, 22903

#5)sean quinn ; 13865 Belvin court.
Orlando, FL, USA, 32826
~~~

#6)R.Pena ; 1962 46St. S.W.,
Naples , FL., USA, 34116

STEP 3: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.

STEP 4: Copy this article. Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18, Section 1302 and 1341, US Postal and Lottery Laws or Title 18, Section 3005 in the US code, also in the code of Federal regulations, Volume 16, Sections 255 and 436, which states; "a product or service must be exchanged for money received." The simple note in the letter, "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST", makes it legal because you are paying for exchange of a service, (adding the purchasers name to his mailing list) for a $1.00 fee.
: Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again. PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way.

NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!

~~~>DIRECTIONS FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS<~~

Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your mouse cursor at the beginning of this letter, click and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, right click and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory.

Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your mouse cursor at the top of the blank page. Right click and from the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name and postal address to the list.

Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
~~~

Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the "post" message button. You're done with your first one!
NOTE: Please don't SPAM or send unsolicited emails. It's completely illegal. Send emails to all the people you know or any ways that allows you to, instead of SPAMMING.(Just a reminder).

Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about a minute for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.**

*Now the WHY part; Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet!!! REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

1799. Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 07:30:35 UTC
From: mrguard@iname.com
Message-ID: <v6vp9.46732$kF.7486920@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 53565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello everyone

While looking at the list of Callsign Trustees with 2 or more calls at:
http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Clubs5.htm
I put a number of the calls in the Repeater search engine at:
http://www.artscipub.com/repeaters/showrepeaters.asp

I noticed that a number of the clubs listed in the top 10% of the list
do not have a repeater listed even though they are listed as
networked clubs, repeater groups, systems and associations.
I found that to be really strange.. Dont you?
Then I looked at some of the club names and calls
This is what I found.
1) A number of different clubs have the same prefix's.
I find that confusing as it must be hard in that area
that quite a number of groups have the same suffix calls..
2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
by Riley).
3) A number of different clubs that have the same issuance date
for the callsigns.. Is that strange that the boards and members
of these clubs can all agree on their calls at the same time?
4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.

Ham Radio is supposed to take care of itself.. It seems that the only times
Riley
and others have anything s after pressure has been applied by many
individuals or threats of government intervention by political officals
has been used as a last result.

I know of a few cases where Riley has questioned calls and clubs in this
matter and has taken action.. That has to be applauded.
Of course there are the clubs that have provided the correct information
of the groups existance and even though these clubs have constitutions,
membership lists, participated in contests and other social events,
and even issued nice membership certificates, these clubs were
not viewed as offical due to public misguided pressures.

Riley has alot to do to clean up this hobby and he needs our help.
Some of the things that need to be done is
1) The clean up of the repeaters and airways of Jammers and

action taken when such offenders have been identified.
(In South Florida a number of offenders have been identified, taped
claiming that they were the jammer, and have been verified
but credible folks such as offical observers, ect but nothing has been
done by the FCC. And We are not talking about Flippo.)
2) Actions taken by League Officals and other Amateurs who conduct
VEC exams, Emergency Coordinators ect, that violate laws, the amateur
code and even normal decency standards that nothing is done by the
amateur Supervisory folks (ARRL, Riley ect) until threats of
legal intervention are involved.
3) The groups on the airwaves who believe that they own their
frequency because the repeater is there or that their net happens
there daily (10-10 anyone?) who do nothing when their members
openly jam QSO's on such frequency in a malicious manner..
I have been in a number of QSO's and have listened to them also.
Then these folks have the audacity to claim that the stations in the
QSO are openly Jamming them because of being on their frequency.
4) These clubs that have to be questioned.. I have written to Riley
about a few clubs that are very suspicious due to content (some on
a personal family level) and even one that on Usenet posted the true
nature of their club.. Some of these clubs have a smearing nature to
destroy certain hams and have posted that.. even using previous calls
of that ham to ensure that.

Perhaps all of this is too much for Riley to handle?
I am not here to bash Riley but if it takes public pressure to get
things to happen, perhaps we should let Riley know of this concern
so we can get action taken before this hobby gets an even blacker eye.
Some of these actions and officals belong back on CB.

You can write Riley at rhollings@fcc.gov

Im not out to start a flame war or anything which is why I didnt name
names or clubs but you can search on groups.google.com of such
occurances of what I listed above.. One such example is
"Something Smells in West Palm Beach" about a VEC exam about 4 years ago
where a handicapped VE was intimidated by the Head VE and others in the
hopes of chasing the ham from the hobby.
Riley does a good job and is not to be bashed by this letter but this
is written with the hopes that Riley will realize what the ham community
is concerned about and will focus his efforts.

If you have any questions, email me and we can discuss.. there is no need
to get into name callings or anything else.

James Keller W8WW
mrguard@earthlink.net

1800. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 11:18:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011071832.28700.00001500@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53566

In article <3DA47DC5.9DA65FF@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>Brian Kelly wrote:
>
>> Great! Wind the coils on varnished toilet paper tubes, they're much
>> more plentiful than oatmeal boxes and the kids won't hafta eat piles
>> of oatmeal to get themselves a coil form. Unless that's part of the
>> "design intent".

Varnish isn't really necessary. Paper towel tubes also work.

>1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals
>> with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today.
>
> I got some Galena at the local mall of all places. There was a "Earthly
>Treasures" store, which has fossils, minerals and similar collectables.
>I picked up a beautiful galena crystal for a dollar or so,

Yeah, but does it work?

A decent detector can be made from a single edge "white" razor blade and the graphite (lead) from a #2 or #1 pencil. Built many, never had one fail. Every few years I build one, just to keep my hand in. "Foxhole" radio from WW2, although the carbon/steel detector goes back to the very beginnings of radio.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1801. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 11:18:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011071832.28700.00001501@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53568

In article <20021010210248.10684.00002786@mb-cu.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>I would suggest a multiplier of the overall point score
>of .5 points for each ten degrees below an average daily high temperature
>of 50 deg. F. For example, you score 2,000 QSO points, and your ave.
>daily high temp was 40 deg. F, you multiply your score by 1.5, giving
>you an adjusted total of 3 kilopoints. An average temp of 32 deg. F. would
>net you 3.8 Kpts.

I like this idea! Lessee - Wayne County, New York in January.....

The January VHF SS comes pretty close to being Winter SS for some.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1802. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 11:18:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011071833.28700.00001502@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53567

In article <20021010210247.10684.00002785@mb-cu.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20021009074748.02651.00000790@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:
>
>>
>>I know a bit of how you feel. I still remember the day my first license came >>-
>>October 14.
>>
>
>Jim:
>
>As it happens, I'm having my right hand operated on for Carpal Tunnel >Syndrome on the anniversary of your first license arriving.

Hope it's very successful

> I like to think
>that years of operating CW had something to do with my developing >this condition.

Unless you use a straight key, that's unlikely. (Sorry!).

73 de Jim, N2EY

1803. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 11:18:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011071835.28700.00001503@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53569

In article <v6vp9.46732$kF.7486920@twister.columbus.rr.com>, mrguard@iname.com (James A Keller) writes:

>While looking at the list of Callsign Trustees with 2 or more calls at:
>http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Clubs5.htm
>I put a number of the calls in the Repeater search engine at:
>http://www.artscipub.com/repeaters/showrepeaters.asp
>
>I noticed that a number of the clubs listed in the top 10% of the list
>do not have a repeater listed even though they are listed as
>networked clubs, repeater groups, systems and associations.
>I found that to be really strange.. Dont you?

Sure. But it's not against FCC rules.

There's no requirement for any holder of an (earthbound) amateur radio license to actually USE the license in any way. I know clubs whose only use of the club call is once-a-year on Field Day. The fact that a "repeater" club doesn't actually have a repeater doesn't mean they are breaking any rules.

>Then I looked at some of the club names and calls
>This is what I found.
>1) A number of different clubs have the same prefix's.
>I find that confusing as it must be hard in that area
>that quite a number of groups have the same suffix calls..

Ya lost me. Prefix or suffix?

>2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
>These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
>their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
>or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
>by Riley).

Some folks think such names are "cute", just as some think certain callsigns are "funny". While they don't help amateur radio in any way, they are still legal.

>3) A number of different clubs that have the same issuance date
>for the callsigns.. Is that strange that the boards and members
>of these clubs can all agree on their calls at the same time?

Nope. Perhaps the FCC processes the applications in batches.

>4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
>and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
>5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.

What IS against the rules is getting club calls for nonexistent clubs. There are clear definitions of what makes a legitimate "club" in the eyes of the FCC. Riley has busted quite a number of clubs that couldn't prove they met the FCC definition.
>
>Ham Radio is supposed to take care of itself.. It seems that the only times
>Riley
>and others have anything s after pressure has been applied by many
>individuals or threats of government intervention by political officals
>has been used as a last result.

That's because the electorate doesn't want to pay for enforcement. FCC's role is constantly expanding, but their funding doesn't keep pace. Been that way for more than 20 years.

>I know of a few cases where Riley has questioned calls and clubs in this
>matter and has taken action.. That has to be applauded.
>Of course there are the clubs that have provided the correct information
>of the groups existance and even though these clubs have constitutions,
>membership lists, participated in contests and other social events,
>and even issued nice membership certificates, these clubs were
>not viewed as offical due to public misguided pressures.

Give us some examples?

>Riley has alot to do to clean up this hobby and he needs our help.
>Some of the things that need to be done is
>1) The clean up of the repeaters and airways of Jammers and
>action taken when such offenders have been identified.
>(In South Florida a number of offenders have been identified, taped
>claiming that they were the jammer, and have been verified
>but credible folks such as offical observers, ect but nothing has been
>done by the FCC. And We are not talking about Flippo.)

FCC needs credible evidence. That means documented audiotapes. Have you supplied the FCC with any tapes?

>2) Actions taken by League Officals and other Amateurs who conduct
>VEC exams, Emergency Coordinators ect, that violate laws, the amateur
>code and even normal decency standards that nothing is done by the
>amateur Supervisory folks (ARRL, Riley ect) until threats of
>legal intervention are involved.

Give us some examples.

>3) The groups on the airwaves who believe that they own their
>frequency because the repeater is there or that their net happens
>there daily (10-10 anyone?) who do nothing when their members
>openly jam QSO's on such frequency in a malicious manner..
>I have been in a number of QSO's and have listened to them also.
>Then these folks have the audacity to claim that the stations in the
>QSO are openly Jamming them because of being on their frequency.

A coordinated repeater has precedence over an uncoordinated one, or simplex. That's a basic rule, good amateur practice,and just plain common sense.

Moving away from a scheduled net frequency at net time is good amateur practice, and common courtesy.

>4) These clubs that have to be questioned.. I have written to Riley
>about a few clubs that are very suspicious due to content (some on
>a personal family level) and even one that on Usenet posted the true
>nature of their club.. Some of these clubs have a smearing nature to
>destroy certain hams and have posted that.. even using previous calls
>of that ham to ensure that.
>
>Perhaps all of this is too much for Riley to handle?
>I am not here to bash Riley but if it takes public pressure to get
>things to happen, perhaps we should let Riley know of this concern
>so we can get action taken before this hobby gets an even blacker eye.

Sure. But the evidence has to be of the kind that FCC can use.

Also, there's an order of priority to all this. Suspected terrorist/criminal activity has to be FCC's main focus. In ham radio enforcement, phony club calls have to take a back seat to things like on-air violations and VEC irregularities. That does not mean any violations are overlooked, just that they aren't all on the same level.

>Some of these actions and officals belong back on CB.

They belong off the air entirely.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1804. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 11:47:19 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <bTyp9.410$1P1.41441@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53570

Good Amateur Practice?
>>> not transmitting a 6-kHz bandwidth signal when there
>>> are lots of people on the band

K7JEB:
>> Hmmmm. Does this mean no AM on 75 meters in the evening
>> hours when it's "open" and the sidebanders are wailing?

Dan/W4NTI:
> I have been a AMer (again) since about 1979. Still
> enjoy the mode. Using my boatanchors. But I have a
> personal policy of not operating AM at night on 75
> meters. So no argument there.

> However....tune around to some of those so called
> 'audiophile' groups. Nuff said, eh?

It struck me, after I posted my question, that the
issue has some significant dimensions not addressed
in the blanket "not transmitting a 6-kHz bandwidth signal".
pronouncement.

A substantial amount of DSB-AM operation is in the form
of nets and roundtables. These things draw in a
significant number of participants. The most prominent
example for me out here in Arizona is the West Coast AMI
Net at 3870 on Wednesday nights. There are typically
20 - 30 check-ins, each waiting patiently for 2 - 3
minutes of air time. Even though this operation occupies
6 kHz of bandwidth, the frequency utilization quotient
(no. of stations / bandwidth occupied) is much higher
than a couple of 3-kHz SSB stations communicating one-
on-one. I think this should be a consideration whenever
value judgments about transmitted bandwidth become an
issue.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1805. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 11:50:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210110350.3ff83624@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53571

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:<ao5a85$v14$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> The last time I did 'fieldday' in the winter is my last day doing 'fieldday'
> in the winter.
>
> It was in Germay, January/Feburary 1970s. We had our tent stakes, our
> stoves, our commo gear and antenna's.
>
> I knew I was in trouble when the plate switch broke off when I tried to turn
> the mess on.
>
> No thanks.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Ditto Korea winter 88/89, 2ID, "Winter Haze." Damned R292 has to go
-above- the cammo netting. I ended up slashing the cammo netting to
get my frozen hand out. The rice paddy froze over and our tent flaps,
power and coax cables, and truck tires froze in the mud. Five ton
wouldn't move til we busted it our with sledge hammers. Hey, lets
"jump."

1806. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 12:35:47 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ao6gka$8dq$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53572

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021010061005.28700.00001489@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <3DA4EB17.357396B2@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >KB9TMP wrote:
> >
> >> "KC5CQW" <kc5cqw@excite.com> wrote in message
> >> news:uq955iknssom00@corp.supernews.com...
> >> > I'll sell you my radio. Congrats!
> >>
> >> I just bought a nice used IC-746 from HRO.
> >> And borrowed the study book for the
> >> General upgrade, from a friend . :)
> >>
> >
> >When you take the general written, try the extra. No additional
> >cost, maybe you will pass...
> >
> Excellent advice. I second the motion.
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

And even if you fail, it will give you a "benchmark" of how
close you may have come to passing...which may serve as
an initiative to continue the effort of study and learning.

Many folks just don't even bother to try.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1807. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 12:40:53 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ao6gts$52j$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53573

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021009213659.02651.00001024@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <ort8qucnum0vmmpg54ahs180fe8rieq2d3@4ax.com>, Walt Davidson
> <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> writes:
>
> >I think the English
> >bitter beer has more to do with it than the food!
>
> The only decent bitter we Yanks get is the Guinness. And too few on this
side
> of the pond appreciate the stuff.
>
> "Drink your beer before it gets cold"

I've developed a very fond liking to the stuff...especially
on tap in a restaurant. Happily, many of the pub style
places here in NJ carry Guiness on tap.

I've also tried the Guiness in the can...not bad at all.
The first time I had one in a can (I poured it into a
glass which is the only way it should be done) I heard
this clunking sound in the can when I turned it over.
Seems the Guiness folks put a small (about one inch)
plastic ball in each can to improve the way it pours.

Cheers (now that IS a most appropriate signoff for this thread :-)
Bill K2UNK

1808. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 12:43:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011084346.12806.00000677@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 53574

>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/10/02 7:10 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021010201048.02777.00000511@mb-fr.aol.com>

>Heidi Klum

An old HS girlfriend? I don't recognize this one.

>and at the top of the list....
>
>Jan Smithers

Agree!

>But I thought we were talking about beer.
>
>Before this degenrates into "X reasons why beer is better than..." I'll say...

But they're TRUE, Jim! Never had a beer slap my face for having another
beer!

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

1809. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 12:57:47 UTC
From: Dave Edwards <kd2e@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cZ6cnaBEqtE6GTugXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 53575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

That sounds worth a look, but there are some weeds growing around my mailbox
that I
need to trim.
...Dave
"James A Keller" <mrguard@iname.com> wrote in message
news:v6vp9.46732$kF.7486920@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> Hello everyone
>
> While looking at the list of Callsign Trustees with 2 or more calls at:
> http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Clubs5.htm
> I put a number of the calls in the Repeater search engine at:
> http://www.artscipub.com/repeaters/showrepeaters.asp
>
> I noticed that a number of the clubs listed in the top 10% of the list
> do not have a repeater listed even though they are listed as
> networked clubs, repeater groups, systems and associations.
> I found that to be really strange.. Dont you?
> Then I looked at some of the club names and calls
> This is what I found.
> 1) A number of different clubs have the same prefix's.
> I find that confusing as it must be hard in that area
> that quite a number of groups have the same suffix calls..
> 2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
> These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
> their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
> or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
> by Riley).
> 3) A number of different clubs that have the same issuance date
> for the callsigns.. Is that strange that the boards and members
> of these clubs can all agree on their calls at the same time?
> 4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
> and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
> 5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
>
> Ham Radio is supposed to take care of itself.. It seems that the only
times
> Riley
> and others have anything s after pressure has been applied by many
> individuals or threats of government intervention by political officals
> has been used as a last result.
>
> I know of a few cases where Riley has questioned calls and clubs in this
> matter and has taken action.. That has to be applauded.

> Of course there are the clubs that have provided the correct information
> of the groups existance and even though these clubs have constitutions,
> membership lists, participated in contests and other social events,
> and even issued nice membership certificates, these clubs were
> not viewed as offical due to public misguided pressures.
>
> Riley has alot to do to clean up this hobby and he needs our help.
> Some of the things that need to be done is
> 1) The clean up of the repeaters and airways of Jammers and
> action taken when such offenders have been identified.
> (In South Florida a number of offenders have been identified, taped
> claiming that they were the jammer, and have been verified
> but credible folks such as offical observers, ect but nothing has been
> done by the FCC. And We are not talking about Flippo.)
> 2) Actions taken by League Officals and other Amateurs who conduct
> VEC exams, Emergency Coordinators ect, that violate laws, the amateur
> code and even normal decency standards that nothing is done by the
> amateur Supervisory folks (ARRL, Riley ect) until threats of
> legal intervention are involved.
> 3) The groups on the airwaves who believe that they own their
> frequency because the repeater is there or that their net happens
> there daily (10-10 anyone?) who do nothing when their members
> openly jam QSO's on such frequency in a malicious manner..
> I have been in a number of QSO's and have listened to them also.
> Then these folks have the audacity to claim that the stations in the
> QSO are openly Jamming them because of being on their frequency.
> 4) These clubs that have to be questioned.. I have written to Riley
> about a few clubs that are very suspicious due to content (some on
> a personal family level) and even one that on Usenet posted the true
> nature of their club.. Some of these clubs have a smearing nature to
> destroy certain hams and have posted that.. even using previous calls
> of that ham to ensure that.
>
> Perhaps all of this is too much for Riley to handle?
> I am not here to bash Riley but if it takes public pressure to get
> things to happen, perhaps we should let Riley know of this concern
> so we can get action taken before this hobby gets an even blacker eye.
> Some of these actions and officals belong back on CB.
>
> You can write Riley at rhollings@fcc.gov
>
> Im not out to start a flame war or anything which is why I didnt name
> names or clubs but you can search on groups.google.com of such
> occurances of what I listed above.. One such example is
> "Something Smells in West Palm Beach" about a VEC exam about 4 years ago
> where a handicapped VE was intimidated by the Head VE and others in the
> hopes of chasing the ham from the hobby.
> Riley does a good job and is not to be bashed by this letter but this
> is written with the hopes that Riley will realize what the ham community
> is concerned about and will focus his efforts.

>
> If you have any questions, email me and we can discuss.. there is no need
> to get into name callings or anything else.
>
> James Keller W8WW
> mrguard@earthlink.net
>
>

1810. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 13:08:24 UTC
From: Jack Wellstone <calley@jwbodyshoppp.org>
Message-ID: <ao6if9$iu9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53576

First and foremost, Hollingsworth is a federal bureaucrat.
As with any bureaucrat, priority one is to protect his job,
at any cost. Everything, and I do mean Everything, is second
to that top priority. He will do Nothing which may endanger
his first priority. Why do you think he's left K1MAN alone?
MAN has the resources to legally shake the FCC to its
foundation AND put Hollingsworth's job is grave danger. MAN's
case would be so high profile and create so much Congressional
heat that the FCC bosses would send Hollingsworth packing in
a heartbeat.

Jack

"lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
news:scgbquol0n323sir8v6s0t8rpei1eouhd5@4ax.com...
> I have never, ever heard so much BULLSHIT, than what I've read today
> from Hitler....errr...uhmmmm... I mean Riley....
>
> Check this BULLSHIT out!
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/10/09/1/?nc=1
>
> I mean, fucking Riley, says let's clean up the hobby, but this asshole
> bastard won't raise a fucking finger to clean up 75 meters! Anyone who
> ever listen to 3.865 in the evenings knows what I'm talking about (on
> the east coast, that is...) practice what you preach! otherwise, shut
> the fuck up....
>
> signed,
>
> A Amateur who's sick of the bullshit from the FCC's wanna be bulldog.
>
>

1811. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 14:07:39 UTC
From: Radioguy <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ao6m0nvo1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53577

So? Isn't that the idea behind FD---to learn the requirements for operating under emergency conditions? That's the issue being discussed. I operate FD in Florida at temperatures in the tent running around 100 F or greater (measured with thermometer) with suffocating humidity. I've learned about what it is like to walk around in a perpetual cloud of mosquitoes. Hot food is no fun in summer. How much I really need water. What are minimal requirements for a station in order to communicate effectively. How long can I really operate on that car battery at 50 watts. How fast can I set-up and break-down a station. Pre-planning to conserve energy and not turn into a sweat ball. The importance of site location...

I guess it really boils down to, in case of an emergency, how quickly can I respond with an effective station and take care of myself and others. The only way I think is by training. I've done that in Florida summer for as long as I can recall but I have no idea what is required of me in winter.

The FD experience to a large part has truly made me more aware of what is required of me to be prepared and self-reliant. Hell, our government talks about terrorism but they have yet to provide us with the details on how we can take care of ourselves. No, they rather us wait for the BIG GREEN ARMY TRUCK that hands out MRE's and bottle water. Come to think of it, remember back in the 60's when we saw those booklets scattered around public places providing details on Civil Defense; how to build shelters, store food and water---I remember them even though I was a youngster. Is CD old hat now? Besides, whatever happened to CD anyhow. All I see is the old 1960's CD shelter supplies being auctioned off on eBay.

R.

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:ao5a85$v14$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> The last time I did 'fieldday' in the winter is my last day doing
'fieldday'
> in the winter.
>
> It was in Germay, January/Feburary 1970s. We had our tent stakes, our
> stoves, our commo gear and antenna's.
>
> I knew I was in trouble when the plate switch broke off when I tried to
turn
> the mess on.
>
> No thanks.
>

> Dan/W4NTI

1812. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 15:19:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011111900.11624.00000706@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 53578

>Subject: Re: what a bunch of ********
>From: "Jack Wellstone" calley@jwbodyshoppp.org
>Date: 10/11/02 8:08 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ao6if9$iu9$0@pita.alt.net>

>Why do you think he's left K1MAN alone?
>MAN has the resources to legally shake the FCC to its
>foundation AND put Hollingsworth's job is grave danger.

First hand proof that the money spent on curbing illegal drugs has had
little effect. It would appear that some have had thier fill and then
some.....

Steve

1813. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 18:05:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ao73su$i1p$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53579

Im not disagreeing with you. I personally have done my bit is what I'm saying..hi.

As for CD....it was turned into FEMA. What a mess.

Remember...'duck and cover'.

Dan/W4NTI

"Radioguy" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message news:ao6m0nvo1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> So? Isn't that the idea behind FD---to learn the requirements for operating
> under emergency conditions? That's the issue being discussed. I operate FD
> in Florida at temperatures in the tent running around 100 F or greater
> (measured with thermometer) with suffocating humidity. I've learned about
> what it is like to walk around in a perpetual cloud of mosquitoes. Hot food
> is no fun in summer. How much I really need water. What are minimal
> requirements for a station in order to communicate effectively. How long
> can I really operate on that car battery at 50 watts. How fast can I set-up
> and break-down a station. Pre-planning to conserve energy and not turn into
> a sweat ball. The importance of site location...
>
> I guess it really boils down to, in case of an emergency, how quickly can I
> respond with an effective station and take care of myself and others. The
> only way I think is by training. I've done that in Florida summer for as
> long as I can recall but I have no idea what is required of me in winter.
>
> The FD experience to a large part has truly made me more aware of what is
> required of me to be prepared and self-reliant. Hell, our government talks
> about terrorism but they have yet to provide us with the details on how we
> can take care of ourselves. No, they rather us wait for the BIG GREEN ARMY
> TRUCK that hands out MRE's and bottle water. Come to think of it, remember
> back in the 60's when we saw those booklets scattered around public places
> providing details on Civil Defense; how to build shelters, store food and
> water---I remember them even though I was a youngster. Is CD old hat now?
> Besides, whatever happened to CD anyhow. All I see is the old 1960's CD

> shelter supplies being auctioned off on eBay.
>
> R.
> -----
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:ao5a85$v14$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > The last time I did 'fieldday' in the winter is my last day doing
> 'fieldday'
> > in the winter.
> >
> > It was in Germay, January/Feburary 1970s. We had our tent stakes, our
> > stoves, our commo gear and antenna's.
> >
> > I knew I was in trouble when the plate switch broke off when I tried to
> turn
> > the mess on.
> >
> > No thanks.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.399 / Virus Database: 226 - Release Date: 09-Oct-02

1814. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 18:57:52 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <4n7equ4v72e8stonbreu52ujdtlg68l30b@4ax.com>
Source record: 53580

sorry dude... but i am not chuck.... i am on comcast, like he is...
but i'm not him... he's reading here though.. and i did get the link
from him... take care..

jon

On Thu, 10 Oct 2002 22:50:11 -0400, "Chapman the Crackman"
<johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> was blabbling:

...His Call is check the look ups of this freak
...K8CPA Lookups: 10990
...CHARLES P ADKINS
...1821 LE BLANC
...LINCOLN PARK MI 48146
...USA
...
...
..."Gary McAdams" <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
...news:Tukp9.15848$ue4.906942@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
...>
...> lil' ol' me. <themole@themole.com>
...>
...> <Snip useless profanity and drivel>
...>
...> >
...> > signed,
...> >
...> > A Amateur who's sick of the bullshit from the FCC's wanna be
bulldog.
...> >
...> >
...>
...> My aren't you articulate...
...>
...> A shining example of what Amateur radio is turning to now.
...> Thanks for your input.
...>
...> BTW do YOU talk on 75 meters the same way you spout
...> here on usenet?
...>
...> If so, you are definitely a big part of the problem.
...> If not, then why act like an idiot here?
...>
...> 73
...> Gary

...>
...>
...>
...>
...

1815. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 19:02:08 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <0u7equ4hp192f1cmqrcpbi0q7c7p7kd7f0@4ax.com>
Source record: 53581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Good Ol' James Keller, starting shit just like yer old man Joe eh?

P.S. did you ever get your 75 meter antenna up? and do you really get
your rocks off calling my cellphone while blocking caller ID?

you know who it is...

1816. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 19:42:58 UTC
From: 160 Meter AM <AMforever@AMon160meterNOW.net>
Message-ID: <ao79j7emu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53582

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021010072232.08132.00000524@mb-fx.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 10/9/02 8:36 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20021009213659.02651.00001024@mb-cc.aol.com>
>
> >"Drink your beer before it gets cold"
>
> EEEEEEEWWWWWWWWWW ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> =)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> A Cold Beer Drinker Forever!

Is it the cold beer that makes you look brown all over,
or is it that you are so full of shit that it's ooozing out of
your eye balls and covering you all over?

Jake on 1875 khz nightly

1817. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 19:55:33 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210111943280.225-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

[Newsgroup distribution changed for this reply - 2 of 5 groups don't exist, and a third was inappropriate.]

On Fri, 11 Oct 2002, James A Keller wrote:
>While looking at the list of Callsign Trustees with 2 or more calls at:
>http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Clubs5.htm
>I put a number of the calls in the Repeater search engine at:
>http://www.artscipub.com/repeaters/showrepeaters.asp

Although for the specific instances you mention below the following isn't true, did you also check the "ampr.org" domain and packet BBS lists for these club calls? I am aware of a couple of club holders which don't have [voice] repeaters but are digital/packet organizations and therefore use their club calls on packet stations... Also in my area, the ATV'ers may have a club call or two for ATV operations which may not be in that database you searched.

However, in contrast to your callsign grabbing argument, some of the grabbers have used these calls on operational machines (e.g. W6NUT), so merely checking against a repeater database isn't conclusive either.

>I noticed that a number of the clubs listed in the top 10% of the list
>do not have a repeater listed even though they are listed as
>networked clubs, repeater groups, systems and associations.
>I found that to be really strange.. Dont you?
>Then I looked at some of the club names and calls
>This is what I found.
>1) A number of different clubs have the same prefix's.
>I find that confusing as it must be hard in that area
>that quite a number of groups have the same suffix calls..

Clearly, callsign grabbing.

>2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
>These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
>their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
>or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
>by Riley).

...There's no law against being an asshole!

>3) A number of different clubs that have the same issuance date
>for the callsigns.. Is that strange that the boards and members
>of these clubs can all agree on their calls at the same time?

Did you look back for the original licenses for these clubs? Or are you merely looking at their current vanity-calls? How many of the latter correspond to the

opening of each vanity-call gate? There are reasons why this may be so....

>4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
>and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
>5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.

Only if several (3+) are active at the same time. It's quite easy to monitor several repeaters while they're idle. Additionally, who said that the callsign trustee must be the one monitoring - "control operators" may exist....

>Ham Radio is supposed to take care of itself.. It seems that the only times
>Riley and others have anything s after pressure has been applied by many
>individuals or threats of government intervention by political officals has
>been used as a last result.
> ...

1818. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 22:55:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ao7ksq$e0r$0@208.206.142.87>
Source record: 53584

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3DA47DC5.9DA65FF@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
> >Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> >> Great! Wind the coils on varnished toilet paper tubes, they're much
> >> more plentiful than oatmeal boxes and the kids won't hafta eat piles
> >> of oatmeal to get themselves a coil form. Unless that's part of the
> >> "design intent".
>
> Varnish isn't really necessary. Paper towel tubes also work.
>

Best is the tube from a roll of Saran or other cellophane wrap. Rigid
and much tougher than any of the rest. You'll need to cut it of course.

> >1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals
> >> with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today.

Why make it hard? Next thing you know there'll be a "No Cat's Whisker
International"
group spring up <g>

> >
> > I got some Galena at the local mall of all places. There was a "Earthly
> >Treasures" store, which has fossils, minerals and similar collectables.
> >I picked up a beautiful galena crystal for a dollar or so,
>
> Yeah, but does it work?

First thing I heard on my pre-Novice crystal set was
"W-zero-Ocean Ocean Tom calling CQ 75."
Turned out he lived about a mile away.

>
> A decent detector can be made from a single edge "white" razor blade and the
> graphite (lead) from a #2 or #1 pencil. Built many, never had one fail. Every
> few years I build one, just to keep my hand in. "Foxhole" radio from WW2,
> although the carbon/steel detector goes back to the very beginnings of radio.
>

But, but, but....whatcha gonna do when radio goes all-digital?

Dick

1819. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-11 23:06:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ao7lh6$g1p$0@208.206.142.87>
Source record: 53585

Jack Wellstone wrote:
>
> First and foremost, Hollingsworth is a federal bureaucrat.
> As with any bureaucrat, priority one is to protect his job,
> at any cost.
Everything, and I do mean Everything, is second
> to that top priority.

Shows what little you know. Federal employees are among the best protected in existence. Jut try ot get one fired. And if you're the least bit knowledgeable you won't hold your breath.

He will do Nothing which may endanger
> his first priority. Why do you think he's left K1MAN alone?
> MAN has the resources to legally shake the FCC to its
> foundation AND put Hollingsworth's job is grave danger. MAN's
> case would be so high profile and create so much Congressional
> heat that the FCC bosses would send Hollingsworth packing in
> a heartbeat.
>

If he put K1MAN off the air he'd also have to do the same for W1AW. End of story. That doesn't mean K1MAN won't catch some flak for his alleged rule violations.

1820. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 00:09:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011200901.02651.00001064@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 53586

In article <Xns929EF0B156D4Delektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
>news:20021004180900.11643.00001947@mb-mb.aol.com:
>
>> In article <Xns929DA187B76C8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
>> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>>I think the distance limit for CB is ridiculous, but perhaps it's an
>>>attempt (albeit not a very good one) to correct for the twin mistakes
>>>of putting them on HF and giving them SSB.
>>
>> The distance requirement is there because cb is technically a "land
>> mobile service". and is defined as short-range.
>
>True, but the frequency and mode are unsuitable for that purpose

How so? 30-50 MHz are/were allocated to land mobile, using channelized FM sets.
Lots of the users of those freqs hear/d dx signals when the muf gets high
enough. But those services remain land-mobile.

>>>It's too late for anyone to put the genie back in the bottle, I think.

>> Maybe not. As other communication and radio services develop, 27 MHz cb
>> may decline. Are there as many active cb users today as during the boom
>> years of the '70s?
>
>Maybe you're right.

Hard to tell because we don't know how many cb users there are today.

>>>s25.5 is hopefully doomed.
>>
>> Seems pretty clear that support to keep it at WRC-2003 is almost
>> nonexistent. I don't see how the necessary support will arise in the 9
>> months or so till the conference.
>>
>>>The big mistake that amateurs made in 1927 was
>>>to agree to have CW testing enshrined as an international requirement
>>>as a quid pro quo for getting ham radio recognised by the ITU (or
>>>rather it's predecessor) as a 'service'.
>>
>> WHOA!
>>
>> Consider what HF radio was like in 1927. Practically all radio services

>> used code as a primary mode.
>
>Broadcasting didn't!

The exception that proves the rule. Broadcasting is a one-way service, using
transmitters on fixed frequencies with published schedules.
>
>> A code test requirement was perfectly
>> reasonable then, simply for interference and interoperability reasons.
>> Whether that requirement is reasonable today is a different question.
>
>Exactly, by making it an international regulation the requirements of 1927
>were frozen in place for the next 75 years.

Not at all! The requirement was subject to revision at every world radio
conference since 1927. And it has been revised, from being a blanket
requirement for all hams, to being required for licenses below a certain
frequency (first 1000 MHz, then 144 MHz, now 30 MHz). Soon it will be 0 Hz.
>
>> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it would
>> probably have died out before WW2.

Seems to me the choice was obvious.
>>
>>>Many other countries had no code testing before that (yes, I do know
>>>that the US did).
>>
>> Many countries wanted amateur radio to die out in 1927.
>>
>>>The other side of the story
>>>is that frequency allocations on HF were much less uniform before that,
>>>but the price paid was too dear.
>>
>> The alternative was oblivion for the ARS.
>>
>>> Nothing else has ever caused more trouble and dissension in ham
>>> radio.
>>
>> Hardly. There were bigger flaps when:
>>
>> - SSB displaced AM on HF
>> - FM displaced AM on VHF/UHF
>> - Generals got all privs in 1953
>>
>> and the real biggie:
>>
>> - "incentive licensing" was reintroduced in 1968.
>>
>> The code test issue seems like a biggie because we have the 'net to
>> spread the noise around.
>

>I disagree. All of the above were much shorter term issues, and a couple
>of them only apply to the US.

If you call decades "short term", I'd agree.

>The code testing issue has dragged on for
>decades, and is a big issue in many other countries. I've been a ham for
>22 years, and I can't remember when it wasn't a massive issue.
>
I've been a ham for 35 years (October 12, 1967!) and from what I've seen it's only been an issue since the mid-80s. Yes, there have been a few who were against code testing, but it hasn't been much of an issue until recently.

More important, when the subject has come up in the past, the overwhelming response has been to keep code testing at the then-current levels. Support for code testing was high among all groups that cared to express an opinion. That opinion distribution has changed in recent years, as evidenced by comments to the FCC.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1821. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 01:10:23 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92A4D761475DDelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53587

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
news:20021011200901.02651.00001064@mb-cc.aol.com:

> In article <Xns929EF0B156D4Delektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
>>news:20021004180900.11643.00001947@mb-mb.aol.com:
>>
>>> In article <Xns929DA187B76C8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
>>> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>>
>>>>I think the distance limit for CB is ridiculous, but perhaps it's an
>>>>attempt (albeit not a very good one) to correct for the twin mistakes
>>>>of putting them on HF and giving them SSB.
>>>
>>> The distance requirement is there because cb is technically a "land
>>> mobile service". and is defined as short-range.
>>
>>True, but the frequency and mode are unsuitable for that purpose
>
> How so? 30-50 MHz are/were allocated to land mobile, using channelized
> FM sets. Lots of the users of those freqs hear/d dx signals when the
> muf gets high enough. But those services remain land-mobile.

The Land Mobile guys stay on fixed channels and have no interest in
chasing DX - two things that don't apply to hobby users as a group

>
>>>>It's too late for anyone to put the genie back in the bottle, I
>>>>think.
>
>>> Maybe not. As other communication and radio services develop, 27 MHz
>>> cb may decline. Are there as many active cb users today as during the
>>> boom years of the '70s?
>>
>>Maybe you're right.
>
> Hard to tell because we don't know how many cb users there are today.
>
>>>>s25.5 is hopefully doomed.
>>>
>>> Seems pretty clear that support to keep it at WRC-2003 is almost
>>> nonexistent. I don't see how the necessary support will arise in the
>>> 9 months or so till the conference.

>>>
>>>>The big mistake that amateurs made in 1927 was
>>>>to agree to have CW testing enshrined as an international requirement
>>>>as a quid pro quo for getting ham radio recognised by the ITU (or
>>>>rather it's predecessor) as a 'service'.
>>>
>>> WHOA!
>>>
>>> Consider what HF radio was like in 1927. Practically all radio
>>> services used code as a primary mode.
>>
>>Broadcasting didn't!
>
> The exception that proves the rule. Broadcasting is a one-way service,
> using transmitters on fixed frequencies with published schedules.
>>
>>> A code test requirement was perfectly
>>> reasonable then, simply for interference and interoperability
>>> reasons. Whether that requirement is reasonable today is a different
>>> question.
>>
>>Exactly, by making it an international regulation the requirements of
>>1927 were frozen in place for the next 75 years.
>
> Not at all! The requirement was subject to revision at every world
> radio conference since 1927. And it has been revised, from being a
> blanket requirement for all hams, to being required for licenses below
> a certain frequency (first 1000 MHz, then 144 MHz, now 30 MHz). Soon it
> will be 0 Hz.

You missed out the drop to 420 in 1947

>>
>>> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it would
>>> probably have died out before WW2.
>
> Seems to me the choice was obvious.

We don't know our hobby would have died out -it doesn't seem all that
likely to me, actually

>>>
>>>>Many other countries had no code testing before that (yes, I do know
>>>>that the US did).
>>>
>>> Many countries wanted amateur radio to die out in 1927.
>>>
>>>>The other side of the story
>>>>is that frequency allocations on HF were much less uniform before
>>>>that, but the price paid was too dear.
>>>

>>> The alternative was oblivion for the ARS.
>>>
>>>> Nothing else has ever caused more trouble and dissension in ham
>>>> radio.
>>>
>>> Hardly. There were bigger flaps when:
>>>
>>> - SSB displaced AM on HF
>>> - FM displaced AM on VHF/UHF
>>> - Generals got all privs in 1953
>>>
>>> and the real biggie:
>>>
>>> - "incentive licensing" was reintroduced in 1968.
>>>
>>> The code test issue seems like a biggie because we have the 'net to
>>> spread the noise around.
>>
>>I disagree. All of the above were much shorter term issues, and a
>>couple of them only apply to the US.
>
> If you call decades "short term", I'd agree.

Oddly enough, yes

>
>>The code testing issue has dragged on for
>>decades, and is a big issue in many other countries. I've been a ham
>>for 22 years, and I can't remember when it wasn't a massive issue.
>>
> I've been a ham for 35 years (October 12, 1967!) and from what I've
> seen it's only been an issue since the mid-80s. Yes, there have been a
> few who were against code testing, but it hasn't been much of an issue
> until recently.

That's a matter of definition. Given the reductions in the cutoff
frequency at the international level started in 1939, and that the FCC
floated a communicator licence for above 220 back in the 70s, I'd say you
were wrong about that, although it may have taken longer to penetrate
your conciousness!

>
> More important, when the subject has come up in the past, the
> overwhelming response has been to keep code testing at the then-current
> levels. Support for code testing was high among all groups that cared
> to express an opinion. That opinion distribution has changed in recent
> years, as evidenced by comments to the FCC.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

This is where I have to tell you I'm not from the US. The code tests here are easier than in most countries, and I'm not talking about the code speed. My point is that US opinion has tolerated code testing to a greater extent because of the Mickey Mouse nature of the tests (no offence to Disney!). A lot more people would have got a lot more upset if they had been faced with the code tests as they are in some other countries. I was never able to pass a code test in the UK when I lived there. I would at that time have had to read 96% correct in random groups at 12 wpm, otherwise no HF. I still can't even do that now, or even the day after I legitimately passed the 20 wpm test over here. Mind you, the test over there is now 5 wpm plain language, but I don't think the percentage copy is any lower.

You only need about 70% right to get the 7 questions out of 10 right over here. Most of the world don't know that about the US, they actually have to get most of the characters right in their code tests, and they probably think you do too. I did until I actually came to live here. This is not bashing the US, I agree with anything that makes it easier, including abolishing it! Of course, I expect that in some of the smaller countries the code tests are even easier than they are here.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

1822. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 01:12:06 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uqeu0rpvu3chb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53588

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021009212833.27149.00004159@mb-cg.aol.com...
> In article <Xns92A0E4734A6CAelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
> >
> >I don't really have anything against CW ops, but I will never really
> >understand why some of them treat it as some sort of religeous article of
> >faith. I recently bought an FT-817 and I am really having a blast using 5
> >watts of phone, even working DX with it (mobile!). Doubtless someone will
> >post that I would be having even more fun on CW, but the fact is I
> >wouldn't.
> >
> >73 de N3KIP
>
> Alun:
>
> Is that *really* a fact? Have you ever tried CW? If you didn't, you
really
> don't know how much fun you'd be having with it, do you? The simple
> truth is that most who have (by licensing requirement) learned CW and
> began using it on-the-air have come to the realization that it is, indeed,
> a lot of fun! I really think you ought to give it a chance! Unless you
do,
> you're consigning yourself to a mode which I found to be not much
> "fun" at all!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

'S OK, Alun. The way Larry is, even if you tried CW for [you
fill-in-the-blank] amount of time, a) it wouldn't have been long enough if
you still didn't enjoy it, and b) even if he was willing to concede the
length of time, if you still didn't like it there'd be nothing but
name-calling and other innuendoes thrown your way.

Kim W5TIT

1823. Bearbug virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 02:02:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqf0oc1d244g02@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53589

Just got an e-mail with an attachment from someone I didn't know (looked like a good return e-mail addy). I pulled the internet connection and saved the attachment to my documents. Exited Outlook Express and opened my documents - yep, up popped the virus checker and it was the bearbug virus. I deleted the attachment from my documents, returned to Outlook Express and deleted the e-mail. I then ran an entire system scan and some 11,000 files are officially virus-free. One thing I recently learned is one does not simply check for updates once a week or trust the anti-virus checker to check automatically (unless the pc is on line 24/7). I update daily and we all should.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1824. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 02:09:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011220902.28700.00001514@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53590

In article <20021011084346.12806.00000677@mb-mk.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Heidi Klum
>
> An old HS girlfriend? I don't recognize this one.

omigawd....

covers of SI, VS catalogs, a few appearances in movies, and "Just Shoot Me".

Use your favorite search engine to search on "klum". Or ebay.
>
>>and at the top of the list....
>>
>>Jan Smithers
>
> Agree!

The standard by which all others are judged.
>
>>But I thought we were talking about beer.
>>
>>Before this degenrates into "X reasons why beer is better than..." I'll
>say...
>
> But they're TRUE, Jim! Never had a beer slap my face for having another
>beer!

Don't get me started...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1825. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 09:44:10 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210120144.56876a63@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53592

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<bTyp9.410$1P1.41441@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Good Amateur Practice?
> >>> not transmitting a 6-kHz bandwidth signal when there
> >>> are lots of people on the band
>
> K7JEB:
> >> Hmmmm. Does this mean no AM on 75 meters in the evening
> >> hours when it's "open" and the sidebanders are wailing?
>
> Dan/W4NTI:
> > I have been a AMer (again) since about 1979. Still
> > enjoy the mode. Using my boatanchors. But I have a
> > personal policy of not operating AM at night on 75
> > meters. So no argument there.
>
> > However....tune around to some of those so called
> > 'audiophile' groups. Nuff said, eh?
>
> It struck me, after I posted my question, that the
> issue has some significant dimensions not addressed
> in the blanket "not transmitting a 6-kHz bandwidth signal".
> pronouncement.
>
> A substantial amount of DSB-AM operation is in the form
> of nets and roundtables. These things draw in a
> significant number of participants. The most prominent
> example for me out here in Arizona is the West Coast AMI
> Net at 3870 on Wednesday nights. There are typically
> 20 - 30 check-ins, each waiting patiently for 2 - 3
> minutes of air time. Even though this operation occupies
> 6 kHz of bandwidth, the frequency utilization quotient
> (no. of stations / bandwidth occupied) is much higher
> than a couple of 3-kHz SSB stations communicating one-
> on-one. I think this should be a consideration whenever
> value judgments about transmitted bandwidth become an
> issue.

Then comes the question of whether or not Riley ever made the comment
in the first place - which I seriously doubt. Not his style at all,
I'll believe any of it when I see a post citing the date/place/event
which can be substantiated. Otherwise I'm writing it off as just
another fabricated troll.

w3rv

1826. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 10:27:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210120227.5548a373@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53593

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ao7ksq$e0r$0@208.206.142.87>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <3DA47DC5.9DA65FF@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
> >
> > >Brian Kelly wrote:
> > >
> > >> Great! Wind the coils on varnished toilet paper tubes, they're much
> > >> more plentiful than oatmeal boxes and the kids won't hafta eat piles
> > >> of oatmeal to get themselves a coil form. Unless that's part of the
> > >> "design intent".
> > >
> > Varnish isn't really necessary. Paper towel tubes also work.

It's not necessary but it stiffens & strengthens the cardboard
considerably and damp-proofs the stuff. Makes far more better coil
forms.

> >
>
> Best is the tube from a roll of Saran or other cellophane wrap. Rigid
> and much tougher than any of the rest. You'll need to cut it of course.
>
>
> > >1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals
> > >> with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today.
>
>
> Why make it hard? Next thing you know there'll be a "No Cat's Whisker
> International"
> group spring up <g>

Heh. Help me here Dick. There was a mail-order company in Chicago back
then which sold all kinds of wunnerful stuff techo-kids could buy like
mounted Galena xtals and cat whisker assemblies and far beyond just
those. Carbide lamps, Model T ignition coils . . . Big thick catalog
which was in a small format, maybe 5" x 7", heavily advertised in Pop
Mechanics and Pop Science, couple hundred pages of treasures to kill
for. Dunno how many times I sent them the whole $7/week profit from my
Evening Bulletin paper route for some widget or another. I spent hours
in the cellar just poring over the catalog and letting my imagination
run wild. Who was that??

>
>

>
> > >
> > > I got some Galena at the local mall of all places. There was a "Earthly
> > >Treasures" store, which has fossils, minerals and similar collectables.
> > >I picked up a beautiful galena crystal for a dollar or so,
> > >
> > Yeah, but does it work?

One of the tricks is lead-potting a hot xtal . . lost art . . maybe
not . .

>
>
>
> First thing I heard on my pre-Novice crystal set was
> "W-zero-Ocean Ocean Tom calling CQ 75."
> Turned out he lived about a mile away.

The biggest AM sig dominates period . . .

>
>
>
> >
> > A decent detector can be made from a single edge "white" razor blade and the
> > graphite (lead) from a #2 or #1 pencil. Built many, never had one fail. Every
> > few years I build one, just to keep my hand in. "Foxhole" radio from WW2,
> > although the carbon/steel detector goes back to the very beginnings of radio.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> But, but, but....whatcha gonna do when radio goes all-digital?

Holler for Squiggy . . . ? Ugg.

>
> Dick

w3rv

1827. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 12:15:21 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <tnUp9.2397$1P1.162470@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53594

W3RV, Brian, replies:
> Then comes the question of whether or not Riley ever made the comment
> in the first place - which I seriously doubt. Not his style at all,
> I'll believe any of it when I see a post citing the date/place/event
> which can be substantiated. Otherwise I'm writing it off as just
> another fabricated troll.

I wonder what happened. I posted my original rant based on
the link to the article on the ARRL site.

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/10/09/1/?nc=1

Now, this "sanitized" version just appeared in my e-mail 'IN'
basket as part of The ARRL Letter, Vol 21, No 40 (excerpted):

---------- begin excerpt ----------

==>"GOOD AMATEUR PRACTICE" MEANS NEVER HAVING TO SAY YOU'RE SORRY

FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth has endorsed a list of several
points that he feels help to define the concept of "good amateur
practice." Section 97.101(a) of the Amateur Radio Service rules refers to
"good engineering and good amateur practice"--considered to refer to
maintaining the highest standards of engineering and on-the-air
comportment. But the rule lacks specifics.

"Good amateur practice is a hard thing to define," Hollingsworth conceded.
"I'd have to say it's operating with the realization that frequencies are
shared, that there's going to be occasional interference and that's no
reason to become hateful and paranoid."

Hollingsworth says amateurs have to realize that more people than ever are
listening in, especially since September 11, 2001, and that amateurs
always need to remember that "our rights end where another person's
begin."

A Michigan Amateur Radio club has been credited with distributing a list
of "Riley-isms" culled from Hollingsworth's various talks at conventions
and hamfests and club meetings around the US. Hollingsworth--who verified
that he had been cited accurately--says his various comments represent an
effort to flesh out what "good amateur practice" consists of for
considerate the Amateur Radio operator. According to Hollingsworth, good
amateur practice means:

* giving a little ground--even if you have a right not to--in order to
help preserve Amateur Radio and not cause it to get a bad name or hasten
the day when it becomes obsolete.

* respecting band plans, because they make it possible for every mode to have a chance.

* being aware that we all love Amateur Radio, and there's no need to damage or disgrace it just to save face.

* keeping personal conflicts off the air. Settle your arguments on the telephone, the Internet or in person. Just keep them off the air.

* cutting a net or a contester a break, even if you don't have to and even if you have no interest whatsoever in nets or contesting.

* realizing that every right carries responsibilities, and just because you may have a right to do certain things doesn't mean it's right to do them in every circumstance.

* you don't "own" or get preference to use any frequency.

* not operating so that whoever hears you becomes sorry they ever got into (or tuned in on) Amateur Radio in the first place.

Hollingsworth notes that the list "doesn't touch on a lot of other technical issues, such as using 1500 W when your signal report received is 40 over 9." Good amateur practice, he said, "just means a lot of things that can't always be quantified."--thanks to Riley Hollingsworth

---------- end excerpt ----------

Note the omission of any explicit reference to occupied bandwidths or modes, subsuming them, I suppose, under the heading of "technical issues". Also noted is the mini-homily about 'respecting band plans'. (Where is that AM window on 80 anyway?)

So, I apologize if I cried "WOLF" at the '6 kHz' entry in the original text. But "the price of liberty is eternal vigilance".

73,

Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1828. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 12:30:55 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <3CUp9.2406$1P1.165039@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53595

I posted (yeah, yeah -- following up on my own posts):

> I wonder what happened. I posted my original rant based on
> the link to the article on the ARRL site.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/10/09/1/?nc=1

Just to make sure I'm not totally around the bend, here's
the excerpt from the webpage article:

------------ begin excerpt ----------------------------

A Michigan Amateur Radio club has been credited with
distributing a list of "Riley-isms" culled from
Hollingsworth's various talks at conventions and hamfests
and club meetings around the US. Hollingsworth--who
verified that he had been cited accurately--says his various
comments represent an effort to flesh out what "good amateur
practice" consists of for considerate the Amateur Radio operator.

According to Hollingsworth, good amateur practice means:

giving a little ground--even if you have a right not to--in
order to help preserve Amateur Radio and not cause it to get
a bad name or hasten the day when it becomes obsolete.

respecting band plans, because they make it possible for
every mode to have a chance.

not transmitting a 6-kHz bandwidth signal when there are
lots of people on the band.

not acting like an idiot just because you were stepped on.

being aware that we all love Amateur Radio, and there's
no need to damage or disgrace it just to save face.

keeping personal conflicts off the air. Settle your
arguments on the telephone, the Internet or in person.
Just keep them off the air.

cutting a net or a contester a break, even if you don't
have to and even if you have no interest whatsoever
in nets or contesting.

operating so that if a neighbor, niece or nephew or
news reporter hears you, that person will be impressed
with Amateur Radio.

realizing that every right carries responsibilities,
and just because you may have a right to do certain
things doesn't mean it's right to do them in every
circumstance.

you don't "own" or get preference to use any frequency
even though you've been on the same spot every morning
for years shooting the breeze with Harry.

not operating so that whoever hears you becomes sorry
they ever got into Amateur Radio in the first place.

Hollingsworth notes that the list "doesn't touch on a
lot of other technical issues, such as using 1500 W
when your signal report received is 40 over 9." Good
amateur practice, he said, "just means a lot of things
that can't always be quantified."--thanks to Riley Hollingsworth

---------- end excerpt ----------

Not hallucinating (yet).

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1829. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 15:09:25 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92A5717E0A384elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53596

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in
news:uqeu0rpvu3chb0@corp.supernews.com:

> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20021009212833.27149.00004159@mb-cg.aol.com...
>> In article <Xns92A0E4734A6CAelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
>> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>> >
>> >I don't really have anything against CW ops, but I will never really
>> >understand why some of them treat it as some sort of religeous
>> >article of faith. I recently bought an FT-817 and I am really having
>> >a blast using 5 watts of phone, even working DX with it (mobile!).
>> >Doubtless someone will post that I would be having even more fun on
>> >CW, but the fact is I wouldn't.
>> >
>> >73 de N3KIP
>>
>> Alun:
>>
>> Is that *really* a fact? Have you ever tried CW? If you didn't, you
>> really don't know how much fun you'd be having with it, do you? The
>> simple truth is that most who have (by licensing requirement) learned
>> CW and began using it on-the-air have come to the realization that it
>> is, indeed, a lot of fun! I really think you ought to give it a
>> chance! Unless you do, you're consigning yourself to a mode which I
>> found to be not much "fun" at all!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
> 'S OK, Alun. The way Larry is, even if you tried CW for [you
> fill-in-the-blank] amount of time, a) it wouldn't have been long enough
> if you still didn't enjoy it, and b) even if he was willing to concede
> the length of time, if you still didn't like it there'd be nothing but
> name-calling and other innuendoes thrown your way.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>

Thanks, I think you have a point!

1830. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 15:24:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ao9eqg$a53$1@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 53597

Alun Palmer wrote:

I think you have a point!

You bet she does. She's growing hair on it.

1831. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 15:29:57 UTC
From: KXYJ384X <Tony@u7302net.org>
Message-ID: <ao9f4pbdh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53598

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ao7lh6$g1p$0@208.206.142.87...
>
>
> Jack Wellstone wrote:
> >
> > First and foremost, Hollingsworth is a federal bureaucrat.
> > As with any bureaucrat, priority one is to protect his job,
> > at any cost.
> Everything, and I do mean Everything, is second
> > to that top priority.
>
>
>
> Shows what little you know. Federal employees are among the best
> protected in existence. Jut try ot get one fired. And if you're the
> least bit knowledgeable you won't hold your breath.
>
>
> He will do Nothing which may endanger
> > his first priority. Why do you think he's left K1MAN alone?
> > MAN has the resources to legally shake the FCC to its
> > foundation AND put Hollingsworth's job is grave danger. MAN's
> > case would be so high profile and create so much Congressional
> > heat that the FCC bosses would send Hollingsworth packing in
> > a heartbeat.
> >
>
>
> If he put K1MAN off the air he'd also have to do the same for W1AW. End
> of story. That doesn't mean K1MAN won't catch some flak for his alleged
> rule violations.

Hey Dufus, you ever hear of internal politics? No, I didn't
think so. Now go back to your 75 meter net and BS some
more about how much money you are making. And lose
some weight!
Jack 3880 nightly

1832. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 15:35:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ao9fg4$ch5$0@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 53599

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ao7ksq$e0r$0@208.206.142.87>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3DA47DC5.9DA65FF@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
> > >
> > > >Brian Kelly wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> Great! Wind the coils on varnished toilet paper tubes, they're much
> > > >> more plentiful than oatmeal boxes and the kids won't hafta eat piles
> > > >> of oatmeal to get themselves a coil form. Unless that's part of the
> > > >> "design intent".
> > >
> > > Varnish isn't really necessary. Paper towel tubes also work.
>
> It's not necessary but it stiffens & strengthens the cardboard
> considerably and damp-proofs the stuff. Makes far more better coil
> forms.
>
> > >
> >
> > Best is the tube from a roll of Saran or other cellophane wrap. Rigid
> > and much tougher than any of the rest. You'll need to cut it of course.
> >
> >
> > >1N34s are for weenies, I used real Galena crystals
> > > >> with cat whiskers. Dunno where you can get those today.
> >
> >
> > Why make it hard? Next thing you know there'll be a "No Cat's Whisker
> > International"
> > group spring up <g>
>
> Heh. Help me here Dick. There was a mail-order company in Chicago back
> then which sold all kinds of wunnerful stuff techo-kids could buy like
> mounted Galena xtals and cat whisker assemblies and far beyond just
> those. Carbide lamps, Model T ignition coils . . . Big thick catalog
> which was in a small format, maybe 5" x 7", heavily advertised in Pop
> Mechanics and Pop Science, couple hundred pages of treasures to kill
> for. Dunno how many times I sent them the whole $7/week profit from my
> Evening Bulletin paper route for some widget or another. I spent hours
> in the cellar just poring over the catalog and letting my imagination
> run wild. Who was that??

Gotta be Allied Radio. Later became Allied-Radio Shack. Then Tandy Leather bought it all up and the rest is Rat Shack history. At that time was the Chicago equalivent of Radio Row. Sent them many an order, also some to Burstein-Applebee in Kansas City, who also had a walk-in store in Springfield MO. into the late 60's. All gone now, more's the loss. Layfayette was another similiar operation, I think more an east coast operation.

BTW I spent the year 1961 stationed on a Nike base over in the Jersey flats a few miles east of Philly and spent many an off duty hour crusing Radio Row. What a resource!

> >
> >
> >
> > > >
> One of the tricks is lead-potting a hot xtal . . lost art . . maybe
> not . .
>
>

I got em ready potted from Allied Radio.

>
> >
> >
> > First thing I heard on my pre-Novice crystal set was
> > "W-zero-Ocean Ocean Tom calling CQ 75."
> > Turned out he lived about a mile away.
>
> The biggest AM sig dominates period . . .

My school bus later went past his place, and I noticed he had some hefty wire antenna out with a number of 8 foot florescent tube lamps tied to the wire. Must have really lit up the neighborhood at night when he modulated that KW. That was my first direct exposure to ham radio, tho I was listening to AM hams on an old BC/SW set my Dad gave me that was non-working and somehow I fixed. It had a grid cap that was broke off the mixer tube, I thought that had to be where it belonged and I was right. First success in radio!

> > >
> > > A decent detector can be made from a single edge "white" razor blade and the
> > > graphite (lead) from a #2 or #1 pencil. Built many, never had one fail. Every
> > > few years I build one, just to keep my hand in. "Foxhole" radio from WW2,
> > > although the carbon/steel detector goes back to the very beginnings of radio.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > But, but, but....whatcha gonna do when radio goes all-digital?
>
> Holler for Squiggy . . . ?

Har. I doubt he'll be of much help....Prolly ask what Galena is.

1833. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-12 17:57:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021012135719.13096.00009750@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53600

>Subject: Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!
>From: "160 Meter AM" AMforever@AMon160meterNOW.net
>Date: 10/11/02 2:42 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ao79j7emu0@pita.alt.net>

>Jake on 1875 khz nightly

And the world is glad you are there...Away from REAL people.

Putz

Steve

1834. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 02:09:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021012220919.27149.00004367@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53651

In article <uqeu0rpvu3chb0@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> Is that *really* a fact? Have you ever tried CW? If you didn't, you
>really
>> don't know how much fun you'd be having with it, do you? The simple
>> truth is that most who have (by licensing requirement) learned CW and
>> began using it on-the-air have come to the realization that it is, indeed,
>> a lot of fun! I really think you ought to give it a chance! Unless you
>do,
>> you're consigning yourself to a mode which I found to be not much
>> "fun" at all!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>'S OK, Alun. The way Larry is, even if you tried CW for [you
>fill-in-the-blank] amount of time, a) it wouldn't have been long enough if
>you still didn't enjoy it, and b) even if he was willing to concede the
>length of time, if you still didn't like it there'd be nothing but
>name-calling and other innuendoes thrown your way.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

Be sure to hold your breath firmly while waiting for the "innuendoes" I'm
about to "throw Alun's way."

73 de Larry, K3LT

1835. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 12:38:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021013083857.02651.00001075@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 53654

In article <Xns92A4D761475DDelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>>>> The distance requirement is there because cb is technically a "land
>>>> mobile service". and is defined as short-range.
>>>
>>>True, but the frequency and mode are unsuitable for that purpose
>>
>> How so? 30-50 MHz are/were allocated to land mobile, using channelized
>> FM sets. Lots of the users of those freqs hear/d dx signals when the
>> muf gets high enough. But those services remain land-mobile.
>
>The Land Mobile guys stay on fixed channels and have no interest in
>chasing DX - two things that don't apply to hobby users as a group

cb is on fixed channels, and was not meant as a 'hobby' service at all. It was
intended as a lowcost alternative to the VHF/UHF FM land-mobile services.

Example: Back when, a big taxicab company like Yellow Cab could afford to equip
its fleet with VHF FM sets. The license applications, fees and related costs
could be amortized over a lot of vehicles. But a small cab operation couldn't
afford the high startup costs. Competitive advantage: big company. So FCC
created cb so that the little companies could have land mobile radio without
the high startup cost.

>>>>>It's too late for anyone to put the genie back in the bottle, I
>>>>>think.
>>
>>>> Maybe not. As other communication and radio services develop, 27 MHz
>>>> cb may decline. Are there as many active cb users today as during the
>>>> boom years of the '70s?
>>>
>>>Maybe you're right.
>>
>> Hard to tell because we don't know how many cb users there are today.

I would venture to guess that the number of 'hobby type' cb users is far less
today than it was 25-35 years ago.

>>>> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it would
>>>> probably have died out before WW2.
>>
>> Seems to me the choice was obvious.
>
>We don't know our hobby would have died out -it doesn't seem all that
>likely to me, actually

It would have been legislated out of existence. Without formal recognition as a service, protected by treaties and such, the bands assigned to amateurs would have been quickly and easily reassigned to other services. The relatively few hams of the day would have been no match for the constant attacks by other services.

But with amateur radio formally recognized as a valid radio service, with exclusive bands allocated, elimination was made much more difficult.

Take a look at the battles fought at the radio conferences of the '20s and it's clear that the decisions made in 1927 were necessary for amateur radio to survive.

>>>>>Many other countries had no code testing before that (yes, I do know
>>>>>that the US did).
>>>>
>>>> Many countries wanted amateur radio to die out in 1927.
>>>>
>>>>>The other side of the story
>>>>>is that frequency allocations on HF were much less uniform before
>>>>>that, but the price paid was too dear.
>>>>
>>>> The alternative was oblivion for the ARS.
>>>>
>>>>> Nothing else has ever caused more trouble and dissension in ham
>>>>> radio.
>>>>
>>>> Hardly. There were bigger flaps when:
>>>>
>>>> - SSB displaced AM on HF
>>>> - FM displaced AM on VHF/UHF
>>>> - Generals got all privs in 1953
>>>>
>>>> and the real biggie:
>>>>
>>>> - "incentive licensing" was reintroduced in 1968.
>>>>
>>>> The code test issue seems like a biggie because we have the 'net to
>>>> spread the noise around.
>>>
>>>I disagree. All of the above were much shorter term issues, and a
>>>couple of them only apply to the US.
>>
>> If you call decades "short term", I'd agree.
>
>Oddly enough, yes
>
>>
>>>The code testing issue has dragged on for
>>>decades, and is a big issue in many other countries. I've been a ham
>>>for 22 years, and I can't remember when it wasn't a massive issue.
>>>

>> I've been a ham for 35 years (October 12, 1967!) and from what I've
>> seen it's only been an issue since the mid-80s. Yes, there have been a
>> few who were against code testing, but it hasn't been much of an issue
>> until recently.
>
>That's a matter of definition. Given the reductions in the cutoff
>frequency at the international level started in 1939, and that the FCC
>floated a communicator licence for above 220 back in the 70s, I'd say you
>were wrong about that, although it may have taken longer to penetrate
>your conciousness!

Not at all. The reductions were done for two reasons:

1) Not much routine Morse activity on VHF/UHF
2) Encouragement to use VHF/UHF for experimentation.

>> More important, when the subject has come up in the past, the
>> overwhelming response has been to keep code testing at the then-current
>> levels. Support for code testing was high among all groups that cared
>> to express an opinion. That opinion distribution has changed in recent
>> years, as evidenced by comments to the FCC.

>This is where I have to tell you I'm not from the US.
>The code tests here
>are easier than in most countries, and I'm not talking about the code
>speed.

Same is true of the writtens.

>My point is that US opinion has tolerated code testing to a greater
>extent because of the Mickey Mouse nature of the tests (no offence to
>Disney!).

Been outpaced by the UK, now.

I took the 13 and 20 wpm Morse tests in front of an FCC examiner. Had to
produce at least 1 solid minute of legible copy out of 5. No going back and
correcting errors, either. Also had to send Morse to the examiner's
satisfaction - with a straight key.

>A lot more people would have got a lot more upset if they had
>been faced with the code tests as they are in some other countries. I was
>never able to pass a code test in the UK when I lived there. I would at
>that time have had to read 96% correct in random groups at 12 wpm,
>otherwise no HF. I still can't even do that now, or even the day after I
>legitimately passed the 20 wpm test over here. Mind you, the test over
>there is now 5 wpm plain language, but I don't think the percentage copy
>is any lower.

The UK has their new "Foundation" license. Its "code test" is hardly a valid
indication of Morse knowledge.
>
>You only need about 70% right to get the 7 questions out of 10 right over

>here. Most of the world don't know that about the US, they actually have
>to get most of the characters right in their code tests, and they probably
>think you do too. I did until I actually came to live here. This is not
>bashing the US, I agree with anything that makes it easier, including
>abolishing it! Of course, I expect that in some of the smaller countries
>the code tests are even easier than they are here.

What you are really arguing is that the standards are set at too high a level, rather than that the Morse test needs to go away. Same argument holds true for the writtens.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1836. Re: Free DXCC just like we used to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 12:38:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021013083858.02651.00001076@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 53653

In article <ao6gts$52j$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021009213659.02651.00001024@mb-cc.aol.com...
>> In article <ort8qucnum0vmmpg54ahs180fe8rieq2d3@4ax.com>, Walt Davidson
>> <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> writes:
>>
>> >I think the English
>> >bitter beer has more to do with it than the food!
>>
>> The only decent bitter we Yanks get is the Guinness. And too few on this
>side
>> of the pond appreciate the stuff.
>>
>> "Drink your beer before it gets cold"
>
>I've developed a very fond liking to the stuff...especially
>on tap in a restaurant. Happily, many of the pub style
>places here in NJ carry Guiness on tap.

Yer makin' me thirsty, Bill.

I was introduced to the stuff by a coworker who is a pipe major. "Drink your
beer before it gets cold" is one of his. Also the following exchange:

"That's not MY beer"

"How do you know?"

"It's empty"

>I've also tried the Guiness in the can...not bad at all.

Also available bottled. Don't know which is better. Need to run some
experiments.

>The first time I had one in a can (I poured it into a
>glass which is the only way it should be done) I heard
>this clunking sound in the can when I turned it over.
>Seems the Guiness folks put a small (about one inch)
>plastic ball in each can to improve the way it pours.

ahhhhhhhh......

>Cheers (now that IS a most appropriate signoff for this thread :-)

Bob's yer uncle!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1837. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 12:38:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021013083859.02651.00001077@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 53652

In article <tnUp9.2397$1P1.162470@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>Note the omission of any explicit reference to occupied bandwidths
>or modes, subsuming them, I suppose, under the heading of "technical
>issues". Also noted is the mini-homily about 'respecting band plans'.
>(Where is that AM window on 80 anyway?)

Centers on 3885, as I recall.
>
>So, I apologize if I cried "WOLF" at the '6 kHz' entry in the
>original text. But "the price of liberty is eternal vigilance".

I don't think you cried wolf. The 6 kHz quote was there at first - I saw it.

Funny thing is, Riley's an AMer, too.

Your point about AM roundtables is quite valid. 10 hams on a 6 kHz wide channle works out to 600 Hz/ham. 3 hams on a 2.1 kHz channel works out to 700 Hz/ham. Etc.

Of course one has to ask - why couldn't the AMstyle roundtable, complete with old buzzard transmissions, be carried out on SSB?

73 de Jim, N2EY

>

1838. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 15:02:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aoc1tu$ab3$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53655

Well then the answer is that it is fun and challanging to operate, maintain, build AM equipment.

It harkens to the days when the 'average' ham could actually repair, modify, design, operate his equipment.

And believe it or not AM just plain sounds good. Especially if its received on a real tube type AM receiver.

Try it sometime.

Oh and one more point. Why is everyone complaining about AM being 6 kc wide? What does that crystal filter do in YOUR receiver?

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021013083859.02651.00001077@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <tnUp9.2397$1P1.162470@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "K7JEB"
> <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:
>

> Of course one has to ask - why couldn't the AMstyle roundtable, complete with
> old buzzard transmissions, be carried out on SSB?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.401 / Virus Database: 226 - Release Date: 09-Oct-02

1839. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 15:18:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021013111838.14489.00001023@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53656

>Subject: Re: what a bunch of B***S***
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/13/02 7:38 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021013083859.02651.00001077@mb-cc.aol.com>

>Of course one has to ask - why couldn't the AMstyle roundtable, complete with
>old buzzard transmissions, be carried out on SSB?

Fidelity.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1840. Re: Re2: Homebrew in QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 15:55:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210130755.1fc694e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53657

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ao9fg4$ch5$0@208.206.142.96>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<ao7ksq$e0r$0@208.206.142.87>...
> > considerably and damp-proofs the stuff. Makes far more better coil
> > forms.
> >

> > Heh. Help me here Dick. There was a mail-order company in Chicago back
> > then which sold all kinds of wunnerful stuff techo-kids could buy like
> > mounted Galena xtals and cat whisker assemblies and far beyond just
> > those. Carbide lamps, Model T ignition coils . . . Big thick catalog
> > which was in a small format, maybe 5" x 7", heavily advertised in Pop
> > Mechanics and Pop Science, couple hundred pages of treasures to kill
> > for. Dunno how many times I sent them the whole $7/week profit from my
> > Evening Bulletin paper route for some widget or another. I spent hours
> > in the cellar just poring over the catalog and letting my imagination
> > run wild. Who was that??
>
>
>
>
> Gotta be Allied Radio. Later became Allied-Radio Shack. Then Tandy
> Leather bought it all up and the rest is Rat Shack history. At that time
> was the Chicago equalivent of Radio Row. Sent them many an order,

Nah, it wasn't an electronics emporium as such, they only had a few
pages out of several hunderd which offered xtal set parts, the rest of
their wares were not related to radio or electronics. They didn't have
any tube-type "stuff" at all for instance. This outfit had to go back
at least to the 30s maybe the 20s. I think it died in the '55-'60
timeframe. Damn this half-heimers . . !

> also
> some to Burstein-Applebee in Kansas City, who also had a walk-in store
> in Springfield MO. into the late 60's.

Pretty common in urban areas, we had several into the 60s. We had a
good local version right here in the neighborhood, Kass Electronics.
Catered to the industrial and TV repair markets plus ya could hoof out
with an S-Line or a spool of Saxton open-wire feedline or a pair of
3-500z's. Lotsa parts. Poof, all gone. But I do have an HRO about 45
minutes down I-95 in beautiful downtown tax-free New Castle Delaware.
Wanna $3,000 radio? Fine. Parts? Fuhgeddit.

> All gone now, more's the loss.
> Layfayette was another similiar operation, I think more an east coast
> operation.

I think they were based on Long Island. Lafeyette was good right up
into the late 70s, made Rat Slack look sick even then. I glomed a
lotta nice parts like vernier dial drives when they marked everything
down to dump inventory to go outta biz, still have some of it NIB.
Rat Slack gets worse by the month.

>
> BTW I spent the year 1961 stationed on a Nike base over in the Jersey
> flats a few miles east of Philly

Thankew for protecting our buns Richard. Is that some gawd-awful wet
sandy boonies right in the middle of the NE corridor or what?! I spent
a lotta time as a civilian Naval at NAS Lakehurst. UGLY.

and spent many an off duty hour crusing
> Radio Row. What a resource!

Unbelievable is more like it. I still have heaps of goodies I picked
up along Arch Street as a kid in the very early '50s. Huge asset to
area kids struggling to get on the air when the FCC popped the Novice
ticket. My Radio Row 80m ARC-5 TX got me up and running for five
bucks. The Big Guns didn't miss their share, either. Lotta multi-Kw
treasures could be had for zip. One of the legendary gods of the Big
Guns Gerry Mathis w3bes later w3gm ran one of those stores and always
took the time to guide kids like myself into the hobby.

>
>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > >
> > One of the tricks is lead-potting a hot xtal . . lost art . . maybe
> > not . .
> >
> >
>
> I got em ready potted from Allied Radio.

I wonder if I could make one . . I'll bet . .

>
>
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > First thing I heard on my pre-Novice crystal set was
> > > "W-zero-Ocean Ocean Tom calling CQ 75."
> > > Turned out he lived about a mile away.
> >

> > The biggest AM sig dominates period . . .
>
>
>
> My school bus later went past his place, and I noticed he had some
> hefty wire antenna out with a number of 8 foot florescent tube lamps
> tied to the wire. Must have really lit up the neighborhood at night when
> he modulated that KW.

Standard "tuning indicator". I didn't own a single meter for anything,
couldn't afford any such things so I taped a short flourescent tube
onto the end-fed 150 foot wire just outside my bedroom window. An NE-2
did the job too. I simply juggled the plate tuning cap & tapped up &
down the plate coil for max lamp brightness. Got "bit" a few times but
what the hell they only took a week to heal . .

> That was my first direct exposure to ham radio,
> tho I was listening to AM hams on an old BC/SW set my Dad gave me that
> was non-working and somehow I fixed. It had a grid cap that was broke
> off the mixer tube, I thought that had to be where it belonged and I was
> right. First success in radio!

Good show! My first exposure to real radio similar, a big living room
BC/SW RX owned by a couple old maid aunts which could tune 80 & 40.
The bug bit hard, especially the thumps of CW below the phone bands. I
dug up a chart of the code and tried to decipher the thumps and
actually managed to do it here and there and actually got better at it
as time went on. That really done it.
>
>
> > > >
> > > > A decent detector can be made from a single edge "white" razor blade and the
> > > > graphite (lead) from a #2 or #1 pencil. Built many, never had one fail. Every
> > > > few years I build one, just to keep my hand in. "Foxhole" radio from WW2,
> > > > although the carbon/steel detector goes back to the very beginnings of radio.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > But, but, but....whatcha gonna do when radio goes all-digital?
> >
> > Holler for Squiggy . . . ?
>
>
> Har. I doubt he'll be of much help....Prolly ask what Galena is.

He'd be clueless. Last I heard he's running balls-to-the-wall after DX
with that archaic narrowband ssb thingey he dissed in volumes. After
ranting endlessly about allowing Spread Rectum to the band edges of
20m.

w3rv

1841. Re: what a bunch of BULLSHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 17:00:56 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <9FKdnSPeON5ZOzSgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 53658

"lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
news:4n7equ4v72e8stonbreu52ujdtlg68l30b@4ax.com...
> sorry dude... but i am not chuck.... i am on comcast, like he is...
> but i'm not him... he's reading here though.. and i did get the link
> from him... take care..
>
> jon

oh ok Chuckie wink:wink:

1842. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 18:53:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <7jjq9.29811$OB5.2464905@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53659

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote

>
> A substantial amount of DSB-AM operation is in the form
> of nets and roundtables. These things draw in a
> significant number of participants. The most prominent
> example for me out here in Arizona is the West Coast AMI
> Net at 3870 on Wednesday nights. There are typically
> 20 - 30 check-ins, each waiting patiently for 2 - 3
> minutes of air time. Even though this operation occupies
> 6 kHz of bandwidth, the frequency utilization quotient
> (no. of stations / bandwidth occupied) is much higher
> than a couple of 3-kHz SSB stations communicating one-
> on-one.

This has to be the most tortured logic to make a (faulty) conclusion that I have ever seen! 6 kHz is being utilized at any given time by only a single AM transmitter, no matter how many people are waiting in line to transmit. If the same information can be transmitted in a 2.1 kHz SSB slot, then SSB enjoys a "frequency utilization quotient" advantage of 2.85:1 over AM.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

1843. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 18:58:47 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92A6986075716elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53660

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
news:20021013083857.02651.00001075@mb-cc.aol.com:

> In article <Xns92A4D761475DDelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>>>> The distance requirement is there because cb is technically a "land
>>>>> mobile service". and is defined as short-range.
>>>>
>>>>True, but the frequency and mode are unsuitable for that purpose
>>>
>>> How so? 30-50 MHz are/were allocated to land mobile, using
>>> channelized FM sets. Lots of the users of those freqs hear/d dx
>>> signals when the muf gets high enough. But those services remain
>>> land-mobile.
>>
>>The Land Mobile guys stay on fixed channels and have no interest in
>>chasing DX - two things that don't apply to hobby users as a group
>
> cb is on fixed channels, and was not meant as a 'hobby' service at all.
> It was intended as a lowcost alternative to the VHF/UHF FM land-mobile
> services.
>
> Example: Back when, a big taxicab company like Yellow Cab could afford
> to equip its fleet with VHF FM sets. The license applications, fees and
> related costs could be amortized over a lot of vehicles. But a small
> cab operation couldn't afford the high startup costs. Competitive
> advantage: big company. So FCC created cb so that the little companies
> could have land mobile radio without the high startup cost.

23 channels is not really fixed channel in the sense that I meant it. A
land mobile station has to stay on it's assigned channel.

>
>>>>>>It's too late for anyone to put the genie back in the bottle, I
>>>>>>think.
>>>
>>>>>> Maybe not. As other communication and radio services develop, 27
>>>>>> MHz cb may decline. Are there as many active cb users today as
>>>>>> during the boom years of the '70s?
>>>>
>>>>Maybe you're right.
>>>
>>> Hard to tell because we don't know how many cb users there are today.
>>>

>
> I would venture to guess that the number of 'hobby type' cb users is
> far less today than it was 25-35 years ago.
>

Agreed

>
>>>>> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it
>>>>> would probably have died out before WW2.
>>>
>>> Seems to me the choice was obvious.
>>
>>We don't know our hobby would have died out -it doesn't seem all that
>>likely to me, actually
>
> It would have been legislated out of existence. Without formal
> recognition as a service, protected by treaties and such, the bands
> assigned to amateurs would have been quickly and easily reassigned to
> other services. The relatively few hams of the day would have been no
> match for the constant attacks by other services.
>
> But with amateur radio formally recognized as a valid radio service,
> with exclusive bands allocated, elimination was made much more
> difficult.
>
> Take a look at the battles fought at the radio conferences of the '20s
> and it's clear that the decisions made in 1927 were necessary for
> amateur radio to survive.

That was the US argument at the time, but I don't think including a Morse
test was necessary to settle the issue. We'll never know, will we?

>
>>>>>>Many other countries had no code testing before that (yes, I do
>>>>>>know that the US did).
>>>>>
>>>>> Many countries wanted amateur radio to die out in 1927.
>>>>>
>>>>>>The other side of the story
>>>>>>is that frequency allocations on HF were much less uniform before
>>>>>>that, but the price paid was too dear.
>>>>>
>>>>> The alternative was oblivion for the ARS.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Nothing else has ever caused more trouble and dissension in ham
>>>>>> radio.
>>>>>
>>>>> Hardly. There were bigger flaps when:
>>>>>
>>>>> - SSB displaced AM on HF

>>>>> - FM displaced AM on VHF/UHF
>>>>> - Generals got all privs in 1953
>>>>>
>>>>> and the real biggie:
>>>>>
>>>>> - "incentive licensing" was reintroduced in 1968.
>>>>>
>>>>> The code test issue seems like a biggie because we have the 'net to
>>>>> spread the noise around.
>>>>
>>>>I disagree. All of the above were much shorter term issues, and a
>>>>couple of them only apply to the US.
>>>
>>> If you call decades "short term", I'd agree.
>>
>>Oddly enough, yes
>>
>>>
>>>>The code testing issue has dragged on for
>>>>decades, and is a big issue in many other countries. I've been a ham
>>>>for 22 years, and I can't remember when it wasn't a massive issue.
>>>>
>>> I've been a ham for 35 years (October 12, 1967!) and from what I've
>>> seen it's only been an issue since the mid-80s. Yes, there have been
>>> a few who were against code testing, but it hasn't been much of an
>>> issue until recently.
>>
>>That's a matter of definition. Given the reductions in the cutoff
>>frequency at the international level started in 1939, and that the FCC
>>floated a communicator licence for above 220 back in the 70s, I'd say
>>you were wrong about that, although it may have taken longer to
>>penetrate your conciousness!
>
> Not at all. The reductions were done for two reasons:
>
> 1) Not much routine Morse activity on VHF/UHF
> 2) Encouragement to use VHF/UHF for experimentation.

That was the rationale given, but that doesn't mean that these were the
only motivations behnd it, as I'm sure you'll agree

>
>>> More important, when the subject has come up in the past, the
>>> overwhelming response has been to keep code testing at the
>>> then-current levels. Support for code testing was high among all
>>> groups that cared to express an opinion. That opinion distribution
>>> has changed in recent years, as evidenced by comments to the FCC.
>
>>This is where I have to tell you I'm not from the US.
>>The code tests here
>>are easier than in most countries, and I'm not talking about the code

>>speed.
>
> Same is true of the writtens.

True

>
>>My point is that US opinion has tolerated code testing to a greater
>>extent because of the Mickey Mouse nature of the tests (no offence to
>>Disney!).
>
> Been outpaced by the UK, now.

For the M3, yes. But the point is that US hams have been led to think code test are easy to pass because theirs are easy.

>
> I took the 13 and 20 wpm Morse tests in front of an FCC examiner. Had
> to produce at least 1 solid minute of legible copy out of 5. No going
> back and correcting errors, either. Also had to send Morse to the
> examiner's satisfaction - with a straight key.

I would have had to make almost solid copy (see below) for the whole test, not a mere minute, except that I couldn't manage it! And I would have had to send for the whole period with no uncorrected errors atall. That is tougher than the tests you passed, albeit at 12 wpm, and I never reached the test standard, and still haven't. Maybe with a little practice I might have been able to copy a mere 60 seconds? Who knows?

When the no-code licence came out over here. I took the tests to get one straight away, and refused to take the 5 wpm test, only because I thought I had no hope of passing. That was my mistake, I simply failed to understand how much easier it was than a UK test. Within about a year I was an Extra.

Incidentally I have worked N3IYI, who was in the very first group of people to get a no-code licence (I think N3IYF was in the same test with him, and was officially the first), and he told me that they took their tests before the announcement.
>
>>A lot more people would have got a lot more upset if they had
>>been faced with the code tests as they are in some other countries. I
>>was never able to pass a code test in the UK when I lived there. I
>>would at that time have had to read 96% correct in random groups at 12
>>wpm, otherwise no HF. I still can't even do that now, or even the day
>>after I legitimately passed the 20 wpm test over here. Mind you, the
>>test over there is now 5 wpm plain language, but I don't think the
>>percentage copy is any lower.
>
> The UK has their new "Foundation" license. Its "code test" is hardly a
> valid indication of Morse knowledge.

Right. But it's the theory side of it that bothers me.

>>
>>You only need about 70% right to get the 7 questions out of 10 right
>>over here. Most of the world don't know that about the US, they
>>actually have to get most of the characters right in their code tests,
>>and they probably think you do too. I did until I actually came to live
>>here. This is not bashing the US, I agree with anything that makes it
>>easier, including abolishing it! Of course, I expect that in some of
>>the smaller countries the code tests are even easier than they are
>>here.
>
> What you are really arguing is that the standards are set at too high a
> level, rather than that the Morse test needs to go away. Same argument
> holds true for the writtens.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Actually that's not my argument atall. What I'm saying is that the US code tests have been so dumbed down for so long now that you don't understand over here why other countries are trying to do away with them. Rather than dumb them down, isn't it more honest to ditch them?

That said, you are quite right that the UK is now playing your game, and going even further, with it's 'Morse asessment' for the Foundation, aka M3 licence. At least the RA has said outright that it plans to dump code testing as soon as the ITU says it can, and regards this as an interim plan only. The FCC apparently adopted easier tests as a long term solution.

Of course, the FCC is in no position to issue an edict as soon as the ITU rules. Your public comment process means that code testing will probably be abolished here in about 2005, with NPRMs and suchlike to contend with to delay the process. Oddly enough, I would advise people to take the 5 wpm test. Passing it doesn't mean that they can read code, anyway!

73 de N3KIP

1844. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 20:42:07 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uqjmuuc4rnre28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53661

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021012220919.27149.00004367@mb-cg.aol.com...
> In article <uqeu0rpvu3chb0@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> Is that *really* a fact? Have you ever tried CW? If you didn't, you
> >really
> >> don't know how much fun you'd be having with it, do you? The simple
> >> truth is that most who have (by licensing requirement) learned CW and
> >> began using it on-the-air have come to the realization that it is,
indeed,
> >> a lot of fun! I really think you ought to give it a chance! Unless
you
> >do,
> >> you're consigning yourself to a mode which I found to be not much
> >> "fun" at all!
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >'S OK, Alun. The way Larry is, even if you tried CW for [you
> >fill-in-the-blank] amount of time, a) it wouldn't have been long enough
if
> >you still didn't enjoy it, and b) even if he was willing to concede the
> >length of time, if you still didn't like it there'd be nothing but
> >name-calling and other innuendoes thrown your way.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> Be sure to hold your breath firmly while waiting for the "innuendoes" I'm
> about to "throw Alun's way."
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

heh heh, Alun. Or, ya call 'im on it long before he ever does it and he'll
just not do it...ROFLMAO...

Kim W5TIT

1845. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 21:11:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021013171134.14335.00001732@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 53663

In article <Xns92A6986075716elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>>>The Land Mobile guys stay on fixed channels and have no interest in
>>>chasing DX - two things that don't apply to hobby users as a group
>>
>> cb is on fixed channels, and was not meant as a 'hobby' service at all.
>> It was intended as a lowcost alternative to the VHF/UHF FM land-mobile
>> services.
>>
>> Example: Back when, a big taxicab company like Yellow Cab could afford
>> to equip its fleet with VHF FM sets. The license applications, fees and
>> related costs could be amortized over a lot of vehicles. But a small
>> cab operation couldn't afford the high startup costs. Competitive
>> advantage: big company. So FCC created cb so that the little companies
>> could have land mobile radio without the high startup cost.
>
>23 channels is not really fixed channel in the sense that I meant it. A
>land mobile station has to stay on it's assigned channel.

It's still a channelized service. 23 channels is not very many, and many of the
early cb sets did not cover all channels.

>> I would venture to guess that the number of 'hobby type' cb users is
>> far less today than it was 25-35 years ago.
>
>Agreed
>
So cb may shrink until it is almost nonexistent.

>>>>>> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it
>>>>>> would probably have died out before WW2.
>>>>
>>>> Seems to me the choice was obvious.
>>>
>>>We don't know our hobby would have died out -it doesn't seem all that
>>>likely to me, actually
>>
>> It would have been legislated out of existence. Without formal
>> recognition as a service, protected by treaties and such, the bands
>> assigned to amateurs would have been quickly and easily reassigned to
>> other services. The relatively few hams of the day would have been no
>> match for the constant attacks by other services.
>>
>> But with amateur radio formally recognized as a valid radio service,

>> with exclusive bands allocated, elimination was made much more
>> difficult.
>>
>> Take a look at the battles fought at the radio conferences of the '20s
>> and it's clear that the decisions made in 1927 were necessary for
>> amateur radio to survive.
>
>That was the US argument at the time, but I don't think including a Morse
>test was necessary to settle the issue. We'll never know, will we?

It's a logical deduction that without service status, the ARS would not have
lasted long after 1927.

>>>>>The code testing issue has dragged on for
>>>>>decades, and is a big issue in many other countries. I've been a ham
>>>>>for 22 years, and I can't remember when it wasn't a massive issue.
>>>>>
>>>> I've been a ham for 35 years (October 12, 1967!) and from what I've
>>>> seen it's only been an issue since the mid-80s. Yes, there have been
>>>> a few who were against code testing, but it hasn't been much of an
>>>> issue until recently.
>>>
>>>That's a matter of definition. Given the reductions in the cutoff
>>>frequency at the international level started in 1939, and that the FCC
>>>floated a communicator licence for above 220 back in the 70s, I'd say
>>>you were wrong about that, although it may have taken longer to
>>>penetrate your conciousness!
>>
>> Not at all. The reductions were done for two reasons:
>>
>> 1) Not much routine Morse activity on VHF/UHF
>> 2) Encouragement to use VHF/UHF for experimentation.
>
>That was the rationale given, but that doesn't mean that these were the
>only motivations behnd it, as I'm sure you'll agree

Those two were by far the main motivations.

>>>> More important, when the subject has come up in the past, the
>>>> overwhelming response has been to keep code testing at the
>>>> then-current levels. Support for code testing was high among all
>>>> groups that cared to express an opinion. That opinion distribution
>>>> has changed in recent years, as evidenced by comments to the FCC.

>>>This is where I have to tell you I'm not from the US.
>>>The code tests here
>>>are easier than in most countries, and I'm not talking about the code
>>>speed.
>>
>> Same is true of the writtens.
>
>True

You asked in another post why you had to learn Morse in order to work HF phone.
The answer is "for the same reason hams have had to learn all sorts of things
for the writtens that had nothing to do with what they wanted to do".
For example, I had to learn:

- VHF/UHF techniques in order to work HF
- SSB, FM, and other modes in order to work CW
- all sorts of theory in order to use manufactured equipment.

Etc.
>
>>>My point is that US opinion has tolerated code testing to a greater
>>>extent because of the Mickey Mouse nature of the tests (no offence to
>>>Disney!).
>>
>> Been outpaced by the UK, now.
>
>For the M3, yes. But the point is that US hams have been led to think code
>test are easy to pass because theirs are easy.

There's no reason other countries could not have adopted US testing standards.
Failure to do so is not due to US hams, nor due to S25.5.
>
>> I took the 13 and 20 wpm Morse tests in front of an FCC examiner. Had
>> to produce at least 1 solid minute of legible copy out of 5. No going
>> back and correcting errors, either. Also had to send Morse to the
>> examiner's satisfaction - with a straight key.
>
>I would have had to make almost solid copy (see below) for the whole test,
>not a mere minute, except that I couldn't manage it! And I would have had
>to send for the whole period with no uncorrected errors atall. That is
>tougher than the tests you passed, albeit at 12 wpm, and I never reached
>the test standard, and still haven't.

If that had been the US standard, I'd have met it.

>Maybe with a little practice I might
>have been able to copy a mere 60 seconds? Who knows?

Is that the fault of S25.5? Is it the fault of code testing in any way? Not at
all.
>
>When the no-code licence came out over here. I took the tests to get one
>straight away, and refused to take the 5 wpm test, only because I thought
>I had no hope of passing. That was my mistake, I simply failed to
>understand how much easier it was than a UK test. Within about a year I
>was an Extra.

IOW you did not research what the test required.

>>>A lot more people would have got a lot more upset if they had
>>>been faced with the code tests as they are in some other countries. I
>>>was never able to pass a code test in the UK when I lived there. I
>>>would at that time have had to read 96% correct in random groups at 12

>>>wpm, otherwise no HF. I still can't even do that now, or even the day
>>>after I legitimately passed the 20 wpm test over here. Mind you, the
>>>test over there is now 5 wpm plain language, but I don't think the
>>>percentage copy is any lower.
>>
>> The UK has their new "Foundation" license. Its "code test" is hardly a
>> valid indication of Morse knowledge.
>
>Right. But it's the theory side of it that bothers me.

Why? How many hams, in the US or UK, actually build their own equipment from scratch, or kits? (I do, btw).

Why should a new ham have to learn, say, the block diagram for a PLL-type synthesizer in order to use a manufactured rig? Particularly when that rig has a DDS-type LO?
>
>>>You only need about 70% right to get the 7 questions out of 10 right
>>>over here. Most of the world don't know that about the US, they
>>>actually have to get most of the characters right in their code tests,
>>>and they probably think you do too. I did until I actually came to live
>>>here. This is not bashing the US, I agree with anything that makes it
>>>easier, including abolishing it! Of course, I expect that in some of
>>>the smaller countries the code tests are even easier than they are
>>>here.
>>
>> What you are really arguing is that the standards are set at too high a
>> level, rather than that the Morse test needs to go away. Same argument
>> holds true for the writtens.
>
>Actually that's not my argument atall.

It's the logical conclusion that results from your argument.

>What I'm saying is that the US code
>tests have been so dumbed down for so long now that you don't understand
>over here why other countries are trying to do away with them.

The toughest code test requirement that the USA has ever had for a ham license is the "1 minute of 5 & send to the examiner" test. Why didn't the UK adopt a more reasonable testing standard?

> Rather than
>dumb them down, isn't it more honest to ditch them?

Nope. The reasonable thing is to have a reasonable test standard.
>
>That said, you are quite right that the UK is now playing your game, and
>going even further, with it's 'Morse asessment' for the Foundation, aka M3
>licence.

It's not my game at all. And not all of the UK agrees - ever hear of the UKRS?

>At least the RA has said outright that it plans to dump code
>testing as soon as the ITU says it can, and regards this as an interim
>plan only. The FCC apparently adopted easier tests as a long term
>solution.

Not really. The FCC actually made the tests tougher in 1968, then began
relaxing them in 1983
>
>Of course, the FCC is in no position to issue an edict as soon as the ITU
>rules. Your public comment process means that code testing will probably
>be abolished here in about 2005, with NPRMs and suchlike to contend with
>to delay the process.

I disagree 100%. Here's why:

FCC specifically asked for comments on the code tests in 1990 (for VHF/UHF) and
again in 1998 (for all ham licenses). In both cases, FCC found no compelling
reason to continue code testing for the licenses under discussion.

FCC specifically said in its R&O for the 2000 restructure that it saw "no
regulatory purpose" in code tests for any class of ham license EXCEPT meeting
S25.5. They were very clear that the treaty was the one and only reason that
the 5 wpm test was retained. In FCC's opinion, 5 wpm was the minimum required
to meet the intent of S25.5, so it had to be retained.

In addition, by granting medical waivers back in 1990, FCC showed that it did
not consider code tests above 5 wpm to be essential.

I am convinced that as soon as S25.5 is removed, and the treaty ratified by the
USA, FCC will simply "waive" Element 1. They will cite their previous
pronouncements on the subject and be done with it. No NPRM, no comments, just
"Element 1 is waived for all license classes".

Just watch.

>Oddly enough, I would advise people to take the 5
>wpm test. Passing it doesn't mean that they can read code, anyway!

Same here. Why wait?

But in fact I think that the whole idea of 5 wpm has done more harm than good.
Too many hams picked up bad habits while learning 5 wpm. Said habits had to be
unlearned to go faster. Koch and Farnsworth had this all figured out decades
ago, but the message was lost on the FCC.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1846. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 21:11:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021013171135.14335.00001733@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 53662

In article <aoc1tu$ab3$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:

>Well then the answer is that it is fun and challanging to operate, maintain,
>build AM equipment.

No argument there!
>
>It harkens to the days when the 'average' ham could actually repair, modify,
>design, operate his equipment.

That's every day for the past 35 years here at N2EY.
>
>And believe it or not AM just plain sounds good.

Agreed.

> Especially if its received
>on a real tube type AM receiver.

Yup.
>
>Try it sometime.

Been there done that. Back a few years I had an NC-173/Viking 2/122 VFO setup
for AM. Got the gear for peanuts back when nobody wanted BA stuff. Of course it
all needed work to get it on the air.

Sold it all before I moved to this new QTH - for a LOT more than I had in it.
Less room in the shack, something had to go. (sniff).

One of these days I will build up an AM rig to go with my $2 BC-342-N.
>
>Oh and one more point. Why is everyone complaining about AM being 6 kc
>wide? What does that crystal filter do in YOUR receiver?

??

What's your point?

An AM signal that has response up to 3000 cycles will take up 6 kc of the band.
Filtering at the receive end won't change that fact.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021013083859.02651.00001077@mb-cc.aol.com...
>> In article <tnUp9.2397$1P1.162470@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,

>"K7JEB"
>> <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:
>>
>
>> Of course one has to ask - why couldn't the AMstyle roundtable, complete
>with
>> old buzzard transmissions, be carried out on SSB?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>

1847. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 21:49:54 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021013174954.06837.00009269@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53664

This SHOULD be what field day is about. My field day was different. Its really one big contesting event.

You set up stations at the beginning of the event, you learn a little (not alot) about emergency preparedness, (such as emergency power generators) doing this.
Station location was under a parking garage (very easy to protect against rain). Station setup time was not critical. In fact, many took the previous day off to have an extra day to setup. Food was provided, also restaurants nearby. Noone operated with a car battery, therefore I did not learn how.

After everything is all set up, the rest of the event is a bunch of contests. I did not stay for the taking down of the equipment. I would like to learn more, but field day did not give the opportunity to do so.

>So? Isn't that the idea behind FD---to learn the requirements for operating
>under emergency conditions? That's the issue being discussed. I operate FD
>in Florida at temperatures in the tent running around 100 F or greater
>(measured with thermometer) with suffocating humidity. I've learned about
>what it is like to walk around in a perpetual cloud of mosquitoes. Hot food
>is no fun in summer. How much I really need water. What are minimal
>requirements for a station in order to communicate effectively. How long
>can I really operate on that car battery at 50 watts. How fast can I set-up
>and break-down a station. Pre-planning to conserve energy and not turn into
>a sweat ball. The importance of site location...
>
>I guess it really boils down to, in case of an emergency, how quickly can I
>respond with an effective station and take care of myself and others. The
>only way I think is by training. I've done that in Florida summer for as
>long as I can recall but I have no idea what is required of me in winter.
>
>The FD experience to a large part has truly made me more aware of what is
>required of me to be prepared and self-reliant. Hell, our government talks
>about terrorism but they have yet to provide us with the details on how we
>can take care of ourselves. No, they rather us wait for the BIG GREEN ARMY
>TRUCK that hands out MRE's and bottle water. Come to think of it, remember
>back in the 60's when we saw those booklets scattered around public places
>providing details on Civil Defense; how to build shelters, store food and
>water---I remember them even though I was a youngster. Is CD old hat now?
>Besides, whatever happened to CD anyhow. All I see is the old 1960's CD
>shelter supplies being auctioned off on eBay.

1848. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-13 23:42:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021013194240.19006.00000853@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 53665

>Subject: Re: Winter Field Day?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 10/13/02 4:49 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021013174954.06837.00009269@mb-cq.aol.com>

>You set up stations at the beginning of the event, you learn a little (not
>alot) about emergency preparedness, (such as emergency power generators)
>doing
>this.

You get out of it that which you put into it.

>Station location was under a parking garage (very easy to protect against
>rain). Station setup time was not critical. In fact, many took the previous
>day
>off to have an extra day to setup.

Learn to do it right the first time and you won't have to make the same
mistakes the next time.

>Food was provided, also restaurants nearby.

Many a disaster situation I ahve been in was assisted by local
restauraunts.

>Noone operated with a car battery, therefore I did not learn how.

Then why didn't YOU do it? There are countelss texts on the matter
available to anyone with and 8th grade education.

>After everything is all set up, the rest of the event is a bunch of contests.

The point is operating under pressure. If one reads your post thoroughly,
it appears that you weren't up to it.

>I did not stay for the taking down of the equipment.

This is not surprising.Most people who whine the most about it are the
ones who weren't around for most of it.

>I would like to learn more,
>but field day did not give the opportunity to do so.

It gave you every opportunity to do so, YOU just chose to not exploit
those opportunities.

NOTHING in Amateur Radio promises to take you by the hand and guide you.
I am making a big assumption here that you are over 21, therefore I ASSUME you
are an adult. So quit whining about what you didn't get, and go out and do it

for yourself.

If, in the planning for the next Field day, no one in your club proposes or supports a battery station, DO IT YOURSELF. QST is ripe with D-I-Y battery projects, as is CQ, 73, etc.And I dare say a well equipped public library has textbooks on battery power techniques. Look under survivalism, battry, alternative power,, etc etc etc.

Steve, K4YZ

1849. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 00:21:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210131621.6d8bef8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53666

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021011071835.28700.00001503@mb-fz.aol.com>...
> In article <v6vp9.46732$kF.7486920@twister.columbus.rr.com>, mrguard@iname.com
> (James A Keller) writes:
>
> >While looking at the list of Callsign Trustees with 2 or more calls at:
> >http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Clubs5.htm
> >I put a number of the calls in the Repeater search engine at:
> >http://www.artscipub.com/repeaters/showrepeaters.asp
> >
> >I noticed that a number of the clubs listed in the top 10% of the list
> >do not have a repeater listed even though they are listed as
> >networked clubs, repeater groups, systems and associations.
> >I found that to be really strange.. Dont you?
>
> Sure. But it's not against FCC rules.

Jim1, Jim2, it's called "Good Amateur Practice." You don't need a
specific rule.

> There's no requirement for any holder of an (earthbound) amateur radio license
> to actually USE the license in any way. I know clubs whose only use of the club
> call is once-a-year on Field Day. The fact that a "repeater" club doesn't
> actually have a repeater doesn't mean they are breaking any rules.

Jim1, Jim2, it's called "Good Amateur Practice." You don't need a
specific rule.

> >Then I looked at some of the club names and calls
> >This is what I found.
> >1) A number of different clubs have the same prefix's.
> >I find that confusing as it must be hard in that area
> >that quite a number of groups have the same suffix calls..
>
> Ya lost me. Prefix or suffix?

Probly suffix. We try not to get wrapped around the axle on typos.

> >2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
> >These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
> >their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
> >or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
> >by Riley).
>
> Some folks think such names are "cute", just as some think certain callsigns
> are "funny". While they don't help amateur radio in any way, they are still
> legal.

Jim1, Jim2, it's called "Good Amateur Practice." You don't need a
specific rule.

> >3) A number of different clubs that have the same issuance date
> >for the callsigns.. Is that strange that the boards and members
> >of these clubs can all agree on their calls at the same time?
>
> Nope. Perhaps the FCC processes the applications in batches.

Perhaps the trustees send in applications in batches.

Jim1, Jim2, it's called "Good Amateur Practice." You don't need a
specific rule.

> >4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
> >and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
> >5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
>
> What IS against the rules is getting club calls for nonexistent clubs. There
> are clear definitions of what makes a legitimate "club" in the eyes of the FCC.
> Riley has busted quite a number of clubs that couldn't prove they met the FCC
> definition.

Riley is a single government employee. He's not compelled to pursue
every possible violation of "Good Amateur Practice" that exists.

> >Ham Radio is supposed to take care of itself.. It seems that the only times
> >Riley
> >and others have anything s after pressure has been applied by many
> >individuals or threats of government intervention by political officals
> >has been used as a last result.
>
> That's because the electorate doesn't want to pay for enforcement. FCC's role
> is constantly expanding, but their funding doesn't keep pace. Been that way for
> more than 20 years.

Then don't expand their role! Congress needs to attach a funding
voucher with each new law they pass. If agencies such as the FCC take
it upon themselves to expand their roles without direction from
congress, then they should be spanked (Kelley term). As far as I'm
concerned, the FCC has had a directive to enforce part 97 since they
have been an agency. It's part of the legacy.

> >I know of a few cases where Riley has questioned calls and clubs in this
> >matter and has taken action.. That has to be applauded.
> >Of course there are the clubs that have provided the correct information
> >of the groups existance and even though these clubs have constitutions,
> >membership lists, participated in contests and other social events,
> >and even issued nice membership certificates, these clubs were
> >not viewed as offical due to public misguided pressures.
>
> Give us some examples?

Mike Deignan.

> >Riley has alot to do to clean up this hobby and he needs our help.
> >Some of the things that need to be done is
> >1) The clean up of the repeaters and airways of Jammers and
> >action taken when such offenders have been identified.
> >(In South Florida a number of offenders have been identified, taped
> >claiming that they were the jammer, and have been verified
> >but credible folks such as offical observers, ect but nothing has been
> >done by the FCC. And We are not talking about Flippo.)
>
> FCC needs credible evidence. That means documented audiotapes. Have you
> supplied the FCC with any tapes?

Jim2, that is what ARRL OO's are trained to do. FWIW, they don't
publish their work.

> >2) Actions taken by League Officals and other Amateurs who conduct
> >VEC exams, Emergency Coordinators ect, that violate laws, the amateur
> >code and even normal decency standards that nothing is done by the
> >amateur Supervisory folks (ARRL, Riley ect) until threats of
> >legal intervention are involved.
>
> Give us some examples.
>
> >3) The groups on the airwaves who believe that they own their
> >frequency because the repeater is there or that their net happens
> >there daily (10-10 anyone?) who do nothing when their members
> >openly jam QSO's on such frequency in a malicious manner..
> >I have been in a number of QSO's and have listened to them also.
> >Then these folks have the audacity to claim that the stations in the
> >QSO are openly Jamming them because of being on their frequency.
>
> A coordinated repeater has precedence over an uncoordinated one, or simplex.
> That's a basic rule, good amateur practice,and just plain common sense.

There are too many unused repeaters. And there are too many
frequencies claiming a working repeater where none exists.

> Moving away from a scheduled net frequency at net time is good amateur
> practice, and common courtesy.

And not grabbing every repeater pair whether you have a repeater or
not is not good amateur practice. Putting a repeater on HF to
legitimize "owning" a frequency is not good amateur practice.

> >4) These clubs that have to be questioned.. I have written to Riley
> >about a few clubs that are very suspicious due to content (some on
> >a personal family level) and even one that on Usenet posted the true
> >nature of their club.. Some of these clubs have a smearing nature to
> >destroy certain hams and have posted that.. even using previous calls
> >of that ham to ensure that.
> >
> >Perhaps all of this is too much for Riley to handle?

Jim1, Riley is only one man. But he needs to select one example from
all the kinds of violations that exist, so that others are warned that
they are abusing the system even though a specific rule is not
expressly violated. I suggest he start with the ARRL and W5YI on the
matter of Farnsworth exams in place of Morse exams actually specified
in the Part 97.

> >I am not here to bash Riley but if it takes public pressure to get
> >things to happen, perhaps we should let Riley know of this concern
> >so we can get action taken before this hobby gets an even blacker eye.
>
> Sure. But the evidence has to be of the kind that FCC can use.

Riley has already stated that violations of "Good Amateur Practice"
are enforceable. Re: gentleman's agreements.

> Also, there's an order of priority to all this. Suspected terrorist/criminal
> activity has to be FCC's main focus. In ham radio enforcement, phony club calls
> have to take a back seat to things like on-air violations and VEC
> irregularities. That does not mean any violations are overlooked, just that
> they aren't all on the same level.

Has Riley been reassigned to listen for Osama's communications?

> >Some of these actions and officals belong back on CB.
>
> They belong off the air entirely.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Brian

1850. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 00:22:25 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210131622.6d5a3bef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

lil' ol' me. <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
news:<0u7equ4hp192f1cmqrcpbi0q7c7p7kd7f0@4ax.com>...
> Good Ol' James Keller, starting shit just like yer old man Joe eh?
>
> P.S. did you ever get your 75 meter antenna up? and do you really get
> your rocks off calling my cellphone while blocking caller ID?
>
> you know who it is...

Go away.

1851. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 00:23:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3xu4bq.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53668

On Sun, 13 Oct 2002 18:53:55 GMT, KØHB wrote:

>If the same information can be transmitted in a 2.1 kHz SSB slot,
>then SSB enjoys a "frequency utilization quotient" advantage of 2.85:1
>over AM.

My first mentor in communications regulatory engineering (a non-ham
but a great person whom I will always respect) used to say "don't
use a multi-channel system where a single channel system will do,
don't use a wideband system where a narrowband system will do, don't
use radio where wire will do".

Good advice which translates well into "Good Amateur Practice".

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1852. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 00:27:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DAA0F8B.8040306@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53669

Vshah101 wrote:

> You set up stations at the beginning of the event, you learn a little (not
> alot) about emergency preparedness, (such as emergency power generators) doing
> this.

You are of course, welcome to set up your station in as primitive
conditions as you like.

> Station location was under a parking garage (very easy to protect against
> rain).

Let's say there is some kind of real disaster. If a parking garage is
available, would you not use that as opposed to setting up in the open
or in say the rain?

> Station setup time was not critical. In fact, many took the previous day
> off to have an extra day to setup.

Here you have a point I've been told that at one time the setup had to be
done during field day itself. In any event, if that is what you are into
go for it.

> Food was provided, also restaurants nearby.

Your point? Our club has a barbeque, hosted by one of the members. We all
eat very well. I suppose maybe the club members should forage in the
woods? 8^)

> Noone operated with a car battery, therefore I did not learn how.

With all respect, you really do not know how to hook up a rig to a car
battery? What level license class do you hold?

> After everything is all set up, the rest of the event is a bunch of contests. I
> did not stay for the taking down of the equipment. I would like to learn more,
> but field day did not give the opportunity to do so.

Yup, the contesting part is there as a carrot so to speak. What other
format of getting on the air would you suggest? Just make a contact and
then tearing the station down?

You can tell a lot about your setup under contest conditions. Here is a
for instance: For our club's GOTA station, we had a vertical antenna
with elevated radials this year. Turns out it worked very well. But only
at a distance. IIRC, we didn't make one contact within our state. Is a
good antenna? Yup, for DX. IS it something we'll use for next field day?
Probably not, as we'll need an antenna with more localized coverage.

Lesson learned. You also hone your ability to pull out weak stations during FD. Lot's of these stations have not-so-good antennas and not much power. They're pretty hard to copy.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1853. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 00:29:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210131629.473c16d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210111943280.225-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...

> >2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
> >These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
> >their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
> >or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
> >by Riley).
>
> ...There's no law against being an asshole!

If by being an a$$hole you are violating "Good Amateur Practice" then
Riley says it's enforceable.

> >4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
> >and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
> >5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
>
> Only if several (3+) are active at the same time. It's quite easy to monitor
> several repeaters while they're idle. Additionally, who said that the callsign
> trustee must be the one monitoring - "control operators" may exist....

No such thing exists. Ever try raising a control op? A trustee?
Those are figment definitions in Part 97. You could make a career of
not being answered on coordinated repeaters. Shut them all down. Let
spirited no-coders make some use of the bands.

Brian

1854. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 00:40:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210131640.17d06080@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53671

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:<ao73su$i1p$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Im not disagreeing with you. I personally have done my bit is what I'm
> saying..hi.
>
> As for CD....it was turned into FEMA. What a mess.
>
> Remember...'duck and cover'.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

This whole situation really annoys me.

Civil Defence=FEMA=Homeland Security???

My Gun = Home Security
Everyone's Guns = Homeland Security

We don't need some stinking color coded "threat condition" chart. The
only color chart I need is, "...violet gives willingly."

What has the office of homeland security done for me lately except
raise my taxes??? Let the FEMA, the FBI, and the CIA do their damned
jobs. Since all three let us down a year ago, fire the directors of
all three and replace them with some other incompetant bureaucrats
that will have to be fired in another year. Instead, we'll have to
fire all of them AND Tom Ridge. That's 4. Then we'll make another
fed agency and that'll be 5.

1855. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 00:40:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aod3rg$4sm$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53672

Are you advocating the disbanding of Amateur Radio Phil???

Dan/W4NTI

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h3xu4bq.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
On Sun, 13 Oct 2002 18:53:55 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

don't
use radio where wire will do".

Good advice which translates well into "Good Amateur Practice".

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.401 / Virus Database: 226 - Release Date: 09-Oct-02

1856. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 02:24:45 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210140221140.1904-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 13 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210111943280.225-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
>> >2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
>> >These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
>> >their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
>> >or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
>> >by Riley).
>>
>> ...There's no law against being an asshole!
>
>If by being an a$$hole you are violating "Good Amateur Practice" then
>Riley says it's enforceable.

Show me how those mere names violate practice.

>> >4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
>> >and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
>> >5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
>>
>> Only if several (3+) are active at the same time. It's quite easy to monitor
>> several repeaters while they're idle. Additionally, who said that the callsign
>> trustee must be the one monitoring - "control operators" may exist....
>
>No such thing exists. Ever try raising a control op? A trustee?

Yes, I have - and I've gotten a response too! That's pretty good for a figment.

>Those are figment definitions in Part 97. You could make a career of
>not being answered on coordinated repeaters. Shut them all down. Let
>spirited no-coders make some use of the bands.

1857. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 02:42:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqkbruodjbtt6c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53676

I also fail to see the logic that a tube radio receives am signals better than a solid state radio (or am I reading something that wasn't said). Years ago, with that 455 KHz (or Kc) IF, if you didn't have some kind of mechanical filter or crystal filter, you would likely enjoy whatever fidelity was in the AM signal (since you would likely be at least 6 KHz wide in receive). There are solid state radios that cover from reasonably narrow bandwidths (under 500 Hz) to fairly wide bandwidths (10 KHz am, nbfm, wbfm, etc). One would hasten to point out, of course, that the built-in or matching speakers of yesteryear were usually considerably larger than many of the tiny, tinny speakers of today. This would, of course, make the fidelity much better on the old radios. However, put into a decent external speaker, I'd be surprised if the fidelity of the old radios was any better than today's set (assuming, of course, equivalent bandwidth). I'm also moderately surprised with the bandwidth arguements going on that the CW crowd hasn't jumped in yet. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:7jjq9.29811$OB5.2464905@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote
>
> >
> > A substantial amount of DSB-AM operation is in the form
> > of nets and roundtables. These things draw in a
> > significant number of participants. The most prominent
> > example for me out here in Arizona is the West Coast AMI
> > Net at 3870 on Wednesday nights. There are typically
> > 20 - 30 check-ins, each waiting patiently for 2 - 3
> > minutes of air time. Even though this operation occupies
> > 6 kHz of bandwidth, the frequency utilization quotient
> > (no. of stations / bandwidth occupied) is much higher
> > than a couple of 3-kHz SSB stations communicating one-
> > on-one.
>
> This has to be the most tortured logic to make a (faulty) conclusion that
I
> have ever seen! 6 kHz is being utilized at any given time by only a
single
> AM transmitter, no matter how many people are waiting in line to transmit.
> If the same information can be transmitted in a 2.1 kHz SSB slot, then SSB
> enjoys a "frequency utilization quotient" advantage of 2.85:1 over AM.
>

> ››› 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
>
>
>
>

1858. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 02:43:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3xxvtt.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53674

On 13 Oct 2002 23:42:40 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Many a disaster situation I ahve been in was assisted by local
>restauraunts.

I, too, have been in many restaurants which were disasters... <ggg>

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1859. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 02:43:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3xxq8s.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53675

On 13 Oct 2002 17:21:22 -0700, Brian wrote:

>Riley is a single government employee. He's not compelled to pursue
>every possible violation of "Good Amateur Practice" that exists.

We call that "officer discretion".

>> That's because the electorate doesn't want to pay for enforcement.
>> FCC's role s constantly expanding, but their funding doesn't keep
>> pace. Been that way for more than 20 years.

>Then don't expand their role! Congress needs to attach a funding
>voucher with each new law they pass.

When The Congress passed the Cable Act in '94 with a directive to completely rewrite the cable rules and provide for regulatory (not engineering) enforcement by 1996, the then-Chairman Reed Hundt went to The Congress and requested authority to invrease the Commission's staff by over 300 slors to meet those deadlines. The Congress' reply was that (a) they were not going to put off the deadline and (b) the Commission would have to do it with the existing number of slots that it has.

Hundt looked around and saw the field enforcememt function as one that (a) he didn't understand and (b) was about the right size for the "downsizing". As a result he instituted the Early Retirement Exodus of 1995 (which I took advantage of for personal reasons) and the combining of field offices and remote-controlling the monitoring stations a year later with the concomitant separation of the monitoring techs, most of whom were at retirement age anyhow.

He got his 300+ open slots which he used for Cable Bureau attorneys and rule writers. The Cogress couldn't care less where he got them from as long as the Civil Service Personnel Rules were followed.

>If agencies such as the FCC take
>it upon themselves to expand their roles without direction from
>congress, then they should be spanked (Kelley term).

The general mandates of the Communications Act are broad enough to cover pretty much anything that the agency wants to (or does not want to) do.

>As far as I'm
>concerned, the FCC has had a directive to enforce part 97 since they
>have been an agency.

"As resources permit."

>It's part of the legacy.

In the good old days, the Engineers in Charge of the Field Offices and Monitoring Stations, as well as a significant number of Washington chiefs (not Commissioners, though), were active hams and were looked up to for leadership.

Sadly, that is not true today. Riley is a throwback to those times.

The Congress, as well as the top brass of the agency, neither knows nor cares for "the legacy", not only in ham radio but in many fields of the agency's responsibilities.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1860. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 02:56:04 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3y2grv.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53677

On Sun, 13 Oct 2002 19:40:30 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>Are you advocating the disbanding of Amateur Radio Phil???

Abso-negatively not.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1861. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 04:10:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DAA43AF.7020708@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53678

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Winter Field Day?
>>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)

<some snippage> then Mr Vshah writes:

>>I did not stay for the taking down of the equipment.
>>
>
> This is not surprising.Most people who whine the most about it are the
> ones who weren't around for most of it.

Right Steve. Nothing impresses me more than someone who wants all this information, but isn't willing to "pay" for it with a little help.

>>I would like to learn more,
>>but field day did not give the opportunity to do so.
>>
>
> It gave you every opportunity to do so, YOU just chose to not exploit
> those opportunities.
>
> NOTHING in Amateur Radio promises to take you by the hand and guide you.
> I am making a big assumption here that you are over 21, therefore I ASSUME you
> are an adult. So quit whining about what you didn't get, and go out and do it
> for yourself.

I'll bet the more experienced people will be willing to help out if approached properly. I would wager that I have received more practical knowledge setting up and tearing down for field day, setting up and operating in contests, and even cleaning up the club shack during cleanup day than I ever got in a classroom. You talk to people, listen well, and don't ever, ever act as though they are obligated to give you an answer. And of course the side benefit is you end up with new friends!

Too many people think that the role of the respectful student is some kind of weakness these days, I guess! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1862. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 05:42:19 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aoedsu31gpk@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53684

How fast can I set-up
> and break-down a station. Pre-planning to conserve energy and not turn
into
> a sweat ball. The importance of site location...

This is true..... most clubs/groups have the time to plan where they are going to set up for Field Day and the cold hard reality is that we may be asked to set up in less than perfect locations, say for instance like a grocery store parking lot. More clubs/groups should try in locations that may be "less than perfect" if they wanna see how prepared they really are.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1863. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 10:18:39 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <3Swq9.5402$1P1.400286@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53680

AA2QA:
> I also fail to see the logic that a tube radio receives
> am signals better than a solid state radio (or am I
> reading something that wasn't said)......

It has everything to do with vacuum-tube mojo and cannot
be apprehended through logic, but rather only
psychic-acoustically. ;-)

> ..... One would hasten to point out, of course, that
> the built-in or matching speakers of yesteryear were
> usually considerably larger than many of the tiny,
> tinny speakers of today. This would, of course, make
> the fidelity much better on the old radios. However,
> put into a decent external speaker, I'd be surprised
> if the fidelity of the old radios was any better
> than today's set (assuming, of course, equivalent bandwidth).

Yeah, bandwidth all the way through, particularly on the
low end. Most of the audio sections of solid-state
receivers made for HF and having reasonably good performance
seem to have a built-in low-freq cutoff of 300 Hz. Nice
for voice comms, lousy for music or realistic voice
reproduction. The old vacuum-tube AM receivers were
designed for broadcast AM reception and other "hi-fi"
applications. (Remember the 'phono' input jacks on
National HRO-50/60 receivers). I tried to add a 12-inch
speaker to my Kenwood TS-850 and found out there was
still no low end there to reproduce.

Also, there is something about the "brick-wall" IF response
in modern receivers that makes their sound "different" than
that from the old receivers with their IF-transformer-derived
selectivity. I dunno whether it is some kind of coloration
added by the non-uniform group delay or the quasi-Gaussian
amplitude response (or more mojo), but the old guys just
sound, well, more radio-like.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1864. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 10:18:40 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <4Swq9.5403$1P1.400271@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53679

K7JEB:
>> .... Even though this operation occupies
>> 6 kHz of bandwidth, the frequency utilization quotient
>> (no. of stations / bandwidth occupied) is much higher
>> than a couple of 3-kHz SSB stations communicating one-
>> on-one.

K0HB:
> This has to be the most tortured logic to make a (faulty)
> conclusion that I have ever seen!

Can I get a certificate suitable for framing for setting
that record?

> 6 kHz is being utilized at any given time by only a single
> AM transmitter, no matter how many people are waiting in
> line to transmit. If the same information can be transmitted
> in a 2.1 kHz SSB slot, then SSB enjoys a "frequency
> utilization quotient" advantage of 2.85:1 over AM.

It's the same users/Hz-bandwidth argument that the
commercial people are using with great effect against
hams. How can we justify our little one-to-one narrow-
band comms on 70 cm when the whole world's population
is eagerly awaiting their 430-MHz rain-forest radar
data that requires a 10 MHz bandwidth to gather.

Besides, the SSB/AM comparison is really apples and
oranges. The SSB systems lack the rich, warm presence
of vintage AM operation and will never have it as
long as they have to use IF filter selectivity to
achieve adequate carrier suppression. The value of
this presence is incalculable and makes places AM
operation on a completely different plane in terms
of enjoyment of signal reception.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1865. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 10:18:41 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <5Swq9.5404$1P1.400158@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53681

N2EY:
>> Of course one has to ask - why couldn't the AMstyle
>> roundtable, complete with old buzzard transmissions,
>> be carried out on SSB?

K4YZ:
>
> Fidelity

Exactly.

K7JEB

1866. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 12:20:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014082055.14823.00001308@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53682

>Subject: Re: Winter Field Day?
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 10/13/02 9:43 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3xxvtt.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
>
>On 13 Oct 2002 23:42:40 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Many a disaster situation I ahve been in was assisted by local
>>restauraunts.
>
> I, too, have been in many restaurants which were disasters... <ggg>

Ditto!

And some of the best meals I have ever had came from kitchens that would make a horror movie director toss his cookies, but dang if the food wasn't good! Why is that...?!?! =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

1867. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 13:04:42 UTC
From: Bob Williams <bwilliams@selectcommsbox127.org>
Message-ID: <aoefcqbsv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53683

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >
>
> Hundt looked around and saw the field enforcememt function as one
> that (a) he didn't understand and (b) was about the right size for
> the "downsizing". As a result he instituted the Early Retirement
> Exodus of 1995 (which I took advantage of for personal reasons) and
> the combining of field offices and remote-controlling the monitoring
> stations a year later with the concomitant separation of the
> monitoring techs, most of whom were at retirement age anyhow.
>
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
Agreed, Hundt was probably the poorest example of a federal
agency head ever to come down the pike. He did his dirty work,
totally screwed up and mismanaged the FCC, then left, leaving
behind the wreckage of his stupidity. As a member of the broadcast
industry, I watched this fool bumble along, having no idea what he
was doing and refusing any input from experienced personnel.

Phil, many engineers and technicians were not even close to
retirement age, and this was a great loss for the FCC and a
legacy to Hundt's stupidity. Many field personnel made lateral
transfers to other federal agencies. I still get email from a few.
One technician is now a ATF manager, yet another in Florida
started a landscape business which now employs 32 people.
Another I know transferred to the Postal Service and is a station
supervisor. One in Georgia is on the FORSCOM command
staff and is now on duty in Kuwait. Bottom line Phil, the FCC
lost a lot of talent when Hundt made his infamous decision to
abolish most of the field personnel, but the loss was other people's
gain!

73,

Bob Williams

1868. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 13:09:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210140509.143fcb30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53685

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<uqkbruodjbtt6c@corp.supernews.com>...

. . .

> However, put into a decent external
> speaker, I'd be surprised if the fidelity of the old radios was any better
> than today's set (assuming, of course, equivalent bandwidth).

Buncha nonsense. It's a hangover response by the "purist" tube-hugging
"Hi-Fi" crowd who object to the alleged "sharp edges" of digital
music. Anybody who plays Pink Floyd thru a tube-type amp is out to
lunch. For instance.

I have a Japan Radio Company NRD-545 40-bit I.F DSP DC to 30Mhz SWL
rcvr which is much more a computer than it is a "solid state" radio as
such. Has filters and tunable synchronous AM detectors out the wazoo.
With a $30 Rat Slack spkr the thing delivers AM like I've never heard
AM before particulary when the AM signal is in the mud. I'll flat out
state without fear of condradiction that there was never a tube-type
ham rcvr built which could touch this thing with a ten foot pole for
AM reception. No matter what.

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/commrxvr/1545.html

I'm also
> moderately surprised with the bandwidth arguements going on that the CW
> crowd hasn't jumped in yet. ;)

Welp, about a year and a half ago this cw fanatic was on the high end
of 10m yakking on Sunday mornings with a couple locals with low-level
modulated AM via a TS-940. Not another sig anywhere near the freq,
crank up the VOX sensitivity, loverly . . If yer gonna do it do it
right, this was phone the way it oughta be.

>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

w3rv

1869. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 13:43:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aoehm4njs0@208.206.142.79>
Source record: 53686

Bob Williams wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >
> >
> > Hundt looked around and saw the field enforcememt function as one
> > that (a) he didn't understand and (b) was about the right size for
> > the "downsizing". As a result he instituted the Early Retirement
> > Exodus of 1995 (which I took advantage of for personal reasons) and
> > the combining of field offices and remote-controlling the monitoring
> > stations a year later with the concomitant separation of the
> > monitoring techs, most of whom were at retirement age anyhow.
> >
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
> Agreed, Hundt was probably the poorest example of a federal
> agency head ever to come down the pike. He did his dirty work,
> totally screwed up and mismanaged the FCC, then left, leaving
> behind the wreckage of his stupidity. As a member of the broadcast
> industry, I watched this fool bumble along, having no idea what he
> was doing and refusing any input from experienced personnel.
>
> Phil, many engineers and technicians were not even close to
> retirement age, and this was a great loss for the FCC and a
> legacy to Hundt's stupidity. Many field personnel made lateral
> transfers to other federal agencies. I still get email from a few.
> One technician is now a ATF manager, yet another in Florida
> started a landscape business which now employs 32 people.
> Another I know transferred to the Postal Service and is a station
> supervisor. One in Georgia is on the FORSCOM command
> staff and is now on duty in Kuwait. Bottom line Phil, the FCC
> lost a lot of talent when Hundt made his infamous decision to
> abolish most of the field personnel, but the loss was other people's
> gain!
>

Let's face if, guys. Reed Hundt was put there for one reason and really only the one - to convert the world to HDTV. He got it done, and all his other antics were peripheral to that. Those who got him installed were not and are not concerned about any of that.

Dick

1870. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 14:32:27 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DAAD57C.43D9D993@psu.edu>
Source record: 53687

KC8PMX wrote:
>
> How fast can I set-up
> > and break-down a station. Pre-planning to conserve energy and not turn
> into
> > a sweat ball. The importance of site location...
>
> This is true..... most clubs/groups have the time to plan where they are
> going to set up for Field Day and the cold hard reality is that we may be
> asked to set up in less than perfect locations, say for instance like a
> grocery store parking lot. More clubs/groups should try in locations that
> may be "less than perfect" if they wanna see how prepared they really are.

You just might be on to something here, Ryan. This could be turned into a fun thing for amateurs. Non-traditional antennas, locations and possibly even radios. We'd have to draw the lines at spark rigs, but getting amateurs to build and operate radios under primitive conditions would be really cool.

Foraging for parts in dumps would be okay, but probably not as effective as in my youth. Junkbox parts would be acceptable. Tubes, transistors or even I.C.'s would be okay. Put together a transmitter and reciever. Now the biggest thing would be the power source. My guess is that most hams would use some sort of ni-cad charged with solar cells, but extra points could be awarded for making your own lead-acid batteries, or making up a turbine that would set in a creek or something like that.

This would be a contest where more points were scored for voice than for CW, due to it being more difficult to make an AM transmitter than a cw one. (I know that some here will bristle, but this isn't an operating contest, it is about a building and getting on the air contest - let's keep the cw/no cw war out of it please)

The contest would start say Friday night at whatever time. At this time, the groups involved would assume that power is lost, and would begin construction of their recievers and transmitters. All construction must take place off the power grid. 12 volt or gas powered soldering irons only, or breadboard construction.

Then Saturday morning, antennas would start going up. All antennas must be home made, and if needed, the tuner must be home made also. Extra points for tuner/ ladder line combo's. (more to make)

Then if everything works, (and that s a big if) you go on the air. Starting Saturday morning, the sooner you get a signal out, the more

points you make. The points would increment downwards every hour. This might let those who decide to go CW catch up a bit. The biggest single point attraction would be simply getting on the air.

The actual *number* of QSO's don't count for anything in particular. Operations would end on Sunday, points would be awarded for 24 hour operations, maybe distance, but I'm not sure. QSO's would have to last for at least 1 minute.

Now I would bet that this contest isn't going to interest a lot of the traditional "contesters" - no diss at contesting, as I really enjoy it myself - but it isn't at all about getting a big kW signal out and making a gazillion contacts and racking up multipliers.

This is a contest for the tinkerers, the self reliant types, and those who consider themselves survivor oriented. It sounds to me like every bit as much fun as field day or the PAQSO contest. This is just a back of the envelope sort of thing so far, but does anyone here think it could work?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1871. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 19:12:01 UTC
From: booerwars 14313 <fatwogersuxkock@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3dab16fa@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:aofe72srjr1@taranews.net...

> I'd say that the movie is hurting the image of ham radio rather than
> helping. It delivers the message that hams are dinosaurs who
> shouldn't be taken seriously, although their old equipment is
> interesting from a historical viewpoint.

Ham radio hurt itself by not changing with the times.
Now their reaping the fruits of stagnation because
of the historical official policies of a certain "non-profit"
publishing house in Conn. It's too late boys! Ham clubs
are dying, hamfests are dying. I told an ARRL director
back in **1981** to drop the code and look for
ways to incorporate the first computers into ham radio.
He looked at me and sneered at my thought of dropping
CW and said "..what relavance do computers have to
do with ham radio?" (after all...I was just a 16 year old
"kid" - he asked me that with a sneer also and he and
his group of cheap-ass old farts thought my comments
were quite amusing at the time - oh well.)

1872. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 19:22:42 UTC
From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com
Message-ID: <3dab0991.544572716@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 53689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 15:12:01 -0400, "booerwars 14313"
<fatwogersuxkock@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Ham radio hurt itself by not changing with the times.
>Now their reaping the fruits of stagnation because
>of the historical official policies of a certain "non-profit"
>publishing house in Conn. It's too late boys! Ham clubs
>are dying, hamfests are dying. I told an ARRL director
>back in **1981** to drop the code and look for
>ways to incorporate the first computers into ham radio.
>He looked at me and sneered at my thought of dropping
>CW and said "..what relavance do computers have to
>do with ham radio?" (after all...I was just a 16 year old
>"kid" - he asked me that with a sneer also and he and
>his group of cheap-ass old farts thought my comments
>were quite amusing at the time - oh well.)

And yet, here you are, 21 years later, on a ham radio newsgroup. Just passing by, or did you finally dig in and do whatever you needed to meet the standards for the license?

Or did you wait until they lowered the standards to your level. People like that are waiting for medical standards to be lowered the same way too, so they can become doctors without having to do all that icky stuff that has no relavence to modern medicine.

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

1873. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 19:25:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021014152515.00727.00003252@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 53690

In article <uqjmuuc4rnre28@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> >'S OK, Alun. The way Larry is, even if you tried CW for [you
>> >fill-in-the-blank] amount of time, a) it wouldn't have been long enough
>if
>> >you still didn't enjoy it, and b) even if he was willing to concede the
>> >length of time, if you still didn't like it there'd be nothing but
>> >name-calling and other innuendoes thrown your way.
>> >
>> >Kim W5TIT
>>
>> Kim:
>>
>> Be sure to hold your breath firmly while waiting for the "innuendoes" I'm
>> about to "throw Alun's way."
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>heh heh, Alun. Or, ya call 'im on it long before he ever does it and he'll
>just not do it...ROFLMAO...
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

Of course, the thought that I wouldn't do it simply because I have no
motive to do it doesn't enter into Kim's mind. One has to ask oneself --
why?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1874. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 19:37:00 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014153700.08122.00001246@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53691

>It revolved around ham radio, but the
>questions asked by the characters probably reflected Hollywood's
>current, unflattering impression of amateur radio.

Invite a non-Ham to a Hamfest or club meeting and see if their impressions of Hams are flattering.

>When the main character came across his father's old ham set, people
>asked him things like "Ham radio: Was that what people did before the
>net?", and "The people who use radios like that are mostly old men,
>aren't they?", plus the real zinger "Does anyone use those things any
>more?"
Ham Radio demographics are 95% male, 50%old, 25% fat. Just go to a random Hamfest and you will see.

1875. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 19:41:22 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014154122.08122.00001247@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53692

>I finally got to see the movie "Frequency" when the Starz network
>showed it last weekend.

By the way, a movie is not a "policy" issue.

1876. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 20:14:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021014161419.00727.00003276@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 53694

In article <3DAAD57C.43D9D993@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

SNIPPAGE

> This is a contest for the tinkerers, the self reliant types, and those
>who consider themselves survivor oriented. It sounds to me like every
>bit as much fun as field day or the PAQSO contest. This is just a back
>of the envelope sort of thing so far, but does anyone here think it
>could work?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Mike:

I'm typing as best as I can with my right hand numb, swollen, and bandaged
up after Carpal Tunnel suregry less than 5 hrs. ago today. I think your idea
would make more sense if it were directed toward a more practical mode
for operating under austere conditions -- and that is, of course, CW!
AM would be my very last choice for "emergency" or austere condx
since it doesn't offer the operational advantages of CW and doesn't have
the power efficiency of SSB or the data transfer speed of digital modes.
AM actually doth quite verily suck under anything but very high power,
clear-channel conditions. I'm sure that in any such "contest," CW rigs
would still dominate in spite of the point advantage for AM.

This is a good idea and I'm not poo-poo'ing it, but in this era of appliance
operators, I don't think it would get more than a handful of participants,
unfortunately.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1877. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 20:15:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210141215.37616f61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210140221140.1904-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> On 13 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210111943280.225-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> >> >2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
> >> >These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
> >> >their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
> >> >or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
> >> >by Riley).
> >>
> >> ...There's no law against being an asshole!
> >
> >If by being an a$$hole you are violating "Good Amateur Practice" then
> >Riley says it's enforceable.
>
> Show me how those mere names violate practice.

That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.

> >> >4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
> >> >and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
> >> >5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
> >>
> >> Only if several (3+) are active at the same time. It's quite easy to monitor
> >> several repeaters while they're idle. Additionally, who said that the callsign
> >> trustee must be the one monitoring - "control operators" may exist....
> >
> >No such thing exists. Ever try raising a control op? A trustee?
>
> Yes, I have - and I've gotten a response too! That's pretty good for a figment.
>
> >Those are figment definitions in Part 97. You could make a career of
> >not being answered on coordinated repeaters. Shut them all down. Let
> >spirited no-coders make some use of the bands.

They say if you're going to tell a lie, tell a big one.

1878. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 20:32:35 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92A7A8471309elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53695

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
news:20021013171134.14335.00001732@mb-mj.aol.com:

> In article <Xns92A6986075716elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>>>The Land Mobile guys stay on fixed channels and have no interest in
>>>>chasing DX - two things that don't apply to hobby users as a group
>>>
>>> cb is on fixed channels, and was not meant as a 'hobby' service at
>>> all. It was intended as a lowcost alternative to the VHF/UHF FM
>>> land-mobile services.
>>>
>>> Example: Back when, a big taxicab company like Yellow Cab could
>>> afford to equip its fleet with VHF FM sets. The license applications,
>>> fees and related costs could be amortized over a lot of vehicles. But
>>> a small cab operation couldn't afford the high startup costs.
>>> Competitive advantage: big company. So FCC created cb so that the
>>> little companies could have land mobile radio without the high
>>> startup cost.
>>
>>23 channels is not really fixed channel in the sense that I meant it. A
>>land mobile station has to stay on it's assigned channel.
>
> It's still a channelized service. 23 channels is not very many, and
> many of the early cb sets did not cover all channels.
>

Channelized v Fixed Channel

If you think it's the same thing try suggesting that each CBer be limited
to an assigned channel!

>
>>> I would venture to guess that the number of 'hobby type' cb users is
>>> far less today than it was 25-35 years ago.
>>
>>Agreed
>>
> So cb may shrink until it is almost nonexistent.

Or until there are vastly more people on 462 than on 27 MHz

>
>>>>>>> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it
>>>>>>> would probably have died out before WW2.

>>>>>
>>>>> Seems to me the choice was obvious.
>>>>
>>>>We don't know our hobby would have died out -it doesn't seem all that
>>>>likely to me, actually
>>>
>>> It would have been legislated out of existence. Without formal
>>> recognition as a service, protected by treaties and such, the bands
>>> assigned to amateurs would have been quickly and easily reassigned to
>>> other services. The relatively few hams of the day would have been no
>>> match for the constant attacks by other services.
>>>
>>> But with amateur radio formally recognized as a valid radio service,
>>> with exclusive bands allocated, elimination was made much more
>>> difficult.
>>>
>>> Take a look at the battles fought at the radio conferences of the
>>> '20s and it's clear that the decisions made in 1927 were necessary
>>> for amateur radio to survive.
>>
>>That was the US argument at the time, but I don't think including a
>>Morse test was necessary to settle the issue. We'll never know, will
>>we?
>
> It's a logical deduction that without service status, the ARS would not
> have lasted long after 1927.

That's just reiterating the same thing, I think we are unlikely to agree
on this point

>
>>>>>>The code testing issue has dragged on for
>>>>>>decades, and is a big issue in many other countries. I've been a
>>>>>>ham for 22 years, and I can't remember when it wasn't a massive
>>>>>>issue.
>>>>>>
>>>>> I've been a ham for 35 years (October 12, 1967!) and from what I've
>>>>> seen it's only been an issue since the mid-80s. Yes, there have
>>>>> been a few who were against code testing, but it hasn't been much
>>>>> of an issue until recently.
>>>>
>>>>That's a matter of definition. Given the reductions in the cutoff
>>>>frequency at the international level started in 1939, and that the
>>>>FCC floated a communicator licence for above 220 back in the 70s, I'd
>>>>say you were wrong about that, although it may have taken longer to
>>>>penetrate your conciousness!
>>>
>>> Not at all. The reductions were done for two reasons:
>>>
>>> 1) Not much routine Morse activity on VHF/UHF 2) Encouragement to use
>>> VHF/UHF for experimentation.

>>
>>That was the rationale given, but that doesn't mean that these were the
>>only motivations behnd it, as I'm sure you'll agree
>
> Those two were by far the main motivations.

Ditto

>
>>>>> More important, when the subject has come up in the past, the
>>>>> overwhelming response has been to keep code testing at the
>>>>> then-current levels. Support for code testing was high among all
>>>>> groups that cared to express an opinion. That opinion distribution
>>>>> has changed in recent years, as evidenced by comments to the FCC.
>
>>>>This is where I have to tell you I'm not from the US.
>>>>The code tests here
>>>>are easier than in most countries, and I'm not talking about the code
>>>>speed.
>>>
>>> Same is true of the writtens.
>>
>>True
>
> You asked in another post why you had to learn Morse in order to work
> HF phone. The answer is "for the same reason hams have had to learn all
> sorts of things for the writtens that had nothing to do with what they
> wanted to do". For example, I had to learn:
>
> - VHF/UHF techniques in order to work HF
> - SSB, FM, and other modes in order to work CW
> - all sorts of theory in order to use manufactured equipment.
>
> Etc.

Very fair point, as a matter of fact. At one time the Tech pool, or at
least element 3A contained no HF questions. Perhaps, under the current
scheme element 2 shouldn't (I think it does, though?). Clearly there is a
demand for a licence with no code test, and arguably it shouldn't confer
CW operating privileges. How would you gauge the demand for a no-VHF
licence, or a no-SSB or no-FM licence, for that matter?

>>
>>>>My point is that US opinion has tolerated code testing to a greater
>>>>extent because of the Mickey Mouse nature of the tests (no offence to
>>>>Disney!).
>>>
>>> Been outpaced by the UK, now.
>>
>>For the M3, yes. But the point is that US hams have been led to think
>>code test are easy to pass because theirs are easy.

>
> There's no reason other countries could not have adopted US testing
> standards. Failure to do so is not due to US hams, nor due to S25.5.

True, although somewhat hampered by the funny notion outside these shores
that a test should indicate competence in the subject tested.

>>
>>> I took the 13 and 20 wpm Morse tests in front of an FCC examiner. Had
>>> to produce at least 1 solid minute of legible copy out of 5. No going
>>> back and correcting errors, either. Also had to send Morse to the
>>> examiner's satisfaction - with a straight key.
>>
>>I would have had to make almost solid copy (see below) for the whole
>>test, not a mere minute, except that I couldn't manage it! And I would
>>have had to send for the whole period with no uncorrected errors atall.
>>That is tougher than the tests you passed, albeit at 12 wpm, and I
>>never reached the test standard, and still haven't.
>
> If that had been the US standard, I'd have met it.

I'm sure you would have - you like CW

>
>>Maybe with a little practice I might have been able to copy a mere 60
>>seconds? Who knows?
>
> Is that the fault of S25.5?

No

>Is it the fault of code testing in any way?

Depends what you mean

> Not at all.
>>
>>When the no-code licence came out over here. I took the tests to get
>>one straight away, and refused to take the 5 wpm test, only because I
>>thought I had no hope of passing. That was my mistake, I simply failed
>>to understand how much easier it was than a UK test. Within about a
>>year I was an Extra.
>
> IOW you did not research what the test required.

Quite true. I didn't research the code test because I knew I couldn't copy
code by any normal standards. My objective was just to get a US call. I
was already active on 30 MHz + as W3/G8VUK

>
>>>>A lot more people would have got a lot more upset if they had
>>>>been faced with the code tests as they are in some other countries. I
>>>>was never able to pass a code test in the UK when I lived there. I

>>>>would at that time have had to read 96% correct in random groups at
>>>>12 wpm, otherwise no HF. I still can't even do that now, or even the
>>>>day after I legitimately passed the 20 wpm test over here. Mind you,
>>>>the test over there is now 5 wpm plain language, but I don't think
>>>>the percentage copy is any lower.
>>>
>>> The UK has their new "Foundation" license. Its "code test" is hardly
>>> a valid indication of Morse knowledge.
>>
>>Right. But it's the theory side of it that bothers me.
>
> Why? How many hams, in the US or UK, actually build their own equipment
> from scratch, or kits? (I do, btw).

Very few. A couple of times in the past few years I have considered it,
but it seems to be cheaper or about the same to buy something ready built

>
> Why should a new ham have to learn, say, the block diagram for a
> PLL-type synthesizer in order to use a manufactured rig? Particularly
> when that rig has a DDS-type LO?

Are you saying it's OK if we know nothing about radio. Wouldn't that be
CB? As for PLL v DDS that's an issue of updating the question pool, which
is our responsibility (OK, perhaps mine, as a VE)

>>
>>>>You only need about 70% right to get the 7 questions out of 10 right
>>>>over here. Most of the world don't know that about the US, they
>>>>actually have to get most of the characters right in their code
>>>>tests, and they probably think you do too. I did until I actually
>>>>came to live here. This is not bashing the US, I agree with anything
>>>>that makes it easier, including abolishing it! Of course, I expect
>>>>that in some of the smaller countries the code tests are even easier
>>>>than they are here.
>>>
>>> What you are really arguing is that the standards are set at too high
>>> a level, rather than that the Morse test needs to go away. Same
>>> argument holds true for the writtens.
>>
>>Actually that's not my argument atall.
>
> It's the logical conclusion that results from your argument.

Let's spell this out. If I thought that code needed to be tested I would
say that the standard was too low, not too high. What I'm saying is that
the average US ham has done a test that is unrealistically easy, making it
seem less of an issue than it really is. If the test actually proved
competence it would be a huge roadblock to a much bigger number of people.
In many countries, at least until recently, the test was an actual test of
competence, in a skill that many don't want to use.

>
>>What I'm saying is that the US code
>>tests have been so dumbed down for so long now that you don't
>>understand over here why other countries are trying to do away with
>>them.
>
> The toughest code test requirement that the USA has ever had for a ham
> license is the "1 minute of 5 & send to the examiner" test. Why didn't
> the UK adopt a more reasonable testing standard?
>
>> Rather than
>>dumb them down, isn't it more honest to ditch them?
>
> Nope. The reasonable thing is to have a reasonable test standard.

A reasonable test standard to prove competency in code would be too hard
for those who aren't going to use it.

>>
>>That said, you are quite right that the UK is now playing your game,
>>and going even further, with it's 'Morse asessment' for the Foundation,
>>aka M3 licence.
>
> It's not my game at all. And not all of the UK agrees - ever hear of
> the UKRS?

Certainly I have, but from what I hear it's almost dead.

>
>>At least the RA has said outright that it plans to dump code
>>testing as soon as the ITU says it can, and regards this as an interim
>>plan only. The FCC apparently adopted easier tests as a long term
>>solution.
>
> Not really. The FCC actually made the tests tougher in 1968, then began
> relaxing them in 1983
>>
>>Of course, the FCC is in no position to issue an edict as soon as the
>>ITU rules. Your public comment process means that code testing will
>>probably be abolished here in about 2005, with NPRMs and suchlike to
>>contend with to delay the process.
>
> I disagree 100%. Here's why:
>
> FCC specifically asked for comments on the code tests in 1990 (for
> VHF/UHF) and again in 1998 (for all ham licenses). In both cases, FCC
> found no compelling reason to continue code testing for the licenses
> under discussion.
>
> FCC specifically said in its R&O for the 2000 restructure that it saw
> "no regulatory purpose" in code tests for any class of ham license
> EXCEPT meeting S25.5. They were very clear that the treaty was the one

> and only reason that the 5 wpm test was retained. In FCC's opinion, 5
> wpm was the minimum required to meet the intent of S25.5, so it had to
> be retained.
>
> In addition, by granting medical waivers back in 1990, FCC showed that
> it did not consider code tests above 5 wpm to be essential.
>
> I am convinced that as soon as S25.5 is removed, and the treaty
> ratified by the USA, FCC will simply "waive" Element 1. They will cite
> their previous pronouncements on the subject and be done with it. No
> NPRM, no comments, just "Element 1 is waived for all license classes".
>
> Just watch.

I hope you're right. My XYL is studying General theory, in the hope that by the time she passes it she will not have to take a code test before her credit expires. She bought a CW practice programme as well as the General book, though.

>
>>Oddly enough, I would advise people to take the 5 wpm test. Passing it
>>doesn't mean that they can read code, anyway!
>
> Same here. Why wait?
>
> But in fact I think that the whole idea of 5 wpm has done more harm
> than good. Too many hams picked up bad habits while learning 5 wpm.
> Said habits had to be unlearned to go faster. Koch and Farnsworth had
> this all figured out decades ago, but the message was lost on the FCC.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

5 wpm is too slow to copy easily, but the ARRL test tapes are Farnsworth method. I wouldn't advise anyone to learn at a character speed of 5 wpm.

73 de N3KIP

1879. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 20:33:21 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqmajc4nei6652@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You will find those types in all age groups. Amateur radio is really a starting point. What you do from there is up to you. I saw a post from an individual on another site stating that he could type 50 words per minute and the small keys on his miniature whatever kept him from typing. I hated to break his bubble, but some years back I could send and receive Morse faster than he can type. No big deal, I suppose. Myself, I simply use 440 FM from an HT. Yeah, maybe it looks geek (I do NOT carry it everywhere) ... but when the telephones went out at my employers and everyone was scrambling to borrow someone else's cellphone I couldn't resist. I went to the locker and pulled out the HT. Turned up the volume, hit some buttons, and up came the dial tone. Placed me call and enjoyed every minute of it. You'd be surprised. A lot of folks sneer at the over 50 set .... yet a group I am heavily involved in has a few of us over 50 year olds. You'd be surprised at the help that group has given other concerning computers. Protocols, addressing, interrupts, you name it. The common thread (and a few of us have passed Morse code tests) is that those who have been willing to learn continue to enjoy learning. That, my friend, is what seperates the folks who generally do well and those who don't. You happened to run into a few that probably stopped once they passed one test and no longer want to learn. They are likely not doing too well.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"booerwars 14313" <fatwogersuxkock@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3dab16fa@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
> news:aofe72srjr1@taranews.net...
> >
> >
> > > I'd say that the movie is hurting the image of ham radio rather than
> > > helping. It delivers the message that hams are dinosaurs who
> > > shouldn't be taken seriously, although their old equipment is
> > > interesting from a historical viewpoint.
> >
> Ham radio hurt itself by not changing with the times.
> Now their reaping the fruits of stagnation because
> of the historical official policies of a certain "non-profit"
> publishing house in Conn. It's too late boys! Ham clubs
> are dying, hamfests are dying. I told an ARRL director
> back in **1981** to drop the code and look for
> ways to incorporate the first computers into ham radio.
> He looked at me and sneered at my thought of dropping

> CW and said "..what relavance do computers have to
> do with ham radio?" (after all...I was just a 16 year old
> "kid" - he asked me that with a sneer also and he and
> his group of cheap-ass old farts thought my comments
> were quite amusing at the time - oh well.)
>
>
>

1880. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 20:47:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <w3Gq9.33438$OB5.2665788@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote

>
> Good thing I became a Computer Specialist. They are still respected.

"They" are, but you are not -- go figure!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

1881. Re: what a bunch of B***S***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 21:22:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DAB3591.576B954B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53698

>
> Besides, the SSB/AM comparison is really apples and
> oranges. The SSB systems lack the rich, warm presence
> of vintage AM operation and will never have it as
> long as they have to use IF filter selectivity to
> achieve adequate carrier suppression. The value of
> this presence is incalculable and makes places AM
> operation on a completely different plane in terms
> of enjoyment of signal reception.

As long as the band is not crowded, then AM is fine.

1882. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 23:36:10 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <uqmli6n5g8aedd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hans,

While I think the original post was a bit 'adversarial' to ham radio, I DO agree with the BS from the movie 'Frequency'. No, I didn't see it. The story line and the teasers I saw just didn't excite me at all.

But, I found the reaction of a lot of HAMs to be objectionable. There were guys out there thinking that the movie will be the savior of declining ranks. Clubs were even setting up stations and displays for ham radio at some of the theaters. Geesh, IF I had gone to the movies and if I ran into one of those setups, I would have made SURE I hid any external indication I was a HAM.

Mike

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:w3Gq9.33438$OB5.2665788@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
>
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote
>
> > Good thing I became a Computer Specialist. They are still respected.
>
> "They" are, but you are not -- go figure!
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help bring amateur radio to youth.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
>

1883. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 23:49:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h3zoc2z.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 14 Oct 2002 13:15:13 -0700, Brian wrote:

>That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
>in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.

Subject to review by an Adminstrative Law Judge, then by the
Commission en banc, then by the US Court of Appeals, then by the
Supreme Court of the US.

That's why you don't see a lot of sanctions levied for that
specific violation.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1884. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-14 23:58:12 UTC
From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com
Message-ID: <3dab4a6d.561178852@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 53701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 14 Oct 2002 23:42:51 GMT, "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 20:47:24 GMT, K?HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote:
>>
>> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote
>>
>> >
>> > Good thing I became a Computer Specialist. They are still respected.
>>
>> "They" are, but you are not -- go figure!

As clueless as ever, lloyd goes from:

>Ad hominem attacks: The cheap refuge of the dimwits.

To this;

>You use them -- go figure!

Parroting perfectly the phenomenon he attempts to denounce.

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

1885. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 00:30:37 UTC
From: "Michael A. Brown" <mbrown@qx.net>
Message-ID: <uqmoelt33j880f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have to agree with your point about the movie to a large degree. The movie did kind of make it look like people never use amateur radio anymore, or that ham radio is some kind of relic from the past that has been pushed aside by the computer age. In all fairness though, if you rent the DVD they do have a nice segment about ham radio operators on there that makes the hobby look pretty good.

73,
Mike KU4UV
Lexington, KY.
Lloyd <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:aofe72srjr1@taranews.net...
> I finally got to see the movie "Frequency" when the Starz network
> showed it last weekend. It revolved around ham radio, but the
> questions asked by the characters probably reflected Hollywood's
> current, unflattering impression of amateur radio.
>
> When the main character came across his father's old ham set, people
> asked him things like "Ham radio: Was that what people did before the
> net?", and "The people who use radios like that are mostly old men,
> aren't they?", plus the real zinger "Does anyone use those things any
> more?"
>
> I'd say that the movie is hurting the image of ham radio rather than
> helping. It delivers the message that hams are dinosaurs who
> shouldn't be taken seriously, although their old equipment is
> interesting from a historical viewpoint.
>
> And now it's showing on every cable and satellite TV provider which
> carries the Starz network. Not good.
>
> Good thing I became a Computer Specialist. They are still respected.

1886. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 00:30:54 UTC
From: "Michael A. Brown" <mbrown@qx.net>
Message-ID: <uqmof4ft7b5r17@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:aofe72srjr1@taranews.net...
> I finally got to see the movie "Frequency" when the Starz network
> showed it last weekend. It revolved around ham radio, but the
> questions asked by the characters probably reflected Hollywood's
> current, unflattering impression of amateur radio.
>
> When the main character came across his father's old ham set, people
> asked him things like "Ham radio: Was that what people did before the
> net?", and "The people who use radios like that are mostly old men,
> aren't they?", plus the real zinger "Does anyone use those things any
> more?"
>
> I'd say that the movie is hurting the image of ham radio rather than
> helping. It delivers the message that hams are dinosaurs who
> shouldn't be taken seriously, although their old equipment is
> interesting from a historical viewpoint.
>
> And now it's showing on every cable and satellite TV provider which
> carries the Starz network. Not good.
>
> Good thing I became a Computer Specialist. They are still respected.

1887. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 00:48:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aofokc$h3o$0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 53704

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>

> Of course, the thought that I wouldn't do it simply because I have no
> motive to do it doesn't enter into Kim's mind. One has to ask oneself --
> why?
>

That's actually a pretty easy one, Larry. Not much of anything enters
into Kim's mind.

1888. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 00:56:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aofp42$iq0$0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 53705

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>

I think your idea
> would make more sense if it were directed toward a more practical mode
> for operating under austere conditions -- and that is, of course, CW!
> AM would be my very last choice for "emergency" or austere condx
> since it doesn't offer the operational advantages of CW and doesn't have
> the power efficiency of SSB or the data transfer speed of digital modes.

I'd think that since the idea is to communicate, that is transfer intelligence from one point to another, the contest should be wide open and the winner should be the first person or team to construct a radio system to transfer a standard message using that radio system - and receives an acknowledgment- whatever the mode. If a digital guru can strap together a few digital ICs and get it done, he wins. If Larry cobbles together a CW rig and gets the message sent, and and receives the confirmation via the same mode first, he's da man.

Dick

1889. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 01:17:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014211729.11404.00001602@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 53706

In article <3DAA0F8B.8040306@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Here you have a point I've been told that at one time the setup had to be >done during field day itself.

In the early 1970s, a rule was enacted that all setup had to be done during the FD period. FD was extended from 24 to 27 hours to allow setup time.

But the rule was VERY unpopular. It also tended to reward speed over safety - not a good idea. So in a very few years the rule was changed to its present form, in which FD folks have two options:

- Set up ahead of time (not more than 24 hours before FD starts) and operate 24 hours

or

- Set up during FD itself, and operate the full 27 hours.

A compromise, yes, but there is no perfect solution. FD starts at 2 pm local time on the East Coast and 11 AM on the West Coast. Requiring setup to begin when FD does would put the hardest work in the hottest part of the day in places like Florida - not a good idea. Starting FD earlier (say, 10 AM on the East Coast) would make it start (and end) very early on the West Coast.

I've done single-handed FDs, where I did everything from beginning to end. These were all-out efforts, too, with big homebrew rigs, and generator power, at a site that was simply an open field. Last time I did that was 1995. Learned a lot! Also made 629 CW and 11 phone QSOs, good for almost 3000 points in 1B-1.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1890. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 01:17:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014211730.11404.00001603@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 53707

In article <20021013174954.06837.00009269@mb-cq.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>This SHOULD be what field day is about.

Field Day is different things to different folks. It's an emergency preparednes exercise, yes, but that's not all. FD can also be a social event, training class, radio contest, camping trip, club meeting, cookout, publicity exercise, and many other things.

>My field day was different. Its really
>one big contesting event.

Was it "your" FD, or one that you chose to attend? If you don't like what a group does, form your own group or go solo.

>You set up stations at the beginning of the event, you learn a little (not
>alot) about emergency preparedness, (such as emergency power generators)
>doing this.
>Station location was under a parking garage (very easy to protect against
>rain). Station setup time was not critical. In fact, many took the previous
>day
>off to have an extra day to setup. Food was provided, also restaurants
>nearby.
>Noone operated with a car battery, therefore I did not learn how.
>
>After everything is all set up, the rest of the event is a bunch of contests.

What else would you do with the stations?

The contest aspect is a good indication of the effectiveness of the station setups, and the skill of the operators. FD is a prime opportunity to determine what works and what doesn't, by actual test under field conditions. Also a prime opportunity to develop operating skills.

It's also a chance to try out bands, modes, equipment and antennas that you haven't tried before.

>I did not stay for the taking down of the equipment. I would like to learn
>more, but field day did not give the opportunity to do so.

You get out of it what you put in to it. Were you part of the planning? Did you bring any equipment, or help to set up?

What did you expect?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1891. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 01:32:55 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210150127280.2448-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 14 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210140221140.1904-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
>> On 13 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210111943280.225-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
>> >> >2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
>> >> >These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
>> >> >their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
>> >> >or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
>> >> >by Riley).
>> >>
>> >> ...There's no law against being an asshole!
>> >
>> >If by being an a$$hole you are violating "Good Amateur Practice" then
>> >Riley says it's enforceable.
>>
>> Show me how those mere names violate practice.
>
>That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
>in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.
>
>> >> >4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
>> >> >and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
>> >> >5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
>> >>
>> >> Only if several (3+) are active at the same time. It's quite easy to monitor
>> >> several repeaters while they're idle. Additionally, who said that the callsign
>> >> trustee must be the one monitoring - "control operators" may exist....
>> >
>> >No such thing exists. Ever try raising a control op? A trustee?
>>
>> Yes, I have - and I've gotten a response too! That's pretty good for a figment.
>>
>> >Those are figment definitions in Part 97. You could make a career of
>> >not being answered on coordinated repeaters. Shut them all down. Let
>> >spirited no-coders make some use of the bands.
>
>They say if you're going to tell a lie, tell a big one.

I need no lie. Here's under what condition and where:

In both cases, the communication was also asking for a call to 911:
- By the Ontario, CA airport on I-10, on a repeater: 146.820, to report

a stalled vehicle in a middle lane. Occurred in September 2000 - on the second Friday after Labor Day; forget the exact date - about 4:30pm.
- In West Los Angeles, on 146.670, to report an injury traffic accident. This one was over 5 years ago and at night.

However, in both cases, I asked for a CP and I GOT A RESPONSE. The 146.820 machine is monitored by someone who works for the Highway Patrol, and the 146.670 was at that time the "Henry Radio" repeater, back when they still had a real sales business, not just manufacturing as they do today.

1892. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 02:27:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DAB7D42.1000901@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53709

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I'm typing as best as I can with my right hand numb, swollen, and bandaged
> up after Carpal Tunnel suregry less than 5 hrs. ago today.

Good luck with that hand, Larry.

> This is a good idea and I'm not poo-poo'ing it, but in this era of appliance
> operators, I don't think it would get more than a handful of participants,
> unfortunately.

That in itself could be okay. (not too many participants to start)
Although I don't have anything personal against appliance operators, I
don't think they get the whole experience of amateur radio.

My main reason for less points for CW is that the little rigs are really
simple to make (this would admittedly be THE way to go under ultimate
disaster conditions) But how about extra points for SSB/AM to CW
contacts for both parties during the thing? This would be a likely
scenario in real life when an actual disaster happens. It is also a good
argument for retaining cw skills, as under dire straits, it would be a
real tragedy if a cw operator couldn't comm with an ssb operator.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1893. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 04:01:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <kqMq9.35813$lV3.2786207@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote

>
> Ad hominem attacks:
>

Not an attack. Merely an observation.

......If the foo shits, etc.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

1894. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 04:21:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAB97CF.84B0273B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 53711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Michael A. Brown" wrote:
>
> I have to agree with your point about the movie to a large degree. The
> movie did kind of make it look like people never use amateur radio anymore,
> or that ham radio is some kind of relic from the past that has been pushed
> aside by the computer age. In all fairness though, if you rent the DVD they
> do have a nice segment about ham radio operators on there that makes the
> hobby look pretty good.

Would have helped if they had done more research and used a
Heathkit transceiver instead of just a receiver as the rig.

1895. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 05:51:19 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aoh09h12krs@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53721

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3DAAD57C.43D9D993@psu.edu...
> KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > How fast can I set-up
> > > and break-down a station. Pre-planning to conserve energy and not
turn
> > into
> > > a sweat ball. The importance of site location...
> >
> > This is true..... most clubs/groups have the time to plan where they are
> > going to set up for Field Day and the cold hard reality is that we may
be
> > asked to set up in less than perfect locations, say for instance like a
> > grocery store parking lot. More clubs/groups should try in locations
that
> > may be "less than perfect" if they wanna see how prepared they really
are.
>
> You just might be on to something here, Ryan. This could be turned into
> a fun thing for amateurs. Non-traditional antennas, locations and
> possibly even radios. We'd have to draw the lines at spark rigs, but
> getting amateurs to build and operate radios under primitive conditions
> would be really cool.

Well, the main thing we need to look at is..... Is it a contest or is an
event in training for emergency communications. Until that is decided,
discussion is pointless. If it is a contest then site truly is important.
If it is a emergency simulation then being able to set up under
less-than-perfect conditions is a reality.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1896. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 09:58:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015055814.15779.00001509@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53712

In article <3DAA43AF.7020708@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>>>I did not stay for the taking down of the equipment.

>> This is not surprising.Most people who whine the most about it are the
>> ones who weren't around for most of it.

Take-down is when help is most needed, and most appreciated.

>Right Steve. Nothing impresses me more than someone who wants all this
>information, but isn't willing to "pay" for it with a little help.

BINGO, Mike! But the ironic nature of your statement may have been lost on some
readers.

Consider also the following:

- Those who do not participate in the planning or preparation for FD, bring
little or no useful equipment, contribute little or no help, but expect to have
everything patiently explained to them while setup goes on.

- Those who show up after setup is done and expect to operate a hot setup (say,
20 ssb at 4 pm on Saturday) - then rewards the group by working a station every
5 minutes.

- Those who haven't researched FD rules, practices, or history, but who are
sure they know what it's all about.

>>>I would like to learn more,
>>>but field day did not give the opportunity to do so.

>> It gave you every opportunity to do so, YOU just chose to not exploit
>> those opportunities.
>>
>> NOTHING in Amateur Radio promises to take you by the hand and guide
>>you. I am making a big assumption here that you are over 21, therefore I
>>ASSUME you are an adult. So quit whining about what you didn't get, and go
>>out and do it for yourself.
>
WELL SAID.

> I'll bet the more experienced people will be willing to help out if
>approached properly. I would wager that I have received more practical
>knowledge setting up and tearing down for field day, setting up and
>operating in contests, and even cleaning up the club shack during
>cleanup day than I ever got in a classroom. You talk to people, listen
>well, and don't ever, ever act as though they are obligated to give you

>an answer. And of course the side benefit is you end up with new friends!

Works every time, Mike.
>
> Too many people think that the role of the respectful student is some
>kind of weakness these days, I guess! 8^)
>
The student who wants respect must first show it to the teacher. The student who wants to learn must show readiness to learn and willingness to do the background work..

And it must be realized that not all of the student's questions can be answered in
25 words or less.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1897. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 10:33:52 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D16745.BD02%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"booerwars 14313" wrote:
>
> Ham radio hurt itself by not changing with
> the times. Now their reaping the fruits of
> stagnation because of the historical
> official policies of a certain "non-profit"
> publishing house in Conn. It's too late
> boys! Ham clubs are dying, hamfests are
> dying. I told an ARRL director back in
> **1981** to drop the code and look for ways
> to incorporate the first computers into
> ham radio. He looked at me and sneered at
> my thought of dropping CW and said "..what
> relavance do computers have to do with ham
> radio?"

No wonder he sneered at you - computers were already in many ham shacks in 1981. There were code programs for both the Commodore and Apple II. I used one with an Apple II Plus and a shortwave receiver while in Germany.

License study guides were already starting to appear (mostly word processing files at the time, though one Apple II version even included graphic files). Radio Shack (Tandy) had several ham related programs for their computers. Even the little Sinclair had several ham radio programs, including a nifty little log book program for field trips - you could later transfer the data to your regular computer's database using tabbed-delimited text files (a friend had it and I showed him how to transfer the data).

The Osborne computer came with several ham radio programs from the factory, including an early attempt at an antenna design program. I played with those programs in a computer store I managed at the time.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1898. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 11:05:23 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D16EA0.BD04%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53714

"Vshah101" wrote:
>
> Invite a non-Ham to a Hamfest or club meeting
> and see if their impressions of Hams are
> flattering.
>
> Ham Radio demographics are 95% male, 50%old,
> 25% fat. Just go to a random Hamfest and you
> will see.

Okay, so what do you want - for all the old, fat, male ham operators to kill themselves because you think they're unflattering? If you don't like what you see, leave. Absolutely nobody is forcing you to hang around ham fests. Regardless, don't expect anybody to go away because you have a problem with fat old men. And don't hold your breath waiting for an apology for some being too old, too male, or too fat, for your tastes. Deal with it or deal yourself out.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1899. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 11:11:27 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D1700C.BD06%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Mike Yetsko" wrote:
>
> (snip) Geesh, IF I had gone to the movies and
> if I ran into one of those setups, I would have
> made SURE I hid any external indication I was
> a HAM.

Mike, positive promotion can offset the negative stereotypes people see on television and in the movies. If we sit back while "Hollywood" paints us as relics of the past, that is exactly what more and more people will think.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1900. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 11:21:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D17262.BD08%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"JJ" wrote:
>
> Would have helped if they had done more
> research and used a Heathkit transceiver
> instead of just a receiver as the rig.

Movies have been doing that for decades - in an early scene from the 194x movie, "The Thing from Outer Space," the radio operator is using a Hallicrafters receiver to transmit messages (with no microphone in sight - he's talking into the front of the radio).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1901. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 11:28:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015072837.28700.00001609@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 53717

In article <Xns92A7A8471309elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
>news:20021013171134.14335.00001732@mb-mj.aol.com:
>
>> In article <Xns92A6986075716elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
>> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>>>>>The Land Mobile guys stay on fixed channels and have no interest in
>>>>>chasing DX - two things that don't apply to hobby users as a group
>>>>
>>>> cb is on fixed channels, and was not meant as a 'hobby' service at
>>>> all. It was intended as a lowcost alternative to the VHF/UHF FM
>>>> land-mobile services.
>>>>
>>>> Example: Back when, a big taxicab company like Yellow Cab could
>>>> afford to equip its fleet with VHF FM sets. The license applications,
>>>> fees and related costs could be amortized over a lot of vehicles. But
>>>> a small cab operation couldn't afford the high startup costs.
>>>> Competitive advantage: big company. So FCC created cb so that the
>>>> little companies could have land mobile radio without the high
>>>> startup cost.
>>>
>>>23 channels is not really fixed channel in the sense that I meant it. A
>>>land mobile station has to stay on it's assigned channel.
>>
>> It's still a channelized service. 23 channels is not very many, and
>> many of the early cb sets did not cover all channels.
>>
>
>Channelized v Fixed Channel
>
>If you think it's the same thing try suggesting that each CBer be limited
>to an assigned channel!

So you say the difference is that the land mobile folks have no choice of
channel. Yet I have used land mobile radio services that have had several
channels available, at the discretion of the user..

>>>> I would venture to guess that the number of 'hobby type' cb users is
>>>> far less today than it was 25-35 years ago.
>>>
>>>Agreed
>>>

>> So cb may shrink until it is almost nonexistent.
>
>Or until there are vastly more people on 462 than on 27 MHz

Which may already be happening.

>>>>>>>> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it
>>>>>>>> would probably have died out before WW2.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Seems to me the choice was obvious.
>>>>>
>>>>>We don't know our hobby would have died out -it doesn't seem all that
>>>>>likely to me, actually
>>>>
>>>> It would have been legislated out of existence. Without formal
>>>> recognition as a service, protected by treaties and such, the bands
>>>> assigned to amateurs would have been quickly and easily reassigned to
>>>> other services. The relatively few hams of the day would have been no
>>>> match for the constant attacks by other services.
>>>>
>>>> But with amateur radio formally recognized as a valid radio service,
>>>> with exclusive bands allocated, elimination was made much more
>>>> difficult.
>>>>
>>>> Take a look at the battles fought at the radio conferences of the
>>>> '20s and it's clear that the decisions made in 1927 were necessary
>>>> for amateur radio to survive.
>>>
>>>That was the US argument at the time, but I don't think including a
>>>Morse test was necessary to settle the issue. We'll never know, will
>>>we?
>>
>> It's a logical deduction that without service status, the ARS would not
>> have lasted long after 1927.
>
>That's just reiterating the same thing, I think we are unlikely to agree
>on this point

Perhaps. But the facts support the idea that amateur radio would not have
survived without "service" status.

>>>>>> More important, when the subject has come up in the past, the
>>>>>> overwhelming response has been to keep code testing at the
>>>>>> then-current levels. Support for code testing was high among all
>>>>>> groups that cared to express an opinion. That opinion distribution
>>>>>> has changed in recent years, as evidenced by comments to the FCC.
>>
>>>>>This is where I have to tell you I'm not from the US.
>>>>>The code tests here
>>>>>are easier than in most countries, and I'm not talking about the code
>>>>>speed.

>>>>
>>>> Same is true of the writtens.
>>>
>>>True
>>
>> You asked in another post why you had to learn Morse in order to work
>> HF phone. The answer is "for the same reason hams have had to learn all
>> sorts of things for the writtens that had nothing to do with what they
>> wanted to do". For example, I had to learn:
>>
>> - VHF/UHF techniques in order to work HF
>> - SSB, FM, and other modes in order to work CW
>> - all sorts of theory in order to use manufactured equipment.
>>
>> Etc.
>
>Very fair point, as a matter of fact.

We agree on something, then.

>At one time the Tech pool, or at
>least element 3A contained no HF questions.

Sort of. Here's the history:

From its inception in 1951 until March 21, 1987, the Tech and General shared the same written test (ancient Element 3). Only difference was 5 vs. 13 wpm code.

It was noted that this required prospective Techs to take a test involving bands and regs that the Tech license would not permit them to use. The HF privs of the Tech were tested for in old Element 2 (Novice theory).

So in March of '87 the test was split into elements 3A and 3B. Tech license required 2 and 3A, General required 2, 3A and 3B

>Perhaps, under the current
>scheme element 2 shouldn't (I think it does, though?).

New Element 2 contains a combination of old Elements 2 and 3A. But it's only 35 questions - a little more than half the size of the combination of old Elements 2 and 3A.

Restructure 2000 did more than reduce code testing - it also reduced the writtens.

>Clearly there is a
>demand for a licence with no code test, and arguably it shouldn't confer
>CW operating privileges.

Sounds like you want to kill off CW! Soon there will be no code test for any license.

There is a demand for a nocodetest license, and also a demand for a notheorytest license, too.

>How would you gauge the demand for a no-VHF
>licence, or a no-SSB or no-FM licence, for that matter?

By the use of those modes.

>>>>>My point is that US opinion has tolerated code testing to a greater
>>>>>extent because of the Mickey Mouse nature of the tests (no offence to
>>>>>Disney!).
>>>>
>>>> Been outpaced by the UK, now.
>>>
>>>For the M3, yes. But the point is that US hams have been led to think
>>>code test are easy to pass because theirs are easy.
>>
>> There's no reason other countries could not have adopted US testing
>> standards. Failure to do so is not due to US hams, nor due to S25.5.
>
>True, although somewhat hampered by the funny notion outside these shores
>that a test should indicate competence in the subject tested.

By that logic, US hams are not "competent" in Morse - and never were. Somehow it seems to me that if 70-75% passing is considered adequate competency for the written, then there's no reason to require a higher standard for adequate competency in Morse.
>
>>>> I took the 13 and 20 wpm Morse tests in front of an FCC examiner. Had
>>>> to produce at least 1 solid minute of legible copy out of 5. No going
>>>> back and correcting errors, either. Also had to send Morse to the
>>>> examiner's satisfaction - with a straight key.
>>>
>>>I would have had to make almost solid copy (see below) for the whole
>>>test, not a mere minute, except that I couldn't manage it! And I would
>>>have had to send for the whole period with no uncorrected errors atall.
>>>That is tougher than the tests you passed, albeit at 12 wpm, and I
>>>never reached the test standard, and still haven't.
>>
>> If that had been the US standard, I'd have met it.
>
>I'm sure you would have - you like CW

But I didn't like it at first. My original plan was to get a ham license so I could do 75 AM. Funny thing - once I had a decent set of CW skills, I liked it so much that 'phone became a secondary mode for me.

Learning CW wasn't exactly easy for me. No code machines, records, or tapes. I learned it by listening to hams on the air, using a receiver that was so unstable I had to write with one hand and constantly adjust the rx with the other.
>
>>>Maybe with a little practice I might have been able to copy a mere 60

>>>seconds? Who knows?
>>
>> Is that the fault of S25.5?
>
>No
>
Well, there you have it. It's not the code test per se, it's the unreasonable
UK test standards.

>>Is it the fault of code testing in any way?
>
>Depends what you mean
>
>> Not at all.
>>>
>>>When the no-code licence came out over here. I took the tests to get
>>>one straight away, and refused to take the 5 wpm test, only because I
>>>thought I had no hope of passing. That was my mistake, I simply failed
>>>to understand how much easier it was than a UK test. Within about a
>>>year I was an Extra.
>>
>> IOW you did not research what the test required.
>
>Quite true. I didn't research the code test because I knew I couldn't copy
>code by any normal standards.

Normal to UK testing, not US testing.

>My objective was just to get a US call. I
>was already active on 30 MHz + as W3/G8VUK
>
>>>>>A lot more people would have got a lot more upset if they had
>>>>>been faced with the code tests as they are in some other countries. I
>>>>>was never able to pass a code test in the UK when I lived there. I
>>>>>would at that time have had to read 96% correct in random groups at
>>>>>12 wpm, otherwise no HF. I still can't even do that now, or even the
>>>>>day after I legitimately passed the 20 wpm test over here. Mind you,
>>>>>the test over there is now 5 wpm plain language, but I don't think
>>>>>the percentage copy is any lower.
>>>>
>>>> The UK has their new "Foundation" license. Its "code test" is hardly
>>>> a valid indication of Morse knowledge.
>>>
>>>Right. But it's the theory side of it that bothers me.
>>
>> Why? How many hams, in the US or UK, actually build their own equipment
>> from scratch, or kits? (I do, btw).
>
>Very few. A couple of times in the past few years I have considered it,
>but it seems to be cheaper or about the same to buy something ready built

Which is why homebrewing has gone from a mainstream activity to a niche thing among hams. I build practically all my own ham gear, either from kits or scratch. But I have sources of parts at less than retail prices.
>
>> Why should a new ham have to learn, say, the block diagram for a
>> PLL-type synthesizer in order to use a manufactured rig? Particularly
>> when that rig has a DDS-type LO?
>
>Are you saying it's OK if we know nothing about radio.

Nope. I'm asking why certain types of theory knowledge must be tested for in the writtens, if a ham doesn't want to use that theory. It's analagous to "why must I learn Morse to use HF phone?"

Why must I learn transistors and ICs to use tube radios? Why must I learn theory to use manufactured equipment? Etc.

> Wouldn't that be CB?

Nope. cb has no licenses. Knowledge of the regulations and practices is needed to avoid breaking the rules.

But you don't have to know how a carburetor works to get a driver's license.

>As for PLL v DDS that's an issue of updating the question pool, which
>is our responsibility (OK, perhaps mine, as a VE)

You missed the point. Both PLL and DDS are used by hams. But there's no requirement to use either - yet questions on them are on the tests.
>
>>>>You only need about 70% right to get the 7 questions out of 10 right
>>>>>over here. Most of the world don't know that about the US, they
>>>>>actually have to get most of the characters right in their code
>>>>>tests, and they probably think you do too. I did until I actually
>>>>>came to live here. This is not bashing the US, I agree with anything
>>>>>that makes it easier, including abolishing it! Of course, I expect
>>>>>that in some of the smaller countries the code tests are even easier
>>>>>than they are here.
>>>>
>>>> What you are really arguing is that the standards are set at too high
>>>> a level, rather than that the Morse test needs to go away. Same
>>>> argument holds true for the writtens.
>>>
>>>Actually that's not my argument atall.
>>
>> It's the logical conclusion that results from your argument.
>
>Let's spell this out. If I thought that code needed to be tested I would
>say that the standard was too low, not too high.

That's simply because you are used to UK standards.

>What I'm saying is that
>the average US ham has done a test that is unrealistically easy, making it

>seem less of an issue than it really is.

Why is the US test unrealistically easy? I think the UK test, if it requires perfect or near-perfect copy, is unrelaistically tough.

>If the test actually proved
>competence it would be a huge roadblock to a much bigger number of people.
>In many countries, at least until recently, the test was an actual test of
>competence, in a skill that many don't want to use.

Who gets to define what comprises competence? Do the UK writtens require a near-perfect score?

>>>What I'm saying is that the US code
>>>tests have been so dumbed down for so long now that you don't
>>>understand over here why other countries are trying to do away with
>>>them.
>>
>> The toughest code test requirement that the USA has ever had for a ham
>> license is the "1 minute of 5 & send to the examiner" test. Why didn't
>> the UK adopt a more reasonable testing standard?
>>
>>> Rather than
>>>dumb them down, isn't it more honest to ditch them?
>>
>> Nope. The reasonable thing is to have a reasonable test standard.
>
>A reasonable test standard to prove competency in code would be too hard
>for those who aren't going to use it.

again - who decides what constitutes competency?
>
>>>That said, you are quite right that the UK is now playing your game,
>>>and going even further, with it's 'Morse asessment' for the Foundation,
>>>aka M3 licence.
>>
>> It's not my game at all. And not all of the UK agrees - ever hear of
>> the UKRS?
>
>Certainly I have, but from what I hear it's almost dead.

Too bad.
>
>>>At least the RA has said outright that it plans to dump code
>>>testing as soon as the ITU says it can, and regards this as an interim
>>>plan only. The FCC apparently adopted easier tests as a long term
>>>solution.
>>
>> Not really. The FCC actually made the tests tougher in 1968, then began
>> relaxing them in 1983
>>>
>>>Of course, the FCC is in no position to issue an edict as soon as the

>>>ITU rules. Your public comment process means that code testing will
>>>probably be abolished here in about 2005, with NPRMs and suchlike to
>>>contend with to delay the process.
>>
>> I disagree 100%. Here's why:
>>
>> FCC specifically asked for comments on the code tests in 1990 (for
>> VHF/UHF) and again in 1998 (for all ham licenses). In both cases, FCC
>> found no compelling reason to continue code testing for the licenses
>> under discussion.
>>
>> FCC specifically said in its R&O for the 2000 restructure that it saw
>> "no regulatory purpose" in code tests for any class of ham license
>> EXCEPT meeting S25.5. They were very clear that the treaty was the one
>> and only reason that the 5 wpm test was retained. In FCC's opinion, 5
>> wpm was the minimum required to meet the intent of S25.5, so it had to
>> be retained.
>>
>> In addition, by granting medical waivers back in 1990, FCC showed that
>> it did not consider code tests above 5 wpm to be essential.
>>
>> I am convinced that as soon as S25.5 is removed, and the treaty
>> ratified by the USA, FCC will simply "waive" Element 1. They will cite
>> their previous pronouncements on the subject and be done with it. No
>> NPRM, no comments, just "Element 1 is waived for all license classes".
>>
>> Just watch.
>
>I hope you're right.

I hope I'm wrong, but I see no reason for things to go any different than I
have described. I also know that there will be at least one petition to
eliminate Element 1 presented to the FCC as soon as S25.5 goes away.

Given the FCC's behavior and public statements re code testing for at least the
past dozen years, keeping Element 1 without S25.5 would be completely
illogical.

>My XYL is studying General theory, in the hope that
>by the time she passes it she will not have to take a code test before her
>credit expires. She bought a CW practice programme as well as the General
>book, though.

Plenty of good code and theory learning freeware out there. The G4FON program
looks very good.
>
>>>Oddly enough, I would advise people to take the 5 wpm test. Passing it
>>>doesn't mean that they can read code, anyway!
>>
>> Same here. Why wait?
>>

>> But in fact I think that the whole idea of 5 wpm has done more harm
>> than good. Too many hams picked up bad habits while learning 5 wpm.
>> Said habits had to be unlearned to go faster. Koch and Farnsworth had
>> this all figured out decades ago, but the message was lost on the FCC.

>5 wpm is too slow to copy easily, but the ARRL test tapes are Farnsworth
>method.

So are the tests.

>I wouldn't advise anyone to learn at a character speed of 5 wpm.

Same here.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1902. Re: Warning: W4NTI sending out email bugbear worm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 12:04:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D17C7C.BD0D%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Yo Momma" wrote:
>
> (snip) It replicates itself by sending out e-mail
> to addresses harvested from infected systems using
> subject lines such as the following:
>
> 25 merchants and rising
> Announcement
> bad news (snip)

The latest variation uses "customer service," "system administrator," or
some variation of that, at the receiver's server as the email address,
claims to contain a tool to check & remove this virus, and urges subscribers
to use it immediately. I received two like this in the last week.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1903. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 12:18:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015081827.11363.00001363@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 53718

>Subject: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: "Lloyd" Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm
>Date: 10/14/02 1:55 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <aofe72srjr1@taranews.net>

>Good thing I became a Computer Specialist. They are still respected.

In which dimension?

1904. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 12:24:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015082452.11363.00001364@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 53720

>Subject: Re: Winter Field Day?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/15/02 4:58 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021015055814.15779.00001509@mb-fm.aol.com>
>

>And it must be realized that not all of the student's questions can be
>answered
>in
>25 words or less.

I'd be tickled if they could just get 25 characters in one minute.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1905. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 13:11:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aoh45f$9p8$0@208.206.142.79>
Source record: 53722

KC8PMX wrote:
>
Is it a contest or is an
> event in training for emergency communications. Until that is decided,
> discussion is pointless. If it is a contest then site truly is important.
> If it is a emergency simulation then being able to set up under
> less-than-perfect conditions is a reality.
>

Of course it's both an event and a contest. The event part provides practice and skills at setting up a radio station under less than optimal circumstances and working out the problems involved for best results. The contest part provides practice, experience and feel for handling fast traffic.
It's true, exchanging contact numbers and section information is NOT the same as working a large disaster event, but it's still training and practice toward that end that is very beneficial to the operators involved. The transition from passing field day contact information to handling disaster message traffic will be much quicker and easier because of that Field Day experience.

Dick

1906. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 13:37:56 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92A861FAD8BEEelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53723

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
news:20021015072837.28700.00001609@mb-fz.aol.com:

> In article <Xns92A7A8471309elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
>>news:20021013171134.14335.00001732@mb-mj.aol.com:
>>
>>> In article <Xns92A6986075716elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
>>> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>>
>>>>>>The Land Mobile guys stay on fixed channels and have no interest in
>>>>>>chasing DX - two things that don't apply to hobby users as a group
>>>>>
>>>>> cb is on fixed channels, and was not meant as a 'hobby' service at
>>>>> all. It was intended as a lowcost alternative to the VHF/UHF FM
>>>>> land-mobile services.
>>>>>
>>>>> Example: Back when, a big taxicab company like Yellow Cab could
>>>>> afford to equip its fleet with VHF FM sets. The license
>>>>> applications, fees and related costs could be amortized over a lot
>>>>> of vehicles. But a small cab operation couldn't afford the high
>>>>> startup costs. Competitive advantage: big company. So FCC created
>>>>> cb so that the little companies could have land mobile radio
>>>>> without the high startup cost.
>>>>
>>>>23 channels is not really fixed channel in the sense that I meant it.
>>>>A land mobile station has to stay on it's assigned channel.
>>>
>>> It's still a channelized service. 23 channels is not very many, and
>>> many of the early cb sets did not cover all channels.
>>>
>>
>>Channelized v Fixed Channel
>>
>>If you think it's the same thing try suggesting that each CBer be
>>limited to an assigned channel!
>
> So you say the difference is that the land mobile folks have no choice
> of channel. Yet I have used land mobile radio services that have had
> several channels available, at the discretion of the user..

23??

>
>>>>> I would venture to guess that the number of 'hobby type' cb users
>>>>> is far less today than it was 25-35 years ago.
>>>>
>>>>Agreed
>>>>
>>> So cb may shrink until it is almost nonexistent.
>>
>>Or until there are vastly more people on 462 than on 27 MHz
>
> Which may already be happening.
>
>>>>>>>>> Had amateur radio NOT been granted "service" status in 1927, it
>>>>>>>>> would probably have died out before WW2.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Seems to me the choice was obvious.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>We don't know our hobby would have died out -it doesn't seem all
>>>>>>that likely to me, actually
>>>>>
>>>>> It would have been legislated out of existence. Without formal
>>>>> recognition as a service, protected by treaties and such, the bands
>>>>> assigned to amateurs would have been quickly and easily reassigned
>>>>> to other services. The relatively few hams of the day would have
>>>>> been no match for the constant attacks by other services.
>>>>>
>>>>> But with amateur radio formally recognized as a valid radio
>>>>> service, with exclusive bands allocated, elimination was made much
>>>>> more difficult.
>>>>>
>>>>> Take a look at the battles fought at the radio conferences of the
>>>>> '20s and it's clear that the decisions made in 1927 were necessary
>>>>> for amateur radio to survive.
>>>>
>>>>That was the US argument at the time, but I don't think including a
>>>>Morse test was necessary to settle the issue. We'll never know, will
>>>>we?
>>>
>>> It's a logical deduction that without service status, the ARS would
>>> not have lasted long after 1927.
>>
>>That's just reiterating the same thing, I think we are unlikely to
>>agree on this point
>
> Perhaps. But the facts support the idea that amateur radio would not
> have survived without "service" status.
>
>>>>>>> More important, when the subject has come up in the past, the
>>>>>>> overwhelming response has been to keep code testing at the
>>>>>>> then-current levels. Support for code testing was high among all
>>>>>>> groups that cared to express an opinion. That opinion

>>>>>>> distribution has changed in recent years, as evidenced by
>>>>>>> comments to the FCC.
>>>
>>>>>>This is where I have to tell you I'm not from the US.
>>>>>>The code tests here
>>>>>>are easier than in most countries, and I'm not talking about the
>>>>>>code speed.
>>>>>
>>>>> Same is true of the writtens.
>>>>
>>>>True
>>>
>>> You asked in another post why you had to learn Morse in order to work
>>> HF phone. The answer is "for the same reason hams have had to learn
>>> all sorts of things for the writtens that had nothing to do with what
>>> they wanted to do". For example, I had to learn:
>>>
>>> - VHF/UHF techniques in order to work HF
>>> - SSB, FM, and other modes in order to work CW
>>> - all sorts of theory in order to use manufactured equipment.
>>>
>>> Etc.
>>
>>Very fair point, as a matter of fact.
>
> We agree on something, then.
>
>>At one time the Tech pool, or at least element 3A contained no HF
>>questions.
>
> Sort of. Here's the history:
>
> From its inception in 1951 until March 21, 1987, the Tech and General
> shared the same written test (ancient Element 3). Only difference was 5
> vs. 13 wpm code.
>
> It was noted that this required prospective Techs to take a test
> involving bands and regs that the Tech license would not permit them to
> use. The HF privs of the Tech were tested for in old Element 2 (Novice
> theory).
>
> So in March of '87 the test was split into elements 3A and 3B. Tech
> license required 2 and 3A, General required 2, 3A and 3B
>
>>Perhaps, under the current scheme element 2 shouldn't (I think it
>>does, though?).
>
> New Element 2 contains a combination of old Elements 2 and 3A. But it's
> only 35 questions - a little more than half the size of the combination
> of old Elements 2 and 3A.

>
> Restructure 2000 did more than reduce code testing - it also reduced
> the writtens.
>
>>Clearly there is a
>>demand for a licence with no code test, and arguably it shouldn't
>>confer CW operating privileges.
>
> Sounds like you want to kill off CW! Soon there will be no code test
> for any license.

I see your point, but it would be more logical to keep them off CW than
HF. Actually the B licence in the UK at one time didn't permit CW use, but
that was changed. I m not trying to kill of CW, so I guess it's necessary
to let people use CW without a test, under the circumstances.

>
> There is a demand for a nocodetest license, and also a demand for a
> notheorytest license, too.

Good point.

>
>>How would you gauge the demand for a no-VHF licence, or a no-SSB or
>>no-FM licence, for that matter?
>
> By the use of those modes.

Well, what about all the people who only use repeaters? No SSB questions
for them? Actually, I think that theory tests should cover all modes
(including questions on CW, like chirp and transmitter keying methods,
etc) but reading CW is pretty much self regulating, i.e. you can't use CW
anyway if you can't read it.
>
>>>>>>My point is that US opinion has tolerated code testing to a greater
>>>>>>extent because of the Mickey Mouse nature of the tests (no offence
>>>>>>to Disney!).
>>>>>
>>>>> Been outpaced by the UK, now.
>>>>
>>>>For the M3, yes. But the point is that US hams have been led to think
>>>>code test are easy to pass because theirs are easy.
>>>
>>> There's no reason other countries could not have adopted US testing
>>> standards. Failure to do so is not due to US hams, nor due to S25.5.
>>
>>True, although somewhat hampered by the funny notion outside these
>>shores that a test should indicate competence in the subject tested.
>
> By that logic, US hams are not "competent" in Morse - and never were.
> Somehow it seems to me that if 70-75% passing is considered adequate
> competency for the written, then there's no reason to require a higher

> standard for adequate competency in Morse.

Well, we are amateurs, after all

>>
>>>>> I took the 13 and 20 wpm Morse tests in front of an FCC examiner.
>>>>> Had to produce at least 1 solid minute of legible copy out of 5. No
>>>>> going back and correcting errors, either. Also had to send Morse to
>>>>> the examiner's satisfaction - with a straight key.
>>>>
>>>>I would have had to make almost solid copy (see below) for the whole
>>>>test, not a mere minute, except that I couldn't manage it! And I
>>>>would have had to send for the whole period with no uncorrected
>>>>errors atall. That is tougher than the tests you passed, albeit at 12
>>>>wpm, and I never reached the test standard, and still haven't.
>>>
>>> If that had been the US standard, I'd have met it.
>>
>>I'm sure you would have - you like CW
>
> But I didn't like it at first. My original plan was to get a ham
> license so I could do 75 AM. Funny thing - once I had a decent set of
> CW skills, I liked it so much that 'phone became a secondary mode for
> me.

Not surprising when you compare it to AM. SSB was a major advance, but
it's very old news now. As a matter of fact, as we both know, it was
realistic to use mostly CW if you wanted to work DX before SSB, but it
changed everything

>
> Learning CW wasn't exactly easy for me. No code machines, records, or
> tapes. I learned it by listening to hams on the air, using a receiver
> that was so unstable I had to write with one hand and constantly adjust
> the rx with the other.

Granted. I made very little progress myself until I got some CW software

>>
>>>>Maybe with a little practice I might have been able to copy a mere
>>>>60 seconds? Who knows?
>>>
>>> Is that the fault of S25.5?
>>
>>No
>>
> Well, there you have it. It's not the code test per se, it's the
> unreasonable UK test standards.

They were unreasonable, for an amateur, but I think the UK was not the
only country to have a similar test

>
>>>Is it the fault of code testing in any way?
>>
>>Depends what you mean
>>
>>> Not at all.
>>>>
>>>>When the no-code licence came out over here. I took the tests to get
>>>>one straight away, and refused to take the 5 wpm test, only because I
>>>>thought I had no hope of passing. That was my mistake, I simply
>>>>failed to understand how much easier it was than a UK test. Within
>>>>about a year I was an Extra.
>>>
>>> IOW you did not research what the test required.
>>
>>Quite true. I didn't research the code test because I knew I couldn't
>>copy code by any normal standards.
>
> Normal to UK testing, not US testing.

Perhaps

>
>>My objective was just to get a US call. I
>>was already active on 30 MHz + as W3/G8VUK
>>
>>>>>>A lot more people would have got a lot more upset if they had
>>>>>>been faced with the code tests as they are in some other countries.
>>>>>>I was never able to pass a code test in the UK when I lived there.
>>>>>>I would at that time have had to read 96% correct in random groups
>>>>>>at 12 wpm, otherwise no HF. I still can't even do that now, or even
>>>>>>the day after I legitimately passed the 20 wpm test over here. Mind
>>>>>>you, the test over there is now 5 wpm plain language, but I don't
>>>>>>think the percentage copy is any lower.
>>>>>
>>>>> The UK has their new "Foundation" license. Its "code test" is
>>>>> hardly a valid indication of Morse knowledge.
>>>>
>>>>Right. But it's the theory side of it that bothers me.
>>>
>>> Why? How many hams, in the US or UK, actually build their own
>>> equipment from scratch, or kits? (I do, btw).
>>
>>Very few. A couple of times in the past few years I have considered it,
>>but it seems to be cheaper or about the same to buy something ready
>>built
>
> Which is why homebrewing has gone from a mainstream activity to a niche
> thing among hams. I build practically all my own ham gear, either from
> kits or scratch. But I have sources of parts at less than retail
> prices.
>>

>>> Why should a new ham have to learn, say, the block diagram for a
>>> PLL-type synthesizer in order to use a manufactured rig? Particularly
>>> when that rig has a DDS-type LO?
>>
>>Are you saying it's OK if we know nothing about radio.
>
> Nope. I'm asking why certain types of theory knowledge must be tested
> for in the writtens, if a ham doesn't want to use that theory. It's
> analagous to "why must I learn Morse to use HF phone?"
>
> Why must I learn transistors and ICs to use tube radios? Why must I
> learn theory to use manufactured equipment? Etc.

The manufacured equipment that most people use doesn't have tubes anymore.
Even linears are increasingly solid state

>
>> Wouldn't that be CB?
>
> Nope. cb has no licenses. Knowledge of the regulations and practices is
> needed to avoid breaking the rules.
>
> But you don't have to know how a carburetor works to get a driver's
> license.

A car is virtually a necessity, though. Besides, you can transmit without
a theory test, just not on the ham bands

>
>>As for PLL v DDS that's an issue of updating the question pool, which
>>is our responsibility (OK, perhaps mine, as a VE)
>
> You missed the point. Both PLL and DDS are used by hams. But there's no
> requirement to use either - yet questions on them are on the tests.

They just represent current practice. Tubes and free-running VFOs don't,
although I think hams should know about them

>>
>>>>>You only need about 70% right to get the 7 questions out of 10 right
>>>>>>over here. Most of the world don't know that about the US, they
>>>>>>actually have to get most of the characters right in their code
>>>>>>tests, and they probably think you do too. I did until I actually
>>>>>>came to live here. This is not bashing the US, I agree with
>>>>>>anything that makes it easier, including abolishing it! Of course,
>>>>>>I expect that in some of the smaller countries the code tests are
>>>>>>even easier than they are here.
>>>>>
>>>>> What you are really arguing is that the standards are set at too
>>>>> high a level, rather than that the Morse test needs to go away.
>>>>> Same argument holds true for the writtens.
>>>>

>>>>Actually that's not my argument atall.
>>>
>>> It's the logical conclusion that results from your argument.
>>
>>Let's spell this out. If I thought that code needed to be tested I
>>would say that the standard was too low, not too high.
>
> That's simply because you are used to UK standards.

So perhaps I should lower my standards

>
>>What I'm saying is that
>>the average US ham has done a test that is unrealistically easy, making
>>it seem less of an issue than it really is.
>
> Why is the US test unrealistically easy? I think the UK test, if it
> requires perfect or near-perfect copy, is unrelaistically tough.

Yes, that's equally true

>
>>If the test actually proved
>>competence it would be a huge roadblock to a much bigger number of
>>people. In many countries, at least until recently, the test was an
>>actual test of competence, in a skill that many don't want to use.
>
> Who gets to define what comprises competence? Do the UK writtens
> require a near-perfect score?

No, they require about the same score as here

>
>>>>What I'm saying is that the US code
>>>>tests have been so dumbed down for so long now that you don't
>>>>understand over here why other countries are trying to do away with
>>>>them.
>>>
>>> The toughest code test requirement that the USA has ever had for a
>>> ham license is the "1 minute of 5 & send to the examiner" test. Why
>>> didn't the UK adopt a more reasonable testing standard?
>>>
>>>> Rather than
>>>>dumb them down, isn't it more honest to ditch them?
>>>
>>> Nope. The reasonable thing is to have a reasonable test standard.
>>
>>A reasonable test standard to prove competency in code would be too
>>hard for those who aren't going to use it.
>
> again - who decides what constitutes competency?
>>

>>>>That said, you are quite right that the UK is now playing your game,
>>>>and going even further, with it's 'Morse asessment' for the
>>>>Foundation, aka M3 licence.
>>>
>>> It's not my game at all. And not all of the UK agrees - ever hear of
>>> the UKRS?
>>
>>Certainly I have, but from what I hear it's almost dead.
>
> Too bad.
>>
>>>>At least the RA has said outright that it plans to dump code
>>>>testing as soon as the ITU says it can, and regards this as an
>>>>interim plan only. The FCC apparently adopted easier tests as a long
>>>>term solution.
>>>
>>> Not really. The FCC actually made the tests tougher in 1968, then
>>> began relaxing them in 1983
>>>>
>>>>Of course, the FCC is in no position to issue an edict as soon as the
>>>>ITU rules. Your public comment process means that code testing will
>>>>probably be abolished here in about 2005, with NPRMs and suchlike to
>>>>contend with to delay the process.
>>>
>>> I disagree 100%. Here's why:
>>>
>>> FCC specifically asked for comments on the code tests in 1990 (for
>>> VHF/UHF) and again in 1998 (for all ham licenses). In both cases, FCC
>>> found no compelling reason to continue code testing for the licenses
>>> under discussion.
>>>
>>> FCC specifically said in its R&O for the 2000 restructure that it saw
>>> "no regulatory purpose" in code tests for any class of ham license
>>> EXCEPT meeting S25.5. They were very clear that the treaty was the
>>> one and only reason that the 5 wpm test was retained. In FCC's
>>> opinion, 5 wpm was the minimum required to meet the intent of S25.5,
>>> so it had to be retained.
>>>
>>> In addition, by granting medical waivers back in 1990, FCC showed
>>> that it did not consider code tests above 5 wpm to be essential.
>>>
>>> I am convinced that as soon as S25.5 is removed, and the treaty
>>> ratified by the USA, FCC will simply "waive" Element 1. They will
>>> cite their previous pronouncements on the subject and be done with
>>> it. No NPRM, no comments, just "Element 1 is waived for all license
>>> classes".
>>>
>>> Just watch.
>>
>>I hope you're right.

>
> I hope I'm wrong, but I see no reason for things to go any different
> than I have described. I also know that there will be at least one
> petition to eliminate Element 1 presented to the FCC as soon as S25.5
> goes away.
>
> Given the FCC's behavior and public statements re code testing for at
> least the past dozen years, keeping Element 1 without S25.5 would be
> completely illogical.
>
>>My XYL is studying General theory, in the hope that
>>by the time she passes it she will not have to take a code test before
>>her credit expires. She bought a CW practice programme as well as the
>>General book, though.
>
> Plenty of good code and theory learning freeware out there. The G4FON
> program looks very good.
>>
>>>>Oddly enough, I would advise people to take the 5 wpm test. Passing
>>>>it doesn't mean that they can read code, anyway!
>>>
>>> Same here. Why wait?
>>>
>>> But in fact I think that the whole idea of 5 wpm has done more harm
>>> than good. Too many hams picked up bad habits while learning 5 wpm.
>>> Said habits had to be unlearned to go faster. Koch and Farnsworth had
>>> this all figured out decades ago, but the message was lost on the
>>> FCC.
>
>>5 wpm is too slow to copy easily, but the ARRL test tapes are
>>Farnsworth method.
>
> So are the tests.

That's what I said. I was talking about the test tapes that we use in the
VE tests

>
>>I wouldn't advise anyone to learn at a character speed of 5 wpm.
>
> Same here.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Alun, N3KIP

1907. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 13:57:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021015095709.19576.00003264@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53724

In article <20021014154122.08122.00001247@mb-fx.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>>I finally got to see the movie "Frequency" when the Starz network
>>showed it last weekend.
>
>By the way, a movie is not a "policy" issue.

BTW, this is not a moderated newsgroup!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1908. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 13:57:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021015095709.19576.00003263@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53725

In article <20021014153700.08122.00001246@mb-fx.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>Ham Radio demographics are 95% male, 50%old, 25% fat. Just go to a random >Hamfest and you will see.

Then I suggest you "recruit" some younger, thinner females into ham radio! Your success will be carefully tracked, and will be directly attributed to your own physical attractiveness and personality.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1909. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 14:36:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aoh945$j4b$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

He is a 'computer specialist'/// Must have gotten his 'degree' from the
K1MAN skool of hamatur radio.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:aofe72srjr1@taranews.net...
> I finally got to see the movie "Frequency" when the Starz network
> showed it last weekend. It revolved around ham radio, but the
> questions asked by the characters probably reflected Hollywood's
> current, unflattering impression of amateur radio.
>
> When the main character came across his father's old ham set, people
> asked him things like "Ham radio: Was that what people did before the
> net?", and "The people who use radios like that are mostly old men,
> aren't they?", plus the real zinger "Does anyone use those things any
> more?"
>
> I'd say that the movie is hurting the image of ham radio rather than
> helping. It delivers the message that hams are dinosaurs who
> shouldn't be taken seriously, although their old equipment is
> interesting from a historical viewpoint.
>
> And now it's showing on every cable and satellite TV provider which
> carries the Starz network. Not good.
>
> Good thing I became a Computer Specialist. They are still respected.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.401 / Virus Database: 226 - Release Date: 09-Oct-02

1910. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 14:40:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aoh9ca$g35$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

CW was dropped years ago to get into ham radio (no code technician). We
got bunches and bunces of new callsigns now....such as loser Lllllooooyyyddd.
But where is the quality?

You cain't blame it ALL on the ARRL. You can blame it on groups like BARF,
Stumpjumpers, K1MANs QRM Casts, and the FCC for twenty years of no
inforcement.

Not to mention trash such as the no ball no call types that are everywhere.

Dan/W4NTI

"booerwars 14313" <fatwogersuxkock@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3dab16fa@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
> news:aofe72srjr1@taranews.net...
> >
> >
> > > I'd say that the movie is hurting the image of ham radio rather than
> > > helping. It delivers the message that hams are dinosaurs who
> > > shouldn't be taken seriously, although their old equipment is
> > > interesting from a historical viewpoint.
> >
> > Ham radio hurt itself by not changing with the times.
> > Now their reaping the fruits of stagnation because
> > of the historical official policies of a certain "non-profit"
> > publishing house in Conn. It's too late boys! Ham clubs
> > are dying, hamfests are dying. I told an ARRL director
> > back in **1981** to drop the code and look for
> > ways to incorporate the first computers into ham radio.
> > He looked at me and sneered at my thought of dropping
> > CW and said "..what relavance do computers have to
> > do with ham radio?" (after all...I was just a 16 year old
> > "kid" - he asked me that with a sneer also and he and
> > his group of cheap-ass old farts thought my comments
> > were quite amusing at the time - oh well.)
> >
> >
> >

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.401 / Virus Database: 226 - Release Date: 09-Oct-02

1911. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 14:47:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aoh9om$gk3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well at least they pushed to PTT button on this one.

Dan/W4NTI

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DAB97CF.84B0273B@mailcity.net...
>
>
> "Michael A. Brown" wrote:
> >
> > I have to agree with your point about the movie to a large degree. The
> > movie did kind of make it look like people never use amateur radio
anymore,
> > or that ham radio is some kind of relic from the past that has been
pushed
> > aside by the computer age. In all fairness though, if you rent the DVD
they
> > do have a nice segment about ham radio operators on there that makes the
> > hobby look pretty good.
>
> Would have helped if they had done more research and used a
> Heathkit transceiver instead of just a receiver as the rig.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.401 / Virus Database: 226 - Release Date: 09-Oct-02

1912. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 15:17:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aohbhk$4fa$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53729

I remember when the chances of actually meeting someone you talked to on the air was quite low.

The guys/gals you met at a hamfest were locals for the most part. And you never talked to anyone local. You got into ham radio as a novice. Worked CW on HF. Upgraded to General and more HF.

VHF had its Tech class....but most of them were actually experimenters, as originally intended for that class of amateur licensee. If they were on the air, it was just to see if what they built actually worked. Then back to the work bench.

In other words....no one really cared what the other person looked like. All we used to KNOW FOR SURE about the other ham....was that he loved radio....for the pure joy of it. No conditions, no 'butts'. Just for the pure love of the art.

Perhaps this new generation of hams has a little too much vanity...eh?

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:B9D16EA0.BD04%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> "Vshah101" wrote:
> >
> > Invite a non-Ham to a Hamfest or club meeting
> > and see if their impressions of Hams are
> > flattering.
> >
> > Ham Radio demographics are 95% male, 50%old,
> > 25% fat. Just go to a random Hamfest and you
> > will see.
>
>
> Okay, so what do you want - for all the old, fat, male ham operators to
> kill themselves because you think they're unflattering? If you don't like
> what you see, leave. Absolutely nobody is forcing you to hang around ham
> fests. Regardless, don't expect anybody to go away because you have a
> problem with fat old men. And don't hold your breath waiting for an
apology
> for some being too old, too male, or too fat, for your tastes. Deal with
it
> or deal yourself out.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.401 / Virus Database: 226 - Release Date: 09-Oct-02

1913. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 16:31:00 UTC
From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com
Message-ID: <3dac32ae.620644824@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 53731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 15 Oct 2002 09:17:15 GMT, "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 23:58:12 GMT, LRod <LRod@pobox.com> wrote:
>> As clueless as ever, lloyd goes from:
>>
>> >Ad hominem attacks: The cheap refuge of the dimwits.
>>
>> To this;
>>
>> >You use them -- go figure!
>>
>> Parroting perfectly the phenomenon he attempts to denounce.
>
>Clearly, irony is completely lost upon LRod.

Sure you were. You couldn't pour piss out of a boot with the
instructions on the heel. You think "irony" is something that needs to
be done to shirts when they come out of the dryer.

>"Whoooooosh! It went over his head." -- ab8mq

Exactly right.

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

1914. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 16:36:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015123626.06676.00008246@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53730

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/15/02 8:57 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021015095709.19576.00003263@mb-fo.aol.com>
>
>In article <20021014153700.08122.00001246@mb-fx.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
>(Vshah101) writes:
>
>>Ham Radio demographics are 95% male, 50%old, 25% fat. Just go to a random
>>Hamfest and you will see.
>
>Then I suggest you "recruit" some younger, thinner females into ham
>radio!

Bingo.

As a matter of fact, where's HIS calsign? Name? Experience?
Participation in established programs or organizations?

Just another unlicensed antagonist as far as I am concerned.

Steve, K4YZ

1915. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 17:41:14 UTC
From: Tom Ayers <kg6mvb@nospam.qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC533A.7514715E@nospam.qsl.net>
Source record: 53732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JJ wrote:
>
> Would have helped if they had done more research and used a
> Heathkit transceiver instead of just a receiver as the rig.

With the filament voltages they used, it wouldn't receive for very
long. Boy those tubes were bright!

--
Money is no object to me...

I have no money, therefore it is not an object.

1916. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 18:30:50 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <u9Zq9.153305$S32.11000485@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 53733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yea and he used PTT a coupla of times but mostly didn't --- maybe a foot switch or VOX huh.
With 680,342 hams in the USA -- beats me why they can't get a consultant.

But then WWII movies frequently have the wrong aircraft and equipment, Memphis Belle was very good except for a slip on phonetics. Only us OT's would notice.

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
"Tom Ayers" <kg6mvb@nospam.qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3DAC533A.7514715E@nospam.qsl.net...
>
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Would have helped if they had done more research and used a
> > Heathkit transceiver instead of just a receiver as the rig.
>
> With the filament voltages they used, it wouldn't receive for very
> long. Boy those tubes were bright!
>
> --
> Money is no object to me...
>
> I have no money, therefore it is not an object.

1917. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 21:44:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DAC8C47.3A16635D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
>
> Would have helped if they had done more research and used a
> Heathkit transceiver instead of just a receiver as the rig.

Probably they used it as it "looked" more like a ham rig than
say an old Kenwood.... Also there were scenes where the
rig gets damaged and via time warp fixes itself. A subchassis
got bent and straightened itself out. Also whatever they had in
the props department or could find quickly on ebay.

At least they didn't use a Radio Shack or Cobra CB rig.....

1918. HELP! FCC Suspeded my License.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-15 23:06:01 UTC
From: ibernie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9e673257.0210151506.62f397e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53735

My Ham License expires in Jan/2003 so I decided to renew while I updated my new mailing address. I performed this act online using the new ULS system.

I renewed my license too early (>90 days) and the FCC just sent me a notice that says they are suspending my license until i comply.

1) How do I comply?
2) How do I get my license back!

Please let me know.

1919. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 00:09:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015200906.03046.00001315@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53737

In article <20021014153700.08122.00001246@mb-fx.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>Ham Radio demographics are 95% male, 50%old, 25% fat. Just go to a random >Hamfest and you will see.

Hamfests are not a representative sample of hams. Only of those hmas who go to hamfests.

Some true facts:

- Most technical avocations are mostly followed by males. Maybe it's nature, maybe it's nurture, maybe it's discrimination. Probably some of all three. But it's a simple fact. And it's changing.

- How old is "old"? When I was 18, 48 was "old". Now I'm 48 - and I'm not "old".

How old is vshah101?

- 61% of American adults are overweight or obese, according to the latest data. If only 25% of hams are fat, we're doing better than the national average! (Of course the terms "overweight" and "obese" have exact definitions when used in the medical data, whereas "fat" is very subjective).

N2EY

1920. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 00:09:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015200906.03046.00001314@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53738

In article <20021014154122.08122.00001247@mb-fx.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>>I finally got to see the movie "Frequency" when the Starz network
>>showed it last weekend.
>
>By the way, a movie is not a "policy" issue.
>
Sure it is. How the ARS is portrayed to the public is a policy issue, pure and simple.

"Frequency" was a pretty good action/suspense/sci-fi movie, particularly because it was done on a small, personal scale. There were plenty of mistakes, and not just in the amateur radio stuff. For example, the word "firefighter" was used anachronistically.

I did not see any mention of a ham radio technical consultant in the credits. Perhaps we need to be proactive - say, have ARRL approach the various production companies, offering techncial advice and equipment. How many HW-101s do you think we could come up with on short notice for movie props?

One of the biggest problems ham radio has today is lack of publicity. Movies and TV shows can be great publicity - if they are done right. Definitely a policy issue.

N2EY

1921. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 00:09:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015200907.03046.00001316@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53739

In article <aohbhk$4fa$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:

>I remember when the chances of actually meeting someone you talked to on the
>air was quite low.

Me, too.
>
>The guys/gals you met at a hamfest were locals for the most part. And you
>never talked to anyone local. You got into ham radio as a novice. Worked
>CW on HF. Upgraded to General and more HF.

'zactly. Most hams were "HFcentric" (pun intended).

In these parts, many hams were on VHF/UHF, but as a secondary thing for local
comms.
>
>VHF had its Tech class....but most of them were actually experimenters, as
>originally intended for that class of amateur licensee. If they were on the
>air, it was just to see if what they built actually worked. Then back to
>the work bench.

Some of the VHF/UHF types were serious contest/DX ops as well as
experimenter/builder types. They worked the VHF contests and tryed for records.

>
>In other words....no one really cared what the other person looked like.
>All we used to KNOW FOR SURE about the other ham....was that he loved
>radio....for the pure joy of it. No conditions, no 'butts'. Just for the
>pure love of the art.

All true and WELL SAID, Dan!

Let me add this:

If there was any "class distinction", it was based on things like operating
skill, signal quality, and technical achievement. .

73 de Jim, N2EY

1922. Re: HELP! FCC Suspeded my License.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 00:09:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h41jzl2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 53736

On 15 Oct 2002 16:06:01 -0700, bernie wrote:

>My Ham License expires in Jan/2003 so I decided to renew while I
>updated my new mailing address. I performed this act online using the
>new ULS system.
>
>I renewed my license too early (>90 days) and the FCC just sent me a
>notice that says they are suspending my license until i comply.
>
>1) How do I comply?
>2) How do I get my license back!
>
>Please let me know.

Call the FCC Assistanxe Center - 1-888-225-5322 and ask to speak to
the Amateur Licensing Section

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

1923. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 00:10:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DACAE6E.40602@adelphia.net>
Source record: 53740

N2EY wrote:

> In article <3DAA43AF.7020708@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>>>I did not stay for the taking down of the equipment.
>>>>
>
>
>>> This is not surprising.Most people who whine the most about it are the
>>>ones who weren't around for most of it.
>>>
>
> Take-down is when help is most needed, and most appreciated.
>
>
>>Right Steve. Nothing impresses me more than someone who wants all this
>>information, but isn't willing to "pay" for it with a little help.
>>
>
> BINGO, Mike! But the ironic nature of your statement may have been lost on some
> readers.

And it's all around, Jim. I was the v.p. of an astronomy club a few years ago, and I put together a "star-party in a really great dark-sky area (Cherry Springs, PA) The party was an immediate and lucrative success. The first year, I put about 5 kilobucks in the treasury, and IIRC, the second year, $10K.

Problem was, I couldn't get any help. My wife and kid ended up doing most of the work, along with a 75 year old XYL, and two other guys. The club members for the most part just whined about how it was such hard work.

And when the board of directors overode all my ideas on what to do with the money (mostly spending it on things they personally wanted) and the two guys who did help threatened to quit unless I restructured the party so they would have less work too, I quit.

I absolutely HATE lazy people!

But the story has a happy ending. I upgraded my license, sunk my teeth into the ARS, and joined a Ham club, where I found people who could enjoy a shared labor, and have been having fun ever since.

Did I mention I can't stand lazy people?

>
> Consider also the following:
>
> - Those who do not participate in the planning or preparation for FD, bring
> little or no useful equipment, contribute little or no help, but expect to have
> everything patiently explained to them while setup goes on.

I usually give the benefit of the doubt at first, but it can wear thin
quickly.

> - Those who show up after setup is done and expect to operate a hot setup (say,
> 20 ssb at 4 pm on Saturday) - then rewards the group by working a station every
> 5 minutes.

Yes, that annoys me pretty well. The only way I've found to cope is that
I walk away from the main stations during the day, and at 2400, I get
the 80 meter station with another fellow for the rest of the night.
During the day this year, I hosted the GOTA station. Working with the
younsters and less experienced hams, I expect slower operating, so it's
no problem.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1924. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 01:53:14 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <W84r9.1581$L42.980@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 53743

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021015200906.03046.00001314@mb-fy.aol.com...
> "Frequency" was a pretty good action/suspense/sci-fi movie, particularly
> because it was done on a small, personal scale. There were plenty of
mistakes,
> and not just in the amateur radio stuff. For example, the word
"firefighter"
> was used anachronistically.
>
> N2EY

Hi Jim,

Yes, it was a pretty entertaining movie, despite its inaccuracies. My brother-in-law caught a piece of it and ahs really shown some interest. I bought him a pre-viewed (Remember when that just meant "used?") DVD so he could watch the entire flick, along with the special documentary section on 'The Technology Behind Frequency.' It has a section on 'Ham Radio.' A used, er...ah "pre-viewed" copy of Gordon West's Technician Class License study guide accompanied the DVD. ;-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

P.S. I think I've even persuaded a 73-yr. old ret. cop to take the Tech exam. Guess who?

1925. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 02:23:07 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210151823.2c09f747@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h3zoc2z.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 14 Oct 2002 13:15:13 -0700, Brian wrote:
>
> >That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
> >in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.
>
> Subject to review by an Adminstrative Law Judge, then by the
> Commission en banc, then by the US Court of Appeals, then by the
> Supreme Court of the US.
>
> That's why you don't see a lot of sanctions levied for that
> specific violation.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Uncoordinated repeaters were the only "Good Amateur Practice"
violations that I've ever heard of. Apparently it takes a pretty big
"bad" amateur practice to be noteworthy.

1926. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 02:24:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210151824.2643c8d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210150127280.2448-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> On 14 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210140221140.1904-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> >> On 13 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
> >> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210111943280.225-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> >> >> >2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
> >> >> >These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
> >> >> >their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
> >> >> >or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
> >> >> >by Riley).
> >> >>
> >> >> ...There's no law against being an asshole!
> >> >
> >> >If by being an a$$hole you are violating "Good Amateur Practice" then
> >> >Riley says it's enforceable.
> >>
> >> Show me how those mere names violate practice.
> >
> >That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
> >in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.
> >
> >> >> >4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
> >> >> >and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
> >> >> >5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
> >> >>
> >> >> Only if several (3+) are active at the same time. It's quite easy to monitor
> >> >> several repeaters while they're idle. Additionally, who said that the callsign
> >> >> trustee must be the one monitoring - "control operators" may exist....
> >> >
> >> >No such thing exists. Ever try raising a control op? A trustee?
> >>
> >> Yes, I have - and I've gotten a response too! That's pretty good for a figment.
> >>
> >> >Those are figment definitions in Part 97. You could make a career of
> >> >not being answered on coordinated repeaters. Shut them all down. Let
> >> >spirited no-coders make some use of the bands.
> >
> >They say if you're going to tell a lie, tell a big one.
>
> I need no lie. Here's under what condition and where:

>
> In both cases, the communication was also asking for a call to 911:
> - By the Ontario, CA airport on I-10, on a repeater: 146.820, to report
> a stalled vehicle in a middle lane. Occurred in September 2000 - on the second
> Friday after Labor Day; forget the exact date - about 4:30pm.
> - In West Los Angeles, on 146.670, to report an injury traffic accident.
> This one was over 5 years ago and at night.
>
> However, in both cases, I asked for a CP and I GOT A RESPONSE. The 146.820
> machine is monitored by someone who works for the Highway Patrol, and the
> 146.670 was at that time the "Henry Radio" repeater, back when they still had a
> real sales business, not just manufacturing as they do today.

You're obviously a very lucky man. Would you pick 5 for me?

1927. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 03:26:19 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210160325060.3142-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 15 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h3zoc2z.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
>> On 14 Oct 2002 13:15:13 -0700, Brian wrote:
>>
>> >That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
>> >in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.
>>
>> Subject to review by an Adminstrative Law Judge, then by the
>> Commission en banc, then by the US Court of Appeals, then by the
>> Supreme Court of the US.
>>
>> That's why you don't see a lot of sanctions levied for that
>> specific violation.
>>
>> ---
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>Uncoordinated repeaters were the only "Good Amateur Practice"
>violations that I've ever heard of. Apparently it takes a pretty big
>"bad" amateur practice to be noteworthy.

But even that doesn't result in the FCC taking action. Look at the Southern California situation, especially concerning certain operations that concentrate around certain repeaters (but of course, not limited to such examples).

1928. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 03:26:56 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210160326450.3142-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 15 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210150127280.2448-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
>> On 14 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210140221140.1904-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
>> >> On 13 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>> >> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210111943280.225-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
>> >> >> >2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
>> >> >> >These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
>> >> >> >their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
>> >> >> >or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
>> >> >> >by Riley).
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ...There's no law against being an asshole!
>> >> >
>> >> >If by being an a$$hole you are violating "Good Amateur Practice" then
>> >> >Riley says it's enforceable.
>> >>
>> >> Show me how those mere names violate practice.
>> >
>> >That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
>> >in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.
>> >
>> >> >> >4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
>> >> >> >and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
>> >> >> >5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Only if several (3+) are active at the same time. It's quite easy to monitor
>> >> >> several repeaters while they're idle. Additionally, who said that the callsign
>> >> >> trustee must be the one monitoring - "control operators" may exist....
>> >> >
>> >> >No such thing exists. Ever try raising a control op? A trustee?
>> >>
>> >> Yes, I have - and I've gotten a response too! That's pretty good for a figment.
>> >>
>> >> >Those are figment definitions in Part 97. You could make a career of
>> >> >not being answered on coordinated repeaters. Shut them all down. Let
>> >> >spirited no-coders make some use of the bands.
>> >
>> >They say if you're going to tell a lie, tell a big one.
>>

>> I need no lie. Here's under what condition and where:
>>
>> In both cases, the communication was also asking for a call to 911:
>> - By the Ontario, CA airport on I-10, on a repeater: 146.820, to report
>> a stalled vehicle in a middle lane. Occurred in September 2000 - on the second
>> Friday after Labor Day; forget the exact date - about 4:30pm.
>> - In West Los Angeles, on 146.670, to report an injury traffic accident.
>> This one was over 5 years ago and at night.
>>
>> However, in both cases, I asked for a CP and I GOT A RESPONSE. The 146.820
>> machine is monitored by someone who works for the Highway Patrol, and the
>> 146.670 was at that time the "Henry Radio" repeater, back when they still had a
>> real sales business, not just manufacturing as they do today.
>
>You're obviously a very lucky man. Would you pick 5 for me?

Pick 5 what?

1929. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 05:25:57 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D270A4.BD72%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53746

"Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
>
> (snip) In other words....no one really cared
> what the other person looked like. All we used
> to KNOW FOR SURE about the other ham....was
> that he loved radio....for the pure joy of it.
> No conditions, no 'butts'. Just for the pure
> love of the art.

Actually, it is still that way for me. We're ham operators, not beauty contestants. Who cares what the other person looks like? I just want to talk about radios with him - not marry him.

> Perhaps this new generation of hams has a little
> too much vanity...eh?

This newer generation has a little too much of something, but I wasn't particularly thinking of vanity. :)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1930. Re: HELP! FCC Suspeded my License.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 05:28:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D27155.BD74%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53747

"bernie" wrote:
>
> 1) How do I comply?
> 2) How do I get my license back!

Reapply properly now that it is within the time restraints. If that doesn't work, call the FCC.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1931. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 05:50:50 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uqpvhfh0ilb78b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53748

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021015200906.03046.00001314@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <20021014154122.08122.00001247@mb-fx.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> (Vshah101) writes:
>
> >>I finally got to see the movie "Frequency" when the Starz network
> >>showed it last weekend.
> >
> >By the way, a movie is not a "policy" issue.
> >
> Sure it is. How the ARS is portrayed to the public is a policy issue, pure
and
> simple.
>
> "Frequency" was a pretty good action/suspense/sci-fi movie, particularly
> because it was done on a small, personal scale. There were plenty of
mistakes,
> and not just in the amateur radio stuff. For example, the word
"firefighter"
> was used anachronistically.
>
> I did not see any mention of a ham radio technical consultant in the
credits.
> Perhaps we need to be proactive - say, have ARRL approach the various
> production companies, offering techncial advice and equipment. How many
HW-101s
> do you think we could come up with on short notice for movie props?
>
> One of the biggest problems ham radio has today is lack of publicity.
Movies
> and TV shows can be great publicity - if they are done right. Definitely a
> policy issue.
>
> N2EY

I just had a couple of guys over today talking about some stuff where we
work
and one of the guys made a comment about me being into ham radios and
the other guy said "whats ham radio?". So now I have a late 20's fellow who
I
explained amateur radio to, and what could be done with it. When I told him
about my chat using PSK31 to a fellow in Spain this last weekend he started
taking a interest in this radio thing.

BTW my son-in-law has been studying and says he's going with me next
month when I go for the General upgrade and test for his Tech license.
I guess it didn't hurt helping him get a job with one of my ham friends, who
just happened to tell him if he worked for him he'd have to get a ham
license ;^)
--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1932. Re: Proposal for an Amateur Voice Switch by g1sle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 10:22:44 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth@my-callsign.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aojeod$h4d$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 53749

try eQSO it rocks. No DTMF tones required, how you remember them I'll never know (probably where your system falls down). Multiple gateways in the same conference, put a call out on 434.5, 145.2785, 51.920Mhz etc and you are guarenteed a QSO. The RF client (uses VOX as default) is so easy to set up every Ham with a PC should be able to put a gateway on the air in minutes*

*note, this requires a Notice of Variation (NOV) to your licence in the UK, available from the UK Radio Authority.

--
73's de G1LVN
www.g1lvn.org.uk

1933. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 12:04:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210160404.6cd3a661@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210160326450.3142-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> On 15 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210150127280.2448-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> >> On 14 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
> >> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210140221140.1904-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> >> >> On 13 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
> >> >> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210111943280.225-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> >> >> >> >2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
> >> >> >> >These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
> >> >> >> >their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
> >> >> >> >or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
> >> >> >> >by Riley).
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> ...There's no law against being an asshole!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >If by being an a$$hole you are violating "Good Amateur Practice" then
> >> >> >Riley says it's enforceable.
> >> >>
> >> >> Show me how those mere names violate practice.
> >> >
> >> >That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
> >> >in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.
> >> >
> >> >> >4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
> >> >> >and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
> >> >> >5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
> >> >>
> >> >> Only if several (3+) are active at the same time. It's quite easy to monitor
> >> >> several repeaters while they're idle. Additionally, who said that the callsign
> >> >> trustee must be the one monitoring - "control operators" may exist....
> >> >
> >> >No such thing exists. Ever try raising a control op? A trustee?
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes, I have - and I've gotten a response too! That's pretty good for a figment.
> >> >>
> >> >> >Those are figment definitions in Part 97. You could make a career of
> >> >> >not being answered on coordinated repeaters. Shut them all down. Let
> >> >> >spirited no-coders make some use of the bands.
> >> >

> >> >They say if you're going to tell a lie, tell a big one.
> >>
> >> I need no lie. Here's under what condition and where:
> >>
> >> In both cases, the communication was also asking for a call to 911:
> >> - By the Ontario, CA airport on I-10, on a repeater: 146.820, to report
> >> a stalled vehicle in a middle lane. Occurred in September 2000 - on the second
> >> Friday after Labor Day; forget the exact date - about 4:30pm.
> >> - In West Los Angeles, on 146.670, to report an injury traffic accident.
> >> This one was over 5 years ago and at night.
> >>
> >> However, in both cases, I asked for a CP and I GOT A RESPONSE. The 146.820
> >> machine is monitored by someone who works for the Highway Patrol, and the
> >> 146.670 was at that time the "Henry Radio" repeater, back when they still had a
> >> real sales business, not just manufacturing as they do today.
> > >
> > >You're obviously a very lucky man. Would you pick 5 for me?
> >
> > Pick 5 what?

5 lottery numbers. You got two control ops to talk to you in
emergencies over a five year period. That's pretty good luck in
anyone's book.

1934. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 12:05:28 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210160405.25fc2ec1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Never listened in SoCal. Are they active repeaters or dead like most around here?

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210160325060.3142-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
> On 15 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
> >"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h3zoc2z.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> >> On 14 Oct 2002 13:15:13 -0700, Brian wrote:
> >>
> >> >That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
> >> >in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.
> >>
> >> Subject to review by an Adminstrative Law Judge, then by the
> >> Commission en banc, then by the US Court of Appeals, then by the
> >> Supreme Court of the US.
> >>
> >> That's why you don't see a lot of sanctions levied for that
> >> specific violation.
> >>
> >> ---
> >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >Uncoordinated repeaters were the only "Good Amateur Practice"
> >violations that I've ever heard of. Apparently it takes a pretty big
> >"bad" amateur practice to be noteworthy.
>
> But even that doesn't result in the FCC taking action. Look at the Southern
> California situation, especially concerning certain operations that concentrate
> around certain repeaters (but of course, not limited to such examples).

1935. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 15:06:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021016110644.27149.00004613@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53752

In article <3DAB7D42.1000901@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>
> My main reason for less points for CW is that the little rigs
are really
>simple to make (this would admittedly be THE way to go under ultimate
>disaster conditions) But how about extra points for SSB/AM to CW
>contacts for both parties during the thing? This would be a likely
>scenario in real life when an actual disaster happens. It is also a good
>argument for retaining cw skills, as under dire straits, it would be a
>real tragedy if a cw operator couldn't comm with an ssb operator.

Mike:

Thanks for the good wishes re: my right hand. Swelling is now gone down,
and I am experiencing some postoperative soreness. I have yet to dig in to
my supply of Percocet. I may save it for the nighttime; I want to have my
wits about me during the day so I can drive, etc. Will probably be borrowing
a friend's "spare" automatic transmissioned Honda Accord tomorrow or
later in week and get back to doing some routine errands. Don't know
about driving my 5-speed manual Toyota Corolla yet.

Re: SSB ops making cross-mode QSO's with CW ops. I've done this very
few times in my ham career, from both ends. The problem these days is
that most SSB ops aren't CW ops because they either never have been or
are a long time removed from regular CW operation and can't handle it
anymore.

The whole problem is that there is too much negative emotionalism over
CW. Those who don't use it aren't using it because they hate it. I don't
use SSB but I don't hate it. The hatred for CW is based on fear -- in this
case, fear of the effort required to master it, or of failure to master it.
Since
ham radio isn't particularly well known for attracting highly motivated,
ingenious people of above-average intelligence in these days of dumbed-
down licensing requirements and appliance operation, we're going to have
few, if any, opportunities for cross-mode QSO's in the future.

73 de Larry, K3LT
One-Armed Bandit

1936. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 18:56:08 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DADB648.53D69FB6@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:

> Never listened in SoCal. Are they active repeaters or dead like most around here?

Was in the LA area back in 1996, and the machine on 147.435, well,
don't let the young kids listen. I understand Riley is on that case now.
Don't know if it is better now or not.....

Wouldn't most uncoordinated repeater problems be solved with
the use of directional antennas to null the coordinated machine
and its service area, and
maybe PL or anti-PL installed on the uncoordinated machine?

And if the band is that crowded, is that machine really necessary?

1937. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 19:20:23 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210161919110.3468-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 16 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>Never listened in SoCal. Are they active repeaters or dead like most around here?
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210160325060.3142-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...
>> On 15 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>> >"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h3zoc2z.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
>> >> On 14 Oct 2002 13:15:13 -0700, Brian wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >That's the beauty of "Good Amateur Practice." It's up to one person
>> >> >in FCC enforcement to decide what it is.
>> >>
>> >> Subject to review by an Adminstrative Law Judge, then by the
>> >> Commission en banc, then by the US Court of Appeals, then by the
>> >> Supreme Court of the US.
>> >>
>> >> That's why you don't see a lot of sanctions levied for that
>> >> specific violation.
>> >>
>> >> ---
>> >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>> >
>> >Uncoordinated repeaters were the only "Good Amateur Practice"
>> >violations that I've ever heard of. Apparently it takes a pretty big
>> >"bad" amateur practice to be noteworthy.
>>
>> But even that doesn't result in the FCC taking action. Look at the Southern
>> California situation, especially concerning certain operations that concentrate
>> around certain repeaters (but of course, not limited to such examples).

One of these repeaters, currently calling itself W6NUT, is probably active about
75% of the time. That's one of the "bad" ones.

1938. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 19:22:32 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210161921280.3468-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 16 Oct 2002, Robert Casey wrote:
>Brian wrote:
>> Never listened in SoCal. Are they active repeaters or dead like most around here?
>
>Was in the LA area back in 1996, and the machine on 147.435, well,
>don't let the young kids listen. I understand Riley is on that case now.
>Don't know if it is better now or not.....

It's not.

>Wouldn't most uncoordinated repeater problems be solved with
>the use of directional antennas to null the coordinated machine
>and its service area, and
>maybe PL or anti-PL installed on the uncoordinated machine?

No.

>And if the band is that crowded, is that machine really necessary?

If crowded, then yes. However, if significantly overlapping, the inteference
pattern degrades both.

1939. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-16 22:28:57 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DADE828.FDA18B37@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"D. Stussy" wrote:

> On Wed, 16 Oct 2002, Robert Casey wrote:
> >Brian wrote:
> >> Never listened in SoCal. Are they active repeaters or dead like most around here?
> >
> >Was in the LA area back in 1996, and the machine on 147.435, well,
> >don't let the young kids listen. I understand Riley is on that case now.
> >Don't know if it is better now or not.....
>
> It's not.
>
> >Wouldn't most uncoordinated repeater problems be solved with
> >the use of directional antennas to null the coordinated machine
> >and its service area, and
> >maybe PL or anti-PL installed on the uncoordinated machine?
>
> No.

I was thinking of the case where there is just some fringe overlap.
Anyway, I don't have a machine and not looking to put one up.
Would need to get some expert help if I did want to.

>
>
> >And if the band is that crowded, is that machine really necessary?
>
> If crowded, then yes. However, if significantly overlapping, the inteference
> pattern degrades both.

Only so much bandwidth out there.....

1940. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 00:51:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021016205100.14335.00001777@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 53757

In article <3DACAE6E.40602@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <3DAA43AF.7020708@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> writes:

(vshah101 wrote:)

>>>>>I did not stay for the taking down of the equipment.

>>>> This is not surprising.Most people who whine the most about it are
>the
>>>>ones who weren't around for most of it.

>> Take-down is when help is most needed, and most appreciated.

>>>Right Steve. Nothing impresses me more than someone who wants all this
>>>information, but isn't willing to "pay" for it with a little help.

>> BINGO, Mike! But the ironic nature of your statement may have been lost on
>>some readers.

> And it's all around, Jim. I was the v.p. of an astronomy club a few
years
>ago, and I put together a "star-party in a really great dark-sky area
>(Cherry Springs, PA) The party was an immediate and lucrative success.
>The first year, I put about 5 kilobucks in the treasury, and IIRC, the
>second year, $10K.

OH MAMA! Serious dinero!

Where is Cherry Springs?

> Problem was, I couldn't get any help. My wife and kid ended up doing
>most
>of the work, along with a 75 year old XYL, and two other guys. The club
>members for the most part just whined about how it was such hard work.

BTDT on many FDs. Gawd, my first FD was 1968, and I don't think I've missed
one. Scary.
>
> And when the board of directors overode all my ideas on what to do
>with
>the money (mostly spending it on things they personally wanted) and the
>two guys who did help threatened to quit unless I restructured the party

>so they would have less work too, I quit.

Good.

> I absolutely HATE lazy people!

Me too. Laziness itself can be a good thing if it inspires you to find a better way. Years ago I climbed trees to put up FD antennas, now it's the Robin Hood or Tom Sawyer methods for me.
>
> But the story has a happy ending. I upgraded my license, sunk my teeth
>into the ARS, and joined a Ham club, where I found people who could
>enjoy a shared labor, and have been having fun ever since.

Sounds like a winner to me.
>
> Did I mention I can't stand lazy people?

Worth repeating.
>
>> Consider also the following:
>>
>> - Those who do not participate in the planning or preparation for FD, bring
>> little or no useful equipment, contribute little or no help, but expect to
>>have
>> everything patiently explained to them while setup goes on.

> I usually give the benefit of the doubt at first, but it can wear thin
>quickly.

Same here.
>
>> - Those who show up after setup is done and expect to operate a hot setup
>>(say,
>> 20 ssb at 4 pm on Saturday) - then rewards the group by working a station
>every
>> 5 minutes.
>
Yes, that annoys me pretty well. The only way I've found to cope is that
>I walk away from the main stations during the day, and at 2400, I get
>the 80 meter station with another fellow for the rest of the night.

That's one way to deal with it. Here's another: Organize the FD stations around "station captains" or "team leaders". IOW, each station is a unit unto itself, under the control of one or two people, who decide most issues. Club provides things like power and food. Then YOU be one of the leaders.

This method requires that each station is assigned certain band/modes and no others, but it works. I go for 80/40 CW and let the rest go.

Here's another one:

- folks who stand around a working station carrying on loud and raucous conversations while the ops are trying to work a weak one, or a station buried

in QRM.

>During the day this year, I hosted the GOTA station. Working with the
>younsters and less experienced hams, I expect slower operating, so it's
>no problem.

Cool!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1941. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 01:39:03 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210161739.4694606b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3DADB648.53D69FB6@ix.netcom.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Never listened in SoCal. Are they active repeaters or dead like most around here?
>
> Was in the LA area back in 1996, and the machine on 147.435, well,
> don't let the young kids listen. I understand Riley is on that case now.
> Don't know if it is better now or not.....
>
> Wouldn't most uncoordinated repeater problems be solved with
> the use of directional antennas to null the coordinated machine
> and its service area, and
> maybe PL or anti-PL installed on the uncoordinated machine?

I thought a corner reflector would be about perfect.

> And if the band is that crowded, is that machine really necessary?

The ones there now are coordinated and unused. Would an uncoordinated
yet used repeater be such a big problem?

Perhaps time sharing would be a solution.

1942. Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 03:35:45 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <WemcnXkCWLuOrTOgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 53759

I was reading the thread about the death, or dying art, of homebrewing in Ham Radio. I have to agree the major reasons for it are the cost issue, can't compete with the new whiz-bang surface mount rigs, and the complexity of modern gear. I also think that at least some kind of kit building should be encouraged for those Hams who don't get involved with hands-on electronics through their work. One should at least know what the parts look like, and learn basic skills like soldering. You would be surprised by how may people in electronics can't solder for crap. Anyway I think the nature of homebrewing is going to take a new direction. Like everything else nothing stays the same forever.

The point that Ham homebrewing will take new directions is demonstrated by the death of magazines like "Byte", "PC Tech Journal" etc. All of them dealt with the hardware aspect of the computer hobby. In the mid to late 70's and early 80's everyone was more concerned with how computers worked. Some didn't work that well because of hardware design issues. It was almost necessary to know something about the hardware to fix the thing. Now days with computers being so complex almost nobody even thinks about messing with the hardware other than to swap boards, drives, etc. You don't find your average computer hobbyist modifying the motherboard logic. So the main thrust currently is the software driving the hardware.

You're probably wondering what this has to do with Ham Radio homebrewing? Well the parallel is very close I think. The point to dwell on it is the so called SDR, software defined radio. At this point in time why not let industry do what it does best, make cheap complex hardware. The real value is in what the hardware does. Just like the software driving the computer hardware to do some useful function. The key is the software.

Most of us working in the electronics industry realize that most of the "signal level" functions done in our rigs are mathematical functions, and implemented imperfectly by analog circuits. So just chuck the troublesome analog parts, and perform the functions in software, A.K.A. DSP. All the SDR rig needs is just enough hardware to digitize the input signal, and a PA section to pump the results out to the antenna. All the fancy modulation, demodulation, compression, etc. can be coded in the software. It's the advances in DSP techniques, algorithm development, etc. that will be the future advances in communications. Hams have a real opportunity to contribute here. We can experiment with those new modulation methods, refine them till they either work, or are proved to be unworkable, by just shear experience of many of us using the methods on the air. I'm convinced

that what is possible in software would be either impossible using
analog methods, or just plain too expensive. So with the shift to DSP
techniques, as the future of Ham Radio homebrewing, that is where to
push the envelope on communications technology, and also a
justification for our continued use of valuable radio spectrum.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

1943. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 03:57:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAE353E.7E4ABFC5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 53761

N2EY wrote:

>
> I did not see any mention of a ham radio technical consultant in the credits.
> Perhaps we need to be proactive - say, have ARRL approach the various
> production companies, offering techncial advice and equipment. How many HW-101s
> do you think we could come up with on short notice for movie props?
>
> One of the biggest problems ham radio has today is lack of publicity. Movies
> and TV shows can be great publicity - if they are done right. Definitely a
> policy issue.
>
> N2EY

I brought up the fact that the Heathkit was a receiver, not a
transceiver. Because I am a ham I noticed it right away, but do
you think the rest of the audience knew or cared? They saw
something that looked like a two way radio and the movie told them
it was a ham set and that was satisfactory. The producers are not
going to spend time and money on some technical detail that only 1
in a 100 or so of the audience will have the foggiest idea of what
it is.
I will say that the movie 'Oh Brother Where Art Thou' did a good
job of attempted accuracy, especially of the radio station and
equipment where the 'Soggy Bottom Boys' made their recording.
Things there were very authentic for a radio station of the
period.

1944. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 04:14:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <7Pqr9.41541$lV3.3131433@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53760

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote

> Hams have a real opportunity to contribute here. We
> can experiment with those new modulation methods, refine them till
> they either work, or are proved to be unworkable, by just shear
> experience of many of us using the methods on the air.

BINGO!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

1945. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 05:07:14 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aombut4gfk@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53764

Hello Bert.... I may have also convinced my 66 year old Fire Chief (and his wife as well as 2-3 others on the department) to get licensed. I definitely think that looking into the emergency services crowd is a good thing as the general nature of people in those fields would make good hams because of the training, and structure they must follow. (following a chain of command, following orders and rules/regulations/policies/procedures etc....)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

--
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> P.S. I think I've even persuaded a 73-yr. old ret. cop to take the Tech
> exam. Guess who?
>
>

1946. Silent Key NF3Q/W3HBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 09:56:20 UTC
From: Anon <anon@nosecio.com>
Message-ID: <7FA128945.1169AE335@nosecio.com>
Source record: 53762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Silent Key NF3Q/W3HBC

(09/29/2002) Hilory Bracken Cox, Age 52, of Austin, Texas, formerly of Murrysville, Pennsylvania, passed away on Thursday, September 26, 2002. He is survived by his father, H. Bracken Cox; and his brother, Edward Warren Cox of Austin, Texas. He was preceded in death by his mother, Margaret Geyer Cox; and his paternal grandfather, George W. Cox of Pennsylvania. Hilory was born in South Charleston, West Virginia, on May 10, 1950, and graduated from Franklin Regional High School in Murrysville, PA. After graduation, Hilory traveled the Northeastern and Central United States as a musician playing the piano, keyboard and singing with several different bands. He also ran the sound system each week at Newlonsburg Presbyterian Church for a number of years. What Hilory enjoyed most was his family and friends, making music, working with computers, and Amateur Radio. He also loved animals and animals loved him. He earned his first Radio license in 1963 before he graduated from high school. He was very active in Amateur Radio in the Pittsburgh area and earned an Extra Class FCC license, W3HBC, NF3Q. Hilory was a member of the Masonic Valley Lodge No. 613, F. and A.M. in Turtle Creek, PA; Newlonsburg Presbyterian Church in Murrysville, PA; the Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) in Pittsburgh, PA, and in Austin, TX; North Hill Amateur Radio and Skyview Amateur Radio in Pittsburgh, PA; and was a life member of the American Radio Relay League (ARRL). In November, 2000, Hilory and his father, Bracken, moved to Austin, TX, from Murrysville, PA. They are currently living in Austin, TX.

1947. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 11:26:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021017072635.15779.00001529@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53763

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of October 15, 2002:

Novice - 36,573 (decrease of 12,756)
Tech - 244,820 (increase of 39,426)
Tech Plus - 75,264 (decrease of 53,993)
General - 139,214 (increase of 26,537)
Advanced - 84,588 (decrease of 15,194)
Extra - 102,834 (increase of 24,084)
Total - 683,293 (increase of 8,501)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1948. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 18:49:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqu1kktebs8ddb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53765

Exactly, Leland. The old ladder relays are long gone. We had a couple of minicomputers back in the late 70s, but they were expensive and trouble-prone. We also used DTL logic controllers. Of course, along came the programmable ladder controllers. Even ladder logic is going away. Scan times can be very small using high level software. Look at the things we take for granted today that were difficult (or impossible) not too many years ago. Satellite television. Digital television. I think you are right on the mark about the radios.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

1949. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 19:42:12 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210171935250.4046-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 16 Oct 2002, Brian wrote:
>Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3DADB648.53D69FB6@ix.netcom.com>...
>> Brian wrote:
>>
>> > Never listened in SoCal. Are they active repeaters or dead like most around here?
>>
>> Was in the LA area back in 1996, and the machine on 147.435, well,
>> don't let the young kids listen. I understand Riley is on that case now.
>> Don't know if it is better now or not.....
>>
>> Wouldn't most uncoordinated repeater problems be solved with
>> the use of directional antennas to null the coordinated machine
>> and its service area, and
>> maybe PL or anti-PL installed on the uncoordinated machine?
>
>I thought a corner reflector would be about perfect.
>
>> And if the band is that crowded, is that machine really necessary?
>
>The ones there now are coordinated and unused. Would an uncoordinated
>yet used repeater be such a big problem?
>
>Perhaps time sharing would be a solution.

In Southern California, many repeater pairs are already shared (2m, 125cm, 70cm - 125cm has roughly 3 repeaters/pair). Only in the bands where there are less repeaters than available pairs is there no sharing (6m, 33cm, 23cm, and above) because it's not necessary.

If a coordinated repeater is unused but coordinated as OPEN, then why should the "competing group" put up their own? Why not use the one that's there? (That will take care of roughly half of all cases in most bands).

1950. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 20:51:53 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DAF22E9.BA195EAB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53767

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> I was reading the thread about the death, or dying art, of homebrewing
> in Ham Radio. I have to agree the major reasons for it are the cost
> issue, can't compete with the new whiz-bang surface mount rigs, and
> the complexity of modern gear. I also think that at least some kind of
> kit building should be encouraged for those Hams who don't get
> involved with hands-on electronics through their work. One should at
> least know what the parts look like, and learn basic skills like
> soldering. You would be surprised by how may people in electronics
> can't solder for crap. Anyway I think the nature of homebrewing is
> going to take a new direction. Like everything else nothing stays the
> same forever.
>
> The point that Ham homebrewing will take new directions is
> demonstrated by the death of magazines like "Byte", "PC Tech Journal"
> etc. All of them dealt with the hardware aspect of the computer hobby.
> In the mid to late 70's and early 80's everyone was more concerned
> with how computers worked. Some didn't work that well because of
> hardware design issues. It was almost necessary to know something
> about the hardware to fix the thing. Now days with computers being so
> complex almost nobody even thinks about messing with the hardware
> other than to swap boards, drives, etc. You don't find your average
> computer hobbyist modifying the motherboard logic. So the main thrust
> currently is the software driving the hardware.
>
> You're probably wondering what this has to do with Ham Radio
> homebrewing? Well the parallel is very close I think. The point to
> dwell on it is the so called SDR, software defined radio. At this
> point in time why not let industry do what it does best, make cheap
> complex hardware. The real value is in what the hardware does. Just
> like the software driving the computer hardware to do some useful
> function. The key is the software.
>
> Most of us working in the electronics industry realize that most of
> the "signal level" functions done in our rigs are mathematical
> functions, and implemented imperfectly by analog circuits. So just
> chuck the troublesome analog parts, and perform the functions in
> software, A.K.A. DSP. All the SDR rig needs is just enough hardware to
> digitize the input signal, and a PA section to pump the results out to
> the antenna. All the fancy modulation, demodulation, compression, etc.
> can be coded in the software. It's the advances in DSP techniques,
> algorithm development, etc. that will be the future advances in
> communications. Hams have a real opportunity to contribute here. We

> can experiment with those new modulation methods, refine them till
> they either work, or are proved to be unworkable, by just shear
> experience of many of us using the methods on the air. I'm convinced
> that what is possible in software would be either impossible using
> analog methods, or just plain too expensive. So with the shift to DSP
> techniques, as the future of Ham Radio homebrewing, that is where to
> push the envelope on communications technology, and also a
> justification for our continued use of valuable radio spectrum.
>

Not all high level algorithm developers are necessarily C code or such writers. Hopefully someone can write up a block diagram maker software package where you can specify filters, frequencies, bit depth, and such on the various functional blocks. At work I'm the system level guy, and I tell the C programmer what I need to have happen. He writes up the code after I give him the various parameters. And tell him to make it easy for me to "hack" the C code if I want to say change a filter's tap weights. I have trouble myself getting the C compiler to do anything other than say "syntax error".

But DSP can't do it all. The analog signal needs to be conditioned so the dynamic range, frequency content and such are suitable for the ADC to make it into usable digital. Especially in a receiver, where the levels
can vary over 80dB. And stuff like digital TV still goes thru the equivalent of a modem to go over the air as RF.

Most likely, at the transmit side, the signal (voice, whatever) is digitized
and compressed maybe mp3 style. That gives you a string of 1s and 0s. That, in turn, would go thru a modem to get the 1s and 0s onto a carrier of some sort. Then most likely feeds a DAC, then moved up (heterodyne) to a frequency in a ham band to be amplified and sent to the antenna.

Receivers are more fun, you need analog preprocessing to get the signal level up to feed a DAC with enough dynamic range so an inverse modem can recover the 1s and 0s. Even with lots of noise, QSB, QRM, etc.

Hopefully, the hardware radio platform will provide various analog blocks that can be software controlled and muxed as the DSP developer wants to condition the signal before it gets to the ADC, as well as allowing him

to do digital processing.

And imagine the fun virus writers will have....

1951. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-17 20:58:40 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DAF247F.B1166B63@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> In Southern California, many repeater pairs are already shared (2m, 125cm, 70cm
> - 125cm has roughly 3 repeaters/pair). Only in the bands where there are less
> repeaters than available pairs is there no sharing (6m, 33cm, 23cm, and above)
> because it's not necessary.
>
> If a coordinated repeater is unused but coordinated as OPEN, then why should the
> "competing group" put up their own? Why not use the one that's there? (That
> will take care of roughly half of all cases in most bands).

Maybe that machine is at the other end of town, and coverage is spotty?
Then the group tries to put up their own machine for local use, but they
end up trashing the first machine's coverage in the middle of town. The
real solution would be to find a lightly used machine in their neighborhood.
But there may be political infighting and such that puts a stop to that....

1952. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 02:38:57 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210171838.1dfed95c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53769
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Hey Lou, since your charts that allow "for modification to 10M or other freqs." are obviously intended for use by licensed hams, you might get more business if you posted this in ham newsgroups. Doncha think?

-A

Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message news:<kepupughq0glhbpkil8lhah6af2fg4kudk@4ax.com>...
> We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
>
> Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
>
> We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> just can't find anywhere else!
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
>
> *
> In case you didn't already know...
>
> Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> increase your power, range, and privacy.
>
> For product questions or additional details
> on what we offer, please email us directly at:
>
> info@cbcintl.com
>
>
> 73,

> Lou Franklin--President
> K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> **********
> CBC International
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
>
> TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> Local: (520) 298-7980
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Email: info@cbcintl.com

1953. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 02:46:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210171846.6e3327bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53770

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<WemcnXkCWLuOrTOgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>...

. . . .

>
> Most of us working in the electronics industry realize that most of
> the "signal level" functions done in our rigs are mathematical
> functions, and implemented imperfectly by analog circuits. So just
> chuck the troublesome analog parts, and perform the functions in
> software, A.K.A. DSP. All the SDR rig needs is just enough hardware to
> digitize the input signal, and a PA section to pump the results out to
> the antenna.

. . .

Ducky wunnerful: Kindly put me on the list for one of yer floppies of
the program which "provides" a rcvr which has a front end 1.0dB noise
figure on 432Mhz after you chuck all those imperfect analog parts.
Hell, I'll even settle for a 455Khz DSP filter which can even approach
the shape factor of an ancient 500Hz Collins mech filter.

>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member

w3rv

Hey Leland, what's the blocking dynamic range of yer laptop on 40cw?

1954. Re: Winter Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 04:08:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021018000848.12983.00000010@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53771

Been reading all the comments on this thread. As a long-time homebrewer, I can tell you that building stuf under pressure is only going to gather a few participants.

Perhaps what needs to be done is to revamp the FD rules somewhat so as to reward more emergency-preparedness and message-traffic related activities.

Here's one idea:

The FD exchange is too short - only three items need be exchanged (callsign, station class, section). Hardly a test of message handling capability. Look at the SS exchange: five items (serial number, class, callsign, section, check) and SS is in no way meant to be an emergency exercise. The FD exchange should be at least identical to SS, or maybe more involved (all of the above, plus grid square and county, maybe).

What do you think?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1955. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 04:30:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <s7Mr9.309$U97.33658@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53772

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> Ducky wunnerful: Kindly put me on the list for one of yer ......

Another curmudgeon sitting on the sidelines hooting and blowing his
noisemaker, rather than getting in the game and playing a couple of downs
for the fun of it.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

1956. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 05:08:36 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <gh6cnd5L0obICjKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 53773

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DAF22E9.BA195EAB@ix.netcom.com...
> Not all high level algorithm developers are necessarily C code or
such
> writers. Hopefully someone can write up a block diagram maker
software
> package where you can specify filters, frequencies, bit depth, and
such
> on the various functional blocks. At work I'm the system level guy,
and
> I tell the C programmer what I need to have happen. He writes up
the
> code after I give him the various parameters. And tell him to make
it
> easy for me to "hack" the C code if I want to say change a filter's
tap
> weights. I have trouble myself getting the C compiler to do
anything
> other than say "syntax error".

As time passes a libary of public domain DSP code will get built up,
like a subroutine libary, where you just pick and choose what
functional blocks to use in the design.

>
> But DSP can't do it all.

That will likely always be true.

> The analog signal needs to be conditioned so
> the dynamic range, frequency content and such are suitable for the
ADC
> to make it into usable digital. Especially in a receiver, where the
> levels can vary over 80dB. And stuff like digital TV still goes
thru the
> equivalent of a modem to go over the air as RF.

I agree. As technology advances the boundary between where the analog
electronics stops, and we switch to digital, keeps being pushed closer
to the antenna. At some point we may end up with the antenna going
straight in to the ADC.

>
> Most likely, at the transmit side, the signal (voice, whatever) is
> digitized
> and compressed maybe mp3 style. That gives you a string of 1s and

0s.
> That, in turn, would go thru a modem to get the 1s and 0s onto a
carrier
> of some sort. Then most likely feeds a DAC, then moved up
(heterodyne)
> to a frequency in a ham band to be amplified and sent to the
antenna.

With a fast enough DSP we may even be able to generate the frequency directly, lets say for HF.

>
> Receivers are more fun, you need analog preprocessing to get the
signal
> level up to feed a DAC with enough dynamic range so an inverse modem
> can recover the 1s and 0s. Even with lots of noise, QSB, QRM, etc.

The goal would be to feed the signal right from the antenna in to the ADC. Then do everything in software. The guys doing cell phone design are really pushing the envlope in that direction.

> Hopefully, the hardware radio platform will provide various analog
blocks
> that can be software controlled and muxed as the DSP developer wants
> to condition the signal before it gets to the ADC, as well as
allowing him
> to do digital processing.

I feel some of the present analog signal processing circuits could be replaced by DSP, and likely do a better job of it. Why its not done now is the manufactures don't want to sink all that R and D money to duplicate the analog functions in DSP code if they can't recover the cost. it seems many hams are satisfied with the analog circuit performance currently being used.

>
> And imagine the fun virus writers will have....
>

That is an interesting point. A deliberate flaw in the rig's software that would allow somebody to up load new code in to the rig over the air, instantly disabling radios over a large geographic area. Gee, you wouldn't even need a EMP pulse to kill all the radios.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician

if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1957. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 05:27:43 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <dN6dnafIMdNSBjKgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 53774

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0210171846.6e3327bd@posting.google.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<WemcnXkCWLuOrTOgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>...
>
> . . . .
>
> >
> > Most of us working in the electronics industry realize that most
of
> > the "signal level" functions done in our rigs are mathematical
> > functions, and implemented imperfectly by analog circuits. So just
> > chuck the troublesome analog parts, and perform the functions in
> > software, A.K.A. DSP. All the SDR rig needs is just enough
hardware to
> > digitize the input signal, and a PA section to pump the results
out to
> > the antenna.
>
> . . .
>
> Ducky wunnerful: Kindly put me on the list for one of yer floppies
of
> the program which "provides" a rcvr which has a front end 1.0dB
noise
> figure on 432Mhz after you chuck all those imperfect analog parts.

Don't be surprised if that happens some day. Remember when somthing as simple as your pocket calculator was so large it wouldn't even fit in to the average house. I think as time passes the bountray between the analog circuits, and digital, will more closer to the antenna. I understand your point. We may always be stuck with some analog circuits in the new SDR rigs to come.

> Hell, I'll even settle for a 455Khz DSP filter which can even
approach
> the shape factor of an ancient 500Hz Collins mech filter.

Get a good DSP prototyping board. I'm sure it can be done. I've used FIR filters to clean up data down loaded from digital storage scopes. The shape factor was nearly 1 using about 250 taps. The only real impediment is the DSP speed, cost, and dynamic range to do it in real time. From a pure programming stand point there is no problem, in fact one could design a better filter using DSP than the Collins filter you used in your example.

> Hey Leland, what's the blocking dynamic range of yer laptop on 40cw?

About 90 db. ;-)) Whats yours?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
strange medley of life. But we can decide
what happens in us - how we take it,
what we do with it - and that is what
really counts in the end."

Joseph Fort Newton

1958. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 10:04:23 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0210180204.176160d6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53775

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<aombut4gfk@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> Hello Bert.... I may have also convinced my 66 year old Fire Chief (and his
> wife as well as 2-3 others on the department) to get licensed. I
> definitely think that looking into the emergency services crowd is a good
> thing as the general nature of people in those fields would make good hams
> because of the training, and structure they must follow. (following a chain
> of command, following orders and rules/regulations/policies/procedures
> etc....)
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
> --
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
> >
> > P.S. I think I've even persuaded a 73-yr. old ret. cop to take the Tech
> > exam. Guess who?

Hi Ryan,

Yeah, I think I've hooked my father via his love for avcomms. He needs a new airband receiver, so I suggested an Alinco 2m rig w/AM airband receive rather than an airband only receiver. He bit, so I gave him my old Tech study guide to chew on. What's nice is that his antennas get put up on top of a three story brownstone...at approx 75 ft., very much in the clear. I recently upgraded his 11m dipole to a three element Yagi. Dad found the resultant jump in performance, on both transmit and receive, startling. I found it the most enjoyable antenna installation I've ever done...flat-top with just a hint of a breeze on a warm day.

BTW, has anybody ever checked out the Magnum P.I. episodes that show Higgins' ham rig? It looks pretty authentic. On the show Higgins' call is N6DBZ, which is not an "Aloha" state call and is actually a valid call for a chap in CA.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1959. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 10:35:56 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D55C1F.BE77%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53776

"N2EY" wrote:
>
> As of October 15, 2002:
>
> Novice - 36,573 (decrease of 12,756)
> Tech - 244,820 (increase of 39,426)
> Tech Plus - 75,264 (decrease of 53,993)
> General - 139,214 (increase of 26,537)
> Advanced - 84,588 (decrease of 15,194)
> Extra - 102,834 (increase of 24,084)
> Total - 683,293 (increase of 8,501)

Where are you digging up those numbers, Jim? I'd like to keep track of
those figures over time myself.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1960. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 13:50:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021018095030.09497.00001824@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 53777

W5NET wrote:

> Where are you digging up those numbers, Jim? I'd like to keep track of
>those figures over time myself.
>
Hello Dwight,

Just go to

http://www.arrl.org/fcc/stats.html

Breaks down the license numbers by license class, and state/territory. Updated every day or so. I post the totals here at the middle and end of each month so there will be a record in the USENET archives, and also to stimulate discussion.

The numbers are derived directly from the FCC database. Note that the totals are the number of current, unexpired licenses held by individuals (clubs and other group licenses are excluded, as are expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses) Techs are kept separate from Tech Pluses, but the numbers are dropping dramatically due to upgrades, expirations and the FCC reclassing Tech Pluses as Techs on renewal.

The total peaked around February of 2001 and has hovered around 683,000 since then.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1961. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 18:09:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021018140902.11643.00002284@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 53778

In article <Xns92A861FAD8BEEelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>>>>23 channels is not really fixed channel in the sense that I meant it.
>>>>A land mobile station has to stay on it's assigned channel.
>>>>
>>>> It's still a channelized service. 23 channels is not very many, and
>>>> many of the early cb sets did not cover all channels.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Channelized v Fixed Channel
>>>
>>>If you think it's the same thing try suggesting that each CBer be
>>>limited to an assigned channel!
>>
>> So you say the difference is that the land mobile folks have no choice
>> of channel. Yet I have used land mobile radio services that have had
>> several channels available, at the discretion of the user..
>
>23??

Not quite that many, but so what? Why does the number of channels make a
difference in how users behave?

>>>> You asked in another post why you had to learn Morse in order to work
>>>> HF phone. The answer is "for the same reason hams have had to learn
>>>> all sorts of things for the writtens that had nothing to do with what
>>>> they wanted to do". For example, I had to learn:
>>>>
>>>> - VHF/UHF techniques in order to work HF
>>>> - SSB, FM, and other modes in order to work CW
>>>> - all sorts of theory in order to use manufactured equipment.
>>>>
>>>> Etc.
>>>
>>>Very fair point, as a matter of fact.
>>
>> We agree on something, then.
>>
>>>At one time the Tech pool, or at least element 3A contained no HF
>>>questions.
>>
>> Sort of. Here's the history:
>>
>> From its inception in 1951 until March 21, 1987, the Tech and General

>> shared the same written test (ancient Element 3). Only difference was 5
>> vs. 13 wpm code.
>>
>> It was noted that this required prospective Techs to take a test
>> involving bands and regs that the Tech license would not permit them to
>> use. The HF privs of the Tech were tested for in old Element 2 (Novice
>> theory).
>>
>> So in March of '87 the test was split into elements 3A and 3B. Tech
>> license required 2 and 3A, General required 2, 3A and 3B
>>
>>>Perhaps, under the current scheme element 2 shouldn't (I think it
>>>does, though?).
>>
>> New Element 2 contains a combination of old Elements 2 and 3A. But it's
>> only 35 questions - a little more than half the size of the combination
>> of old Elements 2 and 3A.
>>
>> Restructure 2000 did more than reduce code testing - it also reduced
>> the writtens.
>>
>>>Clearly there is a
>>>demand for a licence with no code test, and arguably it shouldn't
>>>confer CW operating privileges.
>>
>> Sounds like you want to kill off CW! Soon there will be no code test
>> for any license.
>
>I see your point, but it would be more logical to keep them off CW than
>HF.

Why? Users of the various RTTY-type modes are not tested on typing or reading
ability.

>Actually the B licence in the UK at one time didn't permit CW use, but
>that was changed.

Good!

>I m not trying to kill of CW, so I guess it's necessary
>to let people use CW without a test, under the circumstances.

Technician licensees who have not passed a code test are allowed all modes,
including Morse, on the amateur allocations above 30 MHz. And there are no
problems to report that I know of.
>>
>> There is a demand for a nocodetest license, and also a demand for a
>> notheorytest license, too.
>
>Good point.

Thanks.

>>>How would you gauge the demand for a no-VHF licence, or a no-SSB or
>>>no-FM licence, for that matter?
>>
>> By the use of those modes.
>
>Well, what about all the people who only use repeaters? No SSB questions
>for them?

See how ridiculous the argument gets?

The point is that the license test cannot be tailored to just what each individual wants to do at the time of licensing. Instead, the license test needs to cover a sampling of what hams actually do. There are no questions on multiplex FM stereo on the amateur tests because amateurs don't use that mode. But Morse use by hams is very common - particularly on HF/MF.

>Actually, I think that theory tests should cover all modes
>(including questions on CW, like chirp and transmitter keying methods,
>etc) but reading CW is pretty much self regulating, i.e. you can't use CW
>anyway if you can't read it.

Morse decoders exist that make it possible to use the mode without knowing it.

>>>>> I took the 13 and 20 wpm Morse tests in front of an FCC examiner.
>>>>> Had to produce at least 1 solid minute of legible copy out of 5. No
>>>>> going back and correcting errors, either. Also had to send Morse to
>>>>> the examiner's satisfaction - with a straight key.
>>>>
>>>>I would have had to make almost solid copy (see below) for the whole
>>>>test, not a mere minute, except that I couldn't manage it! And I
>>>>would have had to send for the whole period with no uncorrected
>>>>errors atall. That is tougher than the tests you passed, albeit at 12
>>>>wpm, and I never reached the test standard, and still haven't.
>>>>
>>>> If that had been the US standard, I'd have met it.
>>>
>>>I'm sure you would have - you like CW
>>
>> But I didn't like it at first. My original plan was to get a ham
>> license so I could do 75 AM. Funny thing - once I had a decent set of
>> CW skills, I liked it so much that 'phone became a secondary mode for
>> me.
>
>Not surprising when you compare it to AM.

My comparison was to all voice modes, not just AM.

> SSB was a major advance, but
>it's very old news now.

It's the most common HF mode used by hams, so it's very big news.

And while SSB was an advance, it brought with it some problems. The main advantages of SSB use by hams were:

- More simultaneous voice QSOs in the same bandspace
- Near-elimination of carrier heterodyne interference
- Lower cost of high-power voice transmitters

But SSB brought with it several disadvantages:

- Loss of fidelity and the personality of voice characteristics
- Greatly increased complexity of equipment, reducing the practicality of homebrewing
- Higher cost of low-power voice transmitters
- Isolation of HF amateur voice operation from SWLs, reducing the recruiting possibilities.

> As a matter of fact, as we both know, it was
>realistic to use mostly CW if you wanted to work DX before SSB, but it
>changed everything

SSB did not change that by itself. In the bad old days, most of the DX was on CW because of equipment costs and language barriers. As amateur equipment has become less expensive in inflation-adusted money, and the affluence and development level of much of the world has increased, voice operation has become much more available to the DX.
>
>> Learning CW wasn't exactly easy for me. No code machines, records, or
>> tapes. I learned it by listening to hams on the air, using a receiver
>> that was so unstable I had to write with one hand and constantly adjust
>> the rx with the other.
>
>Granted. I made very little progress myself until I got some CW software

Now there are all sorts of software packages available free for the download.
>
>>>>>Maybe with a little practice I might have been able to copy a mere
>>>>>60 seconds? Who knows?
>>>>
>>>> Is that the fault of S25.5?
>>>
>>>No
>>>
>> Well, there you have it. It's not the code test per se, it's the
>> unreasonable UK test standards.
>
>They were unreasonable, for an amateur, but I think the UK was not the
>only country to have a similar test

Lots of countries copied what the UK did, for both the code and written. Easier than developing their own standards.

>>>> Why? How many hams, in the US or UK, actually build their own
>>>> equipment from scratch, or kits? (I do, btw).
>>>
>>>Very few. A couple of times in the past few years I have considered it,
>>>but it seems to be cheaper or about the same to buy something ready

>>>built
>>
>> Which is why homebrewing has gone from a mainstream activity to a niche
>> thing among hams. I build practically all my own ham gear, either from
>> kits or scratch. But I have sources of parts at less than retail
>> prices.
>>>
>>>> Why should a new ham have to learn, say, the block diagram for a
>>>> PLL-type synthesizer in order to use a manufactured rig? Particularly
>>>> when that rig has a DDS-type LO?
>>>
>>>Are you saying it's OK if we know nothing about radio.
>>
>> Nope. I'm asking why certain types of theory knowledge must be tested
>> for in the writtens, if a ham doesn't want to use that theory. It's
>> analagous to "why must I learn Morse to use HF phone?"
>>
>> Why must I learn transistors and ICs to use tube radios? Why must I
>> learn theory to use manufactured equipment? Etc.
>
>The manufacured equipment that most people use doesn't have tubes anymore.
>Even linears are increasingly solid state

The point is that the written tests required me to learn many things I don't
have to use, or have no interest in. Why is it OK to force new hams to learn
theory stuff they are not interested in, and will probably never use, but NOT
OK to require a simple Morse test?
>
>>> Wouldn't that be CB?
>>
>> Nope. cb has no licenses. Knowledge of the regulations and practices is
>> needed to avoid breaking the rules.
>>
>> But you don't have to know how a carburetor works to get a driver's
>> license.
>
>A car is virtually a necessity, though.

Not in the big city.

>Besides, you can transmit without
>a theory test, just not on the ham bands

Only under very controlled conditions, like FRS.

>>>As for PLL v DDS that's an issue of updating the question pool, which
>>>is our responsibility (OK, perhaps mine, as a VE)
>>
>> You missed the point. Both PLL and DDS are used by hams. But there's no
>> requirement to use either - yet questions on them are on the tests.
>
>They just represent current practice.

Morse code is current practice for amateur radio.

>Tubes and free-running VFOs don't,
>although I think hams should know about them

There are probably more hams building equipment with tubes and free-running
oscillators than there are hams building DDS synthesizers from scratch.

btw, check out:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/020910qex036.pdf

about the performance of HF receivers. Turns out the winner does not have DDS,
PLL or DSP technology.

>>>>>>You only need about 70% right to get the 7 questions out of 10 right
>>>>>>>over here. Most of the world don't know that about the US, they
>>>>>>>actually have to get most of the characters right in their code
>>>>>>>tests, and they probably think you do too. I did until I actually
>>>>>>>came to live here. This is not bashing the US, I agree with
>>>>>>>anything that makes it easier, including abolishing it! Of course,
>>>>>>>I expect that in some of the smaller countries the code tests are
>>>>>>>even easier than they are here.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What you are really arguing is that the standards are set at too
>>>>>> high a level, rather than that the Morse test needs to go away.
>>>>>> Same argument holds true for the writtens.
>>>>>
>>>>>Actually that's not my argument atall.
>>>>
>>>> It's the logical conclusion that results from your argument.
>>>
>>>Let's spell this out. If I thought that code needed to be tested I
>>>would say that the standard was too low, not too high.
>>
>> That's simply because you are used to UK standards.
>
>So perhaps I should lower my standards

That's what I've been forced to do, in accepting the changes in license
requirements over the past 35 years..

>>>What I'm saying is that
>>>the average US ham has done a test that is unrealistically easy, making
>>>it seem less of an issue than it really is.
>>
>> Why is the US test unrealistically easy? I think the UK test, if it
>> requires perfect or near-perfect copy, is unrelaistically tough.
>
>Yes, that's equally true

Well, there you have it. Reasonable requirement lies somewhere in between.
>
>>>If the test actually proved

>>>competence it would be a huge roadblock to a much bigger number of
>>>people. In many countries, at least until recently, the test was an
>>>actual test of competence, in a skill that many don't want to use.
>>
>> Who gets to define what comprises competence? Do the UK writtens
>> require a near-perfect score?
>
>No, they require about the same score as here

That shows they put undue emphasis on the code test. If 75% is good enough for theory, it should be good enough for code.

>>>5 wpm is too slow to copy easily, but the ARRL test tapes are
>>>Farnsworth method.
>>
>> So are the tests.
>
>That's what I said. I was talking about the test tapes that we use in the
>VE tests

Ah - OK. W1AW has used Farnsworth spacing in its lower-speed code practice since before I was a ham.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1962. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 21:35:10 UTC
From: jt <k6jbt@spamsuxarrl.net>
Message-ID: <i8%r9.918$md1.356@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 53779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I disagree; anything that brings ham radio to the attention of the general public is a good thing for the hobby. Someone may develop a curiosity regarding radio after seeing the film, and may research it enough to go get their ticket. I've been a ham for 26 years, and I enjoyed everything about the movie, and I welcome just about anything that will bring AR to the laypersons attention.

73,

JT
K6JBT
"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:aofe72srjr1@taranews.net...
> I finally got to see the movie "Frequency" when the Starz network
> showed it last weekend. It revolved around ham radio, but the
> questions asked by the characters probably reflected Hollywood's
> current, unflattering impression of amateur radio.
>
> When the main character came across his father's old ham set, people
> asked him things like "Ham radio: Was that what people did before the
> net?", and "The people who use radios like that are mostly old men,
> aren't they?", plus the real zinger "Does anyone use those things any
> more?"
>
> I'd say that the movie is hurting the image of ham radio rather than
> helping. It delivers the message that hams are dinosaurs who
> shouldn't be taken seriously, although their old equipment is
> interesting from a historical viewpoint.
>
> And now it's showing on every cable and satellite TV provider which
> carries the Starz network. Not good.
>
> Good thing I became a Computer Specialist. They are still respected.

1963. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 21:50:25 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210181350.19568af0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53780

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<s7Mr9.309$U97.33658@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > Ducky wunnerful: Kindly put me on the list for one of yer ......
>
> Another curmudgeon sitting on the sidelines hooting and blowing his
> noisemaker, rather than getting in the game and playing a couple of downs
> for the fun of it.

From another another curmudgeon who isn't gonna write any more DSP
code than I am. Actually Hans I'm too busy tuning around the bands for
the first ham spread rectum signals, the previous "technological leap"
which was gonna "take ham radio into the new miilenium".

>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1964. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 22:29:34 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB08B4E.E2FBA61D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53781

>
>
> As time passes a libary of public domain DSP code will get built up,
> like a subroutine libary, where you just pick and choose what
> functional blocks to use in the design.

I'm just hoping for a graphical program where I can draw a
block diagram and define parameters of the various functional
blocks. Like those Cadence CAD systems. And then a
compiler can then either create the code, or tell me
"syntax error". :-) I hate getting bogged down hunting for
such in lines of code....

>
>
> Then most likely feeds a DAC, then moved up
> (heterodyne)
> > to a frequency in a ham band to be amplified and sent to the
> antenna.
>
> With a fast enough DSP we may even be able to generate the frequency
> directly, lets say for HF.
>

Possibly, but that DSP at such a high clock rate would be more
expensive. And if the signal bandwidths the FCC will likely allow
us to use (which could be as wide as an entire band if they change the
rules) say 350KHZ if we use 20M, would require only several MHz
clock rate (if we care about phase angles of our carrier, the more
we care, the higher the sample rate we need). And then that carrier
can then be heterodyned up to 20M via traditional analog methods.
Which may be cheaper than the faster DSP if we did it at full speed
to get it on 20M. Spread spectrum comes to mind....

>
> >
> > Receivers are more fun, you need analog preprocessing to get the
> signal
> > level up to feed a DAC with enough dynamic range so an inverse modem
> > can recover the 1s and 0s. Even with lots of noise, QSB, QRM, etc.
>
> The goal would be to feed the signal right from the antenna in to the
> ADC. Then do everything in software. The guys doing cell phone design
> are really pushing the envlope in that direction.

That ADC would need to be sampled really fast (gigahertz region) and need
something like 48 bit resolution so you can DSP filter out that local 50KW

AM broadcast flamethrower in the next town, also that public safety
or taxi dispatcher transmitter so you can dig that rare DX out of the
noise on HF. Or you will get the equivalent of de-sense when the ADC
gets overloaded or the weak signal you want to hear is lost in the
quantization noise. And the sample rate needs to be at least twice the
highest frequency in the signal to avoid alias products (Nyquist).

DSP can be quite powerful if done with care, but you still need some
analog pre-filtering and conditioning.

>
>
> >
> > And imagine the fun virus writers will have....
> >
>
> That is an interesting point. A deliberate flaw in the rig's software
> that would allow somebody to up load new code in to the rig over the
> air, instantly disabling radios over a large geographic area. Gee, you
> wouldn't even need a EMP pulse to kill all the radios.

1965. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 22:31:18 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3DB08BB6.66689424@USA.net>
Source record: 53782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Take that personnel crap elsewhere, this is about RADIOS.

"lil' lo' me." wrote:

> Good Ol' James Keller, starting shit just like yer old man Joe eh?
>
> P.S. did you ever get your 75 meter antenna up? and do you really get
> your rocks off calling my cellphone while blocking caller ID?
>
> you know who it is...

1966. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 23:21:12 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <aoq518$1lma$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 53783

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
>news:<WemcnXkCWLuOrTOgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>...
>> Most of us working in the electronics industry realize that most of
>> the "signal level" functions done in our rigs are mathematical
>> functions, and implemented imperfectly by analog circuits. So just
>> chuck the troublesome analog parts, and perform the functions in
>> software, A.K.A. DSP. All the SDR rig needs is just enough hardware to
>> digitize the input signal, and a PA section to pump the results out to
>> the antenna.
>
>Ducky wunnerful: Kindly put me on the list for one of yer floppies of
>the program which "provides" a rcvr which has a front end 1.0dB noise
>figure on 432Mhz after you chuck all those imperfect analog parts.

You miss the point. The digital stuff doesn't necessarily replace the
analog parts in the receiver's front end, but it certainly replaces
the analog parts in the demodulators and provides filtering appropriate
for whatever it is you are demodulating. Similarly, it doesn't replace
the analog parts in the transmitter's power amplifier, it just replaces
the modulators.

A receiver doesn't usually need more than one front end, so soldering
together a good one built from whatever you want is fine with me. A
receiver can potentially make use of an almost unlimited number of
demodulators, however, so who knows what you could come up with if you
didn't have to find board space for a bunch more analog parts every time
you wanted to try building a new one.

>Hell, I'll even settle for a 455Khz DSP filter which can even approach
>the shape factor of an ancient 500Hz Collins mech filter.

Give me the amplitude and phase delay response charactistics and I'll
synthesize one for you. Of course, if a 50 Hz filter with constant
group delay is really more appropriate for the signal you are trying
to demodulate I can do one of those with the same hardware, while you'll
be waiting a long time for Collins to build one and then looking for
yet more board space to solder it into.

>w3rv
>
>Hey Leland, what's the blocking dynamic range of yer laptop on 40cw?

Mine's 110 db according to the spec sheet for the A/D converter. I
don't mind adding analog stuff in front of it, however.

Dennis Ferguson

1967. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-18 23:56:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210181556.4ec04ad4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53784

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message > >
>
> . . . Remember when somthing as
> simple as your pocket calculator was so large it wouldn't even fit in
> to the average house.

Yeah, Eniac. Almost sixty years ago. Wherin lies a message.

> I think as time passes the bountray between the
> analog circuits, and digital, will more closer to the antenna. I
> understand your point. We may always be stuck with some analog
> circuits in the new SDR rigs to come.

No argument with any of that and I won't be one bit surprised. But the closer ya get to the antenna the bigger the mountain ya gotta climb.

>
> > Hell, I'll even settle for a 455Khz DSP filter which can even
> approach
> > the shape factor of an ancient 500Hz Collins mech filter.
>
> Get a good DSP prototyping board. I'm sure it can be done. I've used
> FIR filters to clean up data down loaded from digital storage scopes.

None of it is anywhere near my field of technical expertise, I'd be wasting my time getting nowhere. These sorts of development efforts fall into the subset of hams which collectively have the requisite backgrounds & experiences. Or who have enough interest in the topic to learn enough to be able to do credible development work in the field. Which leaves me out.

Rumors, assumptions and knee-jerk profiling aside to the contrary there are technical experts who are hams but who are not EEs and/or code warriors . . but who certainly do understand what development efforts are all about. Yeah, been there in spades, I am not a cynic for no good reason.

> The shape factor was nearly 1 using about 250 taps. The only real
> impediment is the DSP speed, cost, and dynamic range to do it in real
> time. From a pure programming stand point there is no problem, in fact
> one could design a better filter using DSP than the Collins filter you
> used in your example.

Obviously. Archimedes postulated 2,200 years ago that if he had a long enough lever he could lift the whole planet, "they're still workin' on it . . ". A 500Hz DSP filter with a 1.1 shape factor and a five-second processing time oughta be a real thrill at 40 wpm.

I'm not dissing SDR radios, the technology or you as such. Certainly the stuff is out there in the future. What really pisses me off is that given the real expertse we have in the hobby precious little of it is ever focused on actually getting all these whizzy new technologies up and running on the bands. Versus the usual motormouthing about it in the newsgroups, especially in this looney-bin.

No biggie, Kenwood will hand the new technolgies to us eventually. As usual.

>
> > Hey Leland, what's the blocking dynamic range of yer laptop on 40cw?
>
> About 90 db. ;-)) Whats yours?

Doan have a laptop but my creaky old TS-940 has a 140dB BDR on 20.

22 year old design.

Boink.

>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member

NCI . . reminds me . . yer Maximum Leader Squiggy was the senior (loudest)proponent of spread rectum technology in ham radio back in the code test war days. Funny thing seems to have happened since then . . seems like he got himself one of those weenie Extra Lite tickets to ride on HF and reportedly has been chasing dxcc countries ever since. How analog izzat?

Typical.

w3rv

1968. Re: Silent Key NF3Q/W3HBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 01:30:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021018213003.19576.00003458@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53785

In article <7FA128945.1169AE335@nosecio.com>, "Anon" <anon@nosecio.com> writes:

>In November, 2000, Hilory and his
>father, Bracken, moved to Austin, TX, from Murrysville, PA. They are
>currently living in Austin, TX.

Except for Hilory, of course.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1969. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 01:30:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021018213003.19576.00003457@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53786

In article <7Pqr9.41541$lV3.3131433@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>> Hams have a real opportunity to contribute here. We
>> can experiment with those new modulation methods, refine them till
>> they either work, or are proved to be unworkable, by just shear
>> experience of many of us using the methods on the air.
>
>BINGO!
>
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hansl seems to have taken some lessons from the W5TIT Space Cadet
Academy of Newsgroup Posting. One-word answer indicating agreement
with something someone else said, silly "emoticon" graphic, sign it and
call it a good post. How sad.

ï¿½OGNIB

73 de Larry, K3LT

1970. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 02:09:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021018220904.14335.00001818@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 53787

In article <d22b54c3.0210181556.4ec04ad4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message > >
>>
>> . . . Remember when somthing as
>> simple as your pocket calculator was so large it wouldn't even fit in
>> to the average house.
>
>Yeah, Eniac. Almost sixty years ago. Wherin lies a message.

ENIAC wasn't all that big. Took up part of the basement of a fair-sized building, that's all. Would fit in the basement of a large home. And the reason it was so big is that they used big parts that weren't on high wartime priority.

Couple years ago a bunch of undergrads at the school where ENIAC was built implemented the whole thing on a chip.

>
>> I think as time passes the bountray between the
>> analog circuits, and digital, will more closer to the antenna. I
>> understand your point. We may always be stuck with some analog
>> circuits in the new SDR rigs to come.

Your bias is showing in the use of phrases like "stuck with".

>
>No argument with any of that and I won't be one bit surprised. But the
>closer ya get to the antenna the bigger the mountain ya gotta climb.
>
Yup.

Fact is, neither analog nor digital is the entire solution. Right tool for the right ob, that's all. You trade one set of problems for another. Example: digital synthesizer stability vs. analog oscillator's lack of phase noise.

>> > Hell, I'll even settle for a 455Khz DSP filter which can even
>> approach
>> > the shape factor of an ancient 500Hz Collins mech filter.
>>
>> Get a good DSP prototyping board. I'm sure it can be done. I've used
>> FIR filters to clean up data down loaded from digital storage scopes.

I'm sure it can be done, too - but who is doing it? Classic bell-the-cat problem. Plus you have the problem of cost (in time and money) of the proto board vs. just buying the mech filter.

This is one of the main reasons you don't see as much homebrewing as 40-50
years ago. Time spent building is time not spent operating. If you prefer
building, fine, but whether it's soldering or writing code, it doesn't work a
soul until it's on the air.
>
>None of it is anywhere near my field of technical expertise, I'd be
>wasting my time getting nowhere. These sorts of development efforts
>fall into the subset of hams which collectively have the requisite
>backgrounds & experiences. Or who have enough interest in the topic to
>learn enough to be able to do credible development work in the field.
>Which leaves me out.

There's also the busman's holiday effect and the design-by-committee effect.
How many years has TAPR been working on a ham SSR?
>
>Rumors, assumptions and knee-jerk profiling aside to the contrary
>there are technical experts who are hams but who are not EEs and/or
>code warriors . . but who certainly do understand what development
>efforts are all about. Yeah, been there in spades, I am not a cynic
>for no good reason.
>
>> The shape factor was nearly 1 using about 250 taps. The only real
>> impediment is the DSP speed, cost, and dynamic range to do it in real
>> time. From a pure programming stand point there is no problem, in fact
>> one could design a better filter using DSP than the Collins filter you
>> used in your example.

Design is one thing. Build and use is another.
>
>Obviously. Archimedes postulated 2,200 years ago that if he had a long
>enough lever he could lift the whole planet, "they're still workin' on
>it . . ". A 500Hz DSP filter with a 1.1 shape factor and a five-second
>processing time oughta be a real thrill at 40 wpm.

It'll be done processing three days after the contest is over...
>
>I'm not dissing SDR radios, the technology or you as such. Certainly
>the stuff is out there in the future. What really pisses me off is
>that given the real expertse we have in the hobby precious little of
>it is ever focused on actually getting all these whizzy new
>technologies up and running on the bands. Versus the usual
>motormouthing about it in the newsgroups, especially in this
>looney-bin.

See TAPR, above.

There's another factor going on here, too. We've all seen PCs and cellphones go
from big clunky expensive monstrosities to smooth cheap systems in the past two
decades or so. But that development was driven by umpteen millions of dollars
of development money and related resources, because there were billion-dollar
markets to be tapped. Fortunes were made and lost on the way to today's
systems.

And along the way there were fortunes made and lost, and kilotons of unwanted hardware discarded. How many $2500 computer systems have become doorstops in less than 5 years since 1982?
>
>No biggie, Kenwood will hand the new technolgies to us eventually. As
>usual.

IF Kenwood thinks there's a market. Which may be the problem - if Yaecomwood actually produced an SDR, they might be cutting their own throats on future sales.
>>
>> > Hey Leland, what's the blocking dynamic range of yer laptop on 40cw?
>>
>> About 90 db. ;-)) Whats yours?
>
>Doan have a laptop but my creaky old TS-940 has a 140dB BDR on 20.
>
>22 year old design.

40+ years ago, the Squires Sanders SS-1R had BDRs well in excess of 120 dB.

My newest HF rig has 136 dB BDR. Cost less than half the price of a low-end lapper. Built it in about 30-40 hours, tops, taking my time and following the instructions.

>Boink.

Swish.

>> --
>> Leland C. Scott
>> KC8LDO
>>
>> ARRL Member
>> NCI Member
>
>NCI . . reminds me . . yer Maximum Leader Squiggy was the senior
>(loudest)proponent of spread rectum technology in ham radio back in
>the code test war days. Funny thing seems to have happened since then
>. . seems like he got himself one of those weenie Extra Lite tickets
>to ride on HF and reportedly has been chasing dxcc countries ever
>since.

On SSB, no less - a mode that has been around since the mid-20s, and in use by hams since the early 1930s.

>How analog izzat?

More analog than CW, actually.
>
>Typical.
>
'zactly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1971. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 02:26:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <_o3s9.1744$U97.187097@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53788

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote

> One-word answer indicating agreement
> with something someone else said, silly "emoticon" graphic, sign it and
> call it a good post.

BINGO!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

1972. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 03:16:06 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021018231606.19576.00003472@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53790

In article <20021018095030.09497.00001824@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>The numbers are derived directly from the FCC database. Note that the totals
>are the number of current, unexpired licenses held by individuals (clubs and
>other group licenses are excluded, as are expired-but-in-the-grace-period
>licenses) Techs are kept separate from Tech Pluses, but the numbers are
>dropping dramatically due to upgrades, expirations and the FCC reclassing
>Tech
>Pluses as Techs on renewal.
>
>The total peaked around February of 2001 and has hovered around 683,000 since
>then.

Jim:

Soooo -- a year after Restructuring, growth of the ARS has virtually stopped in it's tracks? How could this be? We've reduced the maximum code test requirement to abject insignificance, which, as we were promised by the NCTA, was supposed to flesh out our ranks with an influx of eager, intelligent, young, socially diverse, computer literate, technically involved, and public-spirited new radio amateurs. So where ARE they, anyway? The membership of the club I belong to has dropped 40 percent since Restructuring Day!

Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1973. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 03:16:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021018231607.19576.00003473@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53789

In article <b7d1465c.0210180204.176160d6@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>BTW, has anybody ever checked out the Magnum P.I. episodes that show
>Higgins' ham rig? It looks pretty authentic. On the show Higgins' call
>is N6DBZ, which is not an "Aloha" state call and is actually a valid
>call for a chap in CA.

Bert:

The call could belong to a technicial type associated with the production of the series, who lent equipment and his call sign to the show in order to increase authenticity to Higgins' ham radio station. However, the fact that they didn't bother to use a call with the KH6 or WH6 prefix somewhat baffles me.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1974. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 03:16:08 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021018231608.19576.00003474@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53791

In article <aombut4gfk@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>Hello Bert.... I may have also convinced my 66 year old Fire Chief (and his
>wife as well as 2-3 others on the department) to get licensed. I
>definitely think that looking into the emergency services crowd is a good
>thing as the general nature of people in those fields would make good hams
>because of the training, and structure they must follow. (following a chain
>of command, following orders and rules/regulations/policies/procedures
>etc....)
>--
>Ryan, KC8PMX

Ryan:

What in the world does "following a chain of command" or "following orders"
have to do with Amateur Radio? Any fellow ham who tries to "command"
me or "order" me usually gets told which bus to take, and precisely where
to get off! Some kind of self-styled Sgt. Rock is precisely what the ARS
has no need for whatsoever! The vast majority of hams have no problem
following the rules and regulations, so this is a totally invalid concept,
AFAIC.
Police, firemen, EMT's, etc. have their own professional communications
systems which give them precisely the capability they need, when they
need it, with adequate backup and emergency reserve capability. If they
do get involved in amateur radio, it should be because they're attracted to
it for purely hobbyist purposes such as DX'ing, contests, rag chewing,
and the like. They shouldn't have any need, desire, or use for Ham Hero™
status, and if I were one of them, the last thing I'd be doing is encouraging
other hams to stick their noses in my business as an emergency services
provider.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1975. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 03:40:53 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <j5WcncA4htrbSS2gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 53792

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DB08B4E.E2FBA61D@ix.netcom.com...
> DSP can be quite powerful if done with care, but you still need some
> analog pre-filtering and conditioning.

I would tend to agree with you. I think there will always be some need
for analog filtering before the DSP takes over. You have to implement
those Nyquist filters somehow.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1976. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 03:46:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DB0D573.51BF199B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53793

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
> that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
> hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL
> to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Now don't get me started on the Mary Hobart's latest brain child. Mary, by the way, is now a ham. It seems the League began feeling the heat in the aftermath of Diamond Mary's first epistles to the troops in which she outlined our responsiblity as radio amateurs and League members. The powers that be must have run her through some licensing classes in a hurry. Now every letter features her spiffy new vanity call.

Did you know that (according to Mary) League membership is no longer simply a subscription to QST? I labored for nearly four decades under the illusion that ARRL membership was always more than a subscription to QST. Let's see--where did I put my check book?

Dave K8MN

1977. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 04:03:46 UTC
From: 75meterradiofun <hreehawwws@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3db0d9e7@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53794

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021018213003.19576.00003457@mb-

> Hansl seems to have taken some lessons from the W5TIT Space Cadet
> Academy of Newsgroup Posting. One-word answer indicating agreement
> with something someone else said, silly "emoticon" graphic, sign it and
> call it a good post. How sad.
>
> OGNIB
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Having visited a few hamfests this
year, the first I have been to in a
very long time, I must say I am suprised
some are capable of that.
Never in my life did I see a greater
assemblage of dumpster electro junk being sold by
unwashed, unshaven, overweight, chain-smoking
middle-aged white males in my life. It was
actually worse that a model train show flea market.
(...and they wonder why this "hobby" is sinking fast?)

1978. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 04:32:51 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <KuCcnX6UEd_ofS2gXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 53795

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021018220904.14335.00001818@mb-mj.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0210181556.4ec04ad4@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message > >
> >>
> >> . . . Remember when somthing as
> >> simple as your pocket calculator was so large it wouldn't even
fit in
> >> to the average house.
> >
> >Yeah, Eniac. Almost sixty years ago. Wherin lies a message.
>
> ENIAC wasn't all that big. Took up part of the basement of a
fair-sized
> building, that's all. Would fit in the basement of a large home. And
the reason
> it was so big is that they used big parts that weren't on high
wartime
> priority.

If I remember right it also consumed about 150 KW of power too.

>
> Couple years ago a bunch of undergrads at the school where ENIAC was
built
> implemented the whole thing on a chip.

Not hard to do nowdays with the giant ASIC's and FPGA's out.

> >
> >> I think as time passes the bountray between the
> >> analog circuits, and digital, will more closer to the antenna. I
> >> understand your point. We may always be stuck with some analog
> >> circuits in the new SDR rigs to come.
>
> Your bias is showing in the use of phrases like "stuck with".

What comes to mind are the necessary Nyquist filters ahead of the ADC
chip(s).

> >
> >No argument with any of that and I won't be one bit surprised. But
the

> >closer ya get to the antenna the bigger the mountain ya gotta
climb.
> >
> Yup.
>
> Fact is, neither analog nor digital is the entire solution. Right
tool for the
> right ob, that's all. You trade one set of problems for another.
Example:
> digital synthesizer stability vs. analog oscillator's lack of phase
noise.

On the flip side look what you get. Whe better modulation techniques
are developed you don't have to junk your present rig, you just
reprogram it.

>
> >> > Hell, I'll even settle for a 455Khz DSP filter which can even
> >> approach
> >> > the shape factor of an ancient 500Hz Collins mech filter.
> >>
> >> Get a good DSP prototyping board. I'm sure it can be done. I've
used
> >> FIR filters to clean up data down loaded from digital storage
scopes.
>
> I'm sure it can be done, too - but who is doing it?

Ever hear about -

"GNU Radio - The GNU Software Defined Radio"
"Copyright © 2001 Free Software Foundation, Inc."

http://www.gnu.org/software/gnuradio/gnuradio.html

For other DSP and SDR links look here:

http://www.engr.usask.ca/classes/EE/880/ (general DSP info online.
good reference)
http://www.ettus.com/sdr/
http://savannah.gnu.org/projects/gnuradio
http://mail.gnu.org/pipermail/discuss-gnuradio/2002-May/000311.html
http://www.mbay.net/~blossom/talks/gnuradio-27-feb-2002-cptwg.pdf
http://www.ece.uci.edu/~bgorjiar/projects/impacct/research/gnuRadio.ht
m
http://www.h2k2.net/display_grid.khtml?event=25

> Classic bell-the-cat problem.

Not from what I can see from the above links!!

> Plus you have the problem of cost (in time and money) of the proto
> board vs. just buying the mech filter.

It doesn't seem to be holding the GNU Radio guys up too much.

> >
> >NCI . . reminds me . . yer Maximum Leader Squiggy was the senior
> >(loudest)proponent of spread rectum technology in ham radio back in
> >the code test war days. Funny thing seems to have happened since
then
> >. . seems like he got himself one of those weenie Extra Lite
tickets
> >to ride on HF and reportedly has been chasing dxcc countries ever
> >since.

So whats wrong with that?

--

--
--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

1979. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 05:09:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D66143.BED8%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53796

"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:

> What in the world does "following a chain
> of command" or "following orders" have to
> do with Amateur Radio? Any fellow ham who
> tries to "command" me or "order" me usually
> gets told which bus to take, and precisely
> where to get off! Some kind of self-styled
> Sgt. Rock is precisely what the ARS has no
> need for whatsoever! The vast majority of
> hams have no problem following the rules
> and regulations, so this is a totally
> invalid concept, AFAIC.

You're being overly sensitive, Larry. I think he was referring to their ability to follow a rigid rule structure like that found in the FCC rules concerning ham radio (rigid compared to some other radio services). His premise is that a person with that type of background (experience with other rigid radio practices) would probably more easily accept our rigid rules than perhaps a person without that background. Such a person might also be less likely to dislike or reject a service with such rules. The premise is sound, even if poorly stated (or perhaps even overly emphasized).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1980. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 05:12:10 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D661E1.BEDA%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53797

"N2EY" wrote:
>
> Just go to
>
> http://www.arrl.org/fcc/stats.html

Thanks, Jim.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1981. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 05:42:37 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ur1s5uslf9dd9f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53798

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021018231608.19576.00003474@mb-fo.aol.com...
> In article <aombut4gfk@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
> What in the world does "following a chain of command" or "following
orders"
> have to do with Amateur Radio? Any fellow ham who tries to "command"
> me or "order" me usually gets told which bus to take, and precisely where
> to get off! Some kind of self-styled Sgt. Rock is precisely what the ARS
> has no need for whatsoever! The vast majority of hams have no problem
> following the rules and regulations, so this is a totally invalid concept,
> AFAIC.
> Police, firemen, EMT's, etc. have their own professional communications
> systems which give them precisely the capability they need, when they
> need it, with adequate backup and emergency reserve capability. If they
> do get involved in amateur radio, it should be because they're attracted
to
> it for purely hobbyist purposes such as DX'ing, contests, rag chewing,
> and the like. They shouldn't have any need, desire, or use for Ham HeroT
> status, and if I were one of them, the last thing I'd be doing is
encouraging
> other hams to stick their noses in my business as an emergency services
> provider.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry
I like that term "Ham HeroT" ! Around here we have "Emergency Hams".
You never hear them on the radio UNTIL a "small" storm comes, or there's
a "chance" of bad weather then all the Wannabes come out in droves!

The best one is when they get on the repeater and say "maybe we need to
call up the weather net incase the NWS needs us to", talk about the tail
wagging the dog!! Can you say 2 meter CB'ers? ;^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1982. ARNewsline 1313 - Oct 11 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 09:17:55 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021019051755.25399.00003885@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 53799

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1313 – October 11, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1313 with a release date of Friday, October 11th, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

A first ever Amateur Radio satellite for New Zealand and hams are honored in the U-S mid-West These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1313 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ZL ANNOUNCES PLANS FOR KIWISAT

Ham radio in space is coming to New Zealand. This, with announcement of a new ham radio satellite to be designed and built down-under. Amateur Radio Newsline's Jim Meachen, ZL2BHF, has a close-up look at Kiwi Sat.

--
Yes, that's actually its name. Kiwi Sat. And in case you were not aware, the Kiwi is a bird with thick hair-like feathers, no tail, a beak one third the length of its body, and nostrils at the tip. It looks like a cross between a hedgehog and an anteater. And its found only one place in the world. Here in New Zealand. Its our mascot and we love it. And now the hams of Kiwi-land are naming our soon to be built ham satellite after it.

Like the bird that its named after, Kiwi Sat will be a bit unusual in its own right. It will be a rather small ham-sat. A 237 millimeter cube based on the early 1990's Microsat technology developed by AMSAT. But it will carry some 21st century electronics that will enable it to run both as linear translator for low duty cycle modes like sideband and C-W as well as an F-M transponder to serve the needs of the overall ham radio community. This, even though the solar cells can only produce a maximum of 15 watts of available power at any given moment and an average of only 6 watts. Uplinks will be on 70 and 23 centimeters with Kiwi Sat talking back to Earth on 2 meters.

But there's more. Plans also call for Kiwi Sat to carry some sort of scientific experiment and possibly even a digitalker module. This would permit the satellite to identify with its own voice as well as provide some good publicity for the Kiwi Sat project. All of this made possible by the many advances in microchip design that have taken place since the first Microsat was launched.

There is one big difference between a feathered Kiwi and the ham radio satellite the hams down here are building that I should mention. A live Kiwi

cant fly. Kiwi Sat will.

From Auckland, New Zealand -- down-under -- I’m Jim Meachen, ZL2BHF, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--

A s we go to air, no completion or launch date has been announced for Kiwi Sat. An excellent article on the project appears in the summer edition of the AMSAT Journal. (AMSAT)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: PC SAT IN ECLIPSE

Meantime users of the P C Sat ham satellite are being requested to please turn off all unattended night time transmissions. This, to conserve energy while the bird is not in direct sunlight and having its batteries charged.

According to Bob Bruninga, WB4APR, P C Sat left full sunlight on Sunday September 30th. The bird is still fully operational but is passing through 25 minute eclipses on every orbit. And because of these eclipses the overall power available on board P C Sat is down. Keeping unattended beacons off while you are not there cuts the load on the satellite power supply significantly.

Bruninga says that with everyone’s cooperation the satellite might be able to make it to full sun again in November. He adds that normal operations are O-K when the satellite is in sunshine and the batteries are on charge. (AMSAT)

**

RADIO LAW:: FOLLOW-UP – S.A. ACTS TO PROTECT THE 70 CM BAND

A follow-up to last weeks story on the probable threat to the 70 centimeter band posed by a planned Earth Exploration Satellite Service that’s soon to be launched. As reported last week a discussion paper will be brought before the World Radio Conference next year that directly targets 432 to 438 MHz for exclusive use by the Earth Exploration birds.

The threat is very real and now comes word that at least one national ham radio society is taking action to stop the incursion. The South African Radio Relay League which was the first to make information on the threat public is already engaged in urgent talks with the that nations telecommunications regulatory body. Their objective is to try and convince the radio law makers that such a reallocation of spectrum would be a severe blow to the future of amateur radio. Others national societies are expected to quickly do the same. (SARL, Q-News)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAMS HONORED FOR TORNADO WORK

Ham radio Radio Skywarn spotters from 14 Central and Southern Indiana counties are being given credit for helping save lives. This, during an unusual outbreak of tornadoes the morning of Friday, September 20 th. Amateur News Weekly’s Jack Parker, W8ISH, tracked the storm and has this report.

--
When an F3 Tornado started it’s destructive journey across Indiana, Hoosier hams were hot on the trail. In fact, the storm moved so quick several amateur radio operators almost became casualties themselves.

The mid-morning outbreak started as a line of strong thunderstorms associated with a cold front. Tornado touchdowns were reported in several counties southwest of Indianapolis before noon. The storm gained strength as it ripped apart homes and businesses while tossing cars like they were matchbox toys. Many amateur radio operators saw first hand the destructive power of these late summer storms. Around 1:00 p.m., an F3 tornado touched down in Ellettsville, just west of Bloomington, Indiana. Two Ellettsville hams, Mike Poe, KB9SGN, and Russ Ryle, N9DHX, had close encounters with the super strong tornado. According to one Monroe County Spotter “The tornado went right past Mike’s house. Poe was in his driveway trying to get away in his truck and wound up dodging parts of his neighbors house while transmitting a warning to the Bloomington repeater. At the same time Russ Ryle was taking cover.

--
Ryle: “I was just passing information for my local area. First report I had was, we had a tornado on the ground. I could see some debris. At that point my wife and I took cover in the bathroom”.
--

As many as four tornadoes skipped across Indiana covering 112 miles. Over 20,000 homes were damaged. Thankfully, no one was seriously injured or killed. The National Weather Service office in Indianapolis credited the Skywarn spotters with saving hundreds of lives. As the NWS began to receive frequent damage reports, activation of spotter groups became critical. The NWS requested spotter information be relayed to their office as quickly as possible so counties in the path of the storm could be alerted.

According to National Weather Service Warning Officer, Dave Tucek, quick actions by spotters helped the NWS increase watch and warning lead times to 25 minutes or more, saving lives.

After the storm passed, many of the individual county spotters groups teamed up with their local Red Cross, Salvation Army and Emergency Management agencies to provide communications between shelters and county EOC’s. Some of the amateur radio operators found themselves working with damage assessment teams while providing communications for National Guard clean up crews. Reporting from Indianapolis, for Amateur News Weekly, this is Jack Parker, W8ISH
--

Following an aerial survey of the damaged areas, Indiana’s Governor Frank O’Bannon said this is the most wide spread tornado damage to hit the state in over a decade. Damage assessments are expected to be in the millions. (ANW)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the K7UGE repeater serving Las

Vegas Nevada.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: FCC OK'S NEW PERSONAL LOCATOR BEACONS

If you are a ham involved in search and rescue work, listen up. The FCC has issued a report and order that provides for licensing individual 406.025 MHz personal locator beacons or P-L-B's. The agency says that it will require mandatory registration of these devices with the National Atmospheric and Oceanographic administration.

According to the CGC Communicator, a 406 MHz digital P-L-B signal contains information on the type of emergency, the country an identification code of the beacon in distress and other information to facilitate search and rescue operations. Even more important, a 406 MHz distress signal can be received and stored on-orbit by the COSPAS/SARSAT satellite and later retransmitted to the ground. That should eliminate the so-called blind spots found in older 121.50 MHz and 243.00 MHz devices. (CGC Communicator)

**

ENFORCEMENT: YOU GET YOUR OLD CALL BACK

The FCC has written to Jamie H. King, Jr. of New Bern, North Carolina to tell him that it has canceled the Vanity Call Sign W4JHK and has reinstated his previous KG4RLJ call. Amateur Radio Newslines Bruce Tennant, K6PZW has more:

--

Back on June 19th the agency requested that Jamie H. King, Jr., provide documentation of his claim that he was a former holder of the W4JHK call sign. He responded by letters dated July 20th and 27th. In his reply King stated that he believed that the problem seemed to lie in interpretation of blanks to be filled in on the Vanity Call Sign application. He says that when he completed the application last February, he interpreted the line 'Former Primary Station Holder' to mean any primary station license, regardless of call sign, issued at a date earlier than the application. Therefore he says that he was referring to his previous license KG4RLJ when he checked that line.

But the FCC says that explanation might be acceptable if King had only checked the "Former Primary Call Sign Holder" block. But the FCC says that King also wrote in the call sign W4JHK. Also, that the application block contains the statement -- quote -- "This call sign was previously shown on my primary station license."

The FCC says that in view of the fact that King has never been a former holder W4JHK, that his call sign reverts to KG4RLJ. It says a license bearing that call is on its way to him.

Reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennat, K6PZW
--

The FCC adds that it is not pursuing further enforcement action at this time but cautioned King to use care in the filing of any future applications with the FCC. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: BIG FINE FOR SELLING ILLEGAL CORDLESS PHONES

The FCC has issued a $7,000 against Lightning Electronics Incorporated of Miami Florida. This, for willful and repeated violations of the Commission's rules involving Lightning's marketing of unapproved long-range cordless telephones. Phones that the agency says are capable of interfering with aviation safety. Phones that hams say are ripping up the two meter band. We have this report:

--
Back in February of 2001, the Federal Aviation Administration told the FCC that some pilots were reporting receiving sporadic interference to an aviation frequency here in the Miami, Florida, area. In March of 2001, the FCC’s Miami Office identified the source of the interference as a long-range cordless telephone being operated from an electronics store in Miami. That one was quickly removed from the airwaves.

As a result of the FAA’s concerns, the FCC launched a nationwide investigation into the unlawful marketing and use of long-range cordless telephones. During the inquiry, the Bureau discovered that electronics dealers were selling long-range cordless telephones in several states. It also found that certain of the long-range cordless telephones caused interference by transmitting spurious emissions on aviation frequencies. Even more scary -- others were specifically designed to operate in the aviation band.

During the investigation, agents from the Miami Office visited Lightning Electronics retail store. This took place on July 27, 2001. While there, agents saw several of the illegal long-range cordless telephones on display. Models included a Super Phone CT-9000, a Prolink CT-6000CID, and an Optima OP8810.

According to the FCC, a salesperson at Lightning offered to sell one of the units to the agents. As a result, on August 24, 2001, the Miami Office issued a citation to Lightning for violation of rules which prohibit the marketing of unapproved radio frequency devices.

But the company apparently did not heed the warning. On September 26, 2001, agents from the Miami Office and from the Tampa Office again visited Lightning’s retail store. At that time they purchased a Prolink BAO-6110CID long-range cordless telephone and antenna for $669.80. The telephone the agents purchased was capable of causing serious interference to aviation communications and was not approved for sale by the Commission.

On October 29, 2001, the Tampa Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability to Monetary Forfeiture against Lightning but the company did not file a response. On February 21, 2002, the Bureau issued a Forfeiture Order. On March 8, 2002, Lightning filed a petition for reconsideration of that order indicating certain information did not pertain to the company. The FCC responded with a new order last May 21st and has now ordered Lightning to pay up.

From Miami, I’m Bill Burnett, KT4SB, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--

So far the FCC investigation into the sale of these illegal high power cordless telephones has resulted in the issuance of several citations and fines by the agency’s Enforcement Bureau. Its also gotten a few that bothered ham radio communications on two meters off the air. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: SBC TO PAY $3.6 MILLION IN CONSENT DECREE

SBC Communications will pay $3.6 million to federal regulators for filing inaccurate information in applications to provide long-distance service in four states. The consent decree resulted from Federal Communications Commission investigations of S-B-C applications to enter the long-distance markets in Missouri, Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas.

In agreeing to the consent decree the company admits no wrong doing. In fact, SBC says that the inaccuracies were the result of good-faith efforts in describing highly technical matters and not the product of any intent to mislead. (Published reports)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: MITNICK SELLING LAPTOPS TO PAY LEGAL FEE

Former computer hacker Kevin Mitnick, N6NHG, says that he is selling some of his personal items to pay a lawyer who is trying to save his ham radio license. Mitnicks’ request to renew his license earlier this year end up in it being designated for a hearing. The items that Mitnick is auctioning off include his old Toshiba laptop computer which was taken by the government back in February of 1995. As this is written, that computer is up on e Bay with a top bid approaching $10,000.

A second laptop is also up for auction. It features a layer of fingerprint dust and red "X" marks where fingerprints were lifted. It also includes a mouse still housed in an FBI evidence bag. Both computers are signed on the bottom by Mitnick and former Apple executive Steve Wozniak. (Published reports)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: RAFY BRIEM N6FFT - TALKRADIO LEGEND -- RETIRES

Talk radio legend Ray Briem, N6FFT, has retired. Briem’s last show was on Sunday evening September 28th over Los Angeles radio station KRLA. Before moving to the 870 spot on the AM dial Briem spent several decades honing the art of late night talk over at KABC radio on 790 Kilohertz. While there his show was eventually put on the ABC radio network, nationwide. (ASWLC)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: A.PROSE WALKER, W4BW - S.K.

A. Prose Walker, W4BW, the man considered as the father of the "WARC bands" has died. Walker headed up the FCC’s old Amateur and Citizens Division from 1971 to 1975. While there, he made the initial proposal for the creation of the 10, 18 and 24 MHz bands at a conference in Geneva 1972. Later, Walker organized and chaired the United States the Advisory Committee of Amateur Radio. This committee took the initial steps to turn the idea into reality at the 1979 World Administrative Radio Conference.

But there was another side of Walker that hams in the world above 50 MHz do not remember very fondly. It was a set of highly restrictive repeater regulations promoted by Walker back in the early 70’s. Among other things, these rules required the submission of what are today called engineering feasibility studies in order to get a required W-R prefix repeater license. The regulations were so strict that repeater growth almost ground to a halt for the better part of half a decade. Eventually, the ham community lead by 73 Magazine publisher Wayne Green, W2NSD, rebelled against the Walker inspired rules. They were repealed as a part of repeater deregulation a few years later.

Walker was living in Rochester, New York, at the time of his death. He was 92. (ARRL, Repeater Remailer, W9JUV, 73 archives, others)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

ON THE WEB: INTERNET OUTAGE ON UUNET

If you think that the Internet went away for a few hours on October 3rd, you are close. What actually happened is that some users faced heavy delays reaching Web sites and accessing e-mail for a few hours because of widespread technical troubles on WorldCom's long-haul network.

A WorldCom' backbone called U-U-NET carries most of the Internet traffic in the United States. The problems began about 8 a.m. and about 20 percent of WorldCom's U.S. Internet. Very few if any lost service and Worldcom had service fully restored by early afternoon. WorldCom says that the problem appears to have been with the lists telling router computers how to pass data on to their destinations. (Published reports)

**

INTERNATIONAL - GERMANY: THE CODE SHOULD STAY

Germany’s Deutscher Amateur Radio Club is that country’s national Amateur Radio society. As previously reported, the D.A.R.C. supports the retention of Morse code testing as a license qualification. Now, D.A.R.C. has put this position on the discussion agenda of the International Amateur Radio Union’s Region 1 Conference. Its slated to be held in San Marino in November.

(Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL-UK: BBC AND B SKY B TO LAUNCH ALL DIGITAL TV NETWORK

The British Broadcasting Company and Rupert Murdoch’s B sky B network are teaming up to create a new all digital television service in the United Kingdom. According to news reports, the terrestrial service called Freeview will be launched on October 30th. It’s purpose is to fill the void left by the collapse of I-T-V Digital Television. If successful, it will give Great Britain a let up on the rest of the world by being the first nation with an all digital network.
You can read More at :
http://www.reuters.com/news_article.jhtml?type=search&StoryID=1530266 (Media Network)

**

INTERNATIONAL - NEW ZEALAND: HAM RADIO WALKABOUT

Well known land hiker Tony Whitiker, G3RKL, will be walking in the north island of New Zealand during the month of October. Tony will be walking from east to west and will be on the air using the callsign ZL6WFE. He will be on the New Zealand national repeater network and hopes to send Slow-Scan Television pictures live via the Internet Radio Linking Project gateways. (Q-News)

**

ON THE AIR: NEW PROPAGATION BEACON FROM FN20

On the air, Steven Kerns, N3FTI, in Reading, Pennsylvania reports over the VHF Reflector that he has established a new 2 meter propagation beacon from grid square FN20. Its on 144.279 Mhz running 4 watts out into a Discone antenna but he plans to change that to a halo in the coming weeks. Steve is also planning a 6 meter beacon to operate from the same location. QSN reports go to Steve at his callbook address. (VHF Reflector)

**

DX

In D-X, VE7XF is going back to the Cook Islands next weak in a muilti-multi operation to take part in the C-Q World Wide DX SSB Contest. He and the gang will operate from Manihiki on North Cook Island as ZK1MA during the event. Before and after the contest they will spend as much time as possible on 6 meter C-W and S-S-B with the call ZK1AKX. Listen for the operation from Manihiki from October 18th to the 31st and then on to Rarotonga South Cook Island from November 1st to the 11th. QSL cards go to Ralph Parker, 5330 Wallace Ave., Delta, British Columbia Canada at postal code V4M 1A1 (GB2RS)

K5AND, W5OZI and W5LXG will be operating from Montserrat from October 31st through November 8th. The trio will be focusing most of their attention on the 6 meter band using a Yaesu FT-897, a 7 element yaggi and radiating about 800

watts out and a Morse beacon on 50.155 Mhz.. From 20 to 10 meters they will run about 100 watts out. They say that the location is ideal for making 6 meter contacts across Europe, Africa and maybe even into the United States. As we go to air the most likely call sign they will use is VP2MJD. If you work them, Q-S-L as directed on the air. (VHF Reflector)

And Nick Peckett, G4KUX, operating as YA4F, has made what he claims is another first-ever contact on 6 meters from Afghanistan. Nick is now claiming that at 11:08 UTC on the 27th of September he worked VK6JQ in Broom, Western Australia for a YA to VK first on the Magic band. (G4NJH)

And G4IUF is on vacation in the Galapagos Islands. He hopesto be active on C-W and SSB from San Cristobal Island through the 23rd of October. He has requested the callsign HC8UF. (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: FIRST 'eDX100' AWARD TO 4Z4DX

And finally this week, word that the first ever 'eDX100' award, issued on the basis of electronic - or 'e QSLs' - was awarded on the 25th of September to Dov Gavish, 4Z4DX of Ramat Hasharon Israel. The ‘eDX100’ award is billed by its sponsors as an electronic equivalent to the ARRL's DXCC but is issued for receiving electronic QSL’s from a minimum of 100 DXCC entities through the eQSL.cc system.

eQSL.cc or the Electronic QSL Card Centre which sponsors the award is operated by Dave Morris, N5UP, of Garland, Texas. It is supported by an advisory board of volunteers around the world.

And true to the nature of electronic QSL’ing, 4Z4DX will be able to print an ‘eDX100’ certificate on his own printer. He will also receive a full-color certificate and a walnut plaque in the mail. (eQSL.cc)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Roy Neal. K6DUE, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

1983. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 11:28:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aorfkg$u9p$0@208.206.142.102>
Source record: 53800

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20021018095030.09497.00001824@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >The numbers are derived directly from the FCC database. Note that the totals
> >are the number of current, unexpired licenses held by individuals (clubs and
> >other group licenses are excluded, as are expired-but-in-the-grace-period
> >licenses) Techs are kept separate from Tech Pluses, but the numbers are
> >dropping dramatically due to upgrades, expirations and the FCC reclassing
> >Tech
> >Pluses as Techs on renewal.
> >
> >The total peaked around February of 2001 and has hovered around 683,000 since
> >then.
>
> Jim:
>
> Soooo -- a year after Restructuring, growth of the ARS has virtually
> stopped in it's tracks? How could this be? We've reduced the maximum
> code test requirement to abject insignificance, which, as we were promised
> by the NCTA, was supposed to flesh out our ranks with an influx of
> eager, intelligent, young, socially diverse, computer literate, technically
> involved, and public-spirited new radio amateurs. So where ARE they,
> anyway? The membership of the club I belong to has dropped 40 percent
> since Restructuring Day!
>
> Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
> that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
> hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL
> to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.
>

Har, har har!!! Tbl is, it really isn't funny.

1984. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 11:29:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aorfmr$u9p$1@208.206.142.102>
Source record: 53801

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <b7d1465c.0210180204.176160d6@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net
> (Bert Craig) writes:
>
> >BTW, has anybody ever checked out the Magnum P.I. episodes that show
> >Higgins' ham rig? It looks pretty authentic. On the show Higgins' call
> >is N6DBZ, which is not an "Aloha" state call and is actually a valid
> >call for a chap in CA.
>
> Bert:
>
> The call could belong to a technicial type associated with the production
> of the series, who lent equipment and his call sign to the show in order
> to increase authenticity to Higgins' ham radio station. However, the fact
> that they didn't bother to use a call with the KH6 or WH6 prefix somewhat
> baffles me.

Way back there, Hawaii was a K6 prefix. Maybe the book they looked in
was old.

1985. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 11:34:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aorfvuvph0@208.206.142.102>
Source record: 53802

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
> > that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
> > hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL
> > to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Now don't get me started on the Mary Hobart's latest brain child. Mary,
> by the way, is now a ham. It seems the League began feeling the heat in
> the aftermath of Diamond Mary's first epistles to the troops in which
> she outlined our responsiblity as radio amateurs and League members.
> The powers that be must have run her through some licensing classes in a
> hurry. Now every letter features her spiffy new vanity call.
>
> Did you know that (according to Mary) League membership is no longer
> simply a subscription to QST? I labored for nearly four decades under
> the illusion that ARRL membership was always more than a subscription to
> QST. Let's see--where did I put my check book?
>

Cherkle, cherkle..... I haven't been able to find mine, either.

It's all about a big showboat.

1986. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 12:46:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021019084609.08375.00002297@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53803

>Subject: Re: Homebrew new directions?
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 10/18/02 4:50 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0210181350.19568af0@posting.google.com>

>"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
>news:<s7Mr9.309$U97.33658@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...

>> Another curmudgeon sitting on the sidelines hooting and blowing his
>> noisemaker, rather than getting in the game and playing a couple of downs
>> for the fun of it.
>
>From another another curmudgeon who isn't gonna write any more DSP
>code than I am.

Smirksmirk gigglegiggle.

>Actually Hans I'm too busy tuning around the bands for
>the first ham spread rectum signals, the previous "technological leap"
>which was gonna "take ham radio into the new miilenium".

I remember all the doom-n-gloom in the 70's about how it would only be a
"couple years" until SS and/or compandored sideband was going to be the tune we
all rocked to.

Also, the December 77 QST featured the first of several articles on NBVM,
or narrow band voice modulation, also heralded as the new beat-all mode we'd
all be using in no time flat. It even earned itself a chapter of it's own in
the '79 Handbook. Anyone remember "coherent CW", also a "mode of the
future"...?

Funny how all those inevitable "advances" wound up being fads on the tips
of the tongues of the techno-nerds of the era, huh?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1987. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 12:49:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021019084943.08375.00002299@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53804

>Subject: Re: Homebrew new directions?
>From: "75meterradiofun" hreehawwws@yahoo.com
>Date: 10/18/02 11:03 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3db0d9e7@snipnews.snip.net>

>(...and they wonder why this "hobby" is sinking fast?)

I was wondering how anyone could post such drivvel, until I looked back
and saw this was yet another anonymous post, most likely from a CB-radioland
eunuch.

Nuff said.

Steve, K4YZ

1988. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 12:56:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021019085653.08375.00002301@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53805

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/18/02 10:16 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021018231606.19576.00003472@mb-fo.aol.com>

>We've reduced the maximum
>code test requirement to abject insignificance, which, as we were promised
>by the NCTA, was supposed to flesh out our ranks with an influx of
>eager, intelligent, young, socially diverse, computer literate, technically
>involved, and public-spirited new radio amateurs. So where ARE they,
>anyway? The membership of the club I belong to has dropped 40 percent
>since Restructuring Day!

I know your question was rhetorical, Larry, since anyone with more than an
8th grade education and some practical experience in Amateur Radio matters
KNOWS where they are...Still sitting in front of the CRT or idiot box, wasting
away in mediocrity or apathy.

It was the same "promise" made over the introduction of the No Code
license in 91. There was a brief surge and then....kaput.

>Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
>that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
>hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL
>to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.

Where's my check book...?!?! Sign me up!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1989. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 14:09:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021019100901.05850.00001264@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 53806

In article <20021018231606.19576.00003472@mb-fo.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20021018095030.09497.00001824@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:
>
>>The numbers are derived directly from the FCC database. Note that the >totals
>>are the number of current, unexpired licenses held by individuals (clubs and >>other group licenses are excluded, as are expired-but-in-the-grace-period >>licenses) Techs are kept separate from Tech Pluses, but the numbers are >>dropping dramatically due to upgrades, expirations and the FCC reclassing >>Tech
>>Pluses as Techs on renewal.
>>
>>The total peaked around February of 2001 and has hovered around 683,000 >since
>>then.
>
>Jim:
>
>Soooo -- a year after Restructuring, growth of the ARS has virtually >stopped in it's tracks?

Yup. The numbers don't lie. Total increase about 8500, or less than 1.5%.

>How could this be?

The first of the post-Feb-14-1991 Tech licenses began to expire in 2001.

>We've reduced the maximum
>code test requirement to abject insignificance, which, as we were promised >by the NCTA, was supposed to flesh out our ranks with an influx of >eager, intelligent, young, socially diverse, computer literate, technically >involved, and public-spirited new radio amateurs.

SOME nocodetest folks predicted that - not all. But it was selling point that came up time and again in discussions.

Note that the written tests were also reduced in number and total questions.

>So where ARE they,
>anyway?

Using legacy modes like FM and SSB.

>The membership of the club I belong to has dropped 40 percent
>since Restructuring Day!

That's bad.
>
>Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
>that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
>hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL
>to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.

And if that doesn't happen?

btw, I know where my checkbook is. Mary doesn't get a penny.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1990. PSE QSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 14:35:10 UTC
From: K0CKB <k0ckb@arrrrrl.net>
Message-ID: <y4es9.2628$U97.284532@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53807

SOUTHERN NEWS - TAMIL NADU
October 19, 2002

Hams live in fear of crossing Lankan militant frequency

CHENNAI: Chakravathy, a retired teacher from Payathambadi, a village about 15 kms away from Villupuram had lost his vision years ago. Now, he is only hoping that he would not be shot dead by the Lankan militants.

A day after Chak _ as he is popularly known among the hams _ spoke to his fellow hams in Sri Lanka on 40 m band about universal brotherhood in 1985, three people, two of whose names he vaguely remembers as Ganesh and Ekambaram, gate-crashed into his house and threatened to kill him. (Ham is a hobby where people speak others with the same hobby through a special radio equipment on the amateur radio frequency)

``It was about 8 pm. They placed an AK 47 on my temple and said they had orders to kill me. I had to plead with them saying I am blind and there was no malice intended in my conversation. This was not easy. Later they seemed to understand. They had ordered me to serve dinner without salt. But when they left they said would return to kill me if they have any further orders from their supremo,'' says Chakravarthy.

That was a typical reaction of the Lankan militants towards any ham, who wittingly or unwittingly tuned into the amateur frequencies used by them. The movement of the Lankan militants, Chennai hams say, has been reined in since then but neither he nor any his fellow hams would dare go anywhere near such frequencies.

``I never spoke about this to anyone expect a few of my family members and fellow hams. I even refused to lodge a police complaint. Even now I hear them misuse the frequencies. But these days if I ever find them on radio I turn simply turn it off,'' says Chakravarthy.

Barring Chakravarthy, none of the 800-odd hams in Chennai are even willing to come on record about the abuse of the wireless radio by the militants. Though most say they too have a dreadful story to narrate.

``Most of us come across them. But why should we complain about them when I am sure the cost for it would be our life? There are times when we are threatened even if we accidentally enter their frequency. Sometimes even they listen to us,'' says popular ham, requesting anonymity. For instance, Sundar (name changed) of Velachery, who spoke of his equipment had to face a few men with arms the next day. ``He was only speaking about the equipment. Someone in the militant group also had the same equipment and wanted a manual. So they came to his house and threatened to kill him if he does not hand over the manual,'' says a another ham.

But this does not mean that the government does not monitor what happens on these radio frequencies. The Wireless Planning and Co-ordination Committee, formed under the Union ministry of Communication has an office in the Chennai, where such conversations are monitored once every week. The station also conducts examinations and issues licences, which are mandatory for a ham to use the amateur frequency.

``When we tune in to the amateur frequency we do hear some extremists group. But we record them and report to the department of home affairs, both at the centre and state,'' says an officer-in-charge at the monitoring station.

But why does the station not monitor it everyday: ``Because that is not our only job. Our main job is to see to provide hindrance-free frequency for the wireless users including police and paramiltary forces. Amateur radio is only a small slice of the frequency. And we expect the city intelligence to monitor this,'' says a senior official at the monitoring station.

While a senior officer in the City intelligence dismissed the issue saying they have never intercepted extremists and it was monitored by the Intelligence Bureau, the IB officers have put the ball back in court of the monitoring station.

``How can we monitor them when they have the state-of-the-art equipment?'' asks a senior official at the Intelligence Bureau. Meanwhle, the hams in the city seem restless. ``I played an important role in decoding messages after the death of Rajiv Gandhi. I have a acknowledgment from the CBI for the same. Though they know we can be very useful in tapping crucial information is sad to note that we are completely ignored. Forget anything else, we don't even have friendly networks,'' says a Chennai-based ham.

1991. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 14:57:02 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <2pes9.9978$Pk1.9232@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

This is channel is about radios.
That doesn't mean everyone talking about and playing with their knobs
and tweaking their dials, it means anything from antennas to radio broadcast content.
If you think there is a whole newsgroup dedicated to your knob, then you wish.

<Pappy@USA.net> wrote in message news:3DB08BB6.66689424@USA.net...
> Take that personnel crap elsewhere, this is about RADIOS.
>
> "lil' lo' me." wrote:
>
> > Good Ol' James Keller, starting shit just like yer old man Joe eh?
> >
> > P.S. did you ever get your 75 meter antenna up? and do you really get
> > your rocks off calling my cellphone while blocking caller ID?
> >
> > you know who it is...
>

1992. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 16:09:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021019120916.11643.00002300@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 53809

In article <KuCcnX6UEd_ofS2gXTWc2Q@comcast.com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> writes:

>> ENIAC wasn't all that big. Took up part of the basement of a
>fair-sized
>> building, that's all. Would fit in the basement of a large home. And
>the reason
>> it was so big is that they used big parts that weren't on high
>wartime
>> priority.
>
>If I remember right it also consumed about 150 KW of power too.

About half of which went to run the air-conditioning. 18,000 tubes, about 5 W
total per tube, you do the math.

But it did the job it was built for, and was the direct ancestor of all modern
digital electronic computers. The Army used it for at least 10 years. Now much
of it is back where it was built.
>
>> Couple years ago a bunch of undergrads at the school where ENIAC was
>>built
>> implemented the whole thing on a chip.
>
>Not hard to do nowdays with the giant ASIC's and FPGA's out.

Another group got part of the original ENIAC working again, too.
>
>> >> I think as time passes the bountray between the
>> >> analog circuits, and digital, will more closer to the antenna. I
>> >> understand your point. We may always be stuck with some analog
>> >> circuits in the new SDR rigs to come.
>>
>> Your bias is showing in the use of phrases like "stuck with".
>
>What comes to mind are the necessary Nyquist filters ahead of the ADC
>chip(s).

Can't get away from 'em.
>
>> >No argument with any of that and I won't be one bit surprised. But
>the
>> >closer ya get to the antenna the bigger the mountain ya gotta
>climb.
>> >
>> Yup.

>>
>> Fact is, neither analog nor digital is the entire solution. Right
>>tool for the
>> right ob, that's all. You trade one set of problems for another.
>>Example:
>> digital synthesizer stability vs. analog oscillator's lack of phase
>>noise.
>
>On the flip side look what you get.

Of course.

>Whe better modulation techniques
>are developed you don't have to junk your present rig, you just
>reprogram it.

That's true only if the rig hardware is capable of the new modulation technique. Which may or may not be true. If a new modulation technique requires performance that your existing SDR cannot deliver, (high dynamic range, low phase noise, gain constancy, processing power, to name just a few) you're out of luck.

Look at how many big bucks "dream machine" PCs have been bought in the past 20 years with the idea that they would not be outgrown.

>> >> > Hell, I'll even settle for a 455Khz DSP filter which can even
>> >> approach
>> >> > the shape factor of an ancient 500Hz Collins mech filter.
>> >>
>> >> Get a good DSP prototyping board. I'm sure it can be done. I've
>used
>> >> FIR filters to clean up data down loaded from digital storage
>scopes.
>>
>> I'm sure it can be done, too - but who is doing it?
>
>Ever hear about -
>
>"GNU Radio - The GNU Software Defined Radio"
>"Copyright ï¿½ 2001 Free Software Foundation, Inc."
>
>http://www.gnu.org/software/gnuradio/gnuradio.html
>
>For other DSP and SDR links look here:
>
>http://www.engr.usask.ca/classes/EE/880/ (general DSP info online.
>good reference)
>http://www.ettus.com/sdr/
>http://savannah.gnu.org/projects/gnuradio
>http://mail.gnu.org/pipermail/discuss-gnuradio/2002-May/000311.html
>http://www.mbay.net/~blossom/talks/gnuradio-27-feb-2002-cptwg.pdf
>http://www.ece.uci.edu/~bgorjiar/projects/impacct/research/gnuRadio.ht

>m
>http://www.h2k2.net/display_grid.khtml?event=25

Yup. Nice stuff. How many of them are on the air?
>
>> Classic bell-the-cat problem.
>
>Not from what I can see from the above links!!

How many of them are on the air?
>
>> Plus you have the problem of cost (in time and money) of the proto
>> board vs. just buying the mech filter.
>
>It doesn't seem to be holding the GNU Radio guys up too much.

If they're having fun, more power to 'em.

>> >NCI . . reminds me . . yer Maximum Leader Squiggy was the senior
>> >(loudest)proponent of spread rectum technology in ham radio back in
>> >the code test war days. Funny thing seems to have happened since
>then
>> >. . seems like he got himself one of those weenie Extra Lite
>tickets
>> >to ride on HF and reportedly has been chasing dxcc countries ever
>> >since.
>
>So whats wrong with that?

Nothing "wrong" with it at all. But when the person doing it has lectured the
rest of us for years about "legacy modes", "electronic paintball wars",
"dinosaur dung encrusted regulations" and "better modes and modulations" being
"the future of amateur radio", it sure is surreal to see that very person
sporting a 2x1 vanity call and bragging about busting pileups on 20 SSB with a
100W manufactured transceiver.

Sounds a bit like "do as I say, not as I do".

>
>73's de,
>
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>ARRL member
>NCI member
>
>"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
>Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
>communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
>Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
>Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex

>transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
>functions."

Sounds like fun!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1993. Re: PSE QSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 17:23:28 UTC
From: cottonballs <moesayscotton@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3db19506@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

What freqs do these militants operate on..?
Are they linked in any way to Al Queda or Bin Laden..?
There's already enough foreigners who are non-hams
taking up residence on 40 and 80 Meter SSB late
at night. Much of it coded/strange transmissions
late at night on the lower end of 40 SSB.

"K0CKB" <k0ckb@arrrrrl.net> wrote in message
news:y4es9.2628$U97.284532@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> SOUTHERN NEWS - TAMIL NADU
> October 19, 2002
>
> Hams live in fear of crossing Lankan militant frequency
>
> CHENNAI: Chakravathy, a retired teacher from Payathambadi, a village about
> 15 kms away from Villupuram had lost his vision years ago. Now, he is only
> hoping that he would not be shot dead by the Lankan militants.
>
> A day after Chak _ as he is popularly known among the hams _ spoke to his
> fellow hams in Sri Lanka on 40 m band about universal brotherhood in 1985,
> three people, two of whose names he vaguely remembers as Ganesh and
> Ekambaram, gate-crashed into his house and threatened to kill him. (Ham is
a
> hobby where people speak others with the same hobby through a special
radio
> equipment on the amateur radio frequency)
>
> ``It was about 8 pm. They placed an AK 47 on my temple and said they had
> orders to kill me. I had to plead with them saying I am blind and there
was
> no malice intended in my conversation. This was not easy. Later they
seemed
> to understand. They had ordered me to serve dinner without salt. But when
> they left they said would return to kill me if they have any further
orders
> from their supremo,'' says Chakravarthy.
>
> That was a typical reaction of the Lankan militants towards any ham, who
> wittingly or unwittingly tuned into the amateur frequencies used by them.
> The movement of the Lankan militants, Chennai hams say, has been reined in
> since then but neither he nor any his fellow hams would dare go anywhere
> near such frequencies.
>

> ``I never spoke about this to anyone expect a few of my family members and
> fellow hams. I even refused to lodge a police complaint. Even now I hear
> them misuse the frequencies. But these days if I ever find them on radio I
> turn simply turn it off,'' says Chakravarthy.
>
> Barring Chakravarthy, none of the 800-odd hams in Chennai are even willing
> to come on record about the abuse of the wireless radio by the militants.
> Though most say they too have a dreadful story to narrate.
>
> ``Most of us come across them. But why should we complain about them when
I
> am sure the cost for it would be our life? There are times when we are
> threatened even if we accidentally enter their frequency. Sometimes even
> they listen to us,'' says popular ham, requesting anonymity. For instance,
> Sundar (name changed) of Velachery, who spoke of his equipment had to face
a
> few men with arms the next day. ``He was only speaking about the
equipment.
> Someone in the militant group also had the same equipment and wanted a
> manual. So they came to his house and threatened to kill him if he does
not
> hand over the manual,'' says a another ham.
>
> But this does not mean that the government does not monitor what happens
on
> these radio frequencies. The Wireless Planning and Co-ordination
Committee,
> formed under the Union ministry of Communication has an office in the
> Chennai, where such conversations are monitored once every week. The
station
> also conducts examinations and issues licences, which are mandatory for a
> ham to use the amateur frequency.
>
> ``When we tune in to the amateur frequency we do hear some extremists
group.
> But we record them and report to the department of home affairs, both at
the
> centre and state,'' says an officer-in-charge at the monitoring station.
>
> But why does the station not monitor it everyday: ``Because that is not
our
> only job. Our main job is to see to provide hindrance-free frequency for
the
> wireless users including police and paramiltary forces. Amateur radio is
> only a small slice of the frequency. And we expect the city intelligence
to
> monitor this,'' says a senior official at the monitoring station.
>
> While a senior officer in the City intelligence dismissed the issue saying
> they have never intercepted extremists and it was monitored by the

> Intelligence Bureau, the IB officers have put the ball back in court of
the
> monitoring station.
>
> ``How can we monitor them when they have the state-of-the-art equipment?''
> asks a senior official at the Intelligence Bureau. Meanwhle, the hams in
the
> city seem restless. ``I played an important role in decoding messages
after
> the death of Rajiv Gandhi. I have a acknowledgment from the CBI for the
> same. Though they know we can be very useful in tapping crucial
information
> is sad to note that we are completely ignored. Forget anything else, we
> don't even have friendly networks,'' says a Chennai-based ham.

1994. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 17:35:35 UTC
From: cottonballs <moesayscotton@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3db197df@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53811

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DB0D573.51BF199B@earthlink.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
> > that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
> > hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL
> > to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Now don't get me started on the Mary Hobart's latest brain child. Mary,
> by the way, is now a ham. It seems the League began feeling the heat in
> the aftermath of Diamond Mary's first epistles to the troops in which
> she outlined our responsiblity as radio amateurs and League members.
> The powers that be must have run her through some licensing classes in a
> hurry. Now every letter features her spiffy new vanity call.
>
> Did you know that (according to Mary) League membership is no longer
> simply a subscription to QST? I labored for nearly four decades under
> the illusion that ARRL membership was always more than a subscription to
> QST. Let's see--where did I put my check book?
>
> Dave K8MN

MANY people have "forgotten" where their
checkbook is kept when it concerns
the ARRL Dave. And why shouldn't they
really - grin! After all, when you get right down
to brass-tacks the ARRL is nothing more than
a New England quasi-blueblood society club
and publishing company that masquerades as a
"scientific and technical" Organization just so
they can keep that nice 501(c)(3) status and
their historic "gravy train" rolling on.

see: http://www.irs.gov/charities/article/0,,id=96099,00.html

Of course things and times have changed. Ham radio is
now obsolete and nobody young gives a hoot about
it anymore since you can get on the internet and talk just about
anyplace on the planet via a modem or a DSL.

(the magic and uniqueness is gone boys - besides, who wants
to invest in all that radio junk and antennas just so you can

talk to a bunch of cheap crotchety old white men? Take a listen
any night on 3.860 after 10PM to see/hear what I mean.
Does anyone think that any 16-18yo would want to get
on ham radio for 75 meters? Cut me a major break folks.)

1995. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 18:09:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021019140927.12983.00000029@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53812

In article <20021019084609.08375.00002297@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> I remember all the doom-n-gloom in the 70's about how it would only be a
>"couple years" until SS and/or compandored sideband was going to be the tune
>we all rocked to.

First amateur use of SS was in 1981.
>
> Also, the December 77 QST featured the first of several articles on
>NBVM,
>or narrow band voice modulation, also heralded as the new beat-all mode we'd
>all be using in no time flat. It even earned itself a chapter of it's own in
>the '79 Handbook.

Yup - I have that Handbook. NBVM was actually a pretty good idea, but it simply didn't offer enough payback for the complexity.

>Anyone remember "coherent CW", also a "mode of the
>future"...?

Still out there, in a niche.

> Funny how all those inevitable "advances" wound up being fads on the
>tips
>of the tongues of the techno-nerds of the era, huh?

I remember when the first VHF FM repeaters showed up in these parts. Lotta hams said there was no way channelized FM on 2 would replace AM on the wide open spaces of 6 meters (no Channel 2 in Philly, 6 was more popular than 2 around here). Now VHF AM by hams is even rarer than HF AM. Go figure.

The real test is whether the pundits actually put the new modes on the air. The repeater folks and APRS folks and packet folks did just that.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1996. Dave Heil fails again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 18:16:31 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <64d69219664e82a99cc698fdc89c76d9@xganon.com>
Source record: 53813

You got told off good, didn't you, bass odor Heil?

MUA HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

2

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

1997. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 19:24:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210191124.37fe8c2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53814

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<aorfmr$u9p$1@208.206.142.102>...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > In article <b7d1465c.0210180204.176160d6@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net
> > (Bert Craig) writes:
> >
> > >BTW, has anybody ever checked out the Magnum P.I. episodes that show
> > >Higgins' ham rig? It looks pretty authentic. On the show Higgins' call
> > >is N6DBZ, which is not an "Aloha" state call and is actually a valid
> > >call for a chap in CA.
> >
> > Bert:
> >
> > The call could belong to a technicial type associated with the production
> > of the series, who lent equipment and his call sign to the show in order
> > to increase authenticity to Higgins' ham radio station. However, the fact
> > that they didn't bother to use a call with the KH6 or WH6 prefix somewhat
> > baffles me.
>
>
> Way back there, Hawaii was a K6 prefix. Maybe the book they looked in
> was old.

K6 could be authentic for Japan as well. My ARS on Guam was authentic
with a 10th district call sign. And who wouldn't want "decibels" as a
suffix? Sure beats "intermod distortion."

1998. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 19:29:26 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210191129.624aa1e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53815

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021019100901.05850.00001264@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> In article <20021018231606.19576.00003472@mb-fo.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
> >In article <20021018095030.09497.00001824@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >writes:

> >Jim:
> >
> >Soooo -- a year after Restructuring, growth of the ARS has virtually
> >stopped in it's tracks?
>
> Yup. The numbers don't lie. Total increase about 8500, or less than 1.5%.
>
> >How could this be?
>
> The first of the post-Feb-14-1991 Tech licenses began to expire in 2001.

Its not too late for Larry to run a few more of 'em off.

1999. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 19:35:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <quis9.3095$U97.330068@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53816

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Funny how all those inevitable "advances" wound up being fads on the tips
> of the tongues of the techno-nerds of the era, huh?
>

Some ideas gain traction and some others do not. Without the so-called
"techno-nerds of the era", we'd still be smelling ozone from our spark
transmitters and trading hints on good sources of galena.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

2000. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 19:38:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210191138.425358ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53817

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021018231606.19576.00003472@mb-fo.aol.com>...

> Jim:
>
> Soooo -- a year after Restructuring, growth of the ARS has virtually
> stopped in it's tracks? How could this be? We've reduced the maximum
> code test requirement to abject insignificance, which, as we were promised
> by the NCTA, was supposed to flesh out our ranks with an influx of
> eager, intelligent, young, socially diverse, computer literate, technically
> involved, and public-spirited new radio amateurs. So where ARE they,
> anyway? The membership of the club I belong to has dropped 40 percent
> since Restructuring Day!
>
> Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
> that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
> hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL
> to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, no it won't. Even after the code exam is a dim memory in the
ARS, I'll always resent the way you've treated new amateurs on rrap.
There was, and is, no excuse for it. Just to refresh your memory,
here are some of your best:

"Knuckle dragging 4-landers with Southern accents"
"...like Welfare Mothers of Color with their Hands out"
"My favorite Black on the Bus..."
"...don't let the door hit you in the ass on your way out of the ARS"

Brian

2001. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 19:46:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210191146.7be7dcc1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.44.0210171935250.4046-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>...

> In Southern California, many repeater pairs are already shared (2m, 125cm, 70cm
> - 125cm has roughly 3 repeaters/pair). Only in the bands where there are less
> repeaters than available pairs is there no sharing (6m, 33cm, 23cm, and above)
> because it's not necessary.
>
> If a coordinated repeater is unused but coordinated as OPEN, then why should the
> "competing group" put up their own? Why not use the one that's there? (That
> will take care of roughly half of all cases in most bands).

Can I come over and use your radio station?

Some folks in the hobby actually like the challenge of getting their
own stations on the air. Ditto people who would like the challenge of
putting a repeater on the air. Why should they be denied the
opportunity to grow and learn in the hobby? Just because someone else
was born first or got licensed first is a reason to deny to newcomers
the opportunity and satisfaction of building their own repeater? I
guess so. No newcomers need apply.

Brian

2002. Re: I DID IT!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 20:45:06 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <aosgf8$prkgc$1@ID-166180.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53819

"Larry Roll K3LT" replied to ...

"KB9TMP"
[Just came back from the ham testing and I did it! I passed the Morse Code test, now I can beep!]

"I never had any doubt that you could. Now, get on the air and make some CW contacts! Congratulations and good luck."

I agree completely ...

Arnie -
KT4ST

2003. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 22:09:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021019180901.03046.00001424@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53820

In article <B9D66143.BED8%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
<stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:

>I think he was referring to their
>ability to follow a rigid rule structure like that found in the FCC rules
>concerning ham radio (rigid compared to some other radio services).

I don't think our rules are "rigid" at all, Dwight. Think about how much
freedom we hams have, compared to other services:

- Wide variety of modes and bands available
- Channelization, when used, is decided solely by hams
- Homebrew equipment usable without type acceptance or certification
- No license fees except vanity calls (VE fees are for the test, not the
license)
- No age limits
- No requirement to use the license at all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2004. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 22:26:35 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <wsqcnWsHCsGBQSygXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 53821

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021019120916.11643.00002300@mb-mb.aol.com...
> In article <KuCcnX6UEd_ofS2gXTWc2Q@comcast.com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> writes:
>
> >> ENIAC wasn't all that big. Took up part of the basement of a
> >fair-sized
> >> building, that's all. Would fit in the basement of a large home.
And
> >the reason
> >> it was so big is that they used big parts that weren't on high
> >wartime
> >> priority.
> >
> >If I remember right it also consumed about 150 KW of power too.
>
> About half of which went to run the air-conditioning. 18,000 tubes,
about 5 W
> total per tube, you do the math.
>
> But it did the job it was built for, and was the direct ancestor of
all modern
> digital electronic computers. The Army used it for at least 10
years. Now much
> of it is back where it was built.
> >
> >> Couple years ago a bunch of undergrads at the school where ENIAC
was
> >>built
> >> implemented the whole thing on a chip.
> >
> >Not hard to do nowdays with the giant ASIC's and FPGA's out.
>
> Another group got part of the original ENIAC working again, too.
> >
> >> >> I think as time passes the bountray between the
> >> >> analog circuits, and digital, will more closer to the antenna.
I
> >> >> understand your point. We may always be stuck with some analog
> >> >> circuits in the new SDR rigs to come.
> >>
> >> Your bias is showing in the use of phrases like "stuck with".
> >

> >What comes to mind are the necessary Nyquist filters ahead of the
ADC
> >chip(s).
>
> Can't get away from 'em.
> >
> >> >No argument with any of that and I won't be one bit surprised.
But
> >the
> >> >closer ya get to the antenna the bigger the mountain ya gotta
> >climb.
> >> >
> >> Yup.
> >>
> >> Fact is, neither analog nor digital is the entire solution. Right
> >>tool for the
> >> right ob, that's all. You trade one set of problems for another.
> >>Example:
> >> digital synthesizer stability vs. analog oscillator's lack of
phase
> >>noise.
> >>
> >>On the flip side look what you get.
>
> Of course.
>
> >Whe better modulation techniques
> >are developed you don't have to junk your present rig, you just
> >reprogram it.
>
> That's true only if the rig hardware is capable of the new
modulation
> technique. Which may or may not be true. If a new modulation
technique requires
> performance that your existing SDR cannot deliver, (high dynamic
range, low
> phase noise, gain constancy, processing power, to name just a few)
you're out
> of luck.
>
> Look at how many big bucks "dream machine" PCs have been bought in
the past 20
> years with the idea that they would not be outgrown.
>
> >> >> > Hell, I'll even settle for a 455Khz DSP filter which can
even
> >> >> approach
> >> >> > the shape factor of an ancient 500Hz Collins mech filter.
> >> >>

> >> >> Get a good DSP prototyping board. I'm sure it can be done.
I've
> >used
> >> >> FIR filters to clean up data down loaded from digital storage
> >scopes.
> >>
> >> I'm sure it can be done, too - but who is doing it?
> >
> >Ever hear about -
> >
> >"GNU Radio - The GNU Software Defined Radio"
> >"Copyright © 2001 Free Software Foundation, Inc."
> >
> >http://www.gnu.org/software/gnuradio/gnuradio.html
> >
> >For other DSP and SDR links look here:
> >
> >http://www.engr.usask.ca/classes/EE/880/ (general DSP info online.
> >good reference)
> >http://www.ettus.com/sdr/
> >http://savannah.gnu.org/projects/gnuradio
> >http://mail.gnu.org/pipermail/discuss-gnuradio/2002-May/000311.html
> >http://www.mbay.net/~blossom/talks/gnuradio-27-feb-2002-cptwg.pdf
>
>http://www.ece.uci.edu/~bgorjiar/projects/impacct/research/gnuRadio.h
t
> >m
> >http://www.h2k2.net/display_grid.khtml?event=25
>
> Yup. Nice stuff. How many of them are on the air?

Why don't you contact them and find out? The question was asked who is doing the work, well that got answered by the links. As far as who is using it I can guess from several of the posts at least who isn't. It a real shame that the computer guys are pushing the communication's envelope while I'm getting snide remarks still about ancient CW from several people in reply to my comments. Talk about being mired in the dark ages.

--

--

--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

2005. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 22:51:00 UTC
From: Paul N3EOP <n3eop@arrl.or.callsign.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92ACA13C87AA3n3eophotmailcom@64.164.98.7>
Source record: 53822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"D. Stussy" asked:

> If a coordinated repeater is unused but coordinated as OPEN, then why
> should the "competing group" put up their own? Why not use the one
> that's there?

One word:

Ego.

In the section of 3-land where I grew up, there were no 2m pairs available. Most pairs had machines on them, tho there were several pairs that had been coordinated a few years earlier, and the "owners" were *still* waiting on equipment.

One (in)famous pair sat coordinated but unused for years, ever since the repeater owner "temporarily" took the machine off the air for repairs. Eventually, after being vacant for several years, someone else put up an uncoordinated machine on that pair. The "owner" of that pair (note the quotes) didn't notice for several months, then apparently someone in his group discovered the intruding machine on the air.

Apparently (third hand info here; happened since I moved), complaints were filed with the coordinating body, and with the FCC. Nothing happened. Then the jammers started. Finally, the "owner" of the pair put up a repeater at his house (about 5 watts out), and then claimed interference. The pair has been silent since.

There are plenty of "ego boxes" on the air. I once plugged a VOX-operated tape recorder into a scanner, and scanned several pairs (where there were local machines) for about two weeks. I caught two phone patches (same machine) and, except for automatic IDs, that was *all*.

But don't try to use them, though. There was one repeater that was listed in the directory as open, and was the only repeater I could hit in the "dungeon" (computer center at the local college; in the basement) where I worked, on low power. I arranged to have a friend meet me on that repeater one evening, so we could figure out where to go for late dinner after work. We were on for about two minutes when the repeater was simply shut off. This wasn't a unique occurance.

2006. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-19 23:35:39 UTC
From: Paul N3EOP <n3eop@arrl.or.callsign.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92ACA8CE17FC5n3eophotmailcom@64.164.98.7>
Source record: 53823

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

> IF Kenwood thinks there's a market. Which may be the problem - if
> Yaecomwood actually produced an SDR, they might be cutting their own
> throats on future sales.

And if they don't, they'll be handing that market to the likes of
Ten-Tec, who is already working on it.

2007. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 00:09:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021019200903.03046.00001425@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 53824

In article <3DAE353E.7E4ABFC5@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>>
>> I did not see any mention of a ham radio technical consultant in the
>credits.
>> Perhaps we need to be proactive - say, have ARRL approach the various
>> production companies, offering techncial advice and equipment. How many
>HW-101s
>> do you think we could come up with on short notice for movie props?
>>
>> One of the biggest problems ham radio has today is lack of publicity.
>Movies
>> and TV shows can be great publicity - if they are done right. Definitely a
>> policy issue.
>>
>> N2EY
>
>I brought up the fact that the Heathkit was a receiver, not a
>transceiver. Because I am a ham I noticed it right away, but do
>you think the rest of the audience knew or cared? They saw
>something that looked like a two way radio and the movie told them
>it was a ham set and that was satisfactory. The producers are not
>going to spend time and money on some technical detail that only 1
>in a 100 or so of the audience will have the foggiest idea of what
>it is.

Doesn't cost any more to be accurate. I'm sure plenty of hams would donate time
and rigs to a movie that showed ham radio accurately.

>I will say that the movie 'Oh Brother Where Art Thou' did a good
>job of attempted accuracy, especially of the radio station and
>equipment where the 'Soggy Bottom Boys' made their recording.
>Things there were very authentic for a radio station of the
>period.

Agreed. Wasn't that a GREAT movie? Truly original retelling of Homer's Odyssey.
(btw, "Ulysses" means "man of constant sorrow")

73 de Jim, N2EY

>

2008. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 01:42:57 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210200140510.784-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 19 Oct 2002, Paul N3EOP wrote:
>"D. Stussy" asked:
>
>> If a coordinated repeater is unused but coordinated as OPEN, then why
>> should the "competing group" put up their own? Why not use the one
>> that's there?
>
>One word:
>
>Ego.
>
>In the section of 3-land where I grew up, there were no 2m pairs
>available. Most pairs had machines on them, tho there were several
>pairs that had been coordinated a few years earlier, and the "owners"
>were *still* waiting on equipment.
>
>One (in)famous pair sat coordinated but unused for years, ever since the
>repeater owner "temporarily" took the machine off the air for repairs.
>Eventually, after being vacant for several years, someone else put up an
>uncoordinated machine on that pair. The "owner" of that pair (note the
>quotes) didn't notice for several months, then apparently someone in his
>group discovered the intruding machine on the air.
>
>Apparently (third hand info here; happened since I moved), complaints
>were filed with the coordinating body, and with the FCC. Nothing
>happened. Then the jammers started. Finally, the "owner" of the pair
>put up a repeater at his house (about 5 watts out), and then claimed
>interference. The pair has been silent since.
>
>There are plenty of "ego boxes" on the air. I once plugged a
>VOX-operated tape recorder into a scanner, and scanned several pairs
>(where there were local machines) for about two weeks. I caught two
>phone patches (same machine) and, except for automatic IDs, that was
>*all*.
>
>But don't try to use them, though. There was one repeater that was
>listed in the directory as open, and was the only repeater I could hit
>in the "dungeon" (computer center at the local college; in the basement)
>where I worked, on low power. I arranged to have a friend meet me on
>that repeater one evening, so we could figure out where to go for late
>dinner after work. We were on for about two minutes when the repeater
>was simply shut off. This wasn't a unique occurance.

One fault with this argument: "Ego boxes" usually aren't coordinated as open.
They usually appear as closed/private (some areas make a distinction between
these as separate statuses - that's not needed here).

2009. KV4FZ to return to Ham Radio! whoopiee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 02:58:26 UTC
From: blowupdoll <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3db21c34@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

FCC Judge Favors Schoenbohm's Return to Amateur Radio:
from The ARRL Letter, Vol 21, No 41
Website: http://www.arrl.org/ on October 19, 2002

FCC Judge Favors Schoenbohm's Return to Amateur Radio:

An FCC administrative law judge has agreed that the FCC should grant the General class Amateur Radio license application of Herb Schoenbohm--formerly KV4FZ. Schoenbohm lost his bid to renew his ham ticket in 2000 but applied for a new license the next year. Following a hearing on Schoenbohm's application last spring, an initial decision of Administrative Law Judge Arthur I. Steinberg October 11 declared that Schoenbohm appears qualified to rejoin the Amateur Radio ranks.

Steinberg wrote that the hearing concluded that Schoenbohm "has not engaged in any significant wrongdoing since his prior disqualifying misconduct," that his misconduct was not recent, that his "reputation for good character in his community is excellent," that Schoenbohm "has taken meaningful measures to prevent the future occurrence of misconduct" and that loss of his ham ticket "coupled with the shame and humiliation that resulted" make unlikely a recurrence of misconduct.

Steinberg concluded that Schoenbohm "possesses the requisite character qualifications to be a Commission licensee" and that the FCC should grant his application. Schoenbohm also has taken and passed the Extra class exam (Element 4), but that application was not part of the proceeding.

For his part, Schoenbohm said he was very grateful for the outcome and said he appreciated "all the amateurs who came to my defense and supported the application." He said he does not yet know if he will attempt to regain his KV4FZ call sign if his application is granted.

Assuming that the FCC concurs with Steinberg's initial decision, Schoenbohm has made good on his promise to one day return to Amateur Radio after losing a lengthy battle with the FCC to renew his license. Steinberg's decision followed this summer's Proposed Finding of Fact and Conclusions of Law from the FCC Enforcement Bureau, which recommended that the evidence presented at hearing supported giving Schoenbohm another chance.

In 1994, the FCC put Schoenbohm's renewal application for KV4FZ up for hearing following his 1992 felony conviction on federal fraud charges. The Commission finally turned down his renewal application in 1998, the US Appeals Court upheld the FCC's decision in 2000, and the US Supreme Court declined to hear the case later that same year.

In March 2001, a couple of months after his authority to operate as KV4FZ had expired, Schoenbohm took and passed the General class examination. A couple of weeks later, he qualified for Amateur Extra as well, but the FCC refused to act on the second application since it had not yet granted the first. The FCC designated Schoenbohm's General license application for hearing on the basis of character issues stemming from his 1992 conviction as well as his alleged lack of candor during subsequent FCC hearings on the matter.

2010. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 03:52:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021019235202.11392.00003899@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 53828

In article <3DB0D573.51BF199B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
>> that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
>> hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL
>> to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Now don't get me started on the Mary Hobart's latest brain child. Mary,
>by the way, is now a ham. It seems the League began feeling the heat in
>the aftermath of Diamond Mary's first epistles to the troops in which
>she outlined our responsiblity as radio amateurs and League members.
>The powers that be must have run her through some licensing classes in a
>hurry. Now every letter features her spiffy new vanity call.

Dave:

Have you noticed that her phonetics are K1 More Money Honey?
Or K1 Make Money Happen?

>Did you know that (according to Mary) League membership is no longer
>simply a subscription to QST?

Really? My, what a unique concept! I don't think I've heard that more
than a thousand times before, for at least the last 25 years!

>I labored for nearly four decades under
>the illusion that ARRL membership was always more than a subscription to
>QST. Let's see--where did I put my check book?

If you're looking for it, I hope it's because you need to make your
mortgage payment.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2011. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 03:52:04 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021019235204.11392.00003900@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 53830

In article <20021019100901.05850.00001264@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>>We've reduced the maximum
>>code test requirement to abject insignificance, which, as we were promised
>>by the NCTA, was supposed to flesh out our ranks with an influx of
>>eager, intelligent, young, socially diverse, computer literate, technically
>>involved, and public-spirited new radio amateurs.
>
>SOME nocodetest folks predicted that - not all. But it was selling point that
>came up time and again in discussions.

Jim:

With all due respect, I would have to define "time and again" as a daily basis -- and the backbone argument of the NCTA.

>Note that the written tests were also reduced in number and total questions.

Yes, indeed. Yet, in spite of the overall licensing requirements being so significantly dumbed-down, we have (yet) to see any significant growth. I'm getting close to the point where I don't hold any hope for the future of the ARS, from a numbers/growth standpoint, even IF the code testing requirements reach the magical level of Zero.

>>So where ARE they,
>>anyway?
>
>Using legacy modes like FM and SSB.

But…but…but…(wiping the beads of perspiration from my brow)… WHERE is the DIGITAL REVOLUTION we were promised? How come my club doesn't have a single new ham who would even know where to begin to create a "software defined" radio, using LINUX or any other operating system?

>>The membership of the club I belong to has dropped 40 percent
>>since Restructuring Day!
>
>That's bad.

Yeah, especially since a significant amount of our losses were due to Silent Keys!

>>Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
>>that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
>>hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL

>>to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.
>
>And if that doesn't happen?

Uh oh. Then all these eager, young, bright, technically-oriented and highly computer-literate prospective hams would still have to face that 5 WPM code testing requirement for HF access! Oh, the humanity!

>btw, I know where my checkbook is. Mary doesn't get a penny.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

I'm just wondering how long I'll have to wait to see someone sporting a Director's level Diamond Club pin while haggling over the price of some cable ties at a local hamfest?

I'm not against the notion of helping the ARRL out with a financial contribution above-and-beyond my annual membership dues. However, I think the organization first needs to start acting like a company in dire financial straits, which it is. Paid HQ staff needs to be reduced to next to nothing. QST magazine should have it's cover price reduced, probably as much as 50%, in order to get it into more hands outside the subscribed membership. To "pay" for this price reduction, make it less glossy, less colorful, and more meaningful to potential hams. W1AW should be operated 100% by unpaid, volunteer operators, and perhaps with a significantly reduced bulletin/code practice schedule as a result. Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1 More Money, Honey should have her pay put on a straight commission basis. She would get 1% of all donations made under the "Diamond Club" scheme. She can be allowed a "draw" on her commission, but it would have to be paid back out of actual earnings on a monthly basis. A special section in QST should be created to document the name, call, and donation level of all new "Diamond Club" members. I think you get the idea. If they do all this, I'll kick in an extra $25/year. I don't need no steenking Diamond friggin' Club pin!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2012. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 03:52:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021019235205.11392.00003901@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 53827

In article <f45722ac.0210191138.425358ef@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>
>Larry, no it won't. Even after the code exam is a dim memory in the
>ARS, I'll always resent the way you've treated new amateurs on rrap.

Brian:

Then why are you still here? Why are you still a ham? Why has my
offensive behaviour not caused you to go away? After all, that's was
the intention! It was all for YOUR benefit!

>There was, and is, no excuse for it. Just to refresh your memory,
>here are some of your best:

(Several examples snipped for my personal convenience)

>"...don't let the door hit you in the ass on your way out of the ARS"

That advice is as good today as ever, Brian! Feel free to take it!
However, if you choose not to take it, then please acknowledge that
I haven't used such comments lately (except for maybe the last one,
and always in a clearly understood wry sense of humor). I've
lightened up in my old age, in spite of the fact that there has been
little or no reduction in the factors which made me that way in the
first place. I'm evolving in my attitude; you apparently are not. I
suggest you try taking stock and stop looking for the worst in
people. Remember, when you do, you usually get it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2013. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 03:52:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021019235205.11392.00003902@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 53829

In article <B9D66143.BED8%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
<stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:

>
> You're being overly sensitive, Larry. I think he was referring to their
>ability to follow a rigid rule structure like that found in the FCC rules
>concerning ham radio (rigid compared to some other radio services).

Dwight:

Like I said, the vast majority of hams have no problem following our
rules and regulations. The minscule minority who do will eventually
face the swift justice of OM Riley.

>His
>premise is that a person with that type of background (experience with other
>rigid radio practices) would probably more easily accept our rigid rules
>than perhaps a person without that background. Such a person might also be
>less likely to dislike or reject a service with such rules. The premise is
>sound, even if poorly stated (or perhaps even overly emphasized).

No, the premise was self-serving. Ryan is obviously involved in firefighting,
and naturally sees firefighters and other emergency services workers as
potentially brilliant ham radio operators. Unfortunately, this is simply not
true. People who are professionally engaged in emergency services are
no more likely to be good, rule-abiding hams, and no less likely to be
loose-cannon LIDs, than potential hams from any other profession. I'm
no more enthusiastic about them as a group of potential hams than I would
be of librarians, mailmen, construction workers, or anyone else.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2014. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 03:53:55 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB228D3.942C4455@hotnet.net>
Source record: 53831

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Homebrew new directions?
> >From: "75meterradiofun" hreehawwws@yahoo.com
> >Date: 10/18/02 11:03 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3db0d9e7@snipnews.snip.net>
>
> >(...and they wonder why this "hobby" is sinking fast?)
>
> I was wondering how anyone could post such drivvel, until I looked back
> and saw this was yet another anonymous post, most likely from a CB-radioland
> eunuch.
>

Most likely another cber who can't make the grade to get a
license, so his only recourse is to trash ham radio.

2015. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 03:59:46 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB22A32.2C9C05B0@hotnet.net>
Source record: 53832

Dave Heil wrote:

> Did you know that (according to Mary) League membership is no longer
> simply a subscription to QST?

I should certainly hope so, the mag is a real loser.

2016. ARNewsline 1314 - Oct 18 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 07:05:47 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021020030547.26288.00003814@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 53833

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1314 – October 18, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1314 with a release date of Friday, October 18th, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

The FCC tells ham radio its not going to have to share 2300 Mhz. This story is first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1314 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

SPECTRUM ISSUES: FCC SAYS NO TO 2300 MHZ SHARING

First the bad news. The FCC has dismissed an ARRL petition that sought primary status for amateurs in the 2300 to 2305 MHz. Now the good news. The Commission has also turned down a paior of petitions from commercial interests that had hoped to share the spectrum with Amateur Radio. Amateur Radio Newsline’s David Black, KB4KCH has more:

--
It's not just ARRL that the FCC turns down. The Commission also dismissed petitions from MicroTrax and AeroAstro--both groups had sought access to 2300 to 2305 MHz. The FCC says it's denying the two commercial applications in part because appropriate spectrum is already available elsewhere. And, according to the Commission, neither company had demonstrated a need for additional spectrum.

By way of background, an ARRL bulletin says that MicroTrax had wanted to establish a Personal Location and Monitoring Service between 2300 and 2305 MHz. The petition by AeroAstro asked to share the band on a co-primary basis with Amateur Radio. AeroAstro wanted to launch its Satellite Enabled Notification System messaging service.

But the Commission says AeroAstro's request did not go far enough in protecting other serevices. The agency was particularly concerned that NASA's Deep Space Network would not be protected by the modified out-of-band limits AeroAstro had proposed.

The FCC says that -- at least for now -- amateur weak-signal communications in the 2300 to 2305 MHz band will be protected if the amateur allocation remains as secondary. The Commission says band will remain in its spectrum reserve. The status quo will be maintained, then, until the FCC re-evaluates the status of the frequencies in relation to the Amateur Service at some point in the future.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm David Black, KB4KCH.
--

The 2300 to 2305 MHz band is allocated to Fixed and Mobile services on a primary basis and to the Amateur Service on a secondary basis in all three International Telecommunication Union regions. The Radiolocation Service has a secondary allocation in the band in Region 1, and a primary allocation in Regions 2 and 3. (ARRL)

**

RESTRUCTURING: NETHERLANDS MAY SAY GOODBYE TO MORSE TESTS

Im Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, with some late breaking news. It comes from the Netherlands where the Morse code could soon be going away. RSGB News reader Jeramy Boot, G4NJG, has the details:

--
The Netherlands is set to abandon the Morse code test next year. Rob van den Ent, PE9PE, reports that the Netherlands' national amateur radio convention took place the 12th and 13th of October. He says that the Dutch radio regulatory authority used the occasion to announce that if, as expected, Morse code is lifted as a mandatory requirement for an HF licence at nextyear's World Radio Conference, the authority would immediately eliminate the Morse code test in the Netherlands. At the same time, current VHF licensees would get direct access to the HF bands without further examinations.

--
More on this story in future Amateur Radio Newsline reports. (GB2RS)

**

RADIO REGULATIONS: BIG CHANGE AT THE ITU RADIOCOMUNICATION BUREAU

Ham radio has lost an significant post in the area of setting world radio policy. This, as a non radio amateur is selected to head up an important aspect of the International Telecommunications Union. From Brisbane Australia, Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has the details:

--
Valery Timofeev has been elected director of the International Telecommunication Union -- ITU -- Radiocommunication Bureau. Timofeev, who is Russia's deputy minister for communications and informatization, has extensive experience in radio frequency spectrum management at both the national and international levels.

Timofeev's election does mean for the first time in at least three decades, an non-Amateur Radio licensee will head the ITU Radiocommunication Bureau. The election took place during the 6th ITU Plenipotentiary Conference now under way in Marrakesh, Morocco. The meeting concludes October 18th.

From Q-News Australia, I’m Graham Kemp, VK4BB, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--

The political signifigance of this change is obvious. Having a ham radio operator in this position gave the service considerable leverage in negociations involving spectrum issues. (Q-News)

**

RADIO REGULATIONS: HAM RADIO GAINS OBSERVER STATUS AT THE IARU

The International Amateur Radio Union now has observer status at ITU meetings. IARU President Larry Price, W4RA, was on hand to represent the organization at at a major ITU gathering which was held in Marrakesh Morroco in late September. And Region 2 Executive Committee member Tim Ellam, VE6SH, is now participating on behalf of the organization. (Q-News)

**

THE WAR ON TERROR: COMMUNICATIONS STANDARDS ADOPTED

Back here in the United States, the communication industry has adopted emergency procedures intended to help maintain the nation's telephone, cable and Internet networks in case of a natural disaster or terrorist attack. The procedures were developed by a council of more than 50 industry officials formed by the Federal Communications Commission in January.

The group adopting the standards is called the Network Reliability and Interoperability Council. Their job was to recommend ways to strengthen communications networks to resist attack. The plan all have now agreed to will help companies in a crisis contact and work with partner firms to keep services running. (NRIC)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the AA4ED repeater serving Broward Ounty Florida.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ON THE AIR: IRLP NODES TO GET AN EXTRA DIDGIT

Changes are in the air for those of you involved in internet radio linking. This, to permit an increase the number of possible I-R-L-P nodes to over 99 000.

Right now most I-R-L-P users will not notice any difference. Eventually IRLP node codes will increase from three numbers to four. The result is that node 640, for example, will become 6400. (G6PHF/Bass IRLP Group via APC News)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FOUR LICENSES CANCELLED IN EXAM INVESTIGATION

Turning to enforcement news, a group radio amateurs who did not show up for a retest are no longer membewrs of the ham fraternity. The FCC’s Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:

--
Duckworth: “As a result of an audit by the Enforcement Bureau of the September 1st 2001 examination session given in Yucaipa California by the W5YI VEC, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau has cancelled the licenses of four who did not appear for a retest. Those are Technician Camito E. Lopez KG6GJG and General class licensees Marcileno Llanes KG6HBR, Manual Vega KG6GSP and Marcial Rivas KG6HCP.
--

Duckworth says that the investigation into the exam session continues. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: KENYAN PIRATES OFF THE AIR

Still in the enforcement arena, ham radio in Nairobi Kenya have gotten some relief from illegal operators. Kenyan Intruder Watch Coordinator Ted Alleyne reports that five High Frequency stations have been confiscated. Also, the operators of a Nairobi travel agency that was using 2 meter amateur frequencies were caught and equipment has been siezed. (Q-News)

**

ENFORCEMENT: IRANIAN JAMMER UPDATE

The 40 meter Iranian jammers we recently reported on are still being heard. Intruder Watch Regional Coordinator Martin Potter says that the jamming is being done to a low power station called Radio Mujahed. It’s being head in 10 Kilohertz steps from 7 point 010 to 7 point 090 Mhz. Potter says that S-W-L’s may find the same situation in the broadcasting frequencies as well. (Q-News)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: FCC CHAIRMAN POWELL COMMENTS ON MEDIA OWNERSHIP

Some names in the news. First is FCC chairman Michael Powell. He has warned that rules on media ownership will probably be struck down by the courts if they aren't modified to account for sweeping changes in the media landscape over the past 30 years. Powell's comments came shortly after the FCC released the results of studies suggesting that many ownership rules are outdated. The studies mark the foundation of the FCC's review of key rules on media ownership. (ComOnline)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: CULTURAL RESOURCE SPECIALIST NAMED

The C-G-C Communicator reports that Dr. Amos J. Loveday, Jr. has been appointed as the "Cultural Resource Specialist" in the F-C-C’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's Commercial Wireless Division. His job will be to

insure that tower and antenna siting projects comply with the requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. He will also assist the FCC in establishing a closer working relationship with Native American Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations. (CGC Communicator)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: KB5HAV APPOINTED ASST MGR OF HURRICANE WATCH NET

Bobby Graves, KB5HAV, of Pearl, Mississippi, has been named as the Assistant Manager of the Hurricane Watch Net. According to the ARRL Letter, Graves appointment was announced by Mike Pilgrim, K5MP, who is Manager of the net. More information is on the web at www.hwn.org (ARRL)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

RESCUE RADIO: AOL SIGNS ONTO AMBER ALERET SYSTEM

The worlds largest Internet service, America Online, says that it will soon begin transmitting Amber Alerts. The service says that the alerts about abducted children will show up on the screens of computers, pagers and cell phones of more than 26 million of its subscribers in dozens of states and cities beginning in early November. Warnings issued by most communities that use the system will go to AOL users in those areas who request to receive them. All but one of the existing Amber Alert systems are participating in the America Online project. (AoL)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: X-RAY EYES

If you thought only cartoon super-heros like Superman could see through walls to detect the villains, guess again. New technology called ultra-wideband will soon allow mere mortals to detect objects buried underground and to build cars enhanced with sensors that help avoid collisions.

According to press reports, ultra-wideband uses millions of narrow pulses each second to get an accurate reading of location and distance, opening the door for new applications in radar tracking, precise positioning and wireless communications. The possibilities vary from short-range computer networking for homes to devices that determine the location of golfers on a course.

What regulators like even better is that ultra-wideband devices can work within frequencies already allocated for other radio services -- helping to maximize this dwindling resource. The Federal Communications Commission believes the technology is so promising that the agency has proposed allowing it to be used on an unlicensed basis.

But it may be a while before the new technology is available. First, government agencies and private groups are testing to make sure ultra-wideband can safely coexist with other services, like the Global Positioning System. More information is on the Ultra-wideband working group website at www.uwb.org (Science Today)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: PCSAT - HELP NEEDED TO BUILD A NEW BIRD

Bob Bruninga, WB4APR, of the P-C-sat Project Team has put out a call for an antenna designer for the next generation of this type of ham satellite. According to Bruninga, to get a free ride to orbit for P-C-Sat the satellite must fit inside of a perfectly spherical but totally passive mirror surfaced 18 inch diameter ball. Also, the antenna must be totally internal with no external protrusions.

To make it happen, the P-C-Sat team at the US Naval Academy Satellite Lab, needs a good RF designer and antenna modeler to help out. If you can help, please contat Bob Bruninga by e-mail to wb4apr@amsat.org. P-C-Sat is scheduled for launch in less than a year. (AMSAT BB)

**

RADIO IN SPACE: GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS FOR NREW WESATS

The government has selexcted TRW Space and Electronics to build the fleet of next generation weather satellites. The Redondo Beach California unit of Cleveland Ohio based company will build two satellites with options for up to four more.

Eventually six new polar-orbiting satellites will collect data on the Earth's weather and climate, as well as its land, oceans and atmosphere. The birds will go into orbit between 2009 and 2018. The contract to build the new weather satellites is worth up to $4.5 billion dollars. And that’s billion – with a B. (Published reports)

**

INTERNATIONAL – AUSTRALIA: THE CB MOUNTAIN CHALLENGE

Turning to the international pages, C-B operators in Australia are about to embark on what is best described as a cross between an emergency training operation and a contest. Its called the Mountain Challenge where the object is to accurately pass a message around the state of Victoria using C-B radio.

It will all take place on Saturday the 30th of November. Both 27 MHz and U-H-F C-B radio is used to get the message through. And this year the event will extend beyond Victoria, with C-B’ers in Mount Gambier and Tasmania having expressed interest in taking part.

Find this interesting? Want to learn more? Well you can – on the web at www.mrc.cat.net.au. (Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL - AFGHANASTAN: KANDAHAR GETS TV

A new television station in Kandahar Afghanastan is being called a resounding success. This even though the signal is so poor the Afghan musicians shown in the first broadcast looked like grainy, quivering ghosts. According to Abdul Ali who heads up broadcasting in Kandahar, all of the equipment is than 20 years old and had not been used at all during the years that the Taliban ruled the country. (Media Network)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: VE3CT WLECTED QCWA PRESIDENT

For the first time in the history of the Quarter Century Wireless Association a Canadian radio amateur has been elected as its president. Croft Taylor VE3CT , who previously served as vice president, assumed office on September 1st and will serve a two year term. The QCWA was founded in 1947. You can learn more at www.qcwa.org (RAC)

**

DX

In D-X, A group of five American operators will be active using ZK1 callsigns from Manihiki in the remote North Cook Island group through the 31st of October. They will be active on 6 to 160 metres on CW, SSB and RTTY. During the CQ World Wide SSB Contest on the 26th and 27th of October they will use the callsign of ZK1MA. (GB2RS)

9V1SM is Sasi, a new operator from Singapore. He is active on all bands from 40 to 6 metres, mainly on SSB. He also plans to start PSK-31 operation in a few week's time. (RSGB)

Lastly, the new address for the ARRL W 5 Incoming QSL
Bureau is O.K.D.X.A, PO Box 2591, Claremore, Oklahoma 74017-2591. If you have cards for W-5 land, send them there. (ARRL)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM:

WITH THE SCOUTS: JOTA THIS WEEKEND

This weekend, the 19th and 20th of October, is the scouts Jamboree on the Air, or JOTA. Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, tells us what its all about:

--
The Jamboree on the Air is an annual event. Every October a half a million Scouts and Scout Guides all over the world take to the airwaves to make contact with each other by means of Amateur Radio.

The World Scout Bureau owns and operates its own Amateur Radio station. The the call sign H-B-9-S and it operates from a permanent radio room located in its offices in Geneva Switzerland. The station normally operates during Scout Nets and other major scouting events like the Jamboree On The Air. This year, the station is active on 160 through 10 meters in a number of languages.

Here in the United States, the big JOTA gathering takes place at Camp Wisdom near Dallas, Texas. The call sign to listen for from there is K2BSA slash 5. Other stations expected to take part include GB2GP in London England and JA1YSS from Tokyo Japan.

If you are hearing this newscast before or during this years Jamboree on the Air, get on the air and show your support of the worlds youth and of scouting by making contact with a J-O-T-A station. Who knows, it could evenb be HB9S.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennent, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.
--

Again, this weekend, the 19th and 20th of October, is the 2002 Scouting Jamboree on the Air. And if you are hearing this newscast later in the week we hope you had time to contact a Jamboree station. (ARNewsline™, Q-News, GB2RS)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

2017. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 07:52:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D7D8F2.BF11%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53834

"N2EY" wrote:
>
> I don't think our rules are "rigid" at all, Dwight.
> Think about how much freedom we hams have, compared
> to other services:
>
> - Wide variety of modes and bands available
> - Channelization, when used, is decided solely by hams
> - Homebrew equipment usable without type acceptance or
> certification
> - No license fees except vanity calls (VE fees are for
> the test, not the license)
> - No age limits
> - No requirement to use the license at all.

Rigid "compared to some other radio services." A relative comparison, Jim. Few in most other radio services are required to know as much about radio as we're expected to know. For example, we must be aware of the frequency limits of the bands we use while others simply buy a certified radio with channels and go. Likewise, our equipment is expected to perform in a certain manner (harmonics, etc), while users of some other radio services are likely totally oblivious of such things. We're personally responsible for our radio activities, while the cop on the street, for example, is not (his department may be, but not the cop personally unless he does something really outrageous - so far out that his actions become an FCC issue, not a departmental issue).

True, we gain immense freedoms in exchange for complying with those rules, but that doesn't change the "relatively" rigid nature of them. And I certainly don't think they are overly rigid relative to our activities within the Amateur Radio Service. Considering our activities, the rules have to be spelled out clearly.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2018. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 08:23:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D7E04C.BF13%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53835

"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
>
> Like I said, the vast majority of hams have no
> problem following our rules and regulations.
> The minscule minority who do will eventually
> face the swift justice of OM Riley.

No dispute, Larry. However, even you must agree that certain groups of people are "more likely" than others to fit comfortably within the Amateur Radio Service. For example, "outlaw" CB'ers would not likely fit well in ham radio, especially without being willing to give up the illegal activities in and around the CB band.

> No, the premise was self-serving. Ryan is
> obviously involved in firefighting, and
> naturally sees firefighters and other
> emergency services workers as potentially
> brilliant ham radio operators.

No, he is self-aware. Since he is involved in firefighting, he does naturally see his fellow firefighters as good potential ham operators. Just like I think most Coast Guard personnel would be good ham operators - the type of people I'd like to see in ham radio.

> Unfortunately, this is simply not true. People
> who are professionally engaged in emergency
> services are no more likely to be good, rule-
> abiding hams, and no less likely to be loose-
> cannon LIDs, than potential hams from any other
> profession.

Nonsense. Based on my experience, those who serve the public, either paid or as volunteers, are far more likely to be law-abiding in every regard and far less likely to be loose-cannons in any regard. Yes, while there are always exceptions, the exceptions are far fewer than in some other groups.

> I'm no more enthusiastic about them as a group
> of potential hams than I would be of librarians,
> mailmen, construction workers, or anyone else.

Nobody is talking about excluding one group over the other, Larry. Of course there are good librarians, mailmen, construction workers, and so on. Indeed, I think most people are fundamentally good. But, as long as public service is a cornerstone of ham radio, those with a background in public service have unique experience to bring to the table.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2019. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 09:30:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021020053049.11528.00002277@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 53836

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: Dwight Stewart stewart@sc.rr.com
>Date: 10/20/02 2:52 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <B9D7D8F2.BF11%stewart@sc.rr.com>

>Rigid "compared to some other radio services." A relative comparison, Jim.
>Few in most other radio services are required to know as much about radio as
>we're expected to know. For example, we must be aware of the frequency
>limits of the bands we use while others simply buy a certified radio with
>channels and go.

That's because we are both operator AND "technician". Those other radio services have a bench tech who is responsible for making sure the radio is on the proper frequency, operates at a certain power, and otherwise maintains it's operating parameters.

>Likewise, our equipment is expected to perform in a certain
>manner (harmonics, etc), while users of some other radio services are likely
>totally oblivious of such things.

The "users" may be, but they are still responsible for making sure thier stations operate within the parameters of their license. So they have to pay someone to make sure those requirements are met. We are responsible for our own.

>True, we gain immense freedoms in exchange for complying with those rules,
>but that doesn't change the "relatively" rigid nature of them.

I think the rules are particularly lax, myself. If one reads Part 97 at length, there are really very few technical standards set for us. The rest is "good Amateur practice".

>Considering our activities, the rules have to be spelled out clearly.

They're not?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2020. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 10:37:23 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D7FF9E.BF15%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53837

"Brian" wrote:
>
> Larry, no it won't. Even after the code exam
> is a dim memory in the ARS, I'll always resent
> the way you've treated new amateurs on rrap.
> There was, and is, no excuse for it. Just to
> refresh your memory, here are some of your best:
>
> "Knuckle dragging 4-landers with Southern accents"
> "...like Welfare Mothers of Color with their Hands
> out"
> "My favorite Black on the Bus..."
> "...don't let the door hit you in the ass on your
> way out of the ARS"

You're not alone, Brian. I think Larry and his ilk are exactly why this radio service will never grow larger than what it is and may actually decline in the future. Few are willing to stay and put up with the almost endless negative comments and harassment, and even fewer are willing to invite their friends to join only to have them experience this nonsense for themselves.

Sadly, this radio service is never going to rid itself of people like Larry. As long as they can find one supporter, one person who laughs at their harassment, they will continue to do what they're doing. And, because of that, I don't have high hopes for the future of this radio service.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2021. Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 11:26:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information, see... (scroll down to 2.815)

http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_01/47cfr2_01.html

Of course, paragraph (d) excludes selling by "a licensed amateur radio operator to another licensed amateur radio operator."

This regulation seems fairly clear, but I see ham operators routinely selling amplifiers on auction sites and ham fests without any obvious attempt to verify the license status of the buyer, so perhaps I'm missing something.

1. Do we have a legal obligation to verify the license status of the person we sell in amplifier to? This regulation applies to the seller, not the buyer, therefore the seller would be in violation if an improper sale is made.

2. What verification is required? A photocopy of the buyer's license? A callsign that matches the name of the buyer? The person's word?

3. What are the consequences if we violate this regulation by selling an amplifier to an unlicensed person? For example, could a person lose his or her ham license? Are there greater consequences?

4. Are those selling amplifiers on auction sites and fests without any verification of the buyer's license status just not aware of this regulation or are they simply ignoring it?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2022. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 15:36:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <g4As9.4679$071.425102@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

>
> This regulation seems fairly clear, but I see ham operators routinely
> selling amplifiers on auction sites and ham fests without any obvious
> attempt to verify the license status of the buyer, so perhaps I'm missing
> something.
>

I think most of us (most = >95%) know that our buyer is another licensed
ham.

One way to get high blood pressure is to go mountain climbing over
molehills.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

2023. Re: KV4FZ to return to Ham Radio! whoopiee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 16:40:11 UTC
From: Lloyd <Bro_Lloyd@LloydVFPbarf.com>
Message-ID: <aoum8assf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I have no information at this time which would permit
me to publish a Press Release, concerning Herb's return.
Ignore all bogus information. All official Press Releases
originate from BARF HQ and the Chief of BARF
Communications, which is me.

Bro. Lloyd
BARF Chief of Communications
Certified Computer Specialist
A++++ C+
Network Certified
Master of Computer Sci.
High Honors Grad.

"blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3db21c34@snipnews.snip.net...
> FCC Judge Favors Schoenbohm's Return to Amateur Radio:
> from The ARRL Letter, Vol 21, No 41
> Website: http://www.arrl.org/ on October 19, 2002
>
> FCC Judge Favors Schoenbohm's Return to Amateur Radio:
>
> An FCC administrative law judge has agreed that the FCC should grant the
> General class Amateur Radio license application of Herb
Schoenbohm--formerly
> KV4FZ. Schoenbohm lost his bid to renew his ham ticket in 2000 but applied
> for a new license the next year. Following a hearing on Schoenbohm's
> application last spring, an initial decision of Administrative Law Judge
> Arthur I. Steinberg October 11 declared that Schoenbohm appears qualified
to
> rejoin the Amateur Radio ranks.
>
> Steinberg wrote that the hearing concluded that Schoenbohm "has not
engaged
> in any significant wrongdoing since his prior disqualifying misconduct,"
> that his misconduct was not recent, that his "reputation for good
character
> in his community is excellent," that Schoenbohm "has taken meaningful
> measures to prevent the future occurrence of misconduct" and that loss of
> his ham ticket "coupled with the shame and humiliation that resulted" make
> unlikely a recurrence of misconduct.
>
> Steinberg concluded that Schoenbohm "possesses the requisite character
> qualifications to be a Commission licensee" and that the FCC should grant

> his application. Schoenbohm also has taken and passed the Extra class exam
> (Element 4), but that application was not part of the proceeding.
>
> For his part, Schoenbohm said he was very grateful for the outcome and
said
> he appreciated "all the amateurs who came to my defense and supported the
> application." He said he does not yet know if he will attempt to regain
his
> KV4FZ call sign if his application is granted.
>
> Assuming that the FCC concurs with Steinberg's initial decision,
Schoenbohm
> has made good on his promise to one day return to Amateur Radio after
losing
> a lengthy battle with the FCC to renew his license. Steinberg's decision
> followed this summer's Proposed Finding of Fact and Conclusions of Law
from
> the FCC Enforcement Bureau, which recommended that the evidence presented
at
> hearing supported giving Schoenbohm another chance.
>
> In 1994, the FCC put Schoenbohm's renewal application for KV4FZ up for
> hearing following his 1992 felony conviction on federal fraud charges. The
> Commission finally turned down his renewal application in 1998, the US
> Appeals Court upheld the FCC's decision in 2000, and the US Supreme Court
> declined to hear the case later that same year.
>
> In March 2001, a couple of months after his authority to operate as KV4FZ
> had expired, Schoenbohm took and passed the General class examination. A
> couple of weeks later, he qualified for Amateur Extra as well, but the FCC
> refused to act on the second application since it had not yet granted the
> first. The FCC designated Schoenbohm's General license application for
> hearing on the basis of character issues stemming from his 1992 conviction
> as well as his alleged lack of candor during subsequent FCC hearings on
the
> matter.
>
>
>

2024. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 16:43:14 UTC
From: Lloyd <Bro_Lloyd@LloydVFPbarf.com>
Message-ID: <aoume0taj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Bureaucratic federal regulations such as this do not
apply within the sovereign borders of the State of
Alabama! Please stop publishing misleading information!

Bro. Lloyd
BARF Chief of Communications

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
>
> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information,
see...
> (scroll down to 2.815)
>
> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_01/47cfr2_01.html
>
> Of course, paragraph (d) excludes selling by "a licensed amateur radio
> operator to another licensed amateur radio operator."
>
> This regulation seems fairly clear, but I see ham operators routinely
> selling amplifiers on auction sites and ham fests without any obvious
> attempt to verify the license status of the buyer, so perhaps I'm missing
> something.
>
> 1. Do we have a legal obligation to verify the license status of the
> person we sell in amplifier to? This regulation applies to the seller, not
> the buyer, therefore the seller would be in violation if an improper sale
is
> made.
>
> 2. What verification is required? A photocopy of the buyer's license? A
> callsign that matches the name of the buyer? The person's word?
>
> 3. What are the consequences if we violate this regulation by selling an
> amplifier to an unlicensed person? For example, could a person lose his or
> her ham license? Are there greater consequences?
>
> 4. Are those selling amplifiers on auction sites and fests without any
> verification of the buyer's license status just not aware of this
regulation
> or are they simply ignoring it?

>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

2025. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 17:02:01 UTC
From: Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dkBs9.4777$071.435420@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Lloyd" <Bro_Lloyd@LloydVFPbarf.com> wrote in message
news:aoume0taj0@pita.alt.net...
> Bureaucratic federal regulations such as this do not
> apply within the sovereign borders of the State of
> Alabama! Please stop publishing misleading information!
>
> Bro. Lloyd
> BARF Chief of Communications

BARF will rise again --- shit floats to the top.

Blackie

2026. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 17:19:43 UTC
From: blowupdoll <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
>
> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information,
see...
> (scroll down to 2.815)

YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
Yada...Yada...Yada...

Ban has been in place for Years but that
hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
I been to, and at weekend flea markets
in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
you. For an example see:

http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm

Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
marketed. There's alot other things to worry
about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this stuff folks.

2027. Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 18:09:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021020140900.06896.00004244@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 53844

In article <B9D7E04C.BF13%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
<stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:

> Nobody is talking about excluding one group over the other, Larry. Of
>course there are good librarians, mailmen, construction workers, and so on.
>Indeed, I think most people are fundamentally good. But, as long as public
>service is a cornerstone of ham radio, those with a background in public
>service have unique experience to bring to the table.

Dwight:

Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of a
bunch of Ham Hero™ wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
"Emergency" and "Third Watch." Those who are involved in public service
in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the voluntary
public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among the
paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."
They jealously refuse to take a break, and will sit in the chair at the EOC
ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped,
just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.

It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and dedication
of the many. In most cases, ham radio operators who come out of the
woodwork during "emergencies" (usually bad weather nowhere near
disaster-causing proportions) make total fools out of themselves on-the-air
by reporting things that have no need to be reported. Invariably, these
are hams whose entire life as a ham is spent on a local repeater -- and
have no outlet for their desire to communicate other than making a
nuisance of themselves.

There probably are some public service oriented hams out there who have
their hearts and minds in the right place, but in my 20-plus as a ham, I
haven't met very many of them. I've probably just been unlucky to always
have been exposed to the negative type. From what I have heard and
read, my experience is hardly unique, either. I think that what is needed
is some functional reform of the ARES system. I believe that Section-
level "emergency communications" appointments should be "term-
limited" -- i.e. EC, SEC, DEC, etc. would only be permitted to serve in
that capacity in their section for, say, three years, max. I recognize that
in some smaller sections (like Delaware), that may not be a good solution,
since few people want to take the jobs. In that case, we'll just have to
do without "leadership" in the ARES. I think we'll survive.

Under real circumstances where individual hams, regardless of what kind of important-sounding title they hold, are faced with a real world emergency, they will be way too involved in dealing with their personal and family crisis management to even be able to think of separating themselves from their personal obligations and sit at some Red Cross shelter passing traffic -- unless they just end up there as a natural result of the event itself. Whether they will end up there with everything they need to communicate will be another matter. I can just imagine some hysterical XYL shrieking to her OM as he calmly displaces valuable space for Pampers and baby formula in the SUV for a generator and a full-capability ham radio station. Like, that's really gonna happen, isn't it!

If any area gets hit with the Real Thing in a Really Bad Way, ham radio "emergency communication" isn't going to be anything like anyone has planned it to be. And it very likely won't involve anyone who was trained and "exercised" in providing these "cornerstone" ham radio services. It will be spotty, catch-as-catch-can, disorganized, chaotic, a QRM-fest of immense proportions, and generally ineffective and lacking in credibility with those professional emergency services personnel who would be interacting with the hams. It will be a disaster within a disaster.

Unless and until we find a way to eliminate the Ham Hero mentality, get more rank-and-file hams involved in ARES, spread out the "stick time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises and real local emergency activations, and develop an attitude of totally eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications. Right now, we're not even close to being there.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2028. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 21:46:45 UTC
From: "HankG." <nowon@noware.com>
Message-ID: <vZadncCo45bauS6gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 53845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

blowupdoll wrote in message <3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net>...
>
>"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
>>
>> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
>> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
>> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
>> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information,
>see...
>> (scroll down to 2.815)
>
>YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
>Yada...Yada...Yada...
>
>Ban has been in place for Years but that
>hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
>of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
>I been to, and at weekend flea markets
>in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
>do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
>purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
>you. For an example see:
>
>http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm
>
>Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
>these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
>hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
>and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
>marketed. There's alot other things to worry
>about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this stuff folks.

What happened to 440?

HankG
>
>

2029. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 22:01:48 UTC
From: Dean Arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Message-ID: <3DB327CA.11C2@silverstar.com>
Source record: 53846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

> Bureaucratic federal regulations such as this do not apply within the
> sovereign borders of the State of Alabama! Please stop publishing
> misleading information!

If you have ever signed any instrument "under penalty of perjury"
stating that you are a U. S. citizen then you'd better be obedient to
ALL fedregs or suffer the consequences.

2030. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 23:04:34 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <area52@zecretbase.com>
Message-ID: <xEGs9.465$P%2.219628611@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 53847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Lloyd" > wrote in message

> Bureaucratic federal regulations such as this do not
> apply within the sovereign borders of the State of
> Alabama! Please stop publishing misleading information!
>
> Bro. Lloyd
> BARF Chief of Communications

YE-HAWW!!!!

2031. Re: KV4FZ to return to Ham Radio! whoopiee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-20 23:40:44 UTC
From: Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0aHs9.5548$071.490700@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd" <Bro_Lloyd@LloydVFPbarf.com> wrote in message
news:aoum8assf0@pita.alt.net...
> I have no information at this time which would permit
> me to publish a Press Release,

PRESS RELEASE

BARF will rise again. Turds float.

2032. Re: KV4FZ to return to Ham Radio! whoopiee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 00:13:05 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <aovgsf01q7a@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Here's a quarter. Call someone who gives a shit.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"Lloyd" <Bro_Lloyd@LloydVFPbarf.com> wrote in message
news:aoum8assf0@pita.alt.net...
> I have no information at this time which would permit
> me to publish a Press Release, concerning Herb's return.
> Ignore all bogus information. All official Press Releases
> originate from BARF HQ and the Chief of BARF
> Communications, which is me.
>
> Bro. Lloyd
> BARF Chief of Communications
> Certified Computer Specialist
> A++++ C+
> Network Certified
> Master of Computer Sci.
> High Honors Grad.
>
>
>
> "blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3db21c34@snipnews.snip.net...
> > FCC Judge Favors Schoenbohm's Return to Amateur Radio:
> > from The ARRL Letter, Vol 21, No 41
> > Website: http://www.arrl.org/ on October 19, 2002
> >
> > FCC Judge Favors Schoenbohm's Return to Amateur Radio:
> >
> > An FCC administrative law judge has agreed that the FCC should grant the
> > General class Amateur Radio license application of Herb
> Schoenbohm--formerly
> > KV4FZ. Schoenbohm lost his bid to renew his ham ticket in 2000 but
applied
> > for a new license the next year. Following a hearing on Schoenbohm's
> > application last spring, an initial decision of Administrative Law Judge
> > Arthur I. Steinberg October 11 declared that Schoenbohm appears
qualified
> to

> > rejoin the Amateur Radio ranks.
> >
> > Steinberg wrote that the hearing concluded that Schoenbohm "has not
> engaged
> > in any significant wrongdoing since his prior disqualifying misconduct,"
> > that his misconduct was not recent, that his "reputation for good
> character
> > in his community is excellent," that Schoenbohm "has taken meaningful
> > measures to prevent the future occurrence of misconduct" and that loss
of
> > his ham ticket "coupled with the shame and humiliation that resulted"
make
> > unlikely a recurrence of misconduct.
> >
> > Steinberg concluded that Schoenbohm "possesses the requisite character
> > qualifications to be a Commission licensee" and that the FCC should
grant
> > his application. Schoenbohm also has taken and passed the Extra class
exam
> > (Element 4), but that application was not part of the proceeding.
> >
> > For his part, Schoenbohm said he was very grateful for the outcome and
> said
> > he appreciated "all the amateurs who came to my defense and supported
the
> > application." He said he does not yet know if he will attempt to regain
> his
> > KV4FZ call sign if his application is granted.
> >
> > Assuming that the FCC concurs with Steinberg's initial decision,
> Schoenbohm
> > has made good on his promise to one day return to Amateur Radio after
> losing
> > a lengthy battle with the FCC to renew his license. Steinberg's decision
> > followed this summer's Proposed Finding of Fact and Conclusions of Law
> from
> > the FCC Enforcement Bureau, which recommended that the evidence
presented
> at
> > hearing supported giving Schoenbohm another chance.
> >
> > In 1994, the FCC put Schoenbohm's renewal application for KV4FZ up for
> > hearing following his 1992 felony conviction on federal fraud charges.
The
> > Commission finally turned down his renewal application in 1998, the US
> > Appeals Court upheld the FCC's decision in 2000, and the US Supreme
Court
> > declined to hear the case later that same year.
> >
> > In March 2001, a couple of months after his authority to operate as

KV4FZ
> > had expired, Schoenbohm took and passed the General class examination. A
> > couple of weeks later, he qualified for Amateur Extra as well, but the
FCC
> > refused to act on the second application since it had not yet granted
the
> > first. The FCC designated Schoenbohm's General license application for
> > hearing on the basis of character issues stemming from his 1992
conviction
> > as well as his alleged lack of candor during subsequent FCC hearings on
> the
> > matter.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2033. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 01:17:06 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB35592.92673CA6@hotnet.net>
Source record: 53854

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Dwight:
>
> Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of a
> bunch of Ham Heroâ□¢ wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
> "Emergency" and "Third Watch."

What turnip truck did you fall off of? Hams have been in public
long before 'Emergency' and 'Third Watch', even long before
television. Public service has been a long tradition of ham radio.

> Those who are involved in public service
> in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the voluntary
> public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
> other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
> themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among the
> paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."
> They jealously refuse to take a break, and will sit in the chair at the EOC
> ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped,
> just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.

<rest of negative blah blah blah snipped>
>
> It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and dedication
> of the many. In most cases, ham radio operators who come out of the
> woodwork during "emergencies" (usually bad weather nowhere near
> disaster-causing proportions) make total fools out of themselves on-the-air
> by reporting things that have no need to be reported. Invariably, these
> are hams whose entire life as a ham is spent on a local repeater -- and
> have no outlet for their desire to communicate other than making a
> nuisance of themselves.
>
> There probably are some public service oriented hams out there who have
> their hearts and minds in the right place, but in my 20-plus as a ham, I
> haven't met very many of them. I've probably just been unlucky to always
> have been exposed to the negative type. From what I have heard and
> read, my experience is hardly unique, either. I think that what is needed
> is some functional reform of the ARES system. I believe that Section-
> level "emergency communications" appointments should be "term-
> limited" -- i.e. EC, SEC, DEC, etc. would only be permitted to serve in
> that capacity in their section for, say, three years, max. I recognize that
> in some smaller sections (like Delaware), that may not be a good solution,
> since few people want to take the jobs. In that case, we'll just have to
> do without "leadership" in the ARES. I think we'll survive.

>
> Under real circumstances where individual hams, regardless of what
> kind of important-sounding title they hold, are faced with a real world
> emergency, they will be way too involved in dealing with their personal
> and family crisis management to even be able to think of separating
> themselves from their personal obligations and sit at some Red Cross
> shelter passing traffic -- unless they just end up there as a natural
> result of the event itself. Whether they will end up there with everything
> they need to communicate will be another matter. I can just imagine
> some hysterical XYL shrieking to her OM as he calmly displaces valuable
> space for Pampers and baby formula in the SUV for a generator and a
> full-capability ham radio station. Like, that's really gonna happen, isn't it!
>
> If any area gets hit with the Real Thing in a Really Bad Way, ham radio
> "emergency communication" isn't going to be anything like anyone has
> planned it to be. And it very likely won't involve anyone who was trained
> and "exercised" in providing these "cornerstone" ham radio services. It
> will be spotty, catch-as-catch-can, disorganized, chaotic, a QRM-fest of
> immense proportions, and generally ineffective and lacking in credibility
> with those professional emergency services personnel who would be
> interacting with the hams. It will be a disaster within a disaster.
>
> Unless and until we find a way to eliminate the Ham Hero mentality,
> get more rank-and-file hams involved in ARES, spread out the "stick
> time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises
> and real local emergency activations, and develop an attitude of totally
> eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio
> BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications.
> Right now, we're not even close to being there.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

You have such a negative attitude about your fellow hams, perhaps
you would find you fit better with the cb crowd. That would
probably raise the IQ of both groups and the ham community would
not miss you.

2034. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 02:15:29 UTC
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <aovo01vaq0@216.155.33.63>
Source record: 53850

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> >
> > This regulation seems fairly clear, but I see ham operators routinely
> > selling amplifiers on auction sites and ham fests without any obvious
> > attempt to verify the license status of the buyer, so perhaps I'm missing
> > something.
> >
>
> I think most of us (most = >95%) know that our buyer is another licensed
> ham.
>
> One way to get high blood pressure is to go mountain climbing over
> molehills.
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help bring amateur radio to youth.
> http://www.mnyarc.org

Hansl:

Bingo!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2035. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 02:27:36 UTC
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <aovomo$2qh$0@216.155.33.63>
Source record: 53851

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > Oh, I get it. We have to zero out the code testing requirement. After
> > that, the resentment will be gone, and we'll start getting some more new
> > hams out there, all ready to make their 4-figure donations to the ARRL
> > to get that coveted Diamond Club Director's pin.
> >
>
> Har, har har!!! Tbl is, it really isn't funny.

Dick:

Actually, it's a riot! However, I believe the "laugh" will be on the ARRL -- when those $75 - $5000 donations fail to arrive! I just wonder how the ARRL HQ staff is going to cover up the abject failure of this program?

OBTW -- It will be interesting to see if all the Division "officials" will be sporting the higher-level (Silver, Gold, Platinum, Director) Diamond Club pins on their blazers at hamfests, ARRL Forums, etc.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2036. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 02:42:53 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210201842.34bef323@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net>...
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> >
> > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
> > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
> > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
> > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information,
> see...
> > (scroll down to 2.815)
>
> YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> Yada...Yada...Yada...
>
> Ban has been in place for Years but that
> hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> you. For an example see:
>
> http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm
>
> Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
> these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
> hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
> and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
> marketed. There's alot other things to worry
> about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this stuff folks.

by golly doesn't this Dwight Stewart fellow sound like our Aaron H.
Voobner fellow....???? my, my same moronic content as our little
buddy.....
and they both appeared about the same time too, imagine that.....some
strange coincidences aren' they /????.....

2037. Re: KV4FZ to return to Ham Radio! whoopiee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 02:43:23 UTC
From: candidate fartknocker <pfhblttt5000@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3db36a8d@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Black_Silver" <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0aHs9.5548$071.490700@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "Lloyd" <Bro_Lloyd@LloydVFPbarf.com> wrote in message
> news:aoum8assf0@pita.alt.net...
> > I have no information at this time which would permit
> > me to publish a Press Release,
>
> PRESS RELEASE
>
> BARF will rise again. (Turds float.)

(..that has got to be one of the best one-liner replies
I've seen in this NG in quite a while)

Ed FartKnocker

ps: Healthnotes for hams - Turdelets attain maximum positive bouyancy often because of a diet rich in fatty and greasy foods. if your turds *sink* your eating right. If they float, cut back on the BigMac's.

2038. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 03:10:27 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB37023.226B72CC@hotnet.net>
Source record: 53859

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> I am reminded of the "tale" you related a few years ago about allegedly
> seeing a "ham" stopping at the scene of an accident "...madly jabbing away at
> his HT while running to the rescue". Very cynical.

Larry is such a superior ham that to him anything any other ham
does is simply foolish.

2039. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 03:27:48 UTC
From: Lloyd <ChiefOfComms@BARF38945.net>
Message-ID: <aovs6i$e3u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I am a state citizen of the sovereign state of Alabama!
We stand in honor of Dixie and our women, nothing else!

Bro. Lloyd
Computer Expert
Hon. Colonel, 14th Alabama Reg.

"Dean Arthur" <dearthur@silverstar.com> wrote in message
news:3DB327CA.11C2@silverstar.com...
> > Bureaucratic federal regulations such as this do not apply within the
> > sovereign borders of the State of Alabama! Please stop publishing
> > misleading information!
>
> If you have ever signed any instrument "under penalty of perjury"
> stating that you are a U. S. citizen then you'd better be obedient to
> ALL fedregs or suffer the consequences.

2040. Re: The No Code Hams Issue- Interesting Perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 03:52:49 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92ADF2E8328E4elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53856

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
news:20021018140902.11643.00002284@mb-mb.aol.com:

> In article <Xns92A861FAD8BEEelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>>>>>23 channels is not really fixed channel in the sense that I meant
>>>>>>it. A land mobile station has to stay on it's assigned channel.
>>>>>
>>>>> It's still a channelized service. 23 channels is not very many, and
>>>>> many of the early cb sets did not cover all channels.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Channelized v Fixed Channel
>>>>
>>>>If you think it's the same thing try suggesting that each CBer be
>>>>limited to an assigned channel!
>>>
>>> So you say the difference is that the land mobile folks have no
>>> choice of channel. Yet I have used land mobile radio services that
>>> have had several channels available, at the discretion of the user..
>>
>>23??
>
> Not quite that many, but so what? Why does the number of channels make
> a difference in how users behave?

It completely transforms the nature of the service - when the users can
move between a relatively large number of channels it makes it much easier
for them to make random contacts with people they don't already know, viz
the difference between CB and GMRS (as they existed before they allowed
GMRS users to use the interstitial channels and then grafted FRS onto the
same frequencies)

>
>>>>> You asked in another post why you had to learn Morse in order to
>>>>> work HF phone. The answer is "for the same reason hams have had to
>>>>> learn all sorts of things for the writtens that had nothing to do
>>>>> with what they wanted to do". For example, I had to learn:
>>>>>
>>>>> - VHF/UHF techniques in order to work HF
>>>>> - SSB, FM, and other modes in order to work CW
>>>>> - all sorts of theory in order to use manufactured equipment.
>>>>>
>>>>> Etc.

>>>>
>>>>Very fair point, as a matter of fact.
>>>
>>> We agree on something, then.
>>>
>>>>At one time the Tech pool, or at least element 3A contained no HF
>>>>questions.
>>>
>>> Sort of. Here's the history:
>>>
>>> From its inception in 1951 until March 21, 1987, the Tech and General
>>> shared the same written test (ancient Element 3). Only difference was
>>> 5 vs. 13 wpm code.
>>>
>>> It was noted that this required prospective Techs to take a test
>>> involving bands and regs that the Tech license would not permit them
>>> to use. The HF privs of the Tech were tested for in old Element 2
>>> (Novice theory).
>>>
>>> So in March of '87 the test was split into elements 3A and 3B. Tech
>>> license required 2 and 3A, General required 2, 3A and 3B
>>>
>>>>Perhaps, under the current scheme element 2 shouldn't (I think it
>>>>does, though?).
>>>
>>> New Element 2 contains a combination of old Elements 2 and 3A. But
>>> it's only 35 questions - a little more than half the size of the
>>> combination of old Elements 2 and 3A.
>>>
>>> Restructure 2000 did more than reduce code testing - it also reduced
>>> the writtens.
>>>
>>>>Clearly there is a
>>>>demand for a licence with no code test, and arguably it shouldn't
>>>>confer CW operating privileges.
>>>
>>> Sounds like you want to kill off CW! Soon there will be no code test
>>> for any license.
>>
>>I see your point, but it would be more logical to keep them off CW than
>>HF.
>
> Why? Users of the various RTTY-type modes are not tested on typing or
> reading ability.

I didn't say it was logical - only that it was more logical than linking
HF use to CW testing

>
>>Actually the B licence in the UK at one time didn't permit CW use, but
>>that was changed.

>
> Good!
>
>>I m not trying to kill of CW, so I guess it's necessary to let people
>>use CW without a test, under the circumstances.
>
> Technician licensees who have not passed a code test are allowed all
> modes, including Morse, on the amateur allocations above 30 MHz. And
> there are no problems to report that I know of.
>>>
>>> There is a demand for a nocodetest license, and also a demand for a
>>> notheorytest license, too.
>>
>>Good point.
>
> Thanks.
>
>>>>How would you gauge the demand for a no-VHF licence, or a no-SSB or
>>>>no-FM licence, for that matter?
>>>
>>> By the use of those modes.
>>
>>Well, what about all the people who only use repeaters? No SSB
>>questions for them?
>
> See how ridiculous the argument gets?
>
> The point is that the license test cannot be tailored to just what each
> individual wants to do at the time of licensing. Instead, the license
> test needs to cover a sampling of what hams actually do. There are no
> questions on multiplex FM stereo on the amateur tests because amateurs
> don't use that mode. But Morse use by hams is very common -
> particularly on HF/MF.

I beleive that the theory tests should cover everything (within reason),
but leave people to learn the practical part by doing it. Why is there a
practical test only in CW? The point is CW is treated differently than
anything else.

>
>>Actually, I think that theory tests should cover all modes
>>(including questions on CW, like chirp and transmitter keying methods,
>>etc) but reading CW is pretty much self regulating, i.e. you can't use
>>CW anyway if you can't read it.
>
> Morse decoders exist that make it possible to use the mode without
> knowing it.

Surely that reinforces my side of the argument

>
>>>>>>> I took the 13 and 20 wpm Morse tests in front of an FCC examiner.
>>>>>>> Had to produce at least 1 solid minute of legible copy out of 5.
>>>>>>> No going back and correcting errors, either. Also had to send
>>>>>>> Morse to the examiner's satisfaction - with a straight key.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I would have had to make almost solid copy (see below) for the
>>>>>>whole test, not a mere minute, except that I couldn't manage it!
>>>>>>And I would have had to send for the whole period with no
>>>>>>uncorrected errors atall. That is tougher than the tests you
>>>>>>passed, albeit at 12 wpm, and I never reached the test standard,
>>>>>>and still haven't.
>>>>>
>>>>> If that had been the US standard, I'd have met it.
>>>>
>>>>I'm sure you would have - you like CW
>>>
>>> But I didn't like it at first. My original plan was to get a ham
>>> license so I could do 75 AM. Funny thing - once I had a decent set of
>>> CW skills, I liked it so much that 'phone became a secondary mode for
>>> me.
>>
>>Not surprising when you compare it to AM.
>
> My comparison was to all voice modes, not just AM.
>
>> SSB was a major advance, but it's very old news now.
>
> It's the most common HF mode used by hams, so it's very big news.
>
> And while SSB was an advance, it brought with it some problems. The
> main advantages of SSB use by hams were:
>
> - More simultaneous voice QSOs in the same bandspace
> - Near-elimination of carrier heterodyne interference
> - Lower cost of high-power voice transmitters

You missed out the huge improvement in signal-to-noise, due to all the signal envelope carrying modulation as well as just the bandwidth reduction. 100 watts of SSB gives the same signal in the receiver as 900 watts of AM!

>
> But SSB brought with it several disadvantages:
>
> - Loss of fidelity and the personality of voice characteristics

Only because (1) it gave some advantage to cutting the audio below 300 Hz, whereas with AM that didn't reduce bandwidth, and because (2) it enhanced the effect of compression (see above, compression on AM doesn't compress the carrier), leading some people to go too far

> - Greatly increased complexity of equipment, reducing the practicality
> of homebrewing

But I wouldn't like to price up a big modulation transformer these days
for building a high power AM rig

> - Higher cost of low-power voice transmitters
> - Isolation of HF amateur voice operation from SWLs, reducing the
> recruiting possibilities.

Some truth in that, although if they had a communications receiver it
already had a BFO for receiving CW. All they had to do then was switch on
the BFO whilst in AM mode.

>
>> As a matter of fact, as we both know, it was
>>realistic to use mostly CW if you wanted to work DX before SSB, but it
>>changed everything
>
> SSB did not change that by itself. In the bad old days, most of the DX
> was on CW because of equipment costs and language barriers. As amateur
> equipment has become less expensive in inflation-adusted money, and the
> affluence and development level of much of the world has increased,
> voice operation has become much more available to the DX.

Welcome to the 21st century!

>>
>>> Learning CW wasn't exactly easy for me. No code machines, records, or
>>> tapes. I learned it by listening to hams on the air, using a receiver
>>> that was so unstable I had to write with one hand and constantly
>>> adjust the rx with the other.
>>
>>Granted. I made very little progress myself until I got some CW
>>software
>
> Now there are all sorts of software packages available free for the
> download.
>>
>>>>>>Maybe with a little practice I might have been able to copy a mere
>>>>>>60 seconds? Who knows?
>>>>>
>>>>> Is that the fault of S25.5?
>>>>
>>>>No
>>>>
>>> Well, there you have it. It's not the code test per se, it's the
>>> unreasonable UK test standards.
>>
>>They were unreasonable, for an amateur, but I think the UK was not the
>>only country to have a similar test
>
> Lots of countries copied what the UK did, for both the code and

> written. Easier than developing their own standards.

That's true of the USA too, but I had in mind more the mainland Europeans,
who only copied eachother

>
>>>>> Why? How many hams, in the US or UK, actually build their own
>>>>> equipment from scratch, or kits? (I do, btw).
>>>>
>>>>Very few. A couple of times in the past few years I have considered
>>>>it, but it seems to be cheaper or about the same to buy something
>>>>ready built
>>>
>>> Which is why homebrewing has gone from a mainstream activity to a
>>> niche thing among hams. I build practically all my own ham gear,
>>> either from kits or scratch. But I have sources of parts at less than
>>> retail prices.
>>>>
>>>>> Why should a new ham have to learn, say, the block diagram for a
>>>>> PLL-type synthesizer in order to use a manufactured rig?
>>>>> Particularly when that rig has a DDS-type LO?
>>>>
>>>>Are you saying it's OK if we know nothing about radio.
>>>
>>> Nope. I'm asking why certain types of theory knowledge must be tested
>>> for in the writtens, if a ham doesn't want to use that theory. It's
>>> analagous to "why must I learn Morse to use HF phone?"
>>>
>>> Why must I learn transistors and ICs to use tube radios? Why must I
>>> learn theory to use manufactured equipment? Etc.
>>
>>The manufacured equipment that most people use doesn't have tubes
>>anymore. Even linears are increasingly solid state
>
> The point is that the written tests required me to learn many things I
> don't have to use, or have no interest in. Why is it OK to force new
> hams to learn theory stuff they are not interested in, and will
> probably never use, but NOT OK to require a simple Morse test?

But the CW test is the only practical test - all the rest is theory. Why
do we need a practical test on this only. Not that I think there should
be, for example, a soldering test (although some people have been known to
say that)

>>
>>>> Wouldn't that be CB?
>>>
>>> Nope. cb has no licenses. Knowledge of the regulations and practices
>>> is needed to avoid breaking the rules.
>>>
>>> But you don't have to know how a carburetor works to get a driver's

>>> license.
>>
>>A car is virtually a necessity, though.
>
> Not in the big city.

I don't live in the big city

>
>>Besides, you can transmit without a theory test, just not on the ham
>>bands
>
> Only under very controlled conditions, like FRS.

The average person doesn't even think they 'need' FRS, much less ham radio
>
>>>>As for PLL v DDS that's an issue of updating the question pool, which
>>>>is our responsibility (OK, perhaps mine, as a VE)
>>>
>>> You missed the point. Both PLL and DDS are used by hams. But there's
>>> no requirement to use either - yet questions on them are on the
>>> tests.
>>
>>They just represent current practice.
>
> Morse code is current practice for amateur radio.

And I have no objection to it being covered in a theory test, just like
everything else

>
>>Tubes and free-running VFOs don't, although I think hams should know
>>about them
>
> There are probably more hams building equipment with tubes and
> free-running oscillators than there are hams building DDS synthesizers
> from scratch.

True, although I bought a PLL kit once, and theoretically I could still
build a nice synthesized rig using it

>
> btw, check out:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/020910qex036.pdf
>
> about the performance of HF receivers. Turns out the winner does not
> have DDS, PLL or DSP technology.

That's really no surprise to me. Each of those methods introduces noise
into the frequency source, or into the receiver in the case of DSP. With
DSP there's another issue as well. There's no substitute for tight
filtering at a reasonably high IF. Filtering later lets the receiver get

overloaded by signals that would have been filtered out before they got
that far. Modern rigs do things digitally to give us more control, and to
do it in software without paying for hardware. I agree that the RF
performance may not be enhanced, but it has improved for other reasons,
like low-noise MOSFETs

>
>>>>>>>You only need about 70% right to get the 7 questions out of 10
>>>>>>>right
>>>>>>>>over here. Most of the world don't know that about the US, they
>>>>>>>>actually have to get most of the characters right in their code
>>>>>>>>tests, and they probably think you do too. I did until I actually
>>>>>>>>came to live here. This is not bashing the US, I agree with
>>>>>>>>anything that makes it easier, including abolishing it! Of
>>>>>>>>course, I expect that in some of the smaller countries the code
>>>>>>>>tests are even easier than they are here.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What you are really arguing is that the standards are set at too
>>>>>>> high a level, rather than that the Morse test needs to go away.
>>>>>>> Same argument holds true for the writtens.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Actually that's not my argument atall.
>>>>>
>>>>> It's the logical conclusion that results from your argument.
>>>>
>>>>Let's spell this out. If I thought that code needed to be tested I
>>>>would say that the standard was too low, not too high.
>>>
>>> That's simply because you are used to UK standards.
>>
>>So perhaps I should lower my standards
>
> That's what I've been forced to do, in accepting the changes in license
> requirements over the past 35 years..
>
>>>>What I'm saying is that
>>>>the average US ham has done a test that is unrealistically easy,
>>>>making it seem less of an issue than it really is.
>>>
>>> Why is the US test unrealistically easy? I think the UK test, if it
>>> requires perfect or near-perfect copy, is unrelaistically tough.
>>
>>Yes, that's equally true
>
> Well, there you have it. Reasonable requirement lies somewhere in
> between.
>>
>>>>If the test actually proved
>>>>competence it would be a huge roadblock to a much bigger number of
>>>>people. In many countries, at least until recently, the test was an

>>>>actual test of competence, in a skill that many don't want to use.
>>>
>>> Who gets to define what comprises competence? Do the UK writtens
>>> require a near-perfect score?
>>
>>No, they require about the same score as here
>
> That shows they put undue emphasis on the code test. If 75% is good
> enough for theory, it should be good enough for code.
>
>>>>5 wpm is too slow to copy easily, but the ARRL test tapes are
>>>>Farnsworth method.
>>>
>>> So are the tests.
>>
>>That's what I said. I was talking about the test tapes that we use in
>>the VE tests
>
> Ah - OK. W1AW has used Farnsworth spacing in its lower-speed code
> practice since before I was a ham.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

73 de N3KIP

2041. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 04:10:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021021001050.27911.00009766@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 53857

>Subject: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/20/02 1:09 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021020140900.06896.00004244@mb-mv.aol.com>

>Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of a
>bunch of Ham Hero™ wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
>"Emergency" and "Third Watch."

Sorry, Larry. You got this one wrong....WAY wrong...

>Those who are involved in public service
>in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the voluntary
>public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
>other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
>themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among the
>paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."

Unfortunately this sounds a bit sour-grapeish. I am involved in several
public service activities and no where am I aware of the attitudes you suggest.

Furthermore, I have been a member of EMS and VFD in your area, and never
knew of that kind of attitude there, either. As a matter of fact. some of the
finest, most well developed programs, including ARES are in the NE Corridor.

>They jealously refuse to take a break...(SNIP)

Perhaps you meant "selflessly"...?

>...and will sit in the chair at the EOC
>ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped,
>just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.

OK. Lennie...I mean Larry...we've heard THIS from you before.

>It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and dedication
>of the many.

Perhaps because it usually is the FEW that do MOST of the work, Larry.
Participate in SET, organize drills with the ARC, help equip the local EOC,
routinely volunteer to be Net Controls, etc...

I am reminded of the "tale" you related a few years ago about allegedly
seeing a "ham" stopping at the scene of an accident "...madly jabbing away at
his HT while running to the rescue". Very cynical.

You have a real issue with first responders and other emergency service
providers, don't you?

>Invariably, these
>are hams whose entire life as a ham is spent on a local repeater -- and
>have no outlet for their desire to communicate other than making a
>nuisance of themselves.

...And some do it on internet newsgroups by insulting people who try to make a difference. Some successfully, some not...but they try.

>There probably are some public service oriented hams out there who have
>their hearts and minds in the right place, but in my 20-plus as a ham, I
>haven't met very many of them.

In my almost 30 years (October 27th...yea me!)...I have met legions of them.

And if you "haven't met very many of them", then I contend that your scope of reference is very limited. There are tons of them out there. Even in Deleware.

> I think that what is needed
>is some functional reform of the ARES system.

Then you've not been paying attention lately, Larry.

>I believe that Section-
>level "emergency communications" appointments should be "term-
>limited"

Term limits, whether in Amateur Radio or "real" politics are the crutch of the lazy and the irresponsible. Term limits breed mediocrity. Are YOU mediocre, Larry?

>In that case, we'll just have to
>do without "leadership" in the ARES. I think we'll survive.

I think that's pretty stupid...espeically from a former senior NCO and a person as allegedly educated as you purport to be, Larry. Where do you get this stuff? Mao's little Red Book?

>Under real circumstances where individual hams, regardless of what
>kind of important-sounding title they hold, are faced with a real world
>emergency, they will be way too involved in dealing with their personal
>and family crisis management to even be able to think of separating
>themselves from their personal obligations and sit at some Red Cross
>shelter passing traffic...(SNIP)

I think that's a pretty callous, shallow and selfish statement, considering that tons of contradicting evidence, especially in the last year, exists.

>I can just imagine
>some hysterical XYL shrieking to her OM as he calmly displaces valuable
>space for Pampers and baby formula in the SUV for a generator and a
>full-capability ham radio station. Like, that's really gonna happen, isn't
>it!

Do you make this stuff up yourself, or does someone ghost write for you?

>And it very likely won't involve anyone who was trained
>and "exercised" in providing these "cornerstone" ham radio services. It
>will be spotty, catch-as-catch-can, disorganized, chaotic, a QRM-fest of
>immense proportions, and generally ineffective and lacking in credibility
>with those professional emergency services personnel who would be
>interacting with the hams.

And since you have uttered this bitter, cynical vision of the future, the rest of us will just quit trying...OK, Larry?

And by the way...I will reiterate for you (usually saved for the West Coast Idiot) the FACTS as related to in the Federal Register...The Department of State, FEMA, the Department of Defense and other federal agencies do NOT share your bitter, self-flagellating view of Amateur Radio.

> It will be a disaster within a disaster.

Then why don't you just submit K3LT for voluntary revocation right now, Larry, and save yourself the embarrassment-by-association?

>Unless and until we find a way to eliminate the Ham Hero mentality...(SNIP)

Now I am convinced...either Lennie has hijacked Larry's account, or Larry is a bit lighter in the saddle than I gave creedence to in the first place.

>...get more rank-and-file hams involved in ARES...(SNIP)

Shall we institue the draft to Amateur Radio, Larry?

>...spread out the "stick
>time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises
>and real local emergency activations...(SNIP)

I think THIS says it all...Larry has offered his infinite wisdom in "emergencies" to agencies with which he has not previously trained or served, expecting to be immediately placed in a position of authority or responsibility, only to find that he was told "no thanks".

>....and develop an attitude of totally
>eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio
>BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications.

Ahhhhh! LARRY IS A SOCIALIST! Everyone gives/gets no more/no less than the next guy. To hell with personal initiative, sacrifice and service.

>Right now, we're not even close to being there.

Let's hope we never get close to what YOU envision, Larry. THAT will be a really sad day.

Steve

2042. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 05:05:28 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB38B18.2933D332@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53858

>
> Nothing "wrong" with it at all. But when the person doing it has lectured the
> rest of us for years about "legacy modes", "electronic paintball wars",
> "dinosaur dung encrusted regulations" and "better modes and modulations" being
> "the future of amateur radio", it sure is surreal to see that very person
> sporting a 2x1 vanity call and bragging about busting pileups on 20 SSB with a
> 100W manufactured transceiver.

He needed to get some real world experience of what working on HF is
like.... Just how is he busting pileups on 20 with a 100W rig? Better voice
processing maybe with DSP?

2043. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 05:12:55 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB38CD6.755330FB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information, see...
> (scroll down to 2.815)
>
> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_01/47cfr2_01.html
>
>
>
> 2. What verification is required? A photocopy of the buyer's license? A
> callsign that matches the name of the buyer? The person's word?

And maybe the sense you get when talking with the buyer to see if
he seems to actually know something about ham radio and not
psudo-knowledge CBers tend to have.

2044. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 05:34:27 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210210530350.1485-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 53861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Mon, 21 Oct 2002, Robert Casey wrote:
>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
>> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
>> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
>> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information, see...
>> (scroll down to 2.815)
>>
>> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_01/47cfr2_01.html
>>
>> 2. What verification is required? A photocopy of the buyer's license? A
>> callsign that matches the name of the buyer? The person's word?
>
>And maybe the sense you get when talking with the buyer to see if
>he seems to actually know something about ham radio and not
>psudo-knowledge CBers tend to have.

For #2: Indicating that you will ONLY send the item to the buyer's address as listed in the FCC's database pretty much will take care of the amateur-to-amateur exception to 47 CFR 2.815 (found at 47 CFR 97.315(b)(4) or (5)).

2045. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 05:39:36 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <P66cnUpaJeSEDi6gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 53862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> >
> > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
Federal
> > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any
external radio
> > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on
any
> > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more
information,
> see...
> > (scroll down to 2.815)
>
> YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> Yada...Yada...Yada...
>
> Ban has been in place for Years but that
> hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> you. For an example see:
>
> http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm

You think so? There was a vendor sell HF amp kits at Dayton a year or
two ago. The Detroit FCC, part of their area is Ohio, paid them a vist
out in the tent area, at the far end of the flea market. Guess what?
They, the amp kit vendor, haven't been back to Dayton since.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb

technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2046. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 05:51:16 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap0pdm42pkb@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53865

> Ryan:
>
> What in the world does "following a chain of command" or "following
orders"
> have to do with Amateur Radio? Any fellow ham who tries to "command"
> me or "order" me usually gets told which bus to take, and precisely where
> to get off! Some kind of self-styled Sgt. Rock is precisely what the ARS
> has no need for whatsoever!

Not all cops, firefighters and EMS personnel are of that mentality.

The vast majority of hams have no problem
> following the rules and regulations, so this is a totally invalid concept,
> AFAIC.

If you are working a disaster or emergency scene, I would hope that you
don't "freelance" and follow your own direction. This is assuming that you
do participate in emergency communications. Based on your statement, you do
not wish to have people follow any rules?

> Police, firemen, EMT's, etc. have their own professional communications
> systems which give them precisely the capability they need, when they
> need it, with adequate backup and emergency reserve capability. If they
> do get involved in amateur radio, it should be because they're attracted
to
> it for purely hobbyist purposes such as DX'ing, contests, rag chewing,
> and the like. They shouldn't have any need, desire, or use for Ham HeroT
> status, and if I were one of them, the last thing I'd be doing is
encouraging
> other hams to stick their noses in my business as an emergency services
> provider.

Never said anything about them using our frequencies..... Just stating that
they would be a good group to recruit from. Most of the "Ham HeroT" types
typically have not had anything to do with the emergency services anyhow.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2047. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 05:52:43 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap0pdn52pkb@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53866

BINGO!!!!!!!!!!!!!! I should have already expected Larry to respond that way..... Thanks for the wonderful clarification there Dwight!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> You're being overly sensitive, Larry. I think he was referring to their
> ability to follow a rigid rule structure like that found in the FCC rules
> concerning ham radio (rigid compared to some other radio services). His
> premise is that a person with that type of background (experience with other
> rigid radio practices) would probably more easily accept our rigid rules
> than perhaps a person without that background. Such a person might also be
> less likely to dislike or reject a service with such rules. The premise is
> sound, even if poorly stated (or perhaps even overly emphasized).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

2048. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 05:58:08 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap0pdn62pkb@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53868

```
>
> Like I said, the vast majority of hams have no problem following our
> rules and regulations. The minscule minority who do will eventually
> face the swift justice of OM Riley.
>

Like I said in response to Dwights comments.... People in that structure
(Emerg. Services) as well as people in the Military are used to following
rules, orders, processes, policies etc.. Therefore I believe that a good
percentage (obviously not all of them.... no group is totally perfect) of
them may have the aptitude to be a positive addition to our ranks.

> >His
> >premise is that a person with that type of background (experience with
other
> >rigid radio practices) would probably more easily accept our rigid rules
> >than perhaps a person without that background. Such a person might also
be
> >less likely to dislike or reject a service with such rules. The premise
is
> >sound, even if poorly stated (or perhaps even overly emphasized).
>
> No, the premise was self-serving. Ryan is obviously involved in
firefighting,
> and naturally sees firefighters and other emergency services workers as
> potentially brilliant ham radio operators.

Never said brilliant, but I still believe that they would be a positive
addition to our ranks.

Unfortunately, this is simply not
> true. People who are professionally engaged in emergency services are
> no more likely to be good, rule-abiding hams, and no less likely to be
> loose-cannon LIDs, than potential hams from any other profession. I'm
> no more enthusiastic about them as a group of potential hams than I would
> be of librarians, mailmen, construction workers, or anyone else.
>

So from that last sentence, it sounds like you do not want ANYONE as
hams.....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
```

2049. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 06:08:09 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap0pdp72pkb@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53869

>
> No dispute, Larry. However, even you must agree that certain groups of
> people are "more likely" than others to fit comfortably within the Amateur
> Radio Service. For example, "outlaw" CB'ers would not likely fit well in
ham
> radio, especially without being willing to give up the illegal activities
in
> and around the CB band.
>

There is a darn good chance that the average (from the area I live in at least) that the Emerg. Services groups are not going to be on any CB radio..... Especially acting in the manner that disgraces the 11 meter band so bad.

> No, he is self-aware. Since he is involved in firefighting, he does
> naturally see his fellow firefighters as good potential ham operators.
Just
> like I think most Coast Guard personnel would be good ham operators - the
> type of people I'd like to see in ham radio.
>

Also.... they may also serve as instructors for "some" of the different training programs applicable to us. We have a group near me that assists in Search and Rescue (more on the search side) that help walking lines with other firefighters/cops when a search for a lost senior citizen or child is needed to be done. They are not trained to be a paramedic or EMT, but are walking with someone who can respond in an EMS capacity, and are at least trained in CPR/First Aid to assist those trained in EMS. Granted this is not necessarily at the roots of our "business" for lack of better terms, but it definitely does give more visibility for us. I have ran into cops/firefighters/ems workers that had never heard of ham radio.... till they work with someone that is a ham.

>
>
> > Unfortunately, this is simply not true. People
> > who are professionally engaged in emergency
> > services are no more likely to be good, rule-
> > abiding hams, and no less likely to be loose-
> > cannon LIDs, than potential hams from any other
> > profession.
>
>
> Nonsense. Based on my experience, those who serve the public, either

paid
> or as volunteers, are far more likely to be law-abiding in every regard
and
> far less likely to be loose-cannons in any regard. Yes, while there are
> always exceptions, the exceptions are far fewer than in some other groups.

Other than the unintentional 5mph over the speed limit, I am in the group
called law abiding.... Sometimes we all forget to look at the speedometer
from time to time. I cannot afford at this point, any legal issues....

>
>
> > I'm no more enthusiastic about them as a group
> > of potential hams than I would be of librarians,
> > mailmen, construction workers, or anyone else.
>
>
> Nobody is talking about excluding one group over the other, Larry. Of
> course there are good librarians, mailmen, construction workers, and so
on.
> Indeed, I think most people are fundamentally good. But, as long as public
> service is a cornerstone of ham radio, those with a background in public
> service have unique experience to bring to the table.
>
>

BINGO again..... Some people just do not want to see the good in anything I
guess Dwight.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2050. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 06:27:45 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap0pdq82pkb@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53867

> Of course things and times have changed. Ham radio is
> now obsolete and nobody young gives a hoot about
> it anymore since you can get on the internet and talk just about
> anyplace on the planet via a modem or a DSL.
>

Can't give a hoot about something you have never heard of..... 95% of the under 21 crowd that I have dealt with regarding amateur radio, had absolutely no clue it existed before our meeting. They know now, and some have pursued further research.... and some have not.

One huge amount of competition for the kids I have run across, (the ones actually doing something good with their lives) are involved in school activities, sports, or working whatever the legal amount of hours they can work, and the competition for time is tough for us to break into their lives.

Granted, someone here will definitely make some kind of link to the negative/bad kids but I wasn't.

The main point is that there needs to be more along the way of promotion, instead of just keeping it to ourselves. This means that alot of hams, from the local level all the way up to the ARRL (and other organizations) are guilty of not promotion the hobby. At the school level is the most ideal level to incorporate the hobby.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2051. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 12:08:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210210408.71a57abc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53863

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021019235205.11392.00003901@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0210191138.425358ef@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >
> >Larry, no it won't. Even after the code exam is a dim memory in the
> >ARS, I'll always resent the way you've treated new amateurs on rrap.
>
> Brian:
>
> Then why are you still here? Why are you still a ham?

I love amateur radio. Do you?

Why has my
> offensive behaviour not caused you to go away? After all, that's was
> the intention! It was all for YOUR benefit!

I was never a No-Code Tech. You and Dick simply misunderstood. You
assumed by my defense of the no-coders and my stance on code-testing
that I was an NCT.
Thus you treated me as you did all NCTs.

> >There was, and is, no excuse for it. Just to refresh your memory,
> >here are some of your best:
>
> (Several examples snipped for my personal convenience)

Shame? I certainly hope so.

> >"...don't let the door hit you in the ass on your way out of the ARS"
>
> That advice is as good today as ever, Brian! Feel free to take it!

It could be equally applied to you.

> However, if you choose not to take it, then please acknowledge that
> I haven't used such comments lately (except for maybe the last one,
> and always in a clearly understood wry sense of humor). I've
> lightened up in my old age, in spite of the fact that there has been
> little or no reduction in the factors which made me that way in the
> first place.

OIC, the no-coders made you that way?

> I'm evolving in my attitude;

You should be commended.

> you apparently are not.

My attitude is OK. I haven't tried to run -people- out of the ARS. I have tried to make -rational- sense out of the licensing requirements and have questioned Part 97 whenever I find arbitrary and irrational rules. That is all.

I
> suggest you try taking stock and stop looking for the worst in
> people. Remember, when you do, you usually get it.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Fair enough.

2052. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 12:11:50 UTC
From: Vic Z <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org>
Message-ID: <ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:P66cnUpaJeSEDi6gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
> "blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net...
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> > >
> > > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
> Federal
> > > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any
> external radio
> > > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on
> any
> > > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more
> information,
> > see...
> > > (scroll down to 2.815)
> >
> > YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> > Yada...Yada...Yada...
> >
> > Ban has been in place for Years but that
> > hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> > of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> > I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> > in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> > do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> > purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> > you. For an example see:
> >
> > http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm
>
> You think so? There was a vendor sell HF amp kits at Dayton a year or
> two ago. The Detroit FCC, part of their area is Ohio, paid them a vist
> out in the tent area, at the far end of the flea market. Guess what?
> They, the amp kit vendor, haven't been back to Dayton since.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
```

BULL! He was there again this year, along with two others. Your lies don't scare anyone. In fact, the one vendor hits all the hamfests in the northeast and midwest. The Detroit office? You gotta be kidding, never saw a bigger bunch of more incompetent bureaucrats! They wouldn't know an amplifier from a commode.

73,

Vic Z

2053. Good long propagation on 75 Meters this morning....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 12:35:29 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <lps7ru089oo4orccuqggphr996brdcqpp7@4ax.com>
Source record: 53870

Good Morning....

it's 8:30a.m. EST. and I am listening to 3975.60 and I was hearing something kind of amazing, I was hearing a Christian Net of some sort on this frequency, I am assuming that it originats from California somewhere, because I was hearing mostly 6-landers and 0-landers... Not real strong here, but I could make calls and the fact that they were reading from the bible.

I guess the Winter Time Band conditions are coming finally.

73 to all

-Chuck K8CPA

P.S. Does anyone know which net this is???? I've never heard them before.

P.P.S. I usually meet with some Michigan Hams on 3976 Khz in the mornings and afternoons everyday, why I was listening here...

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

2054. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 13:25:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021021092544.15758.00003355@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53871

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/18/02 10:16 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021018231608.19576.00003474@mb-fo.aol.com>

>What in the world does "following a chain of command" or "following orders"
>have to do with Amateur Radio?

If you are in an ARES or other emergency programs, it means everything. Taking it upon yourself to interpret traffic or to try and inject what you "think" the message is supposed to be is wrong. Taking it upon yourself to go in a disaster area to where YOU think you are needed, rather than where the IC thinks you are needed is foolhearty also. That's what get's people labled as "Rickey Rescue".

>Any fellow ham who tries to "command"
>me or "order" me usually gets told which bus to take, and precisely where
>to get off!

I have absolutely no doubt that this is exactly so, and that on some previous occassion you showed up at an "emergency", expected to be immediately enthroned as leader, and when that didn't happen, you decided to take your ball and go home.

The rest is history.

>Some kind of self-styled Sgt. Rock is precisely what the ARS
>has no need for whatsoever!

"Sgt Rock"...?!?! No. Effective and flexible leaders? Yes!

>Police, firemen, EMT's, etc. have their own professional communications
>systems which give them precisely the capability they need, when they
>need it, with adequate backup and emergency reserve capability.

Oh? You get this tidbit from what source, Larry? Were you at Ground Zero last year? Seems that everyone EXCEPT Amateur Radio operators had a problem. And that does NOT come from any "Amateur Radio" media sources.

>If they
>do get involved in amateur radio, it should be because they're attracted to
>it for purely hobbyist purposes such as DX'ing, contests, rag chewing,
>and the like.

Bravo Sierra, Larry...PURE Bravo Sierra. Nothing more than YOUR opinion, which, along with a fin, will get you an over priced cup of coffee at Starbucks.

>They shouldn't have any need, desire, or use for Ham Hero™
>status, and if I were one of them, the last thing I'd be doing is encouraging
>other hams to stick their noses in my business as an emergency services
>provider.

But you're NOT an "emergency services provider". Nor are you, by evidence of your posts, a qualified or participating member of ARES or other recognized PS program. Probably a good reason to stay at home or to stay on your bus when trouble happens. Those of us qualified to do so will lead you, along with the women and children, to safety.

Obviously in your "twenty plus years" of Amateur Radio licensure, Larry, you have not gained any appreciation for what Amateur Radio is or can be...and that is NOT just one thing to any one person.

Amateur Radio can be all those hobby-related passtimes you cited, but it is also a resource to emergency agencies nation wide. It is also a vast playground for many who choose to experiment or learn.

Trying to say that Amateur Radio is "just" for hobby pursuits is like saying only redheads are "real" women. It just ain't true.

Steve, K4YZ

2055. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 14:24:32 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DB40E21.16622B64@psu.edu>
Source record: 53872

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of a
> bunch of Ham Heroâ□¢ wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
> "Emergency" and "Third Watch." Those who are involved in public service
> in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the voluntary
> public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
> other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
> themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among the
> paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."
> They jealously refuse to take a break, and will sit in the chair at the EOC
> ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped,
> just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.

Weooo, Larry! You have something in for public service for sure! I help out at every Public service event our club has. I could care less about any recognition for it. It's a way of giving back to the community.

Also your view of PS is a little narrow. It isn't just setting in front of a mic during emergencies. Helping with charity events and non profit groups is just as important a form of PS.

> It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and dedication
> of the many.

As is a large portion of life in general.

> There probably are some public service oriented hams out there who have
> their hearts and minds in the right place, but in my 20-plus as a ham, I
> haven't met very many of them.

Gotta hang out with a better crowd, Larry! 8^)

> Under real circumstances where individual hams, regardless of what
> kind of important-sounding title they hold, are faced with a real world
> emergency, they will be way too involved in dealing with their personal
> and family crisis management to even be able to think of separating
> themselves from their personal obligations and sit at some Red Cross
> shelter passing traffic -- unless they just end up there as a natural
> result of the event itself.

Of course, that just might be what happens. No one is expecting the ham do sacrifice his or her family to sit in front of a mic. The reason to have lots of hams trained in emergency ops (yes even Field Day) is so that if an emergency happens, *some* ham, somewhere, will be able to get communications into and out of the area.

The ham in the emergency area is much more important than the
multitudes that work outside the emergency area.

Whether they will end up there with everything
> they need to communicate will be another matter. I can just imagine
> some hysterical XYL shrieking to her OM as he calmly displaces valuable
> space for Pampers and baby formula in the SUV for a generator and a
> full-capability ham radio station. Like, that's really gonna happen, isn't it!

Not likely, but that is a pretty strange scenario to be sure. More
likely, some disaster will happen, and some ham will have a station
ready to go at home wilth emergency power and will then serve as a relay point.

> If any area gets hit with the Real Thing in a Really Bad Way, ham radio
> "emergency communication" isn't going to be anything like anyone has
> planned it to be. And it very likely won't involve anyone who was trained
> and "exercised" in providing these "cornerstone" ham radio services.

Yup, that is why it's incumbent upon all hams to be prepared at some
level to help. Disasters are inherently random, and who knows who will
be able to help.

> will be spotty, catch-as-catch-can, disorganized, chaotic, a QRM-fest of
> immense proportions, and generally ineffective and lacking in credibility
> with those professional emergency services personnel who would be
> interacting with the hams. It will be a disaster within a disaster.

I don't think so. First, in the disaster area, there won't be all that
many operators. Second, I give hams better credit than that - I don't
think we'll lose all our discipline. Third, even our local Sunday
ARES/RACES net is a form of training for coverage and operations
protocol. Field day is a form of training for emergency operations.

> Unless and until we find a way to eliminate the Ham Hero mentality,
> get more rank-and-file hams involved in ARES, spread out the "stick
> time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises
> and real local emergency activations, and develop an attitude of totally
> eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio
> BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications.
> Right now, we're not even close to being there.

I think you are letting the few dorks get you all spun up, Larry! There
are idiots in all the services. But "Illegetimi non carborundum, I say.

You do have a good point about getting the rank and file more stick
time in practice and real emergencies.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2056. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 15:25:03 UTC
From: Jan ter Doest <j.ter.doest@hccnet.nl>
Message-ID: <ap1685$2gt$1@news.hccnet.nl>
Source record: 53873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:g4As9.4679$071.425102@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> This regulation seems fairly clear, but I see ham operators
routinely
> selling amplifiers on auction sites and ham fests without any
obvious
> attempt to verify the license status of the buyer, so perhaps I'm
missing
> something.
>

I think most of us (most = >95%) know that our buyer is another
licensed
ham.

One way to get high blood pressure is to go mountain climbing over
molehills.

ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

2057. Re: KV4FZ to return to Ham Radio! whoopiee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 20:01:45 UTC
From: bpnjensen@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <37af7e1c.0210211201.678a81c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"candidate fartknocker" <pfhblttt5000@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3db36a8d@snipnews.snip.net>...

> > PRESS RELEASE
> >
> > BARF will rise again. (Turds float.)
>
> (..that has got to be one of the best one-liner replies
> I've seen in this NG in quite a while)
>
> Ed FartKnocker
>
> ps: Healthnotes for hams - Turdelets attain maximum positive bouyancy often
> because of a diet rich in fatty and greasy foods. if your turds *sink* your
> eating right. If they float, cut back on the BigMac's.

Heh - heh - maybe we ought to call Lloyd "Big Mac" from now on. With
his interminable good cheer and jolly aspect, he strikes me as being
about as buoyant as anyone.

Bruce Jensen

2058. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 21:32:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210211332.59cd38ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53875

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021021092544.15758.00003355@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >Date: 10/18/02 10:16 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20021018231608.19576.00003474@mb-fo.aol.com>
>
> >What in the world does "following a chain of command" or "following orders"
> >have to do with Amateur Radio?
>
> If you are in an ARES or other emergency programs, it means everything.
> Taking it upon yourself to interpret traffic or to try and inject what you
> "think" the message is supposed to be is wrong. Taking it upon yourself to go
> in a disaster area to where YOU think you are needed, rather than where the IC
> thinks you are needed is foolhearty also. That's what get's people labled as
> "Rickey Rescue".

And here only a few short years ago, Larry was chiding no-coders for
having a "shack on a belt" and chasing ambulances.

> >Any fellow ham who tries to "command"
> >me or "order" me usually gets told which bus to take, and precisely where
> >to get off!
>
> I have absolutely no doubt that this is exactly so, and that on some
> previous occassion you showed up at an "emergency", expected to be immediately
> enthroned as leader, and when that didn't happen, you decided to take your ball
> and go home.
>
> The rest is history.

Holy Cow!!!! Is that what happened? I thought it was just a busted
"Emergency Test" that nobody came to.

> >Some kind of self-styled Sgt. Rock is precisely what the ARS
> >has no need for whatsoever!
>
> "Sgt Rock"...?!?! No. Effective and flexible leaders? Yes!
>
>
> >Police, firemen, EMT's, etc. have their own professional communications
> >systems which give them precisely the capability they need, when they
> >need it, with adequate backup and emergency reserve capability.
>
> Oh? You get this tidbit from what source, Larry? Were you at Ground Zero

> last year? Seems that everyone EXCEPT Amateur Radio operators had a problem.
> And that does NOT come from any "Amateur Radio" media sources.

I heard a lot about cell phone users able to notify loved ones that
they were OK, and a few even used cell phones to call for help.

> >If they
> >do get involved in amateur radio, it should be because they're attracted to
> >it for purely hobbyist purposes such as DX'ing, contests, rag chewing,
> >and the like.
>
> Bravo Sierra, Larry...PURE Bravo Sierra. Nothing more than YOUR opinion,
> which, along with a fin, will get you an over priced cup of coffee at
> Starbucks.

And Larry claims to be an "Official ARRL Bulletin Station." Someone
had GOT to be bootlegging his screen name.

> >They shouldn't have any need, desire, or use for Ham Hero?
> >status, and if I were one of them, the last thing I'd be doing is encouraging
> >other hams to stick their noses in my business as an emergency services
> >provider.
>
> But you're NOT an "emergency services provider". Nor are you, by evidence
> of your posts, a qualified or participating member of ARES or other recognized
> PS program. Probably a good reason to stay at home or to stay on your bus when
> trouble happens. Those of us qualified to do so will lead you, along with the
> women and children, to safety.

Do a NEO on Larry? You betcha.

> Obviously in your "twenty plus years" of Amateur Radio licensure, Larry,
> you have not gained any appreciation for what Amateur Radio is or can be...and
> that is NOT just one thing to any one person.

Yes it is. It just a 20WPM Morse Code test to Larry.

> Amateur Radio can be all those hobby-related passtimes you cited, but it
> is also a resource to emergency agencies nation wide. It is also a vast
> playground for many who choose to experiment or learn.
>
> Trying to say that Amateur Radio is "just" for hobby pursuits is like
> saying only redheads are "real" women. It just ain't true.

I like red heads. Especially the auburn variety.

> Steve, K4YZ

Brian

2059. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 21:39:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210211339.7bc79091@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53876

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021020140900.06896.00004244@mb-mv.aol.com>...
> In article <B9D7E04C.BF13%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:
>
> > Nobody is talking about excluding one group over the other, Larry. Of
> >course there are good librarians, mailmen, construction workers, and so on.
> >Indeed, I think most people are fundamentally good. But, as long as public
> >service is a cornerstone of ham radio, those with a background in public
> >service have unique experience to bring to the table.
>
> Dwight:
>
> Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of a
> bunch of Ham Hero? wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
> "Emergency" and "Third Watch." Those who are involved in public service
> in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the voluntary
> public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
> other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
> themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among the
> paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."
> They jealously refuse to take a break, and will sit in the chair at the EOC
> ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped,
> just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.
>
> It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and dedication
> of the many. In most cases, ham radio operators who come out of the
> woodwork during "emergencies" (usually bad weather nowhere near
> disaster-causing proportions) make total fools out of themselves on-the-air
> by reporting things that have no need to be reported. Invariably, these
> are hams whose entire life as a ham is spent on a local repeater -- and
> have no outlet for their desire to communicate other than making a
> nuisance of themselves.
>
> There probably are some public service oriented hams out there who have
> their hearts and minds in the right place, but in my 20-plus as a ham, I
> haven't met very many of them. I've probably just been unlucky to always
> have been exposed to the negative type. From what I have heard and
> read, my experience is hardly unique, either. I think that what is needed
> is some functional reform of the ARES system. I believe that Section-
> level "emergency communications" appointments should be "term-
> limited" -- i.e. EC, SEC, DEC, etc. would only be permitted to serve in
> that capacity in their section for, say, three years, max. I recognize that
> in some smaller sections (like Delaware), that may not be a good solution,

> since few people want to take the jobs. In that case, we'll just have to
> do without "leadership" in the ARES. I think we'll survive.
>
> Under real circumstances where individual hams, regardless of what
> kind of important-sounding title they hold, are faced with a real world
> emergency, they will be way too involved in dealing with their personal
> and family crisis management to even be able to think of separating
> themselves from their personal obligations and sit at some Red Cross
> shelter passing traffic -- unless they just end up there as a natural
> result of the event itself. Whether they will end up there with everything
> they need to communicate will be another matter. I can just imagine
> some hysterical XYL shrieking to her OM as he calmly displaces valuable
> space for Pampers and baby formula in the SUV for a generator and a
> full-capability ham radio station. Like, that's really gonna happen, isn't it!
>
> If any area gets hit with the Real Thing in a Really Bad Way, ham radio
> "emergency communication" isn't going to be anything like anyone has
> planned it to be. And it very likely won't involve anyone who was trained
> and "exercised" in providing these "cornerstone" ham radio services. It
> will be spotty, catch-as-catch-can, disorganized, chaotic, a QRM-fest of
> immense proportions, and generally ineffective and lacking in credibility
> with those professional emergency services personnel who would be
> interacting with the hams. It will be a disaster within a disaster.
>
> Unless and until we find a way to eliminate the Ham Hero mentality,
> get more rank-and-file hams involved in ARES, spread out the "stick
> time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises
> and real local emergency activations, and develop an attitude of totally
> eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio
> BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications.
> Right now, we're not even close to being there.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, speaking of "Ham Hero? wannabes," I recall an episode RRAP Blue where a 3-land Extra set up his own Simulated Emergency Test (SET) and no-one came.

Thus Ham Radio is declared "merely a hobby" by this disappointed wannabe.

2060. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 21:44:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210211344.156b2e3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53877

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021021001050.27911.00009766@mb-df.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >Date: 10/20/02 1:09 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20021020140900.06896.00004244@mb-mv.aol.com>
>
> >Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of a
> >bunch of Ham Hero™ wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
> >"Emergency" and "Third Watch."
>
> Sorry, Larry. You got this one wrong....WAY wrong...
>
> >Those who are involved in public service
> >in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the voluntary
> >public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
> >other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
> >themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among the
> >paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."
>
> Unfortunately this sounds a bit sour-grapeish. I am involved in several
> public service activities and no where am I aware of the attitudes you suggest.
>
> Furthermore, I have been a member of EMS and VFD in your area, and never
> knew of that kind of attitude there, either. As a matter of fact. some of the
> finest, most well developed programs, including ARES are in the NE Corridor.
>
> >They jealously refuse to take a break...(SNIP)
>
> Perhaps you meant "selflessly"...?
>
> >...and will sit in the chair at the EOC
> >ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped,
> >just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.
>
> OK. Lennie...I mean Larry...we've heard THIS from you before.
>
> >It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and dedication
> >of the many.
>
> Perhaps because it usually is the FEW that do MOST of the work, Larry.
> Participate in SET, organize drills with the ARC, help equip the local EOC,
> routinely volunteer to be Net Controls, etc...
>

> I am reminded of the "tale" you related a few years ago about allegedly
> seeing a "ham" stopping at the scene of an accident "...madly jabbing away at
> his HT while running to the rescue". Very cynical.
>
> You have a real issue with first responders and other emergency service
> providers, don't you?

Somebody has to be first, and apparently, it's never been Larry. I
was a WXMAN for 20+ years and never saw a tornado. That's OK by me.

2061. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 21:45:47 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210211345.670b8c5b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53878

Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
news:<3DB37023.226B72CC@hotnet.net>...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > I am reminded of the "tale" you related a few years ago about allegedly
> > seeing a "ham" stopping at the scene of an accident "...madly jabbing away at
> > his HT while running to the rescue". Very cynical.
>
> Larry is such a superior ham that to him anything any other ham
> does is simply foolish.

I won't "Pick On" Larry anymore, but that about nails it down. All
except for the code stuff.

2062. Re: Scammer in .RU wants you to send money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-21 22:03:06 UTC
From: Craig Petersen <n7uqa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3DB4799A.BD9A18C7@arrl.net>
Source record: 53879

I for one am getting really sick of this problem. If the ARRL wants to provide a e-mail remailing service the sysadmins need to get off their duffs and keep spammers from using the remailing server. One way is either to have them switch to "mailman" or get them to edit the access file in sendmail to block domains used by spammers.

I operate a mail server at home and had to resort to draconian measures to stop most of the spam I get. As a mater of fact "userline.ru" is blocked by my mail server, but it's a little difficult to block spam when it's being forwarded by a server that I allow to pass..... go figure.

Craig - N7UQA

Powered by Solaris,
UltraSPARC driven...

Keith wrote:
>
> The old we will do if you don't send us money scam has now hit hams with
> the help of the ARRL servers.
>
> Return-Path: <vm@userline.ru>
> Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> by sccrgwc03.attbi.com
> (InterMail vM.4.01.03.37 201-229-121-137-20020806) with ESMTP
> id
> <20021020222821.WGC1457.sccrgwc03.attbi.com@xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net>;
> Sun, 20 Oct 2002 22:28:21 +0000
> Received: from dialup.freenet.ru ([208.62.44.35])
> by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with SMTP id g9KMPFF25479;
> Sun, 20 Oct 2002 17:25:16 -0500
> Message-Id: <200210202225.g9KMPFF25479@xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net>
> From: Valentin<vm@userline.ru>
> Subject: PLEASE HELP
> Reply-To: vm@userline.ru
> X-Priority: 1
> X-MSMail-Priority: High
> X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.5
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> Date: Sun, 20 Oct 2002 23:22:30 +0400
>
> Dear Colleague,
>

> Please excuse me for any inconvenience caused by this message. I'm a radio
> amateur and have decided to appeal to you because of our hopeless situation.
>
> My name is Valentin, I'm a student and I live with my mother and brother in
> the city of Kaluga, Russia. My mother is an invalid because she lost her
> sight. She does not see and she receives a pension very rare from the
> government which is not enough even for supporting our lives.
>
> My brother is a heavy invalid too, because of the accident happened 5 years
> ago. A big part of his body is paralyzed. The corrupt government does not pay
> any pension to him and to many other Russian invalids.
>
> During several years I take care of my mother and brother. I have a small
> piece of land in the forest where grow vegetables during the summer. But this
> summer was very hot and it was not raining, therefore all the vegetables
> withered.
>
> I'm very afraid that the cold winter is setting in and I do not know what my
> family could eat afterwards. Several months ago I sold my radio equipment, but
> it did not help to keep us alive for a long time. Therefore all of us are
> doomed to starvation and death.
>
> The only chance we have to survive is to use public access to the internet at
> our school to appeal to you directly for help. If you have any old warm
> clothes which you are not using anymore, as well as anything that is possible
> to eat, I would be more than happy if you could send it to our home address
> is:
>
> Inyragva Zvunvyva,
> Elyrrin Fgerrg, 6-45
> Xnyhtn. 248030
> Ehffvn.
>
> If you think that it would be better to send some money, please write me back
> and I will give you details on the best way of sending it safely. It is a
> very good way to help, because of the crisis food-stuffs in Russia are very
> cheap.
>
> I pray very much for your help and I hope to hear from you soon.
>
> 73,
>
> Valentin and my family.
> Kaluga. Russia.
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> http://home.attbi.com/~n6jpa/

> Win32 Freeware
> PGP/Open PGP
> NW Oregon Shortwave, Ham and Police/Fire Radio
>

2063. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 00:09:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021021200926.02651.00001298@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 53880

In article <20021021092544.15758.00003355@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>What in the world does "following a chain of command" or "following orders"
>>have to do with Amateur Radio?
>
> If you are in an ARES or other emergency programs, it means everything.
>Taking it upon yourself to interpret traffic or to try and inject what you
>"think" the message is supposed to be is wrong.

"Chain of command" et al can be as simple as following the instructions of a net control station. Sounds simple, but without it things come unglued pretty rapidly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2064. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 01:06:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021021210628.00278.00005481@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 53881

In article <ap0pdn62pkb@enews4.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>
> Unfortunately, this is simply not
>> true. People who are professionally engaged in emergency services are
>> no more likely to be good, rule-abiding hams, and no less likely to be
>> loose-cannon LIDs, than potential hams from any other profession. I'm
>> no more enthusiastic about them as a group of potential hams than I would
>> be of librarians, mailmen, construction workers, or anyone else.
>>
>
>So from that last sentence, it sounds like you do not want ANYONE as
>hams.....

Yawn! No, Ryan, that's NOT what I said! I guess it's what YOU wanted
to SEE me saying, so you said it for me. I'll try one last time.

You obviously have an axe to grind with firefighters and other emergency
services personnel since you (presumably) work within that field, or serve
as a volunteer firefighter, or whatever. You haven't said, but there is that
implication, so whatever your reality is, I'm just going by what I've seen.
In this way, I guess it's natural for you to view firefighters as being better
suited to be hams than people from other walks of life. However, this
viewpoint is self-serving, in your case, and not necessarily true. In fact,
it is highly likely that the fire houses of America are full of all the exactly
WRONG people to be hams. This is why I stated that if a firefighter,
for example, was attracted to ham radio for the "usual" reasons --
technical advancement, DX, contesting, rag chewing, and not necessarily
as an extension of what he is doing as a firefighter, then he/she is more
likely to be a good ham. If all he wants is another HT hanging from his
belt, and another opportunity to swing a mic to his mouth and respond
to another emergency (real or manufacturered), then he is not what I'd
call a good ham, and I wouldn't necesarily be making an effort to "recruit"
him to the ARS.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2065. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 01:25:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210211725.22dc62fa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53882

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<s7Mr9.309$U97.33658@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > Ducky wunnerful: Kindly put me on the list for one of yer ......
>
> Another curmudgeon sitting on the sidelines hooting and blowing his
> noisemaker, rather than getting in the game and playing a couple of downs
> for the fun of it.

Predictably from another curmudgeon who isn't gonna write any more DSP
code than I am.

Game? Last time I looked it was RRAP, 30,000 more to go . .

Bleh.

>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2066. Re: KV4FZ to return to Ham Radio! whoopiee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 01:39:04 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB4AC38.A420564F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Joe S." wrote:

> Here's a quarter. Call someone who gives a ****

In these parts it's 35 cents.

Besides, did he misbehave on the air to any serious degree
-on the air- ?

2067. Re: KV4FZ to return to Ham Radio! whoopiee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 01:40:48 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB4ACA0.457507CA@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

bpnjensen wrote:

> "candidate fartknocker" <pfhblttt5000@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3db36a8d@snipnews.snip.net>...
>
> > > PRESS RELEASE
> > >
> > > BARF will rise again. (Turds float.)
> > >
> > (..that has got to be one of the best one-liner replies
> > I've seen in this NG in quite a while)
> >
> > Ed FartKnocker
> >
> > ps: Healthnotes for hams - Turdelets attain maximum positive bouyancy often
> > because of a diet rich in fatty and greasy foods. if your turds *sink* your
> > eating right. If they float, cut back on the BigMac's.
>
> Heh - heh - maybe we ought to call Lloyd "Big Mac" from now on.

Does he tell "Whoppers"? "Whopper" being a term for a tall tale....

2068. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 01:46:34 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB4ADFA.1984C98@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"D. Stussy" wrote:

> On Mon, 21 Oct 2002, Robert Casey wrote:
> >Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
> >> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
> >> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
> >> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information, see...
> >> (scroll down to 2.815)
> >>
> >> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_01/47cfr2_01.html
> >>
> >> 2. What verification is required? A photocopy of the buyer's license? A
> >> callsign that matches the name of the buyer? The person's word?
> >
> >And maybe the sense you get when talking with the buyer to see if
> >he seems to actually know something about ham radio and not
> >psudo-knowledge CBers tend to have.
>
> For #2: Indicating that you will ONLY send the item to the buyer's address as
> listed in the FCC's database pretty much will take care of the
> amateur-to-amateur exception to 47 CFR 2.815 (found at 47 CFR 97.315(b)(4) or
> (5)).

I was thinking of hamfests, where it's cash and carry.... But if the name and
address on his driver license matches what is listed for his ham call, then
that should be enough.

2069. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 01:47:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021021214721.12983.00000099@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 53886

In article <ap0pdq82pkb@enews4.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>Can't give a hoot about something you have never heard of.....

BINGO!!!

> 95% of the
>under 21 crowd that I have dealt with regarding amateur radio, had
>absolutely no clue it existed before our meeting.

Prolly 90% of the over-21 crowd is in the same boat.

>They know now, and some
>have pursued further research.... and some have not.

Maybe 2% will be interested. That's a lot of new hams.
>
> One huge amount of competition for the kids I have run across, (the ones
>actually doing something good with their lives) are involved in school
>activities, sports, or working whatever the legal amount of hours they can
>work, and the competition for time is tough for us to break into their
>lives.

Very true. One of the ways parents try to keep kids out of "trouble" is to hook them up with all sorts of organized activities. Sometimes too many organized activities.

>The main point is that there needs to be more along the way of promotion,
>instead of just keeping it to ourselves. This means that alot of hams, from
>the local level all the way up to the ARRL (and other organizations) are
>guilty of not promotion the hobby. At the school level is the most ideal
>level to incorporate the hobby.

All true, and something to keep repeating. Amateur radio ties into the curriculum in many ways - math, science, geography, history, computers, communications, and much more.

Good observations, Ryan.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2070. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 01:57:11 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB4B077.6491770D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53887

N2EY wrote:

> As of May 14, 2000:
>
>
> Extra - 78,750
>
>
>
> As of October 15, 2002:
>
>
> Extra - 102,834 (increase of 24,084)
>

That would mean that there are now 24 thousand "extra lites"
out there (I'm one of those). :-)

That's about 24%, and the fact that we put in for and got it
means that we are likely to be a higher percentage of active
on the air extras, at least on phone. I imagine a few of us
can be found on the 20+wpm CW QSOs and nets....

2071. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 02:07:02 UTC
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <ap2bs6npu0@216.155.33.66>
Source record: 53888

Brian wrote:

> > Unless and until we find a way to eliminate the Ham Hero mentality,
> > get more rank-and-file hams involved in ARES, spread out the "stick
> > time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises
> > and real local emergency activations, and develop an attitude of totally
> > eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio
> > BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications.
> > Right now, we're not even close to being there.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Larry, speaking of "Ham Hero? wannabes," I recall an episode RRAP Blue
> where a 3-land Extra set up his own Simulated Emergency Test (SET) and
> no-one came.
>
> Thus Ham Radio is declared "merely a hobby" by this disappointed
> wannabe.

Brian:

I wasn't disappointed one little bit. I was hoping that I'd be proved
wrong about what I'd been saying about the dumbing-down of licensing
requirements, but unfortunately, I wasn't that lucky. If even one
person
playing "radio cop" had answered me saying I wasn't authorized to
conduct
my own "simulated emergency test," I would have declared the experiment
a success. However, that didn't happen.

BTW -- do you think there was anything illegal about what I did? I'd
like to hear your point of view.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2072. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 02:32:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210211832.2cda4bd2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53889

dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) wrote in message
news:<aoq518$1lma$1@heap.juniper.net>...
> Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> >"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> >news:<WemcnXkCWLuOrTOgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> >> Most of us working in the electronics industry realize that most of
> >> the "signal level" functions done in our rigs are mathematical
> >> functions, and implemented imperfectly by analog circuits. So just
> >> chuck the troublesome analog parts, and perform the functions in
> >> software, A.K.A. DSP. All the SDR rig needs is just enough hardware to
> >> digitize the input signal, and a PA section to pump the results out to
> >> the antenna.
> >
> >Ducky wunnerful: Kindly put me on the list for one of yer floppies of
> >the program which "provides" a rcvr which has a front end 1.0dB noise
> >figure on 432Mhz after you chuck all those imperfect analog parts.
>
> You miss the point. The digital stuff doesn't necessarily replace the
> analog parts in the receiver's front end, but it certainly replaces
> the analog parts in the demodulators and provides filtering appropriate
> for whatever it is you are demodulating. Similarly, it doesn't replace
> the analog parts in the transmitter's power amplifier, it just replaces
> the modulators.

A 500w AM "DSP modulator" . . awesome! I knew we din need that monster
xfmr . .

>
> A receiver doesn't usually need more than one front end, so soldering
> together a good one built from whatever you want is fine with me.

I'm really delighted that I have your permission to do that Dennis,
thankew.

> A
> receiver can potentially make use of an almost unlimited number of
> demodulators, however, so who knows what you could come up with if you
> didn't have to find board space for a bunch more analog parts every time
> you wanted to try building a new one.

Been done, it was called the Kachina.

>
> >Hell, I'll even settle for a 455Khz DSP filter which can even approach
> >the shape factor of an ancient 500Hz Collins mech filter.
>

> Give me the amplitude and phase delay response charactistics and I'll
> synthesize one for you. Of course, if a 50 Hz filter with constant
> group delay is really more appropriate for the signal you are trying
> to demodulate I can do one of those with the same hardware, while you'll
> be waiting a long time for Collins to build one and then looking for
> yet more board space to solder it into.

The Kachina has a 50Hz filter, so does my NRD-545 and I've run dx with
'em both on 40 cw. Both of those so-called 50Hz DSP filters SUCK out
where the rubber hits the road.

Actually, here's yer opportunity to become a real ham hero.
"Synthesize" a plug-in 500Hz 455Khz filter with a 60dB down shape
factor of 1.3 for lameass old CW which plugs into . . um . . a 75A4 or
a meatball 75S3B or an FT-1000MP or . . take yer pick. And which has a
real-time response @ 50wpm. OK, OK, jeez, I'll give ya 3ms for
processing time. Gets down to put up or shut up and all that.

> >w3rv
> >
> >Hey Leland, what's the blocking dynamic range of yer laptop on 40cw?
>
> Mine's 110 db according to the spec sheet for the A/D converter.

My moded 75A4 had a *measured* BDR of 110dB @ 7.0Mhz. In 1960. Whatta
leap . .

> I
> don't mind adding analog stuff in front of it, however.

Dayum, I'm glad you don't mind those analog parts . . whew . . I
thought for a minute there . .

>
> Dennis Ferguson

w3rv

2073. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 02:41:25 UTC
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <ap2dsluhm0@216.155.33.66>
Source record: 53890

mike coslo wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> > There probably are some public service oriented hams out there who have
> > their hearts and minds in the right place, but in my 20-plus as a ham, I
> > haven't met very many of them.
>
> Gotta hang out with a better crowd, Larry! 8^)

Mike:

I'm not going to deny that!

> > Under real circumstances where individual hams, regardless of what
> > kind of important-sounding title they hold, are faced with a real world
> > emergency, they will be way too involved in dealing with their personal
> > and family crisis management to even be able to think of separating
> > themselves from their personal obligations and sit at some Red Cross
> > shelter passing traffic -- unless they just end up there as a natural
> > result of the event itself.
>
> Of course, that just might be what happens. No one is expecting the ham
> do sacrifice his or her family to sit in front of a mic. The reason to
> have lots of hams trained in emergency ops (yes even Field Day) is so
> that if an emergency happens, *some* ham, somewhere, will be able to
> get communications into and out of the area.

Finally -- someone who "gets it." The problem is, in every club I've
been
involved with, ALL (meaning 100%) of the "emergency service" hands-on
operating is done by a tightly-bundled, exclusive, not-to-be-intruded-
upon group of long-time entrenched hams who have always "been there done
that." There is absolutely no room for any newcomers -- no need to take
a break, no need to take a "shift" -- no need for anyone but THEM. This
is probably why, when some kind of minor (usually weather related)
situation
arises, new hams with a burning desire to do SOMETHING related to
providing
Public Service act like a bunch of hopelessly clueless wannabes --
because
that's all they'll ever be. They have no way to break into the core of
the local Public Service group unless someone who's been there all their
life goes SK -- or gets a job, maybe!

> The ham in the emergency area is much more important than the
> multitudes that work outside the emergency area.

Never in a million years.

> Whether they will end up there with everything
> > they need to communicate will be another matter. I can just imagine
> > some hysterical XYL shrieking to her OM as he calmly displaces valuable
> > space for Pampers and baby formula in the SUV for a generator and a
> > full-capability ham radio station. Like, that's really gonna happen, isn't it!
>
> Not likely, but that is a pretty strange scenario to be sure. More
> likely, some disaster will happen, and some ham will have a station
> ready to go at home wilth emergency power and will then serve as a relay point.

If that's the case, it wouldn't be much of a local emergency, IMHO. I'm
talking
major disaster here -- earthquake/hurricane class -- something that
causes widespread
evacuation from homes -- including those of the Public Service-minded
hams.
What "emergency" capabilities are available at home are, also IMHO,
irrelevant to
anyone who considers him/herself "prepared" as a ham.

> > If any area gets hit with the Real Thing in a Really Bad Way, ham radio
> > "emergency communication" isn't going to be anything like anyone has
> > planned it to be. And it very likely won't involve anyone who was trained
> > and "exercised" in providing these "cornerstone" ham radio services.
>
> Yup, that is why it's incumbent upon all hams to be prepared at some
> level to help. Disasters are inherently random, and who knows who will
> be able to help.

Right. And with ARES groups the way they are in most areas, for the
most part,
this public service emergency communications capability we tout so
heavily as
our reason for hoarding billions of dollars worth of valuable spectrum
just
doesn't exist.

> > will be spotty, catch-as-catch-can, disorganized, chaotic, a QRM-fest of
> > immense proportions, and generally ineffective and lacking in credibility
> > with those professional emergency services personnel who would be
> > interacting with the hams. It will be a disaster within a disaster.
>
> I don't think so. First, in the disaster area, there won't be all that
> many operators. Second, I give hams better credit than that - I don't
> think we'll lose all our discipline. Third, even our local Sunday
> ARES/RACES net is a form of training for coverage and operations
> protocol. Field day is a form of training for emergency operations.

I'm guessing that in a lot of places, real-world emergency comms are
going
to be carried out by hams who have never participated in an ARES/RACES
net in their lives -- but just happened to be lucky enough to survive
the
disaster with their comm capability intact.

> > Unless and until we find a way to eliminate the Ham Hero mentality,
> > get more rank-and-file hams involved in ARES, spread out the "stick
> > time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises
> > and real local emergency activations, and develop an attitude of totally
> > eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio
> > BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications.
> > Right now, we're not even close to being there.
>
> I think you are letting the few dorks get you all spun up, Larry! There
> are idiots in all the services. But "Illegetimi non carborundum, I say.

From what I've experienced, the problem is widespread -- in fact, it is
virtually universal.

> You do have a good point about getting the rank and file more stick
> time in practice and real emergencies.

Thanks -- now how do we manage to make it happen? The Ham Heroes are
tied to
their chairs and the microphones are glued to their hands!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2074. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 03:15:58 UTC
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <ap2fte$575$0@216.155.33.66>
Source record: 53891

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of a
> >bunch of Ham Heroâ□¢ wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
> >"Emergency" and "Third Watch."
>
> Sorry, Larry. You got this one wrong....WAY wrong...

Sorry, Steve, but I'm right and I've just skewered your sacred cow!

> >Those who are involved in public service
> >in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the voluntary
> >public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
> >other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
> >themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among the
> >paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."
>
> Unfortunately this sounds a bit sour-grapeish. I am involved in several
> public service activities and no where am I aware of the attitudes you suggest.

Most likely because you are one of the long-term, accepted, "insiders."
You're not a new ham trying to break into Public Service, but have
nowhere
to go and nothing to do once you get there.

> Furthermore, I have been a member of EMS and VFD in your area, and never
> knew of that kind of attitude there, either. As a matter of fact. some of the
> finest, most well developed programs, including ARES are in the NE Corridor.

Well, I've not encountered anything of the sort in the MDC or DE
sections. WNY
is probably a bit better, but it still has the same problems of there
being
no room for newcomers.

> >They jealously refuse to take a break...(SNIP)
>
> Perhaps you meant "selflessly"...?

No, Steve, I said what I meant and I meant what I said.

> >...and will sit in the chair at the EOC
> >ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped,
> >just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.
>
> OK. Lennie...I mean Larry...we've heard THIS from you before.

And you're not gonna stop hearing it until something changes. And
there's no need to get insulting!

> >It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and dedication
> >of the many.
>
> Perhaps because it usually is the FEW that do MOST of the work, Larry.

BECAUSE THAT'S THE WAY THEY WANT IT TO BE, STEVE!!! This is my whole
point!

> Participate in SET, organize drills with the ARC, help equip the local EOC,
> routinely volunteer to be Net Controls, etc...
>
> I am reminded of the "tale" you related a few years ago about allegedly
> seeing a "ham" stopping at the scene of an accident "...madly jabbing away at
> his HT while running to the rescue". Very cynical.
>
> You have a real issue with first responders and other emergency service
> providers, don't you?

Not the trained professionals -- only the Ham Hero(tm) wannabes!

> >Invariably, these
> >are hams whose entire life as a ham is spent on a local repeater -- and
> >have no outlet for their desire to communicate other than making a
> >nuisance of themselves.
>
> ...And some do it on internet newsgroups by insulting people who try to
> make a difference. Some successfully, some not...but they try.

Hey, this resouce exists, and I use it.

> >There probably are some public service oriented hams out there who have
> >their hearts and minds in the right place, but in my 20-plus as a ham, I
> >haven't met very many of them.
>
> In my almost 30 years (October 27th...yea me!)...I have met legions of
> them.

I'm glad for you.

> And if you "haven't met very many of them", then I contend that your scope
> of reference is very limited. There are tons of them out there. Even in
> Deleware.

BWAAAAHAAAAAAAHAAAAAAAAA!!!!!!! I'm just glad I didn't have a mouthful
of
tea when I read that!

> > I think that what is needed
> >is some functional reform of the ARES system.
>
> Then you've not been paying attention lately, Larry.

To what, Steve?

> >I believe that Section-
> >level "emergency communications" appointments should be "term-
> >limited"
>
> Term limits, whether in Amateur Radio or "real" politics are the crutch of
> the lazy and the irresponsible. Term limits breed mediocrity. Are YOU
> mediocre, Larry?

No, Steve. Lifetime incumbency breeds the contempt which comes from
familiarity,
and the tendency to be responsive to special interests rather than the
needs
of the public. Term limits make sense in politics, and they would make
more
sense in the ARRL Field Organization's emergency service appointments.

> >In that case, we'll just have to
> >do without "leadership" in the ARES. I think we'll survive.
>
> I think that's pretty stupid...espeically from a former senior NCO and a
> person as allegedly educated as you purport to be, Larry. Where do you get
> this stuff? Mao's little Red Book?

Not at all. It comes from having a charactistic which is increasingly
lacking in people today -- common sense.

> >Under real circumstances where individual hams, regardless of what
> >kind of important-sounding title they hold, are faced with a real world
> >emergency, they will be way too involved in dealing with their personal
> >and family crisis management to even be able to think of separating
> >themselves from their personal obligations and sit at some Red Cross
> >shelter passing traffic...(SNIP)
>
> I think that's a pretty callous, shallow and selfish statement,
> considering that tons of contradicting evidence, especially in the last year,
> exists.

I'm sure the hams who participated in 9/11 managed to find some way to
make themselves useful, Steve. Some a lot more than others, but a lot
of
them, I dare say most of them, probably had more of a tendency to get in
the way than provide any meaningful COMMUNICATIONS support. If they
did something not involving communications, but had a HT clipped to
their
belt, they don't count in what I'm talking about here.

> >I can just imagine
> >some hysterical XYL shrieking to her OM as he calmly displaces valuable
> >space for Pampers and baby formula in the SUV for a generator and a
> >full-capability ham radio station. Like, that's really gonna happen, isn't
> >it!

>
> Do you make this stuff up yourself, or does someone ghost write for you?

I do all my own writing, Steve. I'm not a former public high school
student.

> >And it very likely won't involve anyone who was trained
> >and "exercised" in providing these "cornerstone" ham radio services. It
> >will be spotty, catch-as-catch-can, disorganized, chaotic, a QRM-fest of
> >immense proportions, and generally ineffective and lacking in credibility
> >with those professional emergency services personnel who would be
> >interacting with the hams.
>
> And since you have uttered this bitter, cynical vision of the future, the
> rest of us will just quit trying...OK, Larry?

I don't recall ever trying to put words into your fingertips, Steve, so
please
offer me the same consideration, OK?

> And by the way...I will reiterate for you (usually saved for the West
> Coast Idiot) the FACTS as related to in the Federal Register...The Department
> of State, FEMA, the Department of Defense and other federal agencies do NOT
> share your bitter, self-flagellating view of Amateur Radio.
>
> > It will be a disaster within a disaster.

Major snippage of Steve's typical outbursts usually reserved for
Lennie.

> >...spread out the "stick
> >time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises
> >and real local emergency activations...(SNIP)
>
> I think THIS says it all...Larry has offered his infinite wisdom in
> "emergencies" to agencies with which he has not previously trained or served,
> expecting to be immediately placed in a position of authority or
> responsibility, only to find that he was told "no thanks".

No, I was relating my personal experience that newcomers to LOCAL
emergency
service are typically left with little or nothing to do to gain acutal
operating experience during exercises/drills or the occasional "actual"
scenario. It's about the entrenched long-timers displacing newcomers.
It's
as simple as that -- and it's a big problem, IMHO.

> >....and develop an attitude of totally
> >eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio
> >BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications.
>
> Ahhhhh! LARRY IS A SOCIALIST! Everyone gives/gets no more/no less than
> the next guy. To hell with personal initiative, sacrifice and service.

No, it's about sharing the hegemony in local ARES groups, nothing more, nothing
less. It's about the fear of the long-timers of being displaced, therefore
losing their "position" and whatever "glory" they think they're getting from
it.

> >Right now, we're not even close to being there.
>
> Let's hope we never get close to what YOU envision, Larry. THAT will be a
> really sad day.

From my POV, Steve, we've been there for a long time. All I'm doing is
trying to advocate change, and to stimulate a meaningful discussion. All you
seem to be doing is trying to find what you're looking for -- another ham
to bash they way you do Lennie.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2075. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 03:35:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ap2h2d$7sb$0@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 53892

Brian wrote:
>
>
> I was never a No-Code Tech. You and Dick simply misunderstood.

Larry can speak for himself but Dick sure never misunderstood. You went WAY out to make it completely clear that you blamed me and other Extras for your own shortcomings, for your refusal to exert the effort to learn and pass 13 wpm. It was all OUR fault. You never ever had a grip on reality.

You're far worse than any NCT I ever knew of, and I've know a bunch of them many of whom I VE'd into the hobby. But what could anyone expect of a military person who believes "Yeah, go ahead" is as good as written permission,

2076. Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 03:42:17 UTC
From: maeistro beans <beans3ee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3db4c916@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

TONIGHT Mon-Tue 10/21/22

Guest: Kevin Mitnick

www.artbell.com

As the world's most famous hacker, Kevin has been the subject of countless news and magazine articles published throughout the world. With more than fifteen years of experience in exploring computer security, Kevin Mitnick is a largely self-taught expert in exposing the vulnerabilities of complex operating systems and telecommunications devices.

2077. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 04:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ap2ig0$b74$0@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 53894

Robert Casey wrote:
>

I imagine a few of us
> can be found on the 20+wpm CW QSOs and nets....

And I imagine that's your imagination at work.

2078. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 04:42:47 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210212042.309b28a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53895

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021020140900.06896.00004244@mb-mv.aol.com>...
> In article <B9D7E04C.BF13%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:
>
> > Nobody is talking about excluding one group over the other, Larry. Of
> >course there are good librarians, mailmen, construction workers, and so on.
> >Indeed, I think most people are fundamentally good. But, as long as public
> >service is a cornerstone of ham radio, those with a background in public
> >service have unique experience to bring to the table.
>
> Dwight:
>
> Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of a
> bunch of Ham Hero™ wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
> "Emergency" and "Third Watch." Those who are involved in public service
> in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the voluntary
> public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
> other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
> themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among the
> paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."
> They jealously refuse to take a break, and will sit in the chair at the EOC
> ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped,
> just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.
>
> It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and dedication
> of the many.

Horsepucky. Emergency comms is only one subset of ham radio public
service activities. Ask the thousands of military personnel stuck in
crappy places if their phone patches home constituted a "public
service". Take it into the sphere of education and there is no end to
the list. Your brush is far too wide.

My inclination is to agree that ham radio as it exists today is and
will not be a big player at the front end of emergency comms, i.e., in
any real-time life & death scenarios except in very oddball isolated
instances. We can't touch the training, experience, discipline and
infrastructures the profesionals bring to that end of the game. But
then comes the volumes of logistics and H&W traffic which is not the
"emergency comms" you're dissing . . Yeah, those are public service
activities during emergencies too Larry.

>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

w3rv

2079. twstedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 06:46:28 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <5c02aeed62a609a7cebc7fa3f4400f5d@xganon.com>
Source record: 53896

```
twitsy twitsy twisty

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
---
```

2080. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 08:55:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022045511.19198.00002689@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 53897

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/21/02 8:06 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021021210628.00278.00005481@mb-md.aol.com>

>You obviously have an axe to grind with firefighters and other emergency
>services personnel since you (presumably) work within that field, or serve
>as a volunteer firefighter, or whatever.

Judging by YOUR posts, Larry, one could say the same thing about you and
Amateur radio. What's up with that...???

>You haven't said, but there is that
>implication, so whatever your reality is, I'm just going by what I've seen.

Ditto.

>This is why I stated that if a firefighter,
>for example, was attracted to ham radio for the "usual" reasons --
>technical advancement, DX, contesting, rag chewing, and not necessarily
>as an extension of what he is doing as a firefighter, then he/she is more
>likely to be a good ham

Bravo Sierra. WhatEVER reason brings them to Amateur Radio is fine. They
can lean about the rest as they go, just like you and I did. They are no more
or no less likely to be a "good ham" based upon HOW they came to Amateur Radio.

>If all he wants is another HT hanging from his
>belt, and another opportunity to swing a mic to his mouth and respond
>to another emergency (real or manufacturered), then he is not what I'd
>call a good ham, and I wouldn't necesarily be making an effort to "recruit"
>him to the ARS.

More cynicism, Larry? Whew!~

73

Steve, K4YZ

2081. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 09:46:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9DA9683.BF93%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> I think most of us (most = >95%) know that
> our buyer is another licensed ham.
>
> One way to get high blood pressure is to go
> mountain climbing over molehills.

You should know me well enough by now to know I don't often chase molehills, Hans. This started as a discussion with an eBay employee, with questions I could not answer. Rather than just give my opinion, which the employee would probably be satisfied with (it's just a friendly discussion on this issue), I thought I would see what others thought.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2082. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 10:02:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9DA9A59.BF95%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"blowupdoll" wrote:
>
> (snip) Their NOT illegal and only hams with inflated
> egos seem to get upset and like to play "radio cop"
> when such products are marketed. There's alot other
> things to worry about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz)
> that this stuff folks.

Besides the nuisance when living next to one of these CB'ers running an illegal amplifiers, there is a direct price on ham radio. Most in the country do not know the difference between ham radio and CB. All they know is that neighbors with antennas often interfere with their phones and televisions. As a result, these CB'ers give the reputation of ham radio a black eye also. There are two ways to respond to that - educate the neighbors and support any efforts to reel in the "outlaw" CB'ers.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2083. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 10:08:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022060802.19198.00002691@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 53900

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com
>Date: 10/21/02 10:15 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ap2fte$575$0@216.155.33.66>

>Sorry, Steve, but I'm right and I've just skewered your sacred cow!

So, you are telling me that the DoD, DoS, American Red Cross, Salvation Army, FEMA and a host of other governmental and private agencies are wrong, Larry?

That's a pretty silly thing to do in light of their testimony in recent past years.

No...no "sacred cow" skewered here. Just a lot of bull from Delaware.

>Most likely because you are one of the long-term, accepted, "insiders."
>You're not a new ham trying to break into Public Service, but have
>nowhere
>to go and nothing to do once you get there.

Just ran down a list of the local ARES roll call from last week, Larry. 62% of the calls were KE through KG series prefixes. That doesn't count the couple calls on the net thqat I know are Vanity 1 x 3's for guys who WERE in that block not too long ago.

Oh...by the way...Net control was a KF4 call, and the assistant EC is a KG. The present EC is a 2x1, but that's a Vanity Call. He WAS a KE.

>Well, I've not encountered anything of the sort in the MDC or DE
>sections. WNY
>is probably a bit better, but it still has the same problems of there
>being
>no room for newcomers.

I think you're not being truthful since I happen to still be personal acquaintences with folks active in ARES in EPA and NNJ, and they both lament not being able to get ENOUGH new hams, Larry.

>> >They jealously refuse to take a break...(SNIP)
>>
>> Perhaps you meant "selflessly"...?
>
>No, Steve, I said what I meant and I meant what I said.

Theh YOU were just being cruel and snide. Very disappointing for someone who SHOULD know better.

>>OK. Lennie...I mean Larry...we've heard THIS from you before.
>
>And you're not gonna stop hearing it until something changes. And
>there's no need to get insulting!

(a) What are YOUR credentials in Amateur Radio Emergencey Services to
assert what "changes" should be effected?

(b) Insulting is as insulting does. You should re-read what you've
written in the last few posts and realize just exactly how insulting YOU have
been.

>> Perhaps because it usually is the FEW that do MOST of the work, Larry.
>
>BECAUSE THAT'S THE WAY THEY WANT IT TO BE, STEVE!!! This is my whole
>point!

Uncap there, big boy.

I don't believe it for a second. I have been in ARES as both an ARRL
appointee and as a volunteer operator. Thee's always room for more.

Just because you aren't immediately recognized for your particular genius
and skill does not mean you are not needed or wanted...

UNLESS, of course, you carry the same "King of the Hill", "I'm better
than you" attitude you radiate in THIS forum to the local ARES functions...Then
I can understand their reluctance to welcome you aboard.

>>You have a real issue with first responders and other emergency
>service
>> providers, don't you?
>
>Not the trained professionals -- only the Ham Hero(tm) wannabes!

Hot Flash Hot Rod...they are everywhere...EMS has them...the local VFD has
them...local Sheriff's Auxiliaries, the Civil Air Patrol, the CG Aux, etc etc
etc has at least one or two Mighty Mouse types.

The good thing is that they can usually be trained to get over that. But
"training" them with inuslts is not the way to go. Or didn't they teach that
to you in the USAF or college?

>BWAAAAHAAAAAAAHAAAAAAAAA!!!!!!! I'm just glad I didn't have a mouthful
>of
>tea when I read that!

I am too. Sadly for you you'd choke and there wouldn't be anyone around
to initiate rescue breathing for you? What EVER would we do without you?

>> > I think that what is needed
>> >is some functional reform of the ARES system.
>>
>>Then you've not been paying attention lately, Larry.
>

>To what, Steve?

My point exactly. Let's start off with the ARRL's attempts to create a standardized course for Emergency Communications. Have YOU taken it, Larry?

>No, Steve. Lifetime incumbency breeds the contempt which comes from
>familiarity,
>and the tendency to be responsive to special interests rather than the
>needs
>of the public. Term limits make sense in politics, and they would make
>more
>sense in the ARRL Field Organization's emergency service appointments.

More Bravo Sierra, Larry. Term limits only facilitate mediocrity and laziness.

Like it or not, it is a civic duty for the electorate to stay informed on current issues and then to vote according to their knowledge and experience. Unfortunately, we have already seen several sad but prime examples of what voter apathy can do to the political system.

Like re-electing a souse and murderer to the Senate from Masschussettes, or a known liar and plagarist from Delaware. None of thier money could shield them from media scrutiney or the power of the ballot box if the people truly wanted them out.

And term limits only encourage the evil and corrupt to "get what they can" while they are in office since they know the clock's ticking. Witness William Jefferson Clinton. He made a mockery of the White House and HE had term limits.

>>I think that's pretty stupid...espeically from a former senior NCO and
>a
>> person as allegedly educated as you purport to be, Larry. Where do you get
>> this stuff? Mao's little Red Book?
>
>Not at all. It comes from having a charactistic which is increasingly
>lacking in people today -- common sense.

You are a bit overly self appreciating, Larry. What you espouse is socialism.

>I'm sure the hams who participated in 9/11 managed to find some way to
>make themselves useful, Steve.

I am sure they will appreciate your armchair quarterbacking assessments, Larry.

>Some a lot more than others, but a lot
>of
>them, I dare say most of them, probably had more of a tendency to get in
>the way than provide any meaningful COMMUNICATIONS support.

Yeah...I gues that's why the Govermor of New York, the Mayor of New York City, the Public Safety Commissioner of New York and a host of others went on record as saying that the volumous contributions and dedication of volunteer Amateur Radio operators was critical in the post-attack phase of 9/11.

You really need to do some research on your rants before you so unabashedly make such poorly constructed posts, Larry.

>If they
>did something not involving communications, but had a HT clipped to
>their
>belt, they don't count in what I'm talking about here.

EVERYTHING counts in an emergency, Larry. If you'd been there, you'd know.

>>Do you make this stuff up yourself, or does someone ghost write for
>you?
>
>I do all my own writing, Steve.

You got brass cajones admiting that in public, Larry. I left you an "out" for how cruel and foolish your stuff is...You just waded in deeper.

>> And since you have uttered this bitter, cynical vision of the future,
>the
>> rest of us will just quit trying...OK, Larry?
>
>I don't recall ever trying to put words into your fingertips, Steve, so
>please
>offer me the same consideration, OK?

But that's your insinuation, Larry. That's what a careful reader can assume from your posts. It's not "putting words into (your) mouth". YOU said it!

>> And by the way...I will reiterate for you (usually saved for the West
>> Coast Idiot) the FACTS as related to in the Federal Register...The
>Department
>> of State, FEMA, the Department of Defense and other federal agencies do NOT
>> share your bitter, self-flagellating view of Amateur Radio.
>>
>> > It will be a disaster within a disaster.
>
>Major snippage of Steve's typical outbursts usually reserved for
>Lennie.

And LIKE Lennie, you try to dismiss known facts that otherwise dispell your assertions. It doensn't work for you, either.

You insist that ARES and other public service efforts of Amateur Radio operators is nothing more than a "hero wannabe" syndrome, but then you ignore the very public comments of very reputable organizations and agencies that voice nothing but praise for our efforts.

Why is that, Larry?

>No, I was relating my personal experience that newcomers to LOCAL
>emergency
>service are typically left with little or nothing to do to gain acutal
>operating experience during exercises/drills or the occasional "actual"
>scenario. It's about the entrenched long-timers displacing newcomers.
>It's
>as simple as that -- and it's a big problem, IMHO.

First of all, Larry, what credentials do YOU carry to warrant taking over
any other person's appointment as EC, RACES director, etc?

How many actual and how many simulated disaster or other emergency
situations have YOU participated in as an ARES member that would validate your
resume in ES?

What preparations have YOU made to support your community if there was
some disaster? ANY disaster?

>>Ahhhhh! LARRY IS A SOCIALIST! Everyone gives/gets no more/no less
>than
>> the next guy. To hell with personal initiative, sacrifice and service.
>
>No, it's about sharing the hegemony in local ARES groups, nothing more,
>nothing
>less.

No, it's socalism. Everybody body get's the same no matter how much or
how little they contribute to the pot.

>It's about the fear of the long-timers of being displaced,
>therefore
>losing their "position" and whatever "glory" they think they're getting
>from
>it.

So..Larry...If I show up at your place of employment tomorrow with a brand
new CDL in my pocket, are you going to just gladly get out of the seat and let
a new driver with no experience slide into the seat?

WHY should anyone who has worked hard to create something just get up and
walk away so you can exercise YOUR ego? You certainly don't have any
experience or credentials to validate a change...Or at least you have not
stated them here...!!!

>> >Right now, we're not even close to being there.
>>
>>Let's hope we never get close to what YOU envision, Larry. THAT will
>be a
>> really sad day.
>
>From my POV, Steve, we've been there for a long time. All I'm doing is
>trying to advocate change, and to stimulate a meaningful discussion.

>All you
>seem to be doing is trying to find what you're looking for -- another
>ham
>to bash they way you do Lennie.

First of all, you are not stimulating ANY discussion, Larry. You have taken some rather broad swings at people who have endeavored long and hard to contribute something to their respective communities.

At the same time, other than suggesting "term limits", which contributes nothing to the discussion, you have suggested nothing. You have, from what I garner here, zero point zero days of ES communications experience. That is NOT the pulpit from which to be espousing change.

And lastly, Lennie is NOT a licensed Amateur, ergo you are not "another" ham to bash. (pretty good reading comprehension for a public school HS grad, eh?)

Your suggestions are poorly focused, callous and generally without merit. You offer no reasonable alternative, nor are your suggestions from an "informed" perspective...Only uninformed opinion of which the very nature appears to be vindicative in nature.

Try again.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2084. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 10:34:32 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9DAA1D9.BF9A%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53901

"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
>
> Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur
> radio" only in the minds of a bunch of Ham
> Heroï¿½ wannabes who have seen too many episodes
> of "Emergency" and "Third Watch."

Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" in the minds of a great many hams and the FCC.

> Those who are involved in public service in
> their local area are very jealous of their
> "position" within the voluntary public service
> community, are unwilling to share any of the
> "action" with other hams interested in
> learning what they are doing and doing it
> themselves, and they are afraid of losing
> their "insider" status among the paid public
> service professionals they "work" with during
> "emergencies." They jealously refuse to take
> a break, and will sit in the chair at the EOC
> ham radio station for 24 hours straight,
> 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped, just to make
> sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.

BS, Larry. Speaking for myself alone, I have repeatedly posted messages with invitations to join the Coast Guard Auxiliary, describing a serious need for radio operators. I know for a fact that you've read some of those messages, but I have yet to hear from you concerning membership. Likewise, I have an invitation on my ham radio web site, which I know you have also seen, but again I have yet to hear from you concerning membership.

I gladly insure your full involvement within the flotilla you join. Nobody will prevent you from sharing in the "action." In fact, I suspect you would be placed in charge of communications in that flotilla within a year or two of joining (perhaps sooner in a smaller flotilla).

Of course, if communications isn't your main interest concerning public service, there are a wide range of other volunteer jobs you can participate in. Including public education, search & rescue, administration, environmental protection, and so on. In fact, if it's "insider status" with public service professionals you're seeking , I could probably easily get a volunteer position for you in the nearest Coast Guard station, working right beside the active duty personnel.

In the end, Larry, if you feel left out, it is only because you've placed yourself there.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2085. Control freak k8mn should fuck off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 11:17:43 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <00e1a53adc8135ed583e5d349cb8a22c@xganon.com>
Source record: 53902

go back to organizing a NAMBLA chapter and stay out of ARES!!!

BWA HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

2086. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 11:35:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022073515.19119.00002771@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 53904

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: Dwight Stewart stewart@sc.rr.com
>Date: 10/22/02 5:34 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <B9DAA1D9.BF9A%stewart@sc.rr.com>

>"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
>> Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur
>> radio" only in the minds of a bunch of Ham
>> Hero□ wannabes who have seen too many episodes
>> of "Emergency" and "Third Watch."
>
> Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" in the minds of a
>great many hams and the FCC.

Exactly...unless he, like Lennie, wants to try and dismiss 97.1, Basis and Purpose of the Amateur Radio Service. There it is...right up front.

Seems to me that's pretty basic.

>BS, Larry. Speaking for myself alone, I have repeatedly posted messages
>with invitations to join the Coast Guard Auxiliary, describing a serious
>need for radio operators. I know for a fact that you've read some of those
>messages, but I have yet to hear from you concerning membership. Likewise, I
>have an invitation on my ham radio web site, which I know you have also
>seen, but again I have yet to hear from you concerning membership.

Larry reminds me, unfortunately, of more than one "outsider" who "knows what's best" without having taken the time to actaully participate in the program.

>In the end, Larry, if you feel left out, it is only because you've placed
>yourself there.

Zero Error. On Target.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2087. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 11:36:01 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <BKat9.21859$1P1.1365214@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53903

Brian Kelly, W3RV, posted:

> ... A 500w AM "DSP modulator" . . awesome!
> I knew we din need that monster xfmr . .

Indeed. My local 1360-kHz, Bible-banger has
a 50-kW Harris AM rig that does exactly that.
It digitizes its audio input and encodes the
samples into a "thermometer"-like format
expressed as a parallel output across 48
individual bits. Each of these bit lines
gates an RF amplifier on or off. The outputs
of the 48 amplifiers are summed together,
impedance-transformed to 50 ohms and sent
to the antenna. No mod. xfmr, no HV. And
with switching supplies for the RF amps, there's
no "heavy iron" of any kind. Also, there is
complete redundancy in the 48 identical RF
amps, which can be 'hot-swapped' and failure-
compensated if one or two of the units
goes off-line.

I think of this thing as a 50-kW RF ADC/DAC.

So, sorry Brian, it's already here... at least
at MF BC freqs. The HF BC folks still use toobs,
so they do something like this, but with their HV
supply(s), in a kind-of hybrid PWM scheme.

I have seen the future and it's (sob!) DIGITAL.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2088. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 11:38:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9DAB0BE.BF9F%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"chessecutter" wrote:
>
> by golly doesn't this Dwight Stewart fellow
> sound like our Aaron H. Voobner fellow....????
> my, my same moronic content as our little
> buddy..... and they both appeared about
> the same time too, imagine that......some
> strange coincidences aren' they /????.....

Sorry, my friend, I have no interest in talking to CB'ers (rec.radio.cb
deleted from this message).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2089. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 11:52:16 UTC
From: "T.W. Reasons" <twr@hvalleyrgrpops.org>
Message-ID: <ap3e4d$m46$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

There's a guy at the Detroit FCC office who slobbers all
over his shirt whenever he tries to talk to you. Spittle keeps
drooling out of his mouth. Every shirt he owns has food and
spit stains on it. Has to be the grossest thing. FCC must
hire direct from the city half-way houses.

T.W.

"Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:P66cnUpaJeSEDi6gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> >
> > "blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net...
> > >
> > > "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> > > >
> > > > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
> > Federal
> > > > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any
> > external radio
> > > > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on
> > any
> > > > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more
> > information,
> > > > see...
> > > > (scroll down to 2.815)
> > > >
> > > YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> > > Yada...Yada...Yada...
> > >
> > > Ban has been in place for Years but that
> > > hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> > > of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> > > I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> > > in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> > > do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> > > purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> > > you. For an example see:
> > >
> > > http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm

> >
> > You think so? There was a vendor sell HF amp kits at Dayton a year or
> > two ago. The Detroit FCC, part of their area is Ohio, paid them a vist
> > out in the tent area, at the far end of the flea market. Guess what?
> > They, the amp kit vendor, haven't been back to Dayton since.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
>
> BULL! He was there again this year, along with two others.
> Your lies don't scare anyone. In fact, the one vendor hits all
> the hamfests in the northeast and midwest. The Detroit office?
> You gotta be kidding, never saw a bigger bunch of more
> incompetent bureaucrats! They wouldn't know an amplifier from
> a commode.
>
> 73,
>
> Vic Z
>
>
>
>

2090. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 11:52:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022075227.18987.00002567@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 53907

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com
>Date: 10/21/02 10:15 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ap2fte$575$0@216.155.33.66>
>
>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>> >Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of
>a
>> >bunch of Ham Heroâ„¢ wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
>> >"Emergency" and "Third Watch."
>>
>> Sorry, Larry. You got this one wrong....WAY wrong...
>
>Sorry, Steve, but I'm right and I've just skewered your sacred cow!

Is this the change that you allege is not being enacted?

Is this the training that you allege is not occuring?

Is this the SAME public service/emergency service that is NOT the
"cornerstone" of Amateur Radio that you allege, Larry?

Because if it is, SOMEONE is spending a lot of money to perpetuate
something YOU insist does not exist. WHY, Larry, would the Federal Government
drop over $180,000 on a project they had no faith in or expectation of results?

Just wondering what your excuses are for this bit of news, Larry...???

QUOTE FROM ARRL WEB SITE:

ARRL Certification and Continuing Education course registration (Oct 18, 2002)
-- Registration for the ARRL Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Level III
(EC-003) and HF Digital Communications (EC-005) courses opens Monday, October
21, 4 PM Eastern Daylight Time (2000 UTC). Registration will remain open
through Sunday, October 27. Classes begin October 28. Registration for the ARRL
Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Level II (EC-002) and Antenna Modeling
(EC-004) courses remains open through Sunday, October 20. If you have not yet
let us know what you'd like to see for future C-C course offerings, visit the
C-CE Course Survey page on the ARRL Web site midnight, October 27. To learn
more, visit the ARRL Certification and Continuing Education Web page and the
C-CE Links found there. For more information, contact Certification and
Continuing Education Program Coordinator Howard Robins, W1HSR,
hrobins@arrl.org.

UNQUOTE

BTW, the thread title should ask NOT "Who are we kidding", but rather "Why is Larry Kidding Himself?"

73

Steve, K4YZ

2091. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 14:03:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022100318.28532.00000050@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 53908

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 10/21/02 9:57 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3DB4B077.6491770D@ix.netcom.com>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>
>> As of May 14, 2000:
>>
>>
>> Extra - 78,750
>>
>>
>>
>> As of October 15, 2002:
>>
>>
>> Extra - 102,834 (increase of 24,084)
>>
>
>That would mean that there are now 24 thousand "extra lites"
>out there (I'm one of those). :-)

I've never seen a hjam license that has "extra lite" or "extra light" on it.
All the ones I've seen just say "Amateur Extra".
>
>That's about 24%,

The percentage of post-restucturing Extras is at least 23.5 % (24K/102K) but in reality it's a bit higher, due to expirations of pre-restructuring Extras since April 15, 2000.

>and the fact that we put in for and got it
>means that we are likely to be a higher percentage of active
>on the air extras, at least on phone.

Why? I "put in for" my Extra 30 years ago but I use it often ;-)

And why just 'phone? Lotsa data modes out there.

>I imagine a few of us
>can be found on the 20+wpm CW QSOs and nets....
>
Sure.

--

It will be interesting to see how long the Advanced and Novice classes stick around.
Tech Plus will disappear completely (even if the rules don't change) when the last Tech Plus is expires, upgrades or is renewed (FCC renews them as Techs). 7 years 5 months and a week or two, at most.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2092. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 16:13:33 UTC
From: delano@hamradio.ftc.agilent.com
Message-ID: <1035303213.942057@cswreg.cos.agilent.com>
Source record: 53909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I believe it would be easier to obtain/retain
small chunks of spectrum. By this I mean like
WARC79 (30, 17 and 12M). Our use/lack of use would
not be as noticeable as in a large expanse of spectrum
(70cm). I would like to see allocations in the
60M and 4M areas. But it would probably be much easier
to get 50khz here and there than in one block. This
would afford us the opportunity to experiment with
radio at many different frequencies. Avail us of
band openings which could provide communications in
times of emergencies. It would encourage us to use
less spectrum on VHF and UHF (no FM). A world
wide
200khz segment at 222 Mhz, a 500 khz segment at 33cm
and so on is what I have in mind. With world wide
segments, the manufacturers might make equipment
to cover these bands.

73

David DeLano
WA6TIM
ddelano@ispwest.com

KC8PMX (kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net) wrote:
> I was not asking, but it seems that if that specific band is dead, maybe an
> exchange would be more advantageous all the way around. Additions to our
> existing HF bands would probably not draw many complaints. Never considered
> the 70mhz allocations but it sounds interesting.

> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> >
> > If we are asking for more spectrum elsewhere, how about 4m (70MHz). This
> > band is allocated to hams only in European and Middle Eastern countries,
> > but would be interesting to have here.
> > N3KIP

2093. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 16:38:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021022123857.19576.00003697@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53910

In article <20021022073515.19119.00002771@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>>In the end, Larry, if you feel left out, it is only because you've placed
>>yourself there.
>
> Zero Error. On Target.
>

Steve:

You're stubbornly missing the point, mainly because you're too excited to have another person besides Lennie as the object of your scorn. I'm not "left out" of a damn thing. I can enjoy all that ham radio has to offer. The Ham Heroes(tm) are the ones who are pathetically striving to achieve some sort of belonging. I have had no use for Emergency/Public Service as of the minute it became clear that it had no use for me! And I'm not the only one who has had this problem. If and when the opportunity arises for me to provide some Real "Emergency" communications under Real Emergency conditions, I'll be able to do so effectively, efficiently, and without benefit of an online course from the ARRL. I'll be able to do it because I've been exposed to good communications and traffic handling techniques as a result of being an involved, active radio amateur.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2094. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 16:38:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021022123857.19576.00003698@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53911

In article <20021022075227.18987.00002567@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
> Because if it is, SOMEONE is spending a lot of money to perpetuate
>something YOU insist does not exist. WHY, Larry, would the Federal
>Government
>drop over $180,000 on a project they had no faith in or expectation of
>results?

Don't look now, Steve, but the Federal Government dropping $180K into the ARRL's ECC/CCE scheme is like me dropping a dime on the ground and walking away from it. If the Feds were serious about this program, they would be funding it to the tune of 10X that amount -- which would still be a drop in the bucket to them. I am not impressed.

> Just wondering what your excuses are for this bit of news, Larry...???

I no make no steenkeeng excuses for the ARRL, Steve -- thees eees not my yob, man! (Ooops -- sorry, Brian -- looks like I just made fun of another minority group!)

>
>QUOTE FROM ARRL WEB SITE:

The ARRL's ECC course is one of the better ideas they've come up with, lately, but unfortunately, they're going about it the wrong way. But that's not a discussion I have time for now.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2095. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 16:38:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021022123858.19576.00003699@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53912

In article <20021022045511.19198.00002689@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>This is why I stated that if a firefighter,
>>for example, was attracted to ham radio for the "usual" reasons --
>>technical advancement, DX, contesting, rag chewing, and not necessarily
>>as an extension of what he is doing as a firefighter, then he/she is more
>>likely to be a good ham
>
> Bravo Sierra. WhatEVER reason brings them to Amateur Radio is fine.

Steve:

I don't follow the logic of "recruiting" people into ham radio whatsoever. I believe that ham radio is something that will, on it's own, attract people who have the traditional curiosity about electronics and communications, and would be willing to explore it more fully than just a narrow focus on VHF/UHF/FM "public service" type comms. These "shack on a belt" types, as Brian Burke recently referred to, usually don't end up going anywhere and doing anything much as hams, and I believe that the only hams who have the ability to provide true emergency communications capability are those who have explored the entire world of ham radio more fully and at a more technically- and operationally-involved level. This definitely includes CW proficiency at a useful traffic-handling speed (>12 WPM).

>They can lean about the rest as they go, just like you and I did. They are
>no more or no less likely to be a "good ham" based upon HOW they
>came to Amateur Radio.

That may be OK if it ever actually worked out that way in real life, but my experience is that it doesn't. Hams who have entered the ARS in the No-Code Tech Era and afterward have a tendency to get themselves on a repeater and stay there pretty much for life. Those who had the 5 WPM code test in the bag got their Instant Upgrade to General- or Extra-Lite, and now get to delude themselves that they are the equals of their Pre-Restructuring counterparts. Those who never got the 5 WPM code test are still kerchunking and ambulance chasing.

>>If all he wants is another HT hanging from his
>>belt, and another opportunity to swing a mic to his mouth and respond
>>to another emergency (real or manufactured), then he is not what I'd
>>call a good ham, and I wouldn't necessarily be making an effort to "recruit"
>>him to the ARS.
>
> More cynicism, Larry? Whew!~

Yes, Steve, I'm a bit of a cynic when it comes to ham radio these days. But that's just because I've not seen any good come out of the dumbing down of our licensing requirements.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2096. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 17:51:12 UTC
From: blackm00@cam.org
Message-ID: <6447bcd3.0210220951.4ac7ea2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"maeistro beans" <beans3ee@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3db4c916@snipnews.snip.net>...
> TONIGHT Mon-Tue 10/21/22
>
> Guest: Kevin Mitnick
>
> www.artbell.com
>
> As the world's most famous hacker, Kevin has been the subject of countless
> news and magazine articles published throughout the world. With more than
> fifteen years of experience in exploring computer security, Kevin Mitnick is
> a largely self-taught expert in exposing the vulnerabilities of complex
> operating systems and telecommunications devices.

I can't believe it. Most nights, I tune in for the first five minutes,
to see who is on. Usually, I am disappointed and it is the usual saucers
and stuff that is on most of the time. I didn't bother last night, and it
turns out to be someone different from the usual topics, and someone I
would have listened to at least for a while.

It seems a shame, because it is neat that there's an overnight show
that is different from the usual talk show circuit. But too often
the show is about the esoteric, rather than the intriguing.

By the way, according to a bulletin I saw the other day, Kevin Mitnick
is selling off some of his computers, if I remember properly to pay
his legal fees. Perhaps the timing of his appearance lastnight is
connected with that.

Michael

2097. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 19:36:07 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5A8A7.98E81E52@hotnet.net>
Source record: 53922

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>
> Just because you aren't immediately recognized for your particular genius
> and skill does not mean you are not needed or wanted...
>
> UNLESS, of course, you carry the same "King of the Hill", "I'm better
> than you" attitude you radiate in THIS forum to the local ARES functions...Then
> I can understand their reluctance to welcome you aboard.

I think you hit it on the head Steve, Larry wants to walk in,
announce, "Im here", and have everyone bow and scrap to the mighty
king who copies code and make him head mucky-muck. When they don't
do that he takes his toys and goes home and complains about how
mean the big boys are.

2098. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 19:38:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022153811.15729.00003504@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53914

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/22/02 11:38 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021022123857.19576.00003698@mb-fo.aol.com>

>Don't look now, Steve, but the Federal Government dropping $180K into
>the ARRL's ECC/CCE scheme is like me dropping a dime on the ground and
>walking away from it. If the Feds were serious about this program, they
>would be funding it to the tune of 10X that amount -- which would still be
>a drop in the bucket to them.

I don't doubt that it's a small amount in the overall scheme of things, Larry, but in this day of "fraud, waste and abuse" awareness, the Feds are NOT about to drop $180K into very public a program without some assurance that it's being spent wisely.

>I am not impressed.

I'm not surprised. Nor do I care.

>I no make no steenkeeng excuses for the ARRL, Steve -- thees eees not
>my yob, man! (Ooops -- sorry, Brian -- looks like I just made fun of another
>
>minority group!)

You do that alot. It's not funny. You wouldn't last a day in my job with your "sense of humor" at the cost of minorities. Myabe that's why there are not very many opportunities open to you in your field of study, eh?

>The ARRL's ECC course is one of the better ideas they've come up with,
>lately, but unfortunately, they're going about it the wrong way. But that's
>not a discussion I have time for now.

Larry-to-English translation: Oooooops...Got caught with my britches down, and had my bluff called...better slide out of this one gracefully.

YOU started the "discussion" on what's wrong with ARES and how nothing's being done (in YOUR opinion), and then when not ONE but TWO very public and not-so-insignificant events are brought to bear on your "arguments", you suddenly don't have time for it.

Uh huh....yeeeeeeah. Right.

You made this too easy, Larry. You're slipping.

Steve, K4YZ

2099. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 19:45:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022154511.15729.00003509@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>Subject: Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !
>From: delano@hamradio.ftc.agilent.com (David DeLano)
>Date: 10/22/02 11:13 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <1035303213.942057@cswreg.cos.agilent.com>

>I believe it would be easier to obtain/retain
>small chunks of spectrum. By this I mean like
>WARC79 (30, 17 and 12M). Our use/lack of use would
>not be as noticeable as in a large expanse of spectrum
>(70cm). I would like to see allocations in the
>60M and 4M areas. But it would probably be much easier
>to get 50khz here and there than in one block

One place I am surprised that we have not made an approach to is the 30 to 50mHz band. In as much as it is being abandoned by public service providers in droves, it would make sense for us to try and get allocations therein.

>A world
>wide
>200khz segment at 222 Mhz, a 500 khz segment at 33cm

Two hundred kHz at 222mHz would be reasonable, but 500kHz at 33cm wouldn't let us do anything. If we don't have at least a meg or two here (for modes like ATV), it will be completely abandoned.

Also, the Japanese have a CB-radio like service around 800 or 900 mHz (they are in every cab on Okinawa!), so adapting these for Amateur service shouldn't be a problem. I am surprised they haven't already.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2100. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 19:47:31 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5AB53.B1A866C1@hotnet.net>
Source record: 53923

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
but
> my experience is that it doesn't. Hams who have entered the ARS in the
> No-Code Tech Era and afterward have a tendency to get themselves
> on a repeater and stay there pretty much for life.

I would sure like to see you post some verifiable figures to
support that claim.

2101. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 20:14:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022161404.15729.00003537@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53916

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/22/02 11:38 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021022123857.19576.00003697@mb-fo.aol.com>

>You're stubbornly missing the point, mainly because you're too excited
>to have another person besides Lennie as the object of your scorn.

It's got nothing to do with "scorn", Larry. It's got everything to do with having experience in something you are obviously clueless about, your voiciferous rantings notwithstanding.

> I'm not "left out" of a damn thing.

Sure you are. Otherwise you wouldn't be making a pioint of insulting just about every person who chooses to participate in ARES and other PS type programs. You insist that "change is needed", but other than you insisting that the current "leadership" be ousted, I don't see any substantial suggestions as to how to make ARES more effective.

> I can enjoy all that ham radio has to offer.
>The Ham Heroes(tm) are the ones who are pathetically striving to achieve
>some sort of belonging.

You keep repeating that to yourself over and over, Larry.

>I have had no use for Emergency/Public Service
>as of the minute it became clear that it had no use for me!

I hate being right about this, Larry, but thanks for proving my point. It's not "you" they have no use for. It's your attitude. I can only imagine that the personna that you present here permeates your "real" life. I wouldn't want you around me either with your mealy-mouthed self-righteous attitude.

>If and when the opportunity
>arises for me to provide some Real "Emergency" communications under
>Real Emergency conditions, I'll be able to do so effectively, efficiently,
>and without benefit of an online course from the ARRL. I'll be able to do
>it because I've been exposed to good communications and traffic handling
>techniques as a result of being an involved, active radio amateur.

No, Larry, you will have had the experience because someone who came before you has trained, prepared and maintained the necessary networks, programs, message formats, etc, that are used in organized Amateur Radio Emergency Services and the National Traffic System.

You will have the opportunity because someone, unlike you, was willing to "just do it", and put forth the effort at the local EOC installing equipment,

stumping at city hall meetings, and making arrangements with local agencies to integrate Amateur Radio into thier disaster plans.

You will be participating on nets that have a core membership that will direct the traffic, make the assignments, and decide who dos what, or you will be told that you are notneeded, AGAIN, because freelancing on such nets is not only disruptive to the efficient flow of traffic, it's stupid.

The "good communications and traffic handling techniques" that you were exposed to, Larry, were perfected by persons who didn't give a rat's kazoo about being promoted to "Lord High God Of the Radio Realm" such as you seem to be, but rather just went on about thier business and created something valuable to Amateur Radio and thier communities.

Do YOU know who invented these nets and message handling techniques? I sure don't. Mostly because it was done by people who DID deserve the recognition, but they were more interested in doing it than being applauded for it.

Now...do you have anything CONSTRUCTIVE to offer, other than whining about how your feelings were hurt that you weren't immediately promoted to Radio God Extrodinaire? Or are we to be subjected to more of the same?

Steve

2102. Re: Control freak k8mn should fuck off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 20:17:26 UTC
From: boogery <boogerybeebea@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3db5b248@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 53917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:00e1a53adc8135ed583e5d349cb8a22c@xganon.com...
> go back to organizing a NAMBLA chapter and stay out of ARES!!!
>
> BWA HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!

Now Woger, or Lloyd, or KJI, or whomever, I want you
to print this out, sign it, and paste it on your refrigerator
door with a magnet so you can read it every morning
at 7:00 AM.

OK?
Very Good!
You get a cookie :)

---------- cut ------------

Anti-Cooning Pledge

I _____ pledge to stop being a dumbasszd coon by,

1. No longer shuckin' and jivin' and grinin' with my eyes
bugged out like a coon just to get a cheap laugh and gain
acceptance from white people. I accept myself, I am proud
to be black and I respect other cultures whether they
respect mine or not.

2. Respecting the legacy of trailblazing black entertainers
of the past, such as Bert Williams, Alvin Childress and
Spencer Williams Jr. (Amos 'n Andy) and Hattie McDaniel
who were brilliant entertainers, but were forced to play
stereotypical roles because of racism. Because of their
sacrifices, I don't have to behave like that anymore.

3. Refraining from constantly telling jokes and making
cheap statements about such things as chicken wings,
watermelon and needing sex all the time. When done
skillfully and in proper context like Moms Mabley or
Richard Pryor used to do, these jokes are ok. But when
overdone, these comments perpetuate negative and false
stereotypes. Nobody can have sex all day, every day.
Even prostitutes take lunch breaks.

4. Trying very hard to stop using words and phrases in
public like "fit-n-ta" "conversate" and "we be" that
either don't exist in any language known to man or are

best used at the dinner table with family and friends
who understand them.

5. Staying true to my art and convictions in the spirit
of such great heroic entertainers like Paul Robeson and
Cicely Tyson, who years ago during times of even more
severe racism than we see now, didn't "coon out" for
lesser demeaning acting roles even when things looked
bleak and their careers were on the line.

What is a coon?
- A person who is in a position (like a celebrity,
entertainer, politician or corporate executive) to work
towards dispelling Black racial stereotypes, but instead
through his or her behavior perpetuates them in order to
advance their own career or agenda.

"Pleasure me, you coon wench!"
--James Mason, from the movie
'Mandingo the Raccoon'

------------ cut ------------

2103. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 20:39:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022163935.12840.00003428@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 53918

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/22/02 11:38 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021022123858.19576.00003699@mb-fo.aol.com>

>I don't follow the logic of "recruiting" people into ham radio whatsoever.
>I believe that ham radio is something that will, on it's own, attract people
>who have the traditional curiosity about electronics and communications,
>and would be willing to explore it more fully than just a narrow focus on
>VHF/UHF/FM "public service" type comms.

Then where are they?

>These "shack on a belt" types,
>as Brian Burke recently referred to, usually don't end up going anywhere
>and doing anything much as hams, and I believe that the only hams who
>have the ability to provide true emergency communications capability
>are those who have explored the entire world of ham radio more fully and
>at a more technically- and operationally-involved level.

This is, of course, based on your years of experience in emergency
services...right?

>That may be OK if it ever actually worked out that way in real life, but
>my experience is that it doesn't.

Then I reiterate my assertion that your scope of experience is very
narrow. I know MANY people who did exactly that...got into Ham Radio by way of
some other hobby or professional exposure, only to find out through thier
participation that there was far more than 2M repeaters and autopatches out
there.

Sorry your milage is significantly less. Perhaps if you were really active
in something other than RRAP?

>Hams who have entered the ARS in the
>No-Code Tech Era and afterward have a tendency to get themselves
>on a repeater and stay there pretty much for life. Those who had the
>5 WPM code test in the bag got their Instant Upgrade to General- or
>Extra-Lite, and now get to delude themselves that they are the equals of
>their Pre-Restructuring counterparts. Those who never got the 5 WPM
>code test are still kerchunking and ambulance chasing.

More narrow-minded insults.

>> More cynicism, Larry? Whew!~
>

>Yes, Steve, I'm a bit of a cynic when it comes to ham radio these days.
>But that's just because I've not seen any good come out of the dumbing
>down of our licensing requirements.

What have YOU done to go out and make Amateur Radio a better place to be, Larry, other than fuming in this forum?

Have you invited any of those "post-restructuring" ops over to K3LT to see what's available outside of 146 to 148mHz? Have you invited them to try their hand at busting a pile-up or working an HF net?

Of course not.

What has Larry Roll done for Amateur Radio lately?

Steve, K4YZ

2104. Steve Robeson -- Who Are YOU Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 20:44:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <CMit9.8881$071.800146@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53919

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote about K3LT

> .....with your mealy-mouthed .....

Hey Larryl,

Bet nobody ever called you _THAT_ b4!

> No, Larry, you will have had the experience because
> someone who came before you has trained, prepared
> and maintained the necessary networks, programs,
> message formats, etc, that are used in organized
> Amateur Radio Emergency Services and the National
> Traffic System.

Steve speaks with the contrived "wisdom" that can only come from a single evangelistic experience, like a guy who went blind because he looked at a solar eclipse without one of those boxes with a pinhole in it and now goes around the country speaking at high schools about the dangers of looking at a solar eclipse without one of those boxes with a pinhole in it

BTW, welcome to Steve's fecal roster .... you're in excellent company -- he doesn't like me either.

73,

Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

2105. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 21:23:42 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB5C1DD.B54EC5@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53920

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Robert Casey wrote:
> >
>
> I imagine a few of us
> > can be found on the 20+wpm CW QSOs and nets....
>
> And I imagine that's your imagination at work.

I suppose I have enough fingers to count them with.... :-)

2106. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 21:27:37 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB5C2C9.609B9379@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53921

N2EY wrote:

> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> >Date: 10/21/02 9:57 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3DB4B077.6491770D@ix.netcom.com>
> >
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> As of May 14, 2000:
> >>
> >>
> >> Extra - 78,750
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> As of October 15, 2002:
> >>
> >>
> >> Extra - 102,834 (increase of 24,084)
> >>
> >
> >That would mean that there are now 24 thousand "extra lites"
> >out there (I'm one of those). :-)
>
> I've never seen a hjam license that has "extra lite" or "extra light" on it.
> All the ones I've seen just say "Amateur Extra".
> >
> >That's about 24%,
>
> The percentage of post-restucturing Extras is at least 23.5 % (24K/102K) but in
> reality it's a bit higher, due to expirations of pre-restructuring Extras since
> April 15, 2000.
>
> >and the fact that we put in for and got it
> >means that we are likely to be a higher percentage of active
> >on the air extras, at least on phone.
>
> Why? I "put in for" my Extra 30 years ago but I use it often ;-)

Some may have gone dormant, i.e., lost most interest but retained enough
interest to file the renewal paperwork every ten years.

Do I "use" my extra when I'm on 2m, or my old "tech plus"?
(same callsign).... :-)

>
>
> And why just 'phone? Lotsa data modes out there.
>
> >I imagine a few of us
> >can be found on the 20+wpm CW QSOs and nets....
> >
> Sure.

Maybe 3 or 4.... :-)

2107. Re: Control freak k8mn should fuck off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 21:58:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210221358.53be90b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53924

```
xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<00e1a53adc8135ed583e5d349cb8a22c@xganon.com>...
> go back to organizing a NAMBLA chapter and stay out of ARES!!!
>
> BWA HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---

X, go away. You annoy me. Amateur Hi! Brian

---
This post not anonymous.
---
```

2108. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-22 22:09:28 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210221409.2a80d098@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53925

Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com> wrote in message news:<ap2bs6npu0@216.155.33.66>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > > Unless and until we find a way to eliminate the Ham Hero mentality,
> > > get more rank-and-file hams involved in ARES, spread out the "stick
> > > time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises
> > > and real local emergency activations, and develop an attitude of totally
> > > eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio
> > > BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications.
> > > Right now, we're not even close to being there.
> > >
> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> > Larry, speaking of "Ham Hero? wannabes," I recall an episode RRAP Blue
> > where a 3-land Extra set up his own Simulated Emergency Test (SET) and
> > no-one came.
> >
> > Thus Ham Radio is declared "merely a hobby" by this disappointed
> > wannabe.
>
> Brian:
>
> I wasn't disappointed one little bit. I was hoping that I'd be proved
> wrong about what I'd been saying about the dumbing-down of licensing
> requirements, but unfortunately, I wasn't that lucky. If even one
> person
> playing "radio cop" had answered me saying I wasn't authorized to
> conduct
> my own "simulated emergency test," I would have declared the experiment
> a success. However, that didn't happen.

Are you admitting that not even one pre-restructuring, non-code
waivered, Extra played radio cop?

Boy, are we ever dumbed down. And yes, you should be disappointed.

> BTW -- do you think there was anything illegal about what I did? I'd
> like to hear your point of view.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

As long as you weren't sending a false distress, you didn't violate
Part 97. I know that because I've read Part 97.

Brian
Reader of Part 97

2109. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 00:24:35 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DB5EC43.CCF2882A@qnet.com>
Source record: 53927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keep an ear on 147.435, Kevin has a computer security show on Saturdat
nights.

Jay in the Mojave

maeistro beans wrote:
>
> TONIGHT Mon-Tue 10/21/22
>
> Guest: Kevin Mitnick
>
> www.artbell.com
>
> As the world's most famous hacker, Kevin has been the subject of countless
> news and magazine articles published throughout the world. With more than
> fifteen years of experience in exploring computer security, Kevin Mitnick is
> a largely self-taught expert in exposing the vulnerabilities of complex
> operating systems and telecommunications devices.

2110. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 00:25:36 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <GbycnYmGzcAfcSigXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 53926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
> BULL! He was there again this year,

I was there and the amps etc. weren't. I even mentioned it to the
others Hams in my group who also noticed the same thing. And if you
were there what did Yeasu have swiming in the fish tank?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2111. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 00:42:15 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB5F067.8978AA43@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53928

>
>
> > Whether they will end up there with everything
> > > they need to communicate will be another matter. I can just imagine
> > > some hysterical XYL shrieking to her OM as he calmly displaces valuable
> > > space for Pampers and baby formula in the SUV for a generator and a
> > > full-capability ham radio station. Like, that's really gonna happen, isn't it!
> >
> > Not likely, but that is a pretty strange scenario to be sure. More
> > likely, some disaster will happen, and some ham will have a station
> > ready to go at home wilth emergency power and will then serve as a relay point.
>
> If that's the case, it wouldn't be much of a local emergency, IMHO. I'm
> talking
> major disaster here -- earthquake/hurricane class -- something that
> causes widespread
> evacuation from homes -- including those of the Public Service-minded
> hams.
> What "emergency" capabilities are available at home are, also IMHO,
> irrelevant to
> anyone who considers him/herself "prepared" as a ham.

I suppose the ham might bring some ham gear that would be suitable for
possible PS work along when evacuating to the emergency shelter.
Maybe a 2m HT and a small HF rig that can run off of his car's battery.
Something that can fit in a shoebox so the XYL can have an adequate
supply of pampers for the baby.... Probably won't be needed, but
"just in case" the ARES people already there need more equipment
or ops.

2112. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 01:30:06 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@att.net>
Message-ID: <yYmt9.22781$1P1.1434142@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53929

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021020140900.06896.00004244@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >
> Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of
a
> bunch of Ham HeroT wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
> "Emergency" and "Third Watch." Those who are involved in public service
> in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the
voluntary
> public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
> other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
> themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among the
> paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."
> They jealously refuse to take a break, and will sit in the chair at the
EOC
> ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly death-gripped,
> just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.
>
> It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and
dedication
> of the many. In most cases, ham radio operators who come out of the
> woodwork during "emergencies" (usually bad weather nowhere near
> disaster-causing proportions) make total fools out of themselves
on-the-air
> by reporting things that have no need to be reported.

I hope the FCC does not share your assessment of the value of ARS in "public service", because, IMHO, the only faint but still glowing ember of hope of keeping the VHF/UHF spectrum from being totally dismembered is found in "public service". What other socially redeeming value does activity in that part of the ARS spectrum have to offer? If it is only for banter, we have the FRS and GMRS for that.

The descriptive noun "Amateurs" connotates the right to make fools out of ourselves from time to time, as part of the learning process. In my previous experience with ARES/EC work, I found that cooperative written agreements with the NWS, ARC, and State/County ?EMA emergency officials coupled with participation in their drills and work/study sessions helped to define the niche for ARS participation. Then, when the real deal hit, we were "asked and expected" to participate.

The golden rule was "you are an amateur, never assume a professional status", and "stay out of the way, help where you can."

Has modern communications nulled out the value of ARS "public service"? It is interesting to see on TV the question still being asked "How does Marion County Sheriff communicate with Richmond City Police?" Answer: They get together and swap radios.

O.T. When my local cable company lost signal from the MSNBC feed a few days back, there behind the blackened screen I heard "
M....S.....N....B....C....2...7.....6...".(repeated several times)
distinctly in Morse code, F2 emission I suppose. Where are those who say Morse has been obliterated from modern commercial communications? ;-)

73,
Clay N4AOX

2113. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 01:33:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210221733.564cecf2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53930

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021022123857.19576.00003698@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> In article <20021022075227.18987.00002567@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >
> > Because if it is, SOMEONE is spending a lot of money to perpetuate
> >something YOU insist does not exist. WHY, Larry, would the Federal
> >Government
> >drop over $180,000 on a project they had no faith in or expectation of
> >results?
>
> Don't look now, Steve, but the Federal Government dropping $180K into
> the ARRL's ECC/CCE scheme is like me dropping a dime on the ground and
> walking away from it. If the Feds were serious about this program, they
> would be funding it to the tune of 10X that amount -- which would still be
> a drop in the bucket to them. I am not impressed.
>
> > Just wondering what your excuses are for this bit of news, Larry...???
>
> I no make no steenkeeng excuses for the ARRL, Steve -- thees eees not
> my yob, man! (Ooops -- sorry, Brian -- looks like I just made fun of another
> minority group!)

Are you making fun of Freddy Prinze? Dann would be very upset with you.

> >QUOTE FROM ARRL WEB SITE:
>
> The ARRL's ECC course is one of the better ideas they've come up with,
> lately, but unfortunately, they're going about it the wrong way. But that's
> not a discussion I have time for now.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Why is it when I quote the ARRL website, its no good? Ditto when I quote Part 97.

2114. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 01:36:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210221736.7178697c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53931

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021022123858.19576.00003699@mb-fo.aol.com>...

> Yes, Steve, I'm a bit of a cynic when it comes to ham radio these days.
> But that's just because I've not seen any good come out of the dumbing
> down of our licensing requirements.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, how many pre-restructuring, non-code waivered, Extras responded
to your personal SET?

2115. Re: KV4FZ to return to Ham Radio! whoopiee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 02:22:54 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <qGnt9.638$bS5.138@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 53932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

How long do you think it will last if the gent reverts to infantile and
stupid behavior that mystifies most grown people. I just don't see what you
babies get out of the childish crap that goes on on
some frequencies. SHEEESH!

Jerry
K4KWH
blowupdoll <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3db21c34@snipnews.snip.net...
> FCC Judge Favors Schoenbohm's Return to Amateur Radio:
> from The ARRL Letter, Vol 21, No 41
> Website: http://www.arrl.org/ on October 19, 2002
>
> FCC Judge Favors Schoenbohm's Return to Amateur Radio:
>
> An FCC administrative law judge has agreed that the FCC should grant the
> General class Amateur Radio license application of Herb
Schoenbohm--formerly
> KV4FZ. Schoenbohm lost his bid to renew his ham ticket in 2000 but applied
> for a new license the next year. Following a hearing on Schoenbohm's
> application last spring, an initial decision of Administrative Law Judge
> Arthur I. Steinberg October 11 declared that Schoenbohm appears qualified
to
> rejoin the Amateur Radio ranks.
>
> Steinberg wrote that the hearing concluded that Schoenbohm "has not
engaged
> in any significant wrongdoing since his prior disqualifying misconduct,"
> that his misconduct was not recent, that his "reputation for good
character
> in his community is excellent," that Schoenbohm "has taken meaningful
> measures to prevent the future occurrence of misconduct" and that loss of
> his ham ticket "coupled with the shame and humiliation that resulted" make
> unlikely a recurrence of misconduct.
>
> Steinberg concluded that Schoenbohm "possesses the requisite character
> qualifications to be a Commission licensee" and that the FCC should grant
> his application. Schoenbohm also has taken and passed the Extra class exam
> (Element 4), but that application was not part of the proceeding.
>
> For his part, Schoenbohm said he was very grateful for the outcome and
said
> he appreciated "all the amateurs who came to my defense and supported the

> application." He said he does not yet know if he will attempt to regain
his
> KV4FZ call sign if his application is granted.
>
> Assuming that the FCC concurs with Steinberg's initial decision,
Schoenbohm
> has made good on his promise to one day return to Amateur Radio after
losing
> a lengthy battle with the FCC to renew his license. Steinberg's decision
> followed this summer's Proposed Finding of Fact and Conclusions of Law
from
> the FCC Enforcement Bureau, which recommended that the evidence presented
at
> hearing supported giving Schoenbohm another chance.
>
> In 1994, the FCC put Schoenbohm's renewal application for KV4FZ up for
> hearing following his 1992 felony conviction on federal fraud charges. The
> Commission finally turned down his renewal application in 1998, the US
> Appeals Court upheld the FCC's decision in 2000, and the US Supreme Court
> declined to hear the case later that same year.
>
> In March 2001, a couple of months after his authority to operate as KV4FZ
> had expired, Schoenbohm took and passed the General class examination. A
> couple of weeks later, he qualified for Amateur Extra as well, but the FCC
> refused to act on the second application since it had not yet granted the
> first. The FCC designated Schoenbohm's General license application for
> hearing on the basis of character issues stemming from his 1992 conviction
> as well as his alleged lack of candor during subsequent FCC hearings on
the
> matter.
>
>
>

2116. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 02:44:07 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <X1ot9.4982$Ju.2699@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ralph D'Andrea" <K0RFD@gjbiz.com> wrote in message
news:1105_1035333262@netnews.attbi.com...
> And being on Coast-to-coast means he has to use the telephone. I'm not >
sure the judge wanted him doing THAT either.
>
>
>

Once, when he was arrested, he was shackled to a bench with his hand cuffs behind his back. The judge was so afraid of Kevin he wouldn't even undo the cuffs so Kevin could dial the phone to call an attorney! He told them the number and they dialed it then held the phone to his ear.

How do I know? Kevin told me.

They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special three digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.

Nice guy but dangerous.....hehehe

Ken KG0WX

2117. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 02:58:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021022225826.00278.00005570@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 53935

In article <20021022161404.15729.00003537@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>>I have had no use for Emergency/Public Service
>>as of the minute it became clear that it had no use for me!
>
> I hate being right about this, Larry, but thanks for proving my point.

Steve:

Your point was that when I tried to become involved, those who were already entrenched in Emergency Comms didn't want anyone else horning in on their turf?

>It's not "you" they have no use for. It's your attitude.

OIC. My "attitude" -- one of wanting to get involved, and learn about what was going on -- so I could be another equally valuable resource to my community. Yes, Steve, that was some "attitude" all right.

>I can only imagine
>that the personna that you present here permeates your "real" life. I
>wouldn't
>want you around me either with your mealy-mouthed self-righteous attitude.

Non-sequitur. Self-righteous people generally aren't "mealy-mouthed." I've been accused of a lot of things, but not being "mealy-mouthed."

>>If and when the opportunity
>>arises for me to provide some Real "Emergency" communications under
>>Real Emergency conditions, I'll be able to do so effectively, efficiently,
>>and without benefit of an online course from the ARRL. I'll be able to do
>>it because I've been exposed to good communications and traffic handling
>>techniques as a result of being an involved, active radio amateur.
>
> No, Larry, you will have had the experience because someone who came
>before you has trained, prepared and maintained the necessary networks,
>programs, message formats, etc, that are used in organized Amateur Radio
>Emergency Services and the National Traffic System.

And I, on my own and through my initiative, learned how to use them.

> You will have the opportunity because someone, unlike you, was willing
>to
>"just do it", and put forth the effort at the local EOC installing equipment,
>stumping at city hall meetings, and making arrangements with local agencies

>to integrate Amateur Radio into thier disaster plans.

And what if their disaster plans had no use for amateur radio? Or are you one of those Ham Heroes™ who can't understand the concept that you're presence with an HT just might not be required in every conceivable emergency scenario?

> You will be participating on nets that have a core membership that will
>direct the traffic, make the assignments, and decide who dos what, or you
>will be told that you are notneeded,

Yep, I've definitely been there, done THAT! I've been made to feel like the hold baggage on a cruise ship marked "Not Needed On Voyage."

>AGAIN, because freelancing on such nets is not only disruptive to the
>efficient flow of traffic, it's stupid.

I don't know what you're talking about here, Steve. I'm a former MARS licensee, and have put in many hours on MARS nets. I know more about net protocol than lots of hams. "Freelancing" is not something I'd ever considered -- why did it come instantly to mind in YOUR case?

> The "good communications and traffic handling techniques" that you were
>exposed to, Larry, were perfected by persons who didn't give a rat's kazoo
>about being promoted to "Lord High God Of the Radio Realm" such as you seem
>to be,

Steve, my whole point, conveniently missed by you, is that I could care less about being the "Lord High" whatever -- but have been thwarted from participation by those who are deathly afraid of LOSING that position!

SNIP

> Do YOU know who invented these nets and message handling techniques?

A lot of our present procedures were "borrowed" from military and commercial radio operators who became hams in the olden days.

> I
>sure don't. Mostly because it was done by people who DID deserve the
>recognition, but they were more interested in doing it than being applauded
>for it.

Steve, you've really got to stop seeing me as "Mini-Lennie." Your mind is all tripped up, here, and you're not making much sense at all.

> Now...do you have anything CONSTRUCTIVE to offer, other than whining
>about
>how your feelings were hurt that you weren't immediately promoted to Radio
>God
>Extrodinaire? Or are we to be subjected to more of the same?

Yes, Steve, here is something constructive -- CHILL OUT!!! You're accusing me of things that are so far off my radar screen, they're not even in my airspace. Get a grip.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2118. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 02:58:27 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021022225827.00278.00005571@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 53934

In article <20021022163935.12840.00003428@mb-mk.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Yes, Steve, I'm a bit of a cynic when it comes to ham radio these days.
>>But that's just because I've not seen any good come out of the dumbing
>>down of our licensing requirements.
>
> What have YOU done to go out and make Amateur Radio a better place to be,
>Larry, other than fuming in this forum?
>
> Have you invited any of those "post-restructuring" ops over to K3LT to
>see
>what's available outside of 146 to 148mHz? Have you invited them to try
>their
>hand at busting a pile-up or working an HF net?

Steve:

I have a low-profile, low-power, mainly CW and digital mode station that doesn't get into "busting pileups." I've done many demos of digital modes, and have caused quite a few club members to get involved in PSK-31, mainly due to my having used this mode the last three FD's.

> Of course not.
>
> What has Larry Roll done for Amateur Radio lately?

I've done a lot in the past, lately not as much. I keep my Packet mailbox stuffed with the latest ARRL Bulletins for the few remaining packet users. I attend my club meetings, and have been instrumental in getting our annual Field Day operation and other contests properly logged and reported to the ARRL. We'll probably do the November Sweepstakes this year -- both CW and Phone! (Imagine -- Moi using SSB on HF!) A few of my "sub-club" members (Dover ARC) are even working on making an attempt at the Berdan Award for making a trans-Atlantic 2-meter CW QSO. I've done a few things, Steve, and I've got a few more tricks up my sleeve.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2119. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 03:09:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021022230953.00278.00005573@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 53936

In article <yYmt9.22781$1P1.1434142@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> writes:

>
>O.T. When my local cable company lost signal from the MSNBC feed a few days
>back, there behind the blackened screen I heard "
>M....S.....N....B....C....2...7.....6...".(repeated several times)
>distinctly in Morse code, F2 emission I suppose. Where are those who say
>Morse has been obliterated from modern commercial communications? ;-)

Clay:

I've heard this myself, many times. One of those things that makes me go "Hmmmmmm..." I don't think they'd be sending out that network ID in Morse unless there was someone out there who could read it! And if it's being read electronically, why wouldn't they use a more suitable digital code -- like plain 'ole ASCII? It would seem to me that it is being sent in Morse so it can be recognized by human technicians out there working on the net hardware, using their built-in between-the-ears wetware modem to decode the Morse ID's of the various services on the cable!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2120. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 03:27:58 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 53937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special three
> digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
>

That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
that 3 digit number....

2121. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 03:46:12 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8Yot9.5135$Ju.3427@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 53938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
three
> > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> >
>
> That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> that 3 digit number....
>

All I can say is I tried it from a pay phone and it worked. All the pay
phones went dead and my home line 3 blocks away also went dead. They all
came back inservice after 5 minutes.

Ken

2122. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 03:58:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB61E4B.6C174AC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 53941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ken Bessler wrote:
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> > >
> > > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
> three
> > > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> > >
> >
> > That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> > dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> > that 3 digit number....
> >
>
> All I can say is I tried it from a pay phone and it worked. All the pay
> phones went dead and my home line 3 blocks away also went dead. They all
> came back inservice after 5 minutes.

Yea right.

2123. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 04:06:36 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <ap578001ef2@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Urban legend.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8Yot9.5135$Ju.3427@news2.central.cox.net...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> > >
> > > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
> three
> > > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> > >
> >
> > That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> > dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> > that 3 digit number....
> >
>
> All I can say is I tried it from a pay phone and it worked. All the pay
> phones went dead and my home line 3 blocks away also went dead. They all
> came back inservice after 5 minutes.
>
> Ken
>
>

2124. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 05:31:48 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92B0F89F20E2elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in
news:20021022154511.15729.00003509@mb-dd.aol.com:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !
>>From: delano@hamradio.ftc.agilent.com (David DeLano)
>>Date: 10/22/02 11:13 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <1035303213.942057@cswreg.cos.agilent.com>
>
>>I believe it would be easier to obtain/retain
>>small chunks of spectrum. By this I mean like
>>WARC79 (30, 17 and 12M). Our use/lack of use would
>>not be as noticeable as in a large expanse of spectrum
>>(70cm). I would like to see allocations in the
>>60M and 4M areas. But it would probably be much easier to get 50khz
>>here and there than in one block

50 kHz allocations would probably not include phone privileges, so I for
one am not interested in anything that narrow, like 30m, for example. If
60m ends up that narrow it won't help with the fact that I have to jump
back and forth between 3.5 and 14 MHz as propagation shifts, or else work
split on 7 MHz. This really sucks. Phone on 5 MHz would help, but we won't
get it if it's only 50 kHz wide.

>
> One place I am surprised that we have not made an approach to is
> the 30 to
> 50mHz band. In as much as it is being abandoned by public service
> providers in droves, it would make sense for us to try and get
> allocations therein.
>

There was a proposal for a 41 MHz/8 m band a few years back

>>A world
>>wide
>>200khz segment at 222 Mhz, a 500 khz segment at 33cm
>
> Two hundred kHz at 222mHz would be reasonable, but 500kHz at 33cm
> wouldn't
> let us do anything. If we don't have at least a meg or two here (for
> modes like ATV), it will be completely abandoned.
>
> Also, the Japanese have a CB-radio like service around 800 or 900
> mHz
> (they are in every cab on Okinawa!), so adapting these for Amateur

> service shouldn't be a problem. I am surprised they haven't already.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
NCG imported some of these Japanese 'Personal Radios' (actually mobile rigs) a while back. They had 20 channels FM simplex in 903-905 MHz, which would be legal to use in the US without modification. although away from the planned FM subband and lacking repeater shift, but they were selling for $600, and there were few takers at that price.

73 de N3KIP

2125. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 07:06:36 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap6c9b028f1@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53952

> Yawn! No, Ryan, that's NOT what I said! I guess it's what YOU wanted
> to SEE me saying, so you said it for me. I'll try one last time.
>
> You obviously have an axe to grind with firefighters and other emergency
> services personnel since you (presumably) work within that field, or serve
> as a volunteer firefighter, or whatever.

No axe to grind with any of them. They are my brothers and sisters and friends. Granted there is bad ones in every group but I can honestly say that the overwhelming majority in my area, at least are good people.

You haven't said, but there is that
> implication, so whatever your reality is, I'm just going by what I've
seen.
> In this way, I guess it's natural for you to view firefighters as being
better
> suited to be hams than people from other walks of life.

I never stated they would be better hams than others, just good candidates for to recruit from. If you percieved what I said any other way, I guess that is for you to deal with. (Shit happens I guess)

However, this
> viewpoint is self-serving, in your case, and not necessarily true. In
fact,
> it is highly likely that the fire houses of America are full of all the
exactly
> WRONG people to be hams. This is why I stated that if a firefighter,
> for example, was attracted to ham radio for the "usual" reasons --
> technical advancement, DX, contesting, rag chewing, and not necessarily
> as an extension of what he is doing as a firefighter, then he/she is more
> likely to be a good ham.

They could look at the hobby from at least two possible viewpoints..... the public service/emergency communicator standpoint or the hobbyist (and all of the concievable directions that could go.....) standpoint. I think that there is a possibility that people in the emergency services may have an interest in many of the aspects of ham radio.... electronics.... etc. Do you honestly think that a cop, firefighter or EMS cannot have a hobby?

If all he wants is another HT hanging from his
> belt, and another opportunity to swing a mic to his mouth and respond
> to another emergency (real or manufacturered), then he is not what I'd
> call a good ham, and I wouldn't necesarily be making an effort to
"recruit"
> him to the ARS.

Well.... I am sure that having another HT "hanging from his belt" is not going to be the main reason. They are going to join our ranks for most likely one of two reasons.... the hobby end of it, or the public service end of it.

Your sentiments in all of this discussion makes me think you have had a bad experience with someone in the emergency services field. Is this true? If so, I am here to tell ya, for the most part, we are not bad people.... But just like in any other group or division of people you will find bad people, even in ham radio? Listen to 80m HF recently? Again.... another thing to is the region we both are in. Where I live it is fairly rural. Saginaw is about 100,000 people, Bay City and Midland are about 40-50,000 people each. If you are in a larger city such as Detroit in comparision, viewpoints definitely won't be the same.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2126. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 07:12:45 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap6c9g128f1@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53954

> I don't follow the logic of "recruiting" people into ham radio whatsoever.
> I believe that ham radio is something that will, on it's own, attract
people
> who have the traditional curiosity about electronics and communications,
> and would be willing to explore it more fully than just a narrow focus on
> VHF/UHF/FM "public service" type comms.

How is going to attract anyone with the lack of awareness? Haven't seen much in the way of Public Service Announcements out there. If the local area groups are not doing much to raise awareness of our hobby, then it will be stagnant or just barely growing (1-2%) increases. I wish I had a dollar for every person that I have run into that has either said something like "Ham radio... what the heck is that?" or "They still have that stuff around?" If I did.... I could buy a lobster dinner for every regular user of R.R.A.P.

These "shack on a belt" types,
> as Brian Burke recently referred to, usually don't end up going anywhere
> and doing anything much as hams, and I believe that the only hams who
> have the ability to provide true emergency communications capability
> are those who have explored the entire world of ham radio more fully and
> at a more technically- and operationally-involved level.

The blame there is a double-edged blame... both on the "newbie" as well as the experienced ham.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2127. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 07:16:01 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap6c9i228f1@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53957

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021022163935.12840.00003428@mb-mk.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >Date: 10/22/02 11:38 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20021022123858.19576.00003699@mb-fo.aol.com>
>
> >I don't follow the logic of "recruiting" people into ham radio
whatsoever.
> >I believe that ham radio is something that will, on it's own, attract
people
> >who have the traditional curiosity about electronics and communications,
> >and would be willing to explore it more fully than just a narrow focus on
> >VHF/UHF/FM "public service" type comms.
>
> Then where are they?

The growth wasn't there before restructuring and is not there much after the dust has settled from restructuring..... So, that makes me think that the restructuring is not the issue, as much as the promotion/awareness. After all.... how many times can we get our immediate family and friends licensed. After they get licensed.... we have no one else to recruit, if public awareness is not there.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2128. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 07:26:05 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap6c9j328f1@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53953

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021021200926.02651.00001298@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <20021021092544.15758.00003355@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >>What in the world does "following a chain of command" or "following
orders"
> >>have to do with Amateur Radio?
> >
> > If you are in an ARES or other emergency programs, it means
everything.
> >Taking it upon yourself to interpret traffic or to try and inject what
you
> >"think" the message is supposed to be is wrong.
>
> "Chain of command" et al can be as simple as following the instructions of
a
> net control station. Sounds simple, but without it things come unglued
pretty
> rapidly.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim,

We had this spring a training excercise that included three counties amateurs that worked together along side of the 3 counties emergency services groups in a mock airline disaster at a local university. In this case, we utilized the Incident Command System (ICS) as utilized by the emergency services. Our ARES/RACES IC coordinated with the whole scene Incident Commander and delegated the ham groups into the respective groups. Had we not respected the chain of command, and followed orders (or use the word instructions if that is a better thing...) the 150+ ham operators that were utilized that day would have created such a mess.

We, as a group, were honored for our involvement and in almost every case, WE as hams got through, and were able to talk to each other, but the emergency services groups (from 3 different counties with thier own frequencies) had a heck of a time. They never realized how useful we could be. Fortunately we have not had alot of disasters around here, most recent large one was Freeland, MI where a train derailment, (thus creating fires etc.) of Dow Chemical tanker cars had exploded. There was a case of three different counties trying to work together. I must say, we have definitely been lucky. (I better not talk too much... might jinx ourselves!)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2129. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 07:40:35 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap6c9o428f1@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53956

> >Not the trained professionals -- only the Ham Hero(tm) wannabes!
>
> Hot Flash Hot Rod...they are everywhere...EMS has them...the local
VFD has
> them...local Sheriff's Auxiliaries, the Civil Air Patrol, the CG Aux, etc
etc
> etc has at least one or two Mighty Mouse types.
>

As well as in Bus Garages around the U.S. too and in office buildings, and
etc..

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2130. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 07:51:31 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ap6c9s528f1@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53955

Larry,

Care to enlighten me (or us) as to the specifics of that particular sentiment below? At least I am willing to try to see your viewpoint. I am also considering the fact that you are in a completely different area than I am, so our perceptions obviously would differ in some regards. At least I am willing to attempt to see both sides of the street, even if I do not agree.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

" I have had no use for Emergency/Public Service
> as of the minute it became clear that it had no use for me! And I'm not
> the only one who has had this problem.

2131. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 12:13:54 UTC
From: No License Required <CBers@FreeRadiosCB.com>
Message-ID: <ap63ov$2pj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Wannabe ham Jr Radio Rangers need to get a reality
check. CB amplifiers are not going away, today or
ever. www.1stopelectronics.com

hahahahahahhahahahahhahahah old fart fat hams!

Channel 32 Ring Master, lower side of 27880 freeband.

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0210201842.34bef323@posting.google.com...
> "blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net>...
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> > >
> > > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
Federal
> > > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external
radio
> > > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
> > > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information,
> > see...
> > > (scroll down to 2.815)
> >
> > YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> > Yada...Yada...Yada...
> >
> > Ban has been in place for Years but that
> > hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> > of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> > I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> > in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> > do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> > purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> > you. For an example see:
> >
> > http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm
> >
> > Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
> > these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
> > hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
> > and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
> > marketed. There's alot other things to worry
> > about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this stuff folks.

>
>
> by golly doesn't this Dwight Stewart fellow sound like our Aaron H.
> Voobner fellow....???? my, my same moronic content as our little
> buddy.........
> and they both appeared about the same time too, imagine that......some
> strange coincidences aren' they /????.........

2132. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 12:29:02 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <iCwt9.66510$md1.12168@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 53943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Black wrote:

> It seems a shame, because it is neat that there's an overnight show
> that is different from the usual talk show circuit. But too often
> the show is about the esoteric, rather than the intriguing.
>

"Esoteric"? How about "balderdash", "junk science" and "nonsense"?

> By the way, according to a bulletin I saw the other day, Kevin Mitnick
> is selling off some of his computers, if I remember properly to pay
> his legal fees. Perhaps the timing of his appearance lastnight is
> connected with that.

His guests don't get paid! Bell's shows are ads for his guests' books, web sites and the bull hockey they sell.

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

2133. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 12:33:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023083358.15772.00003729@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53944

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: Sir Circumference mrradio@hotnet.net
>Date: 10/22/02 2:36 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3DB5A8A7.98E81E52@hotnet.net>

>I think you hit it on the head Steve, Larry wants to walk in,
>announce, "Im here", and have everyone bow and scrap to the mighty
>king who copies code and make him head mucky-muck. When they don't
>do that he takes his toys and goes home and complains about how
>mean the big boys are.

If it's any consolation, I think every field of endeavor has these types. In EMS we call them (among other things...) "Ricky Rescue". His POV has full width light bars, Star-of-Life decals from every observable angle, and every EMS related gadget the Gall's catolog has. The inside of the car looks like the Space Shuttle with scanners, air horn/siren head and PS-band radios.

In Amateur Radio we have the guys who jump in both fists loaded with HT's, helmet-mounted rubber duckies, and the crack of thier butt's exposed to daylight from excess electronics hanging out.

Larry has some valid references to folks with the excessive gear, but his overall dismal rendering of the stereotype is grossly inaccurate to say the least. Those folks who show up with the gung-ho attitude should be elmered into PS communications the very same as they should have been elmered through the licensing process. That "aggressive" attitude without leadership and supervision is a dangerous thing to have at an incident site.

A bit of supervision and careful coaching will turn that misguided energy into a useful and productive provider. And for those who still resist the effort to guide their energies...well...show them the door and offer them a thanks for coming...Just like someone did to Larry!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2134. Re: Steve Robeson -- Who Are YOU Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 12:47:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023084733.15772.00003734@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53945

>Subject: Steve Robeson -- Who Are YOU Kidding?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 10/22/02 3:44 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <CMit9.8881$071.800146@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>> No, Larry, you will have had the experience because
>> someone who came before you has trained, prepared
>> and maintained the necessary networks, programs,
>> message formats, etc, that are used in organized
>> Amateur Radio Emergency Services and the National
>> Traffic System.
>
>Steve speaks with the contrived "wisdom" that can only come from a single
>evangelistic experience, like a guy who went blind because he looked at a
>solar eclipse without one of those boxes with a pinhole in it and now goes
>around the country speaking at high schools about the dangers of looking at
>a solar eclipse without one of those boxes with a pinhole in it

Hans...can you disprove ANY of what I said? Was any of it inaccurate?

>BTW, welcome to Steve's fecal roster .... you're in excellent company -- he
>doesn't like me either.

I like you fine, Hans...you just have a bad habit of chastising others
about ad homien attacks and then do it yourself, the foregoing being evidence
to same.

One minute I am on your "fwd" list of relevent funnies, and the next
minute you pop out of the woodwork to make one of these pronouncements without
having one bit of relevent or constructive comment to add to the ongoing
exchange other than to make some off-the-cuff insinuations.

Very confusing, but I deal with it. I'd still invite you in for coffee.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2135. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 12:51:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023085157.15772.00003735@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53946

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/22/02 9:58 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021022225827.00278.00005571@mb-md.aol.com>

>I have a low-profile, low-power, mainly CW and digital mode station that
>doesn't get into "busting pileups." I've done many demos of digital modes,
>and have caused quite a few club members to get involved in PSK-31,
>mainly due to my having used this mode the last three FD's.

I didn't ask you what kind of station you had, Larry. I asked you if you had taken the initiative to invite some of these folks in and show them some other aspect of Amateur Radio.

Congratulations on your PSK31 demos.

>I've got a few more tricks up my sleeve.

CV of club related achivements noted and applauded. Now...WHAT credentials do you have that would make me, Joe Average club member, want to displace the current EC and install you instead?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2136. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 13:27:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023092728.15772.00003739@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53947

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/22/02 9:58 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021022225826.00278.00005570@mb-md.aol.com>

>OIC. My "attitude" -- one of wanting to get involved, and learn about
>what was going on -- so I could be another equally valuable resource to
>my community. Yes, Steve, that was some "attitude" all right.

It's difficult for any person to objectively evaluate thier own behaviour
or conduct, so I accept that you are either unaware or just don't care about
what is preceived by others from your writings in this forum.

You routinely exude this "I am a "Real Ham" attitude, and anyone who is
"not you" is not.

NCT's are licensed by the FCC just like you. They may not have obtained
licensure via the same path as you, but then YOU didn't obtain licensure via
the same path as licensee's 20 years YOUR senior, either.

You have not offered one bit of valid procedural or operational technique
that could be implemented with K3LT as the EC as opposed to those who are
presently doing the job in your section. Your only "point" has been that
"they" have been there for a "long time" and that you think they should go.

That is NOT a valid reason to upset the apple cart, Larry.

May I suggest that you get involved in ARRL politics, find someone who
represents what YOU think an SCM should be and who would appoint YOU in the
stead of the current staff?

>>I can only imagine
>>that the personna that you present here permeates your "real" life. I
>>wouldn't
>>want you around me either with your mealy-mouthed self-righteous attitude.
>
>Non-sequitur. Self-righteous people generally aren't "mealy-mouthed."
>I've been accused of a lot of things, but not being "mealy-mouthed."

It's VERY sequitur, Larry. It's at the very heart of why I think you
can't get past the front door with the ARES folks. And self-righteous people
are the very example of "mealy-mouthed". And as for having not been accused of
it before, I guess the time was just not right before.

Time's up. Your repetitive racial, gender and social slurs are the very
example of it.

>>No, Larry, you will have had the experience because someone who came
>>before you has trained, prepared and maintained the necessary networks,
>>programs, message formats, etc, that are used in organized Amateur Radio
>>Emergency Services and the National Traffic System.
>
>And I, on my own and through my initiative, learned how to use them.

And you, on your own, cannot support emergency services. It's a TEAM
effort, Larry. And therein is your failing. You are nOT a "team player".

>>You will have the opportunity because someone, unlike you, was willing
>>to
>>"just do it", and put forth the effort at the local EOC installing
>equipment,
>>stumping at city hall meetings, and making arrangements with local agencies
>>to integrate Amateur Radio into thier disaster plans.
>
>And what if their disaster plans had no use for amateur radio? Or are you
>one
>of those Ham Heroes™ who can't understand the concept that you're presence
>with an HT just might not be required in every conceivable emergency
>scenario?

You've not been paying attention, Larry.

First of all, many communities do NOT have a contingency plan for Amateur
Radio simply because they are not aware of it or there is no organized groups
available in that community to provide the service. We, as Amateurs, can only
present our program to the local planners, and then work with them to implement
our services if they so desire to do so.

As far as my "presence" with an HT is concerned, Larry, I think I've
already dispelled the need for Ricky Rescue types at an incident. And in any
case, I am a professional emergency provider...so if you see ME at the scene of
an incident, then I have a duty to be there, HT or not.

>Yep, I've definitely been there, done THAT! I've been made to feel like the
>hold baggage on a cruise ship marked "Not Needed On Voyage."

Then what have you done to correct it? Have you approached the EC or SCM
to find out what the "problem" is, or are you afraid of the answer?

>>AGAIN, because freelancing on such nets is not only disruptive to the
>>efficient flow of traffic, it's stupid.
>
>I don't know what you're talking about here, Steve. I'm a former MARS
>licensee, and have put in many hours on MARS nets. I know more about
>net protocol than lots of hams. "Freelancing" is not something I'd ever
>considered -- why did it come instantly to mind in YOUR case?

Your own words, Larry. I urgently suggest you go back and re-read what you
are writing. In this post alone you make reference to what you have done "
...on my own and through my initiative, learned how to use them." You make
frequent and numerous references to what YOU do on your own and without team

orientation, a very obvious predisposition to doing things without being part of a team.

Also, MARS nets are NOT Amateur nets.

>Steve, my whole point, conveniently missed by you, is that I could care less
>about being the "Lord High" whatever -- but have been thwarted from
>participation by those who are deathly afraid of LOSING that position!

Sorry, Larry. That is not what you express in your posts.

If you WERE only interested in "doing" it, there'd be no discussion in this forum because you'd already be doing it. What you express here is nothing more than frustration with those who are unwilling to step aside and let YOU take charge. You do not express any reason for the change other than that. That in and of itself is not sufficient reason for anyone to quit anything.

>>Do YOU know who invented these nets and message handling techniques?
>
>A lot of our present procedures were "borrowed" from military and commercial
>radio operators who became hams in the olden days.

And whoever did the borrowing had to implement thier use, adapt them to Amateur needs, and further adapted them over years of experience.

>> I
>>sure don't. Mostly because it was done by people who DID deserve the
>>recognition, but they were more interested in doing it than being applauded
>>for it.
>
>Steve, you've really got to stop seeing me as "Mini-Lennie." Your mind is
>all tripped up, here, and you're not making much sense at all.

Someone is tripped up here, Larry, and it's not me. I am not the one lambasting ARES officials over percieved wrongs in a forum having nothing to do with ARES or those persons.

Furthermore, the paragraph you quoted said nothing about His Licenselessness. Where did you pull THAT rabbit from?

>Yes, Steve, here is something constructive -- CHILL OUT!!! You're
>accusing me of things that are so far off my radar screen, they're not even
>in my airspace. Get a grip.

I am not the one making obtuse assertions of wrong-doing or insisting that ARES officals should be shelved without offering some valid justification as to WHY they should be so dismissed, Larry.

Steve, K4YZ

2137. Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 13:37:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023093728.15772.00003740@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53948

In another thread, I asked Larry Roll a question:

>> Now...do you have anything CONSTRUCTIVE to offer, other than whining
>>about how your feelings were hurt that you weren't immediately promoted to
>>Radio God Extrodinaire? Or are we to be subjected to more of the same?

This was his answer:

>Yes, Steve, here is something constructive -- CHILL OUT!!! You're
>accusing me of things that are so far off my radar screen, they're not even
>in my airspace. Get a grip.

So here is a direct question to which we will see if a responsible and reasonable answer can be provided:

Larry, you have stated that ARES officals should be subjected to "term limits" due to your perceived dismissal as "not needed" in ARES. You specifically stated that "they" did this because they were allegedly afraid of being displaced by you.

The first question is, what does Larry Roll, K3LT, bring to the ARES table in terms of experience that would justify his appointment as EC as opposed to present appointees. (please note this is a summation of past experiences)

Secondly, what would you, as the new EC, do differently as the EC to make ARES more functional, efficient or beneficial to the served agencies. (this is what you would do based upon those previous experiences that you think will make a positive impact).

Inquiring minds want to know.

Patiently awaiting your answer.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2138. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 13:40:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023094017.15772.00003741@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53949

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: "Clay N4AOX" wyn@att.net
>Date: 10/22/02 8:30 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <yYmt9.22781$1P1.1434142@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

>The descriptive noun "Amateurs" connotates the right to make fools out of
>ourselves from time to time, as part of the learning process. In my
>previous experience with ARES/EC work, I found that cooperative written
>agreements with the NWS, ARC, and State/County ?EMA emergency officials
>coupled with participation in their drills and work/study sessions helped to
>define the niche for ARS participation. Then, when the real deal hit, we
>were "asked and expected" to participate.

BAM!

(sound of hammer hitting nail squarely on the head)

Well put, Clay.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2139. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 13:59:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ap6a0aphe0@208.206.142.84>
Source record: 53950

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20021022161404.15729.00003537@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> > Now...do you have anything CONSTRUCTIVE to offer, other than whining
> >about
> >how your feelings were hurt that you weren't immediately promoted to Radio
> >God
> >Extrodinaire? Or are we to be subjected to more of the same?
>
> Yes, Steve, here is something constructive -- CHILL OUT!!! You're
> accusing me of things that are so far off my radar screen, they're not even
> in my airspace. Get a grip.

Steve, you're in danger of identifying yourself as a Usenet Troll, a
tag you surely don't want to garner to yourself. Larry has a point,
though it surely doesn't apply to all ARES organizations any more than
anything else does.
Any emergency communications organization should be more than happy to
pass around the activity since that's the way to get more qualified
people ready and available. Unfortunately there are those out there just
as he describes, jealous of their turf to the point of shutting the door
on anything or anyone who they see as any threat to their personal
positions.

Dick

2140. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 14:41:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <Eyyt9.348$6F4.37237@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53951

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> The first question is, what does Larry Roll, K3LT, bring to the ARES
table
> in terms of experience that would justify his appointment as EC.......

...and

> Secondly, what would you, as the new EC, do ....

I searched and searched, and nowhere could I find that Larry indicates a
wish to be appointed as EC. Your so-called "direct questions" are nothing
more than an LHA-style attempt at misdirection. You learned well!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

2141. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 15:40:46 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6C2FE.19AB8727@hotnet.net>
Source record: 53968

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >Date: 10/22/02 9:58 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20021022225826.00278.00005570@mb-md.aol.com>
>
> >OIC. My "attitude" -- one of wanting to get involved, and learn about
> >what was going on -- so I could be another equally valuable resource to
> >my community. Yes, Steve, that was some "attitude" all right.
>
> It's difficult for any person to objectively evaluate thier own behaviour
> or conduct, so I accept that you are either unaware or just don't care about
> what is preceived by others from your writings in this forum.
>
> You routinely exude this "I am a "Real Ham" attitude, and anyone who is
> "not you" is not.

It is obvious to the most casual of observer that Larry has the
same 'attitude' toward those hams in emergency service that he has
toward no code licensees, and I am sure that attitude becomes very
apparent very quickly to anyone. There is no doubt that when he
tried to get involved he brought his 'I am a superiour ham'
attitude with him.

2142. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 15:44:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023114429.10406.00013186@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53958

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 10/23/02 8:59 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ap6a0aphe0@208.206.142.84>

>Steve, you're in danger of identifying yourself as a Usenet Troll, a
>tag you surely don't want to garner to yourself.

Your opinion, Dick, one the Constitution allows you. However it is Larry
who has made assertions that are poorly framed and without justification.

Sorry you don't agree.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2143. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 15:46:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <lvzt9.440$6F4.44290@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53959

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

> Any emergency communications organization should be more than happy to
> pass around the activity since that's the way to get more qualified
> people ready and available. Unfortunately there are those out there just
> as he describes, jealous of their turf to the point of shutting the door
> on anything or anyone who they see as any threat to their personal
> positions.

Other than SKYWARN I don't participate as a regular member of any organized RACES or ARES group, but have worked with each of them and also SATERN (Salvation Army) during several tornado and spring-flood operations around the upper Midwest. In my experience SATERN is the most accepting of 'outside' help, but none of them have chased me away when there was an incident. Watching from the 'sidelines' I note that there sometimes seems to be an inordinate amount of attention to the 'pecking order' however. That tends to suppress my desire to be involved in their routine (non-emergency) activities, which unfortunately means that I may not always be 100% up-to-speed on current operational doctrine. But I learn fast.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

2144. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 15:56:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023115638.10406.00013187@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53960

>Subject: Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 10/23/02 9:41 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Eyyt9.348$6F4.37237@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>I searched and searched, and nowhere could I find that Larry indicates a
>wish to be appointed as EC.

Then you need to have a reputable opthamologist or other vision
professional have yours evaluate, Hans.

Larry's posts constantly reiterate his assertions that the leadership
needs to be changed and how he fancies himself in that role.

Did he say "I want to be EC"...No. But judging from the comments of at
least a half dozen other posters, I am on the mark.

You're just trolling. Try a bass boat next time...it's more productive.

>Your so-called "direct questions" are nothing
>more than an LHA-style attempt at misdirection. You learned well!

"Misdirection" means trying to redirect a conversation from it's subject.

Larry has insinutated that he know's better about emergency service type
communications, especially as they pertain to Amateur Radio, than those already
in those roles.

I have SPECIFICALLY asked him to provide us with his credentials in
ARES-type programs that would put him in the position of making an informed
opionion on the matter and subsequently qualify him for a leadership role in
ARES or like program.

If you call that "misdirection", then I must question your competency in
the English language.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2145. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 16:06:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <rOzt9.470$6F4.46584@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53961

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Did he say "I want to be EC"...No. But judging from the comments of
at
> least a half dozen other posters, I am on the mark.
>

"a half dozen other posters" don't speak for Larry.

Larry has demonstrated a clear competence to speak for himself, and he has
not spoken of any aspiration to be a appointed EC. Therefor you're jeremiad
is nothing more than an attempt at obfuscation by attributing motives to
Larry which he has not espoused. LHA has taught you well.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

2146. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 16:17:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021023121719.02941.00003397@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53962

In article <20021023085157.15772.00003735@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
> CV of club related achivements noted and applauded. Now...WHAT
>credentials do you have that would make me, Joe Average club member, want to
>displace the current EC and install you instead?
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Steve:

None, Zero, Nada. Please provide the quote from me indicating I wanted to be an EC (or any other emergency appointee). Second Request.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2147. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 16:17:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021023121719.02941.00003398@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53963

In article <Xns92B0F89F20E2elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>50 kHz allocations would probably not include phone privileges, so I for
>one am not interested in anything that narrow, like 30m, for example. If
>60m ends up that narrow it won't help with the fact that I have to jump
>back and forth between 3.5 and 14 MHz as propagation shifts, or else work
>split on 7 MHz. This really sucks. Phone on 5 MHz would help, but we won't
>get it if it's only 50 kHz wide.

Alun:

If we got a 50 KHz allocation on 5 MHz, why couldn't it be split 25 KHz
CW/DATA and 25 KHz phone? That's more than enough room for
CW and Data!

>> One place I am surprised that we have not made an approach to is
>> the 30 to
>> 50mHz band. In as much as it is being abandoned by public service
>> providers in droves, it would make sense for us to try and get
>> allocations therein.

There's still a lot of low-band VHF activity in my area. I was surprised to
learn that the Maryland State Police still depends heavily on it.

>> Also, the Japanese have a CB-radio like service around 800 or 900
>> mHz
>> (they are in every cab on Okinawa!), so adapting these for Amateur
>> service shouldn't be a problem. I am surprised they haven't already.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

>NCG imported some of these Japanese 'Personal Radios' (actually mobile
>rigs) a while back. They had 20 channels FM simplex in 903-905 MHz, which
>would be legal to use in the US without modification. although away from
>the planned FM subband and lacking repeater shift, but they were selling
>for $600, and there were few takers at that price.
>
>73 de N3KIP

Rigs like that would have little or no use around here, except for purely
line-of-sight simplex like short range highway communications, etc. For
that, we already have acres of virtually unused spectrum on 220 and 440.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2148. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 16:17:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021023121721.02941.00003399@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53964

Steve:

In classic newsgripe style, I'm going to unresponsively answer your first question
with a question of my own:

>> The first question is, what does Larry Roll, K3LT, bring to the ARES table
in terms of experience that would justify his appointment as EC as opposed to
present appointees. (please note this is a summation of past experiences)<<

A.) When did I ever say I wanted an appointment as an EC? Provide quote, one
with the term "EC" contained therein. As it happens, about the last thing I'd want
to be is an EC.

>> Secondly, what would you, as the new EC, do differently as the EC to make
ARES more functional, efficient or beneficial to the served agencies. (this is
what you would do based upon those previous experiences that you think will
make a positive impact).<<

A.) In some areas I've lived in, I'd probably eliminate the program entirely, and
abolish the concept of amateur radio operators sticking their noses into the
business of professional emergency service providers. However, to answer
more responsively, I'd make sure that local Emergency Service officials really
WANTED ham radio operators to be part of their contingency plans and
operations. I'd ask them to specify precisely what they wanted us to do,
when they wanted us to do it, at any particular stage within a given disaster
scenario for which they had developed formal, written, operational plans.
Then, I would ensure that as many local hams as wanted to were able to
participate in emergency drills, with someone different occupying each
position during each successive drill until the entire base of participating
hams has had equal experience in each particular job. In other words, there
would be Affirmative Action for local ARES members -- with no one
individual being able to do the same job each and every time. The concept
would be that flexibility is the key to our strength, and that we would not
be counting on someone who may or may not even be there when The Big
One hits. There would be no Long Service Awards for the same 350-lb.
gorilla who sat in the radio club's chair at the EOC each and every time
for the past 20 years.

I'm sure my answers aren't satisfactory to you, Steve, and will offend your
Politically Correct sensibilities, to say the least. I don't care. Truly I
don't.

My own personal impression of ARES and ham radio Public Service in general is that it is a bunch of people grasping for Ham Hero™ status to the exclusion of any others even being involved. It has been this way in every area I've lived in since I've been a ham. I have been so thoroughly discouraged from ham radio Public Service that I'm not sure if I can even support the concept any more.

>> Inquiring minds want to know.

Patiently awaiting your answer.

73

Steve, K4YZ<<

Now you've got it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2149. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 16:31:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <C9At9.502$6F4.48814@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53965

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Now...WHAT credentials do you have that would make me,
> Joe Average club member, want to displace the current EC
> and install you instead?

Dear Joe Average,

We regret to inform you that you lack authority to "displace and install".

Your homework assignment is to study up on FO procedures and report where
that authority resides.

With all kind wishes,

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help bring amateur radio to youth.
http://www.mnyarc.org

2150. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 16:42:28 UTC
From: KC5CQW <kc5cqw@excite.com>
Message-ID: <urdkblfnml6v51@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53966

Damn, I actually agree.

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021023121721.02941.00003399@mb-dh.aol.com...
> Steve:
>
> In classic newsgripe style, I'm going to unresponsively answer your first
> question
> with a question of my own:
>
> >> The first question is, what does Larry Roll, K3LT, bring to the
ARES
> table
> in terms of experience that would justify his appointment as EC as opposed
to
> present appointees. (please note this is a summation of past
experiences)<<
>
> A.) When did I ever say I wanted an appointment as an EC? Provide quote,
one
> with the term "EC" contained therein. As it happens, about the last thing
I'd
> want
> to be is an EC.
>
> >> Secondly, what would you, as the new EC, do differently as the EC
to
> make
> ARES more functional, efficient or beneficial to the served agencies.
(this is
> what you would do based upon those previous experiences that you think
will
> make a positive impact).<<
>
> A.) In some areas I've lived in, I'd probably eliminate the program
entirely,
> and
> abolish the concept of amateur radio operators sticking their noses into
the
> business of professional emergency service providers. However, to answer
> more responsively, I'd make sure that local Emergency Service officials
really
> WANTED ham radio operators to be part of their contingency plans and
> operations. I'd ask them to specify precisely what they wanted us to do,

> when they wanted us to do it, at any particular stage within a given
disaster
> scenario for which they had developed formal, written, operational plans.
> Then, I would ensure that as many local hams as wanted to were able to
> participate in emergency drills, with someone different occupying each
> position during each successive drill until the entire base of
participating
> hams has had equal experience in each particular job. In other words,
there
> would be Affirmative Action for local ARES members -- with no one
> individual being able to do the same job each and every time. The concept
> would be that flexibility is the key to our strength, and that we would
not
> be counting on someone who may or may not even be there when The Big
> One hits. There would be no Long Service Awards for the same 350-lb.
> gorilla who sat in the radio club's chair at the EOC each and every time
> for the past 20 years.
>
> I'm sure my answers aren't satisfactory to you, Steve, and will offend
your
> Politically Correct sensibilities, to say the least. I don't care. Truly
I
> don't.
> My own personal impression of ARES and ham radio Public Service in
> general is that it is a bunch of people grasping for Ham HeroT status to
> the exclusion of any others even being involved. It has been this way in
> every area I've lived in since I've been a ham. I have been so thoroughly
> discouraged from ham radio Public Service that I'm not sure if I can even
> support the concept any more.
>
>
>
> >> Inquiring minds want to know.
>
> Patiently awaiting your answer.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ<<
>
> Now you've got it.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2151. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 16:43:16 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9DC49D2.C0BC%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53967

"Dick Carroll" wrote:
>
> Steve, you're in danger of identifying yourself
> as a Usenet Troll, a tag you surely don't want
> to garner to yourself. Larry has a point, though
> it surely doesn't apply to all ARES organizations
> any more than anything else does.

Any "point" Larry might have had was lost in the haze of the years of negative rambling he has done in this newsgroup. Actually, if you want to accuse someone of being a troll, why not Larry? He has trolled this newsgroup for years with endless messages designed to provoke arguments. Of course, if one agrees with those message, it is easy to ignore the troll-like nature of them (reserving that title instead to only those one disagrees with).

> Any emergency communications organization should
> be more than happy to pass around the activity
> since that's the way to get more qualified people
> ready and available. Unfortunately there are those
> out there just as he describes, jealous of their
> turf to the point of shutting the door on anything
> or anyone who they see as any threat to their
> personal positions.

Perhaps that is your perception from the outside. But I instead see resistance to someone expecting equal turf when there is neither the skills or knowledge to do the job (and no effort yet to get either). Nobody, including those you describe as being jealous of their turf, got there by just walking in the door, nor did they get there by simply walking in to announce, "I'm here, now let me do your job." There is a learning process involved and that process takes time. And, until that process is completed, it is foolish to expect anyone to simply hand over the microphone. Yet I routinely see exactly that very expectation (especially from old timers who simply can't stand to sit through a lecture by someone younger than themselves - or someone with a "lesser" license).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2152. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 18:45:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urdrm8lmhfvp06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53969

Larry, I tend to agree with you. Didn't (or doesn't) the ARRL offer those neat jackets etc. well emblazened for emergency ops? When the floods hit Horseheads, NY, back around 1971 (and, to be honest, I could be off by a year or so), I did not go as N2JH (hmmm ... I might have been WB2OSP at the time), I went with the US Naval reserve (after serving 4 years active duty, including a tour of Vietnam). It didn't matter if one was cooking, cleaning, patrolling, or communicating. Everyone had an assigned duty and the job got done. I personally (and, I hope I'm wrong) get nervous when it appears (and, again, it may - hopefully - be my interpretation) that a lot of guys want to be a hero. A true emergency will involve a lot of folks, most (if not all) of whom will be unsung heros. We need help, not heros.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20021023121721.02941.00003399@mb-dh.aol.com...
> Steve:
>
> In classic newsgripe style, I'm going to unresponsively answer your first
> question
> with a question of my own:
>
> >> The first question is, what does Larry Roll, K3LT, bring to the
ARES
> table
> in terms of experience that would justify his appointment as EC as opposed
to
> present appointees. (please note this is a summation of past
experiences)<<
>
> A.) When did I ever say I wanted an appointment as an EC? Provide quote,
one
> with the term "EC" contained therein. As it happens, about the last thing
I'd
> want
> to be is an EC.
>
> >> Secondly, what would you, as the new EC, do differently as the EC
to
> make
> ARES more functional, efficient or beneficial to the served agencies.
(this is
> what you would do based upon those previous experiences that you think
will

> make a positive impact).<<
>
> A.) In some areas I've lived in, I'd probably eliminate the program
entirely,
> and
> abolish the concept of amateur radio operators sticking their noses into
the
> business of professional emergency service providers. However, to answer
> more responsively, I'd make sure that local Emergency Service officials
really
> WANTED ham radio operators to be part of their contingency plans and
> operations. I'd ask them to specify precisely what they wanted us to do,
> when they wanted us to do it, at any particular stage within a given
disaster
> scenario for which they had developed formal, written, operational plans.
> Then, I would ensure that as many local hams as wanted to were able to
> participate in emergency drills, with someone different occupying each
> position during each successive drill until the entire base of
participating
> hams has had equal experience in each particular job. In other words,
there
> would be Affirmative Action for local ARES members -- with no one
> individual being able to do the same job each and every time. The concept
> would be that flexibility is the key to our strength, and that we would
not
> be counting on someone who may or may not even be there when The Big
> One hits. There would be no Long Service Awards for the same 350-lb.
> gorilla who sat in the radio club's chair at the EOC each and every time
> for the past 20 years.
>
> I'm sure my answers aren't satisfactory to you, Steve, and will offend
your
> Politically Correct sensibilities, to say the least. I don't care. Truly
I
> don't.
> My own personal impression of ARES and ham radio Public Service in
> general is that it is a bunch of people grasping for Ham HeroT status to
> the exclusion of any others even being involved. It has been this way in
> every area I've lived in since I've been a ham. I have been so thoroughly
> discouraged from ham radio Public Service that I'm not sure if I can even
> support the concept any more.
>
>
>
> >> Inquiring minds want to know.
>
> Patiently awaiting your answer.
>
> 73
>

> Steve, K4YZ<<
>
> Now you've got it.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2153. Re: ARRL Responds to 220 Threat !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 20:50:38 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92B0AB4F3A1BAelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53970

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in
news:20021023121719.02941.00003398@mb-dh.aol.com:

> In article <Xns92B0F89F20E2elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>50 kHz allocations would probably not include phone privileges, so I
>>for one am not interested in anything that narrow, like 30m, for
>>example. If 60m ends up that narrow it won't help with the fact that I
>>have to jump back and forth between 3.5 and 14 MHz as propagation
>>shifts, or else work split on 7 MHz. This really sucks. Phone on 5 MHz
>>would help, but we won't get it if it's only 50 kHz wide.
>
> Alun:
>
> If we got a 50 KHz allocation on 5 MHz, why couldn't it be split 25 KHz
> CW/DATA and 25 KHz phone? That's more than enough room for
> CW and Data!

I agree with you completely, Larry, but it wasn't done on 30, so the
chances of that happening on 60 may not be any better.

Mind you, the NTIA only want us to use modes they can monitor. What would
those be, I wonder? I assume they themselves (or rather the agencies they
represent) use perhaps USB (not LSB) and some kind of data mode (TOR, PSK,
RTTY or what, exactly?).

>
>>> One place I am surprised that we have not made an approach to is
>>> the 30 to
>>> 50mHz band. In as much as it is being abandoned by public service
>>> providers in droves, it would make sense for us to try and get
>>> allocations therein.
>
> There's still a lot of low-band VHF activity in my area. I was
> surprised to learn that the Maryland State Police still depends heavily
> on it.

Yes they do. I'm in Maryland myself. I suppose that the police in a lot of
other areas are going for'trunked' radio, which is a bad idea IMHO (too
much to go wrong)

>
>>> Also, the Japanese have a CB-radio like service around 800 or
>>> 900 mHz
>>> (they are in every cab on Okinawa!), so adapting these for Amateur

>>> service shouldn't be a problem. I am surprised they haven't already.
>>>
>>> 73
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>>NCG imported some of these Japanese 'Personal Radios' (actually mobile
>>rigs) a while back. They had 20 channels FM simplex in 903-905 MHz,
>>which would be legal to use in the US without modification. although
>>away from the planned FM subband and lacking repeater shift, but they
>>were selling for $600, and there were few takers at that price.
>>
>>73 de N3KIP
>
> Rigs like that would have little or no use around here, except for
> purely line-of-sight simplex like short range highway communications,
> etc. For that, we already have acres of virtually unused spectrum on
> 220 and 440.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

If the rigs had been a lot cheaper it occurred to me that you could set up a repeater with the input in that range, and use them only to transmit with separate receivers to listen on the output, as a lot of people have scanners that would cover that range, but they were way too expensive for a setup like that to be atall appealing.

I remember years ago a guy who used a crystal-controlled Tx on 2m FM, with an early 6-channel scanner as his Rx. I also met someone back home in England a long time ago who demonstrated a 27 MHz repeater to me! All the (highly illegal) users were using scanners together with their CB rigs to deal with the repeater shift, which is what made me think of it. The output frequency was out of band, of course.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

2154. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 21:20:58 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <ure51fse9oc46e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
three
> > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> >
>
> That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> that 3 digit number....

Well, there ARE ways to shut down a node. But hardly with 3 digits.
That's undoubtedly BS.

Back when the DTMF was a 3x4 matrix for customers (and 4x4 matrix for
control like on some HAM HTs) the 'phone company matrix was
quite different, and those extra keys....

Well, time to leave that one alone.

3 digits to shut down a node now? Yeah, right. And you found those
three digits written on a piece of paper in that Corvette for $50 every
knows about from the 60's!

WAIT!! Was there a black helicopter involved?

Mike

2155. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 22:56:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210231456.1cb50512@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53972

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021023093728.15772.00003740@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> In another thread, I asked Larry Roll a question:
>
> >> Now...do you have anything CONSTRUCTIVE to offer, other than whining
> >>about how your feelings were hurt that you weren't immediately promoted to
> >>Radio God Extrodinaire? Or are we to be subjected to more of the same?
>
> This was his answer:
>
> >Yes, Steve, here is something constructive -- CHILL OUT!!! You're
> >accusing me of things that are so far off my radar screen, they're not even
> >in my airspace. Get a grip.
>
> So here is a direct question to which we will see if a responsible and
> reasonable answer can be provided:
>
> Larry, you have stated that ARES officals should be subjected to "term
> limits" due to your perceived dismissal as "not needed" in ARES. You
> specifically stated that "they" did this because they were allegedly afraid of
> being displaced by you.
>
> The first question is, what does Larry Roll, K3LT, bring to the ARES table
> in terms of experience that would justify his appointment as EC as opposed to
> present appointees. (please note this is a summation of past experiences)
>
> Secondly, what would you, as the new EC, do differently as the EC to make
> ARES more functional, efficient or beneficial to the served agencies. (this is
> what you would do based upon those previous experiences that you think will
> make a positive impact).
>
> Inquiring minds want to know.
>
> Patiently awaiting your answer.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, if, after all his vast experience as an amateur, Extra Larry
hasn't the qualifications to be the next EC, I'd like to nominate Len
Anderson to the post. He has tons of message traffic experience.

73, Brian

2156. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 23:03:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210231503.1595aded@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53973

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<urdrm8lmhfvp06@corp.supernews.com>...
> Larry, I tend to agree with you. Didn't (or doesn't) the ARRL offer those
> neat jackets etc. well emblazened for emergency ops? When the floods hit
> Horseheads, NY, back around 1971 (and, to be honest, I could be off by a
> year or so), I did not go as N2JH (hmmm ... I might have been WB2OSP at the
> time), I went with the US Naval reserve (after serving 4 years active duty,
> including a tour of Vietnam). It didn't matter if one was cooking,
> cleaning, patrolling, or communicating. Everyone had an assigned duty and
> the job got done. I personally (and, I hope I'm wrong) get nervous when it
> appears (and, again, it may - hopefully - be my interpretation) that a lot
> of guys want to be a hero. A true emergency will involve a lot of folks,
> most (if not all) of whom will be unsung heros. We need help, not heros.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Yet, when Len mentions his service in Japan, he gets called a REMF.
Seems we prefer heroes on RRAP, not lots of rank and file folks.

2157. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 23:09:22 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210231509.495399d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mrguard@iname.com (James A Keller) wrote in message
news:<v6vp9.46732$kF.7486920@twister.columbus.rr.com>...
> Hello everyone
>
> While looking at the list of Callsign Trustees with 2 or more calls at:
> http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Clubs5.htm
> I put a number of the calls in the Repeater search engine at:
> http://www.artscipub.com/repeaters/showrepeaters.asp
>
> I noticed that a number of the clubs listed in the top 10% of the list
> do not have a repeater listed even though they are listed as
> networked clubs, repeater groups, systems and associations.
> I found that to be really strange.. Dont you?
> Then I looked at some of the club names and calls
> This is what I found.
> 1) A number of different clubs have the same prefix's.
> I find that confusing as it must be hard in that area
> that quite a number of groups have the same suffix calls..
> 2) Some of the names of the clubs just scream out "Question Me"
> These clubs that are named after sports heroes, the trustee or
> their qth address, or other names such as the Jammers for Jesus
> or the Richard Burton ARC (both who are finally being questioned
> by Riley).
> 3) A number of different clubs that have the same issuance date
> for the callsigns.. Is that strange that the boards and members
> of these clubs can all agree on their calls at the same time?
> 4) If some of these trustees are supposed to monitor the repeaters
> and other transmissions of these clubs, it must be hell to monitor
> 5 different repeaters at once to police the frequencies.
>
> Ham Radio is supposed to take care of itself.. It seems that the only times
> Riley
> and others have anything s after pressure has been applied by many
> individuals or threats of government intervention by political officals
> has been used as a last result.
>
> I know of a few cases where Riley has questioned calls and clubs in this
> matter and has taken action.. That has to be applauded.
> Of course there are the clubs that have provided the correct information
> of the groups existance and even though these clubs have constitutions,
> membership lists, participated in contests and other social events,
> and even issued nice membership certificates, these clubs were
> not viewed as offical due to public misguided pressures.

>
> Riley has alot to do to clean up this hobby and he needs our help.
> Some of the things that need to be done is
> 1) The clean up of the repeaters and airways of Jammers and
> action taken when such offenders have been identified.
> (In South Florida a number of offenders have been identified, taped
> claiming that they were the jammer, and have been verified
> but credible folks such as offical observers, ect but nothing has been
> done by the FCC. And We are not talking about Flippo.)
> 2) Actions taken by League Officals and other Amateurs who conduct
> VEC exams, Emergency Coordinators ect, that violate laws, the amateur
> code and even normal decency standards that nothing is done by the
> amateur Supervisory folks (ARRL, Riley ect) until threats of
> legal intervention are involved.
> 3) The groups on the airwaves who believe that they own their
> frequency because the repeater is there or that their net happens
> there daily (10-10 anyone?) who do nothing when their members
> openly jam QSO's on such frequency in a malicious manner..
> I have been in a number of QSO's and have listened to them also.
> Then these folks have the audacity to claim that the stations in the
> QSO are openly Jamming them because of being on their frequency.
> 4) These clubs that have to be questioned.. I have written to Riley
> about a few clubs that are very suspicious due to content (some on
> a personal family level) and even one that on Usenet posted the true
> nature of their club.. Some of these clubs have a smearing nature to
> destroy certain hams and have posted that.. even using previous calls
> of that ham to ensure that.
>
> Perhaps all of this is too much for Riley to handle?
> I am not here to bash Riley but if it takes public pressure to get
> things to happen, perhaps we should let Riley know of this concern
> so we can get action taken before this hobby gets an even blacker eye.
> Some of these actions and officals belong back on CB.
>
> You can write Riley at rhollings@fcc.gov
>
> Im not out to start a flame war or anything which is why I didnt name
> names or clubs but you can search on groups.google.com of such
> occurances of what I listed above.. One such example is
> "Something Smells in West Palm Beach" about a VEC exam about 4 years ago
> where a handicapped VE was intimidated by the Head VE and others in the
> hopes of chasing the ham from the hobby.
> Riley does a good job and is not to be bashed by this letter but this
> is written with the hopes that Riley will realize what the ham community
> is concerned about and will focus his efforts.
>
> If you have any questions, email me and we can discuss.. there is no need
> to get into name callings or anything else.
>
> James Keller W8WW

> mrguard@earthlink.net

Wouldn't you think a "real ham" would have better things to do than
whine about "vanity callsigns"? I would. Get a life, Jimmy.

2158. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-23 23:24:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB72FBE.93E8D7DD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 53977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dickieboy Craniumless wrote:

>
> Wouldn't you think a "real ham" would have better things to do than
> whine about "vanity callsigns"? I would. Get a life, Jimmy.

Wouldn't you think a "real ham" would be able to find the 10 meter
band? You still looking dickieboy?

2159. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 00:06:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DB739C1.20641703@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53975

Brian wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021023093728.15772.00003740@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> > In another thread, I asked Larry Roll a question:
> >
> > >> Now...do you have anything CONSTRUCTIVE to offer, other than whining
> > >>about how your feelings were hurt that you weren't immediately promoted to
> > >>Radio God Extrodinaire? Or are we to be subjected to more of the same?
> >
> > This was his answer:
> >
> > >Yes, Steve, here is something constructive -- CHILL OUT!!! You're
> > >accusing me of things that are so far off my radar screen, they're not even
> > >in my airspace. Get a grip.
> >
> > So here is a direct question to which we will see if a responsible and
> > reasonable answer can be provided:
> >
> > Larry, you have stated that ARES officals should be subjected to "term
> > limits" due to your perceived dismissal as "not needed" in ARES. You
> > specifically stated that "they" did this because they were allegedly afraid of
> > being displaced by you.
> >
> > The first question is, what does Larry Roll, K3LT, bring to the ARES table
> > in terms of experience that would justify his appointment as EC as opposed to
> > present appointees. (please note this is a summation of past experiences)
> >
> > Secondly, what would you, as the new EC, do differently as the EC to make
> > ARES more functional, efficient or beneficial to the served agencies. (this is
> > what you would do based upon those previous experiences that you think will
> > make a positive impact).
> >
> > Inquiring minds want to know.
> >
> > Patiently awaiting your answer.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, if, after all his vast experience as an amateur, Extra Larry
> hasn't the qualifications to be the next EC, I'd like to nominate Len
> Anderson to the post. He has tons of message traffic experience.

>
> 73, Brian

Len's only recent (past several decades) experience is on usenet.

Dave K8MN

2160. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 01:09:57 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9DCC0A9.C0D0%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 53976

"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
>
> A.) In some areas I've lived in, I'd probably
> eliminate the program entirely, and abolish
> the concept of amateur radio operators sticking
> their noses into the business of professional
> emergency service providers. (snip)

You're assuming hams have stuck their noses in where not invited, which I doubt is often true. In this area, for example, the civil defense office contacted the local ham club after reading a FEMA manual that suggested the use of ham operators to fill in for communications shortages. What evidence do you have that this has not been repeated elsewhere, including in the area you live in?

> However, to answer more responsively, I'd make
> sure that local Emergency Service officials
> really WANTED ham radio operators to be part
> of their contingency plans and operations. I'd
> ask them to specify precisely what they wanted
> us to do, when they wanted us to do it, at any
> particular stage within a given disaster
> scenario for which they had developed formal,
> written, operational plans. (snip)

SOP, Larry. Most towns develop a plan that lays out the activities of each group, professional and volunteer. Once that is prepared, a MOU is often signed to insure each knows their place in the pecking order and role during any event. Staged disasters test the plans, with modifications made where necessary before the next staged event (or the real thing).

> Then, I would ensure that as many local hams
> as wanted to were able to participate in
> emergency drills, with someone different
> occupying each position during each
> successive drill until the entire base of
> participating hams has had equal experience
> in each particular job. In other words,
> there would be Affirmative Action for local
> ARES members -- with no one individual being
> able to do the same job each and every
> time. The concept would be that flexibility
> is the key to our strength, and that we would
> not be counting on someone who may or may
> not even be there when The Big One hits. (snip)

First of all, no group I've seen has depended on a single individual for any job. However, groups do assign specific jobs to specific people within the group based on strengths and weaknesses. Those assignments would be your job as coordinator. And do remember the goal is success at the mission assigned, not making members happy with your idea of ham affirmative action. And the only way to insure success is to put the right people in the right jobs.

> There would be no Long Service Awards for the
> same 350-lb. gorilla who sat in the radio
> club's chair at the EOC each and every time
> for the past 20 years.

The person's size is not a selection criteria, Larry. His ability to do the job is. And if that 350-lb gorilla is the one who does the job best, you'd be a fool, and a darn poor coordinator, to look at his weight instead of his job performance.

> My own personal impression of ARES and ham
> radio Public Service in general is that it
> is a bunch of people grasping for Ham Heroï¿½
> status to the exclusion of any others even
> being involved. (snip)

Larry, in a country full of couch potatoes who contribute very little to their communities, volunteerism is heroic. Volunteers fought to bring this country into the world. Volunteers have pulled people from burning buildings. Volunteers have worked long shifts to help keep communications working during disasters. Volunteers deserve all the praise they get and more. But they don't get praise for just being volunteers - they get it for doing a job well done.

As for your exclusion argument, I just don't see it. Again, a good coordinator is always looking for more. If that is the case with your local coordinator, you will be used if you can do the job. However, just showing up with a ham license is not enough to show you can do the job. Instead, it is time, effort, experience, and demonstrated commitment, that counts most. And none of that is gained by walking away pouting about being excluded.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2161. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 01:31:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ap7iic$1v2$0@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 53978

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len's only recent (past several decades) experience is on usenet.
>
>

And he made it clear that his far east army time was spent babysitting
a transmitter site, not handling traffic - other than the fact that said
traffic modulated the transmitter he was babysitting. But of course that
flys way over Brian's head, just like the term "permission".

2162. Re: Homebrew new directions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 02:23:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210231823.15617e14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53979

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<BKat9.21859$1P1.1365214@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Brian Kelly, W3RV, posted:
>
> > ... A 500w AM "DSP modulator" . . awesome!
> > I knew we din need that monster xfmr . .
>
> Indeed. My local 1360-kHz, Bible-banger has
> a 50-kW Harris AM rig that does exactly that.
> It digitizes its audio input and encodes the
> samples into a "thermometer"-like format
> expressed as a parallel output across 48
> individual bits. Each of these bit lines
> gates an RF amplifier on or off. The outputs
> of the 48 amplifiers are summed together,
> impedance-transformed to 50 ohms and sent
> to the antenna. No mod. xfmr, no HV. And
> with switching supplies for the RF amps, there's
> no "heavy iron" of any kind. Also, there is
> complete redundancy in the 48 identical RF
> amps, which can be 'hot-swapped' and failure-
> compensated if one or two of the units
> goes off-line.
>
> I think of this thing as a 50-kW RF ADC/DAC.
>
> So, sorry Brian, it's already here... at least
> at MF BC freqs. The HF BC folks still use toobs,
> so they do something like this, but with their HV
> supply(s), in a kind-of hybrid PWM scheme.
>
> I have seen the future and it's (sob!) DIGITAL.

Oh. My. God. We're DOOMED!!

w3rv

2163. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 13:06:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024090658.07646.00000022@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53980

>Subject: Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/23/02 11:17 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021023121721.02941.00003399@mb-dh.aol.com>

>In classic newsgripe style, I'm going to unresponsively answer your first
>question
>with a question of my own:

THIS is "misdirection", as cited by K0HB.

Fine response, Larry.

>A.) When did I ever say I wanted an appointment as an EC? Provide quote,
>one
>with the term "EC" contained therein. As it happens, about the last thing
>I'd
>want
>to be is an EC.

Only by virtue of two weeks of whining and complaining about how some
un-named ES types "dissed" you by dismissing your overtures to "join in".

>A.) In some areas I've lived in, I'd probably eliminate the program entirely,
>and
>abolish the concept of amateur radio operators sticking their noses into the
>business of professional emergency service providers.

That's a great response.

You really are first cousins of Lennie, aren't you...?!?!

He wants to undermine and diminish Amateur Radio's ability to meet it's
responsibilities, and so do you.

>However, to answer
>more responsively, I'd make sure that local Emergency Service officials
>really
>WANTED ham radio operators to be part of their contingency plans and
>operations.

This is part of the MOU process, Larry. If they weren't wanted or needed,
there would be no such agreement penned.

>I'd ask them to specify precisely what they wanted us to do...(SNIP)

Not a single thing ehre that isn't already done, Larry. Again, this is a
part of the MOU process.

>Then, I would ensure that as many local hams as wanted to were able to
>participate in emergency drills, with someone different occupying each
>position during each successive drill until the entire base of participating
>hams has had equal experience in each particular job.

Again, already there. Maybe not for you, but for everyone else.

>In other words, there
>would be Affirmative Action for local ARES members -...(SNIP)

Affirmative Action was a placebo for the liberal cause. Still is. Are
you a liberal, Larry?

>...with no one
>individual being able to do the same job each and every time.

Yeah...let's not let anyone gain proficiency in any aspect of the program
or find thier own niche.

>There would be no Long Service Awards for the same 350-lb.
>gorilla who sat in the radio club's chair at the EOC each and every time
>for the past 20 years.

Here's your true Id, into the daylight, Larry. This is NOT about being
able to do a better job. It's about Larry's personal vendetta against
"someone".

>I'm sure my answers aren't satisfactory to you, Steve, and will offend your
>Politically Correct sensibilities, to say the least.

I don't have "politically correct sensibilities", Larry. Just an inate
ability to see through you, even from this distance. That you are unable to
answer a direct question with a direct answer was not unexpected.

>I don't care. Truly I don't.

If you didn't, you woun't have answered this post. You did, therefore you
do.

>My own personal impression of ARES and ham radio Public Service in
>general is that it is a bunch of people grasping for Ham Hero™ status to
>the exclusion of any others even being involved.

Then you are only fooling yourself, Larry. Worse yet, you are trying to
fool others into believing that a "negative" encounter you had is the status
quo for the entire organization.

You are wrong for doing that.

>It has been this way in
>every area I've lived in since I've been a ham. I have been so thoroughly
>discouraged from ham radio Public Service that I'm not sure if I can even
>support the concept any more.

Then quit showing up at these functions with your "Real Ham" attitude, and
BE a REAL Ham, Larry, and realize that your mere presence does not warrant an

immediate promotion to a leadership position. It doesn't work that way in ARES anmore than it does the civilian work force or the Armed Forces.

>>Patiently awaiting your answer.
>
>Now you've got it.

No, I got your dodge around it, but I guess it's the best you can muster.
Too bad.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2164. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 13:10:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024091019.07646.00000023@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53981

>Subject: Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 10/23/02 11:06 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <rOzt9.470$6F4.46584@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net

>Larry has demonstrated a clear competence to speak for himself, and he has
>not spoken of any aspiration to be a appointed EC. Therefor you're jeremiad
>is nothing more than an attempt at obfuscation by attributing motives to
>Larry which he has not espoused.

Then I contend that your reading comprehension is poor, at best, Hans.
Perhaps a second grade refresher course may help?

The Gunny

2165. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 13:12:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024091252.07646.00000026@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53982

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 10/23/02 11:31 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <C9At9.502$6F4.48814@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Dear Joe Average...(SNIP)

I bet you land a lot of fish with a troll like that, Hans.

Cute, but wrongfully placed.

Steve

2166. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 13:21:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024092152.07646.00000028@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53983

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/23/02 11:17 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021023121719.02941.00003397@mb-dh.aol.com>

>None, Zero, Nada. Please provide the quote from me indicating I wanted
>to be an EC (or any other emergency appointee). Second Request

Second answer. Only your incesant whining about having been excluded and
your insistance that "changes" be made to the program that, on the face of it,
are merely the lashings-out of a man with a vendetta.

But since you are focusing solely on the term "EC", let's broaden that and
ask you what credentials and experience do you have that qualify you in ANY
"emergency services" related leadership role, in or out of Amateur Radio?

Second request.

Steve

2167. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 14:53:20 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021024105320.28561.00000002@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 53985

In article <ap6c9s528f1@enews1.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>Larry,
>
>Care to enlighten me (or us) as to the specifics of that particular
>sentiment below? At least I am willing to try to see your viewpoint. I am
>also considering the fact that you are in a completely different area than I
>am, so our perceptions obviously would differ in some regards. At least I
>am willing to attempt to see both sides of the street, even if I do not
>agree.
>
>
>" I have had no use for Emergency/Public Service
>> as of the minute it became clear that it had no use for me! And I'm not
>> the only one who has had this problem.
>
Ryan:

In order to save me a lot of keystrokes, please review my postings under
this subject thread from the beginning, and you'll get the picture. In order
to avoid possibly impugning the character of good hams involved in
ARES in areas I've been in, I'm not going to be any more specific than
I've already been. My opinions are the result of a long-running experience
in many different places as a result of my movement every two years or
so during my Air Force career. In some of these places, the conditions
that caused my disgruntlement years ago may not exist today. However,
I've received a lot of feedback now which verifies the fact that a lot of
what I'm talking about is still a present and widespread problem.

Whether you see my point-of-view, or not, is entirely up to you. I can't
do your thinking for you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2168. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 14:53:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021024105323.28561.00000003@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 53984

In article <ap6c9o428f1@enews1.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>> Hot Flash Hot Rod...they are everywhere...EMS has them...the local
>VFD has
>> them...local Sheriff's Auxiliaries, the Civil Air Patrol, the CG Aux, etc
>etc
>> etc has at least one or two Mighty Mouse types.
>>
>
>As well as in Bus Garages around the U.S. too and in office buildings, and
>etc..

Hmmm. I've been doing my job for only two years, but in that time,
I've never met a "Paratransit Operator Wannabe." At least, not ones
who drive around in a large van with a wheelchair lift, a Q'Straint
restraint system, a Vital One kit, and a fake CAD/AVL comm system.
And, if anyone had vehicle so equipped, s/he could make money as a
REAL paratransit operator working as one of our contract operators,
or for one of the Medicaid transport providers. When I took this job,
I wasn't a "Paratransit Wannabe." I was a "State Employee With Good
Pay, Benefits, and Job Security" wannabe.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2169. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 14:53:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021024105324.28561.00000005@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 53986

In article <f45722ac.0210231456.1cb50512@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>
>Steve, if, after all his vast experience as an amateur, Extra Larry
>hasn't the qualifications to be the next EC, I'd like to nominate Len
>Anderson to the post. He has tons of message traffic experience.

Brian:

If the job is being done correctly, the qualifications for EC involve a lot more than one would know even as an Extra-class radio amateur with 20 year's experience. I don't claim to have those qualifications, even though, if I tried, I might find that I do. One "qualification" I lack is an non-jaundiced view of the very future of ham radio itself. I'll admit, I'm horribly lacking in that particular area, because I don't think ham radio has much of a future. I see it declining rapidly, in spite of the licensing requirements becoming more dumbed-down with every action taken by the FCC with the ARRL's sanction. One reason for my POV is our reliance on claims of our phenomenal value as public service emergency communicators in order to justify our hold over billions of dollars worth of RF spectrum. IMHO, I don't think our culture of Ham Heroism is going to carry us much longer.

Here we are, about two decades past the invention of packet radio, and I still can't send a packet radio message, carried entirely on an amateur radio RF backbone, across this country -- in any amount of time. I call this a dismal failure of the leadership of the American Radio RELAY League. Think about it. I sense a new thread coming on.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2170. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 14:53:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021024105324.28561.00000004@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 53987

In article <20021023114429.10406.00013186@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Steve, you're in danger of identifying yourself as a Usenet Troll, a
>>tag you surely don't want to garner to yourself.
>
> Your opinion, Dick, one the Constitution allows you. However it is Larry
>who has made assertions that are poorly framed and without justification.
>
> Sorry you don't agree.
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Don't look now, Steve, but Dick's opinion carries a lot more weight in this NG than yours does at the moment. I think those years of Lennie-and-Hans-bashing are finally biting you in the ass.

Face the facts. I skewered one of your sacred cows by expressing my own legitimate concerns about ham radio public service. Now, the only way you can fight back is to sling mud in my face. I have expressed concerns based on a long-running negative experience, and have clearly identified my experiences, and my opinions, as my own and no one else's. This is not personal, but you are gratuitously making it so. I think it's time for me to repeat my previous advice: Chill out, Steve!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2171. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 15:42:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021024114224.28561.00000007@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 53988

In article <20021024092152.07646.00000028@mb-mn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>None, Zero, Nada. Please provide the quote from me indicating I wanted
>>to be an EC (or any other emergency appointee). Second Request
>
> Second answer. Only your incesant whining about having been excluded
>and
>your insistance that "changes" be made to the program that, on the face of
>it,
>are merely the lashings-out of a man with a vendetta.
>
> But since you are focusing solely on the term "EC", let's broaden that
>and
>ask you what credentials and experience do you have that qualify you in ANY
>"emergency services" related leadership role, in or out of Amateur Radio?
>
> Second request.
>
>Steve

Sorry, Steve, not until you provide a responsive reply to my previous two requests for YOU to provide a quote from me stating that I sought an appointment as an EC. I have stated clearly, now for the third time, that I don't consider myself qualified for, nor do I seek, a leadership role in ARES. I haven't even sought office in my local radio club, having already held each office except Treasurer. I've had plenty of time as a "leader." My disgruntlement is about over the times I've been denied the opportunity to serve as a mere worker bee, by people too jealous of their "visibility" to local officials to allow a newcomer to step in.

Now, Steve, put up or shut up.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2172. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 15:42:25 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021024114225.28561.00000008@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 53989

In article <ap6c9g128f1@enews1.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>> I don't follow the logic of "recruiting" people into ham radio whatsoever.
>> I believe that ham radio is something that will, on it's own, attract
>people
>> who have the traditional curiosity about electronics and communications,
>> and would be willing to explore it more fully than just a narrow focus on
>> VHF/UHF/FM "public service" type comms.
>
>How is going to attract anyone with the lack of awareness? Haven't seen
>much in the way of Public Service Announcements out there.

Ryan:

That's exactly the same point I've been making for years. Our "National
Organization for Amateur Radio" has been doing it's level best to keep
amateur radio a secret from the public.

>If the local
>area groups are not doing much to raise awareness of our hobby, then it will
>be stagnant or just barely growing (1-2%) increases. I wish I had a dollar
>for every person that I have run into that has either said something like
>"Ham radio... what the heck is that?" or "They still have that stuff
>around?" If I did.... I could buy a lobster dinner for every regular user
>of R.R.A.P.

No doubt. However, why is it the responsibility of "local groups" to provide
public awareness of amateur radio? After all, we have a "National Organization
for Amateur Radio" -- the ARRL.

>> These "shack on a belt" types,
>> as Brian Burke recently referred to, usually don't end up going anywhere
>> and doing anything much as hams, and I believe that the only hams who
>> have the ability to provide true emergency communications capability
>> are those who have explored the entire world of ham radio more fully and
>> at a more technically- and operationally-involved level.
>
>The blame there is a double-edged blame... both on the "newbie" as well as
>the experienced ham.

I don't know what the "experienced" hams have to do with it. When I became
a ham, all of my studying, learning, and operational experience came about
as a result of my own initiative. No other more experienced ham really had
much,
if anything, to do with it. Of course, todays new hams have come to expect

everything handed to them on a silver platter; therefore I can see why they'd have a tendency to blame their lack of progress on others.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2173. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 15:57:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <6MUt9.2247$6F4.222848@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53990

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote

> Of course, todays new hams have come to expect
> everything handed to them on a silver platter; ....

Larry,

With a brush that broad, I'm surprised you have the strength to swing it.

I regularly deal with young (and not so young) people coming into the
Amateur Radio, and I can't honestly identify anyone in search of a "silver
platter".

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2174. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 18:36:12 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210241036.1936c270@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53991

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021024105324.28561.00000005@mb-mv.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0210231456.1cb50512@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >
> >Steve, if, after all his vast experience as an amateur, Extra Larry
> >hasn't the qualifications to be the next EC, I'd like to nominate Len
> >Anderson to the post. He has tons of message traffic experience.
>
> Brian:
>
> If the job is being done correctly, the qualifications for EC involve a
> lot more than one would know even as an Extra-class radio amateur
> with 20 year's experience. I don't claim to have those qualifications,
> even though, if I tried, I might find that I do. One "qualification" I lack
> is an non-jaundiced view of the very future of ham radio itself. I'll admit,
> I'm horribly lacking in that particular area, because I don't think ham
> radio has much of a future. I see it declining rapidly, in spite of the
> licensing requirements becoming more dumbed-down with every
> action taken by the FCC with the ARRL's sanction. One reason for
> my POV is our reliance on claims of our phenomenal value as
> public service emergency communicators in order to justify our
> hold over billions of dollars worth of RF spectrum. IMHO, I don't
> think our culture of Ham Heroism is going to carry us much longer.
>
> Here we are, about two decades past the invention of packet radio,
> and I still can't send a packet radio message, carried entirely on an
> amateur radio RF backbone, across this country -- in any amount
> of time. I call this a dismal failure of the leadership of the American
> Radio RELAY League. Think about it. I sense a new thread coming
> on.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

EC is more about people handling than message handling. Being able to
persuade and motivate is probably the biggest part.

Good luck on packet.

Brian

2175. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 21:32:12 UTC
From: Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors, school teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses the band. You got something against truckers?

Blackie
Keep the shiny side up!

2176. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 21:44:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 53993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
school
> teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses the
band.

ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the use of
10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export" radios
(illegal to import and sell)
and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.

Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than welcome to
enjoy Amateur Radio
and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham bands.

One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of AM
below 28.300 MHZ where
voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears Morse
Code (CW) on that
frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their driving
friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
require a license and that the Feds are back in enforcement--especially
where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively monitoring
the bands for it--even ob-
serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
recordings and tag numbers,
FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious matter,
folks, and you should
heed the warning.

Jerry

> You got something against truckers?
>
> Blackie
> Keep the shiny side up!
>
>
>
>
>
>

2177. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 22:03:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024180359.27637.00000143@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53994

>Subject: Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/24/02 9:53 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021024105324.28561.00000005@mb-mv.aol.com>
>
>In article <f45722ac.0210231456.1cb50512@posting.google.com>,
>brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>Here we are, about two decades past the invention of packet radio,
>and I still can't send a packet radio message, carried entirely on an
>amateur radio RF backbone, across this country -- in any amount
>of time. I call this a dismal failure of the leadership of the American
>Radio RELAY League. Think about it. I sense a new thread coming
>on.

Perhaps, but I don't see why. PACKET as an every-mans mode never took off because it required additional equipment and skills that folks didn't want to obtain or employ.

Furthermore, the "impersonal" interface of computer and man detracted from Amateur Radio's prime drawing power, and that is direct interaction with the radio. That is part of the draw to CW for me...I enjoy phone op, but there's something more rewarding about that direct interaction that makes it more "fun".!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2178. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 22:24:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024182408.27637.00000144@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53995

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/24/02 9:53 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021024105324.28561.00000004@mb-mv.aol.com>

>Don't look now, Steve, but Dick's opinion carries a lot more weight
>in this NG than yours does at the moment. I think those years of
>Lennie-and-Hans-bashing are finally biting you in the ass.

That it happens to lean your way wouldn't happen to have anything to do with how much credibility you lend it, would it, Larry...?!?! =)

>Face the facts. I skewered one of your sacred cows by expressing
>my own legitimate concerns about ham radio public service.

Larry, Your "legitimate concerns" are nothing more than a series of expressions of personal frustration over your perception of rejection by persons who didn't deem your desire to be "in charge" as important to ARES as YOU deemed it.

Other than a very cynical expression of stereotyping of "shack-on-a-belt wannabes" you have not rendered a single suggestion of constructive criticism on the current state of public service communications. Not one.

>Now the only way you can fight back is to sling mud in my face.

The mud is coming from YOUR puddle, Larry.

>I have
>expressed concerns based on a long-running negative experience,
>and have clearly identified my experiences, and my opinions, as
>my own and no one else's

No...You have expressed your opinions in such a way as to make it seem to the casual reader that the circumstances you relate are a pervasive and widespread phenomenon. Such is not the case.

>This is not personal, but you are gratuitously making it so.

Of course it's personal, Larry. You make your own gratuitous character assassinations of persons involved in public service communications and of the very concept itself, then expect to escape criticism for it. Sorry.

>I think it's time for me to repeat my previous advice: Chill out, Steve!

I think it's time for you to take your OWN advice, Larry. You can't slap people in the face the way do and then not expect to be swung back at.

Steve, K4YZ

2179. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 22:39:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024183933.27637.00000147@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 53996

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/24/02 10:42 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021024114224.28561.00000007@mb-mv.aol.com>

>>Steve
>
>Sorry, Steve, not until you provide a responsive reply to my previous
>two requests for YOU to provide a quote from me stating that I sought
>an appointment as an EC.

OK, Larry. I thought you were a person of some character and intestinal
fortitude. I can see you are not.

>I have stated clearly, now for the third time,
>that I don't consider myself qualified for, nor do I seek, a leadership
>role in ARES.

My comments were not focused solely on "leadership", Larry, although you
have used that to dodge the questions.

In this post you acknowledge that you have NO credentials with which to
make the stinging insinuations and you have made.

>I have stated clearly, now for the third time,
>that I don't consider myself qualified for, nor do I seek, a leadership
>role in ARES.

Ergo the insults and the criticisms expressed over the past few days by
you were based solely upon personal disgruntlement, and NOT on any failings of
the ARES system (or any other Amateur Radio public service, for that matter) to
meet any real or perceived obligations or goals.

>My disgruntlement is about over the times I've been denied
>the opportunity to serve as a mere worker bee, by people too jealous
>of their "visibility" to local officials to allow a newcomer to step in.

People who are in some position of "authority", be it a volunteer service,
political office, or the manager of the local McDonald's don't feel "jealous"
of their "position" by "mere worker bee(s)" when that person is doing routine
tasks in support of that person "in authority".

Indeed, that person can not attain that "visibility" in the eyes of those
they seek to "impress" without the efforts of the "worker bees" to which you
refer.

No, Larry...I am not the one who needs to "shut up". You've shown your
hand. That you don't like being exposed is your problem, not mine.

Sorry.

Steve

2180. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-24 22:41:15 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <YimdnTv7pv-W6iWgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 53997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message -
> >
> Have any truckers respond?

Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma

2181. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 00:57:28 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024205728.27794.00000162@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 53998

>>> I believe that ham radio is something that will, on it's own, attract
>>people
>>> who have the traditional curiosity about electronics and communications,
>>> and would be willing to explore it more fully than just a narrow focus on
>>> VHF/UHF/FM "public service" type comms.

I have not seen this in the many clubs I have been to. This is not Ham Radio today.

> I wish I had a dollar
>>for every person that I have run into that has either said something like
>>"Ham radio... what the heck is that?" or "They still have that stuff
>>around?"
I think this is an unreasonable request. One dollar is too much. A dime is more reasonable. I have mentioned Ham Radio a few times to people. Even advocated it, sort of. I never got anyone that got interested in it. Can I then ask them for a dollar?

>I don't know what the "experienced" hams have to do with it. When I became
>a ham, all of my studying, learning, and operational experience came about
>as a result of my own initiative. No other more experienced ham really had
>much,
>if anything, to do with it. Of course, todays new hams have come to expect
>everything handed to them on a silver platter; therefore I can see why they'd
>have a tendency to blame their lack of progress on others.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Problem is, there is no enthusiasm in the Ham Radio community. I'm not asking for a silver platter, but it helps when others share your interests. Hams today just arent interested. And when such information is presented (such as at Hamfests or Conventions), it only serves for additional propoganda. (Interest level is low, and there are few attendees of existing Hams). By the way, I plan to attend the Microwave Update Convention in CT this weekend. I am interested in the Noise Figure Lab and Antenna Measurement presentations.

Just joking about asking for a dollar.

2182. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 01:45:45 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <88e9904f0c913a1f6a0db885e47a5408@xganon.com>
Source record: 53999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

http://web.archive.org/web/20021008174238re_/http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/twistworld.jpg

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

2183. Re: Control freak k8mn should fuck off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 01:52:13 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <No1u9.12122$Be4.2493@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54000

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message > X, go away. You annoy me.
Amateur Hi! Brian
>

Burke: You got kicked off your regular ISP?

2184. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 04:27:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9DE4079.C0F4%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 54001

"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
>
> Sorry, Steve, not until you provide a responsive
> reply to my previous two requests for YOU to
> provide a quote from me stating that I sought
> an appointment as an EC.

Your criticism has been directed mainly at those in authority (such as an EC). Because of that, Steve asked fair questions - what qualifications do you have that would make you a good judge of their performance and what would you do differently.

> I have stated clearly, now for the third time,
> that I don't consider myself qualified for, nor
> do I seek, a leadership role in ARES.

Nobody is asking you to run as a candidate for a leadership position. Instead, the questions seek your qualifications to judge others in those positions.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2185. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 06:36:46 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <apbgsa12t3v@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54007

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021024114225.28561.00000008@mb-mv.aol.com...
> In article <ap6c9g128f1@enews1.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >> I don't follow the logic of "recruiting" people into ham radio
whatsoever.
> >> I believe that ham radio is something that will, on it's own, attract
> >people
> >> who have the traditional curiosity about electronics and
communications,
> >> and would be willing to explore it more fully than just a narrow focus
on
> >> VHF/UHF/FM "public service" type comms.
> >
> >How is going to attract anyone with the lack of awareness? Haven't seen
> >much in the way of Public Service Announcements out there.
>
> Ryan:
>
> That's exactly the same point I've been making for years. Our "National
> Organization for Amateur Radio" has been doing it's level best to keep
> amateur radio a secret from the public.

Surprisingly there are a few things we do agree on! :)

>
> >If the local
> >area groups are not doing much to raise awareness of our hobby, then it
will
> >be stagnant or just barely growing (1-2%) increases. I wish I had a
dollar
> >for every person that I have run into that has either said something like
> >"Ham radio... what the heck is that?" or "They still have that stuff
> >around?" If I did.... I could buy a lobster dinner for every regular
user
> >of R.R.A.P.
>
> No doubt. However, why is it the responsibility of "local groups" to
provide
> public awareness of amateur radio? After all, we have a "National
Organization
> for Amateur Radio" -- the ARRL.

My personal belief is that it should be both coming from our National Organizations as well as from the local level.

>
> >> These "shack on a belt" types,
> >> as Brian Burke recently referred to, usually don't end up going anywhere
> >> and doing anything much as hams, and I believe that the only hams who
> >> have the ability to provide true emergency communications capability
> >> are those who have explored the entire world of ham radio more fully and
> >> at a more technically- and operationally-involved level.
> >
> >The blame there is a double-edged blame... both on the "newbie" as well as
> >the experienced ham.
>
> I don't know what the "experienced" hams have to do with it. When I became
> a ham, all of my studying, learning, and operational experience came about
> as a result of my own initiative. No other more experienced ham really had
> much,
> if anything, to do with it. Of course, todays new hams have come to expect
> everything handed to them on a silver platter; therefore I can see why they'd
> have a tendency to blame their lack of progress on others.

Well.. again.... If I had not been "enlightened" by some of our local hams, I would not have some of the specific areas of knowledge that I have earned. They kinda gave me a nudge in the right direction and made me at least a little bit aware and the rest was up to me.

I can see that some people that became licensed that have not progressed may be from a bunch of differenct reasons.... some as to what you have eluded to, as well as some may have been dissillusioned as to what the hobby is (saw more that what is really there), or many other reasons. Some viable reasons, some not......

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2186. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 06:36:46 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <apceec0v1a@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54028

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021024114225.28561.00000008@mb-mv.aol.com...
> In article <ap6c9g128f1@enews1.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >> I don't follow the logic of "recruiting" people into ham radio
whatsoever.
> >> I believe that ham radio is something that will, on it's own, attract
> >people
> >> who have the traditional curiosity about electronics and
communications,
> >> and would be willing to explore it more fully than just a narrow focus
on
> >> VHF/UHF/FM "public service" type comms.
> >
> >How is going to attract anyone with the lack of awareness? Haven't seen
> >much in the way of Public Service Announcements out there.
>
> Ryan:
>
> That's exactly the same point I've been making for years. Our "National
> Organization for Amateur Radio" has been doing it's level best to keep
> amateur radio a secret from the public.

Surprisingly there are a few things we do agree on! :)

>
> >If the local
> >area groups are not doing much to raise awareness of our hobby, then it
will
> >be stagnant or just barely growing (1-2%) increases. I wish I had a
dollar
> >for every person that I have run into that has either said something like
> >"Ham radio... what the heck is that?" or "They still have that stuff
> >around?" If I did.... I could buy a lobster dinner for every regular
user
> >of R.R.A.P.
>
> No doubt. However, why is it the responsibility of "local groups" to
provide
> public awareness of amateur radio? After all, we have a "National
Organization
> for Amateur Radio" -- the ARRL.

My personal belief is that it should be both coming from our National Organizations as well as from the local level.

> > These "shack on a belt" types,
> > as Brian Burke recently referred to, usually don't end up going anywhere
> > and doing anything much as hams, and I believe that the only hams who
> > have the ability to provide true emergency communications capability
> > are those who have explored the entire world of ham radio more fully and
> > at a more technically- and operationally-involved level.
> >
> >The blame there is a double-edged blame... both on the "newbie" as well as
> >the experienced ham.
>
> I don't know what the "experienced" hams have to do with it. When I became
> a ham, all of my studying, learning, and operational experience came about
> as a result of my own initiative. No other more experienced ham really had
> much,
> if anything, to do with it. Of course, todays new hams have come to expect
> everything handed to them on a silver platter; therefore I can see why they'd
> have a tendency to blame their lack of progress on others.

Well.. again.... If I had not been "enlightened" by some of our local hams, I would not have some of the specific areas of knowledge that I have earned. They kinda gave me a nudge in the right direction and made me at least a little bit aware and the rest was up to me.

I can see that some people that became licensed that have not progressed may be from a bunch of differenct reasons.... some as to what you have eluded to, as well as some may have been dissillusioned as to what the hobby is (saw more that what is really there), or many other reasons. Some viable reasons, some not......

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2187. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 06:39:06 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <apceed1v1a@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

I know quite a few that work 10 meters.....

And I can give ya their callsigns too! :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024171314.538$Is@newsreader.com...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> > news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>... (snip)
> >
> >> Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> Have any truckers respond?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

2188. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 06:42:38 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <apceee2v1a@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54026

Well.. to see your point of view.... that would require knowing it in the
first place. And I can think for my own.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> Whether you see my point-of-view, or not, is entirely up to you. I can't
> do your thinking for you.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2189. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 07:38:02 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <BTJustice@gmx.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <ux6u9.215$EOT8.51445906@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 54002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

His sister became the owner of them and sold them on eBay if I recall
correctly. Laws are meant to be broken.

BTJustice

"Ralph D'Andrea" <K0RFD@gjbiz.com> wrote in message
news:1105_1035333262@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 22 Oct 2002 10:51:12 -0700, blackm00@cam.org (Michael Black) wrote:
> > By the way, according to a bulletin I saw the other day, Kevin Mitnick
> > is selling off some of his computers, if I remember properly to pay
> > his legal fees.
>
> Well, if Kevin it taking his sentence seriously, the last time he was
allowed to BUY a computer,
> it was probably a 386. In fact, as I remember his sentence, what's he
even doing OWNING a
> computer anyway?
>
> And being on Coast-to-coast means he has to use the telephone. I'm not
sure the judge wanted him
> doing THAT either.
>
>
>
>

2190. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 07:43:31 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <BTJustice@gmx.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <CC6u9.216$EOT8.51839161@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 54003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

3 digits won't get you shit. But dialing 10-10-220 will get all of your 20
minute calls for $.99!!!

BTJustice

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:ure51fse9oc46e@corp.supernews.com...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> > >
> > > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
> three
> > > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> > >
> >
> > That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> > dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> > that 3 digit number....
>
> Well, there ARE ways to shut down a node. But hardly with 3 digits.
> That's undoubtedly BS.
>
> Back when the DTMF was a 3x4 matrix for customers (and 4x4 matrix for
> control like on some HAM HTs) the 'phone company matrix was
> quite different, and those extra keys....
>
> Well, time to leave that one alone.
>
> 3 digits to shut down a node now? Yeah, right. And you found those
> three digits written on a piece of paper in that Corvette for $50 every
> knows about from the 60's!
>
> WAIT!! Was there a black helicopter involved?
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>

2191. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 13:22:26 UTC
From: Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org>
Message-ID: <apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
ever got that far.

B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!

C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
code techs make six times the money you make!

BOSS hog
Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
> school
> > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses the
> band.
>
> ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the use
of
> 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"
radios
> (illegal to import and sell)
> and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
>
> Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than welcome
to

> enjoy Amateur Radio
> and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
> licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham bands.
>
> One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of AM
> below 28.300 MHZ where
> voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears Morse
> Code (CW) on that
> frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
driving
> friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
> require a license and that the Feds are back in enforcement--especially
> where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
monitoring
> the bands for it--even ob-
> serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
> recordings and tag numbers,
> FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
matter,
> folks, and you should
> heed the warning.
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
> > You got something against truckers?
> >
> > Blackie
> > Keep the shiny side up!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2192. Re: Sniper, the other dark meat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 13:22:56 UTC
From: geargrease <20meterqrmers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3db945a0@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 54005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"blowupdoll" <fgr5ase3dec@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3ds8ab08$1@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com123> wrote in message
> news:20021024852423.16327.00000193@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > >Hal Turner didn't kill those people, two MUSLIM MONKEY PIECES OF &#@*
> > apparently did.
> > >
> > Careful, Y-Man. Wouldn't wanna offend anyone with politically incorrect
> > terminology there. Nope, gotta protect his rights and see to it that
we
> > spend a few million on a fair trial. To add to the millions we've
already
> > spent on the investigation and capture.
>
> You haven't been listening all up-and-down 75 meters this
> evening have you? All I've been hearing all nite is "..they got that
> stinkin nigger bastard" and "..I knew it was a blackass coon
> all the time" and "we never should have let them niggasz in
> the country" and "...what else do yiu expect from negroes"
> etc...etc...ad-nausem.
>
> I's good to know that some things (..like the traditional
> 75 Meter ham radio net mentality) never change. Same shit
> I heard in the 70's we still hear in 2002.
>
> > Maybe the taxpayers could get Johnny Cochran to defend them.
>
> Too late - someone also made that comment on 75 already
> and you should have heard the tirade that suggestion generated!

Agreed. It sounded alot like a "KKK post-cross burning party" at
the local beer joint all up and down 75m last night. Wonder if that
ham goofball who broadcasts from Maine will touch on this topic
to round out the piecrust?

2193. Re: Sand Niggers Caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 13:25:37 UTC
From: geargrease <20meterqrmers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3db94996@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 54006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah Yeah Yeah Yada Yada Yada....same shit different
day. Find a new topic for tomorrow nights 3.8-- and
3.9-- redneck corncobs latrine net, O-Tay Bucwheat?

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:fc0bd1cc1835f86fa4c7f5e32ecb8f05@xganon.com...
> The two Sand Nigger Wannabes have been caught.
> One thing is for sure, they are both indeed
> Niggers. Muslim Niggers to boot.
>
> Remember folks:
>
> Repatriation Not Reparations
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>
>

2194. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 14:02:57 UTC
From: KK5B1 <kk5b1@yourassholeburns.org>
Message-ID: <apbite$qb6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
//psycho-babble snipped//

Hey Oxydol,

Wash your filthy car! It looks like something out of a
redneck dream. And quit jamming your neighbors' TVs.
We sent them information how to report you to Riley.

KK5B1

2195. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 14:08:39 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Hfcu9.4005$6F4.378360@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

So..... You talked with truckers in Ok.?
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:YimdnTv7pv-W6iWgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message -
> > >
> > Have any truckers respond?
>
>
> Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma
>
>
>

2196. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 14:41:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB95816.F00DEA49@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Nice troll, try again with something better.

Boss HOG wrote:
>
> A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> ever got that far.
>
> B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
>
> C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> code techs make six times the money you make!
>
> BOSS hog
> Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
> > school
> > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses the
> > band.
> >
> > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the use
> of
> > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"
> radios

> > (illegal to import and sell)
> > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> >
> > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than welcome
> to
> > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
> > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham bands.
> >
> > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of AM
> > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears Morse
> > Code (CW) on that
> > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
> driving
> > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
> > require a license and that the Feds are back in enforcement--especially
> > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> monitoring
> > the bands for it--even ob-
> > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
> > recordings and tag numbers,
> > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
> matter,
> > folks, and you should
> > heed the warning.
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> > > You got something against truckers?
> > >
> > > Blackie
> > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

2197. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 15:44:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210250744.4df5a8fa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54010

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021024180359.27637.00000143@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >Date: 10/24/02 9:53 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20021024105324.28561.00000005@mb-mv.aol.com>
> >
> >In article <f45722ac.0210231456.1cb50512@posting.google.com>,
> >brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >Here we are, about two decades past the invention of packet radio,
> >and I still can't send a packet radio message, carried entirely on an
> >amateur radio RF backbone, across this country -- in any amount
> >of time. I call this a dismal failure of the leadership of the American
> >Radio RELAY League.

Brainiac strikes again, "He tightened all the screws . ." Idiot.

> Think about it. I sense a new thread coming
> >on.
>
> Perhaps, but I don't see why. PACKET as an every-mans mode never took off
> because it required additional equipment and skills that folks didn't want to
> obtain or employ.

>
> Furthermore, the "impersonal" interface of computer and man detracted from
> Amateur Radio's prime drawing power, and that is direct interaction with the
> radio. That is part of the draw to CW for me...I enjoy phone op, but there's
> something more rewarding about that direct interaction that makes it more
> "fun".!

I gotta jump in here Steve and toss out some comments on this one
which are at variance with yours. 2m Packet was huge as an everyman's
mode up thru the mid 90s and still is huge along the NE corridor. At
it's peak there was virtually 100% coverage from somewhere in the
Carolinas up into southern Canada and west to the mountains where it
got stopped dead. There were thousands of users, maybe tens of
thousands. There was e-mail, file swaps, bulletin boards, etc. And the
dx spots networks. I was there. We're still there.

Addressing Brainiac's inane post (another one) the reason packet never
spanned the whole country is based in geography and population
demographics, had absolutely nothing to do with "organization" or some
idiotic mythical "failure" on the part of the ARRL. Or by a lack of
participation in geographic areas where 2m packet is a sustainable

mode. Sustainability is the whole trick and the only trick.

As a VHF/UHF WAN packet requires relay nodes every 50-75 miles max spacing, closer in the mountains. That never happened because of the difficult paths thru low to very low population density areas like the midwest and the deep south. If ya have a zllion hams per 100 square miles like we have here ya have a high probability of having enough nodes to cover and interconnect neighboring regions. That was and still is the case in the NE.

But if yer out where ya can see waves of wheat, corn or cotton out to the horizon 360 degrees there ain't gonna be much 2m packet. There isn't anything more complicated about it than that.

Nodes have to be established and maintained - out of the wallets of the node owners, we can't make money or be tax-supported with our comms like the cell phone and Internet operators do. Tell them they gotta play by our rules and the global Ma Bell/.gov/.edu networks will evaporate overnite.

2m packet is very much alive and well today along the east coast from roughly central Virginia thru somewhere in northern New England. My own Philly-based club has around a dozen 2m nodes in operation plus a number of 70 cm long-haul backbone nodes. They connect with similar nets both north & south from here.

The largest volume of traffic today is via dx spots utility, they roll in all day every day. It's quite normal to have a fully dedicated 2m xcvr, TNC & 'puter sitting on one of the local nodes. Ya have another 2m xcvr for yakking the machines, etc. Some e-mail & BBS is also still run thru the spots nodes AND in real time. None of this crawling Usenet crap. Look Ma, no AOL req'd.

Participation? Enormous. To the extent that that during some of the recent DX contests the system has completely tanked due to gross overload. That seldom if ever happened back in "the glory days of packet" - and those were 80xx chip & DOS days. The big problem is the old 1200 bps standard, it's just too slow to handle today's volume. No biggie, 9600 bps is on it's way, the development work is being done and it's showing up in the newer Jap xcvrs in volume. Along with the new software. You bet packet is still under development.

I dunno anything abt it specifically but it wouldn't surprise me if other major population centers like So Cal & the Chicago area also have very active packet nets.

I think you have the wrong slant on packet as it exists today, it's no longer the computer hobby it was. Packet today is, in general, considered simply a basically boring but useful ho-hum utility vs. being a hobby unto itself as in the old days. Another instance of what usually happens when a technology matures.

The digigeeks gave up on packet +/- cometh the Internet for the masses and there went one version of packet being an "everyman's" mode of sorts, they have no use for packet today, not interested in dxing or they can't run HF dx, doan have the licenses, etc. But the hard core is still in there as usual . . only more so today.

Let's not forget 2m APRS which is also gathering a lotta steam in the packet game.

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

2198. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 16:20:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021025122039.16142.00000057@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 54011

In article <20021024180359.27637.00000143@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Here we are, about two decades past the invention of packet radio,
>>and I still can't send a packet radio message, carried entirely on an
>>amateur radio RF backbone, across this country -- in any amount
>>of time. I call this a dismal failure of the leadership of the American
>>Radio RELAY League. Think about it. I sense a new thread coming
>>on.
>
> Perhaps, but I don't see why. PACKET as an every-mans mode never took
>off
>because it required additional equipment and skills that folks didn't want to
>obtain or employ.

Steve:

Hunh??? But…but…but…I thought digital modes, Packet Radio in particular, were going to be the Future of Ham Radio -- and we were promised that if the high-speed code testing requirements went away, we'd have phalanxes of bright, eager, ethnically diverse, computer-literate, young people of both sexes
beating down the doors of 225 Main Street, ready to join the ranks of ham radio operators! They were going to cause the Digital Revolution in ham radio which brought us into the 21st Century -- if only we'd throw off the shackles of code testing which kept them in their downtrodden despair! So what happened?

> Furthermore, the "impersonal" interface of computer and man detracted
>from Amateur Radio's prime drawing power, and that is direct interaction
>with the radio. That is part of the draw to CW for me...I enjoy phone op,
>but there's something more rewarding about that direct interaction that makes
>it more "fun".!

Hunh? You *like* CW -- but you're against high speed code testing. I see. You just don't want to be on the politically incorrect side of this particular issue. That's OK, Steve. I understand. It takes courage to stand up for something that you believe in.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2199. What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 16:44:07 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DB974D7.45EEEA46@psu.edu>
Source record: 54013

I gotta ask, just why don't Amateurs use 220?

If you look in the catalogs, the 220 ht's look like (and apparently are) relics from the mid-80's. And so loaded with features like 10 memory channels. And these living dinosaurs are not cheap either. One of them, I forget the model, costs more than my FT-50!

There is one exception - I noticed a review in QST of a new Icom unit. But that's the exception that proves the rule.

So here we are worrying about a threat to 220, when it appears that we just don't use the band that much.

I looked up the numbers of repeaters in PA as a test case. Turns out we have 37 - 220 band repeaters in the state. Truly not very many. I don't know how much traffic they handle. 1 is linked to local Law, so presumably that's an emergency use repeater, and 2 are closed repeaters.

Seems to me that if we don't use it, we gonna lose it. And we won't even notice it except that when we do lose it, other groups may consider us better picking for giving up even more spectrum.

But exactly WHY don't we use it?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2200. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 17:23:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025132304.21083.00000283@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54014

>Subject: Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/25/02 11:20 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021025122039.16142.00000057@mb-dh.aol.com>

>Hunh??? But…but…but…I thought digital modes, Packet Radio in particular,
>were going to be the Future of Ham Radio...(SNIP)

Not by any testament that >I< made, Larry...Never said it, never will.

>UNSNIP...and we were promised that if the
>high-speed code testing requirements went away, we'd have phalanxes of
>bright, eager, ethnically diverse, computer-literate, young people of both
>sexes
>beating down the doors of 225 Main Street, ready to join the ranks of ham
>radio operators!

Again, NOT by me!...That was Carl, NCI, Lennie, et al.

>Hunh? You *like* CW -- but you're against high speed code testing. I see.

The first code test I passed was 5WPM, conducted by one of the
math/science teachers at my high school in 1972. Within 90 days of fist
signing on as WN8OAH I was up to 15 WPM (per W1AW Proficiency Run), and before
I graduated in 1974 I was up to 25.

No additional testing was required to get me there.

>You just don't want to be on the politically incorrect side of this
>particular
>issue. That's OK, Steve. I understand. It takes courage to stand up for
>something that you believe in.

You know I don't care diddle about "politically correct", Larry. But I
likewise disdain tilting at windmills. The FCC is NOT going to RE-institute
code testing above 5WPM. The best thing we can do now is support the present
5WPM (which I doubt will ever happen too...) and encourage new Hams to learn CW
for the same reasons I (we) like it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2201. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 17:27:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021025132756.16142.00000064@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 54015

In article <B9DE4079.C0F4%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
<stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:

>> Sorry, Steve, not until you provide a responsive
>> reply to my previous two requests for YOU to
>> provide a quote from me stating that I sought
>> an appointment as an EC.
>
>
> Your criticism has been directed mainly at those in authority (such as an
>EC). Because of that, Steve asked fair questions - what qualifications do
>you have that would make you a good judge of their performance and what
>would you do differently.

Dwight:

Well, then, I'll answer you now and Steve again - for the third time. My
qualifications are my intelligence, my observant nature, and long working
experience in real world supervisory and managerial positions where I was
responsible for dozens of people and millions of dollars worth of resources.
In spite of the fact that I don't aspire to be one, I'm sure I could be a
better EC than you could ever imagine. And one thing I would make sure
of is that any and all radio amateurs with an interest in Public Service
and ARES were welcomed with open arms, given good training, lots of
hands-on experience starting from the very first day, and encouragement
to further their knowledge and operating skill as radio amateurs. There
would be no entrenched Ham Heroes anywhere in sight. Everyone
would do everything, and I'd never let the same person do the same job
twice in a row until everyone who wanted to be involved WAS involved, and
had done everything that there was to be done. In-building EOC
positions would have to be staffed on a strict 8-hour shift basis, if there
are adequate personnel to allow it; otherwise, shifts would be adjusted
to permit a change of faces and to allow more people to get that
experience.

Now, come up with some more whines and complaints.

>> I have stated clearly, now for the third time,
>> that I don't consider myself qualified for, nor
>> do I seek, a leadership role in ARES.
>
>
> Nobody is asking you to run as a candidate for a leadership position.
>Instead, the questions seek your qualifications to judge others in those
>positions.

Asked and answered.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2202. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 18:08:12 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> ever got that far.

Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on recorded information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the companies for whom the
drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally discipline the driver(s)
involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and, finding illegal equipment as
described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING the employee(s)
involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the case of the Cheetah Transpor-
tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply, the Safety Director inspected
the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation with Mr. Hollingsworth. Such
radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even import into the country.

>
> B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!

The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference to Amateur or other radio
services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal operators can get away with operating
on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to operate on other, even MORE,
important radio services----such as the international HF aviation band. Mr Riley Hollingsworth,

Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with illegal operators because that
is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is that a ham was just recently
appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on Communications, likely in response to a
barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't believe that Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
"higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when PL106-521 was passed, giving
local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference from illegal and over-powered CB
sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED from this. At this very mo-
ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt Amateur Radio antennas from
CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a wide, effective and dispersed
back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and their rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more Congresspersons are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.

With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw radio operators that think they can just steal
frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47 of the US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to protect that resource from inter-
ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have been in progress for some time to
find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do with interference to licensed services who have every right to expect that their spectrum assets are available to them
free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the RESTORATION of enough funds
for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who are actively promoting the
sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined from import into the USA.
Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect trucks for said radios using a list
supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are correct in that FCC cur-
rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals, BUT that can change.

The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for possible radio traffic that
could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and enforce the Communications Act of
1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from intrusions from "tan fer thar, driver"
on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will take time, but those bands, includ-
ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams WILL continue to silently
record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else who encroaches onto the inter-
national ham bands.

> C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> code techs make six times the money you make!

You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and you have NEVER heard
me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period! It makes no difference
(to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.

What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of $30,000 + + at age 54! I
am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down at the lake fishin'!

J

> BOSS hog
> Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
> > school
> > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses the
> > band.
> >
> > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the use
> of
> > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"

> radios
> > (illegal to import and sell)
> > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> >
> > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than
welcome
> to
> > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
> > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham
bands.
> >
> > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of AM
> > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears
Morse
> > Code (CW) on that
> > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
> driving
> > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
> > require a license and that the Feds are back in enforcement--especially
> > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> monitoring
> > the bands for it--even ob-
> > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
> > recordings and tag numbers,
> > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
> matter,
> > folks, and you should
> > heed the warning.
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> > > You got something against truckers?
> > >
> > > Blackie
> > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

>

2203. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 18:10:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apc1fgnig1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54016

Many reasons Mike...220 is not available to ham radio worldwide. Thus making it very low on the 'cost effective' scale for the manufactures.

For years and years the band has/is/has been under fire from the FCC for reassignment to other services. In fact not all that long ago the bottom end was ripped off by UPS (the brown trucks) and NEVER USED. So the FCC gives us a digital slot at 219. Good grief.

Call us gun shy if you want.

Dan/W4NTI

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3DB974D7.45EEEA46@psu.edu...

> But exactly WHY don't we use it?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2204. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 18:25:16 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Udgu9.13760$Be4.7512@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

KK5B1 <kk5b1@yourassholeburns.org> wrote in message
news:apbite$qb6$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> file://psycho-babble snipped//
>
> Hey Oxydol,
>
> Wash your filthy car! It looks like something out of a
> redneck dream. And quit jamming your neighbors' TVs.
> We sent them information how to report you to Riley.
>
> KK5B1

www.rholling@fcc.gov is his email. Or you can report me to fccham@fcc.gov
TAN FER THAR,
MERCY ME THAR? GR'ET DAY 'LIVE THAR, SHORE THANG THAR, GUARAN-TOLD
YE THAR, HAVE YE GOT AN OLE COPY THAR--BREAK?

J

>
>
>
>

2205. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 18:26:14 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <a1gu9.21566$C53.914757@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 54017

Well -- many countries don't have 220 MHz allotments -- so the Japanese manufacturers see a limited market.

As far as using it -- it is live well here in Southern California.

See URL: http://www.220sma.org/smarpt.htm

Lists about 150 220 MHz repeaters in Southern California

And they are quite active and many are inter-linked.

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3DB974D7.45EEEA46@psu.edu...
> I gotta ask, just why don't Amateurs use 220?
>
> If you look in the catalogs, the 220 ht's look like (and apparently
> are) relics from the mid-80's. And so loaded with features like 10
> memory channels. And these living dinosaurs are not cheap either. One of
> them, I forget the model, costs more than my FT-50!
>
> There is one exception - I noticed a review in QST of a new Icom unit.
> But that's the exception that proves the rule.
>
> So here we are worrying about a threat to 220, when it appears that we
> just don't use the band that much.
>
> I looked up the numbers of repeaters in PA as a test case. Turns out we
> have 37 - 220 band repeaters in the state. Truly not very many. I don't
> know how much traffic they handle. 1 is linked to local Law, so
> presumably that's an emergency use repeater, and 2 are closed repeaters.
>
> Seems to me that if we don't use it, we gonna lose it. And we won't
> even notice it except that when we do lose it, other groups may consider
> us better picking for giving up even more spectrum.
>
> But exactly WHY don't we use it?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2206. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 18:58:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h4jn2i4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54020

On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 13:10:55 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>For years and years the band has/is/has been under fire from the FCC for
>reassignment to other services. In fact not all that long ago the bottom
>end was ripped off by UPS (the brown trucks) and NEVER USED.

Another urban legend. And it was about 20 years ago.

UPS lost whatever reluctant interest they had in that band because
"Tio Carlos" Roberts (*) and his crew at MaCom never made the
promised ACSB equipment, there was no other commercial 220 MHz
equipment available, and therefore UPS never filed for a license. It
was MaCom that pushed the "UPS on 220" campaign.

UPS eventually went to Motorola who sold them their present 800
MHz system which works just fine.

(*) ex-FCC Field Engineer and later Private Radio Bureau Chief who
got there by being the right ethnic at the right time, a bright
engineer who had an unfortunate track record of backing the wrong
horses including ACSB.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2207. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 19:18:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <kOgu9.4746$Fj6.411181@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54021

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote

> So here we are worrying about a threat to 220, when it appears that we
> just don't use the band that much.

Speak for yourself, white man.

First of all, we have no 220MHz band -- we have a 222MHz band.

Second of all, it IS widely used for repeater linking, packet backbones (especially DX/contest clusters), and similar utility uses. Our W0EF 2m/70cm repeaters systems here use several 222MHz freqs for remote rcvr uplinks. Given the dozens of hardly-utilized repeaters on the adjacent bands (2m/70cm), I see little need for any "end-user" equipment (HT's, etc.) aimed at this busy utility band. Apparenly (and thankfully) the manufacturers have the same mindset.

Your mileage may vary.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2208. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 19:33:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB99CA0.F9C9D27F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54024

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In spite of the fact that I don't aspire to be one, I'm sure I could be a
> better EC than you could ever imagine.

Not that you have a superior attitude or anything. Did it ever
dawn on you that your 'I am superior' attitude is why those
organizations had just as soon see you going the other way?

2209. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 20:14:27 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210252013560.4416-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 54022

On Fri, 25 Oct 2002, Phil Kane wrote:
>On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 13:10:55 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>For years and years the band has/is/has been under fire from the FCC for
>>reassignment to other services. In fact not all that long ago the bottom
>>end was ripped off by UPS (the brown trucks) and NEVER USED.
>
> Another urban legend. And it was about 20 years ago.
>
> UPS lost whatever reluctant interest they had in that band because
> "Tio Carlos" Roberts (*) and his crew at MaCom never made the
> promised ACSB equipment, there was no other commercial 220 MHz
> equipment available, and therefore UPS never filed for a license. It
> was MaCom that pushed the "UPS on 220" campaign.
>
> UPS eventually went to Motorola who sold them their present 800
> MHz system which works just fine.
>
> (*) ex-FCC Field Engineer and later Private Radio Bureau Chief who
> got there by being the right ethnic at the right time, a bright
> engineer who had an unfortunate track record of backing the wrong
> horses including ACSB.

So, perhaps we should ask for it (the 220-222MHz segment) back?

2210. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 20:17:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apc8rt$5fv$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54023

So the details were not perfect....the point, and bottom line is the chunk
of 220 was removed from Amateur service and NOT USED by the ones that wanted
it. Period.

Dan/W4NTI

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h4jn2i4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 13:10:55 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >For years and years the band has/is/has been under fire from the FCC for
> >reassignment to other services. In fact not all that long ago the bottom
> >end was ripped off by UPS (the brown trucks) and NEVER USED.
>
> Another urban legend. And it was about 20 years ago.
>
> UPS lost whatever reluctant interest they had in that band because
> "Tio Carlos" Roberts (*) and his crew at MaCom never made the
> promised ACSB equipment, there was no other commercial 220 MHz
> equipment available, and therefore UPS never filed for a license. It
> was MaCom that pushed the "UPS on 220" campaign.
>
> UPS eventually went to Motorola who sold them their present 800
> MHz system which works just fine.
>
> (*) ex-FCC Field Engineer and later Private Radio Bureau Chief who
> got there by being the right ethnic at the right time, a bright
> engineer who had an unfortunate track record of backing the wrong
> horses including ACSB.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2211. Re: Control freak k8mn should fuck off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 22:15:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210251415.118df5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54025

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<No1u9.12122$Be4.2493@news.bellsouth.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message > X, go away. You annoy me.
> Amateur Hi! Brian
> >
>
> Burke: You got kicked off your regular ISP?

Finn: Why would you think that?

No, Prodigy sold out to Yahoo. I can't stand the interface and all
the pop-up garbage. Yahoo is trying to become AOL-like; big interface
box, tiny little portal to the web. Too bad for them.

How's Bellsouth treating you?

Brian

2212. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 22:31:27 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3Dju9.4699$6F4.440060@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

```
You? calling someone a troll?
BWAHAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHAAAAA!
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DB95816.F00DEA49@mailcity.net...
> Nice troll, try again with something better.
>
> Boss HOG wrote:
> >
> > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > ever got that far.
> >
> > B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> > national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> > terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> > does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> > priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> > their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> > commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> > national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> > problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
> >
> > C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> > some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> > stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> > with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> > code techs make six times the money you make!
> >
> > BOSS hog
> > Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> > Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
> > > school
> > > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses
the
> > > band.
```

> > >
> > > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the
use
> > of
> > > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"
> > radios
> > > (illegal to import and sell)
> > > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> > >
> > > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than
welcome
> > to
> > > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
> > > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham
bands.
> > >
> > > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of
AM
> > > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears
Morse
> > > Code (CW) on that
> > > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
> > driving
> > > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
> > > require a license and that the Feds are back in
enforcement--especially
> > > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> > monitoring
> > > the bands for it--even ob-
> > > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
> > > recordings and tag numbers,
> > > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
> > matter,
> > > folks, and you should
> > > heed the warning.
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > You got something against truckers?
> > > >
> > > > Blackie
> > > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>

2213. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 22:54:03 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251454.5fcf8957@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<GbycnYmGzcAfcSigXTWcoA@comcast.com>...
> "Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
> news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
> > BULL! He was there again this year,
>
> I was there and the amps etc. weren't. I even mentioned it to the
> others Hams in my group who also noticed the same thing. And if you
> were there what did Yeasu have swiming in the fish tank?
>

You mean the VX7? You and your friends must have very selective sight!
BTW, there's two "m"s in swimming.

2214. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 22:56:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h4jyfv5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54031

On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 15:17:02 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>So the details were not perfect....

The details make or break such cases...

>the point, and bottom line is the chunk of 220 was removed from
>Amateur service and NOT USED by the ones that wanted it. Period.

UPS was the bystander, and as the results show, they didn't care
what band they operated on.

Don't blame them, blame Carlos and the other "promoters" of ACSB.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2215. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 22:56:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h4jynk6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54032

On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 20:14:27 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>So, perhaps we should ask for it (the 220-222MHz segment) back?

By now it is in regular commercial use, but not by UPS.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2216. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-25 23:53:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apclimcrd1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54033

ACSB...audio companded side band ? If my memory serves me.

Dan/W4NTI

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h4jyfv5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 15:17:02 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >So the details were not perfect....
>
> The details make or break such cases...
>
> >the point, and bottom line is the chunk of 220 was removed from
> >Amateur service and NOT USED by the ones that wanted it. Period.
>
> UPS was the bystander, and as the results show, they didn't care
> what band they operated on.
>
> Don't blame them, blame Carlos and the other "promoters" of ACSB.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2217. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 00:02:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DB9DBB9.2050202@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54034

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>So here we are worrying about a threat to 220, when it appears that we
>>just don't use the band that much.
>>
>
> Speak for yourself, white man.
>
> First of all, we have no 220MHz band -- we have a 222MHz band.

Thank you for the correction, Hans. It really wasn't needed tho'. I'm well aware that the band goes from 222.0 mHz to 225.0 mHz. Lots of folks refer to it as 220 however.

> Second of all, it IS widely used for repeater linking, packet backbones
> (especially DX/contest clusters), and similar utility uses. Our W0EF
> 2m/70cm repeaters systems here use several 222MHz freqs for remote rcvr
> uplinks. Given the dozens of hardly-utilized repeaters on the adjacent
> bands (2m/70cm),

Maybe they need to change the way they do it here in PA. I noted that some of the repeaters were used as links, but lot's of them had autopatches, so they were used as regular repeaters.

Maybe it's just an exclusive neighborhood. And they like it that way.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2218. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 00:29:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h4k3h29.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54035

On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 18:53:56 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>ACSB...audio companded side band ? If my memory serves me.

Amplitude compandered single sideband.

Invented and developed by Tommy Lott (*) and a mixed bunch of hams
and non-hams on 20 meters from his basement commercial testing labs
in northern California after he tried and failed to get major
commercial manufacturers to support his development efforts on
commercial two-way bands. The only ones he ever got interested were
MaCom and Aerotron, minor players in that field.

(*) who had the distinction of not applying for a US ham license
for decades so he could operate "reciprocal portable six" under a
Hong Kong VS6 call sign. He finally got a US license when the FCC
closed the door on such pseudo operations. I do believe that Tommy
is SK by now.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2219. Re: Control freak k8mn should fuck off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 01:03:39 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GDlu9.179$wZ2.129@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54036

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0210251415.118df5@posting.google.com...
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<No1u9.12122$Be4.2493@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message > X, go away. You annoy
me.
> > Amateur Hi! Brian
> > >
> >
> > Burke: You got kicked off your regular ISP?
>
> Finn: Why would you think that?
>
> No, Prodigy sold out to Yahoo. I can't stand the interface and all
> the pop-up garbage. Yahoo is trying to become AOL-like; big interface
> box, tiny little portal to the web. Too bad for them.
>
> How's Bellsouth treating you?
>
> Brian

Bellsouth is excellent. We have the DSL package with Corp. discount
($39/mo.). We've had it since last December and it has never been down as
far as I can tell. D/L is always around 1.2Mb and upload is always around
250K. My son is a gamer so it gets a lot of use. I would recommend it if you
are in a Bellsouth area.

73 de KR4AJ

2220. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 01:40:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urjsnqeo3j4ie8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54038

That is a 20 over 9 troll (and not from Lloyd)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:3db9a926_5@server....
> In a few years, the code will be dropped, and HF for all will be achieved!
>
> We no-code techs are tired of the constant degrading of our license
status.
>
>
>
> --
> Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
> God created only so many perfect heads...
> the rest he covered with hair !
> ICOM R-75 Hall S-120
> Radio Shack Do-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
> Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
> http://clik.to/n0vfp
>
>

2221. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 01:44:31 UTC
From: jg45c@excite.com
Message-ID: <8de2b998.0210251744.32cac0f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net>...
> Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > ever got that far.
>
> Such
> radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even import
> into the country.
>
I may be naive to some law or regulation, as I'm not a ham, but all
amature radios that transmit below 30MHz do not require type
acceptance by the American FCC. Since the Galaxy radios are marketed
as 10 meter radios, not CBs which must be type accepted by nature of
the service, how can they possibly be illegal to sell in America?

I'm not arguing as to who those radio's are targeted at. I mean, a 10
meter radio that tunes in fixed incriments and has echo-no question
it's being marketed to the freebanders.

2222. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 01:49:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210251749.3d692d31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54039

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ap7iic$1v2$0@208.206.142.96>...
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Len's only recent (past several decades) experience is on usenet.
> >
> >
>
> And he made it clear that his far east army time was spent babysitting
> a transmitter site, not handling traffic - other than the fact that said
> traffic modulated the transmitter he was babysitting. But of course that
> flys way over Brian's head, just like the term "permission".

Dick, how was your Far East time spent?

2223. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 02:05:17 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <apct8t$q08$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 54042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote:
>Wouldn't you think a "real ham" would have better things to do than
>whine about "vanity callsigns"? I would. Get a life, Jimmy.

Just because you don't share the same concern about a particular
topic within the ARS doesn't mean that that topic isn't important
to others. I too have done my share of whining to the FCC about
bogus clubs getting Hawaii extra class callsigns. We have only 204
such calls* and many have somehow ended up in the hands of out-of-
state clubs, thus completely depleting the 2x1 pool for new extras
here.

*26 from each of the AH6-, KH6-, NH6-, WH6- blocks;
25 from each of the AH7-, KH7-, NH7-, WH7- blocks.

73, Jeff KH6O

--
Operations Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii system
jherman@d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh@hawaii.edu
"Give me a fast ship for I intend to go in harm's way." John Paul Jones

2224. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 02:06:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025220614.27842.00000386@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 54040

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/25/02 12:27 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021025132756.16142.00000064@mb-dh.aol.com>

>Well, then, I'll answer you now and Steve again - for the third time. My
>qualifications are my intelligence, my observant nature, and long working
>experience in real world supervisory and managerial positions where I was
>responsible for dozens of people and millions of dollars worth of resources.

Notably absent are any specific qualifications in the area in question,
specifically Emergency Communications. Lacking any time "in the trenches", you
have nothing with which to use all those other personal traits.

>In spite of the fact that I don't aspire to be one, I'm sure I could be a
>better EC than you could ever imagine.

Prossibly. But that condescending attitude might scare off more than your
fair share of volunteers, though.

>And one thing I would make sure
>of is that any and all radio amateurs with an interest in Public Service
>and ARES were welcomed with open arms, given good training, lots of
>hands-on experience starting from the very first day, and encouragement
>to further their knowledge and operating skill as radio amateurs.

You talk the talk. Would be interesting to find out how well you did the
walk,

>There would be no entrenched Ham Heroes anywhere in sight.

There aren't any now.

>Everyone
>would do everything, and I'd never let the same person do the same job
>twice in a row until everyone who wanted to be involved WAS involved, and
>had done everything that there was to be done.

Cross training is good, but failing to let them gain proficiency is
foolhearty.

>In-building EOC
>positions would have to be staffed on a strict 8-hour shift basis, if there
>are adequate personnel to allow it; otherwise, shifts would be adjusted
>to permit a change of faces and to allow more people to get that
>experience.

You have a degree in HR, Larry...Since these folks are NOT "full time", what are your staffing requirements in order to man that station under your criteria without "overtime" or persons who are willing to stay in place?

>Now, come up with some more whines and complaints.

Still pretty broad...not many specifics.

>Asked and answered.

Yep. Lotsa motivation...no practical experience. Not much for a "candidate" to work with.

Steve, K4YZ

2225. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 02:12:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apctmv$e2a$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54041

See...I was close at least. Rgr on the info.

Back to the CQWWDX Phone contest..

Dan/W4NTI

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h4k3h29.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 18:53:56 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >ACSB...audio companded side band ? If my memory serves me.
>
> Amplitude compandered single sideband.
>
> Invented and developed by Tommy Lott (*) and a mixed bunch of hams
> and non-hams on 20 meters from his basement commercial testing labs
> in northern California after he tried and failed to get major
> commercial manufacturers to support his development efforts on
> commercial two-way bands. The only ones he ever got interested were
> MaCom and Aerotron, minor players in that field.
>
> (*) who had the distinction of not applying for a US ham license
> for decades so he could operate "reciprocal portable six" under a
> Hong Kong VS6 call sign. He finally got a US license when the FCC
> closed the door on such pseudo operations. I do believe that Tommy
> is SK by now.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2226. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 02:33:57 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <EJudnQQqPfOPYiSgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 54044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Hfcu9.4005$6F4.378360@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So..... You talked with truckers in Ok.?

No where was that said?

> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:YimdnTv7pv-W6iWgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message -
> > > >
> > > Have any truckers respond?
> >
> >
> > Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

2227. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 02:55:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021025225539.23768.00000113@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54045

In article <3DB99CA0.F9C9D27F@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> In spite of the fact that I don't aspire to be one, I'm sure I could be a
>> better EC than you could ever imagine.
>
>Not that you have a superior attitude or anything. Did it ever
>dawn on you that your 'I am superior' attitude is why those
>organizations had just as soon see you going the other way?

JJ:

In actuality, it was more of a problem with a "they were superior"
attitude. I didn't get much of a chance to display much of any kind
of "attitude," because it was made abundantly clear that my help
wasn't needed or wanted. Therefore, I left. You, Steve, Dwight,
and Brian have been grasping at straws, trying to make this about
my shortcomings as a human being. If you made any kind of an
attempt to get over that, perhaps you could contribute something
constructive to this thread. If not, then move on, please.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2228. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 03:27:08 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <gYnu9.2005$z5.1390045@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

But that would mean actually getting a life, Mr. Hog. How could someone like yourself say something that is so full of blasphimous. Oh. may the demons of ten codes and curse you with QRM and QRN. :-)
But honestly, there is somethig else being discussed on two meters besides ham rigs and the weather. Golly, I guess I'd better find all those boxes of radio's I have and see if they still work. I got tired of hearing old men dribble on about the rigs they just purchased or how they're going to call the police when they get home and report some kid in his pick up truck that was going 58 in a 55 zone. And people wonder why the hobbie is dying?

A fellow no code techie in hiatus, KB8ZVN

Aaron Childs
host, producer, and engineer
The Sonic Chronicles

2001, 2002 "Jackie" 'Radio Personality Of The Year' Award Nominee (Jackson, Michigan Entertainment Awards)

"The Sonic Chronicles" on M4 Radio.com
Monday - Friday 2pm - 6 pm eastern time
M4 Radio.com
All Indie Music. The Invasion Continues.

P.S.: If I ever get my HR2510 out of the mothballs, I'll give you a shout out sometime. I would love to see if the tubes in my Galaxy kicker are still good or not. :-)

"Boss HOG" <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...

> C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> code techs make six times the money you make!
>
> BOSS hog
> Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side

2229. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 03:31:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <V%nu9.5408$Fj6.480191@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote

> Just because you don't share the same concern about a particular
> topic within the ARS doesn't mean that that topic isn't important
> to others. I too have done my share of whining to the FCC about
> bogus clubs getting Hawaii extra class callsigns.

Jeffrey,

Have you done any whining about folks who glom onto scarce KH2 callsigns, even though they've never even been to Guam? Or about a fellow in KH6 who lent his mailing address to a guy from Rhode Island to glom onto multiple Hawaii club station and personal call signs?

I didn't think so.

Sunuvagun!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2230. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 03:57:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <Hoou9.5478$Fj6.483527@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote

> I too have done my share of whining to the FCC about
> bogus clubs getting Hawaii extra class callsigns.

On 27 May 2001 23:07:03 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:

> But for the record, I obtained that KH2 callsign legally
> after a short stay at UoG in Guam. Upon my return to
> Hawaii I kept the Guam callsign, just as a lot of the Guam
> transplants do here; I know of three. When you change
> residence within Call Area 13 (Pacific islands), you don't
> have to surrender your old KH# callsign.

And back in May of 1996, on rec.radio.amateur.misc Jeffrey
Herman says:

> I never left Hawaii.
> Now, I had planned on spending summer on Guam in order to
> teach, so I changed my FCC address to there. But at the last
> minute I received 3 courses to teach here and at Chaminade
> University.

I'm confused Jeffrey. Which of your conflicting stories is true, Jeffrey?

1) (May, 2001 version) You resided on Guam for a short time, and got a KH2
call;

or..

2) (May, 1996 version) You never left Hawaii, but "borrowed" the mailing
address of Dr. Perry at University of Guam, sorta like Deignan "borrowed"
your mailing address to get his KH6HZ callsign.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2231. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 04:32:33 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net>
Message-ID: <apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
about trivial nonsense. That figures!

Lloyd

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > ever got that far.
>
>
> Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on
recorded
> information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the
companies
> for whom the
> drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally
> discipline the driver(s)
> involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and,
finding
> illegal equipment as
> described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING the
> employee(s)
> involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the case
of
> the Cheetah Transpor-
> tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply, the
> Safety Director inspected
> the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation with Mr.
> Hollingsworth. Such
> radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even import
> into the country.
>
>

>
> >
> > B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> > national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> > terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> > does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> > priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> > their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> > commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> > national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> > problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
>
> The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference to
> Amateur or other radio
> services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal operators
can
> get away with operating
> on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to operate on
> other, even MORE,
> important radio services----such as the international HF aviation band.
Mr
> Riley Hollingsworth,
> Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with illegal
> operators because that
> is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is that
a
> ham was just recently
> appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on Communications,
likely
> in response to a
> barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the
> enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't believe that
> Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
> "higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when
PL106-521
> was passed, giving
> local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference from
> illegal and over-powered CB
> sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED from
this.
> At this very mo-
> ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt Amateur
> Radio antennas from
> CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a wide,
> effective and dispersed
> back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the
> dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and their
> rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
> years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more Congresspersons
> are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.

>
> With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
> sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw
radio
> operators that think they can just steal
> frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47 of
the
> US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
> communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
> Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to protect
> that resource from inter-
> ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have been in
> progress for some time to
> find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do with
> interference to licensed services who have every right to expect that
their
> spectrum assets are available to them
> free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the
> RESTORATION of enough funds
> for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who are
> actively promoting the
> sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined from
> import into the USA.
> Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect trucks
for
> said radios using a list
> supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
correct
> in that FCC cur-
> rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals, BUT
> that can change.
>
> The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for
> possible radio traffic that
> could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and enforce
the
> Communications Act of
> 1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from intrusions
> from "tan fer thar, driver"
> on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will take
time,
> but those bands, includ-
> ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams WILL
> continue to silently
> record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else who
> encroaches onto the inter-
> national ham bands.
>
>
>

>
> >
> > C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> > some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> > stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> > with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> > code techs make six times the money you make!
>
> You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and you
> have NEVER heard
> me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period! It
> makes no difference
> (to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.
>
>
> What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
$30,000
> + + at age 54! I
> am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down at
> the lake fishin'!
>
>
> J
>
>
>
>
> >
> > BOSS hog
> > Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> > Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
> > > school
> > > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses
the
> > > band.
> > >
> > > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the
use
> > of
> > > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"
> > radios
> > > (illegal to import and sell)

> > > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> > >
> > > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than
> welcome
> > to
> > > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
> > > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham
> bands.
> > >
> > > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of
AM
> > > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears
> Morse
> > > Code (CW) on that
> > > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
> > driving
> > > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
> > > require a license and that the Feds are back in
enforcement--especially
> > > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> > monitoring
> > > the bands for it--even ob-
> > > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
> > > recordings and tag numbers,
> > > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
> > matter,
> > > folks, and you should
> > > heed the warning.
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > You got something against truckers?
> > > >
> > > > Blackie
> > > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
>
>
>

2232. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 05:20:10 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DBA260A.D482FC21@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Insider wrote:

>
> I may be naive to some law or regulation, as I'm not a ham, but all
> amature radios that transmit below 30MHz do not require type
> acceptance by the American FCC. Since the Galaxy radios are marketed
> as 10 meter radios, not CBs which must be type accepted by nature of
> the service, how can they possibly be illegal to sell in America?

Hams are allowed to make or modify a small limited number of rigs,
but mass produced rigs still need type acceptance from the FCC.
(Or whatever they call "type acceptance" nowadays).

2233. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 05:59:28 UTC
From: mrguard@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <4bqu9.93176$kF.14756583@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 54050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You know when I posted my thoughts, I never expected a few folks to turn this into a flame war.. Can we concentrate our thoughts on the hobby rather than on personal vendettas?
If the cases you state are true then goto Riley, tell Riley that you do not like the abuses you see..
If Riley doesnt know what the ham community thinks then he cant act.
Lets help enrich our hobby
James

In article <Hoou9.5478$Fj6.483527@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET says...
>
>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
>
>> I too have done my share of whining to the FCC about
>> bogus clubs getting Hawaii extra class callsigns.
>
>On 27 May 2001 23:07:03 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:
>
>> But for the record, I obtained that KH2 callsign legally
>> after a short stay at UoG in Guam. Upon my return to
>> Hawaii I kept the Guam callsign, just as a lot of the Guam
>> transplants do here; I know of three. When you change
>> residence within Call Area 13 (Pacific islands), you don't
>> have to surrender your old KH# callsign.
>
>And back in May of 1996, on rec.radio.amateur.misc Jeffrey
>Herman says:
>
>> I never left Hawaii.
>> Now, I had planned on spending summer on Guam in order to
>> teach, so I changed my FCC address to there. But at the last
>> minute I received 3 courses to teach here and at Chaminade
>> University.
>
>I'm confused Jeffrey. Which of your conflicting stories is true, Jeffrey?
>
>1) (May, 2001 version) You resided on Guam for a short time, and got a KH2
>call;
>
>or..
>
>2) (May, 1996 version) You never left Hawaii, but "borrowed" the mailing

>address of Dr. Perry at University of Guam, sorta like Deignan "borrowed"
>your mailing address to get his KH6HZ callsign.
>
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>--
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>
>

2234. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 08:03:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9DFC484.C1E9%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 54051

"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
>
> Well, then, I'll answer you now and Steve again -
> for the third time. My qualifications are my
> intelligence, my observant nature, and long working
> experience in real world supervisory and managerial
> positions where I was responsible for dozens of
> people and millions of dollars worth of resources.
> In spite of the fact that I don't aspire to be one,
> I'm sure I could be a better EC than you could ever
> imagine. (snip)

I don't doubt you could be a good coordinator, Larry. Past military NCO's do tend to be fairly good supervisors and managers. They often have experience supervising a fairly large, diverse, workforce, lending a more level-headed approach to their dealings with others. They often routinely dealt with unusual situations. And they were often expected to make quick, but defendable, decisions.

On the other hand, ex-military NCO's are also often pig-headed, opinionated, judgmental, overly-rigid, and somewhat zealous. Those who are able to temper these less desirable traits, and emphasize the more desirable ones, often do well managing people.

> And one thing I would make sure of is that any and
> all radio amateurs with an interest in Public Service
> and ARES were welcomed with open arms, given good
> training, lots of hands-on experience starting from
> the very first day, and encouragement to further
>their knowledge and operating skill as radio amateurs.

All very nice, but also very routine (most EC's do this). The problem is your jump to the next few lines...

> There would be no entrenched Ham Heroes anywhere in
> sight. Everyone would do everything, and I'd never
> let the same person do the same job twice in a row
> until everyone who wanted to be involved WAS involved,
> and had done everything that there was to be done.
> In-building EOC positions would have to be staffed on
> a strict 8-hour shift basis, if there are adequate
> personnel to allow it; otherwise, shifts would be
> adjusted to permit a change of faces and to allow
> more people to get that experience.

This is where we disagree. In an emergency, you want your people in the jobs they do best. This is not the time to be worrying about the feelings of the individual ham. The mission has to come first, not some worry about making everyone feel welcomed or feel a sense of belonging. Certainly you want to rotate as many people as possible. But, if a person isn't good on the radio, now is not the time to hand him the microphone. There are other jobs that person can do. By the same token, if a person is good on the radio, that person should get the microphone as much as possible.

> Now, come up with some more whines and complaints.

There are no complaints, Larry - just a difference in style.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2235. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 08:46:29 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <FDsu9.15505$D63.15305@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54052

"Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:3db9a926_5@server....
> In a few years, the code will be dropped, and HF for all will be achieved!

==========
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
==========
Digital Troll-O-Meter

> We no-code techs are tired of the constant degrading of our license
status.

$10 (or so) & some evening code study cures that in a jiffy. ;-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

> --
> Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
> God created only so many perfect heads...
> the rest he covered with hair !
> ICOM R-75 Hall S-120
> Radio Shack Do-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
> Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
> http://clik.to/n0vfp

2236. Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 10:32:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021026063216.27850.00000426@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 54053

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call Abuse and other Problems.. Riley Do something!
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 10/25/02 10:31 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <V%nu9.5408$Fj6.480191@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
>
>> Just because you don't share the same concern about a particular
>> topic within the ARS doesn't mean that that topic isn't important
>> to others. I too have done my share of whining to the FCC about
>> bogus clubs getting Hawaii extra class callsigns.
>
>Jeffrey,
>
>Have you done any whining about folks who glom onto scarce KH2 callsigns,
>even though they've never even been to Guam? Or about a fellow in KH6 who
>lent his mailing address to a guy from Rhode Island to glom onto multiple
>Hawaii club station and personal call signs?
>
>I didn't think so.
>
>Sunuvagun!

Hans,

Don't you ever engage in a thread that has any valid purpose other than to exert your domineering superiority over the participants?

I didn't think so.

Sunvagun!

Steve

2237. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 10:44:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021026064435.27850.00000428@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 54054

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/25/02 9:55 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021025225539.23768.00000113@mb-md.aol.com>

>You, Steve, Dwight,
>and Brian have been grasping at straws, trying to make this about
>my shortcomings as a human being.

These are the ONLY straws you leave, Larry.

Almost every post you have made on the subject has been ripe with
expressions of disgruntlement or frustration over them...not ARES as a program
or public service as a concept...Yet you have aggressively assaulted both in
your angst to get back at "them".

>If you made any kind of an
>attempt to get over that, perhaps you could contribute something
>constructive to this thread.

Whew! More advice that would be better taken by yourself, Larry! GET OVER
what ever dissing you THINK you took from "whoever" and move on !

If you TRULY want to be active in some aspect of public service,well, it's
out there. So you're former USAF MARS? Civil Air Patrol's communications
system can always use persons skilled in military type comms.

A bit less "military" but just as anxious to accept and utilize competent
help is the United States Coast Guard Auxiliary. Although most of thier
communications are on the Marine band, they do have their own repeaters in
places and must conduct air-to-ship communications. And considering your
proximity to the Atlantic, a bit more likely to be active.

By the way...The USCGAux was just awarded the USCG's CG Achivement Medal
for all hands who were active during the post-9/11 period. It's the only active
"civilian" auxiliary to be awarded an active duty award for it's service. I'd
say that's "recognition", which is what you seem to be looking for.

I intimately know CAP's HF nets, and am not aware if USCGAux has any, but
I am sure you'd be welcomed in either.

>If not, then move on, please.

Ditto. The "victim" angle is a bit worn and tiring.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2238. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 13:54:10 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <68xu9.2046$z5.1435304@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54055

> > We no-code techs are tired of the constant degrading of our license
> status.

I have never in my life degraded a no-code tech. They have and will contribute a great deal to developing and exploring the use of VHF and higher frequencies. Too many of us who have moved on to the other license classes actually neglect the possibilities inherent in this area.

However I am sick and tired of those few who attempt to degrade my license by wanting to have the same privileges I do but without earning them.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2239. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 15:36:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apecqn$cg9$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54056

Translation....Im too dumb...stupid...inept...fill in blank..to learn Morse Code...so I want what you have and not earn it.....Right LLLLLLLOOOOOOYYYYYYYDDDDD??

Dan/W4NTI

"Lllllllloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message news:ape75mgme0@pita.alt.net...
> On Sat, 26 Oct 2002 13:54:10 GMT, Dee D. Flint wrote:
> > > > We no-code techs are tired of the constant degrading of our license
> > > status.
> >
> > I have never in my life degraded a no-code tech. They have and will
> > contribute a great deal to developing and exploring the use of VHF and
> > higher frequencies. Too many of us who have moved on to the other license
> > classes actually neglect the possibilities inherent in this area.
> >
> > However I am sick and tired of those few who attempt to degrade my license
> > by wanting to have the same privileges I do but without earning them.
>
> Proficiency in International Morse Code says as much about your
> technical knowledge as does your ability to tap dance.

2240. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 15:39:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apecum$5f2$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54057

Most no code techs are decent folks. Then you have Lllllooooyyyydddd and Andy (KC2SSB). Oh well.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:68xu9.2046$z5.1435304@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > We no-code techs are tired of the constant degrading of our license
> > status.
>
> I have never in my life degraded a no-code tech. They have and will
> contribute a great deal to developing and exploring the use of VHF and
> higher frequencies. Too many of us who have moved on to the other license
> classes actually neglect the possibilities inherent in this area.
>
> However I am sick and tired of those few who attempt to degrade my license
> by wanting to have the same privileges I do but without earning them.
>
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
>

2241. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 15:46:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <qByu9.2162$wZ2.1419@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Insider <jg45c@excite.com> wrote in message
news:8de2b998.0210251744.32cac0f2@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> > news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > > ever got that far.
> >
> > Such
> > radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even
import
> > into the country.
> >
> I may be naive to some law or regulation, as I'm not a ham, but all
> amature radios that transmit below 30MHz do not require type
> acceptance by the American FCC. Since the Galaxy radios are marketed
> as 10 meter radios, not CBs which must be type accepted by nature of
> the service, how can they possibly be illegal to sell in America?

Because the FCC labs have determined that certain of those "10 Meter" radios
do not
met their requirements for sale in the US. There is a list of *most*
Galaxy and Connex
radios that are illegal because 1) "real" 10 meter radios do not have
frequency readouts AND
channel indices, 2) 10 Meter radios are normally "single-band" radios and do
not require "band"
switches, 3) They do not meet technical standards for spectral purity. AND
FCC is smart enough
to recognize the REAL market for these "fake" 10 Meter radios (wink wink)
whose sole purpose is
to circumvent the regulations, allow for higher than allowed output, and
provide coverage from 14-30 MHZ; hence the "band" switch.

Jerry

>
> I'm not arguing as to who those radio's are targeted at. I mean, a 10
> meter radio that tunes in fixed incriments and has echo-no question
> it's being marketed to the freebanders.

2242. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 15:53:18 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ilyu9.2165$wZ2.409@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Lloyd <Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net> wrote in message
news:apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net...
> You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
> brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
> truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
> spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
> radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
> are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
> about trivial nonsense. That figures!
>
> Lloyd

Tell ya what fella: Go to www.arrl.org and click on Bulletins. Scroll down
to "Special Interest
Topics" and click on Enforcement. Then look at the bulletins around the 1st
or second week of
Sepetember where Mr. H. warned the Cheetah Transportation about unlicensed
operation. The
driver got fired over it. This warning is just one in a list that goes back
thru 2000. They have not
had the resources to go after this in a huge way, but lots of efforts are
being made to change that.
I don't have to "scare" anyone. The theft of frequencies, the rampant
operation of bootleg radios
on unauthorized frequencies WILL come to an end. All I am going to do is sit
back and snicker
everytime I read about one.

.............and I haven't talked to Mr Hollingsworth for over 2 months.

J
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> > news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > > ever got that far.
> >
> >

> > Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on
> recorded
> > information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the
> companies
> > for whom the
> > drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally
> > discipline the driver(s)
> > involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and,
> finding
> > illegal equipment as
> > described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING the
> > employee(s)
> > involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the case
> of
> > the Cheetah Transpor-
> > tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply, the
> > Safety Director inspected
> > the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation with
Mr.
> > Hollingsworth. Such
> > radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even
import
> > into the country.
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> > > national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> > > terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> > > does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> > > priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> > > their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> > > commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> > > national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> > > problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
> >
> > The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference to
> > Amateur or other radio
> > services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal operators
> can
> > get away with operating
> > on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to operate on
> > other, even MORE,
> > important radio services----such as the international HF aviation band.
> Mr
> > Riley Hollingsworth,
> > Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with illegal
> > operators because that
> > is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is

that
> a
> > ham was just recently
> > appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on Communications,
> likely
> > in response to a
> > barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the
> > enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't believe
that
> > Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
> > "higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when
> PL106-521
> > was passed, giving
> > local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference from
> > illegal and over-powered CB
> > sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED from
> this.
> > At this very mo-
> > ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt
Amateur
> > Radio antennas from
> > CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a wide,
> > effective and dispersed
> > back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the
> > dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and
their
> > rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
> > years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more
Congresspersons
> > are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.
> >
> > With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
> > sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw
> radio
> > operators that think they can just steal
> > frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47 of
> the
> > US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
> > communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
> > Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to protect
> > that resource from inter-
> > ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have been
in
> > progress for some time to
> > find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do
with
> > interference to licensed services who have every right to expect that
> their
> > spectrum assets are available to them
> > free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the

> > RESTORATION of enough funds
> > for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who
are
> > actively promoting the
> > sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined
from
> > import into the USA.
> > Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect trucks
> for
> > said radios using a list
> > supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
> correct
> > in that FCC cur-
> > rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals, BUT
> > that can change.
> >
> > The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for
> > possible radio traffic that
> > could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and enforce
> the
> > Communications Act of
> > 1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from
intrusions
> > from "tan fer thar, driver"
> > on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will take
> time,
> > but those bands, includ-
> > ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams WILL
> > continue to silently
> > record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else who
> > encroaches onto the inter-
> > national ham bands.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> > > some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> > > stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> > > with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> > > code techs make six times the money you make!
> >
> > You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and
you
> > have NEVER heard
> > me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period!
It
> > makes no difference
> > (to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.

> >
> >
> > What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
> $30,000
> > + + at age 54! I
> > am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down
at
> > the lake fishin'!
> >
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > BOSS hog
> > > Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> > > Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like
doctors,
> > > > > school
> > > > > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses
> the
> > > > > band.
> > > > >
> > > > > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the
> use
> > > > of
> > > > > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"
> > > radios
> > > > > (illegal to import and sell)
> > > > > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> > > > >
> > > > > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than
> > welcome
> > > to
> > > > > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > > > > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service.
ALL
> > > > > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham
> > bands.
> > > > >

> > > > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use
of
> AM
> > > > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > > > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears
> > Morse
> > > > Code (CW) on that
> > > > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
> > > driving
> > > > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations
DOES
> > > > require a license and that the Feds are back in
> enforcement--especially
> > > > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > > > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> > > monitoring
> > > > the bands for it--even ob-
> > > > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their
tape
> > > > recordings and tag numbers,
> > > > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
> > > matter,
> > > > folks, and you should
> > > > heed the warning.
> > > >
> > > > Jerry
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > You got something against truckers?
> > > > >
> > > > > Blackie
> > > > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

2243. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 17:11:08 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@HQ14313BARF.net>
Message-ID: <apeiabanh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Tell ya what there Oxygoof. Here's what you do.
Take your fat butt over to the nearest interstate truck
stop. Go into the area where truck drivers set around
and talk or eat. You get up on a chair and say, "Hiya,
I'm Oxydol, and I'm gonna see that you truckers don't
use the wrong radios and freqs., and this here vigilante
arm band that Riley gave me gives me the right to get
you fired from your jobs, the jobs you guys and gals have
to feed your families. " After you do that, report back
here with what happened. We would particularly like to
know if the truckers, errrr, gave you an "attitude
adjustment." Now go run along asshole, and PLEASE,
get a life!!!!!!!!!!!
Oh, and Riley did say you are basically a little pissant
whiner whose always crying about insignificant bullshit.

Lloyd

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:iIyu9.2165$wZ2.409@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Lloyd <Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net> wrote in message
> news:apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net...
> > You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
> > brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
> > truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
> > spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
> > radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
> > are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
> > about trivial nonsense. That figures!
> >
> > Lloyd
>
> Tell ya what fella: Go to www.arrl.org and click on Bulletins. Scroll
down
> to "Special Interest
> Topics" and click on Enforcement. Then look at the bulletins around the
1st
> or second week of
> Sepetember where Mr. H. warned the Cheetah Transportation about unlicensed
> operation. The
> driver got fired over it. This warning is just one in a list that goes
back
> thru 2000. They have not

> had the resources to go after this in a huge way, but lots of efforts are
> being made to change that.
> I don't have to "scare" anyone. The theft of frequencies, the rampant
> operation of bootleg radios
> on unauthorized frequencies WILL come to an end. All I am going to do is
sit
> back and snicker
> everytime I read about one.
>
> ....and I haven't talked to Mr Hollingsworth for over 2 months.
>
> J
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> > > news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > > > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > > > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > > > ever got that far.
> > >
> > >
> > > Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on
> > recorded
> > > information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the
> > companies
> > > for whom the
> > > drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally
> > > discipline the driver(s)
> > > involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and,
> > finding
> > > illegal equipment as
> > > described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING
the
> > > employee(s)
> > > involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the
case
> > of
> > > the Cheetah Transpor-
> > > tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply,
the
> > > Safety Director inspected
> > > the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation with
> Mr.
> > > Hollingsworth. Such
> > > radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even
> import

> > > into the country.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> > > > national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> > > > terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> > > > does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> > > > priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> > > > their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> > > > commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> > > > national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> > > > problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
> > >
> > > The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference to
> > > Amateur or other radio
> > > services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal
operators
> > can
> > > get away with operating
> > > on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to operate
on
> > > other, even MORE,
> > > important radio services----such as the international HF aviation
band.
> > Mr
> > > Riley Hollingsworth,
> > > Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with
illegal
> > > operators because that
> > > is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is
> that
> > a
> > > ham was just recently
> > > appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on Communications,
> > likely
> > > in response to a
> > > barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the
> > > enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't believe
> that
> > > Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
> > > "higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when
> > PL106-521
> > > was passed, giving
> > > local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference from
> > > illegal and over-powered CB
> > > sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED from
> > this.
> > > At this very mo-

> > > ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt
> Amateur
> > > Radio antennas from
> > > CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a wide,
> > > effective and dispersed
> > > back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the
> > > dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and
> their
> > > rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
> > > years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more
> Congresspersons
> > > are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.
> > >
> > > With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
> > > sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw
> > radio
> > > operators that think they can just steal
> > > frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47
of
> > the
> > > US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
> > > communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
> > > Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to
protect
> > > that resource from inter-
> > > ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have
been
> in
> > > progress for some time to
> > > find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do
> with
> > > interference to licensed services who have every right to expect that
> > their
> > > spectrum assets are available to them
> > > free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the
> > > RESTORATION of enough funds
> > > for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who
> are
> > > actively promoting the
> > > sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined
> from
> > > import into the USA.
> > > Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect
trucks
> > for
> > > said radios using a list
> > > supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
> > correct
> > > in that FCC cur-
> > > rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals,

BUT
> > > that can change.
> > >
> > > The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for
> > > possible radio traffic that
> > > could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and
enforce
> > the
> > > Communications Act of
> > > 1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from
> intrusions
> > > from "tan fer thar, driver"
> > > on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will take
> > time,
> > > but those bands, includ-
> > > ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams
WILL
> > > continue to silently
> > > record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else
who
> > > encroaches onto the inter-
> > > national ham bands.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> > > > some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> > > > stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> > > > with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> > > > code techs make six times the money you make!
> > >
> > > You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and
> you
> > > have NEVER heard
> > > me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period!
> It
> > > makes no difference
> > > (to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.
> > >
> > >
> > > What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
> > $30,000
> > > + + at age 54! I
> > > am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down
> at
> > > the lake fishin'!
> > >
> > >

> > > J
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > BOSS hog
> > > > Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> > > > Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like
> doctors,
> > > > > > school
> > > > > > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham
uses
> > the
> > > > > > band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here;
the
> > use
> > > > > of
> > > > > > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those
"export"
> > > > radios
> > > > > > (illegal to import and sell)
> > > > > > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than
> > > welcome
> > > > > to
> > > > > > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > > > > > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service.
> ALL
> > > > > > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the
ham
> > > bands.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use
> of
> > AM
> > > > > > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > > > > > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver
hears

> > > Morse
> > > > > Code (CW) on that
> > > > > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND
their
> > > > driving
> > > > > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations
> DOES
> > > > > require a license and that the Feds are back in
> > enforcement--especially
> > > > > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > > > > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> > > > monitoring
> > > > > the bands for it--even ob-
> > > > > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their
> tape
> > > > > recordings and tag numbers,
> > > > > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a
serious
> > > > matter,
> > > > > folks, and you should
> > > > > heed the warning.
> > > > >
> > > > > Jerry
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > You got something against truckers?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Blackie
> > > > > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>

2244. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 17:18:28 UTC
From: QRM'er <WogerWussman@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <apein2$bk5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54061

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:apecqn$cg9$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Translation....Im too dumb...stupid...inept...fill in blank..to learn
Morse
> Code...so I want what you have and not earn it.....Right
> LLLLLLLOOOOOOYYYYYYYDDDDD??
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I have never heard a No Code Tech threaten (over the air) to shoot anybody
nor do I know of any that have been told by Riley Hollingsworth to stay off
certain frequencies. Sound familiar, hmmmmmm Dan?

2245. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 17:22:58 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <m0Au9.2354$wZ2.174@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Lloyd <Lloyd@HQ14313BARF.net> wrote in message
news:apeiabanh0@pita.alt.net...
> Tell ya what there Oxygoof. Here's what you do.
> Take your fat butt over to the nearest interstate truck
> stop. Go into the area where truck drivers set around
> and talk or eat. You get up on a chair and say, "Hiya,
> I'm Oxydol, and I'm gonna see that you truckers don't
> use the wrong radios and freqs., and this here vigilante
> arm band that Riley gave me gives me the right to get
> you fired from your jobs, the jobs you guys and gals have
> to feed your families. " After you do that, report back
> here with what happened. We would particularly like to
> know if the truckers, errrr, gave you an "attitude
> adjustment." Now go run along asshole, and PLEASE,
> get a life!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Oh, and Riley did say you are basically a little pissant
> whiner whose always crying about insignificant bullshit.
>
> Lloyd

Yeah, 'Tan fer thar, mercy me thar, ah know whutcha mean thar, gr'et day,
uh, thar, shore thang
thar, yuh gotta an ole copy thar on this h'yar reddio thar, from this h'yar
trailer park thar in this h'yar
'75 "Pony-ack" thar, mercy thar, keep that thar sunny side up an 'tween th'
ditches thar an' we gone--SQUEAK!!" (thar)

(and you wouldn't know Riley H. if he jack-slapped you)

J
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:iIyu9.2165$wZ2.409@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Lloyd <Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net> wrote in message
> > news:apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net...
> > > You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
> > > brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
> > > truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
> > > spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
> > > radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
> > > are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
> > > about trivial nonsense. That figures!

> > >
> > > Lloyd
> >
> > Tell ya what fella: Go to www.arrl.org and click on Bulletins. Scroll
> down
> > to "Special Interest
> > Topics" and click on Enforcement. Then look at the bulletins around the
> 1st
> > or second week of
> > Sepetember where Mr. H. warned the Cheetah Transportation about
unlicensed
> > operation. The
> > driver got fired over it. This warning is just one in a list that goes
> back
> > thru 2000. They have not
> > had the resources to go after this in a huge way, but lots of efforts
are
> > being made to change that.
> > I don't have to "scare" anyone. The theft of frequencies, the rampant
> > operation of bootleg radios
> > on unauthorized frequencies WILL come to an end. All I am going to do is
> sit
> > back and snicker
> > everytime I read about one.
> >
> > ....and I haven't talked to Mr Hollingsworth for over 2 months.
> >
> > J
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > >
> > > > Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > > > > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > > > > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > > > > ever got that far.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on
> > > recorded
> > > > information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the
> > > companies
> > > > for whom the
> > > > drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally
> > > > discipline the driver(s)
> > > > involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and,
> > > finding

> > > > illegal equipment as
> > > > described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING
> the
> > > > employee(s)
> > > > involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the
> case
> > > of
> > > > the Cheetah Transpor-
> > > > tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply,
> the
> > > > Safety Director inspected
> > > > the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation
with
> > Mr.
> > > > Hollingsworth. Such
> > > > radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even
> > import
> > > > into the country.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> > > > > national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> > > > > terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> > > > > does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> > > > > priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> > > > > their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> > > > > commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> > > > > national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> > > > > problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
> > > >
> > > > The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference
to
> > > > Amateur or other radio
> > > > services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal
> operators
> > > can
> > > > get away with operating
> > > > on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to
operate
> on
> > > > other, even MORE,
> > > > important radio services----such as the international HF aviation
> band.
> > > Mr
> > > > Riley Hollingsworth,
> > > > Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with
> illegal
> > > > operators because that

> > > > is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is
> > that
> > > a
> > > > ham was just recently
> > > > appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on
Communications,
> > > likely
> > > > in response to a
> > > > barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the
> > > > enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't
believe
> > that
> > > > Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
> > > > "higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when
> > > PL106-521
> > > > was passed, giving
> > > > local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference
from
> > > > illegal and over-powered CB
> > > > sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED
from
> > > this.
> > > > At this very mo-
> > > > ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt
> > Amateur
> > > > Radio antennas from
> > > > CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a
wide,
> > > > effective and dispersed
> > > > back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the
> > > > dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and
> > their
> > > > rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
> > > > years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more
> > Congresspersons
> > > > are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.
> > > >
> > > > With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
> > > > sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of
outlaw
> > > radio
> > > > operators that think they can just steal
> > > > frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title
47
> of
> > > the
> > > > US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
> > > > communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
> > > > Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to
> protect

> > > > that resource from inter-
> > > > ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have
> been
> > in
> > > > progress for some time to
> > > > find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do
> > with
> > > > interference to licensed services who have every right to expect
that
> > > their
> > > > spectrum assets are available to them
> > > > free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the
> > > > RESTORATION of enough funds
> > > > for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets
who
> > are
> > > > actively promoting the
> > > > sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already
enjoined
> > from
> > > > import into the USA.
> > > > Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect
> trucks
> > > for
> > > > said radios using a list
> > > > supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
> > > correct
> > > > in that FCC cur-
> > > > rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals,
> BUT
> > > > that can change.
> > > >
> > > > The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring
for
> > > > possible radio traffic that
> > > > could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and
> enforce
> > > the
> > > > Communications Act of
> > > > 1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from
> > intrusions
> > > > from "tan fer thar, driver"
> > > > on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will
take
> > > time,
> > > > but those bands, includ-
> > > > ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams
> WILL
> > > > continue to silently
> > > > record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else

> who
> > > > encroaches onto the inter-
> > > > national ham bands.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> > > > > some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> > > > > stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about
them
> > > > > with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> > > > > code techs make six times the money you make!
> > > >
> > > > You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week,
and
> > you
> > > > have NEVER heard
> > > > me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM.
Period!
> > It
> > > > makes no difference
> > > > (to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
> > > $30,000
> > > > + + at age 54! I
> > > > am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days
down
> > at
> > > > the lake fishin'!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > J
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > BOSS hog
> > > > > Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> > > > > Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> > > > > > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like
> > doctors,
> > > > > > school
> > > > > > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham
> uses
> > > the
> > > > > > band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here;
> the
> > > use
> > > > > of
> > > > > > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those
> "export"
> > > > radios
> > > > > > (illegal to import and sell)
> > > > > > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more
than
> > > welcome
> > > > > to
> > > > > > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > > > > > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service.
> > ALL
> > > > > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the
> ham
> > > bands.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the
use
> > of
> > > AM
> > > > > > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > > > > > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver
> hears
> > > > Morse
> > > > > > Code (CW) on that
> > > > > > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND
> their
> > > > > driving
> > > > > > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter
operations
> > DOES
> > > > > > require a license and that the Feds are back in
> > > enforcement--especially
> > > > > > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > > > > > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> > > > monitoring

> > > > > > the bands for it--even ob-
> > > > > > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on
their
> > tape
> > > > > > recordings and tag numbers,
> > > > > > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a
> serious
> > > > > matter,
> > > > > > folks, and you should
> > > > > > heed the warning.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Jerry
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > You got something against truckers?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Blackie
> > > > > > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

2246. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 18:42:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <urlorb8np7o42@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54063

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021018231608.19576.00003474@mb-fo.aol.com...
> In article <aombut4gfk@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >Hello Bert.... I may have also convinced my 66 year old Fire Chief (and
his
> >wife as well as 2-3 others on the department) to get licensed. I
> >definitely think that looking into the emergency services crowd is a good
> >thing as the general nature of people in those fields would make good
hams
> >because of the training, and structure they must follow. (following a
chain
> >of command, following orders and rules/regulations/policies/procedures
> >etc....)
> >--
> >Ryan, KC8PMX
>
> Ryan:
>
> What in the world does "following a chain of command" or "following
orders"
> have to do with Amateur Radio? Any fellow ham who tries to "command"
> me or "order" me usually gets told which bus to take, and precisely where
> to get off!
>

Uh, wouldn't you consider telling someone that you would "commandeer" their radio equipment in an emergency such a circumstance as "commanding" them or "ordering" them, Larry? Remember--way back--when you told me that in an emergency, you would commandeer my radio equipment?

As you your comments re: following a chain of command and following orders, it could be said that a net condition under emergency operations is exactly that. If you are the proponent of "effective" communications you say, it seems to me you'd have no problem understanding that concept. Of course, if you're busy "commandeering" radio equipment from other people, I suppose that could be taken to mean that you don't have a real polish for being able to operate under net conditions in an emergency.

>
> Some kind of self-styled Sgt. Rock is precisely what the ARS
> has no need for whatsoever!
>

Yeah, you've already got one of those many positions that people have
self-appointed themselves to.

> Police, firemen, EMT's, etc. have their own professional communications
> systems which give them precisely the capability they need, when they
> need it, with adequate backup and emergency reserve capability. If they
> do get involved in amateur radio, it should be because they're attracted
to
> it for purely hobbyist purposes such as DX'ing, contests, rag chewing,
> and the like. They shouldn't have any need, desire, or use for Ham HeroT
> status, and if I were one of them, the last thing I'd be doing is
encouraging
> other hams to stick their noses in my business as an emergency services
> provider.
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Then you've totally gone out on your own and disregard one of the best
services that amateur radio has the capability to provide.

Kim W5TIT

2247. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 18:52:47 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <urlpf55dnakp1e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54065

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021019235205.11392.00003902@mb-fi.aol.com...
> In article <B9D66143.BED8%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:
>
> >
> > You're being overly sensitive, Larry. I think he was referring to their
> >ability to follow a rigid rule structure like that found in the FCC rules
> >concerning ham radio (rigid compared to some other radio services).
>
> Dwight:
>
> Like I said, the vast majority of hams have no problem following our
> rules and regulations. The minscule minority who do will eventually
> face the swift justice of OM Riley.
>
> >His
> >premise is that a person with that type of background (experience with
other
> >rigid radio practices) would probably more easily accept our rigid rules
> >than perhaps a person without that background. Such a person might also
be
> >less likely to dislike or reject a service with such rules. The premise
is
> >sound, even if poorly stated (or perhaps even overly emphasized).
>
> No, the premise was self-serving. Ryan is obviously involved in
firefighting,
> and naturally sees firefighters and other emergency services workers as
> potentially brilliant ham radio operators. Unfortunately, this is simply
not
> true. People who are professionally engaged in emergency services are
> no more likely to be good, rule-abiding hams, and no less likely to be
> loose-cannon LIDs, than potential hams from any other profession. I'm
> no more enthusiastic about them as a group of potential hams than I would
> be of librarians, mailmen, construction workers, or anyone else.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Well, it's quite obvious that any growth for the ARS is not one of your
passions, Larry.

I think you're wrong on your observation for the potential of someone to
have an edge on communications structure in ham radio, if they've come into

the ARS with some public service background. The ARS net conditions emulate those of public service agencies--and it makes great sense to that so that we are familiar with the emergency communications style and protocols of those we serve. This is true so much so that the incident command structure, popularly used in public service agencies, is of great interest and benefit to the ARS.

Kim W5TIT

2248. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 18:54:15 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <urlphsqerspc61@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54064

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021019235205.11392.00003901@mb-fi.aol.com...
>
> That advice is as good today as ever, Brian! Feel free to take it!
> However, if you choose not to take it, then please acknowledge that
> I haven't used such comments lately (except for maybe the last one,
> and always in a clearly understood wry sense of humor). I've
> lightened up in my old age, in spite of the fact that there has been
> little or no reduction in the factors which made me that way in the
> first place. I'm evolving in my attitude; you apparently are not. I
> suggest you try taking stock and stop looking for the worst in
> people. Remember, when you do, you usually get it.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Pfffft, and I though the newsgroup had grown short of humor.

Kim W5TIT

2249. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 19:01:07 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <urlpuqdu7jbt90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54067

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021021001050.27911.00009766@mb-df.aol.com...
> >Subject: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >Date: 10/20/02 1:09 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20021020140900.06896.00004244@mb-mv.aol.com>
>
> >Public service is the "cornerstone of amateur radio" only in the minds of
a
> >bunch of Ham HeroT wannabes who have seen too many episodes of
> >"Emergency" and "Third Watch."
>
> Sorry, Larry. You got this one wrong....WAY wrong...
>
> >Those who are involved in public service
> >in their local area are very jealous of their "position" within the
voluntary
> >public service community, are unwilling to share any of the "action" with
> >other hams interested in learning what they are doing and doing it
> >themselves, and they are afraid of losing their "insider" status among
the
> >paid public service professionals they "work" with during "emergencies."
>
> Unfortunately this sounds a bit sour-grapeish. I am involved in
several
> public service activities and no where am I aware of the attitudes you
suggest.
>
> Furthermore, I have been a member of EMS and VFD in your area, and
never
> knew of that kind of attitude there, either. As a matter of fact. some
of the
> finest, most well developed programs, including ARES are in the NE
Corridor.
>
> >They jealously refuse to take a break...(SNIP)
>
> Perhaps you meant "selflessly"...?
>
> >...and will sit in the chair at the EOC
> >ham radio station for 24 hours straight, 2-meter mic firmly
death-gripped,
> >just to make sure THEIR ass IS the one in the chair.
>

> OK. Lennie...I mean Larry...we've heard THIS from you before.
>
> >It's all about glory and recognition for a few; not hard work and
dedication
> >of the many.
>
> Perhaps because it usually is the FEW that do MOST of the work, Larry.
> Participate in SET, organize drills with the ARC, help equip the local
EOC,
> routinely volunteer to be Net Controls, etc...
>
> I am reminded of the "tale" you related a few years ago about
allegedly
> seeing a "ham" stopping at the scene of an accident "...madly jabbing away
at
> his HT while running to the rescue". Very cynical.
>
> You have a real issue with first responders and other emergency
service
> providers, don't you?
>
> >Invariably, these
> >are hams whose entire life as a ham is spent on a local repeater -- and
> >have no outlet for their desire to communicate other than making a
> >nuisance of themselves.
>
> ...And some do it on internet newsgroups by insulting people who try
to
> make a difference. Some successfully, some not...but they try.
>
> >There probably are some public service oriented hams out there who have
> >their hearts and minds in the right place, but in my 20-plus as a ham, I
> >haven't met very many of them.
>
> In my almost 30 years (October 27th...yea me!)...I have met legions
of
> them.
>
> And if you "haven't met very many of them", then I contend that your
scope
> of reference is very limited. There are tons of them out there. Even in
> Deleware.
>
> > I think that what is needed
> >is some functional reform of the ARES system.
>
> Then you've not been paying attention lately, Larry.
>
> >I believe that Section-
> >level "emergency communications" appointments should be "term-

> >limited"
>
> Term limits, whether in Amateur Radio or "real" politics are the
crutch of
> the lazy and the irresponsible. Term limits breed mediocrity. Are YOU
> mediocre, Larry?
>
> >In that case, we'll just have to
> >do without "leadership" in the ARES. I think we'll survive.
>
> I think that's pretty stupid...espeically from a former senior NCO
and a
> person as allegedly educated as you purport to be, Larry. Where do you
get
> this stuff? Mao's little Red Book?
>
> >Under real circumstances where individual hams, regardless of what
> >kind of important-sounding title they hold, are faced with a real world
> >emergency, they will be way too involved in dealing with their personal
> >and family crisis management to even be able to think of separating
> >themselves from their personal obligations and sit at some Red Cross
> >shelter passing traffic...(SNIP)
>
> I think that's a pretty callous, shallow and selfish statement,
> considering that tons of contradicting evidence, especially in the last
year,
> exists.
>
> >I can just imagine
> >some hysterical XYL shrieking to her OM as he calmly displaces valuable
> >space for Pampers and baby formula in the SUV for a generator and a
> >full-capability ham radio station. Like, that's really gonna happen,
isn't
> >it!
>
> Do you make this stuff up yourself, or does someone ghost write for
you?
>
> >And it very likely won't involve anyone who was trained
> >and "exercised" in providing these "cornerstone" ham radio services. It
> >will be spotty, catch-as-catch-can, disorganized, chaotic, a QRM-fest of
> >immense proportions, and generally ineffective and lacking in credibility
> >with those professional emergency services personnel who would be
> >interacting with the hams.
>
> And since you have uttered this bitter, cynical vision of the future,
the
> rest of us will just quit trying...OK, Larry?
>
> And by the way...I will reiterate for you (usually saved for the West

> Coast Idiot) the FACTS as related to in the Federal Register...The
Department
> of State, FEMA, the Department of Defense and other federal agencies do
NOT
> share your bitter, self-flagellating view of Amateur Radio.
>
> > It will be a disaster within a disaster.
>
> Then why don't you just submit K3LT for voluntary revocation right
now,
> Larry, and save yourself the embarrassment-by-association?
>
> >Unless and until we find a way to eliminate the Ham Hero
mentality...(SNIP)
>
> Now I am convinced...either Lennie has hijacked Larry's account, or
Larry
> is a bit lighter in the saddle than I gave creedence to in the first
place.
>
> >...get more rank-and-file hams involved in ARES...(SNIP)
>
> Shall we institue the draft to Amateur Radio, Larry?
>
> >...spread out the "stick
> >time" actually serving in the communications "hot seat" during exercises
> >and real local emergency activations...(SNIP)
>
> I think THIS says it all...Larry has offered his infinite wisdom in
> "emergencies" to agencies with which he has not previously trained or
served,
> expecting to be immediately placed in a position of authority or
> responsibility, only to find that he was told "no thanks".
>
> >....and develop an attitude of totally
> >eschewing personal recognition for those who "serve," will ham radio
> >BEGIN to become a credible resource for emergency communications.
>
> Ahhhhh! LARRY IS A SOCIALIST! Everyone gives/gets no more/no less
than
> the next guy. To hell with personal initiative, sacrifice and service.
>
> >Right now, we're not even close to being there.
>
> Let's hope we never get close to what YOU envision, Larry. THAT will
be a
> really sad day.
>
> Steve
>

>

Absolutely, Steve. I wholeheartedly support your comments and sentiment.
And, even as sharp as they were, you were still a whole lot more diplomatic
than I care to be with Larry.

Kim W5TIT

2250. Windows Tip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 19:07:59 UTC
From: Chrissie <FemmeFatale@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <apep49qtb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antennas,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Still taking an EXTRA STEP opening the Windows folder? Who knows why Bill Gates did this, but it is easy to eliminate the extra step.
Open the Windows folder and click on View and Customize This Folder. Click on Remove Customization spot and click Next twice and you are done. This also works for the System folder.

2251. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 19:12:08 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <urlqje866ibq9a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54068

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021022123857.19576.00003698@mb-fo.aol.com...
> In article <20021022075227.18987.00002567@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >
> > Because if it is, SOMEONE is spending a lot of money to perpetuate
> >something YOU insist does not exist. WHY, Larry, would the Federal
> >Government
> >drop over $180,000 on a project they had no faith in or expectation of
> >results?
>
> Don't look now, Steve, but the Federal Government dropping $180K into
> the ARRL's ECC/CCE scheme is like me dropping a dime on the ground and
> walking away from it. If the Feds were serious about this program, they
> would be funding it to the tune of 10X that amount -- which would still be
> a drop in the bucket to them. I am not impressed.
>
> > Just wondering what your excuses are for this bit of news,
Larry...???
>
> I no make no steenkeeng excuses for the ARRL, Steve -- thees eees not
> my yob, man! (Ooops -- sorry, Brian -- looks like I just made fun of
another
> minority group!)
>
> >
> >QUOTE FROM ARRL WEB SITE:
>
> The ARRL's ECC course is one of the better ideas they've come up with,
> lately, but unfortunately, they're going about it the wrong way. But
that's
> not a discussion I have time for now.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

Ho ho ho. Typical dribble from Larry Roll. Criticism, scorn, reluctance and disrespect but nothing in the way of one teeny tiny bit of anything constructive. Sits on the edge and scorns, criticizes and diminishes, but does *nothing* to attempt change.

Kim W5TIT

2252. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-26 19:36:26 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <urls0vm5nuq92e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54069

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021023121721.02941.00003399@mb-dh.aol.com...
>
> A.) In some areas I've lived in, I'd probably eliminate the program
entirely,
> and
> abolish the concept of amateur radio operators sticking their noses into
the
> business of professional emergency service providers.
>

Larry:You keep making this really stupid assertion, noted above, that amateur radio operators stick their noses into the business of professional emergency service providers. I remind you that in order for the amateur radio service to be involved with public agencies--whether through ARES or RACES--it is *AT THE REQUEST* of those very agencies!!

The term wannabe is one you keep using. You keep applying that to the people who are already involved and routinely providing communication services that were *AT THE REQUEST* of the served public agency. *WHAT* is so "wannabe" about that?

You keep saying that public agencies don't want the aid and service of the ARS. Then why is the ARS looked to by so *many* served agencies who desire to provide leadership, training, and mentoring skills so that the ARS can, in turn, provide effective communication services *AT THE REQUEST* of those public agencies?

You are really skewed on this latest thought diarrhea of yours, Larry. I think the sentiment that you "have no use for" emergency communication--along with other similar thoughtless comments you've made pertaining to same--definitely makes you the wannabe.

Kim W5TIT

2253. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 00:03:25 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92B3CC032CD08elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 54070

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in
news:apecqn$cg9$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net:

> Translation....Im too dumb...stupid...inept...fill in blank..to learn
> Morse Code...so I want what you have and not earn it.....Right
> LLLLLLLOOOOOOYYYYYYYDDDDD??
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Lllllllloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
> news:ape75mgme0@pita.alt.net...
>> On Sat, 26 Oct 2002 13:54:10 GMT, Dee D. Flint wrote:
>> > > > We no-code techs are tired of the constant degrading of our
>> > > > license status.
>> >
>> > I have never in my life degraded a no-code tech. They have and will
>> > contribute a great deal to developing and exploring the use of VHF
>> > and higher frequencies. Too many of us who have moved on to the
>> > other license classes actually neglect the possibilities inherent in
>> > this area.
>> >
>> > However I am sick and tired of those few who attempt to degrade my
>> > license by wanting to have the same privileges I do but without
>> > earning them.
>>
>> Proficiency in International Morse Code says as much about your
>> technical knowledge as does your ability to tap dance.
>
>

Except that tap dancing is more useful and entertaining

2254. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 01:47:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apfgj2$27p$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54071

Well LLLLLOOOOOOYYYYYYDDDDDD I guess it was just to piss you off....how did I do?

Oh and BTW Toid.....if your such a computer genius why didn't you have a virus program to stop it in you machine before it did any damage? You do have a virus program don't you LLLLLLLOOOOOOYYYYYYYDDDD?

Oh and BTW I have one of the best now. The AVG was a temporary fix.

I at least try and give a shit about others. Not like some folks I know.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lllllllloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message news:apecqn$cg9$1@taranews.net...
> On Sat, 26 Oct 2002 10:36:55 -0500, Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > Translation....Im too dumb...stupid...inept...fill in blank..to learn Morse
> > Code...so I want what you have and not earn it.....Right
> > LLLLLLLOOOOOOYYYYYYYDDDDD??
>
> Well, if you're so damned smart, then why did you let the bugbear worm
> take over your computer and email itself to everyone whose email
> address was on your hard drive?
>
> HuHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH?
>
> Typical ignorant code bigot. And to think that you could have been a
> certified Computer Specialist too if you had done something worthwhile
> with your life.

2255. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 02:59:29 UTC
From: jg45c@excite.com
Message-ID: <8de2b998.0210261859.126ff222@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

> > >
> > I may be naive to some law or regulation, as I'm not a ham, but all
> > amature radios that transmit below 30MHz do not require type
> > acceptance by the American FCC. Since the Galaxy radios are marketed
> > as 10 meter radios, not CBs which must be type accepted by nature of
> > the service, how can they possibly be illegal to sell in America?
>
> Because the FCC labs have determined that certain of those "10 Meter" radios
> do not
> met their requirements for sale in the US. There is a list of *most*
> Galaxy and Connex
> radios that are illegal because 1) "real" 10 meter radios do not have
> frequency readouts AND
> channel indices, 2) 10 Meter radios are normally "single-band" radios and do
> not require "band"
> switches, 3) They do not meet technical standards for spectral purity. AND
> FCC is smart enough
> to recognize the REAL market for these "fake" 10 Meter radios (wink wink)
> whose sole purpose is
> to circumvent the regulations, allow for higher than allowed output, and
> provide coverage from 14-30 MHZ; hence the "band" switch.
>
> Jerry
>
That sounds sensable. Thanks for clarification.

2256. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 04:28:26 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <uGudnUxst__29iagXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 54073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210251454.5fcf8957@posting.google.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<GbycnYmGzcAfcSigXTWcoA@comcast.com>...
> > "Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
> > news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
> > > BULL! He was there again this year,
> >
> > I was there and the amps etc. weren't. I even mentioned it to the
> > others Hams in my group who also noticed the same thing. And if
you
> > were there what did Yeasu have swiming in the fish tank?
> >
>
> You mean the VX7?

You mean the TWO VX7's right? It was interesting to see them light up
when you key up on the frequency they were set on, while under water.

> You and your friends must have very selective sight!

They disappeared becasue RH was paying a visit to the Hamvention the
year before, and the amp kit vendor got told they better not show up
again, the kits, by the field agents. All one had to do was stop by
their table and ask them about their visit from the FCC. Several
people wanted a kit and wanted to know why they weren't there any
more. There may have been other amps elsewhere, but they were NOT in
the tent area anymore. Even some of the CB shops with tables had their
"questionable" wears gone that year too. Seems like the word got out,
and nobody wanted to get busted.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2257. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 07:18:04 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021027021804.23768.00000172@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54076

In article <B9DFC484.C1E9%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
<stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:

> I don't doubt you could be a good coordinator, Larry. Past military NCO's
>do tend to be fairly good supervisors and managers. They often have
>experience supervising a fairly large, diverse, workforce, lending a more
>level-headed approach to their dealings with others. They often routinely
>dealt with unusual situations. And they were often expected to make quick,
>but defendable, decisions.

Dwight:

I thank you for those observations. As it happens, I consider myself to
have earned my entire pension in my first two years I was stationed in Germany,
where I did all of the above, and much more. Moreover throughout the
rest of my career, I was faced with taking over management of programs
which needed to be "put back on track" due to the ineptitude of my
predecesors. So much, in fact, that in my second tour in Germany my
Commander made me NCOIC of his Squadron Management Staff in order
to get our Disaster Preparedness programs and our Ancillary Training
program back up to Air Force and AMC (then MAC) standards. I succeeded
in doing so, and "turned around" our programs to the point where we went
from "Marginal" to "Outstanding" ratings in command-level inspections.
Then I went to the Air Force Academy and did the same thing to sort out
the entire Passenger Transportation department, which, before I got there,
didn't even have a regulation file plan, due to the indifference of the low-
level civilians who were "managing" the program before I got there.

> On the other hand, ex-military NCO's are also often pig-headed,
>opinionated, judgmental, overly-rigid, and somewhat zealous.

If, by that, you mean that we want to do things the right way, getting
the right results, and making the most efficient use of our resources,
then I'll have to say I resemble that remark -- since I was accused of
all of the above at different times.

>Those who are
>able to temper these less desirable traits, and emphasize the more desirable
>ones, often do well managing people.

Perhaps, when they produce results where none had been forthcoming
in the past, those traits aren't as "undesirable" as you may think!

>> And one thing I would make sure of is that any and
>> all radio amateurs with an interest in Public Service
>> and ARES were welcomed with open arms, given good
>> training, lots of hands-on experience starting from

>> the very first day, and encouragement to further
>>their knowledge and operating skill as radio amateurs.
>
>
> All very nice, but also very routine (most EC's do this). The problem is
>your jump to the next few lines...
>
>
>> There would be no entrenched Ham Heroes anywhere in
>> sight. Everyone would do everything, and I'd never
>> let the same person do the same job twice in a row
>> until everyone who wanted to be involved WAS involved,
>> and had done everything that there was to be done.
>> In-building EOC positions would have to be staffed on
>> a strict 8-hour shift basis, if there are adequate
>> personnel to allow it; otherwise, shifts would be
>> adjusted to permit a change of faces and to allow
>> more people to get that experience.
>
>
> This is where we disagree. In an emergency, you want your people in the
>jobs they do best.

I wasn't necessarily talking about a real "emergency" situation. I
was talking about routine drills and exercises, which are far and away
the more typical opportunity for local hams to participate. It is THEN
that I would get people "up to speed" so when the real thing did come,
we would have better flexibility, and not dependent on the same
people to do the same thing, and having everyone standing around
with a donut in one hand and a cup of coffee in the other, not knowing
what to do if the "guy who always did that" doesn't show up for
some reason.

>This is not the time to be worrying about the feelings of
>the individual ham. The mission has to come first, not some worry about
>making everyone feel welcomed or feel a sense of belonging.

I agree, but we had damn well better "worry" about the "feelings" of
prospective ARES members when they show up for a drill, and find
that there is absolutely nothing for them to do because the same
obese lout who presses the PTT switch five seconds after he starts
talking is still occupying his old, familiar, overstressed chair.

>Certainly you
>want to rotate as many people as possible. But, if a person isn't good on
>the radio, now is not the time to hand him the microphone.

Doesn't matter, he'll still find a way to snatch it away from whoever
tries to pick it up.

>There are other
>jobs that person can do. By the same token, if a person is good on the

>radio, that person should get the microphone as much as possible.

Well, to do that, he'd need a crowbar to pry it out of the 350-lb.
gorilla's hands, and some Formula 409 to clean off the donut jelly,
pizza sauce, and coffee stains before he could handle it.

>> Now, come up with some more whines and complaints.
>
>
> There are no complaints, Larry - just a difference in style.

I'm a trained manager, and I tend to think like one. I also have
a formal education in personnel management. Therefore, I see
the value in developing "human resources," like ARES team
members, by giving them equal exposure and experience, as
opposed to permitting the same old people to do the same job
only because "that's the way it's always been." I don't know,
maybe my expectations aren't realistic, considering the
"amateur" nature of what we do. But I see no reason why that
should be true.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2258. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 07:18:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021027021805.23768.00000173@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54075

In article <20021026064435.27850.00000428@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>You, Steve, Dwight,
>>and Brian have been grasping at straws, trying to make this about
>>my shortcomings as a human being.
>
> These are the ONLY straws you leave, Larry.

Or -- the only ones you care to find, Steve.

> Almost every post you have made on the subject has been ripe with
>expressions of disgruntlement or frustration over them...not ARES as a
>program
>or public service as a concept...Yet you have aggressively assaulted both in
>your angst to get back at "them".

My eyes are glazing over here, Steve -- define "them."

>>If you made any kind of an
>>attempt to get over that, perhaps you could contribute something
>>constructive to this thread.

I have nothing to "get over, " Steve. I have come to terms with my potential role as an emergency communications provider, and unless or until I find an environment when I feel like my services are needed and wanted, and actively encouraged by those I'd be serving with, I'll settle for the status quo. The point of my thread is this: Based on my personal experience, and the fact that I've received feedback which leads me to understand that my "problems" are more widespread than you or anyone else who is active in ARES will admit, that our value as Emergency Service Communications Providers leaves a lot of room for doubt -- at least in my mind.

> Whew! More advice that would be better taken by yourself, Larry! GET
>OVER
>what ever dissing you THINK you took from "whoever" and move on !

I haven't said that I would be adverse to "moving on" or even trying once again to be active in ARES. Problem is, it is unlikely that I'll find that anything has changed from what I've previously experienced.

> If you TRULY want to be active in some aspect of public service,well,
>it's
>out there. So you're former USAF MARS? Civil Air Patrol's communications
>system can always use persons skilled in military type comms.

Problem with that is CAP in these parts is becoming a thing of the past.

> A bit less "military" but just as anxious to accept and utilize
>competent
>help is the United States Coast Guard Auxiliary. Although most of thier
>communications are on the Marine band, they do have their own repeaters in
>places and must conduct air-to-ship communications. And considering your
>proximity to the Atlantic, a bit more likely to be active.

The local Coast Guard station was closed a couple of years ago, and the
area of responsibility moved elsewhere.

> By the way...The USCGAux was just awarded the USCG's CG Achivement Medal
>for all hands who were active during the post-9/11 period. It's the only
>active
>"civilian" auxiliary to be awarded an active duty award for it's service.
>I'd
>say that's "recognition", which is what you seem to be looking for.

Except that I'm not necessarily looking for recognition, which has been
my whole point. My "problem" is/was with those who wanted to hog the
spotlight for themselves. All I wanted was to have something to do
whenever I showed up.

> I intimately know CAP's HF nets, and am not aware if USCGAux has any,
>but
>I am sure you'd be welcomed in either.

A problem there is I'm not an HF phone operator, due to my low-profile
station conditions.

>>If not, then move on, please.
>
> Ditto. The "victim" angle is a bit worn and tiring.

Steve, I'm not claiming "victim status." I've been trying to give light
to a problem which causes people to be discouraged when they try to
get involved in Public Service. As I've said before, stop taking it
personally, and recognize that a problem does exist, whether or not you
have experienced it personally. You're too wrapped up in K3LT-bashing
to see the point I've been making. Leave the bashing to Kim -- at least
I don't have to bother myself responding to her. You're still deserving
of my time, so let's make better use of it, shall we?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2259. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 07:18:06 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021027021806.23768.00000174@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54074

In article <urls0vm5nuq92e@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>
>You are really skewed on this latest thought diarrhea of yours, Larry. I
>think the sentiment that you "have no use for" emergency
>communication--along with other similar thoughtless comments you've made
>pertaining to same--definitely makes you the wannabe.
>
>Kim W5TIT

No, Kim, actually, the way I was treated most times I tried to participate
in ARES ended up making me a "Don't Wannabe." Unfortunately, my
experiences are not unique, either -- and THAT's the problem here.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2260. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 07:50:34 UTC
From: QRP <HalfWatt@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <apg5q7$7uv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54077

Geeze..this guy is writing a darn novel!
Like we are interested?

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021027021804.23768.00000172@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article <B9DFC484.C1E9%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:
>
> > I don't doubt you could be a good coordinator, Larry. Past military
NCO's
> >do tend to be fairly good supervisors and managers. They often have
> >experience supervising a fairly large, diverse, workforce, lending a more
> >level-headed approach to their dealings with others. They often routinely
> >dealt with unusual situations. And they were often expected to make
quick,
> >but defendable, decisions.
>
> Dwight:
>
> I thank you for those observations. As it happens, I consider myself to
> have earned my entire pension in my first two years I was stationed in
Germany,
> where I did all of the above, and much more. Moreover throughout the
> rest of my career, I was faced with taking over management of programs
> which needed to be "put back on track" due to the ineptitude of my
> predecesors. So much, in fact, that in my second tour in Germany my
> Commander made me NCOIC of his Squadron Management Staff in order
> to get our Disaster Preparedness programs and our Ancillary Training
> program back up to Air Force and AMC (then MAC) standards. I succeeded
> in doing so, and "turned around" our programs to the point where we went
> from "Marginal" to "Outstanding" ratings in command-level inspections.
> Then I went to the Air Force Academy and did the same thing to sort out
> the entire Passenger Transportation department, which, before I got there,
> didn't even have a regulation file plan, due to the indifference of the
low-
> level civilians who were "managing" the program before I got there.
>
> > On the other hand, ex-military NCO's are also often pig-headed,
> >opinionated, judgmental, overly-rigid, and somewhat zealous.
>
> If, by that, you mean that we want to do things the right way, getting
> the right results, and making the most efficient use of our resources,
> then I'll have to say I resemble that remark -- since I was accused of
> all of the above at different times.

>
> >Those who are
> >able to temper these less desirable traits, and emphasize the more
desirable
> >ones, often do well managing people.
>
> Perhaps, when they produce results where none had been forthcoming
> in the past, those traits aren't as "undesirable" as you may think!
>
> >> And one thing I would make sure of is that any and
> >> all radio amateurs with an interest in Public Service
> >> and ARES were welcomed with open arms, given good
> >> training, lots of hands-on experience starting from
> >> the very first day, and encouragement to further
> >>their knowledge and operating skill as radio amateurs.
> >
> >
> > All very nice, but also very routine (most EC's do this). The problem
is
> >your jump to the next few lines...
> >
> >
> >> There would be no entrenched Ham Heroes anywhere in
> >> sight. Everyone would do everything, and I'd never
> >> let the same person do the same job twice in a row
> >> until everyone who wanted to be involved WAS involved,
> >> and had done everything that there was to be done.
> >> In-building EOC positions would have to be staffed on
> >> a strict 8-hour shift basis, if there are adequate
> >> personnel to allow it; otherwise, shifts would be
> >> adjusted to permit a change of faces and to allow
> >> more people to get that experience.
> >
> >
> > This is where we disagree. In an emergency, you want your people in the
> >jobs they do best.
>
> I wasn't necessarily talking about a real "emergency" situation. I
> was talking about routine drills and exercises, which are far and away
> the more typical opportunity for local hams to participate. It is THEN
> that I would get people "up to speed" so when the real thing did come,
> we would have better flexibility, and not dependent on the same
> people to do the same thing, and having everyone standing around
> with a donut in one hand and a cup of coffee in the other, not knowing
> what to do if the "guy who always did that" doesn't show up for
> some reason.
>
> >This is not the time to be worrying about the feelings of
> >the individual ham. The mission has to come first, not some worry about
> >making everyone feel welcomed or feel a sense of belonging.

>
> I agree, but we had damn well better "worry" about the "feelings" of
> prospective ARES members when they show up for a drill, and find
> that there is absolutely nothing for them to do because the same
> obese lout who presses the PTT switch five seconds after he starts
> talking is still occupying his old, familiar, overstressed chair.
>
> >Certainly you
> >want to rotate as many people as possible. But, if a person isn't good on
> >the radio, now is not the time to hand him the microphone.
>
> Doesn't matter, he'll still find a way to snatch it away from whoever
> tries to pick it up.
>
> >There are other
> >jobs that person can do. By the same token, if a person is good on the
> >radio, that person should get the microphone as much as possible.
>
> Well, to do that, he'd need a crowbar to pry it out of the 350-lb.
> gorilla's hands, and some Formula 409 to clean off the donut jelly,
> pizza sauce, and coffee stains before he could handle it.
>
> >> Now, come up with some more whines and complaints.
> > >
> > > There are no complaints, Larry - just a difference in style.
>
> I'm a trained manager, and I tend to think like one. I also have
> a formal education in personnel management. Therefore, I see
> the value in developing "human resources," like ARES team
> members, by giving them equal exposure and experience, as
> opposed to permitting the same old people to do the same job
> only because "that's the way it's always been." I don't know,
> maybe my expectations aren't realistic, considering the
> "amateur" nature of what we do. But I see no reason why that
> should be true.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2261. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 09:13:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9E1186E.C225%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 54078

"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
>
> No, Kim, actually, the way I was treated most
> times I tried to participate in ARES ended up
> making me a "Don't Wannabe." Unfortunately,
> my experiences are not unique, either -- and
> THAT's the problem here.

Oh, come on, Larry. That sounds like an excuse to ease your conscious over your own lack of involvement in public service related activities. If you really wanted to do something in that regard, you darn sure wouldn't let something as trivial as someone hogging a microphone stop you.

Besides, on a more humorous note, the lack of a microphone shouldn't bother a CW freak like you at all. Instead, you could sit beside the fellow with the microphone and just tap out the messages on the tabletop with your finger.

By the way, I noticed you've picked up a vanity callsign. Didn't you use to rant against vanity callsigns?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2262. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 13:50:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021027085023.01187.00000783@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54079

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/27/02 1:18 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021027021805.23768.00000173@mb-md.aol.com>

>> If you TRULY want to be active in some aspect of public service,well,
>>it's
>>out there. So you're former USAF MARS? Civil Air Patrol's communications
>>system can always use persons skilled in military type comms.
>
>Problem with that is CAP in these parts is becoming a thing of the past.

More lack of attention to current events and details, Larry.

First trivia Question: Other than a few medical helicopters, what was the only civil agency allowed to operate during the shut-down period status post 9/11?

Second trivia question: Which civil agency was called upon by FEMA and the NY EMA to fly post-incident damage assessment at WTC?

Third trivia question, What civil agency flew suppost missions from as far away as Georgia and Illinois to bring SAR dogs and other disaster relief materials to WTC?

(Hint...Hint...All three have the same answer..."Jeopardy" 60 second jingle now playing...)

>> A bit less "military" but just as anxious to accept and utilize
>>competent
>>help is the United States Coast Guard Auxiliary. Although most of thier
>>communications are on the Marine band, they do have their own repeaters in
>>places and must conduct air-to-ship communications. And considering your
>>proximity to the Atlantic, a bit more likely to be active.
>
>The local Coast Guard station was closed a couple of years ago, and the
>area of responsibility moved elsewhere.

Excuses, Larry. Excuses. CG Auxiliary Flotillas, like CAP squadrons, are NOT dependent upon a federal DoD facility for a place to operate from.

>>By the way...The USCGAux was just awarded the USCG's CG Achivement
>Medal
>>for all hands who were active during the post-9/11 period. It's the only
>>active
>>"civilian" auxiliary to be awarded an active duty award for it's service.
>>I'd

>>say that's "recognition", which is what you seem to be looking for.
>
>Except that I'm not necessarily looking for recognition, which has been
>my whole point. My "problem" is/was with those who wanted to hog the
>spotlight for themselves. All I wanted was to have something to do
>whenever I showed up.

The point was that the CG Aux is very active and mission-oriented. As for having something to do, well...you've been given options and all YOU have given is excuses as to why one after the other "won't work".

>>I intimately know CAP's HF nets, and am not aware if USCGAux has any,
>>but
>>I am sure you'd be welcomed in either.
>
>A problem there is I'm not an HF phone operator, due to my low-profile
>station conditions.

So who said you had to use YOUR station, Larry? CG Aux Flotillas and CAP units DO have their own stations, Larry....

>Steve, I'm not claiming "victim status."

There are some things you don't have to "claim" in order to manifest yourself in them. You've done a better than average job of putting yourself at the bottom of the pecking order here, Larry.

>You're too wrapped up in K3LT-bashing
>to see the point I've been making. Leave the bashing to Kim -- at least
>I don't have to bother myself responding to her.

Larry, outside of this, have we ever really "bashed" together? Occassionally disagreed, yes. THIS time I think you are wrong....WAAAAAAY wrong, and your own words support my contention.

As for Kim...well...I know love when I see it! You remind me of an aunt and uncle I had who could never say a civil word to each other, but when she died from a stroke, he was right behind her...He cried for 30 days straight when she checked out.

>You're still deserving
>of my time, so let's make better use of it, shall we?

I'm glad you think so. Shall I send you the addresses of local CAP units and CG Aux flotilllas to see if you can find a program you like?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2263. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 14:04:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021027090413.01187.00000785@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54080

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/27/02 1:18 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021027021804.23768.00000172@mb-md.aol.com>

>> On the other hand, ex-military NCO's are also often pig-headed,
>>opinionated, judgmental, overly-rigid, and somewhat zealous.
>
>If, by that, you mean that we want to do things the right way, getting
>the right results, and making the most efficient use of our resources,
>then I'll have to say I resemble that remark -- since I was accused of
>all of the above at different times.

On this I have to back Larry 110%.

All too often military NCO's are envisioned as either dictatorial
sado-masochists swaggering up and down long rows of scared stiff recruits, or
are enviosned as under educated officer-wannabes who couldn't hack the
education.

The facts are that Armed Forces NCOs in ALL branches are held to
extrememly high standards. We are required, in most cases, to have a far wider
mastery of our specialties than the oficers appointed over us, and we are fully
expected to be able to function without those officers when the need arises.

It was due in part to that characteristic of individual functionality that
made the United States Armed Forces the lynchpin in the west's longevity over
the Warsaw Pact.

>Doesn't matter, he'll still find a way to snatch it away from whoever
>tries to pick it up.

Snip to.......

>Well, to do that, he'd need a crowbar to pry it out of the 350-lb.
>gorilla's hands, and some Formula 409 to clean off the donut jelly,
>pizza sauce, and coffee stains before he could handle it.

Sounds like you have one particular 350 pound gorilla, Larry, NOT the
entire ARES organization in particular or public service communications in
general in mind......so let's not continue to characterize them as such, eh...?

73

Steve, K4YZ

Steve, K4YZ

2264. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 14:06:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021027090613.01187.00000786@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54081

>Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
>From: "QRP" HalfWatt@Anon.com
>Date: 10/27/02 1:50 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <apg5q7$7uv$0@pita.alt.net>

>Geeze..this guy is writing a darn novel!
>Like we are interested?

Anonymous Troll,

Obviously some people are, or the thread would not have not persisted as long as it has.

Now...Preparation-H yourself, OK?

Steve

2265. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 15:13:42 UTC
From: Smoker 117 <smoker117@freeband28210.net>
Message-ID: <apgvq9$164$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh they were there this year all right, but you had to
know where to look. The information was being put out
on channel 40 and channel 83 (28210khz). Come on down
and standby on channel 83 (28210khz) lower side, and I
can tell you a dozen places near you where to buy stuff.
hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahah

Smoker 117

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:uGudnUxst__29iagXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210251454.5fcf8957@posting.google.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:<GbycnYmGzcAfcSigXTWcoA@comcast.com>...
> > > "Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
> > > news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > BULL! He was there again this year,
> > >
> > > I was there and the amps etc. weren't. I even mentioned it to the
> > > others Hams in my group who also noticed the same thing. And if
> you
> > > were there what did Yeasu have swiming in the fish tank?
> > >
> >
> > You mean the VX7?
>
> You mean the TWO VX7's right? It was interesting to see them light up
> when you key up on the frequency they were set on, while under water.
>
> > You and your friends must have very selective sight!
>
> They disappeared becasue RH was paying a visit to the Hamvention the
> year before, and the amp kit vendor got told they better not show up
> again, the kits, by the field agents. All one had to do was stop by
> their table and ask them about their visit from the FCC. Several
> people wanted a kit and wanted to know why they weren't there any
> more. There may have been other amps elsewhere, but they were NOT in
> the tent area anymore. Even some of the CB shops with tables had their
> "questionable" wears gone that year too. Seems like the word got out,
> and nobody wanted to get busted.
>
>

> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>

2266. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 15:17:40 UTC
From: Debunker <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DBC0394.AE927F8C@believeit.com>
Source record: 54083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

(Crossposted, but not by me)

Smoker 117 wrote:
>
> Oh they were there this year all right, but you had to
> know where to look. The information was being put out
> on channel 40 and channel 83 (28210khz). Come on down
> and standby on channel 83 (28210khz) lower side, and I
> can tell you a dozen places near you where to buy stuff.
> hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahah

Channel 83 is 27.835khz.

2267. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 15:31:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aph0sm$s6r$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54084

Couldn't afford a real computer eh LLLLLLOOOOOYYYYYDDDDD ???

Oh then if you don't get bugs like that....what is all this bitching about
you flippin jerk ??

You must be the most insecure goof I've ever met. Big belly, bald head.
Supports the likes of the BARF.

WHAT A FLIPPIN LOOOOOOOSSSSSSER.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lllllllloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:apfgj2$27p$1@taranews.net...
> On Sat, 26 Oct 2002 20:47:15 -0500, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspring.com>
wrote:
>
> > Oh and BTW Toid.....if your such a computer genius why didn't you have
a
> > virus program to stop it in you machine before it did any damage? You
do
> > have a virus program don't you LLLLLLLOOOOOOYYYYYYYDDDD?
>
> I dont need one Daaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaan. I use Irix most of
> the time, and it doesnt get things like bugbear. you do know what
> Irix is, dont you Daaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaan? it is unix.
>
> Computer Specialists and other experts like me use unix and linux
> instead of inferior systems like windows. maybe you could switch to a
> Mac and run a good OS like OS X. that's unix too and Apple knows that
> unix rules and so they switched to it and made it easy for pple like
> you to use unix.

2268. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 15:36:33 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021027103633.20861.00000572@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54085

No code-tech may very well rule. For those that want to gain technical competency, may not have bothered with Morse Code.

Many that did, may have just memorized the questions towards Extra.

The higher licence class should actually mean those individuals achieved a higher level of competency.

We have seen rationalizations and meaning stripped away in our educational system, and the workplace. Why should amateur radio be any different?

2269. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 15:44:56 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uro2r2esgie9f2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54086

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:B9E1186E.C225%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> "Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
> >
> > No, Kim, actually, the way I was treated most
> > times I tried to participate in ARES ended up
> > making me a "Don't Wannabe." Unfortunately,
> > my experiences are not unique, either -- and
> > THAT's the problem here.
>
>
> Oh, come on, Larry. That sounds like an excuse to ease your conscious
over
> your own lack of involvement in public service related activities. If you
> really wanted to do something in that regard, you darn sure wouldn't let
> something as trivial as someone hogging a microphone stop you.
>
> Besides, on a more humorous note, the lack of a microphone shouldn't
> bother a CW freak like you at all. Instead, you could sit beside the
fellow
> with the microphone and just tap out the messages on the tabletop with
your
> finger.
>
> By the way, I noticed you've picked up a vanity callsign. Didn't you use
> to rant against vanity callsigns?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

In my most humble opinion, and re: Larry's post regarding his "successes"
while in the military, are not attributable at all to the nature and
character of good leadership. My impression, given Larry's nature,
psychology, and character in this newsgroup [which is the only basis I have
from which to form my impression] is that, if Larry succeeded with any
project he was given, it was due to his single-handedly achieving it--not
because he inspired any group or provided good mentoring. And, nearly
anyone who has a grasp of the task at hand can achieve high success on their
own--there is no magic in that. Hell, in the diatribe itself, he mentions
that it was "he" that achieved the goals and successes. He never mentions
nor gives credit to any team or anyone else but him.

If Larry acts in real life the way he does in this newsgroup, I can assure you that no one would be *glad* to be working with him on anything, nor would anyone look to Larry as any kind of inspirational person. Larry's claim to having expertise in personnel management is a good example of why booksense is no more than someone's ability to read well. Someone forgot to teach Larry the people skills it takes to be a real leader.

Kim W5TIT

2270. Re: No Code techs RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 16:29:56 UTC
From: Dog Adair <n8wwm@n8wwm.nuts>
Message-ID: <v05orukuqvghhs42rbnmbht9m3mur5jv85@4ax.com>
Source record: 54087

Thats right. lelnad and me discussed it at our circle jerk.

On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 15:24:25 -0600, "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP"
<lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote:

>In a few years, the code will be dropped, and HF for all will be achieved!
>
>We no-code techs are tired of the constant degrading of our license status.

2271. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 17:28:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC224D.F5DC4056@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54089

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> In actuality, it was more of a problem with a "they were superior"
> attitude.

Year right.

2272. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 17:34:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC23B2.F2093FAE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54090

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> I thank you for those observations. As it happens, I consider myself to
> have earned my entire pension in my first two years I was stationed in Germany,
> where I did all of the above, and much more. Moreover throughout the
> rest of my career, I was faced with taking over management of programs
> which needed to be "put back on track" due to the ineptitude of my
> predecesors.

No sir Larry, you sure don't have any superiority complex....NOT!

> So much, in fact, that in my second tour in Germany my
> Commander made me NCOIC of his Squadron Management Staff in order
> to get our Disaster Preparedness programs and our Ancillary Training
> program back up to Air Force and AMC (then MAC) standards. I succeeded
> in doing so, and "turned around" our programs to the point where we went
> from "Marginal" to "Outstanding" ratings in command-level inspections.
> Then I went to the Air Force Academy and did the same thing to sort out
> the entire Passenger Transportation department, which, before I got there,
> didn't even have a regulation file plan, due to the indifference of the low-
> level civilians who were "managing" the program before I got there.

Yada, yada, yada,

2273. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 17:46:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC2686.77F627B5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54091

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> In my most humble opinion, and re: Larry's post regarding his "successes"
> while in the military, are not attributable at all to the nature and
> character of good leadership. My impression, given Larry's nature,
> psychology, and character in this newsgroup [which is the only basis I have
> from which to form my impression] is that, if Larry succeeded with any
> project he was given, it was due to his single-handedly achieving it--not
> because he inspired any group or provided good mentoring. And, nearly
> anyone who has a grasp of the task at hand can achieve high success on their
> own--there is no magic in that. Hell, in the diatribe itself, he mentions
> that it was "he" that achieved the goals and successes. He never mentions
> nor gives credit to any team or anyone else but him.
>
> If Larry acts in real life the way he does in this newsgroup, I can assure
> you that no one would be *glad* to be working with him on anything, nor
> would anyone look to Larry as any kind of inspirational person. Larry's
> claim to having expertise in personnel management is a good example of why
> booksense is no more than someone's ability to read well. Someone forgot to
> teach Larry the people skills it takes to be a real leader.
>
> Kim W5TIT

I think you might have hit upon the truth Kim. It is always Larry
on his own who achieves the goal, everyone else involved, in his
opinion, are incompetent idiots and he along is the only one who
can accomplish the goal. He obviously is not a team player, it is
all me, me, me, so no wonder he doesn't fit in where it takes
teamwork to accomplish something. In the Air Force he was in a
position to give orders that others had to take, like it or not,
but when it comes to ham radio emergency services, Larry can't
give all the orders, would possibly be expected to even take a few
orders, and if he can't be the one to give all the orders then he
won't play.
Frankly ham radio emergency services haven't lost anything by
having Larry not participate.

2274. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 18:37:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021027133732.28561.00000220@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 54088

In article <B9E1186E.C225%stewart@sc.rr.com>, Dwight Stewart
<stewart@sc.rr.com> writes:

>"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
>>
>> No, Kim, actually, the way I was treated most
>> times I tried to participate in ARES ended up
>> making me a "Don't Wannabe." Unfortunately,
>> my experiences are not unique, either -- and
>> THAT's the problem here.
>
>
> Oh, come on, Larry. That sounds like an excuse to ease your conscious over
>your own lack of involvement in public service related activities. If you
>really wanted to do something in that regard, you darn sure wouldn't let
>something as trivial as someone hogging a microphone stop you.

Dwight:

I assure you there's a lot more to it than that, but sometimes, that's all
it takes.

> Besides, on a more humorous note, the lack of a microphone shouldn't
>bother a CW freak like you at all. Instead, you could sit beside the fellow
>with the microphone and just tap out the messages on the tabletop with your
>finger.

Don't look now, Dwight, but this isn't about CW.

> By the way, I noticed you've picked up a vanity callsign. Didn't you use
>to rant against vanity callsigns?

I'm glad you finally noticed the Vanity Call Sign I've held since 1997. What
I probably ranted against was No-code Techs getting old-timey sounding
Vanity Callsigns or people getting out-of-district call signs, both of which I
think are undesirable consequences of the Vanity Call Sign program.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2275. A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 19:52:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urogvk1e8egpe8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I suggest the hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and siphon off 20 gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel! Here are the arguments.
1) driver - I paid for the fuel
1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the legal equipment to enjoy my hobby.
2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
3) driver - you're stealing!
3) ham - and you are doing what?

This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what they do - go help yourselves, they don't care!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021024171314.538$Is@newsreader.com...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> > news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>... (snip)
> >
> >> Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> Have any truckers respond?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

2276. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 20:09:13 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`>
Message-ID: <lthoru8fdv7bkkrdpok1bp73dachoef4eh@4ax.com>
Source record: 54093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

On Sun, 27 Oct 2002 14:52:15 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> was blabbling:

...Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I
suggest the
...hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and
siphon off 20
...gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel!
Here are
...the arguments.
...1) driver - I paid for the fuel
...1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the
legal
...equipment to enjoy my hobby.
...2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
...2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
...3) driver - you're stealing!
...3) ham - and you are doing what?
...
...This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what
they do - go
...help yourselves, they don't care!
...
...73 from Rochester, NY
...Jim

Of course, where I'm from, doing this sort of thing, would get your
ass shot and killed.

not very smart.

73

2277. Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 21:11:59 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210271311.543f8dae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54094

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210250744.4df5a8fa@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021024180359.27637.00000143@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT
> > >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> > >Date: 10/24/02 9:53 AM Central Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <20021024105324.28561.00000005@mb-mv.aol.com>
> > >
> > >In article <f45722ac.0210231456.1cb50512@posting.google.com>,
> > >brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> > >Here we are, about two decades past the invention of packet radio,
> > >and I still can't send a packet radio message, carried entirely on an
> > >amateur radio RF backbone, across this country -- in any amount
> > >of time. I call this a dismal failure of the leadership of the American
> > >Radio RELAY League.
>
> Brainiac strikes again, "He tightened all the screws . ." Idiot.

Peabrain Kelly, what are you bitchin about? Steve trimmed out my
comments.

> Addressing Brainiac's inane post (another one) the reason packet never
> spanned the whole country is based in geography and population
> demographics, had absolutely nothing to do with "organization" or some
> idiotic mythical "failure" on the part of the ARRL. Or by a lack of
> participation in geographic areas where 2m packet is a sustainable
> mode. Sustainability is the whole trick and the only trick.

Dubya 3, back up, pull your head out. Pretend for a moment you're not
a jerk. I never said anything about packet spanning the whole
country. You're bitchin at the wrong guy again.

2278. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 22:27:57 UTC
From: Deos <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apj7e5$8eh$4@nic.grnet.gr>
Source record: 54111
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

do i smell spam?

--
http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:194387a3.0210171838.1dfed95c@posting.google.com...
> Hey Lou, since your charts that allow "for modification to 10M or
> other freqs." are obviously intended for use by licensed hams, you
> might get more business if you posted this in ham newsgroups. Doncha
> think?
>
> -A
>
> Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:<kepupughq0glhbpkil8lhah6af2fg4kudk@4ax.com>...
> > We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> > (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> > mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> > which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> > channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
> >
> > Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
> >
> > We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> > accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> > just can't find anywhere else!
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> > from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> > VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
> >
> > *
> > In case you didn't already know...
> >
> > Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> > plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> > modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> > bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> > increase your power, range, and privacy.
> >
> > For product questions or additional details

> > on what we offer, please email us directly at:
> >
> > info@cbcintl.com
> >
> >
> > 73,
> > Lou Franklin--President
> > K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> > **********
> > CBC International
> > P.O. Box 30655
> > Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> >
> > TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> > 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> > Local: (520) 298-7980
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > Email: info@cbcintl.com

2279. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 00:08:46 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urp00l9p0sbvfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Of course. Now at least you understand how the hams feel. No difference.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr"
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`> wrote in
message news:1thoru8fdv7bkkrdpok1bp73dachoef4eh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Oct 2002 14:52:15 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> was blabbling:
>
> ...Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I
> suggest the
> ...hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and
> siphon off 20
> ...gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel!
> Here are
> ...the arguments.
> ...1) driver - I paid for the fuel
> ...1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the
> legal
> ...equipment to enjoy my hobby.
> ...2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
> ...2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
> ...3) driver - you're stealing!
> ...3) ham - and you are doing what?
> ...
> ...This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what
> they do - go
> ...help yourselves, they don't care!
> ...
> ...73 from Rochester, NY
> ...Jim
>
>
> Of course, where I'm from, doing this sort of thing, would get your
> ass shot and killed.
>
> not very smart.
>
> 73
>
>

2280. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 01:25:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <api3lk$4s0$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Id be willing to bet the bootleggers had some serious trouble operating above 28.3 this weekend...it was wall to wall with dx and stateside contesters. Heard a couple feeble attempts at a AM qso by a couple of truckers around 28.320 or so. They didn't last long.

This is what we need guys and gals...Utilize ten meters and dump on these fools.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:urp00l9p0sbvfa@corp.supernews.com...
> Of course. Now at least you understand how the hams feel. No difference.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr"
> <48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`> wrote in
> message news:lthoru8fdv7bkkrdpok1bp73dachoef4eh@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 27 Oct 2002 14:52:15 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
> > <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> was blabbling:
> >
> > ...Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I
> > suggest the
> > ...hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and
> > siphon off 20
> > ...gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel!
> > Here are
> > ...the arguments.
> > ...1) driver - I paid for the fuel
> > ...1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the
> > legal
> > ...equipment to enjoy my hobby.
> > ...2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
> > ...2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
> > ...3) driver - you're stealing!
> > ...3) ham - and you are doing what?
> > ...
> > ...This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what
> > they do - go

> > ...help yourselves, they don't care!
> > ...
> > ...73 from Rochester, NY
> > ...Jim
> >
> >
> > Of course, where I'm from, doing this sort of thing, would get your
> > ass shot and killed.
> >
> > not very smart.
> >
> > 73
> >
> >
>
>

2281. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 02:03:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC9AF5.5DF6D284@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54104

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <urogvk1e8egpe8@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> writes:
>
> >Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I suggest the
> >hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and siphon off 20
> >gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel! Here are
> >the arguments.
> >1) driver - I paid for the fuel
> >1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the legal
> >equipment to enjoy my hobby.
> >2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
> >2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
> >3) driver - you're stealing!
> >3) ham - and you are doing what?
> >
> >This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what they do - go
> >help yourselves, they don't care!
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
>
> Jim:
>
> How much do you wanna bet that stealing diesel fuel from trucks on
> the Thruway will cause you to wind up as the girlfriend of some big
> African-American guy in Dannemora State Prison a lot faster than
> any trucker bootlegging on 10-meters?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

He was illustrating a point Larry. Does it have to be spelled out
for you?

2282. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 02:19:35 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <X81v9.482$tc5.127877364@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
> using 10 meters illegally talk/

<SIGH> & your point is?

Soooooooo... you rocket scientist's have come to the brilliant conclusion
that because this person or the handful of people who have been taped used
those freq's spoke like they did.......means that all drivers do?

That'd be like me saying that since one of you big strapper radio fella's
was stupid enough that while putting up your antenna you got fried..... all
of you fella's are just down right stupid.... right?

Jerry The Troll made no reference what-so-ever to _ANY_ recorded message or
anything else that makes you people sprout wood, when he posted his
bullshit. He was trying to create some kind of come back when someone summed
him up as a crybaby.

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

2283. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 02:29:39 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <ni1v9.485$_d5.127967355@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> I didn't make up the way drivers talk on a CB. All you have to do is
> listen.

Hey ditz, you just did it again..... not all drivers talk like that.

Do you have any kind of life.....& what's this sexual infatuation with
Cheetah that you have?

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

2284. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 02:55:02 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <aG1v9.491$XG5.129835255@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uromlocff9mi99@corp.supernews.com...
> Two points.
>
> 1) go check out the link. No, not all truck drivers are like this, but
> listen to the conversation. There is a problem.

Finally......

> 2) unlike a lot of folks, Jerry isn't afraid to use his real name or
e-mail
> address.

And this is relevant .... how?

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

2285. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 03:06:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urpadqnrobvof6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

No, of course not all hams nor truckers are whatever. In fact, the post I made earlier concerning siphoning fuel from truckers was harsh (and drew exactly the response I expected) - the problem is the easy availability of the radios. Kinda like the gun laws. Obviously, we have the right to bear arms, but when you get a fruitcake like the sniper, it will tend to bring out a lot of harsh words. The fact is that 10 meters is an international amateur band and more than a few hams don't care for intruders. Funny, no one wants to build export radios for 88 - 108 MHz FM. Gee, nice and clear up there too. I wonder why they don't ....

73 from Rochester, NY

Jim

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message news:X81v9.482$tc5.127877364@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
> > using 10 meters illegally talk/
>
> <SIGH> & your point is?
>
> Soooooo... you rocket scientist's have come to the brilliant conclusion
> that because this person or the handful of people who have been taped used
> those freq's spoke like they did.......means that all drivers do?
>
> That'd be like me saying that since one of you big strapper radio fella's
> was stupid enough that while putting up your antenna you got fried..... all
> of you fella's are just down right stupid.... right?
>
> Jerry The Troll made no reference what-so-ever to _ANY_ recorded message or
> anything else that makes you people sprout wood, when he posted his
> bullshit. He was trying to create some kind of come back when someone summed
> him up as a crybaby.
>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>

>
>

2286. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 03:17:52 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <urpbedcfbs3p91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54101

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021027085023.01187.00000783@mb-mk.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >You're too wrapped up in K3LT-bashing
> >to see the point I've been making. Leave the bashing to Kim -- at least
> >I don't have to bother myself responding to her.
>
> Larry, outside of this, have we ever really "bashed" together?
> Occassionally disagreed, yes. THIS time I think you are wrong....WAAAAAAY
> wrong, and your own words support my contention.
>
> As for Kim...well...I know love when I see it! You remind me of an
aunt
> and uncle I had who could never say a civil word to each other, but when
she
> died from a stroke, he was right behind her...He cried for 30 days
straight
> when she checked out.
>

STEVE!!! Ya think?! Larry?! In love? With moi?! Hardeeharhar...

;) Kim W5TIT

2287. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 03:39:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021027223928.10597.00000243@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54102

In article <urogvk1e8egpe8@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> writes:

>Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I suggest the
>hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and siphon off 20
>gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel! Here are
>the arguments.
>1) driver - I paid for the fuel
>1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the legal
>equipment to enjoy my hobby.
>2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
>2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
>3) driver - you're stealing!
>3) ham - and you are doing what?
>
>This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what they do - go
>help yourselves, they don't care!
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Jim:

How much do you wanna bet that stealing diesel fuel from trucks on
the Thruway will cause you to wind up as the girlfriend of some big
African-American guy in Dannemora State Prison a lot faster than
any trucker bootlegging on 10-meters?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2288. The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 03:39:29 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021027223929.10597.00000244@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54103

In article <f45722ac.0210271311.543f8dae@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>
>> Addressing Brainiac's inane post (another one) the reason packet never
>> spanned the whole country is based in geography and population
>> demographics, had absolutely nothing to do with "organization" or some
>> idiotic mythical "failure" on the part of the ARRL. Or by a lack of
>> participation in geographic areas where 2m packet is a sustainable
>> mode. Sustainability is the whole trick and the only trick.
>
>Dubya 3, back up, pull your head out. Pretend for a moment you're not
>a jerk. I never said anything about packet spanning the whole
>country. You're bitchin at the wrong guy again.

Brian:

Jump back, Jack! I don't recall blaming you, personally, for the failure of
the Packet Radio promise made by the No-Coders in the 80's and 90's.
However, it did happen. Any mention of CW would cause the unbathed,
pony-tailed burnouts with the little round eyeglasses to start lecturing how
Packet Radio would be the salvation of Ham Radio and carry Ham Radio
"into the 21st Century." Well, here we are, and Packet Radio isn't even
on the radar screen anymore. I keep seeing TNC's being advertised,
but they must be being used strictly for local work and DX Cluster,
etc.

There are plenty of ways to create and sustain a Packet Radio backbone
which could, theoretically, span the country in all directions. However,
creating it would require genuine leadership from the American Radio
RELAY (there's that inconvenient word in all caps again!) League,
starting at HQ and followed through by the Field Organization. It's not
too late to get the ball rolling on something like this. Anyone interested?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2289. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 04:14:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urpee19t1t5u81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Relevant? My point was 1) there is a problem. Yes, some truckers and some radio shops have been popped.

2) the fact that some have been busted tells some of us there is a problem. Jerry just gets vocal about it, but doesn't spam with some of the sexual innuendo that the anonymous posters do. At least give him credit for saying what he thinks without hiding his identity.

I note one gentleman didn't care much for my idea of siphoning fuel from trucks. Can't say that I blame him, but you can't blame Jerry either for being vocal about siphoning frequencies.

To say that all truckers are doing this is just as stupid as saying all CBers run illegal frequencies/radios. Not true. The point is that once you hit 28.000, there are going to be some folks that get upset. As I suggested earlier, try running a couple of hundred watts between 88 and 108 MHz on FM. A lot of nice and clear spots up there, plus the antennas are smaller (plus you can get a gain antenna). Somehow I think you'd get some other folks upset if you did. Unfortunately, when CB was created back in the 50s, UHF radios were **very** expensive. 30 MHz was about as high as one could build a relatively inexpensive radio with any kind of performance and 27 MHz became the place to go - along with F layer propogation at times during the sunspot cycle. Beyond that, sporadic E occurs quite a bit as well. None of the flames would be occuring if CB was up on high band VHF or UHF. MURS can offer good communications essentially without interference and likely offer more local range than a stock cb can; unfortunately, if all of the cb community tries to move there, there won't be enough channels. That said, 10 meters is NOT an extension of the cb band.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message news:aG1v9.491$XG5.129835255@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uromlocff9mi99@corp.supernews.com...
> > Two points.
> >
> > 1) go check out the link. No, not all truck drivers are like this, but
> > listen to the conversation. There is a problem.
>
> Finally......
>
> > 2) unlike a lot of folks, Jerry isn't afraid to use his real name or
> e-mail

> > address.
>
> And this is relevant ..... how?
>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>
>
>

2290. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 04:18:24 UTC
From: kyle171@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11112-3DBCBA90-841@storefull-2154.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 54107

I`m a trucker and I use 10 meters! I`m usually gone for weeks at a time. The first thing I do when I leave my company`s grounds is to hook up my Uniden 2510 and swap their cb antenna with my 10 meter cut antenna. This process takes no more than 5 minutes and I put everything back like it`s supposed to before I return. Considering their is two cb antenna`s on my truck I keep that one that`s left hooked up to my cb so I may use the cb when I need too. Same thing goes with my vhf & uhf radio. This may be against company policy but it is not illegal considering I possess a general class ham radio license. There is no way my company can catch me in the act unless I crash and burn, if that happened it would be to late to fire me, I would be dead. I have had heard illegal transmissions on 10 meters but from what I heard it was from Mexican truck drivers, wait till NAFTA opens the borders to them. Now your really gonna hear alot of illegal transmissions!

2291. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 04:39:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@BARFchiefOFcommunications.net>
Message-ID: <apif0q$gl5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Leave Oxendine alone. Riley has been farting him off,
and it has the boy aggravated for lack of attention.

Lloyd
BARF 14313
Computer Specialist
A+ C+++ Network Certified
BARF Chief of Communcations

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
news:ni1v9.485$_d5.127967355@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > I didn't make up the way drivers talk on a CB. All you have to do is
> > listen.
>
> Hey ditz, you just did it again..... not all drivers talk like that.
>
> Do you have any kind of life.....& what's this sexual infatuation with
> Cheetah that you have?
>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>
>

2292. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 04:54:02 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <Kp3v9.520$Pg7.136335496@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:urpee19t1t5u81@corp.supernews.com...
> Relevant? My point was 1) there is a problem. Yes, some truckers and
some
> radio shops have been popped.

My response of being relevant was to your second statement, I had no beef
with your first statement, you addressed the reason of my post....... not
ALL drivers talk alike.

My statement still stands..... his intentions may be good..... but he's
still an ass !

> 2) the fact that some have been busted tells some of us there is a
problem.
> Jerry just gets vocal about it, but doesn't spam with some of the sexual
> innuendo that the anonymous posters do. At least give him credit for
saying
> what he thinks without hiding his identity.

How does posting one's full name generate credibility?
><snip>

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

2293. ARNewsline 1315 - Oct 25 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 05:39:04 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021028003904.28678.00001348@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 54109

Amateur Radio Newsline™ #1315 – October 25 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline™ report number 1315 with a release date of Friday, October 25 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. A ham radio operator may have played a part in the apprehension of the DC sniper suspects, the U-K says no more new operators in its 5 megs experiment and the ARRL says no to unlicensed visitors sharing a United States ham radio band. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1315 coming your way right now.

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO HELPS NAB THE DC SNIPER SUSPECTS

A ham radio operators may have played a role in the apprehension of two men wanted in connection with the sniper shootings in the Washington DC area. ABC News interviewed the trucker who called the police to report he had spotted the two suspects. When asked how he heard about the description of the vehicle, his response was that he heard it while listening to Bozo on WLW radio

Now, Chip Margelli, K7JA tell amateur Radio Newsline that Bozo in real life is Dale Sommers; callsign WB6OM. Sommers hosts a very popular late night trucker's show on the 700 Kilohertz clear channel station. His show is mainly music and information of interest to long-haul truck drivers. The description of the wanted men was just that. As a result the pair are in custody thanks to a trucker who was paying attention to what WB6OM had to say as “Truckin Bozo” over WLW in Cincinnati. (K7JA)

**

INTERNATIONAL: NEW PERMITS FOR THE UK 5 MHZ EXPERIMENT GOING ON HOLD

What happens when a propagation experiment gets a bit to popular? If you are a telecommunications regulator in the United Kingdom you might be inclined to temporarily suspended issuing further permits for hams to take part. And that’s what’s about to happen in regard to the United Kingdom’s 5 Megs experiment. From Nottingham England, here’s Jeramy Boot, G4NJH:

--
The Radiocommunications Agency says that applications for 5-MHz band experimental Notices of Variation or NoV’s have far exceeded their expectations. The R.A. now considers that the risk of congestion on the five spot frequencies allocated may be detrimental to the overall success of the experiment.

Accordingly, the RA has decided not to issue any further NoV’s for applications received after the 31st of October. The position will be kept

under review and occupancy monitoring of the frequencies will be carried out. It is hoped that ollowing this exercise - more NoV's could be issued, or a numerical limit to the number of NoVs can be set based on usage and congestion. It is likely that an announcement can be made later this year for action early in the new year.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

--

The United Kingdom 5 MHz experimental band was made available to that nations individual Full Class A hams by means of a Notice of Variation issued last July. The five 3kHz-wide frequency allocations are permitted for the purposes of antenna and propagation experiments. The Radio Society of Great Britain is in charge of the overall management of the project. (RSGB)

**

INTERNATIONAL: AN IARU UPDATE FROM PRESIDENT LARRY PRICE W4RA

Still in the international arena, we have a follow up to last weeks story on the International Amateur Radio Union. With it, here's our producer Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF:

--

We received a very nice note from International Amateur Radio Union President Larry Price, W4RA, following last weeks story about the recent meeting in Morocco. According to President Price, the news isn't the IARU observer status at Plenipotentiary Conferences. He tells Newsline that IARU has had this status since the 1998 Conference in Minneapolis. At that time the International Telecommunications Union Convention rules were modified to give the same privileges at Plenipotentiary Conferences as IARU already had at Radio Conferences.

President Price says that the real news was the special station, CN8UIT set up at the conference center in Marrakech. He says that this was a follow on from a similar station set up by the ARRL in Minneapolis and one eight years ago in Kyoto, Japan from the JARL.

Buy the way, the CN8UIT call is based on the French pronunciation of the International Telecommunications Union. For those of you unaware, the International Amateur Radio Union was founded in 1925 specifically to represent our service at international conferences. It has done so -- with great success -- ever since.

With a thank-you to IARU President Larry Price, W4RA, for that neat note, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--

In his note to us President Price says that the IARU has taken part in every major conference in modern years. Also that it will be there for all of us at WRC 2003 in Geneva Switzerland. (ARNewsline™)

**

INTERNATIONAL: ARRL ASKS FCC TO DENY US USE OF EUROPEAN/UK FRS-TYPE RADIOS ON 70 cm

The ARRL is saying no to visitors using unlicensed radios in our ham bands. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has more:

--
The ARRL has asked the FCC to deny a petition that would set aside spectrum in the 70-cm ham band for non ham use. This, for use by visitors from Europe and the United Kingdom to use their Personal Mobile Radio transceivers while in the U-S.

According to the ARRL Letter, the request was filed by Dr. Michael Trahos, KB4PGC of Alexandria Virginia. It asks that European PMR 446 type handie-talkies be allowed to operate license free while overseas travelers were in United States jurisdiction. PMR 446 hand-helds are similar to the US Family Radio Service transceivers but operate on eight channels between 446.0 and 446 .1 MHz. at up to a half watt out.

In his Petition, Trahos said that existing Part 97 Amateur Service rules precluding the use of PMR 446 radios in the US were essentially unenforceable and that granting his petition would have minimal impact on existing amateur operations. The ARRL countered by saying that there are obvious enforcement problems associated with the Petition. It says that putting the unlicensed users on a ham band is a formula for serious interference problems to be created.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Im Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.
--

As an alternative, the ARRL recommends that European and UK visitors simply purchase inexpensive FRS transceivers to use during visits to the United States. (ARRL)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the N5IUF repeater serving the Lone Star State from Dallas Texas.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO LAW: FCC REJECTS AMATEUR'S PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION ON CC&R ISSUE

The FCC has turned down a Petition for Reconsideration filed by a Florida amateur. This, of the Commission's 2001 decision to deny the ARRL's Application for Review in RM-8763. That proceeding concerned the League's effort to have the Commission include privately imposed deed restrictions under PRB-1.

The ARRL says that the FCC dismissed its Application for Review last December 18th. At that time the FCC noted that PRB-1 more than adequately protects federal interest in promoting amateur communications from overly restrictive regulations.

Not long after, W. Lee McVey, W6EM, of Bradenton, Florida, filed his own Petition for Reconsideration Mc Vey claimed that it presented additional evidence that the FCC had not considered in dealing with the ARRL's petition. But the FCC disagreed. It says that McVey offered up no new evidence in the matter and has turned his petition away. (ARRL)

**

ENFORCEMENT: INDONESIA PIRATE UPDATE

An update now to our recent story on the problems being caused by pirate stations in Indonesia. Not only are they making life difficult for hams around the world. The pirates are also playing havoc with domestic Indonesian ham radio communications as well. With more, heres Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB:

--
Many reports covering Indonesian non amateurs using our frequencies in the 40, 20 and 10 metre bands are making their way to the IARUMS. There seems absolutely no control over their working and existence by the local Government.

It is very difficult for licensed Indonesian amateurs to find a clear frequency in the lower edges of 40mb for their authorised cmmunications. Generally the licensed Indonesians are around 7050,7055 and 7060 kHz for their traffic. 7050 kHz frequency, carries CW lessons for the intending future amateurs. Otherwise, the licensed ones, operate in their own nets and you can clearly identify their call signs in between, as per standard practice, though the entire conversations are in Indonesian.

Another frequency regularly used by a SE Asian group for two-way non amateur communications is 14044 J3Eu mode around 0100 UTC every day. IARUMS need the services of those knowing SE Asian languages to identify them, they may be from XV, 3W or XU area.
--

If you are a resident of South-East Asia and heating this newscast, you are requested to watch 14.044 MHz and help identify the unlicensed users. Please send that information to your nations Intruder Watch Coordinator as fast as you can. (Q-News)

**

ENFORCEMENT: PIRATE BOARDCASTING IN SAN DIEGO CA

Back here in the U-S-A, Bob Gonsett’s CGC Communicator reports on an entity calling itself Free Radio San Diego which announced plans to begin broadcasting on on 96.9 MHz.. The transmitter location and parameters are unknown but one person reports being in the town of Carlsbad California and seeing a spray-painted stenciled sign on the concrete walkway promoting Free Radio 96.9. Also, the operator of the station does have a web site at

www.pirate969.org What action the FCC may be planning on this one is unknown. (CGC Communicator)

**

ENFORCEMENT: BE CAREFUL ON 146.52

The has FCC issued and then retracted advisory notices to five Mid-West hams telling them that they were talking to long. This, on the national calling frequency of 146.52 MHz.

The letters said -- and we quote: “The frequency 146.52 MHz is customarily used, and is called for under the band plan, as a simplex calling frequency for Two Meter operation. Numerous communications from your station during the above dates have lasted from nearly half an hour to an hour. While band plans are not mandatory under the Commission’s rules, the Commission depends upon them to minimize the situations in which Commission intervention is required, and they comport with good Amateur practice under our rules. Please review Section 97.101 of the Commission’s rules” -- end quote.

The FCC origionally said that the October 16th letters went out following a review of complaints about the operation of their Amateur stations between September 19th and October 6th. The retraction was issued on Wednesday October 23rd. No reason was given for the withdrawal. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC JUDGE FAVORS SCHOENBOHM'S RETURN TO AMATEUR RADIO

The ARRL reports that an FCC Administrative Law Judge believes the regulatory agency should permit Herbert L. Sahoenbohm to once again hold an Amateur service license. In rendering his decision, Judge Arthur I. Steinberg says that he believes Schoenbohm now appears to hold the requisite character qualifications to be a Commission licensee.

The FCC had designated Schoenbohm's license renewal application for a public hearing. This based on character issues stemming from a 1992 conviction and alleged lack of candor during subsequent FCC hearings on the matter. The former KV4FZ lost his bid to renew his ham ticket in 2000. He applied for a new license in 2001 which lead to the recent recommendation by Judge Steinberg. A final decision on whether or not to re-license Schoenbohm now must be made by the FCC itself. Schoenbohm has also passed an Extra class exam that is also pending. (ARRL, FCC)

**

THE INTERNET: DENIAL OF SERVICE ATTACK THWARTED

What investigators are calling a sophisticated and large-scale assault against the World Wide Web has been thwarted . But not before nine of the thirteen servers that manage global Internet traffic were crippled for a short time on Monday October 21st.

The FBI's National Infrastructure Protection Center said that it was aware of the denial of service attack and is addressing this matter. Service was quickly restored after managers put defensive measure in place bringing the attack to a halt. Most Internet users did not even notice the hour long attack whose origin is still not known. (WebNews)

**

ON THE WEB: TECH NOTES GOES HIGH TECH

You have hears us credit it here on Amateur Radio Newsline. Now, Larry Bloomfield's broadcast industry publication Tech-Notes is available on the World Wide Web in a new easy-to-read format. Larry has adopted HTML scripting which permits him to publish pictures, logos and diagrams. And you will always have lots about digital and high definition television and much more. You can check it out on-line at www.Tech-Notes.TV. (Tech-Notes, CGC)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: PAUL BLUMHARDT, K5RT, JOINS CQ STAFF

Paul Blumhardt, K5RT, has joined the staff of CQ Communications, Inc. as Director of New Business Development. Blumhardt, of Rowlett, Texas, will oversee efforts to broaden the base and the scope of the company's marketing activities.

According to publisher Dick Ross, K2MGA, Blumhardt has actually been part of the CQ "family" for the past three years, serving in a volunteer capacity as Worked All Zones Award Manager. Blunhardt holds an Extra Class amateur license, a commercial FCC General Radio Operator License and degrees in both engineering and business. Among his personal operating achievements are 5-Band Worked All Zones, 5-Band DXCC, 6-Band Worked All States, and #1 DXCC Honor Roll.

As all of you are aware, CQ Communicatoins publishes magazines, books, videos and other materials for the amateur radio and hobby radio fields. They are also a co-corporate underwriter of the annual Young Ham of he Year Award. (CQ)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause)

**

THE WAR ON TERROR: A BANNER AND A LINK

Pieter Wycoff, KG4UPX, says over the Internet that he has found an important tool that every concerned ham should have on his or her personal website. Wycoff says that the Homeland Security Knowledge Database has a banner that can be posted to any website notifying visitors of the current status of alert to

terrorist threats.

The site also contains lots of other information relevant to homeland security and how you can play a part. You can even sign up to receive threat notification updates by e-mail. To learn more on how you can add this feature to your ham radio website, take your web browser to http://www.twotigersonline.com/resources.html.

And for those not aware, right now the nation is under color code Yellow which indicates an elevated level of alert. (Via Internet)

**

CONVENTIONS/HAMFESTS/CONFERENCES: AMSAT IN FT. WORTH IN NOVEMBER

The 20th annual AMSAT Symposium will be held November 8th to the 10th in Fort Worth, TX. The gathering actually kicks off with an Early Arrival Activity on November 7th and ends with the conclusion of the Board of Director's Meeting on the 11th. More information is in cyberspace www.amsat.org. (ANS)

**

SATELLITE NEWS: FCC DECLINES TO APPROVE ECHOSTAR-DIRECTV MERGER

The FCC has declined to approve the transfer of licenses from EchoStar Communications Corporation and the Hughes Electronics Corp. subsidiary DirecTV to form a new business entity. The FCC said that the companies did not demonstrated that approval of the transaction will serve the public interest,convenience, and necessity. The complete story is on the web at www.fcc.gov/Daily_Releases/Daily_Business/2002/db1010/DOC-227263A1.doc (CGC)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: SOYUZ ACCIDENT SOLVED

A Russian state commission has set October 30th as the launch date for a Soyuz rocket carrying a three-person crew to the International Space Station. The decision was made after investigators determined that it was a probably a production glitch rather than faulty design which led to the October 15th crash of a similar launch vehicle.

For a while, it appeared that ham radio operations and everything else on the International Space Station might come to a grinding halt. Russia had threatened to suspend resupply launces following the mishap with the unmanned Soyuz ship which exploded seconds after liftoff, killing one person on the ground and injuring 20 others.

Such a delay would have had a devastating effect of future habitation of the space station. This is because the current emergency evacuation lifeboat vehicle is fast approaching the date on which it must be replaced. Only a Soyuz launcher can carry the replacement lifeboat to the ISS. If the Soyuz launchers had been kept on the ground, operations on the ISS might have been suspended. Thankfully, that wont be the case. (Published news reports)

**

INTERNATIONAL - RUSSIA: HELP LETTER MAY BE PHONY

A electronic letter purportedly from a Russian ham asking for financial assistance may be a phony. Then again, maybe not.

The letter showed up in hams mail boxes and on the numerous Internet news groups beginning on October 18th. Signed by a person calling himself Valentin, the note asks for donations of money and other gear. What has made many suspicious is that the writer never gives his call sign and does not use any ham radio terminology.

A number of people believe that the letter was actually sent by a spam-mail generating company or someone with a program that harvests e-mail addresses from the World Wide Web and generates automatic e-mail to them. There is even some speculation that the message did not come from Russia as the note itself claims.

Then comes a posting to the QCWA remailer from Lee Manning AK7T. Manning says that he e-mailed the writer of the message. Valentin replied that his callsign is RA3XAA. According to international call sign listings, RA3XAA belongs to Valentin Mikhaylin in Kaluga Russia. We have e-mailed him and are waiting to find out if he is the person who issued the letter and how he was able to get so many e-mail addresses of United States hams.

Meantime you might want to keep in mind two words. Caviat Emptor. Buyer -- or in this case -- giver beware. (QCWA Remailer, others)

**

INTERNATIONAL - THE NETHERLANDS: AUCTIONS NOT MANDATORY

Media Network says that a judge in Rotterdam has ruled that the next Dutch government will not be required to auction the commercial radio frequencies to the highest bidders. The ruling on Friday October 11th will impact on future spectrum auctions in that nation. (Media Network)

**

DX

In DX, GB2EVR is a new permanent special event operation for the Eden Valley Railway in the U-K. The station is located at the Warcop railway station, six miles east of Appleby-in-Westmorland, Cumbria. (GB2RS)

Also, JA2ZL will be active as F0/JA2ZL from Morea Island in French Polynesia from the 30th of October to 2nd of November. He plans to operate on 40 to 10 meters mainly SSB, with some CW and RTTY. (GB2RS)

And a milliwatt Beacon is on the air on 11 meters from Northern California. AOH is at the top of a very high mountain and operates under FCC rules part 15. This is the same as child walkie-talkie and can be heard on 27.125 MHz. The Beacon is on the air trying to make a point you don't need 20 kilowatts to DX. If you hear it, QSL to aoh@ computermail.net (APC News)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: INNOVATION IN AMATEUR RADIO REWARDED

And finally this week, a big honor for a deserving ham. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, has the details:

--

Brad Phillips ZS5BP has been rewarded for his innovation in Amateur Radio. This by being named to receive the South African Radio Amateur of the Year Award.

Phillips received the honor for his work in introducing the Internet Repeater Linking Project – or IRLP to that nation. South Africa Radio League President Sid Tyler congratulated Phillips on his achievements saying that IRLP is as much part of amateur radio as is HF.

But that’s not all. The award itself says that IRLP offers new opportunities for the development of the amateur radio service in South Africa. This is because it brings amateur radio within the reach of young people in schools and the older generation who, after retirement, often do not have access to High Frequency stations or other ham gear of their own. Phillips work brings ham radio to both.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA.

--

The South African Radio Amateur of the Year Award is sponsored by Siemens. Its given annually by the South African Amateur Radio Development Trust to a ham radio operator who has made a major contribution to the Amateur service. (SARL)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline™. Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's™ only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline™, P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, Mert Garlick, N6AWE, saying 73 and we thank you for listening. Amateur Radio Newsline™ is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

2294. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 05:59:01 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <Fm4v9.527$YI.140369969@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
> using 10 meters illegally talk/

Perhaps _YOU_ need to listen to the recordings on that site !!

Okay... after listening to the "incriminating evidence", here's my findings.

#1 -- Some guy bragging about "if you can't back it up"... more then likely, he's referring to someone out there that thinks that their radio is stronger then him.

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#2-- Some guy talking about vacation... overtime... & sick leave. This eliminates almost all of the trucking industry except maybe the teamsters & a few others. The majority of the trucking industry is paid by the mile or load. _NO_ where do they say they drive a truck !

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#3 -- Two guys & a lady talking about the price of cigarettes & ex's, sounded more like some people on bases chatting.

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#4 -- One guy say's something about another guy hiding paper towels & toilet paper "back here"... "that's where all of it goes 'cause it never makes it up there to Ft. Smith".

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#5 -- No sure what's being said here.. something about something where someone's at & anther fellow says something "That corn had some good thickness to it".

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#6 -- This one I can't make out much except the "panty" part.

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#7 -- This is a fellow asking someone where they're at in Montana.

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

****

My thought's are that someone's full of crap, there is not even a slight mention or even an inkling of truckers being involved, just more stereotyping. Every conversation on that site could have come from a base with a radio that's been modified by almost any CB Hacknetion.

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

2295. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 12:33:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021028073332.16946.00001171@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 54112

```
>Subject: Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts
>From: "Deos" lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com
>Date: 10/27/02 4:27 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <apj7e5$8eh$4@nic.grnet.gr>
>
>do i smell spam?

Probably...the worst part is that it's usually AFTER it's already squished
up inside the soles of your tennis shoes!
```

2296. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 12:56:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210280456.536a121e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54113

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021027223929.10597.00000244@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0210271311.543f8dae@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >
> >> Addressing Brainiac's inane post (another one) the reason packet never
> >> spanned the whole country is based in geography and population
> >> demographics, had absolutely nothing to do with "organization" or some
> >> idiotic mythical "failure" on the part of the ARRL. Or by a lack of
> >> participation in geographic areas where 2m packet is a sustainable
> >> mode. Sustainability is the whole trick and the only trick.
> >
> >Dubya 3, back up, pull your head out. Pretend for a moment you're not
> >a jerk. I never said anything about packet spanning the whole
> >country. You're bitchin at the wrong guy again.
>
> Brian:
>
> Jump back, Jack! I don't recall blaming you, personally, for the failure of
> the Packet Radio promise made by the No-Coders in the 80's and 90's.
> However, it did happen. Any mention of CW would cause the unbathed,
> pony-tailed burnouts with the little round eyeglasses to start lecturing how
> Packet Radio would be the salvation of Ham Radio and carry Ham Radio
> "into the 21st Century." Well, here we are, and Packet Radio isn't even
> on the radar screen anymore. I keep seeing TNC's being advertised,
> but they must be being used strictly for local work and DX Cluster,
> etc.
>
> There are plenty of ways to create and sustain a Packet Radio backbone
> which could, theoretically, span the country in all directions. However,
> creating it would require genuine leadership from the American Radio
> RELAY (there's that inconvenient word in all caps again!) League,
> starting at HQ and followed through by the Field Organization. It's not
> too late to get the ball rolling on something like this. Anyone interested?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, I think Buck Rogers and SEDAN are doing it for the entire SE
USA, w/o the relay leaguers. Still no excuse for Kelly getting it
wrong - Again!

2297. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 13:07:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021028080706.06308.00000125@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 54114

In article <3DB974D7.45EEEA46@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>I gotta ask, just why don't Amateurs use 220?

Hams DO use 220 (actually, 222-225). ust not as much as 2 meters or 440.
>
> If you look in the catalogs, the 220 ht's look like (and apparently
>are) relics from the mid-80's. And so loaded with features like 10
>memory channels. And these living dinosaurs are not cheap either. One of
>them, I forget the model, costs more than my FT-50!
>
> There is one exception - I noticed a review in QST of a new Icom unit.
>But that's the exception that proves the rule.

The equipment problem derives from the fact that 222-225 isn't a worldwide amateur band. Most YaeComWood rigs are marketable all over the world, but a rig for 220 has a very limited market.

The lack of international allocation also means an extremely limited DX role for 220, and no satellite ops of any kind.

2 meter FM started in earnest with hams converting surplus land mobile equipment from "high band" (154-174 Mhz) to 2 meters. 440 FM came from hams converting surpuls UHF (450-470 MHz) equipment. 220 had no similar easy source of FM surplus.

And note that weak-signal work centers just above 144 and 432 MHz. This goes all the way back to xtal-controlled multiplier-type rigs, with operation on 432 being obtained by tripling the 432 MHz driver output. 220, again, offered no similar easy solution.

Most of all, 220 propagation isn't that much different than 2 meters. The antennas are a little smaller and the coax loss is a bit higher.

> So here we are worrying about a threat to 220, when it appears that we
>just don't use the band that much.

The same was true of 160 in LORAN days.
>
> I looked up the numbers of repeaters in PA as a test case. Turns out we
>have 37 - 220 band repeaters in the state. Truly not very many.

How many 2 meter repeaters are there in PA?

>I don't
>know how much traffic they handle. 1 is linked to local Law, so
>presumably that's an emergency use repeater, and 2 are closed repeaters.
>

> Seems to me that if we don't use it, we gonna lose it. And we won't
>even notice it except that when we do lose it, other groups may consider
>us better picking for giving up even more spectrum.

More use of 220 means less use of some other band, unless we do the cross-linked repeater thing. Crosslinking is spectrally inefficient, btw - a 220/2 meter crosslink uses 4 frequencies. That's 60 kHz of bandspace to support what may be one QSO! Yes, there are good reasons to crosslink, but spectrum efficiency isn't one of them.

> But exactly WHY don't we use it?

See above.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2298. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 15:14:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD544D.F7479DC1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Juvenile Skateboard'n wrote:

> Okay... after listening to the "incriminating evidence", here's my findings.
>
> #1 -- Some guy bragging about "if you can't back it up"... more then likely,
> he's referring to someone out there that thinks that their radio is stronger
> then him.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #2-- Some guy talking about vacation... overtime... & sick leave. This
> eliminates almost all of the trucking industry except maybe the teamsters &
> a few others. The majority of the trucking industry is paid by the mile or
> load. _NO_ where do they say they drive a truck !
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #3 -- Two guys & a lady talking about the price of cigarettes & ex's,
> sounded more like some people on bases chatting.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #4 -- One guy say's something about another guy hiding paper towels & toilet
> paper "back here"... "that's where all of it goes 'cause it never makes it
> up there to Ft. Smith".
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #5 -- No sure what's being said here.. something about something where
> someone's at & anther fellow says something "That corn had some good
> thickness to it".
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #6 -- This one I can't make out much except the "panty" part.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #7 -- This is a fellow asking someone where they're at in Montana.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>

I guess you think every truck driver starts his transmission off with "Hey, truck driver here." I have monitored these illegal transmission for a number of years now and it is obvious to the most casual of observer that a lot of them are truck drivers. All you have to have is a little common sense to figure it out. Something you apparently lack.

2299. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 15:33:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021028103343.00704.00000239@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54115

In article <urpbedcfbs3p91@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> As for Kim...well...I know love when I see it! You remind me of an
>aunt
>> and uncle I had who could never say a civil word to each other, but when
>she
>> died from a stroke, he was right behind her...He cried for 30 days
>straight
>> when she checked out.
>>
>
>STEVE!!! Ya think?! Larry?! In love? With moi?! Hardeeharhar...
>
>;) Kim W5TIT

Don't even THINK of going there, Kim!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2300. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 18:20:08 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sdfv9.10798$6F4.976145@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DBD544D.F7479DC1@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Juvenile Skateboard'n wrote:
>
> >
> > Okay... after listening to the "incriminating evidence", here's my
findings.
> >
> > #1 -- Some guy bragging about "if you can't back it up"... more then
likely,
> > he's referring to someone out there that thinks that their radio is
stronger
> > then him.
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #2-- Some guy talking about vacation... overtime... & sick leave. This
> > eliminates almost all of the trucking industry except maybe the
teamsters &
> > a few others. The majority of the trucking industry is paid by the mile
or
> > load. _NO_ where do they say they drive a truck !
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #3 -- Two guys & a lady talking about the price of cigarettes & ex's,
> > sounded more like some people on bases chatting.
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #4 -- One guy say's something about another guy hiding paper towels &
toilet
> > paper "back here"... "that's where all of it goes 'cause it never makes
it
> > up there to Ft. Smith".
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #5 -- No sure what's being said here.. something about something where
> > someone's at & anther fellow says something "That corn had some good
> > thickness to it".

> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #6 -- This one I can't make out much except the "panty" part.
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #7 -- This is a fellow asking someone where they're at in Montana.
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
>
> I guess you think every truck driver starts his transmission off
> with "Hey, truck driver here." I have monitored these illegal
> transmission for a number of years now and it is obvious to the
> most casual of observer that..... JJ lives a very
unsatisfactory life. He should get out more. Some sunlight will help.life.
.....a lot of them are truck drivers. All
> you have to have is a little common sense to figure it out.
> Something you apparently lack.
>

2301. Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 21:24:02 UTC
From: Bjorn Henrik Vangstein <la5mdaREMOVE@bluezone.no>
Message-ID: <1035994984.861789@a217-118-32-43.bluecom.no>
Source record: 54153

NG,

Is it true that they in UK have a morse free license which give access to
the HF bands?

LA5MDA,
Henrik, Oslo

2302. Re: Ham Radio Public Service -- Who Are We Kidding?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-28 22:15:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210281415.7b30cc1f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54118

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<urlqje866ibq9a@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20021022123857.19576.00003698@mb-fo.aol.com...

> > The ARRL's ECC course is one of the better ideas they've come up with,
> > lately, but unfortunately, they're going about it the wrong way. But
> that's
> > not a discussion I have time for now.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Ho ho ho. Typical dribble from Larry Roll. Criticism, scorn, reluctance
> and disrespect but nothing in the way of one teeny tiny bit of anything
> constructive. Sits on the edge and scorns, criticizes and diminishes, but
> does *nothing* to attempt change.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Wait a minute Kim, Larry is an official ARRL bulletin station.

2303. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 02:20:26 UTC
From: Alan <alangeewhiz@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Kfmv9.3639$Nf2.459813@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 54119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Nice to see someone who also enjoyed the movie for what it was
and didn't get all hung up on worrying about how ham radio might
be negatively perceived by the general population.

It's a movie, and with most movies it involves suspension of belief.
Frankly I thought it was very entertaining, and had an interesting
premise. Sure it wasn't realistic, but how much science fiction
really is?

Even if I weren't a ham radio operator I would have enjoyed the movie,
and I imagine a lot of non-hams enjoyed it too. And as K6JBT said,
it might have evoked some curiousity inothers.

I think this whole thread indicated one of the things that has hurt
ham radio as much as anything else, and that is too many hams take
it all too seriously.

I think a lot of hams forget about how much fun they had when they first
got into the hobby, the thrill and excitement of first getting on the
air and most importantly being a part of the magic of radio.

There is still magic in the air, unfortunatley it's being lost among
the politics and bickering of today and forgetting the joys of
the days gone by.

Alan
VE3XAG

"jt" <k6jbt@spamsuxarrl.net> wrote in news:i8%r9.918$md1.356@sccrnsc03:

> I disagree; anything that brings ham radio to the attention of the
> general public is a good thing for the hobby. Someone may develop a
> curiosity regarding radio after seeing the film, and may research it
> enough to go get their ticket. I've been a ham for 26 years, and I
> enjoyed everything about the movie, and I welcome just about anything
> that will bring AR to the laypersons attention.
>
> 73,
>
> JT
> K6JBT
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
> news:aofe72srjr1@taranews.net...
>> I finally got to see the movie "Frequency" when the Starz network
>> showed it last weekend. It revolved around ham radio, but the
>> questions asked by the characters probably reflected Hollywood's

>> current, unflattering impression of amateur radio.
>>
>> When the main character came across his father's old ham set, people
>> asked him things like "Ham radio: Was that what people did before
>> the net?", and "The people who use radios like that are mostly old
>> men, aren't they?", plus the real zinger "Does anyone use those
>> things any more?"
>>
>> I'd say that the movie is hurting the image of ham radio rather than
>> helping. It delivers the message that hams are dinosaurs who
>> shouldn't be taken seriously, although their old equipment is
>> interesting from a historical viewpoint.
>>
>> And now it's showing on every cable and satellite TV provider which
>> carries the Starz network. Not good.
>>
>> Good thing I became a Computer Specialist. They are still respected.
>
>
>

2304. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 02:45:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DBDF636.7030209@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54120

N2EY wrote:

> In article <3DB974D7.45EEEA46@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>>I gotta ask, just why don't Amateurs use 220?
>>
>
> Hams DO use 220 (actually, 222-225). ust not as much as 2 meters or 440.

Sigh, yes, I'll have to be more precise. Most people I know refer to the band as 220, kind of like they refer to 75 and 80 meters as 80 meters.

> 2 meter FM started in earnest with hams converting surplus land mobile
> equipment from "high band" (154-174 Mhz) to 2 meters. 440 FM came from hams
> converting surpuls UHF (450-470 MHz) equipment. 220 had no similar easy source
> of FM surplus.

Okay, this is some good stuff. In fact this might be the most important point so far.

> And note that weak-signal work centers just above 144 and 432 MHz. This goes
> all the way back to xtal-controlled multiplier-type rigs, with operation on 432
> being obtained by tripling the 432 MHz driver output. 220, again, offered no
> similar easy solution.

Some more good stuff.

> How many 2 meter repeaters are there in PA?

I'm going to work from memory here, Jim (the Penguins are playing, and I can just barely answer email, much less look up precise numbers.
8^)

There are around 400 repeaters in PA

The 37 222 to 225 mHz repeaters are around 10 percent

The 144.1 to 148.0 mHz repeaters are around 60 to 70 percent of the repeaters.

The 420 to 450 mHz repeaters make up most of the rest, with some 28.3 to 29.7 mHz and a few 50.1 to 54.0 mHz repeaters to round things out. I was a little surprised to see tha numbers of 420 to 450 mHz repeaters to be sure.

I ave to repeat that these numbers are approximate, and from memory.

>> Seems to me that if we don't use it, we gonna lose it. And we won't
>>even notice it except that when we do lose it, other groups may consider

>>us better picking for giving up even more spectrum.
>>
>
> More use of 220 means less use of some other band, unless we do the
> cross-linked repeater thing. Crosslinking is spectrally inefficient, btw - a
> 220/2 meter crosslink uses 4 frequencies. That's 60 kHz of bandspace to support
> what may be one QSO! Yes, there are good reasons to crosslink, but spectrum
> efficiency isn't one of them.

Agreed. I think that argues part of my point, because I noticed a large
percentage of the repeaters were used in this manner.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2305. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 03:10:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urrv272mlbk240@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

With that, I agree. To suggest that all truck drivers talk like that is ludicrous. I'll have to recheck his post. Posting one's name may not generate credibility, but using an anonymiser certainly drives the credibility to **zero** in a hurry. Jerry doesn't fit that. Back to the truck driver business; I've met a number of them where I work. Every one that I met was both friendly and most articulate. One guy I met saw me looking over the truck (from a distance). I don't know diddly about trucks, but that thing was absolutely beautiful. He told me he just bought it 3 months earlier. I forget what he said it cost, but it seems to me it was somewhat over $200,000. More than most homes. Sure, I noticed the antennas. Never asked. It is a lot easier to be an idiot over the internet than when you meet an individual and find out he is a decent guy working hard at making a living. On that last point, I would hope all of us would agree.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message news:Kp3v9.520$Pg7.136335496@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:urpee19t1t5u81@corp.supernews.com...
> > Relevant? My point was 1) there is a problem. Yes, some truckers and
> some
> > radio shops have been popped.
>
> My response of being relevant was to your second statement, I had no beef
> with your first statement, you addressed the reason of my post..... not
> _ALL_ drivers talk alike.
>
> My statement still stands..... his intentions may be good..... but he's
> still an ass !
>
> > 2) the fact that some have been busted tells some of us there is a
> problem.
> > Jerry just gets vocal about it, but doesn't spam with some of the sexual
> > innuendo that the anonymous posters do. At least give him credit for
> saying
> > what he thinks without hiding his identity.
>
> How does posting one's full name generate credibility?
> ><snip>
>
> --

> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>
>

2306. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 03:29:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urs04oaaauv328@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Whilst I did state it is wrong to paint all truck drivers with a wide brush, I would post this question to you. If one were to run LARGE amounts of power (and this does NOT necessarily imply truckers) or intrude on 10 meters (where a lot of hams get unhappy), would it make sense to do it day after day from a base station? Why or why not? Ever listen to a mobile legal cb mobile? Ever notice how (assuming it isn't skip) often gets stronger for a bit and then weaker. Ever heard skip? Can you usually tell the difference between a skip station and a local station (yes, they might be strong, but fading in and out will occur on a skip station). The fact is that a moving target is much harder to hit. Take the shootouts. Why not just run a gazillion watts from your own driveway? My guess is that most base stations running illegal power will be fairly modest (on the order of 50 to 200 watts). One runs into interference problems with neighbors otherwise. I'm guessing here and would invite some of the skip shooters to put the square dope out on this - but my guess is the real high power is likely run mobile because, as I stated, the moving target is harder to hit. One kid (local in Rochester, NY) was interfering with the local 10 meter repeater. Dumb idea. Too obvious that he was local. All you need is a couple of hams with beams and you know where he is within a few blocks. Now, this doesn't prove truckers - but it almost certainly will be a mobile station to get away with it over any period of time. Of course, some truckers have been caught. Therefore, some folks have drawn conclusions.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message news:Fm4v9.527$Yl.140369969@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
> > using 10 meters illegally talk/
>
> Perhaps _YOU_ need to listen to the recordings on that site !!
>
> Okay... after listening to the "incriminating evidence", here's my findings.
>
> #1 -- Some guy bragging about "if you can't back it up"... more then likely,
> he's referring to someone out there that thinks that their radio is stronger
> then him.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

>
> #2-- Some guy talking about vacation... overtime... & sick leave. This
> eliminates almost all of the trucking industry except maybe the teamsters
&
> a few others. The majority of the trucking industry is paid by the mile or
> load. _NO_ where do they say they drive a truck !
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #3 -- Two guys & a lady talking about the price of cigarettes & ex's,
> sounded more like some people on bases chatting.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #4 -- One guy say's something about another guy hiding paper towels &
toilet
> paper "back here"... "that's where all of it goes 'cause it never makes it
> up there to Ft. Smith".
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #5 -- No sure what's being said here.. something about something where
> someone's at & anther fellow says something "That corn had some good
> thickness to it".
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #6 -- This one I can't make out much except the "panty" part.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #7 -- This is a fellow asking someone where they're at in Montana.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> **********
>
>
> My thought's are that someone's full of crap, there is not even a slight
> mention or even an inkling of truckers being involved, just more
> stereotyping. Every conversation on that site could have come from a base
> with a radio that's been modified by almost any CB Hacknetion.
>
>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>

>
>
>

2307. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 04:15:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021028231530.25792.00000249@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54123

In article <f45722ac.0210280456.536a121e@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> There are plenty of ways to create and sustain a Packet Radio backbone
>> which could, theoretically, span the country in all directions. However,
>> creating it would require genuine leadership from the American Radio
>> RELAY (there's that inconvenient word in all caps again!) League,
>> starting at HQ and followed through by the Field Organization. It's not
>> too late to get the ball rolling on something like this. Anyone
>interested?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Larry, I think Buck Rogers and SEDAN are doing it for the entire SE
>USA, w/o the relay leaguers. Still no excuse for Kelly getting it
>wrong - Again!

SEDAN is toast in my area.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2308. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 07:06:15 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <apm42e01hn8@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54125

One possible reason..... not alot of gear available out there for 220....

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3DB974D7.45EEEA46@psu.edu...
> I gotta ask, just why don't Amateurs use 220?
>
> If you look in the catalogs, the 220 ht's look like (and apparently
> are) relics from the mid-80's. And so loaded with features like 10
> memory channels. And these living dinosaurs are not cheap either. One of
> them, I forget the model, costs more than my FT-50!
>
> There is one exception - I noticed a review in QST of a new Icom unit.
> But that's the exception that proves the rule.
>
> So here we are worrying about a threat to 220, when it appears that we
> just don't use the band that much.
>
> I looked up the numbers of repeaters in PA as a test case. Turns out we
> have 37 - 220 band repeaters in the state. Truly not very many. I don't
> know how much traffic they handle. 1 is linked to local Law, so
> presumably that's an emergency use repeater, and 2 are closed repeaters.
>
> Seems to me that if we don't use it, we gonna lose it. And we won't
> even notice it except that when we do lose it, other groups may consider
> us better picking for giving up even more spectrum.
>
> But exactly WHY don't we use it?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2309. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 13:44:55 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@ComputerExpertAnalyst.org>
Message-ID: <apm3bndnc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Vigilante hams have the W2KP syndrome. Bet nobody
here knows the symptoms, except for Dan NTI.

Lloyd
Computer Analyst Expert
A+ F+ C+++ Certifications
Network Certified

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024171314.538$Is@newsreader.com...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> > news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>... (snip)
> >
> >> Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> Have any truckers respond?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

2310. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 16:14:36 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DBEB3EB.9A3CDF7A@psu.edu>
Source record: 54126

KC8PMX wrote:
>
> One possible reason..... not alot of gear available out there for 220....
>
> "mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:3DB974D7.45EEEA46@psu.edu...
> > I gotta ask, just why don't Amateurs use 220?
> >
> > If you look in the catalogs, the 220 ht's look like (and apparently
> > are) relics from the mid-80's. And so loaded with features like 10
> > memory channels. And these living dinosaurs are not cheap either. One of
> > them, I forget the model, costs more than my FT-50!
> >
>
<some snippage>

True, there isn't much gear, but that goes hand in hand with demand.

- mike KB3EIA -

2311. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 17:20:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021029122020.23607.00000083@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 54127

>Subject: Re: What's the deal with 220?
>From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 10/29/02 10:14 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DBEB3EB.9A3CDF7A@psu.edu>

>True, there isn't much gear, but that goes hand in hand with demand.

There's a Catch-22 if ever I saw one...Two-twenty gear is hard to come by due to a lack in demand. The demand's not there because the gear's not there. Egg...chicken...Why is there air?...Big bang or Creation...Real or Silicon...many of the questions that perplex mankind and are unlikely to be solved here!

I need a Xanax!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2312. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 22:24:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210291424.4a772dd5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54128

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021028231530.25792.00000249@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0210280456.536a121e@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> There are plenty of ways to create and sustain a Packet Radio backbone
> >> which could, theoretically, span the country in all directions. However,
> >> creating it would require genuine leadership from the American Radio
> >> RELAY (there's that inconvenient word in all caps again!) League,
> >> starting at HQ and followed through by the Field Organization. It's not
> >> too late to get the ball rolling on something like this. Anyone
> interested?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Larry, I think Buck Rogers and SEDAN are doing it for the entire SE
> >USA, w/o the relay leaguers. Still no excuse for Kelly getting it
> >wrong - Again!
>
> SEDAN is toast in my area.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Why did you let it get toasted?

2313. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 22:42:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apn2t2$id5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54129

It is as it should be if it was as it is.

Madman

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021029122020.23607.00000083@mb-cr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: What's the deal with 220?
> >From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> >Date: 10/29/02 10:14 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3DBEB3EB.9A3CDF7A@psu.edu>
>
> >True, there isn't much gear, but that goes hand in hand with demand.
>
> There's a Catch-22 if ever I saw one...Two-twenty gear is hard to
come by
> due to a lack in demand. The demand's not there because the gear's not
there.
> Egg...chicken...Why is there air?...Big bang or Creation...Real or
> Silicon...many of the questions that perplex mankind and are unlikely to
be
> solved here!
>
> I need a Xanax!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

2314. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 22:45:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apn31t$jp4$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54130

Where has you folks been? Packet radio was doing its thing country wide starting in the early 80s or so. It was so hot to trot you couldn't find a place to park. The BBS's were clobbering the keyboarders and it was turning into total bedlam.

Where did it all go? Why did it all end??? Did a large hunk of rock from the meteor belt flip out and trash the hub? Nope.....were on it right now. Its called the internet.

Been there done that.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message news:f45722ac.0210291424.4a772dd5@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message news:<20021028231530.25792.00000249@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> > In article <f45722ac.0210280456.536a121e@posting.google.com>,
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >
> > >> There are plenty of ways to create and sustain a Packet Radio backbone
> > >> which could, theoretically, span the country in all directions. However,
> > >> creating it would require genuine leadership from the American Radio
> > >> RELAY (there's that inconvenient word in all caps again!) League,
> > >> starting at HQ and followed through by the Field Organization. It's not
> > >> too late to get the ball rolling on something like this. Anyone
> > interested?
> > >>
> > >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >
> > >Larry, I think Buck Rogers and SEDAN are doing it for the entire SE
> > >USA, w/o the relay leaguers. Still no excuse for Kelly getting it
> > >wrong - Again!
> >
> > SEDAN is toast in my area.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Why did you let it get toasted?

2315. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 23:06:49 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3DBF1489.29BCB6EF@uci.edu>
Source record: 54131

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 20:14:27 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>
> >So, perhaps we should ask for it (the 220-222MHz segment) back?
>
> By now it is in regular commercial use, but not by UPS.

Is it in use by the guys who stole it from amateur radio?

2316. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-29 23:12:57 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210291512.4ba3ac47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54132

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021028231530.25792.00000249@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0210280456.536a121e@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> There are plenty of ways to create and sustain a Packet Radio backbone
> >> which could, theoretically, span the country in all directions. However,
> >> creating it would require genuine leadership from the American Radio
> >> RELAY (there's that inconvenient word in all caps again!) League,
> >> starting at HQ and followed through by the Field Organization. It's not
> >> too late to get the ball rolling on something like this. Anyone
> interested?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Larry, I think Buck Rogers and SEDAN are doing it for the entire SE
> >USA, w/o the relay leaguers. Still no excuse for Kelly getting it
> >wrong - Again!

Care to expand on that Brainiac?

>
> SEDAN is toast in my area.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

w3rv

2317. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 00:02:50 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210292357520.6555-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 54133

...And some of have gone back, but not to this measly 1200 - 9600 baud. The first six 802.11b wlan channels fit fully into our overlap at 2390-2450 (the devices are 2401-2448 for the overlap, and 2401-2473 for their full range [channel centers at 2412-2462 in 5 MHz steps w/ +/- 11MHz modulation]). Even 1Mbps is better than ancient packet, let alone 22Mbps. With the part 15 overlap, we can be on the Internet too! :-)

On Tue, 29 Oct 2002, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>Where has you folks been? Packet radio was doing its thing country wide
>starting in the early 80s or so. It was so hot to trot you couldn't find a
>place to park. The BBS's were clobbering the keyboarders and it was turning
>into total bedlam.
>
>Where did it all go? Why did it all end??? Did a large hunk of rock from
>the meteor belt flip out and trash the hub? Nope.....were on it right now.
>Its called the internet.
>
>Been there done that.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:f45722ac.0210291424.4a772dd5@posting.google.com...
>> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
>news:<20021028231530.25792.00000249@mb-fc.aol.com>...
>> > In article <f45722ac.0210280456.536a121e@posting.google.com>,
>> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>> >
>> > >> There are plenty of ways to create and sustain a Packet Radio
>backbone
>> > >> which could, theoretically, span the country in all directions.
>However,
>> > >> creating it would require genuine leadership from the American Radio
>> > >> RELAY (there's that inconvenient word in all caps again!) League,
>> > >> starting at HQ and followed through by the Field Organization. It's
>not
>> > >> too late to get the ball rolling on something like this. Anyone
>> > interested?
>> > >>
>> > >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>> > >
>> > >Larry, I think Buck Rogers and SEDAN are doing it for the entire SE
>> > >USA, w/o the relay leaguers. Still no excuse for Kelly getting it
>> > >wrong - Again!

>> >
>> > SEDAN is toast in my area.
>> >
>> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>> Why did you let it get toasted?

2318. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 00:56:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF2E47.985AA36D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54136

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > >>It is up to the operator to know the proper use of his equipment,
> > > > if uncertain, then he should ask. Ignorance is no excuse. The cb
> > > > industry does no want to educate it's users, to do so would mean
> > > > they might not sell as many illegal radios and amps.
> > > >
> > > And as someone who's 'never used a CB',you're here to educate us
> > > CBer's?
> > >
> >> I admit, it is pretty hard to educate some, like you.
> > >
> Why do you say that?
>
> And why do you post under another nic here and in the policy group?

I only post with the nic of JJ in this group. Just what other are
you talking about. You are a cber, why are you posting in a ham
group?

2319. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 01:07:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021029200718.17289.00000170@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 54134

In article <3DBDF636.7030209@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:

>> 2 meter FM started in earnest with hams converting surplus land mobile
>> equipment from "high band" (154-174 Mhz) to 2 meters. 440 FM came from hams
>> converting surpuls UHF (450-470 MHz) equipment. 220 had no similar easy
>>source
>> of FM surplus.
>
> Okay, this is some good stuff. In fact this might be the most important

>point so far.

For the most part, the hams who started using FM voice on the VHF/UHF ham bands back in the late '60s and early '70s did so by converting surplus commercial land mobile. It was easier, cheaper and quicker than building from scratch, and until the mode was established there was no manufactured gear aimed at the ham market. Nearly all repeaters used converted surplus, too - even down to the duplexers.

Some models were easy to convert - just buy new crystals and retune. Others needed more work, like padding the tuned circuits, building power supplies and control circuits. A few folks built outboard VFOs to avoid the high cost of crystals, at least on receive.

But with no surplus for 220, getting on the band meant building everything. Why bother, back when 2 and 440 had lots of available pairs?

>> And note that weak-signal work centers just above 144 and 432 MHz. This
>>goes
>> all the way back to xtal-controlled multiplier-type rigs, with operation on
>>432
>> being obtained by tripling the 432 MHz driver output. 220, again, offered
>>no
>> similar easy solution.
>
> Some more good stuff.

Just history. The same exciter and crystals could be used on both bands.

Here's another point: The reason Novices have 220 privs but not 2 meters or 440 was an effort to get more occupancy of 220. But most folks who were interested in VHF/UHF simply got a Tech license. This trend was clear long before the Tech lost its code test in 1991. As early as 1983, most new hams were going straight for Tech, because it gave all amateur VHF/UHF and Novice HF. When the

Tech/General written was split into two parts in 1987, the trend increased, and when the Tech lost the code test - you know the rest.

>> How many 2 meter repeaters are there in PA?

> I'm going to work from memory here, Jim (the Penguins are playing, and I
>can just barely answer email, much less look up precise numbers.
> 8^)
>
> There are around 400 repeaters in PA

WOW!
>
> The 37 222 to 225 mHz repeaters are around 10 percent
>
> The 144.1 to 148.0 mHz repeaters are around 60 to 70 percent of the
>repeaters.
>
> The 420 to 450 mHz repeaters make up most of the rest, with some 28.3 to
>29.7 mHz and a few 50.1 to 54.0 mHz repeaters to round things out. I was
>a little surprised to see tha numbers of 420 to 450 mHz repeaters to be
>sure.

I'm not. 440 has some distinct propagational differences from 2 meters.

>I ave to repeat that these numbers are approximate, and from memory.

Close enough. Percentages are what matters, really.

>>> Seems to me that if we don't use it, we gonna lose it. And we won't
>>>even notice it except that when we do lose it, other groups may consider
>>>us better picking for giving up even more spectrum.
>
>> More use of 220 means less use of some other band, unless we do the
>> cross-linked repeater thing. Crosslinking is spectrally inefficient, btw -
>>a
>> 220/2 meter crosslink uses 4 frequencies. That's 60 kHz of bandspace to
>>support
>> what may be one QSO! Yes, there are good reasons to crosslink, but spectrum
>> efficiency isn't one of them.
>
> Agreed. I think that argues part of my point, because I noticed a large

>percentage of the repeaters were used in this manner.

So the real question is this: How do we get more hams on-the-air, actually using the bands and repeaters we have?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2320. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 01:37:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF37D6.239F6743@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do enough of
> that for the both of us.

You failed to answer the question. Are you a ham? If not, then why
do you post in a ham newsgroup?

2321. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 01:44:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF397E.B172577D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do enough of
> that for the both of us.

You don't ever try to start trouble?

****

From: Dowap (dowap@petitmorte.net)
Subject: Re: Comcast AUP
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Date: 2002-04-30 14:50:35 PST

In article <20020430083755.077$KZ@newsreader.com>,
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote:

> Hello,I'm trying to find Comcasts policy for one of their accounts who
> changes screen names and addys to avoid killfilter software.
>
> This person openly admits to doing this,and so far my emails to Comcast
> have gone un-answered.
>
> Thanks in advance.

Here you go. By the way, although annoying what the person may be
doing
it is not against Comcast's AUP. Just ignore the person! I swear
there
should be an international law that requires people to take a test
before getting internet access!!

First Question:

If someone is avoiding your killfile you should
A. Stalk them
B. Cry to their provider
C. Ignore them
D. Call the police

And the correct answer is "C".

2322. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 01:48:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apne28$3rk$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54135

Aint it interestin Jim how things seem to come full circle if you live long enough ?

Dan/W4NTI

> So the real question is this: How do we get more hams on-the-air, actually
> using the bands and repeaters we have?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2323. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 02:17:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF4133.2D795526@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> Looks to me like I'm asking for advice on how to deal with trouble,and in
> the proper newsgroup too.
>
> Your point?
>

The point is instead of just ignoring any posts from someone that
annoys you, like the reply to you message said to do, you choose
to attempt to whine to someone's ISP that some big bad boy is
changing his id to avoid your killfile. Just like my posts, if
they cause you to get your shorts in a wad, just ignore them.

Here is the quiz again, see if you can get the correct answer.

First Question:

If someone is avoiding your killfile you should
A. Stalk them
B. Cry to their provider
C. Ignore them
D. Call the police

And the correct answer is "C".

Why do you post to a ham group? Are you a ham?

2324. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 02:19:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF41AF.9B7EBA61@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > > >
> > > > I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do enough
> > > > of that for the both of us.
> >
> >> You failed to answer the question. Are you a ham? If not, then why
> > > do you post in a ham newsgroup?
> >
> > I own an old S-line Collins, just like you, but you don't have the
> > 30L-1..Tough. <g>
>
> btw..do you see me telling hams how to act?

So you're not a ham, but you post to a ham newsgroup. According to
your replies to me on the cb group, I should not post there as I
do not operate cb. So why are you here?

2325. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 03:36:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF53B4.63BDCBE3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> Newsflash,JJ..I'm in rec.radio.cb

Newsflash Mopehead, you are also cross posting to
rec.radio.amateur.policy which, in case you missed it, is a ham
newsgroup. I ask again, if you're not a ham, why do you post to a
ham newsgroup?

2326. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 03:59:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DBF5931.A42A308@mailcity.com>
Source record: 54145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > > >
> > > Newsflash,JJ..I'm in rec.radio.cb
> >
> >> Newsflash Mopehead, you are also cross posting to
> > rec.radio.amateur.policy which, in case you missed it, is a ham
> > newsgroup. I ask again, if you're not a ham, why do you post to a
> > ham newsgroup?
> >
> What a dumbass.

Yea you are if you don't even know what newsgroups you are posting
to. LOL!
```

2327. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 05:20:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urur25jqfkm4c8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both rec.radio.cb
and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is nasty, but check the
headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with a Henry 2K? Had a lot
of fun with that one on Guam.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029234928.028$Hn@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do
> > > > > > > > enough of that for the both of us.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> You failed to answer the question. Are you a ham? If not, then why
> > > > > > do you post in a ham newsgroup?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I own an old S-line Collins, just like you, but you don't have the
> > > > > 30L-1..Tough. <g>
> > > > >
> > > > btw..do you see me telling hams how to act?
> > > >
> > >> So you're not a ham, but you post to a ham newsgroup. According to
> > > your replies to me on the cb group, I should not post there as I
> > > do not operate cb. So why are you here?
> > >
> > Newsflash,JJ..I'm in rec.radio.cb
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

2328. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 05:27:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ururdtkrepj252@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mopar, I hate to break it to you - you are in both rec.radio.cb and
radio.amateur policy. Check the headings. Golly, I hope you don't have JJ
eating blood pressure pills.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029235101.520$pu@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > Looks to me like I'm asking for advice on how to deal with trouble,and
> > > in the proper newsgroup too.
> > >
> > > Your point?
> > >
> >
> >> The point is instead of just ignoring any posts from someone that
> > annoys you, like the reply to you message said to do, you choose
> > to attempt to whine to someone's ISP that some big bad boy is
> > changing his id to avoid your killfile. Just like my posts, if
> > they cause you to get your shorts in a wad, just ignore them.
> >
> > Here is the quiz again, see if you can get the correct answer.
> >
> > First Question:
> >
> > If someone is avoiding your killfile you should
> > A. Stalk them
> > B. Cry to their provider
> > C. Ignore them
> > D. Call the police
> >
> > And the correct answer is "C".
> >
> > Why do you post to a ham group? Are you a ham?
> >
> Newsflash,JJ. I'm in rec.radio.cb
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go♯40

2329. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 05:35:55 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ururs mluci0707@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54143

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:apm42e01hn8@enews1.newsguy.com...
> One possible reason..... not alot of gear available out there for 220....

More reasons:
#2 For the cost of the gear that is available you could spend it on 2/440
gear and get lots more bang for the buck!
#3 Why by gear for a band that you'll be the only one in your area to have
use of? If I bought a new 222 rig right now it would never get used because
I'm the only one in 3 counties that would have one, in other words who would
I talk to on it?

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2330. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 09:33:10 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <apoons52hl4@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54149

Kinda like a major Catch-22 eh? Not enough gear, because of not enough demand. Not enough demand because not alot of gear.....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> >
> >
> <some snippage>
>
> True, there isn't much gear, but that goes hand in hand with demand.
>
>
> - mike KB3EIA -

2331. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 09:35:31 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <apoonv62hl4@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54150

Shoot Steve.... ya beat me to it, but the sentiments are the same. I noticed the same for six meters. A few FM rigs but only ONE manufacturer making a ssb/cw one. (am and fm too.)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021029122020.23607.00000083@mb-cr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: What's the deal with 220?
> >From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> >Date: 10/29/02 10:14 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3DBEB3EB.9A3CDF7A@psu.edu>
>
> >True, there isn't much gear, but that goes hand in hand with demand.
>
> There's a Catch-22 if ever I saw one...Two-twenty gear is hard to come by
> due to a lack in demand. The demand's not there because the gear's not there.
> Egg...chicken...Why is there air?...Big bang or Creation...Real or
> Silicon...many of the questions that perplex mankind and are unlikely to be
> solved here!
>
> I need a Xanax!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

2332. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 13:11:47 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210300511.6e1fa2ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54146

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210291512.4ba3ac47@posting.google.com>...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021028231530.25792.00000249@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> > In article <f45722ac.0210280456.536a121e@posting.google.com>,
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >
> > >> There are plenty of ways to create and sustain a Packet Radio backbone
> > >> which could, theoretically, span the country in all directions. However,
> > >> creating it would require genuine leadership from the American Radio
> > >> RELAY (there's that inconvenient word in all caps again!) League,
> > >> starting at HQ and followed through by the Field Organization. It's not
> > >> too late to get the ball rolling on something like this. Anyone
> interested?
> > >>
> > >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >
> > >Larry, I think Buck Rogers and SEDAN are doing it for the entire SE
> > >USA, w/o the relay leaguers. Still no excuse for Kelly getting it
> > >wrong - Again!
>
> Care to expand on that Brainiac?
>
> >
> > SEDAN is toast in my area.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> w3rv

I was mis-attributed, you bought into it, then slammed me.

And here you are again.

Why?

2333. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 13:46:21 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <uoednRUPLPk7fyKgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 54147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029231544.375$Dw@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do enough
> > > of that for the both of us.
> >
> >> You don't ever try to start trouble?
> >
> > **********
> >
> > From: Dowap (dowap@petitmorte.net)
> > Subject: Re: Comcast AUP
> > Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
> > Date: 2002-04-30 14:50:35 PST
> >
> > In article <20020430083755.077$KZ@newsreader.com>,
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Hello,I'm trying to find Comcasts policy for one of their accounts who
> > > changes screen names and addys to avoid killfilter software.
> > >
> > > This person openly admits to doing this,and so far my emails to
Comcast
> > > have gone un-answered.
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance.
> >
> Looks to me like I'm asking for advice on how to deal with trouble,and in
> the proper newsgroup too.
>
> Your point?

Your point looks like you got schooled asshole LOL trying to find a way to silence me LOL and Comcast doesn't answer your emails LOL The funny part is you are trouble Moped, that's probably one reason why you x-no archive your posts. Thanks JJ for providing the laugh he has been taking notes from Landshark on how to cry to ISP's and the best part is there is not a damn thing they can do about it they have been trying to silence me for close to 2 years. I used to think Moped was some kinda tough guy now I see he is just a pansie who has no balls.

2334. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 13:47:53 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <t9icnUI7_oycfiKgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 54148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021030004040.408$uI@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> Mopar, I hate to break it to you - you are in both rec.radio.cb and
> > radio.amateur policy. Check the headings. Golly, I hope you don't have
> > JJ eating blood pressure pills.
> >
> Huh? <g>

Your cross posting you stupid phuqq, what a real asshole.

2335. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 14:40:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com>
Source record: 54154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > Newsflash,JJ..I'm in rec.radio.cb
> > > > >
> > > >> Newsflash Mopehead, you are also cross posting to
> > > > rec.radio.amateur.policy which, in case you missed it, is a ham
> > > > newsgroup. I ask again, if you're not a ham, why do you post to a
> > > > ham newsgroup?
> > > > >
> > > What a dumbass.
> > >
> >> Yea you are if you don't even know what newsgroups you are posting
> > to. LOL!
> >
> Hey mental midget..I added radio.amateur.policy to the thread,so your ham
> buddys could see what a fool you are..

Yea right, you didn't even know you were posting there until
someone told you so. All you did is prove to the ham group what an
idiot you are. LOL!!!
```

2336. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 15:00:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210300700.411e3954@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54151

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021027223929.10597.00000244@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0210271311.543f8dae@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >
> >> Addressing Brainiac's inane post (another one) the reason packet never
> >> spanned the whole country is based in geography and population
> >> demographics, had absolutely nothing to do with "organization" or some
> >> idiotic mythical "failure" on the part of the ARRL. Or by a lack of
> >> participation in geographic areas where 2m packet is a sustainable
> >> mode. Sustainability is the whole trick and the only trick.
> >
> >Dubya 3, back up, pull your head out. Pretend for a moment you're not
> >a jerk. I never said anything about packet spanning the whole
> >country. You're bitchin at the wrong guy again.

Bitching on you is normal and acceptable practice right or wrong.

>
> Brian:
>
> Jump back, Jack! I don't recall blaming you, personally, for the failure of
> the Packet Radio promise made by the No-Coders in the 80's and 90's.
> However, it did happen.

> Any mention of CW would cause the unbathed,
> pony-tailed burnouts with the little round eyeglasses to start lecturing how
> Packet Radio would be the salvation of Ham Radio and carry Ham Radio
> "into the 21st Century."

Certainly did happen. But not by the nocodes. One question is how many
nocodes ever actually put nodes on the air and/or how many of those
are still on the air? I can't find a node run by a nocode or a 5wpm
tech anywhere along the east coast (NoNodes?). In fact every node I've
been able to track down so far is operated by either a 13wpm Advanced
or a 20wpm Extra class licensee. There went another techie "digital
radio contributor" myth.

In a similar vein and in the more recent timeframe we've gotten a
repeat of same blather of course. If only the FCC would knock off the
code tests the new codeless wonders would "take ham radio into the
21st century" with their whizzy "advanced technologies" like spread
rectum. The TAPR ham SS project was funded five years ago and all of
hamdom is still waiting for the first ever ham SS qso. In the
meanwhile Ericsson & Nokia have been churning out throwaway SS two way

radios by the tens of millions.

> Well, here we are, and Packet Radio isn't even
> on the radar screen anymore.

Huh?? 2m Packet is out there in volumes right now and you're surrounded with it. In your own back yard you have nodes at W3PP (Laurel DE) on 145.670 (FRC), AA1K (Felton DE) on 145.530 (FRC) and WR3L (Baltimore) on 145.610/440.950 (PVRC). Each of which serves a multitude of users right in your countrified neighborhood. What does it take to get on your screen Larry?

> I keep seeing TNC's being advertised,
> but they must be being used strictly for local work and DX Cluster,
> etc.

Yeah, that's quite true. The New Castle HRO store just had another two-foot stack of Kantronics TNCs delivered. There's a message.

Are local work & the DX clusters bad things? Whatta ya want outta two meters?

And by the way, it's not so "local", a packet sent from Virginia pops up on screens all over New England within seconds.

>
> There are plenty of ways to create and sustain a Packet Radio backbone
> which could, theoretically, span the country in all directions. However,
> creating it would require genuine leadership from the American Radio
> RELAY (there's that inconvenient word in all caps again!) League,
> starting at HQ and followed through by the Field Organization. It's not
> too late to get the ball rolling on something like this. Anyone interested?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

w3rv

2337. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 15:37:59 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <VvecnQoRdd9FYSKgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 54152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> I've alwasys thought of you as a punk.

At least I don't cry to ISP's LOL sure sign of a man who can't handle his
own business. and it is spelled always.

--
Go Ahead Make My Day

Clint Eastwood

2338. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 17:08:44 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <wmUv9.2388$F9.160886031@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com...

All insults aside JJ, check your clock, your postings
are timed wrong.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2339. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 19:52:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DC0388E.ECF3E85@mailcity.com>
Source record: 54158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com...
>
> All insults aside JJ, check your clock, your postings
> are timed wrong.

My clock shows 2:51 pm, October 30, 2002, about 2 minutes slower
than the wall clock.

2340. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 20:09:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DC03C7C.30569236@mailcity.com>
Source record: 54159

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> That's pure bullshit,and you know it.
>
> You also post as: "Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>"

Sorry, try again.

2341. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 20:47:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the second position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting old ... can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1. Position #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity reception (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102 feet) which we used for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both
> > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is nasty, but
> > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with a Henry
> > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > >
> > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got schooled.
> >
> > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The 30L-1 has
> > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and keep it in
> a
> > fairly clean enviroment.
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

2342. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 21:43:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <appjqh$2u7$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The transmitter you are thinking about to complete the S-line was the
32S-1/2/3. I think most of the military/MARS stations used KWM2A
transceivers. The one with the crystal switch adjacent to the band switch.
I am quite familiar with them all. Still a good station for ssb.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com...
> Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the second
> position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting old ...
> can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1. Position
> #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity reception
> (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102 feet) which we
used
> for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both
> > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is nasty,
but
> > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with a
Henry
> > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > >
> > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got schooled.
> >
> > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The 30L-1
has
> > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and keep it
in
> a
> > fairly clean enviroment.
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
>

>

2343. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 22:28:24 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <c2Zv9.669$3d5.129095694@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobluff0@mailcity.net> with his/she/it's head completely embedded
up his/her/it's ass in search for a clue whimpered.....
><snipped the response for lack of anything prevalent to my question>

<<<< YAWN >>>>

Nice reply.... Squirrel Boy, but you still haven't answered my question....
so I'll try again.

Now I hope this one doesn't ricochet of your overly sloped forehead like the
last one...

Where in _ANY- of those sound bit's is there _ANY_ reference to anything
truck related as to justify saying that truck drivers were involved?

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

2344. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 23:14:48 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <dUidnYoTO5LE9V2gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 54161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Smoker 117" <smoker117@freeband28210.net> wrote in message
news:apgvq9$164$0@pita.alt.net...
> Oh they were there this year all right, but you had to
> know where to look. The information was being put out
> on channel 40 and channel 83 (28210khz). Come on down
> and standby on channel 83 (28210khz) lower side,

And the pirate CBers claim NOBODY is on 10m illegally. Hum.... the last I checked anything between 28000 KHz and 29700 KHz was the Ham 10m band. Sounds to me like the FCC can get a good two-for-one deal. Bust the bozos buying the amps, AND bust them for being on the Ham bands too. Not bad.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2345. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-30 23:16:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <YKZv9.1175$so5.97389742@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3DC0388E.ECF3E85@mailcity.com...
>
>
> Landshark wrote:
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com...
> >
> > > All insults aside JJ, check your clock, your postings
> > > are timed wrong.
>
> My clock shows 2:51 pm, October 30, 2002, about 2 minutes slower
> than the wall clock.

Either your bios clock is off or
you're ISP servers are messed up:

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 15:54:40 -0600
Message-ID: <3DC0388E.ECF3E85@mailcity.com>

Note your posting time is different

Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 14:52:46 -0500
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)

When you were arguing with Mopar, your
responses would show a time of an hour before
Mopars original posts.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

2346. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 00:14:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DC075CC.86189732@mailcity.com>
Source record: 54163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> What does it say when you post as Sir Circumference?

Ask him.

2347. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 01:36:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC08920.D54860D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > > >
> > > What does it say when you post as Sir Circumference?
> >
> >> Ask him.
> >
> It's you. Try harder next time.

Well, if you think so, prove it.

2348. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 03:04:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210301904.18a4f043@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54165

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210300700.411e3954@posting.google.com>...

> Bitching on you is normal and acceptable practice right or wrong.

Must be some kind of universal constant in Kelly-land. Hopefully you
were born sterile or got mumps in your early teens.

2349. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 03:19:05 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Ji1w9.3036$z5.2160424@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54164

Not quite. There was an article in the magazine QST (published by the ARRL) about this. As I recall, the candidate must demonstrate some knowledge of morse code but does not need to be able to copy a transmission or send in morse code.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"Bjorn Henrik Vangstein" <la5mdaREMOVE@bluezone.no> wrote in message news:1035994984.861789@a217-118-32-43.bluecom.no...
> NG,
>
> Is it true that they in UK have a morse free license which give access to
> the HF bands?
>
> LA5MDA,
> Henrik, Oslo
>
>

2350. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 03:27:48 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021030222748.24094.00002186@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54166

Could be. If so, it's for all the hopeless idiots who can't learn a simple thing like the Morse Code.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2351. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 03:55:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <OF1w9.3480$KT6.3444@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
news:c2Zv9.669$3d5.129095694@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "JJ" <radiobluff0@mailcity.net> with his/she/it's head completely embedded
> up his/her/it's ass in search for a clue whimpered.......
> ><snipped the response for lack of anything prevalent to my question>
>
> <<<< YAWN >>>>
>
> Nice reply.... Squirrel Boy, but you still haven't answered my
question....
> so I'll try again.
>
> Now I hope this one doesn't ricochet of your overly sloped forehead like
the
> last one...
>
>
> Where in _ANY- of those sound bit's is there _ANY_ reference to anything
> truck related as to justify saying that truck drivers were involved?

Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
out that they are drivers.
"Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just topped
the hill at MP
21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
Pilot Travel Center,
visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I want,
and it doesn't take
rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at. Truck
stops? Truck drivers? Ten
Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
stop?
It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
House Sub-com-
mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
a-flying. Mr Tauzin has been
made aware of the illegals, complaints are being heard, and something WILL
be done. It is a shame that a few selfish ones ignore the laws in place to
protect ALL users of radio and, in the pro-
cess, will likely bring down hardship on legal CBers as well. If you don't
believe that ham radio has
a lot of support in Congress right now, type in "Greg Walden" in your search
engine and see how

many sponsors various bills favorable to ham radio have garnered. It is not inconceivable that that
favorable image Amateur Radio has will go a long way to garner additional support for laws pro-
tecting Amateur Radio frequencies from incursion by illegal operators as well as commercial interests.

Jerry

>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>

2352. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 04:16:31 UTC
From: Tanker <CB@Freebanders27875.net>
Message-ID: <apqaq1$9op$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Get a grip on reality Oxendine but ever more pressing
GET A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!
You are a pathetic whiney little weasel. Do you report
all the kids on your block who run six watt CB walkie-talkies
too?
YYYAAAAAAAAAAWWWn You are boring too!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:OF1w9.3480$KT6.3444@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
> news:c2Zv9.669$3d5.129095694@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > "JJ" <radiobluff0@mailcity.net> with his/she/it's head completely
embedded
> > up his/her/it's ass in search for a clue whimpered.......
> > ><snipped the response for lack of anything prevalent to my question>
> >
> > <<<< YAWN >>>>
> >
> > Nice reply.... Squirrel Boy, but you still haven't answered my
> question....
> > so I'll try again.
> >
> > Now I hope this one doesn't ricochet of your overly sloped forehead like
> the
> > last one...
> >
> >
> > Where in _ANY- of those sound bit's is there _ANY_ reference to anything
> > truck related as to justify saying that truck drivers were involved?
>
>
> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
> out that they are drivers.
> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just
topped
> the hill at MP
> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
> Pilot Travel Center,
> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I
want,
> and it doesn't take
> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at. Truck

> stops? Truck drivers? Ten
> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
> stop?
> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
> House Sub-com-
> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
> a-flying. Mr Tauzin has been
> made aware of the illegals, complaints are being heard, and something WILL
> be done. It is a shame that a few selfish ones ignore the laws in place
to
> protect ALL users of radio and, in the pro-
> cess, will likely bring down hardship on legal CBers as well. If you
don't
> believe that ham radio has
> a lot of support in Congress right now, type in "Greg Walden" in your
search
> engine and see how
> many sponsors various bills favorable to ham radio have garnered. It is
not
> inconceivable that that
> favorable image Amateur Radio has will go a long way to garner additional
> support for laws pro-
> tecting Amateur Radio frequencies from incursion by illegal operators as
> well as commercial interests.
>
> Jerry
>
>
> >
> > --
> > _____l Tom
> > __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> > /________l_____________________
> > O OO\ O O\
> >
> >
>
>
>

2353. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 05:30:14 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210302130.503be7c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DBF41AF.9B7EBA61@mailcity.net>...
> So you're not a ham, but you post to a ham newsgroup. According to
> your replies to me on the cb group, I should not post there as I
> do not operate cb. So why are you here?

Some of us were (*ahem*) SWLs or scanner listeners for years before we
got into CB or made the decision to become hams. Maybe a lot of the
"out of the closet" type posters to the group are SWLs as well.

Guy

2354. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 05:38:37 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210302138.2baf3935@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

This is very true... tho I don't know how "BAD" I am because I don't drink, smoke, use dope, gamble, go to bars, screw other guy's wives, carry weapons, or ride a Harley---let alone rob or kill people. But the law-boys in the group seem to think I'm Jesse James, to hear them talk.

Guy

2355. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 07:29:21 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B7EEF266B99rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 54172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in
news:3aed93cc.0210302138.2baf3935@posting.google.com:

> --
> "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
> This is very true... tho I don't know how "BAD" I am because I don't
> drink, smoke, use dope, gamble, go to bars, screw other guy's wives,
> carry weapons, or ride a Harley---let alone rob or kill people. But
> the law-boys in the group seem to think I'm Jesse James, to hear them
> talk.
>
> Guy
>

Hey, what's wrong with riding a Harley? :)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

2356. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 13:56:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gEaw9.1376$fg2.115797034@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021030193802.866$yG@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> > Landshark wrote:
> > >
> > > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com...
> > >
> > > All insults aside JJ, check your clock, your postings
> > > are timed wrong.
> >
> >> My clock shows 2:51 pm, October 30, 2002, about 2 minutes slower
> > than the wall clock.
> >
> What does it say when you post as Sir Circumference?
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

The same, but he hasn't used it for a while.
But this one's pretty funny:

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting Google.
The Google Groups account, voobner2002@yahoo.com you reported for Usenet
abuse has been suspended. We appreciate your aid in keeping the
newsgroups as abuse free as possible.

Sincerely,

The Google Groups Team

****

LMAO!!!!

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2357. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 13:56:29 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <hEaw9.1377$fg2.115797034@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
----- Original Message -----
From: "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2002 5:36 PM
Subject: Re: Has anyone considered this?

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 21:39:11 -0600
Message-ID: <3DC08920.D54860D@mailcity.net>
Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 20:36:32 -0500
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Again, JJ it's an hour off, you responded to Mopar's
post an hour before he made it, I thought you want
to know.

Landshark

"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young
```

2358. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 13:59:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC1457B.7F3AEB58@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54175

Lloyd wrote:

> On 31 Oct 2002 03:27:48 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
> > Could be. If so, it's for all the hopeless idiots who can't learn a simple
> > thing like the Morse Code.
>
> Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> does his ability to tap dance.

To it's all the same

2359. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 14:06:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210310606.24a7d2b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54176

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0210301904.18a4f043@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210300700.411e3954@posting.google.com>...
>
> > Bitching on you is normal and acceptable practice right or wrong.
>
> Must be some kind of universal constant in Kelly-land. Hopefully you
> were born sterile or got mumps in your early teens.

Three degreed technoid daughters (the eldest learned 10wpm at age 13
just for the hell of it) and five granchullins, deal with it.

w3rv

2360. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 15:39:03 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <r8cw9.5387$Ik6.507980@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

OH YEA! the land of the free and the brave. More laws , oh yess THAT will fix everything. More ENFORCEMENT, oh yea THAT will surely fix everything. Some people want to live in a free world, and, some do not. Jerry, you are on the NOT side of things.
Jerry, do you know the phrase, ONE TRACK MIND?
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:OF1w9.3480$KT6.3444@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
> news:c2Zv9.669$3d5.129095694@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > "JJ" <radiobluff0@mailcity.net> with his/she/it's head completely embedded
> > up his/her/it's ass in search for a clue whimpered.......
> > ><snipped the response for lack of anything prevalent to my question>
> >
> > <<<< YAWN >>>>
> >
> > Nice reply.... Squirrel Boy, but you still haven't answered my
> question....
> > so I'll try again.
> >
> > Now I hope this one doesn't ricochet of your overly sloped forehead like
> the
> > last one...
> >
> >
> > Where in _ANY- of those sound bit's is there _ANY_ reference to anything
> > truck related as to justify saying that truck drivers were involved?
>
>
> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
> out that they are drivers.
> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just topped
> the hill at MP
> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
> Pilot Travel Center,
> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I want,
> and it doesn't take
> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at. Truck
> stops? Truck drivers? Ten
> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck

> stop?
> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
> House Sub-com-
> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
> a-flying. Mr Tauzin has been
> made aware of the illegals, complaints are being heard, and something WILL
> be done. It is a shame that a few selfish ones ignore the laws in place
to
> protect ALL users of radio and, in the pro-
> cess, will likely bring down hardship on legal CBers as well. If you
don't
> believe that ham radio has
> a lot of support in Congress right now, type in "Greg Walden" in your
search
> engine and see how
> many sponsors various bills favorable to ham radio have garnered. It is
not
> inconceivable that that
> favorable image Amateur Radio has will go a long way to garner additional
> support for laws pro-
> tecting Amateur Radio frequencies from incursion by illegal operators as
> well as commercial interests.
>
> Jerry
>
>
> >
> > --
> > _____l Tom
> > __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> > /________l_____________________
> > O OO\ O O\
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

2361. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 15:51:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aprjij$4qb$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54178

This sage advice comes to you from the guy that tried for YEARS to achieve 5 wpm.....

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message news:30222748.24094.000@taranews.net...
> On 31 Oct 2002 03:27:48 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
> > Could be. If so, it's for all the hopeless idiots who can't learn a simple
> > thing like the Morse Code.
>
> Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> does his ability to tap dance.

2362. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 15:56:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <6pcw9.3057$IT6.186558661@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021031104416.448$i7@newsreader.com...
> >
> >> The same, but he hasn't used it for a while.
> >
> He uses it in the policy group from time to time,typical of a
troll..change
> names.
>
> >> But this one's pretty funny:
> >
> > Greetings,
> >
> > Thank you for contacting Google.
> > The Google Groups account, voobner2002@yahoo.com you reported for Usenet
> > abuse has been suspended. We appreciate your aid in keeping the
> > newsgroups as abuse free as possible.
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > The Google Groups Team
> >
> > **********
> >
> > LMAO!!!!
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> LOL ole voobner2002 didn't last long..wonder what he'll >change it to now?

I know..........LMAO! I'm sure by the time I get back
from Mexico he'll have at least a half dozen in reserve.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2363. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 18:13:34 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210311013.51e78aca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns92B7EEF266B99rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>...
> witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in
> news:3aed93cc.0210302138.2baf3935@posting.google.com:
>
> > --
> > "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> >
> > This is very true... tho I don't know how "BAD" I am because I don't
> > drink, smoke, use dope, gamble, go to bars, screw other guy's wives,
> > carry weapons, or ride a Harley---let alone rob or kill people. But
> > the law-boys in the group seem to think I'm Jesse James, to hear them
> > talk.
> >
> > Guy
> >
>
> Hey, what's wrong with riding a Harley? :)

Landshark's sig IS very confusing. He says being a bad citizen is fun
but apparently this only applies to breaking FCC rules, and only
extends to people he agrees with. Some kind of wierd double standard I
guess.

-A

2364. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 18:27:41 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210311027.296f7702@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark wrote in message
news:20021031104416.448$i7@newsreader.com...
> >
> >> The same, but he hasn't used it for a while.
> >
> He uses it in the policy group from time to time,
> > typical of a
troll..change
> names.
>
> >> But this one's pretty funny:
> >
> > Greetings,
> >
> > Thank you for contacting Google.

LOL, write to Google all you want, it won't change my support of legal
CB and criticism of illegal amps, freebanding, and 10 meter
bootlegging.

-Aaron-

2365. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 18:44:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC17A0D.78A2EAC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54185

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> >does his ability to tap dance.
> >
>
> Lloyd:
>
> Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> effective amateur radio communications provider.

Well, I know some amateurs who can copy code quit well, but far as
being capable and effective at amateur radio communications, they
are pretty pathetic. So what does that say Larry?

2366. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 19:27:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210311127.4df2b9c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54182

"Bjorn Henrik Vangstein" <la5mdaREMOVE@bluezone.no> wrote in message
news:<1035994984.861789@a217-118-32-43.bluecom.no>...
> NG,
>
> Is it true that they in UK have a morse free license which give access to
> the HF bands?
>
> LA5MDA,
> Henrik, Oslo

The UK has introduced a new "Foundation License" which requires taking
a 10-hour course on ham radio topice plus a test for "code character
recognition" at what amounts to as long as it takes to identify the
characters from what we in the U.S. call a "cheat sheet". There are
operating privelege restrictions but I don't know what they are. The
prefix is M3. The annual cost of the license is around US$25.

The Japanese have had a nocode HF license for years, power output is
limited to 10 watts.

w3rv

2367. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 19:37:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54183

>Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
>does his ability to tap dance.
>

Lloyd:

Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and effective amateur radio communications provider.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2368. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 19:45:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021031144558.10201.00000084@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54184

>Huh?? 2m Packet is out there in volumes right now and you're
>surrounded with it. In your own back yard you have nodes at W3PP
>(Laurel DE) on 145.670 (FRC), AA1K (Felton DE) on 145.530 (FRC) and
>WR3L (Baltimore) on 145.610/440.950 (PVRC). Each of which serves a
>multitude of users right in your countrified neighborhood. What does

Brian:

The only node I can hit from this list is Jon AA1K's PacketCluster node. It's useful for DX'ing, but doesn't provide typical PBBS services I'm used to from the old days. I would need a very reliable band opening to get into any of those other nodes.

>Are local work & the DX clusters bad things? Whatta ya want outta two
>meters?

No, they're not bad things -- but I want to be able to send/receive general packet message traffic, NTS traffic, and BBS type messages the way I used to be able to on the local MSYS BBS's.

>And by the way, it's not so "local", a packet sent from Virginia pops
>up on screens all over New England within seconds.

Yeah, but it's just a DX spotter's report.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2369. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 22:22:47 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apsahp$ul2$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54186

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC17A0D.78A2EAC@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > >
> >
> > Lloyd:
> >
> > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable
and
> > effective amateur radio communications provider.
>
> Well, I know some amateurs who can copy code quit well, but far as
> being capable and effective at amateur radio communications, they
> are pretty pathetic. So what does that say Larry?

Larry doesn't get it...
The issue comes down to the lack of any speific need to have someone
learn morse...not IF they can learn it. The question is now simply
turning on one's personal desire to learn and use morse IF they
so choose. No different than people who "could" learn to
drive a manual gearbox automobile but choose not to. No need,
no harm, no issue!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2370. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 22:34:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apsb5v$41p$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54187

Alabama used to have one of the best packet systems in the country. Many dedicated hams put in thousands or hours and dollars to keep it running. Then it all came crashing down. One by one the links dropped never to be heard from agian.

At one time you literally had to wait till the middle of the night to keyboard anyone because of the heavy BBS forwarding. Then it all went away.

Now we have APRS. That burps out and tells the world about the wx and someones location.

And a DX Packet cluster that takes three nodes to get to.

Thats it. Ive been running a beacon for a week. No replies at all. Pathetic.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20021031144558.10201.00000084@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >Huh?? 2m Packet is out there in volumes right now and you're
> >surrounded with it. In your own back yard you have nodes at W3PP
> >(Laurel DE) on 145.670 (FRC), AA1K (Felton DE) on 145.530 (FRC) and
> >WR3L (Baltimore) on 145.610/440.950 (PVRC). Each of which serves a
> >multitude of users right in your countrified neighborhood. What does
>
> Brian:
>
> The only node I can hit from this list is Jon AA1K's PacketCluster node. It's
> useful for DX'ing, but doesn't provide typical PBBS services I'm used to from
> the old days. I would need a very reliable band opening to get into any of
> those other nodes.
>
> >Are local work & the DX clusters bad things? Whatta ya want outta two
> >meters?
>
> No, they're not bad things -- but I want to be able to send/receive general
> packet message traffic, NTS traffic, and BBS type messages the way I used to be
> able to on the local MSYS BBS's.
>
> >And by the way, it's not so "local", a packet sent from Virginia pops

> >up on screens all over New England within seconds.
>
> Yeah, but it's just a DX spotter's report.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>

2371. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 23:31:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apseg5ovm1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54188

And poor Llllloooooyyyydddd can't even do 5 words per minute.....how many years you been trying LLLlllllloooooyyyydddd? seven eight?

Oh and I believe the record for copy by ear was 74.... by a fella called McElroy, someone come in here and correct that. I know damn well it wasn't 90.

Bwwwwwwwaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!!!!!

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message news:031143726.10201.0000@taranews.net...
> On 31 Oct 2002 19:37:26 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
> > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > effective amateur radio communications provider.
>
> I know an older guy who can copy cw at about 90 wpm. He used to work
> at a stock exchange back in the 40's when the quotes came in on cw,
> and he'd post them on the big board as the information came in over
> his headphones. He knows very little about radio communications and
> isn't interested either. CW was a means for him to earn a living at
> the time; it wasn't his religion. His CW abilities are still
> impressive even today. I guess copying 100 wpm code every day for
> five years made the skill his for life. It didn't turn him into a
> communicator, though.
>
> As I said, the ability to work with Morse code only proves that you
> can work with Morse code.
>
> -----
> "CW proficiency tells you as much about someone's technical skills as
> does his ability to tap dance." -- Lloyd

2372. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 23:36:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1BE6A.DFC96EB0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54193

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >
> > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Lloyd:
> > >
> > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable
> > > and effective amateur radio communications provider.
> >
> >> Well, I know some amateurs who can copy code quit well, but far as
> > being capable and effective at amateur radio communications, they
> > are pretty pathetic. So what does that say Larry?
> >
> Your clock is wrong,still.

It is 18:35 Oct 31, 2002. So what is wrong with my clock? You're a
cber, why are you posting in a ham newsgroup?

2373. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-31 23:50:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021031185019.21143.00001482@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54189

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm (Lloyd)
>Date: 10/31/02 5:12 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <031143726.10201.0000@taranews.net>

>I guess copying 100 wpm code every day for
>five years made the skill his for life. It didn't turn him into a
>communicator, though.

Sure it did. He just used a different medium for exchanging the
information.

73

Steve, K4YZ